DOCUMENTS CENTER
GOVERNMENT INFORMATION CENTER
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
CLOSED STACKS
5



BOOK NO. *352 Sa52:721
ACCESSION 262723
NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRARY
Form No. 67-5M
GOVERNMENT INFORMATION CENTER
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 90119 9365

DC7
JUIT
VCLF

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 1

Monday, January 4, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

X352 21
Sa 52:7
262723

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 4, 1926, 11 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 4, 1926, 11 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Quorum presnet.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Procedings of the meetings of December 14, 21, 28 and 30, 1925, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Bay Shore Highway.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the California State Highway Commission, inclosing survey copy of resolution unanimously adopted by said Commission on December 30, 1925, declaring that if the Board of Supervisors would authorize and empower it to expend so much of the unexpended funds appropriated by the Board of Supervisors as may be necessary to make a complete survey of the route of the Bay Shore Highway in Santa Clara County, that said Commission will proceed as expeditiously as possible to make a complete survey of the Bay Shore Highway in Santa Clara County to the end that route may be definitely determined and the necessary steps for its construction taken.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Telegram from Supervisor Rossi.

January 4, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, care John S. Dunnigan, San Francisco, Cal.

Regret exceedingly my inability to be present at the last meeting of the old Board. I am pleased to say that I am proud of my record as a city official and have no apologies or regrets to offer or the shedding of tears as anticipated by Supervisor Welch, but, on the other hand, I feel grateful to the people of San Francisco for the great honor and privilege accorded me to serve them during past four years. I retire from the Board of Supervisors with the most kindly feeling towards all and with the knowledge that in the recent campaign I made an honorable and gentlemanly fight and with the further feeling that I served the people conscientiously and unsefishly with only one thought in mind, the civic betterment of San Francisco. As a private citizen I shall continue in that direction. My very best wishes for a Happy New Year to his Honor the Mayor and the old as well as the new members of the Board.

ANGELO J. ROSSI.

Read and ordered spread in Journal.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Marshall-McSherry's garage permit (Resolution No. 24791, New Series).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Frank Zechosh's garage permit, transfer from Alice E. Duncan, 1600 Buchanan street (Resolution No. 24869).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of transfer of garage permit to Fred Moon, No. 1755 O'Farrell street (Resolution No. 24870).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Standard Oil Company's supply station permit at southeast corner Claremont boulevard and Ulloa street (Resolution No. 24868).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of permit to O. Kleinclaus for supply

station at southeast corner Sixteenth avenue and Irving street (Resolution No. 24871).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of L Silverstein's supply station permit at southwest corner San Bruno and Silver avenues.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Perdue & Hoffman's supply station at west side Mission street, 839.69 feet south of Onondaga avenue (Resolution No. 24873).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of J. F. Duffin's automobile supply station permit at northeast corner Nineteenth avenue and Quintara street (Resolution No. 24859).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Axel R. Larsen's garage permit on east side Mission street, 50 feet west of France avenue (Resolution No. 24860).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Jos. Novello's garage permit on east side Mission street, 335 feet 3 inches north of Mohawk avenue (Resolution No. 24861).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Cal. Petroleum Corporation's garage permit on north side Geary street, 257 feet 9 inches west of Van Ness avenue (Resolution No. 24862).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Thos. E. Brown's supply station permit on northeast corner Nineteenth avenue and Ortega street (Resolution No. 24864).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Liberty Dyeing and Cleaning Works permit, North Point street, west of Larkin street (Resolution No. 24959).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of G. B. Mon permit for French laundry, California street between Divisadero and Broderick streets (Resolution No. 24923).

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $2,000, Sanitary Measures, Baker's Beach.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $2,000 to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, for sanitary measures for the balance of the fiscal year at "China" and "Baker's" beaches.

Appropriation, $6,522, Concrete Mixer, Street Repair Department.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $6,522 out of Urgent Necesity, Budget Item No. 24, to the credit of Budget Item No. 552 (Appropriation 33-C), and authorized to be expended for the purchase of a concrete mixer for the use of tne Street Repair Department of the Board of Public Works.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Enterprise Foundry Co., posts, sockets and covers, pool guard (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,196.

Special School Tax.

(2) D. J. and T. Sullivan Inc., moving buildings for account of schools (claim dated Dec. 23, 1925), $890.

(3) George H. Tay Co., porcelain urinals for schools (claim dated Dec. 23, 1925), $887.04.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(4) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., soundings for pipe line at Dumbarton, submerged pipe line, etc. (claim dated Dec. 26, 1925), $822.87.

(5) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Dec. 26, 1925), $1,319.47.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(6) Anderson & Ringrose, fifth payment, general construction of Le Conte School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $13,117.50.

(7) Anderson & Ringrose, eighth payment, general construction of Dudley Stone School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $25,258.50.

(8) Barrett & Hilp, sixth payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $10,781.25.

(9) Wm. J. Bays, second payment, mechanical equipment for Le Conte School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $2,270.28.

(10) MacDonald & Kahn, fifth payment, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $38,331.30.

(11) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., second payment, general construction of Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $11,185.50.

(12) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co.,

tenth payment, general construction of Alamo School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $15,206.64.

(13) O. Monson, fourth payment, general construction of Alvarado School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $37,733.47.

(14) W. H. Picard, third payment, plumbing and gasfitting for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $1,144.08.

(15) W. H. Picard, fourteenth payment, mechanical equipment for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $949.63.

(16) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services for annex to Parkside School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $1,323.64.

(17) M. E. Ryan, first payment, electrical work for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $1,385.90.

County Road Fund.

(18) Owen McHugh, progress payment for labor, equipment, clay and loam on Camino del Mar (Harding boulevard), Lincoln Park (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $10,410.

Publicity and Advertising.

(19) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $552.03.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(20) Anaconda Copper Mining Co., sixth and final progressive payment for electric transmission line conductors, Contract 78 (claim dated Dec. 26, 1925), $49,611.80.

Special School Tax.

(21) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints and oils for school buildings (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $787.20.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(22) J. Theo. Erlin Co., bandages for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $3,482.

(23) J. Theo. Erlin Co., bandages for Emergency Hospitals (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $702.75.

(24) Wm. Cluff & Co., groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $604.57.

(25) Garcia & Maggini Co., groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 26, 1925), $667.88.

(26) Haas Brothers, groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 26, 1925), $692.63.

(27) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $951.49.

(28) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $789.39.

(29) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $1,064.82.

(30) S. H. Tyler & Son, groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $756.20.

(31) Conlin & Roberts, 400 dirt cans for street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $2,240.

(32) Henry Cowell Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $1,416.13.

(33) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., for street repair (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $795.13.

(34) Enterprise Foundry Co., frames, gates, etc., for sewer repair (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $782.26.

(35) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil for Hall of Justice and Civic Center Power House (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $1,711.20.

(36) Firestone Tire and Rubber Co., solid tires for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $863.77.

(37) M. Greenberg's Sons, hydrants for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $1,858.50.

(38) Howard Automobile Co., Buick automobile for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $1,477.50.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., water service through Fire Department hydrants, December, 1925 (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $14,061.90.

(40) Reed & Reed, final payment on brick and granite work paving of Civic Center (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $21,132.56.

(41) E. J. Mitchell, for services rendered the City Attorney in electric rate cases and United States tax upon operation of auto busses by the City and County (claim dated Dec. 8, 1925), $1,500.

(42) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of December (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $3,367.14.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(43) Thos. Skelly, second and acceptance payment for plumbing and heating, Fire Dept. House, Engine No. 16 (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $2,638.75.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(44) Main Iron Works, ten steel sand bins for Seventeenth Street Car Barn, Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $1,979.

(45) Ida M. Ginnochio, settlement of claim for account of death of Angelo J. Ginnochio against the Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $5,000.

Referred.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor McLeran, and on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *referred to the Finance Committee*:

Appropriation, $85,000, for Balance of Paving in Civic Center.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $85,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Civic Center and War Memorial," Budget Item No. 36, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, for the balance of the paving of a portion of the Civic Center in accordance with bids received; general contract $83,797; possible extras, engineering and inspection, $1,203.

(Board of Public Works Resolution No. 89582, Second Series.)

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Contract, Steam Heating System, Glen Park School.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. 7421, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the installation of a central steam heating system in the Glen Park School, situate at the southwest corner of Brompton avenue and Bosworth street; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said installation of central steam heating system, and permitting progressive payments during the progress of said installation, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The installation of a central steam heating system in the Glen Park School, situate at the corner of Brompton avenue and Bosworth street, is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the said installation of a central steam heating system, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said installation of a central steam heating system in the Glen Park School, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in the specifications prepared therefor and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $382, Motor Burner, Juvenile Detention Home.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 25011 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $382 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to and Maintenance of Buildings," Budget Item No. 52, for the furnishing and installing of motor burner at the Juvenile Detention Home, to replace worn-out burner equipment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Auction Sale of Fire Lots.

On motion of Supervisor Wetmore:

Bill No. 7422, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at public auction of certain lands belonging to the City and County of San Francisco in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described parcels or pieces of land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcels of land are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel No. 1. Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Bryant street, distant thereon 115 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Third street, running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Bryant street 40 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 40 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 80 feet to the northwesterly line of Bryant street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 100 Vara Block 366, also known as Lot 10, Block 3762, on Assessor's Map Book.

Parcel No. 2. Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Cali-

fornia street, distant thereon 220 feet easterly from the easterly line of Hyde street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of California street 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches to the southerly line of California street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 277, also known as Lot 29, Block 251, on Assessor's Map Book.

Parcel No. 3. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from the southerly line of Broadway, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Stockton street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Cordelia street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Cordelia street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 133, also known as Lot 4, Block 160, on Assessor's Map Book.

Parcel No. 4. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Alabama street, distant thereon 185 feet southerly from the southerly line of Nineteenth street, running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Alabama street 68 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 44 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 68 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 44 feet 3 inches to the easterly line of Alabama street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 215, also known as Lot 13, Block 4082, on Assessor's Map Book.

Parcel No. 5. Commencing at a point on the northerly line of O'Farrell street, distant thereon 197 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Stockton street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of O'Farrell street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 73 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 73 feet to the northerly line of O'Farrell street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 121, also known as Lot 11, Block 313, on Assessor's Map Book.

Parcel No. 6. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Cabrillo street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of O. L. Block 413, also known as Lot 2, Block 1676, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The said pieces or parcels of land hereinabove described shall be sold separately for cash in United States gold coin at public auction to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper published in this City and County for three weeks successively next before the day on which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale, describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 4. The Mayor, Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said land within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance, as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Section 5. Upon receipt and examination of bids or offers for said land as aforesaid, the Mayor shall accept the highest bid made, provided said bid be for at least ninety per cent of the value found by said appraisers, and shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors with a statement of the sum bid and the name of the highest bidder, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

Accepting Offer of Sadie F. Clauson to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Wetmore presented:

Resolution No. 25012 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Sadie F. Clauson to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 125 feet north from Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City

and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,100 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Accepting Offer of M. M. Stephens to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Wetmore presented:

Resolution No. 25013 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from M. M. Stephens to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 150 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,100 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 150 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue. 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Accepting Offer of Sanford Ehrlick to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Wetmore presented:

Resolution No. 25014 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Sanford Ehrlick to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land, situate west line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 275 feet southerly from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof: therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,100

be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch Wetmore—10.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Accepting Offer of Frank J. McHugh to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 25015 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Frank J. McHugh to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land, situate west line of Thirtieth avenue, distant 125 feet south from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirtieth avenue 62 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 62 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 1514 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Accepting Offer of J. S. Hennessy to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 25016 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from J. S. Hennessy to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain lands, situate and described herein, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said lands are offered is the reasonable value thereof: therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described lands, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $16.525 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said lands being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Rivera street, distant thereon 32 feet 6 inches west-

erly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Rivera street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Rivera street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of Rivera street with the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Rivera street 82 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 37 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 200 feet to the southerly line of Rivera street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commencing at a point on the northerly line of Santiago street, distant thereon 107 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Santiago street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Santiago street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Mayor to Sell Frame Buildings on School Property.

Supervisor Wetmore presented:

Resolution No. 25017 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor, be authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the certain frame buildings situate on lands recently purchased for school purposes, as follows:

Buildings Nos. 1404, 1406, 1408 and 1410 Ellis street, and Nos. 2029 to 2063 Lombard street.

The Board of Public Works is requested to prepare specifications for the removal of the buildings by the buyers.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi Shannon—8.

Accepting Offer of Antonio Stagnaro to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Wetmore presented:

Resolution No. 25018 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Antonio Stagnaro to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements, situate northeasterly line of Otsego avenue and on Oneida avenue adjoining, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free

of all encumbrances, for the sum of $19,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue with the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, running thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Oneida avenue 237 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 157 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 80 feet to the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue; thence at a right angle northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Otsego avenue 30 feet to the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 15, West End Map No. 1, also known as Lots 16 and 17 of Block 6960 on Assessor's Map Book.

Parcel No. 2. Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, distant thereon 55 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, thence running southwesterly along said southeasterly line of Otsego avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 80 feet to the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 15, West End Map No. 1, also known as Lot 14, Block 6960 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Accepting Offer of Renato Bacci to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Wetmore presented:

Resolution No. 25019 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Renato Bacci to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate south line of Geary street, distant 60 feet east from Thirty-first avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $8,250 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Geary street, distant thereon 60 feet easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Geary street 60 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 60 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet to the southerly line of Geary street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 1514 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Accepting Offer of C. H. Stern to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Wetmore presented:

Resolution No. 25020 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from C. H. Stern to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 250 feet southerly from Quintara street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,800 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 250 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street; running thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Clerk to Sign Proof of Fire Loss, Mission High School.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 25021 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be authorized to sign proof of loss under policy of fire insurance of Yorkshire Underwriters covering the Mission High School while under construction, and to waive the interest of the City and County of San Francisco therein, said loss being a piece of equipment, the property of McDonald & Kahn, contractors for said building.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Members-Elect.

A certified copy of the resolution of the Board of Election Commissioners declaring James B. McSheehy, Walter J. Schmidt, Cornelius J. Deasy, Chas. J. Powers, Franck R. Havenner, Milo F. Kent, William P. Stanton, Milton Marks and Charles F. Todd duly elected for a full term to the Board of Supervisors at the municipal election, November 3, 1925, was read by the Clerk.

RECESS.

At the hour of 11:45 a. m. the Board took a recess until 12 m.

Reassembled.

At 12 o'clock noon the Board of Supervisors reassembled.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Katz, McGregor, Robb, Rossi, Shannon—8.

Supervisor Deasy excused on account of illness.

Supervisors Hayden and Rossi out of town.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Resolution of Election Commissioners.

The following matters were presented and read:

Department of Elections—In re General Municipal Election, November 3, 1925.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Herewith attached you will find

copy of resolution adopted by the Board of Election Commissioners declaring result of election held November 3, 1925.

Respectfully,
(Signed) J. H. ZEMANSKY,
Registrar of Voters.

City and County of San Francisco, Department of Elections, in re General Municipal Election of November 3, 1925.

Commissioner J. W. Jackson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

Resolved, That at the general municipal election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, upon the 3d day of November, 1925, the following named persons were each elected to the respective office set opposite their respective names as hereinafter specified, and each of such persons is hereby declared to have been so elected to such respective public office for a full term:

Sylvain J. Lazarus, Police Judge.
Daniel S. O'Brien, Police Judge.
John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
John H. Thieler, Treasurer.
Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector.
Edmond Godchaux, Recorder.
Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator.
James B. McSheehy, member Board of Supervisors.
Walter J. Schmidt, member Board of Supervisors.
Cornelius J. Deasy, member Board of Supervisors.
Charles J. Powers, member Board of Supervisors.
Franck R. Havenner, member Board of Supervisors.
Milo F. Kent, member Board of Supervisors.
William P. Stanton, member Board of Supervisors.
Milton Marks, member Board of Supervisors.
Charles F. Todd, member Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following vote:

Aye—Commissioners Charles J. Collins, J. W. Jackson, John E. Bohm, John Hermann.

Absent—Commisioner James K. Prior, Jr.

November 18, 1925.

State of California, City and County of San Francisco.

I. J. H. Zemansky, Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and Secretary of the Board of Election Commissioners in and for said City and County, hereby certify the foregoing to be a full and true copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Election Commisioners on Wednessday, November 18, 1925.

On file in the office of the Registrar of Voters.

Attest my hand and seal this.... day of ....., 1925.

(Seal) J. H. ZEMANSKY,
Registrar of Voters and Secretary of the Board of Election Commissioners.

Communication From Registrar.

January 4, 1926.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

My Dear Sir:

The following persons were duly sworn and filed their oath of office, which oaths are on file in the office of the Registrar of Voters:

James B. McSheehy, Walter J. Schmidt, Cornelius J. Deasy, Charles J. Powers. Franck R. Havenner, Milo F. Kent, William P. Stanton, Milton Marks, Charles F. Todd.

Respectfully,
J. H. ZEMANSKY,
Registrar of Voters.

Appointment of Andrew J. Gallagher to the Office of Supervisor, Vice Phil C. Katz, Resigned.

Whereupon, his Honor the Mayor presented the following, which was read by the Clerk and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

The City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to all to whom these presents shall come, greeting:

Reposing special confidence in the fidelity and ability of Andrew J. Gallagher I do by these presents, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, appoint him a member of the Board of Supervisors in and for the City and County of San Francisco, he to hold said office for the term ending the first Monday after the first Sunday of January, 1928, from and after the 4th day of January, 1926, vice Phil C. Katz, resigned.

In testimony whereof, I have signed my name and have caused the seal of my office to be affixed hereto this 4th day of January, 1926.

(Seal) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Attest: Edward Rainey, Executive Secretary to the Mayor.

Statement of Former Supervisor Ralph McLeran.

The following statement by former Supervisor Ralph McLeran was, at his request, ordered *spread in the Journal*:

Supervisor McLeran: Mr. Mayor,

my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors and my fellow citizens: Mr. Mayor, may I ask permission to have inserted in the Journal the few words that I might say? I have been honored by the citizens of San Francisco on five different occasions, covering a period of sixteen years, to serve them as a member of this Board of Supervisors. And all during those years I have rendered to the people of San Francisco that service, for the benefit of all of the people of San Francisco, that, in my judgment, was for the best interests. I feel, Mr. Mayor, and my fellow citizens, that there is no man or no person ever retired from public office with more satisfaction than I am retiring from it at the present time. During these years of public service, I have had the privilege and the honor of participating in the large constructive public improvements that have been put forward during these years. It has been my pleasure, my privilege and my honor to have contributed the first $100 that was raised by the citizens of San Francisco toward a fund of $15,000 for the acquiring of the Hetch Hetchy property from Elmer Smith on the floor of the valley that is now flooded by one of the finest lakes of water in this world. It has been my privilege and pleasure to have participated at the first meeting of the Rolph Board of Supervisors, fourteen years ago, in the Mills Building, in laying out the scheme of the Civic Center, before Mayor Rolph took office, after he was elected. It has been my privilege and pleasure to have attended the breaking of the ground for all of these public buildings that have been constructed within our Civic Center. And, as a member of the Board, I have purchased all of the four million dollars' worth of property that has been purchased, upon which these buildings now stand. In 1908, we conceived the idea of building a new building, known as the San Francisco Hospital, which has been builded, and is recognized to be one of the finest hospitals in the world. There have been some disappointments during my career as a public official, and one of the disappointments was the refusal of the people of San Francisco, in 1909, to purchase the property of the Spring Valley Water Company for $34,500,000, which included all of their holdings, lock, stock and barrel. By a small margin, less than 6000 votes, the people refused to buy those properties. Since that time you have been called upon, on three different occasions, to purchase those properties, and when you have had the opportunity to purchase the properties, more than twenty million dollars' worth of those properties has been eliminated, and taken from the $34,500,000 offer, making it $54,500,000. It was unfortunate for the people of San Francisco that that project was defeated at that time because, by the defeat of that project, you deprived yourself of $20,000,000 worth of property that you would have owned now, that you never can and never will have the opportunity of buying. Notwithstanding the fact the we have lost that opportunity, the time is going to come, in the near future, that you must buy those properties or you will pay $20,000,000 more for them after the present option expires.

Another disappointment: At the time that we proposed the $8,000,000 bond issue for the development of this Civic Center, it was estimated that the cost at that time would be $10,000,000, but, fearful that the project might be defeated, on my recommendation the amount was reduced from $10,000,000 to $8,000,000, which was a mistake on my part because it was overwhelmingly carried at $8,000,000, and I believe the people would have voted ten or twelve million dollars. But since that time we have been gradually acquiring additional property in the Civic Center. We have opened up Hyde street, we have partly opened up Leavenworth street, and the scheme is so far advanced that no Board of Supervisors will dare to retard the completion of this beautiful Civic Center which has been recognized by artists the world over as the finest Civic Center in the world. It has been my pleasure to furnish data to municipalities, such as Detroit, Los Angeles and other large cities so that they may pattern after San Francisco and do for their cities what San Francisco has done for herself. Recently, in Los Angeles, they have voted a bond issue of $35,000,000 for a development of a Civic Center which will not compare with what we have in San Francisco.

Mr. Mayor, prior to the exposition, when you demanded of the Board of Directors that they must give San Francisco $1,000,000 before you would sign those bonds, I concurred in your stand, with the result that the exposition board of directors were forced to give San Francisco $1,000,000 toward the building of an auditorium. After the money was placed in escrow, it developed that the $1,000,000 would not complete the building in accordance with the scheme of the Civic Center, and it was on my recom-

mendation that the Board of Supervisors added to the $1,000,000 an appropriation sufficiently large to put the granite front on the Civic Auditorium. It might be of interest to the incoming members of the Board to know that, sooner or later, the Auditorium will be finished on the inside. The temporary ceiling that is now there is made of canvas and that will be replaced by a permanent structure to correct the acoustics of that building, which will cost approximately $75,000 or $100,000.

It has been my privilege and my pleasure to be active in the development of the Stockton Street tunnel and the Twin Peaks tunnel, for the benefit of all of San Francisco. And while I have been honored on five different occasions, by the people of San Francisco, in selecting me as a representative on this Board, I feel, with a great deal of satisfaction, that I have rendered the best service that I knew how to render. The people of San Francisco, as a whole, have been kind to me, and I hope that those things that have been started, and that are well under way, those things that I have participated in, will reflect more credit upon me than is appreciated at the present time. To the incoming members of the Board I wish them success. I hope that they will be able to accomplish more, as years go on, than I have been able to accomplish in the past. To my colleagues who are about to retire with me, and to the hold-over members of the Board, I want to extend to them my heartfelt thanks for the confidence that they have shown in me, by honoring me as chairman of the Finance Committee for the past eight years. To the county officials, and to the employees of all of our city departments, I want to extend to them my appreciation for the sincere cooperation that they have extended to me during my term as head of the Finance Committee of our city government.

There are many big problems, Mr. Mayor, that the new Board has to complete before San Francisco will come into its own. There are four major problems that are outstanding: The earliest possible completion of Hetch Hetchy in order to avoid a water famine in San Francisco; the solving of the embarrassing transportation problem; the regulating of the traffic; and the solving of the problem of our hydro-electric energy. Those, in my judgment, are the four major problems that are confronting the Board of Supervisors, and it is not hoped, neither is it expected, that they are going to be solved over night. It is going to take years of labor, years of study and years of united effort on the part of all of the members of the Board, backed up by the citizens of San Francisco, by going to the polls and voting bonds, to complete these projects.

Mr. Mayor, it has been a real pleasure for me to have served with you, to have conferred with you from time to time, to have counseled with you in helping to solve problems confronting the City and County of San Francisco. Your requests have been few, they have been most modest. And if I have been of assistance to you in solving the difficult problems that have come to your office from time to time, it has been a great pleasure and a satisfiction for me to know that I have enjoyed your confidence and respect. At the results of the last election I have no regrets. The only regrets that I have, my fellow citizens, is at the disappointment of my friends. Again, I want to repeat that I am retiring from public office with the full satisfaction and confidence in my own heart, that I have done the best that I could for the people of San Francisco, and I am willing, as a private citizen and as a taxpayer, to support all these propositions that have for their end the future development and the continued prosperity of San Francisco. I thank you, Mr. Mayor, and members of the Board, and my fellow citizens, for the opportunity of saying these few words to you before I, officially, make my exit.

Remarks of Members.

The Mayor: Has any member of the old Board anything to offer before the new members of the Board take their seats?

Supervisor Bath said in part: It will be many a year before some of the good work by the old Board will cease to be reflected in the history of San Francisco. He welcomed the new Board but stated that it would be very difficult for any new Board of Supervisors to follow the footsteps of the old Board in the matter of constructive work. He referred especially to the work done under Mayor Rolph's administration, backed by such men as Ralph McLeran, John A. McGregor, Miss Morgan, Eugene Schmitz, Angelo Rossi, Frank Robb and John Wetmore.

Supervisor Wetmore called attention to the conscientious work done by him during his four years as Supervisor, to the best of his ability. He purchased, within the last two years of his administration $2,000,000 worth of property, mostly in small lots for the School Depart-

ment; some for the parks and playgrounds. He retires without any feeling of regrets. There was nothing personal in the work that he done, feeling that he was doing something for the city he loved.

Supervisor Morgan thanked the Mayor and the old Board of Supervisors, stating how proud she was to be a member of the Board. She declared that it was her earnest hope that the incoming Board of Supervisors would give serious thought to the establishment of a commercial air port. She hoped that "Margaret Maryland," the Hetch Hetchy Municipal Camp named in honor of her, would retain the same name, in order to leave some mark behind, in remembrance of the first woman legislator, and the one that was honored among the women first. She extended her thanks to the Clerk of the Board and his staff for the courtesies extended during her administration and expressed the belief that the City should have its own power-distributing plant. She hoped that the $2,000,000 a year received from sale of the hydro-electric power should be saved to go towards the construction of a municipal distributing plant.

CALLING THE ROLL.

Mayor: Call the roll of the new members:

Whereupon, the roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt. Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks—4.

Supervisors Deasy and Marks excused on account of illness.

Standing Committees.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Welch, moved that the standing committees of this Board be constituted as follows:

The first named member to be chairman thereof:

Auditorium—Havenner, Shannon, Marks.

Civil Service and Retirement—Colman, Bath, Harrelson.

City Planning — Marks, Deasy, Kent.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds —Gallagher, Welch, Stanton.

Finance—McSheehy, Kent, Havenner.

Fire—Deasy, McSheehy, Gallagher.

Judiciary — Bath, Roncovieri, Marks.

Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity — Stanton, Welch, Schmidt.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations—Roncovieri, Hayden, Colman.

Police and Licenses — Schmidt, Harrelson, Todd.

Public Buildings and Lands — Powers, Deasy, Havenner.

Public Health — Hayden, McSheehy, Stanton.

Public Utilities—Shannon, Badaracco, Powers.

Public Welfare—Kent, Roncovieri, Gallagher.

Streets and Commercial Development—Welch, Badaracco, Todd.

Supplies — Badaracco, Shannon, Powers.

Traffic—Todd, Bath, Schmidt.

Tunnels and Assessment Districts —Harrelson, Hayden, Colman.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Ronvieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks—4.

RULES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADOPTED.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Badaracco, moved that the rules hereinafter set forth be and the same are hereby adopted as the Rules of Proceedings of this Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

Rules of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, 1926. Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor and Ex-officio President.

MEMBERS.

Badaracco, John B.
Bath, Edwin G.
Colman, Jesse C.
Deasy, Cornelius J.
Gallagher, Andrew J.
Harrelson, Wm. H.
Havenner, Franck R.
Hayden, J. Emmet
Kent, Milo F.
Marks, Milton
McSheehy, Jas. B.
Powers, Chas. J.
Roncovieri, Alfred
Schmidt, Walter J.
Shannon, Warren
Stanton, Wm. P.
Todd, Chas. F.
Welch, Richard J.

STANDING COMMITTEES.

Resolved, That the Standing Committees of this Board be constituted as follows:

The first named member to be Chairman thereof:

Auditorium—Havenner, Shannon, Marks.
Civil Service and Retirement—Colman, Bath, Harrelson.
City Planning—Marks, Deasy, Kent.
Education, Parks and Playgrounds—Gallagher, Welch, Stanton.
Finance—McSheehy, Kent, Havenner.
Fire—Deasy, McSheehy, Gallagher.
Judiciary—Bath, Roncovieri, Marks.
Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity—Stanton, Welch, Schmidt.
Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations—Roncovieri, Hayden, Colman.
Police and Licenses—Schmidt, Harrelson, Todd.
Public Buildings and Lands—Powers, Deasy, Havenner.
Public Health—Hayden, McSheehy, Stanton.
Public Utilities—Shannon, Badaracco, Powers.
Public Welfare—Kent, Roncovieri, Gallagher.
Streets and Commercial Development—Welch, Badaracco, Todd.
Supplies—Badaracco, Shannon, Powers.
Traffic—Todd, Bath, Schmidt.
Tunnels and Assessment Districts—Harrelson, Hayden, Colman.

CHAIRMEN OF COMMITTEES.

Badaracco, John B.—Supplies, Streets, Public Utilities.
Bath, Edwin G.—Judiciary, Traffic, Civil Service.
Colman, Jesse C.—Civil Service, Tunnels, Municipal Concerts.
Deasy, Cornelius J.—Fire, City Planning, Public Buildings.
Gallagher, Andrew J.—Education, Fire, Welfare.
Harrelson, Wm. R.—Tunnels, Police, Civil Service.
Havenner, Franck R.—Auditorium, Finance, Public Buildings.
Hayden, J. Emmet—Health, Tunnels, Municipal Concerts.
Kent, Milo F.—Public Welfare, Finance, City Planning.
McSheehy, Jas. B.—Finance, Fire, Public Health.
Marks, Milton—City Planning, Auditorium, Judiciary.
Powers, Chas. J.—Public Buildings, Public Utilities, Supplies.
Roncovieri, Alfred—Municipal Concerts, Judiciary, Public Welfare.
Schmidt, Walter J.—Police, Lighting, Traffic.
Shannon, Warren—Public Utilities, Auditorium, Supplies.
Stanton, Wm. P.—Lighting, Education, Health.
Todd, Chas. F.—Traffic, Streets and Commercial Development, Police.
Welch, Richard J.—Streets, Education, Commercial Development, Lighting.

RULES OF PROCEEDINGS.

1. The following shall constitute the Standing Committees of the Board:

Auditorium.
City Planning.
Civil Service and Retirement System.
Education, Parks and Playgrounds.
Finance.
Fire.
Judiciary.
Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity.
Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations.
Police and Licenses.
Public Buildings and Lands.
Public Health.

Public Utilities.
Public Welfare and Publicity.
State Laws and Legislation, specially appointed by Finance Committee when occasion requires.
Streets and Commercial Development.
Supplies.
Traffic.
Tunnels and Assessments.

Every Committee shall set a stated time of meeting, or the Committee may meet at a time to be set by the chairman, and every member will be expected to attend every meeting of his committe, and to be present promptly on time. The clerk of each Committee shall keep a record of the attendance of the members, and he shall report such record to the Clerk of the Board, and the Clerk of the Board shall have the report of the attendance of members at committee meetings available at all times for the information of any or all members of the Board.

2. The respective duties of each of the foregoing Committees and the time of meetings are hereby defined as follows:

Auditorium—To have control and management of the Municipal Auditorium and entertainments held therein under the auspices of the City as provided in Ordinance No. 5320 (New Series); to lease said building and the several halls and apartments therein; to report and recommend on applications for leasing of said building for public assemblages and gatherings; to consider and report on all matters relating to the management, conduct and maintenance of said Auditorium.

City Planning—To consider matters relative to City Planning and all reports of the City Planning Commission shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

Civil Service and Retirement System—To consider all matters relating to Civil Service in the several departments and to promote efficiency and economy in expenditures; to consider matters relating to the Retirement System, and all reports of the Board of Administration of the Retirement System shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds—To consider and report upon all matters relating to the Departments of Education, Parks and Playgrounds and recreation centers, including the Aquatic Park, and to cooperate with the Board of Education, Playground Commission and Park Commission regarding the development and increased usefulness of these departments.

Finance—To perform all duties required by the Charter; to audit all bills and report on all matters that may be referred to it by the Board of Supervisors; to act as a budget committee for the Board, hold hearings on budget estimates of and with all departments, receive recommendations from all other committees of the Board, and formulate a budget for submission to the Board on or before the second Monday of May. (Meets Fridays at 2:30 p. m.)

Fire—To consider all matters relating to the Fire Department; to report on all applications for garage, boiler, laundry and other permits referred to it.

Judiciary—To consider and report upon the legality of all matters referred to it by the Board and to propose such amendments to the Charter as may be deemed advisable.

Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity—To attend to the proper lighting of streets, public parks and public buildings; to investigate and correct complaints of water service and extensions thereof, and telephone service; to recommend installation and removal of City telephones; to recommend from time to time extensions of underground wire system, and to have general charge of all matters pertaining to electricity other than public lighting and amendments to the building laws.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations—To have charge of the Municipal Band and conduct all concerts that are given under the auspices of the City and County of San Francisco (except those concerts under the management of the Park Commissioners and the Auditorium Committee of this Board); to assist in promotion of all semi-public celebrations, dedications, etc.

Police and Licenses—To consider legislation concerning the Police Department; to investigate the management and character of penal institutions; to consider all matters affecting public morals; to report upon applications for permits referred to it by the Board, including free licenses to those deserving them, and report on all licenses.

Public Buildings and Lands—To consider the erection of all public buildings and the purchase of sites for all public buildings upon recommendation of the respective departments; to consider and report upon the repairs to public buildings, and to recommend as to the janitorial, elevator and other service required for the proper conduct of all buildings of the City and County; also to assign to the various offices and departments the various rooms and places in the City Hall and Hall of Justice; to investigate and report upon proposed purchases of lands; to formulate plans for leasing City lands not needed for public purposes; to consider transfer of lands from one department to another, and all other matters pertaining to the realty of the City other than school property.

Public Health—To consider all matters relating to health and sanitation; to see that institutions under the control of the Board of Health are properly conducted; to establish and maintain a high standard of service in public hospitals and Relief Home; to consider and report upon all complaints of nuisances; to make recommendations upon applications for permits which may be referred to it by the Board; also removal and destruction of garbage.

Public Utilities—To consider and pass upon all matters relating to public utilities, their acquisition, construction, control and management, whether municipally or privately owned, including transportation, lighting, power, water and steam heating.

Public Welfare and Publicity—To consider matters relating to the social well-being of the community other than those heretofore provided for, and to supervise the publication of the Municipal Record and other official documents and reports, and generally to act upon all matters of public advertising, and pass on all bills chargeable against the advertising fund; to consider all matters relating to the Bureau of Weights and Measures.

State Laws and Legislation—To be appointed by the Finance Committee when occasion requires. To consider all matters pending before the Legislature and proposed legislation which affects the City and County of San Francisco, directly or indirectly, and to make such recommendations to the Board as may be deemed advisable, and to appear before the State Legislature in advocacy of any measures or in opposition to measures as the Board may advise.

Streets and Commercial Development—To consider all matters relating to the construction, improvement and maintenace of streets and sewers, including highways outside the County, for which the City and County is authorized to appropriate money, the closing, opening and widening of streets and the cleaning of streets; to designate the streets for the improvement and repair of which appropriations may be made in the budget and to allocate the same. Also direct the expenditure of money received from the State for the construction of public highways; to consider and report upon applications for spur and industrial tracks; to promote the commercial and industrial development of the City and foster the construction of traffic and seaboard terminals; to consider and report upon all matters relating to trans-bay bridges; to support legislation designed to promote world trade by the creation of Foreign Trade Zones in the United States and

to bring about the location of a Foreign Trade Zone within the City and County of San Francisco; to inaugurate a movement for local ownership and control of the San Francisco Harbor. Also to reclaim tidelands for commerce and industry.

Supplies—To consider and have charge of the purchase of all supplies as provided by the Charter; to prepare schedules for general supplies and to recommend award of contracts; to inspect deliveries and quality and quantity of supplies; to pass on all requisitions for non-contract supplies; to supervise the purchase and distribution of all books, stationery, etc.

Tunnels and Assessment Districts—To consider all matters relating to the construction of tunnels; to consider all assessment plans except those relating to street and sewer assessments and assessments for the construction and extension of public utilities.

Traffic—To investigate and report on matters relative to traffic conditions in the City and propose ordinances regulating traffic and the promotion of safety in connection therewith.

It shall be the duty of each Committee charged with the duty of cooperating with any particular department to investigate the financial needs of such department to be provided for in the annual budget; to consider such department's budget estimates and to recommend to the Finance Committee such modifications or changes thereof as it may deem proper.

The designations and duties of the foregoing committees are hereby made part of these rules.

RULES OF ORDER.

3. The Mayor shall be president of the Board of Supervisors. He shall call each regular, adjourned or special meeting to order at the hour appointed and shall proceed with the order of business. In the absence of the Mayor, the Clerk shall call the roll and the Board shall appoint a presiding officer pro tempore from its own members, who shall have the same right to vote as other members.

The presiding officer shall preserve order and decorum.

The Clerk shall, immediately after the call to order, call the roll of members of the Board, and the record of those present and absent shall be entered upon the Journal.

4. Whenever it shall be moved and carried that the Board go into Committee of the Whole, the President shall leave the chair and the members shall appoint a chairman of the Committee of the Whole, who shall report the proceedings of said Committee.

5. The rules of the Board shall be observed in the Committee of the Whole except the rules regulating a call for ayes and nays and limiting the time of speaking.

6. A motion, in Committee of the Whole, to rise and report the question, shall be decided without debate.

7. The Clerk shall have clips, upon which shall be kept all Bills, Ordinances, Resolutions and Reports to be acted upon by the Board, except those not reported upon by a Committee.

8. No Bill, Ordinance or Resolution shall be considered by the Board unless it has been introduced by a member of the Board or by a committee of the Board, and the Bill, Ordinance or Resolution must be read by the Clerk in open meeting before being referred to committee. At the time of introduction the presiding officer shall first indicate to what committee a Bill, Ordinance or Resolution ought to be referred, and it shall be so referred unless, upon a majority vote without debate, the Board shall order it referred to some other committee.

Action by the Board shall not be taken upon any Bill, Ordinance or Resolution until it has been referred to and acted upon by a committee of the Board.

9. The Order of Business, which shall not be departed from except by the consent of ten members, shall be as follows:

1. Roll Call.
2. Approval of Journal.
3. Presentation of Petitions.
4. Communications and Reports from City and County Officers.
5. Reports of Committees.
6. Calendar Business.
7. Roll Call for the Introduction of Resolutions, Bills and Communications Not Considered or Reported on by a Committee.

10. When a Bill, Ordinance or Resolution has been reported back to the Board by a committee, all persons other than members of the Board will be presumed to have had a full hearing, but in case others desire to be heard, the Bill, Ordinance or Resolution may, upon a majority vote of the Board, be re-referred to the committee from which it was reported, where others than members of the Board may be heard further upon the subject matter. No one other than a member of the Board or an ex-Mayor shall be permitted to address the Board except in Committee of the Whole Board, or if otherwise provided by the Charter.

11. If any question under debate contains several points, any member may have the points segregated and acted upon separately.

12. When a motion has been made and carried or lost, it shall be in order for any member voting with the prevailing side to give notice that he will move for reconsideration thereof. Such notice shall be entered in the Journal and placed upon the Calendar at the succeeding meeting.

A member may change his vote before the result is announced in order to give such notice of reconsideration. The vote upon such motion to reconsider shall not be taken before the next regular meeting of the Board. No question shall be reconsidered more than once and notice of reconsideration shall apply only to the main question. Motion to reconsider shall have precedence over every other motion except a motion to adjourn. It shall require a majority vote to carry any motion to reconsider the vote by which any Bill, Ordinance or Resolution has been passed or defeated. A Supervisor, after a notice to reconsider is given, may, at the reconsideration thereof, present the main question in his argument thereon, and the same may be debated by the Board, provided that the subject matter is debatable.

13. A motion to refer or lay on the table until decided shall preclude all amendments to the main question. A motion to lay on the table or to postpone indefinitely shall require a majority vote of all members of the Board.

14. It shall be the duty of the Clerk to issue such certificates as may be required by Ordinances or Resolutions and transmit copies of said Ordinances or Resolutions to the various departments affected thereby. It shall also be the duty of the Clerk to cause the publication in the official newspaper of all Bills, Ordinances, proposals and awards as required by the Charter.

15. All accounts and bills shall be referred to the Finance Committee, provided that any committee having jurisdiction over expenditures may request that bills be first sent to that committee before being acted upon by the Finance Committee and the Board.

16. The President shall preserve order and decorum, and prevent demonstrations of approval or disapproval on the part of persons in the Chambers of the Board, and shall decide questions of order, subject to an appeal to the Board.

17. When a Supervisor desires to address the Board he shall arise in his place, address the presiding officer, and when recognized

he shall proceed to speak. No Supervisor shall be recognized when seated or when away from his seat.

18. No Supervisor shall speak more than twice in any one debate on the same day, and at the same stage of the Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Motion without the consent of a majority of the Board, and Supervisors who have once spoken shall not again be entitled to the floor so long as any Supervisor who has not spoken desires to speak. No Supervisor shall be allowed to speak more than five minutes on any question except by leave of a majority of the Board, except that the author shall have five minutes to open and ten minutes to close.

19. No Supervisor shall be interrupted when speaking, and no question shall be asked him except through the presiding officer, and the speaker shall decide if, or when, he shall answer.

20. The author of a Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Motion shall have the opening and closing of the debate.

21. When two or more Supervisors arise at the same time to address the Board, the presiding officer shall designate the Supervisor who is entitled to the floor.

22. No motion shall be debated until the same has been seconded and distinctly announced by the presiding officer.

23. After a motion has been stated by the President, it shall be deemed to be in the possession of the Board, but it may be withdrawn by the mover thereof, with the assent of the second, before it is acted upon.

24. Upon a call of the Board the names of the members shall be called by the Clerk, and the absentees noted. Those for whom no excuses or insufficient excuses are made may, by order of those present, be sent for and be brought to the Chambers of the Board by the Sergeant-at-Arms or by special messengers appointed for the purpose. Proceedings under the call may be dispensed with by the vote of a majority of the members present.

25. When a question is under debate, no action shall be entertained except:

To adjourn.
Call of the Board.
To lay on the table.
The previous question.
To postpone to a certain day.
To commit or amend.
To postpone indefinitely.

which several motions shall have precedence in the order in which they are arranged; provided, however, that during a call of the Board it may consider and transact any matter or business that the Supervisors there present shall unanimously decide to consider, but no call of the Board shall be had during a call of the Board on any matter or business so taken up or considered while the Board is under call.

26. A motion to adjourn is not debatable.

27. The previous question shall be put in the following form: "Shall the previous question be now put?" It shall only be admitted when demanded by three Supervisors, and its effect shall be to put an end to all debate except that the author of the Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Motion or Amendments shall have the right to close, and the question under discussion shall thereupon be immediately put to a vote. On a motion for the previous question prior to a vote being taken by the Board a call of the Board shall be in order.

28. Every member present when a question is put shall vote for or against it, unless disqualified by Charter provision the Board shall excuse him from voting, or unless he is interested in the question. But no member shall be permitted to vote upon a question unless present when his name is called or before the vote is announced. A Roll Call shall not be interrupted for debate or

personal privilege, but a member may file, in writing, an explanation of his vote.

29. If any member, in speaking, or otherwise, transgresses the rules of the Board, the presiding officer shall, or any member may, call him to order, in which case the member so called to order shall immediately sit down, and, if called to order by a member, such member shall immediately state the point of order. If the point of order be sustained by the chair the member shall not be allowed to proceed, but if it be not sustained then he shall be permitted to go on. Every such decision from the chair shall be subject to an appeal to the Board, but no discussion of a question of order shall be allowed, unless an appeal be taken from the decision of the chair. The question on appeal shall be: "Shall the decision of the chair stand as the decision of the Board?"

If any member be called to order for language spoken in debate, the person calling him to order shall report the words excepted to and they shall be taken down in writing by the Clerk, and no member shall be held to answer, or be subject to censure by the Board, for language used in debate if any member has spoken or other business has intervened after the words are spoken and before exception to them shall have been taken.

30. After the Board has acted, the names of those who voted for and those who voted against the question shall be entered upon the Journal, not only in cases required by law, but when any member may require it, and on all Bills, Ordinances and Resolutions on final passage the ayes and nays shall be recorded.

31. All appointments of officers and employees shall be made by a majority of the members of the Board. The Clerk shall assign the assistant clerks and chauffeur to their several duties, and shall immediately transmit to the Mayor all Resolutions and Ordinances which, under the law, require executive approval.

32. No member shall leave the Board during its session without permission from the presiding officer.

33. All Committees shall be appointed by the Board unless otherwise ordered by the Board. Committees shall report on any subject referred to them by the Board a statement of facts and also their recommendations thereon, in writing, and no report shall be received unless it be signed by a majority of the committee. Whenever a committee recommends that a contract be awarded to anyone other than the lowest bidder thereon, such committee shall state specifically in its report its reasons for such recommendation. Unless otherwise ordered, a committee shall report upon all subjects referred to it within thirty days thereafter.

34. The Clerk shall prepare and cause to be printed and placed on the desks of the members on days of meeting, at least 30 minutes before such a meeting, a calendar of matters to be presented to the Board at said meeting. Every petition or other written instrument intended to be presented to the Board must be delivered to the Clerk not later than 12 o'clock noon on Saturday, or on the day preceding the meeting; upon the request of the President or of any member its contents shall be read in full.

35. All petitions, protests and communications of a routine character shall be referred by the Clerk to the proper committee.

36. Ten members shall constitute a quorum to transact business, and no Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Amendment thereto shall pass without the concurrence of at least that number of members, but a smaller number may adjourn from day to day.

37. Except when otherwise provided by these rules, the Charter or law, a majority vote of the members present shall be necessary for the adoption of any motion.

38. On any questions or points of order not embraced in these rules the Board shall be governed by the rules contained in Robert's Rules of Order.

39. Before taking up any other business that has not been especially set for a time certain, all matters on the calendar shall be disposed of.

40. In calling the roll the Clerk shall call only the surnames of the members, prefixing the word Supervisors to the surname of the Supervisor first called.

41. No smoking shall be permitted in the chambers of the Supervisors during the sessions of the Board.

42. The Clerk shall keep a record of all requests and instructions directed by the Board of Supervisors to any officer or board of the City and County and the action thereon of such officer or board. The record of such request and instructions, until acted upon by such officer or board, shall be read by the Clerk at each regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

43. No person engaged in presenting to the Board of Supervisors or any of its committees any business shall be permitted on the floor of the Board at any time while the Board is in session, and any person transgressing this rule shall be removed from the floor. In case of any disturbance or disorderly conduct in the chambers, or whenever he shall deem it necessary, the presiding officer (or the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole Board) or the Board, by a majority vote, shall have the power to order the chambers cleared.

44. In debate a member must confine himself to the question before the Board.

45. No member of the Board of Supervisors, chairman of a committee, or committee of said Board, shall employ or engage the services of any person, or authorize or incur any charge, debt or liability against the City and County unless authority therefor shall have been first given by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution or Ordinance, except as otherwise provided by law.

46. No standing rule or order of the Board shall be suspended or amended without the affirmative vote of twelve members. The Board by a majority vote shall reduce or extend the time of debate.

MEMORANDUM OF CHARTER PROVISIONS.

Page 4, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article II—Quorum consists of 10 members.

Page 5, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article II—No bill shall become an ordinance or resolution be adopted unless it receives 10 votes.

Page 7, Section 16, Chapter 1, Article II—14 votes necessary to override Mayor's veto of resolution or ordinance.

Page 13, Chapter 2, Article II—Lease of City lands requires two-thirds vote of Board (12 votes).

Page 19, Section 6, Chapter 2, Article II—Street railway franchises require three-fourths vote (14 votes) of all the members of the Board, while five-sixths vote (15 votes) of all the members of the Board is necessary to pass these ordinances **if Mayor vetoes same.**

Page 22, Section 9, Chapter 2, Article II—Sale of City lands requires 15 votes.

Page 30, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article III—Budget ordinance requires 10 votes.

Page 30, Section 4, Chapter 1, Article III—15 votes are necessary to override Mayor's veto of budget.

Page 31, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article III—15 votes necessary to appropriate from Urgent Necessity Fund.

Page 33, Section 13, Chapter 1, Article III—To suspend temporarily limit of taxation to meet emergency requires unanimous vote of 18 members of the Board and approval of the Mayor.

Page 76, Section 1, Chapter 1, Article VI—Contracts for street work requires 14 votes.

Page 78, Section 2, Chapter 2, Article VI—When cost of sewer or drain is in excess of $5 per linear front foot of abutting property and work is disapproved by Board of Works, it requires 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to pass ordinance ordering such work done.

If application for work is made, the expense of which is to be paid by City and County, and work is not recommended by the Board of Public Works, it requires 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to order such work done.

Page 98, Section 33, Chapter 2, Article VI—Ordinance providing for street improvements in 10-year installments requires 15 votes.

Page 100, Section 1, Chapter 3, Article VI—Opening and improvement of streets, etc., requires 12 votes.

Page 120, Section 17, Chapter 6, Article VI—15 votes are required to modify or change procedure as provided in the Charter for changing street grades and the performance of work in connection therewith.

Page 121, Section 1, Chapter 8, Article VI—Ordinance providing for tunnel, subway and viaduct construction requires 12 votes.

Page 218, Section 19, Article XVI—Suspension of an elected officer by the Mayor requires approval of 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to cause removal.

Page 223, Section 35, Article XVI—Appointments of additional deputies, clerks or employees require 14 votes.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks—4.

Traffic Survey Committee Report.

The following was presented, referred to the Traffic and Finance Committees jointly and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

San Francisco, Cal., Jan. 2, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Emergency measures to improve traffic conditions on certain streets where the greater number of vehicular deaths and injuries are taking place are necessary of adoption by the Board of Supervisors.

The San Francisco Traffic Survey Committee, organized following publication of a report by Coroner Leland showing a disproportionate number of traffic accidents on certain San Francisco thoroughfares, has just completed a preliminary survey of traffic conditions. Represented on this committee are the San Francisco Police Department, District Attorney, Coroner, California State Automobile Association and the City Traffic Commission. This committee appointed a subcommittee consisting of C. C. Cottrell, consulting engineer California State Automobile Association, Captain Henry Gleeson, commanding Traffic Bureau, and Coroner T. B. W. Leland, to survey the thoroughfares requested and to make the necessary recommendations to improve conditions.

This subcommittee has made the enclosed report, which has been unanimously approved by the general committee. This report is being transmitted to the Board of Supervisors with the hope that your Honorable body will give it the consideration it merits.

It is the earnest desire of the committee that the small appropriation necessary to reduce traffic deaths and injuries be made available at the earliest possible moment to the end that the lives of San Francisco's citizens are more adequately protected.

Very truly yours,
SAN FRANCISCO TRAFFIC SURVEY COMMITTEE,
Theodore J. Roche,
Chairman.
D. V. Nicholson,
Executive Secretary.

To the Members of the San Francisco Traffic Survey Committee:

Gentlemen:

Your sub-committee, consisting of Messrs. Gleeson, Leland and Cottrell, have now completed an investigation of the causes of a disproportionate number of traffic accidents on certain San Francisco streets and they have inspected those streets with the idea of recommending certain things,

which, if adopted and put into effect, cannot help but cause a reduction in the number of accidents.

It should be borne in mind that this preliminary investigation and survey has been made at this time for the specific purpose of caring for an acute situation and should not be confused with the larger one which we believe will consume several weeks' time and permit of the delving into other pertinent elements which the shortness of time now prevents.

The things recommended in this report, however, are certain of need and cannot but become a part of any recommendations which would be made as a result of a more exhaustive investigation. Also, we are of the opinion that the items herein recommended consist by far of the more important items.

The streets under consideration in this report are: Geary from Presidio avenue to the Beach; Fulton street from Masonic avenue to the Beach; Valencia stret from Market street to Mission street; Mission street from Valencia street to the County line.

We are of the opinion that the installation of more adequate safety zones and better street lighting in connection therewith, together with marked pedestrian lanes, are vitally needed on all these streets.

It should come about to be a slogan that a safety zone be sacred both day and night and whether or not occupied. With that idea in mind we have attempted to develop our ideas along the line that such a zone could be be easily discerned by the motorist and become a hazard to him if ne ignored its existence. In only that way can a pedestrian be protected.

We are also of the opinion that the white paint marks now used on pavements be supplanted as rapidly as possible by visible metal markers placed in the pavement.

There are three types of zones to which we have given consideration. Our recommendations, so far as specifications are concerned, are purposely broad for the reason that there are many types in existence and so far no one has demonstrated its worth to the exclusion of others.

Type A is a protected zone having the equivalent of three iron posts on the traffic end and two iron posts on the side facing the curb, making five in all. The posts on the traffic end are to be provided with red reflectors. The lines between the posts are to be marked with metal markers and the whole to be thoroughly flood-lighted from above. This zone is estimated to cost, installed in quantities of ten or more:

5 posts	$225
1 flood-light with connection..	175
markers	5
	$405

Type B zone is to have three large metal corrugated buttons with reflectors on the traffic end and one plain one on each traffic side and lower end. The lines between buttons to be marked with metal markers. Where the existing street lighting system is inadequate to make this easily discerned under all conditions, this zone is to likewise have a flood-light. The estimated cost for type B zone is:

5 buttons installed	$55
markers	10
	$65

If type B is to be flood-lighted $175 should be added, making a total of $240.

Type C zone is to consist merely of a marked enclosure using therefor metal markers fastened into the pavement and is estimated to cost $10.

Our recommendations are that the following streets should have the number and type of zones following:

Geary, Presidio to Beach: 9 type A; 14 type B; 19 type B, unlighted.

Fulton, Masonic to Beach: 3 type A; 12 type B; 12 type B, unlighted; 22 type C.

Valencia, Market to Mission, 16 type B; 16 type B, unlighted.

Mission, Valencia to County line: 20 type B; 17 type B, unlighted.

A total of 12 type A; 62 type B; 64 type B, unlighted; 22 type C.

The total estimated cost for the installation of these zones is as follows:

12 type A zones at $4.05....	$ 4,860
62 type B zones, lighted, at $240	14,880
64 type B zones, unlighted, at $65	4,160
22 type C zones at $10.....	220
	$24,120

To this estimate should be added a lump sum of $750 to mark pedestrian lanes outside of the above zones.

Contingencies 10 per cent..	$ 2,487
Total for zones and pedestrian lanes	$27,357

As mentioned above, there are many safety appliances on the market today and more are con-

stantly being conceived. It is only through trial and experience that the best can be determined. The Police Department should have an experimental fund forthwith of not less than $2,000 with which to make these investigations and determinations. The money is not wasted in any degree, for aside from the knowledge gained, protection is being afforded the public in the very experimentation. This fund is not so much needed for the streets under consideration in this report as for others which will be considered in future by this committee.

Then there is the maintenance expense on the safety zones which can only be approached on a time basis. Our information in this respect is rather meager but we believe for the ensuing year the sum of $5,000 should be made available for the work herein contemplated.

In our investigation we find that the Police Department is poorly supplied with repair equipment for this work. A cart and old fire horse is not only obsolete but expensive. We, therefore, suggest an appropriation of $1,800 for a light truck. This, of course, would not only apply to the work under discussion but to the whole city as well.

To sum up, our estimate is:

Safety zones and pedestrian lanes	$27,357
Experimental work	2,000
One year maintenance.....	5,000
Small truck	1,800
	$36,157

In conclusion, we suggest to this committee that the Supervisors immediately make the above sum available for the purposes above outlined.

Respectfully,

C. C. Cottrell,
Consulting Engineer California State Automobile Association.

Captain Henry Gleeson,
Commanding San Francisco Traffic Bureau.

Dr. T. B. W. Leland,
Coroner.

Statement by Finance Committee.

The following statement was presented and read by Supervisor McSheehy, chairman of the Finance Committee:

Mr. Chairman, my Colleagues and Fellow-Citizens: The members of the Finance Committee wish to thank the Board of Supervisors for the confidence you have reposed in them by electing them as members of this important committee, and we will endeavor to express our appreciation by constant cooperation with the entire membership of the Board for the purpose of giving to San Francisco a constructive, progressive, economic administration.

Taxes.

A great many problems will confront us which will require the cooperation not alone of all of the members of this Board, but of all of the executive branches of the city government. Taxes must be reduced. The extent of the reduction will depend to a very considerable degree upon the spirit of cooperation displayed by the various city departments. The one-twelfth act should be rigidly enforced and no requests for appropriations should be granted except those provided for in the budget. Efficiency in all departments must be kept to a high standard and salaries which are adequate to insure efficiency should be paid. Surpluses and unbudgeted receipts should be placed in a fund to carry out the Charter provision for the payment of judgments. These judgments should be paid off as soon as possible, for they bear interest at 7 per cent, which results in a loss to the City of 2 per cent, amounting to thousands of dollars annually.

Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

San Francisco is one of the few cities in the United States that does not own its water and electric distribution plants. Fifty million dollars have been spent for the purpose of bringing water and power to San Francisco. Ways and means must be devised to enable the City of San Francisco to serve its citizens through its own lines with water and power from Hetch Hetchy. The Committee feels that the first step in carrying out this program should be the construction of a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco and of the necessary step-down stations which will make the power available for distribution in San Francisco.

Water.

We believe that a mistake was made in breaking off negotiations with our sister city, Oakland, when that municipality was considering the purchase of Hetch Hetchy water. There is sufficient water now in storage at Hetch Hetchy to supply the entire Bay region, and the burden of financial responsibility which San Francisco has assumed for the development of this great project could be reduced to a very important extent if the other Bay cities were permitted to utilize a portion of our surplus water. The Committee hopes that negotiations may be resumed with the cit-

ies of the east Bay district for the disposal of this surplus water.

Highways.

The Chinese wall surrounding San Francisco must be pierced. Practical plans to bridge the Bay, provide highway outlets, and improve transportation will have the vigorous support of this Committee. A new Committee, known as the Traffic Committee, has been formed by the Board, and we, the members of the Finance Committee, can assure this Committee that we will cooperate with them in every way.

Cooperation.

Cooperation and harmony are essential to the successful management of any business, and the management of this City is the most important business that any group of San Francisco citizens is engaged in. The Committee commends the new rule of the Board providing that each committee of this Board which deals with the affairs of any City department shall discuss with the offirials of that department at the annual budget making the requirements of the department and make recommendations concerning those requirements to the Finance Committee. This rule, we believe, will result in closer cooperation and better understanding between the committees of this Board and the various City departments.

Every member of this Board is a committee chairman and in addition holds membership in two committees on this Board, and the Finance Committee earnestly hopes that all the committees will cooperate for the upbuilding of this great City.

In closing, we pledge to you and to all the citizens of San Francisco that we will devote to the financial affairs of this City the same personal attention which we would give to our own private business.

MILO S. KENT,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
JAS. McSHEEHY,
Finance Committee.

Resolution of Policy.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25022 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, during the recent municipal campaign certain declarations of policy respecting the conduct of municipal affairs were made by those who were candidates for the office of Supervisors, and

Whereas, the result of the election was the acceptance of those policies so declared; therefore,

Resolved, That we hereby reaffirm it to be the purpose of the majority of this Board and we pledge our acts to conform to the following:

1. An investigation into the present financial condition of the City with a view of securing a surplus of revenue during the present fiscal year. An early consideration of the budget that mature consideration may be given to all demands for expenditures, and the restriction thereof to actual necessities and needed improvements that the burden of taxation may be lessened.

2. Plan for early use and distribution of the Hetch Hetchy power output by the City of San Francisco.

3. To study the transportation systems with a view of being prepared to handle the situation that will surely exist when a number of the present street railway franchises expire in 1929. Unless there is such a preparation the condition will be chaos and it will be left to the private company to establish order to their advantage and to the City's disadvantage.

4. Attention to the water supply with a view to the utilization of our Hetch Hetchy source at the earliest possible moment. Investigation into the existing relation with the Spring Valley Water Company.

5. The making of a regional plan for the development of the peninsula with a view of the upbuilding of an industrial and commercial city of the first magnitude, including a comprehensive system of highways and bay bridges connecting this city with the Sacramento, San Joaquin, Livermore, Sonoma, Napa and Santa Clara Valleys, and the interior of the State. Reclaim peninsula tide lands for commerce and industry and keep our shipping and payrolls in San Francisco. Removal of obstructions to shipping at the entrance to and within the Harbor of San Francisco by the Federal Government. Local ownership and control of our harbor, the City's greatest asset.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks—4.

MESSAGE OF HIS HONOR MAYOR ROLPH.

The following message from his Honor Mayor Rolph was read by him, to the Board and ordered spread in the Journal and Municipal Record:

San Francisco, Cal., January 4, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Today witnesses the assumption of office in our Municipal Government by those chosen by the people at the recent election to represent them in their public affairs.

It is customary in all legislative bodies for the Chief Executive to deliver what is termed a message to those with whom he expects to work in carrying out his administration's plans.

It is, therefore, my privilege and pleasure today, after fourteen years as Mayor of this wonderful City, to submit to you my biennial message, reviewing briefly the recent accomplishments of our municipality and outlining, in suggestions to you, what I hope may be accomplished in cooperation with your Honorable Board.

The events of the recent municipal campaign are still fresh in the minds of all of us. The voters, when they elected to office the incoming members of the Board, did so on pledges made by them that they would lower taxes, with economy and not parsimony, and that they would provide speedy distribution by the City of the power we have developed at Moccasin Creek and of which we are now disposing, on a day-to-day basis, under a temporary agreement with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

As Mayor I shall lend all possible assistance to the furtherance of any practical plans advanced to produce these results, as I have heretofore publicly stated. The business of the City and County of San Francisco is one of the biggest business enterprises in the West, and united effort is required to meet the great problems which constantly arise. The issues before us are too vital to permit of a divided government, and I sincerely hope that all distinction between "majority" and "minority" on the Board of Supervisors may be eliminated.

We cannot be parsimonious in the matter of public improvements. We cannot cut down expenses when that means, for instance, to deprive our children of proper schools and recreational facilities. San Francisco is a great and growing metropolis and our property values are steadily increasing year by year. To enjoy such a status as this some sacrifices must be made, and the people themselves must decide whether they want the City to advance or to lie dormant.

San Francisco must not and cannot lose its stride. To do so would be not only to halt its progress, but would be a movement backward. To advance San Francisco at all times, without personal ambition, I pledge myself to cooperate in every possible way with your Honorable Board.

Outgoing and Incoming Members:

In accepting public office of any kind one does so with the full realization that one's most zealous and unselfish efforts may be misunderstood, and that the time comes when all of us must retire to private life. The will of the people is the law, and it is the expression of this will that has, in most instances, made the changes today taking place.

To those members of the Board of Supervisors who are retiring from office I offer my heartfelt thanks for the years of conscientious endeavor they have put forth in the interest of the public good.

To the incoming Supervisors, who today assume office—Supervisors Andrew J. Gallagher, Frank R. Havenner, Milo F. Kent, Milton Marks, Charles J. Powers, Walter Schmidt, William Stanton and Charles F. Todd—I express the hope that their future public careers may be replete with worth-while accomplishments for the City which they have been chosen to serve.

Appointment of Commissioners:

Each year, at this time, vacancies occur in the several Commissions whose members are appointed by the Mayor, and it has been my custom to announce my choice of appointees at the reorganization meetings of your Board. I do so today in formally announcing the appointment of the following:

Term beginning December 23, 1925:
City Planning Commission—Hon. Matt I. Sullivan.
Term beginning December 28, 1925:
Board of Public Health—Arthur M. Sharp.
Term beginning January 4, 1926:
Board of Supervisors—Andrew J. Gallagher.
Civil Service Commission—Hugh K. McKevitt.
Fire Commission—P. W. Meherin.
Traffic Commission—John H. Dumbrell.
Election Commission—James K. Prior.
Police Commission—Dr. Thomas E. Shumate.
Park Commission—M. Earl Cummings.

Departmental Accomplishments and Future Plans:

In preparing this message to your Honorable Board I have made a very careful survey of the accomplishments of the various departments under my jurisdiction and of their plans for future developments.

I shall give to you the result of this survey, with my recommendations and the respectful request that you allocate the various ideas to the respective committees of your Board having to do with them, in order that full investigation may be made and that the entire Board may have the opportunity of passing upon them.

Hetch Hetchy:

In numerous messages addressed to your Honorable Board in the past I have mentioned the Hetch Hetchy project and have specifically called attention to the necessity of providing municipal distribution of our power. In my message of January 7, 1924, when I assumed office for the fourth consecutive term, I said:

"This power is of the utmost importance, for the income from its disposal, after the bonds have been retired, will be of great profit to the people.

"For this reason, as well as in accordance with the provisions of the Raker Act under which Hetch Hetchy development is proceeding, and in line with the policy of the Board of Supervisors, the power must be brought into San Francisco at the earliest possible moment and if necessary the Charter should be so amended as to provide for its distribution by the municipality."

During the past year, as you know, the power house at Moccasin Creek has begun to function. The people of San Francisco are receiving $6,000 daily from the temporary disposal of the power, and there has been deposited in the City Treasury some $800,000 from this source to help lighten the burden of the taxpayer.

The evaluation proceedings looking toward the acquisition of either or both of the existing local electrical distributing systems are going forward and should be completed shortly. Thereafter the people of San Francisco must determine whether or not they will issue bonds to acquire a distributing system by purchase or construction. Our utmost energies must be directed to this end, and if the evaluation proceedings are not speedily completed, independent action by your Board should be instituted to condemn these properties.

Most satisfactory progress has been made on the western 22 miles of the Hetch Hetchy project, including the Pulgas tunnel, the transbay pipe line and the Dumbarton bridge, which brings water from Niles to the Crystal Springs reservoir. The 21 miles of 5-foot pipe line has been performing a useful service by bringing

available Spring Valley water to us from the East Bay, pending its carrying our projected supply of Hetch Hetchy water. For the use of the line the Spring Valley Water Company is paying the City an annual rental.

Despite its being supplemented by the completion of the Calaveras dam, our present supply from Spring Valley is wholly inadequate. I am today informed that there is less than 100 days' water supply in storage in the water company's reservoirs!

A little over a year ago the people voted $10,000,000 in bonds for carrying on the construction of Hetch Hetchy tunnels through the foothills from Moccasin Creek to the San Joaquin Valley, and for other units of the project. The Board of Public Works and the City Engineer are bending every energy to the completion of this work, and it behooves the Board of Supervisors to cooperate in every way to provide the necessary legislation. Moreover, the City Engineer has asked for an adidtional bond issue of $24,000,000 so that Hetch Hetchy water may be brought to the very doors of our citizens.

The Spring Valley distributing system must be acquired or the City must construct a competitive system at a stupendous cost. There must be no delay. When Hetch Hetchy water arrives we must be ready to distribute it. The purchase of the Spring Valley properties will add no burden to the taxpayer; on the contrary, the revenue from this source not only should pay the interest on the purchase price, but should also contribute largely to paying the price of purchase itself.

At present the Spring Valley Water Company has storage reservoirs at high levels in the City with a combined capacity of 125,000,000 gallons. The City Engineer has prepared definite plans for the City's development of three large future reservoirs—located respectively at Amazon street, Glen Park and Ocean avenue —which, combined, will hold one billion gallons.

Our lesson of 1906 and the Santa Barbara disaster of 1925 have shown us the necessity of providing these storage reservoirs so as to have a substantial supply of water always on hand in the City in case of calamity and the injuring of the transit pipe lines in San Mateo County.

Your Board has shown commendable interest in helping to secure most of the land for these reservoirs, and I trust that you will cooperate further by securing the remaining lands and in undertaking at an early date the actual construction of at least one of the reservoirs.

Municipal Railways:

At the recent election a proposal for the purchase by the City of the Market Street Railway Company's properties was overwhelmingly defeated. Unless meanwhile the people, voting again, accept the purchase plan, the City will not come into possession of any of the company's tracks until the expiration of the first 150 miles of franchise in 1929. Thereafter the people must pay for the rails, rolling stock and equipment if they desire to use them.

Meanwhile several growing sections of the City are suffering from lack of adequate street railway transportation.

Among them is the Sunset District. Your Honorable Board has appropriated $500,000 toward the $567,000 estimated cost of running rails through Duboce tunnel. To operate this line will require the purchase of a block of land and the erection thereupon of a fireproof carbarn, which, with necessary new equipment, will cost an additional $689,000.

The Richmond District has made persistent and well-founded demands for a Municipal Railway line from Masonic avenue along Turk and Balboa streets to Thirty-third avenue. The City Engineer

estimates the cost of this line at $540,000, and this money should be provided, or the Richmond District be neglected.

Another populous section desiring transportation service is the Excelsior District, which can be reached by the Municipal Railways via Bernal cut and an extension of the Church street line. During the past year your Board has provided $100,000 for the acquisition of a right of way through Bernal cut. Twelve years ago my administration had the foresight, in awarding a franchise to the Southern Pacific Company, to reserve the use of a portion of the railroad's Bernal cut right of way. This street should be widened to 117 feet, sufficient for two Southern Pacific tracks, two Municipal Railway tracks, roadway and sidewalks. The estimated cost is $1,400,000 for excavation and bridging. The cost of track and equipment will be an additional $500,000. Until these improvements are made this district will not be properly served.

Various other districts, such as the Marina, down town and Eureka Valley have equally pressing transportation needs and are entitled to service, so that the construction of some 25 miles of new single track should be undertaken and completed during the present year. This will be supplemented by about eight miles of Municipal bus lines along Monterey boulevard, Potrero Hill, The Embarcadero and Silver avenue.

The Municipal Railway shops, designed originally to accommodate the Geary street lines, are wholly inadequate for the greatly expanded system. It is suggested that the shops should be transferred to a block on the north side of Mariposa street between Bryant and York streets. One-half of this building site was purchased last year, and the remainder should at once be acquired.

To carry out this greatly needed transportation development, which will permit the City to expand and build up as it should, I suggest that a proposed bond issue of $6,000,000 or thereabouts be submitted by your Honorable Board to the people at the first opportunity. The Municipal Railways have to date cost the taxpayers only $306,552.47 for interest, all other expenses having been paid out of the earnings of the system. Bonds amounting to $1,500,000 have been retired and annual interest has been met from the earnings. The City has developed a transportation system commercially worth at least $10,000,000, with only $4,000,000 in bonds outstanding. The system may, therefore, be said to have made $6,000,000 for the people. The extensions which I have recommended should be no burden on the Municipal Railways as the lines pass through populous districts and will all pay as they go.

During December, 1925, the Municipal Railway receipts were a daily average of $9,246.31, which is greater by $397.44 than the daily average in December of the preceding year. The income for 1924 was $3,238,686, and that for 1925 was $3,374,904, an increase of $136,217, or 4 2/10 per cent.

Civic Center:

San Francisco's pride, noted the world over, is the beautiful Civic Center. The development of the Civic Center is by no means complete. Much remains to be done, and with the future needs in mind the City's Board of Consulting Architects has, in communications addressed to the Board of Supervisors, made definite recommendations for your Board's consideration.

These recommendations should receive your immediate attention. It is imperative that without delay a definite policy of Civic Center completion be adopted for the protection of both the City and of the owners of property which must be acquired at the Fulton and Market streets gore. Under a definite program the development may be carried out as funds therefor become available.

The paving of the central portion of the Civic Center plaza has been completed, and the paving of the remainder has been provided

for by your Board. This pavement should be laid without delay, because it adds not only to the beauty but to the utility of the plaza.

The remaining land necessary to open Fulton street through to Market street should be at once acquired and funds provided for the necessary removal of buildings. Delay in this would result in the enhancement of the cost, and probably in prolonged litigation.

The lighting of the dome of the City Hall, and of the colonnades on the eastern facade of our magnificent City home, was accomplished during the year just closed at a cost of $17,000, and the structure has become more than ever the object of pride and admiration. The colonnades on the other three sides of the building should now be lighted, which, together with certain improvements to the present lighting system on the east side, will cost in the neighborhood of $16,000.

War Memorial:

Plans for the erection of a suitable War Memorial group on Van Ness avenue, west of the City Hall, have been progressing steadily. This project should continue to have the earnest cooperation of your Honorable Board, in order that the aspirations of those public-spirited citizens who contributed to it may be realized without unnecessary delay. I join with the recommendation of the Board of Consulting Architects that the architectural character of the Memorial, in view of its relation to the Civic Center, be subject to the approval of the City through its authorized advisors.

Public Schools:

During the past year important events have taken place in the Department of Education, among them the voting by your Board of a generous increase in salary to teachers and other employees; the inclusion of teachers and others in the City's Pension System, and the definite allocation of the $12,000,000 bond issue proceeds and tax moneys, providing about thirty new schools and additions. During the past eighteen months original construction and alterations of nine school buildings have been completed at a cost of $620,706. Now under construction, or alteration, are eleven schools, totaling $5,462,260. Work on seventeen additional schools is being planned for the year just beginning.

Urgent request has been made by the Board of Education to provide adequate housing, within the next few years, for approximately 12,000 junior high school pupils, who are now scattered through the grammar schools and senior high schools or are in unsatisfactory junior high schools. Recommendation is also made that money be provided to construct a high school in the southern section of the City, and one to replace the present Lowell High School, besides three junior high schools—in the west Richmond, the east Richmond and the Fairmount-Holly Park District.

It is estimated by the Department of Education that $1,500,000 a year is necessary to have its building activities meet the necessities of the situation.

Public Health Department:

One of the most important of the City's activities is the conservation and safeguarding of the public health, and this has been done most efficiently by the San Francisco Health Department, through its hospitals and free clinics. It is my pleasure to report that the rate of infant mortality has been materially decreased during the past year through the eleven neighborhood health centers that the Department is maintaining, and it is hoped that provision for more of these clinics may be made in the next budget.

The Board of Health maintains five chest clinics, besides two open-air schools, for tuberculosis sufferers. Plans for the City's

Tuberculosis Preventorium, which is to be located at the Pulgas tunnel site in San Mateo County, have progressed satisfactorily. Some 308 acres of land have been acquired and roads and approaches to the site have been completed. Your Board will shortly receive plans for the first unit of a group of structures and it is estimated that $400,000 should be provided for this purpose, one-half of which was allowed in the current budget. This institution, which will cost $750,000 when completed, will render a service of incalculable value to those of our citizens so unfortunate as to contract tuberculosis.

While the San Francisco Hospital is one of the most efficient institutions of its kind in the United States, its facilities should further be increased. I join with the Board of Public Health in recommending that funds be provided, approximating $400,000, for a new ward with a capacity of 150 beds for the treatment of cancer cases, facilities for the care of which are now inadequate. The Department also seeks additional radium and X-Ray equipment which will cost $100,000. The work of the new psychopathic ward has been most successful, and it is highly desirable that this activity be increased.

Work is proceeding steadily on the new Relief Home Building, costing $1,911,000 and providing six dormitory buildings, each housing 200 persons, besides service buildings. The population of the Relief Home has increased to some 1500 men and women, and the new institution, when completed, will be one of the finest in the land. I recommend to your Honorable Board continued interest in the care of those unfortunates whom this institution serves.

I feel that the time has come when the City, to continue its work of conserving the public health, must provide, possibly by bond issue, for the construction of a Health Department Building in the Civic Center, adjoining the present Central Emergency Hospital, to replace the present overcrowded and unhealthy rented quarters. The estimated cost of such a building, with land and equipment, is $2,000,000.

Parks:

There has been marked activity in the Park Department during the past year, with the cooperation of the Board of Supervisors. New construction has included the Herbert Fleishhacker Pool and Playfield, the Harding Park Golf Course, the new Beach Chalet and the Kezar Memorial Stadium.

Likewise, during the past year, the City acquired, without the expenditure of a dollar, twelve blocks of land at the Marina, through the efforts of the City Planning Commission. This, with four blocks previously donated to the City by stockholders of the Panama-Pacific International Exposition Company, constitutes one of the most picturesque parks in the world.

The City also acquired from the United States Government the Palace of Fine Arts site, approximately ten acres of land in the Presidio Reservation. The only condition of the grant is that the City give the Government a spur-track privilege for the transportation of commodities to and from the Presidio. I urgently request your Board to take all necessary steps without delay to comply with the conditions of the grant, and to restore the Palace of Fine Arts to its original splendor.

Through purchase or condemnation the City should secure the summit of Bernal Heights, lest this famous landmark become disfigured by advertising signs or otherwise. The summit should then be parked and connected with our world-famous boulevard system. During my tenure of office the City has acquired Sutro Heights and the land around the Cliff House and Land's End, the summits of Twin Peaks, Telegraph Hill, Bay View Heights and

the Marina, and has constructed the road to Hunters Point, which should be extended around the Point to Bay View and Railroad avenue. Bay View Park and the summit of Bay View Heights are, like these others I have mentioned, connected with the boulevard system, and beautiful Bernal Heights should likewise be incorporated in this scenic chain.

The Park Commission aspires to the carrying out of a development program in the next ten years which comprises a new 500-acre park in the University Mound section of the Mission, as suggested by the City Planning Commission; the completion of the Aquatic Park at a cost of $1,500,000; purchase of land in the Sunset for a boulevard between Golden Gate and Harding Parks; purchase of land in the Richmond for a boulevard between Golden Gate and Lincoln Parks; the purchase of the Halliday tract in Lafayette Square, and the completion of development of the Yacht Harbor.

The City's program should also include the gradual extension of the Ocean Beach Esplanade and the creation of additional public comfort stations in the various sections of the City.

Playgrounds:

During the first six months of the present fiscal year the Playground Commission has improved and added to the space or equipment of a number of playgrounds, including the Southside, Presidio Heights, Spring Valley, Richmond, Mission, North Beach, James Rolph, Jr., Jackson and Glen Park. Development has included important work on the following new playgrounds: The James Lick, at Twenty-fifth and Noe streets; the Folsom, at Twenty-first and Folsom streets, and the University Mound. Immediate development is also to be carried on at Eighteenth avenue, between Geary and Anza streets, and at property within the Presidio Reservation, not included in the Palace of Fine Arts site, use of which has been given to the City by the United States Government.

One of the most important developments is the purchase of land in Chinatown for use as a playground, thus giving a long-needed recreation center to the children of the Oriental quarter.

From every section of San Francisco requests continue to pour in, backed by long and earnest petitions, for more playgrounds. In order to meet these reasonable requests the Playground Commission has recommended that its income be increased from five cents to seven cents on the $100 tax valuation, and I feel that your Honorable Board should give this request your most earnest consideration. Playgrounds add very definitely to the physical, moral and mental status of the rising generation, and money expended in this way is one of the finest investments the City can make.

Police and Traffic:

Like every other growing city, San Francisco has a traffic problem which constantly becomes more serious, requiring the cooperation of your Board and the various administrative departments of our government for its solution. The establishment of one-way streets has been a help, but it is noted by the Police Commission and Traffic Commission that there is still serious congestion in certain streets, particularly in those where adjacent streets are paved with basalt blocks or cobblestones. Recommendation is earnestly made that these cobbled streets be repaved as soon as possible, in order that they may carry their share of the traffic.

The fact that Market street is our one main artery from Twin Peaks to the Ferry adds to the difficulty of keeping the traffic moving, and this inspires me to recommend again to your Honorable Board, as I have done several times in the past, the early construction of a Market street subway, which would put the street cars underground and leave the entire width on the surface for

the lighter and swifter vehicular traffic. Eventually this subway must be built, and I trust that your Board will keep the subject constantly in mind, in order that preliminary plans may be perfected.

As at present constituted, with both appointive and ex officio members, the Traffic Commission, in the opinion of the Commission itself, is too large and unwieldy, and the fact that it has no such authority as that possessed by other Commissions under our City government has been a positive deterrent in the matter of curing our traffic ills.

I strongly recommend to your Honorable Board that, at the next election, you present to the people a Charter Amendment definitely creating a Traffic Commission, for appointment by the Mayor, with a smaller membership, and that this amendment give to the Commission power and authority similar to that possessed by our other major commissions. This, I feel, will do much toward placing our traffic regulations on the highest scientific basis.

In this connection I also recommend to your serious consideration the installation at any early date, if considered feasible, of synchronized traffic control on Market street and other main thoroughfares similar to that in use in New York, Chicago and other cities.

New traffic signs for our street intersections, costing $16,000, have been asked for by the Police Department, which also requests: The subdivision of the present over-large Ingleside Police District and the building of a new station; the erection of a new Bush Street Police Station to replace the present antiquated offices; the turning over of the entire Hall of Justice to Police Department uses, a matter which now is before one of your committees, and the furnishing of additional motorcycle, automobile and motorboat equipment to handle the Department's growing duties.

Streets and Boulevards:

Directly akin to the subject of traffic regulation is that of the repaving of present streets and the construction of new streets and boulevards.

Years ago, when San Francisco's principal streets were constructed, a load of from five to six tons was considered heavy. Today trucks weighing in excess of twenty tons pass over our streets, and the cost of upkeep and repair is consequently much greater. San Francisco has 534 miles of accepted streets and if these are to be maintained at the proper standard sufficient appropriation must be made in each year's budget.

In my message to the Board of Supervisors two years ago I recommended the extension of the Golden Gate Park Panhandle easterly 4600 feet from its present terminus at Baker street to a junction with Market street, near Duboce avenue. The City Engineer has carefully studied this route, made a survey on easy gradients, and the necessary land can be acquired for about $3,000,000. If this property is secured by the City before expensive buildings are erected in this zone a boulevard 200 feet wide can be constructed as a main traffic artery serving both Sunset and Richmond and also as a fire guard to buildings from Market street to the Park.

It is my pleasure to report that more than 90 per cent of the right of way between Ord street and the switchback at Mono street has been acquired for the Market street extension, and that probably within six months this valuable traffic adjunct will have been completed. It will serve Parkside, St. Francis Wood, Ingleside and Monterey boulevard for motor traffic. I respectfully recommend that Corbett road be widened and straightened from the top of the ridge westerly to meet the growing demands made on this thoroughfare.

One of our most important road projects is the Bay Shore boulevard, extending three miles from Army street to the County line, and 125 feet in width. It will serve to "break the bottle neck," providing a great traffic route to meet the highway, now being widened to a similar size, from the County line to San Jose. During the past two years your Board has shown a splendid conception of the importance of this boulevard by devoting $500,000 in Good Roads Funds to the State Highway Commission to initiate and prosecute work on the first five miles south of South San Francisco. This portion is completed, but the rest of the project should be prosecuted with all possible dispatch. Only $100,000 is in the current budget for purchase of rights of way within the City limits, and the necessary amount should be placed in the budget of the coming year to complete property purchases and to make a substantial start on actual construction. Automobile traffic has increased twelve times over in the past ten years, and another outlet down the Peninsula is imperative.

I offer my congratulations to your Honorable Board for carrying through during the past year the first unit of the Van Ness avenue extension across Market to Mission street. Two years ago I recommended that you carry this thoroughfare as far as Howard street as the first construction unit, and I hope you will provide sufficient money to do this.

Two years ago I suggested the building of a Sunset boulevard, 100 feet wide, running diagonally across the Sunset District from the portal of the Duboce tunnel to the Skyline boulevard, and this suggestion I respectfully repeat. Both easy access to the district it crosses and a protection against fire will be provided by such a thoroughfare. The proposed length is 13,350 feet and the estimated cost $1,500,000.

I have heretofore joined with the City Engineer in recommending to your Honorable Board the acquisition of the tier of lots on each side of Capp street, from the Van Ness avenue extension to Bernal Heights, a distance of 8600 feet, as a Mission boulevard. This will provide a highway of a suggested width of 305 feet as another great traffic artery, and, like the proposed Panhandle extension and the Sunset diagonal, will act as a valuable fire guard. I earnestly recommend that no permanent building permits be granted along the route affected.

During the past two years your Board has wisely provided $90,000 to purchase the old Ocean Shore right of way from San Bruno road, past the St. Mary's College tract, beneath the Mission viaduct and down to the County line. In many places it is only 60 feet wide, and by reason of the future importance of this thoroughfare the width should be increased to 100 feet as far south as Onondaga avenue, thence to 80 feet as far as the County line. Purchases of land must be made in the near future, for building in this district is noticeably active.

Our wonderful scenic drives have been augmented by the building of the Telegraph Hill boulevard, 1500 feet long and reaching an elevation of 287 feet. The total cost when completed will be $115,000 and only the pavement remains to finish the project.

A matter for private cooperation is the removal of Rincon Hill, which would provide 73 acres of much-needed industrial sites from Second to Spear streets and from Folsom to Brannan streets. In spite of the efforts of the Chamber of Commerce and the Municipal authorities during the past five years, little real progress has been made. I trust your Board will use due diligence in helping work out a practical solution of this important matter.

I wish also to make note of the fine progress made in the Islais Creek industrial development project, to reclaim 291 acres north of Islais Creek and west of Third street and elsewhere in that vicinity. By act of the State Legislature a Reclamation

Commission for this project has been appointed and the land will be filled in with three million cubic yards of mud pumped from beyond the harbor bulkhead line. I am sure your Board will assist this project in every way.

The work of properly signing our streets, which has a fine start, should be continued to provide proper guides for residents and visitors alike. The present program calls for the expenditure of $10,000 a year, which will completely cover the City in six years.

Bridging the Bay:

The projected bridging of the Golden Gate has been actively discussed during the past year, as has the proposed bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County. The Golden Gate bridge has already received the sanction of the United States Government; the necessary construction district has been formed and soon will be taken the next legal step, the appointment of delegates from each county involved, toward the fruition of the plan. Hearings have been held on the East Bay bridge project and the matter is now in the hands of the Government, which will choose from among the various plans the one considered most feasible.

I am sure your Board will continue your active interest in both these enterprises in recognition of our vital need for better communication, north and east.

Duboce Tunnel:

The Duboce tunnel, which was definitely decided upon last year, is going ahead rapidly in its preliminary stages. Assessments are being paid and the City Engineer promises us a contract by May 1st, next. The tunnel will require some fifteen months to build. It will run from Duboce avenue and Scott street to Carl and Cole streets, and will provide rapid transit between the Sunset District and down town.

Municipal Air Port:

Much further activity has taken place lately regarding the proposed establishment of a Municipal air port. Under the direction of a special committee consisting of members of your Honorable Board and private citizens, in consultation with the City Engineer and the Chamber of Commerce, numerous sites were inspected and recommendation was made of a site in the South Basin District. The estimated cost is in the neighborhood of $1,000,000.

This City is behind several other of the larger cities in the matter of possessing a suitable air port. We must keep abreast of the times, and for this reason there should be continued vigorous action looking to the creation of a landing field which will foster the development of aerial transportation.

Public Buildings:

During the first six months of the present fiscal year building permits in the City and County of San Francisco amounted to approximately $26,000,000, as compared with $25,000,000 for the entire fiscal year 1920-1921, showing an increase of 100 per cent in building operations during the past five years. The City itself has recently built or is now building a number of structures, including new fire stations and the new police station for the Southern District.

Fire Department:

In addition to new engine houses, completed or planned, the Fire Department has in the year just closed installed some 200 new hydrants for the protection of outlying districts and, through the Department of Electricity, has installed fifty additional fire alarm boxes. Fresh water has also replaced the salt water used during the drought of last year in the high-pressure mains. A seven-story building has been erected at Eleventh and Division streets as a drill school for officers and members of the Department.

I respectfully repeat the recommendation I have made to your Board on several other occasions, that steps be taken for the erection of a new building on the site already owned by the City at McAllister and Polk streets, for the combined use of the Fire and Police Departments, since both departments are at present housed in cramped quarters, the Fire Department especially being inadequately cared for in the basement of the City Hall.

City Planning:

The growth of a city is enhanced in every way by the intelligent activities of a City Planning Commission such as San Francisco has had for the past few years.

It was with the principles of city planning in mind that I recently disapproved a number of oil station and public garage permits granted by your Honorable Board, because I felt that these permits were being issued without the future growth of the City being at all times borne in mind. Many garages and oil stations are at present on such main thoroughfares as Market street, Van Ness avenue and Valencia street, and I feel that these should be relegated to side streets, thus improving appearances and at the same time lessening traffic congestion.

I suggest that the new Fire Committee of your Board consult with your City Planning Committee and the City Planning Commission, thereafter consulting with the Mayor, regarding a definite future policy for the issuance of such permits.

It occurs to me, in connection with the subject of garages, that possibly an underground garage, beneath the Civic Center, may some day be built to care for the parking of cars in this neighborhood.

The various improvements I have suggested or recommended to you in this message involve a great deal of money, as I am well aware. It is not my thought that these betterments should be accomplished in a day or a year, but that they be realized as speedily as possible in the years to come. We are looking forward many years, visualizing the San Francisco of the future, and I am outlining what must of necessity be done in the conception of some of this City's greatest minds.

San Francisco's Prosperity:

San Francisco is a City of prosperity, as is evidenced on every hand. Per capita it is the wealthiest city in the United States, and, therefore, in the world. We are constantly building new public works for the benefit of our citizens. Our bank clearings for 1925 totaled nearly nine and one-half billion dollars, an increase over 1924 of $1,113,000. Our automobile registration within the City is 120,000, as compared with 103,471 in 1924, an increase of 16,500. Our population is estimated today at more than 700,000.

It is such things as these which reflect more clearly than anything else a city's progress, and we should be proud that we live in a community which is steadily going ahead.

This growth and this progress must not be checked. We have always borne a reputation for constructive achievement, and this reputation must be maintained.

I shall welcome the opportunity of conferring with you or any of your committees at any time, day or night, for the advancement of San Francisco, and to keep it in the forefront of progressive American cities.

Let us work together, in peace and harmony, for, after all, the welfare of San Francisco lies closest to our hearts, and it is of this City's best interests that we must ever be mindful.

Sincerely,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Remarks of New Members.

Supervisor Schmidt thanked those who had elected him a member of the Board of Supervisors and said that the same spirit that animated him as a legislator at Sacramento would dominate and control his work as a Supervisor. At Sacramento he fought to bring the Bay Shore highway into the State system, giving to San Francisco, in his estimation, one of the finest highways to be found in the entire world. He supported the movement for the construction of the Skyline boulevard, and will favor every possible step to bring about the breaking of the bottleneck at Colma. In reference to Islais Creek he said: "It is a movement that will bring beneficial results to the people of this City, in that it will furnish cheap industrial sites to manufacturers." It is also his ambition, he said, to establish a municipal distributing plan for Hetch Hetchy power, and, if possible, to bring about lower taxes.

Supervisor Powers thanked the citizens of San Francisco for electing him a member of the Board of Supervisors and expressed his gratification for the hospitality extended by his many friends, on this the occasion of his induction into the office of Supervisor. He stated that during his coming term he will vote to the best of his ability and with the interest of San Francisco in mind.

Supervisor Havénner expressed to the Board and the citizens of San Francsico his thanks for electing him a member of the Board. To the members of the Board of Supervisors who have just been elected he extended his congratulations and to those who were leaving he offered his best wishes for their success in future activities. He declared that the newly elected members of the Board of Supervisors accepted the mandate of the people to practice economy and he felt that the Board would see that this mandate was fulfilled. At the same time, he said, the Board would hold the people responsible for the moral support and intelligent cooperation which are essential in carrying out this mandate. He said further: "And I charge you now to analyze with the utmost care every alluring proposal that may be made to you during the coming biennium for expensive programs of pubic improvement. It is quite probable that before we have been in office many weeks various attractive projects, involving the issuance of large amounts of bonds or the inclusion of heavy appropriations in the next municipal budget, will be presented to you and to us from sources both official and unofficial. All of these projects will have undeniable merit. But you must remember that it will be obviously impossible for you to give such projects your support at this time, or for us to put them into effect, without abandoning all hope of a reduction of taxation in the immediate future. And I ask you to remember further that the paramount issue in the recent campaign was the municipal distribution of power. You voted overwhelmingly in favor of it, and by your vote commanded us to give the completion of the power project precedence over all other new municipal undertakings which would involve major expenditures or assumptions of indebtedness.

"I prophesy now, if you will inquire into the origin of these alluring proposals which I have predicted, that you will find that most of them emanate from agencies or interests, whether official or unofficial, which are not in sympathy with your program of economy or your mandate for municipal distribution of power, but which are primarily inspired by a political desire to embarrass those who are representing you and attempting to carry out your recently expressed wishes."

Supervisor Kent said in part: Having been appointed on one of the most important committees, that of finance, I want to assure you that at all times we will weigh most carefully all matters submitted to that committee. I stand unequivocally upon the platform just announced by Supervisor Havenner. He thanked the people and the Board for their confidence in him and assured them all that at no time would they regret it.

Supervisor Stanton thanked the people of San Francisco for electing him as a member of the Board, and stated that he would carry out to the best of his ability the promises he made to them before election. He pointed out the necessity of bringing Hetch Hetchy power to San Francisco at the earliest possible date and said that at all times he would vote to give an economical and efficient administration of civic affairs.

Supervisor Todd thanked the people of San Francisco for the confidence placed in him by electing him a member of the Board of Supervisors and stated that he would do all in his power to make a success of the committee given him, viz.: Traffic Committee, together with

other committees he is serving on.

Supervisor Gallagher expressed to the Mayor his thanks that he was selected as the one who might take Supervisor Katz's place upon the Board. There is no question, he said, about the tremendous problems San Francisco faces, big problems, involving tremendous expense. He declared that Supervisor Havenner's program was constructive in its nature but somewhat retrieving. He believed it is possible to keep the tax rate down to a reasonable and respectable minimum, but not to the point where it would stop the progress of San Francisco. He thanked the old Board for the courteous way in which they always received him in the past, and expressed his gratitude for the way all matters he brought before the members were looked into. He also expressed his appreciation for the work and untiring efforts of the outgoing members of the Board.

Supervisor McSheehy thanked his fellow citizens for the confidence and trust they placed in him in reelecting him to the office of Supervisor, a confidence which he deeply appreciated, and stated that he will, to the best of his ability, fulfill the hope of the people in giving to them the same service that he has given during the last four years. We are living, he said, in the greatest city in the world. There is no city in the world today that has the prosperity of this great City of St. Francis. We want that prosperity to continue. We want to say a year from now that the bank clearances are 50 per cent or 100 per cent higher than they are today, and that the building permits are 50 per cent or 100 per cent higher than they are today. Your prosperity means our prosperity and our prosperity means your prosperity. Therefore, he said, be tolerant with us. You have, by your mandate, laid certain obligations upon us, and we will endeavor to fulfill those obligations to the best of our ability.

Supervisor Roncovieri extended a heartfelt greeting to the incoming members of the Board of Supervisors and bid Godspeed to those who retired. He also, as a member of the Board, rededicated himself to work constructively, conscientiously and wholeheartedly to the solution of every problem that is and will be theirs to solve, to the end that San Francisco may grow and prosper as the "city loved around the world."

Supervisor Shannon: To the outgoing members he wished Godspeed and a prosperous new year. "They have but traveled the road of politics—defeat in the end." He gave the incoming members a hearty greeting and said that he realized with Mr. Gallagher that there would be many differences on various matters, but their differences would be honest differences, all of them taking the stand that they believed would be for the betterment of San Francisco, the Queen City of the Pacific, which is bound to be a world metropolis. He believed that their every action would be for the upbuilding and the progress and betterment and beautification of San Francisco.

McAllister Street Car Stop.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be directed to stop its westbound McAllister street cars on the west side of Polk street instead of the east side, as at present.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 3:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, January 25, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 2

Monday, January 11, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 11, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 11, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

The Clerk announced that Supervisor Deasy was ill at the St. Francis Hospital and would be unable to attend.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Opinion of City Attorney on Mayor's Veto of Garage Permits.

The following was read by the Clerk:

January 11, 1926.

Dear Sirs:

I am in receipt of your letter of January 8 requesting as to whether or not the Mayor's veto on several permits granted by the Board of Supervisors may be carried along by the Board until such time as Supervisor Deasy, who is at present ill in the hospital, can attend the meeting of the Board and present his arguments regarding automobile and supply station and garage permits vetoed by the Mayor.

I direct your attention to Section 16, Article II, Chapter I of the Charter, in which section it is provided:

"The objections of the Mayor shall be entered at large in the Journal of the Board, and the Board shall, after five and within thirty days after such bill or resolution shall have been so returned, reconsider and *vote* upon the same."

I am of the opinion that this provision of the Charter is mandatory, and that it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors to vote upon the veto of the Mayor within the thirty days prescribed.

In view of this fact, I am further of the opinion that notwithstanding the fact that the Mayor is willing to permit the vetoes to ride until Supervisor Deasy may return, that neither the Mayor nor the Board has the power to consent to the continuance.

Respectfully yours,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Leave of Absence, Dr. Hassler.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
January 12, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Dr. William C. Hassler, of the Department of Public Health, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing February 1, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

January 9th, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3 of Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I herewith respectfully request permission to absent myself from the City and County of San Francisco and the United States for a period of four months from February 1, 1926, for the purpose of attending as the representative of

the United States of America and the City and County of San Francisco to the Conference on Municipal Sanitation and Public Health as interchange delegate of the Health Organization of the League of Nations, to be held in Great Britain commencing February 22, 1926.

In conformity with the Charter provisions I have this day forwarded a similar communication to his Honor James Rolph, Jr., Mayor City and County of San Francisco.

Very truly yours,
WM. C. HASSLER,
Health Officer.

Privilege of the Foor.

Geo. Gerhardt, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, urging that the proposed leave of absence be granted. He presented the following resolution, which was read to the Board:

Whereas, Dr. William C. Hassler, Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco, has been selected by Dr. Hugh S. Cummings, Surgeon-General of the United States Public Health Service, at Washington, D. C., as a delegate to the International Health Conference to be held in London from February 22nd to April 3rd, 1926, which conference will be attended by apointees from fourteen nations, and to which Dr. Hassler will be the only delegate from the United States of America; and

Whereas, one of the main purposes of this notable gathering is to discuss and consider sanitation and public health in connection with the administration of cities, and to make recommendations regarding the proper care of children as part of the social service in which modern cities should engage for the protection of their people; and

Whereas, the designation of the Health Officer of San Francisco as the only delegate to this historical conference is not only a wonderful tribute to him personally by the recognition of his work as a public officer of this city for the past twenty years, but is also national recognition of the fact that San Francisco stands foremost not only among the great cities of the United States but also among the great cities of the world as a place of residence where the health of the people, and especially of the children, is protected and maintained by highly intelligent administration of the laws guided by the application of all the knowledge and experience known to medicine and social service development; and

Whereas, this notable recognition of the work of our Department of Public Health by the Surgeon-General of the United States Public Health Service in thus conferring such a high honor and distinction upon our Health Officer calls for universal commendation from the public-spirited citizens of San Francisco, which feels deeply greatful for his selection; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Executive Board of the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations of San Francisco, that his Honor the Mayor and the Honorable Board of Supervisors recognize the great value of this distinction to our city by granting to Dr. William C. Hassler an appropriate leave of absence, permitting him to leave the State of California for the period necessary to enable him to attend the aforesaid International Health Conference in London, as the sole representative of the United States of America, carrying with him the best wishes of the State of California and especially the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25050 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. William C. Hassler, member of the Department of Public Health, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of four months, commencing February 1, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

John H. Dumbrell Appointed to Traffic Commission.

The following was presented, read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

San Francisco, Cal.,
January 4, 1926.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan:

The enclosed copy of letter, regarding the appointment of Mr. John H. Dumbrell on the San Francisco Traffic Commission, as of January 4th, 1926, is self-explanatory and is forwarded for the information and record of the Board of Supervisors.

Very sincerely yours,
W. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

January 4, 1926.

Mr. John H. Dumbrell, care The Bank of Italy, Market and Powell streets, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Dumbrell:

I have the honor to advise you of your appointment, as of January 4, 1926, to membership on the San Francisco Traffic Commission, to succeed Mr. F. W. Relyea, resigned.

The president of the Commission is the Honorable Theodore J. Roche, also president of the Board of Police Commissioners, who will advise you of the next meeting of the Traffic Commission.

With my warmest compliments and best wishes, I am

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Mayor's Veto—Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over until Thursday, January* 14, 1926:

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Marshall-McSherry's garage permit (Resolution No. 24791, New Series).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Standard Oil Company's supply station permit at southeast corner Claremont boulevard and Ulloa street (Resolution No. 24868).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of permit to O. Kleinclaus for supply station at southeast corner Sixteenth avenue and Irving street (Resolution No. 24871).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of L Silverstein's supply station permit at southwest corner San Bruno and Silver avenues.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Perdue & Hoffman's supply station at west side Mission street, 839.69 feet south of Onondaga avenue (Resolution No. 24873).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of J. F. Duffin's automobile supply station permit at northeast corner Nineteenth avenue and Quintara street (Resolution No. 24859).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Axel R. Larsen's garage permit on east side Mission street, 50 feet west of France avenue (Resolution No. 24860).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Jos. Novello's garage permit on east side Mission street, 335 feet 3 inches north of Mohawk avenue (Resolution No. 24861).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Cal. Petroleum Corporation's garage permit on north side Geary street, 257 feet 9 inches west of Van Ness avenue (Resolution No. 24862).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Thos. E. Brown's supply station permit on northeast corner Nineteenth avenue and Ortega street (Resolution No. 24864).

Mayor's Veto Overruled.

When his attention was called to the fact that the following were transfers of existing permits, his Honor the Mayor requested that he be permitted to withdraw his objections and that the members vote to sustain the resolution.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the veto *overruled* by the following vote:

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Frank Zechosh's garage permit, transfer from Alice E. Duncan, 1600 Buchanan street (Resolution No. 24869).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of transfer of garage permit to Fred Moon, No. 1755 O'Farrell street (Resolution No. 24870).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Mayor's Veto Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over until January* 18, 1926:

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Liberty Dyeing and Cleaning Works permit, North Point street, west of Larkin street (Resolution No. 24959).

Consideration of Mayor's veto of G. B. Mon permit for French laundry, California street between Divisadero and Broderick streets (Resolution No. 24923).

(*Supervisor Shannon moved that the members visit the locations of the foregoing applications in order to familiarize themselves with the conditions.*)

Special Highway Committee Report.

Supervisor Harrelson, chairman of the Special Highway Committee, made a report on the highway condition southerly from Colma. In April, 1925, a committee was appointed to study the situation, consisting of Supervisor Harrelson chairman; Mr. E. B. Leavitt, representing the Southern Pacific Company; Mr. A. L. Black, representing the Market Street Railway Company; Mr. John Skaggs and City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy. Numerous meetings have been held and estimates made of the cost of the work to be done.

The tracks of the Market Street Railway are to be moved and the roadway is to be widened to 80 feet It is estimated that $250,000 worth of the work shall be paid for by the State Highway Commission. The Market Street Railway will contribute $110,000 as its portion of the cost and will perform all the construction work at cost, thus making a saving of twenty-five to thirty thousand dollars.

The Supervisors of San Mateo County will contribute $50,000 and make any changes in the franchises of the Market Street Railway necessary for the change in the location of the tracks of the Market Street Railway Company and to widen the highway to 80 feet. This leaves $150,000 to be raised.

Supervisor Harrelson moved that the Board appropriate this amount out of the Good Roads Fund as San Francisco's portion of the cost of this much needed improvement.

Supervisor Welch moved to amend and refer the recommendation to a joint committee of Streets and Commercial Development and Finance, which amendment was carried.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

School Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, January 11, 1926, and will be opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$6,000,000 5 per cent School Bonds, issue of March 1, 1923, comprising 150 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1928 to 1967, inclusive.

Water Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, January 11, 1926, and will be opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$1,000,000 5 per cent Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, issue of January 1, 1925, comprising 25 bonds of $1,000 denomination of each year's maturity, 1930 to 1969, inclusive.

The said described bonds bear interest at the rate of 5 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, and shall not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

Bids.

The following bids were received by the Board of Supervisors Monday, January 11, 1926, 3 p. m., and were *referred to the Finance Committee*:

$6,000,000 school bonds, issue of March 1, 1923; $1,000,000 Hetch Hetchy water bonds, issue of January 1, 1925.

1. $6,000,000 School Bonds, Blair & Co., Inc. and associates, $6,310,200.
2. $1,000,000 Water Bonds, Blair & Co., Inc., and associates, $1,055,500.
3. $6,000,000 School Bonds, Harris Trust & Savings Bank, managers, $6,299,160.
4. $1,000,000 Water Bonds, Harris Trust & Savings Bank, managers, $1,053,730.
5. $6,000,000 School Bonds, Anglo London Paris Co.; First National Bank, New York; Halsey, Stuart & Co.; Eldredge & Co.; Kissel, Kennicutt & Co.; Redmond & Co.; Bank of Italy; The Detroit Co.; Stevenson, Perry, Stacy & Co.; Dean Witter & Co.; Hunter, Dulin & Co.; Wm. Cavalier & Co.; Schwabacher & Co.; Freeman, Smith & Camp Co.; by London Paris Co., per Paul B. Kelly, representative, $6,329,340.
6. $1,000,000 Water Bonds, Anglo London Paris Co.; First National Bank, New York; Halsey, Stuart & Co.; Eldredge & Co.; Kissel, Kennicutt & Co.; Redmond & Co.; Bank of Italy; The Detroit Co.; Stevenson, Perry, Stacy & Co.; Dean Witter & Co.; Hunter, Dulin & Co.; Wm. Cavalier & Co.; Schwabacher & Co.; Freeman, Smith & Camp Co.; by London Paris Co., per Paul B. Kelly, representative, $1,054,890.

ANGLO LONDON PARIS COMPANY
San Francisco
January 11, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Proposition No. 1.

We submit herewith attached upon forms furnished by the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco our proposal for the purchase of all and for no part less than all of $7,000,000 par value General Obligation Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, consisting of two separate items described as follows:

Item I.

$6,000,000 five (5%) per cent School Bonds, issue of March 1, 1923, comprising 150 bonds of $1,000

denomination, maturing each year from 1928 to 1967, inclusive.

Item II.

$1,000,000 five (5%) per cent Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, issue of January 1, 1925, comprising 25 bonds of $1,000 denomination, of each year's maturity, 1930 to 1969, inclusive.

Your acceptance of our proposal on one block of bonds is made strictly contingent upon your acceptance of our proposal on the other.

Very truly yours,

ANGLO LONDON PARIS COMPANY.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK, NEW YORK.
HALSEY, STUART & COMPANY.
ELDREDGE & COMPANY.
KISSEL, KINNICUT & COMPANY.
REDMOND & COMPANY.
BANK OF ITALY.
THE DETROIT COMPANY.
STEVENSON, PERRY, STACY & COMPANY.
DEAN WITTER & COMPANY.
HUNTER, DULIN & COMPANY.
WM. CAVALIER & COMPANY.
SCHWABACHER & COMPANY.
FREEMAN, SMITH & CAMP COMPANY.

By ANGLO LONDON PARIS COMPANY.
Per PAUL B. KELLY,
Representative.

7. $6,000,000 School Bonds, Anglo London Paris Co.; First National Bank, New York; Halsey, Stuart & Co.; Eldredge & Co.; Kissel, Kennicutt & Co.; Redmond & Co.; Bank of Italy; The Detroit Co.; Stevenson, Perry, Stacy & Co.; Dean Witter & Co.; Hunter, Dulin & Co.; Wm. Cavalier & Co.; Schwabacher & Co.; Freeman, Smith & Camp Co.; by London Paris Co.; per Paul B. Kelly, representative, $6,325,320.

8. $1,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Anglo London Paris Co.; First Natl. Bank, N. Y.; Halsey, Stuart & Co.; Eldredge & Co.; Kissell, Kennicutt & Co.; Redmond & Co.; Bank of Italy; The Detroit Co.; Stevenson, Perry, Stacy & Co.; Dean Witter & Co.; Hunter, Dulin & Co.; Wm. Cavalier & Co.; Schwabacher & Co.; Freeman, Smith & Camp Co.; by London Paris Co., per Paul B. Kelly, representative, $1,058,620.

9. $6,000,000 School Bonds, The National City Co., Syndicate Managers, $6,286,080.

10. $1,000,000 Water Bonds, National City Co., Syndicate Managers, $1,050,210.

11. $1,000,000 Water Bonds, Guaranty Company of N. Y. and Blyth, Witter Co., Syndicate, $1,054,760.

12. $6,000,000 School Bonds, Guaranty Company of N. Y. and Blyth, Witter Co., Syndicate, $6,305,340.

Bay Bridge Hearings—3 P. M.

The following matters were taken up and, on motion of Supervisor Welch, were acted upon as follows:

Consideration of the application of John Bermingham, Jr., for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Hearing *continued until February* 8, 1926, 3 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Consideration of the application of A. H. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Hearing *continued until February* 8, 1926, 3 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Consideration of the application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Hearing *continued until February* 8, 1926, 3 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Consideration of the application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Hearing *continued until February* 8, 1926, 3 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Formal application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda was made at this time and on motion of Supervisor Welch, consideration thereof *continued until February* 8, 1926, *at* 3 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Printing Shop Equipment.

Sealed proposals for printing shop equipment for the School Department were received and opened at 3 p. m. and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25023 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Enterprise Foundry Co., posts, sockets and covers, pool guard (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,196.

Special School Tax.

(2) D. J. and T. Sullivan Inc., moving buildings for account of schools (claim dated Dec. 23, 1925), $890.

(3) George H. Tay Co., porcelain urinals for schools (claim dated Dec. 23, 1925), $887.04.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(4) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., soundings for pipe line at Dumbarton, submerged pipe line, etc. (claim dated Dec. 26, 1925), $822.87.

(5) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Dec. 26, 1925), $1,319.47.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(6) Anderson & Ringrose, fifth payment, general construction of Le Conte School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $13,117.50.

(7) Anderson & Ringrose, eighth payment, general construction of Dudley Stone School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $25,258.50.

(8) Barrett & Hilp, sixth payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $10,781.25.

(9) Wm. J. Bays, second payment, mechanical equipment for Le Conte School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $2,270.28.

(10) MacDonald & Kahn, fifth payment, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $38,331.30.

(11) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., second payment, general construction of Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $11,185.50.

(12) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., tenth payment, general construction of Alamo School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $15,206.64.

(13) O. Monson, fourth payment, general construction of Alvarado School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $37,733.47.

(14) W. H. Picard, third payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $1,144.08.

(15) W. H. Picard, fourteenth payment, mechanical equipment for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $949.63.

(16) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services for annex to Parkside School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $1,323.64.

(17) M. E. Ryan, first payment, electrical work for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $1,385.90.

County Road Fund.

(18) Owen McHugh, progress payment for labor, equipment, clay and loam on Camino del Mar (Harding boulevard), Lincoln Park (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $10,410.

Publicity and Advertising

(19) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $552.03.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(20) Anaconda Copper Mining Co., sixth and final progressive payment for electric transmission line conductors, Contract 78 (claim dated Dec. 26, 1925), $49,611.80.

Special School Tax.

(21) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints

and oils for school buildings (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $787.20.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(22) J. Theo. Erlin Co., bandages for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $3,482.

(23) J. Theo. Erlin Co., bandages for Emergency Hospitals (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $702.75.

(24) Wm. Cluff & Co., groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $604.57.

(25) Garcia & Maggini Co., groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 26, 1925), $667.88.

(26) Haas Brothers, groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 26, 1925), $692.63.

(27) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $951.49.

(28) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $789.39.

(29) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $1,064.82.

(30) S. H. Tyler & Son, groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $756.20.

(31) Conlin & Roberts, 400 dirt cans for street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $2,240.

(32) Henry Cowell Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $1,416.13.

(33) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., for street repair (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $795.13.

(34) Enterprise Foundry Co., frames, gates, etc., for sewer repair (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $782.26.

(35) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil for Hall of Justice and Civic Center Power House (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $1,711.20.

(36) Firestone Tire and Rubber Co., solid tires for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $863.77.

(37) M. Greenberg's Sons, hydrants for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $1,858.50.

(38) Howard Automobile Co., Buick automobile for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $1,477.50.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., water service through Fire Department hydrants, December, 1925 (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $14,061.90.

(40) Reed & Reed, final payment on brick and granite work paving of Civic Center (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $21,132.56.

(41) E. J. Mitchell, for services rendered the City Attorney in electric rate cases and United States tax upon operation of auto busses by the City and County (claim dated Dec. 8, 1925), $1,500.

(42) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of December (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $3,367.14.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(43) Thos. Skelly, second and acceptance payment for plumbing and heating, Fire Department House, Engine No. 16 (claim dated Dec. 30, 1925), $2,638.75.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(44) Main Iron Works, ten steel sand bins for Seventeenth Street Car Barn, Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $1,979.

(45) Ida M. Ginnochio, settlement of claim for account of death of Angelo J. Ginnochio against the Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $5,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Contract, Steam Heating System, Glen Park School.

Bill No. 7421, Ordinance No. 6936 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the installation of a central steam heating system in the Glen Park School, situate at the southwest corner of Brompton avenue and Bosworth street; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said installation of central steam heating system, and permitting progressive payments during the progress of said installation, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The installation of a central steam heating system in the Glen Park School, situate at the corner of Brompton avenue and Bosworth street, is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the said installation of a central steam heating system, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said installation of

a central steam heating system in the Glen Park School, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in the specifications preared therefor and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25024 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(1) J. H. McCallum, lumber furnished for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 21, 1925), $2,143.70.

(2) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures per vouchers (claim dated Dec. 21, 1925), $790.50.

(3) The Paraffine Companies, Inc., malthoid roofing paper (claim dated Dec. 21, 1925), $650.40.

(4) Pope & Talbot, T & G flooring (claim dated Dec. 21, 1925), $570.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(5) Robert M. Searls, revolving fund expenditures, covering payment to Charles Schmidt et al. for lands, water rights and right of way authorized by Resolution No. 24704, New Series (claim dated Dec. 21, 1925), $8,500.

Auditorium Fund.

(6) Musical Association of San Francisco, for night overtime of 85 musicians for account of production of the "Messiah" at the Auditorium, under City auspices (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $1,112.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(7) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Dec. 21, 1925), $1,386.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(8) H. H. Dana, refund of taxes, first installment, 1925-1926 (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $1,287.53.

(9) Whittell Realty Co., refund of first installment, 1925-1926, taxes (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $774.38.

(10) N. Capurro, refund of taxes, first installment, 1925-1926 (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $557.97.

Park Fund.

(11) Holland Bulb and Nursery Co., bulbs and plants for Parks (claim dated Dec. 24, 1925), $1,833.04.

(12) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for Beach Chalet (claim dated Dec. 24, 1925), $561.81.

(13) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service for Parks (claim dated Dec. 24, 1925), $1,432.40.

(14) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Parks (claim dated Dec. 24, 1925), $1,249.05.

(15) Standard Fence Co., fencing for Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Dec. 24, 1925), $540.

(16) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium for account of Park employees (claim dated Dec. 24, 1925), $515.79.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(17) Bond Construction Co., fourth and acceptance payment, general construction of Fire Dept. Engine House No. 16 (claim dated Dec. 23, 1925), $9,329.35.

(18) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., second payment, electrical work on Fire Dept. Engine House No. 16 (claim dated Dec. 23, 1925), $862.78.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(19) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for departments (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $758.84.

(20) Electric Appliance Co., electric supplies for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated Nov. 30, 1925), $670.70.

(21) The Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto and accessories for Police Dept. (claim dated Dec. 21, 1925), $1,436.40.

(22) Park Commission, reimbursement for seed furnished Harding Park links (claim dated Dec. 24, 1925), $925.56.

(23) Park Commission, reimbursement for amount expended in improvement of Telegraph Hill (claim dated Dec. 24, 1925), $1,904.50.

(24) Park Commission, reimbursement for amount expended for improvement of the Marina (claim dated Dec. 24, 1925), $1,503.50.

(25) Columbia Machine Works, repairs to dynamo at Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 31, 1925), $568.

(26) Shell Company, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1925), $1,413.60.

(27) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods furnished San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1925), $924.90.

(28) Shell Company, fuel oil, etc., furnished San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1925), $2,383.70.

(29) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper for block books (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $526.80.

(30) Symon Brothers, team hire

for street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $747.50.

(31) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for street cleaning department, Board of Public Works (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $1,392.

(32) E. B. and A. L. Stone Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $1,831.77.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $7,000, Paving Ocean View Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. 25025 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the construction of track and paving for the Ocean View line of Municipal Railways, to enable final payments for said construction under Contract 138.

(Board of Public Works Resolution No. 89965a, Second Series.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $4,250, Payment to Harry Lyman, School Lands.

Resolution No. 25026 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Harry Lyman; being payment for land on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, commencing 120 feet, more or less, northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue 50 feet 7 inches; of uniform dimensions 50 feet 7 inches by 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 24969 (New Series), and required for school purposes (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt. Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $2,000, Sanitary Measures, Baker's Beach.

Resolution No. 25027 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, for sanitary measures for the balance of the fiscal year at "China" and "Bakers" beaches.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $6,522, Concrete Mixer, Street Repair Department.

Resolution No. 25028 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,522 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, to the credit of Budget Item No. 552 (Appropriation 33-C) and authorized to be expended for the purchase of a concrete mixer for the use of the Street Repair Department of the Board of Public Works.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, California Street.

Bill No. 7420, Ordinance No. 6937 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries, and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of California street, commencing at a point 77 feet 10½ inches westerly from Fillmore street, and running thence westerly 94 feet, and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commer-

cial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Amending Underground Ordinance, Clement Street.

Bill No. 7419, Ordinance No. 6938 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing electric wires and conductors underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 1-K.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section 1-K, to read as follows:

Section 1-K. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after July 1, 1926, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 16, Clement street from First avenue to Thirteenth avenue.

The foregoing work to be done during the reduction of sidewalks and the reconstruction of street.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 6521 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Auction Sale of Fire Lots.

Bill No. 7422, Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at public auction of certain lands belonging to the City and County of San Francisco in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described parcels or pieces of land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcels of land are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel No. 1. Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Bryant street, distant thereon 115 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Third street, running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Bryant street 40 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 40 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 80 feet to the northwesterly line of Bryant street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 100 Vara Block 366, also known as Lot 10, Block 3762, on Assessor's Map Book.

Parcel No. 2. Commencing at a point on the southerly line of California street, distant thereon 220 feet easterly from the easterly line of Hyde street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of California street 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches to the southerly line of California street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 277, also known as Lot 29, Block 251, on Assessor's Map Book.

Parcel No. 3. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from the southerly line of Broadway, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Stockton street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Cordelia street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Cordelia street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 133, also known as Lot 4, Block 160, on Assessor's Map Book.

Parcel No. 4. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Alabama street, distant thereon 185 feet southerly from the southerly line of Nineteenth street, running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Alabama street 68 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 44 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 68 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 44 feet 3 inches to the easterly line of Alabama street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 215, also known as Lot 13, Block 4082, on Assessor's Map Book.

Parcel No. 5. Commencing at a

point on the northerly line of O'Farrell street, distant thereon 197 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Stockton street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of O'Farrell street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 73 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 73 feet to the northerly line of O'Farrell street and point of commencement.. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 121, also known as Lot 11, Block 313, on Assessor's Map Book.

Parcel No. 6. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Cabrillo street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement; being a portion of Outside Land Block 413, also known as Lot 2, Block 1676 on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The said pieces or parcels of land hereinabove described shall be sold separately for cash in United States gold coin, at public auction, to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper published in this City and County for three weeks successively next before the day on which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale, describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 4. The Mayor, Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said land within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Section 5. Upon receipt and examination of bids or offers for said land as aforesaid, the Mayor shall accept the highest bid made, provided said bid be for at least ninety per cent of the value found by said appraisers, and shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors with a statement of the sum bid and the name of the highest bidder, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Action Deferred.

The following entitled matter was *laid over until Monday, January 25, 1926*:

Taxicab Ordinance.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

"Regulating the use of taxicabs, automobiles and public vehicles for hire, fixing the rate to be charged for the transportation of persons and personal baggage, regulating the use of boats in the waters of the bay, providing a punishment for any violation thereof and repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)."

(Ray Williamson presented a proposed amendment fixing the taxicab rate at $2.50 instead of $1.50 as recommended, said proposed amendment was referred to the Police Committee.)

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $41,007.14, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Company, water for horse troughs, $55.17.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25029 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees.

The Fraternal Order of Eagles, use of the Main Hall January 1[illegible],

1926, 6 p. m. to 1 a. m., for the purpose of bolding a dance.

Elwyn Concert Bureau, use of the Main Hall January 14, 1926, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a cencert.

Selby C. Oppenheimer, use of the Main Hall January 15, 16 and 17, 1926, inclusive, for the purpose of holding concerts with Sousa's Band.

The Independent Order of Odd Fellows, use of the Main Hall January 21, 1926, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding installation ceremonies.

Widows' and Orphans' Mutual Aid Association, San Francisco Police Department, use of the Main, Polk and Larkin halls January 23, 1926, 6 p. m. to 2 a. m., for the purpose of holding an annual ball.

Selby C. Oppenheimer, use of the Main Hall January 24, 1926, 8 a. m. to 6 p. m., for the purpose of holding a concert.

The Pacific Auto Show, use of the Main, Polk and Larkin halls, basement and portion of second floor corridor January 25 to February 8, 1926, 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding an auto show.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Haskins & Sells, services for preparing and installing an accounting system for the Park Commission (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $589.29.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(2) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $1,286.35.

County Road Fund.

(3) Bond Construction Co., second payment, construction of San Jose avenue bridge at Mt. Vernon avenue (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $16,500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(4) Bond Construction Co., fourth payment, general construction of Athletic Field of High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $8,728.47.

(5) Van Emon Elevator Co., first payment on elevator system for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $1,907.31.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(6) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $841.99.

(7) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $966.46.

(8) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $1,372.33.

(9) Paraffine Companies, Inc., malthoid roofing, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $709.90.

(10) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $557.06.

(11) Western Electric Co., telephone wire, cross-arms, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $824.42.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Bond Construction Co., first payment, general construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $21,295.93.

(13) Crown Electric Co., fourth payment, electric work for new Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $1,258.50.

(14) Mahony Bros., sixteenth payment, construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $29,504.44.

(15) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for new Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $4,938.58.

(16) Bond Construction Co., second payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School, gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $19,658.26.

(17) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Alvarado School (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $1,176.22.

(18) F. B. Knittle, second payment, mechanical equipment for Alamo School (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $2,052.43.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) Clinton Construction Co.,

twelfth payment, general construction of buildings for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $48,941.25.

(20) M. E. Ryan, eighth payment, electrical work for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $3,073.38.

(21) F. W. Snook Co., twelfth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $1,274.06.

(22) F. W. Snook Co., twelfth payment, mechanical equipment, ice-making and refrigerator plant for buildings of Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $7,765.99.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(23) Pacific States Electric Co., one electric bake oven for club house at Moccasin Plant (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $502.78.

(24) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., fourteenth payment, construction of submarine pipe line at Dumbarton Strait and Newark Slough (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $11,287.04.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(25) John J. Mahony, seventh payment, general construction of Southern Police Station (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $5,438.62.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) California Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $528.

(27) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for January (claim dated Jan. 1, 1926), $750.

(28) J. A. Bryant, final payment for construction of convenience station, etc., at H. Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $3,090.75.

(29) Paul E. Denivelle, fourth payment, Telegraph Hill improvement (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $3,015.75.

(30) Elliot & Grant, second payment, general construction of Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $1,755.97.

(31) Peter J. McHugh, Jr., final payment for construction of Great Highway and Vicente street outfall sewer system (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $8,323.94.

(32) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, construction of sewers in Kirkham street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-third avenues, and in Twenty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $3,750.

(33) Municipal Construction Co., improvement of Somerset street between Bacon and Burrows streets (claim dated Jan. 7, 1926), $2,880.

(34) John J. Mahony, final payment, general construction of Southern Police Station (claim dated Jan. 7, 1926), $18,550.

(35) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $1,626.

(36) California Brick Co., street paving brick (claim dated Jan. 4, 1926), $1,218.25.

(37) California Brick Co., street paving brick (claim dated Jan. 4, 1926), $1,240.40.

(38) L. Dinkelspiel Co., sheeting and muslin for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1925), $1,111.15.

(39) J. Theo. Erlin Co., bandages for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $858.

(40) Bay City Market, meat for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $679.76.

(41) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $4,306.73.

(42) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,560.97.

(43) Louis Strauss, men's suits for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $693.50.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $619.80.

(45) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $1,250.

(46) California Agencies, Inc., premium on official bond of John H. Thieler, Treasurer of the City and County, one year (claim dated Jan. 4, 1926), $1,000.

(47) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for expenses, month of January (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $833.33.

(48) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926). $2,485.33.

Appropriation, $30,012.50, Payment to Annie H. Moran for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $30,012.50 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Annie H. Moran et al; being payment in satisfaction of a certain judgment obtained by the City and County in the acquiring of the following described property for school purposes, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of in-

tersection of the easterly line of Lisbon street with the southerly line of Avalon avenue, running thence easterly and along the southerly line of Avalon avenue 200 feet to the point of intersection of the southerly line of Avalon avenue with the westerly line of Madrid street; thence at a right angle southerly along the westerly line of Madrid street 250 feet; thence at a right angle westerly parallel with the southerly line of Avalon avenue 200 feet to the easterly line of Lisbon street; thence at a right angle northerly along the easterly line of Lisbon street 250 feet to the point of commencement.

(Superior Court judgment—Action No. 148000.)

Appropriations, Payments for School Lands.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Sadie F. Clausen, for land on the east line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 125 feet north from north line of Santiago street, running thence northerly on the east line of Santiago street 25 feet; of regular dimensions 25 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25012 (New Series), $1,100.

(2) To M. M. Stephens, for land on east line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 150 feet north from north line of Santiago street, running thence northerly on east line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; of regular dimensions 25 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25013 (New Series), $1,100.

(3) To Sanford Ehrlick, for land on west line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 275 feet south from south line of Rivera street, running thence southerly on west line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; of regular dimensions 25 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25014 (New Series), $1,100.

(4) To Frank J. McHugh, for land on the west line of Thirtieth avenue, commencing 125 feet south from south line of Geary street, running thence southerly on west line of Thirtieth avenue 62 feet; of regular dimensions 62 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25015 (New Series), $4,500.

(5) To J. S. Hennessy, for lands (four parcels) on north line of Rivera street, commencing 32½ feet west from west line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly on the north line of Rivera street 50 feet; of regular dimensions 50 x 100 feet; also, land commencing at point formed by intersection of south line of Rivera street with east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly on the south line of Rivera street 82½ feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; of irregular dimensions; also, land on the west line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 100 feet south from the south line of Rivera street, running thence southerly on the west line of Twenty-third avenue 100 feet; of regular dimensions 100 x 120 feet; also, for land on the north line of Santiago street, commencing 107½ feet west from the west line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly on the north line of Santiago street 50 feet; of regular dimensions 50 x 100 feet. As per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 25016 (New Series), $16,525.

(6) To Antonio Stagnaro, for lands and improvements (two parcels) at point formed by intersection of southeast line of Otsego avenue with southwest line of Oneida avenue, running thence southeasterly on the southwest line of Oneida avenue 237 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 80 feet; of irregular dimensions; also, property on the southeast line of Otsego avenue, commencing 55 feet southwest from the southwest line of Oneida avenue, running thence southwesterly on the southeast line of Otsego avenue 25 feet; of regular dimensions 25 x 80 feet. Per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 25018 (New Series), $19,000.

(7) To Renato Bacci, for land on the south line of Geary street, commencing 60 feet east from the east line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence easterly on the south line of Geary street 60 feet; of regular dimensions 60 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25019 (New Series), $8,250.

(8) To C. H. Stern, for land on the east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 250 feet south from the south line of Quintara street, running thence southerly on the east line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; of regular dimensions 50 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25020 (New Series), $1,800.

Appropriation, $4,000, Payment to Railroad Commission, Evaluation Proceedings.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund, and authorized in payment to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for expense during month of January, 1926, for account of valuation proceedings of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Great Western Power Company's properties.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(1) For track construction and paving for the Ocean View extension of Municipal Railways; additional to enable completion payments to Eaton & Smith, contractors, $7,000.

(2) For expense of reconstruction of tracks of Municipal Railways in lower Market street by the Market Street Railway Company, to enable final payment, $6,124.46.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(3) For the purchase of equipment required for the new Relief Home buildings, $40,000.

Repairs to Elevators, Etc., Budget Item No. 63.

(4) For expense of furnishing and installing mechanical interlocking devices on two elevators at the Relief Home, to comply with the law and as instructed by the California State Industrial Accident Commission, $673.50.

Repairs and Painting of Bridges, Budget Item No. 38.

(5) For cost of depositing fill to replace with temporary solid roadways the bridges over the former Ocean Shore Railway right of way at Sickles avenue and Regent street, $3,500.

Appropriation, $435, Reconstruction of Steam Lines, Relief Home Infirmary.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25030 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $435 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Miscellaneous Repairs to Buildings," Budget Item No. 52, for the reconstruction of low and high pressure steam lines to the Infirmary at the Relief Home.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Appropriations to Cover Operating Deficits, Municipal Railways.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25031 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,964.14 and the sum of $4,883.67 be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Operating Fund, representing operating deficits of Municipal Railways for the months of July and November, 1925, respectively.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Accepting Deed From Spring Valley Water Company to Land for Extension of Worcester Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25032 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco for a parcel of land necessary for the opening and widening of Worcester avenue through to Junipero Serra boulevard at the intersection of the southerly line of Worcester avenue with the easterly boundary of the Spring Valley Water Company property, be and is hereby accepted and the City Attornye is hereby authorized to file the same for record.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Dismissal of Condemnation Proceedings.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25033 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Attorney has reported in writing to the Board of Supervisors that a certain con-

demnation suit entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. William Fiederlein and Wilhelmina Fiederlein," and numbered 162710 in the files and records of the Superior Court of San Francisco, has been settled out of court as to defendants William Fiederlein and Wilhelmina Fiederlein and that the property sought to be acquired from said defendants has been deeded to the City without the necessity for continuing the condemnation proceedings; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended that the said condemnation suit be dismissed as to defendants William Fiederlein and Wilhelmina Fiederlein and as to the property sought to be acquired by the plaintiff; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to stipulate for the dismissal of said condemnation proceeding as to the defendants William Fiederlein and Wilhelmina Fiederlein and as to all the property sought to be acquired from said defendants in said proceeding.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25034 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply have recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described land and easements, situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

R. L. Price and Louise B. Price, $1,800.

Parcel 1. A portion of the N. E. ¼ of Section 33, T. 1. S., R. 13 E., for camp site and tunnel dump, comprising 23.5 acres.

Parcel 2. Easement over a portion of the N. E. ¼ of Section 33 and the N. ½ of Section 34, T. 1, S., R. 13 E., for subsurface tunnel.

Parcel 3. Easement for water pipe lines through portion of the N. ½ of the N. W. ¼ of Section 33, N. E. ¼ of Section 33 and N. ½ of Section 34, T. 1 S., R. 13 E.

Parcel 4. Easement for transmission line through parcel of land described as Parcel 2.

Parcel 5. Right to use existing farm road in S. E. ¼ of Section 32 and the S. W. ¼ of Section 33, T. 1 S., R. 13 E.

Parcel 6. Right to construct a road through N. E. ¼ of Section 33 and the N. ½ of Section 34, T. 1 S., R. 13 E.

Parcel 7. Right to construct telephone line following location of road described in Parcel 6 and over easement described in Parcel 2.

Parcel 8. Right to construct a powder house at a location approximately 350 feet south of the S. E. corner of Parcel 1.

(As per written offer on file.) Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, the above described offer of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned land and easements for the sum set forth opposite their names, and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option, be and the same is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offer, to examine the title to said land and easements, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $1,750, Payment to Jessie F. Johnson for Property Required for Mt. Vernon Avenue Extension.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Mt. Vernon Ave. Extension," Budget Item No. 82b, and authorized in payment to Jessie F. Johnson; being payment for property commencing at a point on the westerly line of Harold avenue, distant thereon 757.47 feet southerly from the southerly line of Bruce avenue, of irregular dimensions, and for damages in full to adjoining lot caused by establishment of future grade of Mt. Vernon avenue as extended and to building on described land; all as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 24993 (New Series). Claim dated January 11, 1926.

Appropriation, $3,454.12, Payment in Tax Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,454.12 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Tax Judgments (Appropriation 59) and authorized in payment to Charles W. Slack and Edgar T. Zook, attorneys for and in behalf of judgment creditors, representing one-tenth of judgment plus interest, as per schedule attached to claim, and as approved by the City Attorney. (Claim dated January 5, 1926.)

Appropriation, $34,080, Payment to Spring Valley Water Company for Playground Lands.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $34,080 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of Land from Spring Valley Water Co. Contract," Budget Item No. 55, and authorized in payment to the Spring Valley Water Company; in payment for lands, 60 acres, for playground, as per agreement of July 1, 1922.

Death Claim Payment to Edna Nelson.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $691.58 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, and authorized in payment to Edna Nelson for herself and as guardian of Muriel, Jean and Betty Nelson, minors, for account of the death of Bernard F. Nelson, employed in the office of the Treasurer of the City and County, and whose death was due to injury received during such employment. As per award by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, Claim No. 17975, said award being in the sum of $5,000, payable at the rate of $20.83 per week, commencing August 5, 1925, and also for $150 for burial expense. Said sum of $691.58 being payments for 26 weeks, August 5, 1925, to Feb. 3, 1926, plus $150 burial expense.

Plans, Etc., Twenty-sixth Avenue Sewer.

Also, Bill No. 7423, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for a reinforced concrete sewer in Twenty-sixth avenue from Lawton street to Kirkham street, and ordering the construction of said sewer in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Twenty-sixth avenue from Lawton street to Kirkham street is hereby ordered, the expense of which is paid out of Item 39, Budget 1925-1926, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Twenty-sixth avenue from Lawton street to Kirkham street, and to enter into contract for the construction of said sewer in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made during the progress of said work.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Resolution Appropriating $300,000 in Payment to John S. Drum for Purchase of Land for War Memorial Building.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25035 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 24913 (New Series) appropriating the sum of $300,000 out of "Civic Center and War Memorial," Budget Item No. 36, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, and authorized in payment to John S. Drum, chairman of the Board of

Trustees, San Francisco War Memorial, on account of purchase of lands, is hereby rescinded and repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Accepting Offer of Henrietta Sittenfeld to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25036 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Henrietta Sittenfeld, $3,750.

Being all of Lot Number 3 in Block 6661, as per the Assessor's Map of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's apraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

M. V. Brady, south side of Turk street between Taylor and Jones streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City Building Co., south side of Golden Gate avenue, 70 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roy Corhan, west side of Dolores street, 28 feet south of Liberty street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. A. Cox, 1441 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Day Co., 61 San Lorenzo way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dowling, McNulty & McCarthy, south side of Fourteenth street, 50 feet east of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Electric Storage Battery Co., northwest corner of Third street and Paul avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Fassio, south line of Fulton street, 100 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Israel Levitt, 1526 Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Franco California Baking Co., north side of Pacific avenue, 200 feet west of Larkin street, 600 gallons capacity.

Hind Building Co., east line of Leavenworth street, 60 feet north of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles Johnson, south side of Green street, 180 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles Johnson, south side of Green street, 100 feet east of Steiner street, 150 gallons capacity.

Charles Johnson, northeast corner of Green and Buchanan streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles Johnson, south side of Green street, 140 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. J. Kelley, 1445 Oak street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Klein, northeast corner of Third avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Levin, east side of Divisadero street, 80 feet north of Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Maclean, 357 San Leandro way, 600 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., southwest corner of Sacramento and Franklin streets.

Geo. Metcalf, east line of Franklin street, 50 feet north of Greenwich street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. J. Phelan, northwest corner of

Thirty-fourth avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Quandt & Bos, west side of Larkin street, 50 feet south of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roberts Cafe, 2200 Great Highway, 600 gallons capacity.

E. D. Sachs, north line of El Camino del Mar between Thirtieth avenue and Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. A. Sandell, north side of Haight street, 50 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. M. Shay, east side of Gough street, 150 feet south of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Sockolov, 3925 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Isaac Wall, south side of O'Farrell street, 60 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

M. Levy, 85 Clara street, 15 horsepower.

Master Candle Co., 1118 Harrison street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joseph J. Smith be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the south side of Sixteenth street, 105 feet east of Folsom street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Finneran & Cissell be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain an automobile parking station at Ninth and Stevenson streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Community Service Station Company be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Seventh and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Geo. F. Clark be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the west side of Seventh avenue, 197 feet 8 inches north of Plaza street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Mayor to Sell Property on Proposed Market Street Extension.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25037 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land acquired for the extension of Market street, and known as 81 Merritt street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale. said dwelling house to be removed by the purchaser within sixty (60) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Board of Public Works to Lease Hetch Hetchy Lands.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25038 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Upon recommendation of the City Engineer, that the Board of Public Works is authorized to enter into a lease of certain lands purchased from Charles B. Rumble, situated in the County of Stanislaus, State of California, for construction purposes on the Hetch Hetchy project and temporarily not required for such purposes; said lease to run to C. J. Rumble for a period commencing January 1, 1926, and ending December 31, 1926, in consideration of a payment of a 25 per cent share in crop proceeds to the City and County of San Francisco.

The Stanislaus Land and Abstract

Company is also authorized to execute said lease as to such portion of said lands to which said company holds title.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is authorized to prepare and suprvise the execution of said lease. Rentals thereunder shall be paid into the Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue of 1910.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25039 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 89612 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted December 23, 1925, and written recommendation of said Board filed December 28, 1925, to-wit:

On Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues between Quintara and Rivera streets and on Rivera street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25040 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establich grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 89611 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted December 23, 1925, and written recommendation of said Board filed December 28, 1925, to-wit:

On Thirty-first avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets and on Rivera street between Thirtieth and Thirty-second avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25041 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 89528 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted December 18, 1925, and written recommendation of said Board filed December 18, 1925, to-wit:

On Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue; on Vicente street between Thirty-fifth avenue and the Great Highway; on Wawona street between Thirty-sixth avenue and the Great Highway; on Yorba street between Thirty-seventh and Fortieth avenues; on Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa and Wawona streets; on Thirty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Yorba streets; on Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Taraval and Yorba streets; on Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues between Taraval and Wawona streets; on Forty-sixth avenue between Ulloa and Wawona streets, and on Forty-seventh avenue between Taraval street and Sloat boulevard.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Extensions of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 25042 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of one hundred and twenty days from and after October 6, 1925, within which to complete improvement of Dublin street from Russia avenue southerly, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the petition for the extension of time was not acted on by the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25043 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 13, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Vienna street between Avalon and Silver avenues.

This extension is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25044 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work:

Thirty days' time from and after December 20, 1925, within which to complete the improvement of Capitol avenue between Minerva and Montana streets. This extension of time is granted for the purpose of keeping the contract alive during the period required for the acceptance of the work.

Sixty days' time from and after November 9, 1925, within which to complete the contract for improvement of Geary street between Mason street and Van Ness avenue, under public contract, for the reason that the work is practically completed and an extension is required pending acceptance of the contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Also Resolution No. 25045 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. V. Martin is hereby granted an extension of thirty days from and after January 6, 1926, within which to complete contract for furnishing and installing two 6-inch vertical centrifugal pumps and motors at the Great Highway and Vicente Street Pumping Station.

This extension of time is granted on account of delay in delivery of motors for the pumps.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25046 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from January 4, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Hollister street between Third and Jennings streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed through necessity of allowing fill to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7424, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadways of Bacon street between Brussels and Goettingen streets; De Long avenue between San Jose avenue and the westerly line of Orizaba avenue, including the intersections of De Long avenue and Rice street, De Long avenue and Wilson avenue, De Long avenue and Orizaba avenue and the crossing of De Long avenue and Crystal street, Goethe street and Rhine street; Eugenia avenue between Folsom and Gates streets; Eugenia avenue between Gates and Ellsworth streets; Geary street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Green street between Kearny and Montgomery streets and the intersection of Green and Castle streets; Geary street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Sagamore street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues, and the crossing of Sagamore street and Capitol avenue; Twentieth street between Texas and Mississippi streets; crossing of Bacon and Goettingen streets; intersection of Forty-eighth avenue and Geary street; crossing of Bacon and Somerset streets; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Geary street; crossing of Bacon and Holyoke streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Bacon street between Brussels and Goettingen streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

DeLong avenue between San Jose avenue and the westerly line of Orizaba avenue, including the intersections of De Long avenue and Rice street.

De Long avenue and Wilson street.

De Long avenue and Orizaba avenue and the crossing of De Long avenue, Crystal street, Goethe street and Rhine street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Eugenia avenue between Folsom and Gates streets paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Eugenia avenue between Gates and Ellsworth streets paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Geary street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs.

Green street between Kearny and Montgomery streets and the intersection of Green street and Castle street paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Geary street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Sagamore street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues and the crossing of Sagamore street and Capitol avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Twentieth street between Texas and Mississippi streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Crossing of Bacon street and Goettingen street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Intersection of Forty-eighth avenue and Geary street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Crossing of Bacon and Somerset streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Crossing of Bacon and Holyoke streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Geary street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Repealing Ordinance Ordering Sewer in Joost Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7425, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 6823 (New Series), approved October 30, 1925, ordering the construction of sewers, etc., in Joost avenue between Detroit street and Edna

street, including the intersection of Joost avenue and Edna street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6823 (New Series), approved October 30, 1925, ordering the construction of sewer, etc., in Joost avenue between Detroit street and Edna street including the intersection of Joost avenue and Edna street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 7426, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues between Vicente and Wawona streets and on Wawona street between Twenty-first avenue and the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues between Vicente and Wawona streets and on Wawona street between Twenty-first avenue and the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed December 18, 1925.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Carolina Street.

Also, Bill No. 7427, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 897.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulatng the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 23, 1925, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 897, to read as follows:

Section 897. The width of sidewalks on Carolina street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Carolina street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Noriega Street.

Also, Bill No. 7428, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 895.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulatiing the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 18, 1925, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 895, to read as follows:

Section 895. The width of sidewalks on Noriega street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-second avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Clement Street.

Also, Bill No. 7429, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 180 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 18, 1925, by amending Section 180 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 180. The width of sidewalks on Clement street between Arguello boulevard and Funston avenue shall be thirteen (13) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Clement street between Funston avenue and Thirty-second avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Clement street, the northerly side of, between Thirty-second avenue and Thirty-third avenue, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Clement street, the southerly side of, between Thirty-second avenue and Thirty-third avenue, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Clement street between Thirty-third avenue and Forty-eighth avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Clay Street.

Also, Bill No. 7430, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 896.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 23, 1925, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 896, to read as follows:

Section 896. The width of sidewalks on Clay street between Webster street and Fillmore street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 25047 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Independent Rifles to conduct a masquerade ball at California Hall, Turk and Polk streets, Saturday evening, January 23, 1926, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Lincoln Day Committee.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented.

Resolution No. 25048 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a committee to represent the City in the matter of Lincoln Day celebration on February 12, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

Contractors on Public Work Must Be Citizens and Residents.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 25049 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people of the City and County of San Francisco have, by their vote, adopted Section 1 of Chapter III, Article II, of the Charter, which section provides, among other things, that no person shall be employed on any public work unless he is a citizen of the United States or has declared his intention to become such; and that in the performance of such work preference shall be given to persons who actually reside and have so resided in the City and County of San Francisco for the period of one year next preceding the date of their engagement to perform such work; and

Whereas, it is the solemn duty of every public official to carry out the mandate of the people, as expressed at the polls; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in regular meeting assembled, that the Board of Public Works and all other departments and officials of the municipal government having to do with the performance of work under public contract be and they hereby are directed to enforce strictly the said provisions of the Charter, and to instruct all inspectors and other officers and employees under their jurisdiction or control that the said provisions must be observed according to their very letter and that no

deviation therefrom will be tolerated; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the Board of Public Works and to the heads of every other department of the municipal government having to do with the performance of work under public contracts.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 5:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, February 1, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 3

Monday, January 18, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 18, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 18, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

The Clerk announced that Supervisor Deasy was ill and would be unable to attend.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Report of Finance Committee.

The following was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and *read* by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Jan. 15, 1926.

Your Finance Committee this day has received from the city Attorney the legal opinion submitted herewith.

In substance, this opinion determines that the members of the previous Finance Committee acted without proper legal basis in appropriating $1,400,000 of Hetch Hetchy power revenues for purposes, in no way connected with the Hetch Hetchy project, in the Budget for 1925-26. The Attorney rules that the revenues from Hetch Hetchy may be applied to the Hetch Hetchy project alone.

For the mistake that has been made the present Board is in no way responsible. The responsibility is ours, however, to eliminate $1,400,000 of Budget expenditures for the remaining five months of this fiscal year. The Auditor rightly states that he will decline to honor any and all expenditures which might be made within the $1,400,000 deficit.

Your Finance Committee, after a complete examination of the City's financial condition made on the basis of a full accounting compiled by the Auditor, hereby presents a list of items which the Board, in its discretion, may safely revise. In most instances, the list calls for economies which are desirable in any case. The said list totals $2,141,100, or $700,000 more than the compulsory deficit. Accordingly, should the Board desire to retain $700,000 of the suggested list, the City can still operate without a deficit until July first, though some protection against unforeseen emergencies and some surplus in the General Fund for next year would be desirable.

The items here suggested for elimination at the Board's discretion fall within three classes:

1. Special appropriations passed prior to January 4, 1926, which may be rescinded. Total, $906,700.

Attention is called to the following:

Lighting streets	$ 65,000
Civic Center War Memorial	400,000
Repairs to bridges	7,000
Construction of main sewers	100,000
City Planning Commission	1,000
Fire Department building	70,000
Miscellaneous repairs to buildings	60,000
Douglass and Twenty-sixth streets	15,000
Van Ness avenue extension	5,000
Municipal warehouse—land	51,000
Evans avenue viaduct	10,000
Municipal warehouse—building	15,000
Municipal garage	91,700
Bernal cut	50,000
Building Department of Electricity	5,000
Painting San Francisco Hospital	10,000
Improvement Elk street	8,000

Mount Vernon avenue.....	5,000
Saturn street	10,000
Board walk at the beach..	10,000
Twenty-sixth street	4,000
Underground conduit system (Department of Electricity)	10,000
Fire Department—personal service	10,000
Hydrant service (Fire Department)	20,000
Fire Department — equipment	10,000
Police Department — personal service	10,000
Health Department—lighting buildings	5,000
Emergency Hospital — personal service	5,000
Emergency Hospital—equipment	5,000
Publicity and advertising..	25,000
General Fund (revenue from outside sources)...	290,000
Law Library — personal service	1,000
Mayor's office—nonpersonal service	2,400
Mayor's office — personal service	5,000
District Attorney's office—nonpersonal service.....	2,500
City Attorney's office—nonpersonal	2,500
Superior Court's — nonpersonal	20,000
Special School tax.....	675,000
Department of Elections—nonpersonal service.....	50,000

2. Reductions in appropriations made to several of the departments of the city government. Some departments have received larger sums than ever before, and during the past six months of the fiscal year have amassed considerable surpluses over actual total expenses, indicating similar surpluses for the second six-month period. Portions of such surpluses may be applied, at the Board's discretion, to meeting this emergency. The suggested total is $159,400.

3. For five months remaining of the fiscal year we are compelled to defer full payment upon certain desirable projects. It may be found necessary to reserve some part of the $400,000 Civic Center War Memorial appropriation, and it is probable that the $675,000 Special School Tax money will not be needed before the next Budget is prepared. These monies are not yet spent, and so far as the school program is affected the department might speed up the use of bond monies. Your Finance Committee wishes to make it clear that these projects, if postponed temporarily, are obligations to be included in the next Budget, with funds available on July 1, 1926. In the matter of the War Memorial and the School Department, as matters stand, there would be no serious obstruction or delay to these projects. Plans and other preparations can go ahead uninterruptedly and construction follow after July 1. Whatever action is taken by this Board is made necessary by the legal error of the past Finance Committee.

The foregoing is reported for information of the Board. The Finance Committee with the cooperation of the members must defer appropriations, where possible, to absorb the $1,400,000, General Fund deficit. By reserving certain appropriations, where delay will not affect public interest, the deficit can be made up and the revenues from the power product can be used as provided by the Charter.

Opinion of City Attorney.

The following was read by the Clerk:

January 15, 1926.

Subject: Transfer of Hetch Hetchy Power Funds.

Dear Sir:

I am in receipt of your request for an opinion regarding right of the Board of Supervisors to transfer funds derived from the sale of power from Hetch Hetchy hydroelectric plant, in which you state:

"Recently my attention has been called to the question of the legality of appropriating the revenue derived from the sale of Hetch Hetchy power to the General Fund, and I would be pleased if you will advise me whether, in your opinion, the Board of Supervisors had the authority or the power to transfer, by resolution or otherwise, the estimated $1,400,000 revenue from the sale of power to the General Fund."

Opinion.

I direct your attention to paragraph 1 of Section 16 of Article XII of the Charter, which is as follows:

"Whenever any public utility shall be operated by the City and County, the receipts from such utility shall be paid daily into the City Treasury and maintained in a special fund set aside for such utility. The Supervisors may, from time to time, make appropriations from such funds for the following purposes:

(a) For the payment of the operating expenses of such utility;

(b) For repairs and reconstruction;

(c) For payment of interest and

sinking fund on the bonds issued for the acquisition or construction of such utility;

(d) For extensions and improvements;

(e) For a reserve fund.

The provisions above quoted are the charter provisions applicable to the disposition of receipts from a public utility, and appear to be mandatory. I am of the opinion that the Board of Supervisors can appropriate the funds derived from the sale of power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company only as provided in the section quoted.

Paragraph 2 of the same section provides for the disposition of money in the Reserve Fund—the paragraph is as follows:

"Whenever the reserve fund shall exceed one-half of the payment for operating expenses in the preceding fiscal year, the Supervisors *shall have the power* to apportion such excess to the general fund."

As the Reserve Fund can only be established after all of the other items of expense mentioned in Subdivisions (a), (b), (c) and (d), have been provided for, and funds from this Reserve Fund can be transferred to the General Fund only when the former fund shall exceed one-half the payment for operating expenses of the utility for the preceding fiscal year, you are advised that at this time the Board of Supervisors cannot transfer the amount of the estimated revenue from the sale of the power from the utility mentioned.

Respectfully,
JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Communication From Former Supervisor McLeran.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 16, 1926.

Honorable Mayor James Rolph, Jr.,
Honorable Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San
Francisco, City Hall,
San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: According to articles published in the daily press, statements have been made that the previous Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors made a legal error in preparing the Budget for the fiscal year of 1925 and 1926. Also that certain activities provided for in the Budget must be curtailed in order to meet the anticipated deficit.

In justice to myself and the previous members of the Finance Committee, I must take exception to the published statements and deny that any error has been made in making up our Budget appropriations.

It is not necessary at all to curtail the activities of any department; there is sufficient money in the treasury that can be made available to meet all expenditures during the present fiscal year. It is only a question of bookkeeping and the same course can be pursued now as has been in previous years, by proper resolutions and transferring funds to meet requirements.

Every year beginning the first of July until taxes are paid in November, there is no money whatsoever available to meet current expenses, and it has only been done by borrowing from one fund temporarily—has the city been able to pay its bills during the five months between July and December.

The whole controversy over the shortage is a tempest in a teapot, and should not in any way cause the Board of Supervisors any alarm. It was premature on the part of the Auditor to raise the question that did not exist and cannot exist until the Board of Supervisors, by resolution or ordinance, definitely determine how the money received for the sale of power is to be disbursed, and that has not been done up until the present time.

We always intended the power money to be used for payment of interest on the cost of the power division of Hetch Hetchy and did provide in the general fund to take care of interest on eight million dollars, but did also set up the full amount of interest on the whole project in the tax rate.

You will find when you pass an ordinance setting up the fund there will be enough money to carry over for next year's interest on eight million dollars and also sufficient money to provide for all activities in the Budget.

Yours very truly,
RALPH McLERAN

January 18, 1926—Read, when Finance Committee's report was presented to Board.

Rereferred.

The foregoing report and communication was thereupon *rereferred to the Finance Committee for a more definite itemization of the deficit.*

Supervisor Gallagher, in connection with the foregoing, asked that the opinion of the City Attorney be obtained as to whether or not the budget can be amended by ordinance and corrected.

Relief to Be Given to Police Quarters.

The committee of three, appointed by Mayor Rolph, consisting of Su-

pervisors Shannon, Bath and Deasy, to investigate the requests made to the Board by the Grand Jury, the Police Department and other departments occupying the Hall of Justice for provision to be made to provide more room for them, has completed its investigation and made its report.

The committee, in its report, stated that conditions are deplorable and that by all means office room should be provided elsewhere for the departments now occupying the fourth floor of the building and thus leave that much additional room for the Police Department.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Powers and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25055 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be directed to advertise in the official newspaper to solicit offers for office space for the District Attorney's office, the Adult Probation Board and the Public Defender's office, in accordance with the recommendation of the special committee appointed by the Mayor.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Hetch Hetchy Inspection.

Supervisor Shannon, chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, announced that his committee would make an inspection trip to Hetch Hetchy, leaving at four o'clock on Wednesday of this week and returning on Saturday, and invited the other members of the Board to accompany them. Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Roncovieri and Stanton accepted the invitation. Several of the members were undecided as to whether they would be able to go or not.

Mayor's Veto, G. B. Mon Permit.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of G. B. Mon permit for French laundry, California street between Divisadero and Broderick streets (Resolution No. 24923).

Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. Rohlffs, property owner, was granted the privilege of the floor in opposition to the permit.

Supervisor Gallagher explained his vote by saying that he intended to vote to sustain the Mayor's veto, but without prejudice to the applicant again making application when he could more thoroughly go into the matter which time forbids now.

Mayor's Veto Sustained.

The question being put: "Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor." The roll was called and the resolution *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Noes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Liberty Dyeing and Cleaning Works permit, North Point street, west of Larkin street (Resolution No. 24959) was *continued until Monday, January* 25, 1926.

Palace of Fine Arts.

Consideration of report of City Planning Commission on repair and acquisition of Palace of Fine Arts was *laid over until February* 15, 1926.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Mattresses.

Sealed proposals for 100 hair mattresses for Relief Home were received and opened at 3 p. m. in the Board and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25051 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Haskins & Sells, services for preparing and installing an accounting system for the Park Commission (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $589.29.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(2) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $1,286.35.

County Road Fund.

(3) Bond Construction Co., second payment, construction of San Jose avenue bridge at Mt. Vernon avenue (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $16,500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(4) Bond Construction Co., fourth payment, general construction of Athletic Field of High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $8,728.47.

(5) Van Emon Elevator Co., first payment on elevator system for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $1,907.31.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(6) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $841.99.

(7) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $966.46.

(8) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $1,372.33.

(9) Paraffine Companies, Inc., malthoid roofing, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $709.90.

(10) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $557.06.

(11) Western Electric Co., telephone wire, cross-arms, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $824.42.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Bond Construction Co., first payment, general construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $21,295.93.

(13) Crown Electric Co., fourth payment, electric work for new Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $1,258.50.

(14) Mahony Bros., sixteenth payment, construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $29,504.44.

(15) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for new Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $4,938.58.

(16) Bond Construction Co., second payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School, gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $19,658.26.

(17) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Alvarado School (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $1,176.22.

(18) F. B. Knittle, second payment, mechanical equipment for Alamo School (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $2,052.43.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) Clinton Construction Co., twelfth payment, general construction of buildings for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $48,941.25.

(20) M. E. Ryan, eighth payment, electrical work for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $3,073.38.

(21) F. W. Snook Co., twelfth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $1,274.06.

(22) F. W. Snook Co., twelfth payment, mechanical equipment, ice-making and refrigerator plant for buildings of Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $7,765.99.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(23) Pacific States Electric Co., one electric bake oven for club house at Moccasin Plant (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $502.78.

(24) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., fourteenth payment, construction of submarine pipe line at Dumbarton Strait and Newark Slough (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $11,287.04.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(25) John J. Mahony, seventh payment, general construction of Southern Police Station (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $5,438.62.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) California Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $528.

(27) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for January (claim dated Jan. 1, 1926), $750.

(28) J. A. Bryant, final payment for construction of convenience station, etc., at H. Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $3,090.75.

(29) Paul E. Denivelle, fourth payment, Telegraph Hill improvement (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $3,015.75.

(30) Elliot & Grant, second payment, general construction of Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $1,755.97.

(31) Peter J. McHugh, Jr., final payment for construction of Great Highway and Vicente street outfall sewer system (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $8,323.94.

(32) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, construction of sewers in Kirkham street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-third avenues, and in Twenty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim dated Jan. 6, 1926), $3,750.

(33) Municipal Construction Co., improvement of Somerset street between Bacon and Burrows streets (claim dated Jan. 7, 1926), $2,880.

(34) John J. Mahony, final payment, general construction of Southern Police Station (claim dated Jan. 7, 1926), $18,550.

(35) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 5, 1926), $1,626.

(36) California Brick Co., street paving brick (claim dated Jan. 4, 1926), $1,218.25.

(37) California Brick Co., street paving brick (claim dated Jan. 4, 1926), $1,240.40.

(38) L. Dinkelspiel Co., sheeting and muslin for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1925), $1,111.15.

(39) J. Theo. Erlin Co., bandages for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 22, 1925), $858.

(40) Bay City Market, meat for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $679.76.

(41) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $4,306.73.

(42) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,560.97.

(43) Louis Strauss, men's suits for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $693.50.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $619.80.

(45) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $1,250.

(46) California Agencies, Inc., premium on official bond of John H. Thieler, Treasurer of the City and County, one year (claim dated Jan. 4, 1926), $1,000.

(47) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for expenses, month of January (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $833.33.

(48) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $2,485.33.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Appropriation, $30,012.50, Payment to Annie H. Moran for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25052 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $30,012.50 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Annie H. Moran et al; being payment in satisfaction of a certain judgment obtained by the City and County in the acquiring of the following described property for school purposes, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Lisbon street with the southerly line of Avalon avenue, running thence easterly and along the southerly line of Avalon avenue 200 feet to the point of intersection of the southerly line of Avalon avenue with the westerly line of Madrid street; thence at a right angle southerly along the westerly line of Madrid street 250 feet; thence at a right angle westerly parallel with the southerly line of Avalon avenue 200 feet to the easterly line of Lisbon street; thence at a right angle northerly along the easterly line of Lisbon street 250 feet to the point of commencement.

(Superior Court judgment—Action No. 148000.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Appropriations, Payments for School Lands.

Resolution No. 25053 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Sadie F. Clausen, for land on the east line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 125 feet north from north line of Santiago street, running thence northerly on the east line of Santiago street 25 feet; of regular dimensions 25 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25012 (New Series), $1,100.

(2) To M. M. Stephens, for land on east line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 150 feet north from north line of Santiago street, running thence northerly on east line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; of regular dimensions 25 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25013 (New Series), $1,100.

(3) To Sanford Ehrlick, for land on west line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 275 feet south from south line of Rivera street,

running thence southerly on west line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; of regular dimensions 25 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25014 (New Series), $1,100.

(4) To Frank J. McHugh, for land on the west line of Thirtieth avenue, commencing 125 feet south from south line of Geary street, running thence southerly on west line of Thirtieth avenue 62 feet; of regular dimensions 62 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25015 (New Series), $4,500.

(5) To J. S. Hennessy, for lands (four parcels) on north line of Rivera street, commencing 32½ feet west from west line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly on the north line of Rivera street 50 feet; of regular dimensions 50 x 100 feet; also, land commencing at point formed by intersection of south line of Rivera street with east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly on the south line of Rivera street 82½ feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; of irregular dimensions; also, land on the west line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 100 feet south from the south line of Rivera street, running thence southerly on the west line of Twenty-third avenue 100 feet; of regular dimensions 100 x 120 feet; also, for land on the north line of Santiago street, commencing 107½ feet west from the west line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly on the north line of Santiago street 50 feet; of regular dimensions 50 x 100 feet. As per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 25016 (New Series), $16,525.

(6) To Antonio Stagnaro, for lands and improvements (two parcels) at point formed by intersection of southeast line of Otsego avenue with southwest line of Oneida avenue, running thence southeasterly on the southwest line of Oneida avenue 237 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 80 feet; of irregular dimensions; also, property on the southeast line of Otsego avenue, commencing 55 feet southwest from the southwest line of Oneida avenue, running thence southwesterly on the southeast line of Otsego avenue 25 feet; of regular dimensions 25 x 80 feet. Per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 25018 (New Series), $19,000.

(7) To Renato Bacci, for land on the south line of Geary street, commencing 60 feet east from the east line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence easterly on the south line of Geary street 60 feet; of regular dimensions 60 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25019 (New Series), $8,250.

(8) To C. H. Stern, for land on the east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 250 feet south from the south line of Quintara street, running thence southerly on the east line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; of regular dimensions 50 x 120 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25020 (New Series), $1,800.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Appropriation, $4,000, Payment to Railroad Commission, Evaluation Proceedings.

Resolution No. 25054 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund, and authorized in payment to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for expense during month of January, 1926, for account of valuation proceedings of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Great Western Power Company's properties.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Appropriations.

Resolution No. 25056 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(1) For track construction and paving for the Ocean View extension of Municipal Railways; additional to enable completion payments to Eaton & Smith, contractors, $7,000.

(2) For expense of reconstruction of tracks of Municipal Railways in lower Market street by the Market Street Railway Company, to enable final payment, $6,124.46.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(3) For the purchase of equip-

ment required for the new Relief Home buildings, $40,000.

Repairs to Elevators, Etc., Budget Item No. 63.

(4) For expense of furnishing and installing mechanical interlocking devices on two elevators at the Relief Home, to comply with the law and as instructed by the California State Industrial Accident Commission, $673.50.

Repairs and Painting of Bridges, Budget Item No. 38.

(5) For cost of depositing fill to replace with temporary solid roadways the bridges over the former Ocean Shore Railway right of way at Sickles avenue and Regent street, $3,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Appropriation, $1,750, Payment to Jessie F. Johnson for Property Required for Mt. Vernon Avenue Extension.

Resolution No. 25057 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Mt. Vernon Ave. Extension," Budget Item No. 82b, and authorized in payment to Jessie F. Johnson; being payment for property commencing at a point on the westerly line of Harold avenue, distant thereon 757.47 feet southerly from the southerly line of Bruce avenue, of irregular dimensions, and for damages in full to adjoining lot caused by establishment of future grade of Mt. Vernon avenue as extended and to building on described land; all as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 24993 (New Series). Claim dated January 11, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Appropriation, $3,454.12, Payment in Tax Judgments.

Resolution No. 25058 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,454.12 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Tax Judgments (Appropriation 59) and authorized in payment to Charles W. Slack and Edgar T. Zook, attorneys for and in behalf of judgment creditors, representing one-tenth of judgment plus interest, as per schedule attached to claim, and as approved by the City Attorney. (Claim dated January 5, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Appropriation, $34,080, Payment to Spring Valley Water Company for Playground Lands.

Resolution No. 25059 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $34,080 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of Land from Spring Valley Water Co. Contract," Budget Item No. 55, and authorized in payment to the Spring Valley Water Company; in payment for lands, 60 acres, for playground, as per agreement of July 1, 1922.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Death Claim Payment to Edna Nelson.

Resolution No. 25060 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $691.58 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, and authorized in payment to Edna Nelson for herself and as guardian of Muriel, Jean and Betty Nelson, minors, for account of the death of Bernard F. Nelson, employed in the office of the Treasurer of the City and County, and whose death was due to injury received during such employment. As per award by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, Claim No. 17975, said award being in the sum of $5,000, payable at the rate of $20.83 per week, commencing August 5, 1925, and also for $150 for burial expense. Said sum of $691.58 being payments for 26 weeks, August 5, 1925, to Feb. 3, 1926, plus $150 burial expense.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Plans, Etc., Twenty-sixth Avenue Sewer.

Bill No. 7423, Ordinance No. 6940 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for a reinforced concrete sewer in Twenty-sixth avenue from Lawton street to Kirkham street, and ordering the construction of said sewer in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Twenty-sixth avenue from Lawton street to Kirkham street is hereby ordered, the expense of which is paid out of Item 39, Budget 1925-1926, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Twenty-sixth avenue from Lawton street to Kirkham street, and to enter into contract for the construction of said sewer in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made during the progress of said work.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25061 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

M. V. Brady, south side of Turk street between Taylor and Jones streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City Building Co., south side of Golden Gate avenue, 70 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roy Corhan, west side of Dolores street, 28 feet south of Liberty street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. A. Cox, 1441 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Day Co., 61 San Lorenzo way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dowling, McNulty & McCarthy, south side of Fourteenth street, 50 feet east of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Electric Storage Battery Co., northwest corner of Third street and Paul avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Fassio, south line of Fulton street, 100 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Israel Levitt, 1526 Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Franco California Baking Co., north side of Pacific avenue, 200 feet west of Larkin street, 600 gallons capacity.

Hind Building Co., east line of Leavenworth street, 60 feet north of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles Johnson, south side of Green street, 180 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles Johnson, south side of Green street, 100 feet east of Steiner street, 150 gallons capacity.

Charles Johnson, northeast corner of Green and Buchanan streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles Johnson, south side of Green street, 140 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. J. Kelley, 1445 Oak street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Klein, northeast corner of Third avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Levin, east side of Divisadero street, 80 feet north of Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Maclean, 357 San Leandro way, 600 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., southwest corner of Sacramento and Franklin streets.

Geo. Metcalf, east line of Franklin street, 50 feet north of Greenwich street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. J. Phelan, northwest corner of Thirty-fourth avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Quandt & Bos, west side of Larkin street, 50 feet south of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roberts Cafe, 2200 Great Highway, 600 gallons capacity.

E. D. Sachs, north line of El Camino del Mar between Thirtieth avenue and Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. A. Sandell, north side of Haight street, 50 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. M. Shay, east side of Gough street, 150 feet south of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Sockolov, 3925 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Isaac Wall, south side of O'Farrell street, 60 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

M. Levy, 85 Clara street, 15 horsepower.

Master Candle Co., 1118 Harrison street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25062 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joseph J. Smith be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the south side of Sixteenth street, 105 feet east of Folsom street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25063 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Finneran & Cissell be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain an automobile parking station at Ninth and Stevenson streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 25064 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Community Service Station Company be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Seventh and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Resolution No. 25065 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Geo. F. Clark be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the west side of Seventh avenue, 197 feet 8 inches north of Plaza street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Boiler Permit.

Resolution No. 25066 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. Escala be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a 20 horse power boiler at 180 Haight street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7424, Ordinance No. 6941 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadways of Bacon street between Brussels and Goettingen streets; De Long avenue between San Jose avenue and the westerly line of Orizaba avenue, including

PUBLIC LIBRARY

the intersections of De Long avenue and Rice street, De Long avenue and Wilson avenue, De Long avenue and Orizaba avenue and the crossing of De Long avenue and Crystal street, Goethe street and Rhine street; Eugenia avenue between Folsom and Gates streets; Eugenia avenue between Gates and Ellsworth streets; Geary street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Green street between Kearny and Montgomery streets and the intersection of Green and Castle streets; Geary street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Sagamore street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues, and the crossing of Sagamore street and Capitol avenue; Twentieth street between Texas and Mississippi streets; crossing of Bacon and Goettingen streets; intersection of Forty-eighth avenue and Geary street; crossing of Bacon and Somerset streets; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Geary street; crossing of Bacon and Holyoke streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Bacon street between Brussels and Goettingen streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

DeLong avenue between San Jose avenue and the westerly line of Orizaba avenue, including the intersections of De Long avenue and Rice street.

De Long avenue and Wilson street.

De Long avenue and Orizaba avenue and the crossing of De Long avenue, Crystal street, Goethe street and Rhine street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Eugenia avenue between Folsom and Gates streets paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Eugenia avenue between Gates and Ellsworth streets paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Geary street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs.

Green street between Kearny and Montgomery streets and the intersection of Green street and Castle street paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Geary street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Sagamore street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues and the crossing of Sagamore street and Capitol avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Twentieth street between Texas and Mississippi streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Crossing of Bacon street and Goettingen street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Intersection of Forty-eighth avenue and Geary street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Crossing of Bacon and Somerset streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Crossing of Bacon and Holyoke streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Geary street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Repealing Ordinance Ordering Sewer in Joost Avenue.

Bill No. 7425, Ordinance No. 6942 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 6823 (New Series), approved October 30, 1925, ordering the construction of sewers, etc., in Joost avenue between Detroit street and Edna street, including the intersection of Joost avenue and Edna street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6823 (New Series), approved October 30, 1925, ordering the construction of sewer, etc., in Joost avenue between Detroit street and Edna street including the intersection of Joost avenue and Edna street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Establishing Grades.

Bill No. 7426, Ordinance No. 6943 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues between Vicente and Wawona streets and on Wawona street between Twenty-first avenue and the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues between Vicente and Wawona streets and on Wawona street between Twenty-first avenue and the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed December 18, 1925.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Carolina Street.

Bill No. 7427, Ordinance No. 6944 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 897.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulatng the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 23, 1925, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 897, to read as follows:

Section 897. The width of sidewalks on Carolina street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Carolina street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Noriega Street.

Bill No. 7428, Ordinance No. 6945 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 895.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulatiing the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 18, 1925, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 895, to read as follows:

Section 895. The width of sidewalks on Noriega street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-second avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Clement Street.

Bill No. 7429, Ordinance No. 6946 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 180 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 18, 1925, by amending Section 180 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 180. The width of sidewalks on Clement street between Arguello boulevard and Funston avenue shall be thirteen (13) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Clement street between Funston avenue and Thirty-second avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Clement street, the northerly side of, between Thirty-second avenue and Thirty-third avenue, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Clement street, the southerly side of, between Thirty-second avenue and Thirty-third avenue, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Clement street between Thirty-third avenue and Forty-eighth avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Clay Street.

Bill No. 7430, Ordinance No. 6947 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 896.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 23, 1925, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 896, to read as follows:

Section 896. The width of sidewalks on Clay street between Webster street and Fillmore street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $62,671.34, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Barrett & Hilp, labor and material for alterations to the Beach Chalet (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $635.

(2) Eaton & Smith, final payment for grading at Lincoln Park (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $1,654.88

(3) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, poultry for Beach Chalet (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $544.23.

California Palace of Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61).

(4) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $607.03.

(5) Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Co., rental of wire telephony for radio broadcasting from California Palace Legion of Honor, December and January (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $658.

Library Fund.

(6) San Francisco News Co., periodicals for Public Library (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $3,966.82.

(7) G. E. Stechert & Co., books for Public Library (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $2,413.81.

(8) San Francisco News Co., books for Public Library (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,017.32.

(9) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for Public Libraries (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $615.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(10) F. Boeken, contingent fund expenditure for account of claim of Dr. S. J. Apfel (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $875.

(11) United States Steel Products Co., track special work for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $5,302.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $1,284.20.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(13) John Reid, Jr., sixteenth payment, architectural services for account of Relief Home buildings (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,465.31.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1925.

(14) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $3,549.41.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $612.94.

(16) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., twenty-fourth payment, construction of substructures for steel bridge across Dumbarton Straits (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $144,452.14.

General Fund, 1923-1924.

(17) Alfred I. Coffey, third payment, architectural services for account of Southern Palice Station building (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,188.18.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(18) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, second payment, architectural services for account of Harbor Emergency Hospital building (claim dated Jan. 13, (1926), $1,938.24.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(19) A. Carlisle & Co., printing and binding Department of Elections registration affidavits (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $4,476.

(20) Link-Belt Meese & Gottfried Co., link belt chain, Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $667.45.

(21) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $1,232.67.

(22) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $1,356.10.

(23) E. B. & A. L. Stone Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $1,646.30.

(24) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $1,512.22.

(25) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $955.

(26) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $8,233.85.

(27) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $8,059.95.

(28) F. X. Lehner, fertilizer furnished Marina improvement (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $1,090.

(29) Board of Park Commissioners, for reimbursement of Park Fund for labor and material furnished for Marina improvement (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $2,231.65.

(30) Board of Park Commissioners, for reimbursement of Park Fund for labor and materials furnished for Marina improvement (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $2,467.71.

(31) Wayne Tank & Pump Co., gasoline tanks installed at Police Stations (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $714.17.

(32) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $867.20.

(33) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock, etc., for street repair (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $698.51.

(34) Simon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $782.

(35) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $3,658.65.

(36) Schultz Construction Co.,

final payment for furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $5,948.

(37) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,470.58.

(38) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $862.68.

(39) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $,-756.84.

(40) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $2,220.

(41) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,401.55.

(42) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,327.47.

(43) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $699.52.

(44) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $24,178.84.

(45) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $9,696.13.

(46) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $3,795.14.

(47) Edward R. Bacon Co., one multifoote concrete paving mixer for Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 4, 1926), $6,250.

(48) California Agencies, premium on official bond of Crocker First National Bank of San Francisco, as fiscal agents for the City and County (claim dated Jan. 4, 1926), $1,000.

(49) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing the Municipal Record for December (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $825.87.

(50) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing Law and Motion and Trial Calendar for December (claim dated Jan. 18, 1926), $940.

(51) San Francisco Bulletin, Board of Supervisors' official advertising (claim dated Jan. 18, 1926), $715.17.

(52) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), 1,031.31.

(53) M. Greenberg's Sons, hydrants for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $3,628.50.

(54) Hanlon Drydock and Shipbuilding Co., repairs and renewals to Fire Boat "Dennis T. Sullivan" (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $2,-149.32.

(55) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity and gas furnished Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,882.80.

(56) Shell Company, fuel oil furnished Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,464.28.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department and for removing and installing hydrants (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $3,688.55.

(58) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting, etc., for month of December, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 18, 1926), $50,825.63.

(59) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $543.22.

(60) E. B. Frick, Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, allowances for rooms for institutional nurses, porters and orderlies (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $558.22.

(61) Eugene Benjamin Co., oxygen for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $624.70.

(62) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $617.73.

(63) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee and tea for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $620.85.

(64) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,-577.88.

(65) Haas Brothers, groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $754.45.

(66) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $720.55.

(67) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs and sundries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $646.18.

(68) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,989.51.

(69) Fred L. Hilmer Company, butter and cheese for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $2,229.31.

(70) L. Scatena & Co. and A. Galli Fruit Co., fruits, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $582.77.

(71) C. Nauman & Co., fruits, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $578.73.

(72) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,258.73.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(73) Burnham Plumbing Co., third payment, plumbing, etc., for New Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,363.50.

(74) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment, architectural services for the New Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,068.68.

(75) J. R. Miller, fifth payment for architectural services for the Alamo School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,406.56.

(76) G. Albert Lansburgh, fourth payment for architectural services for the Alvarado School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $543.65.

(77) Standard Electrical Construction Co., first payment for electric work for Le Conte School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $636.75.

(78) John Reid, Jr., twenty-first payment, architectural services for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $810.53.

(79) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment for architectural services for additional units to Galileo High School, gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $939.73.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(80) Universal Concrete Gun Co., royalties and fees in connection with lining of tunnel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $61,187.12.

Appropriation, $42,788.69, Transfer From Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue Fund to Credit of Water Construction Fund and Rescinding Resolution No. 24878 (New Series).

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,788.69 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue Fund to the credit of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, to reimburse said Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, for moneys expended out of said fund for the operating expenses of the Moccasin Power Plant for the months of October and November, 1925; and further

Resolved, That Resolution No. 24878 (New Series), setting aside and appropriating $23,470.87 out of moneys received from the sale of Hetch Hetchy power to the credit of the 1910 Water Bond Fund, be and the same is hereby rescinded and repealed.

Repealing Resolutions—Appropriations for Southern Heights Boulevard and Hall of Justice Photograph Gallery.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25067 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 24952 (New Series) as sets aside and appropriates $2,736 out of County Road Fund for the improvement of the roadway at the crossing of Southern Heights avenue and DeHaro street, and so much of Resolution No. 24419 (New Series) as sets aside and appropriates $5,000 out of Budget Item No. 52, for photograph gallery, Hall of Justice, be and the same are hereby rescinded and repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Appropriation, $257.26, Widening of Divisadero Street from Fell Street Southerly.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25068 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $257.26 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Emergency Repairs to Streetts, etc., Budget Item No. 79, for the cost of widening Divisadero street from Fell street 162½ feet southerly.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Appropriation, $350, Payment to H. L. Heinrich for Damage to Trees, Hetch Hetchy Transmission Line.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25069 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, upon recommendation of the City Engineer and the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Project, That H. L. Heinrich be paid the sum of three hundred fifty and 00/100 dollars ($350) in full satisfaction for damage and loss in value to his property situated in Stanislaus County, California, caused by the cutting of trees on said property endangering the safety of the Hetch Hetchy electric transmission line in the event of heavy north winds. Said Hetch Hetchy electric transmission line is located in close proximity to the property of H. L. Heinrich.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project is authorized to pay said sum to said H. L. Heinrich upon receipt of the proper release.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Haven-

ner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Board of Health to Participate in "Child Welfare Week."

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25070 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Health is hereby authorized to participate in the "Child Welfare Week" at the Auditorium during the month of March, 1926, and to expend a sum not to exceed $500 in connection therewith.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Award of Contract for School Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25071 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit, School Bonds, issue of March 1, 1923, to the amount of $6,000,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 11th day of January, 1926; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of Anglo London Paris Company; First National Bank, New York; Halsey, Stuart & Co.; Eldredge & Co.; Kissel, Kinnicutt & Co.; Redmond & Co.; Bank of Italy; The Detroit Co.; Stevenson, Perry, Stacy & Co.; Dean Witter & Co.; Hunter, Dulin & Co.; Wm. Cavalier & Co.; Schwabacher & Co.; Freeman, Smith & Camp Co., for said $6,000,000 School Bonds, comprising one hundred and fifty bonds of $1,000 denomination maturing each year, 1928 to 1967, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Anglo London Paris Co.; First National Bank, New York; Halsey, Stuart & Co.; Eldredge & Co.; Kissel, Kinnicutt & Co.; Redmond & Co.; Bank of Italy; The Detroit Co.; Stevenson, Perry, Stacy & Co.; Dean Witter & Co.; Hunter, Dulin & Co.; Wm. Cavalier & Co.; Schwabacher & Co.; Freeman, Smith & Camp Co., for the price bid therefor, to-wit, $6,329,340, and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Award of Contract, Water Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25072 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given, as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit, Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, issue of January 1, 1925, to the amount of $1,000,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 11th day of January, 1926; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of Anglo London Paris Co.; First National Bank, New York; Halsey, Stuart & Co.; Eldredge & Co.; Kissel, Kinnicutt & Co.; Redmond & Co.; Bank of Italy; The Detroit Co.; Stevenson, Perry, Stacy & Co.; Dean Witter & Co.; Hunter, Dulin & Co.; Wm. Cavalier & Co.; Schwabacher & Co.; Freeman, Smith & Camp Co., for said $1,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, comprising twenty-five bonds of $1,000 denomination of each year's maturity, 1930 to 1969, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to Anglo London Paris Co.; First National Bank, New York; Halsey, Stuart & Co.; Eldredge & Co.; Kissel, Kinnicutt & Co.; Redmond & Co.; Bank of Italy; The Detroit Co.; Stevenson, Perry, Stacy & Co.; Dean Witter & Co.; Hunter, Dulin & Co.; Wm. Cavalier & Co.; Schwabacher & Co.; Freeman, Smith & Camp Co., for the price bid therefor, to-wit, $1,054,890, and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Cancellation of Duplicate Assessment.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25073 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the following assessment is a duplicate assessment for the year 1925-26, and should be cancelled; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel, in accordance with Section 3805 of the Political Code, the following assessment:

Volume 8, page 33, Lot 6, Block 1308, No. 504, John Brickel Co., assessed in the sum of $5,090.

For duplicate see Volume 8, page 33, Lot 6, Block 1308, No. 503.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $150,000, Out of County Roads Fund for Widening State Highway, South of Colma.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a report is on file in the Clerk's office of the Board of Supervisors showing that an agreement has been had respecting the widening of the state highway south of Colma, and to defray the cost of which the Market Street Railway Company has agreed to pay the sum of $110,000 and perform certain construction work, the County of San Mateo would contribute the sum of $50,000, the City and County of San Francisco the sum of $150,000, and the paving to be done by the State Highway Commission at an estimated cost of $250,000; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the sum of $150,000 is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund, such expenditure to be approved by the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of acquiring rights of way, making plans and specifications, and such work of improvement as may be necessary other than that agreed to be done by said State Highway Commission.

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

H. H. Harris, 1166 Haight street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Laguna Pacific Co., northwest corner of Pacific and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. L. Singewald, south side of Green street, 87 feet 6 inches east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jeffers & Murray be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Howard street, 225 feet east of Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That McGary Robson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the junction of Mission and Morse streets between Whittier and Lowell streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Mill and Cabinet Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to

maintain and operate a cabinet shop on the east side of San Bruno avenue, 318 feet 4 1-3 inches north of Dickenson street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while excavating on property situate on the northeast corner of Alabama and Sixteenth streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Purchaser of Alcoholic Liquors.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 25074 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That for the purpose of purchasing alcoholic liquors for nonbeverage use, P. R. Hennessy, Auditor of the Department of Public Health for the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby appointed purchasing agent of said articles required by the Department of Public Health and of the institutions under its control, viz.: the Relief Home for Aged and Infirm, the Emergency Hospital and the San Francisco Hospital, said appointment to remain in force from February 1, 1926, to June 1, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25075 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby instructed to install, change and remove street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northeast corners Seventeenth street, Prosper to Harlow streets.

Southwest corner Seventeenth and Sanchez streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Seventeenth and Division streets, Capp to Shotwell streets.

North side Seventeenth street, 107 and 140 feet west of Noe street.

South side Seventeenth street, 354 feet west of Sanchez street.

South side Seventeenth street, 217 feet west of Church street.

North side Seventeenth street, 468 and 139 feet west of Dolores street.

South side Seventeenth street, 305 feet west of Dolores street.

South side Seventeenth street, 448 feet west of Guerrero street.

North side Seventeenth street, 336 and 112 feet west of Guerrero street.

South side Seventeenth street, 448 and 224 feet west of Mission street.

North side Seventeenth street, 112 and 310 feet west of Mission street.

North side Seventeenth street, 110 feet west of Capp street.

North side Seventeenth street, 144 feet west of Howard street.

South side Seventeenth street, 120 feet west of Shotwell street.

North side Seventeenth street, 120 feet west of Folsom street.

North side Seventeenth street, 300 feet west of Division street.

Southwest corners Sixteenth street, Church to Harlow streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Sixteenth and Sanchez streets.

Southeast corner Sixteenth and Dehon streets.

Northwest corner Sixteenth and Sharon streets.

South side Sixteenth street, 296 feet west of Dolores street.

North side Sixteenth street, 110 and 448 feet west of Dolores street.

North side Sixteenth street, 146 feet west of Sanchez street.

South side Sixteenth street, 235 and 325 feet west of Sanchez street.

West side San Carlos street, first and second north of Twenty-first street.

East side San Carlos street, first north of Twenty-first street.

East side Harrison street, first and second north of Twenty-first street.

West side Fifth avenue, first and second south of Irving street.

East side Fifth avenue, first and second south of Irving street.

Northeast and southwest corners Washington and Baker streets.
North side Washington street, first east of Baker street.
North side Washington street, first and second west of Baker street.
South side Washington street, first west of Baker street.
Northeast and southwest corners Washington street and Presidio avenue.
South side Washington street, first and second east of Divisadero street.
West side Harrison street, first north of Twenty-first street.

Sixteenth Street—Install 600 *M. R.*

West Dolores, Church and Sanchez streets.
East Church, Sanchez and Market streets.
Sixteenth, Church and Sanchez streets.
Sixteenth street between Pond and Prosper streets, opposite library.

Remove Double Inverted Gas Lamp.

South side Sixteenth street, 235 and 325 feet west of Sanchez street.

Seventeenth Street—Install 400 *M. R.*

East Noe, Sanchez, Church, Dolores, Valencia, Shotwell and Folsom streets.
West Sanchez, Church, Dolores, Guerrero, Mission, Howard and Shotwell streets.
Seventeenth and Division, Shotwell, Capp and Sanchez streets.
Burnside avenue and Chenery street.
Bonview avenue between Esmeralda and Eugenia avenue, pole 2195.
Ulloa street, opposite 463.
Arkansas street, 150 feet north of Twenty-third street.
Carolina street and Southern Heights boulevard.
Church street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets.
Selby and Palou streets.
Church street between Twenty-fifth and Clipper streets.
San Carlos street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets.
Valley street between Diamond and Douglass streets.
Fifth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Illinois street, 200 feet north of Sixteenth street.
Twentieth and Tennessee streets.
Harrison street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets.
Washington street between Scott and Divisadero streets.
Washington and Lyon streets.
Washington street between Baker street and Presidio avenue.
Washington street between Broderick and Baker streets.
Washington and Baker streets.

Change 400 *to* 600 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets.*

Northeast corner Ninth avenue and Judah street.
Northeast corner Eleventh avenue and Irving street.
North side Irving street, Sixth to Tenth avenues.

Install 600 *Ornamental Brackets.*

Irving street between Tenth and Eleventh avenues.
Irving street between Eleventh and Twelfth avenues.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Mayor to Sell Property on School Land, Onondaga Avenue and Lombard Street.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25076 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with the Charter, the following buildings located on properties recently purchased by the City for school purposes, to-wit:

Nos. 115, 119, 123, 129 and the two unfinished buildings on Onondaga avenue. Also Nos. 2029, 2049 to 2051, 2055, 2057, 2061 and 2063 Lombard street.

The Board of Public Works is requested to prepare specifications for the removal of the buildings by the purchaser.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were on motion of Supervisor McSheehy *laid over until February* 14, 1926:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a report has been filed by the architects for the Civic Center, comprising John Galen Howard,

Frederick H. Meyer and John Reid, Jr., which report is as follows:

To the Building Committee, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

To supplement previous reports that have been submitted to you during the year, especially that of last May, the following recommendations are presented regarding the future development and safeguarding of the Civic Center area:

First: It is recommended that Leavenworth street be carried through to Market street and that the necessary lands be purchased therefor in order to complete the street system of the Civic Center.

Second: That the architectural character of the structure to be built by the City on its twenty-foot strip of ground on the south side of Fulton street from Market to Hyde be definitely fixed and that the City adopt a policy of purchasing the gore corner of Market and Fulton streets to assure the architectural character of the entrance to the Civic Center.

Further, that the preparation of the detailed design be authorized in order that the adjoining property owners may be advised as to the architectural conditions to be met. This is particularly necessary in view of the proposal of the owner of the Pantages Theater property to finish his Hyde street frontage in accordance with the architectural treatment of the Fulton street building above referred to.

Third: That all of the remaining Civic Center sites be retained for municipal purposes exclusively.

Fourth: That provision be made for the completion of the paving of the Civic Center Plaza.

Fifth: That the architectural character of the proposed War Memorial, in view of its relation to the Civic Center, be subject to the approval of the City and County of San Francisco, through its authorized advisers.

Sixth: That in order to preserve a continuity of the original Civic Center scheme that this and all questions pertaining to the design, development and embellishment of the Civic Center be referred to an advisory committee consisting of those who have already taken part in its design and execution. This committee would consist of the three consulting architects of the scheme, the architects of the City Hall, the architects of the State Building and the architect of the Library Building.

From practical experience in the working out of the several elements connected with the Civic Center it has been found that its future dignity and uniformity of design can only be protected by a most careful supervision.

Very truly yours,

JOHN GALEN HOWARD,
FREDERICK H. MEYER,
JOHN REID, JR.,
Architects of the Civic Center.
By JOHN REID, JR.,

Therefore, be it Resolved, That the said report as herein set forth be and the same is hereby approved and adopted.

Condemnation of Land and Easements of John J. Hughes in Tuolumne County for Hetch Hetchy Purposes.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25077 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, through eminent domain proceedings, of the following described lands and easements situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, belonging to the estate of John J. Hughes, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, said lands and easements being more particularly described as follows, viz.:

Parcel 1. The east half of the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 34, and the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 34, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, M. D. B. and M.; also, all those portions of the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter of said Section 34 and the south half of the southwest quarter of Section 27, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, lying northeasterly of a line parallel to and distant 20 feet at right angles southwesterly and westerly from the line described as follows:

Beginning at a point located by running from the quarter section corner in the north line of said Section 34 by courses and distances as follows: South 0 deg. 41 min. east 482.65 feet, north 67 deg. 45 min. east 36.10 feet, south 75 deg. 15 min. east 111.33 feet, south 32 deg. 57 min. west 574.7 feet. Thence running from the point of beginning as follows: North 48 deg. 03 min. west 100 feet, north 40 deg. 43 min. west 123 feet, north 44 deg. 02 min. west 219.31 feet, north 47 deg. 02 min. west 130 feet, north 56 deg. 32 min. west 124 feet, north 14 deg. 58 min. east 104 feet, north 27 deg. 02 min. west 112 feet, north 31 deg. 10 min. west 259.57 feet, north 48

deg. 37 min. west 200 feet, north 52 deg. 57 min. west 130 feet, north 37 deg. 37 min. west 230 feet, north 69 deg. 52 min. west 131.17 feet, north 6 deg. 47 min. west 338 feet, north 32 deg. 47 min. west 150 feet, north 51 deg. 07 min. west 200 feet, north 52 deg. 47 min. west 230 feet, north 38 deg. 37 min. west 320 feet, to a point which bears north 25 deg. 16 min. east 1427.6 feet from the southwest corner of said Section 27. Excepting from said description lands now owned by the City and County of San Francisco. Containing 67 acres, more or less.

Parcel 2. All right, title and interest in and to the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 34, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, M. D. B. and M. Containing 10 acres, more or less.

Parcel 3. An exclusive subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of an aqueduct tunnel in and through the following described lands, to-wit:

All that portion of the west half of the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 34, Lots 8, 9, 10 11 and 12 in Section 33, the south half of the southeast quarter and the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 32, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, M. D. B. and M.; Lots 7 and 8 in Section 5 and Lot 9 in Section 6, Township 2 South, Range 15 East, included within a strip of land 100 feet in width, 50 feet each side of the following described center line:

Beginning at a point located by running from the quarter section corner in the north line of said Section 34, as follows: South 0 deg. 41 min. east 482.65 feet, south 0 deg. 07 min. 30 sec. east 526.3 feet; thence from the point of beginning south 69 deg. 34 min. 30 sec. west 2774.2 feet to a point in or near the west line of said Section 34, which point bears south 0 deg. 38 min. east 2016.7 feet from the northwest corner of Section 34; thence continuing south 69 deg. 34 min. 30 sec. west 5674.1 feet to a point in or near the west line of said Section 33, which point bears north 2 deg. 12 min. west 998.2 feet from the southwest corner of Section 33; thence continuing south 69 deg. 34 min. 30 sec. west 3023.4 feet to a point in the north line of said Section 5, distant along said line north 88 deg. 51 min. east 2468.5 feet from the northwest corner of Section 5; thence south 69 deg. 34 min. 30 sec. west 1996.6 feet to a point which bears south 42 deg. 43 min. 30 sec. east 881.1 feet from the northwest corner of said Section 5; thence continuing south 69 deg. 34 min. 30 sec. west 1800 feet; thence north 79 deg. 06 min. west 2083.4 feet to a point which bears south 29 deg. 08 min. 50 sec. west 935.5 feet from a mound of rock assumed to mark the northwest corner of Lot 2 in said Section 6.

The center line of said 100-foot strip is a part of the surveyed center line of the proposed Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnel right of way.

Reserving, nevertheless, to the owner of the land through which said easement described as Parcel 3 is taken, all springs and water rights without any right on the part of the City and County of San Francisco to take or diminsh the flow of any of said springs in the construction of said aqueduct tunnel, unless full compensation therefor be paid to said owner if and whenever the flow of said springs, or any of them, may be diminished due to the construction of said tunnel.

Parcel 4. The right to use and improve the existing private road commencing at the county road in Section 3, Township 2 South, Range 15 East, M. D. B. and M., and extending thence in a general westerly direction through lands in Sections 3, 4, 5 and 6, Township 2 South, Range 15 East, Sections 31 and 32, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, and Section 1, Township 2 South, Range 14 East, for the purpose of hauling or moving men, animals, vehicles, equipment and supplies, for any and all purposes in connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of the City's aqueduct, transmission lines, telephone lines. pipe lines and appurtenances; together with the right to widen any part of said road to a roadway width not to exceed 25 feet in order to permit vehicles to pass each other conveniently. Road to be kept up by the City during construction period and then to be left in as good condition as at present.

Parcel 5. The right to construct, maintain and use a road with a roadway width not to exceed 25 feet, through the lands of the Hughes estate in Lot 2, Section 2, and in Lots 1 and 2, Section 1, Township 2 South, Range 14 East, M. D. B. and M., for the purpose of hauling or moving men, animals, vehicles, equipment and supplies for any and all purposes in connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of the City's

aqueduct, transmission lines, telephone lines, pipe lines and appurtenances. The center line of said road through said lots and adjacent lands is described as follows:

Beginning at a point which bears south 2 deg. 49½ min. east 385.4 feet from the southeast corner of Section 36, Township 1 South, Range 14 East; thence running along the surveyed center line as follows: South 49 deg. 42 min. west 96 feet, south 14 deg. 34 min. west 118.2 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 82.17 feet and a central angle of 93 deg. 08 min., a distance of 133.05 feet; thence north 72 deg. 18 min. west 149.03 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 143.41 feet and a central angle of 28 deg. 23 min. a distance of 70.95 feet; thence south 79 deg. 19 min. west 32.82 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 53 deg. 38 min. a distance of 89.39 feet; thence north 47 deg. 03 min. west 110.25 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 91 deg. 49 min. a distance of 153.02 feet; thence south 41 deg. 08 min. west 14.59 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 143.41 feet and a central angle of 55 deg. 24 min. a distance of 138.5 feet; thence north 83 deg. 28 min. west 76.18 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 382.04 feet and a central angle of 16 deg. 50 min. a distance of 112.22 feet; thence south 79 deg. 42 min. west 240.29 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 36 deg. 37 min. a distance of 61.02 feet; thence north 63 deg. 41 min. west 100.45 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 382.04 feet and a central angle of 47 deg. 34 min. a distance of 317.11 feet; thence south 68 deg. 45 min. west 242.21 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 163.85 feet and a central angle of 87 deg. 34 min. a distance of 250.19 feet; thence north 23 deg. 41 min. west 4.74 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 88.44 feet and a central angle of 100 deg. 17 min. a distance of 154.28 feet; thence south 56 deg. 02 min. west 100.35 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 111 deg. 45 min. a distance of 186.25 feet; thence north 12 deg. 13 min. west 56.19 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 91 deg. 41 min. a distance of 152.81 feet; thence south 76 deg. 06 min. west 13.37 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 46 deg. 24 min. a distance of 77.33 feet; thence north 57 deg. 30 min. west 433.06 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 72 deg. 16 min. a distance of 120.44 feet; thence south 50 deg. 14 min. west 2.13 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 98 deg. 40 min. a distance of 164.44 feet; thence north 31 deg .06 min. west 68/100 foot; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 114.82 feet and a central angle of 57 deg. 47 min. a distance of 115.57 feet; thence north 88 deg. 53 min. west 322.22 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 286.57 feet and a central angle of 34 deg. 09 min. a distance of 170.75 feet; thence north 54 deg. 44 min. west 103.92 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 54 deg. 55 min. a distance of 91.53 feet; thence south 70 deg. 21 min. west 204.96 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 191.12 feet and a central angle of 82 deg. 03 min. a distance of 273.50 feet; thence north 27 deg. 36 min. west 155.26 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 98 deg. 40 min. a distance of 164.44 feet; thence south 53 deg. 44 min. west 20.58 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 85 deg. 40 min. a distance of 142.78 feet; thence north 40 deg. 36 min. west 32.33 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 103 deg. 14 min. a distance of 172.06 feet; thence south 36 deg. 10 min. west 47.27 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 88.44 feet and a central angle of 92 deg. 47 min. a distance of 142.74 feet; thence north 51 deg. 03 min. west 3.02 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 88.44 feet and a central angle of 124 deg. 33 min. a distance of 191.61 feet; thence south 4 deg. 24 min. west 126.64 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 114.82 feet and a central angle of 98 deg. a distance of 196 feet; thence north 77 deg. 36 min. west 118.31 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 88.44 feet and a central angle of 110 deg. 33 min. a distance of 170.08 feet; thence south 8 deg. 09 min. east 17.58 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 91 deg. 13 min.

a distance of 152.03 feet; thence south 83 deg. 04 min. west 21.42 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 36 deg. 42 min. a distance of 61.17 feet; thence south 46 deg. 22 min. west 24.75 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 127.52 feet and a central angle of 89 deg. 32 min. a distance of 198.96 feet; thence north 44 deg. 06 min. west 90.25 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 286.57 feet and a central angle of 30 deg. 33 min. a distance of 152.75 feet; thence north 74 deg. 39 min. west 155.98 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 49 deg. 59 min. a distance of 83.31 feet; thence north 24 deg. 40 min. west 155.91 feet; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 101 deg. 11 min. a distance of 168.64 feet; thence north 76 deg. 31 min. east 6.15 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 80 deg. 20 min. a distance of 133.89 feet; thence north 3 deg. 49 min. west 97.46 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 95.76 feet and a central angle of 59 deg. 56 min. a distance of 99.89 feet; thence north 63 deg. 45 min. west 129.03 feet to Engineer Station "A_1" 301+20 in the surveyed line for the proposed location of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnel. Said Engineer Station "A_1" 301+20 is located by running from the quarter section corner in the west line of said Section 36 by courses and distances as follows: South 22 deg. 42 min. 30 sec. west 1521.6 feet, north 79 deg. 10 min. west 101.6 feet, south 68 deg. 29 min. 30 sec. west 944 feet.

In the above description all distances along curves are as measured by 25-foot chords.

Together with the right to construct, maintain and operate a tramway to be operated by electric, gasoline or animal power along the same route for the same purpose as hereinabove mentioned.

Parcel 6. The right to construct, maintain, operate, patrol and repair a water pipe line not to exceed four inches in diameter on the surface of the ground, following approximately the route of the road described in Parcel 5, through the lands of the Hughes Estate in Lot 2, Section 2, and in Lots 1 and 2, Section 1, Township 2 South, Range 14 East.

Parcel 7. The right to construct, maintain, replace, patrol and operate a telephone line of one or more circuits supported on one line of wooden poles with all necessary accessories, following generally the location of the road described as Parcel 5, including the right to cut down or trim any trees or brush which may interfere with or constitute a menace to said telephone line.

Said telephone line need not entirely follow the curvature of said road, but may follow direct lines between curves in said road. Be it further

Resolved, That the lands described as Parcels 1 and 2 herein are required by the City and County of San Francisco as a site for a reregulating reservoir, with appurtenant structures and protective area, and as a site for the portal of an aqueduct tunnel leading from said reservoir toward the City and County of San Francsico; that the easement described as Parcel 3 is required for the construction and maintenance of an aqueduct tunnel designed to carry water for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco; that the easements described as Parcels 4, 5, 6 and 7 are necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct tunnel and appurtenant structures. Be it further

Resolved, That the City Attorney and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply are hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings in the Superior Court of the County of Tuolumne against the owners of the above described property for the purpose of acquiring such lands and easements for the public use of said City and County as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Railroad Commission to Impound Excess Water Rates for Rate Payers.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25078 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, high water rates are a hindrance to San Francisco's industrial expansion and an unjust burden upon water rate payers; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in October, 1925, adopted a resolution which was prima facie evidence that San Fran-

cisco water rates are excessive and that relief should be afforded water rate payers; and

Whereas, said rate payers are still paying excessive rates; be it, therefore,

Resolved, That the Railroad Commission be and it is hereby requested, as of January 1, 1925, to thereafter impound the proceeds of the 20 per cent water rate increase granted September, 1921, and to order that property in said impounded funds shall remain in the rate payers pending the delayed decision of the water rate hearing now before said Commission; and be it

Further Resolved, That in the interest of water rate payers the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to take the necessary action before said Commission to cause the immediate impounding of said excess revenues of said water company; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby requested to render an opinion to this Board as to whether said Commission acted in excess of its authority in fixing water rates that virtually provided capital to said water company for extensions and betterments to its system rapidly aggregating millions of dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

California Highway Commission Authorized to Expend $25,000 for Survey for Construction of Bay Shore Highway to San Jose.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25079 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the California Highway Commission be and is hereby authorized to expend the sum of $25,000 out of the unexpended balance of the funds appropriated by Resolutions No. 21304 (New Series) and No. 21339 (New Series) for the construction of the Bay Shore Highway, for a survey for a continuation of said highway to San Jose, in Santa Clara County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25080 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from February 1, 1926, within which to complete the grading of Thirtieth, Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues between Geary and Balboa streets, for the reason that the work is completed and the extension is desired in order to keep contract alive during period of acceptance and issuance of assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Also, Resolution No. 25081 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 18, 1926, within which to complete improvement of Chestnut street between Polk and Larkin streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Bronte Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 6940, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 898.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication

of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office January 8, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 898, to read as follows:

Section 898. The width of sidewalks on Bronte street between Cortland avenue and Jarboe avenue shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Accepting Deed of Wm. H. Humphrey to Land for Alley Way.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25082 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 31st day of December, 1925, between Wm. H. Humphrey and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

On this 2d day of December, 1925, I, Wm. H. Humphrey, hereby grant to the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the use and purpose of an open public footway or alleyway, and for sewers and surface drainage and public utility wires, pipes or conduits, the following described real estate, situated in San Francisco, California, and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly boundary of Lot 1, Block "N," Park Lane Tract No. 6, with the northerly line of Seventeenth street, and running thence northerly at right angles with Seventeenth street along the easterly boundary of Lots 1, 2 and 3 of said Block "N," a distance of 70.087 feet, more or less, to the angle point in the easterly boundary of said Lot 3; thence northerly along the easterly boundary of Lots 3, 4 and 5 of said Block "N" 48.15 feet, more or less, to the angle point in the easterly boundary of said Lot 5; thence northerly along the easterly boundary of Lots 5 to 11, inclusive, of said Block "N" 159.87 feet, more or less, to the angle point of the common corner of Lots 11 and 12 of said Block "N"; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly boundary of said Lot 12, 17.355 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 5 feet to a point distant 12 feet at right angles easterly from the second preceding course extended and produced northerly; thence southerly parallel with the third preceding course and distant 12 feet at right angles easterly therefrom, a distance of 145.386 feet; thence southeasterly 27.921 feet to a point distant 32.240 feet at right angles easterly from the first course of this description extended and produced northerly and distant 120 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Seventeenth street; thence southerly 50.522 feet to a point distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the first course in this description and distant 70 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Seventeenth street; thence southerly at right angles with Seventeenth street 70 feet to the northerly line of Seventeenth street; thence at right angles westerly along the northerly line of Seventeenth street 25 feet to the point of beginning.

To have and to hold the said premises, together with the appurtenances, unto the said party of the second part and its successors forever for the uses and purposes above mentioned.

In witness whereof, the said party of the first part has hereunto set his hand the day and year first above written.

WM. H. HUMPHREY.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Conditional Acceptance, Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 6941, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Avalon avenue between Naples and Edinburgh streets; Avalon avenue between Vienna and Naples streets and crossing of Avalon avenue and Vienna street; Burrows street between Somerset and Holyoke streets; Baden street between Joost and Mangels avenues; Baden street between Monterey boulevard and Joost avenue and crossing of Baden street and Joost avenue; Cabrillo street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues; Deming street between Clayton street and Lower Terrace and the intersection of Deming street and Lower Terrace; Foerster street between Judson and

requirements of Section 16 of Article XII of the Charter there is hereby appropriated from said fund the following sums to be set aside, held and used exclusively for the following purposes in the order in which they are set forth, viz.:

First: Out of the first moneys deposited and to be deposited in said fund there is hereby appropriated the sum of $166,666 for the payment during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1926, of the operation expenses of the power houses and transmission lines on the Hetch Hetchy project, including administrative and miscellaneous expense incidental to the operation of said power houses and transmission lines, workmen's compensation insurance and pension contributions required under Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) and ordinances amendatory thereof, and also for the repairs and reconstruction of any portion of the works on said project necessary or incidental to the operation and maintenance of said power houses and transmission lines.

Second: There is appropriated out of the moneys deposited and to be deposited in said fund so soon as the same shall be in excess of the said amount required for the purposes of Subdivision First of this section, the further sum of $83,333 as a depreciation reserve fund for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1926, for the purpose of meeting the costs of replacements of any portion of the works utilized in the production and transmission of hydro-electric power which shall become worn out or destroyed as a result of operation, the action of the elements, or any casualty, and also for the purpose of creating a fund for the future replacement of such parts of said works as may, for any one or more of the last mentioned reasons, require replacement in the future.

Third: The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that of the proceeds of the total Water Bond Issue of $45,000,000 voted in 1910, approximately $9,000,000 thereof has been used for the construction of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy project, which is used for the generation and transmission of hydro-electric power, and that for said reason it is proper that interest on and redemption of said $9,000,000 of said bond issue should be provided for out of power revenues. It is, therefore, hereby ordered that out of the rest, residue and remainder of the moneys accruing to said Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1926, after deducting from the same the appropriations provided for in Subdivisions First and Second of this section, there shall be and there is hereby appropriated and transferred to the "Water Bond Interest and Redemption Fund" a sufficient amount to provide for the payment of interest on and redemption of $9,000,000 of the Water Bonds of said issue of 1910, for the purpose of paying interest on and redemption of the portion of said bonds issued for the acquisition and construction of said utility, as hereinbefore segregated.

Section 3. For each fiscal year commencing with July 1, 1926, and July 1 of each year thereafter, there is hereby similarly appropriated from said Operative Fund: First, the sum of $200,000 for the purposes specified in the First Subdivision of Section 2 hereof; second, the sum of $175,000 for the purposes specified in the Second Subdivision, Section 2 hereof; and third, after deducting said sums, sufficient for the rest and remainder of said moneys accruing to said fund during each of said fiscal years to pay the annual interest on and to provide for the redemption of the said $9,000,000 of bonds of the 1910 issue hereby allocated to the construction of the portions of the Hetch Hetchy project which is used for the generation and transmission of hydro-electric power; provided, further, that if and whenever the moneys deposited in said fund shall become more than sufficient to meet the operation, maintenance, depreciation, interest, and redemption charges above specified, in either the fiscal year 1925-1926, or in any subsequent fiscal year, then in such event the Board of Supervisors may make further appropriations from said fund for the additional purposes specified in Section 16 in Article XII of the Charter.

Section 4. All expenditures for operation, maintenance and depreciation charges as above defined shall be paid out of the respective appropriations therefor by the Treasurer, upon demands duly approved by the Board of Public Works and the Auditor.

Section 5. At the beginning of the fiscal year, July 1, 1926, the balance remaining in said Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund and not appropriated for specific additions or betterments, shall be held for and applied exclusively toward the acquisition or construction of a transmission line for carrying electric energy generated at the Moc-

casin plant from Newark to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Welch, moved passage to print of the bill.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the bill lay over two weeks and be made a special order of business, at 3 p. m.

Amendment agreed to and bill *laid over until February* 1, 1926, *at* 3 *p. m.*

At the request of Supervisor Marks, copies of the ordinance, together with a statement of its effect and purpose, and the City Engineer's allocation of capital costs was ordered to be furnished each member.

Citizens Committee, Washington's Birthday Observance.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Resolution No. 25083 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to appoint a committee of citizens to provide for the proper observance of Washington's Birthday, February 22, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

License Ordinance Amendment, Parking Station.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes, etc., by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 19-A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section, to be numbered Section 19-A, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), to read as follows:

Automobile Parking Stations.

Section 19-A. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating an automobile parking station shall pay a license of twelve dollars and fifty cents per quarter for each such station.

Referred to Police Committee.

Amending License Ordinance, Automobile Greasing.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes, etc., by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 19-B.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section, to be numbered Section 19-B, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), to read as follows:

Automobile Greasing.

Section 19-B. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of automobile greasing, where such business is conducted separate and apart from the business of conducting an automobile supply station or automobile parking station, shall pay a license of ..... dollars per quarter.

Referred to Police Committee.

Municipal Broadcasting Station.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the proper committee of this Board investigate the advisability of the erection of a municipal broadcasting station, the prevailing thought being that said station might be of tremendous service to the Police and other departments and could not only be made self-sustaining but profit-bearing.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

List of Spur Tracks Granted From 1916 to date.

Supervisor Richard J. Welch, Chairman Street and Commercial Development Committee, presented the following, which was ordered *printed* in the Journal:

All members present voted in favor.

New Series Ordinance No.		*Approved*
3799	Great Western Smelting and Refining Co., Spear street	June 27, 1916

3800	San Francisco Warehouse Co., Second and Harrison streets	June 27, 1916
3817	Cornwall, Bruce, Second and Harrison streets	July 11, 1916
3826	Brandenstein, M. J., & Co., Second street, near Townsend	July 19, 1916
3827	Grosjean, C. E., North Point, between Taylor and Jones streets	July 20, 1916
3843	National Carbon Co., Eighth and Brannan streets	July 28, 1916
3847	Stauffer Chemical Co., Alameda and Potrero avenue	Aug. 16, 1916
3905	Lagallet, Hellwig Norton Tanning Co., Quint street	Sept. 19, 1916
2910	Knowles & Hossmer, Alameda street	Sept. 26, 1916
3987	Western Fuel Co., Spear street, between Folsom and Harrison	Nov. 29, 1916
4006	Crocker Investment Co., Front and Vallejo streets	Dec. 14, 1916
4007	Schilling & Co., A., Second and Folsom streets	Dec. 14, 1916
4056	Utah Coal Sales Co., Vermont and Alameda streets	Feb. 16, 1917
4057	Josue, Chas. G. J., Second and Silliman streets	Feb. 16, 1917
4058	Finn, John, Second and Harrison streets	Feb. 16, 1917
4070	McGilvray Company, Townsend street	Feb. 14, 1917
4078	Ogden Packing Co., Crooks street, near Townsend street	Feb. 28, 1917
4087	Knowles, F. E., San Bruno avenue	Feb. 28, 1917
4105	Magnolia Metal Co., Bryant street	Mar. 14, 1917
4104	Hopkins, Timothy, Bluxome street	Mar. 14, 1917
4108	Soule, E. L., Potrero avenue and Davidson street	May 8, 1917
4109	National Paper & Products Co., Montgomery street	May 17, 1917
4101	Sugarman Iron & Metal Co	May 17, 1917
4209	Davis Estate Co., Jacob, Second street	May 31, 1917
4212	Ford Motor Company, Harrison and Twenty-first streets	June 12, 1917
4221	Micheletti, P., Davis street	June 28, 1917
4246	Dold Packing Co., Jacob, Front and Pacific streets	July 5, 1917
4270	American Can Co., Harrison street	July 27, 1917
4303	Carrillon, Chas. A., Eighth street	Aug. 29, 1917
4347	Coast Smelting & Refining Co., Illinois street	Oct. 8, 1917
4363	Mary E. Carter, Front street	Oct. 22, 1917
4443	S. F. Iron & Metal Co., North Point street, between Stockton and Powell streets	Dec. 27, 1917
4485	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co., Spear and Harrison streets	Feb. 5, 1918
4486	Board of State Harbor Commissioners, Arthur avenue	Feb. 5, 1918
4550	Hind Company, Fifth street, between Bryant and Brannan streets	April 1, 1918
4568	Fleischmann Co. of Cal., from Potrero Block 358, Minnesota street to Twenty-fourth street	April 25, 1918
4574	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co., Bay street at Kearny street	April 24, 1918
4631	Philippine Vegetable Oil Co., Townsend and Japan streets	June 25, 1918
4659	Fishbeck Soap Co., Seventeenth, Mariposa, Carolina, DeHaro streets	July 31, 1918
4680	Rosenberg Bros., Islais Creek at Rankin	Aug. 29, 1918
4711	Hind Estate Co., Eighteenth and Folsom streets	Nov. 4, 1918
4712	Moore Shipbuilding Co., Second street, between Brannan and Townsend streets	Nov. 4, 1918
4757	Holmes Investment Co., Tenth & Bryant streets	Jan. 15, 1919
4771	Pacific Coast Steel Co. (Office Rialto Building), Fifteenth and Rhode Island streets	Jan. 29, 1919
4780	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co., Minnesota and Twenty-fourth streets	Feb. 13, 1919

4803 Ford Motor Co., Twenty-first and Harrison streets .....Mar. 11, 1919
4832 American Trading Co., North Point and Powell streets .....Apr. 23, 1919
4837 Cutting Packing Co., Drumm street from Embarcadero and Broadway, along Embarcadero to property on Drumm street, between Pacific and Jackson streets.....Apr. 28, 1919
4826 Miss Marion L. Sherman, Second street, between Bryant and Brannan, care L. S. Sherman. Sherman Clay & Co., Sutter and Kearny streets .....Apr. 9, 1919
4879 Hendy Iron Wks., Jos., Kearny and Francisco..June 30, 1919
4886 Nelson, O. A., Jefferson and Taylor.....July 10,1919
4929 Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, Bluxome and Sixth streets .....Aug. 26, 1919
4933 City Coal Co., Fremont and Bryant streets, First and Fremont streets.....Sept. 18, 1919
4945 Newhouse, Hugo D., Twelfth, between Folsom and Harrison .....Sept. 24, 1919
4995 Heise, A. P., Howard and Steuart.....Nov. 19, 1919
5022 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe, Block 18, Spear street .....Dec. 26, 1919
5024 Michelin Tire Co., Twelfth, between Howard and Mission .....Dec. 26, 1919
5124 Moran & Co., Florida and Division.....Apr. 15, 1920
5125 American Trading Co., North Point and Mason streets .....April 20, 1920
5147 Felton Co., Brannan and Second streets.....May 14, 1920
5148 California Pacific Packing Co., Jefferson street, Taylor and Jones.....May 7, 1920
5217 Southern Pacific Co., Second street, switching cars .....Aug. 25, 1920
5478 Anderson, H. F., Front, between Jackson and Pacific (vetoed) .....Sept. 20, 1921
5581 Helbush, H. H., Harrison and Twenty-first streets .....March 2, 1922
5602 Graham, Jas., Mfg. Co., northwest Second and Bryant .....March 30, 1922
5646 McKillop, C., Harrison and 19th streets.....June 21, 1922
5677 McLeran, Ralph, Harrison and Mariposa.....Aug. 16, 1922
5678 Stulsaft Co. et al., Ritch, Brannan to Bluxome
5715 Los Angeles Soap Co., northeast Second and Brannan .....Oct. 21, 1922
5716 McNab & Smith, Brannan, between Seventh and Eighth streets .....Oct. 21, 1922
5745 Potter J. Sheldon, across Stanford street, between Brannan and Townsend. Ordinance No. 5732 repealed.....Nov. 10, 1922
5765 Hellman, Richards (Inc.), Sixteenth and Harrison .....Dec. 31, 1922
5801 Southern Pacific Co., North Point street, Grant avenue and Jones street.....Jan. 23, 1923
5802 Standard Oil Co., Jefferson and Jones streets...Jan. 23, 1923
5818 Jackson, Alice M., Division, Ninth and Brannan streets .....Mar. 9, 1923
5847 Southern Pacific Co., Fifth street, from Townsend to Bryant streets.....Apr. 11, 1923
5848 M. Stulsaft & Co., southwest Fifth and Bryant streets .....
5849 Kohler Co., southwest corner Second and South Park streets .....Apr. 16, 1923
5850 Continental Furniture Manufacturing Co., east line of Florida street, between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets .....Apr. 20, 1923
5851 Nucoa Butter Co., east line of Florida street, between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets.....Apr. 26, 1923
5852 California Pottery Co., westerly line of Florida street, between Eleventh and Twelfth streets..Apr. 20, 1923
5853 Ralph McLeran Co., east line of Florida street, between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets....Apr. 20, 1923

5883	American Can Co., Illinois and Twentieth streets	May 17, 1923
5918	Soule, Edw. W., Army, Twenty-fifth and Pennsylvania	June 15, 1923
5961	North Beach Auto Hauling, south line of Berry street, 127 feet westerly from west line of Seventh street	July 26, 1923
5985	Palm Olive Co., Second street, between Bryant and Brannan streets	Sept. 1, 1923
6030	Reinhart Lumber Co., Barneveld avenue and Jerrold avenue	Oct. 23, 1923
6031	Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Co., Pennsylvania avenue and Mariposa street to block 305 Potrero avenue	Oct. 23, 1923
6052	Richmond Sanitary Mfg. Co., Brannan street, between Dore and Tenth streets	Dec. 4, 1923
6064	California School of Mechanical Arts, easterly side of Utah street at Fifteenth street	Nov. 27, 1923
6065	Globe Grain and Milling Co., Chestnut street, between Kearny and Montgomery streets	Nov. 27, 1923
6066	Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Co., Harrison and Spear streets, Harrison and Steuart streets	Nov. 26, 1923
6067	Southern Pacific Co., First street, Bryant and Beale streets	Nov. 27, 1923
6088	Southern Pacific Co., permission to operate over tracks Ocean Shore Railway	Dec. 19, 1923
6090	A. V. Beronio, Jerrold avenue and Barneveld	Dec. 19, 1923
6091	Southern Pacific Co., North Point street, crossing Mason street	Dec. 19, 1923
6092	Lyons California Glace Fruit Co., Florida street, between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets	Dec. 19, 1923
6093	Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Co., Illinois street and Twenty-fifth street	Dec. 19, 1923
6133	Great Western Smelting and Refining Co., Steuart, Harrison and Folsom streets	Feb. 1, 1924
6148	R. W. Kinney, Fifth street, between Bryant and Brannan streets	Feb. 28, 1924
6171	Howard Realty Co., on Ritch street, between Bryant and Brannan streets	Mar. 6, 1924
6173	Warehouse Investment Co., on North Point street, Grant avenue and Kearny street	Mar. 3, 1924
6177	W. H. Sullivan at Sixteenth and Florida streets	Mar. 10, 1924
6193	Rathjen Bros., Inc., on Bluxome street, between Fourth and Fifth streets	Apr. 7, 1924
6194	A. Schilling & Co., on Second street at termination of existing spur track	Apr. 7, 1924
6195	Healy Tibbits Construction Co., on North Point, between Mason and Taylor streets	Apr. 10, 1924
6204	The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Co., Davidson avenue and Quint street	Apr. 18, 1924
6212	Southern Pacific Co., on Fifth street, between Bryant and Harrison streets	Apr. 25, 1924
6233	Sullivan, Walter H., Ritch street	May 9, 1924
6245	Southern Pacific Co., Seventh street, between Hubbell and South streets	May 22, 1924
6246	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Co., Iowa street, between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets	May 22, 1924
6250	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Co., Main and Bryant streets	June 2, 1924
6263	American Can Co., Florida street, between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets	June 23, 1924
6282	The Lurie Co., Harrison street, between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets	July 1, 1924
6276	Real Estate & Development Co., Missouri street, between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets	July 1, 1924
6275	American Rolling Mill Co. of California, Tenth street, between Bryant and Division streets	June 26, 1924
6294	Terminal Warehouse Co., Brannan street, between First and Second streets	July 21, 1924

6302	Jos. Lerer & Sons, Harrison street, between Eleventh and Twelfth streets. Repealing Ordinance 6172 (New Series)	July 31, 1924
6325	Western Pacific Railroad Co., across Seventeenth street and along the east side of De Haro street to Sixteenth street	Aug. 19, 1924
6348	John Roebling's Sons, Sixteenth and Carolina streets	Sept. 19, 1924
6356	Western Pacific Railroad Co., across San Bruno avenue at Loomis street	Sept. 30, 1924
6357	The Best Foods, Inc., Florida street, between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets	Sept. 30, 1924
6361	Premier Bed and Spring Co., Yosemite street and Carroll avenue	Oct. 6, 1924
6362	Southern Pacific Co., Yosemite street and Carroll avenue	Oct. 6, 1924
6418	Southern Pacific Co., Florida and Alameda streets	Nov. 26, 1924
6469	Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway, Spear street, between Folsom and Harrison streets	Jan. 6, 1925
6486	Western Pacific Railroad Company, Loomis and San Bruno avenue	Jan. 22, 1925
6516	Western Pacific Railroad Co., Ninth near Brannan streets	Feb. 21, 1925
6537	Merchants Ice & Cold Storage, Lombard and Montgomery streets	Mar. 11, 1925
6538	Pan American Petroleum Co., Wisconsin and Sixteenth streets	Mar. 11, 1925
6539	Southern Pacific Company, Harrison and Nineteenth streets	Mar. 11, 1925
6544	Southern Pacific Company, Yosemite and Armstrong streets	Mar. 18, 1925
6588	Southern Pacific Company, Visitacion avenue, between San Bruno avenue and Hart	Apr. 27, 1925
6610	Western Pacific Railroad, Army, Missouri and Mississippi streets	Apr. 30, 1925
6619	T. G. Knight, Berry and Seventh streets	May 9, 1925
6620	Felix Gross, Channel and Seventh streets	May 9, 1925
6650	Premier Bed and Spring Company, Yosemite and Carroll avenues	May 29, 1925
6651	Western Pacific Railroad Company, San Bruno avenue and Holladay street	June 26, 1925
6668	Southern Pacific Company, Fifth street and Brannan street	June 26, 1925
6669	Southern Pacific Company, Newcomb avenue and Quint street	June 26, 1925
6701	Southern Pacific Company, Newcomb avenue and Quint street	July 16, 1925
6736	Western Pacific Railroad Company, Marin and Kansas	Aug. 11, 1925
6737	Commercial Center Realty Company, Jefferson street, between Mason and Taylor streets	Aug. 11, 1925
6742	Thos. G. Knight, et al., Berry and Seventh streets	Aug. 14, 1925
6761	Pacific Box Factory, North Point and Taylor streets	Sept. 2, 1925
6774	Southern Pacific Company, Third, Keith, Carroll avenue, Jennings and Ingalls streets	Sept. 12, 1925
6790	California Baking Company, Twelfth street, between Folsom and Kissling	Sept. 25, 1925
6805	Western Pacific Railroad Company, Barneveld and Jerrold avenues	Oct. 6, 1925
6862	Western Pacific Railroad Company, bounded Seventh and Ninth, Harrison and Brannan streets	Dec. 4, 1925
6863	Southern Pacific Company, Harrison street, between Eighth and Fourteenth streets	Dec. 4, 1925
6864	Southern Pacific Company, bounded Sixth and Seventh, Townsend and Bluxome streets, etc.	Dec. 4, 1925

Spur Track Permits Granted from January 1, 1916, to Date.
(Names of Members Voting No.)

New Series Ordinance No.		*Approved*
3766	Schmidt Lithograph Co., Second and Bryant streets. *Noes*—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hynes, Lahaney, Mulvihill, Nelson, Nolan, Walsh	June 16,1916
3919	Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Fourth and Townsend streets. *Noes*—Supervisors Brandon, Gallagher, Hynes, Lahaney, McLeran, Nelson, Nolan, Walsh	Oct. 11, 1916
4085	Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Fourth and Bluxome streets. *Noes*—Supervisors Lahaney, Walsh	March 6, 1917
4086	Protestant Episcopal Bishop, et al., Second and Harrison streets. *Noes*—Supervisors, Gallagher, Lahaney	Feb. 28, 1917
4264	M. J. Brandenstein, Third street, near Townsend street. *Noes*—Supervisors Hynes, Nelson, Walsh	July 17, 1917
4988	John A. Sears, Twelfth and Mission Streets. *No*—Supervisor McSheehy	Nov. 6, 1919
5748	Southern Pacific Company, First, Bryant and Beale streets. *Noes*—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy	Nov. 28, 1922
5901	Michelin Tire Company, southwest corner of Twelfth and Howard streets. *Noes*—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz	May 29, 1923
5902	H. H. Helbush, northeast line of Twelfth street, between Folsom and Harrison streets. *Noes*—Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz	May 29, 1923
5903	Lerer & Sons, Twelfth and Harrison streets. *Noes*—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz	May 29, 1923
5904	Dempster Estate, southwest line of Twelfth street, between Mission and Howard streets. *Noes*—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz	May 29, 1923
5905	Ocean Shore Railroad Company, northeast corner Howard and Twelfth streets, etc. *Noes*—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz	May 29, 1923
6511	Providing for use of certain railroad tracks owned by the City and County of San Francisco, which were formerly owned by the Ocean Shore Railroad Company. *Noes*—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon	Feb. 2, 1925
6512	Southern Pacific Company, Twelfth and Harrison Street to Howard street. *Noes*—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon	Feb. 2, 1925

Financial Statement, Joint Highway District No. 1, January 18, 1926.

Richard J. Welch, Chairman Joint Highway District Commission No. 1, presented:

The statement of August 25, 1924, showed:

Total receipts to that date	$54,521.44
Disbursements to that date	49,853.19
Balance cash on hand	$ 4,668.25

Continuing the statement:

1924—Balance cash on hand	$ 4,668.25
October 24—Received from San Francisco	20,000.00
November 28—Returned deposit San Mateo County	100.00
January 1, 1925—Received from Santa Clara County	2,700.00
	$27,468.25

Expenditures:	
Paid for fencing	$22,794.18
Cost of acquiring right-of-way	2,504.42
Moving buildings, etc.	1,271.94
Expenses of district	822.50
Balance cash on hand	75.21
	$27,468.25

There is due to the district the following sums:

From San Mateo County.	$ 7,200.00
From Santa Clara County	7,200.00
From Santa Cruz County	3,600.00
	$18,000.00

These sums will be paid whenever the State Highway Commission indicates its purpose to undertake new construction work. San Mateo County has indicated its desire to make its contribution at once, and a claim therefor has been sent to the Supervisors for allowance.

Total receipts	$54,521.44
	22,800.00
	$77,321.44

Respectfully submitted,
H. A. MASON, Secretary.

Evaluation Proceeding and Power Line Losses.

Privilege of the Floor.

E. P. E. Troy was granted the privilege of the floor on motion of Supervisor Shannon and discussed the Hetch Hetchy power project, criticizing the length of time taken by the City Attorney's office and the Railroad Commission in evaluating the distribution systems of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Great Western Power Company, and urging that the City proceed at once to construct its own line and stepdown station. He also alleged that the line loss allowed the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in the power contract was excessive as compared with Pasadena and other parts of California.

Clerk to Advertise for Bids for Office Space for District Attorney's Office, Adult Probation Board, Etc.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25055 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be directed to advertise in the official newspaper to solicit offers for office space for the District Attorney's office, the Adult Probation Board and the Public Defender's office, in accordance with the recommendation of the Special Committee appointed by the Mayor.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Auditorium Rentals.

Resolution No. 25084 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be and are hereby granted permission to conduct masquerate balls, upon payment of the usual license fees:

San Francisco Schwaben Verein, California Hall, Polk and Turk streets, Saturday evening, January 16, 1926.

Danish Ladies Society Thyra, Gymnastic Hall, 2460 Sutter street, Saturday evening, January 23, 1926:

Bayern Bund, California Hall, Polk and Turk streets, Saturday evening, January 30, 1926.

United Swiss Society of California, California Hall, Turk and Polk streets, Saturday evening, February 6, 1926.

Unione Sportiva Italiana Association, 1451 Stockton street, Sunday evening, February 14, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 4:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 1, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 4

Monday, January 25, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 25, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 25, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Badaracco was called to the chair.

Supervisor Deasy appeared at the meeting today for the first time since his illness and received an ovation from the members of the Board and others present. He remained until after the vote on the Mayor's veto of the Liberty Dyeing and Cleaning permit and then was excused.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 4, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Special Meeting, League of California Municipalities, to Consider Metered Telephone Service Increase Allowed by Railroad Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the League of California Municipalities, advising that there will be a special meeting of said league, comprising representatives from cities south of Tehachapi, in the Masonic Room, Alexandria Hotel, Los Angeles, at 2 o'clock p. m., January 26, 1926, and a meeting of representatives from the cities north of Tehachapi in the West Room, Hotel Stewart, San Francisco, at 2 o'clock p. m., January 28, 1926, to consider order obtained from the said Railroad Commission by the Southern California Telephone Company placing in force in the City of Los Angeles, after March 21, 1926, a metered toll service requiring all users of phones to pay to the telephone company after a certain minimum 3½ cents for every call. This order will increase the revenue of the telephone company more than $1,000,000 annually in addition to the increases of more than 100 per cent in the last few years.

It is requested that San Francisco and each of the cities interested send at least one delegate to the conference to learn first hand of the facts relative to the controversy in question.

Referred to the acting chairman, Supervisor Badaracco, with full power to go in the premises.

Subsequently, at the meeting, Supervisor Badaracco named Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon and Marks, a committee of three, to represent this City.

Water Shortage.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Dr. Wm. C. Hassler, Health Officer, calling attention to the fact that San Francisco is facing a shortage of water and that no assurance, except that heavy rain fall for the remainder of the season can administer great relief to public health and declaring that immediate steps be taken to safeguard the people from the menace of disease by providing additional wells in the Richmond District, by discontinuance of the use of water for washing streets and flushing sewers and by conserving water being used in the high pressure system by substituting salt water.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

San Francisco Peninsula Airport Site, South San Francisco.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the South

of San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, submitting blue print and various data of the San Francisco proposed airport site at South San Francisco.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Southern Pacific Trackage on Newcomb Avenue.

Communication, from Southern Pacific Company, requesting that Board of Supervisors rescind its action passing Ordinance No. 6701 so that the original Ordinance No. 6669 may stand, allowing Southern Pacific Company exclusive privilege of operating and maintaining trackage on Newcomb avenue.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Mayor's Veto, Liberty Dyeing and Cleaning Works.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Liberty Dyeing and Cleaning Works permit, North Point street, west of Larkin street (Resolution No. 24959).

Veto Sustained.

The question being put, "Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor," the roll was called and the Mayor's veto was sustained by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—10.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Powers—7.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Set-back Line Hearing—2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishing of set-back lines along portions of Cervantes boulevard, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7434, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Cervantes boulevard, Firteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 28th day of December, 1925, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 107, to establish set-back lines along Cervantes boulevard, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue, and fixed the 25th day of January, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northeasterly side of Cervantes boulevard, commencing at a point 146.7 feet southeasterly from the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Beach street, if produced southwesterly, with the northeasterly line of Cervantes boulevard, if produced northwesterly, and running thence southeasterly 310 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; along the southwesterly side of Cervantes boulevard, commencing at a point 192.332 feet southeasterly from Beach street, and running thence southeasterly to Alhambra street, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly to Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly to Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors

and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforeseid.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Blankets.

Sealed proposals for furnishing blankets for use of various departments were received and opened at 3 p. m. and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Fire Engine.

Sealed proposals for furnishing fire engine for use of the Fire Department were received and opened at 3 p. m. and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Steel Lockers.

Sealed proposals for steel lockers for the School Department were received and opened at 3 p. m. and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Ambulance Bodies.

Sealed proposals for ambulance bodies for the Health Department were received and opened at 3 p. m. and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25085 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Barrett & Hilp, labor and material for alterations to the Beach Chalet (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $635.

(2) Eaton & Smith, final payment for grading at Lincoln Park (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $1,654.88.

(3) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, poultry for Beach Chalet (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $544.23.

California Palace of Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61).

(4) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished California Palace of Legion of Honor (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $607.03.

(5) Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Co., rental of wire telephony for radio broadcasting from California Palace of Legion of Honor, December and January (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $658.

Library Fund.

(6) San Francisco News Co., periodicals for Public Library (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $3,966.82.

(7) G. E. Stechert & Co., books for Public Library (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $2,413.81.

(8) San Francisco News Co., books for Public Library (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,017.32.

(9) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for Public Libraries (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $615.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(10) F. Boeken, contingent fund expenditure for account of claim of Dr. S. J. Apfel (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $875.

(11) United States Steel Products Co., track special work for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $5,302.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $1,284.20.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(13) John Reid, Jr., sixteenth payment, architectural services for account of Relief Home buildings (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,465.31.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1925.

(14) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $3,549.41.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $612.94.

(16) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., Twenty-fourth payment, construction of substructures for steel bridge across Dumbarton Straits (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $144,452.14.

General Fund, 1923-1924.

(17) Alfred I. Coffey, third payment, architectural services for account of Southern Police Station building (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,188.18.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(18) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, second payment, architectural services for account of Harbar Emergency Hospital building (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,938.24.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(19) A. Carlisle & Co., printing and binding Department of Elections registration affidavits (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $4,476.

(20) Link-Belt Meese & Gottfried Co., link belt chain, Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $667.45.

(21) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $1,232.67.

(22) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $1,356.10.

(23) E. B. & A. L. Stone Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $1,646.30.

(24) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $1,512.22.

(25) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $955.

(26) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $8,233.85.

(27) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $8,059.95.

(28) F. X. Lehner, fertilizer furnished Marina improvement (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $1,090.

(29) Board of Park Commissioners, for reimbursement of Park Fund for labor and material furnished for Marina improvement (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $2,231.65.

(30) Board of Park Commissioners, for reimbursement of Park Fund for labor and materials furnished for Marina improvement (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $2,467.71.

(31) Wayne Tank & Pump Co., gasoline tanks installed at Police Stations (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $714.17.

(32) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $867.20.

(33) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock, etc., for street repair (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $698.51.

(34) Simon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $782.

(35) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $3,658.65.

(36) Schultz Construction Co., final payment for furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $5,948.

(37) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,470.58.

(38) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $862.68.

(39) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,756.84.

(40) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $2,220.

(41) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,401.55.

(42) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,327.47.

(43) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $699.52.

(44) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $24,178.84.

(45) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $9,696.13.

(46) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $3,795.14.

(47) Edward R. Bacon Co., one multifoote concrete paving mixer for Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 4, 1926), $6,250.

(48) California Agencies, premium on official bond of Crocker First National Bank of San Francisco, as fiscal agents for the City and County (claim dated Jan. 4, 1926), $1,000.

(49) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing the Municipal Record for December (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $825.87.

(50) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing Law and Motion and Trial Calendar for December (claim dated Jan. 18, 1926), $940.

(51) San Francisco Bulletin, Board of Supervisors' official advertising (claim dated Jan. 18, 1926), $715.17.

(52) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,031.31.

(53) M. Greenberg's Sons, hydrants for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $3,628.50.

(54) Hanlon Drydock and Shipbuilding Co., repairs and renewals to Fire Boat "Dennis T. Sullivan" (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $2,149.32.

(55) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity and gas furnished Fire

Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,882.80.

(56) Shell Company, fuel oil furnished Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,464.28.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department and for removing and installing hydrants (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $3,688.55.

(58) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting, etc., for month of December, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 18, 1926), $50,825.63.

(59) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $543.22.

(60) E. B. Frick, Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, allowances for rooms for institutional nurses, porters and orderlies (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $558.22.

(61) Eugene Benjamin Co., oxygen for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $624.70.

(62) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $617.73.

(63) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee and tea for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $620.85.

(64) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,577.88.

(65) Haas Brothers, groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $754.45.

(66) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1925), $720.55.

(67) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs and sundries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $646.18.

(68) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,989.51.

(69) Fred L. Hilmer Company, butter and cheese for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $2,229.31.

(70) L. Scatena & Co. and A. Galli Fruit Co., fruits, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $582.77.

(71) C. Nauman & Co., fruits, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $578.73.

(72) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,258.73.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(73) Burnham Plumbing Co., third payment, plumbing, etc., for New Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1.363.50.

(74) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment, architectural services for the New Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,068.68.

(75) J. R. Miller, fifth pyament for architectural services for the Alamo School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,406.56.

(76) G. Albert Lansburgh, fourth payment for architectural services for the Alvarado School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $543.65.

(77) Standard Electrical Construction Co., first payment for electric work for Le Conte School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $636.75.

(78) John Reid, Jr., twenty-first payment, architectural services for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $810.53.

(79) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment for architectural services for additional units to Galileo High School, gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $939.73.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(80) Universal Concrete Gun Co., royalties and fees in connection with lining of tunnel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $61,187.12.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Appropriation, $42,788.69, Transfer From Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue Fund to Credit of Water Construction Fund, and Rescinding Resolution No. 24878 (New Series).

Resolution No. 25086 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,788.69 is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue Fund to the credit of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, to reimburse said Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, for moneys expended out of said fund for the operating expenses of the Mocassin Power Plant for the months of October and November, 1925; and

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 24878 (New Series) setting aside and appropriating $23,470.87 out of moneys received from the sale of Hetch Hetchy power to the credit of the 1910 Water Bond Fund be and the same is hereby rescinded and repealed.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Appropriation, $150,000, Out of County Roads Fund for Widening State Highway, South of Colma.

Resolution No. 25087 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a report is on file in the Clerk's office of the Board of Supervisors showing that an agreement has been had respecting the widening of the State Highway south of Colma, and to defray the cost of which the Market Street Railway Company has agreed to pay the sum of $110,000 and perform certain construction work, the County of San Mateo would contribute the sum of $50,000, the City and County of San Francisco the sum of $150,000, and the paving to be done by the State Highway Commission at an estimated cost of $250,000; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the sum of $150,000 is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund, such expenditure to be approved by the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of acquiring rights of way, making plans and specifications, and such work of improvement as may be necessary other than that agreed to be done by said State Highway Commission.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 25088 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

H. H. Harris, 1166 Haight street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Laguna Pacific Co., northwest corner of Pacific and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. L. Singewald, south side of Green street, 87 feet 6 inches east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25089 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jeffers & Murray be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Howard street, 225 feet east of Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25090 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That McGary Robson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the junction of Mission and Morse streets between Whittier and Lowell streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Resolution No. 25091 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Mill and Cabinet Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop on the east side of San Bruno avenue, 318 feet 4 1-3 inches north of Dickenson street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Haven-

ner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 25092 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while excavating on property situate on the northeast corner of Alabama and Sixteenth streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Bronte Street.

Bill No. 6940, Ordinance No. 6948 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 898.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office January 8, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 898, to read as follows:

Section 898. The width of sidewalks on Bronte street between Cortland avenue and Jarboe avenue shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Conditional Acceptance, Streets.

Bill No. 6941, Ordinance No. 6949 (New Series), entitled, "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Avalon avenue between Naples and Edinburgh streets; Avalon avenue between Vienna and Naples streets and crossing of Avalon avenue and Vienna street; Burrows street between Somerset and Holyoke streets; Baden street between Joost and Mangels avenues; Baden street between Monterey boulevard and Joost avenue and crossing of Baden street and Joost avenue; Cabrillo street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues; Deming street between Clayton street and Lower Terrace and the intersection of Deming street and Lower Terrace; Foerster street between Judson and Staples avenues; Forty-eighth avenue between Geary and Anza streets; Forty-eighth avenue between Geary street and Point Lobos avenue; Forty-seventh avenue between Geary street and Point Lobos avenue; Gilman avenue between Griffith and Hawes streets and between Hawes street and Ingalls street and crossing of Gilman avenue and Ingalls street; Holyoke street between Bacon and Wayland streets; Ingalls street between Egbert and Fitzgerald avenues; Marsilly street between Bosworth street and its southerly termination; McKinnon avenue between Lane and Mendell streets; Pacheco street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues and between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues; Rice street between De Long and San Jose avenues; Somerset street between Bacon and Burrows streets; Somerset street between Felton and Burrows streets; Thirty-second avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets; Thirtieth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets; Thirty-seventh avenue between Anza and Balboa streets; Wilson street between De Long avenue and Rhine street; crossings of Pacheco street and Seventeenth avenue and Pacheco street and Eighteenth avenue; crossing of

Burrows street and Somerset street."

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Action Deferred.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6942, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, January 16, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Berry street between Second and Third streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters 2 feet in width adjacent to the above mentioned curbs; by the construction of 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes and Y branches; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation covered with an asphaltic concrete binder course 1½ inches thick, and an asphaltic wearing surface 2 inches thick.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $72,177.26, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $55.17.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Bond Fund, Issue 1918.

(1) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 19, 1926), $510.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(2) United States Steel Products Co., eleventh payment, steel bridge superstructure for pipe line across

Dumbarton Straits (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $26,651.13.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(3) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $1,430.57.

(4) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $2,753.28.

(5) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for December, per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $1,600.18.

(6) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $37,978.21.

(7) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., electric lamps for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $567.30.

(8) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $6,725.10.

Park Fund.

(9) The Anglo & London Paris National Bank, reimbursement for payments made for account of French Art Exhibit at the California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $2,491.07.

(10) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service for parks (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $813.98.

(11) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $900.13.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(12) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending Dec. 31, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $738.

(13) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $602.57.

(14) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for county jails (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $658.82.

(15) The California Baking Co., bread furnished county jails (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $936.24.

(16) Del Monte Meat Co., meats furnished county jails (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $1,138.29.

(17) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Police Department (claim dated Jan. 18, 1926), $1,055.57.

(18) Rickenbacker Sales Company, one Rickenbacker phaeton for Police Department, less allowance on touring car (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $875.

(19) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of Park Fund for labor and water furnished Civic Center for months of November and December, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $1,078.83.

(20) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of Park Fund for labor and material expended on convenience stations July 1 to Dec. 31, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $9,550.76.

(21) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $618.98.

(22) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for account of street repair (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $791.43.

(23) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $853.65.

(24) Pacific Portland Cement Co. Con., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $1,720.85.

(25) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., lamps for Board of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $508.63.

(26) Hugh McGill, final payment for moving Spanish War Memorial from Van Ness avenue and Market street (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $2,365.

(27) City Construction Co., third payment, improvement of Geary street between Mason street and Van Ness avenue (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $4,500.

(28) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, blankets for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $540.80

(29) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,574.95.

(30) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,874.88.

(31) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,040.

(32) Shell Company, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,636.80.

(33) Miller & Lux Inc., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $944.30.

(34) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $707.88.

(35) Jacobsen-Reimers Co., groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,305.

(36) California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,253.60.

(37) Sherry Bros., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $2,211.11.

(38) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $3,819.85.

(39) Shell Company, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $2,532.50.

(40) Symon Bros., teams for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 19, 1926), $796.38.

(41) H. E. Albach, filling in material at bridges located at Sickels avenue and De Wolf street, and at San Jose avenue and Regent street (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $1,250.

(42) Sibley Grading and Teaming Co., filling in material at Sickels avenue bridge (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $760.

(43) Sibley Grading and Teaming Co., filling in material at San Jose avenue bridge (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $717.50.

(44) Campbell & Dalton, one Ford coupe for Department of Public Health (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $624.80.

(45) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Department of Public Health (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,449.17.

County Road Fund

(46) W. B. Clarke & Son, trees for planting on slopes of boulevard to Harding Park (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,350.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(47) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., steel pipe and fittings for Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $12,167.

(48) Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital), for hospital care of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $1,939.

Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment.

(49) Auditor's office, services rendered and expenses incurred in extending and experting Duboce Tunnel Assessment Tax Books (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $660.

Playground Fund.

(50) Hugh McGill, partial payment on underpinning the Jackson Field House (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $1,000.

Special School Tax.

(51) American Radiator Co., boiler and parts for school buildings (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $1,009.01.

(52) Dan P. Maher & Co., brushes, enamel, etc., for schools (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $603.18.

(53) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $652.36.

(54) George H. Tay Company, urinal stalls, etc., for schools (claim dated Jan. 19, 1926), $708.24.

Auditorium Fund.

(55) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for Pop Concert of Jan. 8, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $2,000.

Appropriation, $3,750, Payment to Henrietta Sittenfeld for Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, and authorized in payment to Henrietta Sittenfeld; being payment for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; being Lot No. 3, Block 6661, as per Assessor's Map, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25036, New Series (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926).

Appropriation, $19,500, Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Roosevelt Way.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $19,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Roosevelt way from Fourteenth street to Clayton street, to enable final payment for the macadamizing of the roadway to permit of settlement of fills before permanent pavement is laid, together with other items of extra work.

Appropriation, $2,000, School Construction Fund, for Assistant Bookkeeper and Stenographer, Bureau of Accounts, Board of Public Works.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the employment of an assistant bookkeeper and stenographer in the Bureau of Accounts, Department of Public Works, for the period February 1 to June 30, 1926; being a proportion of the accounting expense due to the construction and reconstruction of schools.

(Department of Public Works Resolution No. 89506, Second Series).

Appropriation, $51,000, for Land for Municipal Warehouse Repealed.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25093 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 24955 (New Series) setting aside

and appropriating $51,000 out of "Land for Municipal Warehouse," Budget Item No. 70, and authorizing its payment to Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company for land situate on Harrison street be and the same is hereby rescinded and repealed.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved reference of the resolution to the City Attorney for his opinion as to its legality.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Hayden—3.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

No—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Hayden changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that be would move for reconsideration at next meeting.

Accepting Offer of Thomas M. Hughes to Sell Land in Tuolumne County Required for Hetch Hetchy Right of Way.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25094 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply have recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owner of the following described land and easements situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Thomas M. Hughes, $14,500.

Parcel 1. Portion of Sections 27 and 34, T. 1 S., R. 15 E., M. D. B. and M., containing 66.25 acres, more or less, for Moccasin re-regulating reservoir site and protective area.

Parcel 2. A portion of Section 34, T. 1 S., R. 15 E., M. D. B. and M., containing 10 acres, more or less, for Moccasin re-regulating reservoir site and protective area.

Parcel 3. Sub-surface right of way easement for an aqueduct tunnel through Sections 5 and 6, T. 2 S., R. 15 E., M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 4. Right to use and improve existing private road extending through Sections 3, 4, 5 and 6, T. 2 S., R. 15 E., Sections 31 and 32, T. 1 S., R. 15 E., and Section 1, T. 2 S., R. 14 E., for the purpose of hauling or moving men, animals, vehicles, equipment and supplies for any and all purposes in connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct.

Parcel 5. Right to construct, maintain and use a road through Sections 1 and 2, T. 2 S., R. 14 E., M. D. B. and M., for same purposes as mentioned in Parcel 4.

Parcel 6. Right to construct, maintain and operate, patrol and repair a water pipe line following approximately the route of the road described in Parcel 5.

Parcel 7. Right to construct, maintain, replace, patrol and operate a telephone line following generally the location of the road described in Parcel 5.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, the above described offer of the above named property owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned land and easements for the sum set forth opposite his name and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option, be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said party of the acceptance of his said offer, to examine the title to said land and easements, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Haven-

ner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Accepting Offer of Giuseppe Fontana to Sell Land Required for Market Street Extension.

Superviosr McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25095 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Giuseppe Fontana, $100.

Beginning at a point distant 69.882 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Eighteenth street, and distant 575 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, said point being on the westerly boundary line of Lot No. 23, of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract, and running thence northerly, parallel with Hattie street, along said westerly boundary line 9.493 feet to the northerly boundary line of said lot; thence deflecting 84 degrees 59 minutes 58 seconds to the right and running easterly along said northerly boundary line 20.061 feet; thence deflecting 155 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds to the right and running southwesterly 19.115 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of 1235-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 0 degree 11 minutes 03 seconds, a distance of 3.970 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lot No. 23 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract.

It is hereby understood that the above mentioned sum also includes damages in full to the adjoining portion of said Lot 23, of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract, caused or to be caused by the future establishment of a grade on the proposed extension of Market street.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Accepting Offer of Anna C. Moren to Sell Land Required for Market Street Extension.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25096 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Anna C. Moren, $50—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Market street north of Caselli avenue, distant thereon 116.059 feet southerly from the southwesterly line of Mono street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Market street 18.492 feet to a point distant 125 feet at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Mono street; thence deflecting 68 degrees 16 minutes 52 seconds to the left and running southeasterly, parallel with the southwesterly line of Mono street, a distance of 0.935 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 205-foot radius, central angle 5 degrees 16 minutes 21 seconds, a distance of 18.865 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot No. 5 in Block No. 3, Mountain Spring Property.

The above amount includes damages in full to the concrete wall now partially located on the above described parcel.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Accepting Offer of M. A. Harris et al. to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25097 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

M. A. Harris, $3,814—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Jerrold avenue with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Jerrold avenue 164.076 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left of 17.679-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 104 degrees 57 minutes 44 seconds, a distance of 32.387 feet to the northeasterly boundary of the property of Pietro and Rosa Zanardi; thence deflecting 110 degrees 10 minutes 44 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary 74.568 feet to the northerly boundary of said Zanardi property; thence deflecting 59 degrees to the left and running westerly at right angles with San Bruno avenue along said northerly boundary 30.500 feet to the easterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 67.820 feet to the point of beginning.

It is understood and agreed that the City and County of San Francisco will pay the portion of the assessment for the paving of Jerrold avenue which will be levied against the above described parcel.

John P. Cosgrove, $1,500—Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot No. 304, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 60 feet to the northerly boundary of said Lot No. 304; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 60 feet to the southerly boundary of said Lot No. 304; thence at a right angle westerly along said southerly boundary 25 feet to the point of commencement. Being the westerly 25 feet of Lot No. 304, Precita Valley Lands.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25098 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month ending November 30, 1925, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under the terms of

franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parnassus and Ninth Avenue Line, $263.39.

Parkside Transit Company, $468.12.

Gough Street Railroad, $49.55.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 108.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25099 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Head street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Randolph street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Sargent street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Head street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Randolph street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly to Sargent street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Moraga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 90 feet northerly from Balboa street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly to Anza street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the northerly side of Jackson street between Locust street and Laurel street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Vallejo street between Octavia street and Laguna street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 23d day of February, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 109.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25100 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Shields street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Faralones street, commencing at a point 200 feet easterly from Capitol ave-

nue and running thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence easterly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence easterly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the southerly side of Farallones street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Capitol avenue and running thence easterly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 103½ feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly to a point 82½ feet southerly from Quintara street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

Along the northerly side of Twentieth street, commencing at Sanchez street and running thence easterly to a point 155 feet westerly from Church street, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 23rd day of February, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisors Marks, and on motion, *laid over one week*:

Temporary Building Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby given to James Arnott & Son to temporarily use a building to be erecetd on the south side of Taraval street, 82 feet 6 inches west of Seventeenth avenue for the storage and display of material used in the construction of residences in a nearby residential district, for the development of such district.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

P. A. Bergerot, 3060 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Locke Breaux, Jr., west side of San Buena Ventura way, 70 feet south of San Anselmo avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

A. C. Hamerton, south side of West Portal avenue, 150 feet west of Vicente street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Harold Johnson, north line of Page street, 180 feet west of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. M. Richardson, east side of Divisadero street, 100 feet north of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Leon Sloss, Jr., south side of Broadway, 150 feet east of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Standard Pie Co., 2811 Army street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. H. Stephenson, southwest corner of Fourteenth avenue and Portola drive, 600 gallons capacity.

Teutonic Bakery, 2808 Twenty-fourth street, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Alberta Candy Company, 2201 Third street, 30 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while excavating on property on the west side of Sansome street between Vallejo and Green streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor,

in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis L. Cooper be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Lombard and Divisadero streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Cleaning and Dyeing Works Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Independent Cleaning and Dyeing Works be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cleaning and dyeing works on the northeast corner of Erie and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alberigi & Sorini be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted F. E. Palmer by Resolution No. 24609 (New Series) for premises at 240 Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Condemnation of Land for Fire Department Purposes.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 25101 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and use require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco and any and all rights and claims thereto, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on a line running parallel with the easterly line of Barneveld avenue and distant 160 feet at right angles easterly therefrom, said point of beginning being distant along said parallel line 397.508 feet northerly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue, and running thence westerly at right angles with Barneveld avenue 90 feet; thence at right angles northerly 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 90 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the point of beginning, being Lot 1753 of Gift Map No. 4 or Lot No. 11 of Assessor's Block No. 5571, and that portion of Stringham street that reverted to said lot by the closing of said street.

Resolved, Further, That all the lands, rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable and necessary for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, Further, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, condemnation proceedings against the owners of and all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the land hereinbefore described, for the purpose of acquiring a title in fee simple to such described lands for the City and County of San Francisco for uses herein set forth.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25102 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby instructed to install, change and remove street lights as follows:

Install 600 M. R.

Bryant street, first and second west of Fourth street.

Bryant street, first east of Fifth street.

Remove Gas Lamps.

Castle street between Union and Green streets.

Pixley street between Buchanan and Webster streets.

Pixley street between Webster and Fillmore streets.
North side Perry street, first and second east of Fifth street.
South side Perry street, first and second west of Fourth street.
North side Bryant street, first, sceond and third east of Fifth street.
South side Bryant street, first and second west of Fourth street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Castle street between Union and Green streets.
Pixley street between Buchanan and Webster, Webster and Fillmore streets.
Thirty-ninth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.
Cora street between Sunnydale and Visitacion avenues.
Thomas and Hawes streets.
Costa street and San Bruno avenue.
Perry street, east of Fifth street.
Perry street, west of Fourth street.
Scott street between Filbert and Greenwich streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Accepting Offer of Jean Laclerque to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25103 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Jean Laclerque to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the southwest corner of Rivera street and Twenty-third avenue, of dimensions 57 feet 6 inches by 100 feet, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which such parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,200 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of Rivera street with the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Rivera street 57 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 57 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue; thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 100 feet to the southerly line of Rivera street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Report of Public Welfare Committee on Discontinuance of the Municipal Record.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Your Committee on Public Welfare, charged under the rules of this Board with the publication of the "Municipal Record," herewith presents the following statement, setting forth the history of this publication, its present status, and the conclusions of the committee in respect thereto:

History—This publication was started in 1908, primarily for the purpose of giving information to the public in regard to the expenditure of $17,000,000, the amount of bonds issued for a large number of public improvements; also to keep the public informed of the details of and progress made in acquiring the rights in Hetch Hetchy.

Subscription was free and in a short time reached a volume of 15,000 and cost the City approximately $15,000 a year.

In 1915 this was deemed to be an extravagance and to curtail the expenditure a subscription price of fifty cents a year was charged.

Immediately the subscription list dropped to less than 1000, at about which it has since remained.

The last report showed a mailing list of 1042, of which probably 100 are "free". The actual paid subscription is less than 1000, which brings in about $500 a year.

Present Status—At present and as now conducted, the "Record" serves no particular purpose and gives official information to a meager number of persons.

This condition was brought to the attention of the Public Welfare Committee last June and the suggestion was made that by the issuance of a special number of the "Record" once a month, attractively printed, and devoted to some special line of municipal activity, that public interest would be stimulated and the subscription list increased to measurable proportions.

The suggestion was favorably acted upon, and since July six very attractive special numbers of the "Record" have been printed and 5000 copies issued. The last report shows that 1042 copies were mailed and the bulk of the remainder distributed through the Library and Police Departments.

The scheme has brought but few new subscribers and from the point of enlarging the circulation has been a failure.

The cost of issuing one special number is approximately $700 and the cost per month is about $1,000. Reduced to a subscription basis the City pays out $1 and receives four cents in return.

The appropriation in the budget from which the cost of printing is paid has been largely overdrawn and now shows a deficit of more than $6,000.

The regular weekly issue of the "Record" is 2000.

In view of the above-recited facts and the pressing necessity for a curtailment of the City's expenses, your committee has reached the conclusion that the "Municipal Record" should be discontinued and a resolution to that effect is herewith presented and recommended for adoption.

MILO F. KENT,
ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
ALFRED RONCOVIERI,
Committee on Public Welfare.

Discontinuance of Municipal Record.

Resolution No. 25104 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to discontinue the publication of the Municipal Record after the current week's issue and cancel the contract for its printing, as provided for in such contract; that subscriptions paid in advance be refunded to subscribers and that the Finance Committee be requested to provide therefor.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up and *passed for printing*:

Taxicab Ordinance.

Bill No. 7435, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the use of taxicabs, automobiles and public vehicles for hire, fixing the rate to be charged for the transportation of persons and personal baggage, regulating the use of boats in the waters of the bay, providing a punishment for any violation thereof and repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. (a) The term "Taxicab," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean all motor vehicles propelled by power other than muscular, the rental for which is computed for the distance traveled by means of a taximeter attached thereto.

(b) The term "Taximeter," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to mean and embrace any instrument or device attached to a vehicle and designed or intended to measure mechanically the distance traveled by such vehicle, to record the time the said vehicle is in waiting, and to indicate upon such record by figures or designs the fare to be charged in dollars and cents.

(c) The term "Automobile," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean all such motor vehicles other than taxicabs, the rental for which is computed upon the hour or mileage basis, from the time such vehicle is in service, except "jitney busses" as defined by Ordinance No. 3212 (New Series) and "sightseeing busses" and "interurban busses" as such terms are defined in Ordinance No. 5118 (New Series).

(d) The term "public vehicles for hire," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace "taxicabs" and "automobiles" as herein defined; also "jitney busses"

as defined by Ordinance No. 3212 (New Series) and "sight-seeing busses" and "interurban busses" as such terms are defined by Ordinance No. 5118 (New Series).

(e) The term "job wagon," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean every vehicle which shall be used for the conveyance of goods, packages or freight from place to place in this City and County for hire, except handcarts and vehicles used by merchants, dealers and manufacturers exclusively for the delivery of their wares to customers.

(f) The term "Boat," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean every water craft, whether propelled by manual, wind, or motive power, used for the conveyance of persons from place to place for pay.

Sec. 2. The following are hereby designated as public stands for licensed taxicabs and automobiles:

(a) At municipal docks and wharves of passenger-carrying ships and other vessels.

(b) Railroad depots.

(c) Around such public squares, except Union Square, as may be designated by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco from time to time, but not on the street, crosswalks, or in double lines.

All of the stands mentioned in this section shall be open to all properly licensed taxicabs and automobiles.

Sec. 3. (a) The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco may designate in writing, stands on public streets (except around Union Square) to be occupied by taxicabs and automobiles after permit to engage in business has been granted by the Board of Police Commissioners and the license fee has been paid as in this or other ordinances provided.

(b) Before any designation of stands is made by the Mayor as provided in this section, the written consent of the tenant or lessee of the ground floor or portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located must first be obtained. In the event the ground floor or the portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located is not occupied by a tenant or lessee, then the written consent of the owner of the building fronting the space where such stand is to be located must be first obtained.

(c) No stand shall be hereafter designated within twenty feet of any street crossing.

(d) The Chief of Police shall designate the number of taxicabs or automobiles that shall be allowed to stand at any one time at any of the places designated by the Mayor.

(e) Any stand designated by the Mayor may be revoked at his pleasure and without notice to any person except the Chief of Police and the holder of the permit; and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to occupy a stand with a taxicab or automobile after such revocation and notice has been made.

Sec. 4. (a) The Chief of Police may, upon the application of the manager of any hotel, designate points on the public street in front of or in the immediate vicinity of such hotel for the standing of one or more taxicabs or automobiles, so that the said taxicabs or automobiles may be available for use in the transportation of guests to and from such hotel.

(b) Any stand designated by the Chief of Police as provided in this section may be revoked at his pleasure; and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to occupy said stand with a taxicab or automobile after such revocation has been made and notice thereof given.

Sec. 5. The Chief of Police may issue permits not to exceed thirty-five in number at any one time for taxicabs, automobiles and sight-seeing busses, to stand on the north side of Geary street between Stockton and Powell streets and on the west side of Stockton street between Geary street and Post street. None of the vehicles mentioned in this section shall stand on the streets mentioned herein unless the permit from the Chief of Police has been first obtained. Not more than one permit shall be issued to any person, firm or corporation or association for more than one vehicle at any one time.

Sec. 6. All vehicles occupying stands, whether designated by the Mayor, the Chief of Police, or otherwise provided for in this ordinance, shall be under the supervision and control of the Chief of Police, and when a stand is occupied by the full number of vehicles authorized no other vehicle shall loiter or wait near by to take the place thereat.

Sec. 7. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage, within the City and County of San Francisco, in the business of operating any vehicle for the transportation of persons for hire, without having first procured a permit in writing from the Board of Police Commissioners of said City and

County, authorizing him or it so to do. Applicants for such permit shall file with said Board an application upon blanks furnished by said Board, containing full information concerning the fictitious name or names under which he or it intends to conduct said business, and the peculiar or distinguishing color scheme or design or dress (including any monogram or insignia), intended to be used upon his or its vehicle or vehicles, the number of vehicles proposed to be operated and the type of said vehicles, and such other information as said Board may require.

Sec. 8. No permit shall be issued by said Board of Police Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation if it appears:

(a) That the motor vehicle or motor vehicles proposed to be operated by the applicant are inadequate or insufficient for the purpose intended, or insufficiently equipped with reasonable safety devices.

(b) That the firm, corporation or association making the application is not a bona fide one; or is not authorized to do business in this state.

(c) That the person making the application is not a citizen of the United States, or is not of good moral character.

(d) That said person, firm or corporation proposes to engage in said business under a fictitious name or names, which in the opinion of said Board, imitates or so closely resembles the fictitious name or names of any person, firm or corporation already engaged in the same business in said City and County of San Francisco as will or may tend to deceive, or that said person, firm or corporation proposes to use upon his or its vehicle or vehicles a peculiar or distinguishing color scheme or design or dress which, in the opinion of said Board, imitates or so closely resembles a color scheme or design or dress already used upon the vehicle or vehicles of any other person, firm or corporation engaged in the same business, as will or may tend to deceive or defraud the public.

Sec. 9. It shall be unlawful for any owner or lessee of any taxicab or automobile to make or cause to be made any changes whatever in the color or distinguishing characteristics of said taxicabs or automobiles unless the permission of the Board of Police Commissioners has first been obtained.

Sec. 10. It shall be unlawful for any person soliciting patronage for any vehicle used for the transportation of passengers for hire to represent, by word or sign or hatband or insignia or badge or by his manner or style of dress, that the vehicle for which he is soliciting such patronage is a vehicle owned or operated by a person, firm or corporation other than the one who actually owns and operates said vehicle.

Sec. 11. Every person, firm or corporation shall adopt a distinguishing color scheme, design or dress for all taxicabs operated by it and shall use the same on all taxicabs so operated by it.

Sec. 12. Should any person, firm or corporation holding a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to operate one or more taxicabs or automobiles desire to add to the number of such motor vehicles, application therefor shall be made to the Commission in the same general form as the original application and the Commission shall grant the right to operate such additional motor vehicles.

Sec. 13. No person shall be permitted to act as a driver or chauffeur of any taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus, or interurban bus, unless he holds a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners, a chauffeur's permit from the State of California and a license as required by this or other ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

Sec. 14. Drivers' licenses and permits shall be issued as of the 1st day of January of each year, and every year, and shall be valid, unless revoked as in this ordinance provided, up to and including the 31st day of December, next succeeding. The Board of Police Commissioners may cause the renewal of the driver's license from year to year by appropriate indorsement of said Board of Police Commissioners upon the application for renewal and payment of the annual fee. The driver, in applying for the renewal of his license, shall make such application upon a form to be furnished by the Police Department of said City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Application for Renewal of Driver's License," which shall be filled out with the full name and address of the applicant, together with a statement of the date upon which his original license was granted, and the number thereon, except that all persons to whom have been issued permits and licenses preliminary to the passage of this ordinance shall be required to make out original applications at the beginning of the next time-period after the passage of this ordinance.

Sec. 15. In addition to the per-

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

mits required by Section 14 of this ordinance there shall be furnished to each licensed driver of a taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus or interurban bus a metal badge of such form and style as the Tax Collector may prescribe, with the license number of such driver thereon and the year said badge is issued, which must, under penalty of revocation of the license, be constantly and conspicuously displayed on the outside of the driver's coat when he is engaged in his employment, and shall only be worn by the person to whom the badge is issued.

Sec. 16. Each applicant for a driver's permit from the Board of Police Commissioners must:

(a) Be a citizen of the United States and of good moral character.

(b) Be of the age of 21 years or over;

(c) Be of sound physique with good eyesight and not subject to epilepsy, vertigo, heart trouble, or any other infirmity of the body or mind and not be addicted to the use of intoxicating liquor or narcotics, which might render him unfit for the safe operation of a taxicab, automobile, interurban or sightseeing bus;

(d) Be able to read and write the English language.

(e) Be clean in dress and person;

(f) Produce certificates of his good character from two reputable citizens of the City and County of San Francisco who have known him personally and observed his conduct during one year next preceding the date of his application;

(g) Fill out upon a blank form to be provided by the Police Commission a statement giving his full name, residence, place of residence for five years next preceding the date of his application, age, color, height, color of eyes and hair, place of birth, length of time he has resided in the City and County of San Francisco, whether a citizen of the United States, places of previous employment covering five years next preceding the date of his application, whether married or single, whether he has ever been convicted of a felony or of a misdemeanor, whether he has been previously licensed as a driver or chauffeur and if so, whether his license has ever been revoked and for what cause; which statement shall be signed by the applicant and filed with the Police Commission as a permanent record.

Sec. 17. Each applicant for a driver's permit must file with his application two recent photographs of himself of a size that may be easily attached to his license, one of which shall be attached to his license when issued, and carried by such licensed driver at all times when driving a taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus, or interurban bus, and showing that such driver is a licensed driver, and the other shall be filed with his application with the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco. The photograph shall be so attached to the license that it cannot be removed and another photograph substituted without detection. Each licensed driver shall, upon demand by any police officer or a passenger, exhibit his license and photograph for inspection.

Sec. 18. Each applicant for a driver's permit under the provisions of this ordinance shall be examined by a police officer designated by the Chief of Police as to his knowledge of the provisions of this ordinance, the California Vehicle Act, the traffic regulations of the City and County of San Francisco, the geography of the said Ctiy and County, and if the result of the examination be unsatisfactory he shall be refused a permit.

Sec. 19. The Board of Police Commissioners, in the exercise of a sound and reasonable discretion, when the public interest and safety may require, may revoke any permit issued under the provisions of this ordinance; provided, however, that any permit issued under the provisions of Section 7 of this ordinance shall not be revoked except upon hearing.

Sec. 20. Every taxicab and sightseeing bus for the operation of which a permit has been issued by the Board of Police Commissioners shall have the name of the owner plainly painted in letters at least two inches in height in the center of the main panel of the rear doors.

Sec. 21. Sightseeing cars shall not stand upon any public square, street or other public place except between the hours of 8:30 and 10 o'clock a. m., between 12:30 and 2 o'clock p. m., and between 6:30 o'clock p. m. and 7 o'clock a. m., except on Sundays and holidays.

Rates for Sightseeing Cars.

Sec. 22. Sightseeing automobiles accommodating ten or more passengers shall not charge more than one dollar and fifty cents per passenger per trip, and each trip shall not be less than two hours' duration, and shall follow the route as advertised by the owner or driver of such automobile.

Sec. 23. The rates of fare for automobiles, whether offered for

hire or furnished for special calls, shall not exceed the following: For automobiles having a capacity for four passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour or fraction thereof, two dollars and fifty cents; for each succeeding half hour, two dollars. For automobiles having a capacity for six or more passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour or fraction thereof, three dollars; for each subsequent half hour, two dollars and fifty cents. Provided, however, that no automobile shall charge a rate of fare from any ferry or railraod terminal that shall exceed the metered mileage rate specified in the next suceeding section, and the distance from such terminal to the point of destination shall be measured by the shortest route along open public streets.

Charges for Taxicabs.

Sec. 24. The following schedule of charges for taxicabs be and is hereby adopted as the legal taxicab rate in the City and County of San Francisco, and shall not be exceeded:

Taximeter Rate.

Tariff No. 1 (one or two passengers):

First quarter-mile or fraction thereof, forty cents.

Each one-quarter mile thereafter, ten cents.

Each two minutes of waiting, ten cents.

Tariff No. 2 (three or four passengers):

First one-fifth mile or fraction thereof, forty cents.

Each one-fifth mile thereafter, ten cents.

Each two minutes of waiting, ten cents.

For each additional passenger over four persons for the entire journey, fifty cents.

Hour Rate.

First one-half hour or fraction thereof, two dollars; second half hour, one dollar and fifty cents.

Each subsequent hour, three dollars and fifty cents.

The passenger when engaging the taxicab shall elect whether he will employ it by taximeter or hour rates.

Sec. 25. The use of any inaccurate taximeter or other measuring instrument for the purpose of gauging or indicating distance traveled, or waiting time, or for the purpose of fixing rates to be collected from the public is hereby prohibited, and it shall be the duty of the owner or lessee in possession, including any corporation or officer or agent thereof responsible therefor of any vehicle mentioned in this ordinance, using any taximeter or other measuring instrument, to at all times keep said taximeter or other measuring instrument accurate.

(a) Every taximeter or other measuring instrument used for the purpose of gauging or indicating distance traveled or waiting time or for the purpose of computing fares to be collected from the public shall be subject to inspection at all times by the Police Department. The Chief of Police may at any time detail police officers to inspect any or all taximeters or other measuring instruments so used when in his opinion such instruments are inaccurate. Any police officer is directed, upon complaint of any person that the fare charged is more than the legal fare, to investigate and report such complaint immediately to the Chief of Police who shall cause such taximeters or other measuring instruments to be at once inspected. Any person, firm or corporation who uses any taximeter or other measuring instruments which registers fare in excess of the legal fare and collects such fare is subject to revocation of license.

(b) Each taxicab, while in use in the City and County of San Francisco, for the transportation of passengers for hire, shall be equipped with an efficient illuminating device, either flexible or fixed, so arranged as to enable the passenger or passengers to conveniently observe the meter and the amount of fare registered thereon.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any automobile, in soliciting trade from the public, to represent his vehicle as a taxicab unless it is equipped with a taximeter in working order, and duly inspected and approved, as in this section provided.

Sec. 26. In any case of disagreement between the driver and passenger of a public vehicle for hire relative to the legal fare to be paid, the driver shall convey the passenger to the nearest Police Station, where the officer in charge shall immediately decide the case, and if the decision is in favor of the passenger, the driver shall convey the passenger from the Police Station to his original destination without additional charge; if the passenger is about to leave the City by railroad, steamboat or otherwise, the police officer on duty at the depot or wharf shall decide the case.

(a) All drivers or operators of public vehicles for hire, upon the demand of any passenger, shall give a receipt for fare paid, such receipt

to be in a form satisfactory to the Chief of Police.

Sec. 27. If any driver, proprietor or lessee of a taxicab, automobile or sightseeing bus, shall refuse to convey a passenger at the rates hereinabove provided, or demand or receive an amount in excess of his legal hire, he shall be liable to the penalty provided by this ordinance, and shall return to the passenger any amount he may have received in excess of his legal fare.

(a) Any charge, or attempt to charge any passenger a greater fare than that to which the taxicab, automobile or sightseeing bus is entitled under the provisions of this ordinance shown either by confession of the party, or competent testimony; or any failure on the part of any driver or operator of any taxicab or automobile to make proper returns to the owner of such taxicab or automobile shall immediately suspend the license of such driver or operator until such time as the case is finally disposed of by the proper magistrate.

Sec. 28. (a) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of a taxicab to throw the flag of the taximeter in a recording position when such vehicle is not actually employed.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any taxicab to fail to throw the flag of such taximeter to the non-recording position at the termination of each and every service and to call the attention of the passenger to the amount registered.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of a taxicab while carrying passengers or under employment to display the flag affixed to such taximeter in such position as to denote such taxicab is not employed, or in such position as to denote that he is employed at a rate of fare different from that to which he is entitled under the provisions of this ordinance.

Sec. 29. Any person who shall refuse to pay the legal fare for a taxicab or sightseeing bus or automobile, as prescribed in this ordinance, that he has hired, shall be guilty of misdemeanor, and on conviction thereof be compelled to pay to the driver of said vehicle an amount equal to the legal fare, and in case any bail required is forfeited, the amount of the legal fare shall be paid to the driver from such amount so forfeited, and the court, before the case is heard, shall order the same to be paid from the treasury of the City and County.

Sec. 30. It shall be unlawful for any person to solicit patronage for public vehicles on the public streets or grounds, but the fact that such public vehicle displays a device to indicate that such vehicle is not engaged shall not of itself be considered as soliciting patronage.

Sec. 31. Drivers and operators of public vehicles for hire shall promptly report to the Detective Bureau of the Police Department and within twenty-four hours all property of value left in their vehicles by passengers.

Sec. 32. The driver of any public vehicle for hire shall be entitled to charge not to exceed one (1) dollar for each trunk and twenty (20) cents for each large valise or bag carried outside the vehicle, and each passenger shall be entitled to have conveyed without charge such valise or small package as can be conveniently carried within the vehicle. Each driver shall load and unload all baggage without charge.

Sec. 33. When public vehicles for hire are engaged by the hour the driver at the time of hiring shall hand to the passenger a card upon which shall appear, first, the name and address of the owner; second, the name of the driver of such vehicle and the number of his license; third, the exact time of such hiring.

Sec. 34. Every taxicab, automobile and sightseeing bus shall have permanently affixed to the interior thereof, in a place readily to be seen by passengers, a frame covered with glass, enclosing a card not less than six (6) inches square, upon which shall be printed in plain, legible letters the schedule of rates prescribed in this ordinance, applicable to every such vehicle.

(a) The said frame and enclosed card must be approved by the Chief of Police.

Sec. 35. In case any vehicle described in this ordinance shall, while conveying for hire or reward any passenger or passengers, become disabled, or shall break down, the time of stoppage shall be deducted from the time charged for.

Sec. 36. It shall be unlawful for any person to be in any boat at night on the waters of the bay, with intent to use or to use such boat for the conveyance of persons from place to place, for hire, without having in said boat a lighted lantern at least six inches square, with the number of said boat painted thereon in plain Arabic figures of such size and form as to be readily seen and read, and which, upon the demand of any person, shall be exhibited.

Sec. 37. It shall be unlawful for

any runner, soliciting agent, or driver, or motorman, of any public vehicle for hire, or of any job wagon, to misrepresent in any manner whatsoever the character of the business engaged in, or being solicited for, or to personate or attempt to impersonate any other runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire, or of any job wagon, in the conveyance or transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise, or any other person, or to convey or transport persons, baggage or merchandise to any place or destination other than the place or destination engaged for.

(a) No person having charge of or soliciting patronage for any vehicle or boat shall, for the purpose of securing patronage, make any false representations concerning the ownership or employment of such vehicle or boat.

Sec. 38. Every person, firm or corporation owning or using any boat upon which a license tax is imposed by any order or ordinance shall have attached to said boat a pair of metallic plates to be furnished by the Tax Collector on payment of the license tax. Each of said pair of plates shall bear a different number and specify the year for which issued. Said plates shall be attached in the manner and place designated by order or ordinance for the attachment of boat number plates, or, if not so designated, in a position to be designated by the Tax Collector. When so attached, neither of said plates shall be removed from said boat without the authorization of the owner.

Sec. 39. The number designated for any boat shall be placed thereon in two places, either by tacking thereupon the tins furnished by the Collector of Licenses, or by painting such number upon the boat, in plain Arabic figures not less than one and one-half inches in height, and of proportionate width, and of such color as to be readily seen and distinguished.

(a) The number of each boat shall be placed on both sides thereof within two feet of the bow, on the outside of each boat, immediately below the gunwale.

Sec. 40. No person shall use or drive, or permit to be used or driven, any boat belonging to him, or under his control, which, by any of the provisions of this ordinance, is required to be numbered, without having the appropriate number thereof, and no other, placed thereupon in the manner and place provided in Section 41 of this ordinance, nor with such number inverted, covered, mutilated, obliterated, or obscurely painted, or illegible.

Sec. 41. Any person driving or having control of any vehicle on which a number is required to be placed shall give the number of his vehicle on the inquiry of any person.

Sec. 42. It shall be unlawful for any person to engage in the business or occupation of soliciting boarders or lodgers, or custom for any hotel, boarding house or lodging house, or the transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise, without having a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as hereinafter provided.

Sec. 43. A licensed driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire shall have the right to solicit patronage for the vehicle driven or operated by him without a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as provided in Sections 48, 50 and 51 of this ordinance, but not more than one person shall be deemed to have charge of any vehicle at any place.

Sec. 44. A person licensed to engage in the business of transporting baggage or merchandise shall have the right to solicit patronage without a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as provided in Sections 48, 50 and 51 of this ordinance, but not more than one person shall have such right under such license.

Sec. 45. Any person desiring a runner's and soliciting agent's license must first present to the Board of Police Commissioners a written application for a permit therefor, setting forth his name, age and place of residence. The Board of Police Commissioners is hereby authorized to issue to any person who, in its judgment, is a proper person to engage in the business or occupation of runner and soliciting agent, a permit for a runner's and soliciting agent's license; and said Board is hereby authorized to revoke any permit so issued. When any such permit is revoked, the said Board shall give notice thereof to the Tax Collector. Said Board shall keep a record of the disposition of all applications for such permits. The Chief of Police may suspend the permit of any runner or soliciting agent for a period not exceeding ten days.

Sec. 46. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent, or driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire, to solicit patronage in front of any entrance, exit or gangway of any ferry landing, wharf, depot, theater, circus, hall

or other place where people are assemled, within twelve feet thereof, or within twelve feet of the lines of said entrance, exit or gangway produced twelve feet from the front thereof.

Sec. 47. No taxicab or automobile, while awaiting employment by passengers, shall stand on any public street or place other than or upon a stand designated or established in accordance with this ordinance; nor shall any driver of such taxicab or automobile seek employment by repeatedly and persistently driving his vehicle to and fro for a short space before, or by otherwise interfering with the proper and orderly access to or egress from any theater, hall, hotel, public resort, railroad or ferry station or other place of public gathering.

Sec. 48. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent to solicit patronage in loud, noisy or boisterous tone of voice, or manner, or to lay hands upon the person or baggage of any person without the express consent of such person, or to obstruct the movement of any person, or to follow any person for the purpose of soliciting patronage.

Sec. 49. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent, or driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire, to scuffle or crowd about or interfere with any other runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman with whom any person is negotiating or inquiring about the transportation of person or baggage.

Sec. 50. No person shall solicit patronage for any hotel, vehicle or other business, upon any railroad train, steamboat or vehicle whatsoever within the corporate limits of the City and County of San Francisco without first having obtained permission in writing so to do from the owner, lessee or managing agent of such owner, charterer or lessee of such railroad, steamboat or other vehicle.

Sec. 51. The Police Department shall have the control, regulation and direction of all licensed runners, soliciting agents, drivers and motormen at ferry landings, wharves, steamboat landings and railroad depots, theaters, public buildings and all places of public assemblage, and it shall be unlawful for any licensed runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman to fail, refuse or neglect to obey the lawful order of any police officer in regard to the control, regulation and direction, of soliciting patronage for the conveyance or transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise.

Sec. 52. All ordinances, in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, and especially Ordinance 1898 (New Series), are hereby repealed.

Sec. 53. Every person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Sec. 54. This ordinance shall take effect 30 days after approval.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7435, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Munich street between Persia and Russia avenues*, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7437, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordoring of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *southerly side of Ocean avenue between Howth street and Harold avenue*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7438, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *easterly one-half of Twenty-second avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks of six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7439, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifiations therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *westerly side of Jones street from a line paralel with and* 100 *feet southerly from Eddy street to a line parallel with and* 137 *feet* 6 *inches southerly from Eddy street*, by the construction of an artificial stone sidewalk of the full official width, and the improvement of *Greenwich street between Gough and Octavia streets, Laguna street between Chestnut and Francisco streets, Octavia street between Chestnut and Bay streets, Gough street between Francisco and Bay streets, Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street, Francisco street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone

sidewalks are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7440, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Silver avenue between Merrill street and Vienna street, including the intervening intersections*, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement 10 feet in width adjacent to the curb lines, where not already constructed, and by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the intervening intersections, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7441, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Anza street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues*, and the improvement of *Twenty-ninth avenue between Anza street and Balboa street*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7442, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of in-

terest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Munich street between Brazil avenue and Excelsior avenue*, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement from Brazil avenue to a line 300 feet northerly therefrom, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7443, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Avalon avenue between Lisbon and Moscow streets, Excelsior avenue between Lisbon and Athens streets, Brazil avenue between Lisbon and Athens streets, Persia avenue between Lisbon and Moscow streets, Russia avenue between Lisbon and Moscow streets, London street between Russia and France avenues, Paris street between France and Avalon avenues, Lisbon street between France and Avalon avenues, Madrid street between France and Avalon avenues, Edinburgh street between France and Avalon avenues, Naples street between France and Avalon avenues, Vienna street between France and Avalon avenues, and Athens street between France and Avalon avenues*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where sidewalks are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7444, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *crossings of Quintara street with Sixteenth, Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues*, respectively, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of full official width on the angular corners thereof, by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with the accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavements on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7445, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Berry street between Seventh street and the easterly line of De Haro street, except that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon*, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of concrete curbs adjacent to the property lines, by resetting the existing curbs and catchbasin, and performing the necessary conform work in the crossing of Seventh street; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with Y branches and appurtenances, brick manholes and the necessary brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphalt pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation covered with an asphaltic concrete binder course one and one-half (1½) inches thick and an asphaltic wearing surface 2 inches thick.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7446, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the following portions of Fifteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, the easterly one-half of Fifteenth avenue from the southerly line of Ulloa street to a line parallel with and* 125 *feet southerly therefrom, the westerly one-half of Fifteenth avenue from a line parallel with and* 250 *feet northerly from Vicente street to a line parallel with and* 275 *feet northerly from Vicente street, the easterly one-half and westerly one-half of Fifteenth avenue between the northerly line of*

Vicente street and a line parallel with and 100 *feet northerly therefrom*, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of 8 Y branches on an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer, by the construction of that portion of 3 brick manholes and an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer that said frontages would be liable for, by the construction of a 7-foot strip of concrete pavement adjacent to the center line of Fifteenth avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7447, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the following portions of Ortega street between Tenth and Eleventh avenues, the northerly one-half of Ortega street from the westerly line of Tenth avenue to a line parallel with and* 157 *feet* 6 *inches westerly therefrom, the southerly one-half of Ortega street between the easterly line of Eleventh avenue and a line parallel with and* 57 *feet* 6 *inches easterly therefrom*, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, by the construction of 8 Y branches on 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer, and by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer and 2 manholes that above mentioned frontages will be liable for.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 7448, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 17th day of November, 1925, by Resolution No. 24758 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Seventeenth Avenue.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Pacheco street southerly line, 459.57 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Pacheco street southerly line, 458.43 feet. (The same being the official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly

line of, 20 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 459.74 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 460.32 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 80 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 461.56 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 458.58 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 459.17 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 80 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 460.50 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 470.40 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 472.12 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 472.08 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 470.16 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 472.06 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 472.08 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Quintara street northerly line, 567.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets changed and established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7449, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Girard street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with Ward street and 200 feet northerly therefrom; on Brussels street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with Ward street and 175 feet northerly therefrom, and on Ward street between San Bruno avenue and a line parallel with Brussels street and 120 feet westerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of November, 1925, by Resolution No. 24726 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Girard street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with Ward street and 200 feet northerly therefrom; on Brussels street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with Ward street and 175 feet northerly therefrom, and on Ward street between San Bruno avenue and a line parallel with Brussels street and 120 feet westerly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Girard Street.

200 feet northerly from Ward street, 192 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 193 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 193 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Ward street northerly line, 194.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ward street southerly line, 194.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ward street southerly line, 193 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ward street southerly line, 193 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 305 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 178.94 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 255 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 173.27 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 205 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 171.05 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 305 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 178.94 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 255 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 173.17 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 205 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 170.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Harkness avenue northerly line, 167 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Harkness avenue northerly line, 169 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Brussels Street.

175 feet northerly from Ward street, 254 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 247.80 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 249.80 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Ward street northerly line, 250.30 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ward street southerly line, 250.30 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet southerly from Ward street northerly line, 247.30 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ward street southerly line, 247.30 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ward street southerly line, 247.80 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ward street southerly line, 249.80 feet.

50 feet southerly from Ward street, 241.04 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 214.76 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 207.21 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 202.11 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 214.76 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 207.33 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 202.56 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Harkness avenue northerly line, 194.33 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Harkness avenue northerly line, 195.67 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Girard street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with Ward street and 200 feet northerly therefrom; on Brussels street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with Ward street and 175 feet northerly therefrom, and on Ward street between San Bruno avenue and a line parallel with Brussels street and 120 feet westerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Ward street at San Bruno avenue and at a line 120 feet westerly from Brussels street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7450, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Santiago street between Thirty-sixth and Forty-first avenues and on Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Rivera and Taraval streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of November, 1925, by Resolution No. 24728 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Santiago street between Thirty-sixth and Forty-first avenues and on Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Rivera and Taraval streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisro as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Santiago Street.

Thirty-sixth avenue, 140 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-seventh avenue, easterly line, 134 feet.

Thirty-seventh avenue, westerly line, 133.60 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, easterly line, 132.40 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, westerly line, 132 feet.

Thirty-ninth avenue, easterly line, 125 feet.

Thirty-ninth avenue, westerly line, 124.60 feet.

Fortieth avenue, easterly line, 123.40 feet.

Fortieth avenue, westerly line, 123 feet.

Forty-first avenue, 108 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-seventh Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 137.75 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 137.25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 134 feet.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 133.60 feet.

Taraval street, 127 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 135.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 135 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 132.40 feet.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 132 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, 315 feet northerly from Taraval street, 130.34 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, 265 feet northerly from Taraval street, 129.34 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, 215 feet northerly from Taraval street, 127.02 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Fifteen feet easterly from the westerly line of, 315 feet northerly from Taraval street, 130.31 feet.

Fifteen feet easterly from the westerly line of, 265 feet northerly from Taraval street, 129.33 feet.

Fifteen feet easterly from the westerly line of, 215 feet northerly from Taraval street, 127.02 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, 60 feet northerly from Taraval street, 117.79 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, 30 feet northerly from Taraval street, 116.42 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Taraval street northerly line, 115.91 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Fifteen feet easterly from the westerly line of, 60 feet northerly from Taraval street, 117.79 feet.

Fifteen feet easterly from the westerly line of, 30 feet northerly from Taraval street, 116.36 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Taraval street northerly line, 115.68 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 115.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 116 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-ninth Avenue.

Rivera street, 120 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 125 feet.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 124.60 feet.

Taraval street, 103.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Fortieth Avenue.

Rivera street, 118 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 123.40 feet.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 123 feet.

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 92 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 91.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Santiago street between Thirty-sixth and Forty-first avenues, and on Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Rivera and Taraval streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7451, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue as shown on map

entitled "Grade map of Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first stret between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of November, 1925, by Resolution No. 24727 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue as shown on map entitled "Grade map of Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue"; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue are hereby changed and established at points and elevations above city base as shown on map entitled "Grade map of Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue."

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 7452, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the following portions of Ortega street between Ninth and Tenth avenues: The northerly one-half of Ortega street from the easterly line of Tenth avenue to a line parallel with and* 82 *feet* 6 *inches easterly therefrom; the southerly one-half of Ortega street from the westerly line of Ninth avenue to a line parallel with and* 120 *feet westerly therefrom,* by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25105 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets in accordance with Resolution No. 89902 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted January 15, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed January 19, 1926, to-wit:

On Huron avenue between Foote and Whipple avenues, and on Naglee avenue between lines parallel with and respectively 100 feet southeasterly from Huron avenue and 170

feet southeasterly from Winnipeg avenue.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Also, Resolution No. 25106 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets in accordance with Resolution No. 89901 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted January 15, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed January 19, 1926, to-wit:

On Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Market street.

On Market street between Twenty-third street and the southerly line of Elizabeth street, and on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and a line parallel with and 64 feet southerly therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Also, Resolution No. 24107 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets in accordance with Resolution No. 89903 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted January 15, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed January 19, 1926, to-wit:

On Twenty-seventh avenue and Twenty-eighth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25108 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 13, 1926, is hereby granted to Eaton & Smith to complete the improvement of Douglass street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets. This extension of time is granted in order to allow the fill to settle. The work is well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades, Congdon Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7451, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Congdon street between Ney and Maynard streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervis-

ors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 17th day of November, 1925, by Resolution No. 24757 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Congdon street between Ney and Maynard streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Congdon Street.

Ney street, southerly line, 134.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Ney street, 141.34 feet.

150 feet southerly from Ney street, 145.87 feet.

200 feet southerly from Ney street, 152.14 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Maynard street, 155.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Congdon street between Ney and Maynard streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25109 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James R. McElroy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 17, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Vallejo street between Mason and Taylor streets, for the reason that the work is well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Award of Contract.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25110 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing kitchen, ward and household equipment for Relief Home be made on bids submitted December 21, 1925 (Proposal No. 157), as follows, viz.:

Item No.

3. Two steam cookers, complete, for the sum of $997; Man-Mangrum & Otter, Inc.
4. Cooks' serving tables, complete; no award.
5. Dishwashing machine, complete, $1,369; Mangrum & Otter, Inc.
6. Combination steam table and urn stand, $532; Mangrum & Otter, Inc.
7. Coffee urn; no award.
8. Vegetable peeler, $235; Mangrum & Otter, Inc.
10. Slicing machine; no award.
11. Egg boiler, $113; Mangrum & Otter, Inc.
12. Electric toaster, $166.50; Mangrum & Otter, Inc.
13. 1000 Vienna chairs on sample No. 457V, fumed finish, each, $2.95; Heywood-Wakefield Co.
14. Scale, $482.12; Howe Scale Co.
16. Disinfector, $2,794 (less allowance for old disinfector, $25), net difference, $2,769; Frederick W. Snook.
17. 1000 ward stands, each, $5.07; Severin Electric Co.
18. Two ranges, complete, $1,750; Montague Range and Furnace Co.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Award of Contract, Gymnasium Equipment.

Also, Resolution No. 25111 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing and installing gymnasium equipment and athletic supplies for School Department on bids submitted December 28, 1925 (Proposal No. 160), as follows, viz.:

Item No.

1. Two high ride gymnasium plinths, each, $51.90; E. P. Finnigan.
2. Two vaulting horses, each, $194.70; E. P. Finnigan.
3. Two vaulting bucks, each, $109.35; E. P. Finnigan.
4. Folding horizontal bar, $54 ($2 inst.); C. F. Weber & Co.
5. Two sets of parallel bars, per set, $176.40; E. P. Finnigan.
6. One pair of flying rings, per pair, $43.60; E. P. Finnigan.
7. Six gymnasium mats, each, $40; E. P. Finnigan.
8. Two tumbling belts, each, $7.25 ($1.50 inst.); C. F. Weber & Co.
9. Twelve dozen baseballs, league size, per dozen, $10; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
10. Ten dozen baseball bats, Reach "Players," per dozen, $14.25; Hastings Clothing Co.
11. Two dozen catchers' masks, per dozen, $27; Curley Bates Co.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Award of Contract, Print Shop Equipment.

Also, Resolution No. 25112 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing print shop equipment for School Department be made on bids submitted January 4, 1926 (Proposal No. 161), in strict conformity with the specifications which provide for new equipment, as follows, viz.:

Item No.

1. One job press, Chandler & Price (New Series), size 12 x 18 inches, $1,547.40; American Type Founders Company.
2. One job press, Chandler & Price (New Series), six 8 x 12 inches, $425; Independent Printers' Supply Company.
3. One paper cutter, 30-inch diamond lever, $370; Independent Printers' Supply Company.
4. One No. 1 challenge galley proof press, $70; Independent Printers' Supply Company.
5. One American school imposing table, No. 3977, and equipment, $160; American Type Founders Company.
6. One galley cabinet, No. 3515. $62; Independent Printers' Supply Company.
7. Six American school type cabinets, No. 9178, $82.95 each; American Type Founders Company.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Award of Contract, Supplies.

Resolution No. 25113 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts for furnishing supplies on the following items during the semi-annual term ending June 30, 1926, be made on bids submitted December 7, 1925 (Proposal No. 155), as follows, viz.:

Engineering and Plumbing Supplies.

Item No.	Article	Price	Contractor
602(a)	Balls, rubber; dozen....	$ 1.74	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
602(b)	Balls, rubber; dozen....	1.83	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
602(c)	Balls, rubber; dozen....	1.95	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
602(d)	Balls, rubber; dozen....	2.15	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
602(e)	Balls, rubber; dozen....	2.55	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

603(a)Balls, Fuller; dozen....	.049	Dalziel, Moller Co.
603(b)Balls, Fuller; dozen....	.089	Dalziel, Moller Co.
603(c)Balls, Fuller; dozen....	.149	Dalziel, Moller Co.
603(d)Balls, Fuller; dozen....	.299	Dalziel, Moller Co.
607(a)Bibbs; list and disc....	71½ %	Dalziel, Moller Co.
607(b)Bibbs; list and disc....	71½ %	Dalziel, Moller Co.
607(c)Bibbs; list and disc....	69½ %	Dalziel, Moller Co.
607(d)Bibbs; Doberty; list and discount	49½ %	Dalziel, Moller Co.
612(a)Bolts; dozen .....$	.48	Dalziel, Moller Co.
612(b)Flanges; dozen	2.40	Dalziel, Moller Co.
612(c)Lever wires; dozen....	.22	Dalziel, Moller Co.
612(d)Rods; dozen	.60	Dalziel, Moller Co.
612(e)Screws; dozen	.30	Dalziel, Moller Co.
612(f)Stems; dozen	.58	Dalziel, Moller Co.
615(a)Ferrules; each	.25	Mark-Lally Co.
615(b)Ferrules; each	.29	Mark-Lally Co.
615(c)Ferrules; each	.38	Mark-Lally Co.
615(d)Ferrules; each	.17	Mark-Lally Co.
615(e)Ferrules; each	.21	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
615(f)Ferrules; each	.28	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
618(a)Brass fittings; list and discount	67 %	Steam & Plumbing Service Corp.
618(b)Brass fittings; list and discount	57½ %	Dalziel, Moller Co.
618(c)(1)Cast iron bushings; list and discount	53 %	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
618(c)(2)Plugs; list and dis..	51 %	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
618(d)Cast iron; list and disc.	52 %	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
618(e)Malleable iron; list and discount	82½ %	Steam & Plumbing Service Corp.
618(f)Railing; list and disc..	56½ %	Dalziel, Moller Co.
618(g)Soil; list and disc.....	35 %	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
618(h)Solder threads; each...$	.05	Mark-Lally Co.
618(i)Durham; list and disc..	65 %	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
619 Flanges, bibb; dozen..$	.30	Dalziel, Moller Co.
621 Fuller brass caps; doz.	.06	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
622(a)Nipples; list and disc..	72½ %	Dalziel, Moller Co.
622(b)Nipples; list and disc..	61½ %	Dalziel, Moller Co.
622(c)(1)Nipples; list and dis.	62 %	Steam & Plumbing Service Corp.
622(c)(2)Nipples; list and dis.	71 %	Steam & Plumbing Service Corp.
622(c)(3)Nipples; list and dis.	75 %	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
622(c)(4)Nipples; list and dis.	71 %	Steam & Plumbing Service Corp.
622(d)(1)Nipples; list and dis.	63 %	Steam & Plumbing Service Corp.
622(d)(2)Nipples; list and dis.	73 %	Steam & Plumbing Service Corp.
622(d)(3)Nipples; list and dis.	78 %	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
622(d)(4)Nipples; list and dis.	73 %	Steam & Plumbing Service Corp.
623 Oakum; lb. .....$	.095	Weeks, Howe & Emerson Co.
625(a)(1)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	13.05	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(a)(2)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	17.55	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(a)(3)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	23.40	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(a)(4)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	36.90	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(a)(5)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	43.20	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(b)(1)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	13.95	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(b)(2)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	18.45	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(b)(3)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	25.20	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(b)(4)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	39.15	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(b)(5)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	45.90	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(c)(1)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	16.65	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(c)(2)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	26.55	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(c)(3)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	35.10	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(c)(4)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	59.40	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(c)(5)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	72.90	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(d)(1)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	17.55	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(d)(2)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	27.90	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(d)(3)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	36.90	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.

625(d)(4)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	61.20	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
625(d)(5)Pipe, iron, soil; per C ft.	76.50	Grinnell Co. of the Pacific.
626(a)(1)Pipe, black; per C ft.	3.24	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(2)Pipe, black; per C ft.	3.19	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(3)Pipe, black; per C ft.	3.19	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(4)Pipe, black; per C ft.	4.12	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(5)Pipe, black; per C ft.	5.13	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(6)Pipe, black; per C ft.	7.27	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(7)Pipe, black; per C ft.	9.83	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(8)Pipe, black; per C ft.	11.76	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(9)Pipe, black; per C ft.	15.82	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(10)Pipe, black; per C ft.	25.00	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(11)Pipe, black; per C ft.	32.70	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(12)Pipe, black; per C ft.	41.95	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(13)Pipe, black; per C ft.	49.70	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(14)Pipe, black; per C ft.	67.50	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(a)(15)Pipe, black; per C ft.	87.55	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(1)Pipe, galvanized; per C ft.	4.55	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(2)Pipe, galvanized; per C ft.	4.62	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(3)Pipe, galvanized; per C ft.	4.62	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(4)Pipe, galvanized; per C ft.	5.17	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(5)Pipe, galvanized; per C ft.	6.34	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(6)Pipe, galvanized; per C ft.	9.04	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(7)Pipe, galvanized; per C ft.	12.24	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(8)Pipe, galvanized; per C ft.	14.63	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(9)Pipe, galvanized; per C ft.	19.68	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(10)Pipe, galv.; per C ft.	31.12	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(11)Pipe, galv.; per C ft.	40.70	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(13)Pipe, galv.; per C ft.	61.09	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(14)Pipe, galv.; per C ft.	82.95	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
626(b)(15)Pipe, galv.; per C ft.	107.62	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
630(a)Half & Half Solder; lb.	.40	John Finn Metal Works.
630(b)Wiping; lb.	.35	Dalziel, Moller Co.
632(a)Traps; each	1.80	Mark-Lally Co.
632(b)Traps, without plug; ea.	.95	Dalziel, Moller Co.
632(c)Traps; each	.30	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
633(a)Tubing; foot	.08	Mark-Lally Co.
633(b)Tubing; foot	.23	Mark-Lally Co.
624(a)Unions; list and disc...	68 %	Steam & Plumbing Service Co.
634(b)Unions; list and disc...	52½ %	Dalziel, Moller Co.
634(c)Unions; list and disc...	40 %	Dalziel, Moller Co.
634(d)Unions; list and disc...	57 %	Federal Pipe & Supply Co.
634(e)Unions; list and disc...	71 %	Pacific Pipe Co.

Hardware.

Item No.	Article	Price	Contractor
701(a)(1)	Cloth, emery; quire.	$ 1.15	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(a)(2)	Cloth, emery; quire.	1.20	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(a)(3)	Cloth, emery; quire.	1.28	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(a)(4)	Cloth, emery; quire.	1.32	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(a)(5)	Cloth, emery; quire.	1.40	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(a)(6)	Cloth, emery; quire.	1.52	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(a)(7)	Cloth, emery; quire.	1.64	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(b)(1)	Paper, flint; quire..	.20	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(b)(2)	Paper, flint; quire..	.20	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(b)(3)	Paper, flint; quire..	.20	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(b)(4)	Paper, flint; quire..	.21	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(b)(5)	Paper, flint; quire..	.24	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(b)(6)	Paper, flint; quire..	.29	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(b)(7)	Paper, flint; quire..	.33	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(b)(8)	Paper, flint; quire..	.38	Dan P. Maher Company.
701(b)(9)	Paper, flint; quire..	.43	Dan P. Maher Company.
706(a)	Brackets; list and dis..	64 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
706(b)	Brackets; list and dis..	55 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
707(a)	Water pails, dozen....	$ 3.90	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
707(b)	Stock pails; dozen.....	11.00	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
707(c)	Stock pails; dozen.....	11.50	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
709(a)	Catches; dozen	2.45	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
709(b)	Catches; dozen	3.40	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
709(c)	Catches; dozen	.80	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
709(d)	Catches; dozen	2.16	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
711(a)	Chain; list and dis....	60 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

711(b)	Chain; pair	$ .16	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
712	Coal hods, No. 118; each	.40	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
720(a)	Handles, Comstock; doz.	6.00	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
720(b)	Handles; dozen	3.50	Dan P. Maher Company.
720(c)	Handles; dozen	4.10	Dan P. Maher Company.
720(d)(1)	Handles, 14-in.; doz.	1.32	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
720(d)(2)	Handles, 16-in.; doz.	1.44	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
720(d)(3)	Handles, 18-in.; doz.	1.68	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
720(e)	Handles, Comstock; doz.	8.40	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
720(f)	Handles, Comstock; doz.	5.40	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
721(a)	Hasps; dozen	1.25	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
721(b)	Hasps; dozen	1.80	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
722(a)	Hasps; dozen	.70	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
722(b)	Hasps; dozen	.80	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
722(c)	Hasps; dozen	1.10	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
722(d)	Hasps; dozen	1.70	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
724	Hinges, Bommer's	....	No award.
725(a)	Butts; C pairs	22.25	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
725(b)	Butts; C pairs	22.50	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
725(c)	Butts; C pairs	22.65	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
725(d)	Butts; C pairs	22.65	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
725(e)	Butts; C pairs	31.00	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
725(f)	Butts; C pairs	58.00	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
725(g)	Butts; C pairs	105.00	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
725(h)	Butts, 6x6; C pairs	170.00	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
726(a)	Butts; list and dis.	60 %	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
726(b)	Butts; list and dis.	62 %	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
726(c)	Butts; list and dis.	60 %	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
726(d)	Butts; list and dis.	50 %	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
727(a)	Hinges; list and dis.	60 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
727(b)	Hinges; list and dis.	60 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
727(c)	Hinges; list and dis.	60 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
727(d)	Hinges; list and dis.	60 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
729	Hooks; dozen	$ .35	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
731	Hose couplings; set	.25	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
732(a)	Hose reducers; doz.	18.00	M. Greenberg's Sons.
732(b)	Hose reducers; doz.	23.75	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
736(a)	Knobs; set	.77	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
736(b)	Knobs; dozen	1.30	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
740(a)	Pulls; dozen	1.80	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
740(b)	Pulls; dozen	.40	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
740(c)	Pulls; dozen	1.05	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
747	Sash fasteners; dozen	3.34	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
748	Sash lifts, No. 155; doz.	1.00	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
749	Sash weights; lb.	.02⅜	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
753	Screws, cap; list and discount	75, 10 & 5%	A. J. Glesener Co.
754	Screws, coach; list and discount	60 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
755(a)	Screws; list and dis.	70 10 & 5%	A. J. Glesener Co.
755(b)	Screws; list and dis.	78 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
755(c)	Screws; list and dis.	70 10 & 5%	A. J. Glesener Co.
755(d)	Screws; list and dis.	78 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
756	Screws, set; list and discount	75, 10 & 2½%	General Mach. & Supply Co.
757(a)	Screws, wood; list and discount	78 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
757(b)	Screws, wood; list and discount	60 %	A. J. Glesener Co.
757(c)	Screws, wood; list and discount	86 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
757(d)	Screws, wood; list and discount	70-20%	A. J. Glesener Co.
757(e)	Screws, wood; list and discount	80 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
757(f)	Screws, wood; list and discount	70-20%	A. J. Glesener Co.
758(a)	Screw hooks; list and discount	85 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
758(b)	Screw hooks; list and discount	85 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
758(c)	Screw hooks; list and discount	82 %	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
761(a)	Washers; pound	$ .05	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
761(b)	Washers; pound	.07	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
761(c)	Washers; pound	.06	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Castings.

Item No.	Article	Price	Contractor
771(a)	Brake shoes; pound	$ .043	Amer. Brake Shoe & Foundry Co.
771(b)	Allowance for old shoes, ton	10.50	Amer. Brake Shoe & Foundry Co.
772(a)	Light; pound	.10	Enterprise Foundry Company.

772(aa)Allowance for junk; ton	26.00	Enterprise Foundry Company.
772(b)Medium; pound	.06	Enterprise Foundry Company.
772(bb)Allowance for junk; ton	26.00	Enterprise Foundry Company.
772(c)Heavy; pound	.04	McKay Foundry Company.
772(cc)Allowance for junk; ton	18.00	McKay Foundry Company.
773(a)Castings; pound	.04⅛	Enterprise Foundry Company.
773(b)Allowance for junk; ton	24.00	Enterprise Foundry Company.
774(a)Castings; pound	.13	Rincon Foundry Company.
774(b)Allowance for junk; ton	20.00	Rincon Foundry Company.
775(a)Castings; pound	.25	Judson Manufacturing Co.
775(aa)Allowance for junk; lb.	.08	Judson Manufacturing Co.
775(b)Castings; pound	.25	Judson Manufacturing Co.
775(bb)Allowance for junk; lb.	.08	Judson Manufacturing Co.
775(c)Castings; pound	.25	Judson Manufacturing Co.
775(cc)Allowance for junk; lb.	.08	Judson Manufacturing Co.
775(d)Castings; pound	.30	Judson Manufacturing Co.
775(dd)Allowance for junk; lb.	.08	Judson Manufacturing Co.
775(e)Castings; pound	.25	Judson Manufacturing Co.
775(ee)Allowance for junk; lb.	.08	Judson Manufacturing Co.
776(a)Castings:		
1 to 10 lbs.; lb.	.25	
11 to 25 lbs.; lb.	.22	
26 to 50 lbs.; lb.	.18	
51 to 100 lbs.; lb.	.16	
101 to 200 lbs.; lb.	.14	
201 to 500 lbs.; lb.	.13	
501 to 1000 lbs.; lb.	.12	Judson Manufacturing Co.
Note.—Patterns to be furnished by purchaser. Castings of special shapes and special cores or castings requiring special equipment are excluded from this contract.		
776(b)Allowance for junk; ton	10.00	Judson Manufacturing Co.

Glass.

Item No.	Article	Price	Contractor
910	Ground; square foot	$ .1875	Friedman Bros.
911	Maze; square foot	.1175	W. P. Fuller & Co.
912	Moss; square foot	.1175	W. P. Fuller & Co.
913(a)	Ribbed; square foot	.15	W. P. Fuller & Co.
913(b)	Ribbed; square foot	.13	W. P. Fuller & Co
914(a)	Window; list and dis..94	8-10%	Friedman Bros.
914(b)	Window; list and dis..94	8-10%	Friedman Bros.
914(c)	Window; list and dis..	95½%	W. P. Fuller & Co.
915	Wire ribbed; sq. ft.	.20	Friedman Bros.

Painters' Supplies.

Item No.	Article	Price	Contractor
923	Bronzing; gallon	$ .93	Dan P. Maher Co.
928	Drier; gallon	.78	Yates & Co.
929	Filler; pound	.088	W. P. Fuller & Co.
930	Glaziers' points in 50s; pound	.26	W. P. Fuller & Co.
931(a)	Glue		No award.
931(b)	Glue; pound	.1175	W. P. Fuller & Co.
931(c)	Glue		No award.
931(d)	Glue		No award.
931(e)	Glue		No award.
932(a)	Loose leaf; book	.55	W. P. Fuller & Co.
932(b)	Patent; book	.62	W. P. Fuller & Co.
933(a)	Gold leaf		No award.
933(b)	Gold leaf		No award.
933(c)	Gold leaf; roll	1.37	W. P. Fuller & Co.
933(d)	Gold leaf; roll	2.04	W. P. Fuller & Co.
934(a)	White; pound	.04	W. P. Fuller & Co.
934(b)	Any color; pound	.0487	Dan P. Maher Co.
934(c)	Wall colors for School Dept.		No award.
935(a)(1)	Linseed; gallons	1.18	W. P. Fuller & Co.
935(a)(2)	Linseed; gallon	1.08	W. P. Fuller & Co.
935(b)(1)	Linseed; gallon	1.15	W. P. Fuller & Co.
935(b)(2)	Linseed; gallon	1.05	W. P. Fuller & Co.
938(a)	Powdered; pound	.03	W. P. Fuller & Co.
938(b)	In lumps; pound	.031	Dan P. Maher Co.
939(a)	White; pound	.04	W. P. Fuller & Co.
939(b)	Commercial; pound	.033	W. P. Fuller & Co.

940	Bingo; gallon	1.32	Dan P. Maher Co.
942	In 65-lb. sacks; sack...	.55	W. P. Fuller & Co.
943(a)	Orange; gallon	2.40	Dan P. Maher Co.
943(b)	Orange; gallon	2.30	Dan P. Maher Co.
943(c)	White; gallon	2.60	Dan P. Maher Co.
943(d)	White; gallon	2.50	Dan P. Maher Co.
944(a)	Turpentine; gallon	1.15	W. P. Fuller & Co.
944(b)	Turpentine; gallon	1.00	W. P. Fuller & Co.
945(a)	Varnish; gallon	1.44	W. P. Fuller & Co.
945(b)	Varnish; gallon	1.10	Dan P. Maher Co.
946	Wax; pound	.24	W. P. Fuller & Co.

Paints.

Item No.	Article	Price	Contractor
950(a)(1)	Lead, red; pound..$	.119	National Lead Co. of California.
950(a)(2)	Lead, white; pound.	.119	National Lead Co. of California.
950(b)	Litharge	.1215	National Lead Co. of California.
951(a)	Enamel		No award.
951(b)	Enamel		No award.
952(a)	Enamel		No award.
952(b)	Enamel		No award.
954(a)	Brown		No award.
954(b)	Chrome yellow		No award.
954(c)	Drop black		No award.
954(d)	Green		No award.
954(e)	Road-cart red		No award.
954(f)	Sienna, burnt		No award.
954(g)	Sienna, raw		No award.
955(a)(1)	Drop; pound	.205	Dan P. Maher Co.
955(a)(2)	Lamp; pound	.246	Dan P. Maher Co.
955(b)(1)	Prussian; in 1s and 5s; pound	.44	W. P. Fuller & Co.
955(b)(2)	Ultra; in 1s and 5s; pound	.385	Dan P. Maher Co.
955(c)(1)	Bismarck; pound ..	.26	Dan P. Maher Co.
955(c)(2)	Van Dyke; in 1st and 5s; pound	.235	W. P. Fuller & Co.
955(d)	Chrome green; lb...	.19	Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co.
955(e)	Crome yellow; 1s and 5s; pound	.315	W. P. Fuller & Co.
955(g)	Brand "Fuller's", gal.	4.05	W. P. Fuller & Co.
955(h)(1)(aa)	Red; pound ...	.1342	W. P. Fuller & Co.
955(h)(1)(bb)	Red; pound ...	.1317	W. P. Fuller & Co.
955(h)(1)(cc)	Red; pound ...	.1292	W. P. Fuller & Co.
955(h)(2)(aa)	White; pound ..	.1392	W. P. Fuller & Co.
955(h)(2)(bb)	White; pound ..	.124	National Lead Co. of California.
955(h)(2)(cc)	White; pound ..	.1215	National Lead Co. of California.
955(h)(2)(dd)	White; pound ..	.119	National Lead Co. of California.
955(i)(1)	Golden; pound	.1098	Dan P. Maher Co.
955(i)(2)	Yellow; pound	.063	Dan P. Maher Co.
955(j)(1)	Indian; pound	.23	Yates & Co.
955(j)(2)	Tuscan; pound	.29	Yates & Co.
955(j)(3)	Venetian; pound	.1225	W. P. Fuller & Co.
955(j)(4)	Vermillion; in 1s and 5s; pound	.23	W. P. Fuller & Co.
955(k)(1)	Burnt; pound	.20	Dan P. Maher Co.
955(k)(2)	Raw; pound	.20	Dan P. Maher Co.
955(l)(1)	Burnt; pound	2.19⅞	Yates & Co.
955(l)(2)	Raw; pound	.19⅞	Yates & Co.
955(m)(1)	Zinc; pound	.14	Dan P. Maher Co.
955(m)(2)	Zinc; pound	.12	Dan P. Maher Co.
956	Paint, pound	.044	W. P. Fuller & Co.
957	Paint; gallon	2.04	W. P. Fuller & Co.
958	Paint; gallon	.44	Yates & Co.
960(a)	Paint; gallon	1.36	Dan P. Maher Co.
960(b)	Paint; gallon	1.31	Dan P. Maher Co.
961(a)	Paint; gallon	2.04	Dan P. Maher Co.
961(b)	Paint; gallon	1.99	Dan P. Maher Co.
963	Zone white		No award.

Lumber.

Item No.	Article	Price	Contractor
1101(a)	Douglas fir with reduction off List No. 21 of	$12.00	J. H. McCallum.
1101(b)	Redwood, with reduction off List No. 21 of	18.00	J. H. McCallum.
1102	Flooring; M ft. b. m..	50.00	Christensen Lumber Co.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note.—All awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon. Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Billboard Permit.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 25114 (New Series), as follows

Resolved, That the Richfield Oil Company be and is hereby granted a special permit to construct, erect and maintain, subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4059 (New Series), a billboard for advertising purposes on the premises situated at the northwest corner of Franklin and Page streets. Said billboard to be 10 feet by 95 feet on a 20-foot structure, 10 feet of lattice to be below the surface of the sign.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Fixing Monday, February 8, 1926, Hearing of Protests, Harlow Street Closing.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25115 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, February 8, 1926, at 2 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the objections of property owners against the closing of all that portion of Harlow street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and 85 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street, in Mission Block No. 95.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Fixing February 8, 1926, Hearing Objections to Closing Dehon Street.

Resolution No. 25716 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, February 8, 1926, at 2 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the objections of property owners against closing of all that portion of Dehon street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and 90 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street, in Mission Block No. 95.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Bay Shore Boulevard, Primary System of State Highways.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25117 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is but one highway connecting San Francisco with the great highway system of the State of California; and

Whereas, with the increasing number of automobiles and the tremendous growth of traffic the congestion upon this single highway presents an intolerable condition; and

Whereas, the completion of the Bay Shore Highway from San Francisco to San Jose at the earliest possible date is of vital importance, not alone to San Francisco and the counties traversed by this road, but to the whole of Northern California, which will be served by thus being given easier access to San Francisco over this important link of the State Highway System. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby records itself upon the proposition that any scheme of financing construction of State highways must, in fairness to San Francisco and Northern California, include the Bay Shore Highway in the primary system of State

highways and that this Board will oppose any plan or proposal that would leave the Bay Shore Highway out of the primary classification.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Removal of Shoal at Islais Creek.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25118 (New Se-Series), as follows:

Whereas, the commerce of the port of San Francisco has been rapidly increasing and its further increase may be safely predicted; and

Whereas, the facilities for the handling of the maritime traffic have reached their maximum to the northward of Islais Creek and the future improvements must be made at this point and southerly therefrom; and

Whereas, the presence of a shoal at the east of the mouth of Islais Creek renders such improvement impossible of accomplishment and constitutes a menace to navigation; therefore,

Resolved, That the governmental authorities be urgently requested to take steps to remove the shoal and deepen the channel of the Bay of San Francisco east of Islais Creek, and that the City Engineer be requested to prepare and submit to the proper Board of United States Engineers a report and all necessary data showing the importance of this work and its necessity for the immediate and future development of commerce and maritime interests of San Francisco and the Pacific Coast.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Continuous Baseball in San Francisco —President Wm. H. McCarthy Commended.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25119 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, your Committee on Continuous Baseball has helped in bringing this about in San Francisco, placing the City in the big city class as regards devotion to the great national game, along with New York, Chicago, Pittsburgh and Los Angeles, and there has been organized a new San Francisco team, known as the "Mission Bears," with former Supervisor and former president of the Pacific Coast Baseball League, Wm. H. McCarthy, as its president; therefore,

Resolved, That the citizenship of San Francisco is extremely fortunate and to be commended in the selection of Mr. McCarthy, who for his entire lifetime in San Francisco has been sincerely devoted to the best interests of the national game and has reflected great credit on San Francisco by his honest and painstaking administration of baseball affairs in the past as president of the Pacific Coast League; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors do hereby endorse the plan for continuous baseball in San Francisco.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Water Shortage.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Resolution No. 25720 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Spring Valley Water Company be requested to advise this Board at its next regular meeting as to the number of days of water supply that is in storage in the Calaveras reservoir and the other reservoirs of the Spring Valley Water Company that supply San Francisco with fresh water.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Proposed Amendment to Rules.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Section 3, Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby amended as follows:

Immediately after the word "members" at the end of sixth line thereof, the following language is added:

"The selection of a presiding officer pro tem. shall be according to alphabetical order (unless the member whose turn it shall be to preside declines), in which case he shall be

entitled to nominate some other member. Provided, however, that when the Board is meeting in special session on a subject matter in charge of a committee the chairman of such committee or a member thereof shall preside, unless they decline, in such event the Board shall select another member to preside."

Referred to Committee on Rules.

Synchronized Traffic Control on Market Street.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, his Honor Mayor Rolph, in his biennial message to the Board, called attention to the urgent need of early consideration and consummation of synchronized traffic control on Market street and other main thoroughfares; and

Whereas, there is a widespread public feeling that this method may prove a partial solution of traffic congestion; be it, therefore,

Resolved, That the Traffic Comittee of this Board is requested to call the necesary officials and persons into conference thereon, and report to this Board the probable costs and legislation necessary for the inauguration of this relief.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Death of Congressman John E. Raker.

The following tribute to the character and worth of Congressman John E. Raker was, on motion of Supervisor Welch, *ordered spread* in the Journal:

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County learns with profound regret of the death of Honorable John E. Raker, member of Congress from the First Congressional District of this State.

It is fitting that we should recognize and inscribe upon the pages of our records, the eminent character of the services which he has rendered in behalf of the people of this City, while at the same time he served the people of the nation with a fidelity and display of ability worthy of the highest praise.

San Francisco owes much to his wise counsel and indefatigable efforts in passing through Congress the act which bears his name—the act that granted to the City the rights to impound in Hetch Hetchy valley the waters that in future years will be essential to sustain the life of its inhabitants and make it possible to achieve a greatness that otherwise would have been impossible.

So, through this Board, the City records its sorrow over the passing of its friend and offers its sincere condolences to the members of his family who have just cause to mourn his loss.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board by a rising vote, at the hour of 5:50 p. m., adjourned out of respect to the memory of Congressman Raker.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 5

Monday, February 1, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 1, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of January 11 and 18, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

San Francisco Wins Trophies at Tournament of Roses at Pasadena.

Mr. Harry M. Ticknor, president of the Pasadena Tournament of Roses Association, presented to the City and County of San Francisco two beautiful silver vases. One of these vases was the second prize offered for the most beautiful float in the parade and the other was as a token of appreciation to San Francisco for participating in the celebration.

Mr. Ticknor said that he had seen, among the clippings from all over the United States, over one hundred pictures of San Francisco's float in this parade.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden, the Mayor was requested to keep the vases in his vault to be used on official occasions, which the Mayor graciously agreed to do.

Rate of Interest on Bank Deposits of City Money.

The following was read and ordered spread in the Journal:

January 25, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In compliance with Chapter III, Section 2 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, providing for the fixing of the annual rate of interest on all bank deposits of public money.

We have, this 25th day of January, 1926, established the annual rate of interest on all such deposits at three per cent (3%) for the year 1926.

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

THOS. F. BOYLE,
Auditor, City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN H. THEILER,
Treasurer, City and County of San Francisco.

Veterans of Foreign Wars Request Larger Quarters in the Auditorium.

The following was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Henry M. Levitt, Department Commander, California-Nevada Veterans of Foreign Wars, calling attention to the increase in their organization and inadequacy of their present quarters, Room 436-A, in the Municipal Auditorium, and requesting that additional space be provided necessary for the privacy and conduct of the business of the organization.

Referred to the Public Buildings Committee.

County Supervisors Association Convention.

Taft, California,
January 23, 1926.

To All Supervisors of California Counties:

Please be advised that the Sixteenth Annual Convention of the County Supervisors Association of California will be held in San Diego on March 10, 11, 12 and 13, 1926.

The importance of the Supervisors attending the sessions of the State Association has been recog-

nized by the Legislature passing a law which makes the necessary traveling expenses incurred in attendance upon the convention a legal charge against the county.

Supervisors who anticipate leaving the state should have permission from their Boards, as their office can be declared vacant if they leave the state without permission.

The convention will undoubtedly be one of the most important ever held, as methods of financing future state highway construction will be discussed, as well as other matters of vital importance to the state and its counties. Please make your arrangements now to attend this important meeting.

Yours very truly,

J. K. Macomber, President,
Stanley Abel, Secretary,
County Supervisors Association of the State of California.

Sixteenth Annual Convention of County Supervisors Association Committee.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

"Hon. Warren Shannon, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Supervisor Shannon:

In compliance with your motion requesting the Mayor to appoint a committee of five Supervisors to attend the Sixteenth Annual Convention of the County Supervisors Association of California, at San Diego, March 10th-13th, the Mayor has named you as chairman of the committee, the other members appointed being Supervisors Powers, Hayden, Welch and Bath.

The Mayor hopes that you will find it possible to attend this important convention."

Very sincerely,
(Signed) EDWARD RAINEY,
Executive Secretary to the Mayor.

Communications Relative to Hetch Hetchy Power Capitalization.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, 465 California street,
San Francsico.
January 28, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

We are advised that there is pending before your Honorable Board an ordinance, recommended by your Public Utilities Committee, creating a special Hetch Hetchy power operative fund and charging $9,000,000 of the $45,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Issue of 1910 to power development.

The Chamber of Commerece respectfully calls to your attention the following facts, which, in its opinion, indicate that the capital charge of $9,000,000 to power development is far below the amount that should be charged against this project.

The actual cost of the works used exclusively for power purposes and development which may ultimately be used also for water, but which need not have been constructed until a later date, except for use in the production of power is in excess of the $9,000,000 proposed as a capital charge against power construction.

In addition to the cost of construction used exclusively for power the Raker Act requires that for rate fixing purposes the costs shall include "a fair proportion of the cost of conduits, lands, dams and water supply system". This establishes a legal basis on which the minimum capitalization for power purposes must ultimately be fixed.

A capitalization of $9,000,000 would make available for bond interest and redemption charges on Hetch Hetchy bonds only $605,000 for the next fiscal year as compared with $1,355,000 for such purpose under the $21,000,000 capitalization originally proposed. Under the $9,000,000 capitalization the difference of $750,000 would necessarily have to be raised by taxation.

From an economic standpoint, and possibly in accordance with Charter requirements, all of the Hetch Hetchy power revenues not absorbed by operating costs, maintenance, repairs, insurance and depreciation should be applied against the total debt charges, which amount to $2,755,000 for the present year on all outstanding Hetch Hetchy bonds, in order that the taxpayers might be relieved of as much as possible of this heavy burden.

The building to full size of Hetch Hetchy tunnels which will ultimately be used jointly for water and power purposes operates only to the immediate advantage of power production. Smaller and less costly tunnels sufficient for the water requirements of the project for many years would have lowered the capital charge for water construction to a considerable extent. On this basis power revenue should equitably absorb a relatively large portion of the capital cost of construction ultimately to be used jointly for both water and power.

The Chamber of Commerce wishes to take this opportunity of commending the recent decision of the committee of Supervisors following an inspection trip over the Hetch Hetchy project. This, as reported, is to recommend the speeding up of the construction work under the 1924 $10,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Issue. The recent discussions of low water storage and subnormal rainfall thus far this year indicate that a water crisis is again impending and emphasize forcibly the urgent necessity of providing funds for and completing the Hetch Hetchy water system at the earliest possible date.

Very truly yours,

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.

(Sgd.) Clay Miller, President.

Down Town Association,
San Francisco.

February 1, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

The Down Town Association begs leave to express its attitude as to the proposal now before your Honorable Board to set up a figure of $9,000,000 as the cost of Hetch Hetchy power development.

We respectfully submit that the valuation of $9,000,000 for the power element of the Hetch Hetchy system is too low a figure according to the best information that is at this time available. The City Engineer has heretofore fixed a figure of $18,000,000 as the valuation of this element and later of $21,000,000 as the proper capitalization of the power development.

To fix too low a valuation upon the power element at this time without a thorough analysis and the most expert appraisement obtainable would be an invitation to possible disastrous consequences in the future. This is for the reason that the rates to be charged to irrigation districts the municipalities for the power under the strict requirements of the Raker Act, must be based upon the valuation that will be fixed by San Francisco upon the power element of the Hetch Hetchy system. Too low a valuation will mean that we will have to sell power to irrigation districts and municipalities below the fair cost of producing that power. That condition must continue indefinitely into the future, because it will be almost impossible later to change to a higher and fairer valuation after the City, by the deliberate act of its Board of Supervisors, supposedly upon adequate information and expert opinion, at this time adopts a figure of $9,000,000. Lower rates to irrigation districts and other municipalities mean an unfair tax burden on San Francisco.

We, therefore, respectfully urge upon your Honorable Board that you fix no valuation at this time but defer your action until there shall be available complete information upon which you may act without danger to the future interest of San Francisco.

Yours respectfully,

Down Town Association,
By (Sgd.) Jos. M. Cumming,
Executive Secretary.

The San Francisco Real Estate Board, 156 Montgomery Street,
San Francisco.

February 1, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

It has come to our attention that there is pending before your Honorable Board an ordinance which proposes to create a special Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund and which charges $9,000,000 of the $45,000,000 Water Bond Issue of 1910 to power development.

We would respectfully call your attention to the very great discrepancy between the sum of $9,000,000, which it is proposed to charge against power development, and the estimate of the City Engineer amounting to $21,000,000. A capitalization of $9,000,000 would make available for bond interest and redemption charges on Hetch Hetchy bonds in the sum of only $605,000 for the next fiscal year, as compared with $1,355,000 for such purposes under the $21,000,000 capitalization originally proposed by the City Engineer. Under the $9,000,000 capitalization the difference of $750,000 would necessarily come from taxation.

Representing the largest body of taxpayers, we respectfully urge upon you that, in view of the greatly increased tax rate of the present year, resulting in the consequent tremendous burden to be borne by the taxpayers, it is highly important that your honorable body use every effort to lighten the tax burden by applying the maximum amount possible from the revenue derived from the disposition

of electricity to bond redemption and interest.

Respectfully submitted,
San Francisco Real Estate Board,
By (Sgd.) Geo. C. Boardman,
President.

City Efficiency League,
156 Eddy Street,
San Francisco.
February 1, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In all probability it did not occur to the previous Board of Supervisors to delve into the financial aspect of municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, taking it for granted municipal distribution will be very profitable.

Our attention has been called to the following statement in the Journal of Electricity, August 15, 1926:

From 12 California power utilities an average return on investment was:

In 1922 .....8.89 per cent.
In 1923 .....8.61 per cent.
In 1924 .....7.01 per cent.

If this low percentage of return is correct, it certainly contradicts the figures given by several newspapers, which lead the people to believe the profits are five and tenfold.

We have made a careful investigation of the financial returns under municipal distribution, in comparing same with the revenue the City now derives it is a debatable question whether from a financial standpoint it will be advantageous for the City to distribute Hetch Hetchy power. Result of our investigation leads us to believe financial returns from power distribution will not make it possible to reduce the electric bills for the householder as is contemplated.

City Efficiency League, therefore, implores your Honorable Board to have prepared by the City Engineer and the Railroad Commission a comprehensive detailed financial statement of the profits which can be realized from municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power.

Respectfully submitted,
City Efficiency League,
By (Sgd.) Adolph Uhl,
Manager.

Leave of Absence, Alfred Ehrman, Member of Fire Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
January 29, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing February 12, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,
(Sgd.) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25120 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing February 12, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25121 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Bond Fund, Issue 1918.

(1) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 19, 1926), $510.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(2) United States Steel Products Co., eleventh payment, steel bridge superstructure for pipe line across Dumbarton Straits (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $26,651.13.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(3) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $1,430.57.

(4) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $2,753.28.

(5) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for December, per

agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $1,600.18.

(6) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $37,978.21.

(7) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., electric lamps for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $567.30.

(8) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $6,725.10.

Park Fund.

(9) The Anglo & London Paris National Bank, reimbursement for payments made for account of French Art Exhibit at the California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $2,491.07.

(10) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service for parks (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $813.98.

(11) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $900.13.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(12) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending Dec. 31, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 11, 1926), $738.

(13) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $602.57.

(14) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for county jails (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $658.82.

(15) The California Baking Co., bread furnished county jails (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $936.24.

(16) Del Monte Meat Co., meats furnished county jails (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $1,138.29.

(17) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Police Department (claim dated Jan. 18, 1926), $1,055.57.

(18) Rickenbacker Sales Company, one Rickenbacker phaeton for Police Department, less allowance on touring car (claim dated Dec. 28, 1925), $875.

(19) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of Park Fund for labor and water furnished Civic Center for months of November and December, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $1,078.83.

(20) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of Park Fund for labor and material expended on convenience stations July 1 to Dec. 31, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $9,550.76.

(21) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $618.98.

(22) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for account of street repair (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $791.43.

(23) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $853.65.

(24) Pacific Portland Cement Co. Con., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $1,720.85.

(25) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., lamps for Board of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926), $508.63.

(26) Hugh McGill, final payment for moving Spanish War Memorial from Van Ness avenue and Market street (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $2,365.

(27) City Construction Co., third payment, improvement of Geary street between Mason street and Van Ness avenue (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $4,500.

(28) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, blankets for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $540.80

(29) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,574.95.

(30) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,874.88.

(31) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,040.

(32) Shell Company, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,636.80.

(33) Miller & Lux Inc., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $944.30.

(34) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $707.88.

(35) Jacobsen-Reimers Co., groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,305.

(36) California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,253.60.

(37) Sherry Bros., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $2,211.11.

(38) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $3,819.85.

(39) Shell Company, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $2,532.50.

(40) Symon Bros., teams for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 19, 1926), $796.38.

(41) H. E. Albach, filling in material at bridges located at Sickels avenue and De Wolf street, and at San Jose avenue and Regent street (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $1,250.

(42) Sibley Grading and Teaming Co., filling in material at Sickels avenue bridge (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $760.

(43) Sibley Grading and Teaming Co., filling in material at San Jose avenue bridge (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $717.50.

(44) Campbell & Dalton, one Ford coupe for Department of Public Health (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $624.80.

(45) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Department of Public Health (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $1,449.17.

County Road Fund

(46) W. B. Clarke & Son, trees for planting on slopes of boulevard to Harding Park (claim dated Jan. 13, 1926), $1,350.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(47) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., steel pipe and fittings for Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $12,167.

(48) Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital), for hospital care of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $1,939.

Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment.

(49) Auditor's office, services rendered and expenses incurred in extending and experting Duboce Tunnel Assessment Tax Books (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $660.

Playground Fund.

(50) Hugh McGill, partial payment on underpinning the Jackson Field House (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $1,000.

Special School Tax.

(51) American Radiator Co., boiler and parts for school buildings (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $1,009.01.

(52) Dan P. Maher & Co., brushes, enamel, etc., for schools (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $603.18.

(53) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Jan. 15, 1926), $652.36.

(54) George H. Tay Company, urinal stalls, etc., for schools (claim dated Jan. 19, 1926), $708.24.

Auditorium Fund.

(55) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for Pop Concert of Jan. 8, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $2,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Appropriation, $3,750, Payment to Henrietta Sittenfeld for Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Resolution No. 25122 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, and authorized in payment to Henrietta Sittenfeld; being payment for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; being Lot No. 3, Block 6661, as per Assessor's Map, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25036, New Series (claim dated Jan. 14, 1926).

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Appropriation, $19,500, Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Roosevelt Way.

Resolution No. 25123 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $19,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Roosevelt way from Fourteenth street to Clayton street, to enable final payment for the macadamizing of the roadway to permit of settlement of fills before permanent pavement is laid, together with other items of extra work.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Appropriation, $2,000, School Construction Fund, for Assistant Bookkeeper and Stenographer, Bureau of Accounts, Board of Public Works.

Resolution No. 25124 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the employment of an assistant bookkeeper and stenographer in the Bureau of Accounts, Department of Public Works, for the period February 1 to June 30, 1926; being a proportion of the accounting expense due to the construction and reconstruction of schools.

(Department of Public Works

Resolution No. 89506, Second Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Establishing Grades.

Bill No. 7434, Ordinance. No. 6950 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Cervantes boulevard, Firteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 28th day of December, 1925, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 107, to establish set-back lines along Cervantes boulevard, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue, and fixed the 25th day of January, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northeasterly side of Cervantes boulevard, commencing at a point 146.7 feet southeasterly from the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Beach street, if produced southwesterly, with the northeasterly line of Cervantes boulevard, if produced northwesterly, and running thence southeasterly 310 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; along the southwesterly side of Cervantes boulevard, commencing at a point 192.332 feet southeasterly from Beach street, and running thence southeasterly to Alhambra street, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly to Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly to Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforeseid.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25125 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

P. A. Bergerot, 3060 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Locke Breaux, Jr., west side of San Buena Ventura way, 70 feet south of San Anselmo avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

A. C. Hamerton, south side of West Portal avenue, 150 feet west of Vicente street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Harold Johnson, north line of Page street, 180 feet west of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. M. Richardson, east side of Divisadero street, 100 feet north of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Leon Sloss, Jr., south side of Broadway, 150 feet east of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Standard Pie Co., 2811 Army street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. H. Stephenson, southwest corner of Fourteenth avenue and Portola drive, 600 gallons capacity.

Teutonic Bakery, 2808 Twenty-fourth street, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Alberta Candy Company, 2201 Third street, 30 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 25126 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while excavating on property on the west side of Sansome street between Vallejo and Green streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25127 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis L. Cooper be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Lombard and Divisadero streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Cleaning and Dyeing Works Permit.

Resolution No. 25128 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Independent Cleaning and Dyeing Works be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cleaning and dyeing works on the northeast corner of Erie and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25129 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alberigi & Sorini be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted F. E. Palmer by Resolution No. 24609 (New Series) for premises at 240 Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Taxicab Ordinance.

Bill No. 7435, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the use of taxicabs, automobiles and public vehicles for hire, fixing the rate to be charged for the transportation of persons and personal baggage, regulating the use of boats in the waters of the bay, providing a punishment for any violation thereof and repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. (a) The term "Taxicab," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean all motor vehicles propelled

by power other than muscular, the rental for which is computed for the distance traveled by means of a taximeter attached thereto.

(b) The term "Taximeter," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to mean and embrace any instrument or device attached to a vehicle and designed or intended to measure mechanically the distance traveled by such vehicle, to record the time the said vehicle is in waiting, and to indicate upon such record by figures or designs the fare to be charged in dollars and cents.

(c) The term "Automobile," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean all such motor vehicles other than taxicabs, the rental for which is computed upon the hour or mileage basis, from the time such vehicle is in service, except "jitney busses" as defined by Ordinance No. 3212 (New Series) and "sightseeing busses" and "interurban busses" as such terms are defined in Ordinance No. 5118 (New Series).

(d) The term "public vehicles for hire," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace "taxicabs" and "automobiles" as herein defined; also "jitney busses" as defined by Ordinance No. 3212 (New Series) and "sight-seeing busses" and "interurban busses" as such terms are defined by Ordinance No. 5118 (New Series).

(e) The term "job wagon," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean every vehicle which shall be used for the conveyance of goods, packages or freight from place to place in this City and County for hire, except handcarts and vehicles used by merchants, dealers and manufacturers exclusively for the delivery of their wares to customers.

(f) The term "Boat," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean every water craft, whether propelled by manual, wind, or motive power, used for the conveyance of persons from place to place for pay.

Sec. 2. The following are hereby designated as public stands for licensed taxicabs and automobiles:

(a) At municipal docks and wharves of passenger-carrying ships and other vessels.

(b) Railroad depots.

(c) Around such public squares, except Union Square, as may be designated by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco from time to time, but not on the street, crosswalks, or in double lines.

All of the stands mentioned in this section shall be open to all properly licensed taxicabs and automobiles.

Sec. 3. (a) The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco may designate in writing, stands on public streets (except around Union Square) to be occupied by taxicabs and automobiles after permit to engage in business has been granted by the Board of Police Commissioners and the license fee has been paid as in this or other ordinances provided.

(b) Before any designation of stands is made by the Mayor as provided in this section, the written consent of the tenant or lessee of the ground floor or portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located must first be obtained. In the event the ground floor or the portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located is not occupied by a tenant or lessee, then the written consent of the owner of the building fronting the space where such stand is to be located must be first obtained.

(c) No stand shall be hereafter designated within twenty feet of any street crossing.

(d) The Chief of Police shall designate the number of taxicabs or automobiles that shall be allowed to stand at any one time at any of the places designated by the Mayor.

(e) Any stand designated by the Mayor may be revoked at his pleasure and without notice to any person except the Chief of Police and the holder of the permit; and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to occupy a stand with a taxicab or automobile after such revocation and notice has been made.

Sec. 4. (a) The Chief of Police may, upon the application of the manager of any hotel, designate points on the public street in front of or in the immediate vicinity of such hotel for the standing of one or more taxicabs or automobiles, so that the said taxicabs or automobiles may be available for use in the transportation of guests to and from such hotel.

(b) Any stand designated by the Chief of Police as provided in this section may be revoked at his pleasure; and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to occupy said stand with a taxicab or automobile after such revocation has been made and notice thereof given.

Sec. 5. The Chief of Police may issue permits not to exceed thirty-five in number at any one time for taxicabs, automobiles and sight-

seeing busses, to stand on the north side of Geary street between Stockton and Powell streets and on the west side of Stockton street between Geary street and Post street. None of the vehicles mentioned in this section shall stand on the streets mentioned herein unless the permit from the Chief of Police has been first obtained. Not more than one permit shall be issued to any person, firm or corporation or association for more than one vehicle at any one time.

Sec. 6. All vehicles occupying stands, whether designated by the Mayor, the Chief of Police, or otherwise provided for in this ordinance, shall be under the supervision and control of the Chief of Police, and when a stand is occupied by the full number of vehicles authorized no other vehicle shall loiter or wait near by to take the place thereat.

Sec. 7. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage, within the City and County of San Francisco, in the business of operating any vehicle for the transportation of persons for hire, without having first procured a permit in writing from the Board of Police Commissioners of said City and County, authorizing him or it so to do. Applicants for such permit shall file with said Board an application upon blanks furnished by said Board, containing full information concerning the fictitious name or names under which he or it intends to conduct said business, and the peculiar or distinguishing color scheme or design or dress (including any monogram or insignia), intended to be used upon his or its vehicle or vehicles, the number of vehicles proposed to be operated and the type of said vehicles, and such other information as said Board may require.

Sec. 8. No permit shall be issued by said Board of Police Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation if it appears:

(a) That the motor vehicle or motor vehicles proposed to be operated by the applicant are inadequate or insufficient for the purpose intended, or insufficiently equipped with reasonable safety devices.

(b) That the firm, corporation or association making the application is not a bona fide one; or is not authorized to do business in this state.

(c) That the person making the application is not a citizen of the United States, or is not of good moral character.

(d) That said person, firm or corporation proposes to engage in said business under a fictitious name or names, which in the opinion of said Board, imitates or so closely resembles the fictitious name or names of any person, firm or corporation already engaged in the same business in said City and County of San Francisco as will or may tend to deceive, or that said person, firm or corporation proposes to use upon his or its vehicle or vehicles a peculiar or distinguishing color scheme or design or dress which, in the opinion of said Board, imitates or so closely resembles a color scheme or design or dress already used upon the vehicle or vehicles of any other person, firm or corporation engaged in the same business, as will or may tend to deceive or defraud the public.

Sec. 9. It shall be unlawful for any owner or lessee of any taxicab or automobile to make or cause to be made any changes whatever in the color or distinguishing characteristics of said taxicabs or automobiles unless the permission of the Board of Police Commissioners has first been obtained.

Sec. 10. It shall be unlawful for any person soliciting patronage for any vehicle used for the transportation of passengers for hire to represent, by word or sign or hatband or insignia or badge or by his manner or style of dress, that the vehicle for which he is soliciting such patronage is a vehicle owned or operated by a person, firm or corporation other than the one who actually owns and operates said vehicle.

Sec. 11. Every person, firm or corporation shall adopt a distinguishing color scheme, design or dress for all taxicabs operated by it and shall use the same on all taxicabs so operated by it.

Sec. 12. Should any person, firm or corporation holding a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to operate one or more taxicabs or automobiles desire to add to the number of such motor vehicles, application therefor shall be made to the Commission in the same general form as the original application and the Commission shall grant the right to operate such additional motor vehicles.

Sec. 13. No person shall be permitted to act as a driver or chauffeur of any taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus, or interurban bus, unless he holds a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners, a chauffeur's permit from the State of California and a license as required by this or other ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

Sec. 14. Drivers' licenses and

PUBLIC LIBRARY

permits shall be issued as of the 1st day of January of each year, and every year, and shall be valid, unless revoked as in this ordinance provided, up to and including the 31st day of December, next succeeding. The Board of Police Commissioners may cause the renewal of the driver's license from year to year by appropriate indorsement of said Board of Police Commissioners upon the application for renewal and payment of the annual fee. The driver, in applying for the renewal of his license, shall make such application upon a form to be furnished by the Police Department of said City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Application for Renewal of Driver's License," which shall be filled out with the full name and address of the applicant, together with a statement of the date upon which his original license was granted, and the number thereon, except that all persons to whom have been issued permits and licenses preliminary to the passage of this ordinance shall be required to make out original applications at the beginning of the next time-period after the passage of this ordinance.

Sec. 15. In addition to the permits required by Section 14 of this ordinance there shall be furnished to each licensed driver of a taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus or interurban bus a metal badge of such form and style as the Tax Collector may prescribe, with the license number of such driver thereon and the year said badge is issued, which must, under penalty of revocation of the license, be constantly and conspicuously displayed on the outside of the driver's coat when he is engaged in his employment, and shall only be worn by the person to whom the badge is issued.

Sec. 16. Each applicant for a driver's permit from the Board of Police Commissioners must:

(a) Be a citizen of the United States and of good moral character.

(b) Be of the age of 21 years or over;

(c) Be of sound physique with good eyesight and not subject to epilepsy, vertigo, heart trouble, or any other infirmity of the body or mind and not be addicted to the use of intoxicating liquor or narcotics, which might render him unfit for the safe operation of a taxicab, automobile, interurban or sightseeing bus;

(d) Be able to read and write the English language.

(e) Be clean in dress and person;

(f) Produce certificates of his good character from two reputable citizens of the City and County of San Francisco who have known him personally and observed his conduct during one year next preceding the date of his application;

(g) Fill out upon a blank form to be provided by the Police Commission a statement giving his full name, residence, place of residence for five years next preceding the date of his application, age, color, height, color of eyes and hair, place of birth, length of time he has resided in the City and County of San Francisco, whether a citizen of the United States, places of previous employment covering five years next preceding the date of his application, whether married or single, whether he has ever been convicted of a felony or of a misdemeanor, whether he has been previously licensed as a driver or chauffeur and if so, whether his license has ever been revoked and for what cause; which statement shall be signed by the applicant and filed with the Police Commission as a permanent record.

Sec. 17. Each applicant for a driver's permit must file with his application two recent photographs of himself of a size that may be easily attached to his license, one of which shall be attached to his license when issued, and carried by such licensed driver at all times when driving a taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus, or interurban bus, and showing that such driver is a licensed driver, and the other shall be filed with his application with the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco. The photograph shall be so attached to the license that it cannot be removed and another photograph substituted without detection. Each licensed driver shall, upon demand by any police officer or a passenger, exhibit his license and photograph for inspection.

Sec. 18. Each applicant for a driver's permit under the provisions of this ordinance shall be examined by a police officer designated by the Chief of Police as to his knowledge of the provisions of this ordinance, the California Vehicle Act, the traffic regulations of the City and County of San Francisco, the geography of the said Ctiy and County, and if the result of the examination be unsatisfactory he shall be refused a permit.

Sec. 19. The Board of Police Commissioners, in the exercise of a sound and reasonable discretion, when the public interest and safety may require, may revoke any permit issued under the provisions of

this ordinance; provided, however, that any permit issued under the provisions of Section 7 of this ordinance shall not be revoked except upon hearing.

Sec. 20. Every taxicab and sightseeing bus for the operation of which a permit has been issued by the Board of Police Commissioners shall have the name of the owner plainly painted in letters at least two inches in height in the center of the main panel of the rear doors.

Sec. 21. Sightseeing cars shall not stand upon any public square, street or other public place except between the hours of 8:30 and 10 o'clock a. m., between 12:30 and 2 o'clock p. m., and between 6:30 o'clock p. m. and 7 o'clock a. m., except on Sundays and holidays.

Rates for Sightseeing Cars.

Sec. 22. Sightseeing automobiles accommodating ten or more passengers shall not charge more than one dollar and fifty cents per passenger per trip, and each trip shall not be less than two hours' duration, and shall follow the route as advertised by the owner or driver of such automobile.

Sec. 23. The rates of fare for automobiles, whether offered for hire or furnished for special calls, shall not exceed the following: For automobiles having a capacity for four passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour or fraction thereof, two dollars and fifty cents; for each succeeding half hour, two dollars. For automobiles having a capacity for six or more passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour or fraction thereof, three dollars; for each subsequent half hour, two dollars and fifty cents. Provided, however, that no automobile shall charge a rate of fare from any ferry or railraod terminal that shall exceed the metered mileage rate specified in the next suceeding section, and the distance from such terminal to the point of destination shall be measured by the shortest route along open public streets.

Charges for Taxicabs.

Sec. 24. The following schedule of charges for taxicabs be and is hereby adopted as the legal taxicab rate in the City and County of San Francisco, and shall not be exceeded:

Taximeter Rate.

Tariff No. 1 (one or two passengers):

First quarter-mile or fraction thereof, forty cents.

Each one-quarter mile thereafter, ten cents.

Each two minutes of waiting, ten cents.

Tariff No. 2 (three or four passengers):

First one-fifth mile or fraction thereof, forty cents.

Each one-fifth mile thereafter, ten cents.

Each two minutes of waiting, ten cents.

For each additional passenger over four persons for the entire journey, fifty cents.

Hour Rate.

First one-half hour or fraction thereof, two dollars; second half hour, one dollar and fifty cents.

Each subsequent hour, three dollars and fifty cents.

The passenger when engaging the taxicab shall elect whether he will employ it by taximeter or hour rates.

Sec. 25. The use of any inaccurate taximeter or other measuring instrument for the purpose of gauging or indicating distance traveled, or waiting time, or for the purpose of fixing rates to be collected from the public is hereby prohibited, and it shall be the duty of the owner or lessee in possession, including any corporation or officer or agent thereof responsible therefor of any vehicle mentioned in this ordinance, using any taximeter or other measuring instrument, to at all times keep said taximeter or other measuring instrument accurate.

(a) Every taximeter or other measuring instrument used for the purpose of gauging or indicating distance traveled or waiting time or for the purpose of computing fares to be collected from the public shall be subject to inspection at all times by the Police Department. The Chief of Police may at any time detail police officers to inspect any or all taximeters or other measuring instruments so used when in his opinion such instruments are inaccurate. Any police officer is directed, upon complaint of any person that the fare charged is more than the legal fare, to investigate and report such complaint immediately to the Chief of Police who shall cause such taximeters or other measuring instruments to be at once inspected. Any person, firm or corporation who uses any taximeter or other measuring instruments which registers fare in excess of the legal fare and collects such fare is subject to revocation of license.

(b) Each taxicab, while in use in the City and County of San Francisco, for the transportation of passengers for hire, shall be

equipped with an efficient illuminating device, either flexible or fixed, so arranged as to enable the passenger or passengers to conveniently observe the meter and the amount of fare registered thereon.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any automobile, in soliciting trade from the public, to represent his vehicle as a taxicab unless it is equipped with a taximeter in working order, and duly inspected and approved, as in this section provided.

Sec. 26. In any case of disagreement between the driver and passenger of a public vehicle for hire relative to the legal fare to be paid, the driver shall convey the passenger to the nearest Police Station, where the officer in charge shall immediately decide the case, and if the decision is in favor of the passenger, the driver shall convey the passenger from the Police Station to his original destination without additional charge; if the passenger is about to leave the City by railroad, steamboat or otherwise, the police officer on duty at the depot or wharf shall decide the case.

(a) All drivers or operators of public vehicles for hire, upon the demand of any passenger, shall give a receipt for fare paid, such receipt to be in a form satisfactory to the Chief of Police.

Sec. 27. If any driver, proprietor or lessee of a taxicab, automobile or sightseeing bus, shall refuse to convey a passenger at the rates hereinabove provided, or demand or receive an amount in excess of his legal hire, he shall be liable to the penalty provided by this ordinance, and shall return to the passenger any amount he may have received in excess of his legal fare.

(a) Any charge, or attempt to charge any passenger a greater fare than that to which the taxicab, automobile or sightseeing bus is entitled under the provisions of this ordinance shown either by confession of the party, or competent testimony; or any failure on the part of any driver or operator of any taxicab or automobile to make proper returns to the owner of such taxicab or automobile shall immediately suspend the license of such driver or operator until such time as the case is finally disposed of by the proper magistrate.

Sec. 28. (a) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of a taxicab to throw the flag of the taximeter in a recording position when such vehicle is not actually employed.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any taxicab to fail to throw the flag of such taximeter to the non-recording position at the termination of each and every service and to call the attention of the passenger to the amount registered.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of a taxicab while carrying passengers or under employment to display the flag affixed to such taximeter in such position as to denote such taxicab is not employed, or in such position as to denote that he is employed at a rate of fare different from that to which he is entitled under the provisions of this ordinance.

Sec. 29. Any person who shall refuse to pay the legal fare for a taxicab or sightseeing bus or automobile, as prescribed in this ordinance, that he has hired, shall be guilty of misdemeanor, and on conviction thereof be compelled to pay to the driver of said vehicle an amount equal to the legal fare, and in case any bail required is forfeited, the amount of the legal fare shall be paid to the driver from such amount so forfeited, and the court, before the case is heard, shall order the same to be paid from the treasury of the City and County.

Sec. 30. It shall be unlawful for any person to solicit patronage for public vehicles on the public streets or grounds, but the fact that such public vehicle displays a device to indicate that such vehicle is not engaged shall not of itself be considered as soliciting patronage.

Sec. 31. Drivers and operators of public vehicles for hire shall promptly report to the Detective Bureau of the Police Department and within twenty-four hours all property of value left in their vehicles by passengers.

Sec. 32. The driver of any public vehicle for hire shall be entitled to charge not to exceed one (1) dollar for each trunk and twenty (20) cents for each large valise or bag carried outside the vehicle, and each passenger shall be entitled to have conveyed without charge such valise or small package as can be conveniently carried within the vehicle. Each driver shall load and unload all baggage without charge.

Sec. 33. When public vehicles for hire are engaged by the hour the driver at the time of hiring shall hand to the passenger a card upon which shall appear, first, the name and address of the owner; second, the name of the driver of such vehicle and the number of his license; third, the exact time of such hiring.

Sec. 34. Every taxicab, automo-

bile and sightseeing bus shall have permanently affixed to the interior thereof, in a place readily to be seen by passengers, a frame covered with glass, enclosing a card not less than six (6) inches square, upon which shall be printed in plain, legible letters the schedule of rates prescribed in this ordinance, applicable to every such vehicle.

(a) The said frame and enclosed card must be approved by the Chief of Police.

Sec. 35. In case any vehicle described in this ordinance shall, while conveying for hire or reward any passenger or passengers, become disabled, or shall break down, the time of stoppage shall be deducted from the time charged for.

Sec. 36. It shall be unlawful for any person to be in any boat at night on the waters of the bay, with intent to use or to use such boat for the conveyance of persons from place to place, for hire, without having in said boat a lighted lantern at least six inches square, with the number of said boat painted thereon in plain Arabic figures of such size and form as to be readily seen and read, and which, upon the demand of any person, shall be exhibited.

Sec. 37. It shall be unlawful for any runner, soliciting agent, or driver, or motorman, of any public vehicle for hire, or of any job wagon, to misrepresent in any manner whatsoever the character of the business engaged in, or being solicited for, or to personate or attempt to impersonate any other runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire, or of any job wagon, in the conveyance or transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise, or any other person, or to convey or transport persons, baggage or merchandise to any place or destination other than the place or destination engaged for.

(a) No person having charge of or soliciting patronage for any vehicle or boat shall, for the purpose of securing patronage, make any false representations concerning the ownership or employment of such vehicle or boat.

Sec. 38. Every person, firm or corporation owning or using any boat upon which a license tax is imposed by any order or ordinance shall have attached to said boat a pair of metallic plates to be furnished by the Tax Collector on payment of the license tax. Each of said pair of plates shall bear a different number and specify the year for which issued. Said plates shall be attached in the manner and place designated by order or ordinance for the attachment of boat number plates, or, if not so designated, in a position to be designated by the Tax Collector. When so attached, neither of said plates shall be removed from said boat without the authorization of the owner.

Sec. 39. The number designated for any boat shall be placed thereon in two places, either by tacking thereupon the tins furnished by the Collector of Licenses, or by painting such number upon the boat, in plain Arabic figures not less than one and one-half inches in height, and of proportionate width, and of such color as to be readily seen and distinguished.

(a) The number of each boat shall be placed on both sides thereof within two feet of the bow, on the outside of each boat, immediately below the gunwale.

Sec. 40. No person shall use or drive, or permit to be used or driven, any boat belonging to him, or under his control, which, by any of the provisions of this ordinance, is required to be numbered, without having the appropriate number thereof, and no other, placed thereupon in the manner and place provided in Section 41 of this ordinance, nor with such number inverted, covered, mutilated, obliterated, or obscurely painted, or illegible.

Sec. 41. Any person driving or having control of any vehicle on which a number is required to be placed shall give the number of his vehicle on the inquiry of any person.

Sec. 42. It shall be unlawful for any person to engage in the business or occupation of soliciting boarders or lodgers, or custom for any hotel, boarding house or lodging house, or the transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise, without having a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as hereinafter provided.

Sec. 43. A licensed driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire shall have the right to solicit patronage for the vehicle driven or operated by him without a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as provided in Sections 48, 50 and 51 of this ordinance, but not more than one person shall be deemed to have charge of any vehicle at any place.

Sec. 44. A person licensed to engage in the business of transporting baggage or merchandise shall have the right to solicit patronage without a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as provided in Sections 48, 50 and 51 of this ordinance, but not more than one per-

son shall have such right under such license.

Sec. 45. Any person desiring a runner's and soliciting agent's license must first present to the Board of Police Commissioners a written application for a permit therefor, setting forth his name, age and place of residence. The Board of Police Commissioners is hereby authorized to issue to any person who, in its judgment, is a proper person to engage in the business or occupation of runner and soliciting agent, a permit for a runner's and soliciting agent's license; and said Board is hereby authorized to revoke any permit so issued. When any such permit is revoked, the said Board shall give notice thereof to the Tax Collector. Said Board shall keep a record of the disposition of all applications for such permits. The Chief of Police may suspend the permit of any runner or soliciting agent for a period not exceeding ten days.

Sec. 46. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent, or driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire, to solicit patronage in front of any entrance, exit or gangway of any ferry landing, wharf, depot, theater, circus, hall or other place where people are assemled, within twelve feet thereof, or within twelve feet of the lines of said entrance, exit or gangway produced twelve feet from the front thereof.

Sec. 47. No taxicab or automobile, while awaiting employment by passengers, shall stand on any public street or place other than or upon a stand designated or established in accordance with this ordinance; nor shall any driver of such taxicab or automobile seek employment by repeatedly and persistently driving his vehicle to and fro for a short space before, or by otherwise interfering with the proper and orderly access to or egress from any theater, hall, hotel, public resort, railroad or ferry station or other place of public gathering.

Sec. 48. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent to solicit patronage in loud, noisy or boisterous tone of voice, or manner, or to lay hands upon the person or baggage of any person without the express consent of such person, or to obstruct the movement of any person, or to follow any person for the purpose of soliciting patronage.

Sec. 49. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent, or driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire, to scuffle or crowd about or interfere with any other runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman with whom any person is negotiating or inquiring about the transportation of person or baggage.

Sec. 50. No person shall solicit patronage for any hotel, vehicle or other business, upon any railroad train, steamboat or vehicle whatsoever within the corporate limits of the City and County of San Francisco without first having obtained permission in writing so to do from the owner, lessee or managing agent of such owner, charterer or lessee of such railroad, steamboat or other vehicle.

Sec. 51. The Police Department shall have the control, regulation and direction of all licensed runners, soliciting agents, drivers and motormen at ferry landings, wharves, steamboat landings and railroad depots, theaters, public buildings and all places of public assemblage, and it shall be unlawful for any licensed runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman to fail, refuse or neglect to obey the lawful order of any police officer in regard to the control, regulation and direction, of soliciting patronage for the conveyance or transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise.

Sec. 52. All ordinances, in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, and especially Ordinance 1898 (New Series), are hereby repealed.

Sec. 53. Every person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Sec. 54. This ordinance shall take effect 30 days after approval.

Taxicab Ordinance Amendments.

Supervisor Schmidt moved to amend as follows:

1. Subdivision "c" of Section 2 be amended by inserting the words "With the approval of the Board of Supervisors."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden—4.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

2. Subdivision "a," Section 3, insert the words "With the approval of the Board of Supervisors."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden—4.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

3. Subdivision "e," Section 3, insert the words "Any permit may be revoked by the Mayor with the approval of the Board of Supervisors or by the Board of Supervisors with the approval of the Mayor".

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden—3.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

4. Add a new section, No. 6½, and insert the words "No permit granted under the provisions of this ordinance shall confer on the holders thereof any exclusive privilege to use any portion of the public streets except that no other vehicle for hire shall use stand designated under such permit."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden—4.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

5. Insert the words "All permits heretofore granted and not used during the three months previous to the adoption of this ordinance are hereby declared canceled."

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *laid over one week on motion of Supervisor Welch.*

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7442, Ordinance No. 6952 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Munich street between Brazil avenue and Excelsior avenue*, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement from Brazil avenue to a line 300 feet northerly therefrom, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7437, Ordinance No. 6953 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public

Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordoring of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *southerly side of Ocean avenue between Howth street and Harold avenue*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7438, Ordinance No. 6954 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *easterly one-half of Twenty-second avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks of six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7439, Ordinance No. 6955 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifiations therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *westerly side of Jones street from a line paralel with and* 100 *feet southerly from Eddy street to a line parallel with and* 137 *feet* 6 *inches southerly from Eddy street*, by the construction of an artificial stone sidewalk of the full official width, and the improvement of *Greenwich street between Gough and Octavia streets, Laguna street between Chestnut and Francisco streets, Octavia street between Chestnut and Bay streets, Gough street between Francisco and Bay streets, Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street, Francisco street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Bill No. 7440, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Silver avenue between Merrill street and Vienna street, including the intervening intersections*, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement 10 feet in width adjacent to the curb lines, where not already constructed, and by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the intervening intersections, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7441, Ordinance No. 6957 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Anza street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues*, and the improvement of *Twenty-ninth avenue between Anza street and Balboa street*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7435, Ordinance No. 6958 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Munich street between Persia and Russia avenues*, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7443, Ordinance No. 6959 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Avalon avenue between Lisbon and Moscow streets, Excelsior avenue between Lisbon and Athens streets, Brazil avenue between Lisbon and Athens streets, Persia avenue between Lisbon and Moscow streets, Russia avenue between Lisbon and Moscow streets, London street between Russia and France avenues, Paris street between France and Avalon avenues, Lisbon street between France and Avalon avenues, Madrid street between France and Avalon avenues, Edinburgh street between France and Avalon avenues, Naples street between France and Avalon avenues, Vienna street between France and Avalon avenues, and Athens street between France and Avalon avenues*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where sidewalks are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7444, Ordinance No. 6960 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said

work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *crossings of Quintara street with Sixteenth, Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues*, respectively, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of full official width on the angular corners thereof, by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with the accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavements on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7445, Ordinance No. 6961 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Berry street between Seventh street and the easterly line of De Haro street, except that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon*, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of concrete curbs adjacent to the property lines, by resetting the existing curbs and catchbasin, and performing the necessary conform work in the crossing of Seventh street; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with Y branches and appurtenances, brick manholes and the necessary brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphalt pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation covered with an asphaltic concrete binder course one and one-half (1½) inches thick and an asphaltic wearing surface 2 inches thick.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7446, Ordinance No. 6962 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications pre-

pared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the following portions of Fifteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, the easterly one-half of Fifteenth avenue from the southerly line of Ulloa street to a line parallel with and* 125 *feet southerly therefrom, the westerly one-half of Fifteenth avenue from a line parallel with and* 250 *feet northerly from Vicente street to a line parallel with and* 275 *feet northerly from Vicente street, the easterly one-half and westerly one-half of Fifteenth avenue between the northerly line of Vicente street and a line parallel with and* 100 *feet northerly therefrom,* by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of 8 Y branches on an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer, by the construction of that portion of 3 brick manholes and an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer that said frontages would be liable for, by the construction of a 7-foot strip of concrete pavement adjacent to the center line of Fifteenth avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7447, Ordinance No. 6963 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the following portions of Ortega street between Tenth and Eleventh avenues, the northerly one-half of Ortega street from the westerly line of Tenth avenue to a line parallel with and* 157 *feet* 6 *inches westerly therefrom, the southerly one-half of Ortega street between the easterly line of Eleventh avenue and a line parallel with and* 57 *feet* 6 *inches easterly therefrom,* by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, by the construction of 8 Y branches on 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer, and by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer and 2 manholes that above mentioned frontages will be liable for.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Changing Grades.

Bill No. 7448, Ordinance No. 6964 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 17th day of November, 1925, by Resolution No. 24758 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Seventeenth Avenue.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Pacheco street southerly line, 459.57 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Pacheco street southerly line, 458.43 feet. (The same being the official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 20 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 459.74 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 460.32 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 80 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 461.56 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 458.58 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 459.17 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 80 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 460.50 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 470.40 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 472.12 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 472.08 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 470.16 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 472.06 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 472.08 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Quintara street northerly line, 567.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets changed and established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7449, Ordinance No. 6965 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Girard street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with Ward street and 200 feet northerly therefrom; on Brussels street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with Ward street and 175 feet northerly therefrom, and on Ward street between San Bruno avenue and a line parallel with Brussels street and 120 feet westerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of November, 1925, by Resolution No. 24726 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Girard street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with Ward street and 200 feet northerly therefrom; on Brussels street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with Ward street and 175 feet northerly therefrom, and on

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Ward street between San Bruno avenue and a line parallel with Brussels street and 120 feet westerly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Girard Street.

200 feet northerly from Ward street, 192 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 193 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 193 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Ward street northerly line, 194.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ward street southerly line, 194.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ward street southerly line, 193 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ward street southerly line, 193 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 305 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 178.94 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of. 255 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 173.27 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 205 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 171.05 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 305 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 178.94 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 255 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 173.17 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 205 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 170.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Harkness avenue northerly line, 167 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Harkness avenue northerly line, 169 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Brussels Street.

175 feet northerly from Ward street, 254 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 247.80 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 249.80 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Ward street northerly line, 250.30 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ward street southerly line, 250.30 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet southerly from Ward street northerly line, 247.30 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ward street southerly line, 247.30 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ward street southerly line, 247.80 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ward street southerly line, 249.80 feet.

50 feet southerly from Ward street, 241.04 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 214.76 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 207.21 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 202.11 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 214.76 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 207.33 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 202.56 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Harkness avenue northerly line, 194.33 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Harkness avenue northerly line, 195.67 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Girard street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with Ward street and 200 feet northerly therefrom; on Brussels street between Harkness avenue and a line

parallel with Ward street and 175 feet northerly therefrom, and on Ward street between San Bruno avenue and a line parallel with Brussels street and 120 feet westerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Ward street at San Bruno avenue and at a line 120 feet westerly from Brussels street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7450, Ordinance No. 6967 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Santiago street between Thirty-sixth and Forty-first avenues and on Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Rivera and Taraval streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of November, 1925, by Resolution No. 24728 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Santiago street between Thirty-sixth and Forty-first avenues and on Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Rivera and Taraval streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisro as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Santiago Street.

Thirty-sixth avenue, 140 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-seventh avenue, easterly line, 134 feet.

Thirty-seventh avenue, westerly line, 133.60 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, easterly line, 132.40 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, westerly line, 132 feet.

Thirty-ninth avenue, easterly line, 125 feet.

Thirty-ninth avenue, westerly line, 124.60 feet.

Fortieth avenue, easterly line, 123.40 feet.

Fortieth avenue, westerly line, 123 feet.

Forty-first avenue, 108 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-seventh Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 137.75 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 137.25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 134 feet.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 133.60 feet.

Taraval street, 127 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 135.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 135 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 132.40 feet.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 132 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, 315 feet northerly from Taraval street, 130.34 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, 265 feet northerly from Taraval street, 129.34 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, 215 feet northerly from Taraval street, 127.02 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Fifteen feet easterly from the westerly line of, 315 feet northerly from Taraval street, 130.31 feet.

Fifteen feet easterly from the westerly line of, 265 feet northerly from Taraval street, 129.33 feet.

Fifteen feet easterly from the westerly line of, 215 feet northerly from Taraval street, 127.02 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, 60 feet northerly from Taraval street, 117.79 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, 30 feet northerly from Taraval street, 116.42 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the

easterly line of, at Taraval street northerly line, 115.91 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Fifteen feet easterly from the westerly line of, 60 feet northerly from Taraval street, 117.79 feet.

Fifteen feet easterly from the westerly line of, 30 feet northerly from Taraval street, 116.36 feet.

Fifteen feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Taraval street northerly line, 115.68 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 115.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 116 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-ninth Avenue.

Rivera street, 120 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 125 feet.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 124.60 feet.

Taraval street, 103.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Fortieth Avenue.

Rivera street, 118 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 123.40 feet.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 123 feet.

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 92 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 91.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Santiago street between Thirty-sixth and Forty-first avenues, and on Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Rivera and Taraval streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7451, Ordinance No. 6967 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue as shown on map entitled "Grade map of Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first stret between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of November, 1925, by Resolution No. 24727 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue as shown on map entitled "Grade map of Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue"; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue are hereby changed and established at points and elevations above city base as shown on map entitled "Grade map of Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue."

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7452, Ordinance No. 6951 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the following portions of Ortega street between Ninth and Tenth avenues: The northerly one-half of Ortega street from the easterly line of Tenth avenue to a line parallel with and* 82 *feet* 6 *inches easterly therefrom; the southerly one-half of Ortega street from the westerly line of Ninth avenue to a line parallel with and* 120 *feet westerly therefrom*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Changing Grades, Congdon Street.

Bill No. 7451, Ordinance No. 6956 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Congdon street between Ney and Maynard streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 17th day of November, 1925, by Resolution No. 24757 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Congdon street between Ney and Maynard streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Congdon Street.

Ney street, southerly line, 134.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Ney street, 141.34 feet.

150 feet southerly from Ney street, 145.87 feet.

200 feet southerly from Ney street, 152.14 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Maynard street, 155.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Congdon street between Ney and Maynard streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Referred.

The following bill was ordered *referred to the Streets Committee*:

Ordering Street Work, Berry Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as folows:

Ordering the performance of cer-

tain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, January 16, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Berry street between Second and Third streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters 2 feet in width adjacent to the above mentioned curbs; by the construction of 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes and Y branches; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation covered with an asphaltic concrete binder course 1½ inches thick, and an asphaltic wearing surface 2 inches thick.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $34,087.68, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(1) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Jan. 18, 1926), $1,730.28.

Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment.

(2) California Printing Co., for printing required for account of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $643.75.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(3) Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway rights of way, during November and December, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 21, 1926), $1,039.92.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(4) Market Street Railway Co., track reconstruction of Municipal Railways at Market street and The Embarcadero (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $6,124.46.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(5) Bald Eagle Meat Co., meats furnished during November, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 21, 1926), $525.38.

(6) Western Pipe and Steel Co., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 21, 1926), $1,295.

Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(7) J. H. Newbauer & Co., grocery supplies (claim dated Jan. 21, 1926), $606.81.

(8) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 21, 1926), $1,435.06.

California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61.)

(9) W. & J. Sloane, carpet for

theater at California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $945.75.

(10) Park Commission, reimbursement of Park Fund for electricity, water and personal service furnished (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $962.22.

County Road Fund.

(11) Schultz Construction Co., final payment for improvement of Roosevelt way from Fourteenth to Clayton streets (claim dated Jan. 27, 1926), $20,473.25.

Special School Tax.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school repairs (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $720.93.

General Fund, 1925-926.

(13) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Jan. 27, 1926), $14,097.90.

(14) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund for labor and water for account of Civic Center (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $1,779.15.

(15) School Repairs (Appropriation No. 1), to reimburse for stock withdrawals for account of Hall of Justice (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $784.07.

(16) School Repairs (Appropriation No. 1), to reimburse for stock withdrawals by the Board of Public Works for account of painting the Juvenile Detention Home (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $1,090.24.

(17) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sewer repairs (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $540.

(18) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $1,707.30.

(19) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $1,818.41.

(20) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, etc., for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $1,092.95.

(21) E. B. & A. L. Stone Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $1,062.90.

(22) California Brick Co., brick for street paving (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $2,215.

(23) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $505.26.

(24) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $750.27.

Appropriations, Payments of Tax Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation No. 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons as attorneys and agents for judgment creditors; being payments for account of one-tenth of the amount of tax judgments, plus interest, for the fiscal year 1925-1926, to-wit:

To William F. Humphrey, for account of judgment creditors, per attached schedule, the sum of $2,248.70.

To Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, for account of judgment creditors, per attached schedule, the sum of $14,507.83.

To Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, for account of judgment creditors, per attached schedule, the sum of $655.82.

To Tobin & Tobin, for judgment creditors, per attached schedule, the sum of $6,472.69.

Appropriation, $3,200, Payment to Jean Laclerque for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Jean Laclerque; being payment for land commencing at point formed by intersection of southerly line of Rivera street with the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue; running thence westerly along southerly line of Rivera street 57½ feet; of regular dimensions 57½ by 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25103 (New Series), and required for school purposes.

Appropriation, $2,500, Repair of Fourth and Sixth Street Bridges.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repair & Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, for the repair of fender piling and appurtenances at the Fourth and Sixth street bridges crossing the channel, including contract award, inspection and possible extras.

Appropriation, $10,330, Traffic Safety Devices.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,330 be and the same is hereby set

aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 24, for the furnishing and installing of safety devices upon the public streets for the protection and safeguarding of traffic.

Appropriation, $300, Temporary Bridges St. Charles and Ramsell Streets.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25130 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $300 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Emergency Repairs to Streets," Budget Item No. 79, for the construction of temporary bridges, one at St. Charles street and one at Ramsell street, over the Stanley street creek, to permit of access to Municipal car line on Randolph street and Worcester avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Condemnation of Land for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25131 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk is directed to prepare the necessary resolution to instruct the City Attorney to file condemnation suit for the acquisition of properties required for the right of way, etc., in connection with the Bernal Cut project. The City Engineer is requested to furnish the description of properties to be acquired.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25132 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Charles Monson, $19,595.

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Flower street, distant thereon 242.912 feet westerly from the westerly line of Loomis street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Flower street 67.978 feet to the easterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence deflecting 79 deg. 56 min. 28 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 66.015 feet to the southerly boundary of Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333; thence deflecting 100 deg. 3 min. 32 sec. to the left and running easterly along said southerly boundary 71.925 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 20 min. 44 sec. to the left and running northerly 65.441 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly boundary of Lot 318, Precita Valley Lands with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, said point being distant 65 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Flower street extended and produced westerly, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 208.988 feet; thence deflecting 19 deg. 51 min. 5 sec. to the left and continuing southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 24.891 feet; thence deflecting 76 deg. 48 min. 11 sec. to the left and running easterly 56.931 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 20 min. 44 sec. to the left and running northerly 233.386 feet to the northerly boundary of Lot 318, Precita Valley Lands; thence deflecting 96 deg. 39 min. 16 sec. to the left and running westerly along said northerly boundary 65.441 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots 314 to 318, inclusive, Precita Valley Lands.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolu-

tion attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Extension of Mt. Vernon Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25133 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francsico for the extension of Mt. Vernon avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, and the further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

John Marks, $250—Commencing at a point on the eatserly line of Harold avenue, distant thereon 697.47 feet southerly from the southerly line of Bruce avenue, said point being on the northerly line of Grafton avenue extended and produced easterly and running thence easterly at right angles with Harold avenue and along the northerly line of Grafton avenue, extended and produced easterly, a distance of 112.666 feet to the westerly line of Getz street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Getz street 2.649 feet to the southerly boundary of Lot 5, Block "B", Lakeview, accordang to map hereinafter referred to; thence westerly along said southerly boundary 111.882 feet to the easterly line of Harold avenue; thence at right angles northerly along said line of Harold avenue 2.53 feet to the point of commencement.

Being portion of Lot No. 5, in Block "B", according to map entitled "Lakeview, a portion of Rancho San Miguel," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, August 11, 1890, and recorded in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, pages 138 and 139.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco hereby agrees to construct at its own expense, a concrete wall on the remaining property of the owner of sufficient height and strength to protect the present building and the owner agrees to grant to the City and County of San Francisco a right of way easement for said wall; said wall to be provided with steps for side entrance and to be constructed when Mt. Vernon avenue is extended.

It is also understood and agreed that the owner will not be assessed for the improvement of the Mt. Vernon avenue extension, said improvement to include grading, paving and sidewalk.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said presons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. C. Auger, 2814 Lyon street, 600 gallons capacity.

Belvedere Bakery, 1660 Haight street, 600 gallons capacity.

L. Blum, south side of Bush street, 137 feet west of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. A. Brown, west side of Van Ness avenue, 50 feet north of Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Building Trades Council, southwest corner of Fourteenth and Guerrero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Durant School (City and County of San Francisco), Hollis street between Ellis and O'Farrell streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Escala, 1790 Haight street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Friend, south side of Eddy street, 160 feet east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Victor Gullmes, southwest corner of Fell and Webster streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Halpern, north line of Hayes street, 158 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. H. P. Hill, 3625 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Loustan, 673 Oak street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Nelson, corner St. Elmo and El Verano street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O'Brien, Kiernan Investment Co., southwest corner of Polk and Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

O'Brien, Kiernan Investment Co., south side of Broadway, 50 feet west of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. H. Patterson, Jr., 155 Twenty-ninth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

L. E. Russell, 1809 Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, northeast corner of Sixteenth and Alabama streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, south line of Chestnut street, 175 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, southwest corner of Bay and Octavia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, south line of Chestnut street, 150 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, south line of Chestnut street, 125 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Tillman, north line of Page street, 137 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

Garage Permit, Mario Mazzera.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mario Mazzera be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the east side of Mission street, 50 feet north of France avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Third street and South Park.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. A. Whitelock be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Howard and Beale streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit, Sterling Anderson.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sterling Anderson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted United Building Construction Company by Resolution No. 23623 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Third and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Denying Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 25134 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied F. J. Driscoll to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the north-

east corner of the intersection of Dolores and Randall streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Modifying Set-back Lines, West Side Twentieth Avenue Between Noriega and Ortega Streets.

On motion of Supervisors Marks:

Bill No. 7454, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending and modifying the provisions of Ordinance No. 5927 (New Series) respecting the establishment of set-bcak lines along the west side of Twentieth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The provisions of Ordinance No. 5927 (New Series) in so far as it establishes set-back lines along the westerly side of Twentieth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets are hereby amended and said set-back line is hereby modified and changed and as modified and changed are established as follows:

Along the west side of Twentieth avenue, commencing 100 feet southerly from Noriega street and running thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly to Ortega street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Potrero Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7455, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered change so as to place the easterly side of Potrero avenue, commencing at a point 32 feet northerly from Eighteenth street and running thence northerly 37 feet and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chenery Street.

Also, Bill No. 7456, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Chenery street and Brompton avenue, for a distance of 56 feet on Chenery street and a distance of 100 feet on Brompton avenue, in the second residential district instead of the first residential district.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Twenty-second Street.

Also, Bill No. 7457, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Bartlett street, commencing at a point 96 feet 3 inches northerly from Twenty-second street and running thence northerly 45 feet 9 inches and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the commercial district instead of the secon residential district.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Turk and Webster Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7458, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered change so as to place the northwest corner of Turk street and Webster street, for a distance of 95 feet on Turk street and a distance of 50 feet on Webster street, and also the northerly side of Turk street, commencing at a point 95 feet westerly from Webster street and running thence westerly 125 feet 1½ inches and extending to a depth of 137½ feet, in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Bartlett Street.

Also, Bill No. 7459, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the rear 43 feet of the lot on the westerly side of Bartlett street, commencing at a point 115 feet southerly from Twenty-first street and running thence southerly 60½ feet, in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

This change is ordered on the express conditions that any structure erected on the area affected hereby shall have solid concrete or masonry walls without openings on the north and east sides thereof and that all windows on the south wall shall have wire glass and be kept closed and if such conditions are violated then this ordinance shall cease to be in effect.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 110.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25135 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Sargent street between Vernon street and Ramsell street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Sargent street between Ramsell street and Head street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sargent street between Head street and Bright street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Sargent street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Head street and running thence easterly to Bright street, said set-back line to be 6 feet; along both sides of Sargent street between Bright street and Orizaba avenue, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Shields street between Ramsell street and Head street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Monticello street, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Shields street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Garfield street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 1st day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers,

Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 111.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25136 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northerly side of Sargent street between Worcester avenue and Monticello street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along both sides of Sargent street between Monticello street and Byxbee street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Sargent street between Byxbee street and Ralston street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sargent street between Ralston street and Vernon street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Sargent street, commencing at a point 75 feet easterly from Ralston street and running thence easterly to Vernon street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along both sides of Shields street between Beverly street and Byxbee street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Shields street between Byxbee street and Ralston street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Shields street between Ralston street and Vernon street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Shields street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Ralston street and running thence easterly to Vernon street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along both sides of Shields street between Vernon street and Ramsell street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 1st day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25137 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby instructed to install, change and remove street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

East side Capp street, first and second south of Fifteenth street.

West side Capp street, first and second south of Fifteenth street.

North side Kisling street, first east of Twelfth street.

South side Kisling street, first and second east of Twelfth street.

South side Sixteenth street, 419 feet west of Sanchez street.

South side Seventeenth street, 224 feet west of Guerrero street.

North side Seventeenth street, 147 feet west of Noe street.

East and west sides Taylor street between Union and Filbert streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Capp street, south of Fifteenth street.

Capp street, north of Sixteenth street.

Montgomery street between Union and Green streets.

Hemlock street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.

Corwin and Stanton streets (near highway).

Kisling street between Eleventh and Twelfth streets.

Eighteenth avenue, first north of Geary street, opposite No. 370.

Taylor street between Union and Filbert streets.

Newman street and Holly Park.

Thirtieth and Castro streets.

Change Gas Lamp.

Hill street, in front of No. 539, 3 feet west.

Change Electric Lamp.

Thirtieth street, west of Castro street, one pole west.

Change 250 *to* 400 *M. R.*

Eighteenth avenue between Geary and Clement streets, one pole north.

Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.
Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Accepting Offer of Loretta J. Edminster to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:
Resolution No. 25138 (New Series), as follows:
Whereas, an offer has been received from Loretta J. Edminster to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate north line of Rivera street, distant 57 feet 6 inches east from Twenty-fourth avenue, required for school purposes; and
Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it
Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:
Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Rivera street, distant thereon 57 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Rivera street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.
The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.
Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Accepting Offer of Maud E. Burtis to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:
Resolution No. 25139 (New Series), as follows:
Whereas, an offer has been received from Maud E. Burtis to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate west line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 125 feet north from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and
Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it
Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $900 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:
Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along the said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.
The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Accepting Offer of Herman Wobig to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25140 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Herman Wobig to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate southeast corner of Geary street and Thirty-second avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $8,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of Geary street with the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Geary street 60 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 75 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 60 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 75 feet to the southerly line of Geary street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 1513 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Accepting Offer of Grace Soetje to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25141 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Grace Soetje to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate west line of Forty-second avenue, distant 200 feet northerly from Judah street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Judah street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Forty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Forty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 1797 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks. McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7460, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Illinois Pacific Glass Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on and along Fifteenth street between Harrison and Folsom streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Illinois Pacific Glass Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on and along Fifteenth street between Harrison and Folsom streets, as follows:

Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing Southern Pacific Company track in Harrison street, said point being distant northerly 56 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Fifteenth street, connecting Harrison and Division streets, and distant westerly 32 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Harrison street produced across Fifteenth street; thence in a northwesterly direction, on a curve concave to the left, crossing Harrison street, for a distance of 209 feet, more or less, to a point in Fifteenth street, said point being distant southerly 10 feet from the northerly line of Fifteenth street and distant westerly 105 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence in a westerly direction parallel to and distant southerly 10 feet from the northerly line of Fifteenth street, a distance of 450 feet, more or less, to a point on the easterly line of Folsom street produced southerly across Fifteenth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Illinois Pacific Glass Company.

Provided, that Illinois Pacific Glass Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7461, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate railroad spur tracks upon, along and across Treat avenue, Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, in the City and County of San Francisco, in the location hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate railroad spur tracks upon, along and across Treat avenue, Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, the center lines of said proposed tracks being particularly described as follows:

Description of tracks along Treat avenue, crossing Sixteenth street and crossing Seventeenth street:

Track "A": Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing track in Harrison street, said point being distant northerly 30 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Sixteenth street and distant easterly 33 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence in a southwesterly direction through a No. 8 turnout to the right, a distance of 53 feet, more or less, to a point; thence along the arc of a curve concave to the right, crossing Sixteenth street, to a point on the southerly line of Sixteenth street produced easterly, said point being distant 10 feet measured at right angles easterly from the westerly line of Treat avenue where same intersects the southerly line of Sixteenth street, a distance of 65 feet, more or less; thence continuing in a southwesterly direction, parallel to and distant easterly 10 feet, more or less, at right angles from the westerly line of Treat avenue, a distance of 205 feet, more or less, to a point; thence

along the arc of a curve concave to the right, a distance of 75 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Treat avenue, said point being distant northeasterly 270 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Seventeenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Track "B": Beginning at a point on the center line of the above described track "A", said point being distant southwesterly 150 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street produced and distant southeasterly at right angles 10 feet from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence along the arc of a curve, concave to the right, for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Treat avenue, said point being distant southwesterly 215 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Track "C": Beginning at a point on the center line of the above described track "A", said point being distant southerly 225 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street; thence along the arc of a curve, concave to the right, a distance of 40 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Treat avenue, said point being distant southwesterly 260 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Description of tracks crossing Seventeenth street:

Description "A": Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 6 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence in a southwesterly direction, crossing Seventeenth street, for a distance of 70 feet, more or or less, to a point on the southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 6 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence continuing into private property.

Description "B": Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 20 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence in a southwesterly direction for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point on the southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 20 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence continuing into private property.

Description "C": Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 50 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence in a southwesterly direction on a curve, concave to the right, for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point on the southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 50 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence continuing into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur tracks shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided, that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Exchange of Property for Streets.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25142 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the execution of a deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to affronting and adjacent property owners to portion of street closed by Resolution No. 24502 (New Series), in exchange for property for new streets to be opened and widened in lieu of such closed street.

Whereas, this Board on the 14th day of September, 1925 (after proceedings theretofore had, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco), duly adopted Resolution No. 24502 (New Series), closing and abandoning a portion of Oloran avenue as in said resolution described; and

Whereas, on the 15th day of September, 1925, said resolution was duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, James A. Arnott and

Mary B. Arnott, his wife, owners of lands adjacent to or fronting on the aforesaid portion of said street so closed and abandoned, have offered to convey or cause to be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco, property for the opening of new streets, in lieu of such portion of said street so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said James A. Arnott and Mary B. Arnott, his wife, pursuant to their aforesaid offers, have caused to be made, executed and delivered to said City and County of San Francisco good and sufficient conveyances vesting in said City and County of San Francisco, for street purposes, the title to the parcels of land hereinafter more particularly described, in lieu of said portion of said street so closed and abandoned, as hereinbefore recited; and

Whereas, the said parcels of land so conveyed to said City and County of San Francisco as streets in lieu of that portion closed and abandoned as aforesaid, are more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Santa Rosa avenue (formerly Jarnac street), distant thereon north 62 deg. west 307.758 feet from its intersection with a line drawn 80 feet northwesterly at right angles from and parallel with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 10 feet tangent to said southwesterly line of Santa Rosa avenue, a distance of 10.13 feet in a southwesterly direction; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 3761.83 feet tangent to the preceding course a distance of 163.555 feet in a southwesterly direction; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 10 feet tangent to the preceding course a distance of 20.857 feet in a general southerly direction; thence tangent to the preceding course south 62 deg. 2 min. east 61.061 feet; thence south 28 deg. west 20 feet; thence north 62 deg. 2 min. west 75 feet; thence south 28 deg. west 20 feet; thence north 62 deg. 2 min. west 19.268 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 10 feet tangent to the preceding course a distance of 10.742 feet in a general westerly direction; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 3761.83 feet tangent to the preceding course a distance of 157.254 feet in a southwesterly direction; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 10 feet tangent to the preceding course a distance of 20.245 feet in a general southerly direction; thence tangent to the preceding course south 61 deg. 58 min. east 46.016 feet; thence south 28 deg. west 20 feet; thence north 61 deg. 58 min. west 60 feet; thence south 28 deg. west 20 feet; thence north 61 deg. 58 min. west 14.639 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 10 feet tangent to the preceding course a distance of 11.349 feet in a general westerly direction; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 3761.83 feet tangent to the preceding course a distance of 123.493 feet in a southwesterly direction; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 30 feet tangent to the preceding course a distance of 59.235 feet in a general southerly direction to the northeasterly line of Paulding street; thence along said northeasterly line of Paulding street north 62 deg. west 63.607 feet to the southeasterly line of lands of Southern Pacific Railroad; thence along said last-named southeasterly line along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears south 39 deg. 40 min. 21 sec. east 3779.83 feet from this point a distance of 629.796 feet in a northeasterly direction to the aforesaid southwesterly line of Santa Rosa avenue; thence along said southwesterly line of Santa Rosa avenue south 62 deg. east 26.758 feet to the point of beginning; being portions of Colonial way, Nantucket avenue and Oloran alley.

Whereas, it is deemed advisable by this Board of Supervisors that said parcels of land be opened as new streets in lieu of that portion of street so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said lands so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes as aforesaid will and do constitute ample consideration to said City and County for its deed to the portion of said street closed and abandoned as hereinbefore recited and will be of much greater practical value, both to the City and County of San Francisco and to the general public. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That equity requires that the portion of said street closed and abandoned as aforesaid should be conveyed by the City and County of San Francisco to said James A. Arnott and Mary B. Arnott, his wife; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the

Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said James A. Arnott and Mary B. Arnott, his wife, deed conveying to said James A. Arnott and Mary B. Arnott, his wife, all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco, in and to the parcel of land, situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Oloran avenue as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 24502 (New Series). Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in "The Bulletin," as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Accepting Deed From John Brickell Company for Land for Street Purposes.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25143 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 5th day of November, 1925, between John Brickell Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, conveying lands to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public stretes.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Map Approved, Rousseau Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25144 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 89960 (Second Series) approve a map entitled "Map Showing the Widening of the Easterly Side of Rousseau Street South of Bosworth Street"; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map entitled "Map Showing the Widening of the Easterly Side of Rousseau Street South of Bosworth Street" is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Map Approved, Lake Street and Bay View Terrace.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25145 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 89959 (Second Series), approve a map entitled "Map Showing the Extension of Lake Street from Thirtieth Avenue Northwesterly to Camino Del Mar"; also "The Opening Sea View Terrace From Thirtieth Avenue Westerly"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the extension of Lake street from Thirtieth avenue northwesterly to Camino del Mar; also the opening of Sea View Terrace from Thirtieth avenue westerly is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25146 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Justin N. Ducray is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after February 7, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Mariposa street between Bryant and York streets, including the crossing of Mariposa and York streets, under a public contract. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the curbing and rough grading are completed; also the catchbasins. The work has been delayed on account of track reconstruction on this street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permisison, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts during the grading of the intersection of Twenty-second and Arkansas streets; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25147 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 88927 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted January 18, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed January 20, 1926, to-wit:

On Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Golden Gate Ferry Franchise Rehearing.

Resolution No. 25148 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, on the 24th day of August, 1925, passed Ordinance No. 6762 (New Series), granting a franchise to Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, to erect, maintain and take tolls on a public ferry to be operated between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the City of Berkeley, Alameda County, said State; and

Whereas, this Board, in passing said Ordinance No. 6762, found as a fact therein as one of its principal reasons for passing said ordinance that public necessity and convenience demanded the establishment of said public ferry; and

Whereas, by special resolution, also passed on said 24th day of August, 1925, this Board again found and emphasized the fact that public necessity and convenience demanded the establishment of said public ferry; and

Whereas, it has been made to appear that the Railroad Commission of the State of California has refused to grant to Golden Gate Ferry Company a certificate of public convenience and necessity upon the ground, among others, that there is no public convenience and necessity to be served by the establishment of said public ferry; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the Railroad Commission of the State of California was not correct in its said conclusion that public convenience and necessity did not demand or justify the establishment of said public ferry and that Golden Gate Ferry Company should be given further opportunity to present to said Railroad Commission additional evidence tending to establish the convenience and necessity that would be served by the establishment of said public ferry; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the opinion of this Board that the Railroad Commission of the State of California should grant to Golden Gate Ferry Company a rehearing of its application to said Commission for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing it to es-

tablish said public ferry in order to permit said applicant, as well as the traveling public, a full and complete opportunity to present to said Commission the true situation in relation to trans-bay ferry service, namely, that public convenience and necessity presently demands the establishment of a public ferry between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the City of Berkeley, County of Alameda, said State; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be delivered by the Clerk of this Board to said Commission.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25149 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to install and remore street lamps as follows:

Install 600 C. P. Ornamental Brackets.

West side Van Ness avenue, first, second and third south of Market street.

East side of Van Ness avenue, first, second and third south of Market street.

East and west sides of Jones street, between Post and Sutter streets.

Remove Gas Lamps.

East and west sides of Jones street between Sutter and Post streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Hayden—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Payment of Duboce Tunnel Assessment, Kezar Stadium.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco did, on the 12th day of March, 1923, enter into a certain agreement with the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, for rental, use and occupation of the property on the corner of Frederick and Willard streets in the City and County of San Francisco, commonly known as the Kezar Stadium; and

Whereas, it was agreed and provided in said agreement as a part of the rental to be paid for said premises to said Market Street Railway Company that the City and County of San Francisco would pay to the Market Street Railway Company, at least ten days before delinquency, all taxes and assessments levied upon the said property and especially all amounts to be levied on said property for the Duboce avenue tunnel; and

Whereas, there has been levied against the said property an assessment amounting to five thousand forty-six dollars and fifty-two cents ($5,046.52) as the amount due for said Duboce tunnel assessment, which said amount is now due and payable; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the sum of $5,046.52 be and the same is hereby appropriated from the General Fund of the City and County of San Francisco not heretofore appropriated for the purpose of paying the said Duboce avenue tunnel assessment on said property, which said sum is hereby directed to be paid to the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco in payment of said assessment.

Traffic Committee Title Amended.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 25150 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the rules of this Board be amended by changing the name of the Traffic Committee to "Traffic and Safety Committee" wherever such words appear in said rules.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Preservation of Palace of Fine Arts.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25151 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, one of the outstanding features of the Panama-Pacific International Exposition was the Palace of Fine Arts; and

Whereas, it is the desire of a great many of the citizens of San Francisco to preserve the Palace of Fine Arts as a memorial of the Exposition and as a center for the encouragement and development of art; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby invite the Preservation League and all other civic institutions and individuals who may be interested in the said project to submit to the Board of Supervisors information, plans and suggestions relating to such preservation.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Hayden—4.

Correction of Property Description.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25152 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 24969 (New Series), accepting the offer of Harry Lyman to sell his property to the City for school purposes be and is hereby amended, to correct error in the description of said property, and to read as follows:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 124 feet northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly and along said line of Thirtieth avenue 50 feet 7 inches; thence westerly 240 feet, more or less, to a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 174 feet 5½ inches northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street; thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-first avenue ½ of an inch; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet 5 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the point of commencement. Being a part of the Outside Land Block No. 313.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

Opening of Tehama Street.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 25153 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be requested to recommend the opening of Tehama street from a point about 175 feet easterly from Third street to the westerly line of Hawthorne street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Hayden—4.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 1, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date therein stated, and **approved as above recited.**

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 6

Monday, February 8, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 8, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Marks was called upon to preside. Subsequently Supervisor Badaracco was called to the chair and presided.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 25, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Report of Spring Valley Water Company on Water Supply of San Francisco.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Spring Valley Water Company
425 Mason Street
San Francisco, February 8, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Responding to the request of the Public Utilities Committee of your Honorable Board for information in regard to the water supply of San Francisco, we are submitting the following data as of 7 a. m. February 8, 1926:

There is in surface storage in the reservoirs of the Spring Valley Water Company 8,270,000,000 gallons, which is 2,670,000,000 gallons more than was in storage on February 1 of this year. The reservoirs are still gaining due to continued stream flow. The underground sources of supply are also being replenished, through percolation from the gravel channels of the flowing creeks which cross the areas overlying the subterranean waters.

Since January 31, 1926, there has been a continuous flow over Sunol Dam which escapes down Alameda Creek to San Francisco. During the past week this waste reached 300,000,000 gallons daily but has diminshed to 10,000,000 gallons daily. Further rains will immediately increase the amount of this flow over Sunol Dam and if the submarine pipe of the Bay Division Hetch Hetchy conduit is completed in time 22,000,000 gallons daily of this water can be saved and diverted to Crystal Springs Reservoir. At the present time 10,000,000 gallons daily are being transported through the Bay Division conduit.

The surface storage of 8,270,000,000 gallons now on hand together with the existing development of the company's underground sources of supply is sufficient to meet the needs of San Francisco for 300 days.

Yours truly,
Spring Valley Water Company,
Per G. A. Elliott.

HEARINGS—2 P. M.

Dehon Street.

Hearing of objections of property owners against closing of all that portion of Dehon street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and 90 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95.

The names of the protestants being called by the Clerk.

C. A. Lynn, attorney representing T. Davis, was heard, claiming damage to the property of his client and objecting to the closing of said street unless claim for damages is allowed.

Harlow Street.

Hearing of objections of property owners against the closing of all that portion of Harlow street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and 85 feet southerly from the

southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95.

The names of the protestants being called by the Clerk.

Mr. Barrett, attorney, representing P. Shea, property owner, was heard, claiming damage to the property of his client and objecting to the closing of said street unless such damage is allowed his client.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matters were *laid over one week.*

HEARINGS—3 P. M.

Bridges.

The following matters, laid over from a previous meeting were taken up and acted upon as follows:

Consideration of the application of John Bermingham, Jr., for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Hearing continued, on motion of Supervisor Welch, until March 8, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Consideration of the application of A. H. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Hearing continued, on motion of Supervisor Welch, until March 8, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Consideration of the application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Hearing continued, on motion of Supervisor Welch, until March 8, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Consideration of the application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Hearing continued, on motion of Supervisor Welch, until March 8, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Consideration of application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Hearing continued, on motion of Supervisor Welch, until March 8, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Consideration of application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Hearing continued, on motion of Supervisor Welch, until March 8, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Consideration of application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee, and fixed, on motion of Supervisor Welch, for hearing in the Board on March 8, 1926, 2:30 p. m.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Dry Goods and Wearing Apparel.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing dry goods and wearing apparel between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m., opened in the Board and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25154 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(1) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Jan. 18, 1926), $1,730.28.

Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment.

(2) California Printing Co., for printing required for account of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $643.75.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(3) Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway rights of way during November and December, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 21, 1926), $1,039.92.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(4) Market Street Railway Co., track reconstruction of Municipal Railways at Market street and The Embarcadero (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $6,124.46.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(5) Bald Eagle Meat Co., meats furnished during November, 1925 (claim dated Jan. 21, 1926), $525.38.

(6) Western Pipe and Steel Co., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 21, 1926), $1,295.

Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(7) J. H. Newbauer & Co., grocery supplies (claim dated Jan. 21, 1926), $606.81.

(8) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 21, 1926), $1,435.06.

California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61).

(9) W. & J. Sloane, carpet for theater at California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $945.75.

(10) Park Commission, reimbursement of Park Fund for electricity, water and personal service furnished (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $962.22.

County Road Fund.

(11) Schultz Construction Co., final payment for improvement of Roosevelt way from Fourteenth to Clayton streets (claim dated Jan. 27, 1926), $20,473.25.

Special School Tax.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school repairs (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $720.93.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(13) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Jan. 27, 1926), $14,097.90.

(14) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund for labor and water for account of Civic Center (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $1,779.15.

(15) School Repairs (Appropriation No. 1), to reimburse for stock withdrawals for account of Hall of Justice (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $784.07.

(16) School Repairs (Appropriation No. 1), to reimburse for stock withdrawals by the Board of Public Works for account of painting the Juvenile Detention Home (claim dated Jan. 22, 1926), $1,090.24.

(17) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sewer repairs (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $540.

(18) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $1,707.30.

(19) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $1,818.41.

(20) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, etc., for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $1,092.95.

(21) E. B. & A. L. Stone Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $1,062.90.

(22) California Brick Co., brick for street paving (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $2,215.

(23) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $505.26.

(24) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 26, 1926), $750.27.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Appropriations, Payments of Tax Judgments.

Resolution No. 25155 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation No. 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons as attorneys and agents for judgment creditors; being payments for account of one-tenth of the amount of tax judgments, plus interest, for the fiscal year 1925-1926, to-wit:

To William F. Humphrey, for account of judgment creditors, per attached schedule, the sum of $2,248.70.

To Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, for account of judgment creditors, per attached schedule, the sum of $14,507.83.

To Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, for account of judgment creditors, per attached schedule, the sum of $655.82.

To Tobin & Tobin, for judgment creditors, per attached schedule, the sum of $6,472.69.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Appropriation, $3,200, Payment to Jean Laclerque for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25156 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Jean Laclerque; being payment for land commencing at point formed by intersection of southerly line of Rivera street with the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue; running thence westerly along southerly line of Rivera street 57½ feet; of regular dimensions 57½ by 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25103 (New Series), and required for school purposes.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Appropriation, $2,500, Repair of Fourth and Sixth Street Bridges.

Resolution No. 25157 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repair & Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, for the repair of fender piling and appurtenances at the Fourth and Sixth street bridges crossing the channel, including contract award, inspection and possible extras.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Appropriation, $10,330, Traffic Safety Devices.

Resolution No. 25158 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,330 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 24, for the furnishing and installing of safety devices upon the public streets for the protection and safeguarding of traffic.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Payment of Duboce Tunnel Assessment, Kezar Stadium.

Resolution No. 25159 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco did, on the 12th day of March, 1923, enter into a certain agreement with the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, for rental, use and occupation of the property on the corner of Frederick and Willard streets in the City and County of San Francisco, commonly known as the Kezar Stadium; and

Whereas, it was agreed and provided in said agreement as a part of the rental to be paid for said premises to said Market Street Railway Company that the City and County of San Francisco would pay to the Market Street Railway Company, at least ten days before delinquency, all taxes and assessments levied upon the said property and especially all amounts to be levied on said property for the Duboce avenue tunnel; and

Whereas, there has been levied against the said property an assessment amounting to five thousand

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25161 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. A. Whitelock be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Howard and Beale streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Garage Permit, Sterling Anderson.

Resolution No. 25162 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sterling Anderson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted United Building Construction Company by Resolution No. 23623 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Third and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Modifying Set-back Lines, West Side Twentieth Avenue Between Noriega and Ortega Streets.

Bill No. 7454, Ordinance No. 6968 (New Series), as follows:

Amending and modifying the provisions of Ordinance No. 5927 (New Series) respecting the establishment of set-back lines along the west side of Twentieth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The provisions of Ordinance No. 5927 (New Series) in so far as it establishes set-back lines along the westerly side of Twentieth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets are hereby amended and said set-back line is hereby modified and changed and as modified and changed are established as follows:

Along the west side of Twentieth avenue, commencing 100 feet southerly from Noriega street and running thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly to Ortega street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Potrero Avenue.

Bill No. 7455, Ordinance No. 6969 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Potrero avenue, commencing at a point 32 feet northerly from Eighteenth street and running thence northerly 37 feet and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chenery Street.

Bill No. 7456, Ordinance No. 6970 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said

purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Chenery street and Brompton avenue, for a distance of 56 feet on Chenery street and a distance of 100 feet on Brompton avenue, in the second residential district instead of the first residential district.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Twenty-second Street.

Bill No. 7457, Ordinance No. 6971 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Bartlett street, commencing at a point 96 feet 3 inches northerly from Twenty-second street and running thence northerly 45 feet 9 inches and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Turk and Webster Streets.

Bill No. 7458, Ordinance No. 6972 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Turk street and Webster street, for a distance of 95 feet on Turk street and a distance of 50 feet on Webster street, and also the northerly side of Turk street, commencing at a point 95 feet westerly from Webster street and running thence westerly 125 feet 1½ inches and extending to a depth of 137½ feet, in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Bartlett Street.

Bill No. 7459, Ordinance No. 6973 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the rear 43 feet of the lot on the westerly side of Bartlett street, commencing at a point 115 feet southerly from Twenty-first street and running thence southerly 60½ feet, in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

This change is ordered on the ex-

press conditions that any structure erected on the area affected hereby shall have solid concrete or masonry walls without openings on the north and east sides thereof and that all windows on the south wall shall have wire glass and be kept closed, and if such conditions are violated, then this ordinance shall cease to be in effect.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Spur Track Permits.

Bill No. 7460, Ordinance No. 6974 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Illinois Pacific Glass Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on and along Fifteenth street between Harrison and Folsom streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Illinois Pacific Glass Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on and along Fifteenth street between Harrison and Folsom streets, as follows:

Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing Southern Pacific Company track in Harrison street, said point being distant northerly 56 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Fifteenth street, connecting Harrison and Division streets, and distant westerly 32 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Harrison street produced across Fifteenth street; thence in a northwesterly direction, on a curve concave to the left, crossing Harrison street, for a distance of 209 feet, more or less, to a point in Fifteenth street, said point being distant southerly 10 feet from the northerly line of Fifteenth street and distant westerly 105 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence in a westerly direction parallel to and distant southerly 10 feet from the northerly line of Fifteenth street, a distance of 450 feet, more or less, to a point on the easterly line of Folsom street produced southerly across Fifteenth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Illinois Pacific Glass Company.

Provided, that Illinois Pacific Glass Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Bill No. 7461, Ordinance No. 6975 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate railroad spur tracks upon, along and across Treat avenue, Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, in the City and County of San Francisco, in the location hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate railroad spur tracks upon, along and across Treat avenue, Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, the center lines of said proposed tracks being particularly described as follows:

Description of tracks along Treat avenue, crossing Sixteenth street and crossing Seventeenth street:

Track "A": Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing track in Harrison street, said point being distant northerly 30 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Sixteenth street and distant easterly 33 feet, more or less, from

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

the westerly line of Harrison street; thence in a southwesterly direction through a No. 8 turnout to the right, a distance of 53 feet, more or less, to a point; thence along the arc of a curve concave to the right, crossing Sixteenth street, to a point on the southerly line of Sixteenth street produced easterly, said point being distant 10 feet measured at right angles easterly from the westerly line of Treat avenue where same intersects the southerly line of Sixteenth street, a distance of 65 feet, more or less; thence continuing in a southwesterly direction, parallel to and distant easterly 10 feet, more or less, at right angles from the westerly line of Treat avenue, a distance of 205 feet, more or less, to a point; thence along the arc of a curve concave to the right, a distance of 75 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Treat avenue, said point being distant northeasterly 270 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Seventeenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Track "B": Beginning at a point on the center line of the above described track "A", said point being distant southwesterly 150 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street produced and distant southeasterly at right angles 10 feet from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence along the arc of a curve, concave to the right, for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Treat avenue, said point being distant southwesterly 215 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Track "C": Beginning at a point on the center line of the above described track "A", said point being distant southerly 225 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street; thence along the arc of a curve, concave to the right, a distance of 40 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Treat avenue, said point being distant southwesterly 260 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Description of tracks crossing Seventeenth street:

Description "A": Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 6 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence in a southwesterly direction, crossing Seventeenth street, for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point on the southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 6 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence continuing into private property.

Description "B": Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 20 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence in a southwesterly direction for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point on the southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 20 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence continuing into private property.

Description "C": Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 50 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence in a southwesterly direction on a curve, concave to the right, for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point on the southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant westerly 50 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Treat avenue; thence continuing into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur tracks shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided, that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon. Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 25163 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts during the grading of the intersection of Twenty-second and Arkansas streets; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be eploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Ordering Street Work, Silver Avenue.

Bill No. 7440, Ordinance No. 6976 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Silver avenue between Merrill street and Vienna street, including the intervening intersections*, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement 10 feet in width adjacent to the curb lines, where not already constructed, and by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the intervening intersections, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $45,966.76, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation, per award, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Company, water for horse troughs, $56.89.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(1) Thomas M. Hughes, payment for lands and easements situate in Tuolumne County, required for regulating reservoir; per Resolution No. 25094, New Series (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $14,500.

(2) A. M. Scott Tire Co., auto truck tires (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $698.36.

(3) Tansey Crowe Co., auto tires (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $674.28.

(4) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat supplies (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1,164.04.

(5) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., miscellaneous hardware (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $592.62.

(6) Crane Company, pipe, valves, etc. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1,045.54.

(7) The Giant Powder Co., Con., gelatin, fuse and caps (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $614.13.

(8) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $826.20.

(9) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $607.25.

(10) Leo Rosasco, oakwood (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $793.75.

(11) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium covering insurance of Hetch Hetchy employes (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $519.52.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(12) Clinton Construction Co., thirteenth payment, general construction of Relief Home buildings (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $68,752.50.

(13) M. E. Ryan, ninth payment, electric work for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $1,681.13.

(14) F. W. Snook Co., thirteenth payment, plumbing and gasfitting for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $6,304.72.

(15) F. W. Snook Co., thirteenth payment, for mechanical equipment, etc., for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $4,824.69.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(16) Bond Construction Co., fifth payment, general construction of Athletic Field for High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $14,460.22.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(17) Barrett & Hilp, seventh payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $9,048.75.

(18) Bond Construction Co., third payment, general construction of additional units to the Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $10,408.68.

(19) Bond Construction Co., second payment, general construction of the Hawthorne School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $20,327.20.

(20) L. Flatland, second payment, electrical work for Alvarado School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $1,686.38.

(21) L. Flatland, third payment, electrical work for the Dudley Stone School (claim dated Feb 3, 1926), $1,171.

(22) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., eleventh payment, general construction of the Alamo School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $23,252.65.

(23) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., third payment, general construction of the Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $14,306.29.

(24) O. Monson, fifth payment, general construction of the Alvarado School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $19,860.

(25) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services for the West Portal School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $3,272.72.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(26) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished "M" line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 28, 1926), $768.35.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(27) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for Fire Department Engine House No. 16 (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $574.60.

(28) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for the Southern Police Station (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $1,731.36.

(29) Guilfoy Cornice Works, second payment, iron and sheet metal work for Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $522.91.

(30) The Scott Company, final payment, remodeling heating system at the Hall of Justice (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $860.50.

Playground Commission Fund.

(31) Eaton & Smith, grading and

concrete work and construction of bleachers at University Mound Playground (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $2,700.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(32) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $1,250.

(33) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for month of January (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $3,085.50.

(34) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $537.48.

(35) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $1,693.59.

(36) Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing of Municipal Record (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $795.63.

(37) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $750.

(38) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $8,273.40.

(39) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $1,005.

(40) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $8,270.92.

(41) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $690.

(42) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $2,074.60.

(43) Niles Sand, Gravel & Rock Co., gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $1,780.13.

(44) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $3,414.60.

(45) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $720.

(46) E. B. & A. L. Stone Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $1,785.73.

(47) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Hall of Justice and Civic Center Power House (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $2,120.40.

(48) Elliot & Grant, third payment, general construction of Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $3,455.82.

(49) Jas. T. Tobin, first payment, improvement of Evans avenue between Army and Tulare streets (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $3,540.

(50) Vogt & Davidson, first payment, general construction of ambulance shelter at Mission Emergency Hospital (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $2,949.75.

(51) Bay City Market, meats for the Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $764.76.

(52) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $807.75.

(53) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Jan 30. 1926), $810.

(54) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee and tea for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $683.85.

(55) Richmond Sanitary Co., enameled sinks for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $777.60.

(56) E. B. Frick, M. D., Supt. of San Francisco Hospital, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $957.50.

Appropriations, Lands for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Loretta J. Edminster for lands commencing at a point on the northerly line of Rivera street, distant 57½ feet easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly on the northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25138 (New Series), $1,000.

(2) To Maud E. Burtis for lands commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 125 feet northerly from Rivera street, running thence northerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25139 (New Series), $900.

(3) To Herman Wobig, for lands commencing at point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of Geary street with the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Geary street 60 feet; of uniform dimensions 60 x 75 feet; per

acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25140 (New Series), $8,750.

(4) To Grace Soetje, for lands commencing on the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, 200 feet northerly from Judah street, running thence northerly on the westerly line of Forty-second avenue 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25141 (New Series), $1,500.

Appropriation, Lands for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the Bay Shore boulevard; as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 25097 (New Series), to-wit:

(1) To M. A. Harris, for property beginning at the intersection of the southwesterly line of Jerrold avenue with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, the sum of $3,814.

(2) To John P. Cosgrove, for property commencing at point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot No. 304, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, the sum of $1,500.

(Claims dated Feb. 4, 1926.)

Appropriations, Settlement of Damages for Personal Injries, Account of Municipal Railways.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Muincipal Railway Depreciation Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for account of personal injuries and damages sustained from Municipal Railways, and in accordance with compromise agreements entered into and approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Charles F. Bills, for personal injuries, etc., sustained November 19, 1924, $750.

(2) To Mrs. Margaret J. Morrissey, for personal injuries, etc., sustained October 11, 1925, $7,019.

(3) To A. A. Rice, guardian of John Rice, a minor, for personal injuries and damage sustained by John Rice December 22, 1924, $1,000.

(4) To Eitel Klor, guardian of Frances Klor, for personal injuries and other damage sustained by Frances Klor June 5, 1924, $17,660.50.

Appropriations, Core Borings, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct and Evans Avenue Bridge.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(1) For cost of core borings along and near the line of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, Coast Range Division, Contract No. 110, awarded to the International Diamond Drill Contracting Company, $18,884.

Evans Avenue Viaduct, Budget Item No. 74.

(2) For cost of construction of the Evans avenue bridge at Army street, including inspection and possible extras, per contract awarded to Frank Bryant, $5,300.

Appropriations, Galileo High School and Francisco School Construction, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, fiscal year 1925-1926, for the following purposes, to-wit:

For construction of additional units to the Galileo High School, as follows:

(1) General construction (O. Monson), the sum of	$388,860.00
(2) Mechanical equipment (P. J. Enright), the sum of	38,200.00
(3) Plumbing and gasfitting systems (S. W. Band), the sum of	28,425.00
(4) Electrical work (Standard Electrical Construction Co), the sum of	16,550.00
(5) Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, the sum of.....	20,000.00
	$492.035.00

For construction of temporary additional facilities at the Francisco School, as follows:

(6) General contract (H. H. Hilp), the sum of..	$ 21,469.00

(7) Architectural fees, the sum of.....	1,288.14
(8) Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, the sum of.....	1,500.00
	$ 24,257.14

(9) For the furnishing and installing of chairs in the auditorium of the High School of Commerce, per contract awarded to Stewart School Supply Co., the sum of	$ 10,208.66

Appropriations, Street Work in Front of City Property.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36a, for the expense of street work fronting City property, to-wit:

Improvement on Thirtieth avenue, Balboa to Cabrillo	$ 1,800.00
Improvement on Thirty-first avenue, Santiago to Taraval	1,050.00
Improvement on Eighteenth avenue, Ortega to Pacheco	1,571.25
Improvement on Morse street, Lowell to Whittier	3,766.00
Improvement on Lobos street, Capitol to Orizaba	2,186.80
Improvement on Eighteenth avenue, Kirkham to Lawton, and from Moraga to Noriega.....	3,400.00
Improvement on Wawona and on Taraval streets by Raisch Imp. Co.....	684.15
Improvement on DeLong street, San Jose avenue to Orizaba	332.02
Improvement at Eighteenth avenue and Pacheco street	112.50
	$14,902.72

Concrete Sewer, Glen Park District.

Also, Bill No. 7462, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for a reinforced concrete sewer in the Glen Park district, adjacent to Chenery and Elk streets, and ordering the construction of said reinforced concrete sewer in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for a reinforced sewer in the Glen Park district, adjacent to Chenery and Elk streets, and to enter into contract for the construction of said reinforced concrete sewer in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Appropriations for Ocean View Line, Municipal Railway.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25164 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 25031 (New Series) setting aside the sum of $4,883.67 out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Operating Fund; and Resolution No. 25056 (New Series) setting aside $7,000 out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the completion of the Ocean View Line of Municipal Railways be and the same are hereby rescinded and repealed; being duplicate appropriations.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Accepting Offer of Daniel Corcoran et al. to Compromise Water Right Damage Claim, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25165 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the construction of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnel in Tuolumne County, California, has caused various springs to dry up on the property owned by Daniel Corcoran and Jessie F. Corcoran, described as follows:

The south ½ of the south ½ of the southwest ¼ of the northwest ¼; the west ½ of the southwest ¼; the southeast ¼ of the southwest ¼ of Section 26, Township 1 South, Range 16 East, M. D. B. and M.

and as a result of said drying up of the springs the value of said land has been materially diminished and

the water supply has been taken away; and

Whereas, the above mentioned Daniel Corcoran and Jessie F. Corcoran have offered to deed to the City and County of San Francisco all water rights which are intercepted or affected by said tunnel, and to release the City and County from all claims for damages which have been caused or may hereafter be caused by reason of the construction of said tunnel and the interception and drainage of said percolating waters, in consideration of the sum of $1,750; and

Whereas, the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply has recommended the acecptance of said offer as a reasonable settlement of damage and injury to said land caused by the construction of said tunnel; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer of the above mentioned parties be and it is hereby accepted, and said sum of $1,750 be paid to said parties upon receipt of proper conveyance and release conveying said water rights to the City and County of San Francisco, and releasing the City from all further claims for damages; said conveyance and release to be in form satisfactory to the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Auditor to Cancel Assessments.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25166 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property is now owned by the City and County of San Francisco and was sold to the State for delinquent taxes, and has recommended that the sales thereof be cancelled and the City Attorney has consented thereto; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel the following assessments and sales:

Vol. 1, Block 78, Lot 2-C, assessed to Umberta and Felicita Cara; sale No. 6. Also assessed for the year 1923. Sold June 23, 1924.

Vol. 20, Block 3557, Lot 4A, assessed to Eugene and Georges Le Roy; sale No. 970. Sold June 23, 1925.

Vol. 40, Block 7106, Lot 34, assessed to F. A. Costello; sale No. 1996. Sold June 23, 1925.

In accordance with Sections 3776 and 3804a of the Political Code.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25167 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property is owned by the State of California and was sold for delinquent taxes on June 25, 1923, under sale No. 172, and has recommended that said sale be cancelled and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel sale No. 172, made June 25, 1923, of the following:

Lot 1A, Block 857, R. E. Vol. 5, assessed to San Francisco Protestant Orphanage Society.

In accordance with Sections 3776 and 3804a of the Palitical Code.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25168 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the hereinafter described property, sold to the State June 23, 1925, under sale No. 1714, has been partly redeemed by the owner and the remainder is the property of the State of California, and has recommended that the certificate of sale be cancelled, and the City Attorney having censented thereto; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel sale No. 1714 of June 23, 1925, of the following described property: Lot 5B, Block 6969, being the northeast corner of Huron and Geneva avenues, assessed to William and V. Varni in R. E. Vol. 39, page 22, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804a of the Political Code.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Christenson Construction Co., east side of San Bruno avenue, 300 feet north of Oakdale avenue, 15,000 gallons capacity.

H. P. Courtette, 3227 Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. T. Giacomini, 475 Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Hechter, south line of Golden Gate avenue, 142 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hind Building Co., east line of Polk street, 107 feet north of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. N. Hogrefe, northeast corner of Francisco and Polk streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hoote Bros., west side of Octavia street, 150 feet north of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south line of Fulton street, 186 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south line of Fulton street, 136 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Larson, north side of West Portal avenue, 100 feet west of Fourteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, north side of Haight street, 20 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Midbust, northwest corner of Golden Gate avenue and Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Monson, southeast corner of Tenth avenue and Kirkham street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Nelson, south side of Golden Gate avenue, 200 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. Peaslee, south side of Greenwich street, 200 feet east of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Props, southwest corner of Franklin and Sacramento streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. J. Ridgway, north side of Parnassus avenue, 74 feet east of Hill Point avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. A. Sainges, 891 Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sbarboro, Detjen & Jorgensen, southwest corner of Scott and Francisco streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Sheftel, north side of Washington street, 75 feet east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. D. Stoff, north side of Sacramento street, 100 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. I. Strand, north side of Balboa street, 30 feet west of Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Strand & Strand, south side of Broadway, 200 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stoneson Bros., north line of McAllister street, 67 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Walroth, south side of Pacific avenue, 210 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The Turner Co., east side of Mallorca way, 102 feet south of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Walsh, southeast corner of Eighteenth and Oakwood streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Christenson Construction Co., east side of San Bruno avenue, 300 feet north of Oakdale avenue, 75 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mario Mazzera be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the east side of Mission street, 50 feet north of France avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows.

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Third street and South Park.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25169 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas

and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Southwest corner Eighteenth and Howard streets.

Japan street, 365 feet south of Brannan street.

Second street between Mission and Jessie streets.

Install 600 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets.*

Northwest corner Irving street and Eleventh avenue.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Japan street between Brannan and Townsend streets.

Second street between Mission and Jessie streets.

Install 100 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets.*

Merced avenue, pole No. 34, at Kensington way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Accepting Offer of A. W. Burtis to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25170 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from A. W. Burtis, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate south line of Rivera street, distant 82 feet 6 inches easterly from Twenty-fourth avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,400 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Rivera street, distant thereon 82 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly along said southerly line of Rivera street 100 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; being a portion of Block No. 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors, Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Traffic Signs.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 25171 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the paragraph (1) of Resolution No. 21771 (New Series) be amended so as to read as follows:

(1) For the purchase of traffic signs, to be erected under the direction of the Traffic and Safety Committee of the Board of Supervisors, $3,000.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ortega Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7463, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is

above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Ortega street between the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue and the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue, and extending to a depth of 100 feet, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Temporary Building Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby given to James Arnott & Son to temporarily use a building to be erecetd on the south side of Taraval street, 82 feet 6 inches west of Seventeenth avenue for the storage and display of material used in the construction of residences in a nearby residential district, for the development of such district.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 112.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25172 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further partciulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Orizaba avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Randolph street and running thence northerly 28 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 22 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Sargent street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Orizaba avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Sargent street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Shields street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Orizaba avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Shields street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Garfield street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Beverly street and Byxbee street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Byxbee street and Vernon street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Vernon street and Ramsell street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Ramsell street and Head street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 8th day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Marks:

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 113.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series,, as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New

Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the southerly side of Geary street, commencing at a point 85 feet easterly from Forty-first avenue and running thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 13 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 8th day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Taxicab Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Bill No. 7464, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the use of taxicabs, automobiles and public vehicles for hire, fixing the rate to be charged for the transportation of persons and personal baggage, regulating the use of boats in the waters of the bay, providing a punishment for any violation thereof and repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. (a) The term "Taxicab," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean all motor vehicles propelled by power other than muscular the rental for which is computed for the distance traveled by means of a taximeter attached thereto.

(b) The term "Taximeter," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to mean and embrace any instrument or device attached to a vehicle and designed or intended to measure mechanically the distance traveled by such vehicle, to record the time the said vehicle is in waiting, and to indicate upon such record by figures or designs the fare to be charged in dollars and cents.

(c) The term "Automobile", whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean all such motor vehicles other than taxicabs the rental for which is computed upon the hour or mileage basis, from the time such vehicle is in service, except "jitney busses" as defined by Ordinance No. 3212 (New Series) and "sight-seeing busses" and "interurban busses" as such terms are defined in Ordinance No. 5118 (New Series).

(d) The term "public vehicles for hire", whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace "taxicabs" and "automobiles" as herein defined; also "jitney busses" as defined by Ordinance No. 3212 (New Series) and "sight-seeing busses" and "interurban busses" as such terms are defined by Ordinance No. 5118 (New Series).

(e) The term "job wagon", whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean every vehicle which shall be used for the conveyance of goods, packages or freight from place to place in this City and County for hire, except handcarts and vehicles used by merchants, dealers and manufacturers exclusively for the delivery of their wares to customers.

(f) The term "Boat", whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean every water craft, whether propelled by manual, wind, or motive power, used for the conveyance of persons from place to place for pay.

Sec. 2. The following are hereby designated as public stands for licensed taxicabs and automobiles:

(a) At municipal docks and wharves of passenger carrying ships and other vessels.

(b) Railroad depots.

(c) Around such public squares, except Union Square, as may be designated by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, from time to time, but not on the street, crosswalks or in double lines.

All of the stands mentioned in this section shall be open to all properly licensed taxicabs and automobiles.

Sec. 3. (a) The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco may,

with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, designate in writing on public streets (except around Union Square) to be occupied by taxicabs and automobiles after permit to engage in business has been granted by the Board of Police Commissioners and the license fee has been paid as in this or other ordinance provided.

(b) Before any designation of stands is made by the Mayor as provided in this section, the written consent of the tenant or lessee of the ground floor or portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located must first be obtained. In the event the ground floor or the portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located is not occupied by a tenant or lessee, then the written consent of the owner of the building fronting the space where such stand is to be located must be first obtained.

(c) No stand shall be hereafter designated within twenty feet of any street crossing.

(d) The Chief of Police shall designate the number of taxicabs or automobiles that shall be allowed to stand at any one time at any of the places designated by the Mayor.

(e) Any permit may be revoked by the Mayor with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, or by the Board of Supervisors with the approval of the Mayor, without notice to any person except the Chief of Police and the holder of the permit; and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to occupy a stand with a taxicab or automobile after such revocation and notice has been made.

Sec. 4. (a) The Chief of Police may, upon the application of the manager of any hotel, designate points on the public street in front of or in the immediate vicinity of such hotel for the standing of one or more taxicabs or automobiles, so that the said taxicabs or automobiles may be available for use in the transportation of guests to and from such hotel.

(b) Any stand designated by the Chief of Police as provided in this section may be revoked at his pleasure; and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to occupy said stand with a taxicab or automobile, after such revocation has been made and notice thereof given.

Sec. 5. The Chief of Police may issue permits not to exceed thirty-five in number at any one time for taxicabs, automobiles and sight-seeing busses, to stand on the north side of Geary street between Stockton and Powell streets and on the west side of Stockton street between Geary street and Post street. None of the vehicles mentioned in this section shall stand on the streets mentioned herein unless the permit from the Chief of Police has been first obtained. Not more than one permit shall be issued to any person, firm or corporation or association for more than one vehicle at any one time.

Sec. 6. All vehicles occupying stands, whether designated by the Mayor, the Chief of Police, or otherwise provided for in this ordinance, shall be under the supervision and control of the Chief of Police, and when a stand is occupied by the full number of vehicles authorized no other vehicle shall loiter or wait near by to take the place thereat.

Section 6½. No permit granted under the provisions of this ordinance shall confer on the holders thereof any exclusive privilege to use any portion of the public streets; except that no other vehicle for hire shall use the stand designated under such permit.

Sec. 7. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage, within the City and County of San Francisco, in the business of operating any vehicle for the transportation of persons for hire, without having first procured a permit in writing from the Board of Police Commissioners of said City and County, authorizing him or it so to do. Applicants for such permit shall file with said Board an application upon blanks furnished by said Board, containing full information concerning the fictitious name or names under which he or it intends to conduct said business, and the peculiar or distinguishing color scheme or design or dress (including any monogram or insignia), intended to be used upon his or its vehicle or vehicles, the number of vehicles proposed to be operated and the type of said vehicles, and such other information as said Board may require.

Sec. 8. No permit shall be issued by said Board of Police Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation if it appears:

(a) That the motor vehicle or motor vehicles proposed to be operated by the applicant are inadequate or insufficient for the purpose intended, or insufficiently equipped with reasonable safety devices.

(b) That the firm, corporation or association making the application is not a bona fide one; or is not authorized to do business in this state.

(c) That the person making the

application is not a citizen of the United States, or is not of good moral character.

(d) That said person, firm or corporation proposes to engage in said business under a fictitious name or names, which in the opinion of said Board, imitates or so closely resembles the fictitious name or names of any person, firm or corporation already engaged in the same business in said City and County of San Francisco as will or may tend to deceive, or that said person, firm or corporation proposes to use upon his or its vehicle or vehicles a peculiar or distinguishing color scheme or design or dress which, in the opinion of said Board, imitates or so closely resembles a color scheme or design or dress already used upon the vehicle or vehicles of any other person, firm or corporation engaged in the same business, as will or may tend to receive or defraud the public.

Sec. 9. It shall be unlawful for any owner or lessee of any taxicab or automobile to make or cause to be made any changes whatever in the color or distinguishing characteristics of said taxicabs or automobiles unless the permission of the Board of Police Commissioners has first been obtained.

Sec. 10. It shall be unlawful for any person soliciting patronage for any vehicle used for the transportation of passengers for hire to represent, by word or sign or hatband or insignia or badge or by his manner or style or dress, that the vehicle for which he is soliciting such patronage is a vehicle owned or operated by a person, firm or corporation other than the one who actually owns and operates said vehicle.

Sec. 11. Every person, firm or corporation shall adopt a distinguishing color scheme, design or dress for all taxicabs operated by it and shall use the same on all taxicabs so operated by it.

Sec. 12. Should any person, firm or corporation holding a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to operate one or more taxicabs or automobiles desire to add to the number of such motor vehicles, application therefor shall be made to the Commission in the same general form as the original application and the Commission shall grant the right to operate such additional motor vehicles.

Sec. 13. No person shall be permitted to act as a driver or chauffeur of any taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus, or interurban bus, unless he holds a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners, a chauffeur's permit from the State of California and a license as required by this or other ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

Sec. 14. Drivers' licenses and permits shall be issued as of the 1st day of January of each year, and every year, and shall be valid, unless revoked as in this ordinance provided, up to and including the 31st day of December, next succeeding. The Board of Police Commissioners may cause the renewal of the driver's license from year to year by appropriate indorsement of said Board of Police Commissioners upon the application for renewal and payment of the annual fee. The driver, in applying for the renewal of his license, shall make such application upon a form to be furnished by the Police Department of said City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Application for Renewal of Driver's License," which shall be filled out with the full name and address of the applicant, together with a statement of the date upon which his original license was granted, and the number thereon, except that all persons to whom have been issued permits and licenses preliminary to the passage of this ordinance shall be required to make out original applications at the beginning of the next time-period after the passage of this ordinance.

Sec. 15. In addition to the permits required by Section 14 of this ordinance there shall be furnished to each licensed driver of a taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus or interurban bus a metal badge of such form and style as the Tax Collector may prescribe, with the license number of such driver thereon and the year said badge is issued, which must, under penalty of revocation of the license, be constantly and conspicuously displayed on the outside of the driver's coat when he is engaged in his employment, and shall only be worn by the person to whom the badge is issued.

Sec. 16. Each applicant for a driver's permit from the Board of Police Commissioners must:

(a) Be a citizen of the United States and of good moral character.

(b) Be of the age of 21 years or over;

(c) Be of sound physique with good eyesight and not subject to epilepsy, vertigo, heart trouble, or any other infirmity of the body or mind and not be addicted to the use of intoxicating liquor or narcotics, which might render him unfit for the safe operation of a taxicab, auto-

mobile, interurban or sightseeing bus;

(d) Be able to read and write the English language;

(e) Be clean in dress and person;

(f) Produce certificates of his good character from two reputable citizens of the City and County of San Francisco who have known him personally and observed his conduct during one year next preceding the date of his application;

(g) Fill out upon a blank form to be provided by the Police Commission a statement giving his full name, residence, place of residence for five years next preceding the date of his application, age, color, height, color of eyes and hair, place of birth, length of time he has resided in the City and County of San Francisco, whether a citizen of the United States, places of previous employment covering five years next preceding the date of his application, whether married or single, whether he has ever been convicted of a felony or of a misdemeanor, whether he has been previously licensed as a driver or chauffeur and if so, whether his license has ever been revoked and for what cause; which statement shall be signed by the applicant and filed with the Police Commission as a permanent record.

Sec. 17. Each applicant for a driver's permit must file with his application two recent photographs of himself of a size that may be easily attached to his license, one of which shall be attached to his license when issued, and carried by such licensed driver at all times when driving a taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus, or interurban bus, and showing that such driver is a licensed driver, and the other shall be filed with his application, with the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco. The photograph shall be so attached to the license that it cannot be removed and another photograph substituted without detection. Each licensed driver shall, upon demand by any police officer or a passenger, exhibit his license and photograph for inspection.

Sec. 18. Each applicant for a driver's permit under the provisions of this ordinance shall be examined by a police officer designated by the Chief of Police as to his knowledge of the provisions of this ordinance, the California Vehicle Act, the traffic regulations of the City and County of San Francisco, the geography of the said City and County, and if the result of the examination be unsatisfactory he shall be refused a permit.

Sec. 19. The Board of Police Commissioners, in the exercise of a sound and reasonable discretion, when the public interest and safety may require, may revoke any permit issued under the provisions of this ordinance; provided, however, that any permit issued under the provisions of section 7 of this ordinance shall not be revoked except upon hearing.

Sec. 20. Every taxicab and sightseeing bus for the operation of which a permit has been issued by the Board of Police Commissioners shall have the name of the owner plainly painted in letters at least two inches in height in the center of the main panel of the rear doors.

Sec. 21. Sightseeing cars shall not stand upon any public square, street or other public place except between the hours of 8:30 and 10 o'clock a. m., between 12:30 and 2 o'clock p. m., and between 6:30 p. m. and 7 o'clock a. m., except on Sundays and holidays.

Rates for Sightseeing Cars.

Sec. 22. Sightseeing automobiles accommodating ten or more passengers shall not charge more than one dollar and fifty cents per passenger per trip, and each trip shall not be less than two hours' duration, and shall follow the route as advertised by the owner or driver of such automobile.

Sec. 23. The rates of fare for automobiles, whether offered for hire or furnished for special calls, shall not exceed the following: For automobiles having a capacity for four passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour, or fraction thereof, two dollars and fifty cents; for each succeeding half hour, two dollars. For automobiles having a capacity for six or more passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour or fraction thereof, three dollars; for each subsequent half hour, two dollars and fifty cents. Provided, however, that no automobile shall charge a rate of fare from any ferry or railroad terminal that shall exceed the metered mileage rate specified in the next succeeding section, and the distance from such terminal to the point of destination shall be measured by the shortest route along open public streets.

Charges for Taxicabs.

Sec. 24. The following schedule of charges for taxicabs be and is hereby adopted as the legal taxicab rate in the City and County of San Francisco, and shall not be exceeded:

Taximeter Rate.

Tariff No. 1 (one or two passengers):

First quarter-mile or fraction thereof, forty cents.

Each one-quarter mile thereafter, ten cents.

Each two minutes of waiting, ten cents.

Tariff No. 2 (three or four passengers):

First one-fifth mile or fraction thereof, forty cents.

Each one-fifth mile thereafter, ten cents.

Each two minutes of waiting, ten cents.

For each additional passenger over four persons for the entire journey, fifty cents.

Hour Rate.

First one-half hour or fraction thereof, two dollars; second half hour, one dollar and fifty cents.

Each subsequent hour, three dollars and fifty cents.

The passenger when engaging the taxicab shall elect whether he will employ it by taximeter or hour rates.

Sec. 25. The use of any inaccurate taximeter or other measuring instrument for the purpose of gauging or indicating distance traveled, or waiting time, or for the purpose of fixing rates to be collected from the public is hereby prohibited, and it shall be the duty of the owner or lessee in possession, including any corporation or officer or agent thereof responsible therefor of any vehicle mentioned in this ordinance, using any taximeter or other measuring instrument, to at all times keep said taximeter or other measuring instrument, to at all times keep said taximeter or other measuring instrument accurate.

(a) Every taximeter or other measuring instrument used for the purpose of gauging or indicating distance traveled or waiting time or for the purpose of computing fares to be collected from the public shall be subject to inspection at all times by the Police Department. The Chief of Police may at any time detail police officers to inspect any or all taximeters or other measuring instruments so used when in his opinion such instruments are inaccurate. Any police officer is directed, upon complaint of any person that the fare charged is more than the legal fare, to investigate and report such complaint immediately to the Chief of Police who shall cause such taximeters or other measuring instruments to be at once inspected. Any persons, firm or corporation who uses any taximeter or other measuring instrument which registers fare in excess of the legal fare and collects such fare is subject to revocation of license.

(b) Each taxicab, while in use in the City and County of San Francisco, for the transportation of passengers for hire, shall be equipped with an efficient illuminating device, either flexible or fixed, so arranged as to enable the passenger or passengers to conveniently observe the meter and the amount of fare registered thereon.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any automobile, in soliciting trade from the public, to represent his vehicle as a taxicab unless it is equipped with a taximeter in working order, and duly inspected and approved, as in this section provided.

Sec. 26. In any case of disagreement between the driver and passenger of a public vehicle for hire relative to the legal fare to be paid, the driver shall convey the passenger to the nearest Police Station, where the officer in charge shall immediately decide the case, and if the decision is in favor of the passenger, the driver shall convey the passenger from the Police Station to his original destination without additional charge; if the passenger is about to leave the City by railroad, steamboat or otherwise, the police officer on duty at the depot or wharf shall decide the case.

(a) All drivers or operators of public vehicles for hire, upon the demand of any passenger, shall give a receipt for fare paid, such receipt to be in a form satisfactory to the Chief of Police.

Sec. 27. If any driver, proprietor or lessee of a taxicab, automobile or sightseeing bus, shall refuse to convey a passenger at the rates hereinabove provided, or demand or receive an amount in excess of his legal hire, he shall be liable to the penalty provided by this ordinance, and shall return to the passenger any amount he may have received in excess of his legal fare.

(a) Any charge, or attempt to charge any passenger a greater fare than that to which the taxicab, automobile or sightseeing bus is entitled under the provisions of this ordinance shown either by confession of the party, or competent testimony; or any failure on the part of any driver or operator of any taxicab or automobile to make proper returns to the owner of such taxicab or

automobile shall immediately suspend the license of such driver or operator until such time as the case is finally disposed of by the proper magistrate.

Sec. 28. (a) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of a taxicab to throw the flag of the taximeter in a recording position when such vehicle is not actually employed.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any taxicab to fail to throw the flag of such taximeter to the non-recording position at the termination of each and every service and to call the attention of the passenger to the amount registered.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of a taxicab while carrying passenger or under employment, to display the flag affixed to such taximeter in such position as to denote such taxicab is not employed, or in such position as to denote that he is employed at a rate of fare different from that to which he is entitled under the provisions of this ordinance.

Sec. 29. Any person who shall refuse to pay the legal fare for a taxicab or sightseeing bus or automobile, as prescribed in this ordinance, that he has hired, shall be guilty of misdemeanor, and on conviction thereof be compelled to pay to the driver of said vehicle an amount equal to the legal fare, and in case any bail required is forfeited, the amount of the legal fare shall be paid to the driver from such amount so forfeited, and the court, before the case is heard, shall order the same to be paid from the treasury of the City and County.

Sec. 30. It shall be unlawful for any person to solicit patronage for public vehicles on the public streets or grounds, but the fact that such public vehicle displays a device to indicate that such vehicle is not engaged shall not of itself be considered as soliciting patronage.

Sec. 31. Drivers and operators of public vehicles for hire shall promptly report to the Detective Bureau of the Police Department and within twenty-four hours all property of value left in their vehicles by passengers.

Sec. 32. The driver of any public vehicle for hire shall be entitled to charge not to exceed one (1) dollar for each trunk and twenty (20) cents for each large valise or bag carried outside the vehicle, and each passenger shall be entitled to have conveyed without charge such valise or small package as can be conveniently carried within the vehicle. Each driver shall load and unload all baggage without charge.

Sec. 33. When public vehicles for hire are engaged by the hour the driver at the time of hiring shall hand to the passenger a card upon which shall appear, first, the name and address of the owner; second, the name of the driver of such vehicle and the number of his license; third, the exact time of such hiring.

Sec. 34. Every taxicab, automobile and sightseeing bus shall have permanently affixed to the interior thereof, in a place readily to be seen by passengers, a frame covered with glass, enclosing a card not less than six (6) inches square, upon which shall be printed in plain, legible letters the schedule of rates prescribed in this ordinance, applicable to every such vehicle.

(a) The said frame and enclosed card must be approved by the Chief of Police.

Sec. 35. In case any vehicle described in this ordinance shall, while conveying for hire or reward any passenger or passengers, become disabled, or shall break down, the time of stoppage shall be deducted from the time charged for.

Sec. 36. It shall be unlawful for any person to be in any boat at night on the waters of the bay, with intent to use or to use such boat for the conveyance of persons from place to place, for hire, without having in said boat a lighted lantern at least six inches square, with the number of said boat painted thereon in plain Arabic figures of such size and form as to be readily seen and read, and which, upon the demand of any person, shall be exhibited.

Sec. 37. It shall be unlawful for any runner, soliciting agent, or driver, or motorman, of any public vehicle for hire, or of any job wagon, to misrepresent in any manner whatsoever the character of the business engaged in, or being solicited for, or to personate or attempt to impersonate any other runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire, or of any job wagon, in the conveyance or transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise, or any other person, or to convey or transport persons, baggage or merchandise to any place or destination other than the place or destination engaged for.

(a) No person having charge of or soliciting patronage for any vehicle or boat shall, for the purpose of securing patronage, make any false representations concerning the ownership or employment of such vehicle or boat.

Sec. 38. Every person, firm or corporation owning or using any boat upon which a license tax is imposed by any order or ordinance shall have attached to said boat a pair of metallic plates to be furnished by the Tax Collector on payment of the license tax. Each of said pair of plates shall bear a different number and specify the year for which issued. Said plates shall be attached in the manner and place designated by order or ordinance for the attachment of boat number plates, or, if not so designated, in a position to be designated by the Tax Collector. When so attached, neither of said plates shall be removed from said boat without the authorization of the owner.

Sec. 39. The number designated for any boat shall be placed thereon in two places, either by tacking thereupon the tins furnished by the Collector of Licenses, or by painting such number upon the boat in plain Arabic figures not less than one and one-half inches in height and of proportionate width and of such color as to be readily seen and distinguished.

(a) The number of each boat shall be placed on both sides thereof within two feet of the bow, on the outside of each boat, immediately below the gunwale.

Sec. 40. No person shall use or drive, or permit to be used or driven, any boat belonging to him, or under his control, which, by any of the provisions of this ordinance, is required to be numbered, without having the appropriate number thereof, and no other, placed thereupon in the manner and place provided in section 41 of this ordinance, nor with such number inverted, covered, mutilated, obliterated, or obscurely painted, or illegible.

Sec. 41. Any person driving or having control of any vehicle on which a number is required to be placed shall give the number of his vehicle on the inquiry of any person.

Sec. 42. It shall be unlawful for any person to engage in the business or occupation of soliciting boarders or lodgers, or custom for any hotel, boarding house or lodging house, or the transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise, without having a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as hereinafter provided.

Sec. 43. A licensed driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire shall have the right to solicit patronage for the vehicle driven or operated by him without a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as provided in sections 48, 50 and 51 of this ordinance, but not more than one person shall be deemed to have charge of any vehicle at any place.

Sec. 44. A person licensed to engage in the business of transporting baggage or merchandise shall have the right to solicit patronage without a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as provided in sections 48, 50 and 51 of this ordinance, but not more than one person shall have such right under such license.

Sec. 45. Any person desiring a runner's and soliciting agent's license must first present to the Board of Police Commissioners a written application for a permit therefor, setting forth his name, age and place of residence. The Board of Police Commissioners is hereby authorized to issue to any person who, in its judgment, is a proper person to engage in the business or occupation of runner and soliciting agent, a permit for a runner's and soliciting agent's license; and said Board is hereby authorized to revoke any permit so issued. When any such permit is revoked, the said Board shall give notice thereof to the Tax Collector. Said Board shall keep a record of the disposition of all applications for such permits. The Chief of Police may suspend the permit of any runner or soliciting agent for a period not exceeding ten days.

Sec. 46. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent, or driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire, to solicit patronage in front of any entrance, exit or gangway of any ferry landing, wharf, depot, theater, circus, hall or other place where people are assembled, within twelve feet thereof, or within twelve feet of the lines of said entrance, exit or gangway produced twelve feet from the front thereof.

Sec. 47. No taxicab or automobile, while awaiting employment by passengers, shall stand on any public street or place other than or upon a stand designated or established in accordance with this ordinance; nor shall any driver of such taxicab or automobile seek employment by repeatedly and persistently driving his vehicle to and fro for a short space before, or by otherwise interfering with the proper and orderly access to or egress from any theater, hall, hotel, public resort, railroad or ferry station or other place of public gathering.

Sec. 48. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent to solicit patronage in loud, noisy or

boisterous tone of voice, or manner, or to lay hands upon the person or baggage of any person without the express consent of such person, or to obstruct the movement of any person, or to follow any person for the purpose of soliciting patronage.

Sec. 49. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent, or driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire to scuffle or crowd about or interfere with any other runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman with whom any person is negotiating or inquiring about the transportation of person or baggage.

Sec. 50. No person shall solicit patronage for any hotel, vehicle or other business upon any railroad train, steamboat or vehicle whatsoever within the corporate limits of the City and County of San Francisco without first having obtained permission in writing so to do from the owner, lessee or managing agent of such owner, charterer or lessee of such railroad, steamboat or other vehicle.

Sec. 51. The Police Department shall have the control, regulation and direction of all licensed runners, soliciting agents, drivers and motormen at ferry landings, wharves, steamboat landings and railroad depots, theaters, public buildings and all places of public assemblage, and it shall be unlawful for any licensed runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman to fail, refuse or neglect to obey the lawful order of any police officer in regard to the control, regulation and direction of soliciting patronage for the conveyance or transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise.

Sec. 52. All ordinances, in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, and especially Ordinance 1898 (New Series), are hereby repealed.

Sec. 53. Every person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Sec. 54. This ordinance shall take effect 30 days after approval.

Creating Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

Supervisor Shannon offered the following bill as a substitute for the one of similar purport on the calendar:

Bill No. 7465, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Creating a special fund to be designated as "Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund," providing for the deposit of moneys therein and making appropriations therefrom for the uses and purposes contemplated by Article XII, Section 16 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special fund is hereby created and designated as the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, and all moneys received from the operation of power plants on the Hetch Hetchy project shall be deposited to the credit of such fund immediately upon the receipt thereof.

Section 2. In accordance with the requirements of Section 16 of Article XII of the Charter there is hereby appropriated from said fund the following sums to be set aside, held and used exclusively for the following purposes in the order in which they are set forth, viz.:

First: Out of the first moneys deposited and to be deposited in said fund there is hereby appropriated the sum of $166,666 for the payment during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1926, of the operation expenses of the power houses and transmission lines on the Hetch Hetchy project, including administrative and miscellaneous expense incidental to the operation of said power houses and transmission lines, workmen's compensation insurance and pension contributions required under Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) and ordinances amendatory thereof, and also for the repairs and reconstruction of any portion of the works on said project necessary or incidental to the operation and maintenance of said power houses and transmission lines.

Second: There is appropriated out of the moneys deposited and to be deposited in said fund so soon as the same shall be in excess of the said amount required for the purposes of Subdivision First of this section, the further sum of $83,333 as a depreciation reserve fund for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1926, for the purpose of meeting the costs of replacements of any portion of the works utilized in the production and transmission of hydro-electric power which shall become worn out or destroyed as a result of operation, the action of the elements, or any casualty, and also for the purpose of creating a fund for the future replacement of such parts of said works as may, for any

PUBLIC LIBRARY

one or more of the last mentioned reasons, require replacement in the future.

Third: There is appropriated out of the rest, residue and remainder of the moneys accruing to said Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1926, after deducting from the same the appropriations provided for in Subdivisions First and Second of this section, and transferred to the "Water Bond Interest and Redemption Fund," a sufficient amount to provide for the payment of interest on and redemption of $9,000,000 of the Water Bonds of the Issue of 1910.

Section 3. All expenditures for operation, maintenance and depreciation charges as above defined shall be paid out of the respective appropriations therefor by the Treasurer, upon demands duly approved by the Board of Public Works and the Auditor.

Section 4. At the beginning of the fiscal year, July 1, 1926, the balance remaining in said Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund and not appropriated for specific additions or betterments, shall be held for and applied exclusively toward the acquisition or construction of a transmission line and stepdown station for carrying electric energy generated at the Mocasin plant from Newark to the City and Counyt of San Francisco.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved passage to print of the proposed bill.

Supervisor Hayden requested that a shorthand reporter be sent for to report the proceedings in this matter and on motion of Supervisor Shannon the services of Mr. W. H. Girvin were secured.

Privilege of the Floor.

Adolph Uhl, representing the City Efficiency League, was granted the privilege of the floor, and addressed the Board in opposition to the proposed ordinance.

Sylvester McAtee, representing the Down Town Association, was granted the privilege of the floor and opposed the proposed ordinance.

John Kelly, representing the Federation of Improvement Clubs, also addressed the Board.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Noes — Supervisors Harrelson, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Approving Budget Appropriation of $6,525,000 for Completion of Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25173 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 6440 (New Series), prescribing the procedure under which the Board of Public Works may construct public utilities, the Board of Public Works has procured from the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors an estimate or budget of proposed expenditures which will be necessary for the construction of the Foothill Division tunnels and appurtenant structures, and for preliminary construction work and shaft construction in the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, together with a general description and specification of the proposed work, all of which construction will conform with the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct construction program approved in Resolution No. 24083 (New Series), and will cover the completion of the Foothill Division and the work necessary in the Coast Range Division up to the point where actual tunneling operations will commence; and

Whereas, the total amount of said budget is the sum of $8,027,554, of which $6,525,000 is stated by the Board of Public Works to be the estimated cost of completing the Foothill Division of said aqueduct, including administration and engineering; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the Board of Supervisors that approval of any budget for construction work on the Coast Range Division should await completion of the drill borings now being made on said division to determine the suitability of the rock structure for tunnel construction; and

Whereas, it appears to the satisfaction of the Board that said estimate of costs is in accordance with the plan approved by this Board in Resolution No. 24083 (New Series), and that the work therein outlined is necessary in continuance of the work already approved by Resolution No. 24382 (New Series); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That budget of expenditures submitted by the Board of

Public Works is hereby approved to the extent of $6,525,000 for construction of the Foothill Division of the tunnel aqueduct and appurtenant structures, including administration and engineering, and the additional sum of $6,525,000 is hereby appropriated from the "Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund" (Issue of 1925), for the purpose of meeting the expenditures shown by said budget for said Foothill Division construction. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Auditor be and he is hereby directed to credit this appropriation with all sums that may become available through the sale of Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue of 1925, up to the amount of said appropriation, after he shall have satisfied the requirements of Resolution No. 24382 (New Series), appropriating $1,279,206 for the purposes mentioned in said Resolution No. 24083 (New Series); said credits to be made when the proceeds of such future bond sales shall be placed in the Treasury.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Stevenson Street Passage Way Permit, Hale Bros.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Hale Brothers, Incorporated, owners of the land situate on the southwesterly corner of Stevenson and Fifth streets, having a frontage on Fifth street of 25 feet and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Stevenson street 150 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 75 feet to the northerly line of Mint avenue; running thence easterly and along said northerly line of Mint avenue 75 feet; thence at right angles northerly 50 feet, and thence at a right angle easterly 75 feet to the westerly line of Fifth street; thence along said westerly line of Fifth street 25 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, Hale Brothers, Incorporated, have in contemplation the erection of a ten-story building at a cost of approximately $300,000 on said land and it is necessary that Hale Brothers, Incorporated, have permission to construct a passageway between the present store and the proposed building; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Hale Brothers, Incorporated, is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect an 8-foot passageway to be constructed entirely of fireproof material across Stevenson street at a location not less than 65 feet from Fifth street, and the bottom of which passageway shall be at an elevation of approximately 42 feet from the curb level, connecting the third, fourth and fifth floors of the present building with the fourth, fifth and sixth floors of the proposed new building.

Provided, however, said passageway shall only be erected after a permit therefor is issued by the Board of Public Works, and upon plans approved by and under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Provided, further, that any right or privilege hereby given to erect or maintain such passageway is given subject to the right of the Board of Supervisors to revoke the same at any time.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. T. Guerin is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on Hudson avenue between Keith and Lane streets and on Keith street (200 feet), provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said H. T. Guerin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Fixing Monday, February 15, 1926, 2 p. m., for Hearing Improvement of Berry Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25175 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, February 15, 1926, at 2 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of Pope & Talbot Land Company (a

corporation) from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protest and objections of Pope & Talbot Land Company (a corporation) against the improvement of Berry street between Second and Third streets as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 89937 (Second Series).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25176 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. M. Gallagher is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after February 4, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Madrid street between Silver avenue and Peru avenue under public contract, for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25177 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 90160 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 1, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed February 2, 1926, to-wit:

Green Street.

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 244 feet easterly from Jones street, 289 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 231 feet easterly from Jones street, 285 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 231 feet easterly from Jones street, 285 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 231 feet easterly from Jones street, 296.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At last described point for curb to roadway, 289.30 feet.

At a point on the southwesterly curb line of, 28.60 feet northeasterly (measured along the southwesterly curb line of) from the last point, 286 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 206.17 feet easterly from Jones street, 285.57 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 206.17 feet easterly from Jones street, 300.66 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Green street between lines respectively 206.17 and 244 feet easterly from Jones street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25178 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after February 12, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Howard street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets, for the reason that delay has been occasioned by failure of contractor to obtain consent of property owners to method of assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25179 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Hennessey is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after February 7, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Judah street between Thirty-first and Forty-first avenues.

This third extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is progressing and delay has been occasioned on account of the proposed construction of the Municipal Railway along this street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25180 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 90086 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted January 27, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed January 29, 1926, to-wit:

Munich Street.

Easterly line of, at Brazil avenue, 324 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Brazil avenue, 322 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

250 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 307.50 feet.

300 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 306 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Munich street between Brazil avenue and a line parallel with and 300 feet southerly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Work is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Grade Map of Castenada Avenue Approved.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25181 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 88430 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted October 7, 1925, approve a map entitled "Grade map of Castenada avenue from Twelfth avenue easterly," showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map entitled "Grade map of Castenada avenue from Twelfth avenue easterly," showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Castenada avenue, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was ordered *rereferred to the Streets Committee*:

Closing Certain Streets.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street, as hereinafter described, be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street as follows:

Pennsylvania avenue, from the southerly line of the Ocean Shore right of way of the Western Pacific Railroad Company to the northerly line of Tulare street.

Iowa street, from the south line of Army street to the north line of Tulare street.

Marin street, from the west line of Pennsylvania avenue to the west line of Indiana street.

Tulare street, from the west line of Indiana street to a point 100 feet westerly of the west line of Pennsylvania avenue.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3, Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing of portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Accepting Deed, Rousseau Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25182 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 5th day of April, 1917, between Myrtle Genzken and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying lands for the widening of Rousseau street, bounded and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Rousseau street, 277.563 feet northerly from the northerly line of Springdale street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Rousseau street 24.379 feet; thence at right angles easterly 5.351 feet; thence deflecting to the right 102 degrees 22 minutes 47 seconds and running 24.96 feet to the easterly line of Rousseau street and point of beginning; being a portion of Lot No. 71, Map of the Spring Valley Homestead, filed in the Hall of Records in Block Book Nos. "C" and "D," page 149, August 31, 1876, is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Intention to Close Portion of Utah Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25183 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Utah street be closed up and abandoned in part as hereinafter described. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that portion of Utah street lying south of the line 250 feet south of the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street and drawn parallel thereto.

The Jewell Steel and Malleable Company, as a consideration for the closing and abandonment of a portion of Utah street as above described, will construct a sewer and manholes in Utah street, that is, to drain Utah street through its property into San Bruno avenue, a distance of four hundred feet.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of Utah street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portions of Utah street be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Utah street in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Closing Portions of Alhambra Street and Cervantes Boulevard.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25184 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard, as hereinafter described, be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon a portion of crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard as follows:

Beginning at a point on the west-

erly line of the intersection of Cervantes boulevard and Alhambra street, distant thereon 0.747 feet southerly from the southwesterly line of Cervantes boulevard, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of said intersection on a curve to the left of 80-foot radius, central angle 35 degrees 41 minutes 24 seconds a distance of 49.833 feet to a point distant along the westerly line of said intersection 0.929 feet northerly from the northwesterly line of Alhambra street; thence northerly on a curve to the left of 42.257-foot radius, central angle 70 degrees 55 minutes 20 seconds a distance of 52.307 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of the said portion of crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Accepting Deed from Residential Development Company.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25185 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 4th day of January, 1926, between Residential Development Company of San Francisco (a corporation), and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying lands for street purposes, as shown on Map of Block 3046 and part of Block 3077, Monterey Heights, San Francisco, California, is hereby accepted in the name of the City of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands described in said deed are hereby declared open public streets, viz.: San Felipe avenue and San Jacinto way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Approving Map, Monterey Heights.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25186 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 90150 (Second Series), approve a map entitled a "Map of Block 3046 and part of Block 3077, Monterey Heights, San Francisco, California"; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map entitled a "Map of Block 3046 and part of Block 3077, Monterey Heights, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Award of Contract, Steel Lockers.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25187 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Worley & Co. on bid submitted January 25, 1926 (Proposal No. 163), for furnishing the following, viz.:

2,650 steel lockers for School Department.

Specifications: Steel lockers of single-faced type, to be placed against wall and securely fastened thereto; bodies to be not lighter than 24 U. S. gauge; doors to be not lighter than 16 U. S. gauge, except that a lighter weight of metal may be considered, provided that in the opinion of the Purchaser of Supplies the strength of such door is equal to one constructed of the heavier metal; door frames to be 1 x 1 x ⅛ inch hard steel angle, gas-welded at corners; framing to be rigid and substantial; tops flat, except under Item No. 4, where the 12 x 12 x 36 and the 12 x 15 x 72 lockers are to have sloping tops; 6-inch metal cabinet base to inclose space between locker and floor; number plates to be of approved type and to be affixed to each locker, to be numbered as directed; three double-prong wall clothes hooks and one double-pring ceiling hook in each locker except the 12 x 12 x 12 lockers (lockers listed under Item No. 2 to have three wall hooks but no ceiling hook); lockers listed under Item No. 2 to have rods

for coat hangers; locking device, doors to be equipped for padlocks; lockers to have 2-point latching device; finish, preparation, metal must be cleaned in an acid bath before enamel is applied, baked enamel must be at least two coats; colors—Item No. 1, brown, to match lockers now in school; Item No. 2, olive green; Item No. 3, olive green; Item No. 4, French gray; Item No. 5, olive green; retouching—any parts that may have become marred in transit to building or in setting up shall be touched up in same color enamel as original finish; ventilation—doors to be half-louvred; samples required of lockers, same to be delivered to 286 City Hall; deliveries as specified in the following list:

Item No. 1—Steel lockers for Francisco Junior High School:

Total No.	Size	In Double Tiers
50	12x12x36 in.	In sections of 50
200	12x12x36 in.	In sections of 40

Deliver and install complete in the Francisco Junior High School for the sum of $583.

Finished in Worley & Co.'s standard olive.

Item No. 2—Steel lockers for Girls' High School:

Total No.	Size	In Single Tiers
4	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 4
20	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 5
7	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 7
8	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 8
9	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 9
336	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 12
13	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 13
16	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 16
21	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 21
24	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 24
30	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 30
43	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 43

Deliver and install complete in the Girls' High School for the sum of $3,308.

Finished in Worley & Co.'s standard olive.

Item No. 3—Steel lockers for High School of Commerce Annex:

Total No.	Size	In Five Tiers
50	12x12x12 in.	In sections of 50

Deliver and install complete in High School of Commerce Annex for the sum of $49.

Finished in Worley & Co.'s standard olive.

Item No. 4—Steel lockers for Lowell High School:

Total No.	Size	In Five Tiers
150	12x12x12 in.	In sections of 30
16	12x12x36 in.	In sections of 16
120	12x12x36 in.	In sections of 20
308	12x12x36 in.	In sections of 28
480	12x12x36 in.	In sections of 30
96	12x12x36 in.	In sections of 32
180	12x12x36 in.	In sections of 36
		In Single Tiers
2	12x15x72 in.	In sections of 2
6	12x15x72 in.	In sections of 6
14	12x15x72 in.	In sections of 7
200	12x15x72 in.	In sections of 20
46	12x15x72 in.	In sections of 23
96	12x15x72 in.	In sections of 24
52	12x15x72 in.	In sections of 26
34	12x15x72 in.	In sections of 34

Deliver and install complete in the Lowell High School for the sum of $5,368.

Finished in Worley & Co.'s standard French gray.

Item No. 5—Steel lockers for Part-Time High School:

Total No.	Size	In Single Tiers
12	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 12
7	18x18x72 in.	In sections of 7

Deliver and install complete in the Part-Time High School for the sum of $118.

Finished in Worley & Co.'s standard olive.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Award of Contract, Tobacco.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25188 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted February 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 168), as follows, viz.:

For Relief Home.

1. 2500 pounds smoking tobacco, cut plug, in 2¼-ounce foil; on sample "George Washington," to R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, at $0.5018 pound.

2. 1500 pounds chewing tobacco, natural leaf, in 16-ounce plugs; on sample "Joe Bowers," to Healey & Donaldson, at $0.415 pound.

For County Jails.

3. 24 gross granulated smoking tobacco in 1-ounce bags; on sample "Old Age," to Healey & Donaldson at $5.04 gross.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Award of Contract, Mattresses.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25189 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to The Bernhard Mattress Co. on bid submitted January 18, 1926 (Proposal No. 162), for furnishing the following, viz.:

100 mattresses, hair; size 36 by 76 inches when finished; weight 25 pounds, including tick; filling, gray drawings; ticking, 8-ounce Amoskeag A. C. A.; box, 4-inch double stitched; edges, French seam; tufting, diamond with leather tufts. On sample of hair submitted. Contractor guarantees all materials to be new, clean and sanitary and that their manufacture is under approved sanitary conditions.

Delivery: Mattresses to be delivered to Relief Home for the Aged, San Francisco; price, each, $19.85.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Award of Contract, Blankets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25190 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Levi Strauss & Co. on bid submitted January 25, 1926 (Proposal No. 159), for furnishing the following, viz.:

1. 300 single blankets, gray; wool, approximately 85 per cent, balance cotton; size, 66 x 84; weight, five pounds; on sample, each $3.95.

2. 75 double blankets, gray; wool, approximately 60 per cent, balance cotton; size, 66 x 80; weight, six pounds; on sample, each $4.50.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Intention to Construct Hetch Hetchy Transmission Line.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25191 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby declare that it is its policy and intention that the electrical transmission line of the Hetch Hetchy project be completed to a point within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco without delay; and be it further

Resolved, That the City Engineer forthwith prepare plans, specifications and estimates for such line and for the conversion and step-down station at its terminus, and submit such plans, specifications and estimates to the Board of Supervisors for approval. That the routing of said line shall closely parallel the present transmission line of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, as the shortest and most direct way to enter the industrial district of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

No—Supervisor Harrelson—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Report on Expenditures of School Board Funds.

Supervisor Marks called Supervisor Badaracco to the Chair, took the floor and presented:

Resolution No. 25192 (New Series), as follows:

Be it Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the Auditor

of the said City and County, the Board of Education and the Board of Public Works thereof be, and the same are, and each of them is hereby directed to transmit to the said Board of Supervisors, on or before the opening of the session of said Board on Monday, the 15th day of February, 1926, a report in writing concerning the expenditure of funds made available by the Bond Issue of $12,000,000 for school construction, so far as said expenditures appear in the respective records of said Auditor, Board of Education and Board of Public Works, the said report to consist of the following details:

1. The location and dimensions of each parcel of land acquired for school purposes out of the proceeds of said bond issue and the purchase price thereof.

2. The name and location of each school building, whether completed or in course of construction, the construction of which was commenced subsequent to the date of authorization by the people of the issuance of said bonds, together with the total amount expended to date in the construction of each of said buildings, and an indication as to whether said expenditures, as to each of said school buildings, respectively, were made out of the proceeds of sale of said School Bonds or from other funds, and the nature and source of said funds.

3. As to each of said school buildings referred to in Section 2 of this resolution, the amount expended for architectural services, the amounts and dates of payment thereof, and the name of the person, firm or corporation to whom payment thereof was made.

And be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution, duly attested by the Clerk of the Board, be forwarded forthwith to the Auditor, the Board of Education and the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Financial Policy to Effect Secure Economies.

Supervisor McSheehy presented the following and moved its adoption:

Resolution No. 25193 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on January 15, 1926, the City Attorney instructed this Board that approximately $1,400,000 of the income from the sale of hydro-electric power, which the makers of the budget for 1925-26 had proposed to include in the general fund for the purpose of balancing said budget, could not legally be so included; and

Whereas, it has thereby become necessary for economies to be effected during the remainder of the current fiscal year to avoid the deficit thus indicated; and

Whereas, this Board already has effected the following economies by voting to rescind appropriations which had not become final:

Municipal garage	$ 91,700
Municipal warehouse and land for same	66,000
Marina lands (last year's surplus)	290,000
Total	$447,700

Whereas, the heads of the following departments and offices have expressed to the Finance Committee their ability and willingness to effect economies in their respective departments, within the budget allowance, to the following extent:

Department of Elections	$50,000
Police Department	20,000
Department of Public Health	15,000
Fire Department	10,000
Mayor's office	2,500
Total	$97,500

Resolved, And it is hereby declared to be the policy of this Board to refuse to approve any demands that may be made upon the following budget appropriations and the amount herein stated, to-wit:

Unexpended portion of appropriation for War Memorial and Civic Center	$400,000
Portion of $140,000 appropriated for Publicity and Advertising, of which $70,000 remains unexpended to date	35,000
Board Walk at Beach	10,000
Extensions to Main Sewers; total appropriation $300,000, of which $258,000 remains unexpended to date	100,000
Building for Fire Department	70,000
Total	$615,000

Summary.

Previously rescinded	$ 447,700
Department economies	77,500
Appropriations under control of Board	615,000
Total	$1,160,200

This shows that there is still a

deficiency of $250,000 (in round numbers) which must be secured by further economies.

Amendment Proposed.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment that the subject matter be laid over one week and made a special order of business for 3 p. m. and that former members of the Finance Committee be invited here to enlighten us as to their error in preparing budget.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Supervisor Hayden asked for a roll call on that portion of the report relating to the War Memorial.

The roll was called with the following result:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Explanations of Vote.

Supervisor Gallagher: I favor a War Memorial. I do not favor predicating the future funds for any purpose. I will be glad to support an appropriation for War Memorial purposes when submitted, but reserve judgment on the amount until presented.

Supervisor Schmidt: In reference to my vote on the War Memorial appropriation, and as a veteran, I wish to state that I have no opposition to the War Memorial, but am heartily in accord with it; but feel that a commitment should not be made until the next budget is made.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I favor the building of the War Memorial and the amount of money required to do so, and will give every assistance in my power when the occasion arises.

Supervisor Stanton: In reference to my vote on the War Memorial appropriation, I wish to state that I have no opposition to the War Memorial, but am heartily in accord with it; but feel that a commitment should not be made until the next budget is made.

Supervisor Todd: In reference to my vote on the War Memorial appropriation, I wish to state that I have no opposition to the War Memorial, but am heartily in accord with it; but feel that a commitment should not be made until the next budget is made.

Supervisors Badaracco and *Welch* also reserved right to file statement in explanation of their vote on the War Memorial.

Whereupon, Supervisor Hayden changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice of reconsideration.

Thereupon Supervisor McSheehy moved immediate reconsideration, with the following result:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the notice of reconsideration be now laid on the table. (Withdrawn.)

The question being put as to whether the vote on the War Memorial should be reconsidered, the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Whereupon, the Chair announced that the original motion stands.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on all of the recommended cuts.

Supervisor Harrelson asked to be recorded *no* on the "Warehouse."

Supervisor Hayden objected to elimination of item for "Main Sewers" and asked for a roll call.

T. Riordan, president of the Board of Public Works, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board in opposition to the proposed elimination.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the item of "Main Sewers," with the following result:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Roncovieri—4.

Supervisor Hayden changed his vote and gave notice of reconsideration at the next meeting.

Supervisor McSheehy moved suspension of the rules and immediate reconsideration.

The roll was called and the rules suspended by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the reconsideration *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Roncovieri—4.

Thereupon, the Chair declared that the motion to eliminate "Main Sewers $100,000" stands *adopted*.

Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon the roll was called on the resolution as a whole and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Gallagher: A majority of the Board having determined not to permit me to bring the matter of reconsideration of this report up at a future meeting, forces me to vote *no* on same. My hope was to be able to support the Finance Committee, but because I am not allowed one week in which to enlighten myself as to the correctness or incorrectness of the statements made by the committee on which said report is based, I am, therefore, forced to vote *no*.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Laundry Permit, J. B. Mon.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. B. Mon be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry on the north side of California street, 55 feet east of Broderick street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25194 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium on the following dates, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

6th Regiment, Coast Artillery, U. S. A., use Main Hall, February 9, 1926, 6 to 12 p. m.

Fraternal Order of Eagles, 1926 Pageant Committee, use Main Hall, February 11, 1926, 6 to 12 p. m.

Hermann Sons, use Main, Polk and Larkin halls, February 13, 1926, 6 to 12 p. m.

Selby C. Oppenheimer, use Main Hall, February 14, 1926, 6 to 12 p. m.

Knights of Columbus Council of San Francisco, use Main Hall, February 16, 1926, 6 to 12 p. m.

Elwyn Concert Bureau, use Main Hall, February 15, 1926, 6 to 12 p. m.; February 17, 1926, 6 to 12 p. m.; February 18, 1926, to February 28, 1926, 6 to 12 p. m.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

Action Deferred.

The following was presented and *laid over one week*:

Auto Supply Station Permit, Market and Eighth Streets.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Market and Eighth streets. This permit shall terminate at the expiration of three years.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Auto Supply Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Standard Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Claremont boulevard and Ulloa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Masquerade Ball Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 25195 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to conduct masquerade balls, upon payment of the usual license fees, as follows:

Green Valley Grove, U. A. O. D., Corinthian Hall, 4793 Mission street, Saturday evening, February 21, 1926.

Empire Commandery No. 1, Uniformed Sir Knights, American Order Sons of St. George, Scottish Rite Hall, Saturday evening, April 10, 1926.

Swedish Relief Society, Scottish Rite Hall, Sutter street and Van Ness avenue, Saturday evening, February 27, 1926.

Drei Bund, California Hall, Turk and Polk streets, Saturday evening, February 20, 1926.

San Francisco Werennerchor, California Hall, Turk and Polk streets, Saturday evening, February 27, 1926.

Hermann Sons, Main Hall, Civic Auditorium, February 13, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden—5.

Mayor to Appoint a Citizens Committee to Cooperate With South of Market Boys' Celebration.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 25174 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a citizens' committee to cooperate with the South of Market Boys Incorporated in commemoration of the twentieth anniversary of the calamity which destroyed the city on April 18, 1906. The commemoration is to be held at the Civic Auditorium on April 17-18, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, March 15, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the **Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.**

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 7

Monday, February 15, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 15, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

During the meeting the Mayor excused himself and Supervisor Marks was called to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of January 11 and 18, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Bay Bridge Franchise Application.

The application of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and operate a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County and to collect tolls thereon was, on motion of Supervisor Welch, continued until March 8, 1926, at 2 p. m.

Yacht Club in the Marina Park Opposed.

Communication from the Marina District Improvement Association transmitting copy of its resolution protesting against the locating of a yacht club in the Marina Park.

Referred to the Park Commission.

Death of Hon. John E. Raker, Congressman.

The Clerk presented and read a card from Mrs. John E. Raker acknowledging her heartfelt appreciation of the officials' expression of sympathy on the occasion of the death of her husband, Congressman John E. Raker.

Ordered *filed.*

Traffic Sign.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Sunset Community Improvement Club, requesting that necessary ordinance be amended to permit the placing of a stop sign at the corner of Nineteenth avenue and Irving street and also one at the corner of Nineteenth avenue and Lincoln way.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Duboce Tunnel Damage Allowance Protested.

Communication, from Jane H. Terry et al., owners of property in the new block No. 1268 effected by proposed Duboce Tunnel, making protest against the small amount of compensation allowed for their several parcels of property and demanding a reasonable settlement for the loss of said property and damages accruing during the course of construction of said tunnel.

Referred to Tunnels Committee.

Relative to Preservation of the Palace of Fine Arts.

The matter of the report of the City Planning Commission and the communication of the Park Commissioners relative to the use of money heretofore set aside for Marina Exposition purposes for the repair and preservation of the Palace of Fine Arts was called to the attention of the presiding officer, Supervisor McSheehy, and by him ordered *referred to the Finance Committee.*

Leaves of Absence.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
February 15, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Hon. Charles F. Todd, member of the Board of Supervisors,

for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing February 16, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

San Francisco, Cal.,
February 15, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Hon. Edwin G. Bath, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing February 16, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

San Francisco, Cal.,
February 15, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Hon. Walter J. Schmidt, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing February 16, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25212 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Charles F. Todd, Hon. Edwin G. Bath and Hon. Walter J. Schmidt, members of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby granted leaves of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing February 16, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson—3.

HEARINGS—2 P. M.

Berry Street.

Hearing the appeal of Pope & Talbot Land Company (a corporation) from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protest and objections of Pope & Talbot Land Company (a corporation) against the improvement of Berry street between Second and Third streets as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 89537 (Second Series).

Protest Overruled and Work Ordered.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Welch and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25196 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of Pope & Talbot Land Company (a corporation) from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protest and objections of Pope & Talbot Land Company (a corporation) against the improvement of Berry street between Second and Third streets, as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 89537 (Second Series), passed by the Board of Public Works on the 21st day of December, 1925, is hereby denied and the work ordered.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Bill No. 6942 Indefinitely Postponed.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Welch, Bill No. 6942 was *indefinitely postponed.*

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7466, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 16, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Berry street between Second and Third streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters 2 feet in width adjacent to the above mentioned curbs; by the construction of 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes and Y branches; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation covered with an asphaltic concrete binder course 1½ inches thick and an asphaltic wearing surface 2 inches thick.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

Dehon Street.

Hearing of objections of property owners against closing of all that portion of Dehon street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and 90 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95.

Harlow Street.

Hearing of objections of property owners against the closing of all that portion of Harlow street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and 85 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95.

Auction Sale of City Lands.

Pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, bids were received at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, second floor of the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, February 15, 1926, for the sale of the following described parcels of land, owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation. Said parcels of land are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel No. 1: Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Bryant street, distant thereon 115 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Third street, running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Bryant street 40 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 40 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 80 feet to the northwesterly line of Bryant street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 100 Vara Block 366, also known as Lot 10, Block 3762, on Assessor's Map Book.

Highest bidder, Jerome Weinstein, $8,000.

Parcel No. 2: Commencing at a point on the southerly line of California street, distant thereon 220 feet easterly from the easterly line of Hyde street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of California street 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches to the southerly line of California street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 277, also known as Lot 29, Block 251, on Assessor's Map Book.

Highest bidder, S. M. Hulting, $14,650.

Parcel No. 3: Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from the southerly line of Broadway, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Stockton street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Cordelia street; thence northerly along

said easterly line of Cordelia street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 133, also known as Lot 4, Block 160, on Assessor's Map Book.

Highest bidder, F. S. Ballinger, $12,500.

Parcel No. 4: Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Alabama street, distant thereon 185 feet southerly from the southerly line of Nineteenth street, running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Alabama street 68 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 44 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 68 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 44 feet 3 inches to the easterly line of Alabama street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 215, also known as Lot 13, Block 4082, on Assessor's Map Book.

Highest bidder, Emery J. Nutting, $3,225.

Parcel No. 5: Commencing at a point on the northerly line of O'Farrell street, distant thereon 197 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Stockton street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of O'Farrell street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 73 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 73 feet to the northerly line of O'Farrell street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 121, also known as Lot 11, Block 313, on Assessor's Map Book.

Highest bidder, Geo. Cohn, $87,500.

Parcel No. 6: Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Cabrillo street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 413, also known as Lot 2, Block 1676, on Assessor's Map Book.

Highest bidder, J. F. Dowling, $3,700.

Referred.

Whereupon, the foregoing bids were *referred to the Public Buildings Committee.*

Bids for Accommodations for District Attorney et al.

Bids for lease of quarters for the District Attorney, Public Defender, Probation Department and other public offices now in the Hall of Justice, were received and *referred to the Public Buildings Committee,* to-wit:

1. Baldwin & Howell.
2. Louis T. Samuels.

Adolph Uhl Opposes Creation of Power Operation Fund.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Western Union Telegram.
1926 Feb. 15, AM 3 38

PRB17 260 NL 1/63
Portland Org 14
Hon. Board of Supervisors of San Francisco
Care of Supervisor Wm. Harrelson, DeYoung Bldg., San Francisco, Calif.

On behalf of City Efficiency League I respectfully make a last appeal to your Honorable Board that you do not create that power operative fund at this time for following reasons: First, that the interest on forty-five million Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds is two million dollars a year. That you propose to divert all of the revenue which San Francisco will receive from sale of power this year, amounting to two million dollars net, into the contemplated power operator fund. That you propose to pay interest on only nine million dollars Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, which will amount to only four hundred thousand of the two million dollars and will positively leave one million six hundred thousand interest to be raised by taxes to pay interest on the remaining thirty-six million Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, whereas if you do not create this power operative fund now the revenue which San Francisco is now receiving from sale of Hetch Hetchy power will accumulate to two million dollars in present Hetch Hetchy operative fund and will pay interest on forty-five million Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds and therefore no taxes will be necessary. By so doing you will carry out your election pledge for economy; second, before you proceed with further expenditure of money for power extension you furnish the people a financial statement of possible earnings from municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power in order that the people can judge whether or not municipal distribution is justifiable. It is absurd

and unbusinesslike to go farther without this information.

(Signed) ADOLPH UHL.

Modesto Irrigation District Requests Stand-by Service.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Jan. 21, 1926.

City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Attention: Mr. Warren Shannon, Chairman Public Utilities Committee.

Dear Sir: It is the desire of the Modesto Irrigation District to obtain an electric stand-by service and as the City and County of San Francisco Hetch Hetchy development is owned by the people just as the Modesto Irrigation District is publicly owned, and as our problems are more or less in common, we prefer to obtain this service from your Hetch Hetchy lines.

Our present load amounts to 4200 KW and to allow for future growth, it is our desire to install a bank of three 3000 KVA transformers, making a total of 9000 KVA installed capacity which we estimate would take care of our needs for many years to come.

Our present demand for irrigation, drainage and municipal public purposes is about 2300 KW, and as we intend installing more drainage pumps this year and will continue to install more year after year until all drainage is under control, we estimate our demand for this service will be at least 3000 KW.

We have given this considerable thought and desiring to be fair to both sides, have arrived at the following:

The Modesto Irrigation District to install, at its own expense, a step-down substation of 9000 WVA capacity adjacent to your transmission line at some point in the Modesto Irrigation District.

That the energy received from the Hetch Hetchy line be metered on the primary side of the station with suitable watt-hour and demand meters.

That the Modesto Irrigation District pay the City and County of San Francisco 4 mills per KWH as metered by the above meter.

That the Modesto Irrigation District pay a monthly demand charge of 60c per KW of demand in excess of 3000 KW.

That meters be read each month and bills paid the City and County of San Francisco monthly.

That an agreement be executed by the City and County of San Francisco and the Modesto Irrigation District covering a period of 20 years.

We explain our proposal as follows: Taking the present Pacific Gas & Electric Company's schedule No. 6, which is the wholesale rate approved by the Railroad Commission, and working out the average demand charge for 9000 KW, we find the average to be 58c per KW of demand. We, therefore, feel that a 60c demand charge would be a fair charge. We ask for no demand charge on the first 3000 KW, as this will soon be the demand for public purposes and we feel that the 4 mills energy charge will be more than your cost of delivery. The 4 mills energy charge is assumed on the basis of 4.8 mills at the present terminus of your lines less line loss from Modesto. We ask that a 20-year agreement be executed in order that our capital expenditure for this connection might be taken care of through depreciation accounting.

Trusting the above will meet with your approval, we beg to remain,

Yours very truly,

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT.

Power Committee:

(Sgd) J. C. GARRISON.
H. H. STURGILL.
N. S. WEST.

RJO'C:M ByNSW

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up and *recommitted to the Building Committee:*

Ordering Tiling, Iron Work, Etc., Hall of Justice.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the installation of tiling, iron work, carpentry, painting and miscellaneous repairs at the Hall of Justice; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contracts for said work in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contracts for the installation of tiling, iron work, carpentry, painting and miscellaneous repairs at the Hall of Justice, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contracts for said work, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $32,780.13, the same were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation, per award, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $56.89.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) Anderson & Ringrose, ninth payment, general construction of the Dudley Stone School (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $30,712.50.

(2) Burnham Plumbing Co., fourth payment, plumbing, etc., for New Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $2,256.53.

(3) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for New Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,584.49.

(4) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing for LeConte School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,098.80.

(5) A. Lettich, ninth payment, plumbing for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $967.46.

(6) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,369.99.

(7) MacDonald & Kahn, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $36,531.82.

(8) Mahony Bros., seventeenth payment, general construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $16,579.70.

(9) M. E. Ryan, second payment, electrical work for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,063.13.

(10) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for addition to Bret Harte School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $692.03.

(11) The Scott Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for Douglass-Everett School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,027.47.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(12) John Murray, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries sustained from Municipal Railways June 5, 1924 (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $1,612.50.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(13) John Reid, Jr., seventeenth payment, architectural services for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,957.51.

Special School Tax.

(14) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school buildings (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $770.01.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 6, 1926), $1,183.89.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(16) California Steam and Plumbing Supply Co., pipe, fittings, etc., Bay Division Crossing (claim dated Feb. 6, 1926), $598.81.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(17) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of valuation of electric properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Co., and Great Western Power Co., month of February (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $4,000.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(18) Oscar Aaron, third payment, plumbing, etc., for Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $571.13.

(19) Crown Electrical Co., first payment, electrical work for Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $727.52.

(20) J. E. O'Mara, final payment, heating and plumbing for Southern Police Station (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $4,859.70.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(21) Automatic Registering Machine Co., 50 voting machines, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $56,250.

(22) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printed form for Assessor (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $2,065.80.

(23) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,886.75.

(24) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $3,681.93.

(25) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $1,362.64.

(26) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,452.50.

(27) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $822.50.

(28) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $24,407.09.

(29) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $3,605.17.

(30) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $698.37.

(31) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $10,167.17.

(32) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $914.19.

(33) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $543.86.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(34) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., twenty-fifth payment, construction of substructures for steel bridge across Dumbarton Straits (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $21,599.80.

Auditorium Fund.

(35) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at Pop Concert of February 10, 1926 (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $2,000.

(36) Wolfsohn Musical Bureau, Inc., services of Mme. Margaret Matzenauer, soloist, Pop Concert of February 10 (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $1,250.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(37) Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing of Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $940.

(38) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $597.55.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(39) John J. Dailey, legal services rendered the City and County, re evaluation of electric properties, for month of January, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 12, 1926), $850.

(40) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services rendered the City and County, re evaluation of electric properties, for month of January, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 12, 1926), $750.

Appropriation, $4,400, Payment to A. W. Burtis for Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,400 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to A. W. Burtis, being payment for lands required for school purposes, and situate on the southerly line of Rivera street, commencing 82 feet 6 inches easterly from easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence running easterly on the southerly line of Rivera street 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 100 x 100 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25170 (New Series).

Zoning Application Denied.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25197 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the application of Joe Brignetti to change the zoning of the northeast corner of Eighth avenue and Anza street from the Second Residential District to the Commercial District, be and the same is hereby denied.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson—3.

Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines, Twenty-fifth Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Com-

mission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the southerly side of Geary street, commencing at a point 85 feet easterly from Forty-first avenue and running thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 13 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 8th day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Ben Bierbaum, 948 Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. R. Bowhay, 36 Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

California-Mason Realty Co., east line of Mason street, 100 feet south of California street, 3400 gallons capacity.

Chapman Smelting Co., Eighteenth and Illinois streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gilley-Schmid Co., southwest corner of Bush and Jones streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Jacobi, 3641 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Rathjen Bros., 17 Bluxome street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting the Associated Oil Company permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Market and Eighth streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Temporary Building Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to James Arnott & Son, to temporarily use a building to be erected on the south side of Taraval street, 82 feet 6 inches west of Seventeenth avenue, for the storage and display of material used in the construction of residences in a nearby residential district, for the development of such district.

Recommitted.

The following matters were on motion of Supervisor McSheehy ordered *referred to the Public Buildings Committee:*

Approval of Report of Civic Center Architects on Treatment of Fulton Street.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a report has been filed by the architects for the Civic Center, comprising John Galen Howard, Frederick H. Meyer and John Reid, Jr., which report is as follows:

To the Building Committee, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

To supplement previous reports that have been submitted to you

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

during the year, especially that of last May, the following recommendations are presented regarding the future development and safeguarding of the Civic Center area:

First: It is recommended that Leavenworth street be carried through to Market street and that the necessary lands be purchased therefor in order to complete the street system of the Civic Center.

Second: That the architectural character of the structure to be built by the City on its twenty-foot strip of ground on the south side of Fulton street from Market to Hyde be definitely fixed and that the City adopt a policy of purchasing the gore corner of Market and Fulton streets to assure the architectural character of the entrance to the Civic Center.

Further, that the preparation of the detailed design be authorized in order that the adjoining property owners may be advised as to the architectural conditions to be met. This is particularly necessary in view of the proposal of the owner of the Pantages Theater property to finish his Hyde street frontage in accordance with the architectural treatment of the Fulton street building above referred to.

Third: That all of the remaining Civic Center sites be retained for municipal purposes exclusively.

Fourth: That provision be made for the completion of the paving of the Civic Center Plaza.

Fifth: That the architectural character of the proposed War Memorial, in view of its relation to the Civic Center, be subject to the approval of the City and County of San Francisco, through its authorized advisers.

Sixth: That in order to preserve a continuity of the original Civic Center scheme that this and all questions pertaining to the design, development and embellishment of the Civic Center be referred to an advisory committee consisting of those who have already taken part in its design and execution. This committee would consist of the three consulting architects of the scheme, the architects of the City Hall, the architects of the State Building and the architect of the Library Building.

From practical experience in the working out of the several elements connected with the Civic Center it has been found that its future dignity and uniformity of design can only be protected by a most careful supervision.

Very truly yours,
JOHN GALEN HOWARD,
FREDERICK H. MEYER,
JOHN REID, JR.,
Architects of the Civic Center.
By JOHN REID, JR.,

Therefore, be it Resolved, That the said report as herein set forth be and the same is hereby approved and adopted.

Also, Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of working drawings and specifications for the architectural treatment and completion of the Civic Center on and along Fulton street from Hyde street to the junction of Market street and Fulton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare working drawings and specifications for the architectural treatment and the completion of the Civic Center on and along Fulton street from Hyde street to the junction of Fulton and Market streets, and further provided that the Board of Public Works in the preparation of said working drawings and specifications be and is hereby requested to confer with the owners of the respective properties facing on Fulton street and affected by the provisions of this ordinance to the end that a uniform architectural treatment of said portion of the Civic Center may be definitely provided.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7467, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San

Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Girard street between Silver avenue and Dwight street; Brussels street between Felton street and Dwight street; Goettingen street between Silver avenue and Burrows street; Woolsey street between San Bruno avenue and Goettingen street; Wayland street between Girard street and Brussels street; Dwight street between San Bruno avenue and Brussels street, and Silver avenue between Brussels and Goettingen streets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7468, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following *portion of Majestic avenue between Lake View avenue and Ridge lane; the westerly and the easterly one-half of Majestic avenue between Lake View avenue and a line parallel with and* 125 *feet northerly therefrom; the westerly one-half of Majestic avenue between a line parallel with and* 175 *feet northerly from Lake View avenue to a line parallel with and* 325 *feet northerly from Lake View avenue; the westerly one-half of Majestic avenue from a line parallel with and* 525 *feet northerly from Lake View avenue to a line parallel with and passing through the intersection of the westerly line of Majestic avenue and the southerly line of Ridge lane, by* the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters as may be required, and by the construction of concrete and asphaltic concrete pavements on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7469, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public

Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *westerly one-half of Twenty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with necessary manholes and Y branches that said frontage would be liable for, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7470, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Divisadero street between the northerly line of Chestnut street and a line parallel with and* 137 *feet* 6 *inches southerly from Chestnut street, including the crossing of Chestnut street and Divisadero streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs, where concrete curbs are not already constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners of the crossing of Chestnut and Divisadero streets; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7471, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be

done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Lundy's lane between Virginia avenue and Fair avenue and the improvement of Prospect avenue between Virginia avenue and Esmeralda avenue*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amend Southern Pacific Company Spur Track Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7472, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6774 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Carroll avenue and across Third street, Keith street, Jennings street and Ingalls street in the location hereinafter described."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6774 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Carroll avenue and across Third street, Keith street, Jennings street and Ingalls street in the location hereinafter described," is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2. Provided, that the Southern Pacific Company shall be required to maintain and repair the sewer in Carroll avenue east of Third street if necessary.

Provided, that no locomotive, car or cars shall be switched over and across Third street at Carroll avenue except between the hours of 11 a. m. and 1 p. m.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25200 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 1, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Saturn street between Ord street and Lower Terrace.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is well under way, being practically 95 per cent completed, and the contractor desires to keep the contract alive in case of an appeal from the assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Fixing March 1, 1926, Hearing Appeal for Improvement of Vulcan Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25201 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, March 1, 1926, at 2 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Vulcan street between Ord and Levant streets by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of reinforced concrete stairways, landings, copings, including the construction of a storm water inlet with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert with broken rock sump; by the construction of pipe railing, including the furnishing and setting of all fittings.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25202 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after February 3, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Dublin street from Russia avenue southerly.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that additional time is required to permit the fill to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Also, Resolution No. 25203 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. U. Martin is hereby granted an extension of forty-five days' time from and after February 5, 1926, within which to complete the installation of the pumping station at Great Highway and Vicente street.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been unable to finish the work on time, due to continued delay in shipment of electric motor from Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Company, over which the contractor apparently has no control.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Accepted Land for Orolan Alley.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25204 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed, executed on the 20th day of May, 1925, between James A. Arnott and Mary B. Arnott, his wife, and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying a strip of land eighteen feet in width, extending from Paulding street to Santa Rosa avenue, to be dedicated as Orolan alley, also the land for the extension of Colonial way and Nantucket avenue from their present westerly termination westerly to the proposed Orolan alley is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands described in said deed are hereby declared open public streets, viz.: Orolan alley, Nantucket avenue and Colonial way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Guerrero Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 899.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office February 9, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 899, to read as follows:

Section 899. The width of sidewalks on Guerrero street between Fourteenth street and Twentieth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. 25205 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. R. Perdue be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the west side of Mission street, 839.69 feet south of Onondaga avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Community Chest Advertising Permit.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25205 (New Series), as follows:

Be it resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that permission be and the same is hereby granted to Community Chest of San Francisco and to the Market Street Railway Company and the Municipal Railway Company to propel street cars on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco with advertisements of the public appeal for funds of said Community Chest of San Francisco from the date of the adoption of this resolution to and including the 5th day of March, 1926, printed, pasted or painted on or attached to the outside of said cars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Endorsing Community Chest Appeal.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25206 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Community Chest of San Francisco will conduct a campaign between the 23d day of February and the 5th day of March, 1926, for the purpose of securing funds to carry forward the work of said organization for the ensuing year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the work and efforts of said organization be and the same are hereby endorsed; and be it

Further Resolved, That the general public of San Francisco is hereby urged to support the said campaign and to contribute liberally toward the fund desired to be secured by said Community Chest of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Mayor to Appoint Traffic Commission of Nine Citizens.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized to appoint a commission of nine citizens to be designated as "San Francisco Traffic Commission," which commission shall be and the same is hereby authorized:

(a) To investigate, consider and study existing traffic conditions, both vehicular and pedestrian, in San Francisco;

(b) To make recommendations from time to time to the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco providing for the regulation and control of traffic, both vehicular and pedestrian or either in San Francisco, including ordinances designating certain streets, highways and avenues in San Francisco to be boulevards, arterial streets and one-way streets;

(c) To make recommendations respecting the location of safety zones and safety stations in San Francisco; the manner in which said safety zones and stations shall be installed and the devices by which they shall be bounded, marked and illuminated;

(d) To consider and study the anticipated future increase in traffic in San Francisco and resultant traffic conditions, and to make recommendations as may be deemed appropriate to control, handle and regulate such traffic.

Further Resolved, That the resolution heretofore adopted, creating the "City Traffic Commission," be and the same is hereby repealed.

Referred to the Traffic Committee.

Masquerade Ball Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 25208 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and organizations be granted permission to conduct masquerade balls, upon payment of the usual license fees:

S. M. S. Garibaldi Guard Mutual Benevolent Society, Garibaldi Hall, 441 Broadway, Saturday evening, February 20, 1926.

Otto Knock, Majestic Hall, 1805 Geary street, Wednesday evening, February 24, 1926.

E. B. Amberger, Eagles Hall, 273 Golden Gate avenue, Saturday evening, February 20, 1926.

Adopted by the foll owing vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Budget Estimates Required.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25209 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the heads of the several Boards and Departments of the City and County government be requested to file their budget estimates for 1926-1927 with the Board of Supervisors on or before April 1, 1926, as required by the Charter.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson—3.

Accepting Offer First National Bank of Jamestown to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25210 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from The First Bank of Jamestown, or The Jamestown National Bank, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate at the intersection of the northerly line of Flood avenue with the easterly line of Foerster street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,399, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Flood avenue with the easterly line of Foerster street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Foerster street 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the said northerly line of Flood avenue, and thence westerly along said northerly line of Flood avenue 100 feet to the easterly line of Foerster street and point of beginning. Being a portion of Block No. 19, Sunnyside Tract, also known as Lots Nos. 20, 21, 22 and 23 in Block 3121 of the Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year, are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Accepting Offer of J. M. Gamble to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25211 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from J. M. Gamble to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the northwest corner of Twenty-third avenue and Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, The price at which parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $6,050 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Santiago street with the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 125 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 12 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Santiago street; thence easterly along said northerly line of Santiago street 107 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a

portion of Block No. 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Golden Gate Ferry Rehearing.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25207 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the State Railroad Commission on Thursday, the 18th day of February, 1926, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m. of said day, will hear arguments on the petition of Golden Gate Ferry Company for a rehearing of its application for the issuance by said Commission of a certificate of public convenience and necessity to establish a public ferry between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Berkeley, and

Whereas, on Monday, the 8th day of February, 1926, this Board passed a resolution requesting said Commission to grant said application for rehearing, and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the City and County of San Francisco should be represented before said Commission at the time set for arguing said petition for a rehearing; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby requested to represent the City and County of San Francisco before the Railroad Commission of the State of California at the time said Commission hears arguments in the matter of the application of Golden Gate Ferry Company for a rehearing of its said application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, and then and there present to said Commission the above-mentioned resolution of this Board requesting that said Commission grant said rehearing and at the time of presenting said resolution state to said Commission that it is the earnest desire of this Board of Supervisors, as the representatives of the residents of this City and County, that said petition for a rehearing be granted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, March 15, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 8

Tuesday, February 23, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, February 23, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Marks was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

North Central Improvement Association Luncheon.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication, from the North Central Improvement Association, inviting members of the Board to attend a luncheon at the Commercial Club on Wednesday, February 24th, at 12:15 p. m., at which time the property owners on Montgomery street will assemble to discuss the question of traffic regulation on said street.

Invitation *accepted*.

Embarcadero Bus Line.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting correspondence with Mr. Milton H. Hook regarding the matter of establishing motor bus service on The Embarcadero, which correspondence has included recommendations from the City Engineer concerning the type of bus that the City should install on this line and requesting that bids be called for as soon as possible in order that this route may be put in operation.

Referred to the Board of Public Works, who have jurisdiction and control over the matter.

Decreased Assessments.

Communication, from Assessor Ginty, calling attention to change in the State law providing that the Assessor shall assess all notes, debentures, stocks and bonds and solvent credits at 7 per cent of full cash value, which will probably mean this year a loss of approximately $26,000,000 in the assessment roll, resulting in an unjust increase of the burden of tax upon the homes and other property in the City of San Francisco.

Read and *referred to Finance Committee. Copies to all members of the Board.*

Cemeteries.

Communication, from Margaret B. Curry, president of Cemetery Beautifying Association, and owners of plots in the Masonic Cemetery, calling attention to the condition of the four cemeteries located in the City and County of San Francisco which the people have three times decided they do not wish removed, and especially directing attention to Masonic Cemetery and requesting that the City Attorney or District Attorney be instructed to institute legal proceedings to compel trustees of said cemetery to put same in a condition as creditable as that of the Woodlawn Cemetery, which is maintained by the association in San Mateo County.

Referred to Public Welfare, Public Health and Police Committees.

Closing of Dehon Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from M. R. Norris, secretary of the Board of Education, requesting that the matter of the hearing of objections to the closing of Dehon street adjacent to the other school site be made the first order of business at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors this day, for the reason that the Board of Education, who are required to be present, have their

own meeting at 4 o'clock on the same day.

Ordered *filed.*

Duboce Tunnel Assessment.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector, transmitting, in compliance with Section 23, Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), a statement of receipts of assessments paid on account Sunset Tunnel Duboce Avenue Route.

Filed and ordered spread in the Journal.

Palace of Fine Arts Lagoon—Nuisance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Grand Jury, calling attention to complaints which had been filed with it from the Marina District Improvement Association and the residents of the Marina District concerning the menace to health and comfort of the residents of that district by reason of the insanitary condition of the Fine Arts Lagoon from rubbish and stagnation that has left it a breeding place for mosquitoes and disease.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Southern Pacific Station Dedication, Sacramento.

Clarence F. Pratt, representing the Southern Pacific Company, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, extending to all an invitation to attend at Sacramento the dedication ceremonies upon completion of the new $1,300,000 Southern Pacific Depot Saturday night, February 27, 1926.

Invitation *accepted,* and members who could, declared that they would be glad to attend.

Protests Withdrawn.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Parkside District Club, withdrawing its objections to a permit being issued to Arnott & Son for a shop and storeroom on Taraval street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

It is understood that the work to be carried on is temporary in its nature and will in no way interfere with the peace and quiet of the district.

Agreement of State Harbor Commission to Bear Portion of Cost of Operation of Municipal Bus Line.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting duplicate copies of an agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the Board of State Harbor Commissioners relating to the State's contribution to the cost of operation of the proposed Municipal Bus Line on The Embarcadero.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Judah Street Municipal Railway Fund.

Supervisor Colman presented the following:

Communication, from Sunset Transportation and Development Association, requesting that there be no encroachment upon the funds set aside for the construction and operation of the Judah street extension of the Municipal Railway, which is proposed to be operated through the Duboce Tunnel.

Read and *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Relative to Increased Wages for Municipal Railway Employees.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council, submitting, in accordance with the action of the Council at its meeting on Friday, February 19th, a request for a stay of proceedings in the matter of the proposed increase in the wages of the employees of the Municipal Railway and urging that the matter be reopened and new hearings had in order to enable all parties in interest who differ with the conclusions of the Joint Committee to present evidence and arguments that may make for a more satisfactory adjustment of the problem.

Referred to Joint Committee of Public Utilities and Finance.

Carmens' Wages.

Supervisor Shannon stated that Mr. Melnikon, special accountant for the carmen, had intimated that he had additional information to present, which he thought would convince the members of the Board that the increase in pay requested by the carmen could be granted. In view of that fact he stated that he thought the Joint Committee should give him a hearing.

Supervisor Shannon, chairman of the Joint Committee, stated that after the committee had time to analyze Mr. Melnikon's statement, which he has presented to them in writing, a special meeting would be called for the hearing.

A resolution was introduced by Supervisor Hayden which would grant the carmen an increase of 60 cents a day out of the Depreciation Fund of the Municipal Railway. The resolution was referred to the Joint Committee.

Mayor Recommends Sale of Fire Lots.

The following was presented and made part of the record:

San Francisco, Cal.,
February 16, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The Board of Fire Commissioners of this City and County having recommended the sale of the hereinafter described property, inadequate and unsuitable for use as a site for a fire house, I concur in said recommendation and respectfully report to you such concurrence, all as required by Section 12 of Chapter II of Article II of the Charter.

The property referred to is described as follows:

"Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wawona street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet; thence at right angles northerly 30 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet to the point of commencement."

I recommend that your Board proceed as provided in Section 9 of the chapter above recited to the end that this property may be sold.

Very sincerely,
(Sgd) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Confirmation of Sale of City Property, O'Farrell Street Fire House.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, *filed* and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

San Francisco, Cal.,
February 18, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with Section 9, Article II, Chapter II, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I have the honor formally to notify you of the auction of six (6) parcels of land heretofore under the control of the Fire Department, which took place at last Monday's session of your Honorable Board.

I am attaching hereto a description of each parcel together with the appraised value, as fixed by the Mayor, the Assessor, and the chairman of the Finance Committee of your Honorable Board.

Please be advised that at the public proceedings of February 15, to which I have just referred, the following were the high bidders:

Parcel No. 1—Jerome J. Weinstein, 156 Montgomery street, $8,000.

Parcel No. 2—Signe M. Hulting, 1351 California street, $14,650.

Parcel No. 3—F. S. Ballinger, 94 New Montgomery street, $12,500.

Parcel No. 4—Emory J. Nutting, 750 Florida street, $3,225.

Parcel No. 6—J. F. Dowling, 271 Russ Building, $3,700.

I, therefore, respectfully ask, in accordance with the Charter provisions heretofore referred to, that your Honorable Board confirm the sale of these parcels of land.

In connection with Parcel No. 5, which was included in these proceedings, the highest bid was that received from Mr. George Cohn, No. 9 Stockton street, in the amount of $87,500. Inasmuch as this parcel was appraised at $100,000 and because the law specifies that the bid must be at least ninety per cent (90%) of the appraised value, I do not ask confirmation of the sale of Parcel No. 5, which is automatically withdrawn.

Respectfully yours,
(Signed)
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Board refuse to confirm sale of fire house property on O'Farrell street and that the Clerk be directed to readvertise for bids.

Mr. Cohn, highest bidder at last Monday's meeting, entered his formal protest to such action.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment that the matter be referred to the City Attorney for his opinion as to whether or not the 90 per cent limitation applies as in the case of private sale of city property.

Amendment *carried*.

Protest, Vallejo Street Zoning.

The following was presented and subject matter *rereferred to the City Planning Committee*:

Communication, from M. J. Lyon and Jas. R. Chinn, representing property owners on north side of Vallejo street between Octavia and Laguna streets, protesting against proposed ordinance providing for set-back lines on Vallejo street between Octavia and Laguna streets.

Similar protest from Mrs. B. W. Leland, Kate F. Johnson, Lyman J. Potter, Vincent C. Durham and Dr. McEwen.

Also, *protest* of Mrs. May Boardman and others against proposed set-back lines on north side of Vallejo street between Octavia and Laguna streets.

Tax Collector's Receipts from Tunnel Assessments.

The following was *ordered spread in the Journal*:

Tax Collector's Office, City and County of San Francisco.
February 16, 1926.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Complying with Section 23, Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), I herewith submit to you a statement of receipts from the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route) assessments.

Respectfully yours,
EDWARD F. BRYANT,
Tax Collector.

STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS FROM SUNSET TUNNEL ASSESSMENTS FROM NOVEMBER 23, 1925, TO FEBRUARY 8, 1926, INCLUSIVE.

From assessments in full for month of November, 1925		$ 8,445.28	
From assessments in full for month of December, 1925		429,132.92	
From assessments in full for month of January, 1926		15,453.39	
From assessments in full for month of February, 1926		70,605.03	
From sales of delinquent assessments of February 8, 1926		11,884.23	
			$535,520.85
From assessments in installments for the month of November, 1925:			
First installment	$ 1,270.95		
Second installment	38.08		
Third installment	38.08		
Fourth installment	27.45		
Fifth installment	27.45		
		$ 1,402.01	
From assessments in installments for the month of December, 1925:			
First installment	$94,028.49		
Second installment	1,092.78		
Third installment	892.91		
Fourth installment	757.64		
Fifth installment	592.19		
Sixth installment	204.85		
Seventh installment	192.35		
Eighth installment	168.29		
Ninth installment	80.01		
Tenth installment	80.01		
		98,089.52	
From assessments in installments for the month of January, 1926:			
First installment	$ 6,338.65		
Second installment	118.94		
Third installment	110.69		
Fourth installment	110.69		
Fifth installment	110.69		
Sixth installment	110.69		
Seventh installment	110.69		
Eighth installment	110.69		
Ninth installment	110.69		
Tenth installment	110.69		
		7,343.11	
From assessments in installments for the month of February, 1926:			
First installment	$ 9,682.84		
Second installment	233.21		
Third installment	233.21		
Fourth installment	221.21		
Fifth installment	201.94		
Sixth installment	189.94		
Seventh installment	189.94		
Eighth installment	189.94		

Ninth installment.....	189.94		
Tenth installment.....	189.94		
		11,522.11	
			118,356.75
From interest on deferred payments for month of November, 1925.....		$ 397.56	
From interest on deferred payments for month of December, 1925.....		29,505.39	
From interest on deferred payments for month of January, 1926.....		2,030.88	
From interest on deferred payments for month of February, 1926.....		3,111.17	
			35,045.00
From duplicates and overpayments for month of December, 1925.....		$ 1,635.68	
From duplicates and overpayments for the month of January, 1926...		4.12	
From duplicates and overpayments for the month of February, 1926..		227.79	
			1,867.59
From costs of advertising for month of January, 1926.....		91.00	
From costs of advertising for month of February, 1926.....		204.80	
			295.80
Total			$691,085.99

EDWARD F. BRYANT,
Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco.

HEARINGS, 2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines on portions of Shields street, Farallones street, Eighteenth avenue, Nineteenth avenue and Twentieth street, fixed for 2 p. m. this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7474, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Shields street, Farallones street, Eighteenth avenue, Nineteenth avenue and Twentieth street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of January, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 109 to establish set-back lines along Shields street, Farallones street, Eighteenth avenue, Nineteenth avenue and Twentieth street, and fixed the 23d day of February, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing the objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Shields street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Farallones street, commenceing at a point 200 feet easterly from Capitol avenue, and running thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence easterly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence easterly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the southerly side of Farallones street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Capitol avenue, and running thence easterly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 103½ feet northerly from Rivera street, and running thence northerly to a point 82½ feet south-

erly from Quintara street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

Along the northerly side of Twentieth street, commencing at Sanchez street and running thence easterly to a point 155 feet westerly from Church street, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Thirtieth avenue and Jackson street, fixed for 2 p. m. this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7475, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirtieth avenue and Jackson street.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of January, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 108, to establish set-back lines along Thirtieth avenue and Jackson street, and fixed the 23d day of February, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing the objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 90 feet northerly from Balboa street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly to Anza street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the northerly side of Jackson street between Locust street and Laurel street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

HEARING, 4 P. M.

Dehon Street.

Hearing of objections of property owners against closing of all that portion of Dehon street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and 90 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95.

Harlow Street.

Hearing of objections of property owners against the closing of all that portion of Harlow street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and 85 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95.

Supervisor Welch moved that the subject matter be referred to the City Attorney with request to advise this Board where responsibility to pay damage lies and fix the amount.

Motion *carried*.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing hearings were *continued one week*:

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Underground Cable.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m. this day and *referred to the Supplies Committee*.

Foodstuffs.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m. this day and *referred to the Supplies Committee*.

Machinery and Tools for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m. this day and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25213 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(1) Thomas M. Hughes, payment for lands and easements situate in Tuolumne County, required for regulating reservoir; per Resolution No. 25094, New Series (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $14,500.

(2) A. M. Scott Tire Co., auto truck tires (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $698.36.

(3) Tansey Crowe Co., auto tires (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $674.28.

(4) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat supplies (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1,164.04.

(5) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., miscellaneous hardware (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $592.62.

(6) Crane Company, pipe, valves, etc. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1,045.54.

(7) The Giant Powder Co., Con., gelatin, fuse and caps (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $614.13.

(8) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $826.20.

(9) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $607.25.

(10) Leo Rosasco, oakwood (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $793.75.

(11) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium covering insurance of Hetch Hetchy employes (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $519.52.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(12) Clinton Construction Co., thirteenth payment, general construction of Relief Home buildings (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $68,752.50.

(13) M. E. Ryan, ninth payment, electric work for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $1,681.13.

(14) F. W. Snook Co., thirteenth payment, plumbing and gasfitting for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $6,304.72.

(15) F. W. Snook Co., thirteenth payment, for mechanical equipment, etc., for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $4,824.69.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(16) Bond Construction Co., fifth payment, general construction of Athletic Field for High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $14,460.22.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(17) Barrett & Hilp, seventh payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $9,048.75.

(18) Bond Construction Co., third payment, general construction of additional units to the Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $10,408.68.

(19) Bond Construction Co., second payment, general construction of the Hawthorne School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $20,327.20.

(20) L. Flatland, second payment, electrical work for Alvarado School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $1,686.38.

(21) L. Flatland, third payment, electrical work for the Dudley Stone School (claim dated Feb 3, 1926), $1,171.

(22) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., eleventh payment, general construction of the Alamo School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $23,252.65.

(23) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., third payment, general construction of the Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $14,306.29.

(24) O. Monson, fifth payment, general construction of the Alvarado School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $19,860.

(25) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services for the West Portal School (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $3,272.72.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(26) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished "M" line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 28, 1926), $768.35.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(27) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for Fire Department Engine House No. 16 (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $574.60.

(28) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for the Southern Police Station (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $1,731.36.

(29) Guilfoy Cornice Works, second payment, iron and sheet metal work for Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $522.91.

(30) The Scott Company, final payment, remodeling heating system at the Hall of Justice (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $860.50.

Playground Commission Fund.

(31) Eaton & Smith, grading and concrete work and construction of bleachers at University Mound Playground (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $2,700.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(32) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $1,250.

(33) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for month of January (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $3,085.50.

(34) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $537.48.

(35) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $1,693.59.

(36) Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing of Municipal Record (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $795.63.

(37) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Feb. 1, 1926), $750.

(38) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $8,273.40.

(39) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $1,005.

(40) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $8,270.92.

(41) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $690.

(42) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $2,074.60.

(43) Niles Sand, Gravel & Rock Co., gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $1,780.13.

(44) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $3,414.60.

(45) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $720.

(46) E. B. & A. L. Stone Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $1,785.73.

(47) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Hall of Justice and Civic Center Power House (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $2,120.40.

(48) Elliot & Grant, third payment, general construction of Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $3,455.82.

(49) Jas. T. Tobin, first payment, improvement of Evans avenue between Army and Tulare streets (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $3,540.

(50) Vogt & Davidson, first payment, general construction of ambulance shelter at Mission Emergency Hospital (claim dated Feb. 3, 1926), $2,949.75.

(51) Bay City Market, meats for the Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $764.76.

(52) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $807.75.

(53) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Jan 30. 1926), $810.

(54) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee and tea for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $683.85.

(55) Richmond Sanitary Co., enameled sinks for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $777.60.

(56) E. B. Frick, M. D., Supt. of San Francisco Hospital, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Dec. 31, 1925), $957.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Appropriations, Lands for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25214 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Loretta J. Edminster for lands commencing at a point on the northerly line of Rivera street, distant 57½ feet easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly on the northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25138 (New Series), $1,000.

(2) To Maud E. Burtis for lands commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 125 feet northerly from Ri-

vera street, running thence northerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25139 (New Series), $900.

(3) To Herman Wobig, for lands commencing at point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of Geary street with the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Geary street 60 feet; of uniform dimensions 60 x 75 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25140 (New Series), $8,750.

(4) To Grace Soetje, for lands commencing on the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, 200 feet northerly from Judah street, running thence northerly on the westerly line of Forty-second avenue 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25141 (New Series), $1,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Appropriation, Lands for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 25215 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the Bay Shore boulevard; as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 25097 (New Series), to-wit:

(1) To M. A. Harris, for property beginning at the intersection of the southwesterly line of Jerrold avenue with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, the sum of $3,814.

(2) To John P. Cosgrove, for property commencing at point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot No. 304, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, the sum of $1,500.

(Claims dated Feb. 4, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Excused — Supervisor Welch on $1,500 item only.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Appropriations, Settlement of Damages for Personal Injries, Account of Municipal Railways.

Resolution No. 25216 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Muincipal Railway Depreciation Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for account of personal injuries and damages sustained from Municipal Railways, and in accordance with compromise agreements entered into and approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Charles F. Bills, for personal injuries, etc., sustained November 19, 1924, $750.

(2) To Mrs. Margaret J. Morrissey, for personal injuries, etc., sustained October 11, 1925, $7,019.

(3) To A. A. Rice, guardian of John Rice, a minor, for personal injuries and damage sustained by John Rice December 22, 1924, $1,000.

(4) To Eitel Klor, guardian of Frances Klor, for personal injuries and other damage sustained by Frances Klor June 5, 1924, $17,660.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Appropriations, Core Borings, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct and Evans Avenue Bridge.

Resolution No. 25217 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(1) For cost of core borings along and near the line of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, Coast Range Division, Contract No. 110, awarded to the International Diamond Drill Contracting Company, $18,884.

Evans Avenue Viaduct, Budget Item No. 74.

(2) For cost of construction of the Evans avenue bridge at Army street, including inspection and possible extras, per contract awarded to Frank Bryant, $5,300.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers,

Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Appropriations, Galileo High School and Francisco School Construction, Etc.

Resolution No. 25218 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, fiscal year 1925-1926, for the following purposes, to-wit:

For construction of additional units to the Galileo High School, as follows:

(1) General construction (O. Monson), the sum of	$388,860.00
(2) Mechanical equipment (P. J. Enright), the sum of	38,200.00
(3) Plumbing and gas-fitting systems (S. W. Band), the sum of	28,425.00
(4) Electrical work (Standard Electrical Construction Co), the sum of	16,550.00
(5) Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, the sum of	20,000.00
	$492.035.00

For construction of temporary additional facilities at the Francisco School, as follows:

(6) General contract (H. H. Hilp), the sum of	$ 21,469.00
(7) Architectural fees, the sum of	1,288.14
(8) Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, the sum of	1,500.00
	$ 24,257.14

(9) For the furnishing and installing of chairs in the auditorium of the High School of Commerce, per contract awarded to Stewart School Supply Co., the sum of	$ 10,208.66

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Appropriations, Street Work in Front of City Property.

Resolution No. 25219 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36a, for the expense of street work fronting City property, to-wit:

Improvement on Thirtieth avenue, Balboa to Cabrillo	$ 1,800.00
Improvement on Thirty-first avenue, Santiago to Taraval	1,050.00
Improvement on Eighteenth avenue, Ortega to Pacheco	1,571.25
Improvement on Morse street, Lowell to Whittier	3,766.00
Improvement on Lobos street, Capitol to Orizaba	2,186.80
Improvement on Eighteenth avenue, Kirkham to Lawton, and from Moraga to Noriega	3,400.00
Improvement on Wawona and on Taraval streets by Raisch Imp. Co.	684.15
Improvement on DeLong street, San Jose avenue to Orizaba	332.02
Improvement at Eighteenth avenue and Pacheco street	112.50
	$14,902.72

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25220 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) Anderson & Ringrose, ninth payment, general construction of the Dudley Stone School (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $30,712.50.

(2) Burnham Plumbing Co., fourth payment, plumbing, etc., for New Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $2,256.53.

(3) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for

New Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,584.49.

(4) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing for LeConte School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,008.80.

(5) A. Lettich, ninth payment, plumbing for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $967.46.

(6) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,369.99.

(7) MacDonald & Kahn, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $36,531.82.

(8) Mahony Bros., seventeenth payment, general construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $16,579.70.

(9) M. E. Ryan, second payment, electrical work for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,063.13.

(10) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for addition to Bret Harte School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $692.03.

(11) The Scott Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for Douglass-Everett School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,027.47.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(12) John Murray, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries sustained from Municipal Railways June 5, 1924 (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $1,612.50.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(13) John Reid, Jr., seventeenth payment, architectural services for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,957.51.

Special School Tax.

(14) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school buildings (claim dated Feb. 4, 1926), $770.01.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 6, 1926), $1,183.89.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(16) California Steam and Plumbing Supply Co., pipe, fittings, etc., Bay Division Crossing (claim dated Feb. 6, 1926), $598.81.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(17) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of valuation of electric properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Co., and Great Western Power Co., month of February (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $4,000.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(18) Oscar Aaron, third payment, plumbing, etc., for Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $571.13.

(19) Crown Electrical Co., first payment, electrical work for Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $727.52.

(20) J. E. O'Mara, final payment, heating and plumbing for Southern Police Station (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $4,859.70.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(21) Automatic Registering Machine Co., 50 voting machines, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $56,250.

(22) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printed form for Assessor (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $2,065.80.

(23) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,886.75.

(24) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $3,681.93.

(25) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $1,362.64.

(26) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $1,452.50.

(27) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $822.50.

(28) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $24,407.09.

(29) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $3,605.17.

(30) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1926), $698.37.

(31) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $10,167.17.

(32) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $914.19.

(33) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $543.86.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(34) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., twenty-fifth payment, construction of substructures for steel bridge across Dumbarton Straits (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $21,599.80.

Auditorium Fund.

(35) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at Pop

Concert of February 10, 1926 (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $2,000.

(36) Wolfsohn Musical Bureau, Inc., services of Mme. Margaret Matzenauer, soloist, Pop Concert of February 10 (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $1,250.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(37) Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing of Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $940.

(38) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $597.55.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(39) John J. Dailey, legal services rendered the City and County, re evaluation of electric properties, for month of January, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 12, 1926), $850.

(40) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services rendered the City and County, re evaluation of electric properties, for month of January, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 12, 1926), $750.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Appropriation, $4,400, Payment to A. W. Burtis for Land for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25221 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,400 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to A. W. Burtis, being payment for lands required for school purposes, and situate on the southerly line of Rivera street, commencing 82 feet 6 inches easterly from easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence running easterly on the southerly line of Rivera street 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 100 x 100 feet. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25170 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 25222 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Ben Bierbaum, 948 Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. R. Bowhay, 36 Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

California-Mason Realty Co., east line of Mason street, 100 feet south of California street, 3400 gallons capacity.

Chapman Smelting Co., Eighteenth and Illinois streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gilley-Schmid Co., southwest corner of Bush and Jones streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Jacobi, 3641 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Rathjen Bros., 17 Bluxome street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25223 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. R. Perdue be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the west side of Mission street, 839.69 feet south of Onondaga avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25224 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

H. P. Courtette, 3227 Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. T. Giacomini, 475 Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Hechter, south line of Golden Gate avenue, 142 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hind Building Co., east line of Polk street, 107 feet north of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. N. Hogrefe, northeast corner

of Francisco and Polk streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hoote Bros., west side of Octavia street, 150 feet north of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south line of Fulton street, 186 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south line of Fulton street, 136 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Larson, north side of West Portal avenue, 100 feet west of Fourteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, north side of Haight street, 20 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Midbust, northwest corner of Golden Gate avenue and Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Monson, southeast corner of Tenth avenue and Kirkham street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Nelson, south side of Golden Gate avenue, 200 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. Peaslee, south side of Greenwich street, 200 feet east of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Props, southwest corner of Franklin and Sacramento streets 1500 gallons capacity.

W. J. Ridgway, north side of Parnassus avenue, 74 feet east of Hill Point avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. A. Sainges, 891 Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sbarboro, Detjen & Jorgensen, southwest corner of Scott and Francisco streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Sheftel, north side of Washington street, 75 feet east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. D. Stoff, north side of Sacramento street, 100 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. I. Strand, north side of Balboa street, 30 feet west of Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Strand & Strand, south side of Broadway, 200 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stoneson Bros., north line of McAllister street, 67 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Walroth, south side of Pacific avenue, 210 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The Turner Co., east side of Mallorca way, 102 feet south of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Walsh, southeast corner of Eighteenth and Oakwood streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Christenson Construction Co., east side of San Bruno avenue, 300 feet north of Oakdale avenue, 75 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 25225 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. B. Mon be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry on the north side of California street, 55 feet east of Broderick street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25226 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Standard Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Claremont boulevard and Ulloa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Garage Permit.

Resloution No. 25227 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mario Mazzera be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the east side of Mission street, 50 feet north of France avenue.

The rights granted under this

resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25228 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Third street and South Park.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Stevenson Street Passage Way Permit, Hale Bros.

Resolution No. 25229 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Hale Brothers, Incorporated, owners of the land situate on the southwesterly corner of Stevenson and Fifth streets, having a frontage on Fifth street of 25 feet and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Stevenson street 150 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 75 feet to the northerly line of Mint avenue; running thence easterly and along said northerly line of Mint avenue 75 feet; thence at right angles northerly 50 feet, and thence at a right angle easterly 75 feet to the westerly line of Fifth street; thence along said westerly line of Fifth street 25 feet to the point of begenning.

Whereas, Hale Brothers, Incorporated, have in contemplation the erection of a ten-story building at a cost of approximately $300,000 on said land and it is necessary that Hale Brothers, Incorporated, have permission to construct a passageway between the present store and the proposed building; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Hale Brothers, Incorporated, is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect an 8-foot passageway to be constructed entirely of fireproof material across Stevenson street at a location not less than 65 feet from Fifth street, and the bottom of which passageway shall be at an elevation of approximately 42 feet from the curb level, connecting the third, fourth and fifth floors of the present building with the fourth, fifth and sixth floors of the proposed new building.

Provided, however, said passageway shall only be erected after a permit therefor is issued by the Board of Public Works, and upon plans approved by and under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Provided, further, that any right or privilege hereby given to erect or maintain such passageway is given subject to the right of the Board of Supervisors to revoke the same at any time.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 25230 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. T. Guerin is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on Hudson avenue between Keith and Lane streets and on Keith street (200 feet), provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said H. T. Guerin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Concrete Sewer, Glen Park District.

Bill No. 7462, Ordinance No. 6977 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for a reinforced concrete sewer in the Glen Park

district, adjacent to Chenery and Elk streets, and ordering the construction of said reinforced concrete sewer in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for a reinforced sewer in the Glen Park district, adjacent to Chenery and Elk streets, and to enter into contract for the construction of said reinforced concrete sewer in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ortega Street.

Bill No. 7463, Ordinance No. 6978 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Ortega street between the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue and the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue, and extending to a depth of 100 feet, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Taxicab Ordinance.

Bill No. 7464, Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the use of taxicabs, automobiles and public vehicles for hire, fixing the rate to be charged for the transportation of persons and personal baggage, regulating the use of boats in the waters of the bay, providing a punishment for any violation thereof and repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. (a) The term "Taxicab," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean all motor vehicles propelled by power other than muscular the rental for which is computed for the distance traveled by means of a taximeter attached thereto.

(b) The term "Taximeter," whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to mean and embrace any instrument or device attached to a vehicle and designed or intended to measure mechanically the distance traveled by such vehicle, to record the time the said vehicle is in waiting, and to indicate upon such record by figures or designs the fare to be charged in dollars and cents.

(c) The term "Automobile", whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean all such motor vehicles other than taxicabs the rental for which is computed upon the hour or mileage basis, from the time such vehicle is in service, except "jitney busses" as defined by Ordinance No. 3212 (New Series) and "sight-seeing busses" and "interurban busses" as such terms are defined in Ordinance No. 5118 (New Series).

(d) The term "public vehicles for hire", whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace "taxicabs" and "automobiles" as herein defined; also "jitney busses" as defined by Ordinance No. 3212 (New Series) and "sight-seeing busses" and "interurban busses" as such terms are defined by Ordinance No. 5118 (New Series).

(e) The term "job wagon", whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean every vehicle which shall be used for the conveyance of goods, packages or freight from place to place in this City and County for hire, except handcarts and vehicles used by merchants, dealers and manufacturers exclusively for the delivery of their wares to customers.

(f) The term "Boat", whenever used in this ordinance, shall be held to embrace and mean every water craft, whether propelled by manual,

wind, or motive power, used for the conveyance of persons from place to place for pay.

Sec. 2. The following are hereby designated as public stands for licensed taxicabs and automobiles:

(a) At municipal docks and wharves of passenger carrying ships and other vessels.

(b) Railroad depots.

(c) Around such public squares, except Union Square, as may be designated by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, from time to time, but not on the street, crosswalks or in double lines.

All of the stands mentioned in this section shall be open to all properly licensed taxicabs and automobiles.

Sec. 3. (a) The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco may, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, designate in writing on public streets (except around Union Square) to be occupied by taxicabs and automobiles after permit to engage in business has been granted by the Board of Police Commissioners and the license fee has been paid as in this or other ordinance provided.

(b) Before any designation of stands is made by the Mayor as provided in this section, the written consent of the tenant or lessee of the ground floor or portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located must first be obtained. In the event the ground floor or the portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located is not occupied by a tenant or lessee, then the written consent of the owner of the building fronting the space where such stand is to be located must be first obtained.

(c) No stand shall be hereafter designated within twenty feet of any street crossing.

(d) The Chief of Police shall designate the number of taxicabs or automobiles that shall be allowed to stand at any one time at any of the places designated by the Mayor.

(e) Any permit may be revoked by the Mayor with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, or by the Board of Supervisors with the approval of the Mayor, without notice to any person except the Chief of Police and the holder of the permit; and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to occupy a stand with a taxicab or automobile after such revocation and notice has been made.

Sec. 4. (a) The Chief of Police may, upon the application of the manager of any hotel, designate points on the public street in front of or in the immediate vicinity of such hotel for the standing of one or more taxicabs or automobiles, so that the said taxicabs or automobiles may be available for use in the transportation of guests to and from such hotel.

(b) Any stand designated by the Chief of Police as provided in this section may be revoked at his pleasure; and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to occupy said stand with a taxicab or automobile, after such revocation has been made and notice thereof given.

Sec. 5. The Chief of Police may issue permits not to exceed thirty-five in number at any one time for taxicabs, automobiles and sight-seeing busses, to stand on the north side of Geary street between Stockton and Powell streets and on the west side of Stockton street between Geary street and Post street. None of the vehicles mentioned in this section shall stand on the streets mentioned herein unless the permit from the Chief of Police has been first obtained. Not more than one permit shall be issued to any person, firm or corporation or association for more than one vehicle at any one time.

Sec. 6. All vehicles occupying stands, whether designated by the Mayor, the Chief of Police, or otherwise provided for in this ordinance, shall be under the supervision and control of the Chief of Police, and when a stand is occupied by the full number of vehicles authorized no other vehicle shall loiter or wait near by to take the place thereat.

Section 6½. No permit granted under the provisions of this ordinance shall confer on the holders thereof any exclusive privilege to use any portion of the public streets; except that no other vehicle for hire shall use the stand designated under such permit.

Sec. 7. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage, within the City and County of San Francisco, in the business of operating any vehicle for the transportation of persons for hire, without having first procured a permit in writing from the Board of Police Commissioners of said City and County, authorizing him or it so to do. Applicants for such permit shall file with said Board an application upon blanks furnished by said Board, containing full information concerning the fictitious name or names under which he or it intends to conduct said business, and the peculiar or distinguishing color

scheme or design or dress (including any monogram or insignia), intended to be used upon his or its vehicle or vehicles, the number of vehicles proposed to be operated and the type of said vehicles, and such other information as said Board may require.

Sec. 8. No permit shall be issued by said Board of Police Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation if it appears:

(a) That the motor vehicle or motor vehicles proposed to be operated by the applicant are inadequate or insufficient for the purpose intended, or insufficiently equipped with reasonable safety devices.

(b) That the firm, corporation or association making the application is not a bona fide one; or is not authorized to do business in this state.

(c) That the person making the application is not a citizen of the United States, or is not of good moral character.

(d) That said person, firm or corporation proposes to engage in said business under a fictitious name or names, which in the opinion of said Board, imitates or so closely resembles the fictitious name or names of any person, firm or corporation already engaged in the same business in said City and County of San Francisco as will or may tend to deceive, or that said person, firm or corporation proposes to use upon his or its vehicle or vehicles a peculiar or distinguishing color scheme or design or dress which, in the opinion of said Board, imitates or so closely resembles a color scheme or design or dress already used upon the vehicle or vehicles of any other person, firm or corporation engaged in the same business, as will or may tend to receive or defraud the public.

Sec. 9. It shall be unlawful for any owner or lessee of any taxicab or automobile to make or cause to be made any changes whatever in the color or distinguishing characteristics of said taxicabs or automobiles unless the permission of the Board of Police Commissioners has first been obtained.

Sec. 10. It shall be unlawful for any person soliciting patronage for any vehicle used for the transportation of passengers for hire to represent, by word or sign or hatband or insignia or badge or by his manner or style or dress, that the vehicle for which he is soliciting such patronage is a vehicle owned or operated by a person, firm or corporation other than the one who actually owns and operates said vehicle.

Sec. 11. Every person, firm or corporation shall adopt a distinguishing color scheme, design or dress for all taxicabs operated by it and shall use the same on all taxicabs so operated by it.

Sec. 12. Should any person, firm or corporation holding a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to operate one or more taxicabs or automobiles desire to add to the number of such motor vehicles, application therefor shall be made to the Commission in the same general form as the original application and the Commission shall grant the right to operate such additional motor vehicles.

Sec. 13. No person shall be permitted to act as a driver or chauffeur of any taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus, or interurban bus, unless he holds a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners, a chauffeur's permit from the State of California and a license as required by this or other ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

Sec. 14. Drivers' licenses and permits shall be issued as of the 1st day of January of each year, and every year, and shall be valid, unless revoked as in this ordinance provided, up to and including the 31st day of December, next succeeding. The Board of Police Commissioners may cause the renewal of the driver's license from year to year by appropriate indorsement of said Board of Police Commissioners upon the application for renewal and payment of the annual fee. The driver, in applying for the renewal of his license, shall make such application upon a form to be furnished by the Police Department of said City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Application for Renewal of Driver's License," which shall be filled out with the full name and address of the applicant, together with a statement of the date upon which his original license was granted, and the number thereon, except that all persons to whom have been issued permits and licenses preliminary to the passage of this ordinance shall be required to make out original applications at the beginning of the next time-period after the passage of this ordinance.

Sec. 15. In addition to the permits required by Section 14 of this ordinance there shall be furnished to each licensed driver of a taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus or interurban bus a metal badge of

such form and style as the Tax Collector may prescribe, with the license number of such driver thereon and the year said badge is issued, which must, under penalty of revocation of the license, be constantly and conspicuously displayed on the outside of the driver's coat when he is engaged in his employment, and shall only be worn by the person to whom the badge is issued.

Sec. 16. Each applicant for a driver's permit from the Board of Police Commissioners must:

(a) Be a citizen of the United States and of good moral character.

(b) Be of the age of 21 years or over;

(c) Be of sound physique with good eyesight and not subject to epilepsy, vertigo, heart trouble, or any other infirmity of the body or mind and not be addicted to the use of intoxicating liquor or narcotics, which might render him unfit for the safe operation of a taxicab, automobile, interurban or sightseeing bus;

(d) Be able to read and write the English language;

(e) Be clean in dress and person;

(f) Produce certificates of his good character from two reputable citizens of the City and County of San Francisco who have known him personally and observed his conduct during one year next preceding the date of his application;

(g) Fill out upon a blank form to be provided by the Police Commission a statement giving his full name, residence, place of residence for five years next preceding the date of his application, age, color, height, color of eyes and hair, place of birth, length of time he has resided in the City and County of San Francisco, whether a citizen of the United States, places of previous employment covering five years next preceding the date of his application, whether married or single, whether he has ever been convicted of a felony or of a misdemeanor, whether he has been previously licensed as a driver or chauffeur and if so, whether his license has ever been revoked and for what cause; which statement shall be signed by the applicant and filed with the Police Commission as a permanent record.

Sec. 17. Each applicant for a driver's permit must file with his application two recent photographs of himself of a size that may be easily attached to his license, one of which shall be attached to his license when issued, and carried by such licensed driver at all times when driving a taxicab, automobile, sightseeing bus, or interurban bus, and showing that such driver is a licensed driver, and the other shall be filed with his application, with the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco. The photograph shall be so attached to the license that it cannot be removed and another photograph substituted without detection. Each licensed driver shall, upon demand by any police officer or a passenger, exhibit his license and photograph for inspection.

Sec. 18. Each applicant for a driver's permit under the provisions of this ordinance shall be examined by a police officer designated by the Chief of Police as to his knowledge of the provisions of this ordinance, the California Vehicle Act, the traffic regulations of the City and County of San Francisco, the geography of the said City and County, and if the result of the examination be unsatisfactory he shall be refused a permit.

Sec. 19. The Board of Police Commissioners, in the exercise of a sound and reasonable discretion, when the public interest and safety may require, may revoke any permit issued under the provisions of this ordinance; provided, however, that any permit issued under the provisions of section 7 of this ordinance shall not be revoked except upon hearing.

Sec. 20. Every taxicab and sightseeing bus for the operation of which a permit has been issued by the Board of Police Commissioners shall have the name of the owner plainly painted in letters at least two inches in height in the center of the main panel of the rear doors.

Sec. 21. Sightseeing cars shall not stand upon any public square, street or other public place except between the hours of 8:30 and 10 o'clock a. m., between 12:30 and 2 o'clock p. m., and between 6:30 p. m. and 7 o'clock a. m., except on Sundays and holidays.

Rates for Sightseeing Cars.

Sec. 22. Sightseeing automobiles accommodating ten or more passengers shall not charge more than one dollar and fifty cents per passenger per trip, and each trip shall not be less than two hours' duration, and shall follow the route as advertised by the owner or driver of such automobile.

Sec. 23. The rates of fare for automobiles, whether offered for hire or furnished for special calls, shall not exceed the following: For automobiles having a capacity for

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

four passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour, or fraction thereof, two dollars and fifty cents; for each succeeding half hour, two dollars. For automobiles having a capacity for six or more passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour or fraction thereof, three dollars; for each subsequent half hour, two dollars and fifty cents. Provided, however, that no automobile shall charge a rate of fare from any ferry or railroad terminal that shall exceed the metered mileage rate specified in the next succeeding section, and the distance from such terminal to the point of destination shall be measured by the shortest route along open public streets.

Charges for Taxicabs.

Sec. 24. The following schedule of charges for taxicabs be and is hereby adopted as the legal taxicab rate in the City and County of San Francisco, and shall not be exceeded:

Taximeter Rate.

Tariff No. 1 (one or two passengers):

First quarter-mile or fraction thereof, forty cents.

Each one-quarter mile thereafter, ten cents.

Each two minutes of waiting, ten cents.

Tariff No. 2 (three or four passengers):

First one-fifth mile or fraction thereof, forty cents.

Each one-fifth mile thereafter, ten cents.

Each two minutes of waiting, ten cents.

For each additional passenger over four persons for the entire journey, fifty cents.

Hour Rate.

First one-half hour or fraction thereof, two dollars; second half hour, one dollar and fifty cents.

Each subsequent hour, three dollars and fifty cents.

The passenger when engaging the taxicab shall elect whether he will employ it by taximeter or hour rates.

Sec. 25. The use of any inaccurate taximeter or other measuring instrument for the purpose of gauging or indicating distance traveled, or waiting time, or for the purpose of fixing rates to be collected from the public is hereby prohibited, and it shall be the duty of the owner or lessee in possession, including any corporation or officer or agent thereof responsible therefor of any vehicle mentioned in this ordinance, using any taximeter or other measuring instrument, to at all times keep said taximeter or other measuring instrument, to at all times keep said taximeter or other measuring instrument accurate.

(a) Every taximeter or other measuring instrument used for the purpose of gauging or indicating distance traveled or waiting time or for the purpose of computing fares to be collected from the public shall be subject to inspection at all times by the Police Department. The Chief of Police may at any time detail police officers to inspect any or all taximeters or other measuring instruments so used when in his opinion such instruments are inaccurate. Any police officer is directed, upon complaint of any person that the fare charged is more than the legal fare, to investigate and report such complaint immediately to the Chief of Police who shall cause such taximeters or other measuring instruments to be at once inspected. Any persons, firm or corporation who uses any taximeter or other measuring instrument which registers fare in excess of the legal fare and collects such fare is subject to revocation of license.

(b) Each taxicab, while in use in the City and County of San Francisco, for the transportation of passengers for hire, shall be equipped with an efficient illuminating device, either flexible or fixed, so arranged as to enable the passenger or passengers to conveniently observe the meter and the amount of fare registered thereon.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any automobile, in soliciting trade from the public, to represent his vehicle as a taxicab unless it is equipped with a taximeter in working order, and duly inspected and approved, as in this section provided.

Sec. 26. In any case of disagreement between the driver and passenger of a public vehicle for hire relative to the legal fare to be paid, the driver shall convey the passenger to the nearest Police Station, where the officer in charge shall immediately decide the case, and if the decision is in favor of the passenger, the driver shall convey the passenger from the Police Station to his original destination without additional charge; if the passenger is about to leave the City by railroad, steamboat or otherwise, the police officer on duty at the depot or wharf shall decide the case.

(a) All drivers or operators of public vehicles for hire, upon the

demand of any passenger, shall give a receipt for fare paid, such receipt to be in a form satisfactory to the Chief of Police.

Sec. 27. If any driver, proprietor or lessee of a taxicab, automobile or sightseeing bus, shall refuse to convey a passenger at the rates hereinabove provided, or demand or receive an amount in excess of his legal hire, he shall be liable to the penalty provided by this ordinance, and shall return to the passenger any amount he may have received in excess of his legal fare.

(a) Any charge, or attempt to charge any passenger a greater fare than that to which the taxicab, automobile or sightseeing bus is entitled under the provisions of this ordinance shown either by confession of the party, or competent testimony; or any failure on the part of any driver or operator of any taxicab or automobile to make proper returns to the owner of such taxicab or automobile shall immediately suspend the license of such driver or operator until such time as the case is finally disposed of by the proper magistrate.

Sec. 28. (a) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of a taxicab to throw the flag of the taximeter in a recording position when such vehicle is not actually employed.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any taxicab to fail to throw the flag of such taximeter to the non-recording position at the termination of each and every service and to call the attention of the passenger to the amount registered.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of a taxicab while carrying passenger or under employment, to display the flag affixed to such taximeter in such position as to denote such taxicab is not employed, or in such position as to denote that he is employed at a rate of fare different from that to which he is entitled under the provisions of this ordinance.

Sec. 29. Any person who shall refuse to pay the legal fare for a taxicab or sightseeing bus or automobile, as prescribed in this ordinance, that he has hired, shall be guilty of misdemeanor, and on conviction thereof be compelled to pay to the driver of said vehicle an amount equal to the legal fare, and in case any bail required is forfeited, the amount of the legal fare shall be paid to the driver from such amount so forfeited, and the court, before the case is heard, shall order the same to be paid from the treasury of the City and County.

Sec. 30. It shall be unlawful for any person to solicit patronage for public vehicles on the public streets or grounds, but the fact that such public vehicle displays a device to indicate that such vehicle is not engaged shall not of itself be considered as soliciting patronage.

Sec. 31. Drivers and operators of public vehicles for hire shall promptly report to the Detective Bureau of the Police Department and within twenty-four hours all property of value left in their vehicles by passengers.

Sec. 32. The driver of any public vehicle for hire shall be entitled to charge not to exceed one (1) dollar for each trunk and twenty (20) cents for each large valise or bag carried outside the vehicle, and each passenger shall be entitled to have conveyed without charge such valise or small package as can be conveniently carried within the vehicle. Each driver shall load and unload all baggage without charge.

Sec. 33. When public vehicles for hire are engaged by the hour the driver at the time of hiring shall hand to the passenger a card upon which shall appear, first, the name and address of the owner; second, the name of the driver of such vehicle and the number of his license; third, the exact time of such hiring.

Sec. 34. Every taxicab, automobile and sightseeing bus shall have permanently affixed to the interior thereof, in a place readily to be seen by passengers, a frame covered with glass, enclosing a card not less than six (6) inches square, upon which shall be printed in plain, legible letters the schedule of rates prescribed in this ordinance, applicable to every such vehicle.

(a) The said frame and enclosed card must be approved by the Chief of Police.

Sec. 35. In case any vehicle described in this ordinance shall, while conveying for hire or reward any passenger or passengers, become disabled, or shall break down, the time of stoppage shall be deducted from the time charged for.

Sec. 36. It shall be unlawful for any person to be in any boat at night on the waters of the bay, with intent to use or to use such boat for the conveyance of persons from place to place, for hire, without having in said boat a lighted lantern at least six inches square, with the number of said boat painted thereon in plain Arabic figures of such size and form as to be readily seen and read, and which, upon the de-

mand of any person, shall be exhibited.

Sec. 37. It shall be unlawful for any runner, soliciting agent, or driver, or motorman, of any public vehicle for hire, or of any job wagon, to misrepresent in any manner whatsoever the character of the business engaged in, or being solicited for, or to personate or attempt to impersonate any other runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire, or of any job wagon, in the conveyance or transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise, or any other person, or to convey or transport persons, baggage or merchandise to any place or destination other than the place or destination engaged for.

(a) No person having charge of or soliciting patronage for any vehicle or boat shall, for the purpose of securing patronage, make any false representations concerning the ownership or employment of such vehicle or boat.

Sec. 38. Every person, firm or corporation owning or using any boat upon which a license tax is imposed by any order or ordinance shall have attached to said boat a pair of metallic plates to be furnished by the Tax Collector on payment of the license tax. Each of said pair of plates shall bear a different number and specify the year for which issued. Said plates shall be attached in the manner and place designated by order or ordinance for the attachment of boat number plates, or, if not so designated, in a position to be designated by the Tax Collector. When so attached, neither of said plates shall be removed from said boat without the authorization of the owner.

Sec. 39. The number designated for any boat shall be placed thereon in two places, either by tacking thereupon the tins furnished by the Collector of Licenses, or by painting such number upon the boat in plain Arabic figures not less than one and one-half inches in height and of proportionate width and of such color as to be readily seen and distinguished.

(a) The number of each boat shall be placed on both sides thereof within two feet of the bow, on the outside of each boat, immediately below the gunwale.

Sec. 40. No person shall use or drive, or permit to be used or driven, any boat belonging to him, or under his control, which, by any of the provisions of this ordinance, is required to be numbered, without having the appropriate number thereof, and no other, placed thereupon in the manner and place provided in section 41 of this ordinance, nor with such number inverted, covered, mutilated, obliterated, or obscurely painted, or illegible.

Sec. 41. Any person driving or having control of any vehicle on which a number is required to be placed shall give the number of his vehicle on the inquiry of any person.

Sec. 42. It shall be unlawful for any person to engage in the business or occupation of soliciting boarders or lodgers, or custom for any hotel, boarding house or lodging house, or the transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise, without having a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as hereinafter provided.

Sec. 43. A licensed driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire shall have the right to solicit patronage for the vehicle driven or operated by him without a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as provided in sections 48, 50 and 51 of this ordinance, but not more than one person shall be deemed to have charge of any vehicle at any place.

Sec. 44. A person licensed to engage in the business of transporting baggage or merchandise shall have the right to solicit patronage without a runner's and soliciting agent's license, except as provided in sections 48, 50 and 51 of this ordinance, but not more than one person shall have such right under such license.

Sec. 45. Any person desiring a runner's and soliciting agent's license must first present to the Board of Police Commissioners a written application for a permit therefor, setting forth his name, age and place of residence. The Board of Police Commissioners is hereby authorized to issue to any person who, in its judgment, is a proper person to engage in the business or occupation of runner and soliciting agent, a permit for a runner's and soliciting agent's license; and said Board is hereby authorized to revoke any permit so issued. When any such permit is revoked, the said Board shall give notice thereof to the Tax Collector. Said Board shall keep a record of the disposition of all applications for such permits. The Chief of Police may suspend the permit of any runner or soliciting agent for a period not exceeding ten days.

Sec. 46. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent, or driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire, to solicit patronage in front of any entrance, exit or gangway of any ferry landing,

wharf, depot, theater, circus, hall or other place where people are assembled, within twelve feet thereof, or within twelve feet of the lines of said entrance, exit or gangway produced twelve feet from the front thereof.

Sec. 47. No taxicab or automobile, while awaiting employment by passengers, shall stand on any public street or place other than or upon a stand designated or established in accordance with this ordinance; nor shall any driver of such taxicab or automobile seek employment by repeatedly and persistently driving his vehicle to and fro for a short space before, or by otherwise interfering with the proper and orderly access to or egress from any theater, hall, hotel, public resort, railroad or ferry station or other place of public gathering.

Sec. 48. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent to solicit patronage in loud, noisy or boisterous tone of voice, or manner, or to lay hands upon the person or baggage of any person without the express consent of such person, or to obstruct the movement of any person, or to follow any person for the purpose of soliciting patronage.

Sec. 49. It shall be unlawful for any runner or soliciting agent, or driver or motorman of any public vehicle for hire to scuffle or crowd about or interfere with any other runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman with whom any person is negotiating or inquiring about the transportation of person or baggage.

Sec. 50. No person shall solicit patronage for any hotel, vehicle or other business upon any railroad train, steamboat or vehicle whatsoever within the corporate limits of the City and County of San Francisco without first having obtained permission in writing so to do from the owner, lessee or managing agent of such owner, charterer or lessee of such railroad, steamboat or other vehicle.

Sec. 51. The Police Department shall have the control, regulation and direction of all licensed runners, soliciting agents, drivers and motormen at ferry landings, wharves, steamboat landings and railroad depots, theaters, public buildings and all places of public assemblage, and it shall be unlawful for any licensed runner, soliciting agent, driver or motorman to fail, refuse or neglect to obey the lawful order of any police officer in regard to the control, regulation and direction of soliciting patronage for the conveyance or transportation of persons, baggage or merchandise.

Sec. 52. All ordinances, in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, and especially Ordinance 1898 (New Series), are hereby repealed.

Sec. 53. Every person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Sec. 54. This ordinance shall take effect 30 days after approval.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon. Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

No—Supervisor Marks—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Supervisor Marks reserved the right to file a statement in explanation of his vote.

Creating Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

Bill No. 7465, Ordinance No. 6980 (New Series), as follows:

Creating a special fund to be designated as "Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund," providing for the deposit of moneys therein and making appropriations therefrom for the uses and purposes contemplated by Article XII, Section 16 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special fund is hereby created and designated as the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, and all moneys received from the operation of power plants on the Hetch Hetchy project shall be deposited to the credit of such fund immediately upon the receipt thereof.

Section 2. In accordance with the requirements of Section 16 of Article XII of the Charter there is hereby appropriated from said fund the following sums to be set aside, held and used exclusively for the following purposes in the order in which they are set forth, viz.:

First: Out of the first moneys deposited and to be deposited in said fund there is hereby appropriated the sum of $166,666 for the payment during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1926, of the operation expenses of the power houses and transmission lines on the Hetch Hetchy pro-

ject, including administrative and miscellaneous expense incidental to the operation of said power houses and transmission lines, workmen's compensation insurance and pension contributions required under Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) and ordinances amendatory thereof, and also for the repairs and reconstruction of any portion of the works on said project necessary or incidental to the operation and maintenance of said power houses and transmission lines.

Second: There is appropriated out of the moneys deposited and to be deposited in said fund so soon as the same shall be in excess of the said amount required for the purposes of Subdivision First of this section, the further sum of $83,333 as a depreciation reserve fund for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1926, for the purpose of meeting the costs of replacements of any portion of the works utilized in the production and transmission of hydro-electric power which shall become worn out or destroyed as a result of operation, the action of the elements, or any casualty, and also for the purpose of creating a fund for the future replacement of such parts of said works as may, for any one or more of the last mentioned reasons, require replacement in the future.

Third: There is appropriated out of the rest, residue and remainder of the moneys accruing to said Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1926, after deducting from the same the appropriations provided for in Subdivisions First and Second of this section, and transferred to the "Water Bond Interest and Redemption Fund," a sufficient amount to provide for the payment of interest on and redemption of $9,000,000 of the Water Bonds of the Issue of 1910.

Section 3. All expenditures for operation, maintenance and depreciation charges as above defined shall be paid out of the respective appropriations therefor by the Treasurer, upon demands duly approved by the Board of Public Works and the Auditor.

Section 4. At the beginning of the fiscal year, July 1, 1926, the balance remaining in said Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund and not appropriated for specific additions or betterments, shall be held for and applied exclusively toward the acquisition or construction of a transmission line and stepdown station for carrying electric energy generated at the Mocasin plant from Newark to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7467, Ordinance No. 6981 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Girard street between Silver avenue and Dwight street; Brussels street between Felton street and Dwight street; Goettingen street between Silver avenue and Burrows street; Woolsey street*

between San Bruno avenue and Goettingen street; Wayland street between Girard street and Brussels street; Dwight street between San Bruno avenue and Brussels street, and Silver avenue between Brussels and Goettingen streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Bill No. 7468, Ordinance No. 6982 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following *portion of Majestic avenue between Lake View avenue and Ridge lane; the westerly and the easterly one-half of Majestic avenue between Lake View avenue and a line parallel with and* 125 *feet northerly therefrom; the westerly one-half of Majestic avenue between a line parallel with and* 175 *feet northerly from Lake View avenue to a line parallel with and* 325 *feet northerly from Lake View avenue; the westerly one-half of Majestic avenue from a line parallel with and* 525 *feet northerly from Lake View avenue to a line parallel with and passing through the intersection of the westerly line of Majestic avenue and the southerly line of Ridge lane, by* the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters as may be required, and by the construction of concrete and asphaltic concrete pavements on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Bill No. 7469, Ordinance No. 6983 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and

County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *westerly one-half of Twenty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with necessary manholes and Y branches that said frontage would be liable for, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Bill No. 7470, Ordinance No. 6984 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Divisadero street between the northerly line of Chestnut street and a line parallel with and* 137 *feet* 6 *inches southerly from Chestnut street, including the crossing of Chestnut street and Divisadero streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs, where concrete curbs are not already constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners of the crossing of Chestnut and Divisadero streets; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Bill No. 7471, Ordinance No. 6985 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications pre-

pared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Lundy's lane between Virginia avenue and Fair avenue and the improvement of Prospect avenue between Virginia avenue and Esmeralda avenue*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Amend Southern Pacific Company Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 7472, Ordinance No. 6986 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6774 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Carroll avenue and across Third street, Keith street, Jennings street and Ingalls street in the location hereinafter described."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6774 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Carroll avenue and across Third street, Keith street, Jennings street and Ingalls street in the location hereinafter described," is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2. Provided, that the Southern Pacific Company shall be required to maintain and repair the sewer in Carroll avenue east of Third street if necessary.

Provided, that no locomotive, car or cars shall be switched over and across Third street at Carroll avenue except between the hours of 11 a. m. and 1 p. m.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Bill No. 7466, Ordinance No. 6987 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 16, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Berry street between Second and Third streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters 2 feet in width adjacent to the above mentioned curbs; by the construction of 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes and Y branches; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation covered with an asphaltic concrete binder course 1½ inches thick and an asphaltic wearing surface 2 inches thick.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Guerrero Street.

Bill No. 7473, Ordinance No. 6988 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 899.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office February 9, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 899, to read as follows:

Section 899. The width of sidewalks on Guerrero street between Fourteenth street and Twentieth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Action Deferred.

The following was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Granting the Associated Oil Company permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Market and Eighth streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $64,130.42, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Company, janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $700.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., binding public library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,134.75.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,997.05.

(4) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,056.25.

Park Fund.

(5) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Feb. 19, 1926), $939.46.

(6) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Feb. 19, 1926), $731.29.

Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment.

(7) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising of sale for nonpay-

ment of tunnel assessment (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $623.47.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(8) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $1,340.80.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $3,495.82.

(10) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for January, per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $1,576.92.

(11) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $37,719.56.

(12) Hancock Bros., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $892.80.

(13) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions and gratuities for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $6,574.66.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(14) Krogh Pump and Machinery Co., split shell pump, etc., for Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $675.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $697.83.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for New Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $1,001.67.

(17) Weeks & Day, fourth payment, architectural services, Hawthorne School (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $776.88.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(18) Republic Supply Co., steel and galvanized iron pipe, Hetch Hetchy Water Construction, Contract 109 (claim dated Feb. 17, 1926), $45,263.09.

Special School Tax.

(19) Joseph J. Rankin, first payment, architectural services for the Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $900.

(20) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for the Francisco School (claim dated Feb. 17, 1926). $1,764.05.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(21) John J. Mahoney, final payment, general construction of the Southern Police Station (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $14,202.37.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(22) W. R. Ballinger & Son, freight paid and cartage on voting machines, Department of Elections (claim dated Feb. 18, 1926), $664.55.

(23) Crown Oil Co., gasoilne for Police Department (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $1,062.65.

(24) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, etc., for County Jails (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $704.08.

(25) The California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $959.35.

(26) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $1,075.33.

(27) Jacobs, Malcolm & Burtt, seed potatoes for County Jails (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $1,207.23.

(28) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $669.38.

(29) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for street repair (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $576.46.

(30) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $3,973.59.

(31) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $1,685.62.

(32) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $891.52.

(33) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $882.85.

(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $4,546.90.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $1,408.11.

(36) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Lane street between Wallace street and Yosemite avenue, City's portion (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $712.

(37) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $2,987.17.

(38) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $3,987.28.

(39) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $520.01.

(40) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $872.16.

(41) Geo. H. & H. Kahn & Co., films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $931.

(42) H. F. Dugan, drugs, San

Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $631.15.

(43) Victor X-Ray Corporation, X-ray film, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $535.26.

(44) California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,256.54.

(45) S. H. Taylor & Son, coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $659.80.

(46) Miller & Lux Inc., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,611.67.

(47) Bay City Market, meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1.596.20.

(48) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,271.61.

(49) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $885.40.

(50) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31. 1926), $846.45.

(51) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter. etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,194.15.

(52) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $4 002.54.

(53) Oliva Bros., potatoes, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $543.78.

(54) C. Nauman & Co., fruit and vegetables, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,-713.71.

(55) Simmons Co., cribs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $569.70.

(56) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,-251.46.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $542.04.

(58) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $3,019.45.

(59) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1.224.99.

(60) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter, etc., Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1,332.08.

(61) Miller & Lux. meats, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $726.85.

(62) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $936.99.

(63) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1.887.84.

(64) C. U. Martin. first payment, for furnishing and installing pumps, etc., in Sewage Pumping Station at Great Highway and Vicente street (claim dated Feb. 17, 1926), $2,850.

(65) City Coal Co., fuel furnished Fire Dept. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $646.

(66) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Fire Dept. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1.059.36.

(67) M. Greenberg's Sons, hydrants furnished Fire Dept. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $6,862.50.

(68) Edward M. Lowe Motors Co., repairing Fire Dept. auto (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $572.57.

(69) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Dept. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $2,095.88.

(70) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $827.45.

(71) Shell Company, fuel oil for Fire Dept. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1 639.24.

(72) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept., removing and installing hydrants (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $4,497.45.

(73) The White Company, one White auto chassis for use of Emergency Hospitals (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $2,949.20.

(74) Market Street Railway Company, refund of first installment of taxes, fiscal year 1925-1926, paid on property at Frederick and Willard streets, being purchased by the City under agreemnt of March 12, 1923 (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $671.13.

Appropriation, $19,595, Payment to Charles Monson for Property Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $19,-595 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment to Charles Monson out of $100,000 set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series); being payment for property particularly described in Resolution No. 25132 (New Series), accepting offer for said property and which property is required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard. (Claim dated Feb. 11, 1926.)

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to rescind.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—5.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Todd, Welch—7.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Shannon—6.

Appropriations for Lands for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To First Bank of Jamestown or the Jamestown National Bank, for lands commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Flood avenue with the easterly line of Foerster street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Foerster street 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 100 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25,-210 (New Series), and required for the Sunnyside School, $4,399.

(2) To J. M. Gamble, for lands commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Santiago street with the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 125 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of irregular dimensions; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25211 (New Series), $6,050.

Appropriation, $4,000, Reconstruction of Montgomery Street Between Pacific Street and Broadway.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1925-1926, for the reconstruction of Montgomery street between Pacific street and Broadway.

Appropriations, Hetch Hetchy Transmission Line and Repair Break in Moccasin Creek Penstock Lines.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(1) For expense of preparation of plans, specifications and estimates by the City Engineer for the completion of the electrical transmission line of the Hetch Hetchy project to a point within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, as directed by Resolution No. 25191 (New Series), the sum of $20,000.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(2) To the credit of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, to defray the cost of repairing the break in the Moccasin Creek Penstock Lines, which occurred in June, 1925, the sum of $54,026.25.

Appropriation, $250, Repairing and Cleaning Drainage System, Junipero Serra Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25231 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $250 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 79 ("Emergency Repairs to Streets, etc."), for cost of cleaning up and repairing the drainage system along the Junipero Serra boulevard.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Special Hetch Hetchy Counsel to Pay Taxes on Hetch Hetchy Properties.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25232 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Upon recommendation of the City Engineer and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, that said Special Counsel is authorized to pay the following tax bills on property owned by the City and County of San Francisco in outside counties, required for the purposes of the Hetch Hetchy project, out of his revolving fund:

San Mateo County	$49.01
Alameda County	8.41
Tuolumne County	13.75
Total	$71.17

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25233 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Fran-

cisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Willard B. Jefferson and Alma E. Jefferson, $3,262.

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant 296.814 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Flower street extended and produced westerly, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 75 feet; thence deflecting 76 degrees 28 minutes 23 seconds to the left and running easterly 31.269 feet; thence deflecting 83 degrees 40 minutes 32 seconds to the left and running northerly 73.696 feet; thence deflecting 96 degrees 39 minutes 16 seconds to the left and running westerly 56.931 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lots 313 and 314, Precita Valley Lands.

Patrick Biggins, $3.000.

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot 303, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 60 feet to the northerly boundary of said Lot 303; thence at a right angle easterly along said northerly boundary 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 60 feet to the southerly boundary of said Lot 303; thence at a right angle westerly along said southerly boundary 25 feet to the point of beginning. Being the westerly 25 feet of Lot 303, Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot 302, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 60 feet to the northerly boundary of said Lot 302; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly boundary 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 60 feet to the southerly boundary of said Lot 302; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly boundary 25 feet to the point of beginning. Being the westerly 25 feet of Lot 302, Precita Valley Lands.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Payment to Carquinez Dam Investigation Fund.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25234 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $250 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55), and authorized in payment to Carquinez Dam Investigation Fund, as the City and County's portion of a fund made up of appropriations by the various counties of the State of California, in connection with investigation in the construction of a dam as a means of solving the salt water problem in the lower reaches of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher. Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Repairs to Sixth Street Bridge.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7476, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for mechanical repairs to the Sixth street bridge and ordering mechanical repairs to said Sixth street bridge in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into con-

tract for mechanical repairs to the Sixth street bridge.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for mechanical repairs to the Sixth street bridge, and to enter into contract for said mechanical repairs to the Sixth street bridge in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Salary Ordinance Amended, Inspectors.

Also, Bill No. 7477, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending subdivision (g) of Section 27 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That subdivision (g) of Section 27 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended to read as follows:

(g) 18 inspectors, each at a salary of $2,700 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance to take effect as of March 1, 1926.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 114.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25235 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Head street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Randolph street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Sargent street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Head street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Randolph street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly to Sargent street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Moraga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet. said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 172 feet 2 inches northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 27 feet 10 inches, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly 200 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday,the 22d day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 115.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25236 (New Series). as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the

streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 12 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue between Vicente street and Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 22d day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher. Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Set-back Lines on Santiago Street Between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth Avenues.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25237 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution of Intention No. 103 (Resolution No. 24716, New Series), as declares the intention to establish set-back lines along both sides of Santiago street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Thirty-fourth Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7478, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6474 (New Series), establishing set-back lines along a portion of Thirty-fourth avenue (and other streets) and modifying and changing the set-back lines so established.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The set-back lines along Thirty-fourth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets, as established by Ordinance No. 6474 (New Series), are hereby ordered changed and modified and said ordinance in respect thereto is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 391 feet 8 inches, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 29 feet 2 inches, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 29 feet 2 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

And said set-back lines as herein modified are hereby established.

Rereferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Bath, *rere-*

ferred to the City Planning Committee:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Albion Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Albion street, commencing at a point 120 feet southerly from Fifteenth street and running thence southerly 200 feet, and extending westerly a depth of 87½ feet, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. It is the purpose of this ordinance to allow the erection of a building to be used for theatrical or motion picture purposes and expressly prohibits the use of the land or building, on the above described property, for boxing or prize-fight exhibitions.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, San Jose Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7479, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of San Jose avenue, commencing at a point 75.42 feet northerly from Santa Ysabel avenue and running thence northerly 100.55 feet, and extending to the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Thos. Brodie, south side of O'Farrell street, 200 feet west of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Flick, 1075 O'Farrell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, east side of Bay street, 240 feet south of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. C. Kirby, north side of Oak street, 210 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Magnuson & Peterson, south side of Page street, 160 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. M. Oyen, west side of Shrader street, 40 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. E. Sbarboro, west line of Divisadero street, 87 feet south of Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Werner, 10 Sea Cliff avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Alberta Candy Co., 2201 Third street, 55 horsepower.

Edward Sors, east side of Mission street, 150 feet north of Richland street, 20 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. F. Duffin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Quintara street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John and Edward Furrer be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the northwest corner of Sixteenth and Shotwell streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Arent Olsen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Hadley & Barkan by Resolution No. 19918 (New Series) for premises at 514½ Pacific street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Oswin Werner be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the west side of Mission street between Nagle and Whipple streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25238 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to install, remove and change street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

North side of Stevenson street, first and second west of Seventh street.

South side of Stevenson street, first west of Seventh street.

North side of Stevenson street, first and second west of Sixth street.

South side of Stevenson street, first west of Sixth street.

North side of Natoma street, first and second west of Seventh street.

South side of Natoma street, first and second west of Seventh street.

East side and west side of Ritch street, south of Bryant street.

West side of Fifth street, first and second south of Harrison street.

East side of Fifth street, first and second north of Bryant street.

North side of Minna street, first and second west of Fourth street.

South side of Minna street, first and second east of Fifth street.

Northeast and southwest corners Fifth and Bryant streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Fifth and Harrison streets.

North side of Green street, first west of Larkin street.

South side of Green street, first and second east of Polk street.

Northwest and southeast corners of Larkin and Green streets.

North side Converse street, first and second north of Bryant street.

Northwest corner of Converse and Bryant streets.

North side of Sacramento street, first west of Powell street.

South side of Sacramento street, first and second east of Mason street.

Northwest and southeast corners of Powell and Sacramento streets.

East side and west side of Webster street between Ellis and O'Farrell streets.

Northeast corner and southwest corner of Webster and O'Farrell streets.

North and south sides Vallejo street between Buchanan and Webster streets.

Buchanan street, first south of Vallejo street.

Northeast and southwest corners Vallejo and Franklin streets.

North and south sides Vallejo street between Franklin and Gough streets.

Install 400 M. R.

Caine street between Ridge lane and Mt. Vernon avenue.

Washington street, pole opposite No. 3131.

Bayshore and Wheeler avenues.

Bayshore and Tocaloma avenues.

Silliman and Princeton.

Reddy street between Thornton and Williams avenues.

Masonic avenue and Plato street.

Plato street, opposite No. 87.

Natick street between Arlington and Chenery streets.

Randolph and Ramsell streets.

Magellan and Twelfth avenues.

Nevada and Ogden streets.

Vallejo street between Buchanan and Webster streets.

Buchanan street between Vallejo and Green streets.

Vallejo and Franklin streets.

Vallejo street between Franklin and Gough streets.

Stevenson street, first and second west of Sixth street.

Stevenson street, first and second west of Seventh street.

Natoma street, first and second west of Seventh street.

Ritch street between Bryant and Brannan streets.

Fifth and Harrison streets.

Fifth and Bryant streets.

Fifth street, first and second south of Harrison street.

Minna street, first and second east of Fifth street.

Green and Larkin streets.

Green street, first and second west of Larkin street.

Sacramento street between Powell and Mason streets.

Converse street north of Bryant street.

Bryant and Converse streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Sacramento and Powell streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Municipal Railway Fund Created.

The following bill, recommended by the Public Utilities and Finance Committees, was presented by Supervisor Shannon and *laid over one week*:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Creating certain funds into which shall be paid certain sums and percentages of revenues derived from the operation of the Municipal Railway, appropriating said sums and percentages for the purposes herein stated and repealing Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series), approved February 9, 1915.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. All money derived from the operation of the Municipal Railway shall be deposited in the treasury to the credit of the Municipal Railway Fund and appropriations therefrom are hereby made for the following purposes:

1. To meet the costs and expenses of operation.

2. From time to time there shall be set aside from said Municipal Railway Fund a sum or sums that shall aggregrate annually a sum equal to two per cent of the gross passenger revenue of said railway and credited to the "Accident Reserve Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used to pay claims for accidents and damages resulting from the operation of said railway (other than accidents to employees), and expenses incidental thereto, such as expenditures of claim and legal departments. Appropriations to pay such claims are hereby made.

3. From time to time there shall be set aside and transferred to the several bond interest and redemption funds sums sufficient to pay, and in season to pay, the principal and interest upon all bonds issued for the purpose of constructing said Municipal Railway.

4. From time to time there shall be aside and transferred to the "Depreciation Reserve Fund," which fund is hereby created, a sum or sums which shall during the fiscal year aggregate a sum equal to three per cent of the total cost of the road and equipment of said Municipal Railway. The moneys in such "Depreciation Reserve Fund" shall be used for the purpose of meeting all charges and expenses that may arise on account of replacement, reconstruction and depreciation of and to the Municipal Railway. The Treasurer is hereby authorized to invest any surplus in said funds in such interest-bearing bonds as the law may authorize surplus municipal funds to be invested. All interest earned thereon shall be credited to the operative fund.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered that there shall be transferred from the existing Depreciation Fund provided for by Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series) and credited to the "Accident Reserve Fund", herein created, the sum of one hundred thousand dollars, and that there shall be transferred from said Depreciation Fund and credited to the Depreciation Reserve Fund the sum of one hundred thousand dollars. The money remaining in said "Depreciation Fund", after such transfers have been made, shall be subject to appropriations heretofore made, or to be hereafter made by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. That the Classification of Accounts as prescribed by the Interstate Commerce Commission for electric railways be adopted by the Board of Public Works as its authority in accounting for all transactions of the railroad, and, in accordance with common custom, accounts shall be so arranged that a complete profit and loss account and balance sheet may be furnished monthly.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series), approved February 9, 1915. is hereby repealed.

Accepting Offer of Mrs. L. King to Sell Certain Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25239 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mrs. L. King to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the west line of Thirty-first avenue, distant 125 feet south from Geary street, dimensions 45 by 120 feet, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City

and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 45 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 45 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 1513 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Accepting Offer of Colin Menzies to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25240 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Colin Menzies to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the north line of Santiago street, distant 82 feet 6 inches westerly from Twenty-second avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,100, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Santiago street, distant thereon 82 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Santiago street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Santiago street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McInerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same. to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Accepting Offer of H. Guyer to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25241 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from H. Guyer to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate at the northeast corner of Twenty-fourth avenue and Rivera street, dimensions 32 feet 6 inches by 100 feet; also east line of Twenty-fourth avenue. distant 150 feet north from Santiago street, dimensions 25 by 120 feet, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the

following described lands, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,700 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Rivera street with the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly along the northerly line of Rivera street 32 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 32 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 100 feet to the northerly line of Rivera street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 150 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Accepting Offer of F. H. Metz to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25242 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from F. H. Metz to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the west line of Thirty-first avenue, distant 170 feet south from Geary street, dimensions 45 by 240 feet, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $7,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 170 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 45 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 45 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 1513 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher. Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Mayor to Sell Engine House Building.

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 25243 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provi-

sions of the Charter, the certain frame building known as Engine House No. 16, situate at east line of Tennessee street, 420 feet 6 inches south of Twentieth street.

(Recommendation, Board of Public Works, filed February 8, 1926.)

The Board of Public Works is requested to prepare specifications for removal of the building by the purchaser.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering Construction of Duboce Tunnel.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 7480, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a tunnel with approaches and appurtenances thereto, under the elevation whereon is situated Buena Vista Park, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract or contracts for such construction and to purchase and acquire said lands and easements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction and completion of a tunnel with approaches and appurtenances thereto under the elevation whereon is situated Buena Vista Park, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being construction referred to in the resolution of intention of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco in that behalf, being Resolution No. 20003 (New Series), approved June 3, 1922, is hereby ordered.

Said tunnel shall be constructed in the place and in the manner specified, delineated and shown in the "plans, profiles, cross-sections and general specifications of the work required for the completion of such tunnel and appurtenances thereto," adopted and confirmed by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County by a resolution of said Board of Supervisors, being Resolution No. 23856 (New Series), adopted April 13, 1925, and approved by the Mayor of the said City and County of San Francisco April 17, 1925, modifying and confirming the report of the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco theretofore made and filed with the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of "The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance" of said City and County of San Francisco. Said construction shall be made under the direction of and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The acquisition in fee simple of the lands declared necessary and convenient for the purpose of said proposed tunnel construction by said resolution of intention and the acquisition of the easement or right of way declared necessary and convenient for the purpose of the said proposed tunnel construction by said resolution of intention which said acquisitions are more fully set forth in Parts II and V of the report of the Board of Public Works to the Board of Supervisors filed in said matter by said Board of Public Works pursuant to Sections 6 and 45 of said "The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance," which said report was modified and confirmed by said Board of Supervisors by said Resolution No. 23856 (New Series), are and each of them is hereby ordered.

Section 3. The said Board of Public Works is authorized and directed to enter into a contract or contracts for such tunnel construction in accordance with the plans and specifications therefor, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County in that behalf and in the manner and by the proceedings provided in Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter of said City and County for letting of contracts by said Board of Public Works, and progressive payments are hereby authorized to be made for such construction as provided in Section 21 of said chapter and article and in the manner and amounts as are provided for in said specifications heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors for said construction.

Section 4. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to acquire or purchase the said lands and rights of way incidental to and necessary for the construction of said tunnel as set forth in said resolution of intention and in said Part II and Part V of said report of the Board of Public Works so confirmed as aforesaid. But no purchase shall be valid until ratified by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Map of Vermont Street Between Twentieth and Twenty-second Streets Approved.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25244 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 88513 (Second Series), adopted October 14, 1925, approve a map entitled "Grade Map of Vermont Street Between Twentieth and Twenty-second Streets," showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the map entitled "Grade Map of Vermont Street Between Twentieth and Twenty-second Streets" showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets and on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Repealing Grade Change on Sixteenth Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25245 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 25147 (New Series), intention to change grades on Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets be and the same is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25246 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles L. Harney is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after February 15, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Key avenue from Third street to a line 350 feet westerly from Keith street, under public contract, for the reason that contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7481, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *easterly one-half of Forty-third avenue from Geary street to a line parallel with and* 150 *feet southerly therefrom, and the easterly one-half of Forty-third avenue from the northerly*

line of Anza street to a line parallel with and 100 *feet northerly therefrom*, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 7482, Ordinance No. —— (New Series, as follows:

Establishing grades on Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grdaes on Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed January 20, 1926.

Sixteenth Avenue.

Vicente street, 340 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

250 feet southerly from Vicente street, 322.50 feet.

300 feet southerly from Vicente street, 317.71 feet.

350 feet southerly from Vicente street, 310.34 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

125 feet northerly from Wawona street, 288.66 feet.

75 feet northerly from Wawona street, 282.16 feet.

25 feet northerly from Wawona street, 280 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Wawona street, northerly line, 280 feet.

On Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25247 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 90331 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 10, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 16, 1926, to-wit:

On Beverley, Monticello and Byxbee streets between Garfield street and Worcester avenue.

On Ralston street between Garfield and Randolph streets.

On Shields street between Ralston street and the westerly line of Byxbee street, and on Sargent street between Vernon street and Worcester avenue.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Award of Contract, Ambulance Body.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25248 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pacific Auto Body Works, Inc., on bid submitted January 25, 1926 (Proposal No. 164), for furnishing one ambulance body for emergency hospitals for the sum of $1,338.75, including 5 per cent excise tax.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Award of Contract, Pumping Engine and Hose Wagon.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25249 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made, upon recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, to American La France Fire Engine Co. of California on bid submitted January 25, 1926 (Proposal No. 165) for furnishing the following, viz.: One combined pumping engine and hose wagon equipped with Sewell cushion

wheels, for the sum of $11,740, f. o. b. San Francisco Fire Department Corporation Yard.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Report on Water Storage.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25250 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this City for the past twenty years has annually been thrown into a condition bordering on panic by reports of alleged shortage of its water supply, which threatened water famine has been spread through official or semi-official or other sources, and which reports have been detrimental to the commercial and financial interests of this City, and if permitted to continue will have a tendency to depreciate property values (if that were possible), in this rapidly growing community; therefore, be it

Resolved, in order to avert such direful calamity, That the Spring Valley Water Company and the City Engineer be and are hereby requested to furnish the Water Service Committee of the Board of Supervisors separate monthly statements showing the amount of water actually in storage in the reservoirs of the Spring Valley Water Company on the first of each and every month and the total amount drawn therefrom during the preceding month, in order that this Board may be in a position to know when the quantity of water in storage is in danger of depletion without having to be advised of this serious condition by outsiders or other departments of the government having no jurisdiction in this matter and no responsibility in the premises.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Relative to Completion of Bay Crossing Conduit.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25251 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the report of Chief Engineer Elliott, of the Spring Valley Water Company, shows that in the recent rainfall between January 31, 1926, and February 8, 1926—a little over a week—as much as 300,000,000 gallons of water daily wasted over the Sunol Dam into the Bay of San Francisco, which wastage of many millions of gallons of needed water is indicative of similar losses every seasonal downpour; and

Whereas, the delay in the construction of the Hetch Hetchy Bay Crossing conduit, which was to have been finished in November, 1924, and has not yet been completed, has deprived this City of vast quantities of water essential to her prosperity and well-being; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Chief Engineer of the Spring Valley Water Company be respectfully requested to furnish this Board with a statement covering the last ten years showing annually how much water might have been conserved from these losses during that period if the pipe line had been constructed, or temporary emergency measures adopted to prevent public calamity;

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer furnish the Water Service Committee of this Board with definite information as to when the Hetch Hetchy Bay Crossing conduit will be completed and ready to deliver water into Crystal Springs Reservoir and thus lay forever the ghost of water famine, which annually threatens the inhabitants of San Francisco with all its direful consequences of conflagration, famine and epidemics of disease.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Baseball Advertising Permit.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Baseball Club and the Mission Baseball Club be and they are hereby granted permits to advertise on the outside of the street cars of the Market Street Railroad Company (provided said cars when used for said advertising purposes are not used to carry passengers) the sea-

son of baseball games to be held in San Francisco for the period covering the baseball season.

Referred to Public Welfare and Publicity Committee.

Bus Transportation.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25252 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, many students of modern transportation contend that the pneumatic tire is destined to replace the steel wheel and the steel rail in the future development of urban surface rapid transit; and

Whereas, public passenger busses are now being operated extensively and, according to reports, successfully in a number of the largest cities in the United States, notably New York, Chicago, Detroit and Cleveland; and

Whereas, in the future extensions of our municipal transportation system it is of the utmost importance that service be rendered where needed without incurring too great indebtedness for construction and maintenance costs; therefore, be it

Resolved, That a joint committee consisting of the members of the Public Utilities and Finance committees of this Board be and is hereby authorized to consider the advisability of having a survey made of motor bus passenger transportation throughout America, and the employment of such expert assistance as may be necessary for the completion of this survey, and upon its completion to report back to this Board such recommendations as may be practicable for the installation and operation of additional motor passenger bus lines in San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Pay Increase Endorsed.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25253 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has been called to the attention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco by newspaper dispatches and otherwise that most of the employees on the Southern Pacific ferry system and Key Route ferry system, who operate all the ferryboats plying between San Francisco and Oakland, are required to work watches of twelve hours in duration and 56 hours per week; and

Whereas, the 8-hour day, with one day of rest in seven, has long been recognized by society as a whole as the standard work day and condition; and

Whereas, these men are employed in a service that is absolutely essential to the public welfare of San Francisco and all the east bay cities; and

Whereas, it is not in the public interest nor safety to require men employed on these boats, carrying 150,000 passengers daily and often as many as 5000 on a boat at a time, to work 12-hour shifts; and

Whereas, it is a matter of public knowledge that an arbitration board on December 3 last established the 8-hour day and 6-day week, effective January 1, 1926, for the men who operate the Oakland street cars and electric trains connecting with the Key Route Ferries, and that the Key System Transit Co. was granted subsequently an increase in rates for the primary purpose of meeting the increased wage cost incurred by the addition to the payroll of approximately 300 car men; and

Whereas, immediately thereafter the Southern Pacific Co. requested from, and was granted by the Railroad Commission a like increase in rates, not because there had been any additional cost to this company, but in order to maintain the rates on a par with its competitor, the Key System Transit Co.; and

Whereas, it is a matter of record on file with the State Railroad Commission that the annual increase in revenue to the Key System Transit Co. by reason that the rate increase is $846,000 and the wage increase due to the decrease in hours is $400,000; also, that the increase in revenue to the Southern Pacific Co. is $414,800 annually without any wage increase or other additional expense; and

Whereas, it is therefore evident that the Southern Pacific Co. received the revenue increase because its competitor was forced to grant its employees the 8-hour day; and

Whereas, we have been informed on good authority that the men employed on the Southern Pacific ferry boats have a request now pending before the United States Railroad Labor Board at Chicago, Ill., asking that the 12-hour watch system be abolished and the 8-hour day and 6-day week be established on the ferry boats; and

Whereas, we are also informed that it has been the practice to compel the ferry boat employees

to obtain conditions on the Southern Pacific and other interstate railroad ferries before the Key System Transit Co. would make any changes;

Resolved, That the United States Railroad Labor Board be and is hereby petitioned to act favorably upon the request of the ferry employees and establish in the interest of public safety and in the interest of fair dealing an 8-hour day and 6-day week.

Further Resolved, That the Key System Transit Co. be requested to act favorably upon the request of the men and establish the 8-hour day without further delay or hesitation; and finally

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to L. M. Parker, secretary of the United States Railroad Labor Board, Transportation Building, Chicago, Ill., and Geo. H. Harris, general manager of the Key System Transit Co., Twenty-second and Grove streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Leave of Absence.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
February 17, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing February 20, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25255 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of thirty days, commencing February 20, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Special Investigating Committee, School Construction Expenditures.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25256 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That a Special Investigating Committee is hereby created to consist of a chairman to be appointed by the presiding officer of the Board forthwith upon the adoption of this resolution and the chairman of the following committees of the Board of Supervisors, namely: Committees on Finance, Public Buildings and Lands, Public Welfare and Education, Parks and Playgrounds; and be it further

Resolved, That the said Special Investigating Committee shall have the power to select and fix a place of meeting, to change the same from time to time, to fix and determine the time and frequency of its sessions, to subpoena any and all officers and employees and members of Boards and Commissions of the City and County of San Francisco and to administer oaths and to take testimony under oath; and be it further

Resolved, That the said Special Investigating Committee shall make an investigation and upon conclusion thereof shall report to the Board of Supervisors upon the following matters:

1. The disposition made of public funds in the acquisition of land for school buildings and other school purposes.

2. The disposition made of public funds in the erection thereon of school buildings and structures.

3. The cost of architectural services in connection therewith, the names of the persons, firms or corporations to whom or to which paid and the respective sums paid thereto.

4. The nature, character and extent of and necessity for said architectural services.

5. The manner of selecting persons, firms or corporations performing such architectural services and the manner of fixing, determining and paying the compensation thereof.

6. The system, methods and plans pursued by other communities or agencies in the erection of like or similar structures.

And be it further

Resolved, That the said Special Investigating Committee shall report its findings to the Board upon the termination of its hearings and transmit to the Board its recommendations in connection with the matters herein placed in their hands for investigation; and be it further

Resolved, That the said Special Investigating Committee shall continue in existence until further order of this Board by special resolution adopted for the purpose and shall conduct such other investigation as to the expenditure of public monies as the Board of Supervisors may from time to time direct.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the Chairman of Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee was added.

Whereupon, the rules were suspended by unanimous vote on motion of Supervisor McSheehy and the resolution *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

No—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy —2.

Committee Appointed.

Supervisor Badaracco, acting Chairman, thereupon appointed a committee consisting of Supervisor Marks, Chairman, and Supervisors Powers, McSheehy, Kent and Gallagher.

Independence Day Committee.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25257 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make arrangements for and prepare a suitable celebration of the one hundred fiftieth anniversary of the signing of the Declaration of Independence of the United States of America, July 4, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy —2.

Extension of Van Ness Avenue to Howard Street.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Van Ness avenue has been extended from Market street to Mission street, at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of lands for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission street to Howard street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of this Board to extend Van Ness avenue from Mission street to Howard street. The expense of the purchase of the lands necessary for said extension to be paid by the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is hereby requested to furnish this Board with the following information at the earliest possible time:

1. The estimated cost of the lands to be taken for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission street to Howard street.

2. The estimated cost of the street improvements on said extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission street to Howard street.

Referred to Streets and Finance Committee.

Underground District, Guerrero Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this City is preparing to spend a considerable sum of money in the improvement and widening of Guerrero street; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity be requested to present an ordinance designating said street between Fourteenth and Twentieth to be an underground district in which all wires shall be placed underground.

Referred to Electricity Committee.

Pay Increase, Municipal Railway Employees.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be authorized to grant the platform men of the Municipal Railway a raise of 60 cents per day out of the Depreciation Fund of the aforesaid railway.

Referred to Public Utilities and Finance Committee jointly.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Hayden offered the following in explanation of his vote February 8 on War Memorial project:

"I am strongly in favor of providing the money required to complete the War Memorial project at

the proper time, but this Board did not contemplate the expendiutre this year of all of the money included in this item for the War Memorial project and I am opposed to establishing the precedent of committing the Finance Committee in advance to a definite appropriation in a future budget."

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 7:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, April 5, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 9

Monday, March 1, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 1, 1926. 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 1, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Subsequently his Honor the Mayor retired and Supervisor Welch was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of February 1, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Wages on Ferry Boats.

The following was read and ordered *filed*:

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, City and County of San Francisco, Room 235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: This acknowledges yours of February 24th, calling attention to a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on February 23, 1926, concerning hours of employment of the men operating ferry boats of the Southern Pacific Company and the Key System Transit Company.

In order that the Supervisors may have the full facts as to the hours worked by the employees of this company who operate its ferry boats, I am showing below an example of how the watches are arranged on the two regular boats:

On duty 9:00 a. m. Monday; off duty 9:00 p. m. Monday.

On duty 9:00 p. m. Tuesday; off duty 9 a. m. Wednesday. (During this time the boat is tied up at the pier from 1:20 a. m. to 5:40 a. m.)

On duty 9:00 a. m. Thursday; off duty 9:00 p. m. Thursday.

On duty 9:00 p. m. Friday; off duty 9:00 a. m. Saturday. (During this time the boat is tied up at the pier from 9:00 p. m. to 6:00 a. m.)

And continue—

This makes an average of 8 hours on duty out of each 24, of which only an average of 5 hours and 40 minutes in each 24 is actual operating time. The balance, an average of 2 hours and 20 minutes, is put in on a boat tied up at the pier.

(The deck crews relieve at 9:00 and 9:20 a. m. and 9:00 and 9:20 p. m. The engine room crews relieve at 8:00 and 8:20 a. m. and 8:00 and 8:20 p. m.)

The watch on the boat operated during the morning and afternoon rush hours is as follows:

On duty at 7:00 a. m.; off duty at 7:40 p. m. Lunch hour from 12:00 noon to 1:00 p. m. During this time the boat is operated from 7:40 a. m. to 9:20 a. m. and from 4:25 p. m. to 7:40 p. m.

Every third day off duty and every Sunday and holiday off duty.

This makes an average of 48 hours on duty a week. Twenty hours actual operating time and 28 hours on a boat tied up at the pier.

Very truly yours,
GEO. H. HARRIS,
General Manager.

Bus Line Operation.

February 26, 1926.

The Honorable Charles J. Powers,
Board of Supervisors.

Dear Sir:

In accordance with your request I am enclosing herewith copies of two reports of the City Engineer relative to the operation of a bus line on The Embarcadero by the Municipal Railway. The earlier of these two reports was made on May 9, 1922, and was largely an analysis of a report submitted by Mr. Sidney

way is not in suitable condition for the operation of passenger-carrying automobile buses.

In view of these conditions I still must recommend against the establishment of this service on the waterfront. Yours truly,

M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
City Engineer.

City Attorney's Opinion on Sale of City Lands.

February 27, 1926.

Subject: Sale of City lands.

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of a letter under date of February 24th, from the Clerk of your Board, asking that you be advised if when city property is sold at public auction whether or not it is necessary that 90 per cent of the appraised value thereof be received. Attached to the letter is a copy of a letter from his Honor the Mayor, addressed to your Board, reporting several sales of real estate owned by the city made by him at public auction in conformity with the charter provisions. In this letter the Mayor recommends the confirmation of five out of the six parcels sold, and has not asked for confirmation of the parcel described in his letter as Parcel No. 5.

Opinion.

I take it from the correspondence that the property sold was sold at public auction by the Mayor in conformity with Section 9, Chapter II, of Article II, of the Charter. This section provides that where real estate is sold at private sale, it cannot be confirmed by the Board of Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least 90 per cent of the appraised value thereof. There is no such limitation in the article in regard to a sale at public auction, and as the sale mentioned in your letter was made at public auction, you are advised that the 90 per cent limitation does not apply.

I also note from your letter that the bidder for Parcel No. 5 claims that he is entitled to have the bid made by him submitted to the Board for confirmation. I direct your attention to the further provisions of the above mentioned section of the charter, which provides that when a sale of property has been made by the Mayor, either at public auction or at private sale, he shall require from the person to whom the property is sold a deposit, amounting to 10 per cent of the sum bid, and he shall immediately thereafter at the next meeting of the Board of Supervisors report the fact of such sale with a statement of the sum bid, the name of the bidder, and a request that the Board confirm such sale. I note from the Mayor's letter that he has made no request that the Board confirm the sale of Parcel No. 5. On the contrary, he specifically asks that no confirmation of the sale of this particular parcel be made.

While the Mayor makes this request upon the ground that the amount bid is less than 90 per cent of the appraised value of the property, and as I have said before, this limitation does not apply to sales made at public auction, nevertheless, in view of the request of the Mayor, the Board will be justified in refusing to report this particular piece of property for confirmation, for the reason that the above mentioned section provides that the Board of Supervisors may, in its discretion, confirm or refuse to confirm any sale. I am of the opinion that this language is broad enough to give to the Board power to refuse to report any bid submitted by the Mayor, whether the same bears his recommendation or not.

You are, therefore, advised that the 90 per cent limitation does not apply to sales made at public auction, and furthermore, that Mr. Cohn is not entitled to have his bid reported for confirmation, and that the Board is free to readvertise the sale of the property in question.

Respectfully yours,
JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Yacht Harbor at The Marina.

Communication, from Marina Park Improvement Association, transmitting resolutions adopted by said organization deploring the proposed act of the Park Commission in attempting to enlarge the present yacht harbor and their purpose of erecting on some portion thereof a club house with money furnished by private interests, on the ground that it will mar the present beauty of the Park and interfere with the outlook over the Bay.

Read and *referred to the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

Correction of Journals.

Supervisor Shannon called attention to certain errors in the minutes crediting Mayor Rolph with having presided when he was not present and the Clerk was directed to make proper record.

HEARINGS—2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Sargent street, Shields street and Monticello street.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7483, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sargent street, Shields street and Monticello street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of February, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 110 to establish set-back lines along Sargent street, Shields street and Monticello street, and fixed the 1st day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Sargent street between Vernon street and Ramsell street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Sargent street between Ramsell street and Head street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sargent street between Head street and Bright street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Sargent street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Head street and running thence easterly to Bright street, said set-back line to be 6 feet. Along both sides of Sargent street between Bright street and Orizaba avenue, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Shields street between Ramsell street and Head street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Monticello street, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Shields street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Garfield street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Sargent street and Shields street, fixed for 2 p. m. this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7484, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sargent street and Shields street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of February, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 111 to establish set-back lines along Sargent street and Shields street, and fixed the 1st day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northerly side of Sargent street between Worcester avenue and Monticello street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along both sides of Sargent street between Monticello street and Byxbee street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Sargent street between Byxbee street and Ralston street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of

Sargent street between Ralston street and Vernon street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Sargent street, commencing at a point 75 feet easterly from Ralston street and running thence easterly to Vernon street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along both sides of Shields street between Beverly street and Byxbee street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Shields street between Byxbee street and Ralston street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Shields street between Ralston street and Vernon street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Shields street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Ralston street and running thence easterly to Vernon street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along both sides of Shields street between Vernon street and Ramsell street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

Vulcan Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Vulcan street between Ord and Levant streets.

There being no objections, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25258 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Vulcan street between Ord street and Levant street be and the same is hereby sustained, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to modify the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for improvement of Vulcan street between Ord and Levant streets issued January 5, 1926, on the following lots, viz.:

Lot 19, assessed for $646.87, reduced to $323.44.

Lot 15, assessed for $383.74, reduced to $258.74.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

HEARING—4 P. M.

Dehon Street.

Hearing of objections of property owners against closing of all that portion of Dehon street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and 90 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95.

Privilege of the Floor.

Attorney Linn, representing Elizabeth Davis and F. K. Falch, was heard, claiming damage to the property of his clients in the event that the street is closed.

Opinion of City Attorney.

February 27, 1926.

Subject: Damages to property owners for closing portions of Dehon street and Harlow street.

Gentlemen: I am in receipt of a letter from the Clerk of your Board under date of February 24th, advising me that your Board has under consideration the matter of closing certain portions of Dehon and Harlow streets, and that certani property owners owning property fronting on the portions of the streets which are not to be closed, have made a claim for damages by reason of the closing of the remaining portions of the streets, and that you desire to be advised whether such a claim would exist under the circumstances; and further, that if it should be determined that damage has been sustained by the property owners in question, and that the damage was a legal claim against the city, from what fund or funds the damage should be paid; and further, whether the damage should be fixed by the Board of Education or by the Board of Supervisors.

Opinion.

The law governing the procedure of closing streets within the City and County of San Francisco is found in Chapter III, Article VI of the Charter. Under the provisions of this chapter, the Board of Supervisors is given full power to extend, widen, straighten or close streets. The same chapter provides that the damage and expense of such opening, extending, straightening or closing of any street may be assessed in whole or in part on property benefited, or that the said

damage may be paid in whole or in part out of the revenues of the City and County.

The word "damage" used in the chapter mentioned unquestionably refers to damages occasioned by the taking of property, and has no application to the situation now before your Board. However, I am of the opinion that if any property owner can show damage by reason of the closing of any street on which his property fronts, that he is entitled to recover such damage. The Supreme Court of the State has determined this principle in the case of Eachus vs. L. A. Cons. Elec. Ry. Co., 103 Cal. 614, in which the court says:

"The right of an owner of a city lot to the use of the street adjacent thereto is property which cannot be taken from him for public use without compensation."

I have given careful consideration to the statements made in your letter, and also to the map showing the streets closed and the property fronting upon the unclosed portion thereof, and it is impossible for me to determine the amount of the damage sustained by the owners of the property, or even whether they have sustanied any damage. The amount of the damage should be determined either by agreement between your Board and the property owner, or, if such an agreement cannot be effected, then the property owner must have recourse to the courts to determine the damage.

Should it be determined either by your Board or by the courts that either of these property owners have sustained any damage, the amount thereof should be paid from the particular fund which has been set aside by your Board for the purchase of the property in which the closed streets are located. In this instance, I am informed that the property in question is being purchased for school purposes, and therefore you are advised that any damage which may have been sustained by property owners on account of closing the streets mentioned is a proper charge against the fund for the purchase of this property by the Board of Education.

Before any damage can be paid to any of the property owners, proper claims must be presented and filed with your Board in conformity with Section 8 of Chapter II, Article II, of the Charter.

Respectfully yours,
JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28259 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning all that portion of Dehon street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and ninety feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street, in Mission Block No. 95.

Whereas, on the 14th day of December, 1925, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 24935 (New Series), which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 23d day of December, 1925, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 24935 (New Series):

Resolved, That the public interest requires that all that portion of Dehon street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and ninety feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95, be closed and abandoned; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Dehon street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and ninety feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of Dehon street above described shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article 6 of the Charter as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Dehon street as above described be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works, a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in

The San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, December 14, 1925.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Katz, Robb.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, December 23, 1925.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Board of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice, similar in substance, to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, certain persons did make objections to the same within ten (10) days after the expiration of the time of said publication of said notice, which objections were delivered to the Clerk of this Board, who indorsed thereon the date of their reception by him, and at the next meeting of this Board after the expiration of said ten (10) days, did lay said objections before this Board of Supervisors, who thereupon fixed a time for hearing said objections, viz., the 8th day of February, 1926, at the hour of 2 p. m. of said day, which time was not less than one week after said meeting of said Board of Supervisors; and

Whereas, the Clerk of this Board did notify the persons making objections by depositing a notice of said hearing in the post office postage prepaid, addressed to such objectors; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors did hear the objections urged and did overrule said objections; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 24935 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that said portion of Dehon street described in Resolution No. 24935 (New Series) be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered that the portion of Dehon street as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 24935 (New Series) be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said streets described in Resolution No. 24935 (New Series) shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 24935 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said streets shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Harlow Street.

Hearing of objections of property owners against the closing of all that portion of Harlow street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and 85 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95.

Privilege of the Floor.

Attorney Barrett, representing T. Shea, property owner, protested that the closing of Harlow street would damage the property of his client and that claim would be made.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25260 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning all that

portion of Harlow street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and 85 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95 as hereinafter described.

Whereas, on the 14th day of December, 1925, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 24936 (New Series), which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 23d day of December, 1925, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 24936 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that all that portion of Harlow street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and eighty-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street in Mission Block No. 95, be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all of that portion of Harlow street lying between the north line of Seventeenth street and a line parallel with and eighty-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street, in Mission Block No. 95.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of Harlow street above described shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article 6 of the Charter as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said Harlow street as above described be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, December 14, 1925.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Roncovieri, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Katz, Robb.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, December 23, 1925.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Board of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice, similar in substance, to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, certain persons did make objections to the same within ten (10) days after the expiration of the time of said publication of said notice, which objections were delivered to the Clerk of this Board, who indorsed thereon the date of their reception by him, and at the next meeting of this Board after the expiration of said ten (10) days, did lay said objections before this Board of Supervisors, who thereupon fixed a time for hearing said objections, viz., the 8th day of February, 1926, at the hour of 2 p. m. of said day, which time was not less than one week after said meeting of said Board of Supervisors: and

Whereas, the Clerk of this Board did notify the persons making objections by depositing a notice of said hearing in the post office, postage prepaid, addressed to such objectors; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors did hear the objections urged and did overrule said objections; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires that said improvement to be done as specifically described in said Resolution No. 24936 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that said Harlow street described in said Resolution No. 24936 (New Series) be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered that a portion of said Harlow street as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 24936 (New Series) be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said streets described in Resolution No. 24936 (New Series) shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution of Intention No. 24936 (New Series). Be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said streets shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Board refuse to confirm the sale and that the Clerk be directed to advertise anew.

So ordered.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Monitor Battery Nozzles and Inlet Manifolds.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m. for furnishing Monitor Battery Nozzles and Inlet Manifolds and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Hospital Equipment.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m. for furnishing hospital equipment and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Athletic Goods.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m. for furnishing athletic goods and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Hospital Supplies.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m. for furnishing hospital supplies and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Rereferred.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and, on motion, rereferred to the *Fire Committee*:

Oil Storage Tank.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Granting Christenson Construction Company permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to install a 15,000 gallon oil storage tank on the east side of San Bruno avenue, 300 feet north of Oakdale avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Auto Supply Station.

Resolution No. 25261 (New Series), as follows:

Granting the Associated Oil Company permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Market and Eighth streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

No—Supervisor Bath—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $41,798.15, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expened out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for Dudley Stone School (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $1,371.41.

Park Fund.

(2) Haskins & Sells, professional services installing accounting system for Park Commission (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $785.85.

(3) George McLeod, third payment, construction of balustrade around parking space, Lincoln Park (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $1,963.

(4) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., 2494 copies of yearly report of Park Commission (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $2,515.

Special School Tax.

(5) Sylvain Schnaittacher, first payment, architectural services for Madison School (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $900.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(6) Miss H. Nessier, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries by Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $700.

(7) Miss Mary O'Day, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries by Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $1,500.

(8) J. Harry Zemansky, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries by Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $655.15.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(9) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railway cars (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $1,292.37.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(10) Paul E. Denivelle, fifth payment, improvement of Telegraph Hill (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $1,162.50.

(11) Patrick & Co., license plates furnished Auditor (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $2,519.40.

(12) Nugent-Ducray Co., reconstruction of pavement and curbing on Dore street from Folsom street northerly (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $2,407.50.

(13) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Civic Center power house (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $1,785.60.

(14) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Thirty-first avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $1,050.

(15) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Eighteenth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $1,571.25.

(16) Felix McHugh & Son, improvement of Thirtieth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $1,800.

(17) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 20, 1926), $736.

(18) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1926), $1,523.02.

(19) Niles Sand, Gravel & Rock Co., gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1926), $755.35.

(20) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1926), $2,226.81.

(21) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1926), $1,215.17.

(22) Sheldon J. Potter et al., refund of erroneous assessment on improvements (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $949.90.

(23) Shell Company, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,663.90.

(24) Board of Public Works, for labor and materials performed and furnished San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $3,998.50.

(25) Board of Public Works, for labor and materials performed and furnished San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $1,066.10.

Playground Fund.

(26) Thomas F. Jones, third payment, construction of field house at M. S. Hayward Playground (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $2,920.92.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(27) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services rendered in valuation of San Francisco electrical properties (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $750.

(28) John J. Dailey, legal services rendered in valuation of San Fran-

cisco electrical properties (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $850.

Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(20) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for side-sewers (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $913.27.

(30) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for side-sewers (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $609.

(31) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for side-sewers (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $1,029.

(32) Hart-Wood Lumber Co., pine lumber for side-sewers (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $873.97.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(33) Bureau of Management Research, services rendered Civil Service Commission during month of January (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $949.63.

(34) Spring Valley Water Co., water service furnished Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $14,152.40.

Appropriations for Payment of Judgments for Refund of Taxes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments" (Appropriation 59), and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated persons as attorneys and agents for and in behalf of judgment creditors, to-wit:

(1) To Percy E. Towne, attorney, one-tenth of amount of judgment and interest, per schedule attached; second installment (claim dated Feb. 19, 1926), $1,649.66.

(2) To Goldman, Nye & Spicer, attorneys, third installment of one-tenth of judgment and interest, per schedule attached (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $1,116.70.

Appropriations, Lands for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To F. H. Metz, for land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 170 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 45 feet; of uniform dimensions 45 x 240 feet; per acceptance of offer by resolution No. 25242 (New Series), $7,500.

(2) To Mrs. L. King, for land commencing at point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 45 feet; of uniform dimensions 45 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25239 (New Series), $2,500.

(3) To Colin Menzies, for land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Santiago street, distant thereon 82 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Santiago street 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25240 (New Series), $1,100.

(4) To H. Guyer, for land commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Rivera street with the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly along the northerly line of Rivera street 32 feet 6 inches; of uniform dimensions 32½ x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25241 (New Series), $2,700.

Appropriations for Drainage Right of Way, Caine Avenue, Hetch Hetchy Operative Fund Credit, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 39.

(1) For purchase of strip of land on Caine avenue between Lakeview avenue and Ridge lane for drainage purposes, and for construction of drain through this easement to San Jose avenue, $800.

(2) For cost of constructing a sewer in Twenty-sixth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, including inspection and possible extras (J. N. Ducray contract at $6,080), $7,000.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(3) To the credit of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, for the operating expense of the Hetch Hetchy Power Plant during month of December, 1925, $23,300.61.

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 39.

(4) For cost of acquiring sewer rights of way from Mangels avenue to Monterey boulevard between Congo street and Baden street, $7,000.

Authorizing the Preparation of Plans, Etc., for Forty-third Avenue Main Sewer.

Also, Bill No. 7485, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for Section "A" of the Forty-third avenue main sewer, Sunset District, and ordering the construction of Section "A" of the Forty-third avenue main sewer, Sunset District, in accordance with said plans and specifications preared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for Section "A" of the Forty-third avenue main sewer, Sunset District, and to enter into contract for the construction of said Section "A" of the Forty-third avenue main sewer, Sunset District, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said sewer construc-

P. Grassi and A. Minutoli, $2,018—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot 307, Precita-Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 48.614 feet; thence deflecting 24 degrees 56 minutes 55 seconds to the right and continuing northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 47.663 feet; thence deflecting 70 degrees 27 minutes 47 seconds to the right and running easterly 11.947 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left of 937.500-foot radius, tangent to a line deffected 91 degrees 35 minutes 36 seconds to the right from the preceding course, central angle 5 degrees 33 minutes 38 seconds, a distance of 90.984 feet to the southerly boundary of Lot 307, Precita Valley Lands, thence deflecting 88 degrees 33 minutes 20 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running westerly along the said southerly boundary 25.298 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots 317 and 308, Precita Valley Lands.

Lawrence Vannucci and Frank Vannucci, $1,365—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant 352.729 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Flower street extended and produced westerly, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 92.322 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 937.500-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 161 degrees 31 minutes 42 seconds to the left from the preceding course, central angle 1 degree 22

[redacted]

ce of
tan-
67.707
egrees
e left
eet to
g por-
recita

Begin-
y line
there-
n the
, Pre-
istant
herly
, and
along
ave-
herly
0-foot
lected
conds
eding
; 23

minutes 17 seconds, a distance of 39.074 feet; thence deflecting 88 degrees 24 minutes 24 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running westerly 11.947 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 308, Precita Valley Lands.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Accepting Offer of Margaret Gamble to Settle Damage Claim Due to Drying Up of Springs on Her Land by Hetch Hetchy Water Construction.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25263 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the construction of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnel in Tuolumne County, California, has caused various springs to dry up on the property owned by Margaret Gamble, described as follows:

The southwest ¼ of the northeast ¼, the north ½ of the southeast ¼, and the southeast ¼ of the southeast ¼ of Section 26, Township 1 South, Range 16 East, M. D. B. and M.

And as a result of said drying up of the springs the value of said land has been materially diminished and the water supply has been taken away; and

Whereas, the above mentioned Margaret Gamble has offered to deed to the City and County of San Francisco all water rights which are intercepted or affected by said tunnel, and to release the City and County of San Francisco from all claims for damages which have been caused or may hereafter be caused by reason of the construction of said tunnel and the interception and drainage of said percolating waters, in consideration of the sum of $900; and

Whereas, the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply has recommended the acceptance of said offer as a reasonable settlement of damage and injury to said land caused by the construction of said tunnel; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer of the above mentioned party be and it is hereby accepted and said sum of $900 be paid to said party upon receipt of proper conveyance and release conveying said water rights to the City and County of San Francisco, releasing the City from all further claims of damages; said conveyance and release to be in form satisfactory to the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Appropriations for Paving City's Portion of Vulcan Street and De Long Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25264 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the City's portion of the improvement of Vulcan street between Ord and Levant streets, as assessed in the assessment for said improvement against Lots 19 and 15 (Lot 19, $343.43, and Lot 15, $125), $468.43.

(2) For the City's portion of the improvement of De Long street, Orizaba avenue to Liebig street, as assessed in the assessment for said improvement against Lot 57, $494.08.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund Created.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25265 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to the provisions of Section 12 of Chapter II of Article II of the Charter, a fund is hereby created, to be known as the Fire Department Land and Construction Fund, and all money received from the sale of Fire Department lots shall be credited to said fund. The Auditor and Treasurer are directed to audit and pay from said fund demands approved by the Supervisors for the purpose of erecting fire houses on sites therefor as provided in said Charter provisions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 116.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25266 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Shields street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Farallones street commencing at a point 200 feet easterly from Capitol avenue and running thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence easterly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence easterly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the southerly side of Farallones street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Capitol avenue and running thence easterly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 103½ feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly to a point 82½ feet southerly from Quintara street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

Along the northerly side of Twentieth street commencing at Sanchez street and running thence easterly to a point 155 feet westerly from Church street, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 29th day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 117.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25267 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25

feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Baker street, commencing at North Point street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Beach street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Vicente street between Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

And both sides of Vicente street between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 29th day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 118.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25268 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly to Noriega street, said set-back line to be 11 feet.

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Noriega street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly to Noriega street, said set-back line to be 11 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 375 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet: thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Baker street between Beach street and Jefferson street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 29th day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Albion Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:
Bill No. 7486, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:
Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."
Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:
Section 1. Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Albion street, commencing at a point 120 feet southerly from Fifteenth street and running thence southerly 200 feet, and extending westerly to a depth of 87½ feet, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.
Section 2. It is the purpose of this ordinance to allow the erection of a building to be used for theatrical or motion picture purposes and expressly prohibits the use of the land or building on the above described property for boxing or prize-fight exhibitions.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to strike out Section 2.
Supervisor Marks moved as an amendment to substitute the following:
Section 2. The use of the land or building on the above described property for boxing or prize fight exhibitions is hereby prohibited.
Amendment carried, Supervisors Bath and Gallagher voting *No*.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill as amended was *passed for printing*.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit of F. Warham.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:
Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That R. F. Warham be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted E. D. Willis by Resolution No. 24547 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Jackson street and Van Ness avenue.
The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bancroft-Whitney Co., northwest corner of Hyde and McAllister streets, 1500 gallons capacity.
H. N. Cook Co., 401 Howard street, 600 gallons capacity.
Frank J. Klimm Co., northwest corner of Trenton and Jackson streets, 1500 gallons capacity.
Kockos Bros., Inc., southeast corner of Broadway and Davis streets, 600 gallons capacity.
W. B. Morris, 840 Waller street, 600 gallons capacity.
San Francisco Art Institute, south side of Chestnut street, 10 feet west of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.
L. M. Simpson, 123 Buena Vista avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Waxman Baking Co., 1090 McAllister street, 10 horse power.
The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That Tony Peters be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 419 Larkin street.
The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That Jacob Steur be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and oper-

ate a cabinet shop on the east side of Hallam street, 175 feet south of Folsom street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Manfred Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the west side of Forty-eighth avenue, 150 feet north of Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Willig Bros. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Melville, Arnott & Rhine by Resolution No. 18343 (New Series), for premises at the southwest corner of Taylor and Chestnut streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25269 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Single Top Gas.

North side Post street, 206 feet east of Hyde street.

Southwest corner Eighteenth and Howard streets.

North side Washington street, 264 feet west of Divisadero street.

Sacramento street and Brooklyn place.

North side Sacramento street, 98 and 288 feet west of Kearny street.

South side Sacramento street, 192 feet west of Kearny street.

South side Sacramento street, 144 feet west of Grant avenue.

North side Sacramento street, 242 feet west of Grant ovenue.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Sixteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets.

Sacramento street, west of Kearny street and east of Grant avenue.

Sacramento street, west of Grant avenue and east of Stockton street.

Thirty-eighth avenue between Geary and Anza streets.

Thirty-ninth avenue between Clement and Geary streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Dolores street in front of Mission Dolores Church.

Washington street between Divisadero and Broderick streets.

Marina boulevard, third pole west of Avila street.

Marina boulevard, third pole west of Buchanan street.

Light 100 *C. P. Electroliers.*

Merced avenue, pole No. 34, at Kensington way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Auction Sale of City Lands.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 7487, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at public auction of certain lands belonging to the City and County of San Francisco in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described parcel of land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcel of land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wawona street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet; thence at right angles northerly 30 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The said parcel of land hereinabove described shall be sold for cash in United States gold coin at public auction, to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby di-

rected to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper published in this City and County for three weeks successively next before the day on which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale, describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 4. The Mayor, Assessor and the Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said land within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Section 5. Upon receipt and examination of bids or offers for said land as aforesaid, the Mayor shall accept the highest bid made, provided said bid be for at least 90 per cent of the value found by said appraisers, and shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors, with a statement of the sum bid and the name of the highest bidder, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

Traffic Ordinance Amendment, Montgomery Street, Market to Sacramento.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. 7488, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new section to Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), "Regulating Moving Traffic Upon the Public Streets," to be numbered Section 21-D, prohibiting parking on Montgomery street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section, to be numbered Section 21-D, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), to read as follows:

Section 21-D. Between the hours of 7 o'clock a. m. and 7 o'clock p. m. on any day except Sundays and legal holidays it shall be unlawful for the owner, driver or operator of any motor, horse-drawn or other vehicle, or animal, to permit such vehicle or animal to stand upon Montgomery street between Market street and Sacramento street except while such vehicle is being loaded or unloaded.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Clerk to Advertise for Proposals for Printing and Distributing the Delinquent Tax List, Etc.

Resolution No. 25270 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to advertise that sealed proposals for printing, publishing and distributing the delinquent tax list, index to delinquent real estate taxpayers and printing the sales list and other matters incidental thereto for the fiscal year 1925-1926, will be received on Monday, March 15, 1926, between the hours of 2 o'clock and 3 o'clock p. m.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Clerk Directed to Advertise for Official Advertising.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 25271 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received on Monday, the 15th day of March, 1926, at 3 o'clock p. m., for publishing the official advertising for the year commencing April 1, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Rereferred.

The following matter was *rereferred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

Creating Municipal Railway Operative Fund.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Creating certain funds into which shall be paid certain sums and percentages of revenues derived from the operation of the Municipal Railway, appropriating said sums and percentages for the purposes herein stated, and repealing Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series), approved February 9, 1915.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. All money derived from the operation of the Municipal Railway shall be deposited in the Treasury to the credit of the Municipal Railway Fund and appropriations therefrom are hereby made for the following purposes:

1. To meet the costs and expenses of operation.

2. From time to time there shall be set aside from said Municipal Railway Fund a sum or sums that shall aggregate annually a sum equal to two per cent of the gross passenger revenue of said railway credited to the "Accident Reserve Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used to pay claims for accidents and damages resulting from the operation of said railway (other than accidents to employees), and expenses incidental thereto such as expenditures of claim and legal departments. Appropriations to pay such claims are hereby made.

3. From time to time there shall be set aside and transferred to the several bond interest and redemption funds, sums sufficient to pay, and in season to pay the principal and interest upon all bonds issued for the purposes of constructing said Municipal Railway.

4. From time to time there shall be set aside and transferred to the "Depreciation Reserve Fund," which fund is hereby created, a sum or sums which shall during the fiscal year aggregate a sum equal to three per cent of the total cost of the road and equipment of said Municipal Railway. The moneys in such "Depreciation Reserve Fund" shall be used for the purpose of meeting all charges and expenses that may arise on account of replacement, reconstruction and depreciation of and to the Municipal Railway. The Treasurer is hereby authorized to invest any surplus in said funds in such interest-bearing bonds as the law may authorize surplus municipal funds to be invested. All interest earned thereon shall be credited to the Operative Fund.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered that there shall be transferred from the existing Depreciation Fund provided for by Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series) and credited to the "Accident Reserve Fund," herein created, the sum of one hundred thousand dollars and that there shall be transferred from said Depreciation Fund and credited to the Depreciation Reserve Fund the sum of one hundred thousand dollars. The money remaining in said "Depreciation Fund," after such transfers have been made, shall be subject to appropriations heretofore made or to be hereafter made by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. That the classification of accounts as prescribed by the Interstate Commerce Commission for electric railways be adopted by the Board of Public Works as its authority in accounting for all transactions of the railroad, and, in accordance with common custom, accounts shall be so arranged that a complete profit and loss account and balance sheet may be furnished monthly.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series), approved February 9, 1915, is hereby repealed.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering the Improvement of Fillmore Street From Cervantes Boulevard to Marina Boulevard.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7489, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Fillmore street from Cervantes boulevard to Marina boulevard; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement of Fillmore street from Cervantes boulevard to Marina boulevard in accordance with specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of Fillmore street from Cervantes boulevard to Marina boulevard, conditions that progressive payments shall be made during the progress of said work.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing March 15, 1926, 2 p. m., for Hearing Appeal of Property Owners Against Assessment for Improvement of De Long Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25272 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, March 15, 1926, at 2 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on January 6, 1926, for the improvement of *De Long street from the westerly line of Orizaba avenue produced to Liebig street and of Liebig street between San Jose ave-*

nue and the northerly line of De Long street produced, and of Rice street between San Jose avenue and De Long street, and of Rhine street between Flournoy and De Long streets, and of Wilson street between De Long street and Rhine streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer, 14 Y branches and one manhole along the center line of *Rhine street and between the center line of Wilson street and the northeasterly line of Flournoy street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 13 catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of five (5) sets of concrete steps; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of concrete pavement on *Rhine street between Wilson and De Long streets and between Flournoy and Wilson streets*, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Intention to Close and Abandon a Portion of York Street Between Seventeenth and Mariposa Streets.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25273 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that all that portion of York street between Seventeenth street and Mariposa street be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of York street between Seventeenth street and Mariposa street.

Said closing up and abandonment of all that portion of York street between Seventeenth street and Mariposa street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2. Chapter 3 of Article 6 of the Charter as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of York street between Seventeenth street and Mariposa street be paid out of revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works, a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of said street in The San Francisco Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Accepting Deed to Lands Required for Sala Terrace and Ellington Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25274 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 15th day of December, 1925, between G. J. Zehender and J. M. Sala, vice-president and secretary, respectively, of Dolores Realty Company (a corporation), and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, conveying lands to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes, is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands described in said deed are hereby declared open public streets, viz.: Sala Terrace and a portion of Ellington avenue not heretofore dedicated.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Approving Map Showing Sala's Resubdivision.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25275 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 90360 (Second Series) approve a map entitled "Map showing Sala's resubdivision of part of Assessor's Block No. 7044, San Francisco, California;" therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map entitled "Map showing Sala's resubdivision of part of Assessor's Block No. 7044, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shanonn, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Intention to Close and Abandon Ellington Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25276 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Ellington avenue be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Ellington avenue, described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Foote avenue with the present northwesterly line of Ellington avenue, running thence northeasterly along the present northwesterly line of Ellington avenue 176.113 feet; thence deflecting 89 degrees 52 minutes to the right and running southeasterly 10 feet; thence deflecting 90 degrees 08 minutes to the right and running southwesterly parallel with the present northwesterly line of Ellington avenue 176.136 feet to the northeasetrly line of Foote avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Foote avenue 10 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Ellington avenue, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The San Francisco Bulletin," as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Approving Map Showing Widening of Mission Street Between Twenty-sixth and Army Streets.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25277 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 90415 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 17, 1926, approve a map entitled "Amended map showing the widening of Mission street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets;" now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map entitled "Amended map showing the widening of Mission street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Recommending That Streets in the Amazon Tract Be Accepted.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public streets in the Crocker Amazon Tract have been improved under private contract to the advantage of the City, and the property owners thereon have paid the cost thereof and deserve to have the same kept in repair, as in case of improvements made under public contract; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works report to this Board a list of streets in the Crocker Amazon Tract that have been properly constructed and to recommend that the same be accepted as provided in Section 23 of Chapter II of Article VI of the Charter.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work, Silver Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7490, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Silver avenue and on Scotia street as hereinafter stated.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 11th day of December, 1925, by Resolution No. 24890 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Silver avenue and on Scotia street as hereinafter stated; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Silver Avenue.

San Bruno avenue, 61 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 215.07 feet northeasterly from San Bruno avenue, 65 feet.

8 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 215.52 feet northeasterly from San Bruno avenue, 65.27 feet.

8 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 345.48 feet northeasterly from San Bruno avenue, 64.50 feet.

8 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 359.62 feet northeasterly from San Bruno avenue, 65 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Charter Oak avenue easterly line, 70 feet.

Northwesterly line of, at Elmira street westerly line, 75.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Elmira street easterly line, 77 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, at Ledyard street southwesterly line, 83.50 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at Ledyard street northeasterly line, 85 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Waterville street westerly line, 86 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at Scotia street southwesterly line, 94 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northwesterly line of, at Augusta street northerly line, 95.40 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at Scotia street northeasterly line, 96.80 feet.

Northwesterly line of, at Conkling street westerly line, 100.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Conkling street easterly line, 104 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 52.90 feet southwesterly from Thomas avenue northeasterly line, 130.13 feet.

Scotia Street.

Northeasterly line of, at Silver avenue, 96.80 feet.

Southwesterly line of, at Silver avenue, 94 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northeasterly line of, 400 feet southeasterly from Silver avenue, 100.16 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 500 feet southeasterly from Silver avenue, 100.04 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 600 feet southeasterly from Silver avenue, 98 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Silver avenue between San Bruno avenue and a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 52.90 feet southwesterly from Thomas avenue northeasterly line; on Scotia street between Silver avenue and a line parallel with and 600 feet southeasterly therefrom; on Augusta street between Waterville street and Silver avenue, and on Charter Oak avenue between Silver avenue and the first angle southerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Augusta street at Waterville street, and of Charter Oak avenue at the first angle southerly from Silver avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades, Oakdale Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7491, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Oakdale avenue between the southeasterly line of Keith street and Lane street, and on Keith street between Newcomb and

Oakdale avenues, southwesterly line."

Changing Grades on Holloway Avenue and Other Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7492, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Holladay avenue between Peralta avenue and the first angle northerly from Rutledge street; on Tomasa street, between the northwesterly line of Brewster street produced and Holladay avenue; on Brewster street between Isabel and Tomasa streets; on Montcalm street between Tomasa street and Peralta avenue, and on Wright street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue."

Changing Grades on Hamilton Street.

Also, Bill No. 7493, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Hamilton street between Felton and Burrows streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 23rd day of December, 1925, by Resolution No. 24929 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Hamilton street between Felton and Burrows streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Hamilton Street.

Felton street, 107.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

143.26 feet southerly from Felton street, 108.08 feet.

193.26 feet southerly from Felton street, 111.23 feet.

243.26 feet southerly from Felton street, 119.95 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Burrows street northerly line, 156.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Hamilton street between Felton and Burrows streets changed and established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades on Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 7494, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues between Kirkham and Lawton streets, and on Lawton street between Forty-second and Forty-fifth avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 11th day of December, 1925, by Resolution No. 24889 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues between Kirkham and Lawton streets, and on Lawton street between Forty-second and Forty-fifth avenues.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Forty-third Avenue.

Kirkham street, 75.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

400 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 80.50 feet.

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 79.13 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 78.00 feet.

Forty-fourth Avenue.

Kirkham street, 66.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Lawton street, 72.05 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 400 feet southerly from Lawton street, 73.29 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 500 feet southerly from Lawton street, 73.12 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 72.05 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 400 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 73.18 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 500 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 72.67 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 72.58 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 71.00 feet.

Lawton Street.

Forty-second avenue, 84.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-third avenue, easterly line, 79.13 feet.

Forty-third avenue, westerly line, 78.00 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, easterly line, 72.58 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, westerly line, 71.00 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, 50.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, between Kirkham and Lawton streets, and on Lawton street between Forty-second and Forty-fifth avenues, changed and established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades on Baker Street.

Also, Bill No. 7495, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Baker street between lines parallel with and 20 feet northerly from Chestnut street and 112.50 feet southerly from Francisco street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 23rd day fo December, 1925, by Resolution No. 24980 (New Series) declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Baker street between lines parallel with and 20 feet northerly from Chestnut street and 112.50 feet southerly from Francisco street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Baker Street.

20 feet northerly from Chestnut street, 18 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

112.50 feet southerly from Francisco street, 10.51 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Baker street, between lines parallel with and 20 feet northerly from Chestnut street, and 112.50 feet southerly from Francisco street. changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades on Quesada Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7496, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Quesada avenue between Quint street and the northwesterly line of Selby street; on Revere avenue between Silver avenue and the northwesterly line of Selby street, and on Rankin and Selby streets between Palou and Shafter avenues.

Award of Contract for Dry Goods and Wearing Apparel.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25278 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing dry goods and wearing apparel be made on bids submitted February 8, 1926 (proposal No. 158), as follows, viz.:

Item No.	Article	Price	Contractor
208(b)	Cheesecloth, unbleached, yard	$ .03⅜	L. Dinkelspiel Company.
209	Coats, barbers', dozen	22.50	Doctors and Nurses' Outfitting Co.
212	Cotton batting, Algodon, pound	.27	Lazare-Klein Co.
213	Cotton, sheet wadding, sheet	.0375	Lazare-Klein Co.
214(a)	Crochet, white, each	1.87	Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
214(b)	Riplette	1.36	L. Dinkelspiel Company.
220(b)	Canton, yard	.15	L. Dinkelspiel Company.
220(c)	Shaker, yard	.11875	Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co.
220(d)	Shaker, yard	.15	L. Dinkelspiel Company.
220(e) 1	Tennis, Beresford, yard	.112	Lazare-Klein Co.
220(e) 2	Tennis, solid colors, yard	.1425	Lazare-Klein Co.
222	Gingham, yard	.20	L. Dinkelspiel Company.
224	Gowns, dozen	14.25	Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co.
226(c)	Hose, men's, dozen	2.165	Levi Strauss & Co.
227	Jumpers, on sample No. 2, dozen	14.25	Goldstone Bros.
229(a)	Muslin, yard	.28	Lazare-Klein Co.
229(c)	Muslin, yard	.41⅓	Lazare-Klein Co.
229(d)	Muslin, yard	.322	L. Dinkelspiel Company.
229(e)	Muslin, yard	.36¾	Lazare-Klein Co.
229(f)	Bandage, yard	.1025	Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co.
229(m)	Shroud, Charter Oak, yard	.105	Lazare-Klein Co.
231	Oilcloth, yard	.25	D. N. & E. Walter & Co.
232	Overalls, dozen	12.75	Goldstone Bros.
233	Pads, bid "A", dozen.	6.25	Carl Munter & Co.
234(a)	Pajamas, dozen	17.87	Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
234(b)	Pajamas, dozen	17.87	Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
235	Pillowcases, dozen	4.055	L. Dinkelspiel Company.
236(a)	Pins, safety, gross	.615	Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co.
236(b)	Pins, safety, gross	.715	Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co.
236(c)	Pins, safety, gross	.76	J. B. Crowley.
241	Scrim		No award.
242	Sheets, dozen	14.58	L. Dinkelspiel Company.
243	Sheets, draw, dozen	10.35	L. Dinkelspiel Company.
244(a)	Shirts, dozen	8.20	Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
244(b)	Shirts, dozen	8.20	Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
244(c)	Shirts, dozen	12.39	Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
244(d)	Shirts, dozen	7.50	Goldstone Bros.
245(a)	Shoes (partial award), pair	2.79	Philadelphia Shoe Co.
245	Shoes (partial award), pair	2.375	Buckingham & Hecht.
245(b)	Shoes, pair	2.25	Buckingham & Hecht.
246	Slippers, pair	1.90	Rogers Shoe Co.
249	Suspenders, dozen	3.90	N. & S. E. Kalischer.
250	Tablecloth, quality FF Basco, yard	.675	Leighton & Jellett Co.
252	Ticking, C. C. M., yd.	.24⅛	D. N. & E. Walter & Co.
253	Trousers, dozen	31.50	Eloessener-Heynemann Co.
254	Trousers, dozen	9.65	Goldstone Bros.
256	Trousers, dozen	12.00	Doctors and Nurses' Outfitting Co.
258(a)	Men's, dozen suits	13.70	Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
258(b) 1	Men's, dozen garments	6.85	Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
258(b) 2	Men's, dozen garments	6.85	Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
258(c)	Women's, dozen suits.	16.48	L. Dinkelspiel Company.
258(d)	Union Suits, dozen	10.95	L. Dinkelspiel Company.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Appropriation, $100, for Safety Lane Markers.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 25279 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of one

hundred dollars ($100) is hereby authorized to be expended from the appropriation of $10,300 made by Resolution No. 25158 (New Series), to be expended by the Board of Public Works in placing markers for pedestrian lanes on streets and at places to be designated by the Police Department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 25280 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bald Eagle Tribe No. 75, Improved Order of Red Men, is hereby granted permission to conduct a masquerade ball at Moose Hall, 1621 Market street, Saturday evening, February 27, 1926, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Building Law Amendment, Weather Board.

Supervisor Harrelson presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 146 of Ordinance 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, known as "the Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to walls of frame or wooden buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 146 of Ordinance 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 146. The walls of frame or wooden buildings shall be constructed with studding covered on the outside with a weather boarding, or with approved fiber lumber, or with a stucco base of fiber board, asphalt mastic and beveled ⅜-inch wood strips combined, interlocked with stucco material or with a steel reinforcement consisting of a wire mesh made of not less than No. 14 gauge galvanized steel wires spaced not more than two inches on centers in each direction, to which must be securely attached a heavy waterproof paper backing, to be properly nailed to each stud with approved wide-headed, galvanized wire nails not more than six inches apart vertically, and covered on the exposed surface with Portland cement plaster not less than three-fourths of one inch in thickness. No uncovered studding will be allowed against the wall of an adjoining building or structure.

Referred to the Building Committee.

Proposed South Mission Park.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco playground and recreational facilities have not kept pace with the City's growth and needs, and particularly in that populous section of the South Mission District; therefore

Resolved, That the Public Buildings, Finance, Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committees be requested to furnish an estimate of the cost of acquiring a tract of approximately 500 acres in the district bounded by the following streets: Lagrande, Burrows, Cambridge, Felton, Hamilton, Woolsey, Goettingen, Mansell, Brussels, Ward, Ankeny, Cowden, Wilde, Irwin, Campbell, Elliot and Campbell, and to report such estimate in time so that the Finance Committee may give consideration thereto in fixing the budget.

Referred to Public Buildings, Finance and Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committees.

Investigation of Sight-seeing Bus Stands.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Traffic and Safety Committee be directed to institute an investigation of the standing of sightseeing and other public passenger vehicles on Market street, and, if expedient, to submit such measures as will eliminate such stands and provide for the operation of such buses from a central depot.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Leave of Absence, Hon. A. I. Esberg.

Resolution No. 25281 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. A. I. Esberg, mem-

ber of the Board of Education, is hereby granted an extension of his leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing March 13, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Richard J. Welch.

Resolution No. 25282 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Richard J. Welch, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing March 10, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 12, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 10

Monday, March 8, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 8, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 8, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Report of Special Committee on Quarters for District Attorney and Others in the Hall of Justice.

The following was presented and read:

March 5, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Dear Sirs:

Your committee, consisting of Supervisors Shannon, Deasy and Bath, heretofore appointed for the purpose of investigating the Hall of Justice, filed its report with your Board on January 18, 1926, which report was on said last-named date read and accepted, and thereafter Supervisors Havenner and Powers of the Building Committee were added as members of the undersigned committee.

In pursuance of Resolution No. 25055, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on January 18, 1926, your committee received three bids which were filed with the Clerk on February 15, 1926, from owners of property in the vicinity of the Hall of Justice, to rent office space for use by the District Attorney's office, the Adult Probation Officer, the Public Defender's office, and the Grand Jury, namely, California Commercial Union Building, situate at Pine and Montgomery streets, Baldwin & Howell for the Security Building on Sansome street, between California and Sacramento streets, and Louis T. Samuels, for building at 333 Kearny street.

The price per square foot floor space submitted by the California Commercial Union Building was the sum of 25 cents per square foot, and your committee considered it too high and did not give the matter any further consideration. The price from the Security Building Company stated that it would be incumbent upon the City and County of San Francisco to install partitions, additional lighting fixtures, water fixtures, etc. The cost of the installation of these fixtures to be added to price per square foot would also make a prohibitive rate. The price per square foot floor space from Louis T. Samuels for the building at 333 Kearny street is the sum of 15 cents per square foot. Your committee inspected the offices in said building at 333 Kearny street, and find that the offices on the fourth floor are now ready for occupancy, and the remaining floors will be ready for occupancy on April 15, 1926, and no rent will be charged for the occupancy of the offices on the fourth floor until April 15, 1926.

Your committee finds that it is necessary that the matter of leasing offices for the departments named in said resolution should be immediately taken up by the Board of Supervisors, due to the fact that the office buildings in the immediate neighborhood are occupied to their fullest capacity, by reason of the fact that the owners of certain office buildings on Montgomery street, namely, the Russ, Lick and the building at California and Montgomery streets, have notified their respective tenants to vacate and seek other offices, which thereby creates a demand for office space

in the neighborhood of Kearny and Pine streets, and unless immediate action is taken, the City will suffer a loss and be unable to procure office space to accommodate the departments now being housed in the Hall of Justice.

Your committee's report now on file clearly shows the conditions prevailing in said Hall of Justice since 1912.

Your committee is not recommending nor providing space for the Bond and Warrant Clerk's office at this time, due to the fact that, upon investigation, we find that the work of the office is so closely allied with the Police Department and Criminal Courts that for the convenience of the public we deem it inadvisable.

Respectfully yours,
(Sgd.) WARREN SHANNON,
Chairman.
(Sgd.) C. J. DEASY.
(Sgd.) FRANCK R. HAVENNER.
(Sgd.) EDWIN G. BATH.

Resolution No. 25283 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, heretofore the City and County of San Francisco, by Resolution No. 25055 adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, invited bids for the leasing to the City and County of San Francisco, premises for use as offices for the District Attorney's office, the Adult Probation Officer, the Public Defender's office, and the Grand Jury; and

Whereas, an offer has been filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, by Louis T. Samuels, to lease to the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with said Resolution No. 25055, certain offices on the fourth and fifth floors, and the entire sixth and seventh floors in that certain building situate on the west side of Kearny street, between Bush and Pine streets, and known and designated as No. 333 Kearny street, as per floor plans of said premises submitted by said Louis T. Samuels, and containing 7902 square feet of floor space, for a term of five (5) years, two and one-half (2½) months, at a monthly rental of fifteen cents per square foot of floor space, or at an annual rental of $14,233.60, thus making $74,081.25, total rental payable for said floor space, and payable in lawful money of the United States, as follows:

The sum of five hundred ninety-two and 65/100 ($592.65) dollars due on April 15, 1926, for the one-half month commencing April 15, 1926, to and including April 30, 1926; the sum of $1,185.30, due for the month commencing May 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30, due for the month commencing June 1, 1926, aggregating the sum of $2,963.25, to be payable on July 1, 1926; and the sum of $1,185.30, payable on July 1, 1926, for the month commencing July 1, 1926, and a like sum of $1,185.30, on the first day of each and every month thereafter until the said sum of $74,081.25, has been fully paid; and

Whereas, the said offer of said Louis T. Samuels is the best and lowest offer submitted pursuant to said resolution; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer of said Louis T. Samuels, be and the same is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor, be and he is hereby authorized and requested to enter into a lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with said Louis T. Samuels, for the said premises, as shown and described in the floor plan submitted by said Louis T. Samuels, located in said building known and designated as No. 333 Kearny street, for the period of five years, two and one-half months, for use as offices for the said District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury, at a monthly rental of fifteen cents per square foot of floor space, or at the annual rental of $14,223.60, thus making $74,081.25, total rental payable for said floor space, and payable in lawful money of the United States, as follows:

The sum of five hundred ninety-two and 65/100 ($592.65) dollars, due April 15, 1926, for the one-half month commencing April 15, 1926, to and including April 30, 1926, the sum of $1,185.30, due for the month commencing May 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30, for the month commencing June 1, 1926, aggregating the sum of $2,963.25, to be payable on July 1, 1926; and the sum of $1,185.30, payable on July 1, 1926, for the month commencing July 1, 1926, and a like sum of $1,185.30, on the first day of each and every month thereafter until the said sum of $74.081.25 has been fully paid; and said lease is to be approved by the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor Marks Presides.

(At this point in the Proceedings Supervisor McSheehy called Supervsior Marks to preside.)

Supervisor Shannon moved approval of the report and the adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment reference to the Finance Committee and taken up a budget making.

Supervisor Harrelson moved as an amendment to the amendment reference to the Special Committee, the Building Committee and the Finance Committee jointly.

Motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—7.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Amendments.

As stated by the Chair (Supervisor Marks):

Resolution as it is be amended to provide that the Mayor and the City Attorney shall negotiate with the proposed lessor for the purpose of obtaining a clause in the lease providing for the cancellation of the lease within a reaonable time.

Second, to provide that when completed the lease shall be submitted to this Board for ratification and not effective until approved by the Board.

Amendments *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Welch—11.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—5.

Absent—Supervisors Harrelson, Hayden—2.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration at next meeting.

Supervisor Shannon moved suspension of the rules and immediate reconsideration. (Withdrawn.)

Supervisor Powers moved to postpone matter two weeks.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Harrelson, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—5.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, together with the amendments adopted, was *laid over, on Supervisor McSheehy's motion, for reconsideration until the next meeting.*

Leave of Absence, Mayor Rolph.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 5, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I would appreciate your Honorable Board granting me a leave of absence for ten (10) days, beginning today, March 5, 1926, with permission to leave the State of California.

Very respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25331 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing March 5, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Leave of Absence, Mayor Rolph.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on the 5th day of March, 1926, his Honor Mayor James Rolph departed from the City and County of San Francisco and is now outside the territorial limits of the United States without having notified the Board of Supervisors of his intention so to do; and

Whereas, the City and County is now without an executive to act in any emergency that may arise; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested by the Board of Supervisors that he notify that body of his intention to depart from the State or from the United States so that public interest may be safeguarded and this body can be given ample opportunity to appoint a Mayor pro tempore.

Acting Mayor McSheehy.

Thereupon, there being no objection, Supervisor McSheehy was, on motion, made Acting Mayor during the absence of his Honor Mayor Rolph.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 8, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

In behalf of the Mayor, I respectfully request that your Honorable Board join with me in granting to the Honorable J. Emmet Hayden, member of the Board of Supervisors, a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing March 8, 1926.

Very respectfully,
W. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Chas. J. Powers.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 8, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

In behalf of the Mayor, I respectfully request that your Honorable Board join with me in granting to the Honorable Charles J. Powers, member of the Board of Supervisors, a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing March 8, 1926.

Very respectfully,
W. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Richard J. Welch.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 8, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

In behalf of the Mayor, I respectfully request that your Honorable Board joint with me in granting to the Honorable Richard J. Welch, member of the Board of Supervisors, a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing March 8, 1926.

Very respectfully,
W. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25282 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Richard J. Welch, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing March 10, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Warren Shannon.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 8, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

In behalf of the Mayor, I respectfully request that your Honorable Board join with me in granting to the Honorable Warren Shannon, member of the Board of Supervisors, a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing March 8, 1926.

Very respectfully,
W. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Edwin G. Bath.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 8, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

In behalf of the Mayor, I respectfully request that your Honorable Board joint with me in granting to the Honorable Edwin G. Bath, member of the Board of Supervisors, a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing March 8, 1926.

Very respectfully,
W. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25332 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Chas. J. Powers, Hon. Edwin G. Bath, Hon. J. Emmet Hayden and Hon. Warren Shannon, members of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby granted leaves of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing March 8, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor C. J. Deasy.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 4, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Honorable Cornelius J. Deasy, member of the Board of Supervisors, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing March 17, 1926.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25333 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Cornelius J. Deasy, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing March 17, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Leave of Absence, Alfred Ehrman, Member Board of Fire Commissioners.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 2, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for an extension of his leave of absence, for thirty days, commencing March 12, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this request?

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presenetd and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25334 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing March 12, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Water Mains, Glen Park District.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Petition, of the Glen Park Community Club, requesting that adequate water mains in the Glen Park District be installed in order to afford domestic use and fire protection.

Referred to Water Service Committee.

Relative to National Prohibition.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Charles D. Brand, City Clerk, Milwaukee, Wis., enclosing copy of resolution recently adopted by City Council petitioning the Congress and President of the United States to take cognizance of the appalling situation now confronting the country by proceeding forthwith to amend the National Prohibition Act to permit the public of this country to obtain wine and beer.

Referred to the Public Welfare Committee.

Sunday Afternoon Organ Recitals by Edwin Lemare.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Public Spirit Club of San Francisco, transmitting resolution by its board of directors petitioning the Board of Supervisors to invite Edwin H. Lemare to come to San Francisco and to give here a number of Sunday afternoon organ recitals during the months of June, July, August and September, as may be deemed advisable.

Referred to the Auditorium Committee.

Bay Bridge Application.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Edward A. Bertram, serving notice that on Monday, July 12, 1926, at 2 p. m., he will make application to the Board of Supervisors for a franchise to erect, construct and operate a toll bridge from San Francisco to

Oakland and to collect tolls thereon. Schedules of such tolls to be published at the time application is made.

Consideration continued until April 26, 1926.

Financial Statement of School Building Construction.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Board of Public Works transmitting, in accordance with Resolution No. 25192 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, financial statement in the matter of school buildings being constructed under the $12,000,000 bond issue.

Referred to Special Investigation Committee.

Report of Committee on "Bottleneck" Road Widening.

San Francisco, March 6, 1926.

The Committee appointed by his Honor Mayor Rolph at the request of the Board of Supervisors for the correction of the "bottleneck" conditions in San Mateo County, from Colma southerly to Baden, have held numerous meetings to discuss this subject.

During the year 1925 possibly a dozen separate meetings were held between the State Highway Commission, the Market Street Railway Company, the Southern Pacific Company, the City and County of San Francisco, the County of San Mateo, the cemetery representatives and the authorities operating the buses between San Francisco and San Jose.

The questions discussed at those different conferences were:

1. The width and location of the proposed highway.
2. The position of the tracks of the Market Street Railway Company. Changes from their present location to a central strip, with a high concrete curb on each side, will have to be made.
3. The conditions affecting cemetery improvements fronting the proposed highway.
4. The contributions to be made by the various interests affected.

The Southern Pacific Company at one time offered to donate a strip, part of their right of way, for the use of the Market Street Railway tracks, by which the Market Street Railway would be entirely removed from the highway and placed on a separate exclusive right of way. Up to a month ago this solution of the problem was mutually satisfactory to all the interested parties and a distribution of the expense was arranged as follows:

Market Street Railway Co.	$110,000
City of San Francisco.....	150,000
San Mateo County	50,000
State Highway Commission.	200,000

Grants of right of way by the cemeteries fronting the highway.

On Tuesday, February 16, 1926, Mr. Leavitt, right of way agent for the Southern Pacific Company, made announcement to the City Engineer that the previous offer of his company for right of way was withdrawn and that the proposal to move the Market Street Railway tracks onto it was off. This situation induced the Committee to take the matter up again with the Market Street Railway Company, leaving the Southern Pacific Company out of consideration.

The State Highway Commission, through their division engineer, then produced a plan which would comprise a right of way 124 feet wide, with a central strip 28 feet wide exclusively for railway purposes, with one 40-foot traffic highway and an 8-foot sidewalk on each side.

A discussion of this plan was had at the City Engineer's office on Tuesday, February 16, 1926, those present including Mrs. Samuel Kahn, Mr. Melnotte McCants and Mr. Legare of the Market Street Railway Company, Colonel Skeggs of the Highway Commission, Supervisor Richard J. Welch, City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy and Assistant Engineer Clyde E. Healy on behalf of the City, and a new distribution of funds was suggested for the solution of the problem.

The Market Street Railway Company did not deem their new position in the center of the street as advantageous for rapid transit purposes as the previous right of way on the side and objected very strenuously to this new location as they did not believe it would be financially as beneficial to them as the exclusive rapid transit right of way along the Southern Pacific route.

The matter was still further discussed by the same Committee on February 24th, when President Kahn took very serious objections to the Market Street Railway Company contributing the amount of money promised on October 28, 1925, by President Starring, toward removal to the new private right of way.

Colonel Skeggs, in the meantime, had been conducting private negotiations with the cemetery authorities and on Monday, March 1,

secured a positive agreement from them of cooperating by donating their adjacent rights of way for the road widening.

On that date, also, two further conferences were held with the Market Street Railway Company officials, the City Engineer and Colonel Skeggs, and a definite final agreement was made with the Market Street Railway Company by which they acceded to removal to the less desirable location in the center of the highway for their railway. They estimated the cost of moving their tracks onto the new postion to be $113,000, and they finally consented to contribute one-half of this sum, or $56,500, towards reconstructing their tracks in the new location. This agreement opens the way for construction by the Highway Commission.

The contributions of San Francisco and San Mateo counties still stand the same toward the new roadway and it is hoped, with the aid of the Highway Commission, to complete this project at an early date, which is so necessary for public convenience and safety for human life approaching San Francisco on the public highways.

Very respectfully,

Richard J. Welch, for San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

Harvey M. Toy, California Highway Commission.

John I. MacBain.

Cypress Lawn Cemetery Association by H. H. Noble, President.

John Tonningsen.

Max Goldberg, for Salem Cemetery.

George Skaller, Secretary, Masonic Cemetery Association.

Samuel Kahn, for Market Street Railway Company, with reservation that no part of Southern Pacific Bridge changes will be assessed against it after such assurance had been received from Highway Commission representative and City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors' representative.

Mount Olivet Cemetery, by J. J. De Haven, Secretary.

C. E. Healy.

Wm. H. Harrelson.

John H. Skeggs.

M. M. O'Shaughnessy.

J. B. Badaracco.

T. L. Hickey.

Approval of Methods Pursued by Federal and Municipal Officers in the Enforcement of the Volstead Law.

The following was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and *referred to the Public Welfare Committee.*

Whereas, the administration of the Volstead Law in San Francisco has been criticized by the organized "Anti-Saloon League" and kindred agencies; and

Whereas, the citizens of San Francisco, as a community, regard the rational methods pursued by the enforcement officers, Federal and municipal, as best calculated to accomplish the substantial purposes of the law; and

Whereas, representative bodies should express themselves, in order to neutralize the fanatical and censorious policies of the extreme dry forces, constituting a small minority of our people; therefore, be it

Resolved, That General Andrews and Colonel Greene be commended for the wise and prudent purpose of their plan to suppress illicit distilling of ardent spirits, or their importation, and leaving the police enforcement in the hands of local authorities, which are capable of law-enforcement, without unnecessary friction, and preserving the peace and order of the community, without bringing discredit upon our government.

HEARINGS, 2 P. M.

Hearing objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Orizaba avenue and Garfield street.

No objection being offered the following Bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7508, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Orizaba avenue and Garfield street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 8th day of February, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 112 to establish set-back lines along Orizaba avenue and Garfield street, and fixed the 8th day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-

back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Orizaba avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Randolph street and running thence northerly 28 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 22 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Sargent street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Orizaba avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Sargent street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Shields street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Orizaba avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Shields street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Garfield street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Beverly street and Byxbee street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly line of Garfield street between Byxbee street and Vernon street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Vernon street and Ramsell street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Ramsell street and Head street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing objections to the establishment of set-back lines along a portion of Twenty-fifth avenue, northerly from Ulloa street.

No objection being offered, the following Bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7509, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along a portion of Twenty-fifth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 15th day of February, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 113 to establish set-back lines along Twenty-fifth avenue, and fixed the 8th day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 13 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 13 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

HEARINGS, 2:30 P. M.

The following matters were continued for hearing until April 26, 1926, at 3 p. m.

Bridges.

Consideration of the application of John Bermingham, Jr., for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Consideration of the application of A. H. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Consideration of the application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Consideration of the application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Consideration of application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Consideration of application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Consideration of application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Consideration of application of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and operate a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Notice of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., that on July 12, 1926, at 2 p. m., he will apply to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for a franchise to erect and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Chairman.

At this point in the proceedings Supervisor Bath was called to the chair:

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25284 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Company, janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $700.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., binding public library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,134.75.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,997.05.

(4) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,056.25.

Park Fund.

(5) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Feb. 19, 1926), $939.46.

(6) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Feb. 19, 1926), $731.29.

Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment.

(7) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising of sale for nonpayment of tunnel assessment (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $623.47.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(8) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $1,340.80.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $3,495.82.

(10) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for January, per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $1,576.92.

(11) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $37,719.56.

(12) Hancock Bros., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $892.80.

(13) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions and gratuities for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Feb. 8, 1926), $6,574.66.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(14) Krogh Pump and Machinery Co., split shell pump, etc., for Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $675.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $697.83.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for New Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $1,001.67.

(17) Weeks & Day, fourth payment, architectural services, Hawthorne School (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $776.88.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(18) Republic Supply Co., steel and galvanized iron pipe, Hetch

Hetchy Water Construction, Contract 109 (claim dated Feb. 17, 1926), $45,263.09.

Special School Tax.

(19) Joseph J. Rankin, first payment, architectural services for the Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $900.

(20) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for the Francisco School (claim dated Feb. 17, 1926). $1,764.05.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(21) John J. Mahoney, final payment, general construction of the Southern Police Station (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $14,202.37.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(22) W. R. Ballinger & Son, freight paid and cartage on voting machines, Department of Elections (claim dated Feb. 18, 1926), $664.55.

(23) Crown Oil Co., gasoilne for Police Department (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $1,062.65.

(24) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, etc., for County Jails (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $704.08.

(25) The California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $959.35.

(26) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $1,075.33.

(27) Jacobs, Malcolm & Burtt, seed potatoes for County Jails (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $1,207.23.

(28) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $669.38.

(29) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for street repair (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $576.46.

(30) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $3,973.59.

(31) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $1,685.62.

(32) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $891.52.

(33) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $882.85.

(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $4,546.90.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $1,408.11.

(36) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Lane street between Wallace street and Yosemite avenue, City's portion (claim dated Feb. 10, 1926), $712.

(37) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $2,987.17.

(38) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $3,987.28.

(39) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $520.01.

(40) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 15, 1926), $872.16.

(41) Geo. H. & H. Kahn & Co., films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $931.

(42) H. F. Dugan, drugs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $631.15.

(43) Victor X-Ray Corporation, X-ray film, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 29, 1926), $535.26.

(44) California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,256.54.

(45) S. H. Taylor & Son, coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $659.80.

(46) Miller & Lux Inc., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,611.67.

(47) Bay City Market, meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1.596.20.

(48) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,271.61.

(49) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $885.40.

(50) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $846.45.

(51) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,194.15.

(52) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $4,002.54.

(53) Oliva Bros., potatoes, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $543.78.

(54) C. Nauman & Co., fruit and vegetables, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,713.71.

(55) Simmons Co., cribs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $569.70.

(56) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,251.46.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $542.04.

(58) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $3,019.45.

(59) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for

Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1,224.99.

(60) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter, etc., Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1,332.08.

(61) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $726.85.

(62) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $936.99.

(63) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1,887.84.

(64) C. U. Martin, first payment, for furnishing and installing pumps, etc., in Sewage Pumping Station at Great Highway and Vicente street (claim dated Feb. 17, 1926), $2,850.

(65) City Coal Co., fuel furnished Fire Dept. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $646.

(66) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Fire Dept. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1.059.36.

(67) M. Greenberg's Sons, hydrants furnished Fire Dept. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $6,862.50.

(68) Edward M. Lowe Motors Co., repairing Fire Dept. auto (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $572.57.

(69) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Dept. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $2,095.88.

(70) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $827.45.

(71) Shell Company, fuel oil for Fire Dept. (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $1 639.24.

(72) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept., removing and installing hydrants (claim dated Jan. 30, 1926), $4,497.45.

(73) The White Company, one White auto chassis for use of Emergency Hospitals (claim dated Jan. 20, 1926), $2,949.20.

(74) Market Street Railway Company, refund of first installment of taxes, fiscal year 1925-1926, paid on property at Frederick and Willard streets, being purchased by the City under agreement of March 12, 1923 (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $671.13.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Appropriation, $19,595, Payment to Charles Monson for Property Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 25285 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $19,595 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment to Charles Monson out of $100,000 set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series); being payment for property particularly described in Resolution No. 25132 (New Series), accepting offer for said property and which property is required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard. (Claim dated Feb. 11, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Appropriations for Lands for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25286 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To First Bank of Jamestown or the Jamestown National Bank, for lands commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Flood avenue with the easterly line of Foerster street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Foerster street 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 100 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25,210 (New Series), and required for the Sunnyside School, $4,399.

(2) To J. M. Gamble, for lands commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Santiago street with the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 125 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of irregular dimensions; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25211 (New Series), $6,050.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Appropriation, $4,000, Reconstruction of Montgomery Street Between Pacific Street and Broadway.

Resolution No. 25287 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1925-1926, for the reconstruction of Mont-

gomery street between Pacific street and Broadway.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Appropriations, Hetch Hetchy Transmission Line and Repair Break in Moccasin Creek Penstock Lines.

Resolution No. 25288 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(2) To the credit of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, to defray the cost of repairing the break in the Moccasin Creek Penstock Lines, which occurred in June, 1925, the sum of $54,026.25.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Action Deferred.

The following item was *laid over one week*:

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(1) For expense of preparation of plans, specifications and estimates by the City Engineer for the completion of the electrical transmission line of the Hetch Hetchy project to a point within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, as directed by Resolution No. 25191 (New Series), the sum of $20,000.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed*:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25289 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expened out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for Dudley Stone School (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $1,371.41.

Park Fund.

(2) Haskins & Sells, professional services installing accounting system for Park Commission (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $785.85.

(3) George McLeod, third payment, construction of balustrade around parking space, Lincoln Park (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $1,963.

(4) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., 2494 copies of yearly report of Park Commission (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $2,515.

Special School Tax.

(5) Sylvain Schnaittacher, first payment, architectural services for Madison School (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $900.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(6) Miss H. Nessier, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries by Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $700.

(7) Miss Mary O'Day, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries by Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $1,500.

(8) J. Harry Zemansky, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries by Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $655.15.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(9) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railway cars (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $1,292.37.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(10) Paul E. Denivelle, fifth payment, improvement of Telegraph Hill (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $1,162.50.

(11) Patrick & Co., license plates furnished Auditor (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $2,519.40.

(12) Nugent-Ducray Co., reconstruction of pavement and curbing on Dore street from Folsom street northerly (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $2,407.50.

(13) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Civic Center power house (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $1,785.60.

(14) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Thirty-first avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $1,050.

(15) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Eighteenth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $1,-571.25.

(16) Felix McHugh & Son, improvement of Thirtieth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $1,800.

(17) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 20, 1926), $736.

(18) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1926), $1,523.02.

(19) Niles Sand, Gravel & Rock Co., gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1926), $755.35.

(20) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1926), $2,226.81.

(21) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1926), $1,215.17.

(22) Sheldon J. Potter et al., refund of erroneous assessment on improvements (claim dated Feb. 23, 1926), $949.90.

(23) Shell Company, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,663.90.

(24) Board of Public Works, for labor and materials performed and furnished San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $3,-998.50.

(25) Board of Public Works, for labor and materials performed and furnished San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $1,-066.10.

Playground Fund.

(26) Thomas F. Jones, third payment, construction of field house at M. S. Hayward Playground (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $2,920.92.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(27) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services rendered in valuation of San Francisco electrical properties (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $750.

(28) John J. Dailey, legal services rendered in valuation of San Francisco electrical properties (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $850.

Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(20) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for side-sewers (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $913.27.

(30) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for side-sewers (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $609.

(31) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for side-sewers (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $1,029.

(32) Hart-Wood Lumber Co., pine lumber for side-sewers (claim dated Feb. 26, 1926), $873.97.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(33) Bureau of Management Research, services rendered Civil Service Commission during month of January (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $949.63.

(34) Spring Valley Water Co., water service furnished Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Feb. 24, 1926), $14,152.40.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Appropriations for Payment of Judgments for Refund of Taxes.

Resolution No. 25290 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments" (Appropriation 59), and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated persons as attorneys and agents for and in behalf of judgment creditors, to-wit:

(1) To Percy E. Towne, attorney, one-tenth of amount of judgment and interest, per schedule attached; second installment (claim dated Feb. 19, 1926), $1,649.66.

(2) To Goldman, Nye & Spicer, attorneys, third installment of one-tenth of judgment and interest, per schedule attached (claim dated Feb. 11, 1926), $1,116.70.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Appropriations, Lands for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25291 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To F. H. Metz, for land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 170 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 45 feet; of uniform dimensions 45 x 240 feet; per acceptance of offer by resolution No. 25242 (New Series), $7,500.

(2) To Mrs. L. King, for land commencing at point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 45 feet; of uniform dimensions 45 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25239 (New Series), $2,500.

(3) To Colin Menzies, for land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Santiago street, distant thereon 82 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, running thence westerly along the northerly line of

Santiago street 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25240 (New Series), $1,100.

(4) To H. Guyer, for land commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Rivera street with the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly along the northerly line of Rivera street 32 feet 6 inches; of uniform dimensions 32½ x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25241 (New Series), $2,700.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Appropriations for Drainage Right of Way, Caine Avenue, Hetch Hetchy Operative Fund Credit, Etc.

Resolution No. 25292 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 39.

(1) For purchase of strip of land on Caine avenue between Lakeview avenue and Ridge lane for drainage purposes, and for construction of drain through this easement to San Jose avenue, $800.

(2) For cost of constructing a sewer in Twenty-sixth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, including inspection and possible extras (J. N. Ducray contract at $6,080), $7,000.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(3) To the credit of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, for the operating expense of the Hetch Hetchy Power Plant during month of December, 1925, $23,300.61.

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 39.

(4) For cost of acquiring sewer rights of way from Mangels avenue to Monterey boulevard between Congo street and Baden street, $7,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25293 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Thos. Brodie, south side of O'Farrell street, 200 feet west of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Flick, 1075 O'Farrell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, east side of Bay street, 240 feet south of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. C. Kirby, north side of Oak street, 210 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Magnuson & Peterson, south side of Page street, 160 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. M. Oyen, west side of Shrader street, 40 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. E. Sbarboro, west line of Divisadero street, 87 feet south of Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Werner, 10 Sea Cliff avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Alberta Candy Co., 2201 Third street, 55 horsepower.

Edward Sors, east side of Mission street, 150 feet north of Richland street, 20 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 25294 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John and Edward Furrer be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the northwest corner of Sixteenth and Shotwell streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Resolution No. 25295 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Arent Olsen be

and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Hadley & Barkan by Resolution No. 19918 (New Series) for premises at 514½ Pacific street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco. Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon. Welch—5.

Resolution No. 25296 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Oswin Werner be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the west side of Mission street between Nagle and Whipple streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon. Welch—5.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit of F. Warham.

Resolution No. 25297 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. F. Warham be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted E. D. Willis by Resolution No. 24547 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Jackson street and Van Ness avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25298 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bancroft-Whitney Co., northwest corner of Hyde and McAllister streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. N. Cook Co., 401 Howard street, 600 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., northwest corner of Trenton and Jackson streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Kockos Bros., Inc., southeast corner of Broadway and Davis streets, 600 gallons capacity.

W. B. Morris, 840 Waller street, 600 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Art Institute, south side of Chestnut street, 10 feet west of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. M. Simpson, 123 Buena Vista avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Waxman Baking Co., 1090 McAllister street, 10 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25299 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Tony Peters be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 419 Larkin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Resolution No. 25300 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jacob Steur be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop on the east side of Hallam street, 175 feet south of Folsom street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 25301 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Manfred Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the west side of Forty-eighth avenue, 150 feet north of Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Resolution No. 25302 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Willig Bros. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Melville, Arnott & Rhine by Resolution No. 18343 (New Series), for premises at the southwest corner of Taylor and Chestnut streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Ordering Construction of Duboce Tunnel.

Bill No. 7480, Ordinance No. 6998 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a tunnel with approaches and appurtenances thereto, under the elevation whereon is situated Buena Vista Park, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract or contracts for such construction and to purchase and acquire said lands and easements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction and completion of a tunnel with approaches and appurtenances thereto under the elevation whereon is situated Buena Vista Park, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being construction referred to in the resolution of intention of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco in that behalf, being Resolution No. 20003 (New Series), approved June 3, 1922, is hereby ordered.

Said tunnel shall be constructed in the place and in the manner specified, delineated and shown in the "plans, profiles, cross-sections and general specifications of the work required for the completion of such tunnel and appurtenances thereto," adopted and confirmed by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County by a resolution of said Board of Supervisors, being Resolution No. 23856 (New Series), adopted April 13, 1925, and approved by the Mayor of the said City and County of San Francisco April 17, 1925, modifying and confirming the report of the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco theretofore made and filed with the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of "The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance" of said City and County of San Francisco. Said construction shall be made under the direction of and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The acquisition in fee simple of the lands declared necessary and convenient for the purpose of said proposed tunnel construction by said resolution of intention and the acquisition of the easement or right of way declared necessary and convenient for the purpose of the said proposed tunnel construction by said resolution of intention, which said acquisitions are more fully set forth in Parts II and V of the report of the Board of Public Works to the Board of Supervisors filed in said matter by said Board of Public Works pursuant to Sections 6 and 45 of said "The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance," which said report was modified and confirmed by said Board of Supervisors by said Resolution No. 23856 (New Series), are and each of them is hereby ordered.

Section 3. The said Board of Public Works is authorized and directed to enter into a contract or contracts for such tunnel construction in accordance with the plans and specifications therefor, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County in that behalf and in the manner and by the proceedings provided in Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter of said City and County for letting of contracts by said Board of Pub-

lic Works, and progressive payments are hereby authorized to be made for such construction as provided in Section 21 of said chapter and article and in the manner and amounts as are provided for in said specifications heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors for said construction.

Section 4. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to acquire or purchase the said lands and rights of way incidental to and necessary for the construction of said tunnel as set forth in said resolution of intention and in said Part II and Part V of said report of the Board of Public Works so confirmed as aforesaid. But no purchase shall be valid until ratified by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Auction Sale of City Lands.

Bill No. 7487, Ordinance No. 6999 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at public auction of certain lands belonging to the City and County of San Francisco in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described parcel of land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcel of land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wawona street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet; thence at right angles northerly 30 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The said parcel of land hereinabove described shall be sold for cash in United States gold coin at public auction, to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper published in this City and County for three weeks successively next before the day on which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale, describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 4. The Mayor, Assessor and the Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said land within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Section 5. Upon receipt and examination of bids or offers for said land as aforesaid, the Mayor shall accept the highest bid made, provided said bid be for at least 90 per cent of the value found by said appraisers, and shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors, with a statement of the sum bid and the name of the highest bidder, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Traffic Ordinance Amendment, Montgomery Street, Market to Sacramento.

Bill No. 7488, Ordinance No. 7000 (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new section to Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), "Regulating Moving Traffic Upon the Public Streets," to be numbered Section 21-D, prohibiting parking on Montgomery street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section, to be numbered Section 21-D, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), to read as follows:

Section 21-D. Between the hours of 7 o'clock a. m. and 7 o'clock p. m. on any day except Sundays and legal holidays it shall be unlawful for the owner, driver or operator of any motor, horse-drawn or other vehicle, or animal, to permit such vehicle or animal to stand upon Montgomery street between Market street and Sacramento street except while such vehicle is being loaded or unloaded.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Ordering the Improvement of Fillmore Street From Cervantes Boulevard to Marina Boulevard.

Bill No. 7489, Ordinance No. 7001 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Fillmore street from Cervantes boulevard to Marina boulevard; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement of Fillmore street from Cervantes boulevard to Marina boulevard in accordance with specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of Fillmore street from Cervantes boulevard to Marina boulevard, conditions that progressive payments shall be made during the progress of said work.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Ordering Street Work, Silver Avenue.

Bill No. 7490, Ordinance No. 7002 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Silver avenue and on Scotia street as hereinafter stated.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 11th day of December, 1925, by Resolution No. 24890 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Silver avenue and on Scotia street as hereinafter stated; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Silver Avenue.

San Bruno avenue, 61 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 215.07 feet northeasterly from San Bruno avenue, 65 feet.

8 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 215.52 feet northeasterly from San Bruno avenue, 65.27 feet.

8 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 345.48 feet northeasterly from San Bruno avenue, 64.50 feet.

8 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 359.62 feet northeasterly from San Bruno avenue, 65 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Charter Oak avenue easterly line, 70 feet.

Northwesterly line of, at Elmira street westerly line, 75.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Elmira street easterly line, 77 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, at Ledyard street southwesterly line, 83.50 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at Ledyard street northeasterly line, 85 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Waterville street westerly line, 86 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at Scotia street southwesterly line, 94 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northwesterly line of, at Augusta street northerly line, 95.40 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at Scotia street northeasterly line, 96.80 feet.

Northwesterly line of, at Conkling street westerly line, 100.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Conkling street easterly line, 104 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 52.90 feet southwesterly from Thomas avenue northeasterly line, 130.13 feet.

Scotia Street.

Northeasterly line of, at Silver avenue, 96.80 feet.

Southwesterly line of, at Silver avenue, 94 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northeasterly line of, 400 feet southeasterly from Silver avenue, 100.16 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 500 feet southeasterly from Silver avenue, 100.04 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 600 feet southeasterly from Silver avenue, 98 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Silver avenue between San Bruno avenue and a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 52.90 feet southwesterly from Thomas avenue northeasterly line; on Scotia street between Silver avenue and a line parallel with and 600 feet southeasterly therefrom; on Augusta street between Waterville street and Silver avenue, and on Charter Oak avenue between Silver avenue and the first angle southerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Augusta street at Waterville street, and of Charter Oak avenue at the first angle southerly from Silver avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Changing Grades, Oakdale Avenue.

Bill No. 7491, Ordinance No. 7003 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Oakdale avenue between the southeasterly line of Keith street and Lane street, and on Keith street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues southwesterly line.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 23d day of December, 1925, by Resolution No. 24934 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Oakdale avenue between the southeasterly line of Keith street and Lane street and on Keith street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues southwesterly line; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Oakdale Avenue.

Northeasterly line of, at Keith street southeasterly line, 140.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly line of, at Keith street, 138.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Keith street northwesterly line, 138.38 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Keith street northwesterly line, 139.25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from Keith street northwesterly line, 139.52 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northeasterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from Keith street northwesteriy line, 140.70 feet.

15 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from Keith street northwesterly line, 143.30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northeasterly line of, 5 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 140.86 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 143.49 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 55 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 143.99 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 5 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 140.86 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 143.49 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 55 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 143.99 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 139.51 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 137.47 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 133.64 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 139.51 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 137.47 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 133.64 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 133.51 feet.

30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 131.47 feet.

30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 127.64 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 133.37 feet.

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 131.43 feet.

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Keith street, 127.64 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northeasterly line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Lane street, 117.56 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Lane street, 117.56 feet.

Lane street, 104.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Keith Street.

Newcomb avenue, 184.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly line of, at Oakdale avenue northeasterly line, 140.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Oakdale avenue northeasterly line, 140.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue, 143.30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Oakdale avenue northeasterly line, 140.70 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 15 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line, 139.52 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northwesterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line, 139.25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Oakdale avenue between the southeasterly line of Keith street and Lane street, and on Keith street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues southwesterly line changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Changing Grades on Holloway Avenue and Other Streets.

Bill No. 7492, Ordinance No. 7004 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Holladay avenue between Peralta avenue and the first angle northerly from Rutledge street; on Tomasa street between the northwesterly line of Brewster street produced, and Holladay avenue; on Brewster street between Isabel and Tomasa streets, on

Montcalm street between Tomasa street and Peralta avenue and on Wright street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 23rd day of December, 1925, by Resolution No. 24931 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Holladay avenue between Peralta avenue and the first angle northerly from Rutledge street; on Tomasa street between the northwesterly line of Brewster street produced and Holladay avenue; on Brewster street between Isabel and Tomasa streets; on Montcalm street between Tomasa street and Peralta avenue, and on Wright street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Holladay Avenue.

8.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 2.39 feet southerly from Peralta avenue, 105.0 feet.

46 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 2.39 feet southerly from Peralta avenue, 105.0 feet.

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150.0 feet southerly from Peralta avenue, 112.0 feet.

26 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150.0 feet southerly from Peralta avenue, 112.0 feet.

28 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150.0 feet southerly from Peralta avenue, 99.0 feet.

Easterly curb line of, cut by line at right angles to westerly line of 150.0 feet southerly from Peralta avenue, 99.0 feet.

8.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Wright street northerly line, 107.8 feet.

Westerly line of, 7.0 feet southerly from Wright street, northerly line, 108.0 feet.

32.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 20.0 feet southerly from Wright street northerly line, 107.0 feet.

Westerly line of, 7.0 feet northerly from Wright street southerly line, 108.0 feet.

14.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 7.0 feet southerly from Wright street, 104.51 feet.

34.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 54.5 feet southerly from Wright street, 83.0 feet.

52.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 54.5 feet southerly from Wright street, 83.0 feet.

32.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 14.3 feet northerly from Tomasa street, 83.0 feet.

14.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 27.35 feet northerly from Tomasa street, 84.20 feet.

14.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 14.30 feet northerly from Tomasa street, 83.18 feet.

13.05 feet southwesterly from the last described point, measured along the curb, 82.55 feet.

13.05 feet southwesterly from the last described point, measured along the curb, 82.92 feet.

13.05 feet southwesterly from the last described point, measured along the curb, 85.15 feet. (Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

8.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 7.14 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 75.90 feet.

21.09 feet northwesterly from the last described point, measured along the curb, 78.16 feet.

21.09 feet northwesterly from the last described point, measured along the curb, 82.17 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

34 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 48.69 feet northerly from Tomasa street southerly line, 73.22 feet.

34.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 1.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 72.0 feet.

52.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 1.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 71.0 feet.

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 51.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 73.5 feet.

33 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 51.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 72.0 feet.

52 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 73.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 70.0 feet.

60 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 81.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 70.0 feet.

60 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 109.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 70.0 feet.

43 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 126.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 71.5 feet.

17 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 131.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 73.0 feet.

Tomasa Street.

10.0 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Brewster street northwesterly line, 138.0 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10.0 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 17.22 feet northwesterly from Montcalm street, 138.0 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, 10.0 feet northeasterly from Brewster street northwesterly line, 139.0 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 10.0 feet northwesterly from Brewster street northeasterly line, 139.0 feet.

18.0 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Brewster street southeasterly line, 138.0 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point on the northerly end of the arc of the curb at the northeast corner of Montcalm street, 138.0 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

18.0 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 12.65 feet southeasterly from Montcalm street, 134.61 feet.

18.0 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 16.62 feet northwesterly from Holladay avenue, 88.2 feet.

13.05 feet southeasterly from the last described point, measured along the curb, 85.15 feet.

18.0 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 24.30 feet northwesterly from Holladay avenue, 82.17 feet.

Brewster Street.

10.0 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Tomasa street southwesterly line, 139.0 feet.

10.0 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Tomasa street southwesterly line, 139.0 feet.

10 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 8 feet northeasterly from Isabel street, 148.43 feet.

10 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Isabel street northeasterly line, 149.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Wright Street.

7.0 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Holladay avenue westerly line, 108.0 feet.

7.0 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Holladay avenue westerly line, 108.0 feet.

7.0 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 93.0 feet westerly from Holladay avenue, 130.32 feet.

7.0 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 118.0 feet westerly from Holladay avenue, 133.92 feet.

7.0 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 143.0 feet westerly from Holladay avenue, 132.71 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

7.0 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 93.0 feet westerly from Holladay avenue, 130.32 feet.

7.0 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 118.0 feet westerly from Holladay avenue, 133.96 feet.

7.0 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 143.0 feet westerly from Holladay avenue, 132.87 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

7.0 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Montcalm street easterly line, 124.50 feet.

7.0 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Montcalm street easterly line, 125.0 feet.

Montcalm Street.

Easterly line of, 7.0 feet southerly from Wright street northerly line, 125.0 feet.

Easterly line of, 7.0 feet northerly from Wright street southerly line, 124.5 feet.

7.0 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Wright street southerly line produced, 124.5 feet.

7.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Wright street southerly line produced, 125.0 feet.

7.0 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 6.24 feet northerly from Tomasa street, 139.0 feet.

7.0 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to westerly line of, 6.24 feet northerly from Tomasa street, 140.0 feet.

7.0 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the easterly line produced 6.24 feet southerly from Tomasa street northeasterly line, 138.0 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Holladay avenue, between Peralta avenue and the first angle northerly from Rutledge street; on Tomasa street, between the northwesterly line of Brewster street produced, and Holladay avenue; on Brewster street, between Isabel and Tomasa streets; on Montcalm street between Tomasa street and Peralta avenue, and on Wright street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Holladay avenue, at the first angle northerly from Rut-

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ledge street and of Peralta avenue at Montcalm street.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Changing Grades on Hamilton Street.

Bill No. 7493, Ordinance No. 7005 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Hamilton street between Felton and Burrows streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 23rd day of December, 1925, by Resolution No. 24929 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Hamilton street between Felton and Burrows streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated. are hereby changed and established as follows:

Hamilton Street.

Felton street, 107.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

143.26 feet southerly from Felton street, 108.08 feet.

193.26 feet southerly from Felton street, 111.23 feet.

243.26 feet southerly from Felton street, 119.95 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Burrows street northerly line, 156.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Hamilton street between Felton and Burrows streets changed and established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Changing Grades on Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues.

Bill No. 7494, Ordinance No. 7006 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues between Kirkham and Lawton streets, and on Lawton street between Forty-second and Forty-fifth avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 11th day of December, 1925, by Resolution No. 24889 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues between Kirkham and Lawton streets, and on Lawton street between Forty-second and Forty-fifth avenues.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Forty-third Avenue.

Kirkham street, 75.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

400 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 80.50 feet.

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 79.13 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 78.00 feet.

Forty-fourth Avenue.

Kirkham street, 66.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Lawton street, 72.05 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 400 feet southerly from Lawton street, 73.29 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 500 feet southerly from Lawton street, 73.12 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 72.05 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 400 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 73.18 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 500 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 72.67 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 72.58 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 71.00 feet.

Lawton Street.

Forty-second avenue, 84.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-third avenue, easterly line, 79.13 feet.

Forty-third avenue, westerly line, 78.00 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, easterly line, 72.58 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, westerly line, 71.00 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, 50.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, between Kirkham and Lawton streets, and on Lawton street between Forty-second and Forty-fifth avenues, changed and established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Changing Grades on Baker Street.

Bill No. 7495, Ordinance No. 7007 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Baker street between lines parallel with and 20 feet northerly from Chestnut street and 112.50 feet southerly from Francisco street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 23rd day fo December, 1925, by Resolution No. 24980 (New Series) declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Baker street between lines parallel with and 20 feet northerly from Chestnut street and 112.50 feet southerly from Francisco street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Baker Street.

20 feet northerly from Chestnut street, 18 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

112.50 feet southerly from Francisco street, 10.51 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Baker street, between lines parallel with and 20 feet northerly from Chestnut street, and 112.50 feet southerly from Francisco street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Changing Grades on Quesada Avenue.

Bill No. 7496, Ordinance No. 7008 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Quesada avenue between Quint street and the northwesterly line of Selby street; on Revere avenue between Silver avenue and the northwesterly line of Selby street; and on Rankin and Selby streets between Palou and Shafter avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 11th day of December, 1925, by Resolution No. 24887 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Quesada avenue between Quint street and the northwesterly line of Selby street; on Revere avenue be-

tween Silver avenue and the northwesterly line of Selby street; and on Rankin and Selby streets between Palou and Shafter avenues.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Quesada Avenue.

Northeasterly line of, at Quint street, 70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, at Quint street, 72 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

22.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 164.33 feet northwesterly from Quint street, 66.33 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 164.33 feet northwesterly from Quint street, 66.33 feet.

22.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 243.23 feet, northwesterly from Quint street, 64.28 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 243.23 feet northwesterly from Quint street, 64.28 feet.

22.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Rankin street, 61.50 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Rankin street, 61.50 feet.

22.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Rankin street southeasterly line, 47 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Rankin street southeasterly line, 48 feet.

7.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 15 feet northwesterly from Rankin street southeasterly line, 46 feet.

7.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 15 feet northwesterly from Rankin street southeasterly line, 48 feet.

7.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from Rankin street northwesterly line, 46 feet.

7.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from Rankin street northwesterly line, 48 feet.

22.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Rankin street northwesterly line, 46 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Rankin street northwesterly line, 47 feet.

22.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 136 feet northwesterly from Rankin street, 35 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 136 feet northwesterly from Rankin street, 35 feet.

22.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Selby street southeasterly line, 21 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Selby street southeasterly line, 21 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 15 feet northwesterly from Selby street southeasterly line, 20 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 15 feet northwesterly from Selby street southeasterly line, 21 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from Selby street northwesterly line, 19 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from Selby street northwesterly line, 20 feet.

15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Selby street northwesterly line, 19 feet.

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Selby street northwesterly line, 19 feet.

Revere Avenue.

22.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 38.76 feet northwesterly from Silver avenue, 93.50 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 4.40 feet northwesterly from Silver avenue, 94.50 feet.

22.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Rankin street southeasterly line, 87.50 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Rankin street southeasterly line, 88.50 feet.

7.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 15 feet northwesterly from Rankin street southeasterly line, 86.50 feet.

7.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 15 feet northwesterly from Rankin street southeasterly line, 88.50 feet.

7.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 15 feet south-

easterly from Rankin street northwesterly line, 86.50 feet.

7.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from Rankin street northwesterly line, 88.50 feet.

22.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Rankin street northwesterly line, 86.50 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Rankin street northwesterly line, 87.50 feet.

22.50 feet suothwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Selby street, 47 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Selby street, 47 feet.

22.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Selby street southeasterly line, 37 feet.

22.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Selby street southeasterly line, 37 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 15 feet northwesterly from Selby street southeasterly line, 36 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 15 feet northwesterly from Selby street southeasterly line, 37 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from Selby street northwesterly line, 35 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from Selby street northwesterly line, 36 feet.

15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Selby street northwesterly line, 35 feet.

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Selby street northwesterly line, 35 feet.

Rankin Street.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Palou avenue southwesterly line, 22 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Palou avenue southwesterly line, 21 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 7.50 feet southwesterly from Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 46 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 7.50 feet southwesterly from Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 46 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 22.50 feet southwesterly from Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 47 feet.

Northwesterly line of, 22.50 feet southwesterly from Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 46 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 22.50 feet norhteasterly from Quesada avenue southwesterly line. 48 feet.

Northwesterly line of, 22.50 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue southwesterly line, 47 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 7.50 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue southwesterly line, 48 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 7.50 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue southwesterly line, 48 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 7.50 feet southwesterly from Revere avenue northeasterly line, 86.50 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of, 7.50 feet southwesterly from Revere avenue northeasterly line, 86.50 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 22.50 feet southwesterly from Revere avenue northeasterly line, 87.50 feet.

Northwesterly line of, 22.50 feet southwesterly from Revere avenue northeasterly line, 86.50 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 22.50 feet northeasterly from Revere avenue southwesterly line, 88.50 feet.

Northwesterly line of, 22.50 feet northeasterly from Revere avenue southwesterly line, 87.50 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 7.50 feet northeasterly from Revere avenue souhtwesterly line, 88.50 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 7.50 feet northeasterly from Revere avenue southwesterly line, 88.50 feet.

Shafter avenue, 123 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Selby Street.

Palou avenue, 7 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southwesterly from Palou avenue, 13 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 20 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the norhtwesterly line of, at Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 19 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 22.50 feet southwesterly from Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 21 feet.

Northwesterly line of, 15 feet southwesterly from Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 19 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 22.50 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue southwesterly line, 21 feet.

Northwesterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue southwesterly line, 19 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Quesada avenue southwesterly line, 21 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Quesada avenue southwesterly line, 20 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the

southeasterly line of, at Revere avenue northeasterly line, 36 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Revere avenue northeasterly line, 35 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 22.50 feet southwesterly from Revere avenue northeasterly line, 37 feet.

Northwesterly line of, 15 feet southwesterly from Revere avenue northeasterly line, 35 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 22.50 feet northeasterly from Revere avenue southwesterly line, 37 feet.

Northwesterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Revere avenue southwesterly line, 35 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Revere avenue southwesterly line, 37 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Revere avenue southwesterly line, 36 feet.

Shafter avenue, 80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Quesada avenue between Quint street and the northwesterly line of Selby street; on Revere avenue between Silver avenue and the northwesterly line of Selby street; and on Rankin and Selby streets between Palou and Shafter avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor. Stopes adjacent to the sidewalks on Quesada and Revere avenues not to exceed 2 to 1.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7481, Ordinance No. 7009 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *easterly one-half of Forty-third avenue from Geary street to a line parallel with and* 150 *feet southerly therefrom, and the easterly one-half of Forty-third avenue from the northerly line of Anza street to a line parallel with and* 100 *feet northerly therefrom*, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Establishing Grades, Sixteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 7482, Ordinance No. 7010 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grdaes on Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed January 20, 1926.

Sixteenth Avenue.

Vicente street, 340 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

250 feet southerly from Vicente street, 322.50 feet.
300 feet southerly from Vicente street, 317.71 feet.
350 feet southerly from Vicente street, 310.34 feet.
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)
125 feet northerly from Wawona street, 288.66 feet.
75 feet northerly from Wawona street, 282.16 feet.
25 feet northerly from Wawona street, 280 feet.
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)
Wawona street, northerly line, 280 feet.

On Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution laid over from last meeting was taken up, and on motion by Supervisor Welsh again *laid over one week* and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public streets in the Crocker Amazon Tract have been improved under private contract to the advantage of the City, and the property owners thereon have paid the cost thereof and deserve to have the same kept in repair, as in case of improvements made under public contract; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works report to this Board a list of streets in the Crocker Amazon Tract that have been properly constructed and to recommend that the same be accepted as provided in Section 23 of Chapter II of Article VI of the Charter.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $33-792.04, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al, Industrial Accident Commission award, third payment, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Company, water furnished horse troughs, $47.40.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) Bond Construction Co., third payment for construction of bridge at San Jose avenue and Mt. Vernon avenue (claim dated March 3, 1926), $19,500.

Special School Tax.

(2) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services for the temporary additional facilities at Francisco School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $772.86.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(3) Mahony Bros., eighteenth payment, general construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated March 2, 1926), $49,711.68.

(4) Bond Construction Co., third payment, general construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $13,751.16.

(5) Herman Lawson, first payment, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $1,961.25.

(6) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing work for Hawthorne School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $1,134.45.

(7) Crown Electric Co., fifth payment, electrical work for new Mission High School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $3,113.25.

(8) MacDonald & Kahn, seventh payment, general construction of new Mission High School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $40,644.27.

(9) O. Monson, sixth payment, general construction of Alvarado School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $15,875.25.

(10) Bond Construction Co., fourth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School, grymnasium and athletic field (claim dated March 2, 1926), $8,511.15.

(11) Scott Company, first payment, mechanical equipment, additional units to Galileo High School, etc. (claim dated March 2, 1926), $2,092.50.

(12) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $1,234.16.

(13) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated March 1, 1926), $19,778.15.

(14) F. L. Hansen, first payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $21,667.50.

(15) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., twelfth payment, general construction of Alamo School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $27,863.15.

(16) Standard Electrical Construction Co., second payment, electrical work for LeConte School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $1,854.68.

(17) John C. Thomson, balance of fee of $5,000 for professional services; final opinion approving $12,000,000 school bonds (claim dated March 1, 1926), $2,500.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(18) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., galvanized steel sheets for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $2,594.71.

(19) Crane Co., iron tees, elbows, bolts, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $1,090.73.

(20) City Coal Co., coal, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $812.37.

(21) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $2,259.68.

(22) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $607.25.

(23) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated February 26, 1926), $1,410.28.

(24) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated February 26, 1926), $2,281.50.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated February 24, 1926), $661.55.

(26) The Paraffine Companies, Inc., malthoid roofing, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $1,100.90.

(27) Charles Rosasco, tier wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $783.75.

(28) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oil, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 26, 1926), $728.56.

(29) Robert M. Searls, Special Counsel's revolving fund expenditures in acquisition of lands and rights of way (claim dated February 26, 1926), $2,504.

(30) Sonora Abstract & Title Co., certificates and abstracts of title, and reports furnished, in acquisition of properties for Hetch Hetchy purposes (claim dated February 26, 1926), $981.40.

(31) Tilden Lumber & Mill Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $1,726.81.

(32) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerator and ice-making cabinet (claim dated February 26, 1926), $1,707.27.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(33) Clinton Construction Co., fourteenth payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 2, 1926), $48,547.50.

(34) F. W. Snook Co., fourteenth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 2, 1926), $2,356.21.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(35) Alameda County Title Insurance Company, certificates of title furnished in connection with acquisition of properties for Hetch Hetchy transmission line in Alameda County (claim dated February 26, 1926), $3,100.

(36) Sonora Abstract & Title Company, certificates of title, abstracts, and reports furnished in connection with acquisition of properties for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 26, 1926), $1,010.10.

Park Fund.

(37) A. L. Houle Bindery Co., 50,000 players' register blanks, park golf links (claim dated March 5, 1926), $597.

(38) Overland-Knight Sales Co., one Overland touring car for park use (claim dated March 5, 1926), $658.

Maintenance of Aquarium, Appropriation 57.

(39) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for February (claim dated March 8, 1926), $3,126.86.

Playground Fund.

(40) Mrs. Margaret Morrissey, first payment, per award by State Industrial Accident Commission, No. 18411 (claim dated March 3, 1926), $748.20.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(41) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting and removing lamp posts, January (claim dated March 1, 1926), $51,544.64.

(42) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,250.

(43) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,638.90.

(44) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated March 1, 1926), $750.

(45) City Construction Co., construction of concrete curbs, sidewalks, etc., on Lobos street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues (claim dated March 3, 1926), $2,186.80.

(46) Schultz Construction Co., final payment, construction of sewers in Kirkham street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-third avenues, and in Twenty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim dated March 3, 1926), $7,110.80.

(47) F. L. Hansen, first payment, construction of children's ward on southeast wing (tubercular) of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 2, 1926), $6,478.99.

(48) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated January 30, 1926), $1,781.25.

(49) Chas. Willms Surgical Instrument Co., surgical supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated January 31, 1926), $567.70.

(50) E. B. Frick, M. D., Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, reimbursement for room allowances for nurses, orderlies, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated February 28, 1926), $1,037.90.

(51) Levison Printing Co., minute books for County Clerk (claim dated March 8, 1926), $790.

(52) Market Street Railway Co., payment for land bounded by Willard and Frederick streets, Arguello boulevard and Golden Gate Park, for stadium purposes (claim dated March 8, 1926), $7,750.

(53) D. W. Taylor, services as secretary to the Traffic Commission, at $300 per month (claim dated March 8, 1926), $600.

Auditorium Fund.

(53) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Harold Bauer, soloist, Popular Concert of March 2, 1926 (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(54) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of March, 1926 (claim dated March 8, 1926), $3,000.

Appropriations from the County Road Fund for Rights of Way Required for Bay Shore Highway.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund ($100,000 set aside by Resolution No. 24422, New Series) in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the Bay Shore Highway, to-wit:

(1) To Willard B. and Alma E. Jefferson, for land beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant 296.814 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Flower street extended and produced westerly; thence running southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 75 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25233, New Series (claim dated March 4, 1926), $3,262.

(2) To Patrick Biggins, for lands, being the westerly 25 feet of Lot 303, Precita Valley Lands, and the westerly 25 feet of Lot 302, Precita Valley Lands; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25233, New Series (claim dated March 4, 1926), $3,000.

(3) To P. Grassi and A. Minutoli, for lands beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot 307, Precita Valley Lands with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence running northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 46.614 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25262, New Series (claim dated March 4, 1926), $2,018.

(4) To Lawrence Vannucci and Frank Vannucci, for lands beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, dist 352.729 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Flower street extended and produced westerly; thence running southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 92.322 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25262, New Series (claim dated March 4, 1926), $1,365.

Appropriations for Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition and Widening Portion of Clement Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(1) For plans and preliminary work in connection with building and exhibit at the Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition at Reno, Nevada, in 1927, $2,500.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(2) For City's portion of expense of widening Clement street, from Arguello boulevard to Funston avenue, $8,500.

Appropriations for Street Conform Work, Castenada Avenue, and Opening of Laidley Street Slide.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25303 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Emergency Repairs to Streets," etc., Budget Item No. 79, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For street conform work on Castenada avenue at intersection of existing work in the Forest Hill Tract, including resetting of concrete curbs and reconstruction of catchbasins, manholes and madadam pavement, $150.

(2) For expense of opening roadway at old Laidley street slide to a width of 12 feet, to permit of traffic, $200.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon Welch—5.

Accepting Gross Receipt Percentage Statement of the California Street Cable Railroad Company.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25304 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement by the California Street Cable Railroad Company for the year ending December 31, 1925, showing franchise percentage due the City and County from passenger receipts in the sum of $6,071.45, be and the same is hereby accepted; and

Further Resolved, That the California Street Cable Railroad Company is hereby directed to deposit said sum of $6,071.45 with the Treasurer of the City and County, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Accepting Gross Receipt Percentage Statement of the Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25305 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements by the Market Street Railway Company, heretofore filed, showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of December, 1925, and January, 1926, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

December—
Parkside Transit Co.....$515.65
Gough Street Railroad Co... 41.57
Parnassus and Ninth avenue 281.59

January—
Parkside Transit Co.....$477.11
Gough Street Railroad Co... 38.85
Parnassus and Ninth avenue 276.95

The Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Accepting Offer of Edward J. Cassidy to Sell Land Required for the Extending and Widening of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25306 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Edward J. Cassidy, $5,250.

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Market street, dis-

tant 525 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, said point being on the easterly boundary line of Lot No. 35 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Market street 25.380 feet to the westerly boundary line of said Lot No. 35; thence deflecting 80 deg. 04 min. 15 sec. to the left and running southerly parallel with Hattie street, along the westerly boundary line of said Lot No. 35, 79.221 feet; thence deflecting 119 deg. 10 min. 03 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 28.630 feet to the easterly boundary line of said Lot No. 35: thence deflecting 60 deg. 49 min. 57 sec. to the left and running northerly, parallel with Hattie street, along the easterly boundary line of said Lot. No. 35, 69.645 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lot No. 35 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract.

It is hereby understood that the above-mentioned sum also includes damages in full to the remaining portion of said Lot No. 35 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract, caused or to be caused by the future establishment of a grade on the proposed extension of Market street.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required as an Easement for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25307 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply have recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owner of the following described right of way easements situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

W. H. Grove, $350.

Parcel 1. Portion of the south half of Section 36, Township 1 S., Range 14 E., for subsurface right of way easement for aqueduct tunnel.

Parcel 2. The right to construct, maintain and use a road through the south half of Section 36, Township 1 S., Range 14 E., for the purpose of hauling or moving men, animals, vehicles, equipment and supplies for any and all purposes in connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct.

Parcel 3. Right to construct, maintain and operate, patrol and repair a water pipe line following approximately the route of the road described in Parcel 2.

Parcel 4. The right to construct, maintain, replace, patrol and operate a telephone line following generally the location of the road described in Parcel 2.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, the above described offer of the above named property owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned easements for the sum set forth opposite his name and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option, be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said party of the acceptance of his said offer, to examine the title to said easements, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizing Plans, etc., Forty-third Avenue Main Sewer.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7497, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for Section "C," Contract No. 2, Forty-third avenue main sewer (Santiago street district), and ordering the construction of said Section "C," Contract No. 2, Forty-third avenue main sewer (Santiago street district), in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for Section "C," Contract No. 2, Forty-third avenue main sewer (Santiago street district), and to enter into contract for the construction of said Section "C," Contract No. 2, Forty-third avenue main sewer (Santiago street district), in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said sewer, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, California Street and Presidio Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7498, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries, and the location of buildings for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of California street with the westerly line of Presidio avenue, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of California street 240 feet; thence southeasterly to a point on the westerly line of Presidio avenue 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of California street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Presidio avenue 275 feet to the point of commencement.

Amending Set-Back Line Ordinance, Baker Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7499, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6527 (New Series), establishing set-back lines along a portion of Baker street and modifying said lines and reestablishing the same as modified.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6527 (New Series) is hereby amended so far as it establishes set-back lines along Baker street between Chestnut street and Francisco street and the set-back lines therein established are hereby modified so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Baker street, commencing at a point 137½ feet northerly from Chestnut street and running thence northerly 137½ feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; along the easterly side of Baker street between Chestnut street and Francisco street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

And the lines as modified are hereby reestablished.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. G. Atwood, southeast corner of Spruce and Clay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Eirben, southwest corner of Oak and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, southeast corner of St. Francis boulevard and San Benito way, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Greenback, south side of Ellis street, 120 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Independent Cleaning and Dyeing Works, northeast corner of Erie and Howard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, east side of Leavenworth street, 125 feet south of Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lang Realty Co., northwest corner of Lopez avenue and Castenada avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Sheehan & Woolfrey, north side of Grove street, 100 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sheehan & Woolfrey, north side of Grove street, 135 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, south line of Chestnut street, 300 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, south line of Bay street, 125 feet east of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Roy T. Bishop, southeast corner of Nineteenth and Bryant streets, 100 horse power boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, that Wolfe Lumber and Hardware Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Nineteenth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mme. P. Nicolas be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 2517 San Bruno avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was presented and, on motion, *laid over one week.*

Denying Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Louis Silverstein to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Silver avenue and San Bruno avenue.

Denying Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 25308 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied F. J. Driscoll to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of San Jose avenue and Randall street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25309 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to install and remove street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

North and south sides Vallejo street between Gough and Octavia streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Vallejo and Gough streets.

South side Greenwich street, first, second and third east of Larkin street.

Southeast and northwest corners Greenwich and Larkin streets.

East and west sides Larkin street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.

Alta street, first east of Montgomery street.

Install 400 M. R.

Vallejo street between Gough and Octavia streets.

Vallejo and Gough streets.

Divisadero street between Union and Filbert streets.

Greenwich street, 60 feet east of Larkin street.
Greenwich street, 150 feet west of Hyde street.
Greenwich and Larkin streets.
Chenery street, opposite No. 481.
Thirtieth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.
Alta street, about 125 feet east of Montgomery street.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Northeast corner Arguello boulevard and Fulton street.
West end of Park Strip at Monterey boulevard.
Junction of San Anselmo and Santa Clara avenues.
Monterey boulevard and San Anselmo avenue.
Marina boulevard, second pole west of Divisadero street.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Traffic Ordinance Amendments.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:
Bill No. 7500, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:
Amending Section 18-A, Section 19-A and paragraph (b) of Section 21, of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating moving traffic on the public streets, relating to left-hand turns in Market street, restrictions on Fell street and parking on Jessie street.
Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:
Section 1. Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:
Section 18-A. (a) An arterial street is hereby defined to be a main street connecting a central business district of the city with its environs over which there is a large volume of moving traffic, and which, by reason of its location, invites an unusual number of vehicles to use its facilities.
(b) Fell street, from Market street to Baker street, and Fulton street, from Van Ness avenue to Stanyan street, are hereby declared to be arterial streets as defined in paragraph (a).
(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street is hereby declared to be an open public boulevard.
(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street, and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be held to include vehicles carrying goods for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevard. All vehicles shall be premitted to travel upon said boulevard for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.
(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street except as may be necessary to cross the same at intersections.
(f) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any vehicle or motorman of any street car to cross an arterial street or a street declared to be an open public boulevard from an intersecting street unless such vehicle or street car shall have been brought to a full stop before entering the intersection of such arterial street or open public boulevard, unless otherwise directed by a police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop or Go" or any other device or sign that shall be authorized, installed and put in use and operation by the Police Department of said City and County.
Ordinance No. 6818 (New Series) is herey repealed.
Section 2. Section 19-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:
Section 19-A. Between the hours of 7 o'clock a. m. and 7 p. m., excepting on Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for any owner, driver, or operator of any motor or horse-drawn vehicle to operate such vehicle in such manner as to make a left-hand turn on any part of Market street between First and Twelfth streets and Battery street and the westerly line of Van Ness avenue.
Section 3. Paragraph (b) of Section 21 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:
(b) On Jessie street it shall be unlawful for the owner, driver, or operator of any motor or horse-drawn vehicle to leave the same standing, or to permit the same to be left standing, excepting when

engaged in the actual loading or unloading of freight and merchandise to or from such motor or horse-drawn vehicle; and further excepted, that on Jessie street between Third street and New Montgomery street and on Stevenson street between Third street and Annie street all vehicles may be left standing on the south side of said streets for not more than 40 minutes.

Auction of Fire House, O'Farrell Street.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 7501, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at public auction of certain land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described parcel or piece of land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcel of land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of O'Farrell street, distant thereon 197 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Stockton street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of O'Farrell street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 73 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 73 feet to the northerly line of O'Farrell street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 121, also known as Lot 11, Block 313, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The said piece or parcel of land hereinabove described shall be sold for cash in United States gold coin at public auction, to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper published in this City and County for three weeks successively next before the day on which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale, describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 4. The Mayor, Assessor and the Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said land within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance, as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Accepting Offer of Raymond A. Duhem to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25310 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Raymond A. Duhem to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate west line of Twenty-second avenue and east line of Twenty-third avenue, north of Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,700 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, distant thereon 342 feet 6 inches northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-second avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 234 feet 6 inches northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title

has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Also, Resolution No. 25311 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Chas. A. Duhem to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate west line of Twenty-second avenue and east line of Twenty-third avenue, north of Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $11,400 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, distant thereon 192 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street; running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-second avenue 150 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 145 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet 2 inches to the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 259 feet 6 inches northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street; running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Services of Robert M. Searls, Special Counsel for Hetch Hetchy Project, Discontinued.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the contract under which Robert M. Searls is employed as Special Legal Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project expired on March 1, 1926; and

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors the legal work in connection with the Hetch Hetchy project can be handled, at least temporarily, by the City Attorney; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the services of Robert M. Searls as Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project be discontinued and the contract for his employment be not renewed.

Change Grades.

Supervisor Harrelson presented:

Resolution No. 25312 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 90499 (Second Series), Board of Public Works, adopted February 24, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 27, 1926, to-wit:

Gaven Street.

Barneveld avenue, 25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Merrill street, 40 feet.

Grade elevation 35.62 feet on the southerly line of Gaven street 75.84 feet easterly from Boylston street be abolished.

Merrill Street.

Sweeny street, 58 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Gaven street, 40 feet.

On Gaven street between Barneveld avenue and Boylston street, and on Merrill street between Sweeny and Gaven streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Change Grades.

Also, Resolution No. 25313 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 90498 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted February 24, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 26, 1926, to-wit:

Seventeenth Avenue.

Ulloa street, 326 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

204.67 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 327.53 feet.

150 feet northerly from Vicente street, 325.70 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 323 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 325 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Abolishing Sidewalk Widths on Harriet Street Between Brannan and Its Southerly Termination.

On motion of Supervisor Harrelson:

Bill No. 7502, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and the same is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office February 27, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred. to read as follows:

Section 900. The width of sidewalks on Harriet street between Brannan street and its southerly termination are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Changing Grades on Thirtieth, Laidley and Harry Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7503, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled

"Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirtieth street between Noe and Castro streets, on Laidley street between Thirtieth and Harper streets, and on Harry street between Laidley and Beacon streets."

Ordering Street Work, Market Street Between Hattie and Collingwood Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7504, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 25, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Market street between Hattie and Collingwood streets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Deed to Land Required for Street Purposes.

Supervisor Harrelson presented:

Resolution No. 25314 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed, executed on the 6th day of February, 1926, between Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company (a corporation), successor by merger to Union Trust Company of San Francisco (a corporation), and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying lands for the purpose of laying out and dedicating for street purposes as shown on map of property of Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company et al., northwest of Portola Drive, San Francisco, California, showing streets dedicated to public use, surveyed by Punnett & Parez, civil engineers, June —, 1925, and certified to and authorized by the grantors and others on the 13th day of October, 1925, except the southwesterly portion of Waithman way, conveyed or to be conveyed to said City and County of San Francisco, by Alfred L. Meyerstein and wife by separate deed, which said streets are delineated on said map as follows, to-wit: Idora avenue, Ulloa street, Laguna Honda boulevard, Sydney way, Rockaway avenue and the remaining portion of Waithman way, is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets and alleys.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Extension of Time, Heyman Bros.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25315 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Heyman Bros. be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 9, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Thirtieth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the completion of the work was delayed due to inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Accepting Deed to Land Required for Sydney Way.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25316 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed, executed on the 6th day of February, 1926, between Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company (a corporation), successor by merger to Union Trust Company of San Francisco (a corporation), and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying

lands for the purpose of laying out and dedicating for the purpose of a public alley or way in said City and County, as shown on a map entitled "Map of property of Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company et al., northwest of Portola drive, San Francisco, California, showing streets dedicated to public use," surveyed by Punnett & Parez, civil engineers, June —, 1925, and certified to and authorized by the grantors and others on the 13th day of October, 1925, which said alley is delineated on said map as Sydney way, be and the same is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That Sydney way is hereby declared an open public alley.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Accepting Deed to Land Required for Street Purposes.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25317 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed, executed on the 15th day of October, 1925, between Alfred L. Meyerstein and Bernice Meyerstein, his wife, and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying lands for the purpose of laying out and dedicating for the purposes of a public street, the southwesterly portion of Waithman way, as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of property of Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company et al., northwest of Portola drive, San Francisco, California, showing streets dedicated to public use," surveyed by Punnett & Parez, vicil engineers, June, 1925, is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land covered by said deed is hereby declared an open public street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Approval of Map, Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company et al.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25318 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 90466 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 24, 1926, approve a map entitled "Map of property of Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company et al., northwest of Portola drive, San Francisco, California"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map entitled "Map of property of Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company et al., northwest of Portola drive, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25319 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 19, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Kansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work has been delayed due to weather conditions; some grading has been done on the street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Also, Resolution No. 25323 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 12, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Vicente street between Fourteenth and Nineteenth avenues. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Also, Resolution No. 25320 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from

and after March 9, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-first street between Church and Sanchez streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work has been delayed on account of weather conditions. A survey has been ordered.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Also, Resolution No. 25321 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 14, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Claremont Circle.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work has been delayed due to inclement weather. The grading is in progress.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Temporary Spur Track Permit, Market Street Railway Company, Ortega Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7505, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting to the Market Street Railway Company (a corporation) temporary permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to lay down and use railway tracks and to operate cars thereon with electricity by means of the overhead trolley system from a point in Twentieth avenue running west into Ortega street; thence to Twenty-first avenue into property bounded by Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Ortega and Pacheco streets, for grading purposes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Temporary permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Market Street Railway Company (a corporation) for the laying down and using of railroad tracks and operating cars thereon with electricity by means of the overhead trolley system, from a point in Twentieth avenue running west into Ortega street; thence along Ortega street to Twenty-first avenue; thence into property bounded by Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Ortega and Pacheco streets, said tracks to be used for the sole purpose of grading block between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Ortega and Pacheco streets, and surrounding portions of streets.

Section 2. The work of construction shall be performed under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

Also, Bill No. 7506, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate standard gauge railroad spur tracks upon and along North Point, Taylor and Jones streets, in the locations hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate standard gauge railroad spur tracks upon and along North Point, Taylor and Jones streets, the center lines of which are particularly described as follows:

Jones Street.

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 70 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street; thence in a northwesterly direction crossing Jones street a distance of 80 feet to a point on the westerly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 105 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street.

Also, beginning at a point on the easterly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 55 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street; thence in a northwesterly direction crossing Jones street to a point on the westerly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 90 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street.

Also, beginning at a point on the easterly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 30 feet, more or less, from the northerly line o

North Point street; thence in a northwesterly direction crossing Jones street a distance of 75 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 55 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street.

Also, beginning at a point on the easterly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 25 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street; thence in a northwesterly direction crossing Jones street a distance of 72 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 40 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street.

Also, beginning at a point on the easterly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 8.5 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street; thence in a westerly direction crossing Jones street a distance of 69 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 10 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street.

North Point Street.

Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing Southern Pacific Company track in North Point street, distant southerly 28 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street, and distant easterly 64 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Taylor street produced southerly; thence in a westerly direction along North Point street through a turnout curve to the right and crossing the intersection of Taylor street a distance of 250 feet, more or less, to a point on the northerly line of said North Point street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur tracks shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract for Hospital Equipment.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25322 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing hospital equipment for Harbor Emergency Hospital be made on bids submitted March 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 171), as follows:

Item No. 1, 1 White enamel chair, Reid Bros. No. 618, $6.10; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 2, 4 White enamel chairs, Reid Bros. No. 622, each, $9.90; Travers Surgical Company.

Item No. 3, 1 Nurses' desk and chart file, Reid Bros. No. 226, $56.50; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 4, 12 Bedside tables, Reid Bros. No. 0326, each, $11.75; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 5, 3 Bedside screens, Reid Bros. No. 0662, each, $12.25; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 6, 3 Fracture cradles, Reid Bros. No. 0786, each, $2.50; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 7, 1 Wheel stretcher with Dover pad, Reid Bros. No. 0942-0126, $62.70; Travers Surgical Company.

Item No. 8, 2 White enamel dressing tables, Reid Bros. No. 0452, each, $18; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 9, 3 White enamel instrument tables, Reid Bros. No. 0444, each, $19; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 10, 2 White enamel Justrite buckets, 6 gal., each, $2.97; Travers Surgical Company.

Item No. 11, 1 Gauze cutter electric "Maimin," 4-inch, $180; Travers Surgical Company.

Item No. 12, 1 I White enamel examination and treatment table, Reid Bros. No. 078, $42.90; Travers Surgical Company.

Item No. 13, 1 Combination irrigator stand, Reid Bros. No. 0548, $18; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 14, 3 Hypo sterlilizing jars, Reid Bros. No. 02166, each, $1.45; Travers Surgical Company.

Item No. 15, 3 Thermometer sterilizing jars, Reid Bros. No. 02170, each, $2; Reid Bros., Inc.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Award of Contract, Underground Cable.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25324 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved: That award of contract for furnishing underground cable be awarded to Standard Underground Cable Co., on bid submitted February 23, 1926 (Proposal No. 169), as follows, viz.:

13,000 feet 6-pair lead cable at $0.174 per lineal foot.

6,000 feet 3-pair lead cable at $0.134 per lineal foot.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Award of Contract, Hospital Supplies.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25325 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing hospital supplies be made on bids submitted March 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 174), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1, 1200 rolls bandages, roller gauge, 44x40 mesh, 1x10 yards, per roll, 83 cents; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 2, 1225 pounds cotton, absorbent, plain, best grade, long fiber, absolutely free from seeds and other particles, in one-pound packages, per pound, 38 cents; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 3, 350 rolls gauge, plain, absorbent, in 100-yard rolls, 24x20 mesh, per roll, $3.85; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 4, 700 rolls gauze, plain, in 100-yard rolls, 16x20 mesh, per roll, $3.08; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 5, 150 pounds lint, absorbent, in one-pound cartons, per carton, $1.05; A. P. Hotaling & Co.

Item No. 6, 31 rolls muslin, oiled, in 5-yard rolls, per roll, $4.25; A. P. Hotaling & Co.

Item No. 7, 650 rolls plaster, adhesive, zinc oxide, in rolls 12 inches by 5 yards, per roll, 89½ cents; Herbert F. Dugan.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Cancellation of Contract, Castings.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25326 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract heretofore awarded under Proposal No. 155, to Judson Manufacturing Co., by Resolution No. 25113 (New Series), approved January 30, 1926, for furnishing the following castings, viz.:

Item No. 775(a), Castings, pound, 25 cents.

Item No. 775(aa), Allowance for junk, pound, 8 cents.

Item No. 775(b), Castings, pound, 25 cents.

Item 775 (bb), Allowance for junk, pound, 8 cents.

Item No. 775(c), Castings, pound, 25 cents.

Item 775(cc), Allowance for junk, pound, 8 cents.

Item No. 775(d), Castings, pound, 30 cents.

Item 775(dd), Allowance for junk, pound, 8 cents.

Item No. 775(e), Castings, pound, 25 cents.

Item No. 775(ee), Allowance for junk, pound, 8 cents.

Item No. 776(a), Castings:

1 to 10 pounds, pound, 25 cents.

11 to 25 pounds, 25 cents.

26 to 50 pounds, pound, 18 cents.

51 to 100 pounds, pound, 16 cents.

101 to 200 pounds, pound, 14 cents.

201 to 500 pounds, pound, 13 cents.

501 to 1000 pounds, pound, 12 cents.

Note: Patterns to be furnished by purchaser. Castings of special shapes and special cores or castings requiring special equipment are excluded from this contract.

Item No. 776(b), Allowance for junk, ton, $10.

be and hereby is canceled.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and *passed for printing*:

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. F. Conklin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop on the south side of Berry street, 288 feet west of Fifth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Appointment of Uda Waldrop, Organist.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25327 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Uda Waldrop be and he is hereby appointed Municipal Organist for the City and County of San Francisco for a period of four (4) months, April, May, June and July.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Leave of Absence, Uda Waldrop, Municipal Organist.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25328 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Organist Uda Waldrop be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence from April 1 to July 31, 1926, with permission to leave the City.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Uda Waldrop, Municipal Organist, Commended.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25329 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Uda Waldrop, Municipal Organist, well and favorably known to all as one who has fully given of his time and talents for many a worthy cause in San Francisco, is about to take a long-needed rest and tour, extending over a period of four months, through Italy, France, Germany and England; therefore, be it

Resolved, That Uda Waldrop, Municipal Organist, be granted a leave of absence with permission to leave the State, extending to him the good wishes of his Honor the Mayor and the members of this Board of Supervisors, and commending him to every courtesy at the hands of officials of the countries he will visit.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Appropriation for Safety Lane Markers.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a saving of three hundred dollars ($300) can be made by purchasing 10,000 Safety Lane Markers, as per samples which have been placed in Market street; therefore,

Resolved, That the Finnace Committee be requested to appropriate twelve hundred dollars ($1,200) for the purchase of that quantity of such markers.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Report of Board of Public Works on Supervisor Marks' Resolution Respecting School Construction Costs.

A report from the Board of Public Works on Supervisor Mark's resolution respecting school construction costs was presented and *referred to the special committee appointed to consider the matter.*

Report of City Planning Committee.

The following reports presented by Supervisor Marks and *Clerk was directed to send copies to each member of the Board.*

In the Matter of the application of *W. S. Ray Manufacturing Company* for change of classification of northwest corner of Bosworth and Rousseau streets.

The applicant herein is engaged in the manufacturing of oil-burning heating apparatus and since the year 1906 has been located on the north line of Bosworth street between Rousseau and Milton, its present plant occupying a frontage of 200 feet by an average depth of 228 feet. The frontage of the block now occupied by it is zoned for commercial purposes and the rear thereof for second residential purposes, but the applicant having conducted

an industrial plant for many years before the enactment of the zoning ordinance, it has continued as a non-conforming use. Boswort street for ten blocks of its length is zoned commercially, namely, from Mission street to Chilton avenue and the Ray plant is located approximately in the center of this territory.

This committee conducted hearings upon this application on February 3, 1926, and February 10, 1926, and made an inspection of the plant and the surrounding territory on March 2, 1926. In addition, a conference between the applicant and various protestants was held on February 18, 1926, at the suggestion of this committee for the purpose of reconciling their respective points of view, if possible.

The applicant seeks a reclassification of a parcel of land lying to the west of its present building, from commercial to light industrial, to permit the erection thereon of a new building to be used in connection with its existing plant.

Testimony presented by the tioner is to the effect that it plans the erection of a building to cost $34,000. This building, it alleges, is made necessary by two factors, namely: (1) the growth of its business, necessitating additional facilities; (2) reduction in the area of its present plant by reason of the creation of the Bernal Cut project. The petitioner now has an area of 55,000 square feet. Its present site was leased in 1906, at a time when there were few residences in the neighborhood, nor, as it alleges, streets or sidewalks. This company operates a machine shop in its plant, but has no furnaces or foundries therein. It employs between 140 and 160 persons, with a payroll of approximately $125,000 a year.

The protestants base their opposition upon the ground that there are many residences in the immediate neighborhood, that the territory is developing for residence purposes and that an industrial plant is objectionable in their midst. At the conference held on February 18, 1926, the representatives of the petitioner offered to construct the new building along architectural lines agreeable to the residents in the vicinity, but the protestants, as appears from the stenographic report of this conference, on file with this committee, summed up their point of view in the following terms: "It doesn't matter what kind of building, we don't want an industrial building."

It should be pointed out that a denial of the pending application will not result in the removal of this industrial plant. The municipality would have no power to prevent the applicant from continuing to operate in its present building. The denial of the application would have the effect solely of compelling the petitioner to operate under disadvantages resulting from insufficient space, the healthy growth which it has had would be stunted, and in addition its area, already too limited, would be further reduced by the Bernal Cut. This committee is of the opinion that an industry employing so large a number of people should be encouraged, rather than hampered in its growth.

In addition, it is worthy of note that the presence of the railroad right of way to the rear of the building, together with the proposed car lines alongside thereof, will be a deterrent to the erection thereon of new homes.

While this committee does not possess the power to exact such a condition, we have obtained the assurance of the petitioner that it will not only construct the proposed new building in a manner which will be architecturally pleasing to the immediate vicinity, but that it will, in addition, if the application is granted, expend a considerable sum of money in adorning its present building by a new surface thereon and by the planting of lawns and shrubs.

We recommend that the application be granted.

CITY PLANNING COMMITTEE,
By MILTON MARKS,
Chairman.

Board of Public Works to Contract for Safety Lane Markers.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Todd and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7507, Ordinance No. —— entitled "Directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for installing safety lane markers in the public streets."

Crew of American Tanker "Java Arrow" Commended.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 25330 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Capt. Geo. Irwin and crew of the American tanker "Java Arrow" is receiving the high encomiums of the Japanese Government through the Consul General of Japan, Tashihitsa Takitomi, for their noble rescue during a terrific

gale of fourteen unfortunate and starving members of the crew of the Japanese vessel "Daisha Maru," who has presented a silver cup to the officers and crew of the American vessel, and now asks that the American ship be permitted to return as soon as possible to Japan in order that they may receive the homage of a grateful Emperor and his appreciative subjects; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco takes pleasure also in commending the high degree of heroism displayed by the officers and men of the "Java Arrow," for the gallant manner in which they rendered succor to the crew of the "Daisha Maru" and congratulate them on the worthy manner in which they have upheld the highest traditions of American seamen.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Welch—5.

Report of City Planning Committee.

In the matter of the application of *Kernan Robson* for change of classification of the northwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets.

The petitioner, Kernan Robson, is the owner of a parcel of real estate on the northwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets. This property has a frontage of 137 feet 6 inches on Jackson street and a depth and frontage on Gough street of 127 feet 8¼ inches. The block in which this property is located, together with this particular parcel, is now zoned in the first residential classification. The petition, applicable solely to the parcel owned by the petitioner, seeks a change of this lot to second residential classification for the purpose of permitting the erection thereon of an apartment house. The petitioner, a resident of Marin county, acquired this property in September or October, 1925, and made application for a change of classification about sixty days thereafter. The exact character and cost of the proposed apartment house structure was not definitely developed by the petitioner at the hearing before the committee.

This committee conducted a hearing upon the petition on February 18, 1926, and in addition we have had the advantage of a very thorough and scholarly memorandum submitted by the petitioner. At the hearing the petitioner appeared in person and through his attorney, Frank J. Fontes, Esq., and the protestants, many of whom were personally present, were represented by the following attorneys: Messrs. Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison; Messrs. Robbins, Elkins & Van Fleet; Messrs. Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe; Messrs, Neumiller & Dietz; Hon. Jeremiah Sullivan.

In addition to the oral hearing, this committee has made a personal inspection of the property directly involved and of the surrounding district.

The petitioner, orally and in his written brief, contends that the six blocks touched by the 275-foot radius having his property as the center, namely, the blocks bounded by Washington, Broadway, Franklin and Octavia streets, are overwhelmingly second residential in nature. These six blocks, he contends, contain an area of 640,251 square feet, of which 392,684 square feet are now zoned for second residential purposes. Of the remaining 247,507 square feet limited to first residential purposes, only 136,333 square feet, he contends, are actually opposed to rezoning, which would appear to lead to the conclusion that the owners of 503,918 square feet of property within the six blocks are in favor of the pending application. We have, however, carefully checked over the voluminous series of statistics submitted to us, and our own computations show only 27,508 square feet which can be claimed to be definitely in favor of the petition. These consist of the following:

(a) The petitioner (137 feet 6 inches by 127 feet 8¼ inches), amounting to 17,403 square feet.

(b) N. D. Hopkinson, southwest corner Jackson and Gough (60 feet by 125 feet 8¼ inches), amounting to 7,545 square feet.

(c) Alden M. Wheeler, southwest corner Pacific and Gough (50 feet by 50 feet), amounting to 2,500 square feet.

It is apparent from the foregoing computations, which this committee itself has made, that so far as appears from unequivocal expressions of consent or desire, only ten per cent plus of the property owners within the six blocks seek this rezoning and that of this ten per cent plus almost two-thirds is made up out of the single holding of the petitioner himself.

The petitioner has submitted detailed computations and photographs of the six surrounding blocks with the intention of demonstrating, as he himself expresses it, that the residences in the neighborhood are "old-fashioned houses,

more in the nature of private hotels, lived in by adults for a limited part of the time and as soon as these people die or move away, which will not be long, these houses will be abandoned." It appeared, however, to the committee from observation of a score or more of the citizens referred to, who were present at the hearing and who registered an earnest protest against the petition, that they were far from willing to wrap the draperies of their couch about them and to lie down to pleasant dreams. In fact, they quite eloquently evoked memories of supreme happiness in structures, which while pretentious, were to them homes in the purest sense, occupied by them for a generation, and possessing pleasant associations from which, this committee is quite satisfied, their occupants could not part without pangs of very deep regret. This neighborhood, far from being a decadent one, as the petitioner contends, is occupied by citizens who have reared their families in the very homes they still occupy, and who have made an impress upon the life of San Francisco which time will never efface. In the block in which the applicant's lot is located, and in fact immediately adjoining it, a home is at this moment under course of construction by Mrs. William Matson, to be occupied by her, and to cost $100,000. Next to her is the residence of her daughter, Mrs. William Roth, constructed in 1921 at a cost of $146,000 and occupied by her family. Within 300 feet of the Robson property, on the southwest corner of Octavia and Jackson streets, four residences have been constructed within the last year at a cost of $150,000. The property at the northwest corner of Gough and Pacific avenue was acquired six months ago for private residential purposes at a cost of $145,000 and its present owner is now expending an additional sum of $85,000 in its improvement. The committee is of the opinion that this district is one of the beauty spots of San Francisco and that every effort should be made by a governmental body to perpetuate it as such unless the demand for sites upon which apartment houses may be constructed becomes so necessitous that a residence district of this character must give way to such apartments.

The issue thus resolves itself to the following determining question: Does this demand exist to such degree that the Robson property should be made the site for what would constitute the only apartment house in an entire block of splendid private residences? This committee is of the opinion that the demand does not, at present, exist. In the first place, the petitioner's own brief, his maps and his computations show that, of the six blocks which he has taken as the basis of his argument, over three and one-half blocks are already zoned to permit apartment houses and are thus available in the immediate vicinitv for this purpose.

This committee, in addition, has made a survey of a considerable portion of this city in territory where apartment houses may be advantageously constructed and we submit the following to the Board as an aid to it in arriving at a conclusion as to whether or not the erection of an apartment house upon the site owned by the applicant is necessary.

We compute that in the territory between Van Ness avenue, Fillmore, Sutter and Union streets there are 84 square blocks of which only 12 square blocks are limited to first residential purposes, 3 square blocks are devoted to parks, 6 square blocks for commercial purposes, and 6 square blocks for light industrial purposes, leaving for second residential purposes, including therein apartment houses, 57 square blocks. If we add to this the territory zoned for commercial and light industrial purposes, within which apartments are permitted, there are in this territory 69 square blocks, out of 84, in which apartments may be constructed. We find also, from our computations, that in the district between Fillmore, Lyon, Sutter and Union streets there are 56 square blocks available for apartment houses, and in the district between Van Ness avenue, Powell, Sutter and Union streets an additional 96 square blocks for this purpose. In short, in the territory between Powell, Lyon, Sutter and Union streets, comprising 264 square blocks in all, apartment houses are excluded from only 43 square blocks, leaving 221 square blocks open to them. In addition, in the territory bounded by Fulton and Lake streets, Arguello boulevard and Forty-eighth avenue, there are 286 square blocks, of which 284 square blocks are open to apartment houses, and in the district between Union and Chestnut streets, Van Ness avenue and Lyon street there are 56 square blocks, of which 55 are available for apartments. In the aggregate, in the territory which we have described, there are 606 square blocks, from only 46 of which apartments are excluded, leaving 560 square blocks

available for this type of structure. This does not take into consideration 453 square blocks in the Sunset District, now entering upon an era of tremendous growth, in which 451 square blocks, out of a total of 453, are open to apartment houses.

The purpose of the legislation looking toward the scientific zoning of the City of San Francisco for the development of its best interests from the viewpoint of health, comfort, convenience and general welfare, is not properly subserved if the first residential zoning of a block actually devoted to single-family dwellings is violated to permit a property owner to construct what will constitute the only apartment house in the entire block, unless the economic need of the contiguous territory demands such use. In the present instance this committee is of the opinion that such need has not been shown. It is not outside of the limit of probabilities that this particular block may, with the passing of time, undergo a change of such character as to justify its gradual and eventually its complete absorption into the apartment house district, but this stage, in the opinion of the committee, has not as yet been reached, and we believe that the welfare of the City will best be served by deferring the destruction of this block of splendid residences.

We accordingly recommend that the petition be denied.

CITY PLANNING COMMITTEE,
By MILTON MARKS,
Chairman.

March 8, 1926.

Employment of Aliens.

Supervisor Todd called attention to Supervisor Gallagher's resolution relative to the employment of noncitizens on public contracts, and moved that the Board of Public Works be requested to instruct inspectors on jobs to inquire when there is any doubt of the citizenship of employees.

Supervisor Havenner also asked to include in the request that contractors for the City displaying American Plan signs be asked to remove them from City work.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 26, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 11

Monday, March 15, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 15, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 15, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

At the hour of 4:10 p. m. the Mayor called Supervisor Badaracco to the chair and then retired.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings February 8 and 15, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

American Legion Invites Supervisors.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Albert C. Carlton, commander San Francisco Post No. 1, American Legion, inviting the attendance of members of the Board of Supervisors at their next meeting, Thursday, March 18th, at Memorial Hall, third floor, Civic Auditorium, to listen to a talk by Commander Bixby regarding the American Legion, its civic duties and activities.

Read and *accepted.*

Protest Zoning Change.

Communication from Benjamin Scharff, secretary Point Lobos Improvement Club, protesting against changing of zone for a distance of 275 feet on Pacific avenue at the southwest corner of Laurel Hill Cemetery by 240 feet on south side of California street, extending west from the southwest corner of California street and Pacific avenue, thereby removing the zone of the property from that of the Laurel Hill Cemetery.

Similar protest from Clement Street Merchants' Association.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Favors Embarcadero Bus Line.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication from the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, introducing and urging immediate establishment of Embarcadero bus line.

Similar communication from U. S. Custom Inspectors' Association.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Protests Transmission Line Appropriation.

Communication from Adolph Uhl, protesting against the passage of Resolution No. 25191, which appropriates $20,000 for plans, specifications and estimates of costs for a municipal transmission line from Newark to San Francisco.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Protest Against Rezoning of Bosworth Street.

Communication from the Glen Park Community Club, protesting against any rezoning of Bosworth street or its vicinity that will permit industrial or manufacturing activities in that location.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Communication from the Ingleside Improvement Club, advising that said organization has voted unanimously to oppose the changing of the zone on Bosworth street to permit light industries.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Statement of Supervisor McSheehy.

The following was read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

March 15, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Resolution No. 25283 (New Series), asking for the removal of certain offices in the Hall of Justice and the leasing of 7,902 square feet of floor space for a term of five years at a rental of $74,081 at number 333 Kearny street was adopted on March 8, 1926, and, according to Rule 12 of this Board,

I served notice of reconsideration on the adoption of this resolution.

The origin of this resolution had its inception in a report from the Grand Jury, dated August 6, 1925, a copy of which is herewith attached, requesting the Finance Committee to make the necessary appropriation. Just what action the former Finance Committee took in reference to this report I do not know, but on November 16, 1925, three months later, Supervisor Shannon, by motion, requested his Honor Mayor Rolph to appoint a special committee of three to report on the crowded conditions of the Hall of Justice.

On January 18, 1926, this committee was augmented by the addition of the Building Committee.

A great mistake was made in the adoption of this committee report, and I trust the same will be reconsidered for the following reasons, to-wit:

1. The Grand Jury's report asking for an appropriation which demanded an investigation by the former Finance Committee, was never made.

2. The special committee made no attempt at any time to look into certain feasible alterations that can be made to the Hall of Justice building.

At the request of Supervisor Powers, chairman of the Building Committee and a member of the special committee, I was invited to make an investigation of certain alterations in the Hall of Justice that would provide sufficient floor space as requested by the Grand Jury, and we found in making survey that the Identification Bureau and the protograph gallery can be placed on the roof. Some months ago a fire took place in the photograph gallery, which was on the roof, and necessitated the placing of that department with the Identification Bureau, entirely crowding both departments.

The main lightwell over the police garage to be decked for additional floor space. Lightwell facing the Coroner's office to be decked. County Clerk's branch office to be reduced from two rooms to one. Police Commissioner's suite, now used principally for meetings once a week to be curtailed, providing extra room for active police department work. One locker room in central station to be remodeled for extra traffic bureau.

These alterations can be made for approximately $50,000.

The leasing of 333 Kearny street calls for an expenditure of $14,233 annually, which reflected into a capital investment would mean an expenditure of $142,330, whereas the alterations would cost but $50,000, making a saving of $92,330. We would also be confronted with an expenditure of approximately $20,000 in remodeling the offices that would be vacated, making a total loss of $112,330.

3. The resolution is illegal on the ground that there is no money in this year's budget to meet said expenditure, and it commits this Board to an expenditure of $2,953 in next year's budget, which the courts have decided a number of times that such a commitment is not legal.

4. The Grand Jury report of August 6, 1925, called for the Finance Committee to make an appropriation for this work, which was never done, and the same has never been referred to the present Finance Committee.

In closing, I am going to ask your honorable body to reconsider the resolution, and if you will take such action I will immediately ask that a report be rendered by the City Attorney as to the legality for contracting for the expenditure of sums of money in this year out of next year's budget appropriation.

(Sgd.) J. B. McSHEEHY.

August 6, 1925.

Resolution adopted by the Grand Jury of the City and County of San Francisco, in session, August 6, 1925.

Whereas, this Grand Jury of the City and County of San Francisco, session of 1924, has recently completed an exhaustive investigation of conditions existing in the conduct of business carried on at the Hall of Justice in this City; and

Whereas, the said investigation has shown that there is insufficient office space for certain City officials now located in said Hall of Justice; therefore, be it

Resolved, That we recommend to the Finance Committee and through them to the Board of Supervisors of this City and County that a sufficient appropriation be made at once for proper offices for the District Attorney and his several Assistant District Attorneys and employees, and also for the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer and his assistants and employees in some office building located within reasonable distance of said Hall of Justice. And be it

Further Resolved, That the entire space in the Hall of Justice be turned over to the Police Department except the office of the Bond and Warrant Clerk and the Supe-

rior and Police courts, as the Police Department is now badly congested in its present offices and needs more space at once if its business is to be properly carried on.

And we further recommend that an appropriation be made for the amount necessary to clean, renovate and change such space for their offices as may remain after the removal from their present offices of the District Attorney, Public Defender and Adult Probation officials to some outside office building; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Mayor and each member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

(Signed)

HARRY A. FIALER,
Secretary, 1924 Grand Jury.

Notice of Reconsideration.

The following resolution, heretofore on March 8, 1926, adopted by the Board of Supervisors, was taken up on motion for reconsideration.

Supervisor McSheehy, at the meeting of March 8, 1926, upon the passage of the following resolution, gave notice that the would move for a reconsideration of the vote at this meeting whereby said resolution was adopted:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, heretofore the City and County of San Francisco, by Resolution No. 25055, adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, invited bids for the leasing to the City and County of San Francisco, premises for use as offices for the District Attorney's office, the Adult Probation Officer, the Public Defender's office, and the Grand Jury; and

Whereas, an offer has been filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, by Louis T. Samuels, to lease to the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with said Resolution No. 25055, certain offices on the fourth and fifth floors and the entire sixth and seventh floors in that certain building situate on the west side of Kearny street between Bush and Pine streets, and known and designed as No. 333 Kearny street, as per floor plans of said premises submitted by said Louis T. Samuels, and containing 7902 square feet of floor space, for a term of five (5) years, two and one-half (2½) months, at a monthly rental of fifteen cents per square foot of floor space, or at an annual rental of $14,233.60, thus making $74,081.25 total rental payable for said floor space, and payable in lawful money of the United States as follows:

The sum of five hundred ninety-two and 65-100 ($592.65) dollars due on April 15, 1926, for the one-half month commencing April 15, 1926, to and including April 30, 1926; the sum of $1,185.30 due for the month commencing May 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30 due for the month commencing June 1, 1926, aggregating the sum of $2,963.25 to be payable on July 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30 payable on July 1, 1926, for the month commencing July 1, 1926, and a like sum of $1,185.30 on the first day of each and every month thereafter until the said sum of $74,081.25 has been fully paid; and

Whereas, the said offer of said Louis T. Samuels is the best and lowest offer submitted pursuant to said resolution; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer of said Louis T. Samuels be and the same is herbey accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to enter into a lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with said Louis T. Samuels, for the said premises, as shown and described in the floor plan submitted by said Louis T. Samuels, located in said building known and designated as No. 333 Kearny street, for the period of five years, two and one-half months, for use as offices for the said District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury, at a monthly rental of fifteen cents per square foot of floor space, or at the annual rental of $14,223.60, thus making $74,081.25 total rental payable for said floor space, and payable in lawful money of the United States as follows:

The sum of five hundred ninety-two and 65-100 ($592.65) dollars due April 15, 1926, for the one-half month commencing April 15, 1926, to and including April 30, 1926, the sum of $1,185.30 due for the month commencing May 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30 for the month commencing June 1, 1926, aggregating the sum of $2,963.25, to be payable on July 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30 payable on July 1, 1926, for the month commencing July 1, 1926, and a like sum of $1,185.30 on the first day of each and every month thereafter until the said sum of $74,081.25 has been fully paid, and said lease is to be approved by the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the City Attorney shall negotiate with the proposed lessor for the purpose of obtaining a clause in the lease providing for the cancellation of said lease within a reasonable time.

Further Resolved, That when completed said lease shall be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for ratification and shall not be effective until approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Discussion.

Supervisor Shannon, chairman of the Special Committee, urged the rental of space at some point near the Hall of Justice and recommended the McLeran Building as being the lowest bid and a desirable location.

He read a bid which had been submitted by two friends of his which was only 12 cents per square foot, but called attention to the fact that it had not been received by him until several days after all other bids had been received and opened in the Board and therefore could not be considered by the committee.

Supervisor Shannon read a report from the City Engineer's office in which he estimated the cost of altering the Hall of Justice at a much greater figure than that mentioned by Supervisor McSheehy and called attention to the fact that while building in the light wells, as Supervisor McSheehy suggested could be done, it would be at the sacrifice of light and air to the present offices. He also called attention to the fact that the building had not been constructed with the idea of the addition of another story and therefore, even though this might be arranged, it would be more expensive than if originally planned.

Supervisor Powers said he thought there should be a $2,000,000 bond issue for the purpose of constructing a new Hall of Justice.

Supervisor McSheehy stated he thought the agreement would be illegal because it provided for the payment of two and one-half months' rental out of the next year's budget.

City Attorney O'Toole informed the Board that such appeared to be the case.

Amendment.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Bath, the resolution was amended by providing that the rent from April 15 to June 30 shall be appropriated out of the General Fund.

Passed for Printing.

Thereupon, the resolution was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—13.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Welch —5.

Supervisor Powers changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice of reconsideration.

Supervisor Shannon moved immediate reconsideration.

Whereupon, the rules were suspended and a vote taken at once as to whether the matter should be reconsidered, with the following result:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Welch —5.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—13.

Whereupon, the resolution was declared *passed for printing.*

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Marks explained his vote by saying that he would vote for the resolution; that he considered the action preliminary and not final. When the lease itself is before the Board that will be final and I reserve the right to vote against it if it contains a revocation clause.

Resolution of Respect.

Mayor Rolph called attention to the death of John B. Ginty, County Assesor, and called attention to the sterling qualities and efficient service to the City of Mr. Ginty. When the Board adjourned, it did so out of respect to the memory of Mr Ginty.

Death of Assessor John Ginty.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 25335 (New Series), as follows: ;

To the profound sorrow of this Board the announcement has been made of the death of John Ginty, the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco for the past twelve years, and it is our duty and our desire that there should be inscribed in the Journal of Proceedings a testimonial reciting the value of his services as an official, the fidelity which he displayed to a high ideal of citizenship and his possession of human sympathy toward his fellowmen.

From the inception of his official career he displayed an intense interest in all matters relative to the intricate problem of taxation and

an aptitude for comprehending the vexatious questions continually arising from the complexities of our system. He was a student of this branch of political economy and took an active part in public discussions of the subject. In the discharge of his official duties he applied the principles which his mind deemed to be just and none there were who denied his sincerity and honesty.

So it is our estimation that, in the death of Assessor Ginty the community has suffered a severe loss and one that will be difficult to retrieve. We deplore his passing and offer our condolences to the surviving members of his family.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Fire Hose.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for fire hose, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Delinquent Tax List.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for printing, publishing and distributing the delinquent tax list, index of delinquent real estate taxpayers, and printing the sales list and other matters incidental thereto for the fiscal year 1925-1926, in accordance with the Charter and the specifications prepared therefor.

Referred to Supplies Committee.

Official Advertising.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for publishing the official advertising for the year commencing April 1, 1926, as required by the Charter and subject to the conditions and specifications prepared therefor.

Referred to Supplies Committee.

Hearing of Appeal, De Long Street.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco, the following matter was *continued one week*:

Hearing of appeal of property-owners against assessment for improvement of De Long street, etc., fixed for 2 p. m. this day.

Report of Traffic Committee on Resolution of Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher, Creating a New Traffic Commission.

The following matters were presented, read and on motion *laid over one week.*

The new Traffic Commission was organized pursuant to Resolution No. 20654 adopted by the Board of Supervisors on January 8, 1923. The said resolution gave certain powers to the commission and which commission, under that resolution, was authorized to investigate the existing traffic conditions within the City and to study the question of providing for the anticipated growth of traffic during years to come and to present a report of such study, with such plans and recommendations as may be deemed appropriate.

It was further declared to be the purpose to promulgate at the earliest possible moment a comprehensive traffic plan by which public safety and convenience, present and future, may be promoted. Notwithstanding, the broad scope of authority afforded by the above resolution, the commission could not employ an expert engineer for their guidance.

The resolution now before us is far less comprehensive and its adoption would not strengthen or make broader any authority to such a commission and this committee feels that the resolution before us is inferior to the above resolution and would not assist in the unravelling of the traffic problem.

While we believe that an engineer should be employed and that such engineer should work under the authority of the Board of Supervisors or the Police Department and at the same time give his assistance and advice to the Traffic Commission, we feel that it is a matter for the Board of Supervisors to investigate. We, therefore, recommend that the resolution be not adopted.

C. F. TODD.
EDWIN G. BATH.
WALTER J. SCHMIDT.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is important that an intensive study be given to the traffic conditions at present existing in San Francisco and a solution sought of the various problems involved in a proper control of traffic in the streets, and adequate provision be made for the future growth of the city, that an experienced expert be employed for that purpose; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to recommend to this Board the creation of the position of Traffic Engineer, to perform the duties outlined in the preamble to this resolution, at a salary to be hereafter fixed.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up

and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25336 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following namde claimants, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) Bond Construction Co., third payment for construction of bridge at San Jose avenue and Mt. Vernon avenue (claim dated March 3, 1926), $19,500.

Special School Tax.

(2) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services for the temporary additional facilities at Francisco School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $772.86.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(3) Mahony Bros., eighteenth payment, general construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated March 2, 1926), $49,-711.68.

(4) Bond Construction Co., third payment, general construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $13,751.16.

(5) Herman Lawson, first payment, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $1,961.25.

(6) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing work for Hawthorne School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $1,134.45.

(7) Crown Electric Co., fifth payment, electrical work for New Mission High School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $3,113.25.

(8) MacDonald & Kahn, seventh payment, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $40,644.27.

(9) O. Monson, sixth payment, general construction of Alvarado School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $15,875.25.

(10) Bond Construction Co., fourth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School, gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated March 2, 1926), $8,511.15.

(11) Scott Company, first payment, mechanical equipment, additional units to Galileo High School, etc. (claim dated March 2, 1926), $2,092.50.

(12) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $1,234.16.

(13) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Juinor High School (claim dated March 1, 1926), $19,778.15.

(14) F. L. Hansen, first payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $21,667.50.

(15) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., twelfth payment, general construction of Alamo School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $27,863.15.

(16) Standard Electrical Construction Co., second payment, electrical work for LeConte School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $1,-854.68.

(17) John C. Thomson, balance of fee of $5,000 for professional services; final opinion approving $12,000,000 school bonds (claim dated March 1, 1926), $2,500.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(18) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., galvanized steel sheets for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 25, 1926), $2,594.71.

(19) Crane Co., iron tees, elbows, bolts, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $1,090.73.

(20) City Coal Co., coal, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $812.37.

(21) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $2,259.68.

(22) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $607.25.

(23) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated February 26, 1926), $1,410.28.

(24) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated February 26, 1926), $2,281.50.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated February 24, 1926), $661.55.

(26) The Paraffine Companies, Inc., malthoid roofing, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $1,100.90.

(27) Charles Rosasco, tier wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $783.75.

(28) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oil, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 26, 1926), $728.56.

(29) Robert M. Searls, Special Counsel's revolving fund expenditures in acquisition of lands and rights of way (claim dated February 26, 1926), $2,504.

(30) Sonora Abstract & Title Co., certificates and abstracts of title, and reports furnished, in acquisition

of properties for Hetch Hetchy purposes (claim dated February 26, 1926), $981.40.

(31) Tilden Lumber and Mill Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 25, 1926), $1,726.81.

(32) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerator and ice-making cabinet (claim dated February 26, 1926), $1,707.27.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(33) Clinton Construction Co., fourteenth payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 2, 1926), $48,547.50.

(34) F. W. Snook Co., fourteenth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 2, 1926), $2,356.21.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(35) Alameda County Title Insurance Company, certificates of title furnished in connection with acquisition of properties for Hetch Hetchy transmission line in Alameda County (claim dated February 26, 1926), $3,100.

(36) Sonora Abstract & Title Company, certificates of title, abstracts, and reports furnished, in connection with acquisition of properties for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated February 26, 1926), $1,010.10.

Park Fund.

(37) A. L. Houle Bindery Co., 50,000 players' register blanks, park golf links (claim dated March 5, 1926), $597.

(38) Overland-Knight Sales Co., one Overland touring car for park use (claim dated March 5, 1926), $658.

Maintenance of Aquarium, Appropriation 57.

(39) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for February (claim dated March 8, 1926), $3,126.86.

Playgrund Fund.

(40) Mrs. Margaret Morrissey, first payment, per award by State Industrial Accident Commission, No. 18411 (claim dated March 3, 1926), $748.20.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(41) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting and removing lamp posts, January (claim dated March 1, 1926), $51,544.64.

(42) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,250.

(43) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,638.90.

(44) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated March 1, 1926), $750.

(45) City Construction Co., construction of concrete curbs, sidewalks, etc., on Lobos street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues (claim dated March 3, 1926), $2,186.80.

(46) Schultz Construction Co., final payment, construction of sewers in Kirkham street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-third avenues, and in Twenty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim dated March 3, 1926), $7,110.80.

(47) F. L. Hansen, first payment, construction of children's ward on southeast wing (tubercular) of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 2, 1926), $6,478.99.

(48) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated January 30, 1926), $1,781.25.

(49) Chas. Willms Surgical Instrument Co., surgical supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated January 31, 1926). $567.70.

(50) E. B. Frick, M. D., Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, reimbursement for room allowances for nurses, orderlies, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated February 28, 1926), $1,037.90.

(51) Levison Printing Co., minute books for County Clerk (claim dated March 8, 1926), $790.

(52) Market Street Railway Co., payment for land bounded by Willard and Frederick streets, Arguello boulevard and Golden Gate Park, for stadium purposes (claim dated March 8, 1926), $7,750.

(53) D. W. Taylor, services as secretary to the Traffic Commission, at $300 per month (claim dated March 8, 1926), $600.

Auditorium Fund.

(53) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Harold Bauer, soloist, Popular Concert of March 2, 1926 (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(54) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of March, 1926 (claim dated March 8, 1926), $3,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Supervisor Marks voted *no* on items Nos. 2 and 13.

Appropriations from the County Road Fund for Rights of Way Required for Bay Shore Highway.

Resolution No. 25337 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund ($100,000 set aside by Resolution No. 24422, New Series), in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the Bay Shore Highway, to-wit:

(1) To Willard B. and Alma E. Jefferson, for land beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant 296.814 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Flower street extended and produced westerly; thence running southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 75 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25233, New Series (claim dated March 4, 1926), $3,262.

(2) To Patrick Biggins, for lands, being the westerly 25 feet of Lot 303, Precita Valley Lands, and the westerly 25 feet of Lot 302, Precita Valley Lands; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25233, New Series (claim dated March 4, 1926), $3,000.

(3) To P. Grassi and A. Minutoli, for lands beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot 307, Precita Valley Lands with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence running northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 46.614 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25262, New Series (claim dated March 4, 1926), $2,018.

(4) To Lawrence Vannucci and Frank Vannucci, for lands beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant 352.729 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Flower street extended and produced westerly; thence running southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 92.322 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25262, New Series (claim dated March 4, 1926), $1,365.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Appropriations for Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition and Widening Portion of Clement Street.

Resolution No. 25338 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(1) For plans and preliminary work in connection with building and exhibit at the Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition at Reno, Nevada, in 1927, $2,500.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(2) For City's portion of expense of widening Clement street, from Arguello boulevard to Funston avenue, $8,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25339 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. G. Atwood, southeast corner of Spruce and Clay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Eirben, southwest corner of Oak and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, southeast corner of St. Francis boulevard and San Benito way, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Greenback, south side of Ellis street, 120 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Independent Cleaning and Dyeing Works, northeast corner of Erie and Howard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, east side of Leavenworth street, 125 feet south of Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lang Realty Co., northwest corner of Lopez avenue and Castenada avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Sheehan & Woolfrey, north side of Grove street, 100 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sheehan & Woolfrey, north side of Grove street, 135 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, south line of Chestnut street, 300 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, south line of Bay street, 125 feet east of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Roy T. Bishop, southeast corner

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

of Nineteenth and Bryant streets, 100 horse power boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25340 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wolfe Lumber and Hardware Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Nineteenth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 25341 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mme. P. Nicolas be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 2517 San Bruno avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Resolution No. 25342 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. F. Conklin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop on the south side of Berry street, 288 feet west of Fifth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., Forty-third Avenue Main Sewer.

Bill No. 7497, Ordinance No. 7011 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for Section "C," Contract No. 2, Forty-third avenue main sewer (Santiago street district), and ordering the construction of said Section "C," Contract No. 2, Forty-third avenue main sewer (Santiago street district), in accordance with said plains and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for Section "C," Contract No. 2, Forty-third avenue main sewer (Santiago street district), and to enter into contract for the construction of said Section "C," Contract No. 2, Forty-third avenue main sewer (Santiago street district), in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said sewer conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Orizaba Avenue and Garfield Street.

Bill No. 7508, Ordinance No. 7012 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Orizaba avenue and Garfield street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 8th day of February, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 112 to

establish set-back lines along Orizaba avenue and Garfield street, and fixed the 8th day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Orizaba avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Randolph street and running thence northerly 28 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 22 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Sargent street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Orizaba avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Sargent street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Shields street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Orizaba avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Shields street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Garfield street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Beverly street and Byxbee street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly line of Garfield street between Byxbee street and Vernon street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Vernon street and Ramsell street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Ramsell street and Head street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Garfield street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further partciulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 4636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Twenty-fifth Avenue.

Bill No. 7509, Ordinance No. 7013 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along a portion of Twenty-fifth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 15th day of February, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 113 to establish set-back lines along Twenty-fifth avenue, and fixed the 8th day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 13 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 13 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Twen-

ty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, California Street and Presidio Avenue.

Bill No. 7498, Ordinance No. 7014 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries, and the location of buildings for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of California street with the westerly line of Presidio avenue, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of California street 240 feet; thence southeasterly to a point on the westerly line of Presidio avenue 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of California street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Presidio avenue 275 feet to the point of commencement.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Baker Street.

Bill No. 7499, Ordinance No. 7015 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6527 (New Series), establishing set-back lines along a portion of Baker street and modifying said lines and reestablishing the same as modified.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6527 (New Series) is hereby amended so far as it establishes set-back lines along Baker street between Chestnut street and Francisco street and the set-back lines therein established are hereby modified so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Baker street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Chestnut street and running thence northerly to Francisco street, said set-back line to be 6 feet; along the easterly side of Baker street between Chestnut street and Francisco street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

And the lines as modified are hereby reestablished.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Traffic Ordinance Amendments.

Bill No. 7500, Ordinance No. 7016 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 18-A, Section 19-A and paragraph (b) of Section 21, of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating moving traffic on the public streets, relating to left-hand turns in Market street, restrictions on Fell street and parking on Jessie street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 18-A. (a) An arterial street is hereby defined to be a main street connecting a central business district of the city with its environs over which there is a large volume of moving traffic, and which, by reason of its location, invites an unusual number of vehicles to use its facilities.

(b) Fell street, from Market street to Baker street, and Fulton street, from Van Ness avenue to Stanyan street, are hereby declared to be arterial streets as defined in paragraph (a).

(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street is hereby declared to be an open public boulevard.

(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street, and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be held to include vehicles carrying goods for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevard. All vehicles shall be permitted to travel upon said boulevard for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.

(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street except as may be necessary to cross the same at intersections.

(f) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any vehicle or motorman of any street car to cross an arterial street or a street declared to be an open public boulevard from an intersecting street unless such vehicle or street car shall have been brought to a full stop before entering the intersection of such arterial street or open public boulevard, unless otherwise directed by a police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop or Go" or any other device or sign that shall be authorized, installed and put in use and operation by the Police Department of said City and County.

Ordinance No. 6818 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 2. Section 19-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 19-A. Between the hours of 7 o'clock a. m. and 7 p. m., excepting on Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for any owner, driver, or operator of any motor or horse-drawn vehicle to operate such vehicle in such manner as to make a left-hand turn on any part of Market street between First and Twelfth streets and Battery street and the westerly line of Van Ness avenue.

Section 3. Paragraph (b) of Section 21 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

(b) On Jessie street it shall be unlawful for the owner, driver, or operator of any motor or horse-drawn vehicle to leave the same standing, or to permit the same to be left standing, excepting when engaged in the actual loading or unloading of freight and merchandise to or from such motor or horse-drawn vehicle; and further excepted, that on Jessie street between Third street and New Montgomery street and on Stevenson street between Third street and Annie street all vehicles may be left standing on the south side of said streets for not more than 40 minutes.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Auction of Fire House, O'Farrell Street.

Bill No. 7501, Ordinance No. 7017 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at public auction of certain land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described parcel or piece of land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcel of land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of O'Farrell street, distant thereon 197 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Stockton street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of O'Farrell street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 73 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 73 feet to the northerly line of O'Farrell street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 121, also known as Lot 11, Block 313, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The said piece or parcel of land hereinabove described shall be sold for cash in United States gold coin, at public auction, to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and

in one other daily newspaper published in this City and County for three weeks successively next before the day on which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale, describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 4. The Mayor, Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said land within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance, as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Board of Public Works to Contract for Safety Lane Markers.

Bill No. 7507, Ordinance No. 7018 (New Series), as follows:

Directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for installing safety lane markers in the public streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for bids and enter into a contract for installing 10,000 safety lane markers at location to be designated by the Traffic and Safety Committee of the Board of Supervisors. Provision may be made for progressive payments to be made during the process of such installation.

Section 2. This ordinace to take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Abolishing Sidewalk Widths on Harriet Street Between Brannan and Its Southerly Termination.

Bill No. 7502, Ordinance No. 7019 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and the same is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office February 27, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred, to read as follows:

Section 900. The width of sidewalks on Harriet street between Brannan street and its southerly termination are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused bv the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Changing Grades on Thirtieth, Laidley and Harry Streets.

Bill No. 7503, Ordinance No. 7020 (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirtieth street between Noe and Castro streets, on Laidley street between Thirtieth and Harper streets and on Harry street between Laidley and Beacon streets."

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Ordering Street Work, Market Street Between Hattie and Collingwood Streets.

Bill No. 7504, Ordinance No. 7021 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 25, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its

office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Market street between Hattie and Collingwood streeets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Temporary Spur Track Permit, Market Street Railway Company, Ortega Street.

Bill No. 7505, Ordinance No. 7022 (New Series), as follows:

Granting to the Market Street Railway Company (a corporation) temporary permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to lay down and use railway tracks and to operate cars thereon with electricity by means of the overhead trolley system from a point in Twentieth avenue running west into Ortega street; thence to Twenty-first avenue into property bounded by Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Ortega and Pacheco streets, for grading purposes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Temporary permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Market Street Railway Companp (a corporation) for the laying down and using of railway tracks and operating cars thereon with electricity by means of the overhead trolley system, from a point in Twentieth avenue running west into Ortega street; thence along Ortega street to Twenty-first avenue; thence into property bounded by Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Ortega and Pacheco street, said tracks to be used for the sole purpose of grading block between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Ortega and Pacheco streets, and surrounding portions of streets.

Section 2. The work of construction shall be performed under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

Bill No. 7506, Ordinance No. 7023 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate standard gauge railroad spur tracks upon and along North Point, Taylor and Jones streets, in the locations hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate standard gauge railroad spur tracks upon and along North Point, Taylor and Jones streets, the center lines of which are particularly described as follows:

Jones Street.

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 70 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street; thence in a northwesterly direction crossing Jones street a distance of 80 feet to a point on the westerly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 105 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street.

Also, beginning at a point on the easterly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 55 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street; thence in a northwesterly direction crossing Jones street to a point on the westerly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 90 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street.

Also, beginning at a point on the easterly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 30 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street; thence in a northwesterly direction crossing Jones street a distance of 75 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 55 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street.

Also, beginning at a point on the easterly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 25 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street; thence in a northwesterly direction crossing

Jones street a distance of 72 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 40 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street.

Also, beginning at a point on the easterly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 8.5 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street; thence in a westerly direction crossing Jones street a distance of 69 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Jones street, distant northerly thereon 10 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street.

North Point Street.

Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing Southern Pacific Company track in North Point street, distant southerly 28 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of North Point street, and distant easterly 64 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Taylor street produced southerly; thence in a westerly direction along North Point street through a turnout curve to the right and crossing the intersection of Taylor street, a distance of 250 feet, more or less, to a point on the northerly line of said North Point street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur tracks shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Appropriation, $20,000, Hetch Hetchy Transmission Line.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. 25364 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $20,000 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the following fund, as follows, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(1) For expense of preparation of plans, specifications and estimates by the City Engineer for the completion of the electrical transmission line of the Hetch Hetchy project to a point within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, as directed by Resolution No. 25191 (New Series), the sum of $20,000.

Adolph Uhl was granted the privilege of the floor and protested the appropriation.

Finally Passed.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the resolution *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Roncovieri—3.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Colman explained his vote by saying that it is not certain as yet, before election, whether the people desire to embark into the project of retail distribution of power; it will require a two-thirds vote to carry the bonds.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was on motion *laid over one week*:

Auto Supply Station Permit, J. F. Duffin.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Granting J. F. Duffin permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Quintara street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

March 8, 1926—Over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was *rereferred to the Fire Committee*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Granting Christensen Construction Company permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to install a 15,000 gallon oil storage tank on the east side of San Bruno avene, 300 feet north of Oakdale avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

February 8, 1926—*Passed for printing.*

March 1, 1926—*Rereferred to Fire Committee.*

March 15, 1926—*Fire Committee recommends final passage.*

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $45,284.33, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., Industrial Accident Commission award, third payment, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished horse troughs, $47.40.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Feb. 28, 1926), $700.

(2) San Francisco News Co., books for public libraries (claim dated Feb. 28, 1926), $983.58.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., books for public libraries (claim dated Feb. 28, 1926), $1,809.18.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(4) H. G. Butler, services rendered, severance damage, re valuation of San Francisco electric properties of Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Great Western Power Co. (claim dated March 5, 1926), $550.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(6) John Reid, Jr., eighteenth payment, architectural services, new buildings for Relief Home (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,221.69.

Publicity and Advertising.

(7) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated March 15, 1926), $952.51.

Auditorium Fund.

(8) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at concent of March 2, 1926 (claim dated March 15, 1926), $2,000.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(9) Remington Typewriter Co., 44 Remington typewriter machines furnished High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $2,530.

(10) R. Brandlein & Co., biology tables, etc., for Mission High School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $1,050.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(11) Wm. J. Bays, third payment, mechanical equipment for LeCont School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $2,883.44.

(12) Burnham Plumbing Co., fifth payment, plumbing and gasfitting work for New Mission High School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,727.10.

(13) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for the New Mission High School (claim dated March 9, 1926). $4,554.61.

(14) W. H. Picard, fourth payment, plumbing and gasfitting work for the Douglas-Everett School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,244.53.

(15) M. E. Ryan, third payment, electrical work for the Douglas-Everett School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,106.46.

(16) Scott Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for the Douglas-Everett School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $2,134.46.

(17) James L. McLaughlin Co., fourth payment, general construction of the Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $13,956.71.

(18) Pacific Electric Construction Co., third payment, electrical work on the Alamo School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $2,476.73.

(19) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth

payment, mechanical equipment for the Alvarado School (claim dated March 9, 1926), $1,409.06.

(20) Wm. J. Bays, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for the Dudley Stone School (claim dated March 9, 1926), $3.379.12.

(21) W. H. Picard, fifteenth payment, mechanical equipment for the addition to the High School of Commerce (claim dated March 9, 1926), $1,571.49.

(22) John Reid, Jr., twenty-second payment, architectural services for the addition to the High School of Commerce (claim dated March 9, 1926), $1,614.21.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(23) Bald Eagle Meat Market, Oakdale, Cal., meats furnished (claim dated March 6, 1926), $766.97.

(24) J. H. McCallum, lumber furnished (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1.310.98.

(25) Tilden Lumber & Mill Co., lumber furnished (claim dated March 6, 1926), $1,341.25.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(26) Mrs. Annie L. Swift, comprmise agreement for account of injuries received June 24, 1924 (claim dated March 9, 1926), $1,000.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(27) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated March 12, 1926), $8,091.27.

(28) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated March 12. 1926). $995.55.

(29) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated March 12, 1926), $8,290.50.

(30) A. G. Raisch, improvement of Morse street, Lowell to Mission, fronting City property (claim dated March 10, 1926), $3,356.

(31) Crown Electric Co., first payment, electrical work on children's ward, southeast (tubercular) wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 9, 1926), $551.90.

(32) Scott Co., final payment, installation of heating system in Central Fire Alarm Station (claim dated March 9. 1926), $2.770.

(33) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con.. cement for street repair (claim dated March 5, 1926), $1,-100.89.

(34) Stanard Oil Co.. asphalt for street repair (claim dated March 5. 1926). $4,430.19.

(35) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated March 6. 1926), $887.78.

(36) The Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for street repair (claim dated March 5, 1926), $1,419.12.

(37) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil for Hall of Justice (claim dated March 5, 1926), $706.80.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated March 6, 1926), $1,472.41.

(39) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated March 8, 1926), $632.50.

(40) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $577.08.

(41) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $942.58.

(42) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $851.26.

(43) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $1,447.08.

(44) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $1,984.36.

(45) S. F. Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $525.

(46) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $3,598.19.

(47) Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $1,426.19.

(48) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $10,286.98.

(49) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $24,097.16.

(50) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $3,537.59.

(51) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $642.09.

(52) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas service, County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1926), $735.85.

(53) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1926), $876.09.

(54) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1926), $1.067.25.

(55) California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1926), $1,077.60.

(56) C. Nauman Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926). $876.72.

(57) R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., tobacco, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,260.02.

(58) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee and tea, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $891.10.

(59) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law and Motion and Trial

Calendars (claim dated March 15, 1926), $940.

(60) Electric Appliance Co., wire and supplies for Department of Electricity (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,037.

(61) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Department of Public Works (claim dated March 15, 1926), $604.37.

(62) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated March 15, 1926), $1,180.91.

County Road Fund.

(63) Owen McHugh, labor and materials furnished on Camino del Mar (Harding boulevard), Lincoln Park (claim dated March 10, 1926), $1,842.26.

Tax Judgments—Appropriation. 59.

(64) Oscar Samuels & J. Samuels, fourth instalment, one-tenth of tax judgment, for account Oscar Heyman & Bro. (claim dated March 11, 1926), $579.99.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(65) Guilfoy Cornice Works, final payment, iron and sheet metal contract, Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated March 9, 1926), $1,517.50.

Appropriation, $4,126.39, Payment to Pacific Southwest Trust & Savings Bank, Being Commutation of Compensation for Claim of Benj. J. Barnett, as Per Award of Industrial Accident Commission.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,126.39 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1925-1926, and authorized in payment to the Pacific Southwest Trust & Savings Bank, Los Angeles, California, designated by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California as trustee for and in behalf of Benjamin J. Barnett, to hold on deposit said sum of $4,126.39 until such time as the same shall be due and payable on account of purchase price of a dwelling and real estate as a home or residence for said Benjamin J. Barnett; said payment of $4,126.39 being commutation of compensation and payment in full of all claim against the City and County of San Francisco, per award by the said Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, dated the 19th day of January, 1926, and known as claim No. 5895.

Appropriation, $5,250, Payment to Edward J. Cassidy for Land Required for Extension of Market Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,250 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Edward J. Cassidy; being payment for property required for the extension of Market street; and being a portion of Lot No. 35, Block "B" of Park Lane Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25306, New Series. (Claim dated March 12, 1926.)

Appropriations in Payment for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the following named persons, being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Charles A. Duhem, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, commencing 192 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street; running thence northerly on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue 150½ feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 145 feet; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet 2 inches; also, lands on easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 259½ feet northerly from Santiago street; thence running northerly on Twenty-third avenue 100 feet; of regular dimensions 100 x 120 feet, $11,400.

(2) To Raymond A. Duhem, for lands on westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, commencing 342½ feet northerly from Santiago street; thence running northerly 75 feet; of uniform dimensions 75 x 120 feet: also, lands on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 234½ feet northerly from Santiago street; thence running northerly on easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet, $4,700.

(Per acceptance of offers by Resolutions Nos. 25310 and 25311, New Series.)

Appropriations for the Construction of the Edison School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the cost of construction of the Edison School, to be erected on the west side of Dolores

street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, to-wit:

General construction (Amoroso & Damico)	$287,000.00
Plumbming work (Latourette-Fical Co.)	11,828.00
Mechanical equipment (Scott Company)	17,480.00
Electrical work (Crown Electric Co.)	10,299.00
Additional architect's fees	5,196.42
Possible extras, incidentals and inspection	10,000.00
	$341,803.42

Appropriations for Construction of Annex to Parkside School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, for the cost of construction of an annex to the Parkside School, in block bounded by Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, Ulloa and Vicente streets, to-wit:

General construction (Carl H. Peterson)	$50,900.00
Heating system (Scott Company.)	2,280.00
Plumbing system (A. Lettich)	4,998.00
Electrical work (Dowd-Seid Co.)	1,932.00
Additional architect's fee.	1,206.60
Possible extras, incidentals, inspection	2,500.00
	$63,816.60

Appropriation, $3,333.33, for Abatement of Stagnant Water Nuisance at the Marina.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,333.33 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, for the abatement of a stagnant water nuisance and menace at the Marina; the work of abatement to be under the direction of the Park Commission.

Appropriations, Equipment for High School of Coommerce, and Double Track Crossing for Municipal Railway, Columbus Avenue and Kearny Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Construction Fund. Bond Issue, 1918.

(1) For the purchase of equipment for the new High School of Commerce, $40,000.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(2) For the cost of a double track crossing for the Municipal Railway for use at Columbus avenue and Kearny street, $2,700.

Appropriation, $150, for Construction of 12-Inch Culvert on Key Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25343 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $150 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the cost of constructing a 12-inch culvert on Key avenue west of Keith street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Accepting Offers of Regina Upman and Margaret Cooney to Sell Land Required for the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25344 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz:

Regina Upman, $6,000 — Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 179 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Carl street 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 137.50 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Estate of Margaret C. Cooney, $412—Beginning at a point distant one hundred and fifty (150) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 113.524 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clay-

ton street 23.976 feet; thence at right angles westerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles northerly 21.767 feet; thence deflecting 84 degrees 56 minutes 58 seconds to the right, and running easterly 25.097 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Accepting Offer of A. M. Meyer et al. to Sell Land Required for Duboce Tunnel Construction.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25345 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

A. M. Meyer and Charlotte T. Meyer, $16,525—Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 204 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Carl street 25.333 feet; thence at right angles northerly 47.50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 0.333 feet; thence at right angles northerly 90 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 137.50 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Board of Public Works Authorized to Purchase in Open Market Double Track Crossing for Municipal Railway, Columbus Avenue and Kearny Street.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7510, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to purchase in the open market at the lowest price obtainable, one double track crossing for use at Columbus avenue and Kearny street by Municipal Railways.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to purchase in the open market at the lowest price obtainable, one double track crossing for the use of Municipal Railways at Columbus avenue and Kearny street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Consent to Assignment of Lease, Sacramento Street Property.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25346 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a lease, dated June 4, 1919, was entered into by and between the City and County of San

Francisco, lessor, and H. & W. Pierce, Incorporated, lessees, whereby said City and County leased certain property situated on the northerly line of Sacramento street, 155 feet easterly from the easterly line of Drumm street and having a frontage of 20 feet on Sacramento street and a depth of 59 feet, which said lease was authorized by Ordinance No. 4850 (New Series); and

Whereas, it is desired that said lease be assigned by said lessee and its successors in interest to William Pierce Johnson, and no objection to said assignment appearing, it is hereby

Resolved, That the assent of the City of said assignment of said lease is hereby given and the Mayor and Clerk of this Board are hereby authorized to express the consent of the City and County to said assignment by their signatures thereon.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Denial of Application of Kernan Robson to Zone Property on the Northwest Corner of Jackson and Gough Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Kernan Robson has filed his application to change the classification of his property, situated on the northwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets from the First Residential District to the Second Residential District, as delineated upon the use of property zone maps constituting a part of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series); and

Whereas, said application was denied by the City Planning Commission and thereafter a hearing was held by the City Planning Committee of this Board whereat said applicant appeared and presented arguments in favor of said application and having heard all objections thereto, said City Planning Committee did recommend to this Board that said application be denied; and

Whereas, a further hearing has been held by this Board in the matter of said application, and said applicant has been given full opportunity to present all reasons why said application should be granted and the change of classification of his property should be made; now, therefore,

Resolved, and it is hereby determined, That the public health, saftey and public interest require that said application be denied, and it is accordingly so ordered.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the foregoing matter be laid over one week to permit members to inspect the premises.

So ordered.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m. next Monday.*

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Rousseau Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwesterly side of Bosworth street, commencing at the southwesterly line of Rousseau street and running thence southwesterly and along the northwesterly line of Bosworth street 170 feet, and extending northwesterly to a line parallel to and consentric with the center line of the Southern Pacific right of way and distant 60 feet southeasterly therefrom, said line being the southeasterly line of the proposed improvement of the Bernal Cut, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Commercial District.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the foregoing matter be laid over one week to permit members to investigate.

So ordered.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 4 p. m.*

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Gus Brown, 1170 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. S. Cahen, 2248 California street, 600 gallons capacity.

Christiansen Bros., southwest corner of Laguna and Magnolia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. J. Condon, 320 Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. C. R. Etcheverry, 3233 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Globe Bakery, 3065 Sixteenth street, 600 gallons capacity.

K. Kavanaugh, 2062 Jaskson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. L. Knight, north side of California street, 60 feet east of Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

McKillop Bros., southeast corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Moncharsh, 2800 Lake street, 600 gallons capacity.

Geo. W. Stevens, north side of Thirtieth avenue, 125 feet east of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Allen H. Suggett, 850 Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Warden, northwest corner of Irving street and Fifteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Kockos Bros., 701 Davis street, 15 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eric Wilson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Fell and Gough streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Meyer Rosenberg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property at Bay, Polk and Francisco streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $15,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Meyer Rosenberg, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lindgren & Swinerton be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at the southwest corner of Sutter and Montgomery streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Lindgren & Swinerton, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Denying Laundry Permit.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 25347 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Yee Sing Chong to maintain and operate a laundry at 99 Turk street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Kansas street, various lots, between Eighteenth and Twentieth streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. J. and T. B. Curley be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Market street, between Eleventh street and Van Ness avenue extension.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Edward Rosing be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the southeast corner of Larkin and Bush streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Waldthaler & Burg be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Enterprise Garage Company by Resolution No. 18446 (New Series) for premises on the north side of California street, 137 feet east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Tomas be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at wil of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 316 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. Kleinclaus be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Irving street and Sixteenth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Automobile Supply Station Permit, Louis Silverstein.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Denying, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission to Louis Silverstein to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Silver avenue and San Bruno avenue.

Passed for Printing.

The following entitled bill was *passed for printing*:

Milk Ordinance.

Bill No. 7511, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, and the issuance and revocation of permits therefor, the production, handling, processing, labeling, distribution, sale or offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products, providing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products and providing for the inspection by the Board of Health of all persons, buildings, rooms, places, matters, things and animals employed or used in the production, handling, distribution, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products intened for human consumption in said City and County, authorizing the Board of Health to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof."

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25348 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to remove and install street lights as follows, to-wit:

Remove Gas Lamps.

North side Twenty-fourth street, first west of Mission street.

Southwest corner Twenty-fourth and Bartlett streets.

North side Twenty-fourth street, first west of Bartlett street.

North side Minna street, first, second, third and fourth west of Seventh street.

South side Minna street, first, second and third west of Seventh street.

Julia street between Minna and Mission streets.

Twenty-fourth street between Fair Oaks and Church streets.

Twenty-fourth and Fair Oaks streets.

Twenty-fourth street between Fair Oaks and Guerrero streets.

East and west sides Broderick street, south of Greenwich street.

North side Cosmos place, first and second west of Jones street.

Taylor street and Derby place.

Derby place, east of Taylor street.

Steveloe place, east of Jones street.

East and west sides Shannon place, east of Post street.

Filbert street, opposite No. 1874.

West side Twenty-first avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Remove 250 *C. P.*

Second street between Brannan and Townsend streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Twenty-fourth street between Mission and Bartlett streets.

Twenty-fourth and Bartlett streets.

Twenty-fourth street between Bartlett and Valencia streets.

Thirty-first avenue and Fulton street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Minna street between Seventh and Julia streets.

Minna and Julia streets.

Minna street between Julia and Eighth streets.

Twenty-fourth street between Fair Oaks and Church streets.

Twenty-fourth and Fair Oaks streets.

Twenty-fourth street between Fair Oaks and Guerrero streets.

Thirty-fourth avenue between Geary and Anza streets.

Seventeenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets.

Seventeenth avenue between Ortega and Noriega streets.

Second street between Brannan and Townsend streets.

Broderick street between Ellis and O'Farrell streets.

Broderick street between O'Farrell and Geary streets.

Golden Gate avenue between Lyon street and Central avenue.

Gough street between Fulton and Grove streets.

Fulton street between Lyon street and Central avenue.

Twenty-first avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Accepting Offer of Colin Menzies to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25349 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Colin Menzies to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 175 feet north from Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,100, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 29 feet 6 inches; thence northeasterly 120 feet, more or less; thence at a right angle northerly 23 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 2327, also Block 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to

be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Accepting Offer of Josephine Farrow to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 25350 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Josephine Farrow to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 250 feet south from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,800 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 250 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 1099 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed nad delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said property is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion of Supervisor Colman, *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the contract under which Robert M. Searls is employed as Special Legal Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project expired on March 1, 1926; and

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors the legal work in connection with the Hetch Hetchy project can be handled, at least temporarily, by the City Attorney; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the services of Robert M. Searls as Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project be discontinued and the contract for his employment be not renewed.

Embarcadero Bus Line.

The following matter, *laid over* from a previous meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors on the 30th day of December, 1925, passed Ordinance No. 6920 (New Series), which ordinance was approved by the Mayor on the 8th day of January, 1926, and authorized the Mayor to execute an agreement with the Board of State Harbor Commissioners respecting the establishment and operation of a line of busses along The Embarcadero and other streets; and

Whereas, the Board of State Harbor Commissioners has executed an agreement in the following words and figures, to-wit:

This agreement, made on the — day of February, 1926, by and between City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and the Board of State Harbor Com-

missioners for the State of California, witnesseth:

Whereas, said City and County of San Francisco is about to engage in the operation of a line of passenger-carrying motor busses along the waterfront or Embarcadero, with termini at the Townsend street depot of the Southern Pacific Co. and the Golden Gate Ferry at the foot of Hyde street, in said City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is the desire of the Board of State Harbor Commissioners to have said line of busses operate along and serve The Embarcadero and all property adjacent thereto; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the said City and County of San Francisco that the operation of said busses will incur a financial loss to the said City and County; and

Whereas, it is the wish and intent of the Board of State Harbor Commissioners to contribute to the operation of said bus line, if necessary, to the extent of eighteen thousand ($18,000) dollars, in any one year; now, therefore,

It is agreed and covenanted by and between both parties that the said City and County of San Francisco shall, in accordance with Ordinance No. 6723, adopted August 11, 1925, furnish, maintain and operate a line of passenger-carrying motor busses along The Embarcadero, waterfront and such other streets as may be necessary in running from the southern terminus at or near the Southern Pacific Company's depot, Third and Townsend streets, and the northern terminus at or near the Golden Gate Ferry slip, at the north end of Hyde street, in said City and County, in accordance with schedule to be established by the Superintendent of the Municipal Railway of San Francisco, a copy of which schedule is to be filed with the Board of State Harbor Commissioners.

That the City shall furnish and operate busses constructed in accordance with the specifications now on file in the office of the City Engineer.

That the fare to be collected for carrying passengers shall be five cents (5c) per passenger for either the full distance or any portion of the distance between the two termini mentioned above. The payment of the five cent fare shall not include transfer privileges, nor will transfers be accepted on the busses.

In consideration of the premises and the operation of the busses by the City and County of San Francisco, the Board of State Harbor Commissioners do hereby agree to indemnify the said City and County of San Francisco for any financial loss incurred or sustained during any fiscal year through the operation of the busses. The said Board of State Harbor Commissioners agrees to pay the actual financial loss incurred or sustained in any year up to and including not more than $18,000 in any one year.

That this agreement to meet the loss shall bind the Board of State Harbor Commissioners for a period of not less than three years from and after the establishment of the said automobile bus service, provided that the said City and County of San Francisco continues to operate the said bus line in accordance with the intent and spirit of this agreement.

In order to determine the result of the operation of the proposed bus route, the City and County shall keep an accurate record of all receipts and disbursements. The method of accounting shall conform with the requirements of that of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

In determining whether the operation has resulted in a surplus or deficit, it is understood and agreed that the cost of operating and maintaining the bus service shall include the following expenses: Operation, maintenance, repairs, garage and shop rental, administration, insurance, employees' pension, accident and damage claims, depreciation, interest on investment, taxes and such other legitimate expenses as may have to be met from time to time.

The books in which the aforesaid accounts are kept, shall be open for the inspection of the Board of State Harbor Commissioners and a monthly statement shall be supplied to them. At the end of the fiscal year, a complete accounting shall be made for the year's operation, and the Board of State Harbor Commissioners hereby agree that within thirty (30) days after the receipt of the accounting for the fiscal year, that they will pay to the said City and County of San Francisco, the entire deficit not exceeding $18,000 in any one fiscal year.

In witness whereof, the Board of State Harbor Commissioners have hereunto set its signatures by its president and secretary, and the City and County of San Francisco has hereunto set its signatures by its Mayor, attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in pursuance of an ordinance adopted on

the 30th day of December, 1925, approved January 8, 1926.
Board of State Harbor Commissioners.
By (Signed) Wm. A. Sherman, President.
By (Signed) J. L. Phelps, Secretary.
City and County of San Francisco.
By (Signed)
.....
Mayor.
(Attest:)
.....
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

And said agreement having been submitted to the Board of Supervisors; therefore,

Resolved, That the agreement herein set forth conforms to the requirements of said Ordinance No. 6920 (New Series) and is approved and it is ordered that said agreement be transmitted to the Mayor.

Communications.

Supervisor Shannon presented communications from the U. S. Customs -Service, Chamber of Commerce and others favoring the immediate passage of the resolution.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Salinger, representing the shipping interests, was heard at length favoring the bus service.

Mr. Van Leur, president of the Municipal Carmen, was heard in protest against the resolution.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton —5.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Welch—3.

Supervisor Marks, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that action whereby the foregoing resolution was *adopted*, be rescinded.

Ayes—Supervisors Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton —5.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—8.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Welch—5.

Whereupon, Supervisor Marks changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at next meeting.

Chair (*Badaracco*) ruled the notice of reconsideration out of order. Motion to rescind cannot be reconsidered and notice of reconsideration applies only to the main question.

Supervisor Harrelson moved that Supervisor Stanton be permitted to change his vote in order to get reconsideration.

Supervisors Bath and *McSheehy* objected.

Whereupon, the ruling of the Chair was allowed to stand.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Traffic Ordinance Relating to Left-Hand Turns and Arterial Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. 7512, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections 18-A and 19-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating moving traffic on the public streets relating to left-hand turns and arterial streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 18-A. (a) An arterial street is hereby defined to be a main street connecting a central business district of the City with its environs, over which there is a large volume of moving traffic, and which, by reason of its location, invites an unusual number of vehicles to use its facilities.

(b) Fell street between Market street and Baker street and Fulton street between Van Ness avenue and Stanyan street, Bush and Pine streets between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue, Geary street between Presidio and Forty-third avenues, Mission street between The Embarcadero and the County line, Valencia street between Market and Mission streets and Post street between Mason street and Presidio avenue, are hereby declared to be arterial streets as defined in paragraph (a).

(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street is hereby declared to be an open public boulevard.

(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be

held to include vehicles carrying goods for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevard. All vehicles shall be permitted to travel upon said boulevard for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.

(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along Fell street between Baker and Stanyan streets except as may be necessary to cross the same at intersections.

(f) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any vehicle or motorman of any street car to cross an arterial street or a street declared to be an open public boulevard from an intersecting street unless such vehicle or street car shall have been brought to a full stop before entering the intersection of such arterial street or open public boulevard unless otherwise directed by a police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop or Go" or any other device or sign that shall be authorized, installed and put in use and operation by the Police Department of said City and County.

Section 2. Section 19-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 19-A. Between the hours of 7 o'clock a. m. and 7 o'clock p. m., excepting on Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for any owner, driver, or operator of any motor or horse-drawn vehicle to operate such vehicle in such manner as to make a left-hand turn on any part of Market street between First and Twelfth streets and Battery and the westerly line of Van Ness avenue.

Between the hours of 7 o'clock a. m. and 12 o'clock midnight it shall be unlawful for any owner, driver or operator of any motor or horse-drawn vehicle to operate such vehicle in such a manner as to make a left-hand turn on any part of Van Ness avenue between Market street and the northerly line of Union street.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Mayor Requested to Recommend Creation of Position of Traffic Engineer.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is important that an intensive study be given to the traffic conditions at present existing in San Francisco and a solution sought of the various problems involved in a proper control of traffic in the streets, and adequate provision be made for the future growth of the City, than an experienced expert be employed for that purpose; therefore,

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to recommend to this Board the creation of the position of Traffic Engineer to perform the duties outlined in the preamble to this resolution at a salary to be hereafter fixed.

Approval of Map of Portola Heights.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25352 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 90736 (Second Series), approve a map of Portal Heights, San Francisco, California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Portal Heights, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Accepting Deed for Land Required for Fifteenth Avenue, Cecilia Avenue, Sixteenth Avenue and Seventeenth Avenue.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25353 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 16th day of October, 1925, between Aug. J. Lang, Jr., and L. Josephine Lang, his wife, of the City and County of San Francisco and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying lands for the purpose of opening, laying out and dedicating for street purposes designated on said deed as Parcels A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H, portions of Sunset Blocks numbered 1106, 1107, 1117 and 118, is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets, viz.:

Fifteenth avenue, Cecilia avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Bond Approved for Payment of Taxes.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25354 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Aug. J. Lang, Jr., as principal, and Roy E. Reigle and Harry R. Mitchell, as sureties, dated March 2, 1926, in the sum of two thousand five hundred dollars, which sum is fixed by this Board and conditional for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien and not yet payable against the tract or subdivision of land shown on Map of Portal Heights, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to endorse a certificate on said map, that a bond has been filed with this Board.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Bond to Secure Payment of Taxes Approved.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25355 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company (a corporation) and Alfred L. Meyerstein, as principals, and John M. Punnett and E. Elmore Hutchison, as sureties, dated 9th day of March, 1926, in the sum of one thousand dollars, which sum is fixed by this Board and conditional for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien and not yet payable against the tract or subdivision of land shown on Map of Property of Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company et al., northwest of Portal Drive, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to enclose a certificate on said map, that a bond has been filed with this Board.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25356 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 16, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Jones street between Beach and North Point streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is progressing, and the delay in completion of the work is due to the necessity of waiting for the Southern Pacific Company to complete the construction of certain tracks.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Also, Resolution No. 25357 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days from and after March 26, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Union street between Franklin and Steiner streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the delay in completion of the work was occasioned through the necessity of waiting for the completion of installations by corporation.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Also, Resolution No. 29358 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton be and he is hereby granted the following extensions of time, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after March 14, 1926, within which to complete improvement of Montcalm street between Alabama street and Peralta avenue. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the delay has been caused by inclement weather.

Ninety days' time from and after March 14, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Arkansas and Twenty-second streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the delay was caused by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Also, Resolution No. 25359 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles L. Harney is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after March 17, 1926, within which to

complete the improvement of Key avenue between Third street and a line 350 feet westerly from Keith street. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the delay was caused by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades, Clementina Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7513, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Clementino street between Fourth street and a line parallel with and 550 feet easterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Clementina street between Fourth street and a line parallel with and 550 feet easterly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed March 6, 1926.

Clementina Street.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Fourth street easterly line, 10.71 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Fourth street easterly line, 10.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

50 feet easterly from Fourth street, 10.70 feet.

550 feet easterly from Fourth street, 14.50 feet.

On Clementina street between Fourth street and a line parallel with and 550 feet easterly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Fifteenth Street.

Also, Bill No. 7514, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 901.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 9, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 901, to read as follows:

Section 901. The width of sidewalks on Fifteenth street, the northerly side of, between Folsom street and Harrison street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The widths of sidewalks on Fifteenth street, the southerly side of, between Folsom street and Harrison street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25360 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 90636 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted March 3, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed March 6, 1926, to-wit:

Sixteenth Avenue.

Ulloa street, 362 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 347 feet.

Vicente street, 340 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades

is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was presented and *laid over one week*:

Private Sale of City Property.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at private sale of certain land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco, situated within the said City and County of San Francisco, and being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Mono street, distant thereon 20.200 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Market street, said point of beginning being on the proposed northwesterly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Mono street 79.800 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 00 min. 3 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 91.767 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead; thence deflecting 79 deg. 56 min. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 45.473 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Market street; thence southwesterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Market street on a curve to the left of 275-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 85 deg. 1 min. 14 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 12 deg. 20 min. 10 sec. a distance of 59.209 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead. Together with dwelling house and appurtenances thereon.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point distant 500 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, and distant 60.067 feet southerly from Market street, measured along a line parallel with Hattie street, said point of beginning being on the easterly boundary of Lot 36, Block "B" of the Park Lane Tract, and running thence southerly along said easterly boundary and parallel with Hattie street 25.896 feet to the southerly boundary of said lot; thence westerly along said southerly boundary 25.096 feet to the westerly boundary of said lot; thence northerly along said westerly boundary 14.100 feet; thence northeasterly 28.631 feet to the point of beginning; being the southerly portion of Lot 36, Block "B" of Park Lane Tract.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of De Haro street, distant thereon 372.726 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of De Haro street 27.274 feet; thence at right angles westerly 82.112 feet; thence deflecting 157 deg. 1 min. to the left and running southeasterly 81.640 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 112 deg. 59 min. a distance of 10.360 feet to tangency with the westerly line of De Haro street at the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point distant 325 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street and distant 58.944 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of De Haro street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 41.056 feet; thence at right angles northerly 17.413 feet; thence deflecting 112 deg. 59 min. to the right and running southeasterly 44.596 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of De Haro street, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of De Haro street 42.368 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 124 deg. 48 min. a distance of 10.891 feet; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 81.031 feet; thence deflecting 145 deg. 12 min. to the right and running westerly 74.720 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Parcel 6. Beginning at a point distant 175 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of

Twenty-second street and distant 89.31 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Carolina street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 10.69 feet; thence at right angles northerly 7.430 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 48 min. to the right and running southeasterly 13.019 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuveo Block 178.

Section 2. Parcel 1, as described in Section 1 hereof, shall be sold subject to the obilgation of the purchaser to cut off or otherwise remove all portions of the dwelling house situated thereon which extend southeasterly of the northwesterly line of Market street as widened and to construct a concrete or masonry retaining wall on said northwesterly line of Market street as widened and regraded so as to prevent subsidence or caving of the said street; and it shall be a further condition of said sale that the City and County assumes no liability to the said purchaser of Parcel 1 for damage or injury to the surface of the ground in said parcel or the building thereon which may result from the construction and regrading of Market street in front thereof.

Section 3. Said lands shall be sold in six separate parcels as described in Section 1 hereof for cash in United States gold coin, at a private sale to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter, to the highest bidders for the respective parcels. Each bidder shall, in submitting his offer, bid separately for each of the said parcels that he desires to purchase.

Section 4. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper published in this City and County for two weeks successively next before the day on or after which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 5. The Mayor, Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said lands within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance, as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Section 6. Upon receipt and examination of bids or offers for said lands, as aforesaid, the Mayor shall accept the highest bids made for each parcel, provided said bids be for at least ninety per cent of the value found by said appraisers for the parcels to which they apply, and shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors, with a statement of the sums bid and the names of the highest bidders, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Permit to Rope Off School Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25361 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission be and is hereby granted Alice R. Norton, teacher in charge of Le Conte School, to rope off Norwich street between Alabama street on the east and Harrison street on the west during the recess in the morning and in the afternoon and during the noon hour between twelve and one o'clock, in order that the children attending the Le Conte School may be more adequately protected from the dangers of vehicular travel, and may utilize that portion of said street between said hours as a playground.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7515, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accord-

ance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Clipper street between Castro street and Diamond street; Day street between Noe street and Castro street; Caselli avenue between Douglass street and Danvers street; Winfield street between Eugenia avenue and Virginia avenue; Heyman avenue between Prospect avenue and Coleridge street; Concord street between Mission street and Cross street; Cross street between Concord street and Allison street; Hanover street between Guttenberg street and Lowell street; Hanover street between Lowell street and the County line; Guttenberg street between Morse street and Brunswick street; Guttenberg street between Brunswick street and Hanover street; Brunswick street between Guttenberg street and Lowell street; Brunswick street between Lowell street and Whittier street; Whittier street between Brunswick street and the County line; Bartlett street between Twenty-fourth street and Twenty-fifth street*, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 9516, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco. approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *northerly one-half of Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Fountain street, and the crossing of Twenty-fifth street and Hoffman avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7517, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San

Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets, including the crossing of Nineteenth and Arkansas streets, and the improvement of Arkansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances on the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 8 Y branches and 2 brick manholes with appurtenances along the center line of Nineteenth street between the easterly line of Arkansas street and a point 50 feet westerly from Connecticut street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Arkansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, where not already constructed; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of concrete pavement on Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic wearing surface on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7518, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *southerly one-half of Lawton street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters 2 feet in width adjacent to the above mentioned curbs; by the construction of that portion of a 21-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying Y branches that said frontage would be liable for; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof; also the improvement of the *crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Lawton street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary

catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an 8-inch, 15-inch and 21-inch ironstone pipe sewers, with accompanying manhole; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Aiso, Bill No. 7519, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Kirkham street between Eleventh and Twelfth avenues; the northerly one-half of Cabrillo street between Twenty-sixth avenue and Twenty-seventh avenue; Twenty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets; the westerly one-half of Twenty-sixth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street; Carmel street between Cole street and Shrader street; Ulloa street between West Portal avenue and Forest Side avenue; Wilson street between San Jose avenue and Rhine street; Avila street between Chestnut street and Alhambra street; the easterly one-half of Mallorca way between Toledo way and Chestnut street; the westerly one-half of Mallorca way from Alhambra street to Toledo way; Scott street between Chestnut street and Alhambra street; Toledo way between Pierce street and Mallorca way; Pierce street between Alhambra street and Toledo way*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Acceptance of Streets in the Crocker Tract.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Welch:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public streets in the Crocker Amazon Tract have been improved under private contract to the advantage of the City, and the property owners thereon have paid the cost thereof and deserve to have the same kept in repair, as in case of improvements made under public contract; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works report to this Board a list of streets in the Crocker Amazon Tract that have been properly constructed and to recommend that the same be accepted as provided in Section 23 of Chapter II of Article VI of the Charter.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Govern and *Mr. Ford* were heard in advocacy of the resolution.

Rereferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *rereferred to the Commercial Development and Streets Committee.*

Award of Contract, Meats.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25362 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing foodstuffs during the four months' term, March, April, May and June, 1926, be made on bids submitted February 23, 1926 (Proposal No. 167), as follows, viz.:

Dressed Meats and Meat Products.

(Item No.; article; price; contractor.)

1—Bacon, lb., $.2978; Del Monte Meat Co.

2—Beef, lb., .1374; Miller & Lux Inc.

3—Beef, forequarters, lb., .104; Baumgarten Bros.

3½—Forequarters, lb., .087; Baumgarten Bros.
4(a)—Rounds, lb., .133; Baumgarten Bros.
4(b)—Chucks, lb., .082; Baumgarten Bros.
5—Beef plates, lb., .0878; Del Monte Meat Co.
6—Soup meat, lb., .045; Baumgarten Bros.
7(a)—Loins, cut square, lb., .2088; Del Monte Meat Co.
7(b)—Loins, cut full, lb., .182; Bay City Market.
8—Beef, lb., .134; California Meat Co.
9—Beef, lb., .155; Baumgarten Bros.
10—Beef, lb., .1978; Del Monte Meat Co.
11(a)—Bottom round, lb., .136; Bay City Market.
11(b)—Brisket, lb., .0837; Del Monte Meat Co.
12—Ham, lb., .289; South S. F. Packing & Provision Co.
13(a)—Best leaf, lb., .179; South S. F. Packing & Provision Co.
13(b)—Best leaf, .169; South S. F. Packing & Provision Co.
13(c)—Compound, lb., .1425; South S. F. Packing & Provision Co.
14—Liver, lb., .095; Del Monte Meat Co.
15—Mutton, lb., .1747; California Meat Co.
16—Lamb, lb., .819; California Meat Co.
17—Mutton, yokes, lb., .1198; California Meat Co.
18—Lamb yokes, lb., .129; California Meat Co.
19—Pork, fresh, lb., .2438; Del Monte Meat Co.
20—Pork bellies, lb., .214; California Meat Co.
21(a)—Frankfurters, lb., .119; Baumgarten Bros.
21(b)—Clubhouse, lb., .18; California Meat Co.
22—Tongues, lb., .22; Virden Packing Co.
23—Veal, lb., .1578; Del Monte Meat Co.
24—Tripe, lb., .074; Del Monte Meat Co.

Fresh Fish.

30(a)—Fish, lb., .13; F. E. Booth Co.
30(b)—Fish, lb., .07; Western-California Fish Co.
30(c)—Fish, lb., .03; F. E. Booth Co.

Dairy Produce.

40(a)—Butter, lb., .4193; Golden State Milk Products Co.
40(b)—Butter, lb., .4384; Golden State Milk Products Co.
41—Butter; no award.
42(a)—Cheese, lb., .2345; Golden State Milk Products Co.
42(b)—Cheese, lb., .239; Fred L. Hilmer Co.

Farinaceous Products.

60—Barley, pearl, lb., .0675; Pacific Milling Co.
62—Corn meal, lb., .027; Pacific Milling Co.
63—Cracker meal, lb., .094; Hooper & Jennings, Inc.
64—Crackers, lb., .125; Haas Brothers.
65—Farina, lb., .0459; Sperry Flour Co.
67—Hominy, lb., .036; Albers Bros. Milling Co.
68—Oatmeal, steel cut, lb., .0448; Sperry Flour Co.
69—Oats, cereal, lb., .041; Pacific Milling Co.
71—Peas, split, lb., .07; Albers Bros. Milling Co.
72—Rice, lb., .0675; M. J. Brandenstein Co.
73(a)—Pearl, lb., .04598; Hooper & Jennings, Inc.
73(b)—Sago size, lb., .044; Pacific Milling Co.
74—Wheat, rolled, lb., .042; Pacific Milling Co.

Groceries.

115(a)—Codfish, lb., .0983; Union Fish Co.
115(b)—Codfish, lb., .0715; Union Fish Co.
116—Cornstarch, lb., .067; J. H. Newbauer & Co.
121—Mackerel, kit, 1.60; Dodge, Sweeney & Co.
122(a)—M & M, per case of 4 doz., 4.15; Smith, Lynden & Co.
122(b)—Eagle, 15 oz. per doz., 2.00; Dodge, Sweeney & Co.
122(c)—Bordens, per 5-lb. tin, 2.10; Haas Brothers.
124—Molasses, in barrels of 54 to 58 gallons, per gal., .415; Pacific Coast Syrup Co.
126(a)—Olive oil, gal., 2.75; Haas Bros.
126(b)—Olive oil, gal., 2.60; Dodge, Sweeney & Co.
126(c)—Cottonseed oil, gal., 1.07; Dodge, Sweeney & Co.
128—Oysters, Packard, doz., 2.64; Jacobson, Reimers Co.
129(a)—Chow-chow, doz., 2.60; California Supply Co.
129(b)—German salt, keg, 2.25; Dodge, Sweeney & Co.
129(c)—In vinegar, keg, 2.50; California Supply Co.
130(a)—Salt, rock; cwt, .38; Dodge, Sweeney & Co.
130(b)—Salt, table; cwt, 1.08; Dodge, Sweeney & Co.
130(c)—Salt, table; cwt, .87; Dodge, Sweeney & Co.
131(a)—Catsup, Del Monte; doz., 2.00; Hooper & Jennings, Inc.
131(b)—Worcestershire, doz., 2.84; J. H. Newbauer & Co.
132(a)—Syrup, golden; gal., .4198 Lyons California Glace Fruit Co.
132(b)—Syrup, maple; gal., 1.10; H. C. Long Syrup Co.
135—Vinegar, gal., .1765; Haas Bros.
Note—Barrels will be charged at $2 each, and credited same amount on return to vendor's store.
140—Coffee; no award.
141(a)—Tea, English breakfast, on sample AA, lb., .30; M. J. Brandenstein & Co.
141(b)—Tea, Japan green, on sample No. 226, lb., .30; S. H. Tyler & Son.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher,

Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Award of Contract, Athletic Goods.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25363 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing athletic goods for playgrounds be made on bids submitted March 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 172), as follows, viz.:

(Item No.; article; quantity; price; Contractor.)

1—Basket balls, on sample 1A, 30 doz., $72.00 doz.; Ellery Arms Co.

2—Basket ball bladders, new stock, 10 doz., $8.40 doz.; Ellery Arms Co.

3—No. 9 outseam indoor baseballs, 50 doz., $7.20 doz.; Ellery Arms Co.

4—No. 12 outseam indoor baseballs, on sample No. B212, 140 doz., $10.45 doz., Klawans & McMullin.

5—Inflators, standard, on sample No. 5, 3 doz., $9.41 doz.; Rawlings Mfg. Co.

6—Lacings for balls, 24-inch length, on Wright & Ditson's No. R. L., 16 doz., 48 cents doz.; Hastings Clothing Co.

7—Whistles, on sample No. 314, 6 doz., $2.35 doz.; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

8—Volley ball bladders, new stock, on sample No. 5-2, 3 doz., $5.73 doz.; Rawlings Mfg. Co.

9—American footballs, official, on sample 5B, 8 dozen, $42 doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

10—Soccer footballs; no award.

11—Tennis nets, 42-foot canvas bound top, 21-thread, on sample No. H3C, 2 doz., $57 doz.; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

12—Volley ball nets, 27 feet, 12-thread, 1 doz., $13 doz.; Ellery Arms Co.

13—Regulation baseballs, official Pacific Coast, W150, 50 dozen, $13 doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

14—Handballs, American standard; no award.

15—Tennis racquets, Deflance, 5 doz., $48 doz.; C. F. Weber & Co.

16—Tennis racquets, D. & M. No. 46, Aristocrat, 5 dozen, $48 doz.; Curley Bates Co.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Board Pledges Support for Commercial Aviation.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 25365 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the United States Government, through the Postoffice Department, has adopted a policy of encouraging commercial aviation by the letting of important private contracts for the carrying of the mails by air to the various large centers of the United States, one of these important private contracts being let for service between Seattle, Portland, Sacramento, San Francisco, Fresno, Bakersfield and Los Angeles; and

Whereas, commercial aviation has advanced so rapidly that it behooves the elected officials of all municipalities to use the necessary foresight in providing air ports and landing fields; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to give all possible encouragement to commercial aviation; and be it

Further Resolved, That the proper committee of this Board of Supervisors be authorized to make a thorough study of the subject of an air port and landing fields and make the necessary recommendations on the subject to this Board of Supervisors as soon as possible.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Havvener, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Welch—5.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, May 3, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 12

Monday, March 22, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 22, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 22, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Supervisor Welch excused. Called out of City.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was called to the chair.

At 5:30 p. m. Supervisor Shannon left the chair and called on Supervisor Badaracco to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Letter of Thanks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Catholic Daughters of America, thanking the Board of Supervisors for its generous contribution to their National Convention recently held in this City.

Ordered *filed.*

German Cruiser Hamburg.

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting invitation from Consul General of Germany in a contemplated visit of the Cruiser Hamburg, June 1 to 8, 1926, and requesting that suitable reception be given the visitors.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved that his Honor the Mayor be authorized to appoint a citizens' committee for the reception and entertainment of the visitors.

Motion *carried.*

Relative to the Employment of American Citizens on Public Work.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Board of Public Works relative to employment of American citizens in public work being prosecuted by said department.

Ordered *filed.*

Incinerator Protest.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from H. S. Young, protesting the nuisance caused by the operation of the incinerator at Sanitary Reduction Works on Illinois street and requesting that said nuisance be abated.

Referred to the Health Committee.

Opinion of City Attorney on Budget Matters.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

March 22, 1926.

Hon. James B. McSheehy, Supervisors' Chambers, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Mr. McSheehy:

I am in receipt of your letter under date of March 18, reading as follows:

"The Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors desires your opinion upon the following points:

1. After the annual budget for the current fiscal year has been adopted as provided in the Charter, can the Board of Supervisors appropriate and authorize the expenditure of additional sums 'from the General Fund' for purposes not mentioned in the budget?

2. Can the Board of Supervisors estimate any surplus revenue to accrue at the end of a fiscal year (meaning revenue in excess of budget appropriations) and authorize the expenditure of the same prior to the end of the fiscal year?

3. To what extent should the opinion of former City Attorney

Franklin K. Lane (Lane's Opinions, page 373) be affirmed, modified or reversed?

4. Will your opinion on the foregoing invalidate the resolution pending in this Board, a copy of which is attached?"

There is attached to the letter a copy of pending resolution relative to leasing of premises No. 333 Kearny street.

The questions which the Finance Committee desire answered are of extreme importance and demand a most careful and exhaustive research. In view of the amount of work necessary to make this research and the short interval intervening between the receipt of your letter and this date, I have been unable to comply in my investigation of the matter. I will, however, endeavor to advise your committee regarding it in time for its weekly meeting on Friday.

JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Read and *laid over one week.*

Leave of Absence, Andrew F. Mahony, Police Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 17, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of two weeks, commencing March 18, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25366 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing March 18, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

SET-BACK LINE HEARING, 2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines on Head street and on Twenty-first avenue fixed for 2 p. m. this day.

Passed for Printing.

No objections being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7520, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along Head street and Twenty-first avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 23d day of February, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 114, to establish set-back lines along Head street and Twenty-first avenue, and fixed the 22d day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Head street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Randolph street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Sargent street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Head street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Randolph street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly to Sargent street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Moraga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet;

thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 130 feet northerly from Santiago street, and running thence northerly 42 feet 2 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 27 feet 10 inches, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly 225 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of Appeal, De Long Street, Etc., 2 P. M.

Hearing of appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on January 6, 1926, for the improvement of *De Long street from the westerly line of Orizaba avenue produced to Liebig street and of Liebig street between San Jose avenue and the northerly line of De Long street produced, and of Rice street between San Jose avenue and De Long street, and of Rhine street between Flournoy and De Long streets, and of Wilson street between De Long and Rhine streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer, 14 Y branches and one manhole along the center line of Rhine street and between the center line of Wilson street and the northeasterly line of Flournoy street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 13 catch-basins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of five (5) sets of concrete steps; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of concrete pavement on Rhine street between Wilson and De Long streets and between Flournoy and Wilson streets, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

No objection being offered, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25367 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeals of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on January 6, 1926, for the improvement of De Long street from the westerly line of Orizaba avenue produced to Liebig street, etc., as described in Resolution of Intention No. 82924 (Second Series) against lots numbered on said assessment 100, 101, 102, 103, 143, 144, 145, 146 and 147 be and the same is hereby denied and the assessment confirmed.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to modify the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on January 6, 1926, for the improvement of De Long street from the westerly line of Orizaba avenue produced to Liebig street, etc., as described in Resolution of Intention No. 82924 (Second Series) as follows:

Lot 57 assessed for $994.08 reduced to $500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco. Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

CONFIRMATION OF SALE OF CITY LANDS—3 P. M.

Consideration of the matter of confirming the sale to F. S. Ballinger, for the sum of twelve thousand five hundred ($12,500) dollars, the following described City lands, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from the southerly line of Broadway, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Stockton street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Cordelia street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Cordelia street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet to the westerly line of Stockton street and

point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 133, also known as Lot 4, Block 160, on Assessor's Map Book.

If an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that hereinabove named shall be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale in conformity with the provisions of the Charter; otherwise said sale to F. S. Ballinger will be confirmed for the price hereinabove stated.

The Chair asked if there was anyone present who wished to increase by 10 per cent or more the bid of F. S. Ballinger of $12,500; or if there was anyone present who wished to object to these proceedings.

There being no response, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7528, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), approved January 21, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land by public auction, to be held on or before the 15th day of February, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $11,500, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 15th day of February, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to F. S. Ballinger for the sum of $12,500, and accepted from said F. S. Ballinger a deposit in the form of a check in the amount of $1,600, being ten per cent or more of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 18th day of February, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder, and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of twenty days from and after the 26th day of February, 1926; that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 22d day of March, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 22d day of March, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person, or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $12,500 bid as aforesaid by F. S. Ballinger is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold, and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to F. S. Ballinger for the sum of $12,500 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon

payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to F. S. Ballinger all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from the southerly line of Broadway, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Stockton street 32 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Cordelia street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Cordelia street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 133, also known as Lot 4, Block 160, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Consideration of the matter of confirming the sale to Signe M. Hulting, for the sum of fourteen thousand six hundred and fifty ($14,650) dollars, the following described City lands, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of California street, distant thereon 220 feet easterly from the easterly line of Hyde street; running thence easterly along said southerly line of California street 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches to the southerly line of California street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 277, also known as Lot 29, Block 251, on Assessor's Map Book.

If an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that hereinabove named shall be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale in conformity with the provisions of the Charter; otherwise said sale to Signe M. Hulting will be confirmed for the price hereinabove stated.

The chair asked if there was anyone present who wished to increase by 10 per cent or more the bid of Signe M. Hulting of $14,650.

There being no response the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7529, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6939, approved January 21, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 15th day of February, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County, for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the Chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $7,500, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 15th day of February, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to Signe M. Hulting for the sum of $14,650, and accepted from said Signe M. Hulting a deposit in cash in the amount of $1,470, being 10 per cent or more of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 18th day of February, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder,

and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco, for a period of twenty (20) days from and after the 26th day of February, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 22d day of March, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 22d day of March, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $14,650 bid as aforesaid by Signe M. Hulting is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to Signe M. Hulting for the sum of $14,650 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to Signe M. Hulting all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of California street, distant thereon 220 feet easterly from the easterly line of Hyde street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of California street 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches to the southerly line of California street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 277, also known as Lot 29, Block 251, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Consideration of the matter of confirming the sale to J. F. Dowling, for the sum of three thousand seven hundred ($3,700) dollars, the following described City lands, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Cabrillo street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 413, also known as Lot 2, Block 1676, on Assessor's Map Book.

If an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that hereinabove named shall be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale in conformity with the provisions of the Charter; otherwise said sale to J. F. Dowling will be confirmed for the price hereinabove stated.

The chair asked if there was anyone present who wished to increase by 10 per cent or more the bid of J. F. Dowling of $3,700.

There being no response the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7530, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6939, approved January 21, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 15th day of February, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three

weeks successively next before the sale as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $3,000 and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter and on the 15th day of February, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to J. F. Dowling for the sum of $3,700 and accepted from said J. F. Dowling a deposit in cash in the amount of $370, being ten per cent of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 18th day of February, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of twenty days from and after the 26th day of February, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 22d day of March, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 22d day of March, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $3,700 bid as aforesaid by J. F. Dowling is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to J. F. Dowling for the sum of $3,700 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to J. F. Dowling all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Cabrillo street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of O. L. Block 413, also known as Lot 2, Block 1676, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Consideration of the matter of confirming the sale to Jerome J. Weinstein, for the sum of eight thousand ($8,000) dollars, the following described City lands, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Bryant street distant thereon 115 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Third street, running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Bryant street 40 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 40 feet;

thence at a right angle southeasterly 80 feet to the northwesterline of Bryant street and point ocommencement. Being a portion o100 Vara Block 366, also known as Lot 10, Block 3762, on Assessor's Map Book.

If an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that hereinabonamed shall be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsiblperson, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale in conformity with the provisions of the Charter; otherwisesaid sale to Jerome J. Weinstein will be confirmed for the price hereinabove stated.

The chair asked if there was anyone present who wished to increase the bid of Jerome J. Weinstein by 10 per cent or more.

There being no response the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7531, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6939, approved January 21, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 15th day of February, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $8,000, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 15th day of February, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to Jerome J. Weinstein for the sum of $8,000, and accepted from said Jerome J. Weinstein a deposit in cash in the amount of $800, being 10 per cent of the amount bid, as aforesaid, and thereupon, and on the 18th day of February, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bi the name of the bidder and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of twenty (20) days from and after the 26th day of February, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 22d day of March, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 22d day of March, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person, or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $8,000 bid, as aforesaid, by Jerome J. Weinstein is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to Jerome J. Weinstein for the sum of $8 000 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the

balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to Jerome J. Weinstein all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold, as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Bryant stree distant thereon 115 feet southwest erly from the southwesterly line of Third street, running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Bryant street 40 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 40 fe.. thence at a right angle southeast erly 80 feet to the northwesterly line of Bryant street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 100 Vara Block 366, also known as Lot 10, Block 3762, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price, as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Consideration of the matter of confirming the sale to Emory J. Nutting, for the sum of thirty-two hundred and twenty-five ($3,225) dollars, the following described City lands, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Alabama street, distant thereon 185 feet southerly from the southery line of Nineteenth street, running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Alabama street 68 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 44 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 68 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 44 feet 3 inches to the easterly line of Alabama street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 215, also known as Lot 13, Block 4082, on Assessor's Map Book.

If an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that hereinabove named shall be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale in conformity with the provisions of the Charter; otherwise said sale to Emory J. Nutting will be confirmed for the price hereinabove stated.

The chair asked if there was anyone present who wished to increase the bid of Emory J. Nutting.

There being no response the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7532, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6939, approved January 21, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 15th day of February, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $3,000, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter and on the 15th day of February, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to Emory J. Nutting for the sum of $3,225, and accepted from said Emory J. Nutting a cash deposit in the amount of $350, being ten per cent or more of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 18th day of February, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder, and

requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of twenty days from and after the 26th day of February, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 22d day of March, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 22d day of March, 1926, an offer of ten per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person, or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $3,225 bid as aforesaid by Emory J. Nutting is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to Emory J. Nutting for the sum of $3,225 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to Emory J. Nutting all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Alabama street, distant thereon 185 feet southerly from the southerly line of Nineteenth street, running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Alabama street 68 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 44 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 68 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 44 feet 3 inches to the easterly line of Alabama street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 215, also known as Lot 13, Block 4082, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Gallagher, acting chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Powers, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Badaracco, acting chairman.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25368 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Feb. 28, 1926), $700.

(2) San Francisco News Co., books for public libraries (claim dated Feb. 28, 1926), $983.58.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., books for public libraries (claim dated Feb. 28, 1926), $1,809.18.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(4) H. G. Butler, services rendered, severance damage, re valuation of San Francisco electric properties of Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Great Western Power Co. (claim dated March 5, 1926), $550.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(6) John Reid, Jr., eighteenth payment, architectural services, new buildings for Relief Home (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,221.69.

Publicity and Advertising.

(7) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated March 15, 1926), $952.51.

Auditorium Fund.

(8) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at concert of March 2, 1926 (claim dated March 15, 1926), $2,000.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(9) Remington Typewriter Co., 44 Remington typewriter machines furnished High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $2,530.

(10) R. Brandlein & Co., biology tables, etc., for Mission High School (claim dated March 2, 1926), $1,050.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(11) Wm. J. Bays, third payment, mechanical equipment for LeConte School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $2,883.44.

(12) Burnham Plumbing Co., fifth payment, plumbing and gasfitting work for New Mission High School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,727.10.

(13) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for the New Mission High School (claim dated March 9, 1926), $4,554.61.

(14) W. H. Picard, fourth payment, plumbing and gasfitting work for the Douglas-Everett School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,244.53.

(15) M. E. Ryan, third payment, electrical work for the Douglas-Everett School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,106.46.

(16) Scott Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for the Douglas-Everett School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $2,134.46.

(17) James L. McLaughlin Co., fourth payment, general construction of the Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $13,956.71.

(18) Pacific Electric Construction Co., third payment, electrical work on the Alamo School (claim dated March 8, 1926), $2,476.73.

(19) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth payment, mechanical equipment for the Alvarado School (claim dated March 9, 1926), $1,409.06.

(20) Wm. J. Bays, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for the Dudley Stone School (claim dated March 9, 1926), $3,379.12.

(21) W. H. Picard, fifteenth payment, mechanical equipment for the addition to the High School of Commerce (claim dated March 9, 1926), $1,571.49.

(22) John Reid, Jr., twenty-second payment, architectural services for the addition to the High School of Commerce (claim dated March 9, 1926), $1,614.21.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(23) Bald Eagle Meat Market, Oakdale, Cal., meats furnished (claim dated March 6, 1926), $766.97.

(24) J. H. McCallum, lumber furnished (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,310.98.

(25) Tilden Lumber & Mill Co., lumber furnished (claim dated March 6, 1926), $1,341.25.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(26) Mrs. Annie L. Swift, compromise agreement for account of injuries received June 24, 1923 (claim dated March 9, 1926), $1,000.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(27) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated March 12, 1926), $8,091.27.

(28) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated March 12, 1926), $995.55.

(29) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated March 12, 1926), $8,290.50.

(30) A. G. Raisch, improvement of Morse street, Lowell to Mission, fronting City property (claim dated March 10, 1926), $3,356.

(31) Crown Electric Co., first payment, electrical work on children's ward, southeast (tubercular) wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 9, 1926), $551.90.

(32) Scott Co., final payment, installation of heating system in Central Fire Alarm Station (claim dated March 9, 1926), $2,770.

(33) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated March 5, 1926), $1,100.89.

(34) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated March 5, 1926), $4,430.19.

(35) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated March 6, 1926), $887.78.

(36) The Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for street repair (claim dated March 5, 1926), $1,419.12.

(37) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil for Hall of Justice (claim dated March 5, 1926), $706.80.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated March 6, 1926), $1,472.41.

(39) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated March 8, 1926), $632.50.

(40) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $577.08.

(41) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $942.58.

(42) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $851.26.

(43) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $1,447.08.

(44) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $1,984.36.

(45) S. F. Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $525.

(46) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $3,598.19.

(47) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $1,426.19.

(48) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $10,286.98.

(49) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $24,097.16.

(50) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $3,537.59.

(51) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 11, 1926), $642.09.

(52) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas service, County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1926), $735.85.

(53) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1926), $876.09.

(54) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1926), $1,067.25.

(55) California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1926), $1,077.60.

(56) C. Nauman Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $876.72.

(57) R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., tobacco, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,260.02.

(58) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee and tea, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $891.10.

(59) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law and Motion and Trial Calendars (claim dated March 15, 1926), $940.

(60) Electric Appliance Co., wire and supplies for Department of Electricity (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $1,037.

(61) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Department of Public Works (claim dated March 15, 1926), $604.37.

(62) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated March 15, 1926), $1,180.91.

County Road Fund.

(63) Owen McHugh, labor and materials furnished on Camino del Mar (Harding boulevard), Lincoln Park (claim dated March 10, 1926), $1,842.26.

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59.

(64) Oscar Samuels & J. Samuels, fourth instalment, one-tenth of tax judgment, for account Oscar Heyman & Bro. (claim dated March 11, 1926), $579.99.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(65) Guilfoy Cornice Works, final payment, iron and sheet metal contract, Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated March 9, 1926), $1,517.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Supervisor Marks requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on items 6 and 22.

Appropriation, $4,126.39, Payment to Pacific Southwest Trust & Savings Bank, Being Commutation of Compensation for Claim of Benj. J. Barnett, as Per Award of Industrial Accident Commission.

Resolution No. 25369 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,126.39 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1925-1926, and authorized in payment to the Pacific Southwest Trust & Savings Bank, Los Angeles, California, designated by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California as trustee for and in behalf of Benjamin J. Barnett, to hold on deposit said sum of $4,126.39 until such time as the same shall be due and payable on account of purchase price of a dwelling and real estate as a home or residence for said Benjamin J. Barnett; said payment of $4,126.39 being commutation of compensation and payment in full of all claim against the City and County of San Francisco, per award of the said Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, dated the 19th day of January, 1926, and known as claim No. 5895.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriation, $5,250, Payment to Edward J. Cassidy for Land Required for Extension of Market Street.

Resolution No. 25370 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,250 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Edward J. Cassidy; being payment for property required for the extension of Market street; and being a portion of Lot No. 35, Block "B" of Park Lane Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25306, New Series. (Claim dated March 12, 1926.)

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriations in Payment for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25371 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the following named persons, being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Charles A. Duhem, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, commencing 192 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street; running thence northerly on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue 150½ feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 145 feet; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet 2 inches; also, lands on easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 259½ feet northerly from Santiago street; thence running northerly on Twenty-third avenue 100 feet; of regular dimensions 100 x 120 feet, $11,400.

(2) To Raymond A. Duhem, for lands on westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, commencing 342½ feet northerly from Santiago street; thence running northerly 75 feet; of uniform dimensions 75 x 120 feet; also, lands on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 234½ feet northerly from Santiago street; thence running northerly on easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet, $4,700.

(Per acceptance of offers by Resolutions Nos. 25310 and 25311, New Series.)

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriations for the Construction of the Edison School.

Resolution No. 25372 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the cost of construction of the Edison School, to be erected on the west side of Dolores street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, to-wit:

General construction (Amoroso & Damico)	$287,000.00
Plumbing work (Latourette-Fical Co.)	11,828.00
Mechanical equipment (Scott Company)	17,480.00
Electrical work (Crown Electric Co.)	10,299.00
Additional architect's fees	5,196.42
Possible extras, incidentals and inspection	10,000.00
	$341,803.42

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Supervisor Marks requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on the last two items.

Appropriations for Construction of Annex to Parkside School.

Resolution No. 25373 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, for the cost of construction of an annex to the Parkside School, in block bounded by Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, Ulloa and Vicente streets, to-wit:

General construction (Carl H. Peterson)	$50,900.00
Heating system (Scott Company)	2,280.00
Plumbing system (A. Lettich)	4,998.00
Electrical work (Dowd-Seid Co.)	1,932.00
Additional architect's fee.	1,206.60
Possible extras, incidentals, inspection	2,500.00
	$63,816.60

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Supervisor Marks requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on the last two items.

Appropriations, Equipment for High School of Commerce, and Double Track Crossing for Municipal Railway, Columbus Avenue and Kearny Street.

Resolution No. 25374 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(1) For the purchase of equipment for the new High School of Commerce, $40,000.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(2) For the cost of a double track crossing for the Municipal Railway for use at Columbus avenue and Kearny street, $2,700.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25375 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Gus Brown, 1170 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. S. Cahen, 2248 California street, 600 gallons capacity.

Christianson Bros., southwest corner of Laguna and Magnolia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. J. Condon, 320 Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. C. R. Etcheverry, 3233 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Globe Bakery, 3065 Sixteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

K. Kavanagh, 2062 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. L. Knight, north side of California street, 60 feet east of Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

McKillop Bros., southeast corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Moncharsh, 2800 Lake street, 600 gallons capacity.

Geo. W. Stevens, north side of Thirtieth avenue, 125 feet east of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Allen H. Suggett, 850 Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Warden, northwest corner of Irving street and Fifteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Kockos Bros., 701 Davis street, 15 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25376 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eric Wilson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Fell and Gough streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Blasting Permits.

Resolution No. 25377 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Meyer Rosenberg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property at Bay, Polk and Francisco streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $15,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the con-

ditions of this resolution be violated by said Meyer Rosenberg, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Resolution No. 25378 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lindgren & Swinerton be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at the southwest corner of Sutter and Montgomery streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Lindgren & Swinerton, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Resolution No. 25379 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Kansas street, various lots, between Eighteenth and Twentieth streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25380 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. J. and T. B. Curley be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Market street, between Eleventh street and Van Ness avenue extension.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25381 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Edward Rosing be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the southeast corner of Larkin and Bush streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25382 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Waldthaler & Burg be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Enterprise Garage Company by Resolution No. 18446 (New Series) for premises on the north side of California street, 137 feet east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 25383 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Thomas be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 316 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25384 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. Kleinclaus be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Irving street and Sixteenth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Oil Tank Permit.

Resolution No. 25385 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Christenson Construction Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to install a 15,000 gallon oil storage tank on the east side of San Bruno avenue, 300 feet north of Oakdale avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25386 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. F. Duffin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Quintara street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Board of Public Works Authorized to Purchase in Open Market Double Track Crossing for Municipal Railway, Columbus Avenue and Kearny Street.

Bill No. 7510, Ordinance No. 7024 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to purchase in the open market at the lowest price obtainable, one double track crossing for use at Columbus avenue and Kearny street by Municipal Railways.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to purchase in the open market at the lowest price obtainable, one double track crossing for the use of Municipal Railways at Columbus avenue and Kearny street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Milk Ordinance.

Bill No. 7511, Ordinance No. 7025 (New Series), as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, and the issuance and revocation of permits therefor, the production, handling, processing, labeling, distribution, sale or offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products, providing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk

food products and providing for the inspection by the Board of Health of all persons, buildings, rooms, places, matters, things and animals employed or used in the production, handling, distribution, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products intended for human consumption in said City and County, authorizing the Board of Health to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Board of Health Authorized to Provide for the Regulation and Inspection of Milk, Milk Food Products, Dairies, Dairy Farms and Dairy Cows.

Section 1. The Board of Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to regulate and control the production of and traffic in milk and cream in said City and County, to provide for the inspection of milk, cream, and of milk food products in said City and County, and produced for consumption in said City and County, and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Interpretation and Definition of Words and Terms.

Section 2. Whenever in this ordinance the following words, terms, or names are used they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *A Dairy Depot*: Any place or establishment *devoted* to the handling, processing, distribution or sale of milk or milk food products as such products are set forth and defined herein, is deemed to be a dairy depot within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *A Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. *Persons*: Act of agent deemed act of corporation: The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person, acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be the act, omission, or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for dairies and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Department of Public Health, and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said card.

Milk and Its Products.

Subdivision 5. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 15 days before and six days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat.

Subdivision 6. *Skimmed Milk*: Skimmed milk is milk from which a part or all of the cream has been removed and contains not less than nine and one-quarter (9.25) per cent of milk solids not fat.

Subdivision 7. *Condensed Milk*: Condensed or evaporated milk is milk from which a considerable portion of moisture has been evaporated. The standard of composition for evaporated or condensed milk, whether sweetened or unsweetened, shall be that proclaimed and established by the Secretary of the United States Department of Agriculture.

Subdivision 8. *Condensed Skim Milk*: Condensed skim milk is skim milk from which a considerable portion of moisture has been evaporated and contains not less than 18 per cent of milk solids.

Buttermilk.

Subdivision 9. Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added.

Subdivision 10. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats properly fed and kept.

Sheep or Ewe's Milk.

Subdivision 11. Sheep's milk or ewe's milk is the unadulterated fresh, clean, lacteal secretion free from colostrom, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy ewes, properly fed and kept.

Subdivision 12. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk, rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and not more than eight (8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Cream shall have been produced from non-reacting tuberculin tested cows, or shall be pasteurized and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Subdivision 13. *Evaporated Cream, Clotted Cream,* is cream from which a considerable portion of moisture has been evaporated.

Subdivision 14. Evaporated or condensed goat's milk is goat's milk from which a considerable portion of moisture has been evaporated and shall otherwise conform to the requirements adopted by the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 15. *Milk Fat or Butter Fat.* Milk fat or butter fat is the fat of milk and has a Reichert-Meissel number not less than twenty-four and a specific gravity not less than nine hundred five thousandths (0.905). (Milk fact at forty degrees centigrade compared with water at forty degrees centigrade.) By Reichert-Meissel number is meant the number of milliliters of decinormal alkali required to neutralize the acidity of the distillate from five grams of fat treated in the manner described in the book entitled "Official and Tentative Methods of Analysis of the Association of Agricultural Chemists," published by that Association in the month of September in the year nineteen hundred twenty (September, 1920, A. D.).

Subdivision 16. *Butter.* Butter is the clean, nonrancid product made by gathering in any manner the fat of fresh or ripened milk or cream into a mass, which also contains a small portion of other milk constituents, with or without salt and contains not less than eighty (80) per cent of milk fat and not more than sixteen (16) per cent of moisture. Butter made from cream produced from cows which have passed an official tuberculin test shall be marked with the words "From nonreacting tuberculin tested cows"; that made from pasteurized cream shall be marked with the word "Pasteurized."

Subdivision 17. *Cheese.* Cheese is the sound, solid, or semi-solid ripened product made from milk, skim milk or cream by coagulating the casein thereof with rennet pepsin or lactic acid, with or without the addition of ripening ferments and seasoning and with or without salt and with or without harmless coloring matter. Milk to be made into cheese shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum: It shall be unadulterated, fresh, clean, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and shall have been obtained from the udder by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within six (6) days after or fifteen (15) days prior to parturition. All cheese sold or offered for sale must be labeled to indicate the variety. Full cream cheese must contain not less than fifty (50) per cent of pure milk fat in its water-free substance. Half skim cheese must contain not less than twenty-five (25) per cent of pure milk fat in its water-free substance. Skim cheese is cheese which contains less than twenty-five (25) per cent of pure milk fat in its water-free substance.

Cheddar cheese must not contain more than thirty-eight (38) per cent of moisture. Granular (California type) cheese must contain not more than forty (40) per cent of moisture. Monterey (Jack) cheese must contain not more than forty-two (42) per cent of moisture.

Cottage cheese shall be made from pure milk or skim milk which has been pasteurized.

Subdivision 18. Goat's milk cheese, ewe's milk cheese, or cheese made from the milk of any other animal aside from the cow, is the sound, ripened product made from the milk of the animals specified, by coagulating the casein thereof with rennet or lactic acid, with or without the addition of ripening ferments and seasoning.

Subdivision 19. *Ice Cream.* Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk,

PUBLIC LIBRARY

wholesome sweet butter pure milk fat or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of one per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatine.

Fruit Ice Cream.

Subdivision 20. Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of one per cent of pure, harmless, edible, vegetable gum or gelatine.

Nut Ice Cream.

Subdivision 21. Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound nonrancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not more than six-tenths of one per cent of pure, harmless, edible, vegetable gum or gelatine.

Ice Milk.

Subdivision 22. Ice milk is a frozen product containing less milk fat than ice cream and made from pure sweet milk and sugar, with or without harmless flavoring and containing not less than two and four-tenths per cent of milk fat and not more than six-tenths of one per cent of pure, harmless, edible, vegetable gum or gelatine. All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words, "Ice milk sold here," in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection therewith either orally or written.

Milk or Skim Milk.

Subdivision 23. Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided, said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream," or any words resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 24. Pasteurized skim milk or whole milk, or combination thereof, may be treated with lactic acid micro-organisms and sold under a trade name which shall not contain the words "milk," "cream," or "buttermilk," provided, that pure, unadulterated buttermilk may be treated with lactic acid organisms to improve its quality and sold under the designation and label "Cultured Buttermilk."

Kumiss or Koumis.

Subdivision 25. Kumiss or Koumis is the product made by the alcoholic fermentation of mare's or cow's milk.

Subdivision 26. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome. The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this Ordinance, mean:*

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within fifteen (15) days before or six (6) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on any unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed.

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever.

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness, or otherwise physically unfit.

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substance or substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk.

Ninth: Any milk which has been produced in any insanitary dairy or factory of dairy products or other insanitary place or which has been handled in an insanitary manner is hereby declared to be impure and unwholesome and must not be sold for human consumption. Such milk must be kept in a distinctive con-

tainer, plainly marked with the words "unfit for human consumption."

Subdivision 27: *Adulterated Products of Milk.* The products of milk defined in Section 2 of this Ordinance shall be deemed adulterated within the meaning of the Ordinance if they or it shall conform to the definitions and standards proclaimed by the Secretary of the United States Department of Agriculture, when not otherwise specified.

Unfit Milk Defined.

Section 3. Subdivision 1. All milk, excepting that which is consigned in bulk to the wholesale trade, and certified milk, guaranteed milk, Grade "A" milk, Grade "B" milk, and manufacturing milk, is hereby declared unfit for human consumption, and it shall be unlawful to offer or expose for sale or for exchange any such unfit milk.

Subdivision 2. *Grades of Milk.* Milk shall be graded as follows: Certified Milk, Guaranteed Milk, Grade A Milk, Grade B Milk, Manufacturing Milk, and milk unfit for human consumption.

Subdivision 3. *Certified Milk.* Certified milk is milk produced and certified to by the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society as required by Section of this Ordinance. It must come from a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than 10,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths (3.5) per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths (8.5). Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production, and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm, milk room, milkers and dairy methods, utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.

Subdivision 4. *Guaranteed Milk.* Guaranteed milk is raw milk, the quality of which is guaranteed by the distributors in a written statement filed with the Department of Public Health.

The standard set for guaranteed milk as a minimum shall be as follows:

It must be milk produced from a dairy herd that consists solely of cows which have successfully passed the tuberculin test in the manner set forth in this Ordinance. Said guaranteed milk must not contain more than ten thousand (10,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter. It shall contain not less than three and six-tenths (3.6) per cent of milk fat, not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat, nor shall said milk be altered by the removal of milk fat or addition of water or any other foreign substance whatsoever. All milk containing blood, mucus and all milk from cows fifteen (15) days before or six (6) days after calving shall be discarded and said milk in addition must conform to all the provisions of this Ordinance regulating the sale of milk in the City and County of San Francisco.

Guaranteed milk must be cooled on the dairy farm to forty-five (45) degrees Fahrenheit and must not exceed fifty-five (55) degrees Fahrenheit when it reaches the consumer. Nor shall said guaranteed milk be shipped from said dairy farm to any consumer except in sealed containers which must be covered with insulated jacket or kept on ice up to point of delivery, or if in bottles, said bottles must be sealed with a double cap and kept on ice.

Udders and flanks of cows in a dairy herd producing guaranteed milk shall be thoroughly washed and cleaned before each milking.

Guaranteed milk must be produced on a dairy farm scoring at least eighty-five (85) per cent in the official score-card adopted by the Department of Public Health, and shall not handle or produce any milk of lower quality.

Guaranteed milk shall not be produced upon a dairy farm for which a permit has been issued to produce a lower grade of milk other than that known as "guaranteed" milk.

Guaranteed milk must be labeled "Guaranteed Raw Milk," Department of Public Health, San Francisco, with the name, address and permit number of the producer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "A" Milk.* No person, firm or corporation shall sell, or exchange, or offer or expose for sale or exchange, as and for Grade "A" milk, any milk that does not conform to the rules and regulations and the methods and standards for production and distribution of Grade "A" milk adopted by the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Grade "A" milk shall con-

form to the following requirements as a minimum: If raw, it shall consist of clean, raw milk from healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian, under the supervision of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, and from dairies that score not less than seventy (70) per cent on the score card adopted by the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd and the tuberculin test repeated in six months. All cows are to be fed, watered, housed and milked under conditions approved by the Department of Public Health. All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases liable to be conveyed by milk. Absence of such germs shall be determined by cultures and physical examination, to the satisfaction of the inspecting department of the Department of Public Health. Grade "A" raw milk is to be delivered in sterile containers and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below until it reaches the ultimate consumer when it must contain less than one hundred thousand (100,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter.

Grade "A" milk if pasteurized must come from cows free from disease as determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. It shall contain less than two hundred thousand (200,000) nonpathogenic bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and less than fifteen thousand (15,000) nonpathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumers. Dairies from which the milk is derived must score at least sixty per cent on the score card adopted by the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 6. *Grade "B" Milk.* No person, firm or corporation shall sell or exchange, or offer or expose for sale or exchange as and for grade "B" milk, any milk that has not been pasteurized or does not conform to the following requirements as a minimum: It must be obtained from cows in no way unfit for the production of milk for use by man, as determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a qualified veterinarian under the supervision of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Before pasteurization it shall contain less than one million bacteria per milliliter and after pasteurization shall contain less than fifty thousand bacteria per milliliter.

Subdivision 7. Milk which contains more than one million bacteria per milliliter but otherwise conforms to all requirements of grade B milk shall be known as manufacturing milk and must not be sold for human consumption as market milk. It may, however, be used wholly or in part in the manufacture of foodstuffs to be sold at retail; provided, that during the process of manufacturing or immediately prior thereto said milk shall have been subjected to a temperature of at least one hundred forty-five (145°) degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes; and provided, further, that for every degree above one hundred forty-five degrees Fahrenheit the time during which such temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature, however, shall not be less than five minutes, except for milk that is repasteurized for manufacturing purposes. Repasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.

Manner in Which Milk for Industrial Purposes May Be Prepared, Transported and Sold.

Subdivision 8. Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it be heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container, plainly marked with the words "Not suitable for human consumption," in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth inch stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 9. Milk for pasteurization must be kept at a temperature below fifty-five (55) degrees Fahrenheit up to the time of delivery to the pasteurization plant and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 10. *Method of pas-*

teurization. Pasteurizing of milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140°) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk intended for human consumption, in San Francisco, that comes from cows that have not passed the tuberculin test, except when sold in bulk to the wholesale trade, shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents.

Subdivision 11. The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, except in bulk to the wholesale trade, and the name and address of the producer or distributor (who shall be responsible for the quality of the contents and correct labeling as required by this ordinance) shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container. Milk sold or exchanged or offered or exposed for sale or exchange as and for graded milk under the designation, label or other representation of "guaranteed," "Grade A" or "Grade B" milk shall have the name of the grade and whether raw or pasteurized marked on the container or cap of the container in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch long and one-sixteenth inch wide; and provided, further, that milk not suitable for human consumption shall be plainly marked "Unfit for human consumption." as provided in Section 3, Subdivisions 7 and 8 of this Ordinance.

No distinguishing names, marks or words other than those specified in this ordinance shall appear on any bottle, cap, can or container to signify or describe the quality or in any other way distinguish the milk or cream contained therein from similar products of the same class or grade.

Subdivision 12. *Utensils, Fitness, Care.* All utensils used in the production and handling of pasteurized milk must be properly cleaned and sterilized each time before using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are absolutely free from places where dirt can accumulate or soak in so that it cannot be removed by simple washing, and the surface coming in contact with the milk or cream must be smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 13. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale Any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants, or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adultered, unhealthy or unwholesome milk.

Subdivision 14. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Subdivision 15. *Persons Suffering From Communicable Disease.* No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk, handle milk or milk utensils, upon the dairy or dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an employee of the Board of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 16. *Methods for Serving Milk.* When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter,

restaurant, or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the consumer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized dairy depots.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatine or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in Section 2, Subdivision 5 of this Ordinance.

Subdivision 17. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the Plumbing Ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under fifty degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

Subdivision 18. If graded, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Sec. 4. Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk.* No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Board of Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to any one where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant, outside the City and County of San Francisco, which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled; neither shall any permit be granted to anyone handling milk through a central cooling plant outside the City and County of San Francisco handling raw milk only, scoring at least 65 per cent, or better, and otherwise complying with the regulations of this ordinance, to ship raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco, unless such applicant shall also pay the salary and maintenance of a special inspector appointed by the Board of Health, who shall devote all his time supervising the production of marketable milk at such plant, in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Permits, Application for, Issuance, Transfer, Renewal, Revocation.* To procure permits required by Section 2 of this Ordinance, the applicant shall present to said Board of Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Board of Health, such application to be made to the said Board of Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Board of Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. *If the Board of Health is satisfied with the statement of the applicant, it shall be its duty to issue the permit without cost.* If the Board of Health, upon such application and such investigation and inspection as it may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco any unwholesome milk as food for any

human being, and that the production, transportation, storage, and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations, adopted as a part of this Ordinance, it shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. *No permits shall be sold, assigned, or transferred. Board of Health empowered to revoke permits*: No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Board of Health in its discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by said Board of Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit in the manner prescribed for the service of notice by Section 1011 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner, and the time and place where such hearing shall take place, provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall shall been convicted in any court of any violation of this Ordinance or by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk such permit may be revoked without notice.

No person, firm or corporation not engaged in the business of preparing and processing raw milk for human consumption at a fixed place of business shall be permitted to engage in the business of selling and distributing market milk.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Board of Health no further permit shall be granted by said Board to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it, shall file with said Board a bond in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Board, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Board relating to the production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. *Holders of Permits to Make Statements to Board of Health*: As often as required by the Board of Health, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a dairy or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Board of Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Board of Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Board of Health will give the holder thereof an official number and this number shall be used to mark the products of the dairy or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more dairies or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the dairy or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Owners' Names on Wagons*: All wagons, vehicles, or carts from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth shall make application for the renewal of the same between July 1 and July 15, of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. *Powers of Officers, Agents and Employees of Board of*

Health With Regard to Inspection of Premises of Any Vendor of Milk: In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance the said Board of Health and all its officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Board of Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Board of Health.

Rights and Duties of Board of Health and Their Employees to Enter All Premises for the Purpose of Inspecting Milk.

Section 6. The Board of Health and all its officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored or kept for sale, and to all wagons, carriages or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk, for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Inspection of Dairies the Duty of Board of Health.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Board of Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy such Board that the provisions and requirements of this Ordinance and of the Board of Health are constantly complied with.

Interference With Officers of Board of Health in Performance of Their Duty Prohibited

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Board of Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Board in the performance of any of the duties required by this Ordinance.

Owners of Dairies to Report to Board of Health Any Knowledge They May Have as to Impurity of Milk.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any dairy or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Board of Health of said City and County in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk obtained from such dairy unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Board of Health and Employees May Take Samples of Milk—Mode of Disposition of the Same.

Section 10. The Board of Health, and all its officers, agents and employees, shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples, hermetically sealed by the said Board of Health, or its officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Board of Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Board of Health taking said sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle or container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit of the Board of Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Board of Health.

Milk Coming From Outside the City and County to Be Exposed for Inspection.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Board of Health, its officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Board of Health; provided, that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into

the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Board of Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

Milk Coming From Outside of the City and County to Be Plainly Marked With the Shipper's Name, Address, Permit Number and Number of Tanks or Cans Contained in the Shipment.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad or by land over any road to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address..... Permit No....
Number tanks.....Milk..Cream..

Milk to Be Tightly Covered.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco for human consumption any milk or cream in any tank or container holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

Sale of Milk and Cream in Quantities of One Quart or Less.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises) unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

Milk and Cream Bottles to Be Capped and Marked.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character, in which milk and cream are distributed or sold, must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

Names or Brands on Bottles and Caps or Covers Must Not Differ.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle, or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or cap of such bottle or receptacle.

Transfer of Milk on Street.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm, the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Board of Health.

Regulations Regarding the Sanitation of the Dairy Farm; the Location, Construction, Maintenance and Cleansing of All Dairy Farm Buildings; the Sanitation of Wash Rooms, Utensils, Bottles, and Dairy Equipment; the Health, Feeding and Testing of the Dairy Herd; the Care and Delivery of Milk, the Manner of Milking, and the Health and Conduct of Employees.

Section 18. *Regulation* 1. The Dairy Farm; Location of Buildings: No buildings used for dairy purposes shall be within two hundred yards of marshy ground, stagnant water, abattoir or other place or class of business detrimental to the production of sanitary milk, and no chicken coop, hogpen, or horse stable shall be adjacent to the buildings used for the drawing, keeping or handling of milk.

Regulation 2. Surroundings to Be Clean: The surroundings of all buildings shall be kept clean and in good order. The accumulation of dirt, rubbish, manure or decayed matter shall not be permitted.

Regulation 3. Water Supply: The dairy shall be supplied with an abundance of pure water. Water from wells or springs which are not protected against the entrance of flood and surface water shall not be used for cooling milk or cleaning utensils.

Regulation 4. Examination of Water: A sample of the water shall be furnished the Board of Health at any time requested.

Regulation 5. Plans and Specifications: When required plans and specifications in duplicate of the plants for which application for inspection is made, also of new plants and plants to be remodeled, must be submitted to the Board of Health for approval.

Regulation 6. Construction of Stables, Milk Houses, Erection, Alteration, Maintaining of Insanitary Structures Prohobited: No person shall in any dairy, or dairy farm,

erect or cause or permit to be erected by alteration, or maintain, any stable, milk house or any other building or structure which, or any part of which, shall be inadequate or defective in respect to strength, ventilation, light, drainage or any other usual, proper or necessary provision or precaution for the security of health or life.

Regulation 7. *Cubic Air Space Per Cow*: Milking sheds and cow barns in which cows are milked and not otherwise kept must have not less than 500 cubic feet of air space in the clear for each and every animal milked therein. If said sheds and barns are used for stables for housing of the cattle during the day or night, or at other times than the regular milking hours, then each animal must have not less than 1000 cubic feet of air space in the clear.

Regulation 8. *Light and Ventilation. Light*: The side wall of all milking sheds and cow barns must be provided with windows or openings of not less than four (4) square feet for each cow. Skylights in Roof: Sheds or barns so constructed as to provide for two or more central milking strings shall be required to install skylights of a size and at such locations as to furnish light equivalent to two (2) square feet of window space for each cow to be accommodated in said central milking strings.

All windows in side wall of milking sheds or cow barns must open inward at the top for a distance of not less than 10 inches, securely fastened at the bottom.

Louvre ventilation must be provided in the roof of all milking sheds and cow barns.

Regulation 9. *Construction of Side Walls, Ceilings, Sidewalks*: The side or area walls of all milking sheds or cow barns hereafter erected must be of concrete or of brick or of stone laid in cement mortar, and shall extend from the footing below the floor line upward to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level, and shall be at least six (6) inches thick at top if of concrete and eight (8) inches thick if of brick or stone.

Interior Finish of Side Walls: The exposed surfaces of the concrete, brick or stone walls must be covered with a layer of cement troweled to a smooth surface.

The side walls from the top of the concrete to the plate of the milking shed or cow barn if of wood shall be tight and so as to prevent dust from sifting through and so constructed as to be easily cleaned, and kept free from cobwebs and dirt.

Ceilings: When in the judgment of the Dairy Inspectors it is deemed necessary and so reported in writing, the Health Officer shall require the ceiling of milking shed or cow barn to be sheathed with tongue and groove.

Regulation 10. *Construction of Floors*: Floors must be of concrete. The floors of all milking sheds and cow barns must be of concrete not less than four (4) inches in thickness, covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half (½) inch in thickness, troweled so as to leave a "rough finish."

Gutter Drains: Gutter drains shall be constructed in rear of each string of cows at the time the floor is put down, and said gutter drains must have a uniform thickness as that of the stable floor and shall not be less than twelve (12) inches in width inside measurement and not less than four (4) inches in depth, with sufficient fall to carry off all liquid discharges.

Sewer: All gutter drains must be properly connected to a sewer or drain so as to efficiently carry off all discharges and wash waters to distance sufficient to avoid same becoming a source of contamination to the dairy or its water supply.

Regulation 11. All existing milking barns so constructed that their condemnation, in the opinion of the Health Officer and dairy division of the Board of Health, is unwarranted and where said milking barn is kept in a sanitary condition and no feature thereof constitutes a menace to the production of a sanitary milk as intended by this ordinance, *permission to use said barns may be granted.* Where barns are located on sloping ground or where the floor of said milking shed or barn is more than four feet above the ground and is otherwise approved by the Board of Health, the floors and gutter drains may be of wood and the side walls sheathed to a height of four (4) feet with smooth sheet metal.

Regulation 12. *Hand Basins in Stable*: Each milking shed and cow barn shal be provided with an adequate number of stationary hand basins, properly supplied with running water, soap and towels, conveniently located for use of milkers.

Water for Cleansing Stable: The stable shall further be supplied with stationary lines of pipes hav-

ing hose bib outlets located at convenient points so that the stable can be properly hosed down and flushed out after each milking.

Regulation 13. *The Milk House, Wash Room, Milk Room*: There shall be a room which shall be used for no other purpose than to provide a place for handling the milk, storing clean milk utensils, and holding fresh milk previous to its removal from the dairy. The milk room shall be within easy access of the stable, but so placed that it cannot be easily reached by dust or odors from the stable or yard or other source. If under the same roof with the stable it shall be separated therefrom by a light, clean, ventilated passageway.

Floors of Milk and Wash Rooms: The floors of the milk room and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than three inches in thickness covered with a finishing layer or cement one-half inch in thickness troweled to a smooth surface.

A floor drain shall be installed in each milk room which shall be properly trapped to a sewer, and the floor of the milk room shall be so put down that all points slope toward the floor drain.

Foundation Walls: The foundation or area walls of all milk and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than six (6) inches in thickness and extended to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level.

Sidewalks and Ceilings: The outer walls or sheathing of all milk and wash rooms shall be tight, the inside shall be lined with tongue and groove lumber put on in a workmanlike manner and must be painted two coats of lead and oil paint of a light color or an approved water-proof paint—whitewash is strictly prohibited.

Windows and Ventilation: The milk and wash rooms shall be well lighted and ventilated with proper sash fitted window and louvre vent shafts.

Screening of Doors and Windows: All windows, ventilators or openings of milk and wash rooms shall be securely screened with finely woven wire mesh and all doors leading into said rooms must be provided with tightly closing screen doors, having metal springs to prevent the entrance of insects and flies.

Regulation 14. *Equipment, Sanitation of Wash Rooms, Dairy Appurtenances, Utensils, Bottles. Size and Equipment of Wash Room*: Every dairy and dairy farm must provide a properly constructed wash room, separate and apart from a dwelling place and of a size adequate to properly cleanse and sterilize all utensils, cans and dairy appurtenances.

Cleansing Equipment: All wash rooms in dairies or on dairy farms must be provided with a steam boiler or other approved apparatus capable of producing boiling water and live steam for the cleansing, rinsing and sterilizing of all utensils and apparatus used in or about the dairy.

Racks and Shelves and Wash Trays: Wooden racks, pegs and shelves and wooden wash trays are prohibited in wash rooms. Racks and shelves must be of metal and wash trays may be of metal, enamel iron, cement or other similar nonabsorbing materials.

Cleansing of Utensils: In dairies and milk depots, all cans, bottles and other utensils, after being used, shall be thoroughly washed in water containing lye or sodium carbonate (sal soda), or some substance containing a mixture of these with or without soap.

Not more than twenty (20) cans or fifty (50) bottles shall be washed in a tank or tub containing less than ten (10) gallons of water, unless said tank or tub is filled with a fresh solution as provided herein.

Regulation 15. *Rinsing and Sterilizing After Washing*: After being washed off all cans, bottles and other utensils shall be thoroughly rinsed in a tank or tub having a constant inflow of clean, fresh water. The rinsing tank or tub, while in use, must have a constant inflow and outflow of water. After being rinsed, all cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall be effectively sterilized by the action of live steam. After being so subjected to live steam, said cans, bottles, or other milk utensils shall not be allowed to stand in any place where they are exposed to dirt, dust, flies or other contamination.

Wash Trays: Wash trays and rinsing tanks used for the cleansing of cans, bottles and other milking utensils, shall not be used for any other purpose.

Cleaning of Brushes: All brushes, scrapers and other appliances used in cleaning cans, bottles and other utensils must be sterilized daily, and at all times must be free from incrustations and dirt.

Daily Cleansing of Wash Tanks and Floors: Wash trays and tanks for cleansing and rinsing milk utensils and the floors of the wash room must be cleaned daily.

Regulation 16. *The Dairy Herd, Testing Inspected Herds*: The dairy

herd on any dairy farm receiving a permit to produce or ship guaranteed milk into the City and County of San Francisco, shall undergo an annual physical examination which shall include the testing of said herd with tuberculin, and every bovine on the farm over six (6) months of age shall be required to submit to said physical and tuberculin test under the direction and supervision of the Board of Health of San Francisco. The tuberculin shall be furnished by the owners of the herd tested.

Reacting Animals: All animals reacting to said tuberculin test shall be branded T. B., and removed from said dairy farm within fifteen days.

Additions to Dairy Herd: All additions made to said dairy herd shall undergo the physical and tuberculin test under the direction and supervision of the Board of Health before said additions are admitted to the herd.

Animals Passed to Be Tagged: All bovines passed shall be tagged with a numbered metal tag bearing date of test and the words "Tested and Passed, Board of Health, San Francisco."

Retesting of Herd Annually: The entire herd and every bovine on said farm over six (6) months of age shall be annually retested prior to the reissuance of a permit.

Regulation 17. *Dairy Herd Producing Milk for Pasteurization*: The dairy herd, or any farm receiving a permit to produce or ship milk into the City and County of San Francisco shall be free from disease as determined by physical examination at least once in two months, by a qualified veterinarian of the Board of Health.

Regulation 18. *Care of Herd*: All long hairs about udders and flanks must be clipped and tails of cows must be kept short enough to clear the ground.

Sick Animals: Sick cows, or cows showing signs of tuberculosis, contagious abortion, mammitis, mammary abscess, disease of the udder or teat, or Actinomycosis (lumpjaw), or other evidence of ill health, or suspected of being ill shall be at once removed from the main stable and the milk of all such shall be discarded.

Regulation 19. *Men and Animals Must Be Clean*: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat, or other milk producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition; nor shall any such milk be taken from any animal except by an employee or other person who is himself in a cleanly and healthy condition.

Regulation 20. *Feeding and Watering the Herd*: The food provided for dairy herd must be sweet and clean and of such a nature as to give no odor to, or otherwise affect the taste or character of the milk. Fermented beet plup, vegetable refuse, or swill, are positively prohibited.

Manner and Time of Feeding: Cows shall be fed liberally and regularly, that is, in the same way and at the same hour every day.

Selection of Ration: The selection of the ration shall be supervised and any change therein approved by the Board of Health.

Dry and Dusty Feed: No dry or dusty food shall be given within one hour previous to milking. If its use is necessary, it must be sprinkled before it is fed.

Feed to Be Separated from Herd: All foodstuffs must be kept in an apartment separate from the animals.

Drinking Water: Pure water shall be given at regular and frequent intervals.

Regulation 21. *Care and Delivery of Milk. Emptying of Milk Buckets —Straining of Milk*: Immediately after receiving each pail as filled, the milk may be poured into a properly covered storage pail elevated not less than three (3) feet off the floor or the receiving pail shall be taken to the milk room and emptied into a strainer from a platform outside the milk house. It shall be then promptly strained through a fine wire gauze and a layer of absorbent cotton protected on either side by a layer of cheesecloth, or equally good strainer.

Cooling of Fresh Milk: The milk after being drawn and strained shall be cooled at once to a temperature of forty-five (45) degrees Fahrenheit, except when milk is delivered to a central cooling station within two hours after being drawn. Milk intended for consumption in San Francisco must be shipped within four hours after it is drawn.

Mixing of Milk Prohibited: Night and morning milk shall not be mixed, nor shall ice be put into the milk.

Storing of Milk: Milk may be stored, while on the farm, in the regular refrigerator room or in an ice box in the milk room. The ice box shall be thoroughly scrubbed at least once a week and trapped to prevent the entrance of sewer gas and in no instance shall this pipe be connected to a drain or sewer.

Wiring of Shipping Tanks and Cans: All tanks and cans in which

milk is transported from the dairy farm into San Francisco, and all empty containers returned to the dairy farm, shall have the covers securely wired to the handles on each side of the tank or can.

Drinking Milk from Covers Prohibited: No person shall drink from any vessel or utensil, or the cover thereof, which is used for the delivery of milk, nor shall any tank, can, bottle or utensil used for the purpose of delivering milk be used for any other purpose.

Use of Milk Tanks or Utensils for Heating Milk Prohibited: It shall be unlawful to place any milk tank, can, or utensil used in the delivery of milk in, on, or about a stove or other heating apparatus.

Milk Cans Must Not Be Left on Sidewalk: Milk cans containing milk or empty, delivered to or received from grocery stores, bakeries, delicatessen stores, restaurants, depots or other similar places shall not be left upon the sidewalk or street.

Transit of Milk From Point of Entry to Dairy: The transit of milk from point of entry into San Francisco to the dairy depot shall be made within one hour from the time of arrival.

Care and Delivery of Milk to Consumer: While awaiting delivery to the city, milk shall be stored at a temperature of not less than 45 degrees Fahrenheit and kept free from contamination.

Regulation 22. *Milkers and Milking. Personal Hygiene of Employees*: Milkers and employees shall be clean in habits and appearance.

Outer Garments, Care of: A special suit of clean outer garments and cap shall be worn during milking and at no other time; when not in use, these must not be kept in the stable or living room, but in a clean and well ventilated place.

Milkers to Wash Hands Before Milking: Before beginning to milk, the milkers' hands shall be carefully washed w''h soap and then rinsed in clean water.

Cleaning of Udders and Flanks: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat or other milk producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition. The udder and surrounding parts of every cow shall be cleaned before each milking.

All milking stools must be of a type approved by the Board of Health and must be cleaned after each milking.

Wet Milking and Use of Emollients Prohibited: The milkers' hands shall be kept clean and dry when milking; they shall not come in contact with the milk. The use of vaseline, lard, oil or other substance is strictly prohibited on cows' teats during the process of milking.

Rejection of Fore Milk: The first three or four streams of milk from each teat shall be discarded.

Hours and Manner of Milking: Milking shall be done in a quiet, clean and thorough manner, and at the same hours daily.

Bloody and Stringy Milk: If the milk from a cow is bloody or stringy or thick, or it has an unnatural appearance, or if manure gets into it, it shall be discarded, and the pail washed and sterilized before it is used again.

Milk of Cows Separated From Herd: Cows separated from the herd shall be milked after all others are milked, and this milk must not be used except as food for stock.

Domestic Animals and Others Excluded From Milk Room: Milkers and other helpers not directly concerned in the straining, separating and filling of containers, shall not be allowed within the milk house while the milk is being strained or handled; nor shall any domestic animal be allowed therein.

Cleaning of Milk Room: The milk house shall be washed and hosed down twice daily with fresh water.

Regulation 23. *Employees' Living Quarters*: The living quarters of the employees of all dairies or dairy farms shall be contained within buildings or structures which shall be wholly separate, distinct and disconnected from the buildings or structures wherein the cattle of such dairies may be housed; the beds in all such living quarters and in every room in which beds are kept or provided for such employees, shall be separated by a passageway of not less than two feet, horizontally; and all such beds shall be so arranged that under each of them the air shall freely circulate, and there shall be adequate ventilation; and five hundred cubic feet of air space shall be provided and allowed for each bed or employee, and no more beds shall be permitted than those provided for according to the terms of this ordinance, unless free and adequate means of ventilation exists, to be approved by the Board of Health, and a special permit in writing be granted therefor, specifying the number of beds or the cubic air space which shall under special circumstances be allowed.

Regulation 24. *Owners Must Keep Quarters Clean and Provide Bath and Other Conveniences*: Every owner, lessee, tenant, occupant, proprietor or manager of any dairy or dairy farm shall cause every part

thereof and its appurtenances to be put and shall thereafter cause the same to be kept in a cleanly and wholesome condition and shall cause every part thereof in which any person may sleep, dwell or work to be adequately lighted and ventilated according to the direction of and to the satisfaction of the Board of Health; and proper accommodations for urinals, water closets, bath tubs and washing utensils shall be provided, according to the directions and to the satisfaction of the Board of Health.

Regulation 25. *Health of Employees*: The Health Officer or his representatives shall inspect all buildings connected with the dairy, or dairy farm, and all persons who directly or indirectly come in contact with the industry, and where deemed necessary he may demand a certificate of health or make a physical or other examination to establish the health of any or all persons on a dairy or dairy farm. The dairyman shall keep informed as to the health of all employees and the members of their households. No person connected with the dairy shall enter a house where it is known that there has been an infectious disease, until it has been disinfected. No employee or other person who has been in contact with any infectious disease shall be permitted in the dairy.

Regulation 26. *Sale of Milk to Be Stopped When Communicable Disease Occurs*: No person with throat trouble or who is otherwise ill shall be admitted to the stable or milk room.

The existence of smallpox, typhoid fever, diphtheria, scarlet fever, measles or other contagious diseases, on, or in the vicinity of, the dairy farm shall be promptly reported to the Board of Health, and the sale of milk shall be stopped until its resumption is authorized by said Board.

Section 13. *Penalty for Violation of Provisions of this Ordinance*: Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars, and not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 14. Ordinance No. 660 (Approved January 21, 1909); Ordinance No. 229 (Approved February 8, 1901); Ordinance No. 1273 (Approved August 11, 1904); Ordinance No. 2096, N. S. (Approved December 11, 1912); Ordinance No. 2099, N. S. (Approved December 11, 1912); Ordinance No. 3961, N. S. (Approved November 9, 1916), and all orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 15. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Amending Traffic Ordinance Relating to Left-Hand Turns and Arterial Streets.

Bill No. 7512, Ordinance No. 7026 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections 18-A and 19-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating moving traffic on the public streets relating to left-hand turns and arterial streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 18-A. (a) An arterial street is hereby defined to be a main street connecting a central business district of the City with its environs, over which there is a large volume of moving traffic, and which, by reason of its location, invites an unusual number of vehicles to use its facilities.

(b) Fell street between Market street and Baker street and Fulton street between Van Ness avenue and Stanyan street, Bush and Pine streets between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue, Geary street between Presidio and Forty-third avenues, Mission street between The Embarcadero and the County line, Valencia street between Market and Mission streets and Post street between Mason street and Presidio avenue, are hereby declared to be arterial streets as defined in paragraph (a).

(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street is hereby declared to be an open public boulevard.

(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed

in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be held to include vehicles carrying goods for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevard. All vehicles shall be permitted to travel upon said boulevard for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.

(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along Fell street between Baker and Stanyan streets except as may be necessary to cross the same at intersections.

(f) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any vehicle or motorman of any street car to cross an arterial street or a street declared to be an open public boulevard from an intersecting street unless such vehicle or street car shall have been brought to a full stop before entering the intersection of such arterial street or open public boulevard unless otherwise directed by a police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop or Go" or any other device or sign that shall be authorized, installed and put in use and operation by the Police Department of said City and County.

Section 2. Section 19-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 19-A. Between the hours of 7 o'clock a. m. and 7 o'clock p. m., excepting on Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for any owner, driver, or operator of any motor or horse-drawn vehicle to operate such vehicle in such manner as to make a left-hand turn on any part of Market street between First and Twelfth streets and Battery and the westerly line of Van Ness avenue.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Establishing Grades, Clementina Street.

Bill No. 7513, Ordinance No. 7027 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Clementino street between Fourth street and a line parallel with and 550 feet easterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Clementina street between Fourth street and a line parallel with and 550 feet easterly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed March 6, 1926.

Clementina Street.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Fourth street easterly line, 10.71 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Fourth street easterly line, 10.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

50 feet easterly from Fourth street, 10.70 feet.

550 feet easterly from Fourth street, 14.50 feet.

On Clementina street between Fourth street and a line parallel with and 550 feet easterly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Fifteenth Street.

Bill No. 7514, Ordinance No. 7028 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 901.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 9, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 901, to read as follows:

Section 901. The width of sidewalks on Fifteenth street, the northerly side of, between Folsom street and Harrison street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The widths of sidewalks on Fifteenth street, the southerly side of, between Folsom street and Harrison street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7515, Ordinance No. 7029 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Clipper street between Castro street and Diamond street; Day street between Noe street and Castro street; Caselli avenue between Douglass street and Danvers street; Winfield street between Eugenia avenue and Virginia avenue; Heyman avenue between Prospect avenue and Coleridge street; Concord street between Mission street and Cross street; Cross street between Concord street and Allison street; Hanover street between Guttenberg street and Lowell street; Hanover street between Lowell street and the County line; Guttenberg street between Morse street and Brunswick street; Guttenberg street between Brunswick street and Hanover street; Brunswick street between Guttenberg street and Lowell street; Brunswick street between Lowell street and Whittier street; Whittier street between Brunswick street and the County line; Bartlett street between Twenty-fourth street and Twenty-fifth street,* by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Bill No. 7516, Ordinance No. 7030 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco. approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part

II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *northerly one-half of Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Fountain street, and the crossing of Twenty-fifth street and Hoffman avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Bill No. 7517, Ordinance No. 7031 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets, including the crossing of Nineteenth and Arkansas streets, and the improvement of Arkansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances on the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 8 Y branches and 2 brick manholes with appurtenances along the center line of Nineteenth street between the easterly line of Arkansas street and a point 50 feet westerly from Connecticut street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Arkansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, where not already constructed; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of concrete pavement on Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic wearing surface on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco. Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Bill No. 7518, Ordinance No. 7032 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *southerly one-half of Lawton street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters 2 feet in width adjacent to the above mentioned curbs; by the construction of that portion of a 21-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying Y branches that said frontage would be liable for; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof; also the improvement of the *crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Lawton street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an 8-inch, 15-inch and 21-inch ironstone pipe sewers, with accompanying manhole; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Bill No. 7519, Ordinance No. 7033 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Kirkham street between Eleventh and Twelfth avenues; the northerly one-half of Cabrillo street between Twenty-sixth avenue and Twenty-seventh avenue; Twenty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets; the westerly one-half of Twenty-sixth avenue between Ulloa*

street and Vicente street; Carmel street between Cole street and Shrader street; Ulloa street between West Portal avenue and Forest Side avenue; Wilson street between San Jose avenue and Rhine street; Avila street between Chestnut street and Alhambra street; the easterly one-half of Mallorca way between Toledo way and Chestnut street; the westerly one-half of Mallorca way from Alhambra street to Toledo way; Scott street between Chestnut street and Alhambra street; Toledo way between Pierce street and Mallorca way; Pierce street between Alhambra street and Toledo way, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was on motion *laid over one week:*

Appropriation, $3,333.33, for Abatement of Stagnant Water Nuisance at the Marina.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,333.33 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, for the abatement of a stagnant water nuisance and menace at the Marina; the work of abatement to be under the direction of the Park Commission.

Action Deferred.

The following matter heretofore passed for printing was on motion *laid over one week:*

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, heretofore the City and County of San Francisco, by Resolution No. 25055, adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, invited bids for the leasing to the City and County of San Francisco, premises for use as offices for the District Attorney's office, the Adult Probation Officer, the Public Defender's office, and the Grand Jury; and

Whereas, an offer has been filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, by Louis T. Samuels, to lease to the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with said Resolution No. 25055, certain offices on the fourth and fifth floors and the entire sixth and seventh floors in that certain building situate on the west side of Kearny street between Bush and Pine streets, and known and designed as No. 333 Kearny street, as per floor plans of said premises submitted by said Louis T. Samuels, and containing 7902 square feet of floor space, for a term of five (5) years, two and one-half (2½) months, at a monthly rental of fifteen cents per square foot of floor space, or at an annual rental of $14,233.60, thus making $74,081.25 total rental payable for said floor space, and payable in lawful money of the United States as follows:

The sum of five hundred ninety-two and 65-100 ($592.65) dollars due on April 15, 1926, for the one-half month commencing April 15, 1926, to and including April 30, 1926; the sum of $1,185.30 due for the month commencing May 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30 due for the month commencing June 1, 1926, aggregating the sum of $2,963.25 to be payable on July 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30 payable on July 1, 1926, for the month commencing July 1, 1926, and a like sum of $1,185.30 on the first day of each and every month thereafter until the said sum of $74,081.25 has been fully paid; and

Whereas, the said offer of said Louis T. Samuels is the best and lowest offer submitted pursuant to said resolution; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer of said Louis T. Samuels be and the same is herbey accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to enter into a lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with said Louis T. Samuels, for the said premises, as shown and described in the floor plan submitted by said Louis T. Samuels, located in said building known and designated as No. 333 Kearny street, for the period of five years, two and one-half months, for use as offices for the said District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury, at a monthly rental of fifteen cents per square foot of floor space, or at the annual rental of $14,223.60, thus making $74,081.25 total rental payable for said floor space, and pay-

able in lawful money of the United States; and be it

Further Roselved, That the sum of $2,963.25 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, for payment of rental from April 15 to June 30, 1926; and be it

Further Resolved. That the Mayor and the City Attorney shall negotiate with the proposed lessor for the purpose of obtaining a clause in the lease providing for the cancellation of said lease within a reasonable time.

Further Resolved, That when completed said lease shall be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for ratification and shall not be effective until approved by the Board of Supervisors.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amount to $62,898.59, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Urgent Necessity.

Halstead & Dierks Co., burial of Sarah A. Williams, widow of James Williams, U. S. Navy, $107.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream furnished for parks (claim dated March 19, 1926), $1,103.45.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(2) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated March 16, 1926), $3,178.83.

(3) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for February, per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated March 16, 1926), $1,441.32.

(4) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways for February (claim dated March 16, 1926), $34,913.14.

(5) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for account Municipal Railway employees (claim dated March 6, 1926), $6,131.37.

Special School Tax.

(6) S. Heiman, first payment, architectural services for additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated March 17, 1926), $900.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(7) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated March 11, 1926), $827.26.

(8) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated March 11, 1926), $528.76.

(9) Southern Pacific Company (Pacific System), rental of freight cars, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 11, 1926), $501.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(10) Margaret Gamble, compensation for drying of springs on property in Tuolumne County, caused by construction of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct tunnel, per Resolution No. 25263, New Series (claim dated March 11, 1926), $900.

(11) Robert M. Searls, Special Counsel's Revolving Fund expenditures for rights of way, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction, per vouchers (claim dated March 11, 1926), $2,527.29.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) John Reid, Jr., ninth payment, architectural services for New Mission High School (claim dated March 16, 1926), $1,200.94.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(13) John J. Dailey, services in valuation of San Francisco electric properties before Railroad Commission (claim dated March 16, 1926), $850.

(14) N. Randall Ellis, services as engineer in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated March 16, 1926), $750.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(15) Pacific Gas & Electric Co.,

street lighting for February (claim dated March 22, 1926), $46,461.31.

(16) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated March 15, 1926), $961.87.

(17) Howard Automobile Co., two Buick autos for Police Department (claim dated March 15, 1926), $2,762.75.

(18) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $612.21.

(19) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric and gas service furnished Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,923.44.

(20) Spring Valley Water Co., water service and hydrant installation, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $2,332.10.

(21) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,733.35.

(22) S. H. Tyler & Sons, coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 1, 1926), $831.75.

(23) The California Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,186.69.

(24) Bay City Market, meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,141.42.

(25) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,107.96.

(26) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 8, 1926), $2,277.01.

(27) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 8, 1926), $3,706.38.

(28) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 1, 1926), $575.85.

(29) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 12, 1926), $1,446.84.

(30) Doctors & Nurses Outfitting Co., nurses' outfits, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1926), $536.50.

(31) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1926), $1,188.15.

(32) Ed Barry, printing for Assessor (claim dated March 22, 1926), $1,555.52.

(33) California Printing Co., printing for City departments (claim dated March 22, 1926), $649.86.

(34) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for City departments (claim dated March 22, 1926), $504.43.

(35) Kleiber Motor Truck Co., one Kleiber truck for use of Department of Electricity (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,225.70.

(36) Kleiber Motor Truck Co., one motor van for use of the Sheriff (claim dated March 10, 1926), $5,050.

Supervisor Marks requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on items 6 and 12.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the following item was ordered *referred to the Finance Committee*:

(11) Robert M. Searls, Special Counsel's revolving fund expenditures for rights of way, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction, per vouchers (claim dated March 11, 1926), $2,527.29.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations for Lands Required for School Purposes.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Colin Menzies, for lands on east line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 175 feet northerly from Santiago street, running thence northerly on Twenty-third avenue 29½ feet; thence northeasterly 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25349, New Series (claim dated March 22, 1926), $1,100.

(2) To Josephine Farrow, for lands on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 250 feet southerly from Rivera street, running thence southerly on Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle 120 feet; of uniform dimensions; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25350, New Series (claim dated March 22, 1926), $1,800.

Appropriating $1,200 for Safety Lane Markers.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,200 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, for the purchase of 10,000 safety lane markers, as per samples placed in Market street, for traffic regulation.

Appropriatoins, Steel Cable for Hetch Hetchy, Steam-Heating System for Glen Park School, and Printing of Auditor's Report.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(1) For the furnishing and delivering at Hetch Hetchy Junction 2¼-inch plow steel cable for Red Mountain Bar cableway, Hetch Hetchy water construction; per award to E. H. Edwards, $3,132.

Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1.

(2) For cost of installing a central steam-heating system in Glen Park School, Brompton avenue and Bosworth street, including extras, engineering service and inspection; per award to Knittle Bros. at $9,222, $9,722.

Printing Public Documents, Budget Item No. 25.

(3) For printing 700 copies of the Auditor's Annual Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1925, $900.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Opening of Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25387 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Charles Block, $2,200—All of Lots 400 and 399, Gift Map No. 4, Map Book 2 A and B, pages 16 and 17, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfacory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriation, Payment of Portion of Assessment of Ida M. Clark for Improvement of Vulcan Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25388 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $200 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 79, Fiscal Year 1925-1926 ("Emergency Repairs to Streets, etc."), for payment of portion of assessment against Ida M. Clark for improvement of Vulcan street between Ord street and Lower Terrace; per recommendation by Board of Public Works and City Engineer.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriation, Credit to Depreciation Fund, Municipal Railways.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25389 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,107.84 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Operating Fund; representing deficit in operating Municipal Railways for month of January, 1926.

(Board of Public Works Resolution No. 90448, Second Series.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Widening of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25390 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Flora Johnson, $2,291—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Market street, distant 25 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, said point being on the easterly boundary line of Lot No. 55 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Market street 25.061 feet to the westerly boundary line of said lot; thence deflecting 94 degrees 00 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running southerly parallel with Hattie street, along said westerly boundary line 20.049 feet; thence deflecting 85 degrees 59 minutes 40 seconds to the left and running easterly, parallel with the southerly line of Market street and distant 20 feet at right angles southerly therefrom, a distance of 25.061 feet to the easterly boundary line of said lot; thence deflecting 94 degrees 00 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running northerly, parallel with Hattie street, along said easterly boundary line 20.049 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot No. 55 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract.

The above amount includes, in addition to the payment of the above described parcel, all damages in full to the adjoining lot and the building now partially situated thereon adjoining the above described parcel, caused or to be caused by the future establishment of a grade on Market street and the grading and construction of Market street. The above mentioned building to be moved by the owner within sixty (60) days after receiving notice by the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the Ctiy's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Duboce Tunnel Right of Way.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25391 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce tunnel, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Eva Pincus, $946—Beginning at a point distant 250 feet at right angles wetserly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 44.279 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of 225-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 68 degrees 33 minutes 29 seconds to the right from the preceding course, central angle 7 degrees 01 minute 34 seconds, a distance of 27.592 feet; thence northerly parallel with Clayton street 55.913 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Agnes Ford and Thomas J. Ford, $100—Beginning at a point distant 250 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 128.668 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 8.832 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24.259 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right of 275-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 157 de-

grees 18 minutes 17 seconds to the right from the preceding course, central angle 5 degrees 22 minutes 51 seconds, a distance of 25.826 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for the Extension of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25392 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Per Nelson and Petronella Nelson, his wife, $10—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Mono street, north of Caselli avenue, with the easterly line of Market street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Market street 17.101 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 205-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 4 degrees 42 minutes 29 seconds, a distance of 16.845 feet to the southerly line of Mono street; thence westerly along the southerly line of Mono street 0.744 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot No. 9 in Block No. 3, Mountain Spring property.

Otto Hansen and Hanna Hansen, his wife, $6,250—Being all of Lot No. 28 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said property, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Burglar Alarm System for Treasurer.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7521, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the installation of a burglar alarm system for the protection of the vaults in the offices of the Treasurer and the Tax Collector, and ordering the installation of a burglar alarm system for the protection of the vaults in the offices of the Treasurer and Tax Collector in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor. Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said installation of burglar alarm system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the installation of a burglar alarm system for the protection of the vaults in

the offices of the Treasurer and the Tax Collector, and to enter into contract for the installation of a burglar alarm system in the offices of the Treasurer and the Tax Collector, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Set-Back Lines on Broderick Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7522, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6473 (New Series), respecting the establishing of set-back lines along Broderick street between Jefferson street and Marina boulevard modifying said lines and reestablishing same as modified.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6473 (New Series) in so far as the same establishes set-back lines along Broderick street between Jefferson street and Marina boulevard is hereby amended and the set-back lines therein established on said street are hereby modified to read as follows:

Along the easterly side of Broderick street, commencing at Jefferson street and running thence northerly to a point 91 feet 10½ inches southerly from Marina boulevard, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

And the said lines are hereby reestablished as herein modified.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was, on motion of Supervisor Marks, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 8 p. m.*

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street Commercial District.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between Twenty-fifth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Set-Back Lines on Vallejo Street Between Octavia and Laguna Streets Abolished.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25393 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 25099 (New Series) as declares it to be the intention of this Board to establish set-back lines along the northerly side of Vallejo street between Octavia street and Laguna street be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 119.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25394 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 12 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue between Vicente street and Ulloa street, said set-back line to 12 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly Vicente street and

running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 19th day of April, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 120.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25395 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-first avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly 425 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-first avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the northerly side of Santiago street between Twenty-first avenue and Twenty-second avenue, said set-back line to be 11 feet.

Along the southerly side of Ulloa street between Thirty-first avenue and Thirty-second avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Rivera street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along both sides of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Marina boulevard, commencing at Broderick street and running thence westerly 322½ feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 19th day of April, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Amendment to Zoning Ordinance Denied.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Kernan Robson has filed his application to change the classification of his property, situated on the northwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets, from the First Residential District to the Second Residential District, as delineated

upon the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series); and

Whereas, said application was denied by the City Planning Commission and thereafter a hearing was held by the City Planning Committee of this Board whereat said applicant appeared and presented arguments in favor of said application, and having heard all objections thereto, said City Planning Committee did recommend to this Board that said appliaction be denied; and

Whereas, a further hearing has been held by this Board in the matter of said application, and said applicant has been given full opportunity to present all reasons why said application should be granted and the change of classification of his property should be made; now, therefore,

Resolved, and it is hereby determined, That the public health, safety and welfare and public interest require that said application be denied, and it is accordingly so ordered.

Privilege of the Floor.

Judge J. Sullivan, representing the Lafayette Heights Improvement and Protective Association, was heard in favor of the adoption of the resolution.

Kernan Robson was heard in opposition to the resolution and favoring the proposed amendment to the ordinance.

Action.

Whereupon, the resolution was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 2 p. m.*

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Bosworth Street.

The following bill was presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwesterly side of Bosworth street, commencing at the southwesterly line of Rousseau street and running thence southwesterly and along the northwesterly line of Bosworth street 170 feet, and extending northwesterly to a line parallel to and concentric with the center line of the Southern Pacific right of way and distant 60 feet southeasterly therefrom, said line being the southeasterly line of the proposed improvement of the Bernal Cut, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Commercial District.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Levy, attorney representing Ray Manufacturing Company, was heard in favor of the proposed change.

Refused Passage to Print.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *refused passage to print* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers—7.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—9.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

American Plan and Building Service Co., 1345 Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Otto Anderson, south side of Chestnut street, 120 feet west of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. A. Bergerot, 3065 Pacific avenue, 1500 galions capacity.

G. Cello, 1446 Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco, Cattanooga, Twenty-second and Dolores streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Elsbach, 113 Presidio avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, southeast corner of Pacific avenue and Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Walter S. Falk, 3470 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Herman Safe Co., northwest corner of Main and Howard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. L. Hopkins, southeast corner of Buena Ventura and San Anselmo avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. C. Kirby, south line of Oak street, 156 feet east of Shrader street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roy M. Koren, northwest line of Marengo street, 40 feet northeast of Dickinson street, 600 gallons capacity.

M. T. Kresteller, 2971 Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lockhardt & Scanlon, 1728 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. T. E. Mercereau, south side of Jackson street, 130 feet west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Montague Range & Furnace Co., 376 Sixth avenue, 120 gallons capacity.

Frank Norcia, east side of St. Elmo way, 200 feet south of Yerba Buena avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. E. Pearson, northeast corner of Gough and Filbert streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Grace Perego, east side of Casa way, 175 feet south of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. P. Storeheim, north side of Francisco street, 50 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. P. Storeheim, east side of Fifteenth avenue, 177 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Anton Usnik, north side of Sacramento street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Laurel street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Columbia Soap and Chemical Works, 222 Clara street, 10 horse power.

Independent Cleaning & Dyeing Works, northeast corner of Erie and Howard streets, 100 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Community Service Station Co. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Seventeenth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. W. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Sixth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Standard Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Presidio avenue and California street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*:

Denying Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission to Louis Silverstein to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Silver avenue and San Bruno avenue is hereby denied.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25396 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby requested to remove, install and change the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

West side Oakwood street, first and second south of Eighteenth street.

East side Oakwood street, first south of Eighteenth street.

South side Hampshire street, first and second south of Twenty-fifth street.

North side Hampshire street, first south of Twenty-fifth street.

East side Fillmore street between Pacific avenue and Jackson street.

East side Potrero avenue, opposite Twentieth street.

San Bruno avenue, near No. 2067.

Third avenue and Hugo street.

East side Third avenue, first south of Lincoln way.

Northwest and southeast corners Gough and Green streets.

East and west sides Gough street between Green and Union streets.

Northwest corner Green and Laguna streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Hampshire street, south of Twenty-fifth street.

Oakwood street, south of Eighteenth street.

Visitacion avenue and Talbert street.

Raymond avenue between Delta and Elliot streets.

Arleta avenue between Delta and Elliot streets.

Arleta avenue between Delta and Rutland streets.

Brussels street between Wilde and Campbell avenues.

Campbell avenue between Alpha and Somerset streets.

Goettingen street and Campbell avenue.

San Bruno avenue and Sweeny street.

Quint and Quesada streets.

Brazil avenue and Saratoga street.

Wawona street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues.

Byxbee street between Garfield and Shields streets.

Victoria street between Randolph and Stanley streets.

Third avenue and Hugo street.

Seventh avenue north of Lake street.

Nineteenth and Angelica streets.

Green and Laguna streets.

Green and Gough streets.

Gough between Green and Union streets.

Green street between Gough and Octavia streets.

Change 400 *M. R. to* 600 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets.*

Irving street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues.

Irving street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues.

Irving street and Twentieth, Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues.

Install 600 *C. P.*

Fillmore street between Jackson street and Pacific avenue.

Twentieth street and Potrero avenue.

Portola drive, opposite No. 1006.

Portola drive, opposite No. 1070.

Portola drive, opposite Kingston way.

Light 100 *C. P. Electroliers.*

Poles 74, 2, 3, 73, 56, 42 and 53.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25397 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from L. Decia to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the north line of Rivera street, distant 82 feet 6 inches easterly from Twenty-fourth avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Rivera street, distant thereon 82 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; running thence easterly along the northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Rivera street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25398 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Chas. W. Sutro to convey to the City and County of San

Francisco certain land situate at Junipero Serra boulevard and Ocean avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $48,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northeasterly line of Ocean avenue with the easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard 106.82 feet; thence southeasterly 446.28 feet to the northwesterly line of San Fernando way; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of San Fernando way 104.376 feet to the northeasterly line of Ocean avenue; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of Ocean avenue 164.401 feet to a point; thence continuing along said northeasterly line of Ocean avenue 236.48 feet to the easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard and point of commencement. Being a portion of Section 25, Township 2 South, Range 6 West, M. D. B. and M. Also known as Lot No. 2, Block 3256, on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25399 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Walter Loos to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the north line of Rivera street, distant 82 feet 6 inches west from Twenty-third avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Rivera street, distant thereon 82 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Rivera street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25400 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John Kingston to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the west line of Forty-second avenue, distant 175 feet north from Judah street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, distant thereon 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Judah street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Forty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Forty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 1797 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Bus Line Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby granted a permit, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to establish, operate and maintain a bus line over certain streets in the Crocker-Amazon District and permitting them to charge a 5-cent fare, with transfer privileges, to Mission street lines of said Market Street Railway Company.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Robert Searles Contract.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the contract under which Robert M. Searls is employed as Special Legal Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project expired on March 1, 1926; and

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors the legal work in connection with the Hetch Hetchy project can be handled, at least temporarily, by the City Attorney; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the services of Robert M. Searls as Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project be discontinued and the contract for his employment be not renewed.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

The Great Western Power Company Granted Permission to Construct a Transmission Line Across Moccasin Creek Transmission Line.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 7523, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to grant permission to the Great Western Power Company of California to construct a transmission line across the City's Moccasin Creek transmission line near Oakdale.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, by its Resolution No. 90762 (Second Series), having requested the Board of Supervisors to authorize the granting to the Great Western Power Company of California permission to construct and maintain an electric power transmission line across and over the City's right of way and Moccasin Creek power transmission line, at a point a short distance east of Oakdale, subject to the conditions and restrictions set forth in copy of said permit transmitted to the Board of Supervisors, and no objection appearing why such permission should not be given, the said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to grant such permission to the Great Western Power Company of California to cross the aforesaid right of way and power line, under the conditions and restrictions set forth in the permit, copies of which are on file in the office of the Board

of Supervisors and made a part of this ordinance.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Official Advertising.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 25401 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for doing official advertising for the City and County of San Francisco for one year from April 1, 1926, to and including April 1, 1927, in a daily newspaper in the City and County of San Francisco, which has a bona fide daily circulation of at least 8,000 copies and has been in existence at the time of letting such contract for at least two years, and to deliver daily to the office of the Board of Supervisors and to any other office or department of the City and County authorized to advertise, as many copies of the "official newspaper", not to exceed one hundred and fifty, as may be directed by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and must also deliver as directed by said Clerk at least ten and not to exceed one hundred and twenty-five copies of slips of all orders, ordinances, resolutions or notices published by order of the Board of Supervisors, or by any other department or officer of the municipal government authorized or permitted to advertise in said "official newspaper," also deliver at least one hundred copies of all resolutions, orders, ordinances or notices published by order of any of the offices or departments of the City and County (other than the Board of Supervisors) to such office or department causing said publication, in strict accordance with the specifications and the advertisement inviting proposals thereon, is hereby awarded to The Bulletin Publishing Co., the lowest responsible bidder, to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin, which is hereby designated as the "official newspaper" at the price bid therefor, viz.: For each insertion in six-point type, per one column line, seven and one-half (7½) cents per line; provided the sureties on its bond, which is hereby fixed at ten thousand (10,000) dollars, are satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor, who is hereby authorized to enter into said contract.

The San Francisco Bulletin Newspaper is hereby declared and designated to be the "official newspaper" of the City and County for one year, from April 1, 1926, to and including April 1, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Award of Contract, Printing Delinquent Tax List.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 25402 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for publishing the Delinquent Tax List, Index of Delinquent Real Estate Taxpayers and Sales List and other matters incidental thereto, for the fiscal year 1925-1926, is hereby awarded to The Leader, at the price bid therefor, viz.: Five and two-fifths (5 2-5) cents per line (the same being the lowest bid submitted) in accordance with the specifications therefor and according to law, and the Mayor is hereby authorized to enter into such contract upon the filing of a good and sufficient bond in the sum of five thousand (5000) dollars, conditioned upon the faithful performance of such contract.

All other bids are hereby rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Clerk to Collect Advertising Charges.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 25403 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby authorized and directed to collect the following rates for advertising for publications made in the official newspaper from April 1, 1926, to April 1, 1927, to-wit:

For proposal notices inviting bids, resolutions of award of contract, bills and ordinances granting franchises, ten (10) cents per line.

For resolutions granting extensions of time to complete contracts, the sum of two dollars and fifty cents shall be paid to cover the cost of advertising.

For resolutions granting permits for blasting, dyeing and cleaning works, engines and boilers, garages, automobile supply stations, parking stations, furnaces, hospitals, gas works, laundries, medical colleges, oil storage, planing mills and woodworking establishments, stables and undertaking establishments, or for masked balls when the license fee

is remitted, or for amateur wrestling matches when no license therefor is imposed, or for any other permit which requires license fee in connection therewith, the sum of five dollars shall be paid to cover the cost of advertising.

Resolved, That all sums of money so collected shall be immediately paid into the Treasury by said Clerk, as provided by Chapter III, Article III, of the Charter, and the Treasurer of this City and County is hereby directed to issue to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors his receipt for the money so collected and paid to said Treasurer.

Resolved, That the above rates of advertising, in so far as they are applicable, shall be collected by all officers, offices and departments of this City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Full Acceptance of Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7524, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Baker street between Chestnut and Francisco streets; Baker street between Francisco and Bay streets, and between Bay and North Point streets, and crossings of Baker and Francisco streets; Baker and Bay streets and Baker and North Point streets; Capitol avenue between Montana and Minerva streets, and crossings of Capitol avenue and Montana street and Capitol avenue and Minerva street; Capitol avenue between Lobos and Minerva streets; Dore street between Howard and Folsom streets; Quintara street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues; Thomas avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets, and crossing of Thomas avenue and Ingalls street; Shotwell street between Bernal avenue and Stoneman street, including the intersections of Shotwell and Montezuma streets and Shotwell and Aztec streets; Wawona street between Taraval and Ulloa streets; crossings of Alington and Mateo streets, and Alington and Roanoke streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphalt, asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Baker street between Chestnut and Francisco streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Baker street between Francisco and Bay streets and beween Bay street and North Point street, and the crossings of Baker and Francisco streets, Baker and Bay streets and Baker and North Point streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Capitol avenue between Montana and Minerva streets and crossings of Capitol avenue and Minerva street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Capitol avenue between Lobos and Minerva streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Dore street between Howard and Folsom streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Quintara street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues. paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Thomas avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets and the crossing of Thomas avenue and Ingalls street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Shotwell street between Bernal avenue and Stoneman street, including the intersections of Shotwell and Montezuma streets and Shotwell and Aztec streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Wawona street between Taraval and Ulloa streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and 14-foot central strip of concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Crossings of Arlington and Mateo streets and Arlington and Roanoke

streets, paved with asphalt and granite curbs laid thereon.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time, E. J. Treacy.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resoultion No. 25404 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J, Treacy be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 19, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Detroit street between Monterey boulevard and Hearst avenue, under public contract.

This extension is granted for the reason that the work is well under way, the grading being practically completed. Delay caused by weather conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Stockton Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7525, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 12, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 902, to read as follows:

Section 902. The width of sidewalks on Stockton street between Bush street and Pine street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Spur Track Permit, Thos. G. Knight.

Also, Bill No. 7526, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Thomas G. Knight to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Berry street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Thomas G. Knight to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at the end of existing spur track on Berry street, approximately 388 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Seventh street; thence southwesterly along Berry street and parallel to the southeasterly line thereof, a distance of 85 feet, more or less.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by Thomas G. Knight; provided, that Thomas G. Knight shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Deed for Orolan Alley.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25405 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 25204 (New Series), accepting a deed from James B. Arnott and Mary B. Arnott, his wife, to a strip of land eighteeen feet in width extending from Paulding street to Santa Rosa avenue to be dedicated as Orolan alley; also the land for the extension of Colonial way and Nantucket avenue from their present westerly termination, westerly to the proposed Orolan avenue, etc., be and the same is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Closing and Abandoning Portion of Alhambra Street and Cervantes Boulevard.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25406 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a portion of crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard as hereinafter described.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 25184 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon a portion of crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, as hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco, by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 3, Chapter 3, Article VI, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of the crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 25184 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said crossing of said street is nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby dclares and determines that the whole damages, cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for closing of a portion of said crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of a portion of crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard be and the same is hereby ordered, and the said portion of crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street.

The said portion of crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of the intersection of Cervantes boulevard and Alhambra street, distant thereon 0.747 feet southerly from the southwesterly line of Cervantes boulevard, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of said intersection on a curve to the left of 80-foot radius, central angle 35 degrees 41 minutes 24 seconds, a distance of 49.833 feet to a point distant along the westerly line of said intersection 0.929 feet northerly from the northwesterly line of Alhambra street; thence northerly on a curve to the left of 42.257-foot radius, central angle 70 degrees 55 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 52.307 feet to the point of beginning.

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in "The Bulletin" as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Closing and Abandonnig a Portion of Utah Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25407 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a portion of Utah street as hereinafter described.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 25183 (New Series), declared its intention to close and

abandon a portion of Utah street, situated in the City and County of San Francisco and hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Utah stret was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 3, Chapter III, Article VI, of the Charter of the City and County; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Utah street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Wheraes, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Utah street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 25183 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said street is nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said stret shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Wheras, the said work is for closing of a portion of said Utah street and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; and

Whereas, the Jewell Steel and Malleable Company, as a consideration for the closing and abandonment of a portion of Utah street, as hereinafter described, will construct a sewer and manholes in Utah street, that is, to drain Utah street, through the property into San Bruno avenue, a distance of 400 feet; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of all that portion of Utah street lying south of the line 250 feet south of the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street and drawn parallel thereto, be and the same is hereby ordered, and that the said portion of Utah street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in "The Bulletin" as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Disposal of City Land at Private Sale.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7527, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at private sale of certain land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following land, owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco, situated within the said City and County of San Francisco, and being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Mono street, distant thereon 20.200 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Market street, said point of beginning being on the proposed northwesterly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Mono street 79.800 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 00 min. 3 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 91.767 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead; thence deflecting 79 deg. 56 min. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 45.473 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Market street; thence southwesterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Market street on a curve to the left of 275-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 85 deg. 1 min. 14 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 12 deg. 20 min. 10 sec. a distance of 59.209 feet to the point of beginning; being portion

of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead. Together with dwelling house and appurtenances thereon.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point distant 500 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, and distant 60.067 feet southerly from Market street, measured along a line parallel with Hattie street, said point of beginning being on the easterly boundary of Lot 36, Block B of the Park Lane Tract, and running thence southerly along said easterly boundary and parallel with Hattie street 25.896 feet to the southerly boundary of said lot; thence westerly along said southerly boundary 25.096 feet to the westerly boundary of said lot; thence northerly along said westerly boundary 14.100 feet; thence northeasterly 28.631 feet to the point of beginning; being the southerly portion of Lot 36, Block B, of Park Lane Tract.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of DeHaro street, distant thereon 372.726 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of DeHaro street 27.274 feet; thence at right angles westerly 82.112 feet; thence deflecting 157 deg. 1 min. to the left and running southeasterly 81.640 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 112 deg. 59 min. a distance of 10.360 feet to tangency with the westerly line of DeHaro street at the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point distant 325 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street and distant 58.944 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of DeHaro street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 41.056 feet; thence at right angles northerly 17.413 feet; thence deflecting 112 deg. 59 min. to the right and running southeasterly 44.596 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of DeHaro street, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of DeHaro street 42.368 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 124 deg. 48 min. a distance of 10.891 feet; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 81.031 feet; thence deflecting 145 deg. 12 min. to the right and running westerly 74.720 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Parcel 6. Beginning at a point distant 175 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street and distant 89.31 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Carolina street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 10.69 feet; thence at right angles northerly 7.430 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 48 min. to the right and running southeasterly 13.019 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Section 2. Parcel 1, as described in Section 1 hereof, shall be sold subject to the obligation of the purchaser to cut off or otherwise remove all portions of the dwelling house situated thereon which extend southeasterly of the northwesterly line of Market street as widened and to construct a concrete or masonry retaining wall on said northwesterly line of Market street as widened and regraded so as to prevent subsidence or caving of the said street; and it shall be a further condition of said sale that the City and County assumes no liability to the said purchaser of Parcel 1 for damage or injury to the surface of the ground in said parcel or the building thereon which may result from the construction and regrading of Market street in front thereof.

Section 3. Said lands shall be sold in six separate parcels as described in Section 1 hereof, for cash in United States gold coin, at a private sale to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter, to the highest bidders for the respective parcels. Each bidder shall, in submitting his offer, bid separately for each of the said parcels that he desires to purchase.

Section 4. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper published in this City and County, for two weeks successively next before the day on or after which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale, describing the land to be sold, with common certainty.

Section 5. The Mayor, Assessor, and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to ap-

praise said lands within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance, as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Section 6. Upon receipt and examination of bids or offers for sai lands, as aforesaid, the Mayor shall accept the highest bids made for each parcel, provided said bids be for at least ninety per cent of the value found by said appraisers for the parcels to which they apply, and shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors, with a statement of the sums bid and the names of the highest bidders, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Traffic Safety Buttons.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25408 New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of con tract be hereby made to Rincon Foundry Co. on bid submitted March 15, 1926 (Proposal No. 180 for furnishing the following, viz.: 2000 traffic safety buttons at $2.14 each.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelso Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

California Transit Company's Application Recommended to the Railroad Commission.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, The California Transit Company, operating stage lines between San Francisco and Stockton and operating the Yosemite Transit Company between Stockton and Carl Inn, and rendering service to the San Francisco Recreation Park, has filed with the Railroad Commission, State of California, an application numbered 11,005 for a consolidation of service between San Francisco and Carl Inn, the San Francisco Recreation Camp and other points; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco recommends to the Railroad Commission of the State of California favorable consideration of the said application, believing that such consolidation in the operation of a through service without trouble and annoyance of exchange of passengers and baggage as now exists will be a convenience to the employees engaged on the Hetch Hetchy construction work as well as beneficial to the general public in reaching the various localities, and will ultimately reduce the expense of travel by reason of a direct and through service.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Citizens' Committee, "Boys' Week."

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 25409 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, under the auspices of the Associated Boys' Council, Boys' Week is to be celebrated in San Francisco during the period from April 24 to April 28, inclusive, during the period in which it likewise will be celebrated throughout the United States; and

Whereas, a comprehensive program has been arranged, including days in church, at home, in school, in industry and in athletics, and nights in music, in radio and in gymnastics; and

Whereas, on this modified plan the cooperation of the officials of the City and County of San Francisco and of this City's citizens has been asked by the Associated Boys' Council in this worthy movement; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors endorse 1926 Boys' Week and offer its cooperation therewith, and that the Mayor be and is hereby authorized to appoint a citizens' committee of such numbers as he may see fit to handle details of this observance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd— 16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Reduction of Sidewalks and Improvement of Lighting, Valencia Street.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), Providing for the reduction of sidewalks on Valencia street from Market street to Mission street and for improved lighting service on said thoroughfare.

Referred to Streets and Lighting Committee.

Relative to Adjournment.

Resolution No. 25410 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in case this session of the Board is extended to that hour, the Board of Supervisors herewith agrees to adjourn not later than 7 p. m. this date.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at 7:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, May 10, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 21—New Series No. 13

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, March 29, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 29, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday March 29, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph, being absent, Supervisor Badaracco was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Operation of Buses, Westwood Highlands.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication, from W. Culberson, President of the Pierce-Arrow Pacific Sales Company, transmitting report on cost of operating buses furnishing transportation to the Westwood Highland District.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Judah Street Rezoning Endorsed.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from W. A. Smith endorsing committee's report on rezoning Judah street in the Commercial District and requesting that same be approved by the Board.

Ordered *filed.*

Judah Street Rezoning Protest.

Communication, from Mrs. Florence Spencer, urging rapid transit service on Judah street as being the requirement for the Sunset District rather than the rezoning of said street for commercial purposes.

Ordered *filed.*

Communication, from Hattie B. Russell, protesting against the rezoning of Judah street for business purposes.

Ordered *filed.*

Ferry Franchise Application.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Dudley D. Sales, representing A. O. Stewart, and making formal application on behalf of his client for a ferry franchise between the City of San Francisco and the City of Alameda.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Communication, from the City Clerk of Alameda endorsing proposed ferry between San Francisco and Alameda.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Letter of Appreciation, Breaking of the Bottle-Neck.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and ordered *filed:*

Communication, from Menlo Park Chamber of Commerce, extending a vote of thanks to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors for its action in providing for the widening of the highway at Colma, thereby breaking the so-called "Bottle-Neck" and promising utmost cooperation in any other development and improvement.

Letter of Thanks.

Communication, from Mrs. John Ginty, expressing thanks for resolutions of sympathy and condolence on the occasion of the death of her husband, John Ginty, late Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Sigmund Stern.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 26, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me

by Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Playground Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent herself from the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing March 27, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25479 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Playground Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing March 27, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

The Reverent Observance of Good Friday Movement.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

March 25, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall,
San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

As President of the Reverent Observance of Good Friday Movement, I respectfully invite your attention to the fact that this year Good Friday falls on April 2nd.

Fully appreciating the favors extended us in past years, we would again solicit your kindly cooperation in this movement to the end that so far as practicable all those connected with your department who may desire to do so, may absent themselves from 12 to 3 o'clock p. m. on Good Friday, for the purpose of attending the religious services which will be held in the various churches throughout the City.

With renewed assurance of our deep appreciation for your past cooperation, and confident that we may count upon your generous support again this year, we have the honor to remain,

Your respectfully,
THE REVERENT OBSERVANCE OF GOOD FRIDAY MOVEMENT.
By F. A. SULLIVAN,
President.

Whereupon, the Clerk was directed to prepare proper resolution to carry into effect the purpose of the foregoing communication.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Action Deferred.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along Shields street, Farallones street, Eighteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue, fixed for 2 p. m. this date.

Objection being raised to Twentieth street, the following bill was ordered *referred to City Planning Committee*:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along Shields street, Farallones street, Eighteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of March, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 116 to establish set-back lines along Shields street, Farallones street, Eighteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue, and fixed the 29th day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Shields street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Farallones street, commencing at a point 200 feet easterly from Capitol avenue and running thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence easterly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence easterly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the southerly side of

Farallones street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Capitol avenue and running thence easterly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 103½ feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly to a point 82½ feet southerly from Quintara street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Seventeenth avenue, Eighteenth avenue, Twentieth avenue and Baker street fixed for 2 p. m. this date.

No objections being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7533, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Seventeenth avenue, Eighteenth avenue, Twentieth avenue and Baker street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of March, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 118 to establish set-back lines along Sixteenth avenue, Seventeenth avenue, Eighteenth avenue, Twentieth avenue and Baker street, and fixed the 29th day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly to Noriega street, said set-back line to be 11 feet.

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Noriega street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly to Noriega street, said set-back line to be 11 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 375 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Baker street between Beach street and Jefferson street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said

set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-first avenue, Baker street, Thirty-seventh avenue and Vicente street, fixed for 2 p. m. this date.

No objections being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7534, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-first avenue, Baker street, Thirty-seventh avenue and Vicente street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of March, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 117 to establish set-back lines along Twenty-first avenue, Baker street, Thirty-seventh avenue and Vicente street, and fixed the 29th day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Baker street, commencing at North Point street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Beach street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-seventh avenue. commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Vicente street between Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Vicente street between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25411 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream furnished for parks (claim dated March 19, 1926), $1,103.45.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(2) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated March 16, 1926), $3,178.83.

(3) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for February, per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated March 16, 1926), $1,441.32.

(4) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways for February (claim dated March 16, 1926), $34,913.14.

(5) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for account Municipal

Railway employees (claim dated March 6, 1926), $6,131.37.

Special School Tax.

(6) S. Heiman, first payment, architectural services for additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated March 17, 1926), $900.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(7) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated March 11, 1926), $827.26.

(8) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated March 11, 1926), $528.76.

(9) Southern Pacific Company (Pacific System), rental of freight cars, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 11, 1926), $501.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(10) Margaret Gamble, compensation for drying of springs on property in Tuolumne County, caused by construction of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct tunnel, per Resolution No. 25263, New Series (claim dated March 11, 1926), $900.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(11) John Reid, Jr., ninth payment, architectural services for New Mission High School (claim dated March 16, 1926), $1,200.94.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(13) John J. Dailey, services in valuation of San Francisco electric properties before Railroad Commission (claim dated March 16, 1926), $850.

(14) N. Randall Ellis, services as engineer in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated March 16, 1926), $750.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(15) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for February (claim dated March 22, 1926), $46,461.31.

(16) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated March 15, 1926), $961.87.

(17) Howard Automobile Co., two Buick autos for Police Department (claim dated March 15, 1926), $2,762.75.

(18) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $612.21.

(19) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric and gas service furnished Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,923.44.

(20) Spring Valley Water Co., water service and hydrant installation, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $2,332.10.

(21) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,733.35.

(22) S. H. Tyler & Sons, coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 1, 1926), $831.75.

(23) The California Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,186.69.

(24) Bay City Market, meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,141.42.

(25) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 8, 1926), $1,107.96.

(26) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 8, 1926), $2,277.01.

(27) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 8, 1926), $3,706.38.

(28) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 1, 1926), $575.85.

(29) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 12, 1926), $1,446.84.

(30) Doctors & Nurses Outfitting Co., nurses' outfits, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1926), $536.50.

(31) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1926), $1,188.15.

(32) Ed Barry, printing for Assessor (claim dated March 22, 1926), $1,555.52.

(33) California Printing Co., printing for City departments (claim dated March 22, 1926), $649.86.

(34) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for City departments (claim dated March 22, 1926), $504.43.

(35) Kleiber Motor Truck Co., one Kleiber truck for use of Department of Electricity (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $2,225.70.

(36) Kleiber Motor Truck Co., one motor van for use of the Sheriff (claim dated March 10, 1926), $5,050.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

(Supervisor Marks voted *no* on Items Nos. 6 and 11.)

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Appropriations for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25412 New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Colin Menzies, for lands on east line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 175 feet northerly from Santiago street, running thence northerly on Twenty-third avenue 29½ feet; thence northeasterly 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25349, New Series (claim dated March 22, 1926), $1,100.

(2) To Josephine Farrow, for lands on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 250 feet southerly from Rivera street, running thence southerly on Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle 120 feet; of uniform dimensions; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25350, New Series (claim dated March 22, 1926), $1,800.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Ke Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriating $1,200 for Safety Lane Markers.

Resolution No. 25413 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,200 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, for the purchase of 10,000 safety lane markers, as per samples placed in Market street, for traffic regulation.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Ke Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriatoins, Steel Cable for Hetch Hetchy, Steam-Heating System for Glen Park School, and Printing of Auditor's Report.

Resolution No. 25414 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(1) For the furnishing and delivering at Hetch Hetchy Junction 2¼-inch plow steel cable for Red Mountain Bar cableway, Hetch Hetchy water construction; per award to E. H. Edwards, $3,132.

Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1.

(2) For cost of installing a central steam-heating system in Glen Park School, Brompton avenue and Bosworth street, including extras, engineering service and inspection; per award to Knittle Bros. at $9,222, $9,722.

Printing Public Documents, Budget Item No. 25.

(3) For printing 700 copies of the Auditor's Annual Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1925, $900.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Ke Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriation, $3,333.33, for Abatement of Stagnant Water Nuisance at the Marina.

Resolution No. 25415 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,333.33 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, for the abatement of a stagnant water nuisance and menace at the Marina; the work of abatement to be under the direction of the Park Commission.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25416 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

American Plan and Building Service Co., 1345 Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Otto Anderson, south side of Chestnut street, 120 feet west of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. A. Bergerot, 3065 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Cello, 1446 Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco, Cattanooga, Twenty-second and Dolores streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Elsbach, 113 Presidio avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, southeast corner of Pacific avenue and Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Walter S. Falk, 3470 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Herman Safe Co., northwest corner of Main and Howard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. L. Hopkins, southeast corner of Buena Ventura and San Anselmo avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. C. Kirby, south line of Oak street, 156 feet east of Shrader street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roy M. Koren, northwest line of Marengo street, 40 feet northeast of Dickinson street, 600 gallons capacity.

M. T. Kresteller, 2971 Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lockhardt & Scanlon, 1728 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. T. E. Mercereau, south side of Jackson street, 130 feet west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Montague Range & Furnace Co., 376 Sixth avenue, 120 gallons capacity.

Frank Norcia, east side of St. Elmo way, 200 feet south of Yerba Buena avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. E. Pearson, northeast corner of Gough and Filbert streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Grace Perego, east side of Casa way, 175 feet south of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. P. Storeheim, north side of Francisco street, 50 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. P. Storeheim, east side of Fifteenth avenue, 177 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Anton Usnik, north side of Sacramento street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Laurel street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Columbia Soap and Chemical Works, 222 Clara street, 10 horse power.

Independent Cleaning & Dyeing Works, northeast corner of Erie and Howard streets, 100 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Auto Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 25417 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Community Service Station Co. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Seventeenth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Resolution No. 25418 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. W. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Sixth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Resolution No. 25419 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Standard Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Presidio avenue and California street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Automobile Bus Line Permit, Market Street Railway Company.

Resolution No. 25420 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Market Street

Railway Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile busses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of Silver avenue and Mission street, and thence over Silver avenue to Edinburgh street, Edinburgh street to Excelsior avenue, Excelsior avenue to Naples street, Naples street to Geneva avenue and Cordova way; over Cordova way to Baltimore way, Baltimore way to South Hill boulevard, South Hill boulevard to Geneva avenue and Naples street, Naples street to Excelsior avenue, Excelsior avenue to Mission street and Mission street to Silver avenue.

That said permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Market Street Railway Company shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and to the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a) That nothing herein contained shall be considered to be a franchise granted to said Market Street Railway Company to operate said busses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same all rights and privilges herein granted shall cease and determine, and the said permit or any of the privileges thereby granted, or exercised thereunder, shall not, in any proceeding instituted by the City of San Francisco to acquire the system of said Market Street Railway Company, be deemed an asset or thing of value to said system.

(b) That said busses will at all times be operated by skilled operators, and in the operation of the same the said Market Street Railway Company will comply with all State and Municipal rules, laws and regulations.

(c) That on said busses operated under this permit a fare of five cents for each passenger shall be charged, whcih said fare when paid on a bus traveling towards Mission street shall entitle said passenger to a transfer to the Mission street lines of said Market Street Railway Company, and provided that said Market Street Railway Company shall issue to passengers on its Mission street lines transfers which shall be accepted in lieu of fare on said busses traveling from Mission street.

(d) That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Market Street Railway Company shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit subject to all of the conditions herein contained.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Burglar Alarm System for Treasurer.

Bill No. 7521, Ordinance No. 7034 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the installation of a burglar alarm system for the protection of the vaults in the offices of the Treasurer and the Tax Collector, and ordering the installation of a burglar alarm system for the protection of the vaults in the offices of the Treasurer and Tax Collector in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor. Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said installation of burglar alarm system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the installation of a burglar alarm system for the protection of the vaults in the offices of the Treasurer and the Tax Collector, and to enter into contract for the installation of a burglar alarm system in the offices of the Treasurer and the Tax Collector, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Set-Back Lines on Broderick Street.

Bill No. 7522, Ordinance No. 7035 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6473 (New Series), respecting the establishing of set-back lines along Broderick street between Jefferson street and Marina boulevard modifying said lines and reestablishing same as modified.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6473 (New Series) in so far as the same establishes set-back lines along Broderick street between Jefferson street and Marina boulevard is hereby amended and the set-back lines therein established on said street are hereby modified to read as follows:

Along the easterly side of Broderick street, commencing at Jefferson street and running thence northerly to a point 91 feet 10½ inches southerly from Marina boulevard, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

And the said lines are hereby reestablished as herein modified.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanto Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Establishing Set-Back Lines, Head Street and Twenty-first Avenue.

Bill No. 7520, Ordinance No. 7036 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along Head street and Twenty-first avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 23d day of February, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 114, to establish set-back lines along Head street and Twenty-first avenue, and fixed the 22d day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of aid streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Head street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Randolph street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Sargent street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Head street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Randolph street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly to Sargent street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Moraga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 130 feet northerly from Santiago street, and running thence northerly 42 feet 2 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 27 feet 10 inches, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly 225 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines

except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Confirming Sale of City Lands.

Bill No. 7528, Ordinance No. 7037 (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), approved January 21, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land by public auction, to be held on or before the 15th day of February, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $11,500, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 15th day of February, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to F. S. Ballinger for the sum of $12,500, and accepted from said F. S. Ballinger a deposit in the form of a check in the amount of $1,600, being ten per cent or more of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 18th day of February, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder, and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of twenty days from and after the 26th day of February, 1926; that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 22d day of March, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 22d day of March, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person, or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $12,500 bid as aforesaid by F. S. Ballinger is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold, and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to F. S. Ballinger for the sum of $12,500 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to F. S. Ballinger all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from the southerly line of Broadway, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Stockton street 32 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Cordelia street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Cordelia street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 133, also known as Lot 4, Block 160, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Bill No. 7529, Ordinance No. 7038 (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6939, approved January 21, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 15th day of February, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County, for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the Chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $7,500, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 15th day of February, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to Signe M. Hulting for the sum of $14,650, and accepted from said Signe M. Hulting a deposit in cash in the amount of $1,470, being 10 per cent or more of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 18th day of February, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder, and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco, for a period of twenty (20) days from and after the 26th day of February, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 22d day of March, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 22d day of March, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $14,650 bid as aforesaid by Signe M. Hulting is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to

Signe M. Hulting for the sum of $14,650 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to Signe M. Hulting all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of California street, distant thereon 220 feet easterly from the easterly line of Hyde street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of California street 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches to the southerly line of California street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 277, also known as Lot 29, Block 251, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanto Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Bill No. 7530, Ordinance No. 7039 (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6939, approved January 21, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 15th day of February, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $3,000 and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter and on the 15th day of February, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to J. F. Dowling for the sum of $3,700 and accepted from said J. F. Dowling a deposit in cash in the amount of $370, being ten per cent of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 18th day of February, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of twenty days from and after the 26th day of February, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 22d day of March, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 22d day of March, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now

arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $3,700 bid as aforesaid by J. F. Dowling is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to J. F. Dowling for the sum of $3,700 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to J. F. Dowling all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Cabrillo street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of O. L. Block 413, also known as Lot 2, Block 1676, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Bill No. 7531, Ordinance No. 7040 (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6939, approved January 21, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 15th day of February, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $8,000, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 15th day of February, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to Jerome J. Weinstein for the sum of $8,000, and accepted from said Jerome J. Weinstein a deposit in cash in the amount of $800, being 10 per cent of the amount bid, as aforesaid, and thereupon, and on the 18th day of February, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of twenty (20) days from and after the 26th day of February, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 22d day of

March, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 22d day of March, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person, or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $8,000 bid, as aforesaid, by Jerome J. Weinstein is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to Jerome J. Weinstein for the sum of $8,000 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to Jerome J. Weinstein all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold, as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Bryant stree distant thereon 115 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Third street, running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Bryant street 40 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 40 feet thence at a right angle southeasterly 80 feet to the northwesterly line of Bryant street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 100 Vara Block 366, also known as Lot 10, Block 3762, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price, as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Ker Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Bill No. 7532, Ordinance No. 7041 (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6939, approved January 21, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 15th day of February, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6939 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $3,000, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter and on the 15th day of February, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to Emory J. Nutting for the sum of $3,225, and accepted from said Emory J. Nutting a cash deposit in the amount of $350, being ten per cent or more of the amount bid as aforesaid, and there-

upon and on the 18th day of February, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder, and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of twenty days from and after the 26th day of February, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 22d day of March, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 22d day of March, 1926, an offer of ten per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person, or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $3,225 bid as aforesaid by Emory J. Nutting is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to Emory J. Nutting for the sum of $3,225 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to Emory J. Nutting all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Alabama street, distant thereon 185 feet southerly from the southerly line of Nineteenth street, running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Alabama street 68 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 44 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 68 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 44 feet 3 inches to the easterly line of Alabama street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 215, also known as Lot 13, Block 4082, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

The Great Western Power Company Granted Permission to Construct a Transmission Line Across Moccasin Creek Transmission Line.

Bill No. 7523, Ordinance No. 7042 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to grant permission to the Great Western Power Company of California to construct a transmission line across the City's Moccasin Creek transmission line near Oakdale.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, by its Resolution No. 90762 (Second Series), having requested the Board of Supervisors to authorize the granting to the Great Western Power Company of California permission to construct and maintain an electric power transmission line across and over the City's right of way and Moccasin Creek power transmission line, at a point a short distance east of Oakdale, subject to the conditions and restrictions set forth in copy of said permit transmitted to the Board of Supervisors, and no objection appearing why such permission should not be given, the said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to grant such permission to the Great Western Power Company of California to cross the aforesaid right of way and power line, under the conditions and restrictions set forth in the permit, copies of which are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors and made a part of this ordinance.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrel son, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Full Acceptance of Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7524 (Ordinance No. 7043 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Baker street between Chestnut and Francisco streets; Baker street between Francisco and Bay streets, and between Bay and North Point streets, and crossings of Baker and Francisco streets; Baker and Bay streets and Baker and North Point streets; Capitol avenue between Montana and Minerva streets, and crossings of Capitol avenue and Montana street and Capitol avenue and Minerva street; Capitol avenue between Lobos and Minerva streets; Dore street between Howard and Folsom streets; Quintara street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues; Thomas avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets, and crossing of Thomas avenue and Ingalls street; Shotwell street between Bernal avenue and Stoneman street, including the intersections of Shotwell and Montezuma streets and Shotwell and Aztec streets; Wawona street between Taraval and Ulloa streets; crossings of Alington and Mateo streets, and Alington and Roanoke streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphalt, asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Baker street between Chestnut and Francisco streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Baker street between Francisco and Bay streets and beween Bay street and North Point street, and the crossings of Baker and Francisco streets, Baker and Bay streets and Baker and North Point streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Capitol avenue between Montana and Minerva streets and crossings of Capitol avenue and Minerva street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid hereon.

Capitol avenue between Lobos and Minerva streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Dore street between Howard and Folsom streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Quintara street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Thomas avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets and the crossing of Thomas avenue and Ingalls street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Shotwell street between Bernal avenue and Stoneman street, including the intersections of Shotwell and Montezuma streets and Shotwell and Aztec streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Wawona street between Taraval and Ulloa streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and 14-foot central strip of concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Crossings of Arlington and Mateo streets and Arlington and Roanoke streets, paved with asphalt and granite curbs laid thereon.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Stockton Street.

Bill No. 7525, Ordinance No. 7044 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 12, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 902, to read as follows:

Section 902. The width of sidewalks on Stockton street between Bush street and Pine street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Spur Track Permit, Thos. G. Knight.

Bill No. 7526, Ordinance No. 7045 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Thomas G. Knight to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Berry street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Thomas G. Knight to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at the end of existing spur track on Berry street, approximately 388 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Seventh street; thence southwesterly along Berry street and parallel to the southeasterly line thereof, a distance of 85 feet, more or less.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by Thomas G. Knight; provided, that Thomas G. Knight shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Disposal of City Land at Private Sale.

Bill No. 7527, Ordinance No. 7046 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at private sale of certain land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following land, owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco, situated within the said City and County of San Francisco, and being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Mono street, distant thereon 20.200 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Market street, said point of beginning being on the proposed northwesterly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Mono street 79.800 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 00 min. 3 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 91.767 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead; thence deflecting 79 deg. 56 min. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 45.473 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Market street; thence southwesterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Market street on a curve to the left of 275-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 85 deg. 1 min. 14 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 12 deg. 20 min. 10 sec. a distance of 59.209 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead. Together with dwelling house and appurtenances thereon.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point distant 500 feet at right angles

westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, and distant 60.067 feet southerly from Market street, measured along a line parallel with Hattie street, said point of beginning being on the easterly boundary of Lot 36, Block B of the Park Lane Tract, and running thence southerly along said easterly boundary and parallel with Hattie street 25.896 feet to the southerly boundary of said lot; thence westerly along said southerly boundary 25.096 feet to the westerly boundary of said lot; thence northerly along said westerly boundary 14.100 feet; thence northeasterly 28.631 feet to the point of beginning; being the southerly portion of Lot 36, Block B, of Park Lane Tract.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of DeHaro street, distant thereon 372.726 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of DeHaro street 27.274 feet; thence at right angles westerly 82.112 feet; thence deflecting 157 deg. 1 min. to the left and running southeasterly 81.640 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 112 deg. 59 min. a distance of 10.360 feet to tangency with the westerly line of DeHaro street at the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point distant 325 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street and distant 58.944 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of DeHaro street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 41.056 feet; thence at right angles northerly 17.413 feet; thence deflecting 112 deg. 59 min. to the right and running southeasterly 44.596 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of DeHaro street, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of DeHaro street 42.368 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 124 deg. 48 min. a distance of 10.891 feet; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 81.031 feet; thence deflecting 145 deg. 12 min. to the right and running westerly 74.720 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Parcel 6. Beginning at a point distant 175 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street and distant 89.31 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Carolina street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 10.69 feet; thence at right angles northerly 7.430 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 48 min. to the right and running southeasterly 13.019 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Section 2. Parcel 1, as described in Section 1 hereof, shall be sold subject to the obligation of the purchaser to cut off or otherwise remove all portions of the dwelling house situated thereon which extend southeasterly of the northwesterly line of Market street as widened and to construct a concrete or masonry retaining wall on said northwesterly line of Market street as widened and regraded so as to prevent subsidence or caving of the said street; and it shall be a further condition of said sale that the City and County assumes no liability to the said purchaser of Parcel 1 for damage or injury to the surface of the ground in said parcel or the building thereon which may result from the construction and regrading of Market street in front thereof.

Section 3. Said lands shall be sold in six separate parcels as described in Section 1 hereof, for cash in United States gold coin, at a private sale to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter, to the highest bidders for the respective parcels. Each bidder shall, in submitting his offer, bid separately for each of the said parcels that he desires to purchase.

Section 4. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper published in this City and County, for two weeks successively next before the day on or after which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale, describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 5. The Mayor, Assessor, and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said lands within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance, as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9, of the Charter.

Section 6. Upon receipt and examination of bids or offers for sai lands, as aforesaid, the Mayor shall accept the highest bids made for each parcel, provided said bids be for at least ninety per cent of the value found by said appraisers for the parcels to which they apply, and shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors, with a statement of the sums bid and the names of the highest bidders, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $45,593.89, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) George McLeod, final payment for construction of Balustrade at Lincoln Park (claim dated March 26, 1926), $1,996.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric services for parks (claim dated March 26, 1926), $871.22.

(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated March 26, 1926), $781.94.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(4) H. M. Siegler, refund of duplicate payments of taxes (claim dated March 18, 1926), $1,383.14.

Auditorium Fund.

(5) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service furnished the Auditorium (claim dated March 19, 1926), $1,238.75.

Special School Tax.

(6) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint, etc., for schools (claim dated March 18, 1926), $527.25.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services, Guadalupe School (claim dated March 22, 1926), $1,127.62.

(8) Anderson & Ringrose, tenth payment, general construction of Dudley Stone School (claim dated March 24, 1926), $23,386.50.

(9) Anderson & Ringrose, sixth payment, general construction of Le Conte School (claim dated March 24, 1926), $29,887.50.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(10) Bald Eagle Meat Market, supplies furnished Moccasin Power House (claim dated March 19, 1926), $525.46.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(11) Bald Eagle Meat Market, supplies furnished, account Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1926), $1,152.30.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1926), $669.20.

(13) Tilden Lumber and Mill Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1926), $905.79.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated March 23, 1926), $1,254.33.

(15) R. W. Jamison, arc weld bonds for Municipal Railways (claim dated March 23, 1926), $925.01.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(16) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., fifteenth payment, construction of submarine pipe line at Dumbarton Strait and Newark Slough (claim dated March 24, 1926), $40,336.80.

Playground Fund.

(17) Eaton & Smith, progress payment, improvement of Eighteenth Avenue Playground (claim dated March 24 1926), $2,500.

(18) Thomas M. Jones, fourth and final payment, construction of field house at M. S. Hayward Play-

ground (claim dated March 24, 1926), $2,804.65.

(19) Hugh McGill, underpinning at field house, Jackson Playground (claim dated March 24, 1926), $1,686.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(20) L. C. Smith & Bros. Typewriter Co., 44 typewriters, less allowances, for High School of Commerce (claim dated March 23, 1926), $1,430.

(21) Woodstock Typewriter Co., 44 typewriters, less allowances, for High School of Commerce (claim dated March 23, 1926), $902.50.

(22) Remington Typewriter Co., 20 typewriters, less allowances, for Horace Mann Jr. High School (claim dated March 23, 1926), $1,000.

(23) Remington Typewriter Co., 36 typewriters, less allowances, for Mission High School (claim dated March 23, 1926), $1,560.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(24) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated March 29, 1926), $1,580.57.

(25) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for sewer repair (claim dated March 19, 1926), $1,707.30.

(26) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt, street resurfacing (claim dated March 19, 1926), $2,651.

(27) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated March 19, 1926), $929.95.

(28) Niles Sand, Gravel & Rock Co., gravel, etc., for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1926), $1,626.88.

(29) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1926), $893.38.

(30) Pacific Nash Motor Co., one Nash Roadster, less allowances, for Street Repair Department (claim dated March 24, 1926), $1,190.50.

(31) Fred A. Spear (assignee of Stuart S. Smith Co.), one 8-ton steam roller for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1926), $3,850.

(32) Symon Brothers, team hire for street repair (claim dated March 23, 1926), $747.50.

(33) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1926), $1,159.26.

(34) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Hall of Justice and Civic Center Power Plant (claim dated March 23, 1926), $2,008.80.

(35) Elliot & Grant, final payment, construction of Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1926), $5,763.18.

(36) James T. Tobin, final payment for improvement of Evans avenue between Army and Tulare streets (claim dated March 24, 1926), $1,505.68.

(37) Paul E. Denivelle, final payment, improvement of Telegraph Hill project (claim dated March 26, 1926), $3,612.50.

(38) Globe Electric Works, installation of telephone system in office of Assessor (claim dated March 22, 1926), $793.

(39) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper (claim dated March 17, 1926), $526.80.

(40) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated March 17, 1926), $1,720.85.

(41) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated March 18, 1926), $765.26.

(42) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated March 18, 1926), $747.01.

(43) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated March 17, 1926), $802.28.

(44) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated March 17, 1926), $4,143.91.

(45) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $3,225.20.

(46) Eloesser Heynemann Co., dry goods for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $756.

(47) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $935.55.

(48) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $622.50.

(49) F. L. Hilmer Co., butter and cheese for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,519.45.

(50) Miller & Lux Inc., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $828.83.

(51) Chas. C. Moore & Co., engine repairs at Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $720.

(52) C. Nauman Co., vegetables for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $771.28.

(53) S. F. Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,723.68.

(54) Shell Company, fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,589.16.

(55) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $810.

(56) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1926), $553.92.

(57) Shell Company, oil, etc., for

S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1926), $2,366.84.

(58) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 20, 1926). $1,-054.84.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(59) The Aluminum Cooking Utensil Co., kitchen equipment for new Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $3,519.36.

(60) Frederick W. Snook, one disinfector, equipment for new Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $2,769.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(61) Robert M. Searls, revolving fund expenditures in payment of Hetch Hetchy rights of way, etc., per resolutions authorizing same (claim dated March 11, 1926), $2,-527.29.

Appropriations for School Lands.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To L. Decia, for lands on the northerly line of Rivera street, commencing 82½feet easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly on the northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25397 (New Series), and required for school purposes, $1,000.

(2) To Walter Loos, for lands on the northerly line of Rivera street, commencing 82½ feet westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly on Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25399 (New Series), and required for school purposes, $1,000.

(3) To John Kingston, for lands on the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, commencing 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Judah street, running thence northerly on Forty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25400 (New Series), and required for school purposes, $1,500.

(4) To Chas. W. Sutro, for lands commencing at point formed by the intersection of the northeasterly line of Ocean avenue with the easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard, running thence northerly on easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard 106.82 feet; thence southeasterly 446.28 feet to the northwesterly line of San Fernando way; being of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25398 (New Series), and required for school purposes, $48,-000.

Appropriations for Land Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for Duboce Tunnel construction, to-wit:

(1) To A. M. Meyer and Charlotte T. Meyer, for land on the northerly line of Carl street, commencing 204 feet easterly from easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easterly on northerly line of Carl street 25.333 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 47.50 feet; of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25345 (New Series), $16,525.

(2) To Regina Upman, for lands on the northerly line of Carl street, commencing 179 feet easterly from Cole street, running thence easterly on northerly line of Carl street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.50 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 137.50 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25344 (New Series), $6,000.

(3) To Annie M. Woodman, as executrix of the estate of Margaret C. Cooney, for lands beginning at a point distant 150 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 113.524 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and thence running southerly, parallel with Clayton street, 23.976 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25344 (New Series), $412.

Trustees of the Robinson Bequest Fund Authorized to Invest in Granada Realty Company Mortgage Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25421 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Trustees of the Henry E. Robinson Bequest Fund be and they are hereby authorized to invest the sum of $39,900 out of moneys in said Henry E. Robinson Bequest Fund in first mortgage bonds of the Granada Realty Company of San Francisco, bonds Nos. 261-300, bearing interest at 6 per cent.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Transfer of Water Construction Funds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25422 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $26,487.43 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, representing Hetch Hetchy power plant operating expenses paid out of 1910 water bonds for month of January and for part of February, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Transfer of Funds, Municipal Railway.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25423 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,188.82 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Operating Fund, representing operating deficit for the month of February, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25424 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of February, 1926, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co.....$450.13
Parnassus and Ninth Ave.. 304.78
Gough St. Railroad Co..... 37.08

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Right of Way for Caine Street Sewer.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25425 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of a sewer from Caine avenue to San Jose avenue between Lake View avenue and Ridge lane, have offered to convey a surface and subsurface easement desired by said City and County of San Francisco through the following described property for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Adamo Poggetti and Argene Poggetti, $75—Beginning at a point on the westerly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 247 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lake View avenue, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of San Jose avenue a distance of 3 feet; thence at right angles westerly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 3 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet to the point of beginning; being the northerly 3 feet of Lot 10 of Block "J" of Columbia Heights Tract, recorded on page 46 of Map Book E and F, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Marcello Cavagna, $75—Beginning at a point on the easterly line

of Caine street, distant thereon 247 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lake View avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Caine street a distance of 3 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 3 feet; thence at right angles westerly 100 feet to the point of beginning; being the northerly 3 feet of Lot 45 of Block "J" of Columbia Heights Tract, recorded on page 46 of Map Book E and F, records of City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the easements owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said property, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25426 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

I. C. Scharff, $2,400—All of Lots 402 and 401, Gift Map No. 4, Map Book 2 A and B, pages 16 and 17, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for the Extension of Elk Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25427 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the extension of Elk street, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

Crocker Estate Co., $2,400—Commencing at the angle point formed by the intersection of the westerly line of Elk street with the southwesterly line of Chenery street, and thence running along the following described courses and distances:

Southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Chenery street 59.263 feet; southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 76 deg. 28 min. 57 sec. to the right from the last described course, radius 743.777 feet, central angle 17 deg. 9 min. 37 sec., a distance of 222.764 feet; southwesterly tangent to the last described curve 4.19 feet to the northeasterly corner of Lot 16, Block Q as recorded in Map Book G, pages 80 and 81, records of the

City and County of San Francisco; northwesterly along the northerly boundary of Lots 16, 17, 18 and 19 of Block Q, as recorded in Map Book G, pages 80 and 81, records of the City and County of San Francisco, to the easterly boundary of Glen Park Playground; thence along the easterly boundary of Glen Park Playground the following courses and distances:

Northeasterly on a line at right angles to the last described course 2.97 feet; northerly on a line deflecting 20 deg. 28 min. 34 sec. to the left 44.87 feet; northeasterly on a line deflecting 12 deg. 57 min. to the right 90.80 feet; northeasterly on a line deflecting 21 deg. 38 min. to the right 112.649 feet to an intersection with the westerly line of Elk street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Elk street 25.642 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, said Crocker Estate Company will sell and convey said parcel or piece of land to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum of two thousand four hundred and 00/100 dollars ($2,400.00), and for the further consideration and upon the condition that the City and County of San Francisco will agree that the remaining property of the Crocker Estate Company lying between Bosworth street and Chenery street and to the west of Burnside avenue, including Block Q, as recorded in Map Book G, pages 80 and 81, records of the City and County of San Francisco, will not be assessed for the improvement of Elk street, as extended from Chenery street to Bosworth street;

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted upon the conditions and terms above set forth and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Thirty-fifth Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7535, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Thirty-fifth avenue commencing at points 125 feet northerly from Sloat boulevard and running thence northerly to Vicente street, and extending to the depth of the rear-lot lines in the Commercial District, instead of the First Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ellis Street, Second Residential District.

Also, Bill No. 7536, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ellis street commencing at a point 137 feet 6 inches westerly

from Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly 27 feet 6 inches, and extending to the rear-lot line in the Commercial District, instead of the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Hoff Avenue, Second Residential District.

Also, Bill No. 7537, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Hoff avenue, commencing at a point 137 feet 6 inches southerly from Sixteenth street and running thence southerly 200 feet 3½ inches, and extending to the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Rescinding Portion of Resolution No. 24029, Set-back Lines on East Side of Connecticut Street Between Eighteenth and Mariposa Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25428 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 24029 as declares it to be the intention to establish set-back lines along the easterly side of Connecticut street between Eighteenth street and Mariposa street be rescinded, for the reason that at the time of the adoption of said resolution that part of Connecticut street was in a Commercial District and the set-back line ordinance did not authorize the establishment of set-back lines in such district.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Deed From Laurel Hill Cemetery Association for Land Required for Street Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25429 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Laurel Hill Cemetery Association has executed and tendered a deed, dated March 5, 1926, conveying to the City and County of San Francisco, for street purposes, a parcel of land at the southwest corner of California street and Presidio avenue, extending 72 feet on each of said streets and connecting said points by a curved line with a radius of 72 feet; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the land conveyed be declared to be an open public street and the deed thereto accepted on behalf of said City and County and ordered recorded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Kernan Robson has filed his application to change the classification of his property, situated on the northwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets from the First Residential District to the Second Residential District, as delineated upon the use of property zone maps constituting a part of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series); and

Whereas, said application was denied by the City Planning Commission and thereafter a hearing was held by the City Planning Committee of this Board whereat said applicant appeared and presented arguments in favor of said application and having heard all objections thereto, said City Planning Committee did recommend to this Board that said application be denied; and

Whereas, a further hearing has been held by this Board in the matter of said application, and said applicant has been given full opportunity to present all reasons why said application should be granted and the change of classification of his property should be made; now, therefore,

Resolved, and it is hereby determined, That the public health, safety and welfare and public interest require that said application be denied, and it is accordingly so ordered.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

California Real Estate & Finance Corporation, southwest corner of Thirty-eighth avenue and Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herbert E. Law, south side of McAllister street, 30 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Oppenheimer & Miller, west side of Twenty-second avenue, 350 feet north of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Perata, west side of Mission street, 212 feet south of Onondaga avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Peters, west side of Hyde street, 150 feet north of Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. P. and C. McCreadie be and they are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the northeasterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant 60 feet southeasterly from Sunnydale avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Woodworking Shop Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That William H. Bourdett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a woodworking shop at 318 Linden avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Arthur Farrow be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Joseph Pasqualetti by Resolution No. 21817 (New Series) for premises at 1215 Ocean avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bernard O'Donnell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Faralones street and Plymouth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Albert R. Dittman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted C. E. Lunsted by Resolution No. 24051 for premises at 2375 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25430 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Green street between Broderick and Divisadero streets.

Fourteenth and Alpine streets.

Dodge street, south of Turk street.

Taylor street, first north of Francisco street.

Vandewater street, first and second north of Taylor street.

East side Sanchez street, first south of Twenty-fourth street.

West side Sanchez street, first north of Twenty-fourth street.

North and south sides Green street between Gough and Octavia streets.

North and south sides Gough street between Octavia and Laguna streets.

South side Jackson street, 103 and 314 feet west of Gough street.

North side Jackson street, 206 feet west of Gough street.

Northwest and southeast corners Jackson and Gough streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Jackson and Octavia streets.

North side Twenty-third street, first west of Mission street.

North side Twenty-third street, first west of Bartlett street.
Bartlett street, first south of Twenty-third street.
Southwest corner Twenty-third and Bartlett streets.
North side Jackson street, 108 and 308 feet west of Franklin street.
South side Jackson street, 206 feet west of Franklin street.
North and south sides Jackson street, west of Van Ness avenue.
West side Forty-fifth avenue, 240 feet south of Judah street.
East and west sides Forty-fifth avenue, south of Irving street.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Utah and Nineteenth streets, at head of stairway.
Jackson street between Gough and Octavia streets.
Corners Jackson and Gough and Octavia streets.
Jackson street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street, Franklin and Gough streets.
Corner Bartlett and Twenty-third streets.
Twenty-third street between Mission and Bartlett streets.
Twenty-third street between Bartlett and Valencia streets.
Balboa street between First and Second avenues and Seventh and Eighth avenues.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Octavia street between Bay and Francisco streets.
Corner Fourteenth and Alpine streets.
Dodge street, south of Turk street.
Taylor street, opposite Vandewater street.
Vandewater street between Taylor and Mason streets.
Saturn street between Lower Terrace and Temple street.
Sanchez street between Twenty-fourth and Elizabeth streets.
Sanchez street between Twenty-fourth and Jersey streets.
Hollister street between Jennings and Ingalls and Ingalls and Hawes streets.
Gilman street between Jennings and Ingalls streets, Ingalls and Hawes streets, Hawes and Griffin streets.
Corner Ingerson and Corrodo streets.
Elizabeth street between Douglass and Hoffman streets.
Junction Lower Terrace and Roosevelt way, pole 1388.
Cadell alley, north of Union street.
Green street between Octavia and Laguna streets.
Corner Judson and Foerster streets.
Forty-fifth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, Judah and Kirkham streets.
Green street between Baker and Broderick streets, Broderick and Divisadero streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Ralston street between Garfield and Holloway avenues, one pole north.
San Bruno avenue, near Army, to pole on curve opposite.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Change 250 M. R. to 400 M. R. Fourth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:
Resolution No. 25431 (New Series), as follows:
Whereas, an offer has been received from W. J. and Rose Bradley to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 225 feet southerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and
Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it
Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,927.38 be and the same is hereby accepted, the street work being included in this said amount, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:
Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 97 feet, more or less; thence northwesterly 50 feet, more or less; thence at a right angle easterly 92 feet 0⅛ inches to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314, also known as Lot 15 in Block 1574 on Assessor's Map Book.
The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said

land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25432 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Amelia Capurro, deceased, by Stephen Capurro, executor of the estate, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain nine parcels of land situate in Blocks 6958 and 6960 on Assessor's Map Books, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the certain nine pieces or parcels of land situate in Blocks Nos. 6958 and 6960 on the Assessor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga avenue on the north, Seneca avenue on the south, Otsego avenue on the west and Cayuga avenue on the east. The description of each piece or parcel of said land is to be more particularly described in the deed from said Amelia Capurro, deceased, by the executor of the estate, and as part of this resolution to which reference is hereby made, for the sum of $14,050 be and the same is hereby accepted.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said lands, and, if the same are found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said pieces or parcels of land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25432 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Amelia Cheli to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain eight parcels of land situate in Blocks 6958 and 6960 on Assessor's Map Books, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the certain eight pieces or parcels of land situate in Blocks Nos. 6958 and 6960 on the Assessor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga avenue on the north, Seneca avenue on the south, Otsego avenue on the west and Cayuga avenue on the east. The description of each piece or parcel of said land is to be more particularly described in the deed from said Amelia Cheli and as part of this resolution to which reference is hereby made for the sum of $6,750 be and the same is hereby accepted.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said lands, and, if the same are found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase

price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25433 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Josephine Cresta to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain eight parcels of land situate in Blocks 6958 and 6960 on Assessor's Map Books, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the certain eight pieces or parcels of land situate in Blocks Nos. 6958 and 6960 on the Assessor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga avenue on the north, Seneca avenue on the south, Otsego avenue on the west and Cayuga avenue on the east. The description of each piece or parcel of said land is to be more particularly described in the deed from said Josephine Cresta and as part of this resolution to which reference is hereby made, for the sum of $12,400 be and the same is hereby accepted.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said lands, and, if the same are found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said pieces or parcels of land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25434 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Henrietta Cheli to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain seven parcels of land situate in Blocks 6958 and 6960 on Assessor's Map Books, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the certain seven pieces or parcels of land situate in Blocks Nos. 6958 and 6960 on the Assessor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga avenue on the north, Seneca avenue on the south, Otsego avenue on the west and Cayuga avenue on the east. The description of each piece or parcel of said land is to be more particularly described in the deed from said Henrietta Cheli and as part of this resolution, to which reference is hereby made, for the sum of $5,450 be and the same is hereby accepted.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said lands and, if the same are found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said pieces or parcels of land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 35435 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from W. B. Trotman to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate west line of Twenty-third avenue, dis-

tant 200 feet north from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $900 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said lands and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25436 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Alfred E. Girtner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the west line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 250 feet south from Quintara street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,800 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 250 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25437 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Catharine Stack to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate northwest corner of Thirty-first avenue and Anza street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and

sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,977.50 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Anza street with the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Anza street 26 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 26 feet 9 inches to the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 100 feet to the northerly line of Anza street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 1513 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Through Bus Service Between San Francisco and Carl Inn Recommended Favorably to the Railroad Commission.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25438 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is an established motor bus service operating stage lines between San Francisco and Stockton, and also between Stockton and Carl Inn, and an application has been filed with the Railroad Commission, State of California, for a consoldiation of service between San Francisco and Carl Inn and other points; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco recommends to the Railroad Commission of the State of California favorable consideration of such through service, believing that such consolidation in operation will obviate trouble and inconvenience of exchange of passengers and baggage as now exists and will be a public convenience to employees on the Hetch Hetchy project and will also be an added convenience to people who desire to visit the Sierra region for pleasure and recreation. The operation of such through service will make possible a reduction of transportation rates.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Bus Line Permit.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Market Street Railway Company is hereby granted a permit, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors to establish, operate and maintain a bus line over certain streets in the Crocker Amazon District and permitting them to charge a 5 cent fare, with transfer privileges, to Mission street lines of said Market Street Railway Company.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Robert Searles Contract, Hetch Hetchy Counsel.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the contract under which Robert M. Searls is employed as Special Legal Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project expired on March 1, 1926; and

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors the legal work in connection with the Hetch Hetchy project can be handled, at least temporarily, by the City Attorney; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the services of Robert M. Searls as Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project be discontinued and the contract for his employment be not renewed.

Approving Map Showing the Widening of Market Street North of Elizabeth Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25439 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 90818 (Second Series) approve a map showing the widening of the easterly side of Market street north of Elizabeth street, also the portion closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 18796 (New Series); therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of the easterly side of Market street north of Elizabeth street, also the portion closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 18796 (New Series), is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25440 (New Series, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 90908 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted March 22, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed March 24, 1926, to-wit:

Bronte Street.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 130 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 152.83 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 80 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 160.46 feet.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 30 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 163 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 130 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 152.68 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 80 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 160.42 feet.

7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 30 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 163 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Bronte street between lines parallel with Jarboe avenue and respectively 30 feet and 130 feet northerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Improvement of Berry Street Rescinded.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Bill No. 7539, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 6987 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Berry street between Second and Third streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6987 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Berry street between Second and Third streets is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Map Showing Widening of Virginia Avenue Approved.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Resolution No. 25441 (New Series, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 90818 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Virginia avenue between Coleridge and Mission streets; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Virginia avenue between Coleridge and Mission streets is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks,

McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25442 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 90908 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted March 22, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed March 24, 1926, to-wit:

Thirty-second Avenue.

Irving street, 169 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

200 feet northerly from Judah street, 209 feet.

100 feet northerly from Judah street, 216.25 feet.

Judah street, 218 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grades or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Map Showing Location of Monument Way Approved.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25443 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 90818 (Second Series), approve a map showing the location of Monument way (a public alley), extending northerly from Seventeenth street and lying east of Clayton street; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the location of Monument way (a public alley), extending northerly from Seventeenth street and lying east of Clayton street is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

High School of Commerce Granted Permission to Rope Off Fulton Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25444 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission be and is hereby granted J. C. McGlade, vice-principal of the High School of Commerce Annex, to rope off the roadway of Fulton street immediately in front of the buildings occupied by the High School of Commerce Annex during school hours between 11 a. m. and 1 p. m., in order that the children attending the High School of Commerce Annex may be more adequately protected from the dangers of vehicular travel and may utilize that portion of said street between said hours as a playground.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Pased for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering the Pavement of Telegraph Hill Boulevard.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7540, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the paving of Telegraph Hill boulevard; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said work and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the paving of Telegraph Hill boulevard in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article 6, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Monitor Battery Nozzles and Four-Way Inlet Boxes.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25445 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made as per recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners on bids submitted March 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 166), for furnishing the following, viz.:

4 Monitor battery nozzles, complete, to F. G. Dyer Brass Foundry and Machine Works, at $575 each; total, $2,300.

8 four-way inlet boxes to M. Greenberg's Sons, at $98.50 each; total, $788.

Resolved, That all other bids submited thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Award of Contract for Furnishings.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25446 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted March 8, 1926 (Proposal No. 176), as follows, viz.:

Item No.

1. 200 chairs, Douglas type, No. 106R; $4.25 each; Heywood, Wakefield Co.
2. 25 rockers, white maple; arm, G-134-6; $4.60 each; Heywood, Wakefield Co.
3. 25 rockers, white maple, porch, G-146-4; $2.70 each; Heywood, Wakefield Co.
4. 50 chairs, white maple, porch, G-134-5; $4.50 each; Heywood, Wakefield Co.
5. 25 settees, white maple, porch, G-134-7; $8.50 each; Heywood, Wakefield Co.
6. 25 tables, library, 22 x 36; fumed oak, natural grain, No. 103 A 108-8¾; $10.50 each; Peck & Hills Furniture Co.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note.—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Award of Contract for Furnishing Fire Hose.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25447 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pioneer Rubber Mills, in conformity with recommendations of the Board of Fire Commissioners, on bids submitted March 15, 1926 (Proposal No. 175), as follows, viz.:

Proposition No. 2.

For furnishing and delivering 10,000 feet of 3½-inch internal diameter, circular woven, rubber lined, 2-ply or jacket fire hose in 50-foot lengths, each with specified couplings attached, all in full accordance with Proposition No. 2 and general provisions of above specifications, at $1,23 per foot.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Machinery and Tools for Various Shops for School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25448 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted

February 23, 1926 (Proposal No. 170), as follows, viz.:

POLYTECHIC HIGH SCHOOL.

(Item. Quan. Art. Price. Contractor.)

1 1 Single cylinder surfacer, to plane 24 in. wide and 8 in. thick; circular steel safety head, 3 self-hardening steel knives, one extra set; sectional feed roll and chip breaker, with jointing, grinding and setting attachment, 110 V. A. C. machine to be motor driven; motor connected to head by flexible coupling; motor mounted on suitable bracket bolted to frame; motor to be for 220 volts, A. C., 2 phase, 60 cycles, starter control, push buttons, switches, etc., to be suitably and conveniently mounted on machines and wired to motor, all complete ready to operate, fully enclosed switches. This installation to provide suitable overload and undervoltage protection. Machine to be all complete with necessary belting; all belts and gears fully guarded. To be delivered inside of building and on the floor of shop where surfacer is to be used; left uncrated, thoroughly cleaned and assembled. "The Porter," $1,117 each; the Eby Machinery Co.

CROCKER JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL.
HAMILTON JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL.

Sheet Metal Shop Equipment.

(Item. Quan. Art. Price. Contractor.)

2 2 Foot-power squaring shears, 36-in., No. 137B, with finger guard, $122 each, Fred Ward & Son.

3 2 Hand-power ring and circle shears; Pexto No. 298, $67 each, Fred Ward & Son.

4 2 Adjustable bar folding machines, 30-in., $61.50 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

5 2 Slip roll forming machines, rolls 1½-in. diam., 20-in. long; No 0384, $21.85 each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

6 2 Grooving machines, Pexto No. 506A or Niagara No. 2; 20-in., $49 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

7 2 Wiring machines, encased patterns, with forming roll gauge, Pexto No. 526, $27.50 each, Fred Ward & Son.

8 2 Turning machines, encased patterns; Pexto No. 545, $23.75 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

9 2 Burring machines, encased patterns; Pexto No. 579, $21.25 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

10 2 Setting down machines, encased patterns; Pexto No. 561, $25.50 each; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

11 2 Crimping and beading machines, interchangeable; Pexto No. 585, $24 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

12 2 Elbow edging machines, encased pattern; Pexto No. 551, with rolls Nos. 1, 2, 3, $17.90 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

13 2 "Pexto" revolving machine standards, No. 969, complete with all holders for mounting each and all of the above "bench machines" beginning with wiring machines, $45 each, Fred Ward & Son.

14 1 Cornice brake, Chicago steel brake No. 1001, with one forcing bar and five formers for making circular and semi-circular bends, $165 each, Fred Ward & Son.

15 2 Lever punches, Niagara No. 12, with set of punches and dies, $31.85 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

16 1 Mandrel stake, solid, No. 961, $10 each, Fred Ward & Son.

17 2 Mandrel stakes, hollow, No. 910, $10 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

18 1 Beakhorn stake, No. 904, $19.55 each, Smith-Booth-Usher Co.

19 2 Blowhorn stakes, No. 925, $11.80 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

20 2 Double seaming stakes, No. 921, $19.25 each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

21 2 Needlecase stakes, No. 957, $5.80 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

22 2 Hatchet stakes, No. 941, $8.30 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

23 2 Hatchet stakes, Pexto No. 944, $6.30 each, Smith-Booth-Usher Co.

24 2 Double seaming stakes, with 4 heads, No. 949, $16.50 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

25 2 Round head stakes, No. 965, $2.20 each, Fred Ward & Son.

26 2 Creasing stakes, with horns, No. 927, $11.75 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

27 2 Candlemould stakes, No. 956, $7.20 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

28 2 Bottom stakes, No. 951, $3.60 each, Fred Ward & Son.

29 2 Riveting rails, No. 45A, 36-in. long, $4 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

30 4 Bench plates, No. 982, $6.40 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

31 1 No. 4 P. S. & W. bench shears, $7.85 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

32 14 Gas furnaces, double burner, No. 333 new number, $4.25 each, Fred Ward & Son.

33 8 Combination scroll and circular snips; Niagara, No. 18, $1.75 each; The Stallman Supply Co.

34 24 Soldering coppers, 1½ lbs. each, with handle, each 35 cents, Fred Ward & Son.

35 12 Soldering coppers, 2 lbs. each, with handle, 34 cents each, Fred Ward & Son.

36 20 Setting hammers, P. S. & W. No. 3, 20 cents each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

37 10 Riveting hammers, P. S. & W. No. 3, 20 cents each; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

38 12 Dogwood mallets, No. 3, 25 cents each, Smith-Booth-Usher Co.

39 1 No. 4 raising hammer with handle, $1.15 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

40 4 Cutting nippers, No. 3, interchangeable cutters, $1.95 each, Baker, Hamilton, & Pacific Co.

41 2 Sets solid punches, sizes 1-8, 5-32, 9-64, 3-16, 1-4, 64 cents set, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

42 12 Solid punches, 7-32 in., 13 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

43 4 2-ft. Stanley squares, No. B, white markings, $1.95 each, Joost Bros.

44 12 12-in. Stanley squares, No. 12, 75 cents each, Joost Bros.

45 24 6-in. Stanley squares, No. 12, 45 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

46 4 Pairs 6-in. side-cutting pliers, Pexto No. 40, 60 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

47 36 No. 01 ring scratch awls, 20 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

48 12 No. 2 rivet sets, 45 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

49 12 No. 6 rivet sets, 29 cents each, Fred Ward & Son.

50 12 Center punches, Stanley No. 10, 5-64 in. tip, 15 cents each, Joost Bros.

51 12 6-in. P. S. & W. flat nose pliers, No. 200, 62 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

52 12 6-in. P. S. & W. round nose pliers, No. 250, 62 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

53 24 8-in. P. S. & W. dividers, No. 165, hardened points, 80 cents each, Fred Ward & Son.

54 24 No. 8, P. S. & W. tinners' hand snips, $1.45 each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

55 8 P. S. & W. hand groovers, No. 2, 65 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

56 8 P. S. & W. hand groovers, No. 0, 70 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

57 4 ⅜-in. hollow punches, 55 cents each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson .

58 4 ½-in. hollow punches, 55 cents each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

59 4 ¾-in. hollow punches, 85 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

60 4 1-in. hollow punches, $1.10 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

61 4 1¼-in. hollow punches, $1.40 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

62 4 1½-in. hollow punches, $1.70 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

63 13 Machinists' vises, 3½-in. jaws, swivel bottom, Reed 203½, $10 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

64 2 Prentiss machinists' vises, 3½-in. jaws, swivel bottom, with pipe attachment, $10.50 each, Fred Ward & Son.

65 2 10-in. Stillson pipe wrenches, 75 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

66 2 8-in. monkey wrenches, Pexto No. 25, 73 cents each, Fred Ward & Son.

67 2 No. 32, C. & L. gasoline torch, $6.17 each, Sloss & Brittain.

68 1 No. 2 wire gauge, $1.10 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

69 1 Flexible steel circumference rule, No. 101, $2.70 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

70 1 75-lb. steel faced anvil, $13 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

71 12 Plumbers' scrapers, No. 318T, 14 cents each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

72 2 No. 3 raising hammers, with handles, $1.44 each, Fred Ward & Son.

73 2 No. 4 raising hammers, with handles, $1.20 each, Fred Ward & Son.

74 6 Copperized steel oilers, ½-pt., No. 13, 3-in. spout, 11 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

75 2 Adjustable hack saw frames; Millers Falls No. 1027, $1.15 each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

Delivery: One-half of above equipment to be delivered to sheet metal shop at Crocker Junior High School; one-half to be delivered to sheet metal shop at Hamilton Junior High School.

CROCKER JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL.

Tool Equipment for Electric Shop.

(Item. Quan. Art. Price. Contractor.)

76 1 Splitting shears, bench, 4-in. blade; Marvel No. 1, $32.50 each, Fred Ward & Son.

77 4 Pexto side-cutting pliers, No. 30, 7-in., $1.25 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

78 1 Pexto diagonal cutting pliers, No. 27, 6-in., $1.25 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

79 2 Pexto round nose pliers, No. 25, 6-in., 85 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

80 1 Pexto chain nose pliers, No. 47, 5-in., 55 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

81 1 Kraeutner, giant end nippers, No. 1850, 7-in., 95 cents each, Joost Bros.

82 4 Straight claw maydole hammers, No. 14. 75 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

83 2 Riveting hammers, P. S. & W. No. 3, 65 cents each, Fred Ward & Son.

84 3 Machinists' vises, semi-steel, stationary bottom; Reed No. 103½, $7.76 each, Marshall-Newell Supply Co.

85 2 Machinists' vises, semi-steel, swivel bottom; Prentiss No. 91, $10.25 each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

86 1 Parker combination pipe vise, semi-steel, No. 87, swivel bottom, $11.50 each, The A. J. Glesener Co.

87 3 Hurwood screw drivers, 5-in., 29 cents each, Joost Bros.

88 6 Hurwood screw drivers, 3-in., 22 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

89 6 Hurwood screw drivers, 2½-in. blade, insulated head, No. 98, electricians', 18 cents each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

90 1 Dozen 7-in. bench brushes, black bristle, No. 8138, $4.10 doz., Baker,-Hamilton & Pacific Co.

91 2 12-in. Stanley try squares, No. 12, 75 cents each, Joost Bros.

92 6 6-in. Stanley try squares, No. 12, 45 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

93 1 American Beauty soldering iron, 18-oz., No. 3138, 110 volts, A. C., $5.06 each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

94 1 ¼-in. everlasting chisel, No. 40, 64 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

95 1 ⅜-in. everlasting chisel, No. 40, 75 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

96 1 ½-in. everlasting chisel, No. 40, 80 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

97 2 Stanley ratchet braces, No. 921, 8-in., $3 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

98 1 Set R. J. auger bits, Nos. 4 to 16, by 16ths, in hardwood case, $7.18 set, Sloss & Brittain.

99 1 Clark's expansive bit, No. 11, large, $1.62 each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

106 1 11-16-in. "Red Devil" electricians' bit, 50 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

101 2 Gas furnaces, double burner; Pexto No. 333, $4.25 each, Fred Ward & Son.

102 6 Boxwood four-fold, 2-ft. rules, No. 68, $1.45 each, Joost Bros.

103 3 ½-lb. soldering coppers, with handles, 23 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

104 6 1-lb. soldering coppers, with handles, 30 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

105 1 2-lb. soldering copper, with handle, 35 cents each, Fred Ward & Son.

106 1 No. 1003 everready battery testing volt-ammeter, $1.31 each, Electric Appliance Co.

107 1 Adjustable hack saw frame, Millers Falls No. 1027, $1.15 each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

108 2 Doz. 10-in. flexible hack saw blades, 18 pts. Lenox, 35 cents doz., Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson Co.

109 6 O. B. stamped push buttons, R1, 10 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

110 6 2½-in. iron box bells, non-adjustable, 39 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

111 6 2½-in. iron box buzzers, non-adjustable, No. 1, 45 cents each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson Co.

112 1 Thorardson Jr. bell ringing transformer, 85 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

113 3 No. 707 S. P. D. T. Porcelain base baby knife switches, 15 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

114 3 No. 708, S. P. D. T. Porcelain base baby knife switches, 24 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

115 3 No. 709 S. P. D. T. Porcelain base baby knife switches, 26 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

116 2 No. 710 D. P. D. T. Porcelain base baby knife switches, 38 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

117 2 Type "Q" Edison batteries, complete (primary), $4.16 each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

118 2 Daniel gravity cells, 5x8 jar, complete, no award.

119 6 Peerless battery clips, 7 cents each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

120 12 Battery clips, Universal No. 21A, 13 cents each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

121 6 6-in. bell dry cells, No. 406, 34 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

122 1 Spool tested fuse wire; 3 Amp. 8-oz. spools, $1.08 lb., Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

123 1 Spool tested fuse wire, 10-Amp., 70 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

124 1 Spool tested fuse wire, 15-Amp., 70 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

125 1 Spool tested fuse wire, 20-Amp., 55 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

126 3 S. P. flush switches, No, 2901, 22 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

127 2 3-way flush switches, No. 2903, 31 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

128 6 5-Amp. snap switches, non-indicating, 22 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

129 1 Sheet 1-16-in. red fiber, 44x72, about 10 lbs., 35 cents lb., The A. J. Glesener Co.

130 1 Sheet ¼-in. red fiber, 44x72, about 40 lbs., 37 cents lb., The A. J. Glesener Co.

131 1 Sheet ¼-in. asbestos mill board, 7 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

132 1 Sheet ⅛-in. Bakelite panel, radio stock, size 37x48 in., 90 cents per sq. in., Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

133 10 Ft. ⅛x½ in. copper bus bar, 35 cents per lb., Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

134 10 Ft. 1/16x⅜ in. copper bus bar, no award.

135 2 Hand drills, capacity 0 to ¼ in., Millers Falls No. 3, $2.20 each, The A. J. Glesener Co.

136 1 Set wire gauge twist drills, No. 8, Nos. 1 to 60, mounted on metal block, $5.15 set, Peninsula Iron & Steel Co.

137 1 Set "Little Giant" single stock screw plates, No. AA4, complete in case, $9.65 set, The A. J. Glesener Co.

138 1 6-in. Coe knife handle monkey wrench, 65 cents each, The A. J. Glesener Co.

139 1 8-in. Coe knife handle monkey wrench, 80 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

140 3 Yankee spring dividers, 6-in. solid nut No. 10, 55 cents each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

141 2 B. & S. universal bevels, No. 499, $1.40 each, Fred Ward & Son.

142 1 8-in. Stillson pipe wrench, 69 cents each, The A. J. Glesener Co.

(To be delivered in shop on second floor of school.)

PORTOLA JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL.

Woodwork Shop Equipment.

(Item. Quan. Art. Price. Contractor.)

143 2 22-in. jointer planes, smooth bottom, Bailey No. 7, $4.41 each, Sloss & Brittain.

144 24 14-in. jack planes, smooth bottom, Bailey No. 5, $2.95 each, Sloss & Brittain.

145 3 9-in. smooth planes, Bailey No. 4, $2.56 each, Sloss & Brittain.

146 24 Adj. block planes, Bailey No. 9½, $1.34 each, Sloss & Brittain.

147 1 Combination plane, Stanley No. 45, $9.21 each, Sloss & Brittain.

148 1 Rabbet plane, Stanley No. 78, $1.95 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

149 1 Router plane, Stanley No. 71½, $1.90 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

150 12 Spoke shaves, Stanley No. 64, 20 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

151 2 Spoke shaves, Stanley No. 63, 21 cents each, Joost Bros.

152 1 Round shave, Millers Falls No. 1, 97 cents each, Joost Bros.

153 6 Hand scrapers, 3x5 in., Disston No. 0, 11 cents each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

154 1 Scraper handle, complete, Stanley No. 80, 90 cents each, Joost Bros.

155 24 6-in. marking gauges, Stanley No. 65, 49 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

156 1 6-in. mortise gauges, Stanley No. 77, $1.00 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

157 24 6-in. try squares, Stanley No. 12, 45 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

158 2 12-in. try squares, Stanley No. 12, 75 cents each, Joost Bros.

159 2 16x24 framing squares, Stanley No. B, white markings, $1.95 each, Joost Bros.

160 3 8-in. slide T bevels, Stanley No. 25, 40 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

161 24 12-in. boxwood bench rules, Stanley No. 34½, 25 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

162 1 6-in. Yankee spring dividers, Union No. 10, 57 cents each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

163 1 8-in. Yankee spring dividers, Union No. 10, 67 cents each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

164 1 4-in. center punch, Starrett No. 117A, 15 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

165 1 6½-in. Hurwood scratch awl, Stanley No. 7, 25 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

166 1 Stanley miter box, No. 358 saw, 28x5 in., $17.25 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

167 4 6-in. F. M. P. coping saw frames, 43 cents each, Joost Bros.

168 1 10-in. adj. hack saw frame, Starrett No. 141, 80 cents each, Joost Bros.

169 1 W. F. & J. Barnes jig saw, velocipede, No. 2, $45.00 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

170 1 Goodell-Pratt bench grinder, No. 485, $5.90 each, Joost Bros.

171 2 India oil stones, in wood box, 7x2x1 in., 1½, 1 fine, 1 medium, $1.25 each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

172 1 India slip stone, No. 14, 44 cents each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

173 1 India slip stone, No. 22½, fine, 80 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

174 2 Steel oilers, copperized, 3-in. nozzle, No. 13, 11 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

175 1 Oval scraper burnisher, Dinton No. 1, 30 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

176 1 Huntington emery wheel dresser, No. 3, 47 cents each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

177 2 File card and brush, Ideal, 40 cents each, The A. J. Glesener Co.

178 1 8-in. draw knife, Greenlee No. 600, 95 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

179 1 4-in. bench hatchet, Hunt No. BS1, $1.00 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

180 12 2½ Sloyd knives, Dexter A, 30 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

181 1 6-in. P. S. W. side-cutting pliers, No. 40, 60 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

182 1 8-in. P. S. W. end-cutting nippers, No. 72, $1.10 each, Fred Ward & Son.

183 1 6-in. P. S. W. round nose pliers, No. 250, 62 cents each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

184 1 6-in. Hargrave washer cutter, No. 407, $1.30 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

185 1 "Red Devil" glass cutter, No. 024, 10 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

186 1 1½-in. chisel, "Everlasting," No. 40 beveled, $1.15 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

187 2 1¼-in. chisel, "Everlasting," No. 40 beveled, $1.00 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

188 4 1-in. chisel, "Everlasting," No. 40 beveled, 92 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

189 6 ¾-in. chisel, "Everlasting," No. 40 beveled, 84 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

190 6 ½-in. chisel, "Everlasting," No. 40 beveled, 80 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

191 6 ⅜-in. chisel, "Everlasting," No. 40 beveled, 75 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

192 6 ¼-in. chisel, "Everlasting," No. 40 beveled, 64 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

193 2 ⅛-in. Buck Bros. socket firmer chisels, beveled, No. 36, 60 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

194 1 ¼-in. Buck Bros. socket firmer chisels, beveled, 65 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

195 1 ⅜-in. Buck Bros. socket firmer chisel, beveled, 65 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

196 1 ½-in. Buck Bros. socket firmer chisel, beveled, 70 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

197 2 1-in. Buck Bros. socket firmer gouges, outside ground, 90 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

198 1 ¾-in. Buck Bros. socket firmer gouges, outside ground, 85 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

199 2 ½-in. Buck Bros. socket firmer gouges, outside ground, 75 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

200 1 ⅜-in. Buck Bros. socket firmer gouges, outside ground, 70 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

201 1 ¼-in. Buck Bros. socket firmer gouges, outside ground, 70 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

202 1 ⅝-in. cold chisel, best quality, No. 25, 16 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

203 1 3½-in. cut tinners' snips, "Stiletto," $1.75 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

204 1 12-in. scissors, Stiletto No. 1000, $1.50 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

205 24 Black horsehair counter brushes, Baker & Hamilton No. 8138, 40 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

206 4 6-in. Jorgensen "Peerless" hand screws, No. 1, $1.10 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

207 4 10-in. Jorgensen "Peerless" hand screws, No. 1, $1.08 each, Sloss & Brittain.

208 2 12-in. Jorgensen "Peerless" hand screws, No. 2, $1.21 each, Sloss & Brittain.

209 4 6-in. carriage makers' clamps, P. S. & W., 58 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

210 4 8-in. carriage makers' clamps, Hargrave, 81 cents each, The A. J. Glesener Co.

211 2 3-ft. Stearns' steel bar clamps, $1.80 each, Joost Bros.

212 4 4-ft. Stearns' steel bar clamps, $1.95 each, Joost Bros.

213 2 5-ft. Stearns' steel bar clamps, $2.30 each, Joost Bros.

214 4 "Maydole" nail hammers, adz eye, bell face, No. 12 (13 oz.), 90 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

215 8 "Maydole" nail hammers, adz eye, bell face, No. 13 (7 oz.), 79 cents each, Joost Bros.

216 2 1-16-in. point nail sets, Starrett No. 116, 11 cents each, Joost Bros.

217 2 3-32-in. point nail sets, Starrett No. 116, 11 cents each, Joost Bros.

218 12 Hickory mallets, 3x6-in., mortised handle, No. 300, 37 cents each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson Co.

219 2 3-in., "Hurwood" screw drivers, 22 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

220 2 5-in. "Hurwood" screw drivers, 29 cents each, Joost Bros.

221 1 6-in. "Hurwood" screw driver, 35 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

222 1 8-in. "Hurwood" screw driver, 40 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

223 1 Screw driver bit, Russell Jennings No. 100, 17 cents each, Joost Bros.

224 1 Screw driver bit, Russell Jennings No. 200, 19 cents each, Joost Bros.

225 1 Screw driver bit, Russell Jennings No. 300, 21 cents each, Joost Bros.

226 1 10-in. Coe monkey wrench, 91 cents each, The A. J. Glesener Co.

227 1 10-in. Stillson pipe wrench, 75 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

228 1 Machinists' vise, 4-in. jaw, with pipe attachment; Reed No. 31, $11.90 each, Baker Hamilton & Pacific Co.

229 1 Stanley saw set, No. 42, $1.48 each, Marshall-Newell Supply Co.

230 1 Stanley doweling jig, No. 59, $1.72 each, Joost Bros.

231 1 18-in. bit extension, Millers Falls improved, new No. 35, $1.39 each, Sloss & Brittain.

232 1 Set Russell Jennings auger bits, ¼ to 1 in., in hardwood case, $7.18 set, Sloss & Brittain.

233 3 ½-in. Russell Jennings auger bits, 35 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

234 3 ⅜-in. Russell Jennings auger bits, 35 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

235 3 ¼-in. Russell Jennings auger bits, 35 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

236 2 1/8-in. Syracuse drill bits, square shank, No. 108, $1.10 dozen, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson Co.

237 2 3-16-in. Syracuse drill bits, square shank, $1.45 dozen, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson Co.

238 2 1/4-in. Syracuse drill bits, square shank, $1.80 dozen, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson Co.

239 1 Clark's expansive bit, swell No. 112, $1.11 each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

240 1 1/2-in. ship auger or car bit, 17 inches long, unthreaded; Greenlee No. 64, 80 cents each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson Co.

241 1 1/4-in. Fostner bit, 80 cents each, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson Co.

242 1 3/8-in. Fostner bit, 90 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

243 1 1/2-in. Fostner bit, 95 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

244 1 3/4-in. Fostner bit, $1.15 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

245 1 1-in. Fostner bit, $1.45 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

246 1 Octagonal reamer, H. S. B. Co., No. 382, 25 cents each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

247 3 Countersinks, 1/2-in., Rose, 18 cents each, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.

248 1 Goodell-Pratt hand drill, No. 52, $2.15 each, Joost Bros.

248 4 Bit braces, Miller Falls, No. 12, 8-in. sweep, $2.25 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

250 2 Bit braces, ratchet, Millers Falls, No. 732, 10-in. sweep, $3.15 each, Joost Bros.

251 1 Stanley dowel pointer, No. 22, 28 cents each, Joost Bros.

252 6 Stanley peg awl hafts, No. 6, 15 cents each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

253 2 Stanley bit gauges, No. 49, 55 cents each, Sloss & Brittain.

254 1 Hargrave plug cutter, 56 cents each, Joost Bros.

SUTRO SCHOOL.

(Item. Quan. Art. Price. Contractor.)

255 1 W. F. & G. Barnes' foot power jig saw, velocipede No. 2, with bores, $45 each, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, May 24, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 14

Monday, March 29, 1926, 8:30 p. m.

Monday, April 5, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 29, 1926, 8:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 8:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Stanton, Welch—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Badaracco was called to the chair.

Consideration of the Matter of Rezoning Judah Street.

Motions.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the proponents be given one hour and the opponents be given one hour.

Supervisor Marks moved as an amendment that the proponents be given 45 minutes, the opponents 60 minutes and the proponents 15 minutes to close.

Amendment *carried.*

Privilege of the Floor.

Edw. Cuha, representing *H. Stafford, Jno. Calish, Mr. Wells, Miss Irene Murphy, Geo. Steiger, John H. Riordan, Mr. Trobridge, Louis Crowley, Mr. McAuley, Dr. Griffin* and *Art. C. Campbell* were heard at length on the pending question.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7541, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between Twenty-fifth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Accepting Offer of Muriel T. Girtaner to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25449 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Muriel T. Girtaner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the west line of Twenty-third avenue, 150 feet south from Quintara street and 225 feet north from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,700, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book; also

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of

Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Stanton, Welch—4.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 10.30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 5, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting February 23, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Notice of Hearing, Golden Gate Ferry Franchise.

The following was presented:

Before the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

In the Matter of the Application of Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate vessels upon the inland waters of the State between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Berkeley.—Application No. 12661.

Notice of Hearing.

To Golden Gate Ferry Co., 211 Holbrook Building, San Francisco, and its attorneys, Dudley Sales, Holbrook Building, San Francisco, and Devlin & Brookman, Hobart Building, San Francisco; E. J. Sinclair, City Attorney, Berkeley, Calif.; Frank B. Stringham, Mayor, Berkeley, Calif.; Wm. F. Herrin, Chief Counsel; H. C. Booth, Attorney, Southern Pacific Co., San Francisco; J. H. Dyer, G. M., Southern Pacific Co., S. F.; Key System Transit Co., Oakland, Calif.; Dunne, Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, Crocker Building, San Francisco; Board of Supervisors, San Francisco; City Attorney, San Francisco; Chamber of Commerce, Berkeley, Calif.; Chamber of Commerce, Richmond, Calif.

You and each of you are hereby notified that the Railroad Commission of the State of California has set a hearing in the above-entitled matter before Commissioner Decoto, on Tuesday, May 11, 1926, at 10:00 a. m., in the City Hall, at Berkeley, California, at which time and place you may appear and be heard.

By order of the Railroad Commission.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 31st day of March, 1926.

H. G. Mathewson,
Secretary, Railroad Commission of the State of California.

Copy and Card to City Clerk, Berkeley.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Application of Construction Company of North America for Bay Bridge Franchise.

The following matter was presented and hearing *continued until April 26, 1926, at 3 p. m.*:

Application of the Construction Company of North America for authority and right to construct, maintain and operate and to take tolls on a toll bridge along and over certain points herein indicated across the Bay of San Francisco between

the City of San Francisco and the City of Oakland as may be designated by the War Department of the United States.

Also, affidavit of C. W. Flick, Secretary of the Construction Company of North America, that he is authorized to make affidavit on behalf of said corporation; that he has read and knows the contents of the foregoing petition and that the same is true to his knowledge except as for matters which are therein stated on information and belief, as to which he believes them to be true.

Also, certificate of Frank C. Jordan, Secretary, State of California, certifying to and transmitting certificate of incorporation of the Construction Company of North America.

Also, affidavits of publication in the matter of the application of the Construction Company of North America for authority to erect, maintain and operate and to take tolls on the toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco.

Also, affidavits of publication of notice of application for a franchise for a toll bridge, together with map of proposed bridge construction.

Initiative Measures Relative to Proposed Gasoline Tax.

The following was presented by Supervisor Shannon and read and *referred to the Special Legislative Committee*:

Communication, from Chas. W. Heyer, president of the County Supervisors' Association of California, advising of their recommendations with respect to two initiative measures that were approved at the San Diego convention, to-wit:

1. To extend the time during which the gasoline tax is to be effective from two years to 12 years.

2. To use a simpler form of initiative measure than that presented at San Diego.

3. To not place the $5,000,000 proposition on the ballot.

These recommendations were considered to be sound and practical and the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco is asked to wire at once its approval or disapproval for ratification or otherwise.

(The committee later in the meeting recommended a resolution approving the plan, which resolution was *adopted*.)

Lowell High School Site.

Communication, from Mrs. R. Norris, Secretary of the Board of Education, replying to inquiry of March 30th of the Board of Supervisors relating to proposed use of land between Geary and Balboa streets and Thirtieth and Thirty-second avenues as to whether or not this property will be used for the Lowell High School, advising that this land is for a high school in the Richmond District, which is in need of high school facilities.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Jos. J. Phillips Recommended as Right of Way Agent.

Communication, from John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer, California Highway Commission, requesting that the Board of Supervisors assign Mr. Joseph J. Phillips right of way agent of the City and County of San Francisco, to be tasked with obtaining the additional rights of way necessary for widening of the Peninsula highway from Colma to the cemeteries, commonly known as the "Bottle-Neck."

Referred to the Streets Committee.

Opinion of City Attorney, Appropriation of Surplus Moneys from General Fund.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of a letter from your chairman, to which is attached a copy of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors relative to the appropriation out of the General Fund of certain money to pay rental for property in which the District Attorney and other officials are to be housed, and in which letter the following questions are asked:

"1. After the annual budget for the current fiscal year has been adopted as provided in the Charter, can the Board of Supervisors appropriate and authorize the expenditure of additional sums 'from the General Fund' for purposes not mentioned in the budget?

"2. Can the Board of Supervisors estimate any surplus revenue to accrue at the end of a fiscal year (meaning revenue in excess of budget appropriations) and authorize the expenditure of the same prior to the end of the fiscal year?

"3. To what extent should the opinion of former City Attorney Franklin K. Lane (Lane's Opinions, page 373) be affirmed, modified or reversed?

"4. Will your opinion on the foregoing invalidate the resolution pending in this Board, a copy of which is attached?"

Opinion.

Question One—

The consideration of this question naturally carries with it a consideration of the purpose of the annual budget. Section 3, Chapter I, Article III, of the Charter provides how and when the annual

budget shall be prepared. When this budget is prepared it serves a two-fold purpose: (a) to secure an estimate of the amount that will be necessary for the conduct and management of the City government for the ensuing year; (b) as to how that amount shall be apportioned among the different departments of the municipality. The Charter does not look upon the budget as something positive, but rather as an estimate.

Section 1, Chapter I, Article III, provides: "On or before the first Monday of April in each year the heads of departments, offices, boards or commissions of the City and County shall send to the Supervisors an *estimate* in writing, etc."

Section 2: "On or before the first Monday in May in each year the Auditor shall transmit to the Supervisors an *estimate*, etc."

Section 3: "The Supervisors shall meet annually between the first Monday of May and the first Monday in June of each vear and, by a vote of the majority of all the members thereof, make a budget of the amounts *estimated* to be required, etc."

Even after the items constituting the budget have all been passed by the Supervisors it is still looked upon only as an *estimate.*

Section 4: "After the final *estimate* is made in accordance herewith it shall be signed by the Mayor, etc."

It would therefore appear that if the Charter framers felt that when the annual appropriation had been allowed to any department by the budget that it could not be augmented or diminished if the estimate should have proved incorrect they would have so stated.

The Supreme Court of the State of Connecticut in passing upon a similar matter has said: "The provisions of the Charter which calls for an annual classified appropriation by the council of amounts deemed necessary for the expenses of the various departments of the city government, and forbids any city or department officer or board to exceed such appropriations, is intended for the protection of the city against its officers, and does not prevent the city itself, through the council, from incurring additional expenditures for purposes not foreseen when the annual appropriations were made." (See Whitney et al. v. New Haven, 20 Atl. Rep. 666.)

The Supreme Court of our own State has also given expression to the same view in San Francisco v. Broderick, 111 Cal. 320. While this case arose prior to the adoption of the present Charter, the provisions of the Consolidation Act and our Charter as to what constituted the General Fund are so similar that I believe it most important. The Court had under consideration the matter of an additional allowance to the Department of Elections. Under the Consolidation Act the Supervisors were required when making the levy of taxes to apportion and divide the taxes to certain specific funds, "according to the estimate of said boards of the necessities of said funds." The act described the General Fund as follows: "The General Fund consists of moneys in the treasury not designated and set apart by law to a specific use and the overplus of any special fund after the satisfaction of all demands upon it."

The Charter describes the General Fund as follows: "The General Fund shall consist of money received into the treasury and not specifically appropriated to any other fund."

In passing upon the propriety of making an additional allowance, the Court said: "The estimate which the board of supervisors is directed to make of the necessities of the several funds, as the basis of the tax which they will levy, is not a conclusive determination of the amount that may be expended for these several necessities, and, although the failure to levy a tax which shall raise a sufficient amount of money for these needs will prevent the expenditures, yet, if the money has been collected and is in the treasury, applicable thereto, its expenditure or disbursement is not limited by the amount of the estimate. In the present case the board of supervisors were required to estimate the expenses that would be incurred at the general election for the year 1894, and in the exercise of their judgment they deemed it necessary to provide the sum of one hundred and fifty thousand dollars therefor. As the incurring of these expenses was not under their control, it would be competent for that body to determine the amount that should be expended, and their estimate would be at most only an approximate opinion of the amount of the expense, nor does the fact that their estimate was too low deprive the holders of claims for the excess above this estimate of the right to payment, so long as there is money in the treasury applicable thereto."

In Metropolitan Life Insurance Co. v. Deasy, 41 Cal. App. 674, the Court said: "The city charter.

through its provisions as to the 'Surplus Fund' as the source from which judgments obtained against the municipality may be paid, leaves the matter of creating or replenishing such a fund entirely in the discretion of its various boards and officials in charge of the replenishment and disbursement of the revenues of the municipality distributed among its other funds. *If they do not choose to have left on hand a surplus which would flow into the surplus fund, the judgment creditor is remediless*, in so far as the charter is concerned, to compel the creation or replenishment of the only fund from which his judgment might be satisfied."

Attention is directed to the language of the Court, "If they (the Supervisors) do not choose to have left on hand a surplus which would flow into the surplus fund, the judgment creditor is remediless." The surplus fund is made up from the General Fund after all other demands, etc., are paid, and from this language it would appear that a discretion is vested in the Supervisors as to whether any balance in the General Fund should be used by them or be permitted to flow into the Surplus Fund.

Finally on this point Section 15, Chapter II, Article III of the Charter provides: "The Supervisors shall authorize the disbursement of all public moneys, except as otherwise provided in this Charter."

The moneys in the General Fund are public moneys, and I can find no provision in the Charter which prevents the Board of Supervisors from disbursing them, provided they are not otherwise appropriated.

You are, therefore, advised that the Board of Supervisors can appropriate additional sums from the General Fund after the annual budget has been adopted, provided the sums so appropriated have not theretofore been appropriated to other purposes.

Question Two—

Strictly speaking it may be said that the surplus i. e. (excess of income over budget appropriations) which may exist in any one year cannot be finally determined until the end of the fiscal year, for we may imagine a condition where no money would be received for licenses, fines or fees, or even that all property owners could refuse to pay their taxes, but I am of the opinion that such a view would be an abnormal one and that the Board is justified in anticipating that unless some great emergency intervenes, revenues from the remaining months of the fiscal year will be forthcoming on the same basis as during the past months, and that the Board with all of the facts before it may form a fairly correct estimate of the surplus which will exist in the future or may exist at the present time.

Question Three—

My views, as expressed in my answers to your questions Nos. 1 and 2, are an answer to this question.

Question Four—

I believe that if the amount appropriated for the payment of rental for the premises mentioned in the resolution attached to your letter, for the remaining months of the fiscal year is a legal appropriation, provided the amount is at the present time in the General Fund unappropriated.

Respectfully,
JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Leaves of Absence.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 1, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Dr. William C. Hassler of the Department of Public Health, for a further leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing April 1, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25451 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. William C. Hassler, of the Department of Public Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing April 1, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 1, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent herself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing April 6, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25450 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing April 6, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 5, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Honorable James B. McSheehy, member of the Board of Supervisors, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of fifteen days, commencing this day.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence?

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25475 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. James B. McSheehy, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing April 5, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 5, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Honorable J. Emmet Hayden, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of fifteen days, commencing this day.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25474 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing April 5, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 5, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Honorable William F. Stanton, member of the Board of Supervisors, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of fifteen days, commencing this day.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence?

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25473 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his

Honor the Mayor, Hon. William F. Stanton, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing April 5, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing stock furniture for School Department and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing manufactured furniture for School Department and opened in Board at 3 p. m. this day and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing furniture, filing equipment, etc., for Relief Home and opened in Board at 3 p. m. this day and *refered to the Supplies Committee.*

Public Auction of City Property.

Bids were received at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, second floor in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, April 5, 1926, for the sale of the following described parcel of land, owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation. Said parcel of land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wawona street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1273, also known as Lot 2, Block 2502 on Assessor's Map Book.

Said parcel of land will be sold on the above mentioned date at public auction duly authorized by the said ordinance, to the person making the highest cash bid therefor, such sale, however, to be subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with law. A deposit in the sum of ten (10) per cent of the amount bid will be required of the successful bidder before the sale can be made.

The Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco bid $1,100, and furnished a certified check in the sum of $110, payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

No other bids received.

Lease of McLeran Building.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up on final passage:

Resolution No. 25538 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, heretofore the City and County of San Francisco, by Resolution No. 25055, adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, invited bids for the leasing to the City and County of San Francisco, premises for use as offices for the District Attorney's office, the Adult Probation Officer, the Public Defender's office, and the Grand Jury; and

Whereas, an offer has been filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, by Louis T. Samuels, to lease to the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with said Resolution No. 25055, certain offices on the fourth and fifth floors and the entire sixth and seventh floors in that certain building situate on the west side of Kearny street between Bush and Pine streets, and known and designated as No. 333 Kearny street, as per floor plans of said premises submitted by said Louis T. Samuels, and containing 7902 square feet of floor space, for a term of five (5) years, two and one-half (2½) months, at a monthly rental of fifteen cents per square foot of floor space, or at an annual rental of $14,233.60, thus making $74,081.25 total rental payable for said floor space, and payable in lawful money of the United States, as follows:

The sum of five hundred ninety-two and 65-100 ($592.65) dollars due on April 15, 1926, for the one-half month commencing April 15, 1926, to and including April 30th, 1926; the sum of $1,185.30 due for the month commencing May 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30 due for the month commencing June 1, 1926, aggregating the sum of $2,963.25 to be payable on July 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30 payable on July 1, 1926, for the month commencing July 1, 1926, and a like sum of $1,185.30 on the first day of each and every month thereafter until

the said sum of $74,081.25 has been fully paid; and

Whereas, the said offer of said Louis T. Samuels is the best and lowest offer submitted pursuant to said resolution; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer of said Louis T. Samuels be and the same is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to enter into a lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with said Louis T. Samuels, for the said premises, as shown and described in the floor plan submitted by said Louis T. Samuels, located in said building known and designated as No. 333 Kearny street, for the period of five years, two and one-half months, for use as offices for the said District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury, at a monthly rental of fifteen cents per square foot of floor space, or at the annual rental of $14,223.60, thus making $74,081.25 total rental payable for said floor space, and payable in lawful money of the United States; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $2,963.25 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be xepended out of General Fund, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, for payment of rental from April 15 to June 30, 1926; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the City Attorney shall negotiate with the proposed lessor for the purpose of obtaining a clause in the lease providing for the cancellation of said lease within a reasonable time; and be it

Further Resolved, That when completed said lease shall be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for ratification and shall not be effective until approved by the Board of Supervisors.

The question being on final passage, the roll was called and the resolution *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Noes—Supervisors Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—4.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Welch—3.

Reconsideration.

Before the result was announced, Supervisor Powers changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at next meeting.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved suspension of the rules for immediate reconsideration.

Suspension of tne rules *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—7.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Welch—3.

Chair announced that the resolution comes up next week on notice of reconsideration. The Clerk was directed to notify the Chief of Police, the District Attorney, Adult Probation Officer and the Public Defender to attend.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25452 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) George McLeod, final payment for construction of Balustrade at Lincoln Park (claim dated March 26, 1926), $1,996.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric services for parks (claim dated March 26, 1926), $871.22.

(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated March 26, 1926), $781.94.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(4) H. M. Siegler, refund of duplicate payments of taxes (claim dated March 18, 1926), $1,383.14.

Auditorium Fund.

(5) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service furnished the Auditorium (claim dated March 19, 1926), $1,238.75.

Special School Tax.

(6) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint, etc., for schools (claim dated March 18, 1926), $527.25.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services, Guadalupe School (claim dated March 22, 1926), $1,127.62.

(8) Anderson & Ringrose, tenth payment, general construction of

Dudley Stone School (claim dated March 24, 1926), $23,386.50.

(9) Anderson & Ringrose, sixth payment, general construction of Le Conte School (claim dated March 24, 1926), $29,887.50.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(10) Bald Eagle Meat Market, supplies furnished Moccasin Power House (claim dated March 19, 1926), $525.46.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(11) Bald Eagle Meat Market, supplies furnished, account Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1926), $1,152.30.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1926), $669.20.

(13) Tilden Lumber and Mill Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1926), $905.79.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated March 23, 1926), $1.254.33.

(15) R. W. Jamison, arc weld bonds for Municipal Railways (claim dated March 23, 1926), $925.01.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(16) Teay-Tibbitts Construction Co., fifteenth payment, construction of submarine pipe line at Dumbarton Strait and Newark Slough (claim dated March 24, 1926), $40,336.80.

Playground Fund.

(17) Eaton & Smith, progress payment, improvement of Eighteenth Avenue Playground (claim dated March 24, 1926), $2.500.

(18) Thomas M. Jones, fourth and final payment, construction of field house at M. S. Hayward Playground (claim dated March 24, 1926), $2,804.65.

(19) Hugh McGill, underpinning at field house, Jackson Playground (claim dated March 24, 1926), $1,686.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(20) L. C. Smith & Bros. Typewriter Co., 44 typewriters, less allowances, for High School of Commerce (claim dated March 23, 1926), $1,430.

(21) Woodstock Typewriter Co., 44 typewriters, less allowances, for High School of Commerce (claim dated March 23, 1926), $902.50.

(22) Remington Typewriter Co., 20 typewriters, less allowances, for Horace Mann Jr. High School (claim dated March 23, 1926), $1,000.

(23) Remington Typewriter Co., 36 typewriters, less allowances, for Mission High School (claim dated March 23, 1926), $1,560.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(24) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated March 29, 1926), $1,580.57.

(25) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for sewer repair (claim dated March 19, 1926), $1,707.30.

(26) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt, street resurfacing (claim dated March 19, 1926), $2,651.

(27) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated March 19, 1926), $929.95.

(28) Niles Sand, Gravel & Rock Co., gravel, etc., for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1926), $1,626.88.

(29) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1926), $893.38.

(30) Pacific Nash Motor Co., one Nash Roadster, less allowances, for Street Repair Department (claim dated March 24, 1926), $1,190.50.

(31) Fred A. Spear (assignee of Stuart S. Smith Co.), one 8-ton steam roller for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1926), $3,850.

(32) Symon Brothers, team hire for street repair (claim dated March 23, 1926), $747.50.

(33) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1926), $1,159.26.

(34) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Hall of Justice and Civic Center Power Plant (claim dated March 23, 1926), $2,008.80.

(35) Elliot & Grant, final payment, construction of Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1926), $5,763.18.

(36) James T. Tobin, final payment for improvement of Evans avenue between Army and Tulare streets (claim dated March 24, 1926), $1,505.68.

(37) Paul E. Denivelle, final payment, improvement of Telegraph Hill project (claim dated March 26, 1926), $3,612.50.

(38) Globe Electric Works, installation of telephone system in office of Assessor (claim dated March 22, 1926), $793.

(39) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper (claim dated March 17, 1926), $526.80.

(40) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair

(claim dated March 17, 1926), $1,-720.85.

(41) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated March 18, 1926), $765.26.

(42) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated March 18, 1926), $747.01.

(43) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated March 17, 1926), $802.28.

(44) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated March 17, 1926), $4,143.91.

(45) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $3,225.20.

(46) Eloesser Heynemann Co., dry goods for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $756.

(47) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for Relife Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $935.55.

(48) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $622.50.

(49) F. L. Hilmer Co., butter and cheese for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,519.45.

(50) Miller & Lux Inc., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $828.83.

(51) Chas. C. Moore & Co., engine repairs at Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $720.

(52) C. Nauman Co., vegetables for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $771.28.

(53) S. F. Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,723.68.

(54) Shell Company, fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,589.16.

(55) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $810.

(56) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1926), $553.92.

(57) Shell Company, oil, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1926), $2,366.84.

(58) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 20, 1926), $1,-054.84.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(59) The Aluminum Cooking Utensil Co., kitchen equipment for new Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $3,519.36.

(60) Frederick W. Snook, one disinfector, equipment for new Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $2,769.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(61) Robert M. Searls, revolving fund expenditures in payment of Hetch Hetchy rights of way, etc., per resolutions authorizing same (claim dated March 11, 1926), $2,-527.29.

(*Supervisor Marks* requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on Item No. 7.) *So ordered.*

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriations for School Lands.

Resolution No. 25453 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To L. Decia, for lands on the northerly line of Rivera street, commencing 82½ feet easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly on the northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25397 (New Series) and required for school purposes, $1,000.

(2) To Walter Loos, for lands on the northerly line of Rivera street, commencing 82½ feet westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly on Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25399 (New Series), and required for school purposes, $1,000.

(3) To John Kingston, for lands on the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, commencing 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Judah street, running thence northerly on Fortysecond avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25400 (New Series), and required for school purposes, $1,500.

(4) To Chas. W. Sutro, for lands commencing at point formed by the intersection of the northeasterly

line of Ocean avenue with the easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard, running thence northerly on easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard 106.82 feet; thence southeasterly 446.28 feet to the northwesterly line of San Fernando way; being of iregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25398 (New Series), and required for school purposes, $48,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriations for Land Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Resolution No. 25454 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for Duboce Tunnel construction, to-wit:

(1) To A. M. Meyer and Charlotte T. Meyer, for land on the northerly line of Carl street, commencing 204 feet easterly from easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easterly on northerly line of Carl street 25.333 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 47.50 feet; of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25345 (New Series), $16,525.

(2) To Regina Upman, for lands on the northerly line of Carl street, commencing 179 feet easterly from Cole street, running thence easterly on northerly line of Carl street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.50 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 137.50 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25344 (New Series), $6,000.

(3) To Annie M. Woodman, as executrix of the estate of Margaret C. Cooney, for lands beginning at a point distant 150 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 113.524 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and thence running southerly, parallel with Clayton street, 23.976 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25344 (New Series), $412.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 25455 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

California Real Estate & Finance Corporation, southwest corner of Thirty-eighth avenue and Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herbert E. Law, south side of HcAllister street, 30 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Oppenheimer & Miller, west side of Twenty-second avenue, 350 feet north of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Perata, west side of Mission street, 212 feet south of Onondaga avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Peters, west side of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25456 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. P. and C. McCreadie be and they are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the northeasterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant 60 feet southeasterly from Sunnydale avenue.

The rights granted under this resolueion shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Woodworking Shop Permit.

Resolution No. 25457 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That William H. Bourdett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a woodworking shop at 318 Linden avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25458 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Arthur Farrow be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Joseph Pasqualetti by Resolution No. 21817 (New Series) for premises at 1215 Ocean avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25459 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bernard O'Donnell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Faralones street and Plymouth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25460 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Albert R. Dittman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted C. E. Lunsted by Resolution No. 24051 for premises at 2375 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Establishing Set-back Lines Along Portions of Sixteenth, Seventeenth, Eighteenth and Twentieth Avenues and Baker Street.

Bill No. 7533, Ordinance No. 7047 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Seventeenth avenue, Eighteenth avenue, Twentieth avenue and Baker street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of March, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 118 to establish set-back lines along Sixteenth avenue, Seventeenth avenue, Eighteenth avenue, Twentieth avenue and Baker street, and fixed the 29th day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resotion were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinane No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly to Noriega street, said set back line to be 11 feet.

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Or-

tega street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Noriega street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly to Noriega street, said set-back line to be 11 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 375 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Baker street between Beach street and Jefferson street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Establishing Set-back Lines Along Portions of Twenty-first Avenue, Baker Street, Thirty-seventh Avenue and Vicente Street.

Bill No. 7534, Ordinance No. 7048 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-first avenue, Baker street, Thirty-seventh avenue and Vicente street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of March, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 117 to establish set-back lines along Twenty-first avenue, Baker street, Thirty-seventh avenue and Vicente street, and fixed the 29th day of March, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Baker street, commencing at North Point street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Beach street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Vicente street between Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Vicente street between Fourteenth avenue

and Fifteenth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

Bill No. 7541, Ordinance No. 7049 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5164 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between Twenty-fifth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ellis Street, Second Residential Distrcit.

Bill No. 7536, Ordinance No. 7050 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ellis street, commencing at a point 137 feet 6 inches westerly from Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly 27 feet 6 inches, and extending to the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Hoff Avenue, Second Residential District.

Bill No. 7537, Ordinance No. 7051 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Hoff avenue, commencing at a point 137 feet 6 inches southerly from Sixteenth street and running thence southerly 200 feet 3½ inches, and extending to the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Amending Order Placing Electric Wires and Conduits Underground.

Bill No. 7538, Ordinance No. 7052 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing electric wires and conduits underground in the City and County

of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 1m, to read as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1m. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after July 1, 1927, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 18—Sonoma street between Green and Union streets; Varennes street between Green and Filbert streets; Bannam place between Green and Union streets; Jasper place between Green and Filbert streets; Genoa place at Union street; Castle street between Green and Union streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Improvement of Berry Street Rescinded.

Bill No. 7539, Ordinance No. 7053 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 6987 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Berry street between Second and Third streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6987 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Berry street between Second and Third streets is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Ordering the Pavement of Telegraph Hill Boulevard.

Bill No. 7540, Ordinance No. 7054 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the paving of Telegraph Hill boulevard; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said work and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the paving of Telegraph Hill boulevard in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article 6, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy. Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Action Deferred.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

Zoning Ordinance Amended, Thirty-fifth Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 125 feet northerly from Sloat boulevard and running thence northerly to Vicente street and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines in the Commercial District, instead of the First Residential District.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to

be passed to print, and amounting to $35,887.11, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Welch—4.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation award, account death of Vernon F. Nelson, $83.32.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Welch—4.

NEW BUSINESS.

Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25461 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and organizations be granted permission to occupy halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee rental fees:

South of Market Boys, Inc., Main, Larkin and Polk halls, 6 p. m. to 1 p. m., April 17-18, 1926.

Elwyn Concrete Bureau, Main Hall, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., April 19, 1926.

Grand Commandery Knights of Templar of California, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., April 22, 1926.

Elwyn Concert Bureau, Main Hall, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., April 23, 1926.

National Federation of Federal Employees, Main Hall, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., April 24, 1926.

Frank Healy (Col. Mitchell Lecture), Main Hall, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., April 28, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated April 2, 1926), $505.44.

(2) F. X. Lehner, manure for High School of Commerce field (claim dated April 2, 1926), $645.

California Palace of Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61).

(3) Johnson & Higgins, insurance on Walker collection of paintings (claim dated April 2, 1926), $782.40.

(4) American Railway Express Co., expressage on Walker collection of paintings (claim dated April 2, 1926), $2,395.20.

Excavation Fund.

(5) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for side-sewers (claim dated March 26, 1926), $714.

(6) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for side-sewers (claim dated March 26, 1926), $907.85.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) H. A. Porter, first payment, electrical work for Henry Durant School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $1,340.25.

(8) Bond Construction Co., fourth payment, general construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $11,200.49.

(9) Thos. Skelly, second payment, plumbing work, Hawthorne School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $1,945.35.

(10) L. Flatland, fourth payment, electrical work, Dudley Stone School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $3,596.91.

(11) Barrett & Hilp, eighth payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $30,251.25.

(12) W. H. Picard, fifth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $800.01.

(13) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, mechanical equipment, Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $2,383.66.

(14) Macdonald & Kahn, eighth payment, general contract, New Mission High School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $38,623.54.

(15) O. Monson, seventh payment, general contract, Alvarado School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $15,183.75.

(16) Bond Construction Co., fifth payment, general construction of additional units, gymnasium, etc.,

Galileo High School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $12,217.76.

(17) John Galen Howard, fourth payment, architectural services, LeConte School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $607.02.

(18) James L. McLaughlin Co., thirteenth payment, general construction of Alamo School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $16,034.81.

Special School Tax.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated March 24, 1926), $584.65.

(20) H. H. Hilp, first payment, general construction of temporary additional facilities at Francisco School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $11,523.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(21) United States Steel Products Co., payment in full for track special work, Contract No. 144, Municipal Railways (claim dated March 30, 1926), $42,702.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(22) Western Pipe and Steel Co., twenty-third payment, construction of Bay Crossing pipe line, Bay Crossing Division, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Contract No. 90 (claim dated March 30, 1926), $19,690.51.

Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(23) The California Door Co., windows and doors, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 30, 1926), $569.50.

(24) Giant Powder Co. Con., powder, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31, 1926), $842.13.

(25) Hales & Symons, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31. 1926), $1,081.23.

(26) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31, 1926), $742.79.

(27) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated March 30, 1926), $2,113.02.

(28) A. M. Scott Tire Co., truck tires (claim dated March 30, 1926), $548.95.

(29) Tilden Lumber and Mill Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 30, 1926), $1,869.48.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(30) Oscar Aaron, final payment, plumbing and heating for Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated March 30. 1926), $3,362.14.

(31) M. B. McGowan, final payment, terra cotta and brick work, Harbor Emergency Hosiptal (claim dated March 31, 1926), $500.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(32) Frederick W. Snook Co., oil-burning equipment for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,568.49.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(33) City Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Kearny street from Market street to Columbus avenue (claim dated March 31, 1926), $3,600.

(34) Vogt & Davidson, final payment, general contract, construction of ambulance shelter at Mission Emergency Hospital (claim dated March 30, 1926), $1,733.25.

(35) San Francsico Buleetin, official advertising (claim dated April 5, 1926), $797.63.

(36) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of March, 1926, $3,645.38.

(37) California State Automobile Association, street traffic signs furnished Police Department (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1,098.30.

(38) Daniel S. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated April 1, 1926), $750.

(39) C. W. Smith Copper Works, copper pipe work for Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,017.80.

(40) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated March 31, 1926). $14,198.60.

(41) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1,250.

(*Supervisor Marks* requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on No. 17.)

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Stephen Capurro, as executor of the estate of Amelia Capurro, deceased, for nine parcels of land, situate in Blocks Nos. 6958 and 6960 on the Assesor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga, Seneca, Otsego and Cayuga avenues;) each parcel of land to be particularly described in deed to the City and County; per

acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25431 (New Series), $11,050.

(2) To Amelia Cheli, for eight parcels of land, situate in Blocks Nos. 6958 and 6960 on the Assessor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga, Seneca, Otsego and Cayuga avenues; each parcel of land to be particularly described in deed to the City and County; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25432 (New Series), $6,750.

(3) To Josephine Cresta, for eight parcels of land, situate in Blocks 6958 and 6960 on the Assesor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga, Seneca, Otsego and Cayuga avenues; each parcel of land to be particularly described in deed to the City and County; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25433 (New Series), $12,400.

(4) To Henrietta Cheli, for seven parcels of land, situate in Blocks Nos. 6958 and 6960 on the Assessor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga, Seneca, Otsego and Cayuga avenues; each parcel of land to be particularly described in deed to the City and County; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25434 (New Series), $5,450.

(5) To W. B. Trotman, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 200 feet northerly from Rivera street, running thence northerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25435 (New Series), $900.

(6) To Alfred E. Girtaner, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 250 feet southerly from Quintara street, running thence southerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 50 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25436 (New Series), $1,800.

(7) To Catherine Stack, for lands at intersection of northerly line of Anza street with westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence westerly on the northerly line of Anza street 26 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 26 feet 9 inches x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25437 (New Series), $1,977.50.

(8) To Muriel T. Girtaner, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 150 feet southerly from Quintara street, running thence southerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 50 x 120 feet. And for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 225 feet northerly from Rivera street, running thence northerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25449 (New Series), $2,700.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Street and Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for street and boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Otto and Hanna Hansen, for all of Lot No. 28 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract, and required for the extension of Market street; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25392, New Series (claim dated March 31, 1926), $6,250.

(2) To Flora Johnson, for property on the southerly line of Market street, beginning 25 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, running westerly on the southerly line of Market street 25.061 feet: of irregular dimensions; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25390, (New Series), and required for Market street extension. (Claim dated March 31, 1926), $2,291.

(3) To Charles Block, for all of Lots Nos. 400 and 399, Gift Map No. 4, Map Book 2 A and B, pages 16 and 17, records of the City and County; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25387 (New Series); required for the Bay Shore boulevard; payable out of $100,000 set aside for Bay Shore boulevard. (Claim dated March 31, 1926), $2,200.

Establishnig Set-Back Lines.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7542, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along Shields street, Farallones street, Eighteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of March, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 116 to establish set-back lines along Shields street, Farallones street, Eighteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue, and fixed the 29th day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Shields street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Farallones street, commencing at a point 200 feet easterly from Capitol avenue and running thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence easterly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence easterly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the southerly side of Farallones street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Capitol avenue and running thence easterly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 103½ feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly to a point 82½ feet southerly from Quintara street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinace aforesaid.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

Denying Zone Change, Jackson and Gough Streets.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Kernan Robson has filed his application to change the classification of his property, situated on the northwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets, from the First Residential District to the Second Residential District, as delineated upon the use of property zone maps constituting a part of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series); and

Whereas, said application was denied by the City Planning Commission and thereafter a hearing was held by the City Planning Committee of this Board whereat said applicant appeared and presented arguments in favor of said application and having heard all objections thereto, said City Planning Committee did recommend to this Board that said application be denied; and

Whereas, a further hearing has been held by this Board in the matter of said application, and said applicant has been given full opportunity to present all reasons why said application should be granted and the change of classification of his property should be made; now, therefore,

Resolved, and it is hereby determined, That the public health, safety and welfare and public interest require that said application be denied, and it is accordingly so ordered.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Denying Auto Supply Station Permit, Louis Silverstein.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors permission is hereby denied to Louis Silverstein to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Silver avenue and San Bruno avenue.

Objections to Set-Back Lines on Twentieth Street Between Sanchez and Church Streets Sustained and Resolution of Intention Repealed.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25462 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the objections made to the establishment of set-back lines along Twentieth street between Sanchez and Church streets be sustained and that so much of Resolution of Intention No. 116 as relates to the intention to establish such lines be rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes--Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havvener, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

H. H. Bergfeld, south side of O'Farrell street, 120 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Bertz, northwest corner of Thirtieth avenue and Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. A. Bleeher, east side of Fillmore street, 34 feet south of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of Steiner street, 150 feet north of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of Leavenworth street, corner of De Haven street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of Steiner street, 200 feet north of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. J. Funsten, No. 20 Nineteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

The Helbing Co., east side of Hyde street, 75 feet south of Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Levy, 3351 Jackson street, 1000 gallons capacity.

Mangrum & Otter, north side of Minna street, 150 feet west of Eighth street, 2000 gallons capacity.

J Martinelli, southwest corner of Baker and Filbert streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. T. Morris, northwest corner of Fulton street and Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Olden's Bakery, east side of Polk street, 75 feet north of Green street, 600 gallons capacity.

Peninsula Burner and Oil Co., north side of Fulton street, 100 feet west of Second avenue, 60 gallons capacity.

John D. Rutledge, No. 16 Sea Cliff avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Sam Simon, north side of Dolores street, 25 feet east of Clipper street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. Rosenberg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts during the grading of property at the northeast corner of Fourteenth and Dolores streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only betwen the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said B. Rosenberg, then the privileges and all the right accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. J. Ouimet be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted F. J. McLaughlin by Resolution No. 24116 (New Series) for premises at 1140 Powell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, othewrise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Blanchard & Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on property situate at Great Highway and Sloat boulevard.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas Powers be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the east side of Valencia street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. G. Unsworth be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 2210 Powell street; also to install a 1500-gallon oil storage tank and a 30 horse power boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Smith & Tembey be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted John Henderson by Resolution No. 22182 (New Series) for premises at 640 O'Farrell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25463 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

East and west sides Powell street between Greenwich and Filbert streets.

East and west sides Powell street between Grenewich and Lombard streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Powell and Greenwich streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Powell and Lombard streets.

North side Lombard street, first and second east of Mason street.

Church street, first north of Twentieth street.

North side Adelaide place, west of Taylor street.

North side Antonio place, west of Jones street.

East and west sides of Octavia, Laguna, Buchanan and Webster streets, south of Post street.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Powell street between Greenwich and Filbert streets.

Powell street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.

Powell and Greenwich and Lombard streets.

Lombard street between Powell and Mason streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

North side Taraval street, opposite Forest Side avenue, to southeast corner of Taraval street and Forest Side avenue.

Southwest corner to southeast corner of Taraval and Wawona streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

West side Twelfth avenue between Taraval and Magellan streets.

Thirty-seventh avenue between Geary and Anza streets.

Foote and Ellington streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Foote street near Ellington street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Referred.

The following matter was presented and *referred to the Judiciary Committee*:

Licensing Mechanical Vending Machines.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance licensing the operation of mechanical vending devices in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation owning, operating or having under his control the operation of any mechanical device used for the purpose of vending mints, gum or other merchandise by the depositing of a five-cent coin therein shall pay a license of five dollars ($5.00) per quarter for each such mechanical device. Provided, fur-

ther, that no such license shall entitle the holder of the same to operate mechanical devices for the vending of merchandise unless the purchaser is informed, prior to each deposit of money, by the mechanical arrangement of the device, of exactly what is to be received by the purchaser prior to the placing of the coin by such purchaser in the vending device.

Section 2. The license herein provided shall be paid and collected in the same manner as all other license fees, or by other ordinances in the City and County of San Francisco provided to be paid.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation, or association who shall operate any such mechanical device without having first procured a license from the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to so operate such mechanical device, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars ($500), or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25464 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mary Josephine Holling to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the northerly line of Anza street, distant 26 feet 9 inches west from Thirty-first avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,525, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 26 feet 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence westerly along said northrely line of Anza street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Anza street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 1513 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be exceuted and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25465 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from F. W. Hammond to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate northeast corner of Twenty-fourth avenue and Santiago street, of dimensions 32 feet 6 inches by 100 feet, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,850 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Santiago street with the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly along the said northerly line of Santiago street 32 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 32 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 100 feet to the northerly line of Santiago

street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25466 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from W. J. and Rose Bradley to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 225 feet southerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,927.38 be and the same is hereby accepted, the street work being included in this said amount, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 97 feet, more or less; thence northwesterly 50 feet, more or less; thence at a right angle easterly 92 feet 0⅛ inches to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314, also known as Lot 15 in Block 1574 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was on motion *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the contract under which Robert M. Searls is employed as Special Legal Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project expired on March 1, 1926; and

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors the legal work in connection with the Hetch Hetchy project can be handled, at least temporarily, by the City Attorney; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the services of Robert M. Searls as Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project be discontinued and the contract for his employment be not renewed.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was on motion *laid over two weeks*:

Compromise of Gas and Electric Litigation.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there are pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California four suits, numbered 27, 32, 97 and 190 on the equity file of said court, in all of which suits the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco and its officers are defendants; and

Whereas, said suits involve judicial determination of the validity under the United States Constitution of ordinances numbered 2349, 2348, 2814 and 3338 (all new series) of the City and County of San Francisco, prescribing and fixing respectively rates to be charged by public service corporations in San Francisco for electricity during the fiscal year 1913-14, and for gas during the fiscal years 1913-1914, 1914-15 and 1915-16; and

Whereas, the decrees previously rendered by the District Court in the City's favor in said suits numbered 27, 97 and 190, involving gas rates, have been reversed on appeal by the Supreme Court of the United States, and the suits sent back for retrial; and in case No. 32, involving electric rates, the report of the Master in Chancery recommends issuance of an injunction prohibiting the enforcement of said rates; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has transmitted to the Board of Supervisors a written communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, dated December 16, 1925, offering to compromise and settle all of said suits upon certain terms therein set forth; and

Whereas, both former City Attorney George Lull, who was in office pending the trial and appeal of the foregoing litigation, and the present City Attorney, John J. O'Toole, have recommended in writing that this Board authorize and direct the settlement of said suits upon the terms set forth in said communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company dated December 16, 1925; and

Whereas, after a careful examination and consideration of all the facts relating to said suits and the controversies therein involved, which have been submitted, the Board of Supervisors believes, in view of the large number of consumers who are interested in the outcome of said suits, of the considerable time which must elapse and the large expense to the taxpayers that must be incurred before the suits could again be tried and a final decision had, of the doubt that the City Attorney expresses as to the eventual outcome of the litigation by reason of the opinion of the United States Supreme Court rendered in the reversal of previous decrees in the City's favor, and in view of the relatively small amounts payable to individual consumers under any outcome of the suits, that the best interests of the City and the ratepayers will be conserved by a compromise along the lines recommended by the City Attorney; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to settle and compromise said four suits be and it is hereby accepted; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into stipulations with counsel for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the entry of decrees in said four suits which shall finally settle and dispose of the same upon the terms and conditions set forth in said letter from said company dated December 16, 1925; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to take all steps necessary to see that said decrees when entered by the court are duly executed so as to protect the interests of the City and the ratepayers in the premises.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Retirement System, Hetch Hetchy Employees.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 7543, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Paragraph 10, Subdivision (b) of Section 4, Subdivision (e) of Section 5, Section 10 and Section 11 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), entitled "Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco, providing for the payment of retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits; and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraph 10, Subdivision (b) of Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

(10) Employees engaged outside the City and County of San Francisco on the Hetch Hetchy project, provided that if any employee so excluded shall later become a member of the Retirement System through any change in status occasioned by transfer or assignment to other employment or by amendment to this ordinance, he shall receive credit for service with the City rendered prior to the date he

enters the Retirement System, including service as an employee of the Hetch Hetchy project, in the same manner as credit for prior service is granted to employees who become members on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two; and provided, further, that on and after July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-six, employees of the Hetch Hetchy project on units certified, from time to time, to the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis shall not be excluded from membership in the Retirement System under this paragraph.

Section 2. Subdivision (e) of Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e) At the end of each pay-roll period, the Board of Administration shall determine the aggregate amount of the normal contributions for such period, and shall certify such aggregate amount to the Auditor, who shall transfer an equal amount to the Retirement Fund, hereinafter provided for, from the money appropriated for that purpose in the budget for the then current fiscal year, except that an amount equal to such portion of the aggregate amount as shall be made up of contributions of Municipal Railway employees shall be transferred from the revenues of the Municipal Railway, and except that an amount equal to such portion of the aggregate amount as shall be made up of contributions of employees of the Hetch Hetchy project on units certified from time to time by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis shall be transferred from the revenues of such units.

Section 3. Section 10 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 10. There shall be paid into the Retirement Fund, by contributions of the City, the amounts necessary to pay all pensions and all other benefits allowable under this ordinance to members on account of prior service. Until the amount accumulated in the Retirement Fund becomes not less than the present value of all amounts thereafter payable from the Retirement Fund, the amount annually due to the said fund under this section shall be the amount payable from said fund in the ensuing fiscal year on account of prior service. Such proportion of the amount as is annually due to the Retirement Fund on account of the prior service of employees of the Municipal Railway shall be paid from the revenues of the Municipal Railway, and such proportion of the amount as is annually due to the Retirement Fund on account of the prior service of employees of the Hetch Hetchy project on units certified from time to time by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis shall be paid from the revenues of such units.

Section 4. Section 11 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 11. The payments of the City into the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, as provided in Subdivision (e), Section 5 of this ordinance, and the payments into the said fund, as provided in Section 10 of this ordinance, are hereby made obligations of the City. The Board of Supervisors shall appropriate such amounts as are necessary to make such payments, less the portion to be paid from the revenues of the Municipal Railway and from the revenues of the Hetch Hetchy project, in the budget for each fiscal year, and the amounts so appropriated shall be included in the tax levy. The Board of Supervisors shall, also, make provisions for the payment from the revenues of the Municipal Railway of such amounts as shall be necessary to meet the obligations of the City under the Retirement System on account of Municipal Railway employees and from the revenues of the Hetch Hetchy project of such amounts as shall be necessary to meet the obligations of the City under the Retirement System on account of employees of the Hetch Hetchy project on units certified from time to time by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Changing Grades, Green Street.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Green street between lines respectively 206.17 and 244 feet easterly from Jones street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of February, 1926,

by Resolution No. 25177 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Green street between lines respectively 206.17 and 244 feet easterly from Jones street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Green Street.

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 244 feet easterly from Jones street, 289 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 231 feet easterly from Jones street, 285 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 231 feet easterly from Jones street, 285 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 231 feet easterly from Jones street, 296.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At last described point for curb to roadway, 289.30 feet.

At a point on the southwesterly curb line of, 28.60 feet northwesterly (measure along the southwesterly curb line of) from the last point, 286 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 206.17 feet easterly from Jones street, 285.57 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 206.17 feet easterly from Jones street, 300.66 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Green street between lines respectively 206.17 and 244 feet easterly from Jones street changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Bond Approved Covering Taxes, Property in Miraloma Park.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25467 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Meyer Brothers, a corporation, as principal, and Theodore G. Meyer and G. H. Winter, as sureties, in the sum of two thousand five hundred dollars ($2,500), which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien, but not yet payable against the property as shown on map of Miraloma Park, Subdivision 1, San Francisco, California, approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 91063 (Second Series) is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Deed to Right of Way for Juanita Way, Rex Avenue, Marne Avenue and Lansdale Avenue.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25468 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed between Meyer Brothers, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), dated sixth day of March, 1926, conveying to the City and County of San Francisco lands for street puroses, be and the same is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets, viz.: Juanita way, Rex avenue, Marne avenue and Lansdale avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Approving Map of Miraloma Park.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25469 (New Series), as folows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91063 (New Series), approve a map of Miraloma Park, Subdivision 1, San Francisco, California; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Mira-

loma Park, Subdivision 1, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

City Engineer to Make Necessary Survey for a Thoroughfare With Practical Gradient Connecting Noe Valley District With the Market Street Extension.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25470 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is expedient that provision be made for rapidly increasing traffic between the Market street extension, serving the westerly Twin Peaks district, and the Noe Valley district; and

Whereas, it appears that a thoroughfare could be constructed connecting the Market street extension at about Burnett avenue with the Noe Valley district at a location southerly from Twenty-fourth street and westerly from Diamond street, at a proper gradient; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Engineer be authorized to make the necessary surveys and a study providing for a thoroughfare with a practical gradient connecting the Noe Valley district with the Market street extension.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Badaracco, *laid over one week*:

Providing for Legislation to Cause the Replacement by Public Service Corporations of Pavements That Have Been Opened for Underground Installations.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public service corporations have been given undue privileges by the Board of Public Works to open up the streets of San Francisco to the grave damage of said streets; and

Whereas, the surface of said streets is permitted to remain in a broken condition or with inadequate replacement of paving after such work is completed; and

Whereas, in several instances new concrete pavings recently laid by the City at the taxpayers' expense have been opened by said corporations immediately after completion, under permit from the Board of Public Works, to the lasting damage and partial destruction of said pavements; and

Whereas, such destruction is unnecessary, unjustifiable, and can be prevented by proper enforcement of the laws and protection of the City's interests; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and it is hereby instructed to see that all ordinances dealing with this subject matter are properly enforced hereafter; and in particular that streets be immediately repaired and with proper material immediately upon completion of work done by any public service corporation; and be it

Further Resolved, That further legislation be adopted by this Board whereby the Board of Public Works will be instructed and directed to notify each public service corporation of the intention of the City to pave any section of streets within the city limits thirty days in advance of the commencement of the work by the City, to the end that all underground installation necessary to be made by said corporations in said streets will then and there be made, and further providing that no permit to open such newly paved street shall be hereafter granted by the City for a period of two years after such paving is completed; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be instructed to prepare such legislation as is necessary to carry this policy into effect.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Closing Certain Streets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25471 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring that the public interest requires that portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street, as hereinafter described, be closed and abandoned; be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close

and abandon portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street, as follows:

Pennsylvania avenue, from the southerly line of the Ocean Shore right of way of the Western Pacific Railroad Company to the northerly line of Tulare street.

Iowa street, from the south line of Army street to the north line of Tulare street.

Marin street, from the west line of Pennsylvania avenue to the west line of Indiana street.

Tulare street, from the west line of Indiana street to a point 100 feet westerly of the west line of Pennsylvania avenue.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3, Article XI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing of portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Board of Public Works to Purchase Lands Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Resolution No. 25476 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to purchase the lands and rights of way incidental or necessary to the construction of the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce Avenue Route) for the sums allowed for such lands and easements in the report of the Board of Public Works filed with and confirmed by this Board, and the purchase of such lands and easements by the Board of Public Works for such sums is hereby ratified and the same is hereby declared to be valid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

City Attorney to Condemn Land Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Resolution No. 25477 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Clayton street, distant thereon one hundred (100) feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Clayton street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles westerly one hundred twenty-five (125) feet; thence at right angles northerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles easterly one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Clayton street, distant thereon one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Clayton street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles westerly one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet; thence at right angles northerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles easterly one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Clayton street, distant thereon one hundred (100) feet northerly from the northerly line of Carl street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Clayton street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles westerly one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet; thence at right angles southerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles easterly one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point distant one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 111.314 feet at right angles southerly from

the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 26.186 feet; thence at right angles westerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles northerly 23.976 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right, and running easterly 25.097 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point distant one hundred and fifty (150) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 113.524 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 23.976 feet; thence at right angles westerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles northerly 21.767 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right, and running easterly 25.097 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 6. Beginning at a point distant one hundred and seventy-five (175) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 115.733 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 21.767 feet; thence at right angles westerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles northerly 19.452 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right of two hundred and seventy-five (275) foot radius tangent to a line deflected 83 deg. 21 min. 56 sec. to the right from the preceding course central angle 1 deg. 35 min. 02 sec., a distance of 7.602 feet; thence easterly tangent to the preceding curve 17.506 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 7. Beginning at a point distant two hundred (200) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 118.048 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 19.452 feet; thence at right angles westerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles northerly 15.370 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right of 275-foot radius tangent to a line deflected 78 deg. 05 min. 10 sec. to the right from the preceding course central angle 5 deg. 16 min. 46 sec., a distance of 25.340 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 8. Beginning at a point distant 125 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 24.044 f t; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 25.097 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Clayton street 26.254 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 9. Beginning at a point distant 150 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 26.254 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 25.097 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Clayton street 28.463 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 10. Beginning at a point distant 175 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 28.463 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right, and running westerly 12.690 feet; thence westerly one a curve to the left of 225-foot radius tangent to the preceding course, central angle 3 deg. 10 min. 08 sec. a distance of 12.444 feet; thence northerly parallel with Clayton street 31.018 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 11. Beginning at a point distant 200 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 31.018 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left of 225-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 81 deg. 46 min. 50 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 6 deg. 29 min. 58 sec., a distance of 25.523 feet; thence northerly parallel with Clayton street 36.090 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 12. Beginning at a point distant 225 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence south-

erly parallel with Clayton street 36.112 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left of 225-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 75 deg. 15 min. 33 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 6 deg. 42 min. 04 sec., a distance of 26.315 feet; thence northerly parallel with Clayton street 44.279 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 13. Beginning at a point distant 275 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 55.913 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of 225-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 61 deg. 31 min. 55 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 7 deg. 50 min. 02 sec., a distance of 30.764 feet; thence northerly parallel with Clayton street 72.377 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25.958 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 14. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 229 feet 4 inches easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Carl street 25.333 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.667 feet; thence at right angles southerly 90 feet; thence at right angles easterly 0.333 feet; thence at right angles southerly 47.500 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 15. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 154 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Carl street 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 137.50 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 16. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 129 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Carl street 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 137.50 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 17. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 104 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easterly from the northerly line of Carl street 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 137.50 feet to the point of beginning. Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction of the Duboce tunnel. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Examination of Traffic System.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, numerous infractions of traffic regulations are constantly occurring and no adequate means have thus far been taken to secure appearances in Court, according to citations secured on infractions by the Police Department; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Traffic Committee be requested to investigate the systems used by other cities to secure this result, particularly that known as the "Scrip System," with a view of adopting something similar for this City.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Examination for Superintendent of Auditorium.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission be and is hereby requested to hold an examination as soon as convenient for the position of Managing Superintendent of the Exposition Auditorium.

Referred to Civil Service and Auditorium Committees jointly.

Gasoline Tax Endorsed.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25478 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby endorses the recommendation of the Legislative Committee of the County Supervisors' Association of California sponsoring a one-cent gasoline tax to complete the California Highway System.

Further Resolved, That this Board ratifies such recommendations, contained in the communication signed by Charles W. Heyer, president County Supervisors' Association of California, under date of April 3, 1926, which communication is attached hereto.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Death of Dr. Daniel Mulvihill.

Supervisor Hayden called attention to the passing of Dr. Daniel Mulvihill, head of the dental department of the Board of Health. He expressed sorrow for his untimely passing and testified to the high esteem in which he was held by public officials and many friends. He moved that when we adjourn we do so out of respect to his memory.

Motion *carried unanimously by rising vote.*

Increase of Municipal Carmen's Wages.

The following is the report submitted by the joint committee:

"To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Acting upon a request from the Board of Public Works, dated November 25, 1925, your honorable body directed the members of its committees on finance and public utilities to act as a joint committee for the consideration of an application from the platform men, trackmen and electrical workers of the Municipal Railway for an increase in compensation.

The letter of the Board of Public Works to the Supervisors, upon which this inquiry was authorized, called attention to the fact that the City Attorney has ruled that the wage-fixing power for the Municipal Railway is vested in the Board of Works, and added that the Board of Works could not grant the increases asked because, quoting the letter of the Board of Works, 'it appears that neither are there funds available at present, nor do the estimates of the receipts for the present year indicate that there will be funds available in the near future which would warrant the granting of any increases.'

The Board of Works, however, after declaring that no funds were available for the increase, expressed the opinion that the application merited favorable consideration and made the rather paradoxical suggestion that 'ways and means should be found, if the funds of the Municipal Railway will justify it, to provide the necessary moneys.'

Your joint committee has made a most exhaustive investigation of the Municipal Railway funds. On February 17, 1926, it rendered a report pointing out that because of the policy pursued in the past of spending large sums from the income of the road for extensions and betterments, and for the retirement of bonds, its current funds have been reduced to a low level. The report stated that in the immediate future the Ctiy would be confronted with the necessity of adopting a new method of financing extensions to the Municipal Railway system, and that the reserve funds of the road must be restored to a normal level if any important increases in operating expenses were undertaken.

Your joint committee has always held the unanimous opinion that the present wage of the railway employees is inadequate and that an increase should be granted as soon as it is possible to do so without impairing the financial integrity of the road. At the request of the San Francisco Labor Council your committee has continued its investigations and has arranged a series of conferences between expert accountants representing the Municipal Railway, the employees and the Board of Public Works. The accountants were urged to prepare a financial set-up upon which all of the parties at interest could agree, and which would serve as an undisputed basis for estimating the possibilities of assuming an increase in the operating costs of the road.

At the last meeting of your joint committee an estimate of the financial position of the funds of the Municipal Railway over the four-year period 1926 to 1929, inclusive, was submitted by Mr. Scott, accountant for the Municipal Railway; Mr. Melnikow, account for the employees; Mr. Hannon, chief bookkeeper for the Board of Public Works, and Mr. Bullock, certified

public accountant. This estimate is based first upon the proposal, which has been endorsed by all of the members of your joint committee and by all of the expert accountants, that the present 18 per cent depreciation fund of the road be abolished and that in its stead there be created a 3 per cent depreciation fund from which no moneys can be diverted in the future for any purpose other than depreciation requirements. Your committee favors this change because it will provide a future safeguard against the diversion of moneys which have, in the past, contributed to the present depletion of the funds of the road.

Another important condition which the accountants have submitted in connection with their joint estimate is that a $2,000,000 bond issue be authorized in order to carry out the present proposed additions to the Municipal Railway. These include the construction of the Sunset line with additional cars, amounting to about $1,500,000 and the construction of new car barns and purchase of other new cars amounting to about $500,000. The accountants propose that of the suggested $2,000,000 bond issue $1,000,000 of bonds be sold as fast as possible to carry out the predicated program of extensions and betterments, and that the second $1,000,000 be held practically as a depreciation fund asset to be sold when needed for purposes of the depreciation fund.

'This,' the report of the accountants states, 'would have the effect of restoring the depreciation fund to something like its normal balance.'

With these conditions stipulated, and the proviso that the funds of the road be reimbursed out of the next budget for the improper diversion in the past of $131,123.73 which was applied to the cost of constructing the Twin Peaks and Stockton street tunnels, the estimate of the accountants shows that there should be on January 1, 1930, a total accumulation in the operating funds of $625,427. It was suggested by the accountants at the last meeting of your joint committee, that this sum could be distributed in the amount of $156,000 per year over the four-year period, among the employees of the railway for additional compensation. If the entire amount were so utilized, it is estimated that it would represent an increase over the present wage scale of about 40 cents per day.

Recognizing the fact that the wage-fixing power for Municipal Railway employees is at present vested in the Board of Public Works, and that the investigation authorized by the Supervisors was undertaken soley in the hope of being able to suggest to the Board of Works 'ways and means to provide the necessary moneys,' your committee respectfully recommends that the Board of Supervisors immediately transmit to the Board of Public Works the financial estimate herewith submitted, together with a copy of this report. It is also respectfully recommended that the Board of Supervisors take the necessary action to assure the Board of Public Works that if the suggested wage increase is allowed the Board of Supervisors will recommend the submission to the people of the proposed bond issue for extensions and refunding.

In closing, your committee desires to direct attention again to the splendid record made by the Municipal Railway as outlined in our previous report to your Honorable Board, and to reaffirm our confidence in its present stability and in the certainty of its future success.

The specific duty with which this joint committee was charged by your Honorable Board was to point out to the Board of Works, if possible, ways and means whereby an increase of pay could be granted to the Municipal Railway employees. Believing that it has discharged its duty faithfully, and that the report now submitted has the approval, in every major respect, of all the financial experts who have participated in our investigations, your joint committee respectfully requests that it be now dissolved."

Privilege of the Floor.

John O'Connell, Secretary Labor Council; *Mr. Vandeleur*, President of Carmen's Union; *Mr. Ferguson*, representing the trackmen; *Mr. Millinchoir*, *Mr. Scott* and *Mr. Bullock*, accountants, were granted the privilege of the floor and heard on the pending matter.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Hayden moved as an amendment that the increase be fixed at 60 cents in lieu of 40 cents.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Welch—4.

Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 25472 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Municipal Railway employees are at present undercompensated and are entitled to an increase in compensation; and

Whereas, existing funds of the Municipal Railways are inadequate to furnish such increase and carry out other essential obligations as well; and

Whereas, this Board has furnished to the Board of Public Works a set-up of figures showing that a bond issue of $2,000,000 would adequately replenish the funds; therefore, be it

Resolved, That if the Board of Public Works, which alone possesses the wage-fixing power, sees fit to grant the said increase of forty cents (40c) a day, this Board of Supervisors will carry out that portion of the proposed arrangement which its powers permit it to perform and will pass the required legislation for a bond election of $2,000,000 for the Municipal Railways.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Welch—4.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7:30 adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 21—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

No. 15

Monday, April 12, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 12, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 12, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Badaracco was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 1, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Cancellation Clause in Lease of McLeran Building Agreed.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Ralph McLeran & Company, location of 333 Kearny street, in which it is proposed to lease for the accommodation of the District Attorney, Adult Probation Department and other offices now housed in the Hall of Justice, agreeing to incorporate in the proposed lease a cancellation clause providing that the City may vacate the premises prior to the expiration of the lease upon a 90-day written notice.

Ordered *filed*.

Protest, Green Street Change of Grade.

Communication, from Hiram W. Johnson, Jr., in the matter of the protest of property owners on Green street between Taylor and Jones to a change of grade on Green street, stating that certain proposals and agreements of C. R. Bricca as set forth in the communication are satisfactory to the property owners on Green street between Taylor and Jones streets, subject to one condition, to-wit: that there be constructed at the expense of C. R. Bricca such retaining wall or walls at the point of the proposed change of grade as will be necessary to hold the earth, rock and hillside in its present position; height of the wall to be determined by the City Engineer. That condition being agreed to, the property owners withdraw their protest.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Playground Commission Requests the Closing of a Section of Cunningham Place.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Playground Commission, advising that a motion was passed at the meeting of Wednesday, April 7, petitioning the Board of Supervisors to permanently close that section of Cunningham place which runs through Mission Playground between Angelica street and the eastern boundary of the playground, for the reason that the lives of the children are jeopardized by vehicular traffic in said street.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Sealer of Weights and Measures Requests Leave of Absence to Attend National Conference.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Thomas Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures, stating that formal notice having gone forth for the coming annual conference of Sealers of Weights and Measures, to be held at the Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C., May 25th to 28th, 1926, and calling attention to the advantage to be gained by active participation in discussion and requesting that he be delegated to represent San Francisco at said conference and be granted a leave of absence to attend.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Judah Street Improvement Association Expresses Appreciation for Rezoning.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Norton C. Wells, Secretary of the Judah Street Improvement Association, advising that at a regular meeting of the Judah Street Improvement Association held April 8, 1926, that that body unanimously instructed the Secretary to express the appreciation of the members of the organization to the Board of Supervisors for their patient consideration and final conclusion of the Judah street rezoning matter which has now been finally passed.

Ordered *filed*.

Consents to Change of Route of Monterey Bus Line.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from W. P. Langenberg, Secretary of the Residential Development Company of San Francisco, consenting to the passage of an ordinance changing the route of the Monterey bus line from that heretofore designated in the ordinance and also providing that transfers shall be exchanged between said bus line and the Municipal cars operating through Twin Peaks Tunnel.

Ordered *filed*.

Vallejo Street Playground.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Petition, of Alice Rose Power and numerous other property owners, for acquisition of property on the south line of Vallejo street, westerly from Sansome street, of dimensions 196 feet 10½ inches by 137 feet 6 inches, and the establishment thereon of a playground or recreation place for children of the neighborhood.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Rose Festival at San Jose.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting invitation of Honorable Joseph T. Brooks, Chairman of the City Council of San Jose, and other officials of the proposed Rose Festival in said city, inviting the Board of Supervisors to attend and participate in the Floral Parade which is to take place at San Jose on the afternoon of Saturday, May 22, 1926, and stating that he has accepted the invitation and trusts that the City will see to it that San Francisco is properly represented by a float in the parade.

Referred to Public Welfare and Publicity Committee.

Vallejo Street Pavement.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Walter J. Rock, requesting that Vallejo street between Jones street and Leavenworth street be paved with concrete and that money for this purpose be provided in the next budget.

Referred to Streets Committee.

National Conference, Weights and Measures.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 12, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am referring to you herewith a letter addressed to me by Mr. George K. Burgess, director of the Bureau of Standards and President of the National Conference on Weights and Measures, Washington, D. C.

Mr. Burgess calls attention to the forthcoming National Conference on Weights and Measures, which will take place in Washington, D. C., May 25-28, and asks that San Francisco be represented by our Sealer of Weights and Measures, the Hon. Thomas Flaherty.

I feel that this would be most valuable to San Francisco, and I hope that your Honorable Board may find it possible to send Mr. Flaherty to the conference.

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Department of Commerce,
Bureau of Standards,
Washington, D. C.,
April 5, 1926.

Hon. Jas. Rolph, Jr., Mayor of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir:

I wish to bring to your attention the Nineteenth National Conference on Weights and Measures, which will be held in Washington, D. C., May 25-28, at this Bureau, and to invite you to be represented by your Sealer of Weights and Measures, Mr. Thomas Flaherty.

As you probably know, the Conference is made up of State and local weights and measures officials from all parts of the country, who gather each year for a serious consideration of mutual problems and an earnest study of the many technical phases of their work.

These meetings are fostered by the Bureau of Standards, and every facility is offered to make them of maximum benefit and interest to those in attendance. In our opinion they are of tremendous value

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

to the communities served by the officials in attendance, not only through the large amount of practical information secured, but also by reason of the personal contacts among the officials.

I am sure that you appreciate the great importance of efficient and adequate weights and measures supervision and will realize the constructive results which follow upon attendance at this National Conference. Mr. Flaherty has represented San Francisco at previous meetings and I am confident that he will assure you that the Conference has helped him in the discharge of his official duties. I hope that we may hear from you very soon to the effect that you have authorized Mr. Flaherty's attendance at this year's meetings so that we may be assured that San Francisco will again be represented.

Respectfully,
GEORGE K. BURGESS,
Director of the Bureau of Standards and President of the National Conference on Weights and Measures.

Whereupon, on motion duly made and *carried*, Thos. Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures, was authorized to represent San Francisco at the proposed Conference.

Leave of Absence.

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 12, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Dr. T. B. W. Leland, Coroner of this City and County, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of sixty days commencing April 19.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25514 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. T. B. W. Leland, Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco, be granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing April 19, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havvener, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Report of Mayor on Sale of City Land.

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 8, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

I have the honor to report to you that, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 6999 (New Series) of your Honorable Board, a public sale took place in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors at 3 o'clock p. m., Monday, April 5, 1926, for the disposal of the following parcel of land in the City and County of San Francisco:

"Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wawona street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement; being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1273, also known as Lot 2, Block 2502, on Assessor's Map Book."

The highest bidder was the Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco, whose bid was $1,100. The sum of $110 in currency, ten per cent of the amount bid, was deposited in accordance with the law.

Inasmuch as the property was appraised at $900, and as the bid of the Parkside Realty Company was in excess of the amount required by law, I respectfully recommend to your Honorable Board that you confirm the sale of this land to the Parkside Realty Company.

Very respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Read and *filed*.

Reconsideration, Lease of McLeran Building.

The resolution providing for the approval of the lease of the McLeran Building for officials inadequately housed in the Hall of Justice was taken up on notice of reconsideration given at last meeting.

Offer of Benj. M. Gunn & Co.

Supervisor Shannon presented: *Communication*, from Benj. M. Gunn & Co. offering to sell building on Merchant street for Hall of Justice purposes and to accept payments same as rent over a ten-year period.

Referred to City Attorney as to legality.

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, the matter of reconsideration was *laid over one week.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resoltuion No. 25480 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated April 2, 1926), $505.44.

(2) F. X. Lehner, manure for High School of Commerce field (claim dated April 2, 1926), $645.

California Palace of Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61).

(3) Johnson & Higgins, insurance on Walker collection of paintings (claim dated April 2, 1926), $782.40.

(4) American Railway Express Co., expressage on Walker collection of paintings (claim dated April 2, 1926), $2,395.20.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(5) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for side-sewers (claim dated March 26, 1926), $714.

(6) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for side-sewers (claim dated March 26, 1926), $907.85.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) H. A. Porter, first payment, electrical work for Henry Durant School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $1,340.25.

(8) Bond Construction Co., fourth payment, general construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $11,200.49.

(9) Thos. Skelly, second payment, plumbing work, Hawthorne School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $1,945.35.

(10) L. Flatland, fourth payment, electrical work, Dudley Stone School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $3,596.91.

(11) Barrett & Hilp, eighth payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $30,251.25.

(12) W. H. Picard, fifth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $800.01.

(13) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, mechanical equipment, Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $2,383.66.

(14) Macdonald & Kahn, eighth payment, general contract, New Mission High School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $38,623.54.

(15) O. Monson, seventh payment, general contract, Alvarado School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $15,183.75.

(16) Bond Construction Co., fifth payment, general construction of additional units, gymnasium, etc., Galileo High School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $12,217.76.

(17) John Galen Howard, fourth payment, architectural services, Le Conte School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $607.02.

(18) James L. McLaughlin Co., thirteenth payment, general construction of Alamo School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $16,034.81.

Special School Tax.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated March 24, 1926), $584.65.

(20) H. H. Hilp, first payment, general construction of temporary additional facilities at Francisco School (claim dated March 30, 1926), $11,523.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(21) United States Steel Products Co., payment in full for track special work, Contract No. 144, Municipal Railways (claim dated March 30, 1926), $42,702.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(22) Western Pipe and Steel Co., twenty-third payment, construction of Bay Crossing pipe line, Bay Crossing Division, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Contract No. 90 (claim dated March 30, 1926), $19,690.51.

Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(23) The California Door Co., windows and doors, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 30, 1926), $569.50.

(24) Giant Powder Co., Con., powder, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31, 1926), $842.13.

(25) Hales & Symons, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,081.23.

(26) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31, 1926), $742.79.

(27) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated March 30, 1926), $2,113.02.

(28) A. M. Scott Tire Co., truck tires (claim dated March 30, 1926), $548.95.

(29) Tilden Lumber and Mill Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 30 1926), $1,-869.48.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(30) Oscar Aaron, final payment, plumbing and heating for Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated March 30, 1926), $3,362.14.

(31) M. B. McGowan, final payment, terra cotta and brick work, Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926) $500.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(32) Frederick W. Snook Co., oil-burning equipment for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,568.49.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(33) City Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Kearny street from Market street to Columbus avenue (claim dated March 31, 1926), $3,600.

(34) Vogt & Davidson, final payment, general contract, construction of ambulance shelter at Mission Emergency Hospital (claim dated March 30, 1926), $1,733.25.

(35) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated April 5, 1926), $797.63.

(36) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of March, 1926, $3,645.38.

(37) California State Automobile Association, street traffic signs furnished Police Department (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1,098.30.

(38) Daniel S. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated April 1. 1926). $750.

(39) C. W. Smith Copper Works. copper pipe work for Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,017.80.

(40) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated March 31, 1926), $14,198.60.

(41) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1,250.

(*Supervisor Marks* requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on No. 17.)

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

No—Supervisor Marks voted *no* on item No. 17 only.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25481 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Stephen Capurro, as ex-excutor of the estate of Amelia Capurro, deceased, for nine parcels of land, situate in Blocks Nos. 6958 and 6960 on the Assessor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga, Seneca, Otsego and Cayuga avenues; each parcel of land to be particularly described in deed to the City and County; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25431 (New Series), $11,050.

(2) To Amelia Cheli, for eight parcels of land, situate in Blocks Nos. 6958 and 6960 on the Assessor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga, Seneca, Otsego and Cayuga avenues; each parcel of land to be particularly described in deed to the City and County; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25432 (New Series), $6,750.

(3) To Josephine Cresta, for eight parcels of land, situate in Blocks 6958 and 6960 on the Assessor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga, Seneca, Otsego and Cayuga avenues; each parcel of land to be particularly described in deed to the City and County; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25433 (New Series), $12,-400.

(4) To Henrietta Cheli, for seven parcels of land, situate in Blocks Nos. 6958 and 6960 on the Assessor's Map Books, said blocks being bounded by Onondaga, Seneca, Otsego and Cayuga avenues; each parcel of land to be particularly described in deed to the City and County; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25434 (New Series), $5,450.

(5) To W. B. Trotman, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 200 feet northerly from Rivera street, runnin thence northerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer

by Resolution No. 25435 (New Series), $900.

(6) To Alfred E. Girtaner, for lands on westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 250 feet southerly from Quintara street, running thence southerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 50 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25436 (New Series) $1,800.

(7) To Catherine Stack, for lands at intersection of northerly line of Anza street with westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence westerly on the northerly line of Anza street 26 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 26 feet 9 inches x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25437 (New Series), $1,977.50.

(8) To Muriel T. Girtaner, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 150 feet southerly from Quintara street, running thence southerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 50 x 120 feet. And for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 225 feet northerly from Rivera street, running thence northerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right an westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet: per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25449 (New Series), $2,700.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelso Havenner, Hayden. Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovie Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Street and Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 25482 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for street and boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Otto and Hanna Hansen, for all of Lot No. 28 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract, and required for the extension of Market street; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25392, New Series (claim dated March 31, 1926), $6,250.

(2) To Flora Johnson, for property on the southerly line of Market street, beginning 25 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, running westerly on the southerly line of Market street 25.061 feet; of irregular dimensions; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25390 (New Series), and required for Market street extension. (Claim dated March 31, 1926), $2,291.

(3) To Charles Block, for all of Lots Nos 400 and 399, Gift Map No. 4, Map Book 2 A and B, pages 16 and 17, records of the City and County; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25387 (New Series); required for the Bay Shore boulevard; payable out of $100,000 set aside for Bay Shore boulevard. (Claim dated March 31, 1926), $2,220.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelso Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25483 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

H. H. Bergfeld, south side of O'Farrell street, 120 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Bertz, northwest corner of Thirtieth avenue and Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. A. Bleeher, east side of Fillmore street, 34 feet south of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of Steiner street, 150 feet north of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of Leavenworth street, corner of De Haven street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of Steiner street, 200 feet north of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. J. Funsten, No. 20 Nineteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

The Helbing Co., east side of Hyde street, 75 feet south of Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Levy, 3351 Jackson street, 1000 gallons capacity.

Mangrum & Otter, north side of Minna street, 150 feet west of Eighth street, 2000 gallons capacity.

J. Martinelli, southwest corner of

Baker and Filbert streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. T. Morris, northwest corner of Fulton street and Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Olden's Bakery, east side of Polk street, 75 feet north of Green street, 600 gallons capacity.

Peninsula Burner and Oil Co., north side of Fulton street, 100 feet west of Second avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

John D. Rutledge, No. 16 Sea Cliff avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Sam Simon, north side of Dolores street, 25 feet east of Clipper street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 25484 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. Rosenberg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts during the grading of property at the northeast corner of Fourteenth and Dolores streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said B. Rosenberg, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25485 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. J. Ouimet be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted F. J. McLaughlin by Resolution No. 24116 (New Series) for premises at 1140 Powell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25486 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Blanchard & Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on property situate at Great Highway and Sloat boulevard.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25487 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas Powers be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the east side of Valencia street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 25488 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. G. Unsworth be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 2210 Powell street; also to install a 1500-gallon

oil storage tank and a 30 horse power boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25489 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Smith & Tembey be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted John Henderson by Resolution No. 22182 (New Series) for premises at 640 O'Farrell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25490 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Brown & Baker be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at 4228 Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Thirty-fifth Avenue.

Bill No. 7535, Ordinance No. 7055 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 125 feet northerly from Sloat boulevard, and running thence northerly to Vicente street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Establishing Set-Back Lines.

Bill No. 7542, Ordinance No. 7056 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along Shields street, Farallones street, Eighteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of March, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 116 to establish set-back lines along Shields street, Farallones street, Eighteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue, and fixed the 29th day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Shields street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Farallones street, commencing at a point 200 feet easterly from Capitol avenue and running thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence easterly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence easterly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the southerly side of Farallones street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Capitol avenue and running thence easterly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 103½ feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly to a point 82½ feet southerly from Quintara street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Retirement System, Hetch Hetchy Employees.

Bill No. 7543, Ordinance No. 7057 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Paragraph 10, Subdivision (b) of Section 4, Subdivision (e) of Section 5, Section 10 and Section 11 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), entitled "Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco, providing for the payment of retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowance and death benefits; and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraph 10, Subdivision (b) of Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

(10) Employees engaged outside the City and County of San Francisco on the Hetch Hetchy project, provided that if any employee so excluded shall later become a member of the Retirement System through any change in status occasioned by transfer or assignment to other employment or by amendment to this ordinance, he shall receive credit for service with the City rendered prior to the date he enters the Retirement System, including service as an employee of the Hetch Hetchy project, in the same manner as credit for prior service is granted to employees who become members on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two; and provided, further, that on and after July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-six, employees of the Hetch Hetchy project on units certified, from time to time, to the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis shall not be excluded from membership in the Retirement System under this paragraph.

Section 2. Subdivision (e) of Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e) At the end of each pay-roll period the Board of Administration shall determine the aggregate amount of the normal contributions for such period, and shall certify such aggregate amount to the Auditor, who shall transfer an equal amount to the Retirement Fund, hereinafter provided for, from the money appropriated for that purpose in the budget for the then current fiscal year, except that an amount equal to such portion of the aggregate amount as shall be made up of contributions of Municipal Railway employees shall be transferred from the revenues of the Municipal Railway, and except that an amount equal to such portion of the aggregate amount as shall be made up of contributions

of employees of the Hetch Hetchy project on units certified from time to time by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis shall be transferred from the revenues of such units.

Section 3. Section 10 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 10. There shall be paid into the Retirement Fund, by contributions of the City, the amounts necessary to pay all pensions and all other benefits allowable under this ordinance to members on account of prior service. Until the amount accumulated in the Retirement Fund becomes not less than the present value of all amounts thereafter payable from the Retirement Fund, the amount annually due to the said fund under this section shall be the amount payable from said fund in the ensuing fiscal year on account of prior service. Such proportion of the amount as is annually due to the Retirement Fund on account of the prior service of employees of the Municipal Railway shall be paid from the revenues of the Municipal Railway, and such proportion of the amount as is annually due to the Retirement Fund on account of the prior service of employees of the Hetch Hetchy project on units certified from time to time by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis shall be paid from the revenues of such units.

Section 4. Section 11 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 11. The payments of the City into the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, as provided in Subdivision (e), Section 5 of this ordinance, and the payments into the said fund, as provided in Section 10 of this ordinance, are hereby made obligations of the City. The Board of Supervisors shall appropriate such amounts as are necessary to make such payments, less the portion to be paid from the revenues of the Municipal Railway and from the revenues of the Hetch Hetchy project, in the budget for each fiscal year, and the amounts so appropriated shall be included in the tax levy. The Board of Supervisors shall also make provision for the payment from the revenues of the Municipal Railway of such amounts as shall be necessary to meet the obligations of the City under the Retirement System on account of Municipal Railway employees and from the revenues of the Hetch Hetchy project of such amounts as shall be necessary to meet the obligations of the City under the Retirement System on account of employees of the Hetch Hetchy project on units certified from time to time by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $35,887.11, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Company, water furnished horse troughs, $63.93.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrels Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Landau Economic Syphon Co., supplies furnished parks (claim dated April 9, 1926), $502.89.

Spreckels Special Deposit Fund (Honora Sharp Trust).

(2) Talbot Bequest Fund, care of

Park Commission, for investment in School Bonds, Issue 1918 (claim dated April 9, 1926), $2,030.30.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(3) L. Flatland, fifth payment, electrical work, High School of Commerce (claim dated April 6, 1926), $4,482.84.

(4) Mahony Bros., nineteenth payment, general construction, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 6, 1926), $38,-865.37.

(5) W. H. Picard, sixteenth payment, mechanical equipment, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 6, 1926), $1,-280.56.

(6) A. Lettich, tenth payment, plumbing work, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 6, 1926), $1,098.04.

(7) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth payment, mechanical equipment, New Mission High School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $4,733.43.

(8) Standard Electrical Construction Co., third payment, electrical work for the Le Conte School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $1,-109.32.

(9) Herman Lawson, second payment, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $2,996.25.

(10) Latourette-Fical Co., sixth payment, mechanical equipment, Alvarado School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $1,159.31.

(11) L. Flatland, third payment, electrical work for Alvarado School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $1,-123.27.

(12) M. E. Ryan, fourth payment, electrical work, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $773.25.

County Road Fund.

(13) Bond Construction Company, fourth payment, construction of bridge at Mt. Vernon and San Jose avenues (claim dated April 7, 1926), $18,000.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(14) Clinton Construction Co., fifteenth payment, general construction of buildings for use of Relief Home (claim dated April 6, 1926), $63,247.50.

(15) M. E. Ryan, tenth payment, electrical work in buildings for Relief Home (claim dated April 6, 1926), $2,022.33.

(16) F. W. Snook Co., fifteenth payment, plumbing and gasfitting work, Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 6, 1926), $2,989.23.

(17) F. W. Snook Co., fourteenth payment, mechanical equipment, etc., Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 6, 1926), $2,-909.70.

(18) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,-985.

(19) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $992.50.

(20) Mangrum & Otter, tables for new Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,123.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(21) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 1, 1926), $1,302.04.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(22) L. P. Brassey, first payment, construction of Municipal Railways on Judah street from Thirty-first to Forty-first avenues (claim dated April 6, 1926), $3,-930.

Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(23) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., first payment for core borings along line of Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, Coast Range Division, Contract 110 (claim dated April 7, 1926), $3,-609.98.

(24) Hercules Powder Co., exploders with caps, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 5, 1926), $660.47.

(25) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated April 5, 1926), $585.48.

(26) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, galvanized iron, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 1, 1926), $886.75.

(27) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 1, 1926), $589.90.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(28) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated April 9, 1926), $8,383.03.

(29) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated April 9, 1926), $972.31.

(30) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated April 9, 1926), $8,374.21.

(31) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oils, street cleaning (claim dated April 5, 1926), $623.64.

(32) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1,-794.02.

(33) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street re-

construction (claim dated April 5, 1926), $746.64.

(34) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated April 5, 1926), $869.25.

(35) Granfield Tire & Supply Co., tires for street cleaning apparatus (claim dated April 1, 1926), $984.48.

(36) Frank Bryant, first payment, construction of Evans avenue bridge at Army street (claim dated April 7, 1926), $1,650.

(37) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels, spreads, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,870.44.

(38) Martin Camm Co., flour-handling unit for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,-107.

(39) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $2,515.58.

(40) Haas Brothers, sugar for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,794.52.

(41) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $828.20.

(42) Columbia Machine Works, repairs to engine, Relief Home (claim dated March 30, 1926), $791.60.

(43) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,093.16.

(44) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,277.10.

(45) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $837.80.

(46) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,908.36.

(47) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $817.95.

(48) H. E. Teller Co., coffee, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $502.50.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $624.33.

(50) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated April 12, 1926), $596.30.

(51) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated April 12, 1926), $883.72.

(52) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for departments (claim dated April 8, 1926), $924.

(53) Bureau of Management Research, services rendered in standardization of salaries, for Civil Service Commission (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,250.92.

Auditorium Fund.

(54) Wilcox & Company, printing for account of Municipal Popular Concerts (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $837.50.

Playground Fund.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated April 7, 1926), $958.90.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(56) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of the valuation of electric properties of the Pacific Gas & Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co. for month of April, 1926 (claim dated April 12, 1926), $3,000.

Appropriations for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Mary Josephine Holling, for lands situate on the northerly line of Anza street, commencing 26 feet 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence westerly on northerly line of Anza street 25 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 25x100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25464, New Series (claim dated April 12, 1926), $1,525.

(2) To W. J. and Rose Bradley, for lands on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, commencing 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly on easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 97 feet, more or less; thence northwesterly 50 feet, more or less; thence easterly 92 feet and ⅛ inch; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25466, New Series (claim dated April 12, 1926), $2,-927.38.

(3) To F. W. Hammond, for lands commencing at point formed by intersection of northerly line of Santiago street with the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly on the northerly line of Santiago street 32 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 32½x100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25465, New Series (claim dated April 12, 1926), $1,850.

Appropriation, $946, Payment to Eva Pincus for Property Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $946 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment and authorized in payment to Eva Pincus for property required for construction of the Duboce Tunnel; said property beginning at a point distant 250 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 44.279 feet; of irregular dimensions; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25391, New Series. (Claim dated April 6, 1926.)

Appropriating $1,541 for Installing Boilers in the Juvenile Detention Home.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,541 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Miscellaneous Repairs to and Maintenance of Buildings," Budget Item No. 52, for furnishing and installing one No. 28-9 steam boiler and increasing size of present boiler to 28-9; both to be bricked and covered; pits excavated and concreted, etc., at Juvenile Detention Home building.

Accepting Offer to Settle Claim for Damages of Lucy A. Curtin in the Sum of $300 for Injury to Her Property.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25491 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the construction of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnel in Tuolumne County, California, has caused various springs to dry up on the property owned by Lucy A. Curtin, and Lucy A. Curtin as executrix of the last will and testament of J. B. Curtin, deceased, said property being described as follows:

The north half of the southwest quarter of the northwest quarter and the north half of the south half of the southwest quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 26, Township 1 South, Range 16 East, M. D. B. and M.

And as a result of said drying up of the springs the value of said land has been materially diminished and the water supply has been taken away; and

Whereas, the above mentioned Lucy A. Curtin has offered to deed to the City and County of San Francisco all water rights which are intercepted or affected by said tunnel, and to release the City and County of San Francisco from all claims for damages which have been caused or may hereafter be caused by reason of the construction of said tunnel and the interception and drainage of said percolating waters, in consideration of the sum of three hundred dollars ($300); and

Whereas, the City Engineer of San Francisco has recommended the acceptance of said offer as a reasonable settlement of damage and injury to said land caused by the construction of said tunnel; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer of the above mentioned party be and it is hereby accepted, and said sum of $300 be paid to said party upon receipt of proper conveyance and release conveying said water rights to the City and County of San Francisco, and releasing the City from all further claims of damages; said conveyance and release to be in form satisfactory to the City Attorney of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welc —2.

Accepting Offer of Rushing Land and Cattle Company to Sell Certain Property Required in the Construction of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25492 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owner of the following described right of way easements situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

Rushing Land and Cattle Company, a corporation, $671.50.

Parcel 1: Portion of Lot 2, the east half of the northwest quarter and the west half of the northeast quarter of Section 31, the east half of the northwest quarter and the

northeast quarter of Section 32 and the south half of the northwest quarter of Section 33, Township 1 South, Range 13 East, M. D. B. and M., for aqueduct tunnel easement.

Parcel 2: The right to construct, maintain and operate, patrol and repair a water pipe line through the land described as Parcel 1.

Parcel 3: The right to construct, maintain, replace, patrol and operate an electric transmission line along, upon and over the surface of the land occupied by easement described as Parcel 1, including the right to cut down or trim trees or brush which may constitute menace to said transmission line.

Parcel 4: The right to construct, maintain and use a road through lands of the above named company in the northeast quarter and the east half of the northwest quarter, Section 32, Township 1 South, Range 13 East, M. D. B. and M., for the purpose of hauling or moving men, animals, vehicles, etc., for any and all purposes in connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct.

Parcel 5: The right to construct, maintain, replace, patrol and operate a telephone line over portions of Parcels 1 and 4 above described.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of the above named property owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned easements for the sum set forth opposite its name and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option, be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said party of the acceptance of its said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said easements, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Accepting Offer of Ellen C. Kennedy to Sell Certain Lands Required in the Construction of Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25493 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce tunnel, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Ellen C. Kennedy, $222—Beginning at a point distant 225 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 122.137 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly, parallel with Clayton street, 15.363 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 8.845 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right of 275-foot radius tangent to a line deflected 72 degrees 41 minutes 41 seconds to the right from the preceding course, central angle 5 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds a distance of 25.845 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon

payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offer of Michael J. Magner and Beatrice Magner to Sell Land Required for Extending and Widening Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25494 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Michael J. Magner and Beatrice Magner, $2,750—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Market street, distant 450 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, said point being on the easterly boundary line of Lot No. 38 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Market street 25.380 feet to the westerly boundary line of said Lot No. 38; thence deflecting 80 degrees 04 minutes 15 seconds to the left and running southerly, parallel with Hattie street, along said westerly boundary line 50.490 feet; thence deflecting 119 degrees 10 minutes 03 seconds to the left and running northeasterly 28.631 feet to the easterly boundary line of said lot; thence deflecting 60 degrees 49 minutes 57 seconds to the left and running northerly along said easterly boundary line 40.913 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot No. 38 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract.

It is hereby understood that the above mentioned sum also includes damages in full to the adjoining portion of said Lot No. 38 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract caused or to be caused by the future establishment of a grade on the proposed extension of Market street.

The building now partially on the above described parcel is to become the property of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelso Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offer of Ella M. Haggerty et al. to Sell for $4,000 Property Required for Opening and Extending Twenty-sixth Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25495 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening and extending of Twenty-sixth street, extending from its easterly termination to Hampshire street, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Ella M. Haggerty et al., $4,000—Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Hampshire street, distant thereon 296.611 feet northerly from the northerly line of Army street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Hampshire street 67.468 feet; thence westerly 77.19 feet; thence southwesterly 31.978 feet; thence southeasterly 49.551 feet; thence easterly 110.689 feet to the westerly line of Hampshire street and the point of beginning.

Whereas, it is understood and agreed that the adjoining property of the undersigned will not be assessed for the improvement of Twenty-sixth street as extended from its easterly termination to Hampshire street, said improvements to include sewer, pavement, curbs and sidewalk.

Whereas, the City Attorney has

recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titie of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Rescinding Resolution of Intention Establishing Set-Back Lines Along the Southerly Line of Geary Street Between Fortieth and Forty-first Avenues.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25496 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 25198 (New Series) as declares it to be the intention to establish set-back lines along the southerly side of Geary street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues, be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Ordinance to Establish Set-Back Lines on Louisburg Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7544, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6778 and changing and modifying the set-back lines established therein along Louisburg street between Mount Vernon and Niagara avenues, and establishing such lines as changed and modified.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6778 (New Series) in so far as set-back lines are established along Louisburg street between Mount Vernon and Niagara avenues is hereby amended and said set-back lines are hereby changed and modified so as to read as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of Louisburg street between Mount Vernon avenue and a point 90 feet southwesterly from Niagara avenue, said set-back line to be 6 feet; along the southeasterly side of Louisburg street, commencing at a point 90 feet northeasterly from Mount Vernon avenue and running thence northeasterly to Niagara avenue, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And the set-back lines are established as herein modified.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25497 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Southwest corner Fifth avenue and Irving street.

Southwest and northeast corners Fifth avenue and Judah streets.

North side Lombard street, first and second wset of Fillmore street.

South side Lombard street, first west of Fillmore street.

Northwest and southeast corners Steiner and Lombard streets.

North side Lombard street, first west of Steiner street.

South side Lombard street, 102 feet west of Steiner street.

Southwest and northeast corners Lombard and Pierce streets.

Steiner street, first north of Lombard street.

East and west sides Pierce street, north of Lombard street.

Northwest and southeast corners Lombard and Gough streets.

Lily avenue, west of Laguna street.

North side Broadway, first and second east of Fillmore street.

South side Broadway, first east of Fillmore street.

North and south sides Broadway, first east of Webster street.

Northwest and southeast corners Diamond and Alvarado streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Larkin street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.

Revere street between Ingalls and Hawes streets.

Irving street and Fifth avenue.

Judah street and Fifth avenue.

Edgehill way, poles 101, 102, 103.

Rhode Island street between Twenty-second street and Southern Heights.

Rhode Island street between Twentieth street and Southern Heights.

De Haro street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets.

Steiner and Pierce streets between Lombard and Chestnut streets.

Lombard and Gough streets.

Lily avenue between Laguna and Buchanan streets.

Cherry street between California and Sacramento streets, opposite Hospital.

Diamond and Alvarado streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

South side Geary street between Fifth and Sixth avenues, front of Hospital.

Broadway between Fillmore and Webster streets.

Broadway between Webster and Buchanan streets.

Install 600 *Ornamental Brackets.*

Northwest corner Lombard and Pierce streets.

Southwest corner Lombard and Steiner streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Accepting Offer of Charles Robison to Sell Certain Land on Twenty-third Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25498 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Chas. Robison to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the west line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 200 feet south from Rivera street, required for school purpurposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,200 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northely 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Ke' Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Accepting Offer of Margaret Desmond to Sell Land on Santiago Street Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 25499 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Margaret Desmond to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate north line of Santiago street, distant 107 feet 6 inches easterly from Twenty-third avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,100 be and the same is hereby

accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the north line of Santiago street, distant thereon 107 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Santiago street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Santiago street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offer of John F. Kearney to Sell Land on Rivera Street Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 25500 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John F. Kearney to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate north line of Rivera street, distant 107 feet 6 inches westerly from Twenty-third avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Rivera street, distant thereon 107 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue; running thence westerly along said northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Rivera street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Municipal Bus Line Service Between Edna Street, Monterey Boulevard and Forest Hill Station.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 7545, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the operation of a bus line in connection with the Municipal Railway between Edna street and Monterey boulevard and Forest Hill Station, prescribing the route therefor and repealing certain portions of Ordinance No. 6724 (New Series) in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, under Ordinance No. 6724 (New Series), finally passed by the Board of Supervisors August 10, 1925, and approved by the Acting Mayor on August 11, 1925, the City did accept an offer of the Residential Development Company of San Francisco to purchase and furnish to

the City and County of San Francisco three (3) new busses, and the said City and County of San Francisco did agree to install and maintain a bus line on Monterey boulevard between Junipero Serra boulevard and Genesee street, to be operated in connection with and as a part of the Municipal Railway System, and to operate a certain number of busses between certain hours prescribed therein, and other prescribed conditions; and

Whereas, the Residential Development Company has delivered the three (3) busses in accordance with the terms of its offer; and

Whereas, the operation of the busses along the route on Monterey boulevard prescribed in said Ordinance No. 6724 (New Series) has been protested by the residents of St. Francis Wood; and

Whereas, the Residential Development Company, in order to eliminate the causes of such protest, has agreed in writing, under date of April 9, 1926, to a new route for the bus line as hereinafter set forth in lieu of that prescribed in Ordinance No. 6724 (New Series); Now, therefore, be it ordained that the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and instructed to install and operate immediately upon the completion of the paving of Laguna Honda boulevard north of Portola drive, which work it is estimated will require ninety days to complete, a municipal bus line along and over the following route:

Commencing at the intersection of Monterey boulevard and Edna street, thence south on Edna street to Hearst avenue, thence westerly on Hearst avenue to Hamburg street, thence northerly on Hamburg street to Monterey boulevard, thence westerly on Monterey boulevard to Plymouth avenue, thence in a general northerly direction over Plymouth avenue, Yerba Buena avenue, Miraloma drive, Portola drive and Laguna Honda boulevard to Forest Hill Station, and thence returning via Laguna Honda boulevard, Portola drive, Miraloma drive, Yerba Buena avenue, Plymouth avenue and Monterey boulevard to Edna street.

Transfers shall be exchanged between said bus line and the Municipal cars operated through the Twin Peaks tunnel.

Section 2. Such portions of Ordinance No. 6724 (New Series) as prescribe a route for a bus line specifically from the intersection of Junipero Serra boulevard and Monterey boulevard, thence running along Monterey boulevard to Genesee street and returning, and such other portions of said ordinance as may conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Lincoln Park Bus Line Discontinued.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25505 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Resolution No. 24565 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors on September 28, 1925, authorized and directed the Board of Public Works to install and maintain during the month of October, 1925, on Saturdays and Sundays only, a municipal bus, to be operated from the terminus of the "C" line of the Municipal Railway on California street and Thirty-second avenue to the California Palace of the Legion of Honor, in Lincoln Park, for the convenience of persons desiring to visit the world-famous Walker Loan Collection of Paintings, which were then on exhibit in the said California Palace of the Legion of Honor; and

Whereas, this bus is still being operated, at a considerable loss to the Municipal Railway, and there is no further necessity for the continuance of its operation; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be authorized and directed to discontinue the operation of said bus; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 24565 (New Series) be and the same is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Creating Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 7546, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Creating certain funds into which shall be paid certain sums and percentages of revenues derived from the operation of the Municipal Railway, appropriating said sums and percentages for the purposes herein stated, and repealing Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series), approved February 9, 1915.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. All money derived from the operation of the Municipal Railway shall be deposited in the Treasury to the credit of the Municipal Railway Fund, and appropriations therefrom are hereby made for the following purposes:

1. To meet the costs and expenses of operation.

2. From time to time there shall be set aside from said Municipal Railway Fund a sum or sums that shall aggregate annually a sum equal to two and one-half per cent of the gross passenger revenue of said railway and credited to the "Accident Reserve Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used, to pay claims for accidents and damages resulting from the operation of said railway (other than accidents to employees), and expenses incidental thereto, such as expenditures of claim and legal departments. Appropriations to pay such claims are hereby made.

3. From time to time there shall be set aside and transferred to the several bond interest and redemption funds sums sufficient to pay and in season to pay the principal and interest upon all bonds issued for the purpose of constructing said Municipal Railway.

4. From time to time there shall be set aside and transferred to the "Depreciation Reserve Fund," which fund is hereby created, a sum or sums which shall during the fiscal year aggregate a sum equal to 3 per cent of the total cost of the road and equipment of said Municipal Railway. The moneys in such "Depreciation Reserve Fund" shall be used for the purpose of meeting all charges and expenses that may arise on account of replacement, reconstruction and depreciation of and to the Municipal Railway. The Treasurer is hereby authorized to invest any surplus in said funds in such interest-bearing bonds as the law may authorize surplus municipal funds to be invested. All interest earned thereon shall be credited to the operative fund.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered that there shall be transferred from the existing Depreciation Fund provided for by Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series) and credited to the "Accident Reserve Fund," herein created, the sum of one hundred thousand dollars, and that there shall be transferred from said Depreciation Fund and credited to the Depreciation Reserve Fund the sum of one hundred thousand dollars. The money remaining in said "Depreciation Fund" after such transfers have been made shall be subject to appropriations heretofore made or to be hereafter made by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. That the Classification of Accounts as prescribed by the Interstate Commerce Commission for electric railways be adopted by the Board of Public Works as its authority in accounting for all transactions of the railroad, and, in accordance with common custom, accounts shall be so arranged that a complete profit and loss account and balance sheet may be furnished monthly.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series), approved February 9, 1915, is hereby repealed.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Discontinuance of Robert Searls' Contract as Special Hetch Hetchy Counsel.

The following was taken up:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the contract under which Robert M. Searls is employed as Special Legal Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project expired on March 1, 1926; and

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors the legal work in connection with the Hetch Hetchy project can be handled, at least temporarily, by the City Attorney; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the services of Robert M. Searls as Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project be discontinued and the contract for his employment be not renewed.

Recommitted to Public Utilities Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades, Green Street.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7547, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Green street between lines respectively 206.17 and 244 feet easterly from Jones street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of February, 1926, by Resolution No. 25177 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Green street between lines respectively 206.17 and 244 feet easterly from Jones street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the

Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Green Street.

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 244 feet easterly from Jones street, 289 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 231 feet easterly from Jones street, 285 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 231 feet easterly from Jones street, 285 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 231 feet easterly from Jones street, 296.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At last described point for curb to roadway, 289.30 feet.

At a point on the southwesterly curb line of, 28.60 feet northwesterly (measured along the southwesterly curb line of) from the last point, 286 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 206.17 feet easterly from Jones street, 285.57 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 206.17 feet easterly from Jones street, 300.66 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Green street between lines respectively 206.17 and 244 feet easterly from Jones street changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25501 (New Series), as follows:

Granting the City Construction Company an extension of sixty days' time from and after April 13, 1926, within which to complete the grading and sewering of Vienna street between Avalon and Silver avenues. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25502 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after April 18, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Chestnut street between Polk and Larkin streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is practically completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25503 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after April 21, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Curtis street from Morse street to a line 230 feet southerly from Brunswick street. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25504 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles L. Harney is hereby granted an extension of sixty days from and after April 16, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Key avenue between Third street and a line 350 feet westerly from Keith street. This extension of time is granted

pending the issuance of the assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25505 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after April 21, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Virginia avenue between Eugenia avenue and Winfield street. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Intention to Open New Street, Fraser Street.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25507 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the opening of a new street, to be known as Fraser street, from Newcomb avenue and Toland street to Jerrold avenue west of Toland street.

The land deemed necessary to be taken for the opening of said new street from Newcomb avenue and Toland street to Jerrold avenue west of Toland street is particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point distant 260 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue and distant 600 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Selby street, said point of beginning being the northeasterly corner of proposed Toland street and proposed Newcomb avenue, as described in Resolutions Numbers 17639 and 24089 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right of 274-foot radius, tangent to a line parallel with the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue, central angle 90 degrees, a distance of 430.399 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve and parallel with Selby street 786 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of Jerrold avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly and along the southwesterly line of Jerrold avenue, a distance of 40 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly and parallel with Selby street, a distance of 786 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left of 314-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 90 degrees, a distance of 493-231 feet to a point distant 220 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue and distant 600 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Selby street, said point being the southeasterly corner of proposed Toland street and proposed Newcomb avenue as described in the resolutions hereinbefore mentioned; thence northeasterly at right angles with the tangent to the preceding course and parallel with Selby street, a distance of 40 feet to the point of beginning, excepting therefrom those parcels described in Resolutions Numbers 17639 and 24089 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for the opening of McKinnon avenue, Newcomb avenue and Toland street.

The damages, costs and expenses of opening said street are nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying damages, costs and expenses thereof.

Said opening of said new street to be known as Fraser street from Newcomb avenue and Toland street to Jerrold avenue west of Toland street shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and sections following Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of said Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalks on Masonic Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7548, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, Ordinance No. 1061 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office April 2, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and three, to read as follows:

Section 903. The width of sidewalks on Masonic avenue between Upper Terrace and Roosevelt way shall be six (6) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Change of Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25508 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 91148 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted April 5, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed April 6, 1926, to-wit:

Thirtieth Avenue.

Lawton street, 235 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Lawton street, 250 feet.

100 feet northerly from Moraga street, 267 feet.

Easterly line of, at Moraga street, 274 feet.

Westerly line of, at Moraga street, 277 feet.

100 feet southerly from Moraga street, 273.50 feet.

100 feet northerly from Noriega street, 265.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 262 feet.

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 265 feet.

100 feet southerly from Noriega street, 265.50 feet.

100 feet northerly from Ortega street, 273.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Ortega street, 274 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Ortega street, 277 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Moraga Street.

Twenty-ninth avenue, 259 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirtieth avenue, easterly line. 274 feet.

Thirtieth avenue, westerly line, 277 feet.

Noriega Street.

Twenty-ninth avenue, westerly line, 281 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirtieth avenue, easterly line, 265 feet.

Thirtieth avenue, westerly line, 262 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Thirtieth avenue between Lawton and Ortega streets, and on Moraga and Noriega streets between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Lawton Street.

Fortieth avenue, easterly line, 123.50 feet.

Fortieth avenue, westerly line, 120.50 feet.

Forty-first avenue, easterly line, 100.50 feet.

Forty-first avenue, westerly line, 97.50 feet.

Forty-second avenue, easterly line, 86 feet.

Forty-second avenue, westerly line, 83 feet.

Forty-third avenue, easterly line, 79.13 feet. (The same being the present official grdae.)

Fortieth Avenue.

Kirkham street, 114 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet northerly from Lawton street, 120.67 feet.

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 123.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 120.50 feet.

Forty-first Avenue.

Kirkham street, 95 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

468.70 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 98.80 feet.

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 100.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 97.50 feet.

Forty-second Avenue.

Kirkham street, 78 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

200 feet northerly from Lawton street, 82.33 feet.

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 86 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 83 feet.

On Lawton street between Fortieth and Forty-third avenues, and on Fortieth, Forty-first and Forty-second avenues between Kirkham and Lawton streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Forty-fifth Avenue.

Lawton street, 50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

200 feet northerly from Moraga street, 45.67 feet.

Westerly line of, at Moraga street, 42 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Moraga street, 45 feet.

100 feet southerly from Moraga street, 43.70 feet.

100 feet northerly from Noriega street, 41.03 feet.

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 41 feet.

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 38.06 feet.

150 feet southerly from Noriega street, 37.84 feet.

150 feet northerly from Ortega street, 34.45 feet.

Easterly line of, at Ortega street, 34 feet.

Westerly line of, at Ortega street, 31.52 feet.

200 feet southerly from Ortega street, 31.06 feet.

100 feet northerly from Pacheco street, 28.51 feet.

Westerly line of, at Pacheco street, 27.32 feet.

Easterly line of, at Pacheco street, 28 feet.

150 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 28.64 feet.

Easterly line of, at Quintara street, 32.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Quintara street, 30.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-sixth Avenue.

Lawton street, 30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Lawton street, 28.10 feet.

Westerly line of, at Moraga street, 25.42 feet.

Easterly line of, at Moraga street, 27 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Noriega street, 27.76 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Noriega street, 28.66 feet.

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 26.43 feet.

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 28 feet.

Ortega street, 23 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet northerly from Pacheco street, 25.50 feet.

Pacheco street, 25 feet.

300 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 26.50 feet.

Quintara street, 25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-seventh Avenue.

Noriega street, 21 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ortega street, 16 feet.

200 feet southerly from Ortega street, 17 feet.

Pacheco street, 15 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Moraga Street.

Forty-fifth avenue, easterly line, 45 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, westerly line, 42 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-sixth avenue, easterly line, 27 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-sixth avenue, westerly line, 25.42 feet.

Forty-seventh avenue, 20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Noriega Street.

Forty-fifth avenue, easterly line, 41 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, westerly line, 38.06 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, easterly line, 28 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, westerly line, 26.43 feet.

Forty-seventh avenue, 21 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ortega Street.

Forty-fifth avenue, easterly line, 34 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, westerly line, 31.52 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, 23 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-seventh avenue, 16 feet.

Forty-eighth avenue, 14 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Pacheco Street.

Forty-fifth avenue, easterly line, 28 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, westerly line, 27.32 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, 25 feet.

Forty-seventh avenue, 15 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues between Lawton and Quintara streets, on Forty-seventh avenue between Noriega and Pacheco streets, on Moraga and Noriega streets between Forty-fifth and Forty-seventh avenues, on Ortega street between Forty-fifth and Forty-eighth avenues, and on Pacheco street between Forty-fifth and Firty-seventh avenues, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Forty-seventh Avenue.

Quintara street, 16 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet northerly from Rivera street, 18.50 feet.

Rivera street, 18 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Forty-seventh avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted bv the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovie Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Approving Map Showing Closing of Harlow and Dehon Streets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25509 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 90981 (Second Series), adopted March 26, 1926, approved a map showing the closing of portions of Dehon and Harlow streets between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the map showing the closing of portions of Dehon and Harlow streets between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades on Elizabeth Street.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7549, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Market street; on Market street between Twenty-third street and the southerly line of Elizabeth street, and on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and a line parallel with and 64 feet southerly therefrom."

Changing Grades on Ulloa Street.

Also, Bill No. 7550, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue; on Vicente street between Thirty-fifth avenue and the Great Highway; on Wawona street between Thirty-sixth avenue and the Great Highway; on Yorba street between Thirty-seventh and Fortieth avenues; on Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa and Wawona streets; on Thirty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Yorba streets; on Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Taraval and Yorba streets; on Forty-first Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues between Taraval and Wawona streets; on Forty-sixth avenue between Ulloa and Wawona streets; and on Forty-seventh avenue between Taraval street and Sloat boulevard, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor."

Changing Grades on Thirty-first Avenue and Rivera Street.

Also, Bill No. 7551, Ordinance No. ——(New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirty-first avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets and on Rivera street between Thirtieth and Thirty-second avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 6th day of January, 1926, by Resolution No. 25040 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Thirty-first avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets and on Rivera street between Thirtieth and Thirty-second avenues; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Thirty-first Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Quintara street, 240 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Quintara street, 239 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Quintara street, 224 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Quintara street, 223 feet.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 222 feet.

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 220.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Rivera street, 205.30 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Rivera street, 204.70 feet.

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 201 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 200.20 feet. The same being the present official grade.)

Rivera Street.

Thirtieth avenue westerly line, 249 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-first avenue easterly line, 222 feet.

Thirty-first avenue westerly line, 220.50 feet.

Thirty-second avenue easterly line, 214.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Thirty-first avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets and on Rivera street between Thirtieth and Thirty-second avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades on Huron and Naglee Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 7552, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Huron avenue between Foote and Whipple avenues and on Naglee avenue between lines parallel with and respectively 100 feet southeasterly from Huron avenue and 170 feet southeasterly from Winnipeg avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 30th day of January, 1926, by Resolution No. 25105 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Huron avenue between Foote and Whipple avenues, and on Naglee avenue between lines parallel with and respectively 100 feet southeasterly from Huron avenue and 170 feet southeasterly from Winnipeg avenue; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Huron Avenue.

Foote avenue, 222 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

200 feet northeasterly from Naglee avenue, 240 feet.

Naglee avenue northeasterly line, 246.40 feet.

Naglee avenue southwesterly line, 249.10 feet.

240 feet northeasterly from Whipple avenue, 260 feet.

Whipple avenue, 268 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Naglee Avenue.

100 feet southeasterly from Huron avenue, 251.29 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Huron avenue northeasterly line, 246.40 feet.

Huron avenue southwesterly line, 249.10 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Winnipeg avenue, 209 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Winnipeg avenue, 210 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Huron avenue between Foote and Whipple avenues, and on Naglee avenue between lines parallel with and respectively 100 feet southeasterly from Huron avenue and 170 feet southeasterly from Winnipeg avenue, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades on Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 7553, Ordinance No. ——(New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues between Moraga and Noriega streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 30th day of January, 1926, by Resolution No. 25107 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues between Moraga and Noriega streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Twenty-seventh Avenue.

Moraga street, 288 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Moraga street, 298.30 feet.

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 296.35 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 295 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-eighth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Moraga street, 271 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Moraga street, 268 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Moraga street, 277.67 feet.

250 feet southerly from Moraga street, 288.64 feet.

300 feet southerly from Moraga street, 291.29 feet.

350 feet southerly form Moraga street, 291.93 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

100 feet northerly from Noriega street, 290.80 feet.

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 290.35 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 289 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues between Moraga and Noriega streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades on Allison and Brunswick Streets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25510 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 90986 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted March 26, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed March 26, 1926, to-wit:

Allison Street.

Morse street, 298 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

83.33 feet southerly from Morse street, 309.25 feet.

133.33 feet southerly from Morse street, 315.06 feet.

183.33 feet southerly from Morse street, 319 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

150 feet northerly from Brunswick street, 323 feet.

100 feet northerly from Brunswick street, 327.12 feet.

50 feet northerly from Brunswick street, 333.50 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, at Brunswick street, 341 feet.

Southerly line of, at Brunswick street, 343 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Brunswick Street.

Concord street, 315 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Allison street, 341 feet.

Southerly line of, at Allison street, 343 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Pope street westerly line, 321 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Pope street westerly line, 323 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Allison street between Morse and Brunswick streets, and on Brunswick street between Concord and Pope streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades on Fifteenth and Sixteenth Avenues and on Rivera Street.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7554, Ordinance No. —— New Series), entitled, "Changing and reestablishing of the official grades on Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues between Quintara and Rivera streets and on Rivera street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues."

Replacement of Pavement by Private Corporations.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Badaracco, recommended by Streets Committee and laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public service corporations have been given undue privileges by the Board of Public Works to open up the streets of San Francisco, to the grave damage of said streets; and

Whereas, the surface of said streets is permitted to remain in a broken condition or with inadequate replacement of paving after such work is completed; and

Whereas, in several instances new concrete pavings recently laid by the City at the taxpayers' expense have been opened by said corporations immediately after completion, under permit from the Board of Public Works, to the lasting damage and partial destruction of said pavements; and

Whereas, such destruction is unnecessary, unjustifiable, and can be prevented by proper enforcement of the laws and protection of the City's interests; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and it is hereby instructed to see that all ordinances dealing with this subject matter are properly enforced hereafter; and in particular that streets be immediately repaired, and with proper material, immediately upon completion of work done by any public service corporation; and be it

Further Resolved, That further legislation be adopted by this Board whereby the Board of Public Works will be instructed and directed to notify each public service corporation of the intention of the City to pave any section of streets within the city limits thirty days in advance of the commencement of the work by the City, to the end that all underground installation necessary to be made by said corporation in said streets will then and there be made, and further providing

that no permit to open such newly paved street shall be hereafter granted by the City for a period of two years after such paving is completed; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be instructed to prepare such legislation as is necessary to carry this policy into effect.

Supervisor Harrelson's Substitute.

Supervisor Harrelson presented as a substitute:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public service corporations and the Board of Public Works have opened up the pavements in the streets of San Francisco to the grave damage of the said pavements; and

Whereas, the surface of said pavements is permitted to remain in a broken condition, or with inadequate replacement after such work is completed; and

Whereas, in many instances newly laid pavements, constructed at the expense of the taxpayers, have been opened by such corporations and the Board of Public Works immediately after completion to the lasting damage and partial destruction of said pavements; and

Whereas, such damage is almost wholly unnecessary and unjustifiable and can be decreased by a proper enforcement of the laws and protection of the City's interest; and

Whereas, accumulated debris, resulting from the opening up and the replacement of said pavements is allowed to remain, causing unnecessary nuisance to the public; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and is hereby instructed to enforce all ordinances dealing with this subject matter, and that pavements be immediately and properly reconstructed upon completion of work done in the street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works shall be instructed and directed to notify each public service corporation of the intention of the City, or property owners to pave any section of the streets within the city limits thirty (30) days in advance of the commencement of the paving, to the end that all underground installation, necessary to be made, will then and there be made. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney in conjunction with the City Engineer be instructed to prepare such resolution as is necessary to carry this project into effect.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman, both resolutions were *laid over one week and referred to the Streets and Commercial Development Committee.*

Award of Contract, Fire Hose.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25511 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies be and hereby is authorized and directed, pursuant to petition filed by the Board of Fire Commissioners, to purchase 6,000 feet of 3½-inch fire hose from Pioneer Rubber Mills at $1.23 per foot; said purchase being additional to the contract heretofore awarded to said Pioneer Rubber Mills on Proposal No. 175 by Resolution No. 25447 (New Series), adopted March 29, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Award of Contract, Water Hose.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25512 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pioneer Rubber Mills on bid submitted March 29, 1926 (Proposal No. 182), for the following, viz.: 8000 feet rubber water hose for the Park Commissioners at 15¾ cents per foot.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Summer Symphony Concerts, Citizens' Committee.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25513 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an enterprise is in progress providing for a series of concerts in San Francisco during the summer months under the auspices of the Summer Symphony Association; and

Whereas, the said enterprise is intended for the cultural betterment of this City and its success will be of inestimable benefit to the entire community; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco endorses the plan of the Summer Symphony Association for the said concerts and recommends the same to the wholehearted support of the people of this City; and be it

Further Resolved, That Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, be and is hereby requested to appoint a citizens' committee of such number as he may deem advisable to cooperate with the Summer Symphony Association for the purpose of insuring its success.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Thos. Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures, Delegated to Represent San Francisco at Convention.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25515 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has been advised by a communication from the Department of Commerce, Bureau of Standards, addressed to his Honor the Mayor, that the Nineteenth National Conference on Weights and Measures will be held in Washington, D. C., May 25 to 28, 1926, and inviting the City to be represented by the Sealer of Weights and Measures of the City and County of San Francisco at such conference; therefore, be it

Resolved, That Thomas Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures of this City and County, be and is hereby authorized and directed to represent the City and County of San Francisco at said conference to be held in Washington, D. C., upon the dates as above set forth.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Rezoning Sunset District.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the process of rezoning Judah and other streets in the Sunset District has occasioned much debate and some rancor; and

Whereas, it is the sense of this Board that these neighborhood difficulties are not in the best interest of this City; and

Whereas, there is likely to be a recurrence of the above conditions unless a general outline or plan is considered embracing the whole district, with an eye to its future growth; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission is requested to make a survey of the Sunset District, and to indicate or recommend a plan rezoning that in its judgment will fit the future requirements of the so-called Sunset District; and which plan will, if approved by this Board, be notice to all persons of what kind of buildings and business will be allowed on certain streets in said district; and be it

Further Resolved, That in conjunction with the above the City Engineer is requested to advise this Board of any plans or suggestions as to what streets are to be selected for future car lines in the Sunset District.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Heights of Buildings in Residence Districts.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the peace of neighborhoods, the convenience of citizens and the comfort of homes have been threatened and sometimes violated by the fact that property owners often insist on building higher than adjoining buildings and out of conformity with the homes already built; and

Whereas, there seems to be an imperative need for regulations on this subject; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Building Committee, the City Planning Committee of this Board of Supervisors, the City Planning Commission and the Hon. John P. Horgan, Chief of the Bureau of Building Inspection, are requested to confer and to present to this Board at the earliest date an ordinance regulating and limiting the height of buildings in the residential areas and in any

other districts they deem such regulation necessary.

Referred to Public Buildings and City Planning Committees.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 21, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the **Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and** approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 21—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

No. 16

Monday, April 19, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 19, 1926, 2 P M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 19, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Quroum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Marks was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Assignment of Lease, City Property.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from The California Steel Products Company, making application for assignment of lease on property owned by the City on the northwest corner of Taylor and Bay streets under the same terms and conditions as it is now leased to the California Packing Corporation, to which corporation such assignment is acceptable.

Real by Clerk and resolution *adopted.*

Amendment to Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series)—Street Specifications.

Supervisor Harrelson presented:

Proposed amendment to Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series), relative to extensions of time for doing street work.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Amendment to Ordinance No. 240 (New Series)—Street Specifications.

Supervisor Harrelson presented:

Proposed amendment to Ordinance No. 240 (New Series), relative to asphaltic concrete mixtures for street pavements.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Proposed Bay Bridge.

Communication, from Robert L. Dunn, transmitting plans of proposed bridge across San Francisco Bay, beginning at the intersection of Second street at the center line of Berry street in San Francisco, and extending easterly to the water front line and across the Bay of San Francisco to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street in the City of Alameda. Length of bridge to be 26,000 feet; with of roadway of the bridge to be 80 feet.

Also, advising that he is now publishing a notice of application for franchise for the construction of said bridge in San Francisco and in Alameda counties.

Filed and further consideration continued until April 26, 1926.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor A. J. Gallagher.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 19, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher, member of your Honorable Board, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of fifteen (15) days, commencing April 19, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25516 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing April 19, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS— 2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Eighteenth avenue, Thirty-third avenue, Thirty-fourth avenue, Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue.

No objections being offered the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7555, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Eighteenth avenue, Thirty-third avenue, Thirty-fourth avenue, Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22nd day of March, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 119 to establish set-back lines along Eighteenth avenue, Thirty-third avenue, Thirty-fourth avenue, Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue, and fixed the 19th day of April, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 12 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue between Vicente street and Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Thirty-first avenue, Santiago street, Fourteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Marina boulevard.

No objections being offered the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7556, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-first avenue, Santiago street, Ulloa street, Fourteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Marina boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22nd day of March, 1926, the Board of Supervisors

adopted Resolution of Intention No. 120 to establish set-back lines along Thirty-first avenue, Santiago street, Ulloa street, Fourteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Marina boulevard, and fixed the 19th day of April, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-first avenue commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly 425 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-first avenue commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the northerly side of Santiago street, between Twenty-first avenue and Twenty-second avenue, said set-back line to be 11 feet.

Along the southerly side of Ulloa street, between Thirty-first avenue and Thirty-second avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Rivera street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along both sides of Sixteenth avenue commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Marina boulevard commecning at Broderick street and running thence westerly 322½ feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Sale of City Lands.

Pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 7017 (New Series), Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, bids or offers were received at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, second floor in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, April 19, 1926, for the sale of the following described parcel of land, owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation. Said parcel of land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of O'Farrell street, distant thereon 197 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Stockton street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of O'Farrell street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 73 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 73 feet to the northerly line of O'Farrell street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 121, also known as Lot 11, Block 313, on Assessor's Map Book.

Said parcel of land will be sold on the above mentioned date at public auction, duly authorized by the said ordinance, to the person making the highest cash bid therefor, such sale, however, to be subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with law. A deposit in the sum of ten (10) per cent of the amount bid

will be required of the successful bidder before the sale can be made.

Bid.

George Cohn bid $92,750 for the foregoing property, which was the highest and best bid. He deposited a certified check on Mercantile Trust Company for $9,275, payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25517 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Landau Economic Syphon Co., supplies furnished parks (claim dated April 9, 1926), $502.89.

Spreckels Special Deposit Fund (Honora Sharp Trust).

(2) Talbot Bequest Fund, care of Park Commission, for investment in School Bonds, Issue 1918 (claim dated April 9, 1926), $2,030.30.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(3) L. Flatland, fifth payment, electrical work, High School of Commerce (claim dated April 6, 1926), $4,482.84.

(4) Mahony Bros., nineteenth payment, general construction, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 6, 1926), $38,865.37.

(5) W. H. Picard, sixteenth payment, mechanical equipment, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 6, 1926), $1,280.56.

(6) A. Lettich, tenth payment, plumbing work, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 6, 1926), $1,098.04.

(7) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth payment. mechanical equipment, New Mission High School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $4,733.43.

(8) Standard Electrical Construction Co., third payment, electrical work for the Le Conte School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $1,109.32.

(9) Herman Lawson, second payment, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $2,996.25.

(10) Latourette-Fical Co., sixth payment, mechanical equipment, Alvarado School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $1,159.31.

(11) L. Flatland, third payment, electrical work for Alvarado School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $1,123.27.

(12) M. E. Ryan, fourth payment, electrical work, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated April 6, 1926), $773.25.

County Road Fund.

(13) Bond Construction Company, fourth payment, construction of bridge at Mt. Vernon and San Jose avenues (claim dated April 7, 1926), $18,000.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(14) Clinton Construction Co., fifteenth payment, general construction of buildings for use of Relief Home (claim dated April 6, 1926), $63,247.50.

(15) M. E. Ryan, tenth payment, electrical work in buildings for Relief Home (claim dated April 6, 1926), $2,022.33.

(16) F. W. Snook Co., fifteenth payment, plumbing and gasfitting work, Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 6, 1926), $2,989.23

(17) F. W. Snook Co., fourteenth payment, mechanical equipment, etc., Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 6, 1926), $2,909.70.

(18) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,985.

(19) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $992.50.

(20) Mangrum & Otter, tables for new Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,123.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(21) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 1, 1926), $1,302.04.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(22) L. P. Brassey, first payment, construction of Municipal railway on Judah street from Thirty-first to Forty-first avenues (claim dated April 6, 1926), $3,930.

Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(23) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., first payment for core borings along line of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Coast Range Division, Contract 110 (claim dated April 7, 1926), $3,609.98.

(24) Hercules Powder Co., exploders with caps, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 5, 1926), $660.47.

(25) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated April 5, 1926), $585.48.

(26) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, galvanized iron, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 1, 1926), $886.75.

(27) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 1, 1926), $589.90.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(28) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated April 9, 1926), $8,383.03.

(29) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated April 9, 1926), $972.31.

(30) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated April 9, 1926), $8,374.21.

(31) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oils, street cleaning (claim dated April 5, 1926), $623.64.

(32) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1.-794.02.

(33) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated April 5, 1926), $746.64.

(34) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated April 5, 1926), $869.25.

(35) Granfield Tire & Supply Co., tires for street cleaning apparatus (claim dated April 1, 1926), $984.48.

(36) Frank Bryant, first payment, construction of Evans avenue bridge at Army street (claim dated April 7, 1926), $1,650.

(37) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels, spreads, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,870.44.

(38) Martin Camm Co., flour-handling unit for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,107.

(39) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $2,515.58.

(40) Haas Brothers, sugar for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,794.52.

(41) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $828.20.

(42) Columbia Machine Works, repairs to engine, Relief Home (claim dated March 30, 1926), $791.60.

(43) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,093.16.

(44) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,277.10.

(45) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $837.80.

(46) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,908.36.

(47) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $817.95.

(48) H. E. Teller Co., coffee, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $502.50.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $624.33.

(50) San Francicso Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated April 12, 1926), $596.30.

(51) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated April 12, 1926), $883.72.

(52) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for departments (claim dated April 8, 1926), $924.

(53) Bureau of Management Research, services rendered in standardization of salaries, for Civil Service Commission (claim dated Feb. 27, 1926), $1,250.92.

Auditorium Fund.

(54) Wilcox & Company, printing for account of Municipal Popular Concerts (claim dated Jan. 25, 1926), $837.50.

Playground Fund.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated April 7, 1926), $958.90.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(56) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of the valuation of electric properties of the Pacific Gas & Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co. for month of April, 1926 (claim dated April 12, 1926), $3.000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriations for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25518 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Mary Josephine Holling, for lands situate on the northerly

line of Anza street, commencing 26 feet 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence westerly on northerly line of Anza street 25 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 25x100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25464, New Series (claim dated April 12, 1926), $1,525.

(2) To W. J. and Rose Bradley, for lands on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, commencing 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly on easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 97 feet, more or less; thence northwesterly 50 feet, more or less; thence easterly 92 feet and ⅛ inch; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25466, New Series (claim dated April 12, 1926), $2,927.38.

(3) To F. W. Hammond, for lands commencing at point formed by intersection of northerly line of Santiago street with the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly on the northerly line of Santiago street 32 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 32½x100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25465, New Series (claim dated April 12, 1926), $1,850.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriation, $946, Payment to Eva Pincus for Property Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Resolution No. 25519 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $946 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment and authorized in payment to Eva Pincus for property required for construction of the Duboce Tunnel; said property beginning at a point distant 250 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 44.279 feet; of irregular dimensions; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No 25391, New Series. (Claim dated April 6, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Appropriating $1,541 for Installing Boilers in the Juvenile Detention Home.

Resolution No. 25520 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,541 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Miscellaneous Repairs to and Maintenance of Buildings," Budget Item No. 52, for furnishing and installing one No. 28-9 steam boiler and increasing size of present boiler to 28-9; both to be bricked and covered; pits excavated and concreted, etc., at Juvenile Detention Home building.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Amending Ordinance to Establish Set-Back Lines on Louisburg Street.

Bill No. 7544, Ordinance No. 7058 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6778 and changing and modifying the set-back lines established therein along Louisburg street between Mount Vernon and Niagara avenues, and establishing such lines as changed and modified.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6778 (New Series) in so far as set-back lines are established along Louisburg street between Mount Vernon and Niagara avenues is hereby amended and said set-back lines are hereby changed and modified so as to read as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of Louisburg street between Mount Vernon avenue and a point 90 feet southwesterly from Niagara avenue, said set-back line to be 6 feet; along the southeasterly side of Louisburg street, commencing at a point 90 feet northeasterly from Mount Vernon avenue and running thence northeasterly to Niagara avenue, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And the set-back lines are established as herein modified.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Municipal Bus Line Service Between Edna Street, Monterey Boulevard and Forest Hill Station.

Bill No. 7545, Ordinance No. 7059 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the operation of a bus line in connection with the Municipal Railway between Edna street and Monterey boulevard and Forest Hill Station, prescribing the route therefor and repealing certain portions of Ordinance No. 6724 (New Series) in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, under Ordinance No. 6724 (New Series), finally passed by the Board of Supervisors August 10, 1925, and approved by the Acting Mayor on August 11, 1925, the City did accept an offer of the Residential Development Company of San Francisco to purchase and furnish to the City and County of San Francisco three (3) new busses, and the said City and County of San Francisco did agree to install and maintain a bus line on Monterey boulevard between Junipero Serra boulevard and Genesee street, to be operated in connection with and as a part of the Municipal Railway System, and to operate a certain number of busses between certain hours prescribed therein, and other prescribed conditions; and

Whereas, the Residential Development Company has delivered the three (3) busses in accordance with the terms of its offer; and

Whereas, the operation of the busses along the route on Monterey boulevard prescribed in said Ordinance No. 6724 (New Series) has been protested by the residents of St. Francis Wood; and

Whereas, the Residential Development Company, in order to eliminate the causes of such protest, has agreed in writing, under date of April 9, 1926, to a new route for the bus line as hereinafter set forth in lieu of that prescribed in Ordinance No. 6724 (New Series);

Now, therefore, be it ordained that the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and instructed to install and operate immediately upon the completion of the paving of Laguna Honda boulevard north of Portola drive, which work it is estimated will require ninety days to complete, a municipal bus line along and over the following route:

Commencing at the intersection of Monterey boulevard and Edna street, thence south on Edna street to Hearst avenue, thence westerly on Hearst avenue to Hamburg street, thence northerly on Hamburg street to Monterey boulevard, thence westerly on Monterey boulevard to Plymouth avenue, thence in a general northerly direction over Plymouth avenue, Yerba Buena avenue, Miraloma drive, Portola drive and Laguna Honda boulevard to Forest Hill Station, and thence returning via Laguna Honda boulevard, Portola drive, Miraloma drive, Yerba Buena avenue, Plymouth avenue and Monterey boulevard to Edna street.

Transfers shall be exchanged between said bus line and the Municipal cars operated through the Twin Peaks tunnel.

Section 2. Such portions of Ordinance No. 6724 (New Series) as prescribe a route for a bus line specifically from the intersection of Junipero Serra boulevard and Monterey boulevard, thence running along Monterey boulevard to Genesee street and returning, and such other portions of said ordinance as may conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—14.

Noes—Supervisors Powers, Stanton—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Creating Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

Bill No. 7546, Ordinance No. 7060 (New Series), as follows:

Creating certain funds into which shall be paid certain sums and percentages of revenues derived from the operation of the Municipal Railway, appropriating said sums and percentages for the purposes herein stated, and repealing Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series), approved February 9, 1915.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. All money derived from the operation of the Municipal Railway shall be deposited in the Treasury to the credit of the Municipal Railway Fund, and appropriations therefrom are hereby made for the following purposes:

1. To meet the costs and expenses of operation.

2. From time to time there shall be set aside from said Municipal

Railway Fund a sum or sums that shall aggregate annually a sum equal to two and one-half per cent of the gross passenger revenue of said railway and credited to the "Accident Reserve Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used, to pay claims for accidents and damages resulting from the operation of said railway (other than accidents to employees), and expenses incidental thereto, such as expenditures of claim and legal departments. Appropriations to pay such claims are hereby made.

3. From time to time there shall be set aside and transferred to the several bond interest and redemption funds sums sufficient to pay and in season to pay the principal and interest upon all bonds issued for the purpose of constructing said Municipal Railway.

4. From time to time there shall be set aside and transferred to the "Depreciation Reserve Fund," which fund is hereby created, a sum or sums which shall during the fiscal year aggregate a sum equal to 3 per cent of the total cost of the road and equipment of said Municipal Railway. The moneys in such "Depreciation Reserve Fund" shall be used for the purpose of meeting all charges and expenses that may arise on account of replacement, reconstruction and depreciation of and to the Municipal Railway. The Treasurer is hereby authorized to invest any surplus in said funds in such interest-bearing bonds as the law may authorize surplus municipal funds to be invested. All interest earned thereon shall be credited to the operative fund.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered that there shall be transferred from the existing Depreciation Fund provided for by Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series) and credited to the "Accident Reserve Fund," herein created, the sum of one hundred thousand dollars, and that there shall be transferred from said Depreciation Fund and credited to the Depreciation Reserve Fund the sum of one hundred thousand dollars. The money remaining in said "Depreciation Fund" after such transfers have been made shall be subject to appropriations heretofore made or to be hereafter made by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. That the Classification of Accounts as prescribed by the Interstate Commerce Commission for electric railways be adopted by the Board of Public Works as its authority in accounting for all transactions of the railroad, and, in accordance with common custom, accounts shall be so arranged that a complete profit and loss account and balance sheet may be furnished monthly.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 3109 (New Series), approved February 9, 1915, is hereby repealed.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Changing Grades, Green Street.

Bill No. 7547, Ordinance No. 7061 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Green street between lines respectively 206.17 and 244 feet easterly from Jones street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisore, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of February, 1926, by Resolution No. 25177 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Green street between lines respectively 206.17 and 244 feet easterly from Jones street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Green Street.

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 244 feet easterly from Jones street, 289 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 231 feet easterly from Jones street, 285 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 231 feet easterly from Jones street, 285 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 231 feet easterly from

Jones street, 296.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At last described point for curb to roadway, 289.30 feet.

At a point on the southwesterly curb line of, 28.60 feet northwesterly (measured along the southwesterly curb line of) from the last point, 286 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 206.17 feet easterly from Jones street, 285.57 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 206.17 feet easterly from Jones street, 300.66 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Green street between lines respectively 206.17 and 244 feet easterly from Jones street changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Fixing Sidewalks on Masonic Avenue.

Bill No. 7548, Ordinance No. 7062 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office April 2, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and three, to read as follows:

Section 903. The width of sidewalks on Masonic avenue between Upper Terrace and Roosevelt way shall be six (6) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Changing Grades, Elizabeth Street, Market Street and Burnham Street.

Bill No. 7549, Ordinance No. 7063 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Market street; on Market street between Twenty-third street and the southerly line of Elizabeth street, and on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and a line parallel with and 64 feet southerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 30th day of January, 1926, by Resolution No. 25106 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Market street; on Market street between Twenty-third street and the southerly line of Elizabeth street, and on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and a line parallel with and 64 feet southerly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Elizabeth Street.

Southerly line of, at Hoffman avenue, 336 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Hoffman avenue westerly line, 340 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 4 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 339.06 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 30 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 346.02 feet.

Northerly line of, 454.17 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 461.64 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 455 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 459.64 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 455 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 459.64 feet.

Southerly line of, 490 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 467 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 490 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 467 feet.

30 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 490 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 467 feet.

32.50 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 490 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 472.67 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 485 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 465.95 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 525.50 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 474.15 feet.

30 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 525.50 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 474.15 feet.

32.50 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 525.50 feet westerly from Hoffman avenue, 474.25 feet.

At a point on the southerly curb line of, 9.08 feet southwesterly (measured along the curb line) from the intersection of the easterly line of Burnham street produced northerly with the southerly curb line of Elizabeth street, 481.54 feet.

28 feet northerly from the southerly line of, produced, and 16 feet westerly from Burnham street easterly line, 479.24 feet.

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, produced, and 33 feet westerly from Burnham street easterly line, 482 feet.

Market Street.

At a point 24.50 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 21 feet northerly at right angles from Elizabeth street northerly line, 470.70 feet.

At a point 7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 11.50 feet northerly from Elizabeth street, 470.70 feet.

40.50 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 3 feet northerly from Elizabeth street, 472.40 feet.

Burnham Street.

33 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 7 feet northerly from Elizabeth street southerly line, 482 feet.

At a point on the easterly curb line of, 9.08 feet (measured along the curb line) southwesterly from the easterly line of Burnham street produced, 481.54 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 18.74 feet southerly from Elizabeth street, 478.37 feet.

64 feet southerly from Elizabeth street, 474 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Market street; on Market street between Twenty-third street and the southerly line of Elizabeth street, and on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and a line parallel with and 64 feet southerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevation above given therefor and the present official grades of Market street as shown on map on file in the office of the City and County Recorder. Grades fixed by Ordinance No. 5593 (New Series).

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy; Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Changing Grades, Ulloa Street, Vicente Street, Wawona Street, Yorba Street, Thirty-sixth Avenue, Thirty-seventh Avenue, Thirty-eighth Avenue, Thirtyninth Avenue, Fortieth Avenue, Forty-first Avenue, Forty-second Avenue, Forty-third Avenue, Forty-fourth Avenue, Forty-fifth Avenue, Forty-sixth Avenue and Forty-seventh Avenue.

Bill No. 7550, Ordinance No. 7064 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue; on Vicente street between Thirty-fifth avenue and the Great Highway; on Wawona street between Thirty-sixth avenue and the Great Highway; on Yorba street between Thirty-seventh and Fortieth avenues; on Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa and Wawona streets; on Thirty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Yorba streets; on Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Taraval and Yorba streets; on Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third. Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues between Taraval and Wawona streets; on Forty-sixth avenue between Ulloa and Wawona streets, and on Forty-seventh avenue between Taraval street and Sloat boulevard.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did,

on the 16th day of January, 1926, by Resolution No. 25041 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue; on Vicente street between Thirty-fifth avenue and the Great Highway; on Wawona street between Thirty-sixth avenue and the Great Highway; on Yorba street between Thirty-seventh and Fortieth avenues; on Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa and Wawona streets; on Thirty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Yorba streets; on Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Taraval and Yorba streets; on Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues between Taraval and Wawona streets; on Forty-sixth avenue between Ulloa and Wawona streets, and on Forty-seventh avenue between Taraval street and Sloat boulevard; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Ulloa Street.

Thirty-seventh avenue, 99 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

120 feet westerly from Thirty-seventh avenue, 99.20 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, 99 feet.

120 feet westerly from Thirty-eighth avenue, 99.20 feet.

Thirty-ninth avenue, 99 feet.

120 feet westerly from Thirty-ninth avenue, 99.20 feet.

Fortieth avenue, 99 feet.

120 feet westerly from Fortieth avenue, 99.20 feet.

Forty-first avenue, 99 feet.

Forty-second avenue, 97 feet.

Forty-third avenue, easterly line, 80.50 feet.

Forty-third avenue, westerly line, 77 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, easterly line, 58 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, westerly line, 54.50 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, 35.50 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, 30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-seventh avenue, 14.50 feet.

Forty-eighth avenue, 12.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Vicente Street.

Thirty-fifth avenue, 81 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-sixth avenue, easterly line, 76.63 feet.

Thirty-sixth avenue, westerly line, 75.36 feet.

Thirty-seventh avenue, easterly line, 71 feet.

Thirty-seventh avenue, westerly line, 70.43 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, easterly line, 68.50 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, westerly line, 67.93 feet.

Thirty-ninth avenue, easterly line, 66 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-ninth avenue, westerly line, 65.49 feet.

Fortieth avenue, easterly line, 63.68 feet.

Fortieth avenue, westerly line, 63.15 feet.

Forty-first avenue, easterly line, 61.34 feet.

Forty-first avenue, westerly line, 60.81 feet.

Forty-second avenue, easterly line, 59 feet.

Forty-second avenue, westerly line, 57.11 feet.

Forty-third avenue, easterly line, 50.66 feet.

Forty-third avenue, westerly line, 48.78 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, easterly line, 42.33 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, westerly line, 40.45 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, easterly line, 34 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, westerly line, 33 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-sixth avenue, easterly line, 24.84 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, westerly line, 22.46 feet.

Forty-seventh avenue, 14.30 feet.

Center line of, at the Great Highway easterly line, 12.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Wawona Street.

Thirty-sixth avenue, 96 feet.

(The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Thirty-seventh avenue, 91 feet.

Southerly line of, at Thirty-seventh avenue, 93 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, easterly line, 90.06 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, westerly line, 89.50 feet.

Thirty-ninth avenue, easterly line, 87.56 feet.

Thirty-ninth avenue, westerly line, 87 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Fortieth avenue, easterly line, 81.58 feet.

Fortieth avenue, westerly line, 80 feet.

Forty-first avenue, easterly line, 67.34 feet.

Forty-first avenue, westerly line, 63.65 feet.

Forty-second avenue, easterly line, 51 feet.

Forty-second avenue, westerly line, 50.28 feet.

Forty-third avenue, easterly line, 47.85 feet.

Forty-third avenue, westerly line, 47.14 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, easterly line, 44.71 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, westerly line, 44 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, easterly line, 32.22 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, westerly line, 28.78 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, easterly line, 17 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, westerly line, 16.44 feet.

Forty-seventh avenue, easterly line, 14.55 feet.

Forty-seventh avenue, westerly line, 14 feet.

Center line of, at the Great Highway easterly line, 12.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Yorba Street.

Thirty-seventh avenue, 93 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, easterly line, 75 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, westerly line, 74.43 feet.

Thirty-ninth avenue, 72.50 feet.

Fortieth avenue, 62 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-sixth Avenue.

Ulloa street, 130 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

200 feet northerly from Vicente street, 90 feet.

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 76.63 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 75.36 feet.

Wawona street, 96 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-seventh Avenue.

Ulloa street, 99 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 71 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 70.43 feet.

210 feet southerly from Vicente street, 72 feet.

Wawona street, northerly line, 91 feet.

Wawona street, southerly line, 93 feet.

150 feet southerly from Wawona street, 99.75 feet.

200 feet southerly from Wawona street, 101.15 feet.

250 feet southerly from Wawona street, 100.88 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Yorba street, 93 feet.

Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 116 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 115.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ulloa street, 99 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 93.16 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 91.30 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 88.07 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 93.16 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 91.29 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 88.01 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 68.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 67.93 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente street, 69.73 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente street, 70.76 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly

PUBLIC LIBRARY

line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente street, 73.32 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente, 69.68 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente street, 70.73 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente, 73.27 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Wawona street, 90.06 feet.

Westerly line of, at Wawona street, 89.50 feet.

Easterly line of, at Yorba street, 75 feet.

Westerly line of, at Yorba street, 74.43 feet.

Thirty-ninth Avenue.

Taraval street, 103.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ulloa street, 99 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 96.25 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 94.59 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 90.73 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 96.25 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 94.58 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 90.69 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 66 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 65.49 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Vicente street, 79.68 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Vicente street, 83.22 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente street, 84.67 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Vicente street, 79.61 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Vicente street, 83.20 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente street, 84.63 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Wawona street, 87.56 feet.

Westerly line of, at Wawona street, 87 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Wawona street, 85.41 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Wawona street, 84.58 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Wawona street, 82.92 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Wawona street, 85.35 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Wawona street, 84.56 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Wawona street, 82.92 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Yorba street, 72.50 feet.

Fortieth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 92 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 91.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ulloa street, 99 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 94.33 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 92.39 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 88.43 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 94.33 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 92.37 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 88.38 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 63.68 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 63.15 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly

line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente street, 80.60 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente street, 83.03 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente street, 83.54 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente street, 80.55 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente street, 82.98 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente street, 83.39 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Wawona street, 81.58 feet.

Westerly line of, at Wawona street, 80 feet.

Yorba street, 62 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-first Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 90 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 89.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ulloa street, 99 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 96.75 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 95.10 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 91.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 96.75 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 95.09 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 91.62 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 61.34 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 60.81 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente street, 68.54 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente street, 69.49 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente street, 69.43 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last hree described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente street, 68.49 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente street, 69.39 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente street, 69.08 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last hree described points.)

Easterly line of, at Wawona street, 67.34 feet.

Wesetrly line of, at Wawona street, 63.65 feet.

Forty-second Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 86 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 85 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Taraval street, 87 feet.

Ulloa street, 97 feet.

183.88 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 95.16 feet.

233.88 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 93.53 feet.

283.88 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 89.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

100 feet northerly from Vicente street, 68.05 feet.

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 59 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 57.11 feet.

100 feet southerly from Vicente street, 56.81 feet.

100 feet northerly from Wawona street, 51.88 feet.

Easterly line of, at Wawona street, 51 feet.

Westerly line of, at Wawona street, 50.28 feet.

Forty-third Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 73 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 69.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Taraval street, 72.54 feet.

100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, 77.50 feet.

Easterly line of, at Ulloa street, 80.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Ulloa street, 77 feet.

100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 77.85 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 74.09 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 73.34 feet.

15 feet westerly from the east-

erly line of, 400 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 69.83 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 74.09 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 73.28 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 400 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 69.61 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 50.66 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 48.78 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 44 feet southerly from Vicente street, 50.48 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 111 feet southerly from Vicente street, 49.73 feet.

Easterly line of, at Wawona street, 47.85 feet.

Westerly line of, at Wawona street, 47.14 feet.

Forty-fourth Avenue.

Taraval street, 57.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Taraval street, 59.85 feet.

100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, 57.60 feet.

Easterly line of, at Ulloa street, 58 feet.

Westerly line of, at Ulloa street, 54.50 feet.

100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 54.77 feet.

100 feet northerly from Vicente street, 43.87 feet.

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 42.33 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 40.45 feet.

Easterly line of, at Wawona street, 44.71 feet.

Westerly line of, at Wawona street, 44 feet.

Forty-fifth Avenue.

Taraval street, 45.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ulloa street, 35.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 70 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 35.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 130 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 35.75 feet.

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 34 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 33 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 69 feet southerly from Vicente street, 34.13 feet.

Easterly line of, at Wawona street, 32.22 feet.

Westerly line of, at Wawona street, 28.78 feet.

Forty-sixth Avenue.

Ulloa street, 30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 25.83 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 24.47 feet.

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 24.84 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 22.46 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Vicente street, 18.88 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Vicente street, 18.56 feet.

Easterly line of, at Wawona street, 17 feet.

Westerly line of, at Wawona street, 16.44 feet.

Forty-seventh Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 24.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 24 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Taraval street, 17 feet.

Ulloa street, 14.50 feet.

280 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 15.90 feet.

Vicente street, 14.30 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 282 feet southerly from Vicente street, 15.71 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 313 feet southerly from Vicente street, 15.86 feet.

Easterly line of, at Wawona street, 14.55 feet.

Westerly line of, at Wawona street, 14 feet.

Sloat boulevard, 16.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue; on Vicente street between Thirty-fifth avenue and the Great Highway; on Wawona street between Thirty-sixth avenue and the Great Highway; on Yorba street between Thirty-seventh and Fortieth avenues; on Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa and Wawona streets; on Thirty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Yorba streets; on Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth

avenues between Taraval and Yorba streets; on Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues between Taraval and Wawona streets; on Forty-sixth avenue between Ulloa and Wawona streets, and on Forty-seventh avenue between Taraval street and Sloat boulevard, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Changing Grades on Thirty-first Avenue and Rivera Street.

Bill No. 7551, Ordinance No. 7065 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirty-first avenue between Juintara and Santiago streets and on Rivera street between Thirtieth and Thirty-second avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 6th day of January, 1926, by Resolution No. 25040 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Thirty-first avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets and on Rivera street between Thirtieth and Thirty-second avenues; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Thirty-first Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Quintara street, 240 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Quintara street, 239 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Quintara street, 224 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Quintara street, 223 feet.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 222 feet.

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 220.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Rivera street, 205.30 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Rivera street, 204.70 feet.

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 201 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 200.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Rivera Street.

Thirtieth avenue westerly line, 249 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-first avenue easterly line, 222 feet.

Thirty-first avenue westerly line, 220.50 feet.

Thirty-second avenue easterly line, 214.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Thirty-first avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets and on Rivera street between Thirtieth and Thirty-second avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Changing Grades on Huron and Naglee Avenues.

Bill No. 7552, Ordinance No. 7066 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Huron avenue between Foote and Whipple avenues and on Naglee avenue between lines parallel with and respectively 100 feet southeasterly from Huron avenue and 170 feet southeasterly from Winnipeg avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 30th day of January, 1926, by Resolution No. 25105 (New

Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Huron avenue between Foote and Whipple avenues, and on Naglee avenue between lines parallel with and respectively 100 feet southeasterly from Huron avenue and 170 feet southeasterly from Winnipeg avenue; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base ase hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Huron Avenue.

Foote avenue, 222 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

200 feet northeasterly from Naglee avenue, 240 feet.

Naglee avenue northeasterly line, 246.40 feet.

Naglee avenue southwesterly line, 249.10 feet.

240 feet northeasterly from Whipple avenue, 260 feet.

Whipple avenue, 268 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Naglee Avenue.

100 feet southeasterly from Huron avenue, 251.29 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Huron avenue northeasterly line, 246.40 feet.

Huron avenue southwesterly line, 249.10 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Winnipeg avenue, 209 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Winnipeg avenue, 210 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Huron avenue between Foote and Whipple avenues, and on Naglee avenue between lines parallel with and respectively 100 feet southeasterly from Huron avenue and 170 feet southeasterly from Winnipeg avenue, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Changing Grades on Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth Avenues.

Bill No. 7553, Ordinance No. 7067 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues between Moraga and Noriega streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 30th day of January, 1926, by Resolution No. 25107 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues between Moraga and Noriega streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Twenty-seventh Avenue.

Moraga street, 288 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Moraga street, 298.30 feet.

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 296.35 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 295 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-eighth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Moraga street, 271 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Moraga street, 268 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Moraga street, 277.67 feet.

250 feet southerly from Moraga street, 288.64 feet.

300 feet southerly from Moraga street, 291.29 feet.

350 feet southerly from Moraga street, 291.93 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

100 feet northerly from Noriega street, 290.80 feet.

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 290.35 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 289 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues between Moraga and Noriega streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Ken Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Changing Grades, Fifteenth Avenue, Sixteenth Avenue and Rivara Street.

Bill No. 7554, Ordinance No. 7068 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues between Quintara and Rivera streets, and on Rivera street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 16th day of January, 1926, by Resolution No. 25039 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues between Quintara and Rivera streets, and on Rivera street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues; and

Whereas, said resolutoin was so published for ten days and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and establish as follows:

Fifteenth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Quintara street southerly line, 545 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Quintara street, 543 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Quintara street southerly line, 543.43 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 30 feet southerly from Quintara street, 542.36 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 60 feet southerly from Quintara street, 539.18 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Quintara street southerly line, 544.57 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 30 feet southerly from Quintara street, 542.65 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 60 feet southerly from Quintara street, 539.18 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westline of, 250 feet northerly from Rivera street, 498.08 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Rivera street, 492.11 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Rivera street, 488.36 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet northerly from Rivera street, 498.08 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Rivera street, 492.18 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Rivera street, 488.65 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 482 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 480 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Sixteenth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Quintara street southerly line, 483 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Quintara street, 481 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 225 feet southerly from Quintara street, 462.80 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 275 feet southerly from Quintara street, 459.60 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 325 feet southerly from Quintara street, 458.29 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 225 feet southerly from Quintara street, 463.46 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 275 feet southerly from Quintara street, 460.20 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 325 feet southerly from Quintara street, 458.85 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 457 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 456 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Rivera Street.

Sixteenth avenue, westerly line, 456 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

140 feet easterly from Seventeenth avenue, 450.75 feet.

Seventeenth avenue, easterly line, 442 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues between Quintara and Rivera streets and on Rivera street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $67,523.34, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Public Library Fund.

(1) Foster & Futernick Co., binding public library books (claim dated March 31, 1926), $2,373.60.

(2) Alex. Coleman, plumbing for library buildings (claim dated March 31, 1926), $509.20.

(3) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for libraries (claim dated March 31, 1926), $700.

(4) Harr Wagner Publishing Co., library books (claim dated March 31, 1926), $503.32.

(5) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,678.67.

(5a) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated March 31, 1926), $4,610.46.

De Young Museum (Appropriation 60).

(6) B. Buffano, part payment for "Buffano Collection of Chinese Art" (claim dated April 16, 1926), $745.01.

Park Talbot Bequest.

(7) B. Buffano, part payment for "Buffano Collection of Chinese Art" (claim dated April 16, 1926), $2,254.99.

Park Fund.

(8) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $639.46.

(9) Landau Economic Syphon Co., soda water for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $779.15.

(10) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $1,440.45.

(11) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric and gas service for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $1,006.65.

(12) Schweitzer & Co., Inc.,

meats furnished parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $560.23.

(13) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter and eggs for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $573.46.

(14) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $2,284.33.

(15) United Milk Co., milk and cream for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $573.45.

Water Construction Fund, .Bond Issue 1910.

(16) Western Pipe & Steel Co., rivetted pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 8, 1926), $2,110.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(17) Hancock Bros., Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated April 8, 1926), $779.40.

(18) Westinghouse Elec. & Mfg. Co., railway equipment (claim dated April 9, 1926), $674.08.

Special School Tax.

(19) Geo. H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies for school repairs (claim dated April 8, 1926), $863.92.

(20) American Marble & Mosaic Co., marble work in toilets, Lowell High School (claim dated April 8, 1926), $2,583.

(21) Carl H. Peterson, first payment, general construction of Annex to Parkside School (claim dated April 13, 1926), $3,536.25.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(22) Van Emon Elevator Co., second payment, elevators for Addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 13, 1926), $690.81.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., fifth payment, general construction of Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated April 13, 1926), $11,069.25.

(24) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing work for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Aprli 13, 1926), $919.54.

(25) F. L. Hansen, second payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated April 13, 1926), $16,726.05.

(26) John Reid, Jr., twenty-third payment, architectural service for Addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 14, 1926), $1,135.16.

(27) John Reid, Jr., ninth payment, architectural services for Dudley Stone School (claim dated April 14, 1926), $728.70.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(28) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 9, 1926), $1,149.50.

(29) The Paraffine Companies, Inc., rofing, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 9, 1926), $999.

(30) Tilden Lumber & Mill Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 9, 1926), $755.39.

(31) Waterbury Company, steel cable, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 9, 1926), $558.37.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(32) Royal Typewriter Co., Inc., 44 typewriters for High School of Commerce (claim dated April 13, 1926), $1,320.

(33) Underwood Typewriter Co. Inc., 28 typewriters for High School of Commerce (claim dated April 13, 1926), $955.

(34) L. C. Smith & Bros. Typewriter Co., 36 typewriters for High School of Commerce (claim dated April 13, 1926), $1,172.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(35) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., of Municipal Railway employees (claim dated April 8, 1926), $6,855.75.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(36) R. P. Schwerin, refund of duplicate payment of taxes, first installment, 1925-1926 (claim dated April 16, 1926), $991.20.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(37) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas service, County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $506.27.

(38) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $584.61.

(39) Baumgarten Bros., meats for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $774.58.

(40) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, dry goods for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $857.50.

(41) The California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1,181.39.

(42) Levi Strauss & Co., blankets for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1,325.

(43) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1,446.25.

(44) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $983.57.

(45) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $551.61.

(46) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of mniors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $2,004.87.

(47) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $1,428.43.

(48) S. F. Protestant Orphanage,

maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $858.50.

(49) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $1,358.36.

(50) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1926), $3,555.71.

(51) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $606.50.

(52) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $10,441.07.

(53) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $4,104.45.

(54) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $25,255.11.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated April 8, 1926), $712.29.

(56) Felix Gross & Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 8, 1926), $853.65.

(57) Peter McHugh, construction of concrete curbs, Eighteenth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim dated April 14, 1926), $1,680.

(58) James M. Smith, City's portion of improvement of Saturn street between Ord street and Lower Terrace (claim dated April 14, 1926), $9,000.

(59) Dr. F. B. Frick, Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, reimbursement for room allowances to hospital employees (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,092.20.

(60) A. P. Hotaling & Co., drug sundries for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 26, 1926), $612.96.

(61) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $888.50.

(62) Wm. Cluff Co., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $940.

(63) Gross & Dice, foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $675.

(64) H. E. Teller, coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $670.

(65) Haas Bros, foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 29, 1926), $1,834.74.

(66) Miller & Lux Inc., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 25, 1926), $1,193.05.

(67) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,559.25.

(68) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,460.64.

(69) Golden State Milk Products Co., butter, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,056.63.

(70) Shell Company, fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,659.10.

(71) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,282.65.

(72) Miller & Lux Inc., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,261.21.

(73) California Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,066.26.

(74) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $4,220.64.

(75) C. Nauman Co., fruits and vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,009.99.

(76) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $733.25.

(77) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $2,395.38.

(78) Symon Bros, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated April 8, 1926), $805.

(79) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Aprli 8, 1926), $1,919.44.

(80) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 9, 1926), $867.20.

(81) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated April 8, 1926), $888.82.

(82) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 9, 1926), $1,503.93.

(83) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 8, 1926), $905.14.

(84) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for labor, etc., for account Civic Center beautification (claim dated April 16, 1926), $521.74.

(85) Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendars for March (claim dated April 19, 1926), $940.

(86) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting, etc., for March (claim dated April 19, 1926), $48,927.39.

(87) General Engineering & Dry Dock Co., dry docking fire boat "David Scannell" (claim dated March 31, 1926), $503.75.

(88) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,707.90.

(89) Pike & Parrott, one Wayne water softener and meter, Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1926), $520.

(90) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Department, apparatus parts (claim dated March 31, 1926), $517.25.

(91) Shell Co., fuel oil, Fire De-

partment (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,833.27.

(92) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department and installing hydrants (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,357.91.

(93) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,084.07.

(94) Automatic Registering Machine Co., 25 voting machines for Department of Elections (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $28,125.

(95) Board of Park Commissioners, construction of fence at Washington Square (claim dated April 19, 1926), $572.96.

Duboce Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(96) General Fund, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, refund of amount advanced by the City and County out of the General Fund, for legal services in connection with the Duboce-Sunset tunnel project (claim dated March 29, 1926), $2,500.

(*Supervisor Marks requested to be recorded as voting "no" on Items* 26 *and* 27.)

Appropriation, $779.10, Payment to Crocker First National Bank, Fiscal Agents.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $779.10 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, and authorized in payment to Crocker First National Bank; being payment for New York Exchange and clerical help, acting as fiscal agents for the City and County. (Claim dated April 15, 1926.)

Appropriations, Traffic Signal Equipment.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) for expense of loading, hauling and installing safety lane markers, Contract No. 1, for street traffic regulation (Grover R. Neil contract), $750.

(2) For purchase of 7500 lag screws and expansion shields for traffic safety buttons, $607.50.

Appropriating $2,400, Payment to Crocker Estate Company for Lands Required for Extension of Elk Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,400 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Improvement of Elk Street," Budget Item No. 82a, and authorized in payment to Crocker Estate Company for lands commencing at angle formed by intersection of westerly line of Elk street with southwesterly line of Chenery street and further described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25427 (New Series). (Claim dated April 15, 1926.) Land required for extension of Elk street.

Appropriating $4,000, Payment to Ella M. Hagerty et al. for Lands Required for Extension of Twenty-sixth Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Twenty-sixth Street, Extended, etc.", Budget Item No. 82e, and authorized in payment to Ella M. Haggerty et al; being payment for lands required for the extension of Twenty-sixth street from York street to Hampshire street; as per description by Resolution No. 25495 (New Series) accepting offer. (Claim dated April 15, 1926.)

Appropriations for Lands Required for the Extension of Market Street and for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the following mentioned purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Michael J. and Beatrice Magner, in payment for property required for the extension of Market street; said property being described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25494 (New Series). (Claim dated April 15, 1926), $2,750.

(2) To I. C. Scharff, in payment for all of Lots 402 and 401, Gift Map No. 4, Map Book 2A and B, pages 16 and 17, records of the City and County of San Francisco; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25426 (New Series); said property being required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, and payable out of $100,000 set aside by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series). (Claim dated April 15, 1926), $2,400.

Appropriating $170,001.24 for the Construction of the West Portal School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $170,001.24 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue

1923, for construction of the West Portal School, to be erected on Taraval street, Claremont boulevard and Lenox way; as per awards of contracts, etc., as follows:

General construction (O. Mon son), $142,840; plumbing system (Latourette-Fical Co), $7,500; mechanical equipment (J. E. O'Mara), $6,637; electrical work (Crown Electric Co.), $5,477; architect's fee, adidtional, $2,547.24; possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $5,000.

Appropriation for Furnishing and Repairing Revolving Bridge Carriage and for the Improvement of Baker Street Between Bay and Beach Streets.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repair and Painting of Bridges, Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For cost of furnishing and repairing revolving bridge carriage at Sixth street and Channel, including inspection and extras (Wm. Speck contract), $2,500.

County Road Fund.

(2) For improvement of roadway of Baker street between Bay and Beach streets (Fay Improvement Co.), $3,593.26.

Appropriation, $120, for Construction of Outlet Sewer from the Southerly Termination of Marsilly Street to Mission Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25521 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $120 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, to defray cost of constructing an outlet sewer from the southerly termination of Marsilly street to Mission street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offer of C. A. Jones to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25522 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

C. A. Jones, $4,250—All of Lot 19, Block 6688, according to the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offer of Josephine Upman and R. B. Johnson to Sell Lands Required for the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25523 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce tunnel, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Josephine Upman, $9,000—Begin-

ning at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 129 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easetrly along the northerly line of Carl street 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 137.50 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Western Adidtion Block No. 678.

R. B. Johnson, $76—An underground easement for the construction of the Duboce tunnel below the following described property: Beginning at a point distant 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Delmar street, and distant 40.137 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly, parallel with Delmar street 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 84 degrees 56 minutes 58 seconds to the right and running westerly 26.352 feet; thence deflecting 95 degrees 03 minutes 02 seconds to the right and running northerly, parallel with Delmar street, 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 84 degrees 56 minutes 58 seconds to the right and running easterly 26.352 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Franicsco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Accepting Offer of Elizabeth A. Kelly to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25524 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by the said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Elizabeth A. Kelly, $1,219—All of Lot No. 19 according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Temporary Structure Permit.

Resolution, No. 25525 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Thomas Magee & Sons to erect a temporary structure to be used as a real estate office for the development of a residential district at the

northwesterly corner of Thirty-fifth avenue and Sloat boulevard.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Precita Avenue in Commercial District.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7555, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Precita avenue, commencing 151.45 feet easterly from Mission street, thence easterly 31.03 feet and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Capp Street, Commercial District.

Also, Bill No. 7556, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries, and the location of buildings for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Capp street, commencing at a point 95 feet northerly from Twentieth street and running thence northerly 165 feet and extending to a depth of 107½ feet, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Post and Broderick Streets, Commercial District.

Also, Bill No. 7557, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Post street and Broderick street, for a distance of 25 feet on Broderick street and a distance of 69 feet on Post street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Denial of Zoning Change.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25526 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Kernan Robson has filed his application to change the classification of his property, situated on the northwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets, from the First Residential District to the Second Residential District, as delineated upon the use of property zone maps constituting a part of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series); and

Whereas, said application was denied by the City Planning Commission and thereafter a hearing was held by the City Planning Committee of this Board whereat said applicant appeared and presented arguments in favor of said application and, having heard all objections thereto, said City Planning Committee did recommend to this Board that said application be denied; and

Whereas, a further hearing has been held by this Board in the matter of said application, and said applicant has been given full opportunity to present all reasons why said application should be granted and the change of classification of

his property should be made; now, therefore,

Resolved, and it is hereby determined, That the public health, safety and welfare and public interest require that said application be denied, and it is accordingly so ordered.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

C. B. Bertran, north side of Lilac avenue, 100 feet south of Twenty-fourth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Glen Park School), southwest corner of Bosworth street and Brompton avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fourth and Market Streets Realty Co., Fourth and Stevenson streets, 1800 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Hortense Gardner, Marina boulevard, 200 feet west of Scott street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. Goldstein, south side of Pacific avenue, 100 feet west of Divisadero street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. E. Higgins Lumber Co., southeast corner of San Bruno and Jerrold avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Hogrefe, north side of Greenwich street, 100 feet west of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. P. Hutton, 167 Commonwealth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, northeast corner of First avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John G. Kincannon, east side of Larkin street, 150 feet south of Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Kingstone Bedding Co., 690 Sixth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, west side of Tenth avenue, 38 feet south of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, west side of Twentieth avenue, 127 feet south of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. McIntosh, west side of Nineteenth avenue, 54 feet north of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Meyers, southeast corner of Yerba Buena avenue and Santa Monica way, 600 gallons capacity.

Morck Brush Co., 236 Eighth street, 600 gallons capacity.

Dr. Robert O'Connell, 1808 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sunset Press, west side of Sansome street between Vallejo and Green streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. F. Traung, north side of Santa Ana avenue, 350 feet south of Darien way, 120 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Persian Rug Cleaning Co., 1350 Jessie street, 150 horse power.

Golden State Milk Products Co., 427 Battery street, 100 horse power.

J. E. Higgins Lumber Co., southeast corner of Jerrold avenue and San Bruno avenue, 80 horse power.

Hirsch Bros. Co., 985 Howard street, 25 horse power.

Kingstone Bedding Co., 594 Sixth street, 15 horse power.

Morck Brush Co., 236 Eighth street, 15 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That San Sadowskipi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Bogart & Wilkinson by Resolution No. 18967 (New Series) for premises at 1663 Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That George W. Ivester be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted J. J. Mahoney by Resolution No. 20273 (New Series) for premises at 1550 Union street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Brusa be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the west side of Third street, 52 feet south of Gilman avenue.

The rights granted under this

resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25527 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

East and west sides Capp street, first and second north of Nineteenth street.

East and west sides Eleventh avenue, first and second south of Lincoln way.

North and south sides Irving street between Fifth and Sixth avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Broadway and Buchanan street.

North and south sides Broadway, east of Buchanan street.

Southeast corner Broadway and Laguna street.

North and south sides Broadway, east of Laguna street.

West side Laguna street, south of Broadway.

East side Laguna street, first north of Vallejo street.

Northwest corner Laguna and Green streets.

East side Octavia street between Broadway and Vallejo street.

North and south sides Vallejo street, first east of Octavia street.

Northwest and southeast corners Gough and Vallejo streets.

East and west sides Gough street, south of Vallejo street.

North and south sides Vallejo street, east of Gough street.

Northwest and southeast corners Franklin and Vallejo streets.

North and south sides Vallejo srteet, east of Franklin street.

East and west sides Franklin street between Broadway and Vallejo street.

Northwest and southeast corners Gough street and Broadway.

Northwest corner Octavia street and Broadway.

North and south sides Broadway, east of Octavia street.

Front 576 Shotwell street.

Remove Double Inverted Gas.

Northeast corner Fifth avenue and Irving street.

North side Twenty-fourth street, 120 feet west of Dolores street.

Northwest and southeast corners Twenty-fourth and Chattanooga streets.

South side Twenty-fourth street, 125 feet west of Chattanooga street.

North side Twenty-fourth street, 110 and 330 feet west of Castro street.

South side Twenty-fourth street, 125 and 440 feet west of Castro street.

North side Twenty-fourth street, 110 and 330 feet west of Diamond street.

South side Twenty-fourth street, 226 feet west of Diamond street.

North side Twenty-fourth street, 105 feet west of Dolores street.

Install 600 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets.*

Twenty-fourth street between Dolores and Homestead streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Fifth avenue and Irving street.

North and south sides Irving street between Fifth and Sixth avenues.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Forty-fifth avenue between Geary and Anza streets.

Corner Banks and Powhattan streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Corner Fifth avenue and Judah street.

Twenty-fourth street between Church and Vicksburg streets.

Twenty-fourth street between Vicksburg and Sanchez streets.

South side Twenty-fourth street between Sanchez and Noe streets.

North side Twenty-fourth street between Sanchez and Noe streets.

North side Twenty-fourth street between Noe and Castro streets.

South side Twenty-fourth street between Noe and Castro streets.

Corner Twenty-fourth and Diamond streets.

Corner Twenty-fourth and Homestead streets.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Twenty-fourth street, corners Church, Vicksburg, Sanchez, Noe and Castro streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Banks street, north of Powhattan, at road.

Corner Banks and Powhattan streets.

Thirty-seventh avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

Capp street, north of Nineteenth street.

Capp street, south of Nineteenth street.

Forty-fifth avenue between Geary and Anza streets.

Eleventh avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Laguna street, south of Broadway.

Laguna street between Vallejo and Green streets.

Laguna street between Broadway and Vallejo street.

Vallejo street between Gough and Octavia streets.

Corner Gough and Vallejo streets.

Gough street, south of Vallejo street.

Vallejo street between Gough and Franklin streets.

Vallejo street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.

Corner Franklin and Vallejo streets.

Franklin street between Vallejo street and Broadway.

Bernard alley, south of Chestnut street.

Corner Laguna and Green streets.

Octavia street between Broadway and Vallejo street.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Broadway, corners Buchanan, Laguna, Gough and Octavia streets.

Broadway, east of Buchanan, Laguna, Gough and Octavia streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25528 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Ben F. Sueffert to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate west line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 200 feet southerly from Quintara street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,800 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Declaring Fell Street Between Baker and Stanyan Streets, Bay Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Laguna Street to Be Public Boulevards.

On motion of Supervisor Bath:

Bill No. 7558, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending paragraphs (c), (d) and (e) of Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating traffic on the public streets, in relation to certain streets declared to be boulevards.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraphs (c), (d) and (e) of Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) are hereby amended so as to read as follows:

(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street, and Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street are hereby declared to be open public boulevards.

(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street and Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street, and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be held to include vehicles carrying goods for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevards. All

vehicles shall be permitted to travel upon said boulevards for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.

(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street, and Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street, except as may be necessary to cross same at intersections.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over two weeks*:

Compromise of Gas and Electric Rate Litigation.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there are pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California four suits, numbered 27, 32, 97 and 190 on the equity file of said court, in all of which suits the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco and its officers are defendants; and

Whereas, said suits involve judicial determination of the validity under the United States Constitution of ordinances numbered 2349, 2348, 2814 and 3338 (all new series), of the City and County of San Francisco, prescribing and fixing respectively rates to be charged by public service corporations in San Francisco for electricity during the fiscal year 1913-14, and for gas during the fiscal years 1913-1914, 1914-15 and 1915-16; and

Whereas, the decrees previously rendered by the District Court in the City's favor in said suits numbered 27, 97 and 190, involving gas rates, have been reversed on appeal by the Supreme Court of the United States, and the suits sent back for retrial; and in case No. 32, involving electric rates, the report of the Master in Chancery recommends issuance of an injunction prohibiting the enforcement of said rates; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has transmitted to the Board of Supervisors a written communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, dated December 16, 1925, offering to compromise and settle all of said suits upon certain terms therein set forth; and

Whereas, both former City Attorney George Lull, who was in office pending the trial and appeal of the foregoing litigation, and the present City Attorney, John J. O'Toole, have recommended in writing that this Board authorize and direct the settlement of said suits upon the terms set forth in said communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company dated December 16, 1925; and

Whereas, after a careful examination and consideration of all the facts relating to said suits and the controversies therein involved, which have been submitted, the Board of Supervisors believes, in view of the large number of consumers who are interested in the outcome of said suits, of the considerable time which must elapse and the large expense to the taxpayers that must be incurred before the suits could again be tried and a final decision had, of the doubt that the City Attorney expresses as to the eventual outcome of the litigation by reason of the opinion of the United States Supreme Court rendered in the reversal of previous decrees in the Citys' favor, and in view of the relatively small amounts payable to individual consumers under any outcome of the suits, that the best interests of the City and the ratepayers will be conserved by a compromise along the lines recommended by the City Attorney; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to settle and compromise said four suits be and it is hereby accepted: that the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into stipulations with counsel for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the entry of decrees in said four suits which shall finally settle and dispose of the same upon the terms and conditions set forth in said letter from said company dated December 16, 1925; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to take all steps necessary to see that said decrees when entered by the court are duly executed so as to protect the interests of the City and the ratepayers in the premises.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work, Joost Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7559, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication

filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Joost avenue between Detroit street and Edna street produced southerly, including the intersection of Joost avenue and Edna street*, by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: A 12-inch with 1 Y branch and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Joost avenue between the westerly and center lines of Edna street produced southerly; an 8-inch with 48 Y branches and 2 brick manholes along the center line of Joost avenue between the center line of Edna street produced southerly and a point 20 feet westerly from the westerly line of Detroit street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work, Coleridge Street and Esmeralda Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7560, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adpoting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *intersection of Coleridge street and Esmeralda avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of one brick catchbasin with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work, Bowdoin Street.

Also, Bill No. 7561, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8,

1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installmnets must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Bowdoin street between Felton and Stillman streets, where not already so improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work, Bemis Street.

Also, Bill No. 7562, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Bemis street between the southwesterly line of Miguel street produced and the southwesterly line of Mateo street produced, including the intersection of Bemis and Mateo streets*, and the improvement of *that portion of Addison street lying between the northwesterly line of Bemis street and a line at right angles with the southerly line of Addison street at its intersection with the northwesterly line of Bemis street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewolks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width are not already constructed; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work, Lane Street.

Also, Bill No. 7563, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work,

the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lane street between McKinnon avenue and Newcomb avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work, Twenty-second Street.

Also, Bill No. 7564, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-second street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, including the crossing of Twenty-second and Carolina streets, and Twenty-second and Wisconsin streets;* and the improvement of *Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, including the crossing of Wisconsin and Twenty-third streets*, by grading the crossing of Wisconsin and Twenty-third streets to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts and 1 brick manhole on the crossing of Twenty-second and Wisconsin streets; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances on the crossing of Wisconsin and Twenty third streets; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossings, where not already constructed; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of concrete pavement on Wisconsin street between the northerly line of Twenty-third street and a line 330 feet northerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution

No. 91095 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Board of Public Works to Enforce Ordinance Regulating the Opening of Pavements by Public Service Corporations.

The following matter was ordered *rereferred to the Streets and Commercial Development Committee*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public service corporations have been given undue privileges by the Board of Public Works to open up the streets of San Francisco, to the grave damage of said streets; and

Whereas, the surface of said streets is permitted to remain in a broken condition or with inadequate replacement of paving after such work is completed; and

Whereas, in many instances newly laid pavements recently laid by the City at the taxpayers' expense have been opened by the said corporations and the Board of Public Works immediately after completion under permit of the Board of Public Works, to the lasting damage and partial destruction of said pavements; and

Whereas, such destruction is unnecessary and unjustifiable and can be prevented by proper enforcement of the laws and protection of the City's interests; and

Whereas, accumulated debris resulting from the opening up and replacement of said pavements is allowed to remain, causing unnecessary nuisance to the public; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and is hereby instructed to see that all ordinances dealing with this subject matter are properly enforced hereafter, and in particular that streets be immediately repaired with proper material immediately upon completion of work done by any public service corporation or Board of Public Works. Be it

Further Resolved, That further legislation be adopted by this Board whereby the Board of Public Works will be instructed and directed to notify each public service corporation of the intention of the City to pave any section of streets within the city limits thirty days in advance of the commencement of the work by the City, to the end that all underground installation necessary to be made by said corporations in said streets will then and there be made, and further providing that no permit to open such newly paved streets shall be hereafter granted by the City for a period of two years without permission of the Board of Supervisors being first had and obtained. And be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney, in conjunction with the City Engineer, be instructed to prepare such legislation as is necessary to carry this policy into effect.

Extension of Time, Frank Bryant.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25529 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank Bryant is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after April 14, 1926, within which to complete the construction of the bridge on Evans avenue at Army street. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by incompleted excavation under another contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanto Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Approving Map Showing the Widening of Castenada Avenue.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25530 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91062 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Castenada avenue at the westerly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Castenada avenue at the westerly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Orolan Alley, Nantucket Avenue and Colonial Way Declared to Be Open Public Streets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25531 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed, executed on the 20th day of May,

1925, between James A. Arnott and Mary B. Arnott, his wife, and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying a strip of land eighteen feet in width, extending from Paulding street to Santa Rosa avenue, to be dedicated as Orolan alley; also the land for the extension of Colonial way and Nantucket avenue from their present westerly termination westerly to the proposed Orolan alley is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands described in said deed are hereby declared open public streets, viz.: Orolan alley, Nantucket avenue and Colonial way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Vermont Street Between Twentieth and Twenty-second Streets and on Twenty-first Street Between Vermont Street and San Bruno Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7565, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and four and nine hundred and five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office April 10, 1926, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and four and nine hundred and five, to read as follows:

Section 904. The width of sidewalks on Vermont street between Twentieth street and Twenty-second street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Vermont street between Twentieth street and Twenty-second street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 905. The width of sidewalks on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Declaring Castenada Avenue an Open Public Street.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25532 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed, executed on the 24th day of February, 1926, between Frank Dietz and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying land for the widening of Castenada avenue is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the land described in said deed is hereby declared an open public street, viz.: Castenada avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Confirming Method of Assessment for Improvement of Twenty-second Street Between Carolina and Wisconsin Streets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25533 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Twenty-second street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, including the crossing of Twenty-second and Carolina streets, and Twenty-second and Wisconsin streets, and the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, including the crossing of Wisconsin and Twenty-third streets, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 91095 (Second Series), be and the same is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanto Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Granting A. Bernhardt Permission to Erect Concrete Posts for Protection of the Property from Automobiles.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 25534 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. Bernhardt be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect and maintain five reinforced concrete posts three feet high above the sidewalk in front of the property situated at the southwest corner of Cortland avenue and Anderson street for the protection of the property of A. Bernhardt from automobiles.

The said reinforced concrete posts shall be erected under the supervision and direction of the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Ellington Avenue.

Resolution No. 25535 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 25276 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon a portion of Ellington avenue, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Ellington avenue was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 3, Chapter III, Article VI of the Charter of the City and County; and

Whereas, more than 10 days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Ellington avenue were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Ellington avenue; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 25276 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said street is nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for closing of a portion of said Ellington avenue, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of a portion of said Ellington avenue be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of Ellington avenue be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The said portion of Ellington avenue hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Foote avenue with the present northwesterly line of Ellington avenue, running thence northeasterly along the present northwesterly line of Ellington avenue 176.113 feet; thence deflecting 89 degrees 52 minutes to the right and running southeasterly 10 feet; thence deflecting 90 degrees 08 minutes to the right and running southwesterly, parallel with the present northwesterly line of Ellington avenue, 176.136 feet to the northeasterly line of Foote avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Foote avenue 10 feet to the point of beginning. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovi-

eri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stantor Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Western Pacific Spur Track Permit, Ninth Street.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7566, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Amending Section 1, Ordinance No. 6862 (New Series), which granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across certain streets and alleys in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, as hereinafter described, by terminating the privilege for such spur track on Ninth street at a projection of the southeasterly line of Sheridan street."

Spur Track Permit, Western Pacific Railroad Company.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7567, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track along Illinois street and across Army street, Twenty-sixth street, Michigan street and a portion of Georgia street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point of connection in the existing track of The Western Pacific Railroad Company in Georgia street, said point being distant approximately 20 feet easterly from the westerly line of said Georgia street and approximately 110 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street; thence in a general westerly direction, with turnout to the left, approximately 215 feet to a point in the easterly line of Michigan street, distant thereon approximately 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of said Twenty-fifth street, said point also being distant 13 feet southerly from the present "interchange track" of The Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence in a general westerly and southerly direction 13 feet easterly from and parallel with said "interchange track," and crossing Michigan street, Twenty-sixth street and along Illinois street to a point of connection with said "interchange track" in said Illinois street, said last named point being distant approximately 60 feet southerly from the southerly line of Army street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any adidtional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Provided, that The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time, Contract for Steel Lockers.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25536 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the petition of contractor, Worley & Co., for an extension of thirty days' time upon its delivery of steel lockers be hereby granted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Consent to Assignment of Lease, California Packing Corporation.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25537 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on the second day of

August, 1921, the California Packing Corporation leased from the City and County of San Francisco the certain property situate at the intersection of the northerly line of Bay street with the westerly line of Taylor street, of dimensions 137 feet 6 inches by 137 feet 6 inches, for a term of twenty (20) years, at a monthly rental of $75; and

Whereas, the said California Packing Corporation has asked for permission to assign the said lease to the California Steel Products Company; therefore, be it

Resolved, That consent to the assignment of said lease to the California Steel Products Company in accordance with provisions of the original lease is hereby granted, it being distinctly understood and agreed that all the conditions appertaining to the original lease of said California Packing Corporation shall continue in full force and effect; and further prvoided that a new bond in the sum of $2,000 be furnished with sureties thereon satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor by the said assignee, the California Steel Products Company.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Ornamental Bracket Lights for Columbus Avenue.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to install ornamental bracket lights on Columbus avenue from Washington street to North Point street.

Referred to Lighting Committee.

Reconsideration, Lease of McLeran Building.

The following matter was read by the Clerk and on motion of Supervisor Shannon made a part of the record:

Opinion of City Attorney.

April 19, 1926.

Gentlemen:

Receipt is acknowledged of your letter dated the 13th inst., enclosing a letter of April 12th from Benjamin M. Gunn & Co.

You have requested me to express an opinion on the following subject:

"The Board of Supervisors desires to known if the agreement or contract to purchase such as Mr. Gunn proposes can be legally considered and entered into by the Board of Supervisors."

Opinion.

Under the authority of the matter of the controversy of the City and County of San Francisco and Thomas F. Boyle, 69 Cal. Dec. 183, it was held that the City has the power to lease property but that it has not the power to enter into an agreement for the conditional purchase of real property.

Mr. Gunn's proposal recites as follows:

"For the amount of rent which it seems you are willing to pay and in the same installments as you would pay during such ten years lease, I now offer you over three times the space, in a fine brick and steel frame building, directly opposite the Hall of Justtce, and in addition, without any additional extra payments will in consideration of such payments for ten years, give you clear and unincumbered title to the property. * * *

"The direct result of accepting this offer will be that instead of having 120 valuless rent receipts at the end of the ten-year period, you will have a fine building and lot for nothing, with permanently free rent forever thereafter. * * *"

From the language quoted from the letter of Gunn & Co. and other statements in their communication as well as an absence of the amount of the rent to be charged a court may construe it as not an offer to lease that is being made but that it is an offer to sell. The letter is susceptible of such an interpretation by the court and if the court concluded that it is a conditional agreement to purchase real property, it would also hold it was beyond your powers to make such an agreement.

There is nothing to inhibit your entering into a lease coupled with an option to purchase, but the option to purchase must be in such form that no liability, present or future, will attach to the City and County in the event you do not exercise the option.

It is my opinion that, as Benj. M. Gunn & Co. have made an offer which, despite the fact that it may be called a lease, is susceptible of being construed by the court as an agreement to purchase real property, it would be hazardous to accept the proposal as outlined in the letter of April 12, 1926.

Respectfully,

(Sgd) JNO. J. O'TOOLE,

City Attorney.

Communication From Adult Probation Department.

The following was read, and on motion of Supervisor Shannon, *made part of the record*:

April 13, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Civic Center, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

This letter is sent at the request of Supervisor McSheehy of your Honorable Board, relative to the amount of office space required for proper housing of the Adult Probation Department.

At the present time the Adult Probation Department has about 1200 feet of floor space. It will need about 1800 to 2000 feet for the ten employees, including a room for 20 filing cabinets containing records of the department and a waiting room.

The present office is the old Grand Jury room divided by glass partitions into six offices. Two of these rooms are occupied by two assistants. Each officer should have a room to himself. It needs some method for proer ventilation as the ventilation is very bad.

It is the concensus of opinion of those interested in the work of this department, that it would be an advantage to probationers to have them report at some other environment rather than the Hall of Justice. A number of probationers and their relatives have made requests that probationers be allowed to report at some other place. I receive letters at my home from probationers who reside in small towns as they do not desire to have it known that they are writing to people at the Hall of Justice.

If the department could be accommodated with quarters in close connection with the Hall of Justice I fell that it would be an advantage to the work.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. H. NICHOLL,

Chief Adult Probation Department.

Communication From City Efficiency League.

The following was read, and on motion of Supervisor Shannon, *made part of the record*:

Hon. Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

The City Efficiency League respectfully protests leasing outside offices.

(1) It sets a bad precedent.

(2) It is a temporary makeshift affair, matter should be settled now in a permanent manner.

The City Efficiency League respectfully suggests purchase of 68½ feet of frontage on Montgomery street with an irregular depth of 137½ feet on Merchant street. Immediate erection of a 5-story building to house the following:

Police garage in the basement (9500 square feet);

Police Department and Probation Officer on first and second floors;

Health Department (until building is erected at Civic Center) on third and fourth floors;

Women's Jail (now at Ingleside) on fifth floor, with roof garden.

Acquiring the remaining parcels of land in this block from time to time to meet future requirements of the various departments. Inevitable enlargement of Jail Branch No. 1 must be anticipated. Capacity 215. On April 18, 193 were in jail.

Locating the Women's Jail in this annex will make possible the elimination of duplication in the organization as follows:

On April 18th there were 21 women in Ingleside Jail.

On April 18th there were 8 women in the City Jail.

From the standpoint of efficiency these departments should be consolidated in order to avoid duplication of matron, police women and culinary department.

The buildings used for women prisoners at Ingleside are antiquated. They are located on four acres of ground and surrounded by an atrocious high whitewashed fence. Ridding Ingleside of this jail unit would certainly be a step in the right direction, Ingleside has become a residential district—the entire County Jail outfit should be removed from this residential district.

Present conditions have prevailed at the Hall of Justice for several years, delay of a year for acquiring property and erecting building will be no killing matter. We appeal to you to give this suggestion your serious and favorable consideration.

Yours truly,

CITY EFFICIENCY LEAGUE,

ADOLPH UHL,

Manager.

P. S.—We are advised there are 52 federal persons in Jail No. 1 and 43 prisoners at Ingleside Jail. The United States Government is paying San Francisco 60 cents a day for them. Pre-war price. Necessary steps should be taken to request of the United States Gov-

ernment an advance in price to one dollar ($1.00) a day. Why should the taxpayers be called upon to make up the loss sustained on federal prisoners. It is unjust.

Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that Rule 10 be suspended and Adolph Uhl be granted the privilege of the floor.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Noes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks—5.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Supervisor McSheehy, in accordance with motion of Supervisor Kent previously made and carried, moved that the Board now adjourn.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Todd—6.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Reconsideration Defeated.

Thereupon, the roll was called on reconsideration and the motion *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Harrelson, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—7.

Noes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—9.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch—2.

Whereupon, the following resolution was declared *adopted*, to-wit:

Resolution No. 25538 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, heretofore the City and County of San Francisco, by Resolution No. 25055, adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, invited bids for the leasing to the City and County of San Francisco premises for use as offices for the District Attorney's office, the Adult Probation Officer, the Public Defender's office, and the Grand Jury; and

Whereas, an offer has been filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors by Louis T. Samuels, to lease to the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with said Resolution No. 25055, certain offices on the fourth and fifth floors and the entire sixth and seventh floors in that certain building situate on the west side of Kearny street between Bush and Pine streets, and known and designated as No. 333 Kearny street, as per floor plans of said premises submitted by said Louis T. Samuels, and containing 7902 square feet of floor space, for a term of five (5) years, two and one-half (2½) months, at a monthly rental of fifteen cents per square foot of floor space, or at an annual rental of $14,233.60, thus making $74,081.25 total rental payable for said floor space, and payable in lawful money of the United States, as follows:

The sum of five hundred ninety-two and 65-100 ($592.65) dollars due on April 15, 1926, for the one-half month commencing April 15, 1926, to and including April 30, 1926; the sum of $1,185.30 due for the month commencing May 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30 due for the month commencing June 1, 1926, aggregating the sum of $2,963.25 to be payable on July 1, 1926, and the sum of $1,185.30 payable on July 1, 1926, for the month commencing July 1, 1926, and a like sum of $1,185.30 on the first day of each and every month thereafter until the said sum of $74,801.25 has been fully paid; and

Whereas, the said offer of said Louis T. Samuels is the best and lowest offer submitted pursuant to said resolution; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer of said Louis T. Samuels be and the same is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to enter into a lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with said Louis T. Samuels, for the said premises, as shown and described in the floor plan submitted by said Louis T. Samuels, located in said building known and designated as No. 333 Kearny street, for the period of five years, two and one-half months, for use as offices for the said District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury, at a monthly rental of fifteen cents per square foot of floor space, or at the annual rental of $14,223.60, thus making $74,081.25 total rental payable for said floor space, and payable in lawful money of the United States; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $2,963.25 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, Fiscal Year 1925-

1926, for payment of rental from April 15 to June 30, 1926; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the City Attorney shall negotiate with the proposed lessor for the purpose of obtaining a clause in the lease providing for the cancellation of said lease within a reasonable time; and be it

Further Resolved, That when completed said lease shall be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for ratification and shall not be effective until approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—12.

Noes—Supervisors Kent, McSheehy, Stanton—3.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Welch—3.

Board of Public Works to Prepare Plans, Etc., and Call for Bids for Additions to Hall of Justice.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25539 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, repeated requests have been made for several years for additional room in the Hall of Justice for the Police Department, District Attorney, Public Defender and Adult Probation offices; and

Whereas, the increase of business is such that additional space must be provided for the proper transaction of business in these offices; and

Whereas, on April 12, 1926, by invitation of the Board of Supervisors, these officers appeared before said Board and stated that if certain alterations, giving to them more floor space than they now have in the Hall of Justice, could be made, they could transact their business in a more efficient manner than at the present time.

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be requested to prepare plans and specifications and call for bids in two units, as follows:

1. For the removal of the identification bureau and the photograph gallery to the roof of the Hall of Justice.

2. (a) For the alteration of the main light well over the police garage.

(b) The light well over the Coroner's office to be decked.

(c) Alterations in the County Clerk's branch office so that one room may be used for other purposes.

(d) Police Commissioners' suite, now used principally for meeting once a week, to be altered, which will provide one large room for active Police Department work.

(e) The locker room in the Central Station to be remodeled for extra traffic bureau.

(f) Entire fourth floor to be remodeled so as to provide proper ventilation for the offices now occupying same.

These alterations will give to these various departments 6500 feet of floor space which will be more than sufficient to meet their demands.

Also, Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be requested to make an estimate of the cost of these alterations and transmit such estimate to the Finance Committee so that the proper item may be placed in next year's budget.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Roncovieri, Welch —5.

Yacht Regatta, Citizens Committee.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 25541 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Pacific Coast championship regatta, to be held in August of this year in San Francisco, will be, according to present plans, one of the most spectacular and outstanding weeks that San Francisco has known in her colorful career; and

Whereas, this regatta, which will determine the official championships for all classes of yacht activities, races, etc., will bring to this community hundreds of yachts of all classes, including power and sail boats, hydroplanes, speed boats and allied craft from all the yacht clubs of the Pacific area, including the Pacific Coast of the United States, British Columbia and Hawaii; and

Whereas, the value to San Francisco of this outstanding and spectacular event, the official Pacific Coast championship regatta, with its nine-day feature program and national publicity, cannot be overestimated; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors indorses this event and hereby authorizes his Honor the Mayor to appoint a citizens' committee to work in conjunction with the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee of the Board to the end that this unique event may be an unqualified success.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Completion of Aquatic Park.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 25542 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there has been pending before the Board of Supervisors for over ten (10) years the development of an Aquatic Park on property acquired for such purpose at the foot of Van Ness avenue; and

Whereas, in that time other improvements and parks in other sections of the City have been started and completed without delay and an injustice has been done by this delay to the people of the northern section of the City which has been built up with high-class homes and apartments serving a very large population; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be the sense of this Board of Supervisors that the Aquatic Park be completed at the earliest date possible and that the Finance Committee is hereby requested to make whatever appropriation may be necessary to bring about this long deferred and necessary improvement as soon as possible.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon Stanton, Todd— 13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Roncovieri, Welch—5.

Fixing May 10, 1926, 2 P. M., York Street Appeal.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 25543 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, May 10, 1926, at 2 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the protest of The O'Neil Estate Company against the closing of all that portion of York street between Seventeenth street and Mariposa street as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 25273 (New Series).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Closing Portion of Gilman Avenue.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 25544 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Gilman avenue as hereinafter described be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon that portion of Gilman avenue described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Gilman avenue, distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, and running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Gilman avenue 89.92 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary of the property now or formerly owned by the San Francisco Sulphur Company; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly boundary 85.22 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly line of Gilman avenue; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Gilman avenue 10.47 feet, more or less, to a point distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence northerly parallel with the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and distant 25 feet at right angles easterly therefrom, a distance of 135.30 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning; being all of that portion of Gilman avenue lying between the westerly boundary of the property of the San Francisco Sulphur Company and a line parallel with and distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, containing 4016 square feet.

Said closing and abandonment of the said portion of Gilman avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Gilman avenue be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Welch —2.

Municipal Railway Accident Fund Transfer.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, under the terms of Resolution No. 11586 (New Series), there was set aside and transferred to a fund designated as "Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund" the sum of fifteen thousand six hundred and four dollars and sixteen cents ($15,604.16) and other sums have been added since March 11, 1915, to said fund; and

Whereas, said fund has now reached the total of one hundred and twenty-eight thousand dollars ($128,000) and is not being used; be it

Resolved, That said one hundred twenty-eight thousand dollars ($128,000) is hereby transferred to the Operative Fund of the Municipal Railroad, to be available for increased compensation for the employees of all mechanical and operative departments of said Municipal Railroad; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is requested to make provision for immediate payment to all employees of said Municipal Railroad for such increase as is possible.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Fitzgerald Avenue.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Marks and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7568, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District: Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Fitzgerald avenue, 235 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, and running thence southeasterly 96 feet to the northwesterly line of the Paul Tract; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of the Paul Tract 213 feet ½ inch to the northeasterly line of Gilman avenue; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Gilman avenue 132 feet 2⅝ inches to the intersection of the northeasterly line of Gilman avenue with the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue 46.9 feet; thence northeasterly 170 feet to the point of commencement; also such part of Gilman avenue as extends from Charter Oak avenue to said Paul Tract, when such part of Gilman avenue shall be closed as a public street.

Robert G. Drady Appointed Superintendent of Auditorium.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 25540 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Robert G. Drady be and he is hereby appointed Managing Superintendent of the Exposition Auditorium to serve during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors at a salary of $250 per month. This appointment to be effective as of June 2, 1926.

Resolution No. 12941 (New Series), adopted May 15, 1916, is hereby repealed.

Motions.

Supervisor Hayden moved as an amendment that the name of James Donahue be substituted for the name of Robert G. Drady.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Roncovieri, Welch—5.

Thereupon, *Supervisor Powers* moved as an amendment that the name of James L. Foley be substituted for the name of Robert G. Drady.

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—4.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—9.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Roncovieri, Welch—5.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the resolution as presented by Supervisor Havenner, appointing Robert G. Drady Superintendent of the Auditorium, and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.
No—Supervisor Powers—1.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Roncovieri, Welch—5.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 28, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 17

Monday, April 26, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 26, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 26, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Ralph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 8, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Statement of Supervisor Havenner on Budget.

The following statement was read by Supervisor Havenner and ordered *spread in Journal*:

The fight to keep next year's tax rate up to $4.13, and to raise it above that figure, if possible, is now on in earnest. The big administrative departments of the City government, in open defiance of the mandate for economy issued by the people of San Francisco at the municipal election last fall, have combined to besiege the Board of Supervisors with one of the most extravagant programs of proposed expenditure in the history of this City.

The Budget requests of these administrative departments alone aggregate ten and one-half millions of dollars more than was allowed the same departments in the staggering Budget of last year. That Budget, which is now in effect, set a new high record in the cost of our municipal government and provoked a rebellion among the taxpayers that swept out of office every administration supervisor who stood for election.

Notwithstanding this unprecedented political rebuke, the administration forces have rallied again this year under the banner of extravagance and are making every effort to defeat the program of retrenchment to which this Board of Supervisors is now solemnly pledged.

I have sometimes thought, when I contemplated the orgy of extravagance which is now championed by the administration leaders, that if it were not our sacred duty to carry out the expressed wishes of the people it might be well, in view of the fact that the administration must seek the suffrage of the people next year, to include in next year's Budget all of the vast program of expense which they are now seeking to thrust upon us, and to present it to the people as the administration's platform in its campaign for reelection. However, this fanciful idea must, of course, be abandoned, because it is obvious that the costly proposals of the administrative departments are not presented in good faith; evidently their only object is to embarrass the Finance Committee of this Board in its earnest endeavor to practice economy in the Budget for the next fiscal year, and to throw every possible obstacle in the path of the proposed reduction of the tax rate.

The City Engineer, in submitting to the Budget makers a list of special projects which would total over seventeen millions of dollars, has gravely suggested the inclusion in the tax rate of the purchase of lands for a reservoir to be part of the auxiliary water supply system. This method of financing such a project has never before been submitted to the Supervisors. But the City Engineer, who courteously refrained from asking previous Boards of Supervisors to place such a direct burden upon the taxpayers, has evidently concluded that, in

this year, of all years, the propitious time has arrived to recommend this costly innovation.

One administrative department, which embraces the Juvenile Detention Home and the Juvenile Court, has seen fit to request large increases in its salary rolls, based upon a proposed system of zoning salaries which, at the time this Budget request was submitted, had not even been presented to the Board of Supervisors.

These are but a few illustrations of the concerted drive now being made by the administrative departments of our government to defeat the will of the people.

It is but fair to call attention to the fact that certain of our City and County officials have refused to participate in the program of extravagance, but have submitted Budget requests which do not exceed their allotment for the current fiscal year. In some instances these requests show an actual saving on this year's Budget figures. Among these departments are the Bureau of Supplies, the Auditor, the Tax Collector, the Treasurer, the District Attorney, the Public Defender, the City Attorney, the Sheriff, the Adult Probation Officer, the Widows' Pension Bureau, the Sealer of Weights and Measures, the Horticultural Commission, the M. H. deYoung Museum and the Palace of the Legion of Honor.

In contrast with this brief list of economical requests are the Budget demands submitted by the following administrative departments:

Appropriations for 1925-1926:	
Assessor	$ 242,500
Civil Service	27,350
County Clerk	189,740
Recorder	124,000
Superior Courts	163,400
Justices' Courts	51,000
Juvenile Detention Home	33,780
Juvenile Court	64,460
Board of Public Works	2,249,622
Fire Department	3,222,182
Police Department	3,165,108
Health Department	2,014,057
School Department	6,954,477
Playground Fund	350,000
Park Commission	700,000

Appropriations requested for 1926-1927:	
Assessor	$ 252,180
Civil Service	31,210
County Clerk	205,000
Recorder	132,500
Superior Courts	176,280
Justices' Courts	57,300
Juvenile Detention Home	47,016
Juvenile Court	79,620
Board of Public Works	2,558,681
Fire Department	3,909,190
Police Department	4,167,828
Health Department	2,380,982
School Department	11,472,087
Playground Fund	623,517
Park Commission	4,147,800

It should be remarked, in passing, that the Mayor himself has prudently joined with those who are standing for economy, and has submitted a Budget request for his own office which is $2,500 less than his present appropriation. But his appointed officials, who invariably reflect in all their public actions the true wishes of the chief executive, have significantly ignored the Mayor's example.

In considering these astounding demands for increased outlays of the people's money your Finance Committee has found no comfort in its consultations with the City and County Assessor concerning the assessment roll for the next fiscal year. Despite the fact that San Francisco has been enjoying during the past two years an era of unprecedented advance in building opertaions and land values, the Assessor professes to find a multiplicity of reasons for the gloomy prediction that next year's assessment roll will probably not exceed that of the present year; and may, indeed, fall below it.

It is interesting to note in this connection that during the last decade the City and County assessment roll has shown a steady increase year by year. The figures are as follows:

1915-1916	$538,692,750
1916-1917	542,563,056
1917-1918	554,456,505
1918-1919	562,278,347
1919-1920	566,808,447
1920-1921	585,105,569
1921-1922	609,884,624
1922-1923	615,305,268
1923-1924	644,180,600
1924-1925	683,667,338
1925-1926	733,693,760

Average annual increase, 36 per cent.

It is difficult for the lay mind to understand why, at a time when San Francisco is forging ahead more rapidly than ever before in its history, and land values in many parts of the city are showing spectacular increases, the assessment roll should suddenly reach an impasse.

One of the principal forebodings of the Assessor is based upon the estimated operation of the new State law fixing the basis of assessment of foreign securities and solvent credits at 7 per cent of value. It is reported on good authority from other cities in California that

the new law will produce an actual increase in tax revenue, but our Assessor expresses the opinion that it will result in a serious decrease in San Francisco.

The present Assessor is in office by virtue of appointment by the head of the City administration. It is therefore, perhaps, not surprising that his attitude should appear to be in consonance with that of the other administration officials who are so intensely interested in preventing a reduction of the tax rate. But I believe that public opinion, when it is fully informed of this situation, will compel him to give proper consideration to the obvious reasons for a proper increase in the assessment roll this year.

However, the matter of most urgent importance at this time is that the attention of every member of this Board of Supervisors, and of all of the people of San Francisco, should be concentrated upon the extent and the character of the opposition to the people's economy program. Last November, by overwhelming vote, the people declared their conviction that the present Budget was intolerably extravagant, and must, if possible, be materially reduced next year. They will not tolerate even the suggestion of an increase at this time, and it is safe to predict that any member of this Board who dares to vote for additional City expense now, and who must face the people next year, will suffer the fate of all of his ex-colleagues who carried the banner of extravagance last fall. The duty of the Board of Supervisors now is to disregard all of the absurd and insincere demands for increases from the administrative departments, and to concentrate its efforts upon the problem of reducing the present Budget by the application of sane economy.

This does not mean, nor will I permit any apostle of extravagance to read into it such an inference, that the normal progress of our City is to be halted, nor that any vital need for the welfare of our citizens shall be denied. San Francisco can more easily proceed, without delay, along its appointed course of growth and development if our executive and administrative officials are compelled to do their duty in conserving the City's funds.

Reserve Officers Training Corps Exhibition and Competition.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Reserve Officers Training Corps, San Francisco High Schools, inviting attendance at their 7th Annual Exhibition and Competition at the Civic Auditorium Friday evening, May 7, 1926, at 8 p. m.

Invitation *accepted.*

San Francisco-San Mateo Consolidation.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Petition, of William K. Sonne and other residents and property owners of Burlingame, California, transmitting petitions requesting the consolidation of northern portion of San Mateo County with the City and County of San Francisco.

Referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Utah-Nevada-California Highway Association Caravan.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from C. C. Cottrell, secretary Utah-Nevada-California Highway Association, inviting the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, among other contributors to the Victory Highway Fund, to participate in a tour by motor caravan which will leave San Francisco on June 9th and arrive in Salt Lake City on the evening of the 12th, where ceremonies will take place providing for the official opening of the Truckee River Highway into California.

Referred to the Public Welfare Committee.

San Francisco-San Mateo Annexation.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the San Francisco Development Association, advising that at its meeting on April 20, 1926, a resolution was adopted providing for a general get-together movement to annex San Mateo County to San Francisco County at once.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Statewide City Planning Conference at Los Angeles.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the San Francisco Real Estate Board, requesting that the Board of Supervisors appoint an official delegation of four or five members of the present City Planning Committee and City Planning Commission as are able to attend to represent the City and County of San Francisco at a state-wide City Planning Conference to be held next Friday and Saturday, April 30th and May 1st, in the City of Los Angeles.

City Planning Committee, Major Charles Kendrick and Robert Woodward authorized to represent the City at said conference.

Dismissal of Condemnation Suit.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, recommending that condemnation suits entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. Wells Fargo & Company et al," in the Superior Court in aforesaid City and County of San Francisco, numbered 43686, for the acquisition of the certain parcel of land required for the Glen Park Reservoir be dismissed.

Resolution *adopted.*

Requesting That Citizens Be Heard In Open Meetings of the Board on Public Questions.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Adolph Uhl, declaring that no opportunity was afforded taxpayers to discuss the McLeran Building lease in committee, and making certain suggestions in relation thereto.

Filed. Copy of communication referred to the Board of Public Works for its attention and consideration.

San Francisco Traffic Survey Committee.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, advising that he has this day appointed a group of the following citizens to comprise the "San Francisco Traffic Survey Committee," to-wit:

Robert I. Bentley, president California Packing Corporation, temporary chairman; H. Clay Miller, president San Francisco Chamber of Commerce; Ernest W. Milburn, president Down Town Association; Chester N. Weaver, president Motor Car Dealers' Association of San Francisco; George C. Boardman, president San Francisco Real Estate Board; Halsey E. Manwaring, president San Francisco Real Estate Board; Gus Lachman, representing retail merchants; Chas. R. Stevens, secretary General Petroleum Corporation; H. J. Brunnier, director and chairman of Highways Bureau of California State Automobile Association; Arthur O. Smith, president Yellow-Checker Cab Co.; McClure Kelly, representing insurance interests; Michael Casey, president Teamsters' Union; M. L. McCants, vice-president and general manager Market St. Railway Co.; Milton H. Esberg, president General Cigar Co.; A. P. Giannini, chairman of Advisory Board, Bank of Italy; W. F. McLeod, Emmons Draying and Safe Moving Co.; Wm. H. McCarthy; Arthur Joel, attorney at law.

Referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Mayor Recommends Confirmation of Sale of City Lands.

The following was read and ordered *spread in Journal*:

April 21, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In accordance with Section 9, Article II, Chapter II, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I have the honor formally to notify you of the auction of a certain parcel of land heretofore under the control of the San Francisco Fire Department and described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of O'Farrell street, distant thereon 197 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Stockton street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of O'Farrell street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 73 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 73 feet to the northerly line of O'Farrell street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 121, also known as Lot 11, Block 313 on Assessor's Map Book.

The auction took place publicly at the meeting of your Honorable Board on Monday, April 19, and the highest bid was that of Mr. George Cohn, in the sum of $92,750, a check for ten per cent of which Mr. Cohn deposited with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

As the appraised value of this property fixed by the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of your Honorable Board was $100,000, and as the bid of Mr. Cohn was over ninety (90) per cent of the appraised value, as required by law, I respectfully ask, in accordance with the charter provisions heretofore referred to, that your Honorable Board confirm the sale of this parcel of land.

Very respectfully yours,
(Signed)
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Leave of Absence, Police Commissioner Jesse B. Cook.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 21, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Jesse

B. Cook, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of fifteen (15) days commencing May 3, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25582 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse B. Cook, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of abesnce for a period of fifteen days, commencing May 3, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Leave of Abesnce, Playground Commissioner Mildred J. Pollok.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 23, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mrs. Mildred J. Pollok, member of the Playground Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent herself from the State of California, for a period of sixty (60) days commencing May 1, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25583 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Mildred J. Pollok, member of the Playground Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing May 1, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

HEARINGS—2:30 P. M.

Bridges.

The following matters were taken up:

Consideration of the application of John Bermingham, Jr., for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll brige across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Continued for hearing until Monday, June 21, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri—2.

Consideration of the application of A. H. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Continued for hearing until Monday, June 21, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri—2.

Consideration of the application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Continued for hearing until Monday, June 21, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri—2.

Consideration of the application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Continued for hearing until Monday, June 21, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri—2.

Consideration of application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a

toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Continued for hearing until Monday, June 21, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri—2.

Consideration of application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Continued for hearing until Monday, June 21, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri—2.

Consideration of application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Continued for hearing until Monday, June 21, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri—2.

Consideration of the application of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Continued for hearing until Monday, June 21, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri—2.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Steel Lockers.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. in the Board of Supervisors for furnishing 540 steel lockers for Municipal Swimming Pool at Fleishhacker Playfield and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Steel Baskets.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. for furnishing 500 steel baskets for use of the School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25545 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Public Library Fund.

(1) Foster & Futernick Co., binding public library books (claim dated March 31, 1926), $2,373.60.

(2) Alex. Coleman, plumbing for library buildings (claim dated March 31, 1926), $509.20.

(3) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for libraries (claim dated March 31, 1926), $700.

(4) Harr Wagner Publishing Co., library books (claim dated March 31, 1926), $503.32.

(5) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,678.67.

(5a) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated March 31, 1926), $4,610.46.

De Young Museum (Appropriation 60).

(6) B. Buffano, part payment for "Buffano Collection of Chinese Art" (claim dated April 16, 1926), $745.01.

Park Talbot Bequest.

(7) B. Buffano, part payment for "Buffano Collection of Chinese Art" (claim dated April 16, 1926), $2,254.99.

Park Fund.

(8) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated April 16, 19263, $639.46.

(9) Landau Economic Syphon Co., soda water for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $779.15.

(10) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $1,440.45.

(11) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric and gas service for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $1,006.25.

(12) Schweitzer & Co., Inc., meats furnished parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $560.23.

(13) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter and eggs for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $573.46.

(14) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $2,284.33.

(15) United Milk Co., milk and cream for parks (claim dated April 16, 1926), $573.45.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(16) Western Pipe & Steel Co., rivetted pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (clam dated April 8, 1926), $2,110.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(17) Hancock Bros., Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated April 8, 1926), $779.40.

(18) Westinghouse Elec. & Mfg. Co., railway equipment (claim dated April 9, 1926), $674.08.

Special School Tax.

(19) Geo. H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies for school repairs (claim dated April 8, 1926), $863.92.

(20) American Marble & Mosaic Co., marble work in toilets, Lowell High School (claim dated April 8, 1926), $2,583.

(21) Carl H. Peterson, first payment, general construction of Annex to Parkside School (claim dated April 13, 1926), $3,536.25.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(22) Van Emon Elevator Co., second payment, elevators for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 13, 1926), $690.81.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) Jas. B. McLaughlin Co., fifth payment, general construction of Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated April 13, 1926), $11,069.25.

(24) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing work for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated April 13, 1926), $919.54.

(25) F. L. Hansen, second payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated April 13, 1926), $16,726.05.

(26) John Reid, Jr., twenty-third payment, architectural service for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 14, 1926), $1,135.16.

(27) John Reid, Jr., ninth payment, architectural services for Dudley Stone School (claim dated April 14, 1926), $728.70.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(28) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 9, 1926), $1,149.50.

(29) The Paraffine Companies, Inc., roofing, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 9, 1926), $999.

(30) Tilden Lumber & Mill Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 9, 1926), $755.39.

(31) Waterbury Company, steel cable, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 9, 1926), $558.37.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(32) Royal Typewriter Co., Inc., 44 typewriters for High School of Commerce (claim dated April 13, 1926), $1,320.

(33) Underwood Typewriter Co., Inc., 28 typewriters for High School of Commerce (claim dated April 13, 1926), $955.

(34) L. C. Smith & Bros. Typewriter Co., 36 typewriters for High School of Commerce (claim dated April 13, 1926), $1,172.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(35) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., of Municipal Railway employees (claim dated April 8, 1926), $6,855.75.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(36) R. P. Schwerin, refund of duplicate payment of taxes, first installment, 1925-1926 (claim dated April 16, 1926), $991.20.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(37) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas service, County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $506.27.

(38) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $584.61.

(39) Baumgarten Bros., meats for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $774.58.

(40) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, dry goods for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $857.50.

(41) The California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1,181.39.

(42) Levi Strauss & Co., blankets for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1,325.

(43) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for County Jails (claim dated April 5, 1926), $1,446.25.

(44) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $983.57.

(45) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $551.61.

(46) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $2,004.87.

(47) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9. 1926), $1,428.43.

(48) S. F. Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $858.50.

(49) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $1,358.36.

(50) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1926), $3,555.71.

(51) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $606.50.

(52) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $10,441.07.

(53) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $4,104.45.

(54) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 9, 1926), $25,255.11.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated April 8, 1926), $712.29.

(56) Felix Gross & Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 8, 1926), $853.65.

(57) Peter McHugh, construction of concrete curbs, Eighteenth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim dated April 14, 1926), $1,680.

(58) James M. Smith, City's portion of improvement of Saturn street between Ord street and Lower Terrace (claim dated April 14, 1926), $9,000.

(59) Dr. F. B. Frick, Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, reimbursement for room allowances to hospital employees (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,092.20.

(60) A. P. Hotaling & Co., drug sundries for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 26, 1926), $612.96.

(61) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $888.50.

(62) Wm. Cluff Co., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $940.

(63) Gross & Dice, foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $675.

(64) H. E. Teller, coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $670.

(65) Haas Bros., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 29, 1926), $1,834.74.

(66) Miller & Lux Inc., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 25, 1926), $1,193.05.

(67) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,559.25.

(68) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,460.64.

(69) Golden State Milk Products Co., butter, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,056.63.

(70) Shell Company, fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,659.10.

(71) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,282.65.

(72) Miller & Lux Inc., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,261.21.

(73) California Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,066.26.

(74) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $4,220.64.

(75) C. Nauman Co., fruits and vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,009.99.

(76) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $733.25.

(77) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $2,395.38.

(78) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated April 8, 1926), $805.

(79) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated April 8, 1926), $1,919.44.

(80) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 9, 1926), $867.20.

(81) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated April 8, 1926),$888.82.

(82) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 9, 1926), $1,503.93.

(83) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 8, 1926), $905.14.

(84) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for labor, etc., for account Civic Center beautification (claim dated April 16, 1926), $521.74.

(85) Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendars for March (claim dated April 19, 1926), $940.

(86) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting, etc., for March (claim dated April 19, 1926), $48,-927.39.

(87) General Engineering & Dry Dock Co., dry docking fire boat "David Scannell" (claim dated March 31, 1926), $503.75.

(88) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1.707.90.

(89) Pike & Parrott, one Wayne water softener and meter, Fire Department (claim dated March 31. 1926), $520.

(90) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Department, apparatus parts (claim dated March 31, 1926), $517.25.

(91) Shell Co., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,833.27.

(92) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department and installing hydrants (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,357.91.

(93) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,084.07.

(94) Automatic Registering Machine Co., 25 voting machines for Department of Elections (claim dated Jan. 8, 1926), $28,125.

(95) Board of Park Commissioners, construction of fence at Washington Square (claim dated April 19, 1926), $572.96.

Duboce Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(96) General Fund, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, refund of amount advanced by the City and County out of the General Fund for legal services in connection with the Duboce-Sunset tunnel project (claim dated March 29, 1926), $2,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

(Supervisor Marks voted *no* on items Nos. 26 and 27.)

Appropriations, Traffic Signal Equipment.

Resolution No. 25546 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For expense of loading, hauling and installing safety lane markers, Contract No. 1, for street traffic regulation (Grover R. Neil contract), $750.

(2) For purchase of 7500 lag screws and expansion shields for traffic safety buttons, $607.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Appropriating $2,400, Payment to Crocker Estate Company for Lands Required for Extension of Elk Street.

Resolution No. 25547 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,400 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Improvement of Elk Street." Budget Item No. 82a, and authorized in payment to Crocker Estate Company for lands commencing at angle formed by intersection of westerly line of Elk street with southwesterly line of Chenery street and further described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25427 (New Series). (Claim dated April 15, 1926.) Land required for extension of Elk street.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Appropriating $4,000, Payment to Ella M. Hagerty et al. for Lands Required for Extension of Twenty-sixth Street.

Resolution No. 25548 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Twenty-sixth Street, Extended, etc.", Budget Item No. 82e, and authorized in payment to Ella M. Hagerty et al.; being payment for lands required for the extension of Twenty-sixth street from York street to Hampshire street; as per description by Resolution No. 25495 (New Series) accepting offer. (Claim dated April 15, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Appropriations for Lands Required for the Extension of Market Street and for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 25549 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the following mentioned purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Michael J. and Beatrice Magner, in payment for property required for the extension of Market street; said property being described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25494 (New Series). (Claim dated April 15, 1926), $2,750.

(2) To I. C. Scharff, in payment for all of Lots 402 and 401, Gift Map No. 4, Map Book 2A and B, pages 16 and 17, records of the City and County of San Francisco; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25426 (New Series); said property being required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, and payable out of $100,000 set aside by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series).

(Claim dated April 15, 1926), $2,400.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Appropriating $170,001.24 for the Construction of the West Portal School.

Resolution No. 25550 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $170,001.24 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for construction of the West Portal School, to be erected on Taraval street, Claremont boulevard and Lenox way; as per awards of contracts, etc., as follows:

General construction (O. Monson), $142,840; plumbing system (Latourette-Fical Co.), $7,500; mechanical equipment (J. E. O'Mara), $6,637; electrical work (Crown Electric Co.), $5,477; architect's fee, additional, $2,547.24; possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $5,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

(Supervisor Marks voted *no* on last two items only.)

Appropriation for Furnishing and Repairing Revolving Bridge Carriage and for the Improvement of Baker Street Between Bay and Beach Streets.

Resolution No. 25551 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repair and Painting of Bridges, Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For cost of furnishing and repairing revolving bridge carriage at Sixth street and Channel, including inspection and extras (Wm. Speck contract), $2,500.

County Road Fund.

(2) For improvement of roadway of Baker street between Bay and Beach streets (Fay Improvement Co.), $3,593.26.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Appropriation, $779.10, Payment to Crocker First National Bank, Fiscal Agents.

Resolution No. 25552 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $779.10 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, and authorized in payment to Crocker First National Bank; being payment for New York Exchange and clerical help, acting as fiscal agents for the City and County. (Claim dated April 15, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 25553 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That San Sadowskipi be and is hereby granted permishion, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Bogart & Wilkinson by Resolution No. 18967 (New Series) for premises at 1663 Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Resolution No. 25554 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That George W. Ivester be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted J. J. Mahoney by Resolution No. 20273 (New Series) for premises at 1550 Union street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25555 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Brusa be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the west side of Third street, 52 feet south of Gilman avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 25556 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

C. B. Bertran, north side of Lilac avenue, 100 feet south of Twenty-fourth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Glen Park School), southwest corner of Bosworth street and Brompton avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fourth and Market Streets Realty Co., Fourth and Stevenson streets, 1800 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Hortense Gardner, Marina boulevard, 200 feet west of Scott street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. Goldstein, south side of Pacific avenue, 100 feet west of Divisadero street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. E. Higgins Lumber Co., southeast corner of San Bruno and Jerrold avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Hogrefe, north side of Greenwich street, 100 feet west of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. P. Hutton, 167 Commonwealth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, northeast corner of First avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John G. Kincannon, east side of Larkin street, 150 feet south of Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Kingstone Bedding Co., 690 Sixth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, west side of Tenth avenue, 38 feet south of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, west side of Twentieth avenue, 127 feet south of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. McIntosh, west side of Nineteenth avenue, 54 feet north of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Meyers, southeast corner of Yerba Buena avenue and Santa Monica way, 600 gallons capacity.

Morck Brush Co., 236 Eighth street, 600 gallons capacity.

Dr. Robert O'Connell, 1808 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sunset Press, west side of Sansome street, between Vallejo and Green streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. F. Traung, north side of Santa Ana avenue, 350 feet south of Darien way, 120 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Persian Rug Cleaning Co., 1350 Jessie street, 150 horse power.

Golden State Milk Products Co., 427 Battery street, 100 horse power.

J. E. Higgins Lumber Co., southeast corner of Jerrold avenue and San Bruno avenue, 80 horse power.

Hirsch Bros. Co., 985 Howard street, 25 horse power.

Kingstone Bedding Co., 594 Sixth street, 15 horse power.

Morck Brush Co., 236 Eighth street, 15 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Precita Avenue in Commercial District.

Bill No. 7555, Ordinance No. 7069 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Precita avenue, commencing 151.45 feet easterly from Mission street, thence easterly 31.03 feet and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Capp Street, Commercial District.

Bill No. 7556, Ordinance No. 7070 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries, and the location of buildings for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Capp street, commencing at a point 95 feet northerly from Twentieth street and running thence northerly 165 feet and extending to a depth of 107½ feet, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Post and Broderick Streets, Commercial District.

Bill No. 7557, Ordinance No. 7071 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Post street and Broderick street, for a distance of 25 feet on Broderick street and a distance of 69 feet on Post street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Fitzgerald Avenue.

Bill No. 7568, Ordinance No. 7072 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District: Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Fitzgerald avenue 235 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, and running thence southeasterly 96 feet to the northwesterly line of the Paul Tract; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of the Paul Tract 213 feet ½ inch to the northeasterly line of Gilman avenue; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Gilman avenue 132 feet 2⅝ inches to the intersection of the northeasterly line of Gilman avenue with the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue 46.9 feet; thence northeasterly 170 feet to the point of commencement; also such part of Gilman avenue as extends from Charter Oak avenue to said Paul Tract, when such part of Gilman avenue shall be closed as a public street.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Eighteenth, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth Avenues.

Bill No. 7569, Ordinance No. 7073 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Eighteenth avenue, Thirty-third avenue, Thirty-fourth avenue, Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22nd day of March, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 119 to establish set-back lines along Eighteenth avenue, Thirty-third avenue, Thirty-fourth avenue, Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue, and fixed the 19th day of April, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 12 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue between Vicente street and Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Thirty-first Avenue, Santiago Street, Ulloa Street, Fourteenth Avenue, Sixteenth Avenue and Marina Boulevard.

Bill No. 7570, Ordinance No. 7074 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-first avenue, Santiago street, Ulloa street, Fourteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Marina boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22nd day of March, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 120 to establish set-back lines along Thirty-first avenue, Santiago street, Ulloa street, Fourteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Marina boulevard, and fixed the 19th day of April, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid and

that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-first avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly 425 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-first avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the northerly side of Santiago street between Twenty-first avenue and Twenty-second avenue, said set-back line to be 11 feet.

Along the southerly side of Ulloa street between Thirty-first avenue and Thirty-second avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Rivera street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along both sides of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet souetherly from Vicente street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Marina boulevard, commencing at Broderick street and running thence westerly 322½ feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Declaring Fell Street Between Baker and Stanyan Streets, Bay Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Laguna Street to Be Public Boulevards.

Bill No. 7558, Ordinance No. 7075 (New Series), as follows:

Amending paragraphs (c), (d) and (e) of Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating traffic on the public streets, in relation to certain streets declared to be boulevards.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraphs (c), (d) and (e) of Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) are hereby amended so as to read as follows:

(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street, and Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street are hereby declared to be open public boulevards.

(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street and Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street, and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be held to include vehicles carrying goods for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevards. All vehicles shall be permitted to travel upon said boulevards for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.

(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street, and Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street, except as may be necessary to cross same at intersections.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Ordering Street Work, Joost Avenue.

Bill No. 7559, Ordinance No. 7076 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Joost avenue between Detroit street and Edna street produced southerly, including the intersection of Joost avenue and Edna street*, by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: A 12-inch with 1 Y branch and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Joost avenue between the westerly and center lines of Edna street produced southerly; an 8-inch with 48 Y branches and 2 brick manholes along the center line of Joost avenue between the center line of Edna street produced southerly and a point 20 feet westerly from the westerly line of Detroit street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Ordering Street Work, Coleridge Street and Esmeralda Avenue.

Bill No. 7560, Ordinance No. 7077 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *intersection of Coleridge street and Esmeralda avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construc-

tion of one brick catchbasin with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Ordering Street Work, Bowdoin Street.

Bill No. 7561, Ordinance No. 7078 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Bowdoin street between Felton and Stillman streets, where not already so improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Ordering Street Work, Bemis Street.

Bill No. 7562, Ordinance No. 7079 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Bemis street between the southwesterly line of*

Miguel street produced and the southwesterly line of Mateo street produced, including the intersection of Bemis and Mateo streets, and the improvement of *that portion of Addison street lying between the northwesterly line of Bemis street and a line at right angles with the southerly line of Addison street at its intersection with the northwesterly line of Bemis* street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width are not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Ordering Street Work, Lane Street.

Bill No. 7563, Ordinance No. 7080 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Lane street between McKinnon avenue and Newcomb avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Ordering Street Work, Twenty-second Street.

Bill No. 7564, Ordinance No. 7081 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plnas and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the

assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-second street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, including the crossing of Twenty-second and Carolina streets, and Twenty-second and Wisconsin streets;* and the improvement of *Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, including the crossing of Wisconsin and Twenty-third streets*, by grading the crossing of Wisconsin and Twenty-third streets to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts and 1 brick manhole on the crossing of Twenty-second and Wisconsin streets; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances on the crossing of Wisconsin and Twenty-third streets; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossings, where not already constructed; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of concrete pavement on Wisconsin street between the northerly line of Twenty-third street and a line 330 feet northerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 91095 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Vermont Street Between Twentieth and Twenty-second Streets and on Twenty-first Street Between Vermont Street and San Bruno Avenue.

Bill No. 7565, Ordinance No. 7082 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and four and nine hundred and five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office April 10, 1926, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and four and nine hundred and five, to read as follows:

Section 904. The width of sidewalks on Vermont street between Twentieth street and Twenty-second street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Vermont street between Twentieth street and Twenty-second street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 905. The width of sidewalks on Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Amending Spur Track Permit, Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Bill No. 7566, Ordinance No. 7083 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1, Ordinance No. 6862 (New Series), which granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Western Pacific Railroad Com-

pany, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across certain streets and alleys in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, as hereinafter described, by terminating the privilege for such spur track on Ninth street at a projection of the southeasterly line of Sheridan street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 6862 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Franisco, finally passed by said Board on November 23, 1925, and approved on December 4, 1925, by the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across certain streets and alleys in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, as hereinafter described, the center lines of said proposed railroad tracks being described as follows:

Track No. 1: Beginning at a point in the center line of The Western Pacific Railroad Company's existing track on Brannan street, said point being approximately 320 feet easterly from the easterly line of Eighth street and approximately 22.6 feet northerly from the southerly line of said Brannan street; thence on a tangent in a general easterly direction approximately 22.6 feet from and parallel with said southerly line of Brannan street for a distance of approximately 50 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 573.68 feet for a distance of approximately 50 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 573.68 feet for a distance of approximately 50 feet to a point 18.5 feet northerly from the said southerly line of Brannan street; thence in a general easterly direction 18.5 feet northerly from and parallel with said southerly line of Brannan street for a distance of approximately 1262 feet, crossing Seventh street, Gilbert street and Harriet street to the westerly line of Sixth street.

Track No. 2: Beginning at a point in the center line of The Western Pacific Railroad Company's existing track on Ninth street, said point being at or near the easterly line of Ninth street and approximately 100 feet southerly thereon from the southerly line of Brannan street; thence in a general northerly direction with turnout to the right approximately 55 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 477.68 feet for a distance of approximately 185.0 feet to a point approximately 23 feet easterly from the westerly line of Ninth street, crossing the southerly line of Brannan street approximately 40 feet easterly from the westerly line of said Ninth street and crossing the northerly line of Brannan street street approximately 24 feet easterly from the westerly line of said Ninth street; thence in a northerly direction on a tangent to said last-mentioned curve approximately 23 feet easterly from and parallel with said westerly line of Ninth street and crossing Bryant street and Harrison street to a projection in a northeasterly direction of the southeasterly line of Sheridan street.

Track No. 3: Beginning at a point on Ninth street, said point being in the center line of the above described Track No. 2 and being distant approximately 23 feet easterly from the westerly line of said Ninth street and 135.0 feet southerly from the southerly line of Harrison street; thence in a general northerly direction with turnout to the right approximately 55 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 143.96 feet for a distance of approximately 189 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of approximately 65 feet to a point approximately 23 feet southerly from the northerly line of Harrison street and crossing the southerly line of Harrison street approximately 25.0 feet westerly from the easterly line of said Ninth street and crossing the easterly line of said Ninth street produced approximately 25.0 feet northerly from the southerly line of said Harrison street produced; thence in an easterly direction on a tangent to the last mentioned curve approximately 23 feet southerly from and parallel with said northerly line of Harrison street for a distance of approximately 420 feet to the westerly line of Eighth street.

Track No. 4: Beginning at a point on Brannan street, said point being in the center line of the above described Track No. 1 and being approximately 18.5 feet northerly from the southerly line of Brannan street and approximately 355 feet westerly from the westerly line of Seventh street; thence in a general northerly direction with turnout to

the left approximately 55 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 143.96 feet for a distance of approximately 207 feet to a point 10 feet westerly from the easterly line of Langton street and its production southerly, crossing the northerly line of Brannan street approximately 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of said Seventh street; thence in a northerly direction 10 feet westerly from and parallel with the said easterly line of said Langton street for a distance of approximately 1100 feet, crossing Bryant street, to the southerly line of Harrison street.

Track No. 5: Beginning at a point on Brannan street in the center line of the above described Track No. 1, said point being approximately 5 feet westerly from the easterly line of Seventh street produced and approximately 18.5 feet northerly from the southerly line of Brannan street; thence in a general northerly direction with turnout to the left approximately 55 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 143.96 feet for a distance of approximately 207 feet to a point 10 feet westerly from the easterly line of Gilbert street and crossing the northerly line of Brannan street produced approximately 158 feet easterly from the easterly line of Seventh street and the westerly line of said Gilbert street produced approximately 5 feet southerly from the northerly line of said Brannan street; thence in a northerly direction 10 feet westerly from and parelled with said easterly line of said Gilbert street for a distance of approximately 466 feet to the southerly line of Bryant street.

Track No. 6: Beginning at a point on Brannan street in the center line of above described Track No. 1, said point being approximately 360 feet westerly from the westerly line of Sixth street; thence in a general northerly direction with turnout to the left approximately 55 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 143.96 feet for a distance of approximately 207 feet to a point approximately 10 feet westerly from the easterly line of Harriet street and crossing the northerly line of Brannan street produced approximately 2 feet westerly from the westerly line of said Harriet street and crossnig the westerly line of said Harriet street approximately 3 feet northerly from the northerly line of said Brannan street; thence in a northerly direction 10 feet westerly from and parallel with said easterly line of Harriet street for a distance of approximately 466 feet to the southerly line of Bryant street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be constructed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said railroad tracks shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company; provided that said The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2, This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Spur Track Permit, Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Bill No. 7567, Ordinance No. 7084 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track along Illinois street and across Army street, Twenty-sixth street, Michigan street and a portion of Georgia street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point of connection in the existing track of The Western Pacific Railroad Company in Georgia street, said point being distant approximately 20 feet easterly from the westerly line of said Georgia street and approximately 110 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street; thence in a general westerly direc-

tion, with turnout to the left, approximately 215 feet to a point in the easterly line of Michigan street, distant thereon approximately 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of said Twenty-fifth street, said point also being distant 13 feet southerly from the present "interchange track" of The Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence in a general westerly and southerly direction 13 feet easterly from and parallel with said "interchange track," and crossing Michigan street, Twenty-sixth street and along Illinois street to a point of connection with said "interchange track" in said Illinois street, said last named point being distant approximately 60 feet southerly from the southerly line of Army street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Provided, that The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $58,626.40, recommnds same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(1) A. M. Castle & Co., steel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 16, 1926), $562.01.

(2) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 15, 1926), $4,141.07.

(3) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 15, 1926), $758.06.

(4) Leo Rosasco, oak wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 15, 1926), $1,621.25.

(5) Waterbury Co., rope and cable, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 15, 1926), $726.80.

(6) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated April 8, 1926), $1,040.38.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(7) John Reid, Jr., nineteenth payment, architectural service, Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 19, 1926), $1,708.05.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(8) Park Commission, excavation and loam for planting, etc., four sides of Athletic Field, High School of Commerce (claim dated April 21, 1926), $1,000.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue, 1923.

(9) Burnham Plumbing Co., sixth payment, plumbing, etc., for New Mission High School (claim dated April 20, 1926), $4,751.55.

(10) Gilley-Schmid Co., fourth payment, plumbing, etc., for Dudley Stone School (claim dated April 20, 1926), $3,570.

(11) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural services for New Mission High School (claim dated April 19, 1926), $1,040.57.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 15, 1926), $1,548.38.

(13) Levison Printing Co., printing Municipal Railway Official Rule Books (claim dated April 15, 1926), $831.

(14) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for March, under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated April 15, 1926), $1,640.51.

(15) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated April 15, 1926), $3,559.07.

(16) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways during March (claim dated April 15, 1926), $38,699.94.

Playground Fund.

(17) Eaton & Smith, final payment, improvement of playground, Nineteenth avenue between Geary and Anza streets (claim dated April 21, 1926), $4,933.43.

(18) Eaton & Smith, reconstruction of swimming tanks at North Beach and in the Mission (claim dated April 21, 1926), $544.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(19) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, paving and reconstruction of tracks, Union street, Mason street to Larkin street (claim dated April 15, 1926), $14,959.44.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(20) The California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,287.06.

(21) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels, drygoods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,959.75.

(22) Otis Elevator Co., elevator repairs, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $750.

(23) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $741.68.

(24) Haas Bros., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated April 20, 1926), $828.40.

(25) Jacobson-Reimers Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated April 20, 1926), $727.56.

(26) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, Relief Home (claim dated April 20, 1926), $1,275.04.

(27) H. E. Teller Co., coffee, Relief Home (claim dated April 20, 1926), $502.50.

(28) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated April 26, 1926), $1,035.45.

(29) A. Rossi & Co., one Star touring car for Police Department (claim dated April 12, 1926), $634.50.

(30) Peter McHugh, paving, sewering and curbing at City property, Eighteenth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets (claim dated April 21, 1926), $1,350.

(31) M. B. McGowan, first payment, furnishing and repairing fender piling, center piers and dolphins, Fourth and Sixth street bridges (claim dated April 21, 1926), $2,200.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(32) The Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated April 19, 1926), $992.50.

(33) Severin Electric Co., 1000 ward stands for new Relief Home (claim dated April 21, 1926), $5,070.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(34) School Repairs, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for stock withdrawals for repairs to Hall of Justice (claim dated April 15, 1926), $1,310.74.

(35) School Repairs, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for stock withdrawals, etc., for Detention Home painting (claim dated April 15, 1926), $593.57.

(36) School Repairs, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for stock withdrawals, etc., for painting San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 15, 1926), $1,224.86.

(37) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated April 16, 1926), $3,613.12.

(38) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated April 19, 1926), $1,092.28.

(39) Dollar Steamship Line, freight on voting machines for Department of Elections (claim dated April 22, 1926), $2.417.87.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(40) Farrar & Carlin, seventh and final payment, grading Pulgas road and incidental construction, for account Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated April 19, 1926), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(41) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, Pacific Gas & Electric Co., etc. (claim dated April 15, 1926), $850.

(42) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, Pacific Gas & Electric Co., etc. (claim dated April 15, 1926), $750.

(*Supervisor Marks* requested to be recorded as voting *no* on Items 7 and 11.)

Appropriation, $1,219, for Property Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,219 be and the same is authorized in payment out of $100,000 set aside out of the County Road Fund by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series), being payment for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25524 (New Series).

Appropriation, $4,250, Payment to C. A. Jones for Property Required for Opening Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,250 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, and authorized in payment to C. A. Jones for all of Lot 19, Block 6688, on Assessor's Block Book, being property required for the opening of Bernal Cut. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25522 (New Series).

Appropriations for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Chas. Robison, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 200 feet southerly from southerly line of Rivera street; thence running southerly on westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of dimensions 50 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25498 (New Series.) (Claim dated April 26, 1926), $2,200.

(2) To Margaret Desmond, for lands on the northerly line of Santiago street, commencing 107½ feet easterly from easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence easterly on northerly line of Santiago street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25499 (New Series). (Claim dated April 26, 1926), $1,100.

(3) To John F. Kearney, for lands on the northerly line of Rivera street, commencing 107½ feet westerly from westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly on the northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25500 (New Series). (Claim dated April 26, 1926), $1,000.

(4) To Ben F. Sueffert, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 200 feet southerly from southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet; of dimensions 50 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25528 (New Series). (Claim dated April 26, 1926), $1,800.

Appropriation, $15,000, for Grading Site Tuberculosis Preventorium.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Tubercular Sanitarium—Outside County," Budget Item No. 73, to defray cost of grading site of Tuberculosis Preventorium, to be erected on city property at Pulgas Tunnel, San Mateo County, including engineering and possible extras at $3,000 (P. Montague contract).

Appropriations for Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For construction of sewers and appurtenances in westerly Sunset District, Section "A," Forty-third avenue Main Sewer, including inspection and possible extras at $4,059.16 (C. B. Eaton contract), $65,000.

(2) For construction of an ironstone pepe sewer through an easement at southerly termination of Peralta avenue (T. D. Harney contract), $600.

Appropriation, $2,000, Minor Items in Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000

be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Repair and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, to the credit of "Maintenance and Operation of Bridges, Contractual," Budget Item No. 560, to expeditiously take care of minor items in connection with maintenance and operation of bridges.

Appropriation, $457.27, to Enable Final Payment on Contract for Repairing Fender Piling and Dolphins on Fourth and Sixth Street Bridges.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $457.27 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repair and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, to enable final payment to be made on contract for repairing the fender piling and dolphins on the Fourth and Sixth street bridges over the Channel.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Curt.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25558 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Carrie Cummings, $5,000—All of Lot 1, Block 6662, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Mayor and City Attorney to Enter into Agreement for the Exchange of Certain Lands Required for the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25559 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the provisions of the Act of May 1, 1911, the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with Cecelia Ulfelder and Selma G. Lowe by the terms of which said Cecelia Ulfelder and Selma G. Lowe shall agree to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a strip of land described as follows:

Begining at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Cortland avenue with the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Cortland avenue 40 feet; thence at right angles northerly parallel with the westerly line of San Bruno avenue and distant 40 feet at right angles westerly therefrom, at distance of 216.367 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 1062.500-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 14 deg. 17 min. 55 sec., a distance of 265.155 feet to he northerly boundary of Lot No. 294, Precita Valley Lands; thence deflecting 91 deg. 13 min. 57 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running easterly along the northerly boundary of said Lot No. 294 82.145 feet to the westerly line of San Bruno avenue; thence deflecting 99 deg. 25 min. 3 sec. to the right and running southerly along the westerly line of San Bruno avenue 170.837 feet; thence deflecting 24 deg. 56 min. 55 sec. to the left and continuing southerly along the westerly line of San Bruno avenue 301.887 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 294 to 301, inclusive.

And in exchange for which said conveyance the City and County will agree to pay to said Cecelia Ulfelder and Selma G. Lowe the sum of $11,694 and close as a public street, subject to the reservation mentioned below, and convey to the said Cecelia Ulfelder and Selma G. Lowe that portion of San Bruno

avenue more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly boundary of Lot 310, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 18.343 feet; thence deflecting 18 deg. 52 min. 10 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 90.162 feet; thence deflecting 74 deg. 34 min. 16 sec. to the right and running westerly 13.107 feet to the easterly line of proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence northerly along said proposed easterly line on a curve to the right of 937.500-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 93 deg. 4 min. 33 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 6 deg. 39 min. 17 sec., a distance of 108.888 feet to the northerly boundary of Lot 310, Precita Valley Lands, extended and produced westerly; thence deflecting 87 deg. 15 min. 30 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running easterly along said northerly boundary 24.035 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of San Bruno avenue.

Such conveyance shall contain a reservation for street purposes over the portion of San Bruno avenue described above until such time as the Bay Shore boulevard is constructed adjoining the above described portion of San Bruno avenue, and upon the completion of said construction of the portion of Bay Shore boulevard said easement shall become null and void. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to prepare the necessary agreement in accordance with this resolution and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute such agreement, when so prepared, in accordance with the terms hereinbefore set forth.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands Required for Opening the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25560 (New Series). as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Olga Fagerstrom, $2,652—All of Lots 404 and 403, Gift Map No. 4, Map Book 2 A and B, pages 16 and 17, recrods of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 121.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25561 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street, and running thence

northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at El Camino Del Mar, and running thence southerly 322.43 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence southerly and along the westerly line of Thirty-second avenue 36 feet 2⅜ inches, said set-back line to be 7 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-second avenue commencing at El Camino Del Mar and running thence southerly 332.48 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly to Lincoln way, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of May, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 122.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25562 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 7 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-first avenue between Santiago street and Rivera street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Cabrillo street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Balboa street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of May, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been

fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution fo intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25563 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to remove, change and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

South side Vallejo street, first and second east of Taylor street.

North side Vallejo street, first east of Taylor street.

North and south sides Broadway between Taylor and Jones streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Vallejo and Green streets.

East and west sides Taylor street between Vallejo street and Broadway.

Southeast and northwest corners Taylor street and Broadway.

Taylor and Bernard streets.

East side Taylor street, first south of Broadway.

Montgomery and Gold streets.

Jones street, south of California street.

Steiner street between Sacramento and Clay streets.

North side Sacramento street, west of Lyon street.

West side Lyon street between Sacramento and California streets.

Sacramento street between Baker and Broderick streets.

Sacramento street between Lyon street and Presidio avenue.

Northeast and southwest corners Sacramento and Broderick streets.

Change Gas Lamp.

Opposite No. 1134 Church street, 5 feet south.

Install 400 *M. R.*

South side Vallejo street, first pole east of Taylor street.

Vallejo street between Taylor and Mason streets, "at end of stairway."

Broadway between Taylor and Jones streets.

Vallejo and Green streets.

Taylor street between Vallejo street and Broadway.

Taylor street and Broadway.

Mangels street between Hamburg and Genesee streets.

Avery street, north of Geary street.

Mead street and San Bruno avenue.

Wayland and University streets.

Steiner street between Sacramento and Clay streets.

Lyon street between Sacramento and California streets.

Corner Rotteck street and Cayuga avenue.

Change 400 *M. R. to* 600 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets.*

(No additional charge on City lighting bill.)

Balboa street and Thirty-seventh avenue.

Balboa street and Thirty-eighth avenue.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Sacramento street between Lyon street and Presidio avenue.

Lyon and Broderick streets.

Lyon and Baker streets.

Sacramento street between Broderick and Baker streets.

Remove double inverted gas southwest corner Geary and Scott streets.

Change 400 M. R. on Raymond avenue west of San Bruno avenue, one pole east.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath. Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending License Ordinance Relative to Automobile Parking Stations.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Bill No. 7571, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes, etc., by adding a new section thereto to be numbered Section 19-A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered Section 19-A is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), to read as follows:

Automobile Parking Stations.

Section 19-A. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the busi-

ness of maintaining, conducting or operating an automobile parking station shall pay a license as follows:

Those accommodating 100 cars or less shall pay a license tax of $12.50 per quarter;

Those accommodating 101 and less than 250 cars shall pay a license tax of $20 a quarter;

Those accommodating 250 or more cars shall pay a license tax of $30 a quarter.

The Tax Collector shall not issue a license as provided in this section unless the applicant shall present a permit to operate an automobile parking station granted by the Board of Supervisors.

Authorizing City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Proceedings.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25564 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney, upon his written recommendation, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in condemnation pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. Kate Bouchel et al.," and numbered 148000 on the files of the Clerk of the said Court, in so far as it affects the land described as Parcel 14, paragraph IV of the complaint therein on file.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Commending Citizens' Advisory Committee for Control and Regulation of Traffic Activities.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Resolution No. 25565 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the successful handling of traffic presents one of the most pressing problems of city government today;

Whereas, non-political and non-partisan traffic commissions, unconnected with the government, formed by private but public-spirited citizens to study traffic problems, are operating with great success in other large cities and are rendering invaluable assistance and advice to city officials;

Whereas, members of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, Down Town Association and California Automobile Association, and other civic organizations are forming such an advisory group; be it, therefore,

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That it commends these citizens for the interest they are taking in this question, and that this Board will at all times welcome their advice and suggestions for the handling of traffic.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Declaring that Public Interest and Necessity Requires the Condemnation of Certain Lands for Easements in the Matter of the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor Harrelson presented:

Resolution No. 25566 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following easements situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Castro street with the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Duboce avenue 27 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southerly 14.528 feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly 27.908 feet to a point on the westerly line of Castro street, distant thereon 9.777 feet southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Castro street 9.777 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Duboce avenue, distant thereon 27 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Castro street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Duboce avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 18.848 feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly 25.370 feet; thence northerly at right angles with Duboce avenue 14.528 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Duboce avenue, distant thereon 52 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Castro street, and running

thence westerly along the southerly line of Duboce avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 23.168 feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly 25.370 feet; thence northerly at right angles with Duboce avenue 18.848 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Duboce avenue, distant thereon 77 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Castro street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Duboce avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 27.488 feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly 25.370 feet; thence northerly at right angles with Duboce avenue 23.168 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Duboce avenue, distant thereon 50 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Divisadero street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Duboce avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 27.488 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running westerly 25.370; thence at right angles with Duboce avenue 31.807 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Divisadero street with the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Duboce avenue 50 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southerly 31.198 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.500 feet to the easterly line of Divisadero street; thence northerly along the easterly line of Divisadero street 31 feet 2⅜ inches to the point of beginning.

Parcel 7. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Divisadero street, distant thereon 31 feet 2⅜ inches southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Divisadero street 9.335 feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly 51.248 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Divisadero street 0.609 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.500 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 8. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Divisadero street with the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Duboce avenue 7.075 feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running westerly 39.502 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11. min 48 sec. to the left and running southerly parallel with Divisadero street 50.741 feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly 46.682 feet to a po on the westerly line of Divisadero street, distant thereon 49.518 feet southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Divisadero street 49.518 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 9. Beginning at a point distant 46 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Divisadero street, and distant 6.726 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly parallel with Divisadero street 50.741 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running westerly 25.370 feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Divisadero street 50.741 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running easterly 25.370 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 10. Beginning at a point distant 71 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Divisadero street, and distant 11.046 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly parallel with Divisadero street 50.741 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running westerly 25.370 feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Divisadero street 50.741 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running easterly 25.370 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 11. Beginning at a point distant 96 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Divisadero street, and distant 15.365 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly parallel with Divisadero street 50.741 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running westerly 22.133 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 02 min. 32 sec. to the right and running northerly 50.194 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 57 min. 28 sec. to the right and running easterly 26.361 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 12. Beginning at a point distant 74 feet 5¼ inches southerly from the southerly line of Duboce

avenue, said distance being measured along a line parallel with Alpine Terrace, and distant 25.724 feet easterly from the easterly line of Alpine Terrace, said distance being measured along a line parallel with Duboce avenue, and running thence easterly parallel with Duboce avenue 55.384 feet; thence deflecting 94 deg. 45 min. 40 sec. to the left and running northerly 9.467 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 02 min. 32 sec. to the left and running westerly 55.407 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 13. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Alpine Terrace, distant thereon 78.830 feet southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Alpine Terrace 20.608 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 28 min. 34 sec. to the left and running easterly parallel with Duboce avenue 80.660 feet; thence deflecting 94 deg. 45 min. 40 sec. to the left and running northerly 24.97 feet; thence deflecting 85 deg. 14 min. 20 sec. to the left and running westerly 55.384 feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running westerly 25.676 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 14. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Alpine Terrace, distant thereon 99 feet 5¼ inches southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Alpine Terrace 25 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 28 min. 34 sec. to the left and running easterly parallel with Duboce avenue 26.540 feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly 53.921 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 57 min. 28 sec. to the left and running northerly 15.757 feet; thence deflecting 85 deg. 14 min. 20 sec. to the left and running westerly parallel with Duboce avenue 80.66 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 15. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Alpine Terrace, distant thereon 124 feet 5¼ inches southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Alpine Terrace 4.532 feet; thence deflecting 94 deg. 16 min. 46 sec. to the left and running easterly 26.491 feet; thence deflecting 170 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the left and running westerly parallel with Duboce avenue 26.540 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 16. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Alpine Terrace, distant thereon 87.896 feet southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Alpine Terrace 0.820 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 31 min. 26 sec. to the right and running westerly parallel with Duboce avenue 4.801 feet; thence deflecting 170 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running easterly 4.792 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 17. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Alpine Terrace, distant thereon 88 feet 9¼ inches southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Alpine Terrace 28 feet 1½ inches; thence deflecting 95 deg. 31 min. 26 sec. to the right and running westerly parallel with Duboce avenue 94 feet 2 inches; thence at right angles northerly 13.026 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running easterly 87.904 feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the right and running easterly parallel with Duboce avenue 4.801 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 18. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Alpine Terrace, distant thereon 116 feet 10¾ inches southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Alpine Terrace 21.190 feet; thence deflecting 85 deg. 43 min. 14 sec. to the right and running westerly 40.577 feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the right and running westerly parallel with Duboce avenue 56.224 feet; thence at right angles northerly 28 feet; thence at right angles easterly 94 feet 2 inches to the point of beginning.

Parcel 19. Beginning at a point distant 144.312 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and distant 40.651 feet westerly from the westerly line of Alpine Terrace, said distance being measured along a line parallel with Duboce avenue, and running thence westerly parallel with Duboce avenue 56.224 feet; thence at right angles southerly 9.715 feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly 57.058 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 20. Beginning at a point distant 116 feet 3¾ inches at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and distant 24.602 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace, and running thence easterly parallel with Duboce avenue 75.398 feet; thence at right angles northerly 13.026 feet; thence

deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running westerly 70.515 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 21. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace, distant thereon 120.565 feet southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace 25.749 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles northerly 30 feet; thence at right angles westerly 75.398 feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running westerly 24.967 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 22. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace, distant thereon 146 feet 3¾ inches southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace 24.992 feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly 101.482 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Buena Vista Terrace 7.713 feet; thence at right angles westerly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 23. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Buena Vista Terrace, distant thereon 46.855 feet southerly from the southeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue East, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Buena Vista Terrace 50.741 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running westerly 79.285 feet to a point on the southeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue East; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue East 70.612 feet; thence deflecting 45 deg. 04 min. 48 sec. to the right and running easterly 38.064 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 24. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Frederick street with the westerly line of Buena Vista avenue West, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Frederick street 70 feet 5½ inches; thence at right angles southerly 39 feet; thence at right angles easterly 71 feet 2¼ inches to a point on the westerly line of Buena Vista avenue West; thence northerly along the westerly line of Buena Vista avenue West 39 feet 0⅛ inches to the point of beginning.

Parcel 25. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Buena Vista avenue West, distant thereon 30 feet 0⅛ inches southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence westerly parallel with Frederick street 71 feet 2¼ inches; thence at right angles southerly 10.325 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly 71.537 feet to a point on the westerly line of Buena Vista avenue West; thence northerly along the westerly line of Buena Vista avenue West 4.024 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 26. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Frederick street, distant thereon 113.153 feet easterly from the easterly line of Masonic avenue, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Frederick street 9.847 feet; thence at right angles southerly 49.325 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 48.187 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Masonic avenue 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly 38.302 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 27. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Masonic avenue, distant thereon 10 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Masonic avenue 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly 75.292 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Masonic avenue 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly 75.292 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 28. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Masonic avenue distant thereon 14.507 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Masonic avenue 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 100.390 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Masonic avenue 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly 100.390 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 29. Beginning at a point distant 48 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Delmar street and distant 26.615 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street,

and running thence southerly parallel with Delmar street 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly 37.144 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Delmar street 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly 37.144 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 30. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Delmar street, distant thereon 30.857 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Delmar street 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly 48.187 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Delmar street 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly 48.187 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 31. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Delmar street, distant thereon 34.834 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Delmar street 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 30.117 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Delmar street 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly 30.117 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 32. Beginning at a point distant 30 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Delmar street and distant 37.486 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Delmar street 50.195 feet: thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 30.117 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Delmar street 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly 30.117 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 33. Beginning at a point distant 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Delmar street and distant 40.137 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Delmar street 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 26.352 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Delmar street 50.195 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly 26.352 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 34. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon 51.295 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Ashbury street 18.705 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles northerly 27.543 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly 100.390 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 35. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon 70 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Ashbury street 30 feet; thence at right angles easterly 16.855 feet; thence deflecting 5 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly 83.469 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Ashbury street 22.652 feet; thence at right angles westerly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 36. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Ashbury street 1.490 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly 16.921 feet; thence deflecting 174 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running westerly 16.855 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 37. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon 57.371 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Ashbury street 19.629 feet; thence at right angles westerly 106 feet 3 inches; thence at right angles northerly 10.239 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly 106.664 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 38. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon 77 feet

southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Ashbury street 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 106 feet 3 inches; thence at right angles northerly 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 106 feet 3 inches to the point of beginning.

Parcel 39. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon 102 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Ashbury street 5.566 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 100.390 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Ashbury street 14.403 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 40. Beginning at a point distant 55 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Downey street and distant 68.970 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Downey street 33.030 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 35.239 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly 25.097 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 41. Beginning at a point distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Downey street and distant 71.180 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Downey street 30.820 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 33.030 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly 25.097 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 42. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Downey street, distant thereon 73.831 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Downey street 28.169 feet; thence at right angles easterly 30 feet; thence at right angles northerly 30.820 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly 30.117 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 43. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Downey street, distant thereon 102 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Downey street 22.026 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly 86.586 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Downey street 14.403 feet; thence at right angles westerly 86 feet 3 inches to the point of beginning.

Parcel 44. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Downey street, distant thereon 77.808 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Downey street 28.192 feet; thence at right angles westerly 53.125 feet; thence at right angles northerly 23.497 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly 53.332 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 45. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Downey street, distant thereon 106 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Downey street 22.003 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 deg. to the right and running westerly 80.312 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly 29.073 feet; thence at right angles easterly 80 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 46. Beginning at a point distant 53 feet 1½ inches at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Downey street and distant 82.503 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Downey street 23.497 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 19.078 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly 50.195 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 47. Beginning at a point distant 53 feet 1½ inches at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Clayton street and distant 89.132 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 16.868 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 19.078 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly 25.097 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 48. Beginning at a point distant 28 feet 1½ inches at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Clayton street and distant 91.341 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 14.659 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 16.868 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly 25.097 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 49. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Clayton street, distant thereon 93.827 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Clayton street 12.173 feet; thence at right angles easterly 28.125 feet; thence at right angles northerly 14.659 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly 28.235 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 50. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Clayton street, distant thereon 106 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Clayton street 30 feet; thence at right angles easterly 90.763 feet; thence deflecting 5 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly 10.528 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Clayton street 29.073 feet; thence at right angles westerly 101.250 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 51. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Clayton street, distant thereon 136 feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Clayton street 8.041 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly 91.117 feet; thence deflecting 174 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running westerly 90.763 feet to the point of beginning.

Be it

Further Resolved, That said easements are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction of the Duboce Tunnel. The City Attorney is herbey ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Acceptance of Invitation of San Jose to Participate in the Fiesta De Las Roses Celebration.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 25567 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, our sister city of San Jose has extended a cordial invitation to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to participate in the Fiesta De Las Roses and particularly requests that San Francisco be represented in the Floral Parade, which is to take place at San Jose on the afternoon of Saturday, May 22, 1926, which event is expected to be witnessed by two hundred thousand spectators; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors accepts the invitation of the City of San Jose to participate in the Fiesta De Las Roses and the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee is authorized to make the necessary arrangements for San Francisco to be represenetd in the parade by an appropriate float.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bills were *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7572, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Twenty-eighth, Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues between Ortega and Quintara streets; on Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues between Kirkham and Quintara streets; on Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues between Lawton and Quintara streets; on Lawton street between Thirtieth and Fortieth avenues; on Moraga, Noriega and Ortega streets be-

tween Thirtieth and Forty-fifth avenues, and on Pacheco street between Twenty-seventh and Forty-fifth avenues."

Spur Track Permit, Farnsworth & Ruggles.

Also, Bill No. 7573, Ordinance No. —— (New Series) as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Farnsworth & Ruggles to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on First street at Brannan street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Farnsworth & Ruggles to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing track in First street, 19.5 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Brannan street, said point being 32.8 feet southwesterly, measured at right angles from the northeasterly line of First street; thence northwesterly, crossing Brannan street, through a switch, on a curve to the left, having a radius of 252.35 feet, for a distance of 74 feet to a point; thence tangent to said curve for a distance of 41 feet to a point; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 191.53 feet for a distance of 66 feet to a point 10 feet northeasterly measured at right angles from the southwesterly line of First street; thence tangent to last mentioned curve and parallel to the said southwesterly line of First street for a distance of 203 feet to a point 80 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Federal street produced.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage, be paid for by Farnsworth & Ruggles.

Provided, that provision be made to take care of storm water at the proposed track and to intercept the drainage; that the existing fire hydrant be relocated; that the valve chamber in the crossing of Brannan street be not covered to prevent its use; all pavement disturbed to be restored; and proper clearances to be allowed for.

Provided, that Farnsworth & Ruggles shall erect and maintain all-light lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Execution of a Deed for Lands Exchanged for the Widening of Alhambra Street and Cervantes Boulevard.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25568 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and are herbey authorized to execute a deed to Eugene M. O'Neil and Martha H. O'Neil, his wife, to lands closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 25406 (New Series) at the crossing of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard in lieu of land deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alhambra street and Cervantes boulevard.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25569 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 91292 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 14, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed April 15 1926, to-wit:

On San Miguel street between Mount Vernon avenue and Ridge lane; on Ridge lane between San Jose avenue and Tara street; and on Caine avenue between Ridge lane and a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 600 feet northerly therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district

is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Board of Public Works to Enforce all Ordinances Relative to the Opening of Public Streets by Public Service Corporations.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 25570 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public service corporations and the Board of Public Works have opened up the pavements in the streets of San Francisco, to the grave damage of the said pavements; and

Whereas, the surface of said pavements is unduly permitted to remain in a broken condition, or with inadequate replacement after such work is completed; and

Whereas, in many instances, newly laid pavements, constructed at the expense of the taxpayers, have been opened by such corporations and the Board of Public Works immediately after completion, to the lasting damage and partial destruction of said pavements; and

Whereas, such damage is almost wholly unnecessary and unjustifiable and can be decreased by a proper enforcement of the laws and protection of the City's interest; and

Whereas, accumulated debris, resulting from the opening up and the replacement of said pavements, is allowed to remain, causing unnecessary nuisance to the public; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and it is hereby instructed to enforce all ordinances dealing with this subject-matter, and that pavements be immediately and properly reconstructed upon completion of the work done in the street; be it

Further Resolved, That further legislation be adopted by this Board whereby the Board of Public Works will be instructed and directed to notify each public service corporation of the intention of the City to pave any section of streets within the City limits thirty days in advance of the commencement of the work by the City to the end that all underground installation necessary to be made by said corporation in said streets will then and there be made, and further providing that no permit to open such newly-paved streets for the installation of new work shall be hereafter granted by the City for a period of two years, without permission of the Board of Supervisors being first had and obtained; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney, in conjunction with the City Engineer, be instructed to prepare such legislation as is necessary to carry this policy into effect.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Kearny Street Between Pacific and Broadway.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7574, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office April 19, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 906, to read as follows:

Section 906. The width of sidewalks on Kearny street between Pacific street and Broadway shall be fourteen (14) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 25571 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 91325 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 16, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed April 20, 1926, to-wit:

Army Street.

280 feet easterly from Castro street, 285.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Castro street, 273.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of at Castro street, 277.00 feet.

128.60 feet westerly from Castro street, 277.54 feet.

284.50 feet easterly from Diamond street, 347.50 feet.

272.50 feet easterly from Diamond street, 347.28 feet.

Diamond street, 350.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Army street between Diamond street and a line parallel with Castro street and 280 feet easterly therefrom; and on Castro street between Twenty-seventh street and the northerly line of Army street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Castro street at Twenty-seventh street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assesment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 25572 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 91339 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 19, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed April 20, 1926, to-wit:

Twenty-eighth Street.

Diamond street, 423.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 441.00 feet.

100 feet easterly from Douglass street, 520.00 feet.

Douglass street, 533.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets be changed and established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 25573 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 91263 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 12, 1926, and

written recommendation of said Board filed April 15, 1926, to-wit:

On Flournoy street between the southwesterly line of and a line parallel with and 22 feet northeasterly therefrom, extending from Rhine street to De Long street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades on Ellsworth Street.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7575, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Ellsworth street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 275 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Ellsworth street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 275 feet northerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed April 15, 1926.

Ellsworth Street.

275 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue, 346.50 feet.

100 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue, 318.50 feet.

7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Powhattan avenue northerly line, 298.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Powhattan avenue northerly line 300.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Ellsworth street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 275 feet northerly therefrom be established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25574 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. U. Martin is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after March 22, 1926, within which to complete the furnishing and installing of the sewage pumping plant at Vicente street and the Great Highway under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been retarded by the delay of the Westinghouse Electric Manufacturing Company in the delivery of the second motor necessary for the plant.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25575 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 91261 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 12, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed April 15, 1926, to-wit:

Vallejo Street.

Montgomery street, 115 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

215 feet easterly from Kearny street, 179.50 feet.

192.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 178.94 feet.

175 feet easterly from Kearny street, 178.04 feet.

157.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 176.22 feet.

137.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 173.12 feet.

117.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 169.02 feet.

(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

Kearny street, 142.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Vallejo street between Montgomery and Kearny streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25576 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. T. Guerin is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 5, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Hudson avenue between Lane and Keith streets and Keith street between Hudson and Galvez avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that delay has been occasioned by the weather conditions. The work is well under way, the grading nearly completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25577 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James T. Tobin is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 7, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the construction of a sewer in Stanyan street between Belgrave and Clarendon avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that delay has been occasioned by weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25578 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 5, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Thirty-first avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Ninety days' time from and after May 5, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the widening of Clement street between Arguello boulevard and Funston avenue. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Map Showing Realignment of Cervantes Boulevard and Alhambra Street Approved.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25579 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91286 (Second Series), approve a map showing the realignment of street lines on the northwest corner of Cervantes boulevard and Alhambra street; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the realignment of street lines on the northwest corner of Cervantes boulevard and Alhambra street is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Accepting Deed to Land Required for Cervantes Boulevard and Alhambra Street.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25580 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the second day of September, 1926, between Eugene M. O'Neill and Martha H. O'Neill, his wife, and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying lands for street purposes at Cervantes boulevard and Alhambra street as described in said deed is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Award of Contract, Furniture and Filing Equipment for Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25581 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for supplying furniture and filing equipment for Relief Home be hereby made on bids submitted April 5, 1926 (Proposal No. 183), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—1 desk, walnut, 38 x 72; $178.50; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 2—1 table, walnut, 38 x 72; $60.90; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 3—2 typewriter desks, oak, 34 x 60; $62.40 each; The Gunn Furniture Co.

Item No. 4—1 table, oak, 34 x 60; $31.80; The Gunn Furniture Co.

Item No. 5—1 desk, oak, 32 x 50; $46.80; The Gunn Furniture Co.

Item No. 6—1 revolving chair; walnut; $47.07; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 7—3 chairs, walnut; $27.03 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 8—4 revolving chairs, oak; $21.15 each; Rucker-Fuller Desk Co.

Item No. 9—1 unit, 4-drawer, upright filing cabinet, letter size, walnut; $45.80; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 10—1 5-section walnut bookcase; $41.30; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 11—1 section; $45.85; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 12—1 section; $24.50; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 13—2 sections; $17.50 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 14—2 sections; $2.50 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 15—2 sections; $25 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 16—2 sections, keys all different, master-keyed; $69.30 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Total—Items 11 to 16, $298.95; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 17—5 units, 3 drawers each, steel cabinets; $225 total; C. F. Weber & Co.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Maintenance Allowance Increase for Dependent Children.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is the general practice of the various counties of the State to provide for the maintenance of dependent children at a rate of twenty dollars each per month; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has heretofore made provision for the maintenance of such children and is desirous of establishing uniformity of practice in this respect; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the policy of this Board to provide in its annual budget a sum sufficient to provide twenty dollars a month for dependent children within its limits.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Golden Gate Ferry Franchise Favored.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25584 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has been made to appear that the Railroad Commission of the State of California has fixed Tuesday, the 11th day of May, 1926, for hearing the application of the Golden Gate Ferry Company for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing it to operate vessels between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Berkeley for the purpose of carrying automobiles, passengers accompanied by automobiles and freight between said cities; and

Whereas, it appears to and is the opinion of this Board that the operation of said vessels, as sought by the Golden Gate Ferry Company, is a public necessity and would supply in part the present urgent demand of the motor traveling public for additional transportation facilities across the Bay of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby requested to

enter the appearance of the City and County of San Francisco before said Railroad Commission in the matter of the application of the Golden Gate Ferry Company for said certificate of public convenience and necessity and at the said hearing of said application present the case of the City and County of San Francisco in favor of the granting of same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Finance Committee Asked to Recommend $100,000 for Traffic Signals.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it be the sense of this Board of Supervisors that the Finance Committee be requested to recommend a sum not less than one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000) in the forthcoming budget, to be used exclusively for the increased efficiency of the traffic bureau and especially for the installation of so-called silent traffic signals.

Supervisor Todd, seconded by *Supervisor Schmidt*, moved to amend, increasing to $200,000.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment reference to Finance Committee.

So ordered.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Traffic Regulation, Left-Hand Turns.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a very great inconvenience is caused visitors and tourists to the different cities and towns of the State of California resulting from either misinformation or excusable ignorance of local regulations as to left-hand turns, boulevard stops, etc.; and

Whereas, all of this confusion is the result of failure of these cities and towns to place the proper signs to indicate what are the local regulations on the subject; and

Whereas, San Francisco might set a good example in this regard and thereby win the thanks and high regard of these visitors whom we are making every effort to attract; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Traffic Committee of this Board of Supervisors. the newly organized Citizens Committee and the Police Department are requested to at their convenience consider this agravating lack of system and to recommend measures to obviate its evils; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said Traffic Committee, the Citizens Committe and Police Department confer and cooperate in the preparation of legislation to be presented to the forthcoming session of the California Legislature looking to the protection of the excusable ignorance of tourists and visitors.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Dismissal of Condemnation Action, Chenery Street.

Supervisor Badarraco presented:

Resolution No. 25585 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney, upon his written recommendation, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in condemnation pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. Wells Fargo & Company et al.," and numbered 43686 on the files of the Clerk of said Court in so far as it affects the land described as follows:

All that certain triangular portion of land bounded on the north by the southerly line of Chenery street, on the west by the westerly line of Elk street, extended southerly, and on the south by the southerly line of Parcel No. 148, as descrcibed in the complaint, "City and County of San Francisco vs. Wells Fargo & Co., Superior Court, State of California, City and County of San Francisco, No. 43686."

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy. Powers. Schmidt, Shannon. Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25586 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mrs. F. Fischer to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate west line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 250 feet northerly from Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,100 be, and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 250 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Memorial Day Committee.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 25587 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for the proper observance of Memorial Day.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Requesting Nonpartisan Participation of Police in Industrial Dispute.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25630 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Chief of Police has submitted a request for a Budget appropriation sufficient to provide for an increase of three hundred men in the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, numerous crimes recently committed in the City and County are referred to by the Chief of Police as the chief reason for the proposed increase in the force; and

Whereas, it is a matter of common knowledge and report that a large number of men have recently been brought into San Francisco under the auspices of the Industrial Association to act as strikebreakers in a current industrial dispute; and

Whereas, Police Court records show that some of these men are ex-convicts with criminal records, and it is reasonable to assume that their presence in this City may be a contributory factor in any recent increase of crime; and

Whereas, it is known that a number of policemen have been taken off their regular beats recently and assigned to special duty of guarding building jobs on which these strikebreakers are employed; and

Whereas, it is further reported that other policemen have been assigned to special espionage duty, consisting of watching and reporting upon the actions and movements of certain citizens of San Francisco who are members of organized labor; and

Whereas, the members of the organized labor bodies who are involved in the current industrial dispute are reputable citizens of San Francisco who have publicly proclaimed their intention to conduct their cause in a peaceful manner; and

Whereas, the San Francisco police force has enjoyed the reputation of being one of the most capable and efficient organizations of its kind in America and of enforcing the law fairly and impartially; and

Whereas, there is every reason to believe that if the full strength of the present police force is restored to regular duty it will be adequate to prevent the increases of crime of which the Chief of Police complains; therefore be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the partisan participation of the police force of San Francisco in this or any other

industrial dispute, where peaceful methods are pursued, is to be deplored; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief of Police be, and he is hereby, urged to restore, in so far as it is practically possible, all of the members of the police force to regular duty, to the end that future increases of crime may be prevented; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief of Police be additionally urged to use every means at his command to prevent any further importations of ex-convicts or other undesirable characters into this City for use as strikebreakers.

Motions.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Badaracco, moved suspension of the rules and adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved reference to proper committee.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden—4.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Whereupon, the roll was called on suspension of the rules.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Havenner's motion and the resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Before the result was announced *Supervisor Hayden* changed his vote vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice for reconsideration at next meeting.

Supervisor Badaracco, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved suspension of the rules and immediate reconsideration.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent—5.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Repealing Resolution Relating to City Traffic Commission.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 25588 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 20654 (New Series), approved January 10, 1923, and Resolution No. 22364 (New Series), approved April 18, 1924, relating to the "City Traffic Commission," be and the same are hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Death of Rev. John E. Cottle.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 25589 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the death of Rev. John E. Cottle, pastor of St. Bridgid's Church, occurred in this city on April 24, 1926, bringing to a close a half-century of faithful service rendered in behalf of humanity; therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That by this event a severe loss has resulted to the community; that by his words and works he endeared himself to hosts of fellow citizens and contributed to the moral and spiritual well-being of the community. Sorrow is expressed over his departure and condolence tendered to those who have the deepest cause to mourn, and we know that his reward for the deeds he performed will indeed be great.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 18

Monday, May 3, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 3, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 3. 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 15, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Resolution of Industrial Association Relative to Labor Dispute.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Industrial Association of San Francisco, transmitting copy of resolutions unanimously adopted at a mass meeting held in the Merchants Exchange, 11 a. m., May 3, 1926, relative to the present labor dispute in San Francisco.

Read and *filed*.

Report of Ralph W. Wiley, Chief, Department of Electricity, on Traffic Signals in Market Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Ralph W. Wiley, Chief, Department of Electricity, transmitting report on the authorization and proper method in which traffic signals are being installed in Market street; also where and by whom these installations are made.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Minority Report of Supervisor Powers.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Report of Supervisor Charles J. Powers, member of the Supplies Committee, on the purchase of a Nash passenger car by Timothy Reardon, president of the Board of Public Works, in which it is alleged that the purchase was made without the authorization of the committee.

Referred to Supplies Committee.

Resolution of Kiwanis Club Relative to Labor Dispute.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Kiwanis Club, advising that at a regular meeting of said club, held at the Fairmont Hotel, April 29th, resolution was adopted protesting the passage on reconsideration of the resolution before the Board of Supervisors having for its purpose the alleged proposed withdrawal of protection of Police Department from persons and property in industrial disputes.

Ordered *filed*.

Purchasing Agents' Association of Northern California Convention.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Communication, from Purchasing Agents' Association of Northern California, calling attention to the eleventh annual convention of said association to be held in Los Angeles from June 9 to June 12, inclusive, and suggesting that arrangements be made to have Mr. Leavy attend the convention to represent San Francisco.

Read by the Clerk and Board authorized City Purchasing Agent Leonard S. Leavy to attend said convention.

Property Owners on Montgomery Street Protest "No Parking" Ordinance.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Petition, of numerous business men and property owners on Montgomery street, appealing for relief from discouraging conditions maintaining on this street by reason of the "No Parking" ordinance apply-

ing to Montgomery street between Market street and Sacramento street between 7 a. m. and 7 p. m., and alleging that the operation of the ordinance is to divert business to other streets where parking is allowed.

Refered to Traffic Committee.

Street Carnival Petition.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Petition, of the Unione Sportiva Fiesta and Indoor Circus, requesting permission to hold a Monster Fiesta on a large lot at the corner of Chestnut and Mason streets from June 5th to June 13th, and in connection therewith requesting permission to have the use of Chestnut street from Mason to Taylor and Mason street from Chestnut to Francisco street during the celebration.

Refered to Police Committee.

Mayor's Veto.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am returning to you herewith disapproved, Resolution No. 25540 (New Series), repealing Resolution No. 12941 (New Series) and appointing Robert G. Drady Managing Superintendent of the Exposition Auditorium, effective May 19, 1926.

I disapprove this resolution because it seeks to dismiss James P. Donohue from the employ of the City, a faithful employee of many years service, whose efficiency has been most admirable.

Within a short time he will become eligible to a pension under the City's retirement system, and I feel that surely his years of service entitle him to this consideration.

Very respectfully,
(Sgd.) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Resolution No. 25540 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Robert G. Drady be and he is hereby appointed Managing Superintendent of the Exposition Auditorium to serve during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors at a salary of $250 per month. This appointment to be effective as of May 19, 1926.

Resolution No. 12941 (New Series), adopted May 15, 1916, is hereby repealed.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 19, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd.

Noes—Supervisor Powers.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Roncovieri, Welch.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved, San Francisco, April 30, 1926.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Employment of Expert to Assist Board of Equalization.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25590 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is important for the Board of Supervisors to determine the ratio of the assessed value to the full, true value of property listed on the assessment roll for taxation purposes, and to discover any discrepancies in assessed value, if such exist, in order that the Board, as a Board of Equalization, may properly discharge its duties, and also to determine whether provision should be made to secure a scientific appraisal of taxable property; and

Whereas, in order to secure information upon which to base such determination it is essential to employ an expert appraiser to make a preliminary investigation and report concerning the valuations herein stated, and it appearing that James G. Stafford is a qualified expert in such matters and has made such appraisals for many of the cities and counties of this State; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Finance Committee of this Board is hereby authorized to enter into a contract with said James G. Stafford, to render service for the period of three months, for the purpose of ascertaining such facts as may be pertinent to the further determinations of this Board, as herein recited.

That the compensation of said James G. Stafford for the services so to be rendered shall be the sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000), payable as follows: Seven hundred and fifty dollars ($750) upon the execution of the contract, to cover the cost of starting the work; like sums on May 30 and June 30; the remainder to be paid on completion of the work.

Said Stafford agrees to furnish such further assistance as the work may require and submit a complete report prior to the time of meeting of the Board of Equalization.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the Assessor be sent for and tell us whether or not this conflicts with his duties.

So ordered.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that

matter be made a Special Order of Business for 4:30 p. m. today.

So ordered.

Subsequently, the resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Kent, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson—3.

Notice of Reconsideration.

The following resolution was taken up on notice of reconsideration given by Supervisor Hayden:

Resolution No. 25630 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Chief of Police has submitted a request for a budget appropriation sufficient to provide for an increase of three hundred men in the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, numerous crimes recently committed in the City and County are referred to by the Chief of Police as the chief reason for the proposed increase in the force; and

Whereas, it is a matter of common knowledge and report that a large number of men have recently been brought into San Francisco under the auspices of the Industrial Association to act as strike breakers in a current industrial dispute; and

Whereas, police court records show that some of these men are ex-convicts with criminal records, and it is reasonable to assume that their presence in this City may be a contributory factor in any recent increase of crime; and

Whereas, it is known that a number of policemen have been taken off their regular beats recently and assigned to special duty of guarding building jobs on which these strike breakers are employed; and

Whereas, it is further reported that other policemen have been assigned to special espionage duty, consisting of watching and reporting upon the actions and movements of certain citizens of San Francisco who are members of organized labor; and

Whereas, the members of the organized labor bodies who are involved in the current industrial dispute are reputable citizens of San Francisco who have publicly proclaimed their intention to conduct their cause in a peaceful manner; and

Whereas, the San Francisco police force has enjoyed the reputation of being one of the most capable and efficient organization of its kind in America and of enforcing the law fairly and impartially; and

Whereas, there is every reason to believe that if the full strength of the present police force is restored to regular duty it will be adequate to prevent the increase of crime of which the Chief of Police complains; therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the partisan participation of the police force of San Francisco in this or any other industrial dispute where peaceful methods are pursued is to be deplored; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Chief of Police be and he is hereby urged to restore, in so far as it is practically possible, all of the members of the police force to regular duty, to the end that future increases of crime may be prevented; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Chief of Police be additionally urged to use every means at his command to prevent any further importations of ex-convict or other undesirable characters into this City for use as strike breakers.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Welch—3.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved reconsideration of the vote by which the foregoing resolution was adopted.

Privilege of the Floor.

The following were granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board:

Chief of Police Daniel J. O'Brien was granted the privilege of the floor and stated he thought some parts of the resolution casts a reflection on the police department. He stated that the request made for additional patrolmen was not due to the detailing of special police since the labor trouble begun in April. He said he did not know of his own knowledge that "a large number of men have recently been brought into San Francisco under the auspices of the Industrial Association to act as strike breakers," although this complaint had been made to his department from both sides.

As to the police protection which is being given, where it has been requested and found necessary,

Chief O'Brien said: "We must look upon each side without fear or favor and without taking sides and provide the proper police protection."

"I want to say to you in absolute sincerity, that the best you can expect from me as chief of police, is a square deal."

He said that the police department is not taking sides but must under the law give protection wherever required, no matter which side it is for.

A communication was read stating that a mass meeting had been held at eleven o'clock Monday morning in the Merchants' Exchange building under the auspices of the San Francisco Industrial Association. They said: "We pledged ourselves to assist in stamping out lawlessness."

The Kiwanis Club sent in a communication "protesting against the passage on reconsideration of the resolution before the Board of Supervisors, having for its purpose the withdrawal of the protection of the Police Department in industrial disputes."

Attorney Sylvester A. McAtee, representing the Down Town Association, stated that the directors of his association instructed him to appear and urge that the resolution be reconsidered and not be the final resolution of the Board. "The Down Town Association stands for law and order in San Francisco and for the impartial enforcement of law and order through the medium of the police department and deprecates anything that would hinder the police department in its work."

Frederick Koster, member of the advisory board of the San Francisco Industrial Association said: "What we are asking is that law and order be maintained strictly and that justice be impartially administered. We have nothing else to ask."

Senator A. E. Boynton denied the charges made against the Industrial Association of harboring criminals and committing crimes. He said: "It is the duty of the police department to enforce the law, irrespective of who it may affect."

A. J. Mooney, agent of the International Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America, said: "We are all here for the same purpose. We insist that the law of the City and County of San Francisco be enforced."

He further stated that had the right not been denied to the employees to sit down and deal with their employers the trouble which has existed since April 1 would not exist.

Supervisor Coleman stated that in his opinion the Board of Supervisors had nothing to say in the running of the Police Department, that the Police Department is under the supervision of the Board of Police Commissioners. He stated he could not agree to some of the statements made in the resolution and therefore would have to vote against it.

Supervisors Hayden and Harrelson also were opposed to some of the provisions of the resolution and voted against it.

Supervisor Havenner, the author of the resolution, in closing the discussion, said:

"The resolution is merely an official protest, on the part of the elected law makers of this City and County, against the partisan participation of our police force in any industrial dispute.

"The resolution which I introduced and which this Board has adopted, did not concern itself with the merits of this dispute but merely enunciated a principle in which I have always believed, and in which I shall continue to believe — that the police powers of a community should not be employed to the partisan advantage of either side in any industrial controversy.

"It is the contention of organized labor, in which I concur, that these members of the police force should be engaged in the regular duty of patrolling their beats and enforcing the law fairly and impartially, whenever and wherever the necessity may occur, for the protection of all citizens.

"This does not mean for a moment, nor will I permit any such interpretation to be placed upon my statement, that I condone outbreaks of violence of any character in this or any other community. The organized labor bodies which are involved in the present industrial dispute have publicly proclaimed their intention to conduct their cause in a peaceful manner, and I believe that they are entitled to the confidence and support of the public in the pursuit of this policy, and should be relieved from the embarrassment of official interference in the interest of their opponents.

"Organized labor has been one of the greatest factors in the upbuilding of San Francisco, both physically and governmentally, and public opinion will not support the attempt of any group of men, no matter how wealthy and powerful they may be, to break down the unions and establish themselves as

the dictators of conditions of labor in our city."

Motion Lost.

Thereupon, the roll was called on the motion to reconsider and the same was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden—3.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Relative to Conference on Industrial Dispute.

Supervisor Havenner asked both parties to the dispute whether or not they would enter into a conference with a view to settling the points at issue.

Frank McDonald for the Carpenters' Union replied in the affirmative; *A E. Boynton* for the Industrial Association answered in the negative.

(*Stenographic report of discussion on file in Clerk's office.*)

Mayor to Appoint Conference Committee.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Havenner and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 25591 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco has recently enjoyed the greatest era of progress and development in its history; and

Whereas, an industrial dispute has arisen which threatens to halt the development of our city and to affect the welfare of all of our citizens; and

Whereas, the representatives of the organized labor bodies which are involved in this dispute have expressed a willingness to meet the employers of labor also involved in the dispute and to discuss with them the issues of the controversy; and

Whereas, it is of vital importance to our City that the dispute be satisfactorily adjusted at the earliest possible moment; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, composed of the elected representatives of the people of San Francisco, regards it as a solemn duty to use all of the official influence to bring about such an adjustment; and be it

Further Resolved, That a committee of Supervisors be herewith appointed by the Mayor and charged with the duty of bringing about a conference as soon as possible between the representatives of the employers and the employees involved in the current dispute, to the end that the differences between them may be satisfactorily settled without further loss of time.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

His Honor Mayor Rolph appointed Supervisors Havenner, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks.

City Attorney's Opinion on Obligation of Street Railway Company to Repave Streets.

April 29, 1926.

Mr. John B. Badaracco, Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Dear Sir:

You requested me to advise you if the Market Street Railway Company could be compelled to keep in repair the portions of the streets occupied by it.

Section 498 of the Civil Code provides that in addition to the restrictions set forth in the franchise granted the railway company a strict compliance with conditions enumerated was imposed on the company. Until 1921, the second condition set out under Section 498 read as follows:

"Second. To plank, pave or macadamize the entire length of the street used by their track between the rails and for two feet on each side thereof and between the tracks, if there be more than one, and to keep the same constantly in repair, flush with the street, and with good crossings."

In 1921 the section quoted was repealed. However, an examination of the franchises granted the individuals and the companies, which were later transferred to the Market Street Railway have set forth in the franchise the language similar to the following:

"The grantee operating under this franchise shall be required to pave the space between the rails and for two feet each side thereof and between the tracks if there be more than one, upon the streets whereon said tracks are to be laid, and to keep the same in good condition and repair under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works of the City and County."

The language last quoted has not been employed in all of the franchises that were granted as some

of them state that the franchises are given under the terms provided for in the franchise and "upon such terms, conditions and restrictions as are now or may be hereafter imposed by the laws of the State of California, especially as prescribed in Section 498, 500, 502 and 503 of the Civil Code of this State."

As the second section of Section 498 of the Civil Code was in effect at the time the franchises were granted the mere repealing of this section does not relieve the Market Street Railway of its liability to keep "constantly in repair" the street between the rails and for two feet on each side thereof.

In the event the Market Street Railway Company fails to make repairs after having been requested to do so, I believe you would be justified in ordering the work done and recovering from the Market Street Railway for the cost of the work.

Yours truly,
(Sgd.) JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

(*At 5:45 p. m. Mayor Rolph retired and Supervisor Gallagher was called to the Chair.*)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25592 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(1) A. M. Castle & Co., steel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 16, 1926), $562.01.

(2) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 15, 1926), $4,141.07.

(3) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 15, 1926), $758.06.

(4) Leo Rosasco, oak wood, Hetch Hetch construction (claim dated April 15, 1926), $1,621.25.

(5) Waterbury Co., rope and cable, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 15, 1926), $726.80.

(6) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated April 8, 1926), $1,040.38.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(7) John Reid, Jr., nineteenth payment, architectural services, Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 19, 1926), $1,708.05.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(8) Park Commission, excavation and loam for planting, etc., four sides of Athletic Field, High School of Commerce (claim dated April 21, 1926), $1,000.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(9) Burnham Plumbing Co. sixth payment, plumbing, etc., for New Mission High School (claim dated April 20, 1926), $4,751.55.

(10) Gilley-Schmid Co., fourth payment, plumbing, etc., for Dudley Stone School (claim dated April 20, 1926), $3,570.

(11) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural services for New Mission High School (claim dated April 19, 1926), $1,040.57.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 15, 1926), $1,548.38.

(13) Levison Printing Co., printing Municipal Railway Official Rule Books (claim dated April 15, 1926), $831.

(14) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for March, under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated April 15, 1926), $1,640.51.

(15) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated April 15, 1926), $3,559.07.

(16) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways during March (claim dated April 15, 1926), $38,699.94.

Playground Fund.

(17) Eaton & Smith, final payment, improvement of playground, Nineteenth avenue between Geary and Anza streets (claim dated April 21, 1926), $4,933.43.

(18) Eaton & Smith, reconstruction of swimming tanks at North Beach and in the Mission (claim dated April 21, 1926), $544.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund

(19) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, paving and reconstruction of tracks, Union street, Mason street to Larkin street (claim dated April 15, 1926), $14,959.44.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(20) The California Baking Co.,

bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,287.06.

(21) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels, dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,959.75.

(22) Otis Elevator Co., elevator repairs, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1926), $750.

(23) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $741.68.

(24) Haas Bros., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated April 20, 1926), $828.40.

(25) Jacobson-Reimers Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated April 20, 1926), $727.56.

(26) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, Relief Home (claim dated April 20, 1926), $1,275.04.

(27) H. E. Teller Co., coffee, Relief Home (claim dated April 20, 1926), $502.50.

(28) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated April 26, 1926), $1,035.45.

(29) A. Rossi & Co., one Star touring car for Police Department (claim dated April 12, 1926), $634.50.

(30) Peter McHugh, paving, sewering and curbing at City property, Eighteenth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets (claim dated April 21, 1926), $1,350.

(31) M. B. McGowan, first payment, furnishing and repairing fender piling, center piers and dolphins, Fourth and Sixth street bridges (claim dated April 21, 1926), $2,200.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(32) The Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated April 19, 1926), $992.50.

(33) Severin Electric Co., 1000 ward stands for new Relief Home (claim dated April 21, 1926), $5,070.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(34) School Repairs, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for stock withdrawals for repairs to Hall of Justice (claim dated April 15, 1926), $1,310.74.

(35) School Repairs, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for stock withdrawals, etc., for Detention Home painting (claim dated April 15, 1926), $593.57.

(36) School Repairs, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for stock withdrawals, etc., for painting San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 15, 1926), $1,224.86.

(37) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated April 16, 1926), $3,613.12.

(38) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated April 19, 1926), $1,092.28.

(39) Dollar Steamship Line, freight on voting machines for Department of Elections (claim dated April 22, 1926), $2,417.87.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(40) Farrar & Carlin, seventh and final payment, grading Pulgas road and incidental construction, for account Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated April 19, 1926), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund

(41) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, Pacific Gas and Electric Co., etc. (claim dated April 15, 1926), $850.

(42) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, Pacific Gas and Electric Co., etc. (claim dated April 15, 1926), $750.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

(*Supervisor Marks* requested to be recorded as voting *no* on Items 7 and 11.)

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Appropriation, $1,219, for Property Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 25593 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,219 be and the same is authorized in payment out of $100.000 set aside out of the County Road Fund by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series). payment to Elizabeth A. Kelly for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25524 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Appropriation, $4,250, Payment to C. A. Jones for Property Required for Opening Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 25594 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4.250 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, and

authorized in payment to C. A. Jones for all of Lot 19, Block 6688, on Assessor's Block Book, being property required for the opening of Bernal Cut. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25522 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Appropriations for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25595 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Chas. Robison, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 200 feet southerly from southerly line of Rivera street; thence running southerly on westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of dimensions 50 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25498 (New Series.) (Claim dated April 26, 1926), $2,200.

(2) To Margaret Desmond, for lands on the northerly line of Santiago street, commencing 107½ feet easterly from easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence easterly on northerly line of Santiago street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25499 (New Series). (Claim dated April 26, 1926), $1,100.

(3) To John F. Kearney, for lands on the northerly line of Rivera street, commencing 107½ feet westerly from westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly on the northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25500 (New Series). (Claim dated April 26, 1926), $1,000.

(4) To Ben F. Sueffert, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 200 feet southerly from southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet; of dimensions 50 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25528 (New Series). (Claim dated April 26, 1926), $1,800.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Appropriation, $15,000, for Grading Site Tuberculosis Preventorium.

Resolution No. 25596 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Tubercular Sanitarium—Outside County," Budget Item No. 73, to defray cost of grading site of Tuberculosis Preventorium, to be erected on city property at Pulgas Tunnel, San Mateo County, including engineering and possible extras at $3,000 (P. Montague contract).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Appropriations for Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers.

Resolution No. 25597 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For construction of sewers and appurtenances in westerly Sunset District, Section "A," Forty-third avenue main sewer, including inspection and possible extras at $4,059.16 (C. B. Eaton contract), $65,000.

(2) For construction of an ironstone pipe sewer through an easement at southerly termination of Peralta avenue (T. D. Harney contract), $600.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Appropriation, $2,000, Minor Items in Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Resolution No. 25598 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Repair and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, to the credit of "Maintenance and Operation of Bridges, Contractual," Budget Item No. 560, to expeditiously take care of minor items in connection with maintenance and operation of bridges.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Amending License Ordinance Relative to Automobile Parking Stations.

Bill No. 7571, Ordinance No. 7085 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes, etc., by adding a new section thereto to be numbered Section 19-A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered Section 19-A is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), to read as follows:

Automobile Parking Stations.

Section 19-A. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating an automobile parking station shall pay a license as follows:

Those accommodating 100 cars or less shall pay a license tax of $12.50 per quarter;

Those accommodating 101 and less than 250 cars shall pay a license tax of $20 a quarter;

Those accommodating 250 or more cars shall pay a license tax of $30 a quarter.

The Tax Collector shall not issue a license as provided in this section unless the applicant shall present a permit to operate an automobile parking station granted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Establishing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7572, Ordinance No. 7086 (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Twenty-eighth, Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues between Ortega and Quintara streets; on Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues between Kirkham and Quintara streets; on Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues between Lawton and Quintara streets; on Lawton street between Thirtieth and Fortieth avenues; on Moraga, Noriega and Ortega streets between Thirtieth and Forty-fifth avenues, and on Pacheco street between Twenty-seventh and Forty-fifth avenues."

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Spur Track Permit, Farnsworth & Ruggles.

Bill No. 7573, Ordinance No. 7087 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Farnsworth & Ruggles to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on First street at Brannan street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Farnsworth & Ruggles to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing track in First street 19.5 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Brannan street, said point being 32.8 feet southwesterly, measured at right angles from the northeasterly line of First street; thence northwesterly, crossing Brannan street, through a switch, on a curve to the left, having a radius of 252.35 feet, for a distance of 74 feet to a point; thence tangent to said curve for a distance of 41 feet to a point; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 191.53 feet for a distance of 66 feet to a point 10 feet northeasterly measured at right angles from the southwesterly line of First street; thence tangent to last mentioned curve and parallel to the said southwesterly line of First street for a distance of 203 feet to a point 80 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Federal street produced.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12,

1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Farnsworth & Ruggles.

Provided, that provision be made to take care of storm water at the proposed track and to intercept the drainage; that the existing fire hydrant be relocated; that the valve chamber in the crossing of Brannan street be not covered to prevent its use; all pavement disturbed to be restored; and proper clearances to be allowed for.

Provided, that Farnsworth & Ruggles shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco. Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Kearny Street Between Pacific and Broadway.

Bill No. 7574, Ordinance No. 7088 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office April 19, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 906, to read as follows:

Section 906. The width of sidewalks on Kearny street between Pacific street and Broadway shall be fourteen (14) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Establishing Grades on Ellsworth Street.

Bill No. 7575, Ordinance No. 7089 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Ellsworth street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 275 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Ellsworth street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 275 feet northerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed April 15, 1926.

Ellsworth Street.

275 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue, 346.50 feet.

100 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue, 318.50 feet.

7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Powhattan avenue northerly line, 298.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Powhattan avenue northerly line, 300 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Ellsworth street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 275 feet northerly therefrom be established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands, not required by law to be passed

to print, and amounting to $39,053.40, recommends same to be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Action Deferred.

The following items were *laid over one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance $104.15

California Undertaking Co., burial of Ulrika W. Ziegler, widow of ex-U. S. soldier 125.00

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for side-sewer construction (claim dated April 26, 1926), $1,321.65.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(2) Umbsen, Kerner & Stevens, for appraisals of six parcels of City (Fire Department) lands to be sold by auction (claim dated May 3, 1926), $650.

Special School Tax.

(3) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school repairs (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,517.19.

Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(4) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 22, 1926), $4,374.61.

(5) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 22, 1926), $641.56.

(6) F. W. Wentworth & Co., stationery, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 22, 1926), $668.10.

(7) The Giant Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 23, 1926), $1,484.25.

(8) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated April 24, 1926), $601.05.

(9) Tilden Lumber & Mill Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 23, 1926), $2,643.49.

Auditorium Fund.

(10) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity supplied the Auditorium during March (claim dated April 28, 1926), $634.06.

County Road Fund.

(11) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Baker street between Bay, North Point and Beach streets (claim dated April 28, 1926), $2,370.24.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Bond Construction Co., fifth payment, construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $12,759.09.

(13) Aetna Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for Hawthorne School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $2,312.25.

(14) Herman Lawson, third payment, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne School (claim dated April 27, 1926), $4,333.88.

(15) Thos. Skelly, third payment, plumbing for Hawthorne School (claim dated April 27, 1926), $2,088.04.

(16) Anderson & Ringrose, seventh payment, general construction of the LeConte School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $12,705.

(17) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., first payment, electric fixtures for Dudley Stone School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $770.75.

(18) O. Monson, eighth payment, general construction of the Alvarado School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $14,688.75.

(19) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., fourteenth payment, general construction of the Alamo School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $23,898.28.

(20) Jas. L. McLaughlin, sixth payment, general construction of the Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $16,567.40.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(21) San Mateo Feed & Fuel Co., rock furnished for construction of Pulgas road to the Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated February 4, 1926), $2,634.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(22) Clinton Construction Co., extra work in construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 24, 1926), $3,277.

(23) L. Dinkelspiel Co., curtain net, etc., for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 23, 1926), $632.51.

(24) Mangrum & Otter, Inc., culinary equipment for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 28, 1926), $3,312.50.

Special School Tax.

(25) S. W. Hand, first payment, plumbing, etc., for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $1,410.

(26) O. Monson, first payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $24,406.50.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(27) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,234.65.

(28) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated May 1, 1926), $750.

(29) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated April 22, 1926), $747.50.

(30) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,769.63.

(31) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., gravel, etc., for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,427.06.

(32) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,734.40.

(33) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $663.51.

(34) E. B. & A. L. Stone Co., sand for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,458.07.

(35) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $2,654.51.

(36) Rock, Sand and Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $920.14.

(37) Rock, Sand and Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $869.28.

(38) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Civic Center power house (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,257.73.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated April 28, 1926), $14,222.80.

(40) F. L. Hansen, second payment, general construction, Children's Ward, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 28, 1926), $5,966.51.

(41) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sewer repairs (claim dated April 28, 1926), $1,063.85.

(42) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated April 28, 1926), $1,954.11.

(43) Lazare-Klein Co., sheeting for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 19, 1926), $3,237.36.

Appropriations for Construction of Sewers in Sunset and Glen Park Districts.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the construction of main sewers, to-wit:

(1) For the construction of sewers and appurtenances in the westerly Sunset District, Section "C," Forty-third avenue main, Contract 2 (L. J. Cohn contract), $72,405.90. Inspection and possible extras on foregoing, $4,594.10.

(2) For construction of sewers and appurtenances in the Glen Park District between Chenery and Elk streets (C. B. Cowden contract), $2,454. Inspection and possible extras on foregoing, $646.

Appropriation, $60,792.32, for Construction of Engine House 19, Third Street and Arthur Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $60,793.32 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Fire Department Land and Construction Fund to cover cost of construction of Engine House No. 19, Fire Department, to be erected at northwest corner of Third street and Arthur avenue; per the following contracts awarded, etc., to-wit:

General construction (Elliot & Grant), $47,695; plumbing, gas-fitting and mechanical equipment (C. Drucker), $4,533; electrical work (Dowd-Seid Electric Co.), $2,294; architectural fees, $3,000; inspection, possible extras, incidentals, $3,271.32.

Appropriation, $1,004.50, for Window and Door Shades for the Dudley Stone School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,004.50 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, for window and door shades for the Dudley Stone School (D. N. and E. Walter & Co. contract).

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Transfer of Funds for Repairs to Islais Creek Bridge.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $571 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Repairs and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, to the credit of Budget Item No. 560, "Maintenance and Operation of Bridges," 1925-1926, to reimburse for expenditures made for repairs to Islais Creek bridge.

Appropriation, $9,000, Payment to Josephine Upman for Land Required for Dubocę-Sunset Tunnel Purchase.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $9,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Dubocę-Sunset Tunnel Assessment and authorized in payment to Josephine Upman; being payment for portion of Lot No. 24, Western Addition Block No. 678, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25523 (New Series), and required for Dubocę-Sunset Tunnel purposes. (Claim dated April 23, 1926.)

Appropriation, $5,000, Payment to Carry Cummings for Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, and authorized in payment to Carrie Cummings; being payment for property—all of Lot 1, Block 6662, as per Assessor's Map Book, and required for opening of Bernal Cut; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25558 (New Series).

Appropriating $2,652, Payment to Olga Fogerstrom for Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,652 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of $100,000 set aside out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series), and authorized in payment to Olga Fogerstrom; being payment for property—all of Lots 404 and 403, Gift Map No. 4, Map Book 2 "A" and "B", pages 16 and 17, records of the City and County of San Francisco; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25560 (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard.

Appropriation, $1,100, Payment to Mrs. F. Fischer for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,100 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Mrs. F. Fischer; being payment for lands on the westerly line of 23d avenue. commencing 250 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street; running thence northerly on the westerly line of 23d avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25586 (New Series); and required for school purposes. (Claim dated May 3, 1926.)

Accepting Statements of the Market Street Railway Company as to Receipts From Passenger Fares and City's Percentage Thereof.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25599 (New Seriees), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements by the Market Street Railway Company, hereofore filed, showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of February, 1926, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc., $450.13; Gough Street Railroad, $46.35; Parnassus Avenue, etc., $304.78.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

(Resolution No. 25424, New Series, be and is hereby revealed.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Treasurer Authorized to Invest School Bond Proceeds in United States Government Bonds or City Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25600 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by reason of the recent sales of 5 per cent School Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, a large sum of money has been added to the School Con-

struction Fund, which money is not required for immediate expenditure, and upon which the City and County is paying interest to bondholders; and

Whereas, it is desirable that as large a portion of said fund as possible shall be invested in interest-bearing securities, to the end that a revenue shall be derived therefrom.

Resolved, and it is hereby declared, That such sums as are available, being a part of the School Construction Fund, remaining unappropriated and unexpended, constitute surplus moneys, not needed for immediate expenditure, and the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to invest the same, from time to time, in United States Government bonds, bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, and interest-bearing securities, in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 73 of the State of California, of the year 1913, the amounts and nature thereof to be determined by the Mayor and the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors. All interest accrued and earned on bonds so purchased shall be placed to the credit of the 5 per cent School, 1923, Bond Interest Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Extension and Widening of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25601 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Anna D. Roller, $2,750.

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly boundary line of Lot No. 4 of Block No. 4 of Market Street Homestead with the westerly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along said northerly boundary line 18.295 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left of 275-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 84 deg. 51 min. 19 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 17 deg. 33 min. 33 sec., a distance of 84.278 feet to the westerly line of Market street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Market street 84.780 feet to the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots Nos. 3 and 4 in Block No. 4 of Market Street Homestead Association.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Mono street north of Caselli avenue with the westerly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Mono street 14.632 feet; thence southerly, on a curve to the left of 275-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 89 deg. 34 min. 48 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 5 deg. 02 min. 55 sec., a distance of 24.232 feet; thence southerly, tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 98.958 feet; thence southerly, on a curve to the left of 275-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 4 deg. 47 min. 00 sec., a distance of 22.958 feet to the northerly boundary line of Lot No. 4 of Block No. 4 of Market Street Homestead; thence deflecting 95 deg. 08 min. 41 sec. to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running easterly along said northerly boundary line a distance of 18.295 feet to the westerly line of Market street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Market street 141.792 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 in Block No. 4, Mountain Spring Property.

It is understood that the above amount includes damages in full to the remaining property of Anna D. Roller caused by the future establishment of the proposed grade of Market street, and the City and County of San Francisco agrees to grade the adjoining property to a one to one slope to meet the said proposed grade.

As a further consideration it is hereby understood and agreed that the remaining property of Anna D. Roller will not be assessed for the improvement of Market street as widened and extended, said improvement to include grading, paving, curbing and sidewalks.

Mary Lynch, $4,052—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Market street, distant 425 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, said point being on the easterly boundary line of Lot 39 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Market street 25.380 feet to the westerly boundary line

of said Lot 39; thence deflecting 80 deg. 04 min. 15 sec. to the left and running southerly, parallel with Hattie street, along said westerly boundary line, 40.913 feet; thence deflecting 119 deg. 10 min. 03 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 0.066 foot; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 165-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 9 deg. 31 min. 19 sec. a distance of 27.421 feet, to the easterly boundary line of said lot; thence northerly, parallel with Hattie street, and along said easterly boundary line, 33.940 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 39 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25602 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Edward P. Maher and Annie M. Maher, $768—Beginning at a point distant 225 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly, parallel with Clayton street, 36.112 feet; thence westerly, on a curve to the left of 225-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 75 deg. 15 min. 33 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 6 deg. 42 min. 04 sec., a distance of 26.315 feet; thence northerly, parallel with Clayton street, 44.279 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25603 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

F. Reis, Jr., also known as Ferdinand Reis, Jr., $4,056—Beginning at a point on the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, distant thereon

76.229 feet southerly from the southerly boundary of Lot 287, Precita Valley Lands, said point of beginning being on the westerly line of San Bruno avenue at Oakdale avenue extended and produced southerly, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of San Bruno avenue 12.680 feet; thence deflecting 26 deg. to the right and continuing southerly along the westerly line of San Bruno avenue 272.059 feet; thence deflecting 18 deg. 52 min. 10 sec. to the right and running southerly 66.032 feet to the southerly boundary of Lot No. 293, Precita Valley Lands; thence deflecting 80 deg. 34 min. 57 sec. to the right and running westerly along said southerly boundary 82.145 feet; thence northerly, on a curve to the right of 1062.500-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 88 deg. 46 min. 3 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 11 deg. 37 min. 55 sec., a distance of 215.704 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, 131.349 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 288 to 293, inclusive.

It is understood and agreed that the City and County of San Francisco will grade the remaining property of the undersigned to a one to one slope to meet the proposed grade of San Bruno avenue as widened. Said grading is to be done at the time of the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard fronting the said remaining property.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francsico a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25604 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce tunnel, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

R. P. McShane and Margaret McShane (also sometimes known as Margret McShane), his wife, $71— An underground easement for the construction of the Duboce tunnel beneath the surface of the following described property: Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Alpine Terrace, distant thereon ninety-nine (99) feet five and one-quarter (5¼) inches southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Alpine Terrace twenty-five (25) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 28 min. 34 sec. to the left and running easterly, parallel with Duboce avenue, twenty-six and five hundred forty thousandths (26.540) feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly fifty-three and nine hundred twenty-one thousandths (53.921) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 57 min. 28 sec. to the left and running northerly fifteen and seven hundred fifty-seven thousandths (15.757) feet; thence deflecting 85 deg. 14 min. 20 sec. to the left and running westerly, parallel with Duboce avenue eighty and sixty-six hundredths (80.66) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block "C", Park Hill Homestead Association No. 2.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owner by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and di-

rected to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering Plans and Specifications for Carrying Foundations of Engine House No. 17, Mint Avenue, Below Present Level.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7576, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the carrying of foundations of Engine House (Fire Department) No. 17 on Mint avenue down approximately 6 feet 6 inches below their present level, and ordering the carrying of foundations of said Engine House (Fire Department) No. 17 on Mint avenue, down approximately 6 feet 6 inches below their present level, entailing excavation, shoring and underpinning in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the carrying down of said foundations.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the carrying of foundations of Engine House (Fire Department) No. 17 on Mint avenue, down approximately 6 feet 6 inches, and to enter into contract for the carrying of foundations of said Engine House (Fire Department) No. 17, on Mint avenue down approximately 6 feet 6 inches below their present level, entailing excavation, shoring and underpinning, in accordance with said plans and specifications therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Auditor and Recorder Authorized to Cancel Delinquent Assessments.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25605 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the property hereinafter described is owned by the State of California and has recommended the cancellation of the sales thereof for delinquent taxes for the year 1921-22, made June 27, 1922, under Sales Nos. 18 and 19, and certain delinquent assessments, and the City Attorney having consented to such cancellation; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel the sales and the Auditor be directed to cancel the assessments as follows:

Lots 14 and 27, Block 64, in R. E. Vol. 1, assessed to The People's Place and sold for delinquent taxes for the year 1921, June 27, 1922, Sales Nos. 18 and 19; also the assessments of same property for the years 1915, 1922, 1923, 1924, 1925, in accordance with the provisions of Sections 3776 and 3804a of the Political Code.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Assessor to Cancel Property Erroneously Sold for Delinquent Taxes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25606 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has reported that the property hereinafter described is owned by the State of California and was erroneously assessed and sold for delinquent taxes and has recommended that the assessments and sales thereunder be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel the following assessments and sales:

Vol. 37, Lot 27, Block 6685, Sale No. 1821; assessed to Charles E. Lanthier.

Vol. 38, Lot 35, Block 6939, Sale No. 1892; assessed to Annie J. Sullivan (sold 1924 for 1923 taxes).

Vol. 5, Lot 5H, Block 932, Sale No. 152; assessed to August J. Lang, Jr.

Vol. 8, Lot 33, Block 1422, Sale No. 282; assessed to Fred A. Quandt et al.

Vol. 10, Lot. 27, Block 1600, Sale

No. 351; assessed to Elizabeth Dobbs.

Vol. 11, Lot 11, Block 1682, Sale No. 389; assessed to J. J. and Carrie P. Hering.

Vol. 11, Lot 11, Block 1707, Sale No. 398; assessed to Sol Getz & Sons.

Vol. 16, Lot 25, Block 2433; Sale No. 606; assessed to Max Breitman et al.

Vol. 16, Lots 54 and 55, Block 2607, Sale No. 615; assessed to Wm. Axford.

Vol. 19, Lots 21 and 22, Block 3115, Sale No. 767; assessed to Marius Sorensen.

Vol. 19, Lot 40, Block 3118; Sale No. 772; assessed to Fred Isaacson et al., also 1925 taxes.

Vol. 24, Lot 42, Blocks 4160, 4199, Sale No. 1014; assessed to Mildred F. Burgess.

Vol. 33, Lot 15A, Block 6019, Sale No. 1363; assessed to Bertha C. Bates.

Vol. 35, Lot 16, Block 6417, Sale No. 1504; assessed to Crocker Estate Co.

Vol. 35, Lot 13, Block 6443, Sale No. 1508; assessed to Crocker Estate Co.

Vol. 35, Lot 40, Block 6443, Sale No. 1509; assessed to Herman Sydel et al.

Vol. 35, Lots 21 and 22, Block 6465, Sale No. 1521; assessed to Edmond J. Hargrave et al.

Vol. 37, Lot 23, Block 6686, Sale No. 1613; assessed to Otto F. Johanson et al.

Vol. 38, Lot 29, Block 6933, Sale No. 1699; assessed to Edmond B. Sheedy.

Vol. 38, Lot 44, Block 6937, Sale No. 1701; assessed to Henry F. Beede et al.

Vol. 38, Lot 30, Block 6939, Sale No. 1702; assessed to John and Edith M. Livingston.

Vol. 38, Lot 4, Block 6940, Sale No. 1703; assessed to McCarthy Co.

Vol. 39, Lot 33, Block 6980, Sale No. 1720; assessed to Mary J. Ringwalt.

Vol. 39, Lot 34, Block 6980, Sale No. 1721; assessed to Thos. J. Williams. (Sold 1925 for 1924 taxes.)

Vol. 15, Lot 16, Block 2350; assessed to H. C. Christiansen.

Vol. 35, Lot 7A, Block 6351; assessed to Martin Smith.

Vol. 38, Lot 42, Block 6780; assessed to Edmond J. Hargrave et al,

Vol. 38, Lot 33, Block 6944; assessed to Oscar W. Lind et al.

Vol. 39, Lot 6, Block 6969; assessed to Andrew J. Conway et al.

Vol. 39, Lot 6C, Block 6969; assessed to Andrew J. Conway et al.

Vol. 39, Lot 47, Block 6978; assessed to Walter J. Carey et al.

Vol. 39, Lot 60, Block 6978; assessed to Wesley Donaldson et al.

Vol. 39, Lot 41, Block 6991; assessed to Edmond J. Hargrave et al.

Vol. 39, Lot 15, Block 6992; assessed to M. Stewart.

Vol. 39, Lot 47, Block 7019; assessed to Edmond J. Hargrave et al. (All unpaid 1925.)

Vol. 19, Lot 45, Block 3094; assessed to Fritz J and Belle Davis.

Vol. 19, Lot 46, Block 3094; assessed to Fritz J. and Belle Davis.

Vol. 39, Lot 6A, Block 6969; assessed to Oscar Erickson. (First installment paid.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Auditor Directed to Cancel Property Erroneously Assessed.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25607 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property owned by the City and County of San Francisco was inadvertently placed on the assessment rolls for the years stated and taxes levied against it, and that such assessments should be canceled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel the following assessments for the years 1924 and 1925; Vol. 13, Block 1930-A, Lot 1; Vol. 13, Block 2034-A, Lot 6; Vol. 13, Block 2036-B, Lot 5; Vol. 14, Block 2122-A, Lot 6. And the following assessments for the year 1924: Vol. 1, Block 161, Lot 34; Vol. 20, Block 3523, Lot 9; Vol. 30, Block 5503, Lot 2; Vol. 34, Block 6203, Lot 29; Vol. 16, Block 2612, Lot 50, assessed to Alfred G. Piper; Vol. 16, Block 2612. Lot 51, assessed to Alexander and Olga Koffer. In accordance with the provisions of Sections 3776 and 3805-A of the Political Code.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Lenox Way Between Taraval Street and Ulloa Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7577, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 6 and 13 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of said ordinance, are hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Lenox way between Taraval street and Ulloa street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the First Residential District instead of the Commercial District where not already so classified.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Post Street East of Gough.

Also, Bill No. 7578, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the north side of Post street, commencing 60 feet east of Gough street and extending easterly a distance of 100 feet and to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Abrahams, north side of Clay street, 125 feet east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bank of Italy, west side of Ninth avenue, 50 feet south of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Bertz, north side of Lake street, 100 feet west of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Bloch, 3362 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

D. J. Clancy, south side of Sacramento street, 70 feet west of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Ferroni, northwest corner of McAllister and Willard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. D. Graham, 170 Sea Cliff avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Hartford Fire Insurance Company, northeast corner of California street and Sabin place, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. K. Holt, southwest corner of Page and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hoot Bros., east side of Octavia street, 200 feet south of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Jelt, 312 Valencia street, 600 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Neal, south side of Lily street, 180 feet west of Octavia street, 700 gallons capacity.

Kohler & Chase, south side of O'Farrell street, 120 feet west of Stockton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Liebman, south side of Francisco street, 125 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. J. Lubbe, west side of Mission street, 220 feet north of Twentieth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McDonald & Kahn, 68 Fremont street, 600 gallons capacity.

McKillop Bros., north side of Parnassus avenue between Fourth and Fifth avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. J. Nelson, north side of Alhambra street, 50 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. F. O'Brien, southwest corner of Ninth avenue and Kirkham street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Persian Rug Cleaning Co., 1350 Jessie street, 2000 gallons capacity.

James H. Pinkerton, south side of Mesa street, 30 feet east of Ninth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Quistad, west side of Mallorca way, 50 feet north of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The Raggo Co., 423 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. Steur, west side of Hyde street, 75 feet south of O'Farrell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Peter Montague is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while excavating on property situate on the southwest corner of Presidio avenue and California street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Peter Montague, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit, C. E. Montgomery Bradshaw.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. E. Montgomery Bradshaw be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted John Garben by Resolution No. 22719 (New Series) for premises at 360 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit, Filsinger & Vatoune.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Filsinger & Vatoune be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Thomas McDougall by Resolution No. 18718 (New Series) for premises on the east side of Fourth street, 52 feet north of Natoma street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit, H. Elliott.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. Elliott be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station at 1325 Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit, Dairy Delivery Company.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Dairy Delivery Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the east side of Guerrero street, 122 feet north of Nineteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25608 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove 600 *C. P.*

Three lamps, center Monterey boulevard between Plymouth avenue and Hamburg street.

Remove Gas Lamps.

East and west sides Franklin street, south of Lombard street.

Northeast and southwest corners Franklin and Filbert streets.

Franklin street, 91 feet south of Greenwich street.

Chatham place, north of Bush street.

Webb street, north of California street.

North side Twenty-sixth street, east of Dolores street.

South side Twenty-sixth street, first and second east of Dolores street.

Divisadero street, south of Washington street, Jackson street and Pacific avenue.

North and south sides Vallejo street, west of Van Ness avenue.

North and south sides Vallejo street, west of Polk street.

East side Washburn street, first and second north of Howard street.

West side Washburn street, first north of Howard street.

South side Grace street, first and second north of Howard street.

West side Grace street, first north of Howard street.

North side Lombard street, 309 feet west of Fillmore street.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Vallejo street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.

Vallejo street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street.

Sixteenth street, west of Harrison street.

Sixteenth street, east of Folsom street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Octavia, Laguna, Buchanan and Webster streets between Post and Geary streets.

Franklin street between Lombard and Greenwich streets.

Franklin street between Filbert and Greenwich streets.

Franklin and Filbert streets.

Chatham place, north of Bush street.

Webb street between Sacramento and California streets.

Santa Rosa avenue between Capistrano and Cayuga streets.

Mt. Vernon and San Jose avenues.

Thirty-eighth avenue and Taraval street.

Thirty-eighth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets.

Twenty-sixth street between Church and Dolores streets.

Dolores street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets.

Divisadero street between Clay and Washington streets, Jackson and Washington streets and Pacific avenue and Jackson street.

Thirtieth avenue and Cabrillo street.

Paul and Carr streets.

Irving street, Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues.

Grace street between Howard and Mission streets.

Washburn street between Howard and Mission streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent—Supervisors Coleman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

City Attorney to Condemn Lands Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25609 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the immediate acquisition, construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings and improvements to be used by the said City and County for public schools, and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor, is an imperative and public necessity, and that the public interest and necessity demand such acquisition, construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco.

That the lands to be so acquired for public use and necessity are situated in the City and County of San Francisco and described as follows:

Commencing at the intersection of the southerly line of Beach street with the easterly line of Divisadero street, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Beach street 275 feet; thence at right angles southerly and parallel to the easterly line of Divisadero street 275 feet; thence at right angles westerly along the northerly line of North Point street 275 feet; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of Divisadero street 275 feet to the southerly line of Beach street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 920 of Assessor's Map Book.

Resolved Further, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, condemnation proceedings against the owners of and all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the lands hereinbefore described for the purpose of acquiring a title in fee simple to such described lands for the City and County of San Francisco for public uses herein set forth.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offer of Frank Olmo to Sell Land on Onondaga Avenue and Cayuga Avenue for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25610 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Frank Olmo to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate intersection of the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue and the north-

westerly line of Cayuga avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue with the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; thence running northwesterly along said southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 50 feet to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; thence at a right angle northeasterly along said northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue 100 feet to the southeasterly line of Onondaga avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 16, West End Map No. 1, also known as Lot 1, Block 6958 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offer of Elizabeth Koenig to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25611 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Elizabeth Koenig to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate west line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 150 feet north from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,800, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 150 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offer of Theresa M. Brooks to Sell Land on Twenty-third Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25612 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Theresa M. Brooks to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate

west line of Twenty-third avenue, 125 feet north from Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,-100, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offer of G. H. Sesnon to Sell Land on Twenty-fourth Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25613 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from G. H. Sesnon to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 125 feet north from Rivera street, of dimensions 100 x 120 feet, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,700, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offer of Josephine Cresta to Sell Certain Parcels of Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25614 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Josephine Cresta to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain eight parcels of land situate in Blocks 6958 and 6960 on Assessor's Map Books, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the

reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $12,400, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel One: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, distant thereon 55 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line of Oneida avenue 54 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 150.54 feet to the northerly line of Islais Creek; thence easterly along the northerly line of Islais Creek 120.59 feet to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue 19 feet to a point distant thereon southwesterly 80 feet from said southwesterly line of Oneida avenue; thence northwesterly, parallel with said southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, 55 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 50 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 7 and 8 in Block No. 15, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Two: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue at a point distant thereon southwesterly 186.68 feet from the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue 26.67 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 237 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 26.67 feet, and thence at a right angle northwesterly 237 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 3 in Block No. 15, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Three: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue at a point distant thereon southeasterly 187 feet from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 121 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northwesterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 121 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 1 and 2 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Four: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue at a point distant thereon northeasterly 225 feet from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue 53 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle southeasterly 109 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 53 feet and 6 inches, and thence at a right angle northwesterly 109 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 2 and 3 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Five: Beginning at a point which is perpendicularly distant northeasterly 225 feet from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, and is also perpendicularly distant southeasterly 109 feet from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, running thence northeasterly and parallel with the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 128 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle northwesterly 128 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 2 and 3 in Block 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Six: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, distant thereon 187 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, running thence southeasterly along said line of Oneida avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 4 and 5 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Seven: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue at a point distant thereon northeasterly 136 feet from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue 52 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 109 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 4 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 128 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 28 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 128 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 20 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 109 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 7 and 8 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Eight: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue at a point distant thereon northwesterly 161 feet from the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line

of Oneida avenue 28 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 26 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 6 and 7 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said lands, and, if the same are found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said pieces or parcels of land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offer of Stephen Capurro to Sell Certain Parcels of Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25615 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Stephen Capurro, administrator of the estate of Amelia Capurro, deceased, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain nine parcels of land situate in Blocks 6958 and 6960 on Assessor's Map Books, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $11,050, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel One: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, distant thereon 136 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, running thence northwesterly along said line of Oneida avenue 27 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 27 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 80 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 8, Block No. 15, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Two: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, distant thereon 106.67 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, and running thence southwesterly along said line of Otsego avenue 26.67 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 237 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 53.34 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 128.50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 26.67 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 108.50 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 15, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Three: Beginning at a point which is perpendicularly distant southwesterly 160.01 feet from the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, and is also perpendicularly distant southeasterly 237 feet from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, and running thence southwesterly, parallel with the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, 125.01 feet to the northerly line of Islais Creek; thence easterly along said line of Islais Creek 165.19 feet to a point thereon which is perpendicularly distant southwesterly 160.01 feet from the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue; thence northeasterly, parallel with the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, 108 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 6 in Block No. 15, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Four: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue, distant thereon 87 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, running thence southeasterly along said line of Onondaga avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 121 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northwesterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 121 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 1 and 2 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Five: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue and running thence northeasterly along said line of Otsego avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 109 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 50 feet, and

thence at a right angle northwesterly 109 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 3 and 4 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Six: Beginning at a point which is perpendicularly distant 109 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue and is also perpendicularly distant northeasterly 250 feet from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, and running thence northeasterly, parallel with the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, 28 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle southeasterly 128 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 28 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle southwesterly 128 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 2, Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Seven: Beginning at a point which is perpendicularly distant southeasterly 109 feet from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue and is also perpendicularly distant northeasterly 175 feet from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, and running thence northeasterly, parallel with the southeasterly line of Oneida avenue, 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 128 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 128 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 3 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Eight: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, distant thereon 109 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, and running thence northwesterly, along the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, 26 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 26 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 6 and 7 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Nine: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, distant thereon 58 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, and running thence northeasterly, along said line of Cayuga avenue 52 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 109 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 52 feet, and thence at a right angle southeasterly 109 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 6 and 7 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said lands, and, if the same are found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offer of Amelia Cheli to Sell Certain Parcels of Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25616 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Amelia Cheli to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain eight parcels of land situate in Blocks 6958 and 6960 on Assessor's Map Books, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $6,750, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel One: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, distant thereon 190 feet northwesterly from the northwesteryl line of Cayuga avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said line of Oneida avenue 47 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 47 feet, and thence at a right angle northeasterly 80 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 8 in Block 15, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Two: Beginning at a point which is perpendicularly distant southwesterly 160.01 feet from the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue and is also perpendicularly distant southeasterly 108.50 feet from the southeasterly line of Ot-

sego avenue, and running thence southeasterly parallel with Oneida avenue 128.50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 26.67 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 128.50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 26.67 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 2 and 3 in Block 15, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Three: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue, distant thereon southeasterly 37 feet from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, and running thence southeasterly along said line of Onondaga avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 121 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle northeasterly 121 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 1 and 2 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Four: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, distant thereon 75 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, running thence northeasterly along said line of Otsego avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 109 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle northwesterly 109 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 4 and 5 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Five: Beginning at a point which is perpendicularly distant southeasterly 109 feet from the southeasterly lin of Otsego avenue, and is also perpendicularly distant northeasterly 100 feet from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, running thence northeasterly parallel with Otsego avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 128 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 128 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 4 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Six: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, distant thereon 161 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, and running thence southeasterly along said line of Oneida avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 4 and 5 in Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Seven: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, distant thereon 32 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, and running thence northeasterly along said line of Cayuga avenue 26 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 109 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 26 feet, and thence at a right angle southeasterly 109 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 6, Block No. 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Eight: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, distant thereon 28 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, running thence northwesterly along said line of Onenda avenue 27 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 27 feet, and thence at a right angle northeasterly 80 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 8 in Block No. 15, West End Map No. 1.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said lands, and, if the same are found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said pieces or parcels of land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Offer of Henrietta Cheli to Sell Certain Parcels of Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25617 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Henrietta Cheli to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain seven parcels of land situate in Blocks 6958 and 6960 on Assessor's Map Books, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the

reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $5,450, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said parcels of land being described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel One: Beginning at a point which is perpendicularly distant northwesterly 109 feet from the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, and is also perpendicularly distant southwesterly 80 feet from the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, and running thence northwesterly, parallel with the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, 128 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 26.67 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 128 feet. and thence at a right angle northeasterly 26.67 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 7 in Block No. 15, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Two: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, distant thereon 160.01 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue, and running thence southwesterly along said line of Otsego avenue 26.67 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 108.50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 26.67 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 108.50 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 3 in Block No. 15, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Three: Beginning on the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue at a point distant thereon southeasterly 62 feet from the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue with the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, and running thence southeasterly, along the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 121 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle northeasterly 121 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots 1 and 2, Block 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Four: Beginning on the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue at a point distant thereon northeasterly 100 feet from a point formed by the intersection of the southeasterly line line of Otsego avenue with the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 109 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle northwesterly 109 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 4, Block 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Five: Beginning at a point which is perpendicularly distant southeasterly 109 feet from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue and is also perpendicularly distant northeasterly 125 feet from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue; thence southeasterly, parallel with the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, 128 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 128 feet, and thence at a right angle southwesterly 25 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 4, Block 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Six: Beginning at a point which is perpendicularly distant northwesterly 109 feet from the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue and is also perpendicularly distant northeasterly 212 feet from the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, and running thence northwesterly, parallel with Oneida avenue, 128 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 28 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 128 feet, and thence at a right angle southwesterly 28 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 8, Block 16, West End Map No. 1.

Parcel Seven: Beginning at a point formed by the intersection of the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue with the northeasterly line of Oneida avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue 32 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 109 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 32 feet, and thence at a right angle southeasterly 109 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 6, Block 16, West End Map No. 1.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said lands, and, if the same are found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said

deed to said pieces or parcels of land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Assignment of Lease, Hale Bros.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Frnacisco did, heretofore, pursuant to an ordinance of its Board of Supervisors, duly passed and duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, on the 31st day of July, 1923, lease to Hale Bros., Inc., a California corporation, that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Stevenson street one hundred and seventy-five (175) feet distant along said line southwesterly from the intersection of the southwesterly line of Fifth street with the southeasterly line of Stevenson street; thence at right angles southeasterly seventy-five (75) feet to the northwesterly line of Mint avenue; thence southwesterly fifty (50) feet along said line of Mint avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly seventy-five (75) feet to the southeasterly line of Stevenson street; thence northeasterly along said line of Stevenson street fifty (50) feet to the point of beginning. Being known as Lots 7 and 8 in Block 3704 on Assessor's Map;

for the term of twenty (20) years from and after the 30th day of September, 1923;

And whereas, the said lease to said Hale Bros., Inc., now remains in full force and effect;

And whereas, the said Hale Bros., Inc., are desirous of transferring the aforseaid lease to Hale Bros. Realty Co., the said Hale Bros. Realty Co. agreeing to perform all and singular the terms and conditions contained in said lease, and to pay to the City and County of San Francisco the rental therein reserved; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the consent of the City and County of San Francisco be, and the same is hereby given, that the aforesaid lease may be transferred and assigned by said Hale Bros., Inc., to Hale Bros. Realty Co., upon condition that said Hale Bros. Realty Co. perform all and singular the terms, covenants and conditions contained in said lease, and pay the rental therein reserved to the City and County of San Francisco, at the times and in the manner therein stated, and that the Mayor of the said City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make, execute and deliver such assignments or agreements as may be necessary to carry out the purport of this resolution.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over two weeks*:

Compromise of Gas and Electric Rate Litigation.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there are pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California four suits, numbered 27, 32, 97 and 190 on the equity file of said court, in all of which suits the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco and its officers are defendants; and

Whereas, said suits involve judicial determination of the validity under the United States Constitution of ordinances numbered 2349, 2348, 2814 and 3338 (all new series), of the City and County of San Francisco, prescribing and fixing respectively rates to be charged by public service corporations in San Francisco for electricity during the fiscal year 1913-14, and for gas during the fiscal years 1913-1914, 1914-15 and 1915-16; and

Whereas, the decrees previously rendered by the District Court in the City's favor in said suits numbered 27, 97 and 190, involving gas rates, have been reversed on appeal by the Supreme Court of the United States, and the suits sent back for retrial; and in case No. 32, involving electric rates, the report of the Master in Chancery recommends issuance of an injunction prohibiting the enforcement of said rates; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has transmitted to the Board of Supervisors a written communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, dated December 16th, 1925, offering to compromise and settle all of said suits upon certain terms therein set forth; and

Whereas, both former City Attorney George Lull, who was in office

pending the trial and appeal of the foregoing litigation, and the present City Attorney, John J. O'Toole, have recommended in writing that this Board authorize and direct the settlement of said suits upon the terms set forth in said communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company dated December 16, 1925; and

Whereas, after a careful examination and consideration of all the facts relating to said suits and the controversies therein involved, which have been submitted, the Board of Supervisors believes, in view of the large number of consumers who are interested in the outcome of said suits, of the considerable time which must elapse and the large expense to the taxpayers that must be incurred before the suits could again be tried and a final decision had, of the doubt that the City Attorney expresses as to the eventual outcome of the litigation by reason of the opinion of the United States Supreme Court rendered in the reversal of previous decrees in the City's favor, and in view of the relatively small amounts payable to individual consumers under any outcome of the suits, that the best interests of the City and the ratepayers will be conserved by a compromise along the lines recommended by the City Attorney; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to settle and compromise said four suits be and it is hereby accepted; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into stipulations with counsel for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the entry of decrees in said four suits which shall finally settle and dispose of the same upon the terms and conditions set forth in said letter from said company dated December 16, 1925; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to take all steps necessary to see that said decrees when entered by the court are duly executed so as to protect the interests of the City and the ratepayers in the premises.

Method of Replacing Street Pavements by Public Service Corporations.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the method of replacing paving in streets removed by public service corporations by the Board of Public Works and payment for cost of work done.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. All public service corporations having the privilege of using the streets of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting light, water, power, heat, gas, telephone service or other means of communication when about to extend or improve their service or make repairs or replacement thereto and it is necessary to remove paving on the streets, shall notify in writing the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco of the intended removal of paving, specifying the streets on which the work is to be done and the estimated length of time the work will take to complete.

Section 2. Upon the completion of any work described in Section 1 of this ordinance the public service corporation engaged in such work shall immediately notify the Board of Public Works in writing that the work has been completed.

Section 3. The Board of Public Works upon receiving notice from any public service corporation of intention to remove paving shall cause an estimate of the cost of replacing the pavement to be made and make all preparations necessary to actually replace the pavement upon receipt of notice from the public service corporation that their work of extension, improvement or repairing has been completed.

Section 4. The Board of Public Works shall upon completing the replacing of any pavement removed by a public service corporation render a bill to such public service corporation that caused the removal of the pavement for the cost of replacing the pavement, which cost shall be a reasonable sum to be measured by the prevailing market price for similar work.

Section 5. The Board of Public Works shall immediately upon completion of the replacing of any pavement forward a bill to the public service corporation which caused the paving to be removed and the public service corporation must within thirty days after receipt of the bill remit to the Board of Public Works the amount of said bill.

Section 6. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional it shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section,

subsection, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more of the section, subsection, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1926.

Section 8. All other ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are herbey repealed.

Motions.

Supervisor Bath moved to lay over one week.

Supervisor Kent moved as an amendment to lay over two weeks.

Supervisor Marks asked that the City Attorney's opinion be obtained as to the power of the Board of Supervisors to fasten the cost on the public service corporations and the method of enforcing the obligation.

So ordered

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was, on Supervisor Kent's motion, *laid over two weeks.*

Change of Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25618 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevatiions above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 91388 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 21, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed April 27, 1926, to-wit:

On Seventeenth avenue between the easterly line of and a line parallel with and 15 feet westerly therefrom, extending from Noriega street to Ortega street, and on Noriega street between the southerly line of and a line parallel with and 4 feet northerly therefrom, extending from Seventeenth avenue to a line 40 feet easterly therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Approving Map Showing the Widening of Tonquin Street Between Scott and Lyon Streets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25619 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91407 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 23, 1926, approve a map showing the widening of Tonquin street between Scott and Lyon streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Tonquin street between Scott and Lyon streets is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Map Showing the Widening of Market Street Intersection Approved.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25620 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91407 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 23, 1926, approve a map showing the widening of the southwesterly corner of the intersection of Eleventh and Market streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of the southwesterly corner of the intersection of Eleventh and Market streets is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25621 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 7, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Circular avenue between Staples

and Judson avenues. This extension of time is granted for the reason that a survey has been ordered and the necessary diagram issued.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Also, Resolution No. 25622 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Hennessey is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 8, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Judah street between Thirty-first and Forty-first avenues. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is progressing.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Accepting Deed to Land From Hale Bros., Inc., for Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25623 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Hale Bros., Inc., a corporation, has executed and tendered a deed, dated April 8, 1926, conveying to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes a parcel of land at the southwesterly corner of Eleventh and Market streets, bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwestery line of Eleventh street with the southeasterly line of Market street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Market street 16.90 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right of 16.90-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 90 deg. a distance of 26.546 feet to tangency with the southwesterly line of Eleventh street at a point distant thereon 16.90 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Market street; thence northwesterly, tangent to the preceding curve, along the southwesterly line of Eleventh street 16.90 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Mission Block 12, and containing 61.3 square feet; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the land conveyed be declared to be an open public street and the deed thereto accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and ordered recorded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following bills were *passed for printing*:

Permits for Street Work, Private Contract.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7579, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series), entitled "Ordinance authorizing, empowering and directing the Board of Public Works to issue permits to do street work or street improvements under private contract and repealing Ordinance No. 33 and all orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2. Such work or improvement must be in accordance with the specifications adopted by the Board of Supervisors for similar work, and be of a type approved by the City Engineer. The work must be done under the direction and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works. The time limit within which the work or improvement is to be commenced and when it is to be completed shall be fixed by the Board of Public Works, but in no event shall the Board extend the time for doing of the work or improvement more than 90 days beyond the time originally fixed for its completion unless authorized to do so by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amending Ordinance No. 240, Black Base.

Bill No. 7580, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 240, entitled "Prescribing general rules and standard specifications for street and sidewalk work and limiting the use of various kinds of pavements and sidewalks

in the City and County of San Francisco," approved March 1, 1901, and adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 25½.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 240 (New Series), the title to which is recited in the title to this ordinance, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Except as in this section hereinafter provided, the roadway of any street or portion of a street shall not hereafter be fully or conditionally accepted, under the provisions of Section 23, Chapter II, Article VI, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, unless the same be paved with one of the following types of pavement: With basalt blocks on sand; with basalt blocks or paving brick on a concrete foundation; with asphalt or asphaltic concrete on a concrete foundation; with asphalt with a binder course on a concrete foundation; with a concrete pavement; or with asphaltic concrete base and surface.

The Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, may, however, by ordinance, fully or conditionally accept the roadway of any street, or portion of a street, in case the same be improved otherwise than as is in this section hereinbefore provided, if they deem the acceptance thereof expedient and conducive to the public interest.

Section 2. A new section, to be known as Section 25½, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 240 (New Series), the title to which is recited in the title to this ordinance, to read as follows:

Section 25½. Asphaltic concrete (black base):

Composition of Mixture — The asphaltic concrete base course, composed of broken stone or gravel, sand and asphalt, shall be laid upon the subgrade as heretofore described. The proportions of the asphaltic concrete mixture shall be proportioned by weight within the following limits:

Asphalt as hereinafter specified for asphaltic concrete base, between 4 and 6 per cent.

The mineral aggregate as hereinafter specified for asphaltic concrete base, consisting of broken stone or gravel and sand, between 94 and 96 per cent.

The mineral aggregate shall be graded according to the following sizes by weight: Passing 200-mesh screen, 5 per cent; passing 80, retained on 200, 5 to 15 per cent; passing 40, retained on 80, 5 to 15 per cent; passing 10, retained on 40, 5 to 15 per cent; passing ¾, retained on 70, 15 to 25 per cent; passing 1½, retained on ¾, 15 to 25 per cent; passing 2½, retained on 1½, 20 to 30 per cent.

Prepartion of Mixture — The mineral aggregate and asphalt shall be heated separately. The asphalt shall be heated in kettles or tanks designed to secure uniform heating of the entire contents and shall be brought to a temperature of 225 to 350 degrees Fahrenheit. The mineral aggregate shall be dried and heated at the paving plant in suitably designed revolving driers, and shall be heated to a temperature of 275 to 350 degrees Fahrenheit, as determined on the mixing platform. The aggregate shall be screened and stored in bins so that the sizes as above specified can be weighed out separately. The mixture of the mineral aggregate and asphalt shall be mixed in a mixer, approved by the City Engineer, for not less than 40 seconds or until the particles are thoroughly and uniformly coated.

Laying the Asphaltic Concrete Base Course—The asphaltic concrete mixture shall be brought upon the work in auto trucks at a temperature of not less than 225 nor more than 300 degrees Fahrenheit. It shall be immediately spread to such a depth that after compression a minimum thickness of 3½ inches is obtained. While still hot the asphaltic concrete mixture shall be thoroughly and uniformly compressed by a power-driven three-wheel roller weighing not less than ten (10) tons, and rolling shall be continued at a rate of not less than 5 hours for each 9000 square feet of surface. Rolling shall start longitudinally at the sides and proceed toward the center of the pavement, overlapping on successive trips by at least one-half the width of the roller, until suitable compaction is obtained. Soft spots in the subgrade which yield under the rolling of the asphaltic concrete base shall be cut out and filled with sand, dry earth, or gravel. The asphaltic base course must be covered with wearing surface at least 48 hours after it has been laid unless otherwise approved by the Engineer.

Spray Coat—If considered necessary by the Engineer, due to local conditions, upon the surface of the asphaltic concrete base shall be spread an asphaltic spray coat by means of a spraying machine, for the purpose of binding the wearing surface to the foundation. The sur-

face of the asphaltic concrete base shall be thoroughly cleaned just before the application of the spray coat. The asphalt used for the spray coat shall be as hereinafter specified. It shall be heated until thoroughly liquid so that a uniformly thin coating all over the cleaned base is obtained.

Asphaltic Concrete Surface Course (Topeka Type)—The asphaltic concrete surface course (Topeka Type), composed of broken stone, stand, stone dust and asphalt, shall be laid on the asphaltic concrete base prepared and laid as above described. The proportions of the asphaltic concrete mixture snall be proportioned by weight within the following limits: Asphalt as hereinafter described, between 6 and 8 per cent; mineral aggregate, consisting of broken stone, sand and stone dust, between 92 and 94 per cent. The mineral aggregate shall be graded according to the following sizes, by weight, but in no case shall the amount of material retained on the 10-mesh be less than 42 per cent by weight: Passing 200-mesh screen, 6 to 8 per cent; passing 80, retained on 200, 5 to 15 per cent; passing 40, retained on 80, 5 to 15 per cent; passing 10, retained on 40, 10 to 20 per cent; passing 4, retained on 10, 12 to 25 per cent; passing 2, retained on 4, 10 to 20 per cent; passing 1, retained on 2, 12 to 25 per cent.

Preparation of Mixture — The mineral aggregate and asphalt shall be heated separately. The maximum temperature of the mineral aggregate shall in no case be in excess of 375 degrees Fahrenheit. The stone dust or other inorganic mineral dust shall be mixed with the hot sand and broken stone in the required proportions, and these shall then be mixed for at least one (1) minute with the asphalt at the required temperature and in the proper proportions, in a suitable apparatus, so as to effect a thoroughly homogeneous mixture. In no case shall the asphalt be heated above 280 degrees Fahrenheit. The wearing surface mixture may be prepared by mixing bitumen in the form of asphalt with the sand and stone dust or by the combination of natural bituminous materials with the necessary ingredients to produce the proper mixture.

Laying Asphaltic Wearing Surface—The paving mixture so prepared shall be brought to the ground in auto trucks at a temperature of not less than 250 degrees Fahrenheit. The contractor must provide canvas covers for the loads for use in transit. The mixture shall be thoroughly spread immediatelv on reaching the ground with hot shovels and hot rakes in such manner that, after reaching its ultimate compression, it shall have a regular and uniform grade and a minimum thickness of one and one-half (1½) inches. The depth shall be constantly tested by means of gauges. The raking shall be continued until the surface shows no ridges. The surface shall be compressed immediately after spreading by a steam roller weighing not less than ten (10) tons, and the rolling shall be continued at the rate of not less than five (5) hours for every eighteen (18) thousand square feet of surface. The surface of the finished pavement shall be true and uniform, with no rolls or depressions in any ten (10) feet exceeding one-quarter (¼) inch from the true grade and cross-section of the pavement strip. No traffic shall be allowed on the pavement until it is thoroughly cooled and set. No new surface shall be laid when the foundation is wet or when the temperature of the atmosphere is less than 58 degrees Fahrenheit.

Asphalt—The asphalt must be a California product. It may be a natural asphalt, a mixture of refined liquid asphalt or be an oil asphalt. All shipments of asphalt shall be marked v'th the lot number and the penetration, which latter shall not be less than 50 nor more than 90 D. C. standard. When twenty (20) grams of the asphalt are heated for five (5) consecutive hours at a temperature of 325 degrees Fahrenheit in a tin dish two and one-half (2½) inches in diameter under uniform conditions, there must not be volatilized more than five (5) per cent of the bitumen, nor shall the penetration at 77 degrees Fahrenheit, after such heating, be less than twenty (20) D. C. standard. At least 98½ per cent of the asphalt shall be soluble in cold carbon tetrachloride, and at least 9½ per cent shall be soluble in cold carbon bisulphide.

Natural Bituminous Material—Natural bituminous material shall be construed to mean any natural, solid, mineral bitumen, either pure or mixed with foreign matter, from which, through natural causes in the process of time, the light oils have been driven off. At least 99 per cent of the contained bitumen which is soluble in carbon bisulphide shall be soluble in cold carbon tetrachloride.

Mineral Aggregate—The mineral aggregate shall consist of broken stone or gravel, sand and stone dust mixed in the proportions here-

inbefore specified. The broken stone shall be selected hard basalt or altered sandstone broken as nearly cubical as can practically be made. Rock containing in excess of ten (10) per cent of discolored or inferior material will be rejected. The sand must be clean, hard and sharp, and must not contain more than three (3) per cent of mica or other inferior ingredients. The stone dust shall be Portland cement or ground limestone, or it may be any crystalline or sharp fragmental material free from loam or organic matter, and must have a specific gravity of not less than two and five-tenths (2.5). It must all pass a screen of 50-mesh to the lineal inch.

Section 3. This ordinance shall takeeffect immediately.

Award of Contract of Pumping Engine and Hose Wagon for Fire Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25624 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made, upon recommendation of Fire Commissioners, to The Seagrave Corporation, on bid submitted April 12, 1926 (Proposal No. 185), for furnishing the following, viz.:

One combined pumping engine and hose wagon, equipped with Sewell cushion wheels, for the sum of $11,500, f. o. b. San Francisco Fire Department Corporation Yard.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Award of Contract, Steel Baskets, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25625 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to A. Carlisle & Co. on bid submitted April 26, 1926 (Proposal No. 187), for furnishing the School Department with the following:

504 steel baskets, made from 25-gauge cold rolled steel, approximately 30 inches high, 14 inches square at top; enamel finish; color, olive green; "Diamond" catalogue, page 7, No. 22; price, each, $2.65.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Award of Contract, Steel Lockers, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25626 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Worley & Co. on bid submitted April 26, 1926 (Proposal No. 186), for furnishing and installing the following, viz.:

540 steel lockers for municipal swimming pool, Fleishhacker Playfield, for the sum of $2,613.60.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Award of Contract, Manufactured Furniture for School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25627 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing manufactured furniture for School Department be hereby made on bids submitted April 5, 1926 (Proposal No. 179), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1(a)—1000 primary tables, each, $3.29; R. Brandlein & Company.

Item No. 1(b)—1000 primary tables, each, $3.29; R. Brandlein & Company.

Item No. 1(c)—500 primary tables, each, $3.37; R. Brandlein & Company.

Item No. 2(a)—250 kindergarten tables, each, $2.68; California Mill Company.

Item No. 2(b)—250 kindergarten tables, each, $2.73; California Mill Company.

Item No. 3—156 freehand drawing tables, each, $6.60; Mullen Manufacturing Company.

Item No. 4—168 mechanical drawing tables, each, $87.25; R. Brandlein & Co.

Item No. 5—80 sewing tables, each, $9.35; H. Schulte & Son.

Item No. 6—25 teachers' cabinets, each, $32.40; H. Schulte & Son.

Item No. 7—300 typewriter tables, each, $3.95; H. Schulte & Son.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the qual-

ity offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Denial of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission to Louis Silverstein to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Silver avenue and San Bruno avenue is hereby denied.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Accepting Offers to Sell Hetch Hetchy Rights of Way.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25628 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco does hereby accept that certain quitclaim deed from Joseph S. Raggio dated April 28, 1926, conveying title to a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct right of way, Foothill Division, said land being situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

The northwest ¼ of the northeast ¼ of Section 34, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, M. D. B. and M.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to file said deed for record.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 25629 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described lands situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, viz.:

Thomas M. Hughes and Maud L. Hughes, $130. A portion of the Southwest ¼ of the Southwest ¼ of Section 27, T. I. S., R. 15 E., M. D. B. and M., containing 1.3 acres, required for road purposes. (As per written offer on file.)

J. Gilliam, $1. Portion of the Northwest ¼ of the Southwest ¼ of Section 27, T. 1 S., R. 15 E., M. D. B. and M., containing 0.36 acres, required for road purposes. (As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned lands for the sums set forth opposite their names and upon the terms and conditions contained in said options, be and the same are hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the titles to said lands, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offers, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Registration of Voters.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public press reports that the Registrar of Voters in Los Angeles has to date 550,000 voters registered and expects to have 750,000 registered by July 1 this year; and

Whereas, in the City and County of San Francisco the Registrar of Voters has only 133.000 voters registered to date, and data obtained from the telephone company and

other corporations, as well as civic organizations, places our population at not less than 800,000, showing San Francisco should have over 300,000 registered voters by July 31, 1926; and

Whereas, the registration of voters in the said City and County of San Francisco lags because the election authorities have not and will not take advantage of the law permitting them to employ canvassers who are compensated upon the basis of number of valid names registered. The Registrar of Voters has only 20 deputies in the field while Los Angeles has over 1000 deputies seeking registration; and

Whereas, at the ensuing general election, in addition to candidates for State office, the voters will be called upon to vote upon propositions which vitally affect San Francisco and the neighboring counties and towns, among which propositions is one calling for the apportionment of moneys for the State Highway purposes on the basis of one-half thereof for use in 13 southern counties, including Los Angeles, and one-half in the remaining 45 counties of the State; and another providing for a reapportionment of the legislative districts of the State under which San Francisco will be deprived of adequate representation in both Senate and Assembly; and

Whereas, it is particularly necessary for the well-being of this community that its voting strength be registered to its very last vote; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Election Commissioners and the Registrar of Voters be and they are urged to proceed immediately to the employment of the necessary help, as authorized by law, for a complete and speedy canvas and registering of the unregistered voters of this City and County and prosecute the work of such registration vigorously to the end that San Francisco may be prepared to poll its full strength on any candidate or proposition appearing on the ballot.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Lease of Building, 333 Kearny Street.

Supervisor Shannon presented the lease for the building at 333 Kearny street which had been prepared and presented to the Board and ordered printed in full on the calendar for next Monday and made a Special Order of Business at 3 o'clock.

Supervisor Powers asked right to print minority report on calendar also.

Supervisor McSheehy moved reference to Committee on Quarters for Hall of Justice departments and hearing before said committee Wednesday at 4 p. m.; Supervisor Shannon agreed.

Whereupon, the motion was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Welch —5.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—10.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson—3.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon's motion to print on calendar and make a Special Order for 3 p. m. next Monday was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business. the Board at 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 19, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the **Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.**

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 19

Monday, May 10, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 10, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 10, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Powers—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Welch was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 22, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Protest Against Operation of Garbage Incinerator.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Protest, of C. T. Spader and numerous others doing business in the vicinity of the garbage incinerator on Illinois street, complaining of the insanitary method of disposing of the City's vast quantity of garbage, and requesting that said incinerator be shut down and abolished forthwith.

Read by the Clerk.

Relative to Civil Service Examination For Superintendency of Auditorium.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting correspondence from Grover O'Connor, attorney for the San Francisco Municipal Civil Service Employees' Association, calling attention to Article 13 of the Charter, with reference to its provisions requiring civil service examination for the position of Superintendent of the Civic Auditorium, and requesting that said position be filled in the manner required by said provision of the Charter.

Referred to Civil Service Committee, to be considered jointly with the Auditorium Committee.

Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that the action taken by the Board in continuing the hearing of the applications to construct bridges across San Francisco Bay to June 21st be rescinded.

He stated that the report of the hearing before the War Department has not been forwarded to Washington yet and in his opinion the Board should be hearing the applicants and making its decision even before the War Department has rendered a decision.

Supervisor Gallagher stated that he thought something should be done to speed the matter up, as he had been told the money is ready to build a bridge and also that certain interests will oppose the granting of any such franchise.

John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, and *Robert Mahoney*, attorney for the Construction Company of North America, one of the bridge applicants, were both inclined to believe that such a change in the procedure would invalidate the entire proceedings, although Mr. O'Toole stated he would not like to give a definite opinion in the matter without looking it up further.

The matter was continued for one week and Mr. O'Toole was requested to render an opinion to the Board at that time. It will be made a Special Order of Business at 3 o'clock.

Dedication of Douglas Memorial Bridge, Klamath River.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Clyde Edmondson, manager-secretary of the Redwood Highway Association, cordially inviting the members of the Board of Supervisors to participate in the celebration in connection with the dedication of the new Douglas Memorial Bridge, spanning

the Klamath river near Requa, on the Redwood highway, Monday, May 17, at noon.

Clerk directed to make proper acknowledgments. Communication *referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

California Street Cable Railway Accident.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting letter addressed to him by M. L. Requa of 351 California street, regarding his observations of last Friday's accident on the California street cable car, in which he was a passenger, and requesting his Honor the Mayor to call upon the California Street Cable Company to add such necessary equipment to their cars as will prevent a recurrence of such an accident.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Plan for Reinstatement of Street Railway Francise About to Expire.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the City Efficiency League, Adolph Uhl, manager, transmitting a plan whereby the franchise of the Market Street Railway Company, which will expire in 1929, may be adjusted, the five-cent fare continued, universal transfers arranged for and the cars on the outer tracks of Market street may be discontinued except during peak hours, and also secure the pavement of the right of way of the lines on Market street.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Report on Traffic Signal Device.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Ralph W. Wiley, chief of the Department of Electricity, reporting as to the manufacture of traffic signals installed by the Department of Electricity and advising that the work was authorized by the Joint Board of Fire and Police Commissioners at a regular meeting held March 16, 1921, and that he was authorized to patent same with the understanding that San Francisco should at no time pay any royalties or other compensation for use of the device or for improvements that might be later added.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Supervisor Richard Welch's Work in Washington for Islais Creek Reclamation Commended.

The following was presented, read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, 465 California street.

Office of Islais Creek Reclamation District.

San Francisco, May 10, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

On behalf of the Islais Creek Reclamation District and of the owners of property within this district, we wish to express our deep appreciation for the excellent work accomplished in Washington, D. C., by the Honorable Richard J. Welch, Supervisor.

Mr. Welch, on your recommendation, proceeded to Washington about a month ago, and, through his influence and efforts on behalf of San Francisco and this district, succeeded in getting the Secretary of War and the Chief of Engineers to recommend the dredging by the Government of the approach area to the entrance of Islais Creek, which will materially aid, both financially and from an engineering standpoint, the filling in of two hundred ninety-one acres of land embraced within the Islais Creek Reclamation District.

As you doubtless know, Congressmen Flaherty, Curry and Dempsey, as well as Congresswoman Kahn, by their activity and loyal efforts, initiated the necessary legislation in Congress, passage of which will be of extreme value to the city.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) COLBERT CALDWEL

(Signed)
COLBERT CALDWELL,
President.

(Signed)
F. T. LETCHFIELD,
Secretary.

Mayor's Veto Sustained.

The Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 25540 (New Series), appointing Robert G. Drady to the position of Superintendent of the Municipal Auditorium, which he had vetoed due to the fact that it would terminate the employment of the present Superintendent, James Donahue, and thus deprive him of his right to a pension, to which he would be entitled if he sreves the City continuously up until June 2d of this year was taken up and *sustained unanimously.*

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved to appoint James Foley Superintendent of the Auditorium.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden McSheehy, Schmidt, Welch—4.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Powers—2.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 25632 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 12941 (New Series), adopted May 15, 1916, is hereby repealed, said repeal to be effective as of June 2, 1926.

Further Resolved, That Robert G. Drady be and he is hereby appointed Managing Superintendent of the Exposition Auditorium to serve during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors at a salary of $250 per month; this appointment to be effective as of June 2, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Report of Mayor on Sale of City Lands.

The following matters were presented, read and *ordered filed*:

May 10, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Bids having been received by me at 11 o'clock Tuesday morning, May 4, for certain parcels of land, private sale of which was duly authorized by you through Ordinance No. 7046 (New Series), approved by me April 2, 1926, I beg to recommend that such parcels of land be sold in accordance with the provisions of the Charter and bids received by me, as follows:

Parcel No. 1: Mrs. Carrie P. Rhodes, 3198 Market street, $2,250; deposit of $250.

Parcel No. 2: Annie Keegan, deposit of $40 on bid of $350.

Parcel No. 3: Steve Durakoff, 851 Rhode Island street, bid of $500; deposit of $60.

Parcel No. 5: John Rudometkin, 871 Rhode Island street, bid of $800; deposit of $80.

Parcel No. 6: Annie T. Jones Scherf, 864 Carolina street, bid of $30; deposit of $30.

A deposit amounting to 10 per cent of the sum bid has been required of each of the bidders above listed.

For your information I will state that bid on Parcel No. 4 was insufficient to meet the requirement of the Charter that bids must equal at least 90 per cent of the appraised value of the property to be sold. I have therefore no recommendation to make relative to this parcel.

Respectfully yours,
(Signed) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Appraisals of City Lands at Private Sale.

The following matters were read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 17, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Members:

We, the undersigned officials of the City and County of San Francisco, constituting the Board of Appraisers, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, to place a value on the respective parcels of land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and to be offered for sale at private sale in accordance with the Charter, and as set forth in Ordinance No. 7046 (New Series), the respective parcels being described as follows, and showing their appraised values as stated herein, as hereby fixed by us, said Board of Appraisers, to-wit:

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Mono street, distant thereon 20.200 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Market street, said point of beginning being on the proposed northwesterly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Mono street 79.800 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 00 min. 3 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 91.767 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead; thence deflecting 79 deg. 56 min. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 45.473 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Market street; thence southwesterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Market street on a curve to the left of 275-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 85 deg. 1 min. 14 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 12 deg. 20 min. 10 sec., a distance of 59.209 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead. Together with dwelling house and appurtenances thereon.

Parcel No. 1, as above described, value fixed at $2,000.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point distant 500 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, and distant 60.067

feet southerly from Market street, measured along a line parallel with Hattie street, said point of beginning being on the easterly boundary of Lot 36, Block "B," of the Park Lane Tract, and running thence southerly along said easterly boundary and parallel with Hattie street 25.896 feet to the southerly boundary of said lot; thence westerly along said southerly boundary 25.096 feet to the westerly boundary of said lot; thence northerly along said westerly boundary 14.100 feet; thence northeasterly 28.631 feet to the point of beginning, being the southerly portion of Lot 36, Block "B," of Park Lane Tract.

Parcel No. 2, as above described, value fixed at $300.

Parcel 3: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of De Haro street, distant thereon 372.726 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of De Haro street 27.274 feet; thence at right angles westerly 82.112 feet; thence deflecting 157 deg. 1 min. to the left and running southeasterly 81.640 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 112 deg. 59 min., a distance of 10.360 feet to tangency with the westerly line of De Haro street at the point of beginning, being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel No. 3, as above described, value fixed at $500.

Parcel 4: Beginning at a point distant 325 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and distant 58.944 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of De Haro street, and running thence westerly, parallel with Twenty-second street, 41.056 feet; thence at right angles northerly 17.413 feet; thence deflecting 112 deg. 59 min. to the right and running southeasterly 44.596 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel No. 4, as above described, value fixed at $150.

Parcel 5: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of De Haro street, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of De Haro street 42.368 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 124 deg 48 min., a distance of 10.891 feet; thence southeasterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 81.031 feet; thence deflecting 145 deg. 12 min. to the right and running westerly 74.720 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Parcel No. 5, as above described, value fixed at $650.

Parcel 6: Beginning at a point distant 175 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and distant 89.31 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Carolina street, and running thence westerly, parallel with Twenty-second street, 10.69 feet; thence at right angles northerly 7.430 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg 48 min. to the right and running southeasterly 13.019 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Parcel No. 6, as above described, value fixed at $10.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

R. L. WOLDEN,
Assessor.

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
Chairman of the Finance Committee.

May 4, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Relative to lands numbered Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, for which bids were received in my office this Tuesday morning, May 4, I submit the following appraisals of same:

Parcel No. 1, $2,000; Parcel No. 2, $300; Parcel No. 3, $500; Parcel No. 4, $150; Parcel No. 5, $650; Parcel No. 6, $10.

Yours very truly,
(Signed) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Los Angeles Assessment of Solvent Credits.

The following excerpt from the Los Angeles Examiner was presented by Supervisor Havenner, read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

"Foreign Stock Owners Swamp L. A. Assessor.

Citizens Now Play Square With Community on 7 Per Cent Assessment of Securities.

Probate Suits Dwindle as Holders Rush to Register Lists 'Like Snow Storm,' Says Clerk.

By Otheman Stevens.

The 750-odd attaches of the office of County Assessor E. W. Hopkins are working their heads off to keep from being submerged by tax returns which include stocks and bonds of other states than California.

Citizens can now play square with the city and county on a basis

of an assessment based on only 7 per cent of the value of foreign securities they own.

And it works.

Assessor Hopkins is beaming.

'Why, we've a return from one man who has heretofore lived much of his time in the county which includes about $8,000,000 of such securities,' he said.

Lived Elsewhere.

'He never had to return them before because his legal residence was in another state.

'But the law under which we now work is the cheapest on foreign securities in thirty-nine states, and it made it worth his while to make California his legal residence.

'There are scores of similar instances and hundreds more who are making the change of residence in order to benefit by the present law.'

And then he called in Mrs. D. C. Ross, who has much to do with handling the returns.

'It's like a snow storm,' she said, 'the way returns are coming in which include foreign securities which we never have been able to assess before.

'We had one just lately from a Pasadena man who has lived there many years, and he for the first time returns nearly $2,500,000 of such securities; another is close to $2,000,000.

'In fact, a great part of the returns effective under the new law come from Pasadena.

Put to Trouble.

'The only way we could get at such securities before was in the probate court, where accounts of estates were filed. When these were discovered we assessed them at full market value.'

'That is what the present law obliges us to do,' said Mr. Hopkins, 'where we discover that such securities have not been included in the returns made to this office.

'People have their choice of telling us what securities of other states they own and paying a negligible tax on an assessment of 7 per cent of their value, or risking our learning of their holdings and assessing them at the full market value.

'Under the present law, where returns are made a thousand dollar bond issued in other states would pay a tax of about $2.63.

Taxes Increased.

'But if that bond was not included in the return, and we found it out, the tax would be $18.75.'

At this point in the talk a man rushed into the office, vociferously saying he wanted to 'square' himself.

'Your deputy was at my house yesterday,' he said to Mr. Hopkins, 'and I made my return, but as I never had returned them I forgot all about some New York bonds I have and I want to get out from under and have them put on my statement.'

He was accommodated.

This new law (it works) was the result of the efforts of Dr. Milbank Johnson and Assessor Hopkins through the California Taxation Improvement Association.

The writer was at Sacramento during the last legislative session and saw the hard work both did there. They made the bill for the present law practically the one issue before the Senate and the Assembly whenever they appeared, which was almost incessantly, until the final vote was taken.

Decided Success.

'Yes,' said Mrs. Ross, as the talk closed, 'the present method is a decided success.

'Except for Long Beach people, who did turn in "Iowa" mortgages, the foreign securities reported to us were almost nil.

'Now, as I said, the returns are like a snow storm.'

It pays to be honest with the assessor on a 7 per cent basis."

Leave of Absence, A. I. Esberg, Member Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
May 4, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. Alfred I. Esberg, member of the Board of Education, for an extension to July 12, 1926, of the leave of absence recently granted him, with permission to leave the State of California.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said extension of his leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 25676 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred I. Esberg, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted an extension of his leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing May 13, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Powers—1.

Supervisor Powers' Report in re Board of Works' Lack of Cooperation.

The following, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

Supervisor Powers presents:

Your Committee on Public Buildings and Lands reports that its work is seriously handicapped, and, indeed, is at a standstill, owing to the deliberate failure and refusal of the Board of Public Works to render the proper and legal cooperation and information to City affairs.

Since January 19, no representative of the Building Repair Department or any other official of the Board of Public Works has attended any meeting of our committee.

On that date, having found an entire crew of Board of Works employees absent from duty during working hours on a building job, this committee requested the Superintendent of Building Repairs to investigate and explain this absence, and also to inform this committee of the whereabouts or supposed whereabouts of other crews of repair men at that time, so that we could study the situation further.

Two weeks later, the required information not being given and the failure to attend being noted, we addressed to the Board of Public Works a letter, requesting that the Superintendent of Building Repairs, or an authorized representative, be regularly present at our committee meetings, Tuesday afternoons at 2:30 o'clock.

No reply was received from the Board of Works, nor did any representative attend. Accordingly, on February 19, we, by letter, called the situation to the attention of Mayor Rolph, who verbally assured the chairman of this committee that the required cooperation from the Board of Public Works would be forthcoming by his instructions.

Either the Board of Works has failed to receive such instructions, or else has failed to carry out the Mayor's wishes, as no person connected with that Board has put in an appearance at any meeting of this committee since the date of January 19, or three and one-half months.

Being obviously entitled to such executive cooperation and information, this committee requests this Board of Supervisors to adopt the following resolution:

"Whereas, no representative of the Building Repairs Department or other person connected with the Board of Public Works has attended any meeting of the Committee on Public Buildings and Lands of this Board of Supervisors since January 19; and

"Whereas, this Board of Supervisors is entitled to full and proper cooperation and information from the executive departments of this City's government; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby make emphatic and peremptory demand upon the Board of Public Works, that the Superintendent of Building Repairs or some proper and authorized representative shall be in attendance each Tuesday at 2:30 o'clock p. m., at the regular meeting of the Committee on Public Buildings and Lands of this Board, and shall promptly furnish such information concerning its activities as shall be requested by the committee."

Minority Report, Building Committee, on Additional Quarters for Hall of Justice Departments.

May 10, 1926.

The following was read by the Clerk and *laid over one week*:

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: As chairman of the Building Committee of the Board of Supervisors, I was appointed on a special committee to find more floor space for officials in the Hall of Justice.

There was but one public meeting held on the important issue to move our public officials several blocks away from where their work is carried on. The time of this meeting was spent in discussing whether the lease should be accepted or not accepted.

As chairman of the Building Committee, I went with Supervisor McSheehy on a survey of the Hall of Justice. We found that with a few improvements in this building we can have as much floor space as the City is about to lease several blocks away.

The cost of improving the Hall of Justice would amount to $58,000. The cost of leasing and moving the offices of city officials to a building several blocks from the Hall of Justice is $100,000.

As a member of this special committee I would like to be heard on this matter. I was elected as a delegate to the convention of the

Native Sons of the Golden West and had to leave on Sunday evening.

I asked for a week's postponement of this issue, but was refused.

This lease is not of immediate necessity, so I hereby ask the remaining members of the Board of Supervisors to postpone this lease for one week.

Yours very truly,
(Signed) CHAS. J. POWERS,
Supervisor.

Report on Peninsula Water Supply.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

San Francisco, California,
May 6, 1926.

To the Mayor and Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

A conference of representatives of the peninsula cities from Palo Alto to San Francisco was held on April 17th to discuss the present and future water supply of this region. It was pointed out that the population of the Peninsula communities, including portions of San Francisco, was increasing more rapidly than the visible water supply, and the following questions were asked:

1. When may we expect to obtain a water supply from Hetch Hetchy?
2. In the meantime is a supply obtainable from the Spring Valley Water Company, and if so, how?
3. Must the cities "go it alone" and seek individual sources of supply and to what extent?
4. May all the peninsula cities join in an investigation that will answer the foregoing questions?

At the conference an affirmative answer was given to the last question and it was recognized that the problem of the peninsula water supply had many features common to all the local communities and conclusions reached that might afford relief to all and insure the future growth and welfare of the entire peninsula area.

At the conference a committee was appointed to define the scope of an investigation and to outline a procedure that would secure the ends desired. The committee consists of Supervisors Warren Shannon and Milo F. Kent, and City Attorney John J. O'Toole of San Francisco; Professor C. D. Marx, President of the Board of Public Works of Palo Alto, and Oscar F. Weissgerber, City Manager of San Mateo.

This committee met on May 4th and outlines the following as indicative of the scope of the proposed investigation, designated those under whose direction the same was to be made, the text of which is as follows:

Subject of Investigation.

The present and future water supply of the peninsula region, with recommendations as to methods calculated to promote the development of this region and safeguard all local interests.

The scope of the inquiry will include a study of

Engineering, legal and politico-economic problems, with such publicity as may be necessary.

Engineering:

A report showing population, growth and underground water supplies by Prof. C. D. Marx, President, Board of Public Works, Palo Alto;

Municipal and private water supplies, by Oscar F. Weissberger, City Manager, San Mateo; George F. Kneese, City Engineer of Daly City, South San Francisco, San Bruno and Hillsborough, and L. C. Dimmitt, City Engineer, Redwood City.

Resources of the Spring Valley Water Company and the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project by M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer, San Francisco.

Legal:

An inquiry into the laws relating to water districts and joint exercise of powers by municipalities, by H. A. Postlethwait, City Attorney, San Bruno; Alfred Mansfield, City Attorney of Redwood City; A. H. Redington, City Attorney of Atherton and Hillsborough, and Milton Marks, Supervisor, San Francisco.

Legal relations of San Francisco and the Spring Valley Water Company, by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, San Francisco.

Politico-Economic:

A report to be prepared based on the foregoing reports with recommendation as future policies to be adopted by the communities interested and methods to be followed to secure the objects sought to be attained, and such arguments as may be necessary to sustain the recommendations.

It is expressly to be stated that the recommendations are merely advisory and each municipality will be free to adopt or reject them.

This report will be prepared by:

Warren Shannon, Supervisor, San Francisco;

Wm. P. Stanton, Supervisor, San Francisco;

Franck R. Havenner, Supervisor, San Francisco;

Professor C. B. Wing, Councilman, Palo Alto;

M. G. McClinton, City Trustee, Burlingame.

It may be that some degree of publicity may need to be given in order that the public may understand and appreciate the conditions that may be disclosed. A publicity committee, to function as the necessity may arise, is hereby designated as follows:

Supervisor Richard J. Welch, Chairman, and Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd, San Francisco.

Executives of cities: Mayors, James Rolph, Jr., San Francisco; H. H. Smith, Daly City; H. F. McNellis, South San Francisco; J. E. O'Connor, San Bruno; Gordon C. Hess, Burlingame; F. J. Smith, San Mateo; Robt. G. Hooker, Hillsborough; H. A. Reeger, Redwood City; G. H. Sisnon, San Carlos; E. L. Eyre. Atherton, and C. E. Jordan, Palo Alto.

Some expense will necessarily have to be incurred in making the studies necessary to develop the facts and in the publication of reports. For this purpose it is estimated that $4,500 should be provided. The payment of any salary is not anticipated. A suggested apportionment of this amount is hereby submitted:

San Francisco	$3,000
Daly City	150
South San Francisco	150
San Bruno	100
Burlingame	250
San Mateo	200
Hillsborough	100
San Carlos	50
Redwood City	200
Atherton	50
Palo Alto	250
Total	$4,500

Whatever funds are disbursed will be approved by the following auditing committee:

Milo F. Kent, Supervisor, San Francisco;

Milton Marks, Supervisor, San Francisco;

Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor, San Francisco;

Frank K. Towne, Treasurer, Redwood City;

Frank A. Bloom, Treasurer, Burlingame.

We therefore respectfully ask your honorable body to give such expression of interest in this subject as may be proper, and should it meet with your approval to so state.

In the event of such approval, would you kindly make an appropriation to meet a portion of the cost of the expenses of the investigation as herein stated?

Respectfully,

WARREN SHANNON,
Chairman.

H. A. MASON,
Acting Secretary,
235 City Hall.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Thereupon, the following resolution was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco approves of the proposition to investigate the present and future water supply of the Peninsula region, as proposed by the committee appointed at a conference of city officials of said region, and expresses its willingness to participate in such investigation; furthermore, and inasmuch as said investigation will concern the use of water from the Hetch Hetchy, that the Finance Committee of this Board be recommended to appropriate the sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000) from the Hetch Hetchy Operative Fund for the purpose of making the investigation.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Garbage Cans.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. this day for furnishing 200 galvanized iron garbage cans for School Department.

Referred to Supplies Committee.

Confirmation of Sale of City Lands.

Consideration of the matter of confirming the sale to Parkside Realty Co. of San Francisco for the sum of eleven hundred ($1.100) dollars the following described City lands, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wawona street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement: being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1273, also known as Lot 2, Block 2502, on Assessor's Map Book.

If at said meeting an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that hereinabove named shall be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to such per-

son or order a new sale in conformity with the provisions of the Charter; otherwise said sale to Parkside Realty Co. of San Francisco will be confirmed for the price hereinabove stated.

There being no objection and no offer made to increase the bid of Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco, the property was struck off and sold to said company.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7581, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6999, approved March 10, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 5th day of April, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6999 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $900 and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 5th day of April, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco for the sum of $1,100 and accepted from said Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco a deposit in cash in the amount of $110, being 10 per cent of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 12th day of April, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of eighteen (18) days from and after the 15th day of April, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 10th day of May, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 10th day of May, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $1,100 bid as aforesaid by Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco for the sum of $1,100 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the pur-

chase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wawona street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1273, also known as Lot 2, Block 2502, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Lease of Quarters for Hall of Justice Departments.

Resolution No. 25603 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain lease, dated May 3, 1926, between Louis T. Samuels, lessor, and the City and County of San Francisco, lessee, whereby the lessor leases to the lessee 7902 feet of floor space in a building designated as 333 Kearny street for a term of five years two and one-half months, at a monthly rental of $1,185.30, and subject to certain conditions and stipulations contained therein, is hereby ratified and confirmed.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved the adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Kent, moved to postpone action one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—8.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd —9.

Absent—Supervisor Powers—1.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon's motion *carried* and the resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—12.

Noes — Supervisors Kent, McSheehy, Stanton, Welch—4.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Powers—2.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Before the result was announced, Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at the next meeting.

Hearing of Appeal, York Street.

The following matter was *rereferred to the Streets and Commercial Development Committee*:

Hearing the protest of the O'Neil Estate Company against the closing of all that portion of York street between Seventeenth street and Mariposa street, as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 25273 (New Series), fixed for 2 p. m. this day.

Report of Supervisor Power in re Lack of Cooperation of Board of Public Works.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was again *laid over one week*:

Your Committee on Public Buildings and Lands reports that its work is seriously handicapped, and, indeed, is at a standstill, owing to the deliberate failure and refusal of the Board of Public Works to render the proper and legal cooperation and information to City affairs.

Since January 19, no representative of the Building Repair Department or any other official of the Board of Pulic Works has attended any meeting of our committee.

On that date, having found an entire crew of Board of Works employees absent from duty during working hours on a building repair job, this committee requested the Superintendent of Building Repairs to investigate and explain this absence, and also to inform this committee of the whereaouts or supposed whereabouts of other crews of repair men at that time, so that we could study the situation further.

Two weeks later, the required information not being given and the failure to attend being noted, we addressed to the Board of Public Works a letter, requesting that the Superintendent of Building Repairs, or an authorized representative, be regularly present at our

committee meetings, Tuesday afternoons at 2:30 o'clock.

No reply was received from the Board of Works, nor did any representative attend. Accordingly, on February 19, we, by letter, called the situation to the attention of Mayor Rolph, who verbally assured the chairman of this committee that the required cooperation from the Board of Public Works would be forthcoming by his instructions.

Either the Board of Works has failed to receive such instructions or else has failed to carry out the Mayor's wishes, as no person connected with that Board has put in an appearance at any meeting of this committee since the date of January 19, or three and one-half months.

Being obviously entitled to such executive cooperation and information, this committee requests this Board of Supervisors to adopt the following resolution:

"Whereas, no representative of the Building Repairs Department or other person connected with the Board of Public Works has attended any meeting of the Committee on Public Buildings and Lands of this Board of Supervisors since January 19; and

"Whereas, this Board of Supervisors is entitled to full and proper cooperation and information from the executive departments of this City's government; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby make emphatic and peremptory demand upon the Board of Public Works, that the Superintendent of Building Repairs or some proper and authorized representative shall be in attendance each Tuesday at 2:30 o'clock p. m., at the regular meeting of the Committee on Public Buildings and Lands of this Board, and shall promptly furnish such information concerning its activities as shall be requested by the committee."

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The foregoing matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriations.

Resolution No. 25633 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for side-sewer construction (claim dated April 26, 1926), $1,321.65.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(2) Umbsen, Kerner & Stevens, for appraisals of six parcels of City (Fire Department) lands to be sold by auction (claim dated May 3, 1926), $650.

Special School Tax.

(3) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school repairs (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,517.19.

Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(4) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 22, 1926), $4,374.61.

(5) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 22, 1926), $641.56.

(6) F. W. Wentworth & Co., stationery, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 22, 1926), $668.10.

(7) The Giant Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 23, 1926), $1,484.25.

(8) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated April 24, 1926), $601.05.

(9) Tilden Lumber & Mill Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 23, 1926), $2,643.49.

Auditorium Fund.

(10) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity supplied the Auditorium during March (claim dated April 28, 1926), $634.06.

County Road Fund.

(11) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Baker street between Bay, North Point and Beach streets (claim dated April 28, 1926), $2,370.24.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Bond Construction Co., fifth payment, construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $12,759.09.

(13) Aetna Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for Hawthorne School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $2,312.25.

(14) Herman Lawson, third payment, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne School (claim dated April 27, 1926), $4,333.88.

(15) Thos. Skelly, third payment, plumbing for Hawthorne School (claim dated April 27, 1926), $2,088.04.

(16) Anderson & Ringrose, seventh payment, general construction of the Le Conte School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $12,705.

(17) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., first payment, electric fixtures for Dudley Stone School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $770.75.

(18) O. Monson, eighth payment, general construction of the Alvarado School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $14,688.75.

(19) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., fourteenth payment, general construction of the Alamo School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $23,898.28.

(20) Jas. L. McLaughlin, sixth payment, general construction of the Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $16,567.40.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(21) San Mateo Feed & Fuel Co., rock furnished for construction of Pulgas road to the Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated February 4, 1926), $2,634.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) L. Dinkelspiel Co., curtain net, etc., for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 23, 1926), $632.51.

(24) Mangrum & Otter, Inc., culinary equipment for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 28, 1926), $3,312.50.

Special School Tax.

(25) S. W. Hand, first payment, plumbing, etc., for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $1,410.

(26) O. Monson, first payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated April 28, 1926), $24,406.50.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(27) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,234.65.

(28) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated May 1, 1926), $750.

(29) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated April 22, 1926), $747.50.

(30) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,769.63.

(31) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., gravel, etc., for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,427.06.

(32) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,734.40.

(33) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $663.51.

(34) E. B. & A. L. Stone Co., sand for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,458.07.

(35) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $2,654.51.

(36) Rock, Sand and Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $920.14.

(37) Rock, Sand and Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated April 22, 1926), $869.28.

(38) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Civic Center power house (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,257.73.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated April 28, 1926), $14,222.80.

(40) F. L. Hansen, second payment, general construction, Children's Ward, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 28, 1926), $5,966.51.

(41) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sewer repairs (claim dated April 28, 1926), $1,063.85.

(42) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated April 28, 1926), $1,954.11.

(43) Lazare-Klein Co., sheeting for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 19, 1926), $3,237.36.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Appropriations for Construction of Sewers in Sunset and Glen Park Districts.

Resolution No. 25634 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the construction of main sewers, to-wit:

(1) For the construction of sewers and appurtenances in the westerly Sunset District, Section "C," Forty-third avenue main. Contract 2 (L. J. Cohn contract), $72,405.90. Inspection and possible extras on foregoing, $4,594.10.

(2) For construction of sewers and appurtenances in the Glen Park District between Chenery and Elk streets (C. B. Cowden contract), $2,454. Inspection and possible extras on foregoing, $646.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Appropriation, $60,792.32, for Construction of Engine House 19, Third Street and Arthur Avenue.

Resolution No. 25635 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $60,793.32 be and the same is hereby set aside appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Fire Department Land and Construction Fund to cover cost of construction of Engine House No. 19, Fire Department, to be erected at northwest corner of Third street and Arthur avenue; per the following contracts awarded, etc., to-wit:

General construction (Elliott & Grant), $47,695; plumbing, gas-fitting and mechanical equipment (C. Drucker), $4,533; electrical work (Dowd-Seid Electric Co.), $2,294; architectural fees, $3,000; inspection, possible extras, incidentals, $3,271.32.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Appropriation, $1,004.50, for Window and Door Shades for the Dudley Stone School.

Resolution No. 25636 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,004.50 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, for window and door shades for the Dudley Stone School (D. N. and E. Walter & Co. contract).

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Appropriation, $9,000, Payment to Josephine Upman for Land Required for Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Purchase.

Resolution No. 25637 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $9,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment and authorized in payment to Josephine Upman; being payment for portion of Lot No. 24, Western Addition Block No. 678, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25523 (New Series), and required for Duboce-Sunset tunnel purposes. (Claim dated April 23, 1926.)

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Transfer of Funds for Repairs to Islais Creek Bridge.

Resolution No. 25638 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $571 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Repairs and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, to the credit of Budget Item No. 560, "Maintenance and Operation of Bridges," 1925-1926, to reimburse for expenditures made for repairs to Islais Creek bridge.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Appropriation, $5,000, Payment to Carrie Cummings for Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 25639 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, and authorized in payment to Carrie Cummings; being payment for property—all of Lot 1, Block 6662, as per Assessor's Map Book, and required for opening of Bernal Cut; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25558 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Appropriating $2,652, Payment to Olga Fogerstrom for Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 25640 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,652 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of $100,000 set aside out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series), and authorized in payment to Olga Fogerstrom; being payment for property—all of Lots 404 and 403, Gift Map No. 4, Map Book 2 "A" and "B," pages 16 and 17, records of the City and County of San

Francisco; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25560 (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Appropriation, $1,100, Payment to Mrs. F. Fischer, for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25641 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,100 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Mrs. F. Fischer; being payment for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 250 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25586 (New Series); and required for school purposes. (Claim dated May 3, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 25642 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Abrahams, north side of Clay street, 125 feet east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bank of Italy, west side of Ninth avenue, 50 feet south of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Bertz, north side of Lake street, 100 feet west of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Bloch, 3362 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

D. J. Clancy, south side of Sacramento street, 70 feet west of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Ferroni, northwest corner of McAllister and Willard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. D. Graham, 170 Sea Cliff avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Hartford Fire Insurance Company, northeast corner of California street and Sabin place, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. K. Holt, southwest corner of Page and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hoot Bros., east side of Octavia street, 200 feet south of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Jelt, 312 Valencia street, 600 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Neal, south side of Lily street, 180 feet west of Octavia street, 700 gallons capacity.

Kohler & Chase, south side of O'Farrell street, 120 feet west of Stockton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Liebman, south side of Francisco street, 125 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. J. Lubbe, west side of Mission street, 220 feet north of Twentieth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McDonald & Kahn, 69 Fremont street, 600 gallons capacity.

McKillop Bros., north side of Parnassus avenue between Fourth and Fifth avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. J. Nelson, north side of Alhambra street, 50 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. F. O'Brien, southwest corner of Ninth avenue and Kirkham street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Persian Rug Cleaning Co., 1350 Jessie street, 2000 gallons capacity.

James H. Pinkerton, south side of Mesa street, 30 feet east of Ninth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Quistad, west side of Mallorca way, 50 feet north of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The Raggo Co., 423 Jackson street. 600 gallons capacity.

J. Steur, west side of Hyde street, 75 feet south of O'Farrell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher. Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 25643 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Peter Montague is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while excavating on property situate on the southwest corner of Presidio avenue and California street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in

accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Peter Montague, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Transfer of Garage Permit, C. E. Montgomery Bradshaw.

Resolution No. 25644 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. E. Montgomery Bradshaw be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted John Garben by Resolution No. 22719 (New Series) for premises at 360 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Transfer of Garage Permit, Filsinger & Vatoune.

Resolution No. 25645 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Filsinger & Vatoune be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Thomas McDougall by Resolution No. 18718 (New Series) for premises on the east side of Fourth street, 52 feet north of Natoma street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Automobile Parking Station Permit, H. Elliott.

Resolution No. 25646 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. Elliott be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station at 1325 Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Garage Permit, Dairy Delivery Company.

Resolution No. 25647 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Dairy Delivery Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the east side of Guerrero street, 122 feet north of Nineteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Ordering Plans and Specifications for Carrying Foundations of Engine House No. 17, Mint Avenue, Below Present Level.

Bill No. 7576, Ordinance No. 7090 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the carrying of foundations of Engine House (Fire Department) No. 17 on Mint avenue down approximately 6 feet 6 inches below their present level, and ordering the carrying of foundations of said Engine House (Fire Department) No. 17 on Mint avenue, down approximately 6 feet 6 inches below their present level, entailing excavation, shoring and underpinning in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the carrying down of said foundations.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the carrying of foundations of Engine House (Fire Department) No. 17 on Mint avenue down approximately 6 feet 6 inches, and to enter into contract for the carrying of foundations of said Engine House (Fire Department) No. 17, on Mint avenue down approximately 6 feet 6 inches below their present level, entailing excavation, shoring and underpinning, in accordance with said plans and specifications therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Lenox Way Between Taraval Street and Ulloa Street.

Bill No. 7577, Ordinance No. 7091 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 6 and 13 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of said ordinance, are hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Lenox way between Taraval street and Ulla street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the First Residential District where not already so classified.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Post Street East of Gough.

Bill No. 7578, Ordinance No. 7092 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the north side of Post street, commencing 60 feet east of Gough street and extending easterly a distance of 100 feet and to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Board of Public Works to Issue Permits, Private Contracts for Street Work.

Bill No. 7579, Ordinance No. 7093 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series), entitled "Ordinance authorizing, empowering and directing the Board of Public Works to issue permits to do street work or street improvements under private contract and repealing Ordinance No. 33 and all orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2. Such work or improvement must be in accordance with the specifications adopted by the Board of Supervisors for similar work, and be of a tvpe approved by the City Engineer. The work must be done under the direction and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works. The time limit within which the work or improvement is to be commenced and when it is to be completed shall be fixed by the Board of Public Works, but in no event shall the Board extend the time for doing of the work or improvement more than 90 days beyond the time originally fixed for its completion unless authorized to do so by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Amending Ordinance No. 240, Black Base.

Bill No. 7580, Ordinance No. 7094 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 240, entitled "Prescribing general rules and standard specifications for street and sidewalk work and limiting the use of various kinds of pavements and sidewalks in the City and County of San Francisco," approved March 1, 1901, and adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 25½.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 240 (New Series), the title to which is recited in the title to this ordinance, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Except as in this section hereinafter provided, the roadway of any street or portion of a street shall not hereafter be fully or conditionally accepted, under the provisions of Section 23, Chapter II, Article VI, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, unless the same be paved with one of the following types of pavement: With basalt blocks on sand; with basalt blocks or paving brick on a concrete foundation; with asphalt or asphaltic concrete on a concrete foundation; with asphalt with a binder course on a concrete foundation; with a concrete pavement; or with asphaltic concrete base and surface.

The Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, may, however, by ordinance, fully or conditionally accept the roadway of any street, or portion of a street, in case the same be improved otherwise than as is in this section hereinbefore provided, if they deem the acceptance thereof expedient and conducive to the public interest.

Section 2. A new section, to be known as Section 25½, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 240 (New Series), the title to which is recited in the title to this ordinance, to read as follows:

Section 25½. Asphaltic concrete (black base):

Composition of Mixture — The asphaltic concrete base course, composed of broken stone or gravel, sand and asphalt, shall be laid upon the subgrade as heretofore described. The proportions of the asphaltic concrete mixture shall be proportioned by weight within the following llmits:

Asphalt as hereinafter specified for asphaltic concrete base, between 4 and 6 per cent.

The mineral aggregate as hereinafter specified for asphaltic concrete base, consisting of broken stone or gravel and sand, between 94 and 96 per cent.

The mineral aggregate shall be graded according to the following sizes by weight: Passing 200-mesh screen, 5 per cent; passing 80, retained on 200, 5 to 15 per cent; passing 40, retained on 80, 5 to 15 per cent; passing 10, retained on 40, 5 to 15 per cent; passing ¾, retained on 70, 15 to 25 per cent; passing 1½, retained on ¾, 15 to 25 per cent; passing 2½, retained on 1½, 20 to 30 per cent.

Preparation of Mixture — The mineral aggregate and asphalt shall be heated separately. The asphalt shall be heated in kettles or tanks designed to secure uniform heating of the entire contents and shall be brought to a temperature of 225 to 350 degrees Fahrenheit. The mineral aggregate shall be dried and heated at the paving plant in suitably designed revolving driers, and shall be heated to a temperature of 275 to 350 degrees Fahrenheit, as determined on the mixing platform. The aggregate shall be screened and stored in bins so that the sizes as above specified can be weighed out separately. The mixture of the mineral aggregate and asphalt shall be mixed in a mixer, approved by the City Engineer, for not less than 40 seconds or until the particles are thoroughly and uniformly coated.

Laying the Asphaltic Concrete Base Course—The asphaltic concrete mixture shall be brought upon the work in auto trucks at a temperature of not less than 225 nor more than 300 degrees Fahrenheit. It shall be immediately spread to such a depth that after compression a minimum thickness of 3½ inches is obtained. While still hot the asphaltic concrete mixture shall be thoroughly and uniformly compressed by a power-driven three-wheel roller weighing not less than ten (10) tons, and rolling shall be continued at a rate of not less than 5 hours for each 9000 square feet of surface. Rolling shall start longi-

tudinally at the sides and proceed toward the center of the pavement, overlapping on successive trips by at least one-half the width of the roller, until suitable compaction is obtained. Soft spots in the subgrade which yield under the rolling of the asphaltic concrete base shall be cut out and filled with sand, dry earth, or gravel. The asphaltic base course must be covered with wearing surface at least 48 hours after it has been laid unles otherwise approved by the Engineer.

Spray Coat—If considered necessary by the Engineer, due to local conditions, upon the surface of the asphaltic concrete base shall be spread an asphaltic spray coat by means of a spraying machine, for the purpose of binding the wearing surface to the foundation. The surface of the asphaltic concrete base shall be thoroughly cleaned just before the application of the spray coat. The asphalt used for the spray coat shall be as hereinafter specified. It shall be heated until thoroughly liquid so that a uniformly thin coating all over the cleaned base is obtained.

Asphaltic Concrete Surface Course (Topeka Type)—The asphaltic concrete surface course (Topeka Type), composed of broken stone, sand, stone dust and asphalt, shall be laid on the asphaltic concrete base prepared and laid as above described. The proportion of the asphaltic concrete mixture shall be proportioned by weight within the following limtis: Asphalt as hereinafter described, between 6 and 8 per cent; mineral aggregate, consisting of broken stone, sand and stone dust, between 92 and 94 per cent. The mineral aggregate shall be graded according to the following sizes, by weight, but in no case shall the amount of material retained on the 10-mesh be less than 42 per cent by weight: Passing 200-mesh screen, 6 to 8 per cent; passing 80, retained on 200, 5 to 15 per cent; passing 40, retained on 80, 5 to 15 per cent; passing 10, retained on 40, 10 to 20 per cent; passing 4, retained on 10, 12 to 25 per cent passing 2, retained on 4, 10 to 20 per cent; passing 1, retained on 2, 12 to 25 per cent.

Preparation of Mixture — The mineral aggregate and asphalt shall be heated separately. The maximum temperature of the mineral aggregate shall in no case be in excess of 375 degrees Fahrenheit. The stone dust or other inorganic mineral dust shall be mixed with the hot sand and broken stone in the required proportions, and these shall then be mixed for at least one (1) minute with the asphalt at the required temperature and in the proper proportions, in a suitable apparatus, so as to effect a thoroughly homogeneous mixture. In no case shall the asphalt be heated above 280 degrees Fahrenheit. The wearing surface mixture may be prepared by mixing bitumen in the form of asphalt with the sand and stone dust or by the combination of natural bituminous materials with the necessary ingredients to produce the proper mixture.

Laying Asphaltic Wearing Surface—The paving mixture so prepared shall be brought to the ground in auto trucks at a temperature of not less than 250 degrees Fahrenheit. The contractor must provide canvas covers for the loads for use in transit. The mixture shall be thoroughly spread immediately on reaching the ground with hot shovels and hot rakes in such manner that, after reaching its ultimate compression, it shall have a regular and uniform grade and a minimum thickness of one and one-half (1½) inches. The depth shall be constantly tested by means of gauges. The raking shall be continued until the surface shows no ridges. The surface shall be compressed immediately after spreading by a steam roller weighing not less than ten (10) tons, and the rolling shall be continued at the rate of not less than five (5) hours for every eighteen (18) thousand square feet of surface. The surface of the finished pavement shall be true and uniform, with no rolls or depressions in any ten (10) feet exceeding one-quarter (¼) inch from the true grade and cross-section of the pavement strip. No traffic shall be allowed on the pavement until it is thoroughly cooled and set. No new surface shall be laid when the foundation is wet or when the temperature of the atmosphere is less than 58 degrees Fahrenheit.

Asphalt—The asphalt must be a California product. It may be a natural asphalt, a mixture of refined liquid asphalt or be an oil asphalt. All shipments of asphalt shall be marked with the lot number and the penetration, which latter shall not be less than 50 nor more than 90 D. C. standard. When twenty (20) grams of the asphalt are heated for five (5) consecutive hours at a temperature of 325 degrees Fahrenheit in a tin dish two and one-half (2½) inches in diameter under uniform conditions, there must not be volatilized more than five (5) per cent of the bitumen, nor shall the penetration at 77 de-

grees Fahrenheit, after such heating, be less than twenty (20) D. C. standard. At least 98½ per cent of the asphalt shall be soluble in cold carbon tetrachloride, and at least 9½ per cent shall be soluble in cold carbon bisulphide.

Natural Bituminous Material—Natural bituminous material shall be construed to mean any natural, solid, mineral bitumen, either pure or mixed with foreign matter, from which, through natural causes in the process of time, the light oils have been driven off. At least 99 per cent of the contained bitumen which is soluble in carbon bisulphide shall be soluble in cold carbon tetrachloride.

Mineral Aggregate—The mineral aggregate shall consist of broken stone or gravel, sand and stone dust mixed in the proportions hereinbefore specified. The broken stone shall be selected hard basalt or altered sandstone broken as nearly cubical as can practically be made. Rock containing in excess of ten (10) per cent of discolored or inferior material will be rejected. The sand must be clean, hard and sharp, and must not contain more than three (3) per cent of mica or other inferior ingredients. The stone dust shall be Portland cement or ground limestone, or it may be any crystalline or sharp fragmental material free from loam or organic matter, and must have a specific gravity of not less than two and five-tenths (2.5). It must all pass a screen of 50-mesh to the lineal inch.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $52,193.54, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Company, water furnished horse troughs, $64.97.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(1) Riddle Sheet Metal Works, exhaust system for the Galileo High School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $792.

(2) Underwood Typewriter Co., Inc., typewriter machines for the Galileo High School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $876.06.

(3) Park Commission, for planting, etc., of athletic field, High School of Commerce (claim dated May 4, 1926), $3,550.60.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(4) Carroll B. Crawford and Mrs. Margaret S. Crawford, compromise agreement for personal injuries, etc., by Municipal Railways (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,000.

(5) Louis Goehr, compromise agreement for personal injuries sustained by Municipal Railways (claim dated April 30, 1926), $5,750.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(6) Western Pipe & Steel Co., eighth and final payment for furnishing, etc., of steel penstocks and accessories for Moccasin Creek Power Plant (claim dated April 28, 1926), $3,395.93.

Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(7) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 30, 1926), $904.88.

(8) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 30, 1926), $947.03.

(9) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy con-

struction (claim dated April 30, 1926), $506.10.

Special School Tax.

(10) H. H. Hilp, second payment, general construction of temporary additional facilities at Francisco School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $4,578.75.

Country Road Fund.

(11) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of crossing of Baker and North Point streets (claim dated May 5, 1926), $919.70.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Clinton Construction Co., sixteenth payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated May 4, 1926), $24,011.25.

(13) M. E. Ryan, eleventh payment, electrical work for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated May 4, 1926), $2,929.96.

(14) J. W. Burtchaell, first payment, electrical fixtures for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated May 4, 1926), $1,087.40.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(15) Capital Decorating & Manufacturing Co., decorations of streets for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco, various celebrations (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) W. H. Picard, seventeenth payment, mechanical equipment for Addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated May 4, 1926), $1,202.03.

(17) Mahony Bros., twentieth payment, general construction of Addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated May 4, 1926), $25,435.50.

(18) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $1,214.76.

(19) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing work for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $3,352.49.

(20) Latourette-Fical Co., mechanical equipment, sixth payment, for New Mission High School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $4,684.08.

(21) M. E. Ryan, fifth payment, electrical work for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $731.79.

(22) A. Lettich, first payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,924.50.

(23) F. L. Hansen, third payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $9,112.20.

(24) MacDonald & Kahn, ninth payment, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $34,919.33.

(25) Bond Construction Co., sixth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $9,851.

(26) Wm. F. Wilson Co., fourth payment, plumbing, etc., for Alvarado School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,126.31.

(27) Thos. Skelly, second payment, plumbing, etc., for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,253.59.

(28) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for West Portal School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $939.27.

(29) W. H. Picard, sixth payment, plumbing, etc., for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $1,500.99.

(30) Barrett & Hilp, ninth payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $12,933.75.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(31) M. Getz & Co., bakery machinery for new Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $4,000.

(32) Montague Range & Furnace Co., Inc., ranges for new Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,750.

(33) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., rugs for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 30, 1926), $787.20.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(34) California State Automobile Association, traffic signs furnished Police Department (claim dated May 10, 1926), $679.90.

(35) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,250.

(36) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,163.70.

(37) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of April, 1926 (claim dated May 10, 1926), $4,308.77.

(38) Market Street Railway Co., refund second installment of taxes paid on property being purchased by the City at Frederick and Willard streets (claim dated May 10, 1926), $671.13.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(39) General Electric Co., lighting projectors, Civic Center illumination during Diamond Jubilee (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $3,725.14.

(40) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services, Children's Ward, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 3, 1926), $748.37.

(41) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing for Children's Ward, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,253.25.

(42) Agnes Creede, State Industrial Accident Commission award for the death of Percy J. Creede, employee of S. F. Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1926), $3,288.71.

(43) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,398.51.

(44) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 4, 1926), $1,788.60.

(45) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated May 3, 1926), $508.89.

(46) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated May 3, 1926), $642.02.

(47) Symon Brothers, team hire for street sweeping (claim dated May 5, 1926), $747.50.

(48) Frank Bryant, second payment, construction of bridge at Evans avenue and Army street (claim dated May 5, 1926), $2,710.

(49) C. U. Martin, furnishing and installing two pumps and motors for Sewage Pumping Station, Great Highway and Vicente street (claim dated May 5, 1926), $1,524.25.

(50) Building Supplies Co., soap and mops for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $514.36.

(51) California Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $967.24.

(52) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,438.49.

(53) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $993.45.

(54) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,846.80.

(55) Herbert F. Dugan, medical supplies for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,293.50.

(56) H. F. Dugan, medical supplies for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1926), $649.35.

(57) Golden State Milk Products Co., butter, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,880.64.

(58) Chas. Brown & Sons, dishes, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1926), $3,572.50.

(59) Department of Public Works, labor and repairs to S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 15, 1926), $3,682.75.

(60) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 27, 1926), $1,005.

(61) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1926), $2,302.96.

(62) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated May 14, 1926), $8,252.43.

(63) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated May 14, 1926), $970.

(64) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated May 14, 1926), $8,334.36.

(65) Bureau of Management Research, services for month of March in connection with salary standardization (claim dated March 31, 1926), $890.10.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(66) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco properties of the Pacific Gas & Electric Co., and the Great Western Power Co., for month of May, 1926, $2,000.

(67) C. A. Heinze, for services as engineering expert witness in valuation of electric properties before the Railroad Commission of the State of California, covering period March 1 to May 6, 1926, including incidental expense (claim dated April 29, 1926), $1,458.55.

Appropriations for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Frank Olmo, for land commencing at a point formed by intersection of the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue with the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; thence running northwesterly along said southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet; of regular dimensions 50 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25610 (New Series), $3,750.

(2) To Elizabeth Koenig, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 150

feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 50 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25611 (New Series), $1,800.

(3) To Theresa M. Brooks, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25612 (New Series), $1,100.

(4) To G. H. Sesnon, for lands commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 100 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25613 (New Series), $3,700.

Appropriation, $2,500, for Filling Cut In Ocean Shore Right of Way at Sickles Avenue and at Regent Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repair and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, in order to complete payment for the filling in the cut of the former Ocean Shore right of way at Sickles avenue and at Regent street.

Appropriation, $10,000, for Summer Symphony Concerts.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, for expense of summer symphony concerts for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Appropriation, $25,000, Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Fillmore Street Between Cervantes Boulevard and Marina Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $25,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improving of Fillmore street from Cervantes boulevard to Marina boulevard, including extras, inspection and portion of cost of moving tracks of Market Street Railway Company to center of widened street.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25648 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easements situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County of San Francisco for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey said easements desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Isabelle A. Pimentel, $126—Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the easterly line of Divisadero street with the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence easterly along the said southerly line of Duboce avenue fifty (50) feet six (6) inches; thence at a right angle southerly thirty-one and one hundred and ninety-eight thousandths (31.198) feet; thence at a right angle westerly fifty and five hundred thousandths (50.500) feet to the easterly line of Divisadero street, and thence northerly along the said easterly line of Divisadero street thirty-one (31) feet two and three-eighths (2⅜) inches to its intersection with the southerly line of Duboce avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block No. 120.

Abbie E. De Lano, $60—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace, distant thereon one hundred twenty and five hundred sixty-five thousandths (120.565) feet southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace twenty-five and seven hundred forty-nine thousandths (25.749) feet; thence at right angles easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles northerly thirty (30) feet; thence at right angles westerly seventy-five and three hundred ninety-eight thousandths (75.398) feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running westerly twenty-four and nine hundred sixty-seven thousandths (24.967) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block "A" of Park Hill Homestead Association No. 2.

Ruth O. Ingber and Irving S. Ingber, $72—Commencing at a point distant ninety-six (96) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Divisadero street, and distant fifteen and three hundred and sixty-five thousandths (15.365) feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly, parallel with Divisadero street, fifty and seven hundred and forty-one thousandths (50.741) feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running westerly twenty-two and one hundred and thirty-three thousandths (22.133) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 02 min. 32 sec. to the right, and running northerly fifty and one hundred and ninety-four thousandths (50.194) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 57 min. 28 sec. to the right and running easterly twenty-six and three hundred and sixty-one thousandths (26.361) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block No. 120.

John P. McLaughlin and Mary E. McLaughlin, $4—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Alpine Terrace, distant thereon one hundred and twenty-four (124) feet five and one-fourth (5¼) inches southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Alpine Terrace with the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Alpine Terrace four and five hundred and thirty-two thousandths (4.532) feet; thence deflecting 94 deg. 16 min. 46 sec. to the left and running easterly twenty-six and four hundred and ninety-one thousandths (26.491) feet; thence deflecting 170 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the left and running westerly, parallel with Duboce avenue, twenty-six and five hundred and forty thousandths (26.540) feet to the easterly line of Alpine Terrace and the point of cemmencement. Being a portion of Park Hill Homestead Association Block "C".

George W. Thomas and Madelene Thomas, $77 — Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Alpine Terrace, distant thereon seventy-eight and eight hundred and thirty thousandths (78.830) feet southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly, along the easterly line of Alpine Terrace, twenty and six hundred eight thousandths (20.608) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 28 min. 34 sec. to the left and running easterly, parallel with Duboce avenue, eighty and six hundred sixty thousandths (80.660) feet; thence deflecting 94 deg. 45 min. 40 sec. to the left and running northerly twenty-four and ninety-seven hundredths (24.97) feet; thence deflecting 85 deg. 14 min. 20 sec. to the left and running westerly fifty-five and three hundred eighty-four thousandths (55.384) feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running westerly twenty-five and six hundred seventy-six thousandths (25.676) feet to the point of commencement. Being portion of Plan of the Park Hill Homestead Association No. 2.

Lena Schoenstedt, Arthur L. Winn and Kathryn E. Winn, $77—Commencing at a point distant forty-six (46) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Divisadero street and distant six and seven hundred and twenty-six thousandths (6.726) feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly, parallel with Divisadero street, fifty and seven hundred and forty-one thousandths (50.741) feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running westerly twenty-five and three hundred and seventy thousandths (25.370) feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the right and running northerly, parallel with Divisadero street, fifty and seven hundred and forty-one thousandths (50.741) feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running easterly twenty-five and three hundred and seventy thousandths (25.370) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block No. 120.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the easements owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said easements, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for recerd with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Rescinding Appropriation of $22,500 for Improvements in the Hall of Justice.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 24918 (New Series) as appropriates $22,500 out of Budget Item No. 52, for the construction of a photograph gallery at the Hall of Justice and of Resolution No. 24777 (New Series) as appropriates $2,500 out of Budget Item No. 52, for tile work, corridor of Hall of Justice, and $900 for tiling in City Mortuary and $2,315 for painting and installing of metal hoods on radiators, etc., in City Hall, be and the same are hereby rescinded and repealed.

Transfer of Funds, Hetch Hetchy Operative Fund to Water Construction Fund.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25649 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $17,807.88 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, representing balance due the 1910 Bond Fund for operating expense of Moccasin Power Plant to March 31, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Transfer of Municipal Railway Funds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25650 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,228.90 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Operating Fund, representing operating deficit for the month of March, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25651 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Frank H. Welch and Alice F. Welch, $600—All of Lot 18, Block "Q", as recorded in Map Book "G", pages 80 and 81, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Transfer of Funds for Payment of Interest on Geary Street Municipal Railway Bonds, Market Street Railway Bonds and Municipal Railway Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25652 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor and the Treasurer of the City and County be and are directed to transfer in season to pay interest and principal on Geary Street Railway Bonds, Market Street Railway Bonds and Municipal Railway Bonds to become due June 1 and July 1, 1926, the following sums or

so much thereof as may be necessary, to-wit:

From the Municipal Railway Operating Fund the sum of $13,566.29 to the credit of Geary Street Railway Bond Interest Fund, and the sum of $742.50 to the credit of the Market Street Railway Bond Interest, 1910, Fund, and the sum of $55,-288.60 to the credit of the Municipal Railway Bond Interest, 1913, Fund; and from the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund the sum of $95,-000 to the credit of the Geary Street Railway Bond, 1910, Redemption Fund, and the sum of $2,000 to the credit of the Market Street Railway Bond, 1910, Redemption Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Appropriation, $125, for a Wood Protecting Fence on Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25653 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $125 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Emergency Repairs to Streets," etc., Budget Item No. 79, for the construction of a wood protecting fence on Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, under the direction of the City Engineer.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Accepting Offer of Jeanne W. Vosburgh to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25654 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz:

Jeanne W. Vosburgh, $1,219—All of Lot No. 31, according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering Construction of Additional Rooms, Spring Valley School.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7582, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of three additional rooms at the Spring Valley School, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of three additional rooms at the Spring Valley School is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Registrar of Voters to Employ Necessary Help to Obtain the Complete Registration of Voters.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Schmidt and referred to the Finance Committee, was returned by said committee with favorable recommendation and *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public press reports that the Registrar of Voters in Los Angeles has to date 550,000 voters registered and expects to have 700,000 registered by July 1 this year; and

Whereas, in the City and County of San Francisco the Registrar of Voters has only 133,000 voters registered to date, and data obtained from the telephone company and other corporations, as well as civic organizations, places our population at not less than 800,000, showing San Francisco should have over 300,000 registered voters by July 31, 1926; and

Whereas, the registration of voters in the said City and County of San Francisco lags because the election authorities have not and will not take advantage of the law permitting them to employ canvassers who are compensated upon the basis of number of valid names registered. The Registrar of Voters has only twenty deputies in the field while Los Angeles has over 1000 deputies seeking registrations; and

Whereas, at the ensuing general election, in addition to candidates for state office, the voters will be called upon to vote upon propositions which vitally affect San Francisco and the neighboring counties and towns, among which propositions is one calling for the apportionment of moneys for the State Highway purposes on the basis of one-half thereof for use in thirteen southern counties, including Los Angeles, and one-half in the remaining forty-five counties of the state; and another providing for a reapportionment of the legislative districts of the state under which San Francisco will be deprived of adequate representation in both Senate and Assembly; and

Whereas, it is particularly necessary for the well-being of this community that its voting strength be registered to its very last vote; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Election Commissioners and the Registrar of Voters be and they are hereby urged to proceed immediately to the employment of the necessary help, as authorized by law, for a complete and speedy canvass and registering of the unregistered voters of this City and County and prosecute the work of such registration vigorously, to the end that San Francisco may be prepared to poll its full strength on any candidate or proposition appearing on the ballot.

Denying Automobile Supply Station Permit.

The following matter, laid over from previous meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. 25655 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Louis Silverstein to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Silver avenue and San Bruno avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Street Carnival Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 25656 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby given to Unione Sportiva Fiesta and Circus to hold a street carnival on Chestnut street, between Mason and Taylor, from June 5th to June 15th, inclusive, without the payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land on Twenty-third Avenue and Twenty-second Avenue Between Rivera and Santiago Streets for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25657 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Elly Sinclair to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the east line of Twenty-third avenue and on the west line of Twenty-second avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the

reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $9,-200 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, distant thereon 57 feet 6 inches southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Twenty-second avenue 125 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 82 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 42 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 95 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 32 feet 6 inches southerly from the southerly ine of Rivera street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 78 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 10 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 67 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 95 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 162 feet 6 inches southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 26 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 26 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Black 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Accepting Offer of Florence A. Eglington to Sell Land on Santiago Street Near Twenty-third Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25658 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Florence A. Eglington to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the north line of Santiago street, distant 32 feet 6 inches east from Twenty-third avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,-400 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Santiago street, distant thereon 32 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Santiago street 75 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 75 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Santiago street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to

cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Board of Public Works Authorized to Execute license Agreement With Sierra Railway Company for the Construction of Water Pipe Lines, a Road, a Telephone Line and Conductors for Transmission of Electricity Across the Right of Way of Said Company.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25659 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, upon recommendation of the City Engineer, that the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to execute the license agreement submitted by Sierra Railway Company of California, granting the City and County of San Francisco the right to construct, maintain and operate, over the right of way and under the tracks of said company at a point near Hetch Hetchy Junction, Tuolumne County, California, water pipe lines, a road with roadway width not to exceed 25 feet, a telephone line, and conductors for the transmission of electricity for power and lighting purposes.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Transfer of Hale Bros. Lease, Fire Department Building on Stevenson Street.

Resolution No. 25660 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco did, heretofore, pursuant to an ordinance of its Board of Supervisors, duly passed and duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 31st day of July, 1923, lease to Hale Bros., Inc., a California corporation, that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Stevenson street one hundred and seventy-five (175) feet distant along said line southwesterly from the intersection of the southwesterly line of Fifth street with the southeasterly line of Stevenson street; thence at right angles southeasterly seventy-five (75) feet to the northwesterly line of Mint avenue; thence southwesterly fifty (50) feet along said line of Mint avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly seventy-five (75) feet to the southeasterly line of Stevenson street; thence northeasterly along said line of Stevenson street fifty (50) feet to the point of beginning. Being known as Lots 7 and 8 in Block 3704 on Assessor's Map;

for a term of twenty (20) years from and after the 30th day of September, 1923; and

Whereas, the said lease to said Hale Bros., Inc., now remains in full force and effect; and

Whereas, the said Hale Bros., Inc., are desirous of transferring the aforesaid lease to Hale Bros. Realty Co., the said Hale Bros. Realty Co. agreeing to perform all and singular the terms and conditions contained in said lease, and to pay to the City and County of San Francisco the rental therein reserved; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the consent of the City and County of San Francisco be, and the same is hereby given, that the aforesaid lease may be transferred and assigned by said Hale Bros., Inc., to Hale Bros. Realty Co., upon condition that said Hale Bros. Realty Co. perform all and singular the terms, covenants and conditions contained in said lease, and pay the rental therein reserved to the City and County of San Francisco, at the times and in the manner therein stated, and that the Mayor of the said City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make, execute and deliver such assignments or agreements as may be necessary to carry out the purport of this resolution.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Board of Public Works to Contract for Safety Zone Buttons.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Bill No. 7583, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the installation of safety zone buttons.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to prepare specifications, advertise for bids and enter into a contract for the installation of approximately 2300 safety zone buttons at locations to be designated by the Traffic and Safety Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25661 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Fremont street between Folsom and Harrison streets.

Broderick street between Green and Vallejo streets.

Bay street between Hyde and Larkin streets.

Bay and Leavenworth streets.

Leavenworth street between Bay and North Point streets.

Bay street between Leavenworth street and Columbus avenue.

Natoma street west of Sixth street.

Natoma and Russ streets.

Natoma street east of Seventh street.

Bartlett street north of Twenty-fourth street.

Bartlett street south of Twenty-fourth street.

Natoma street west of First street.

Natoma street east of First street.

Edinburgh street between France and Italy avenues.

Gates street between Jarboe and Tompkins streets.

Wheeler street, 400 block.

Shakespeare street between Rhine and De Long streets.

Forty-fifth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Forty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets, Lincoln way and Irving street.

Forty-second avenue and Irving street.

Forty-first avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Golden Gate avenue between Central avenue and Lyon street.

Broderick street between Golden Gate avenue and McAllister street, McAllister and Fulton streets, Fulton and Grove streets, Hayes and Fell streets and Fell and Oak streets.

Corners McAllister and Broderick streets and Hayes and Broderick streets.

Fulton street between Lyon street and Central avenue.

Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Douglass streets.

Douglass and Twenty-sixth streets.

Douglass and Valley streets.

Seventeenth street between Quintara and Rivera streets.

Vinton Court, off Grant avenue between Pine and California streets.

Thirty-first avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Vallejo street between Pierce and Scott streets and Divisadero and Broderick streets.

Seventh street north of Townsend street.

Seventh street south of Brannan street.

Folsom street between Fremont and Beale streets.

Harrison and Fremont streets.

Remove 250 *C. P.*

West side Mission street 50 feet north of Valencia street.

Remove Gas Lamps.

North side Fulton street 280 feet west of Lyon street.

Vallejo street west of Pierce street.

Vallejo street west of Divisadero street.

Broderick street south of Green street.

Bay street west of Hyde street.

Bay and Leavenworth streets.

Bay street west of Columbus avenue.

Leavenworth street north of Columbus avenue.

West side Bartlett street, first north of Twenty-fourth street.

East side Bartlett street, first north of Twenty-fourth street.

Forty-first avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

East side Forty-second avenue, first and second south of Lincoln way.

West side Forty-second avenue, first south of Lincoln way.

Southeast corner Forty-second avenue and Irving street.

East and west sides Forty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

East and west sides Forty-fifth

avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Broderick street between Golden Gate avenue and McAllister street.

Northwest and southeast corners Broderick and McAllister streets.

East and west sides Broderick street between McAllister and Fulton streets.

East and west sides Broderick street between Fulton and Grove streets.

Hayes and Broderick streets.

East side Broderick street between Hayes and Fell streets.

East and west sides Broderick street between Fell and Oak streets.

South side Fulton street between Lyon street and Central avenue.

East and west sides Seventh street between Brannan and Townsend streets.

Fremont street between Folsom and Harrison streets.

Harrison and Fremont streets.

Folsom street between Fremont and Beale streets.

North side Natoma street, 114, 552 and 721 feet west of Sixth street.

Natoma and Russ streets.

South side Natoma street, 260 feet west of Sixth street.

North side Natoma street, 107 and 488 feet west of First street.

South side Natoma street, 299 and 750 feet west of First street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades, Laguna Honda Boulevard.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton:

Bill No. 7584, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Laguna Honda boulevard between Hernandez avenue and Portola drive, as shown on map designated as "Grade map of Laguna Honda boulevard from Hernandez avenue to Portola drive."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Laguna Honda boulevard, between Hernandez avenue and Portola drive, as shown on map designated as "Grade map of Laguna Honda boulevard from Hernandez avenue to Portola drive," are hereby established at points and elevations above city base as shown on said map, approved by Resolution No. 91518 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 30, 1926.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Deed of Virginia and William and James Varni to Land on Geneva Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25662 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Virginia and William Varni and James Varni have executed and tendered a deed dated April 4, 1926, conveying to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes a parcel of land bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue, distant thereon 200 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Huron avenue; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue 40 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly and parallel with Huron avenue 340 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly line of Mohawk avenue; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Mohawk avenue 40 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly and parallel with Huron avenue 340 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue and point of commencement.

Resolved, That the land conveyed be declared to be an open public street and the deed thereto accepted on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco and ordered recorded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Accepting Deed of Fred G. Pfeifer et al. to Land on Pope Street Required for Street Purposes.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25663 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed March 3, 1926, between Fred G. Pfeifer and Helen B. Pfeifer, his wife, and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying lands for street purposes, bounded and described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Pope street, distant thereon 751 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Morse street, running thence north-

westerly and along said line of Pope street 40 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 199 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Crocker Amazon Tract; thence at a right angle southeasterly along said boundary line 40 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 199 feet to the point of commencement, is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land covered by said deed is hereby declared an open public street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Approving Map of Bannock Street Between Mohawk and Geneva Avenues.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25664 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91474 (Second Series), adopted April 28, 1926, approve a map showing the opening of Bannock street between Mohawk and Geneva avenues; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the opening of Bannock street between Mohawk and Geneva avenues is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisr Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25665 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street and sewer work:

Ninety days' time from and after May 7, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of the crossing of Brussels and Dwight streets.

Ninety days' time from and after May 7, 1926, within which to complete construction of sewer in Illinois street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets, and in Twenty-third street between Third and Illinois streets.

These extensions of time are granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25666 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 6, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Mangels avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Approving Map of Hollywood Court.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25667 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91406 (Second Series), adopted April 23, 1926, approve a map of Hollywood court, being a portion of Block 6463, San Francisco, California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Hollywood court, being a portion of Block 6463, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Thirtieth Street and on Laidley Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7585, Ordinance No —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and nine and nine hundred and ten.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed

in this office April 30, 1926, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and nine and nine hundred and ten, to read as follows:

Section 909. The width of sidewalks on Thirtieth street between Noe street and Castro street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Thirtieth Street between Noe Street and Castro Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 910. The width of sidewalks on Laidley street between Thirtieth street and Noe and Harry streets shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Laidley Street between Thirtieth Street and Noe Street and Harry Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25668 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 91389 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 21, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed April 27, 1926, to-wit:

On Lobos street between Plymouth avenue and the easterly termination.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bills were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Lobos Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7586, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office April 30, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eight, to read as follows:

Section 908. The width of sidewalks on Lobos street between Plymouth avenue and its easterly termination shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Lobocs Street between Plymouth Avenue and its easterly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets

Also, Bill No. 7587, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Eighteenth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Ellington avenue between Farragut and Whipple avenues; Felton street between Dartmouth and Bowdoin streets; Forty-fourth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Kirkham street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues; Kirkham street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues; Lawton street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues; Mendell street between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues; Naples street between Silver and Peru avenues; Ottawa avenue between Mission street

and Ellington avenue; Twenty-eighth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; crossing of Dublin street and Russia avenue; crossing of Twenty-third avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Lane street and McKinnon avenue; crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Moraga street; crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Lawton street; crossing of Twenty-eighth avenue and Kirkham street; Kirkham street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues; Twenty-first avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, and the crossing of Forty-fourth avenue and Santiago street, and Naglee avenue between Mission street and Ellington avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Eighteenth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ellington avenue between Farragut and Whipple avenues. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Felton street between Dartmouth and Bowdoin streets. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-fourth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Kirkham street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Kirkham street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Mendell street between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues. Sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Naples street between Silver and Peru avenues. Sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ottawa avenue between Mission street and Ellington avenue. Sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-eighth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets. Sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Dublin street and Russia avenue. Sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-third avenue and Kirkham street. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Lane street and McKinnon avenue. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Moraga street. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Lawton street. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-eighth avenue and Kirkham street. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Kirkham street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues. Sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-first avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets. Sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Forty-fourth avenue and Santiago street. Sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Naglee avenue between Mission street and Ellington avenue. Sew-

ers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7588, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Bowdoin street between Silver avenue and Silliman street; Burrows street between San Bruno avenue and Charter Oak avenue; Broad street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue; Cross street between Allison street and Pope street; Crane street between Paul avenue and Salinas avenue; Congdon street between Ney street and Maynard street; Eighteenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street; Fifteenth street between Hampshire street and Potrero avenue; Fortieth avenue between Irving street and Judah street; Kirkham street between Eighteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue; Farallones street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue; Gates street between Ogden avenue and Crescent avenue, and the crossing of Gates street and Ogden avenue; Ingerson avenue between Ingalls street and Jennings street, and the crossings of Ingerson avenue and Ingalls street and Ingerson avenue and Jennings street; Jerrold avenue between San Bruno avenue and the easterly line of Napoleon street, including the intersections of Jerrold avenue and Barneveld avenue and Jerrold avenue and Napoleon street; Kirkham street between Seventeenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue; Kirkham street between Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue; Lane street between Wallace avenue and Yosemite avenue; Lippard street between Bosworth street and Chenery street; Morse street between Lowell street and Whittier street; Mount Vernon avenue between Howth street and Louisburg street, and the crossing of Mount Vernon avenue and Louisburg street; Majestic avenue between Lake View avenue and its southerly termination; Newhall street between Newcomb avenue and Oakdale avenue; Newhall street between Oakdale avenue and Palou avenue; Nevada street between Cortland avenue and Powhattan avenue; Ogden avenue between Andover street and Moultrie street; Tenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street; Ortega street between Eighteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue; Pacheco street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue; Roanoke street between Chenery street and Arlington avenue; Rivera street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue; Seventeenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street; Shafter avenue between Jennings street and Keith street; Sears street between Sickles avenue and Lawrence avenue; Somerset street between Bacon street and Wayland street; Thirty-ninth avenue between Irving street and Judah street; Thornton avenue between San Bruno avenue and Charter Oak avenue; Tucker avenue between Delta street and Rutland street, and the crossing of Tucker avenue and Rutland street; Tioga avenue between Delta street and Rutland street and the crossing of Tioga avenue and Rutland street; Thirty-first avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street; Twenty-third avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Twenty-fourth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street; Twentieth avenue between Quintara street and Rivera street; Twenty-first avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street; Vicente street between Twenty-third avenue and Twenty-fourth avenue; Van Dyke avenue between Lane street and Keith street; crossing of Rivera street and Twentieth avenue; crossing of Anza street and Thirty-second avenue; crossing of Burrows street and Holyoke street; crossing of Farragut avenue and Huron avenue; crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Ortega street; intersection of Judson avenue and Foerster street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Bowdoin street between Silver avenue and Silliman street paved with asphaltic concrete and con-

crete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Burrows street between San Bruno avenue and Charter Oak avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Broad street between Capitol avenue and Orizama avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Cross street between Allison and Pope streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crane street between Paul avenue and Salinas avenue paved with asphaltic concrete with a 14-foot central strip of concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Congdon street between Ney and Maynard streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Eighteenth avenue betwn Ortega and Pacheco streets paved with asphaltic concrete and conceret curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Fifteenth street betwen Hampshire street and Potrero avenue paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Fortieth avenue between Irving and Judah streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Kirkham street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Farallones street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Gates street between Ogden and Crescent avenues and crossing of Gates street and Ogden avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Ingerson avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets and the crossings of Ingerson avenue and Ingalls street and Ingerson avenue and Jennings street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therin.

Jerrold avenue between San Bruno avenue and the easterly line of Napoleon street, including the intersections of Jerrold avenue and Barneveld avenue and Jerrold avenue and Napoleon street, paved with asphaltic concrte and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers have been laid therein; no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Kirkham street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Kirkham street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Lane street between Wallace and Yosemite avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Lippard street between Bosworth and Chenery streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therin; no water mains have been laid therein.

Morse street between Lowell and Whittier streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers have been laid therein; no water or gas mains have been laid therein.

Mount Vernon avenue between Howth and Louisburg streets and crossing of Mount Vernon avenue and Louisburg street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Majestic avenue between Lake View avenue and its southerly termination paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Newhall street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Newhall avenue between Oakdale and Palou avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and 14-foot central strip of concrete; concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Nevada street between Cortland and Powhattan avenues paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Ogden avenue between Andover and Moultrie streets paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid thereon; no water mains have been laid therein.

Tenth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets paved with asphalt and 14-foot central strip of vitrified brick and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Ortega street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Pacheco street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Roanoke street between Chenery and Arlington streets paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Rivera street between Twntieth and Twenty-first avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Seventeenth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Shafter avenue between Jennings and Keith streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Sears street between Sickles and Lawrence avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Somerset street between Bacon and Wayland streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirty-ninth avenue between Irving and Judah streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Thornton avenue between San Bruno avenue and Charter Oak avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Tucker avenue between Delta and Rutland streets and crossing of Tucker avenue and Rutland street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Tioga avenue between Delta and Rutland streets and crossing of Tioga avenue and Rutland street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirty-first avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-third avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets paved with asphaltic conccrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-fourth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Twentieth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-first avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid there-

in; no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Van Dyke avenue between Lane and Keith streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid threon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Rivera street and Twentieth avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Anza street and Thirty-second avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Barrows and Holyoke streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Farragut and Huron avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Kirkham street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Ortega street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Intersection of Judson avenue and Foerster street, paved with asphaltic concrete pavement and concrete curbs laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7589, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Bacon street between Bowdoin and Hamilton streets, Hollister avenue between Third and Jennings streets and the crossing of Hollister avenue and Jennings street, Nebraska street between Cortland and Powhattan avenues, Randolph street between Arch and Ramsell streets, Randolph street between Orizaba avenue and Bright street, Randolph street between Head and Victoria streets, Randolph street between Ralston and Vernon streets, Randolph street between Bright and Head streets, Sala Terrace between Huron and Ellington avenues, Van Ness avenue between Market and Mission streets, crossing of Bowdoin street and Felton street, and crossing of Bacon street and Hamilton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Bacon street between Bowdoin and Hamilton streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Hollister avenue between Third and Jennings streets and the crossing of Hollister avenue and Jennings street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Nebraska street between Cortland and Powhattan avenues, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Randolph street between Arch and Ramsell streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Randolph street between Orizaba avenue and Bright street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Randolph street between Head and Victoria streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Randolph street between Ralston and Vernon streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Randolph street between Bright and Head streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Sala Terrace between Huron and Ellington avenues, paved with

asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Van Ness avenue between Market and Mission streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs have been laid thereon; crossing of Bowdoin street and Felton street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; crossing of Bacon street and Hamilton street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Stock Furniture for School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 25669 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing stock furniture for School Department on bids submitted April 5, 1926 (Proposal No. 178), be made as follows:

Item No. 1—10 desks, flat top, full quartered oak; double pedestals; top 60 x 34 inches, 1½ inches thick; each, $36.90; H. S. Crocker Co., Inc. Delivery: f. o. b. storeroom, Board of Education, 1520 Sutter street, August 1, 1926.

Item No. 2—200 desks, flat top, full quartered oak; single pedestal; top 42 x 32 inches; 1¼ inches thick; each, $26.50; C. F. Weber & Co. Delivery: f. o. b. to not more than 12 schools—100, 30 days; 100, August 1, 1926.

Item No. 3—10 chairs, swivel, full quartered oak, without arms; each, $9.60; H. S. Crocker & Co. Delivery: f. o. b. storeroom, Board of Education, August 1, 1926.

Item No. 4—2500 chair desks, movable, all-in-one type; full boxed seats, quartered oak; all as per description in proposal; each, $6.75; Rucker-Fuller Desk Co. Delivery (not more than five schools): (a) 1500 Size 1; (b) 1000 Size No. 2; 500 Size No. 1, 30 days; 1000 Size No. 1, August 1, 1926; 500 Size No. 2, 30 days; 500 Size No. 2, August 1, 1926.

Item No. 4—800 chair desks, movable, all-in-one type, as per sample "D"; each, $9.40; C. F. Weber & Co. Delivery: f. o. b. storeroom, Board of Education, 1520 Sutter street, August 1, 1926.

Item No. 5—1000 chairs, tablet arm, no award.

Item No. 6—500 chairs, primary, improved Mosher type; hardwood; brown finish; size 10 inches; each, 95 cents; Heywood-Wakefield Co. Delivery: f. o. b. storeroom, Board of Education, 1520 Sutter street—150, 10 days; 350, 60 days.

Item No. 7—2500 chairs, primary, improved Mosher type; hardwood; brown finish; eight stretchers; size 12 inches; each, 98 cents; Heywood-Wakefield Co. Delivery: f. o. b. storeroom, Board of Education, 1520 Sutter street, 60 days.

Item No. 8—2500 chairs, primary, improved Mosher type; hardwood; brown finish; eight stretchers; size 14 inches; each, $1.07; Heywood-Wakefield Co. Delivery: f. o b. storeroom, Board of Education, 1520 Sutter street, 60 days.

Item No. 9—1000 chairs, plain oak, full boxed seat, glued corner blocks, four or more stretchers, bannister or slat back, 18 inches high; each $2.64; Stewart School Supply Co. Delivery: f. o. b. storeroom, Board of Education, 1520 Sutter street—500, 30 days; 500, August 1, 1926.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Restoration of Santa Barbara Mission.

Supervisors Colman and Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25670 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the old Mission buildings of California form the strongest visible evidence of the early history, traditions and romance of California, and, as such, are held in general esteem as public monuments; and

Whereas, the restoration and preservation of these Mission buildings should be a work of love and reverence as well as a duty for all; and

Whereas, in the year 1906, the citizenry of Santa Barbara showed its love and sympathy in a most substantial manner to the City of San Francisco in its great calamity; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City of San Francisco, through its Board of Supervisors, express to those in charge

of the restoration of the Santa Barbara Mission its unqualified admiration and esteem for the spirit in which this most commendable work has been undertaken; and be it

Further Resolved, That the citizens of San Francisco be urged to help in a substantial way to do their share toward the restoration of one of the Missions which did so much to bring to this glorious State of ours Christianity and love for mankind, and that they not ignore the appeal of the Old Mission at Santa Barbara, known throughout the world as California's Queen of Missions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncoiveri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Citizens' Reception Committee, All-Ireland Hurling Team.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 25671 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the press has announced that the Champion All-Ireland Hurling Team is to come to San Francisco on June 13, 1926, to play a match against a California hurling team, namely the California All-Stars; and

Whereas, this is the first time in the history of San Francisco that a champion team from Ireland has come here to give an exhibition of their athletic ability; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to appoint the members of the Board of Supervisors and a representative committee of citizens to make plans for the proper reception and entertainment of Ireland's athletes during their stay in San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

California Street Railroad Accident.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25672 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a very serious accident occurred on the California Street Railroad on Friday, May 7, 1926, resulting in the injury of a large number of persons, some seriously if not fatally, and causing much property damage; and

Whereas, the public interest requires that steps be taken to devise ways and means to prevent a recurrence of such an accident in the future; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be requested to report to the Public Utilities Committee as soon as possible what public official or body would have jurisdiction and authority to make an investigation as to the cause of the accident, particularly with a view to determining if it was due to faulty equipment; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be requested to advise the comittee if there is any provision or requirement of law for the inspection of the equipment of street railway companies and if not to recommend the proper procedure for providing for such inspection as a safeguard to the traveling public.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Accepting Offer, Hetch Hetchy Easements.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25673 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described land and easements situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Veranus Ellinwood, $100—Subsurface right of way easement through portion of S. ½ of the SW. ¼ of Section 33, T. 1 S., R. 14 E., M. D. B. and M., required for aqueduct tunnel. (As per written offer on file.)

Martha A. Arnold, Bertha I. Weaver, Edna Thompson, James A. Arnold, Gertrude R. Bossoh, $3,729.

Parcel 1: Portion of SE. ¼ of Section 36, T. 1 S., R. 12 E., required for camp site.

Parcel 2: Subsurface right of way easement through portion of NE. ¼ of Section 36, T. 1 S., R. 12 E., and Lot 3 and the E. ½ of the NE. ¼ of Section 31, T. 1 S., R. 13

E., and the W. ½ of the NW. ¼ of Section 32, T. 1 S., R. 13 E., required for aqueduct tunnel.

Parcel 3: Right to construct water pipe lines through portion of NE. ¼ of Section 36, T. 1 S., R. 12 E., M. D. B. and M., Lot 3 and the E. ½ of NE. ¼ of Section 31, T. 1 S., R. 13 E., and the W. ½ of the NW. ¼ of Section 32, T. 1 S., R. 13 E.

Parcel 4: Right to construct road through lands of Martha A. Arnold et al. in S. ½ of Section 31, the W. ½ of the NW. ¼ of Section 32, and the SW. ¼ of Section 32, T. 1 S., R. 13 E., for purpose of hauling or moving men, animals, vehicles, equipment and supplies for any and all purposes in connection with construction of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct.

Parcel 5: Right to construct telephone line following location of road described in Parcel 4.

Parcel 6: Right to construct electric transmission line over easement described as Parcel 2.

(As per written offer on file.)

Martha A. Arnold, Bertha I. Weaver, Edna Thompson, James A. Arnold, Gertrude R. Bossoh, $452.—

Parcel 1: Portion of S. ½ of Section 36, T. 1 S., R. 12 E., required for pipe line right of way.

Parcel 2: Right to construct a road through the lands of Martha A. Arnold et al. in the S. ½ of Section 36, T. 1 S., R. 12 E., M. D. B. and M., for the purpose of hauling men, animals, vehicles, etc., in connection with construction of Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, telephone line, transmission line, etc.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned land and easements for the sums set forth opposite their names and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option, be and the same are hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said easements, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying titles thereto containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offers, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above mentioned purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncoiveri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Committee of Hotel Association on Traffic Problem.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Be it Resolved, by the San Francisco Section of the California Hotel Association, that it adheres to the policy heretofore announced by the California Hotel Association with respect to the operation of hotel busses within the City and County of San Francisco to the end that any hotel operator who desires to operate a bus may do so. Be it

Further Resolved, That the California Hotel Association is opposed to the disturbing of the hotel busses from their present location at Third and Townsend streets and at the Ferry.

That we recommend the removal by the Market Street Railroad Company of the spur track on Townsend street to the end of providing a location for taxicabs on Townsend street and their removal from Berry street. We oppose the operation of any taxicabs in San Francisco at the positions at Third and Townsend streets or the Ferry or the docks which are not metered in accordance with the ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Resolved, That a committee consisting of Messrs. Kirk Harris, J. W. Flannery, John Zeeman, George W. Hooper, Chas. Green, John F. Shea, Willis Hershey and Albert I. Loeb be named as a permanent San Francisco Traffic Committee to deal with all traffic problems. Be it

Further Resolved, That John F. Shea, president, and Albert I. Loeb, attorney and secretary, be authorized to transmit a copy of this resolution to the San Francisco Chember of Commerce, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and all civic organizations interested.

In witness, the California Hotel Association has hereunto caused its name to be signed by its president and secretary April 29, 1926.

JOHN F. SHEA,
President.

ALBERT I. LOEB,
Attorney and Secretary.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Gas Tax Indorsed.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25674 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is primarily concerned in the early construction and completion of two main traffic arteries extending southerly along the Peninsula, viz.: the Skyline boulevard and the Bay Shore boulevard, without which its growth and prosperity will be seriously impeded; and

Whereas, funds for such construction and completion can be secured only by the adoption of the initiative measure as proposed by the State Supervisors Association and now being circulated for signatures which proposes a tax of an additional cent per gallon of motor fuel; therefore, be it

Resolved, That all voters be urged to register and to sign such iniative petitions; also

Resolved, That this Board give its moral and financial support to such measure.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Powers—1.

Citizen's Committee on Columbus Day.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 25675 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to properly celebrate Columbus Day, October 12, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

Chester W. Rosenkrans' Music Week Effort Commended.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25677 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board extend its felicitations to The San Francisco Civic Association and its executive director, Chester W. Rosenkranz, and his able assistant, for the admirable manner in which "Music Week" was observed in San Francisco, and commends the results as being most satisfactory in maintaining San Francisco's reputation in "Knowing How" to successfully accomplish whatever it undertakes.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Powers—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:55 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 19, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 20

Monday, May 17, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 17, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 17, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Marks was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Boulder Canyon Dam.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from C. G. Mousseau, County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Imperial County, California, appealing for the assistance of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors in urging administration leaders in Washington to bring about prompt action on the Swing-Johnson bill, authorizing construction of Boulder Canyon Dam and the All-American Canal, which means so much for the commercial, industrial and agricultural development of the southeastern section of the United States.

Read and Resolution No. 25696 (New Series) *adopted.*

Automatic Traffic Signal Approved.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council, submitting for favorable consideration and action, the adoption of the automatic traffic signal designed and fabricated by the City Department of Electricity.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Street Excavation Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from San Francisco Labor Council, requesting that pending ordinance relative to the replacement of pavements by public service corporations over excavations in streets be referred to the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Letter of Thanks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from James E. Cottle and the clergy of St. Brigid's Church, expressing their deep appreciation of the mark of respect paid to the memory of the late Father Cottle of St. Brigid's.

Ordered *filed.*

Relative to Industrial Dispute.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council, transmitting copy of resolution adopted by said council, which by unanimous vote expresses the sentiments of the council in recognition of the attitude of the Board of Supervisors in the matter of recent resolution on the pending industrial dispute pertaining to law and order.

Ordered *filed.*

Opinion of City Attorney, re Accident Occurring on Line of California Street Railroad.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 14, 1926.

Dear Sirs:

Your request for an opinion, dated May 11, 1926, to be given to the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors, was received in due course, as follows:

Request.

"Your attention is called to the following resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors on motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Whereas, a very serious accident occurred on the California Street Railroad on Friday, May 7, 1926, resulting in the injury of a large number of persons, some seriously if not fatally, and causing much property damage; and

Whereas, the public interest requires that steps be taken to devise ways and means to prevent a recurrence of such an accident in the future; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be requested to report to the Public Utilities Committee as soon as possible what public official or body would have jurisdiction and authority to make an investigation as to the cause of the accident, particularly with a view to determining if it was due to faulty equipment; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be requested to advise the committee if there is any provision or requirement of law for the inspection of the equipment of street railway companies and if not to recommend the proper procedure for providing for such inspection as a safeguard to the traveling public."

Opinion.

Section 369a of the Penal Code provides:

"Any person, company, or corporation operating cars on the streets of cities or towns, or on the county roads within the State, for the conveyance of passengers, propelled by means of wire ropes attached to stationary engines, or by electricity or compressed air, who runs, operates or uses any car or dummy, unless each car or dummy, while in use, is fitted with a brake capable or bringing such car to a stop within a reasonable distance, and a suitable fender, or appliance placed in front or attached to the trucks of such dummy or car, for the purpose of removing and clearing obstructions from the track, and preventing any obstacles, obstructions, or person on the track from getting under such dummy or car, and removing the same out of danger, and out of the way of such dummy or car, is guilty of a misdemeanor."

Under and by virtue of this Penal Code section a warrant may be sworn to by any person who desires to do so, charging any person, company or corporation which has not fitted its cars with a brake capable of bringing the cars to a stop within a reasonable distance. It is then the duty of the Police Department to make the necessary arrest, and the duty of the District Attorney to prosecute the case.

The franchise given by the City and County of San Francisco for the operation of the California Street Road, page 11, Book of Franchises, Section 6, provides in part:

"The cars shall be of the most approved pattern for the comfort and convenience of passengers, and shall be provided with sufficient brakes and other means of stopping the same when required."

A municipal franchise, while a privilege, is in effect nothing more than an agreement between a city and a person or corporation, giving the obtainer of the franchise the right to do a certain thing. If the franchise holder substantially violates the agreement, the franchise can be revoked. Several isolated violations would not, however, be sufficient to revoke a franchise.

The Police Department, therefore, could make an investigation of this accident to ascertain whether or not the Penal Code section aforesaid had been violated; or the Board of Supervisors could themselves make an investigation to determine whether or not the terms of the franchise had been violated, and by so doing ascertain whether faulty equipment was being used.

There is at present no provision for the regular inspection of the equipment of street railway companies. The Board of Supervisors, by virtue of Article XI, Section 11, of the State Constitution, has the power to pass an ordinance in the City and County of San Francisco for the examination and inspection of the equipment of street railways. Since Article V, Section 9, Part I, of the said Charter provides that the Board of Public Works shall have charge, superintendence and control under such ordinances as may from time to time be adopted by the Supervisors concerning the matter of the use of all public highways, streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, places, courts, roads and boulevards, the Board of Supervisors might pass an ordinance providing for the regular inspection and examination by the Board of Public Works of the equipment of street cars operating within the confines of the city.

Respectfully yours,
(Sgd.) JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the City Attorney be requested to prepare such ordinances as may be necessary to carry into effect the purport of his opinion as above, and when prepared, *refer to Public Utilities Committee.*

Motion *carried.*

Report of Mayor's Office on Bid for City Property.

May 17, 1926.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The following bid has been received by me on a certain parcel of land as described below, private sale of which was duly authorized by you through Ordinance No. 7046 (New Series), approved by me April 2, 1926:

Parcel No. 4—Fred Slepnikoff bids $150.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point distant 325 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and distant 58.944 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of De Haro street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 41.056 feet; thence at right angles northerly 17.413 feet; thence deflecting 112 deg. 59 min. to the right and running southeasterly 44.596 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

A deposit of $15, 10 per cent of the sum bid, has been required of the bidder, and I recommend that such parcel of land be sold to Mr. Slepnikoff, in accordance with the provisions of the Charter and bid received by me.

Yours very truly,
(Signed) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Read and ordered *printed in the record.*

Opinion of City Attorney on Bridges.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 15, 1926.

In re right to cancel order postponing hearing on toll bridge franchise.

Dear Sirs:

I have before me the inquiry made by you at your meeting of May 10, wherein you ask to be advised as to the right of the Board to set aside an order continuing the hearing of the applications for certain toll bridge franchises.

Opinion.

I have not before me the applications for these franchises, but presume that they are made pursuant to the provisions of Chapter IV of Title VI of the Political Code of California. Section 2870 of the Political Code provides:

"Every applicant for authority to construct a toll bridge must publish a notice in at least one newspaper in each county in which the bridge or any part of it is to be, or if no paper is published therein, in an adjoining county, once a week for six consecutive weeks, specifying the location, the length and breadth of the bridge, and the time at which the application hereinafter required will be made."

The Supreme Court of this State, in the case of Galvin vs. Board of Supervisors of Contra Costa County, reported in Vol. 235 of Pac. Rep. at page 450, holds that the compliance with the provisions of this section is mandatory upon the Board.

Section 2871 of the Political Code provides that on the hearing any person may appear and be heard regarding the granting of the franchise, and that the Board may adjourn the hearing from time to time. The purpose of fixing a definite time and place for the hearing is for the purpose of advising all interested parties when the Board will hear the application, to the end that those interested may be present and present their arguments for or against the granting of the application.

If the hearing is adjourned, those interested have the right to rely upon the order of adjournment as an indication that the matter will not be heard until the date fixed by the order adjourning the hearing, and should the order of adjournment be set aside, those interested could well complain that they had not the opportunity to be heard, and any order made by the Board on any date prior to the date of the adjourned hearing would, in my opinion, invalidate the proceedings leading to the granting or denying of the franchise.

You are therefore advised that the Board, having adjourned the hearing of the applications for the franchises to a definite date, it has not the power to set aside that order and hear said applications at an earlier date.

Respectfully yours,
(Signed) JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Clerk be directed to communicate with the War Department and request a ruling at as early date as possible of said Commission on its attitude toward the bridge program and its previous action prohibiting the construction of any bridge structure north of Hunter's Point.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend as follows: That the Clerk take up with the War Department and our Representatives in Congress when the matter of the Schultz report is before them in Washington, the proposition of expediting a decision at as early a date as possible.

Amendment *carried.*

Supervisor Shannon moved that all bridge matters be set for a special order of business for 2:30 p. m. June 21, 1926, and that the Clerk be directed to notify all applicants of the time and place.

Motion *carried.*

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, School Director.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
May 15, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent herself from the State of California, for a period of one week beginning May 18, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25697 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, be granted a leave of absence of one week, beginning May 18, 1926, with permission to leave the City.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Mayor's Veto Power.

Resolution No. 25590, adopted by the Board on May 3, 1926, was returned by the Mayor without his signature. The Mayor gave as his reason for the disapproval of the resolution that the Charter sets forth that the Assessor shall assess all the taxable property within the City and County of San Francisco and that taking away from him his duties, even in part, is contrary to the state law and therefore illegal. The Assessor is elected by the people, whose duty it is to assess the property. The resolution provides for what the Mayor terms a "super-assesssor," and he says he is informed this is illegal. The Supreme Court has held that the tax report or assessment roll must be certified by the Assessor.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk be directed to ask the City Attorney for his opinion as to a legal status of the Mayor's veto on his resolution pertaining to the power of the Board of Supervisors to employ expert assistance, whether the veto has any binding effect on this Board, and defining the right of this Board in the matter of the employment of extra assistance. Also, what is the limit of the power of the Board to employ such extras. And, lastly, what matters the Mayor may exercise his veto power over.

Motion *carried.*

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, consideration of the Mayor's veto was *laid over one week.*

Swedish Ex-Councilwoman.

Miss Alma Andeen of Stockholm, Sweden, visited the Board meeting. She said she had been a member of the city council of Stockholm for six years, but left the work for active social service work. She says that in Sweden, instead of taking care of the old people out of the taxpayers' money they have arranged a different system.

At the time of the death of an individual, instead of sending flowers to the home, the friends send a sum of money to what they call the flower house and the money is used to build houses for the poor people.

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

Lease of 333 Kearny Street for Hall of Justice Departments.

The following resolution was taken up on notice of reconsideration given by Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. 25631 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain lease, dated May 3, 1926, between Louis T. Samuels, lessor, and the City and County of San Francisco, lessee, whereby the lessor leases to the lessee 7902 feet of floor space in a building designated as 333 Kearny street for a term of five years two and one-half months at a monthly rental of $1,185.30, and subject to certain conditions and stipulations contained therein, is hereby ratified and confirmed.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to reconsider action whereby the foregoing resolution was adopted.

Supervisor Powers raised to a point of order that the Board had agreed to adjourn at 6 p. m. and that it was now past that hour. He therefore moved to continue one week.

Motion to postpone *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Welch, Todd—5.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks,

Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Rental Stipulation.

The following was presented and made part of the record:

San Francisco, Cal., May 17, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Confirming our understanding and my voluntary promises:

Until such time as alterations have been completed to conform with the diagram upon which our offer to lease was based, and until the City Architect or any official authorized by the City shall declare such alterations to have been satisfactorily completed, the City shall not be called upon to pay any rent, anything to the contrary in the lease notwithstanding.

Yours very truly,

LOUIS T. SAMUELS.

Refused Reconsideration.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor McSheehy's motion and a reconsideration was *refused* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Welch —5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Explanation of Vote.

The following was ordered *spread in the Journal*:

May 17, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

On Monday, May 10th, I gave notice of reconsideration as called for in Rule 12, of the resolution providing for the leasing of offices at 333 Kearny street, which are to be occupied by the District Attorney, Public Defender and Adult Probation offices.

This lease is for a term of five years at a yearly expenditure of $14,223.60, or a total of $74,081.

On April 19th the Board of Supervisors unanimously adopted Resolution No. 25539 asking the City Architect for an estimate of certain alterations at the Hall of Justice as specified in said resolution.

On May 5th the City Architect forwarded to this Board a report as called for in Resolution No. 25539, and in that report he stated that it would cost $36,000 to make the alterations called for, $22,500 of this amount to be expended for a new photograph gallery and identification bureau, the same to be erected on the roof. This gallery and bureau was destroyed by fire in August, 1925, and the debris is there today in the same state as it was left after the fire. This expenditure will have to be made irrespective of the leasing of the offices at 333 Kearny street, and there is in this fiscal year's budget the sum of $22,500 for this purpose. On the calendar today you will find a recommendation from the Finance Committee for this expenditure.

A great mistake will be made if we enter into this lease.

1. The difference between $22,500 and $36,000 is $13,500, and this is the amount of money required to make the necessary alterations and will give the same amount of floor space as called for in the lease.

2. The lease calls for an expenditure of $14,223.60 per year and the alterations call for an expenditure of $13,500. Therefore, we will accomplish the same purpose at a saving by making the alterations. The lease calls for a total rental of $74,081 for five years. It will cost approximately $20,000 to move and install the offices at 333 Kearny street and to rearrange the Hall of Justice so that the Police Department can occupy the space vacated by them.

There will be a loss of $80,581 to the City and County of San Francisco for the five years that these offices occupy the office building at 333 Kearny street, and there will also be the inconvenience to the citizens having business with the District Attorney, Public Defender and the Adult Probation offices on account of the withdrawal from the Hall of Justice to 333 Kearny street, which is approximately four blocks away.

In closing, I hope your Honorable Board will reconsider the vote taken on this lease on last Monday. A mandate was decidedly expressed by the citizens of San Francisco on last November calling for an economic expenditure of all monies collected by the City, and as chairman of the Finance Committee I have opposed this expenditure from its first inception, and I feel that I would be derelict in my duty if I did not present these facts to you as I see them.

Trusting that you will reconsider your vote of last Monday and not attempt to establish a dangerous precedent of renting offices on the outside when we can alter our own buildings for the first year's rent payable for these offices, I remain

Sincerely,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY,
CHAS. J. POWERS,
Supervisors.

REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE ON BUDGET OF MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES FOR 1926-1927.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your Finance Committee presents to you herewith Budget estimates for the fiscal year 1926-27, totaling $33,206,473. These estimates represent a reduction of approximately $1,850,000 from the total Budget of the current fiscal year, 1925-26, which amounted to $35,060,509.

The tax rate required to finance these estimated expenditures, if the present assessment roll of our City and County is not reduced, will be considerably less than the rate now in effect. If the City and County Assessor gives proper consideration to the increases in real property values which have occurred during the current year in various sections of our City and County, the decrease in the tax rate will be augmented to an important extent.

At the municipal election of last November the people of the City and County of San Francisco, by overwhelming vote, issued a mandate for strict economy to the officials who were then elected. Your Finance Committee has faithfully endeavored to carry out this mandate and we believe that a careful analysis of this Budget estimate will convince the people of the sincerity of our endeavor. Incidentally, we desire to express the belief that the mandate for economy applied not only to the members of this Board of Supervisors, who are invested with the responsibility of making the Budget and fixing the tax rate, but also to the officials and the employees of all of the executive and administrative branches of the City and County government. Acting upon this belief, your Finance Committee has not recommended a single increase in the salary of any city official or employee beyond the amount heretofore fixed by this Board of Supervisors, nor have we recommended the abolition of a single employment which has been authorized by this Board.

Your Committee has given careful attention to the requirements for education in our City and County, and has recommended a total set up of funds for the use of the School Department which will exceed that of last year. In view of the fact that we are informed that our Board of Education will reach the end of the present fiscal year with a surplus of approximately $100,000 in its treasury, we believe that the provision recommended for next year is ample in every respect. There is at present in the City Treasury a fund of more than $100,000 which has been obtained from the sale of school lands, and your Committee recommends that the Board of Supervisors shall pledge itself herewith to utilize this sum in the purchase of additional school sites or the construction, repair and equipment of schools during the coming year. If this be done, the amount of money available will be at least equal to the provision for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1926. The total amount of money to be devoted to school purposes in the next fiscal year, if these recommendations are adopted, will represent more than 25 per cent of the entire Budget for the upkeep of the City and County government.

For the reconstruction of streets and the construction of boulevards and highways, your Committee recommends a total provision of more that $1,250,000. In the interest of economy we recommend that $506,961 of this amount shall be included in this Budget, and reflected directly in the tax rate, and that the remainder be made a charge against the Good Roads Fund obtained from the State government. We urge that the Board of Supervisors pledge itself at this time to the following appropriations from the Good Roads Fund for the street, boulevard and highway projects hereinafter set forth:

Bay Shore highway, land and options	$135,000
Ocean Shore, land and options	45,000
Bernal cut, land and options	135,000

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Market street extension, third contract unit	90,000
Third street, Berry to Eighteenth	67,500
Mission viaduct	12,600
Grand View avenue	45,000
Turk street, Masonic to Willard	36,000
Masonic avenue, McAllister to Turk	6,480
Harrison street, Sixth to Eleventh	29,700
Telegraph Hill boulevard	13,500
Vermont street, Twentieth to Twenty-second	20,518
San Jose avenue, Cotter to Monterey boulevard	27,000
Silver avenue, widening	22,500
Southern Heights diagonal	9,000
Portola drive, Twenty-fourth street to Laguna Honda boulevard	18,000
California street, Powell to Mason, Leavenworth to Hyde	14,400
Garfield street, from Junipero Serra boulevard to Grafton avenue	18,000
Capitol avenue, from Montana to Lake View	9,000
Total	$754,198

Your Committee has given a great deal of thought to the Budget allowances for the Police and Fire departments, and we are confident that our recommendations are sufficient to maintain the high degree of efficiency which both of these departments have demonstrated in the past. We are glad to call the attention of the Supervisors to the fact that the executive heads of both departments have displayed a most commendable desire to cooperate in the program of economy which has been laid down by the people and that each department has reported that there will be a surplus in its funds at the end of the current fiscal year.

Equally careful attention has been given to the needs of the City and County Health Department, and we believe that San Francisco's reputation as a leader among the cities of the world in its care for the health of its people will be preserved without impairment.

Your Committee is happy to be able to present to you a list of recommendations for special projects to be undertaken during the next year which it believes to be thoroughly constructive:

An appropriation of $100,000 is recommended as an initial expenditure for the acquisition and development of a municipal air port. All plans thus far being purely tentative, this sum can be used at any time to bind whatever final plan is decided upon. Aeronautical experts are agreed that San Francisco is an ideal place for the development of the aeroplane industry. It is a fact of official record that there are more flying days in California than in any other section of the United States, and the natural resources of our State make this the logical location for the establishment and maintenance of a great, new industry for the equipment and exploitation of aeronautics. We urge the Board of Supervisors to give all possible aid and encouragement to the development of a great national air port in our City.

Your Committee recommends an appropriation of $100,000 for the improvement and perpetuation of the Exposition of Fine Arts Palace. This recommendation is based upon an estimate submitted to this Board by representative citizens of San Francisco who have given assurance that it will be sufficient to put this historic building in condition for permanent use.

The importance of establishing a public park in the Mission district is recognized by all of our citizens. Provision for acquiring such a park should be made while it is possible to procure the necessary land at a reasonable cost. In order to make a start toward the realization of this project your Committee has recommended an appropriation of $100,000 for the initial purchase of land. After the site is selected the project can proceed progressively over a period of years and within a comparatively short time the people of San Francisco will possess another splendid recreational area.

An appropriation is included in this year's Budget to continue the acquisition of land for the War Memorial project, adjacent to the Civic Center. Your Finance Committee intends to carry on the agreement previously made with the War Memorial trustees and to cooperate with them in every possible way to the end that the project may be completed as soon as it is economically and physically possible.

Since the organization of the present Board of Supervisors more progress has been made in the solution of our ever-growing traffic problems than ever before in the history of San Francisco. The installation of additional traffic signals in congested centers and of other devices for the efficient regulation of traffic has won the commendation of all of our citizens and has, incidentally, reduced the necessity for police supervision and enabled the Chief of Police to utilize his men in attention to other departments of public safety. Your Committee has recommended an appropriation, which, it is reliably advised, will be sufficient to provide for all of the traffic regulation work that is physically possible of accomplishment during the coming year.

The need for additional public provision for charitable work in San Francisco has been met by your Committee's recommendation that last year's Budget appropriation for the maintenance of minors be increased from $615,000 to $690,000. In recommending this increase your Committee has complied with the estimates submitted by leading citizens of our community who devote a great part of their time and attention to the interest of helpless humanity.

The development of the Civic Center is provided for in this Budget estimate by recommendation for an appropriation of $100,000 for the construction of a facade building along the Fulton-street entrance.

During recent years many of the largest and most important cities of California and the United States have recognized and put into effect the necessity for a scientific reappraisement of all of their real property and improvements for assessment and taxation purposes. It is admitted that San Francisco is urgently in need of such a scientific adjustment of its assessment roll, and your Committee recommends an appropriation of $50,000 to be expended by the Board of Supervisors in the employment of expert assistants to reappraise the real property of this City and County for the guidance of the Board in its future duties as a Board of Equalization.

In closing, your Committee desires to compliment those officials of the City and County government who have voluntarily cooperated in the program of economy advocated by the people at the last election. It is only fair to enumerate among these the Auditor, the Tax Collector, the Treasurer, the District Attorney, the Public Defender, the City Attorney, the Sheriff, the Adult Probation Department, the Sealer of Weights and Measures and the Department of Electricity. At the same time your Committee feels that it is a duty to direct public attention to the fact that certain of the larger deparments of the City and County government have built up what may be properly described as a system of "bookkeeping legerdemain" which is apparently designed to serve no other purpose than to baffle the Budget makers and to increase the difficulty of their task in endeavoring to conserve the public moneys and to practice reasonable economy in the interest of the taxpayers.

This is the first Budget in eight years which represents a decrease in governmental costs over the preceding year. At the same time, the progress of San Francisco is, we believe, carefully fostered and upbuilt along every line of major importance. We believe that the labor of the past weeks has brought about a turning-point in the financial policy of San Francisco.

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
MILO F. KENT.

BUDGET ESTIMATES

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
1	1090 E to 1093 E	(Appropriation 1) for plans and construction of new school buildings, the purchase of land for school purposes, furniture, additions and repairs to existing school buildings. A special tax on each $100 of assessed valuation to produce		**$900,000**
		To be expended in part for the following repairs:		
		Painting—		
		Argonne, interior	$3,500	
		Balboa, interior and exterior.....	650	
		Bernal and Annex, interior.....	3,450	
		Douglas, interior	1,950	
		Farragut, fire escape	350	
		Frank McCoppin, fire escape.....	350	
		Grant, portion interior	1,500	
		Hamilton and Annex, exterior.....	3,450	
		Hancock, interior	3,300	
		Hillcrest, interior and exterior.....	1,050	
		Hunter's Point, interior and exterior.	750	
		Jean Parker, exterior	2,100	
		Jefferson, interior	3,900	
		John Swett (six ports), exterior....	2,555	
		Laguna Honda, fire escape iron work	750	
		Mission High Annex, interior	1,700	
		Polytechnic, 61 rooms, halls, interior	5,600	
		Sarah B. Cooper, exterior.....	2,655	
		Sheridan, fire escape	350	
		Spring Valley, interior	2,350	
		Ungraded, interior and exterior....	1,450	
		Blackboards, 20 schools	3,500	
			$47,210	
	5763	For repair of Red Cross Buildings, Civic Center, as follows:		
	1091	New roofs for entire group of buildings	$3,000	
	5763	Painting sashes and window frames, etc.	1,050	
	5763	Carpenter work, general repairs.....	2,375	
			$6,425	
	1091	Burnett, new urinals and toilets overhauled	$3,350	
	"	Franklin, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,425	
	"	Golden Gate, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,300	
	"	Lincoln, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,875	
	"	Starr King, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,300	
	"	Bernal, new urinals and toilets overhauled	4,725	
	"	Polytechnic, 65 new water tanks and overhauling	3,500	
			$25,475	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
	1091	Commodore Stockton — Yard area 6850 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; to be graded to height of 4 ft. above present yard; concrete walls 50 ft. x 20 ft. x 24 in. and 56 ft. x 16 ft. x 24 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; wire storm fence 137 ft. x 6 ft.; wooden fence 100 ft. x 8 ft. (T. & G.); approximate cost	$7,900	
	"	Douglas Yard area 3498 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete wall 150 ft. x 3 ft.; sump 3 ft. x. 3 ft.; sidewalks 33 ft. x 14½ ft.; approximate cost	1,578	
	1091	Excelsior—Yard area 15,000 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 50 ft. x 4 ft. x 15 in. and 100 ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; sidewalks to be relaid 150 ft. x 14½ ft.; wire storm fence 150 ft. x 6 ft.; wooden fence 200 ft. x 6 ft. with 6 in. x 6 in. posts with 8 ft. baseball wire fence above; approximate cost	6,223	
	"	Glen Park—Yard area (lower yard), 16,728 sq. ft.; sump and plumbing for same; approximate cost.....	4,951	
	"	John Swett—Yard area 13,563 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls and steps; sumps; wire storm fence 439 ft. x 6 ft.; approximate cost..	6,972	
	"	Lincoln—Yard area 4125 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 25 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in. and 50 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in.; two sumps 3 ft. x 3 ft.; concrete wall and iron fence 25 ft. x 4 ft. to be removed; wire storm fence 50 ft. x 6 ft.; approximate cost	2,122	
	"	Redding—Yard area 18,495 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 135 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in. and 137 ft. x 3½ ft. x 15 in. and 40 ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; wire storm fence 276 ft. x 6 ft. with gates; approximate cost	6,584	
	"	Yerba Buena—Yard area 22,440 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 187½ ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in. and 187 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in. and 120 ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in. and 50 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; sidewalks to be relaid, 450 sq. ft.; wire storm fence 374 ft. x 6 ft. with gates; wooden fence 120 ft. x 6 ft. with 8 ft. of		

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
1091		Converting heating system to oil burners in the following schools:		
	"	Converting heating system to oil burners in the following schools:		
		Bryant	$1,100	
		Cleveland	1,100	
		Denman	1,100	
		Excelsior	1,100	
		Farragut	1,100	
		Kate Kennedy	1,100	
		Laguna Honda	1,100	
		Longfellow	1,100	
		Madison	1,100	
		Repairs to roofs	9,000	
		Miscellaneous repairs	102,000	
		For construction of portables, partitions, fire escapes, permanent yard benches and ornamental iron work	25,000	

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 2-A)

2	401 A	18 Supervisors at $2,400 each	$ 43,200	
3	402 A	Clerk	6,000	
4	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk	4,200	
5	"	1 Expert Accountant	6,000	
6	"	1 Bond and Ordinance Clerk	3,000	
7	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,300	6,600	
8	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000	
9	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,700	
10	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600	
11	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
12	"	1 Stenographer	1,800	
13	"	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,400	
14	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	1,800	
15	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400	
16	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	2,700	
17	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector	2,100	
18	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium	3,000	
			$ 96,900	

TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.

19	433 A	Chief Operator	1,980	
20	"	4 Operators at $1,800	7,200	
21	"	Relief Operators	600	
		Total Personal Services		**$106,680**

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 3-22 to 3-79-E)

22	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses	$5,000	
23	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses	9,000	
		To be expended by the following committees:		

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
	402	Auditorium	$500	
	"	Civil Service and Retirement	500	
	419	City Planning	500	
	402	Education, Parks and Playgrounds	500	
	"	Finance	500	
	"	Fire	500	
	"	Judiciary	500	
	"	Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity	500	
	"	Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations	500	
	"	Police and Licenses	500	
	"	Public Buildings and Lands	500	
	"	Public Health	500	
	"	Public Utilities	500	
	"	Public Welfare	500	
	"	Streets and Commercial Development	500	
	"	Traffic and Safety	500	
	"	Tunnels and Assessments	500	
24	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	55,000	
25	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000	
26	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000	
27	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar	8,000	
28	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July	2,500	
29	"	Memorial Day Observance	500	
30	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band	12,000	
31	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000	
32	455 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals	7,500	
33	529 B	Lighting Streets	625,000	
34	529	Survey and Street Lighting System	5,000	
35	—— H	Premiums on Official Bonds	5,000	
36	1090	Civic Center and War Memorial	200,000	
37	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	75,000	
38	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000	
39	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges	10,000	
40	1093	Extension and reconstruction of Main Sewers	200,000	
41	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit	140,000	
42	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen	5,000	
43	678 K	Auditorium	10,000	
44	467 H	Public Pound	15,000	
45	553 B	Feeble-Minded Home	87,000	
46	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	690,000	
47	"	Widows' Pensions	235,000	
48	"	St. Catherine's Training School	8,600	
49	"	State Schools	20,000	
50	419 K	City Planning Commission—Auto at $40 a month	6,880	
51	1091	Police Building	40,000	
52	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Ry.	8,878	
53	1090	Purchase of Lands from Spring Valley Water Company, Contract	35,640	
54	1090	Aquatic Park Land Purchases Contract	70,000	
55	1093	Improvement of City Property at		

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
		Ocean Beach — Construction of Stable	20,000	
56	1091	Civic Center Beautification	15,000	
57	1090	Van Ness Avenue Extension—Second Purchase	130,000	
58	1091	Painting San Francisco Hospital...	10,000	
59	"	Municipal Air Port, Initial Appropriation	100,000	
60	"	Sutro Monument, Rehabilitation of Statue and Grounds	10,000	
61	401	For the purpose of appraising all taxable real property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1927-28 and for subsequent years may be equalized and the same may conform to the true value of said property and that the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization may be fully advised as to the true value of said property	50,000	
62	552	Repairs to Engineer's Cottage, Relief Home	1,000	
63	1092	Relief Home Equipment.....	40,000	
64		Civic Center Facade, Fulton Street..	100,000	
65	1092	San Francisco Hospital, Equipment, New Wards, Children's T. B. Wards	9,000	
66	1092	San Francisco Hospital, Radium Equipment	17,500	
67		Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000	
		To be expended as follows:		

Fire Department Buildings.

		Engine Co. 41—		
	452	Repair rear porch, doors and sash, put concrete strips in floor to run apparatus on, repair tile in bath room and shower room	$541	
		Engine Co. 42—		
		Put window in captain's room, repair doors, windows and screens, repair floor in shower room, repair roof and ventilators, paint exterior	1,092	
		Engine Co. 43—		
		Repair roof, repair doors and windows, paint exterior	931	
		Engine Co. 45—		
		Repair floor, repair roof and leaders, repair hose tower, repair fence,		

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
		damp proof south wall..	742	
		Engine Co. 46—		
	452	Repair shower room floor, repair rear door frames and doors, repair roof..	315	
		Engine Co. 48—		
		Extend retaining wall on west side of lot, repair fence and gate, paint fence and all outside frames	585	
		Truck 6 and Chemical 9—		
		Build room for officers in dormitory, build kitchen on lower floor, repair roof and sidewalk	819	
		Chemical Co. 12—		
		Repair floor, repair fence, repair windows	135	
		Corporation Yard—		
		Repair floor in shop....	225	
		Metal cap back wall	45	
		Ventilators	135	
		Skylight bars	180	
		New ridge for roof	54	
		Repairs to cornice	180	
		Glazing	385	
		Concrete pits	1,800	
		Paint window frames, doors, etc	1,575	
		Paint outside	900	
		Miscellaneous buildings..	29,361	
			$40,000	
68	1092	Traffic signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control		75,000
69	1093	Electrolosis Survey		5,000
70	"	McKinley Square Bulkhead		4,000
71	"	Rehabilitation of Fine Arts Building, as follows: Concrete underpining, $50,000; Concrete Floor, $15,000; Plastering, $16,000; Painting, $6,000; Plumbing, $3,500; Electrical Work, $3,000; Piping for Heating, $4,500; Incidentals, $2,000		100,000
72	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District		100,000
73	1093	Street signs		10,000
74	"	Extension of high-pressure system, Southern District		65,000
75	1090	Reimbursement in part of Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced for completion of Stockton and Twin Peaks Tunnels		50,000
76	4142 AC	Single team for stores and yards, Board of Public Works, at $10.75 per day		3,000
77	4142 B	Royalties for surface heaters, Board		

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
		of Public Works.....	12,000	
78	502 AC	25 Double teams, street cleaning, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	84,500	
79	501 AC	3 Double teams, sewer repair, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	10,350	
79a	501 H	Rental, 7 1½-ton trucks, Board of Public Works, at $12 per day.....	25,200	
79b	"	Rental, 1 3-ton truck, Board of Public Works, at $20 per day.....	6,000	
79c	"	Rental, 14 autos, Board of Public Works, at $40 per month.....	6,720	
79d	"	Improvements, San Francisco Hospital	7,500	
79e	1092	Equipment, Emergency Hospital...	1,740	
		Total		**$3,814,008**

Street Reconstruction.

(Appropriations 3-80 to 139)

80	52710	Eighteenth street, Noe to Douglass..	$9,000
81	"	Folsom street, Thirteenth to Sixteenth	22,500
82	"	Franklin street, Vallejo to Broadway	5,400
83	"	Sixth street, Howard to Folsom.....	15,300
84	"	Hyde street, Beach to North Point, widening	2,250
85	"	North Point street, Van Ness to Larkin, widening	8,100
86	"	North Point street, Columbus avenue to Hyde street, widening.....	7,200
87	"	Broadway, Columbus avenue to Stockton, widening	7,200
88	"	Douglass street, Nineteenth to Carson	1,080
89	"	Drumm street, Clay to Jackson.....	9,000
90	"	Ellis street, Van Ness to Franklin..	5,400
91	"	Grant avenue, Filbert to Greenwich.	4,050
92	"	Gilbert street, Bryant to Brannan (out to Comm. W. P. Spur).....	2,250
93	"	Hawthorne street, Howard to Folsom (out to Comm. W. P. Spur).....	6,030
94	"	Harriet street, Harrison to Brannan (out to Comm. W. P. Spur).....	4,500
95	"	Haight street, Gough to Buchanan, widening	22,500
96	"	Jones street, Bush to Pine (cobbles)	3,600
97	"	Jackson street, Embarcadero to Front-Battery	11,700
98	"	Leavenworth street, Union to Filbert	4,500
99	"	Geary street, Divisadero to Masonic.	18,000
100	"	Monroe street, Pine to Bush.....	2,025
101	"	O'Farrell street, Van Ness to Franklin	5,400
102	"	Sacramento street, Front to Battery	3,600
103	"	Taylor street, Pine to Sutter.....	9,000
104	"	Taylor street, Broadway to Vallejo.	4,500
105	"	Willard street, Belmont to Parnassus (dangerous)	3,240
106	"	Baker street, Vallejo to Green.....	4,500
107	"	Union street, Montgomery to Kearny	5,400

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
108	52710	Bay street, Laguna to Fillmore (City property)	13,500	
109	"	Castro street, Twentieth to Hill	7,650	
110	"	Chestnut street, Grant avenue to Stockton street	5,400	
111	"	Divisadero street, Filbert to Greenwich	5,940	
112	"	Fourteenth street, Howard to Valencia	13,500	
113	"	Filbert street, Grant avenue to Stockton	5,400	
114	"	Francisco street, Powell to Grant avenue	7,200	
115	"	Grant avenue, Vallejo to Columbus	1,350	
116	"	Greenwich street, Jones to Leavenworth	5,400	
117	"	Leavenworth street, Vallejo to Green	4,500	
118	"	Leavenworth street, Broadway to Jackson (resurfacing)	4,500	
119	"	Lombard street, Taylor to Leavenworth (incl. crossings)	12,150	
120	"	Lombard street, Larkin to Polk (cobbles)	5,400	
121	"	Mason street, Vallejo to Pacific	7,200	
122	"	Powers avenue, Mission to Coleridge	1,800	
123	"	Vallejo street, Jones to Leavenworth	4,500	
124	"	Vallejo street, Grant avenue to Kearny and Powell to Mason	10,800	
125	"	Vallejo street, Van Ness avenue to Hyde	13,500	
126	"	Washington street, Franklin to Gough	4,500	
127	"	Twenty-ninth street, Valencia street westerly (resurfacing)	3,600	
128	"	California street, Franklin to Fillmore	48,600	
129	"	Guerrero street, Twentieth street south	40,500	
130	"	Hayes street, Laguna westerly (widening)	10,800	
131	"	Peralta street, Tomasa to Montcalm	13,500	
132	"	Elizabeth street, Grand View to Hoffman avenue	6,300	
133	"	Tehama street, Third to Fourth	3,771	
134	"	Nineteenth street, Extension to Mono	13,500	
135	"	Clay street, Hyde to Leavenworth and Powell to Mason	10,800	
136	"	Broadway, Mason to Taylor	5,850	
137	"	Sacramento street, Franklin to Gough	4,500	
138	"	Water street, Mason to Taylor	2,475	
139	"	Bonita street, Polk street westerly	1,350	
		Total Streets		**$506,961**

Bureau of Supplies.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3½-A)

140	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000
141	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600
142	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000
143	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000
144	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery	2,700

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
145	634 A	1 Stenographer	2,100	
146	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools	2,700	
147	"	1 Stenographer File Clerk	1,500	
148	"	1 Tabulator	1,500	
		Total Personal Services	$30,100	
149	634 BC	Non-Personal (appropriation 3½B)	1,800	
150		Gasoline, oil and supplies for City Hall garage	3,000	
151		Stationery, printing, books, postage.	85,000	
152		Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc.	20,000	
153		Block books	4,000	
154		License tags and blanks	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$118,800	
		Total Bureau of Supplies		**$148,900**

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

155	404 A	Mayor	$6,000	
156	"	Executive Secretary	4,800	
157	"	Assistant Secretary	4,200	
158	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400	
159	"	Telephone Operator	1,800	
160	"	Messenger	1,980	
161	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each	4,800	
		Total Personal Services	$31,980	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)

162	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter)	$3,600	
163	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	7,500	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$11,100	
		Total Mayor		**$43,080**

AUDITOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)

164	405 A	Auditor	$8,000
165	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
166	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each	10,800
167	"	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760
168	"	4 Deputies at $2,720 each	10,880
169	"	1 Deputy	2,580
170	"	5 Deputies at $2,280 each	11,400
171	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580
172	"	1 General Clerk	2,100
173	"	1 General Clerk	1,800
174	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,100
175	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099 A	2,580
176	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	1,980

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
177	405 A	Attorney	3,000	
178	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	5,800	
		Total Personal Services	$74,960	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)		
179	405 B	Contingents	$500	
		Total Auditor		**$75,460**

TAX COLLECTOR.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)		
180	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000	
181	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
182	"	Cashier	3,300	
183	"	Accountant	3,300	
184	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
185	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880	
186	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each	5,160	
187	"	26 Deputies at $2,280 each	59,280	
188	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
189	"	Extra Clerical Help	10,800	
190	"	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000	
191	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$119,000	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)		
192	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List	$3,700	
193	"	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$5,860	
		Total Tax Collector		**$124,860**

TREASURER.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)		
194	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000	
195	"	Chief Deputy	2,880	
196	"	Cashier	5,100	
197	"	Bank and Bond Deputy	3,900	
198	"	1 Deputy	3,900	
199	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880	
200	"	1 Deputy	3,300	
201	"	Bookkeeper	3,600	
202	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400	
203	"	2 Clerks at $2,880 each	5,760	
204	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
		Total Personal Services	$43,700	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)		
205	406 K	Non-Personal Services	200	
		Total Treasurer		**$43,900**

ASSESSOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
206	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000	
207	"	Chief Deputy Assessor	2,400	
208	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers	4,500	
209	407 AA	Cashier Auditor	2,400	
210	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400	
211	"	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800	
212	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	48,000	
213	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	9,000	
214	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser	2,400	
215	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
216	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100	
217	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
218	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,500	
219	A B	Temporary Asst. Deputies—General Clerks at $150 per month for time employed	91,800	
		Total Personal Services	$238,700	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)

220	407 B	Non-Personal Services	$6,000	
		Total Assessor		**$244,700**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)

221	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	5,000	
222	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400	
223	"	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
224	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each	13,500	
225	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220	
226	"	Typo Operator Mechanic	2,460	
227	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant	2,280	
228	"	Voting Machine Adjuster	1,920	
229	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280	
			$57,260	

ELECTION EXPENSES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)

230	431 A-C	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9.00 a day	$2,700
231	431 A-C	Outside Registration	15,000
232	431 A-B	Temporary clerks, office and petitions	50,000
233	431 A-B	Election Officers	110,000
234	431 A-C	Laborers	1,000
		Total Personal Services	$235,960

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
235	431 B	Contractual Services	$63,500	
236	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000	
237	431 D-C	Materials and Supplies and fixed charges	50,000	
	634	Special Election as required by Charter	50,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$313,500	
		Total Elections		**$549,460**

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)

238	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000	
239	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each.....	22,500	
240	"	1 Assistant	3,600	
241	"	4 Assistants at $3,000 each	12,000	
242	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each	7,200	
243	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk	3,300	
244	"	1 Assistant Warrant and Bond Clerk	2,400	
245	"	6 Assistant Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680	
246	"	Chief Clerk	3,000	
247	"	Assistant Chief Clerk	2,100	
248	"	Bookkeeper	1,800	
249	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
250	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
251	"	Messenger	1,800	
252	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator	2,100	
		Total Personal Services.....	$87,980	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-B)

253	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500	
		Total District Attorney.....		**$95,480**

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-C)

254	411	Public Defender	$5,000	
255	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800	
256	"	1 Deputy Public Defender	3,600	
257	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
		Total Public Defender.....		**$18,200**

CITY ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)

258	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000	
259	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200	
260	"	1 Deputy	7,200	
261	"	1 Deputy	6,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
262	410 A	2 Assistants at $3,000 each.....	$6,000	
263	"	1 Clerk, Calendar, Filing and Records	2,400	
264	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each.....	4,200	
265	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk.	2,100	
		Total Personal Services.....	$43,100	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-B)		
266	410 K	General and Rate Litigation.....	$10,000	
		Total City Attorney.....		**$53,100**

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)		
267	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each.....	$3,600	
268	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	
269	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
270	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
271	"	Assistant Inspector	2,280	
272	"	1 Assistant Secretary.....	2,280	
273	"	2 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 each	4,800	
274	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,100	
275	"	Special Examiners and Extra Clerks	1,500	
		Total Personal Services.....	$26,460	
276	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	1,250	
		Total Civil Service Commission.		**$27,710**

COUNTY CLERK.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)		
277	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
278	"	Chief Registry Clerk.....	3,600	
279	"	Cashier	3,000	
280	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each....	14,400	
281	"	10 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	24,000	
282	"	16 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	38,400	
283	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each.	9,600	
284	"	38 Copyists at $2,280 each.....	86,640	
		Total Personal Services.....	$187,640	
285	426 K	Non-Personal Services (13-B).....	150	
		Total County Clerk.....		**$187,790**

SHERIFF.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)		
286	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000	
287	"	Cashier	3,300	
288	"	Deputy	2,700	
289	"	Attorney	1,800	
290	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper....	3,000	
291	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	36,120	
292	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,244 each.....	132,396	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
293	430 A	Stenographer	2,100	
294	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400	
295	433 A	Office Superintendent	3,600	
296	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000	
297	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640	
298	"	Chief Jailer	2,700	
299	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,400	
300	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,400	
301	"	1 Matron	2,400	
302	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,244 each....	15,708	
303	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each	4,800	
304	"	2 Cooks at $2,100 each	4,200	
305	"	1 Jailer	2,400	
306	"	1 Female Jailer	1,800	
307	"	16 Keepers at $1,800 each.....	28,800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$268,664	
		Other than Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-B)		
308	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$65,000	
		Total Sheriff		**$333,664**

RECORDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)

	454 AA	Personal Services.		
309	"	Recorder	$8,000	
310	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
311	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
312	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	15,480	
313	"	7 Deputies at $2,280 each.....	15,960	
314	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
315	"	1 Special Coypist	2,580	
316	"	Machinist	2,700	
317	"	Stenographer	2,100	
318	454 AB	28 Copyists at $2,280 each.....	63,840	
		Total Recorder Services.....		**$122,000**

SUPERIOR COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)

320	425 A	16 Judges	$56,000	
321	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner..	6,000	
322	"	Assistant Secretary	2,280	
323	"	Jury Examiner	2,280	
324	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
325	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
326	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each.....	16,800	
327	"	Jury and Witness Fees.....	35,000	
328	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses	8,000	
329	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' fees)....	30,000	
		Total Personal Services.....	$160,560	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
330	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
		Total Superior Courts.....		**$168,560**

JUSTICES' COURTS

Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)

330	424 A	5 Justices of the Peace at $4,200 each	$21,000	
331	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200	
332	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
333	"	Cashier	3,000	
334	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each.....	8,100	
335	"	Messenger	2,700	
336	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
337	"	1 Clerk	2,400	
338	"	2 Clerks at $1,800 each.....	3,600	
		Total Justices' Courts.....		**$51,000**

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)

339	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100	
340	"	Matron	1,500	
341	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620	
342	"	Night Superintendent	1,620	
343	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200	
344	"	7 Nurses at $960 each.....	6,720	
345	"	Cook	1,200	
346	"	1 Orderly	1,620	
347	"	1 Orderly	1,200	
		Total Personal Services.....	$18,780	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)

348	558 Var	Maintenance and Subsistence.....	$15,000	
		Total Juvenile Detention Home..		**$33,780**

JUVENILE COURT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)

349	5591 A	Chief Probation Officer.....	$3,900	
350	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer....	3,000	
351	"	11 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,280 each	25,080	
352	"	3 Deputy Probation Officers at $2,280 each	6,840	
353	"	1 Collector	2,580	
354	"	1 Cashier-Bookkeeper	2,280	
355	"	1 File Clerk	1,800	
356	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each.....	4,200	
357	"	3 Stenographers at $1,800 each.....	5,400	
358	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
359	"	1 Referee	2,400	
		Total Personal Services.....	$59,460	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
360	5591 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B)	$5,000	
		Total Juvenile Court.....		**$64,460**

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)

361	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$3,900	
362	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer....	3,000	
363	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,280 each	15,960	
364	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	1,980	
		Total Personal Services.....	$24,840	
365	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	$700	
		Total Adult Probation Dept.....		**$25,540**

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)

366	553 A	Director	$3,000	
367	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
368	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
369	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$13,500	
370	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	$1,000	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau..		**$14,500**

LAW LIBRARY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

370	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
371	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
372	"	Bookbinder	2,100	
		Total Law Library.....		**$9,900**

POLICE COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

373	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each	$14,400	
374	"	4 Stenographers at $3,600 each.....	14,400	
		Total Police Court.....		**$28,800**

CORONER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

375	429 A	Coroner	$8,000	
376	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
377	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000	
378	"	3 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	7,740	
379	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
380	429 A	3 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	7,200	
381	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
382	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100	
383	"	Toxicologist	1,800	
384	"	Relief	800	
		Total Personal Services	$42,280	
385	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000	
		Total Coroner		**$45,280**

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

386	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	
387	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
388	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each	16,200	
		Total Non-Personal Services..	$24,300	
389	460 K	Non-Personal Services	1,500	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		**$25,800**

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

390	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner	$3,000	
391	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8.00 a day	4,800	
392	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$9,600	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-B)

393	"	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
		Total Horticultural Commission.		**$11,160**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

Commissioners and General Office.

394	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000
395	"	Chief Deputy Commissioner	4,200
396	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
397	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
398	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400
399	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400
400	"	Stenographer	2,400
401	"	Stenographer	2,400
402	"	Chauffeur	2,400
403	"	Messenger	2,280
404	"	General Clerk	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$39,780

Bureau of Accounting.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
405	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge.....	$4,000	
406	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper..	2,700	
407	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000	
408	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,280 a year	27,360	
409	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300	
410	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier.....	3,300	
411	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
412	"	Clerk	2,700	
413	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	4,200	
414	"	4 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	7,920	
415	"	Inspector of House Numbers.....	2,700	
		Total Personal Services.....	$63,580	

Bureau of Architecture.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

416	416 A	Superintendent of Construction.....	$4,200	
417	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000	
418	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700	
419	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200	
420	"	Stenographer	2,100	
		Total Personal Services.....	$13,200	

Bureau of Building Repair.

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

421	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
422	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,600	
423	"	Superintendent of Painters.....	3,150	
424	"	Foreman Plumber	3,000	
425	"	Foreman Cement Finisher.....	3,000	
426	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,000	
427	"	Foreman Tinner	3,000	
428	"	Foreman Wireman	3,000	
429	"	Head Janitor	3,120	
430	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320	
431	"	Night Foreman Janitor	2,040	
432	"	57 Janitors, at $1,740 a year.....	99,180	
433	"	4 Window Cleaners, at $1,920 each a year	7,680	
434	"	Foreman Window Cleaner	2,100	
435	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation	3,480	
436	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall	2,040	
437	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,740 a a year	29,580	
438	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,740 each a year..	3,480	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
439	432 AB	Relief and Vacation all Departments	1,740	
440	"	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,300 each a year	6,600	
441	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,400 a year	12,000	
442	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,400	
443	"	5 Firemen, at $2,100 each a year	10,500	
444	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation	410	
		Total Personal Services	$216,620	
		Employments for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings.		
445	432 AC	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day	$13,500	
446	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day	3,000	
447	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day	2,100	
448	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650	
449	"	5 Plumbers, at $10.00 per day	15,000	
450	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10.00 per day	6,000	
451	"	6 Painters, at $8.35 per day	15,030	
452	"	Foreman Painter, at $9.35 per day	2,805	
453	"	Painters' Shop Man, $6.50 per day	1,950	
454	"	Painters' Apprentice, at $5.50 per day	1,650	
455	"	2 Tinners, $9 per day	5,400	
456	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day	1,950	
457	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day	1,200	
458	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
459	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day	2,400	
460	"	Glazier, at $9 per day	2,700	
461	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day	2,100	
462	"	Wireman, at $9 per day	2,700	
		Total Personal Services	$83,835	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-B and C)		
463	432 B	Contractual Services	$6,300	
464	432 BA	Transportation Autos	2,500	
465	432 4A	Transportation Carfare	850	
466	432 C	Materials and Supplies	20,000	
		SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.		
467	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	$180	
468	432 BC	Towel Service	2,500	
469	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900	
		MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.		
470	432 CB	Fuel Oil	$22,000	
471	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	5,000	
472	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	3,150	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$63,380	
473	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	$30,000	
474	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	18,000	

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
475	4142 AA	Storekeeper	$3,900	
476	"	Experienced Clerk	2,700	
477	"	Watchman	2,640	
478	"	Watchman	2,340	
479	"	Watchman	1,980	
480	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,740 each a year	3,480	
481	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
482	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000	
483	4142 AC	Machinist at $9 a day	2,700	
484	"	2 Blacksmiths at $9 a day	5,400	
485	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers at $7.08 a day	6,372	
486	4142 AC	Vulcanizer at $7 a day	2,100	
487	"	Carriage Painter at $8.50 a day	2,550	
488	"	2 Laborers, 365 days, at $6 per day	4,380	
489	"	3 Laborers at $6 a day	5,400	
		Total Personal Services	$52,542	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

490	4142 B-A	Transportation	$480
491	4142 B-N	Repairs to Equipment	175
492	4142 C	Material and Supplies	1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$1,655

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

493	455 A	Chief Inspector	$5,400
494	"	1 Inspector	3,300
495	"	1 Inspector	3,000
496	"	Boiler Inspector	3,000
497	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400
498	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400
499	"	10 Inspectors at $2,400 a year	24,000
500	"	Messenger	1,440
501	"	2 Engineers at $3,600 ea.	7,200
		Total Personal Services	$52,140

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

518	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000
519	"	Asst. City Engineer	5,400
520	"	4 Assistants, Civil Engineer, at $3,000 a year	12,000
521	"	Surveyor	3,600
522	"	Surveyor	3,000
523	"	4 Surveyors at $2,700 a year	10,800
524	"	Surveyor's Field Assistant	3,000
525	"	2 Surveyor's Field Assistants at $2,700 a year	5,400
526	"	4 Surveyor's Field Assistants at $2,400 a year	9,600
527	"	1 Surveyor's Field Assistant	2,400
528	"	20 Surveyor's Field Assistants at $2,400 a year	48,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
529	415 A	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,600	
530	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,300	
531	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,000	
532	"	6 Engineering Draftsmen at $2,700 a year	16,200	
533	"	3 Engineering Draftsmen at $2,400 a year	7,200	
534	415 A	1 Engineering Draftsman	1,800	
535	"	Cartographer	2,700	
536	"	2 Inspectors of Streets and Sewers at $2,700 a year	5,400	
537	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers..	2,400	
538	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers..	2,220	
539	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers..	2,100	
540	"	Engineering Chemist	3,600	
541	"	Photographer	2,700	
542	"	Photostat Operator	2,400	
543	"	Blue Printer	2,100	
544	"	3 Stenographers at $1,980 a year...	5,940	
545	"	Experienced Clerk	2,880	
546	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
547	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
548	415 A-B	Watchman, part time	870	
		Total Personal Services.....	$183,410	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)		
549	415 B	Non-Personal Services	$8,000	
		Total Engineer's Department...		**$191,410**

Street Repair Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

551	527 AA	Superintendent	$4,500	
552	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,900	
553	"	2 Asst. Superintendents at $2,700 each	5,400	
554	"	Experienced Clerk	3,000	
555	"	Stenographer, 4 months.....	600	
		MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.		
556	527 A	Engineer	$2,400	
557	"	2 Firemen at $1,980 a year.....	3,960	
558	"	Foreman at $9.50 a day.....	2,850	
559	"	Machinist	2.700	
560	527 AC	Asphalt Dryer Man at $8.00 a day...	2,400	
561	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen at $8.00 a day..	4,800	
562	"	Asphalt Mixerman at $8.50 a day...	2,550	
563	"	2 Asphalt Finishers at $7.50 a day...	4,500	
564	"	3 Asphalt Workers at $7.00 a day....	6,300	
565	527 AA	Telephone Operator	1,620	
		ASPHALT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR—SURFACE HEATERS, FOUR MACHINES, 280 DAYS.		
566	527 AC	2 Foremen, 300 days, at $8.50 a day.	$5,100	
567	"	16 Finishers at $7.50 a day.....	33,600	
568	"	24 Asphalt Workers at $7.00 a day..	47,040	
569	"	7 Engineers at $9.00 a day.....	17,640	
570	"	10 Chauffeurs at $7.00 a day.....	19,600	

SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
571	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher at $9.00 a day....	$1,350	
572	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers at $8 a day	2,400	
		CONCRETE REPAIRS, MISCELLANEOUS—280 DAYS.		
573	527 AC	15 Laborers at $6 a day.....	$25,200	
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.		
574	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters at $9 a day.....	$5,040	
		BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—270 DAYS.		
574	527 AC	Foreman, 280 days, at $9 per day....	$2,520	
575	"	6 Pavers at $8 a day.....	12,960	
576	"	3 Rammers at $7 a day.....	5,670	
577	"	10 Laborers at $6 per day.....	16,200	
		Total Personal Services.....	$245,800	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-C)

578	527	Asphalt Plant — Transportation, car fare, rental of 17 trucks at $12 a day, 280 days; switching and demurrage, garage expense, printing and bookbinding. power, water, repairs to equipment, tool sharpening, setting back hydrants, miscellaneous.....	$65,350
579		Materials and Supplies — Asphalt, cement, limestone dust, paving brick, rock, sand and gravel, fuel oil, wood and coal, kerosene, gasoline, lubricants, tools, tires and tubes, redressed curb	92,400
			$157,750

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)

580	527 A	9 Engineers at $2,400 each a year...	$21,600
581	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,400 a year.....	4,800
582	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,740 each a year.....	17,400
583	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,740 a year.....	3,480
584	"	1 Carpenter at $9 per day.....	2,700
585	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day.	1,950
		Total Personal Services.....	$51,930

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)

586	527 B	Contractual	$2,500
587	527 C	Material and Supplies.....	1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services...	$3,500

Street Cleaning Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A-1)

588	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
589	"	2 Asst. Superintendents at $2,700 a yr.	5,400
590	"	1 Experienced Clerk.....	3,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
591	502 AA	6 Watchmen at $1,740 each a year..	10,440	
592	502 AC	200 Sweepers at $6 per day.....	360,000	
593	"	19 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	39,900	
594	"	14 Gang Foremen at $6.50 per day..	27,300	
			$450,240	
		Non-Personal Services—		
595	502 BA	Transportation	$1,200	
596	502 BL	Water	6,500	
597	502 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment...	5,000	
598	502 C	Material and Supplies	20,000	
599	1092	Street Cans	600	
			$33,300	

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

600	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
601	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000	
602	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700	
603	501 AC	Asst. Superintendent in charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550	
604	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day.....	16,500	
605	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day.....	24,300	
606	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	6,300	
607	"	45 Sewermen at $6.50 per day.....	87,750	
608	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8 per day.....	43,200	
		Total Personal Services.....	$190,500	
609	B	Repairs to equipment	$1,000	
610	C	Materials and Supplies.....	20,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services...	$21,000	

Sewage Pumping Station.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)

611	501 AA	Watchman in Charge	$2,040	
612	501 AB	Relief and Vacation	80	
		Total Personal Services	$2,120	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)

613	501 BH	Power	$450	
614	501 C	Material and Supplies	250	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$700	

MISCELLANEOUS.

(*Appropriation* 38-A)

615	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200	
616	501BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	4,000	
617	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment	2,000	
			$7,200	
		Total Board of Works.....		**$1,990,182**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
618	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000	
619	"	Secretary	3,000	
620	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
621	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100	
622	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800	
623	461 A	Chief Inspector	3,000	
624	"	18 Inspectors at $2,700 each	48,600	
625	465 A	Chief Operator	3,000	
626	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600	
627	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200	
628	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750	
629	"	Superintendent of Plant	3,300	
630	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9.00 per diem	2,700	
631	"	Batteryman	2,700	
632	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000	
633	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each	13,500	
634	"	Foreman Laborer	2,100	
635	"	3 Laborers at $6.00 per diem	5,400	
636	"	2 Machinists at $9.00 per diem	5,400	
637	"	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,700 each	8,100	
638	"	14 Linemen at $2,400 each	33,600	
639	"	Inside Wireman at $9.00 per diem	2,700	
640	"	Commissary	2,100	
641	465 AC	Repairer	2,460	
642	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each	4,560	
		Total Personal Services	$190,370	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)

643	465	Var. Mater'l, Supplies and Equipment	$15,000	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-E)

644	1093	Underground Conduit System	$15,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$30,000	
		Total Department of Electricity		**$220,370**

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)

645	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
646	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary	4,500	
647	"	Physician	2,820	
648	"	Stenographer	2,580	
649	"	Chief Engineer	7,200	
650	"	First Assistant Chief	4,800	
651	"	Second Assistant Chief	4,800	
652	"	19 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	79,800	
653	"	22 Operators at $2,520 a year	55,440	
654	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440	
			$168,180	

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ENGINE COMPANIES.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.		Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
655	452 AA	45	Captains at $2,820 each a year..	$126,900	
656	"	70	Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year..	186,900	
657	"	27	Engineers at $2,640 each a year...	71,280	
658	"	84	Drivers at $2,400 each a year.....	201,600	
659	"	2	Drivers at $2,280 each a year	4,560	
660	"	6	Drivers at $2,160 each a year....	12,960	
661	"	333	Hosemen at $2,400 each a year...	799,200	
662	"	41	Hosemen at $2,280 each a year...	93,480	
663	"	28	Hosemen at $2,160 each a year...	60,480	
664	"		Automatic increase in salaries...	3,165	
				$1,560,525	

CHEMICAL COMPANIES.

665	452 AA	13	Captains at $2,820 each a year....	$36,660	
666	"	13	Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	34,710	
667	"	26	Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	62,400	
668	"	29	Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	69,600	
668 A		2	Hosemen at $2,280 each a year..	4,560	
668 B		8	Hosemen at $2,160 each a year..	17,280	
668 C			Automatic increase in Salaries ..	560	
				$225,770	

TRUCK COMPANIES.

669	452 AA	14	Captains at $2,820 each a year...	$39,480	
670	"	17	Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	45,390	
671	"	28	Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	67,200	
672	"	28	Tillermen at $2,400 each a year..	67,200	
673	"	134	Truckmen at $2,400 each a year..	321,600	
674	"	12	Truckmen at $2,280 each a year..	27,360	
675	"	5	Truckmen at $2,160 each a year..	10,800	
676	"		Automatic increase in salaries.....	1,065	
				$580,095	

WATER TOWER COMPANIES.

678	452 AA	1	Captain	$2,820	
679	"	1	Lieutenant	2,670	
680	"	2	Drivers at $2,400 each a year.....	4,800	
681	"	3	Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	7,200	
				$17,490	

RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.

682	452 AA	1	Captain	$2,820	
683	"	1	Lieutenant	2,670	
684	"	2	Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	4,800	
685	"	4	Hosemen at $2,400 each a year....	9,600	
686	"	1	Hoseman	2,280	
687	"		Automatic Increase in Salaries.....	65	
			Total Personal Services.....	$22,235	

PUMPING STATIONS.

688	452 AA	2	Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720	
689	"	5	Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800	
690	"	7	Firemen at $2,340 each a year...	16,380	
691	452 AB		Vacation Engineers	805	
692	"		Vacation Firemen	685	
				$38,390	

DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
693	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
694	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880	
695	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman	2,730	
696	"	11 Gateman Hydrantmen, at $2,580 each a year	28,380	
697	452 AC	1 Calker, at $7.50 per day	2,250	
698	"	3 Laborers, at $6 per day each	5,400	
			$45,840	

CORPORATION YARD.

699	425 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
700	"	Clerk and Commissary	2,400	
701	"	Bookkeeper	2,700	
702	"	3 Watchmen, at $1,740 each a year	5,220	
703	425 A	1 Drayman	2,220	
704	"	1 Clerk	2,100	
705	"	Batteryman	2,700	
706	"	1 General Foreman	3,300	
707	525 AC	12 Machinists, at $9 per day	32,400	
708	"	5 Machinists' Helpers, at $6.58 per day	9,870	
709	"	4 Blacksmiths, at $9 per day	10,800	
710	"	4 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 per day	8,496	
711	"	2 Woodworkers, at $9 per day	5,400	
712	"	1 Brass Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
713	"	1 Boilermaker, at $9 per day	2,700	
714	"	1 Boilermakers' Helper, at $6.58 per day	1,974	
715	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter, at $9 per day	2,700	
716	"	2 Carriage Painters, at $8.35 per day	5,010	
717	"	1 Leather Worker, at $8.50 per day	2,550	
		Total Corporation Yard	$109,440	

FOR FIRE PREVENTION AND THEATER INSPECTION.

719	"	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item	$79,000	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)

720	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$145,000	
721	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings	150,000	

EQUIPMENT.

722	1092	Equipment	90,000	
			$464,000	
		Total Fire Department		**$3,231,965**

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)

723	451 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
724	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
725	451 AA	Confidential Clerk	2,400	
726	"	Stenographer	3,000	
		ADMINISTRATION.		
727	451 AA	Chief of Police	$7,200	
728	"	Chief Clerk	3,600	
729	"	Lieutenant	3,000	
730	"	Stenographer	1,800	
731	"	Office Asst. Sergeant	2,640	
732	"	Office Asst. (Corporal)	2,580	
733	"	Physician	2,400	
734	"	6 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	10,800	
735	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles.....	2,760	
736	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760	
737	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760	
		BOOKKEEPING AND ACCOUNTING.		
738	415 AA	Bookkeeper (Sergeant)	$2,640	
739	"	2 Bookkeepers (Corporal) at $2,580 each a year	5,160	
740	"	4 Bookkeepers (Patrolmen) at $2,400 each a year	9,600	
		PROPERTY CLERK BUREAU.		
741	451 AA	Property Clerk (Captain)	$3,600	
742	"	Asst. Property Clerk (Corporal) ...	2,580	
743	"	4 Asst. Property Clerks (Patrolmen) at $2,400 each	9,600	
		BUREAU OF PERMITS AND REGISTRATION.		
744	451 AA	Sergeant	$2,640	
745	"	3 Patrolmen at $2,400	7,200	
		COMPLAINT BUREAU.		
746	451 AA	Lieutenant	$3,000	
747	"	Corporal	2,580	
748	"	3 Patrolmen at $2,400 each a year..	7,200	
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.		
749	451AA	Captain of Detectives	$5,000	
750	"	6 Lieutenants at $3,000 each a year	18,000	
751	"	62 Detective Sergeants at $2,760 a year	171,120	
752	"	Stenographer	2,760	
753	"	Photographer	2,700	
754	"	3 Women Protective Officers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
		UNIFORM FORCE.		
755	451 AA	Captain of Traffic	$4,000	
756	"	14 Captains at $3,600 each a year..	50,400	
757	"	25 Lieutenants at $3,000	75,000	
758	"	81 Sergeants at $2,640 each a year..	213,840	
759	"	95 Corporals at $2,580 each a year..	245,100	
760	"	870 Patrolmen at $2,400 each a year	2,088,000	
761	"	25 Patrol Drivers at $2,400 each a year	60,000	
762	"	10 Hostlers at $2,160 each a year..	21,600	
763	"	4 Matrons at $2,100 each a year...	8,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
764	451 AA	Matrons' Relief	1,312	
765	"	Cook	2,100	
766	"	3 Engineers, Police Boat at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
		Total Personal Services		$3,095,632
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)		
767	451 B	Contractual Service	$26,500	
768	451 C	Material and Supplies	48,103	
769	1092	Equipments — Automobiles, Motorcycles — Horses, and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-B)	19,900	
770	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
			$103,503	
		Total Police Department		**$3,199,135**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)		
771	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000	
772	"	Chief Clerk	4,200	
773	"	Stenographer	2,400	
774	"	3 Stenographers, at $2,100 a year	6,300	
775	"	2 Clerks, at $2,100 each a year	4,200	
776	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800	
777	"	2 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
778	476 AB	Relief Telephone Operator	400	
		ACCOUNTING.		
779	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200	
780	"	2 Clerks, at $2,100 each a year	4,200	
		STATISTICS.		
781	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600	
782	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,100	
783	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,100	
784	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500	
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.		
785	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector	$2,700	
786	"	7 Veterinarians, $2,400 each a year	16,800	
787	"	16 Market Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	38,400	
		OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.		
788	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors, at $2,700 each a year	$10,800	
789	"	1 Disinfector	2,220	
790	"	1 Disinfector	2,220	
791	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.	1,200	
		VENEREAL CLINIC.		
792	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000	
793	"	Psychologist	1,800	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
794	476 AA	Assistant Psychologist	1,500	
795	"	Assistant Psychologist	900	
796	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200	
797	"	Psychologist	600	
798	"	Social Service Worker	1,800	
799	"	Physician	1,800	
800	"	Janitress	1,500	
		BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.		
801	476 AA	Director of Laboratories	$3,600	
802	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000	
803	476 AA	2 Bacteriologists, at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
804	476 AA	1 Bacteriologist	2,100	
805	"	Technician	1,500	
806	"	Clerk	2,100	
807	"	2 Helpers, at $720 each a year	1,440	
		Conservation of Child Life.		
		SCHOOL INSPECTION.		
808	476	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000	
809	476 AA	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
810	"	4 School Inspectors (Part Time), at $1,800 each a year	7,200	
811	"	Optometrist	1,500	
		DENTAL.		
812	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000	
813	"	2 Dental Hygienists, at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
814	"	7 Dentists, at $1,200 each a year	8,400	
815	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600	
		CHILD WELFARE.		
816	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000	
817	"	Pediatrician	480	
818	"	Pediatrician	240	
		Food Inspection.		
		MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.		
819	476 AA	2 Veterinarians, at $3,600 each a year	$7,200	
820	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000	
		OTHER FOOD CONTROL.		
821	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	$3,600	
822	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,100 each a year	14,700	
		CHEMICAL LABORATORY.		
823	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000	
824	"	Chemist	2,700	
825	"	Technician	1,080	
		Protection of Life and Property.		
		PLUMBING INSPECTION.		
826	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector, at $3,600 a year	$3,600	
827	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors, at $2,820 each a year	16,920	

HOUSING INSPECTION.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
828	457 A	Tenement House Inspector	$3,000	
829	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
830	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors, at $2,100 each a year	6,300	
		FACTORY INSPECTION.		
831	458 AA	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	
832	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980	
		REFUSE COLLECTION.		
833	429 A	1 Inspector	$2,100	
		CHARITIES AND RELIEF.		
834	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year..	$7,200	
835	"	1 City Physician	3,000	
		FIELD NURSING.		
836	476 A	Director	$3,600	
837	"	Suprevisor School Medical Inspection	2,280	
838	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280	
839	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280	
840	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau, at $2,280 each	4,560	

Nurses.

		TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.		
841	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year.....	$15,840	
842	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800	
		SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.		
843	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year....	$59,400	
844	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100	
		CHILD WELFARE.		
845	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes	$1,980	
846	"	7 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year	13,860	
		SOCIAL SERVICE.		
847	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980	
848	"	Social Service Worker	1,800	
849	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620	
		TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.		
850	476 A	Director	$4,200	
851	"	Physician	600	
852	"	Clerk-Stenographer	900	
853	"	Cook—Michel Angelo School	720	
		Total Personal Services	$406,180	
854	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	2,500	
855	476 BL	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	25,600	
		RAT EXTERMINATION.		
856	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280	
857	476 B	Carfare	225	
858	476 C	Material and Supplies	525	
859	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-D)	23,850	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
860	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment ..	39,350	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$97,330	

Relief Home.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)

861	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
862	"	Clerk	3,000	
863	"	Stenographer	2,100	
864	"	Telephone Operator	900	
865	"	Watchman	1,320	
866	"	Watchman	1,200	
867	"	Physician	2,400	
868	"	Physician	1,800	
869	"	Pharmacist	2,400	
870	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,560	
871	"	7 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	8,400	
872	"	1 Practical Nurse	840	
873	"	1 Practical Nurse	600	
874	"	1 Practical Nurse	480	
875	"	Orderly	720	
876	"	Orderlies as required	6,240	
877	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation.....	100	
878	"	Commissary	2,400	
879	"	Laundryman	2,268	
880	"	Laundress	1,524	
881	"	Laundryman..	600	
882	"	Chief Steward	2,400	
883	"	3 Stewards at $1,680 each a year.....	5,040	
884	"	Head Matron	2,400	
885	"	Matron	1,020	
886	"	Seamstress	1,380	
887	"	Seamstress	1,080	
888	"	Practical Nurse	1,080	
889	"	Chief Cook	2,280	
890	"	Cook	2,100	
891	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940	
892	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
893	"	Butcher	2,520	
894	"	Butcher, Relief	105	
895	"	Baker	1,980	
896	"	Farmer	1,920	
897	"	Farmer	1,800	
898	"	Engineer	2,400	
899	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $1,980 each a year	3,960	
900	"	Driver	1,800	
	552 AC	Plumber at $10.00 a day	3,000	
901	552 AA	Gardener	1,800	
901a	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900	
901b	552 AC	Inmate Help	24,000	
		Total Personal Services	$120,157	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)

902	552 B	Contractual Services	$7,900
903	552 C	Materials and Supplies	260,000
			$267,900

Isolation Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
904	554 AA	Superintendent	$2,400	
905	"	Office Attendant	840	
906	"	Watchman	1,620	
907	"	Night Watchman	1,080	
908	504 AC	Relief Night Watchman	201	
909	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $440	1,320	
910	"	Resident Physician	4,200	
911	"	House Officer	300	
912	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year	240	
913	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400	
914	"	Practical Nurse	600	
915	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600	
916	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year	2,160	
917	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160	
918	"	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152	
919	"	Seamstress	1,080	
920	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200	
921	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,240	
922	"	7 Wardwomen at $540 each a year	3,780	
923	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	2,000	
924	554 AA	Cook	2,100	
925	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175	
926	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720	
927	"	2 Kitchen Helpers at $600 each a year	1,200	
928	"	Dishwasher	540	
929	"	Waitress	1,020	
930	554 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45	
931	554 AA	Gardner	1,200	
		Total Personal Services	$54,573	

San Francisco Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

932	554 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
933	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700	
934	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B.	2,400	
935	"	Clerk	3,000	
936	"	Stenographer	1,920	
937	"	Stenographer	900	
938	"	Statistician	2,100	
939	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200	
940	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
941	"	Office Attendant, T. B.	900	
942	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
943	"	Night Telephone Operator	1,080	
944	"	Night Telephone Operator	840	
945	"	Telephone Operator	1,500	
946	"	Watchman	1,500	
947	"	Watchman, T. B.	1,500	
948	"	Watchman	600	
949	"	Teamster	1,920	
950	"	Messenger	540	
951	"	Institutional Help	3,000	

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
952	554 AA	Resident Physician	1,500	
953	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600	
954	"	30 Internes at $120 a year	3,600	
955	"	Pharmacist	3,000	
956	"	Assistant Pharmacist	2,700	
957	"	Stockman	720	
958	"	Institutional Help	480	
959	"	Dentist	1,200	
960	"	Radiologist	3,000	
961	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800	
962	"	X-Ray Technician	1,200	
963	"	Clerk—Stenographer	1,200	
964	"	Operating Room Nurse	2,100	
965	"	Asst. Operating Room Nurse	1,320	
966	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
967	"	Anaesthetist	1,800	
968	"	Anaesthetist	1,500	
969	"	Plaster Cast Maker	900	
970	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year	3,240	
971	"	Superintendent of Nurses	3,000	
972	"	3 Asst. Supt. of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
973	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T. B	1,380	
974	"	Supervisor of Admissions	1,380	
975	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year	6,000	
976	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500	
977	"	Practical Nurse in Charges of Nurses' Home	900	
978	"	Asst. Practical Nurse	720	
979	"	40 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	48,000	
980	"	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each	2,640	
981	"	Practical Nurses	13,000	
982	"	Orderlies	25,000	
983	"	Orderlies, T. B	1,450	
984	"	Office Assistant Supt. of Nurses	540	
985	"	Medical Advisor	1,500	
986	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000	
987	554 AA	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500	
988	"	4 Institutional Nurses, at $1,200 each a year	4,800	
989	"	2 Practical Nurses, at $600 each a year	1,200	
990	"	2 Practical Nurses, at $540 each	1,080	
991	"	Porter	540	
992	"	Pantrymaid	540	
993	"	4 Orderlies, at $600 each a year	2,400	
994	"	Orderly	540	
995	"	Commissary	3,600	
996	"	Store Keeper	1,800	
997	"	5 Store Keeper Helpers	2,000	
		LAUNDRY.		
998	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300	
999	"	Washer	1,764	
1000	"	Wringer	1,524	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1001	554 AA	Starcher	1,416	
1002	"	Washer's Helper	1,380	
1003	"	4 Ironers, at $1,176 each a year	4,704	
1004	"	3 Ironers, at $1,128 each a year	3,384	
1005	"	3 Dry Room Workers, at $1,104 each a year	3,312	
1006	"	Deliveryman	480	
1007	"	Deliveryman	540	
1008	"	Marker	1,524	
1009	"	Sorter	1,524	
1010	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116	
1011	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104	
1012	"	9 Mangels, at $1,020 each a year	9,180	
1013	"	2 Porters	1,020	
1014	"	Seamstress	540	
		HOUSEKEEPING.		
1015	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,200	
1016	"	4 Seamstresses at $540 each	2,160	
1017	"	Surgery Porter	900	
1018	"	Lockerman	600	
1019	"	Morgueman	600	
1020	"	Morgueman	540	
1021	"	Mattress Maker	600	
1022	"	Incineratorman	540	
1023	"	Sterilizerman	600	
1024	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000	
1025	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080	
1026	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600	
1027	"	Window Washer	600	
1028	"	Window Washer	720	
1029	"	25 Wardmen	15,000	
1030	"	40 Porters	19,200	
1031	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500	
		CULINARY.		
1032	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280	
1033	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100	
1034	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100	
1035	"	4 Cooks at $1,980 each	7,920	
1036	"	Night Cook	1,080	
1037	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100	
1038	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500	
1039	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
1040	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,140 each a year	18,240	
1041	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000	
		POWER PLANT.		
1042	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,300	
1043	"	4 Engineers at $2,400 each a year	9,600	
1044	"	3 Firemen at $2,100 each a year	6,300	
1045	554 AB	Firemen Relief	2,100	
1046	554 AA	Helper	540	
		MAINTENANCE.		
1047	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year	$5,700	
1048	"	Gardener	1,680	
1049	"	Assistant Gardener	720	
1050	"	7 Yardmen	4,200	
1051	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,315	
		Total Personal Services	$417,897	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1052	554 B	Contractual	$18,755	
1053	554 C	Materials and Supplies	170,000	
1054	554 CO	Foodstuff	230,000	
1055	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225	
1056	1092	Equipment	15,000	
			$435,980	

Emergency Hospitals.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)

1058	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000	
1059	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700	
1060	"	Chief Steward	3,000	
1061	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1062	"	10 Surgeons, at $2,400 each a year	24,000	
1063	"	22 Stewards, at $2,400 each a year	52,800	
1064	"	10 Nurses, at $1,980 each a year	19,800	
1065	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1066	"	15 Ambulance Drivers, at $2,400 each a year	36,000	
1067	"	Messenger	1,200	
1068	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	
1069	"	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1070	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	$156,900	
1071	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	$8,287	
1072	5541 C	Material and Supplies	15,000	
1073	5541 H	Fixed Charges	500	
		Total	$23,787	
		Total Board of Health		**$1,980,704**

BOARD OF EDUCATION.

Common School Fund—(Appropriations 48 and 48-B)

1074	576 A	Teachers' Compensation	$5,943,949	
1075		For the Conduct, Maintenance and Operation of the School Department, other than for Teachers' Salaries and Tuition of Junior College students	1,110,528	
		Total School		**$7,054,477**

CHARTER FUND AND STATE LAWS.

1076	612	For Playground Fund as Provided by Charter Amendment, $0.05 in tax rate (Appropriation 49)	**$350,000**
1077	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 50)	**$700,000**
1078	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 51)	**$4,898,389**
1079	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 52)	**$345,000**

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appro-
1080	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 53)..		$262,500
1081	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 54).....		$664,700
1082	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55)		$70,000
1083	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 56)		$17,000
1084	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Appropriation 57)		$45,000
1085	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 59).		$162,318
1086	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 60)		$70,000
1087	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61)		$70,000
		TOTAL BUDGET		**$33,206,473**

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25678 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(1) Riddle Sheet Metal Works, exhaust system for the Galileo High School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $792.

(2) Underwood Typewriter Co., Inc., typewriter machines for the Galileo High School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $876.06.

(3) Park Commission, for planting, etc., of athletic field, High School of Commerce (claim dated May 4, 1926), $3,550.60.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(4) Carroll B. Crawford and Mrs. Margaret S. Crawford, compromise agreement for personal injuries, etc., by Municipal Railways (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,000.

(5) Louis Goehr, compromise agreement for personal injuries sustained by Municipal Railways (claim dated April 30, 1926), $5,750.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(6) Western Pipe & Steel Co., eighth and final payment for furnishing, etc., of steel penstocks and accessories for Moccasin Creek Power Plant (claim dated April 28, 1926), $3,395.93.

Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(7) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 30, 1926), $904.88.

(8) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 30, 1926), $947.03.

(9) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 30, 1926), $506.10.

Special School Tax.

(10) H. H. Hilp, second payment, general construction of temporary additional facilities at Francisco School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $4,578.75.

County Road Fund.

(11) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of crossing of Baker and North Point streets (claim dated May 5, 1926), $919.70.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Clinton Construction Co., sixteenth payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated May 4, 1926), $24,011.25.

(13) M. E. Ryan, eleventh payment, electrical work for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated May 4, 1926), $2,929.96.

(14) J. W. Burtchaell, first payment, electrical fixtures for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated May 4, 1926), $1,087.40.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(15) Capital Decorating & Manufacturing Co., decoration of streets for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco, various celebrations (claim dated May 10, 1926). $1,500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) W. H. Picard, seventeenth

payment, mechanical equipment for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated May 4, 1926), $1,202.03.

(17) Mahony Bros., twentieth payment, general construction of Addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated May 4, 1926), $25,455.50.

(18) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $1,214.76.

(19) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing work for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $3,352.49.

(20) Latourette-Fical Co., mechanical equipment, sixth payment, for New Mission High School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $4,684.08.

(21) M. E. Ryan, fifth payment, electrical work for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $731.79.

(22) A. Lettich, first payment mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,924.50.

(23) F. L. Hansen, third payment general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $9,112.20.

(24) MacDonald & Kahn, ninth payment, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $34,919.33.

(25) Bond Construction Co., sixth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $9,851.

(26) Wm. F. Wilson Co., fourth payment, plumbing, etc., for Alvarado School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,126.21.

(27) Thos. Skelly, second payment, plumbing, etc., for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,253.59.

(28) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for West Portal School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $939.27.

(29) W. H. Picard, sixth payment, plumbing, etc., for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $1,500.99.

(30) Barrett & Hilp, ninth payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated May 4, 1926), $12,933.75.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(31) M. Getz & Co., bakery machinery for new Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $4,000.

(32) Montague Range & Furnace Co. Inc., ranges for new Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,750.

(33) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., rugs for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated April 30, 1926), $787.20.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(34) California State Automobile Association, traffic signs furnished Police Department (claim dated May 10, 1926), $679.90.

(35) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,250.

(36) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,163.70.

(37) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of April, 1926 (claim dated May 10, 1926), $4,308.77.

(38) Market Street Railway Co., refund second installment of taxes paid on property being purchased by the City at Frederick and Willard streets (claim dated May 10, 1926), $671.13.

(39) General Electric Co., lighting projectors, Civic Center illumination during Diamond Jubilee (claim dated Feb. 16, 1926), $3,725.14.

(40) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services, Children's Ward, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 3, 1926), $748.37.

(41) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing for Children's Ward, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,253.25.

(42) Agnes Creede, State Industrial Accident Commission award for the death of Percy J. Creede, employee of S. F. Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1926), $3,288.71.

(43) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 3, 1926), $1,398.51.

(44) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 4, 1926), $1,788.60.

(45) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated May 3, 1926), $508.89.

(46) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated May 3, 1926), $642.02.

(47) Symon Brothers, team hire for street sweeping (claim dated May 5, 1926), $747.50.

(48) Frank Bryant, second payment, construction of bridge at Evans avenue and Army street

(claim dated May 5, 1926), $2,710.

(49) C. U. Martin, furnishing and installing two pumps and motors for Sewage Pumping Station, Great Highway and Vicente street (claim dated May 5, 1926), $1,524.25.

(50) Building Supplies Co., soap and mops for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $514.36.

(51) California Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $967.24.

(52) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,438.49.

(53) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $993.45.

(54) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,846.80.

(55) Herbert F. Dugan, medical supplies for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,293.50.

(56) H. F. Dugan, medical supplies for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1926), $649.35.

(57) Golden State Milk Products Co., butter, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 22, 1926), $1,880.64.

(58) Chas. Brown & Sons, dishes, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1926), $3,572.50.

(59) Department of Public Works, labor and repairs to S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 15, 1926), $3,682.75.

(60) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 27, 1926), $1,005.

(61) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods for S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1926), $2,302.96.

(62) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated May 14, 1926), $8,252.43.

(63) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated May 14, 1926), $970.

(64) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated May 14, 1926), $8,334.36.

(65) Bureau of Management Research, services for month of March in connection with salary standardization (claim dated March 31, 1926), $890.10.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(66) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Co., and the Great Western Power Co., for month of May, 1926, $2,000.

(67) C. A. Heinze, for services as engineering expert witness in valuation of electric properties before the Railroad Commission of the State of California, covering period March 1 to May 6, 1926, including incidental expense (claim dated April 29, 1926), $1,458.85.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

Clinton Construction Co., extra work in construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 24, 1926), $3,227. (Passed for printing May 3, 1926, and withheld on final passage May 10, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

(Supervisor Marks voted *no* on Items Nos. 28 and 40.)

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Appropriations for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25679 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Frank Olmo, for land commencing at a point formed by intersection of the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue with the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; thence running northwesterly along said southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet; of regular dimensions 50 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25610 (New Series), $3,750.

(2) To Elizabeth Koenig for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 150 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 50 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25611 (New Series), $1,800.

(3) To Theresa M. Brooks, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25612 (New Series), $1,100.

(4) To G. H. Sesnon, for lands commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northerly

from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 100 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25613 (New Series), $3,700.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Appropriation, $2,500, for Filling Cut in Ocean Shore Right of Way at Sickles Avenue and at Regent Street.

Resolution No. 25680 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repair and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, in order to complete payment for the filling in the cut of the former Ocean Shore right of way at Sickles avenue and at Regent street.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Appropriation, $10,000 for Summer Symphony Concerts.

Resolution No. 25681 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, for expense of summer symphony concerts for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Appropriation, $25,000, Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Fillmore Street Between Cervantes Boulevard and Marina Boulevard.

Resolution No. 25682 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $25,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improving of Fillmore street from Cervantes boulevard to Marina boulevard, including extras, inspection and portion of cost of moving tracks of Market Street Railway Company to center of widened street.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Appropriation, $900, for Reception of "Hamburg".

Resolution No. 25683 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Mayor has appointed a committee of citizens to make the necessary arrangements for the reception of the officers and midshipmen of the German Republic Training Ship "Hamburg," arriving in San Francisco on June 1, 1926, and leaving on June 8, 1926; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the sum of $900 be and the same is hereby appropriated and set aside out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, for the decoration of Market street and incidental receptions.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Ordering Construction of Additional Rooms, Spring Valley School.

Bill No. 7582, Ordinance No. 7095 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of three additional rooms at the Spring Valley School, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of three additional rooms at the Spring Valley School is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Underground District, Market and Castro Streets.

Bill No. 7590, Ordinance No. 7096 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing electric wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 1n, to read as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1n. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after January 1, 1927, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 19—Market street from Valencia street to Castro street and Castro street from Market street to the southerly line of Nineteenth street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect January 1, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Board of Public Works to Contract for Safety Zone Buttons.

Bill No. 7583, Ordinance No. 7097 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the installation of safety zone buttons.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to prepare specifications, advertise for bids and enter into a contract for the installation of approximately 2300 safety zone buttons at locations to be designated by the Traffic and Safety Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Establishing Grades, Laguna Honda Boulevard.

Bill No. 7584, Ordinance No. 7098 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Laguna Honda boulevard between Hernandez avenue and Portola drive, as shown on map designated as "Grade map of Laguna Honda boulevard from Hernandez avenue to Portola drive."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Laguna Honda boulevard between Hernandez avenue and Portola drive, as shown on map designated as "Grade map of Laguna Honda boulevard from Hernandez avenue to Portola drive," are hereby established at points and elevations above city base as shown on said map, approved by Resolution No. 91518 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 30, 1926.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Lobos Street.

Bill No. 7586, Ordinance No. 7099 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 30, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eight, to read as follows:

Section 908. The width of sidewalks on Lobos street between Plymouth avenue and its easterly termination shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Lobos street between Plymouth avenue and its easterly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7587, Ordinance No. 7100 (New Series), entitled "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Eighteenth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Ellington avenue between Farragut and Whipple avenues; Felton street between Dartmouth and Bowdoin streets; Forty-fourth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Kirkham street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues; Kirkham street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues; Lawton street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues; Mendell street between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues; Naples street between Silver and Peru avenues; Ottawa avenue between Mission street and Ellington avenue; Twenty-eighth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; crossing of Dublin street and Russia avenue; crossing of Twenty-third avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Lane street and McKinnon avenue; crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Moraga street; crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Lawton street; crossing of Twenty-eighth avenue and Kirkham street; Kirkham street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues; Twenty-first avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, and the crossing of Forthy-fourth avenue and Santiago street, and Naglee avenue between Mission street and Ellington avenue."

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Bill No. 7588, Ordinance No. 7101 (New Series), entitled "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Bowdoin street between Silver avenue and Silliman street; Burrows street between San Bruno avenue and Charter Oak avenue; Broad street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue; Cross street between Allison street and Pope street; Crane street between Paul avenue and Salinas avenue; Congdon street between Ney street and Maynard street; Eighteenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street; Fifteenth street between Hampshire street and Potrero avenue; Fortieth avenue between Irving street and Judah street; Kirkham street between Eighteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue; Farallones street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue; Gates street between Ogden avenue and Crescent avenue, and the crossing of Gates street and Odgen avenue; Ingerson avenue between Ingalls street and Jennings street, and the crossings of Ingerson avenue and Ingalls street and Ingerson avenue and Jennings street; Jerrold avenue between San Bruno avenue and the easterly line of Napoleon street, including the intersections of Jerrold avenue and Barneveld avenue and Jerrold avenue and Napoleon street; Kirkham street between Seventeenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue; Kirkham street between Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue; Lane street between Wallace avenue and Yosemite avenue; Lippard street between Bosworth street and Chenery street; Morse street between Lowell street and Whittier street; Mount Vernon avenue between Howth street and Louisburg street, and the crossing of Mount Vernon avenue and Louisburg street; Majestic avenue between Lake View avenue and its southerly termination; Newhall street between Newcomb avenue and Oakdale avenue; Newhall street between Oakdale avenue and Palou avenue; Nevada street between Cortland avenue and Powhattan avenue; Ogden avenue between Andover street and Moultrie street; Tenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street; Ortega street between Eighteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue; Pacheco street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue; Roanoke street between Chenery street and Arlington avenue; Rivera street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue; Seventeenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street; Shafter avenue between Jennings street and Keith street; Sears street between Sickles avenue and Lawrence avenue; Somerset street between Bacon street and Wayland street; Thirty-ninth avenue between Irving street and Judah street; Thornton avenue between San Bruno avenue and Charter Oak avenue; Tucker avenue between Delta street and Rutland street, and the crossing of Tucker avenue and Rutland street; Tioga avenue between Delta street and Rutland street and the crossing of Tioga avenue and Rutland street; Thirty-first avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street; Twenty-third avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Twenty-fourth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street; Twentieth avenue between Quintara street and Rivera street; Twenty-first avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street; Vicente

street between Twenty-third avenue and Twenty-fourth avenue; Van Dyke avenue between Lane street and Keith street; crossing of Rivera street and Twentieth avenue; crossing of Anza street and Thirty-second avenue; crossing of Burrows street and Holyoke street; crossing of Farragut avenue and Huron avenue; crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Ortega street; intersection of Judson avenue and Foerster street."

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7589, Ordinance No. 7102 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadways of Bacon street between Bowdoin and Hamilton streets, Hollister avenue between Third and Jennings streets and the crossings of Hollister avenue and Jennings street, Nebraska street between Cortland and Powhattan avenues, Randolph street between Arch and Ramsdell streets, Randolph street between Orizaba avenue and Bright street, Randolph street between Head and Victoria streets, Randolph street between Ralston and Vernon streets, Randolph street between Bright and Head streets, Sala Terrace between Huron and Ellington avenues, Van Ness avenue between Market and Mission streets, crossing of Bowdoin street and Felton street, and crossing of Bacon street and Hamilton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Bacon street between Bowdoin and Hamilton streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Hollister avenue between Third and Jennings streets and the crossing of Hollister avenue and Jennings street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Nebraska street between Cortland and Powhattan avenues, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Randolph street between Arch and Ramsdell streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Randolph street between Orizaba avenue and Bright street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Randolph street between Head and Victoria streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Randolph street between Ralston and Vernon streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Randolph street between Bright and Head streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Sala Terrace between Huron and Ellington avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; Van Ness avenue between Market and Mission streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs have been laid thereon; crossing of Bowdoin street and Felton street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; crossing of Bacon street and Hamilton street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Recommitted.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and on motion ordered *recommitted to the Streets Committee*:

Fixing Sidewalks, Thirtieth Street and Laidley Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and nine and nine hundred and ten.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061,

entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office April 30, 1926, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and nine and nine hundred and ten, to read as follows:

Section 909. The width of sidewalks on Thirtieth street between Noe street and Castro street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Thirtieth Street between Noe Street and Castro Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 910. The width of sidewalks on Laidley street between Thirtieth street and Noe and Harry streets shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Laidley Street between Thirtieth Street and Noe Street and Harry Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Action Deferred.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing was taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

Confirmation of Sale of City Lands.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6999, approved March 10, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 5th day of April, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6999 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the Chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $900, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 5th day of April, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco for the sum of $1,100, and accepted from said Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco a deposit in cash in the amount of $110, being 10 per cent of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 12th day of April, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder, and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of eighteen (18) days from and after the 15th day of April, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 10th day of May, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 10th day of May, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person, or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $1,100 bid as aforesaid by Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco is not disproportionate to

the value of the property sold and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco for the sum of $1,100 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wawona street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1273, also known as Lot 2, Block 2502, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $56,980.96, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Anchor Brass Works, brass box water hydrants, etc., for parks (claim dated May 11, 1926), $649.50.

(2) The California Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated May 14, 1926), $543.70.

(3) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated May 14, 1926), $648.38.

(4) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated May 14, 1926), $1,243.30.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(5) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,-882.79.

(6) City Coal Co., Inc., coal, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $726.

(7) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,905.13.

(8) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, drill parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $638.05.

(9) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., five pumps, electric equipment, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $675.

(10) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,964.26.

(11) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,-007.15.

(12) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oils (claim dated May 7, 1926), $665.83.

(13) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., second payment, core borings along aqueduct, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 6, 1926), $2,521.20.

(14) R. W. McKinney Co., black pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 10, 1926), $3,-906.19.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 10, 1926), $671.50.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(16) United States Director of National Park Service, eighth annual payment, due under Section

17, Act of Congress, granting to the City and County rights of way in the Yosemite and Stanislaus National Parks, for 1926 (claim dated May 10, 1926), $15,000.

School Bond Fund, Issue 1918.

(17) The Dictaphone Sales Corporation, four dictaphone machines for High School of Commerce (claim dated May 11, 1926), $540.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(18) G. Albert Lansburgh, fifth payment, architectural service for the Alvarado School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,447.44.

(19) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, second payment, architectural service for Henry Durant School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $985.88.

(20) J. R. Miller, sixth payment, architectural service for Alamo School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,984.01.

(21) Ward & Blohme, third payment, architectural service, Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,608.57.

(22) Weeks & Day, fifth payment, architectural service for Hawthorne School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $726.08.

(23) Burnham Plumbing Co., seventh payment, gasfitting and sprinkler system for New Mission High School (claim dated May 12, 1926), $3,569.43.

(24) John Reid, Jr., fifth payment, architectural service for additional units to Galileo High School, gymnasium, etc. (claim dated May 12, 1926), $1,282.51.

(25) John Reid, Jr., eleventh payment, architectural service for New Mission High School (claim dated May 12, 1926), $1,064.52.

County Road Fund.

(26) Bond Construction Co., fifth payment, construction of bridge at Mt. Vernon avenue and San Jose avenue (claim dated May 12, 1926), $26,000.

(27) Fay Improvement Co., first payment, improvement of parking area of Claremont Circle (claim dated May 12, 1926), $2,400.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(28) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., of Municipal Railways (claim dated May 7, 1926), $6,835.42.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(29) L. P. Brassey, second payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 145 (claim dated May 11, 1926), $7,350.

(30) Robert W. Jamison, first payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for Sunset line, Municipal Railways, Contract 146 (claim dated May 10, 1926), $525.

Special School Tax.

(31) Carl H. Peterson, second payment, general construction of annex to Parkside School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $5,451.33.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(32) California Printing Co., printing license books for Auditor (claim dated May 7, 1926), $568.80.

(33) Frank Bryant, final payment, construction of bridge at Evans avenue and Army street (claim dated May 12, 1926), $891.15.

(34) Justin N. Ducray, final payment for construction of sewers in Twenty-sixth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim dated May 12, 1926), $6,072.50.

(35) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing the Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated May 17, 1926), $940.

(36) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated May 17, 1926), $720.

(37) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing Auditor's report (claim dated May 17, 1926), $900.

(38) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $3,850.80.

(39) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $2,117.68.

(40) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,509.38.

(41) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $989.89.

(42) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,431.57.

(43) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $564.17.

(44) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $10,523.05.

(45) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $3,709.12.

(46) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $24,290.32.

(47) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $540.

(48) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors for March (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,034.83.

(49) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors for April (claim dated May 11, 1926), $1,071.99.

(50) American La France Co.,

Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated April 30, 1926), $543.25.

(51) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1926), $919.65.

(52) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,610.12.

(53) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated April 30, 1926) $987.25.

(54) Shell Company, fuel oil, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1926), $772.78.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water service and installing hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,255.07.

(56) Henry Cowell Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,526.49.

(57) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing (claim dated May 7, 1926), $2,051.75.

(58) James E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,734.40.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for public buildings (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,854.32.

(60) L. Dinkelspiel Co., sheeting for Relief Home (claim dated March 30, 1926), $650.92.

(61) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated April 27, 1926), $3,125.55.

(62) Golden State Milk Products Co., butter, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,006.32.

(63) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $810.

(64) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated May 6, 1926), $1,037.85.

(65) Kahn & Co., X-Ray films, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,268.72.

(66) P. J. Moliterno, potatoes, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $554.25.

(67) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $660.84.

(68) Herbert F. Dugan, bandages, Emergency Hospitals (claim dated May 10, 1926), $578.75.

(69) Grover R. Neil, first payment, loading, hauling and installing of safety-lane markers, Contract No. 1 (claim dated May 12, 1926), $750.

(70) Union Tank & Pipe Co., 10,000 Universal Safety Stops (traffic), (claim dated May 13, 1926), $1,200.

(71) Graf-Barton Signal Co., 500 traffic zone markers (claim dated May 13, 1926), $2,000.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(72) John J. Dailey, legal services in valuation of San Francisco electrical properties before the State Railroad Commission (claim dated May 14, 1926), $850.

(73) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services in valuation of San Francisco electric properties before the State Railroad Commission (claim dated May 14, 1926), $750.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for the Acquisition of Property Required for the Extension of Market Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the extension of Market street, to-wit:

(1) To Mary Lynch, for property on the southerly line of Market street, distant 425 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25601 (New Series), $4,052.

(2) To Anna D. Roller, for properties beginning at point of intersection of the northerly boundary line of Lot 4 of Block 4 of Market Street Homestead with the westerly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along said northerly boundary line 18.295 feet; and beginning at point of intersection of southerly line of Mono street north of Caselli avenue with the westerly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along southerly line of Mono street 14.632 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25601 (New Series), $2,750.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Properties Required for the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the $100,000 set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series) in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, to-wit:

(1) To F. Reis, Jr., for property beginning at a point on the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, dis-

tant thereon 76.229 feet southerly from the southerly boundary of Lot 287, Precita Valley Lands; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25603 (New Series), $4,056.

(2) To Cecelia Ulfelder and Selma G. Lowe, for property beginning at point of intersection of the northerly line of Cortland avenue with the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Cortland avenue 40 feet; being portions of Lots 294 and 301, Precita Valley Lands; also, property beginning at point of intersection of the northern boundary of Lot 310, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and thence running southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 18.343 feet, etc.; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25559 (New Series), $11,694.

Construction of Photograph Gallery, Hall of Justice.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7591, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a photograph gallery for the Police Department on the Hall of Justice, on Kearny street between Washington and Merchant streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction, approving plans and specifications therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of a photograph gallery for the Police Department on the Hall of Justice, situate on Kearny street between Washington and Merchant streets, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said photograph gallery conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Registration of Voters.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Schmidt and referred to the Finance Committee, was returned by said Committee with favorable recommendation and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 25684 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public press reports that the Registrar of Voters in Los Angeles has to date 550,000 voters registered and expects to have 700,000 registered by July 1st this year; and

Whereas, in the City and County of San Francisco the Registrar of Voters has only 133,000 voters registered to date, and data obtained from the telephone company and other corporations, as well as civic organizations, places our population at not less than 800,000, showing San Francisco should have over 300,000 registered voters by July 31st, 1926; and

Whereas, the registration of voters in the said City and County of San Francisco lags because the election authorities have not and will not take advantage of the law permitting them to employ canvassers who are compensated upon the basis of number of valid names registered. The Registrar of Voters has only twenty deputies in the field while Los Angeles has over one thousand deputies seeking registrations; and

Whereas, at the ensuing general election, in addition to candidates for State office, the voters will be called upon to vote upon propositions which vitally affect San Francisco and the neighboring counties and towns, among which propositions is one calling for the apportionment of moneys for the State Highway purposes on the basis of one-half thereof for use in thirteen southern counties, including Los Angeles, and one-half in the remaining forty-five counties of the State; and another providing for a reapportionment of the legislative districts of the State under which San Francisco will be deprived of adequate representation in both Senate and Assembly; and

Whereas, it is particularly necessary for the wellbeing of this community that its voting strength be registered to its very last vote; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Election Commissioners and the Registrar of Voters be and they are hereby urged to proceed immediately to the employment of the necessary help, as authorized by law, for a complete and speedy canvass and registering of the un-

registered voters of this City and County and prosecute the work of such registration vigorously to the end that San Francisco may be prepared to poll its full strength on any candidate or proposition appearing on the ballot.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Turk Street at Scott Street, Commercial District.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7592, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Turk and Scott streets, for a distance of 50 feet on Turk street and 65 feet on Scott street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Potrero Avenue and Twenty-third Street, Commercial District.

Also, Bill No. 7593, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Potrero avenue and Twenty-third street, for a distance of 25 feet on Potrero avenue and 100 feet on Twenty-third street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Commercial Districts in Sunset.

Also, Bill No. 7594, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed as follows:

That both sides of Lawton street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both of Noriega street between Twenty-second and Twenty-seventh avenues, between Thirty-eight and Thirty-ninth avenues and between Forty-fourth and Forty-eighth avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Quintara street between Twentieth and Twenty-second avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Vicente street between Twenty-first and Twenty-fourth avenues and between Thirty-third and Forty-eighth avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

That both sides of Forty-sixth avenue between Taraval street and Sloat boulevard, and extending to the depth of the rear lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial

District instead of in the First Residential District.

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Ahlbach & Mayer, south side of Clifford street, 116.62 feet west of Upper Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Brodie, west side of Divisadero street, 50 feet north of Jefferson street, 1500 gallons capactiy.

J. Carrere, 1435 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Arthur Dunn, 1632 Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of Twenty-eighth avenue, 100 feet south of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northwest corner of Oak street and Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. D. Frazee, 539 Fourteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. M. Goldberg, No. 2 Scenic way, 600 gallons capactiy.

Ed. Jose, north side of Fell street, 187 feet 6 inches east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed. Jose, north side of Fell street, 220 feet east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed. Jose, north side of Fell street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Keenan, east side of Jones street, 100 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mercantile Trust Co., northeast corner of Twenty-second and Mission streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Capt. F. Nash, north side of Sea View Terrace, end of Thirty-first avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Nederland Bros., south side of Sixteenth street, 105 feet east of Folsom street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Polati, north side of Union street, 100 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Eugene Simon, south side of Jackson street, 165 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Smith-White Co., north side of Sea View Terrace, 125 feet west of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Wienholz, northwest corner of Howard and Adair streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Wienholz, west side of Twelfth avenue, 125 feet north of Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Acme Junk Co., 516 Townsend street, 10 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alfred C. Casseltana be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted McGary Robson by Resolution No. 25090 (New Series) for premises at the junction of Mission and Morse streets between Whittier and Lowell streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northwest corner of Eleventh and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Taxicab Stand Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 25685 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit granted to Samuel Caldwell for a taxicab stand at 430 Broadway is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1

Clerk to Advertise for Bids for Street Lighting.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25686 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for proposals for lighting public buildings, streets and parks of the City and County for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1926, in accordance with specifications prepared and under direction of the Lighting, Water Service and Telephone Service Committee of the Board.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Clerk Directed to Advertise for Bids for Printing Journals and Calendars.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 25687 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received by this Board at the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, June 7, 1926, for printing the Journal of Proceedings and Calendars of the Board of Supervisors, Daily Trial and Law and Motion Calendars and Decisions of the Supreme and Appellate Courts for the fiscal year 1926-1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Compromise of Gas and Electric Rate Litigation.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there are pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California four suits, numbered 27, 32, 97 and 190 on the equity file of said court, in all of which suits the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco and its officers are defendants; and

Whereas, said suits involve judicial determination of the validity under the United States Constitution of ordinances numbered 2349, 2348, 2814 and 3338 (all new series) of the City and County of San Francisco, prescribing and fixing respectively rates to be charged by public service corporations in San Francisco for electricity during the fiscal year 1913-14, and for gas during the fiscal years 1913-1914, 1914-15 and 1915-16; and

Whereas, the decrees previously rendered by the District Court in the City's favor in said suits numbered 27, 97 and 190, involving gas rates, have been reversed on appeal by the Supreme Court of the United States, and the suits sent back for retrial; and in case No. 32, involving electric rates, the report of the Master in Chancery recommends issuance of an injunction prohibiting the enforcement of said rates; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has transmitted to the Board of Supervisors a written communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, dated December 16, 1925, offering to compromise and settle all of said suits upon certain terms therein set forth; and

Whereas, both former City Attorney George Lull, who was in office pending the trial and appeal of the foregoing litigation, and the present City Attorney, John J. O'Toole, have recommended in writing that this Board authorize and direct the settlement of said suits upon the terms set forth in said communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company dated December 16, 1925; and

Whereas, after a careful examination and consideration of all the facts relating to said suits and the controversies therein involved, which have been submitted, the Board of Supervisors believes, in view of the large number of consumers who are interested in the outcome of said suits, of the considerable time which must elapse and the large expense to the taxpayers that must be incurred before the suits could again be tried and a final decision had, of the doubt that the City Attorney expresses as to the eventual outcome of the litigation by reason of the opinion of the United States Supreme Court rendered in the reversal of previous decrees in the City's favor, and in view of the relatively small amounts payable to individual consumers under any outcome of the suits, that the best interests of the City and the ratepayers will be conserved by a compromise along the lines recommended by the City Attorney; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to settle and compromise said four suits be and it is hereby accepted; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into stipulations with counsel for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the entry of decrees in said four suits which shall finally settle and dispose of the same upon the terms and conditions set forth in said letter from said company dated December 16, 1925; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to take all steps necessary to see that said decrees when entered by the court are duly executed so as to protect the interests

of the City and the ratepayers in the premises.

Referred.

The following matter was *referred to the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development*:

Method of Replacing Street Pavement.

Bill No. 7595, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the method of replacing paving in streets removed by public service corporations by the Board of Public Works and payment for cost of work done.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. All public service corporations having the privilege of using the streets of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting light, water, power, heat, gas, telephone service or other means of communication, when about to extend or improve their service or make repairs or replacement thereto and it is necessary to remove paving on the streets, shall notify in writing the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco of the intended removal of paving, specifying the streets on which the work is to be done and the estimated length of time the work will take to complete.

Section 2. Upon the completion of any work described in Section 1 of this ordinance the public service corporation engaged in such work shall immediately notify the Board of Public Works in writing that the work has been completed.

Section 3. The Board of Public Works upon receiving notice from any public service corporation of intention to remove paving shall cause an estimate of the cost of replacing the pavement to be made and make all preparations necessary to actually replace the pavement upon receipt of notice from the public service corporation that their work of extension, improvement or repairing has been completed.

Section 4. The Board of Public Works shall upon completing the replacing of any pavement removed by a public service corporation render a bill to such public service corporation that caused the removal of the pavement for the cost of replacing the pavement, which cost shall be a reasonable sum to be measured by the prevailing market price for similar work.

Section 5. The Board of Public Works shall immediately upon completion of the replacing of any pavement forward a bill to the public service corporation which caused the paving to be removed and the public service corporation must within thirty days after receipt of the bill remit to the Board of Public Works the amount of said bill.

Section 6. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional it shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more of the sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1926.

Section 8. All other ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Passed for Printing.

The following bills were *passed for printing*:

Releasing, Cancelling and Annulling Contract for Improvement of Jones Street Between North Point and Beach Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7596, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Releasing, cancelling and annulling that certain contract made and entered into by and between Municipal Construction Company and the Board of Public Works on December 16, 1925, designated as Street Assessment Contract No. 1981 (New Series), for the improvement of Jones street between North Point street and Beach street and repealing Ordinance No. 6819 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That certain contract made and entered into by and between Municipal Construction Company and the Board of Public Works on December 16, 1925, designated as Street Assessment Contract No. 1981 (New Series), for the improvement of Jones street between North Point street and Beach street, is hereby cancelled and annulled, and the Municipal Construction Company is hereby released from said contract at the instance and request of the contracting parties thereto, for the reasons specified in Resolution No. 91560 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works passed May 5, 1926, and filed in the Clerk's office of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1926.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 6819 (New Series) ordering the improvement of Jones street between North Point street and Beach street is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Washington Street Between Taylor and Jones Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7597, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eleven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 6, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eleven, to read as follows:

Section 911. The width of sidewalks on Washington street, the southerly side of, between Taylor street and Jones street, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Washington street, the northerly side of, between Taylor street and Jones street, shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Approving Map of Mount Davidson Manor.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25688 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91575 (Second Series), approve a map of Mount Davidson Manor, San Francisco, California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Mount Davidson Manor, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Deed From Residential Development Company for Land Required for Street Purposes in Westwood Park.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25689 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 29th day of April, 1926, between Residential Development Company of San Francisco, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying lands for street purposes, particularly described and bounded as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point of intersection of the westerly boundary line of Westwood Park, as per map thereof filed March 20, 1917, in Book "H" of Maps, pages 66 to 71, inclusive, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, with the southerly boundary line of Lot 15 in Block No. 3106, as same is shown upon said map, running thence south 0 deg. 24 min. east along the westerly boundary line of said map 50 feet to the northerly line of Lot 1 in Block 3167, as same is shown upon said map; thence north 89 deg. 36 min. east along the northerly line of said Lot 1 a distance of 10 feet to the westerly line of Kenwood way as same is shown upon said map; thence north 0 deg. 24 min. west along the westerly line of said Kenwood way 50 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 15 in Block No. 3106; thence south 89 deg. 36 min. west along the southerly line of said Lot 15 in Block No. 3106, a distance of 10 feet, to the point of beginning, is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land conveyed be declared to be an open public street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Deed to Land for Streets in Mount Davidson Manor.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25690 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 9th day of April, 1926, between Fernando Nelson & Sons, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, conveying lands for street purposes as shown on map of Mount Davidson Manor, approved by Resolution No. 91575

(Second Series) by the Board of Public Works is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands conveyed be declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Approving Map of Van Ness Avenue Extension.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25691 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91574 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 5, 1926, approve a map showing the opening of Van Ness avenue south between Market and Mission streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the opening of Van Ness avenue south between Market and Mission streets is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25692 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 14, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Brazil avenue between Moscow and Munich streets, including the crossing of Munich and Prague streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that it is necessary in order to allow fill to settle on a portion of the work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Also, Resolution No. 25693 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. M. Gallagher is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after May 5, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Madrid street between Silver and Peru avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bills were *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7598, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Beverly, Monticello and Byxbee streets between Garfield street and Worcester avenue; on Ralston street between Garfield and Randolph streets; on Shields street between Ralston street and the westerly line of Byxbee street, and on Sargent street between Vernon street and Worcester avenue."

Also, Bill No. 7599, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Gaven street between Barneveld avenue and Boylston street, and on Merrill street between Sweeny and Gaven streets."

Also, Bill No. 7600, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets."

Also, Bill No. 7601, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Munich street between Brazil avenue and a line parallel with and 300 feet southerly therefrom."

Also, Bill No. 7602, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 20th day of March, 1926, by Resolution No. 25360 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention: therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Sixteenth Avenue.

Ulloa street, 362 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 347 feet.

Vicente street, 340 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25694 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 91587 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted May 5, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed May 7, 1926, to-wit:

On Scott street between Chestnut and Lewis streets; on Divisadero street between Chestnut and Bay streets and between Jefferson and Lewis streets; on Broderick street between Lewis street and a line parallel with Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches southerly therefrom; on Baker street between Francisco and Lewis streets; on Lyon street between Jefferson and Lewis streets; on Chestnut street between Divisadero and Baker streets; on Francisco street between Scott and Broderick streets; on Bay, North Point, Beach and Jefferson streets between Scott and Lyon streets, and on Tonquin and Lewis streets between Pierce and Lyon streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Clerk to Call for Proposals for Garbage Disposal.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 25695 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Health Committee of the Board of Supervisors has been investigating the garbage disposal methods of various cities of the Pacific Coast, including Seattle, Portland, Oakland, Berkeley and Los Angeles and find that dumping on dry land, dumping at sea and incineration were the principal means used for disposal purposes: and

Whereas, many propositions are now on file offering to dispose of San Francisco's garbage in the manner above mentioned; and

Whereas, it is necessary to publicly advertise that San Francisco is determined to abolish the present incinerator now used for garbage disposal; therefore, be it

Resolved, That sealed proposals will be received by the Board of Supervisors on Monday, June 14, 1926, 3 p. m., for disposing of garbage either by dumping on land or sea, by incineration or any other modern method.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Boulder Dam Project Approved.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25696 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a measure is pending in Congress providing for the erection of a dam at Boulder Canyon on the Colorado River, and such project

contemplates a vast amount of storage of flood waters which are a menace to property of a great region, and which measure also involves the reclamation of an immense area of arid land, the supplying of water to the inhabitants of a large portion of the State and the generation of electricity which will relieve the use of the waters of northern California for their local needs; therefore,

Resolved, That this Board views the Boulder Canyon Dam Project as one which will benefit the entire State of California, and that the Swing-Johnson measure now pending in Congress is deserving of our unqualified endorsement; that a copy of this resolution be transmitted to Senator Johnson and Congressman Swing.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Appropriation for Peninsula Water Supply Investigation.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco approves of the proposition to investigate the present and future water supply of the Peninsula Region as proposed by the committee appointed at a conference of city officials of said region, and expresses its willingness to participate in such investigation; furthermore, and inasmuch as said investigation will concern the use of water from the Hetch Hetchy, that the Finance Committee of this Board be recommended to appropriate the sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000) from the Hetch Hetchy Operative Fund for the purpose of making the investigation.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Citizens' Committee Summer Symphony Concerts.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25698 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to appoint a Citizens' Committee to aid in the holding of summer symphony concerts at the Auditorium in this City.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath.—1.

Public Utilities Committee Meeting Postponed.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Clerk be instructed to send notices to all interested parties that Public Utilities Committee meeting next Wednesday will be *postponed one week.*

Street Carnival.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Communication, from San Francisco Retailers' Protective Association, requesting permission to hold a street carnival on Van Ness avenue between Market and Mission streets on June 5th to 13th.

Referred to Police Committee.

Report on Industrial Dispute Conferences.

Supervisor Havenner, chairman of Committee on Industrial Dispute, reported verbally on conferences had with both sides of the controversy and said that he hoped soon to report progress.

Public Hearing on Budget.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that when we adjourn we do so to meet again for a public hearing on the proposed Budget of Municipal Expenditures for the Fiscal Year 1926-1927 on Wednesday, May 19, 1926, at 2 p. m.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 26, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 21

Wednesday, May 19, 1926, 2 p. m.

Thursday, May 20, 1926, 3 p. m.

Friday, May 21, 1926, 1 p. m.

Monday, May 24, 1926, 2 p. m.

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WEDNESDAY, MAY 19, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, May 19, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment for the purpose of conducting a public hearing on the proposed Budget of Municipal Expenditures for the Fscal Year 1926-1927, as presented by the Finance Committee.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Chairman.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Marks was nominated and elected to preside.

The Chairman announced that the meeting was being held pursuant to Section III of Chapter I of Article III of the Charter, which provides that the Supervisors, in making up their annual Budget, and before finally determining same, shall fix such time or times as may be necessary to allow the taxpayers to be heard in regard thereto.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. W. S. Lunsford, representing the Transcontinental Highway Commission, Reno, Nevada, was granted the privilege of the floor. He wanted it understood that he was not a taxpayer, but was before the Board in the interest of the Nevada Transcontinental Highway Exposition, which will be held at Reno in 1927, which he assured the Board will be positively held, rumors to the contrary notwithstanding. The State of Nevada, he said, has already erected and has at least 50 per cent completed its $250,000 building. The County of Washoe, wherein the Exposition is to be held, has already appropriated $300,000. $750,000 is a conservative estimate of the amount of money that is appropriated by the State of Nevada and the County of Washoe for the successful conduct of the proposed Exposition. We are reliably informed, he said, that no appropriation for San Francisco's representation at the Exposition has been made in this year's Budget. $50,000, he said, had been previously appropriated for the purpose, but was turned back into the General Fund. He called attention to the importance and benefit to be derived by San Francisco from the proposed Exposition at Reno, and urged that adequate provision be made for this City's representation at the proposed Exposition.

Joseph Cummings, representing the Down Town Association, also addressed the Board, reinforcing what Mr. Lunsford had to say, and pointing out Nevada's appropriation for participation in our own Panama-Pacific Exposition in 1915. He urged that the appropriation be made.

Mr. C. A. Fleming, representing the Chamber of Commerce, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He cordially thanked the Finance Committee for the consideration and forward-looking attitude they had taken toward the establishment of an airport for San Francisco by the appropriation in this year's Budget of the sum of $100,000 for that purpose. Mr. Fleming declared in reply to query by Supervisor Welch that the very minimum for an airport was about 30 acres. That, he said, would be the first unit. As the industry grows there will be need for more and more room, not only for landing purposes, but for the industries that will grow up around the field. The 30 acres would be necessary for the hangars and for the storage of private airplanes, mail planes, etc. The airplane industry will presumably grow up around that field in the adjacent territory. Down in Santa Monica, he said, one industry, the Douglas Airplane Company, has a payroll of nearly four hundred people, and they are making planes on quite a large scale there.

We have an opportunity of getting into the field and getting the industry here. In reply to Supervisor Shannon's question as to the maximum acreage needed, he said it was purely a matter of development. The chamber, he said, had made a study of the 30-acre unit, which could be developed into a 140-acre unit provided the money was forthcoming.

Edward O'Dea, representing the Spring Valley Water Company, was granted the privilege of the floor and read a protest from Spring Valley Water Company against the alleged inadequacy of the appropriation made in Budget Item No. 721 for water for hydrant service, to-wit:

"In the proposed Budget estimates for the fiscal year 1926-1927, as submitted to your Board by the Finance Committee, dated May 17, 1926, Budget Item No. 721, under the general heading of Fire Department items, municipal expenditures for the said fiscal year for hydrant service, Spring Valley rental and water for buildings, at $150,000. A conservative estimate of the expenditures of the City for the above purposes during the current fiscal year prior to June 30, 1926, may be itemized as follows: Fire hydrants, $169,000; high-pressure system for steaming and miscellaneous purposes only, $3,000; water for Fire Department buildings, $6,200; Department of Electricity and fire boats, $1,000; making a total, exclusive of water actually used in filling Twin Peaks reservoir and high-pressure pipe system, $179,000. Your attention is directed to the fact that the bills actually paid for water and serving to the Fire Department for the ten months of the current fiscal year amount to $154,-423, which is more than $5,000 in excess of the total figure set out in your proposed estimate for the whole of the next fiscal year, and with no allowance whatever for normal increase in the growth of the city. A conservative estimate for the next fiscal year is as follows: Hydrants, $174,500; high-pressure system, including water for steaming and also filling Twin Peaks reservoir and high-pressure pipes, $27,000; buildings, $6.500; total, $208,000. The Finance Committee apparently has arbitrarily cut $58,000 from the necessary expenditures for the above services. In this reduction of $58,000 the Finance Committee may have in mind a saving of $24,000 by the elimination for the next fiscal year of brush water in the Twin Peaks reservoir and the high-pressure system. The balance of the reduction, to-wit, $34,000, must come out of the hydrant service, which would mean the crippling of the City's fire protection by the elimination of from 900 to 1000 fire hydrants.

Very truly yours,
SPRING VALLEY WATER COMPANY,
By G. A. ELLIOTT,
Vice-President."

Mrs. Elizabeth Gerberding, representing the Preservation League, commends the Finance Committee recommendation for $100,000 for preservation of Fine Arts Palace.

John O'Connell, spoke for wage increases for janitors, elevator operators and draftsmen.

Mr. Grover O'Connor, representing the Municipal Civil Service Employees' Association, requested an additional appropriation to the Civil Service Commission for the purpose of holding examinations for temporary positions—between 200 and 300 jobs.

J. F. Kelly requests street work on Washington and Clay; protests appropriation for voting machines as being illegal; protests Mulvey increase and auto hire Horticultural Commission.

Jack Rose, San Francisco Fire Department, complains that No. 652 and No. 653 are short three battalion chiefs and two operators, respectively. Will result in reduction in rank.

Wm. J. Ostrolow, East and West Castro Street Improvement Club, protests that no provision was made for playground at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets (site of old quarry). Requests $15,000. Told that $15,000 in this year's Budget will be used immediately for this purpose. This promise satisfied him.

Ralph McLeran requests additional appropriation for Tubercular Sanitarium in San Mateo County and completion of Civic Center. Suggests $100,000 for purchase of rights of way to open up Van Ness avenue from Mission street to Howard street.

Dr. Hassler explained the necessity for carrying out the Tubercular Sanitarium project by making additional appropriation.

Mrs. Tate Thompson, director of Tuberculosis Bureau, urged an adequate appropriation for the care of tubercular patients.

Frank Olmo urged action on Bernal Cut.

Dr. Sahlfield spoke in favor of Bernal Cut. Wants $22,500 for street work, Haight street, Buchanan to Divisadero.

Jos. M. Cummings, Down Town

Association, discussed the lighting appropriation. Thinks the appropriation is inadequate to meet the growth of the city.

Daniel O'Connell—Item No. 321 and other employees of Superior Court should be abolished; City would save $60,000 a year. Item No. 36 a misnomer; not a War Memorial; illegal.

Mrs. De Bryan wants $4,000 for Mount Vernon street work. Will be taken care of out of Good Roads Fund.

Louis C. Towne, representing seasonal clerks, wants their salary scale fixed at $190 per month, same as regular clerks.

Mr. Wahlin—Same request.

Clyde Farrell, Bernal Heights Clubs, wants $10,000 for Bernal boulevard and park.

Mrs. Bauman and *Mrs. Wissing*, Douglass street between Twentieth and Twenty-first, want $21,500; property owners will share other half.

Mrs. R. D. Hutchinson and *Mrs. Peterson*—Extend bond sewer, College Hill, Schwerin street, $25,000.

Mrs. Schneurt, secretary Haight and Ashbury Improvement Club—Masonic avenue between Oak and Fell street.

Miss Margaret O'Callaghan wants definite determination of City's intention as to how far Van Ness avenue is to be extended, because of effect on property holdings.

Mr. Lukrawka wants recompense for service in securing opinion in favor of City relative to extension of water service against Spring Valley Water Company.

George Gerhard, secretary Civic League of Improvement Clubs, due to lack of quorum, requested the privilege of sending in a written statement urging an additional appropriation for the Tubercular Sanitarium, to be read at the full Board meeting to be held May 20, 1926, at 3 p. m., it being understood that the public would not be heard at this meeting, the Budget being in the hands of the Board for action. Request *granted*.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 3 p. m., May 20, 1926.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

THURSDAY, MAY 20, 1926, 3 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Thursday, May 20, 1926, at 3 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at the hour of 3 p. m. for the purpose of continuing the public hearing on the Budget.

Supervisor Marks presiding.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Kent, moved that the Budget be passed for printing.

Resolution of Condolence on the Death of Judge Partridge.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and *unanimously adopted by rising vote*:

Resolution No. 25699 (New Series), as follows:

It is with feelings of deep emotion and poignant sorrow that this Board learns of the death of that distinguished jurist, John S. Partridge, Judge of the United States District Court. Many years of his life have been spent in useful public service, as a teacher in the public schools, as a legal adviser of the City and as a dispenser of law and equity. In the discharge of these duties he gave the best of his ability with a conscientious and noble purpose that won for him the highest esteem of his fellow citizens.

His passing away is a decided loss to the community, and this Board desires to have recorded in its Journal of Proceedings these expressions of its regard for his past services and its belief that his life was one worthy of emulation. That he has been here, one of us, playing a man's part in life with courage and with charity, is an event of such consequence that our memories of him will long endure.

Communication From Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, May 20, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall,
Civic Center, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In compliance with the suggestion of Supervisor James B. McSheehy, Chairman of your Finance Committee, Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher and others, the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations herewith places its pleadings relative to changes in the 1926-1927

municipal Budget in writing before your honorable Board.

At the meeting of our Board of Governors, presided over by our president, Mr. W. W. Watson, last Tuesday, this Budget for the ensuing year was most carefully scrutinized and the members of the Board expressed nothing but words of commendation on the excellent manner in which it had been prepared, for the constructive items contained therein, and in finding all but two items requested by the League included in the Budget.

One item, the Esplanade, while a most desirable improvement, can be readily postponed without any particular financial disadvantage to the City. The other, the Van Ness avenue extension, we will take up later in this communication.

There is one other item, however, not in this year's Budget, which we regret exceedingly—the Tubercular Sanitarium.

The Civic League feels that if it is at all possible to transfer items in the present Budget, even though they be of great aesthetic value to the City, it would be better at this time to do so in order that progress in the creation of this sanitarium may not be impdeded or delayed, because the eventual saving or preserving of human life and health is of such value that it cannot be measured by dollars and cents.

It is true that a construction program will be carried far into the ensuing fiscal year, but we hope that some funds can be provided at least for securing plans and specifications for other units of the project, that their construction may be immediately taken up upon the completion of the first unit, shortly to be placed under contract.

We therefore respectfully request that as much as possible of the total sum of $200,000 requested by the Board of Health be found in the new Budget to be transferred to this purpose, in order that there may be a continuation of progress in creating this institution which has for its purpose the building of good, healthy, useful citizens out of those who might otherwise become helpless chronic invalids, demanding the care of the City for years to come at a far greater financial sacrifice.

The other item not included in the Budget is that of the Van Ness avenue extension from Mission to Howard street.

The Civic League has requested your Finance Committee either to make some provision for the completion of this important connecting link or, in default thereof, to take such action as will remove the cloud now hanging over the realty property in the block affected.

Private projects of considerable magnitude are proposed to be placed on this block if definite assurance is given that the avenue will not be cut through it.

The property owners, who can now neither rent, lease, sell or improve their property because of the uncertainty existing, are entitled to definite action one way or the other, so that they may turn their property holdings into producing factors to the City's advantage as well as to their own.

In favoring the extension, however, the Civic League, if permitted, would desire to draw the Board's attention to the advantages the extension of the avenue would bring to San Francisco.

Your Board has given assurance to the promoters of the Redwood Highway of assistance wherever possible, and yet this one block extension of Van Ness avenue, if put through, will prove the most important link between the Redwood Highway from the north to the State Highway to the south, through San Francisco.

Travelers from the Redwood Highway would enter the city at North Beach, traversing the full length of Van Ness avenue through its extension to Howard street, which latter in turn will provide a natural outlet to the south, connecting at Army street with either the Mission street highway to the south or with San Bruno avenue and following the Bay Shore Highway over the new State construction, paid for by the City, to San Jose and the south.

This means that Howard street property values would be bound to rise, because many automobile concerns will desire to establish assembly plants in this part of the State in the near future, and where more logically can they be located than on the most important automobile highway through San Francisco from the most northern to the most southern limits of the State?

Here, unlike the present automobile row on Van Ness avenue, will be found level territory, easily accessible to railway spur track facilities, more conveniently located to the industrial and commercial sections of the city. It would cause Howard street property values to increase enormously, because it would become one of the principal automobile business thoroughfares of the city, not injuring any adjacent streets, but aiding in their further development. That is what the

extension of Van Ness avenue would mean to San Francisco—a superhighway from the northern to the southern limits of the city—a superhighway such as are now being constructed at an expense of millions of dollars in other cities.

If not accomplished while property values still remain low, the City at some future date will be bound to carry through this improvement at a cost of millions; at least that is the opinion of those with a wide vision. We therefore plead for the inclusion of a sum, even though it may be small, which may be the entering wedge to accomplish it, and at the same time definitely inform the owners of the property just what is intended, in order that they may improve the same in accordance with the plans to be perfected.

Respectfully submitted,

CIVIC LEAGUE OF IMPROVEMENT CLUBS AND ASSOCIATIONS,
GEO. W. GERHARD,
Secretary.

Timothy Riordan, President of the Board of Public Works, was granted the privilege of the floor. He called attention to what he said were some minor mistakes in the Budget. He asked for $15,000 for maintenance and repair of bridges in lieu of $10,000 allowed and $300,000; as recommended by the City Engineer, instead of $200,000 as allowed, for the extension of main sewers. He asked for more money for repairs to buildings outside of school buildings, also more inspectors for the Bureau of Building Inspection. Appropriations for lighting public buildings are cut $30,000 or $35,000 and water appropriation is $18,000 or $20,000 less than last year. The fireman in charge of the night gang has been omitted through error. No foreman is provided for in the produce district. Budget allowance for auto line is reduced. Items 76 to 79, taking from the Board of Works rental of teams, etc., and placing same in control of the Board of Supervisors is a radical change and an error.

Clyde Healy spoke of the necessity for an appropriation of $15,000 for erection of buildings for housing necessary street equipment on property purchased at Etna street, off First avenue, for that purpose last year.

Board of Works Teams.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the City Attorney be requested to give his opinion at the earliest possible moment on the subject as to whether or not the Board of Supervisors has power and authority to take over control from the Board of Public Works in the matter of hiring its teams and automobiles used in the performance of the functions of that department as indicated in this Budget by Items Nos. 76, 78, 79, 79A, 79B and 79C.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Powers, moved, as an amendment, that this subject matter be referred to the Finance Committee and that the Finance Committee ask for the opinion requested in the Gallagher motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher withdrew his motion in favor of the amendment.

Thereupon, the amendment was *carried unanimously*.

Justices' Clerk's Office.

Robert Dennis, Justices' Clerk, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared that in the Budget proposed no provision had been made for the Small Claims Court and that it was not included in the copy in his possession.

Fire Hydrants.

Supervisor Gallagher presented a communication from President Sykes of the Fire Commission with reference to the necessity for an increased appropriation for fire hydrant service, which was read by the Clerk.

Frank T. Kennedy, Secretary of the Fire Commission, was granted the privilege of the floor and was heard in reference to the charge for hydrant service and the manner in which the amount of water used at fires is computed. The City, he said, buys the hydrants at $87 or $90 each and the installation cost is $40. The rate per hydrant was $2.50 each, but was increased to $3 by the Railroad Commission.

Motion.

Supervisor Badaracco moved that it be the sense of this Board that only contested matters be taken up for consideration.

So ordered.

School Appropriation.

Supervisor Hayden requested that it be made a matter of record that the $900,000 appropriated in this year's budget for plans and construction of new school buildings, etc. is $600,000 more than the Chairman of the present Finance Committee was willing to allow in last year's Budget.

Supervisor McSheehy, Chairman of the Finance Committee, declared that last year the Finance Commit-

tee had millions of bond money to draw upon.

Motions.

Supervisor Shannon moved that we take up the Budget by departments.

Supervisor Hayden moved as an amendment that the Budget be taken up seriatim.

So ordered.

Consideration of the Budget.

Thereupon, the following items, Nos. 2 to 154, were, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, taken up for consideration:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 2-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
2	401 A	18 Supervisors at $2,400 each	$ 43,200	
3	402 A	Clerk	6,000	
4	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk	4,200	
5	"	1 Expert Accountant	6,000	
6	"	1 Bond and Ordinance Clerk	3,000	
7	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,300	6,600	
8	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000	
9	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,700	
10	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600	
11	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
12	"	1 Stenographer	1,800	
13	"	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,400	
14	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	1,800	
15	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400	
16	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	2,700	
17	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector	2,100	
18	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium	3,000	
			$ 96,900	
		TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.		
19	433 A	Chief Operator	1,980	
20	"	4 Operators at $1,800	7,200	
21	"	Relief Operators	600	
		Total Personal Services		**$106,680**

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 3-22 to 3-79-E)

22	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses	$5,000
23	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses	9,000
		To be expended by the following committees:	
	402	Auditorium	$500
	"	Civil Service and Retirement	500
	419	City Planning	500
	402	Education, Parks and Playgrounds	500
	"	Finance	500
	"	Fire	500
	"	Judiciary	500
	"	Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity	500

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
	"	Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations 500		
	"	Police and Licenses 500		
	"	Public Buildings and Lands.. 500		
	"	Public Health 500		
	"	Public Utilities 500		
	"	Public Welfare 500		
	"	Streets and Commercial Development 500		
	"	Traffic and Safety..... 500		
	"	Tunnels and Assessments..... 500		
24	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	55,000	
25	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000	
26	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000	
27	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar..	8,000	
28	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July.....	2,500	
29	"	Memorial Day Observance	500	
30	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band	12,000	
31	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000	
32	455 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals...	7,500	
33	529 B	Lighting Streets	625,000	
34	529	Survey and Street Lighting System..	5,000	
35	—— H	Premiums on Official Bonds	5,000	
36	1090	Civic Center and War Memorial.....	200,000	
37	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	75,000	
38	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000	
39	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges.....	10,000	
40	1093	Extension and reconstruction of Main Sewers	200,000	
41	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit..	140,000	
42	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen.....	5,000	
43	678 K	Auditorium	10,000	
44	467 H	Public Pound	15,000	
45	553 B	Feeble-Minded Home	87,000	
46	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	690,000	
47	"	Widows' Pensions	235,000	
48	"	St. Catherine's Training School.....	8,600	
49	"	State Schools	20,000	
50	419 K	City Planning Commission—Auto at $40 a month	6,880	
51	1091	Police Building	40,000	
52	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Ry.	8,878	
53	1090	Purchase of Lands from Spring Valley Water Company, Contract	35,640	
54	1090	Aquatic Park Land Purchases Contract	70,000	
55	1093	Improvement of City Property at Ocean Beach — Construction of Stable	20,000	
56	1091	Civic Center Beautification	15,000	
57	1090	Van Ness Avenue Extension—Second Purchase	130,000	
58	1091	Painting San Francisco Hospital...	10,000	
59	"	Municipal Air Port, Initial Appropriation	100,000	
60	"	Sutro Monument, Rehabilitation of Statue and Grounds	10,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
61	401	For the purpose of appraising all taxable real property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1927-28 and for subsequent years may be equalized and the same may conform to the true value of said property and that the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization may be fully advised as to the true value of said property	50,000	
62	552	Repairs to Engineer's Cottage, Relief Home	1,000	
63	1092	Relief Home Equipment.....	40,000	
64		Civic Center Facade, Fulton Street..	100,000	
65	1092	San Francisco Hospital, Equipment, New Wards, Children's T. B. Wards	9,000	
66	1092	San Francisco Hospital, Radium Equipment	17,500	
67		Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000	
		To be expended as follows:		

Fire Department Buildings.

		Engine Co. 41—	
	452	Repair rear porch, doors and sash, put concrete strips in floor to run apparatus on, repair tile in bath room and shower room	$541
		Engine Co. 42—	
		Put window in captain's room, repair doors, windows and screens, repair floor in shower room, repair roof and ventilators, paint exterior	1,092
		Engine Co. 43—	
		Repair roof, repair doors and windows, paint exterior	931
		Engine Co. 45—	
		Repair floor, repair roof and leaders, repair hose tower, repair fence, damp proof south wall..	742
		Engine Co. 46—	
	452	Repair shower room floor, repair rear door frames and doors, repair roof..	315
		Engine Co. 48—	
		Extend retaining wall on west side of lot, repair fence and gate, paint	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
		fence and all outside frames 585		
		Truck 6 and Chemical 9—		
		Build room for officers in dormitory, build kitchen on lower floor, repair roof and sidewalk 819		
		Chemical Co. 12—		
		Repair floor, repair fence, repair windows 135		
		Corporation Yard—		
		Repair floor in shop.... 225		
		Metal cap back wall 45		
		Ventilators 135		
		Skylight bars 180		
		New ridge for roof 54		
		Repairs to cornice 180		
		Glazing 385		
		Concrete pits 1,800		
		Paint window frames, doors, etc 1,575		
		Paint outside 900		
		Miscellaneous buildings.. 29,361		
			$40,000	
68	1092	Traffic signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control	75,000	
69	1093	Electrolosis Survey	5,000	
70	"	McKinley Square Bulkhead	4,000	
71	"	Rehabilitation of Fine Arts Building, as follows: Concrete underpining, $50,000; Concrete Floor, $15,000; Plastering, $16,000; Painting, $6,000; Plumbing, $3,500; Electrical Work, $3,000; Piping for Heating, $4,500; Incidentals, $2,000	100,000	
72	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District	100,000	
73	1093	Street signs	10,000	
74	"	Extension of high-pressure system, Southern District	65,000	
75	1090	Reimbursement in part of Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced for completion of Stockton and Twin Peaks Tunnels	50,000	
76	4142 AC	Single team for stores and yards, Board of Public Works, at $10.75 per day	3,000	
77	4142 B	Royalties for surface heaters, Board of Public Works.....	12,000	
78	502 AC	25 Double teams, street cleaning, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	84,500	
79	501 AC	3 Double teams, sewer repair, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	10,350	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
79a	501 H	Rental, 7 1½-ton trucks, Board of Public Works, at $12 per day.....	25,200	
79b	"	Rental, 1 3-ton truck, Board of Public Works, at $20 per day.....	6,000	
79c	"	Rental, 14 autos, Board of Public Works, at $40 per month.....	6,720	
79d	"	Improvements, San Francisco Hospital	7,500	
79e	1092	Equipment, Emergency Hospital...	1,740	
		Total		**$3,814,008**

Street Reconstruction.

(Appropriations 3-80 to 139)

80	52710	Eighteenth street, Noe to Douglass..	$9,000	
81	"	Folsom street, Thirteenth to Sixteenth	22,500	
82	"	Franklin street, Vallejo to Broadway	5,400	
83	"	Sixth street, Howard to Folsom.....	15,300	
84	"	Hyde street, Beach to North Point, widening	2,250	
85	"	North Point street, Van Ness to Larkin, widening	8,100	
86	"	North Point street, Columbus avenue to Hyde street, widening.....	7,200	
87	"	Broadway, Columbus avenue to Stockton, widening	7,200	
88	"	Douglass street, Nineteenth to Carson	1,080	
89	"	Drumm street, Clay to Jackson.....	9,000	
90	"	Ellis street, Van Ness to Franklin..	5,400	
91	"	Grant avenue, Filbert to Greenwich.	4,050	
92	"	Gilbert street, Bryant to Brannan (out to Comm. W. P. Spur).....	2,250	
93	"	Hawthorne street, Howard to Folsom (out to Comm. W. P. Spur).....	6,030	
94	"	Harriet street, Harrison to Brannan (out to Comm. W. P. Spur).....	4,500	
95	"	Haight street, Gough to Buchanan, widening	22,500	
96	"	Jones street, Bush to Pine (cobbles)	3,600	
97	"	Jackson street, Embarcadero to Front-Battery	11,700	
98	"	Leavenworth street, Union to Filbert	4,500	
99	"	Geary street, Divisadero to Masonic.	18,000	
100	"	Monroe street, Pine to Bush.....	2,025	
101	"	O'Farrell street, Van Ness to Franklin	5,400	
102	"	Sacramento street, Front to Battery	3,600	
103	"	Taylor street, Pine to Sutter.....	9,000	
104	"	Taylor street, Broadway to Vallejo.	4,500	
105	"	Willard street, Belmont to Parnassus (dangerous)	3,240	
106	"	Baker street, Vallejo to Green.....	4,500	
107	"	Union street, Montgomery to Kearny	5,400	
108	52710	Bay street, Laguna to Fillmore (City property)	13,500	
109	"	Castro street, Twentieth to Hill.....	7,650	
110	"	Chestnut street, Grant avenue to Stockton street	5,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
111	"	Divisadero street, Filbert to Greenwich	5,940	
112	"	Fourteenth street, Howard to Valencia	13,500	
113	"	Filbert street, Grant avenue to Stockton	5,400	
114	"	Francisco street, Powell to Grant avenue	7,200	
115	"	Grant avenue, Vallejo to Columbus	1,350	
116	"	Greenwich street, Jones to Leavenworth	5,400	
117	"	Leavenworth street, Vallejo to Green	4,500	
118	"	Leavenworth street, Broadway to Jackson (resurfacing)	4,500	
119	"	Lombard street, Taylor to Leavenworth (incl. crossings)	12,150	
120	"	Lombard street, Larkin to Polk (cobbles)	5,400	
121	"	Mason street, Vallejo to Pacific	7,200	
122	"	Powers avenue, Mission to Coleridge	1,800	
123	"	Vallejo street, Jones to Leavenworth	4,500	
124	"	Vallejo street, Grant avenue to Kearny and Powell to Mason	10,800	
125	"	Vallejo street, Van Ness avenue to Hyde	13,500	
126	"	Washington street, Franklin to Gough	4,500	
127	"	Twenty-ninth street, Valencia street westerly (resurfacing)	3,600	
128	"	California street, Franklin to Fillmore	48,600	
129	"	Guerrero street, Twentieth street south	40,500	
130	"	Hayes street, Laguna westerly (widening)	10,800	
131	"	Peralta street, Tomasa to Montcalm	13,500	
132	"	Elizabeth street, Grand View to Hoffman avenue	6,300	
133	"	Tehama street, Third to Fourth	3,771	
134	"	Nineteenth street, Extension to Mono	13,500	
135	"	Clay street, Hyde to Leavenworth and Powell to Mason	10,800	
136	"	Broadway, Mason to Taylor	5,850	
137	"	Sacramento street, Franklin to Gough	4,500	
138	"	Water street, Mason to Taylor	2,475	
139	"	Bonita street, Polk street westerly	1,350	
		Total Streets		**$506,961**

Bureau of Supplies.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3½-A)

140	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000
141	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600
142	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000
143	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000
144	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery	2,700
145	634 A	1 Stenographer	2,100
146	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools	2,700

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
147	"	1 Stenographer File Clerk.....	1,500	
148	"	1 Tabulator	1,500	
		Total Personal Services.....	$30,100	
149	634 BC	Non-Personal (appropriation 3½B)..	1,800	
150		Gasoline, oil and supplies for City Hall garage	3,000	
151		Stationery, printing, books, postage.	85,000	
152		Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc.	20,000	
153		Block books	4,000	
154		License tags and blanks.....	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services..	$118,800	
		Total Bureau of Supplies...		**$148,900**

Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Item 14, one telephone operator and filing clerk, be increased from $1,800 per year to $2,100 per year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved, as an amendment, reference to the Finance Committee.

Amendment *carried.*

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that Item 7, two assistant clerks, be increased from $3,300 each per annum to $3,900 each per annum.

Supervisor McSheehy moved, as an amendment, reference to the Finance Committee.

Amendment *carried.*

Miscellaneous Accounts Under Control of Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that Item 23, Supervisors' Incidental Expenses, $9,000, to be expended by the committees at the rate of $500 each, be stricken from the calendar.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Supervisors' Incidental Expense—Amendments.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to strike out Item 23, Supervisors' Incidental Expenditures, $9,000.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Street Lighting.

Supervisor Havenner moved reference to the Finance Committee of Items 33 and 34.

So ordered.

Paving Civic Center.

Supervisor Hayden moved that Item 36, Civic Center and War Memorial, be increased $90,000 for paving in Civic Center.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Appraisement of City Taxable Property.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, asked that Item No. 61, appropriating $50,000 for appraisal of City's taxable property, be deferred temporarily.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon subject matter was *laid over until 1 p. m. tomorrow.*

X-Ray Equipment, San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved to insert Item 66A, for X-ray equipment for San Francisco Hospital, $10,000; Item 66B, Pulgas Preventorium, grade and plan, second unit, $25,000; Item 66C, Glen Park Health Center, $12,420.

Referred to Finance Committee.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until tomorrow, Friday, May 21, 1926, at 1 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

FRIDAY, MAY 21, 1926, 1 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Friday, May 21, 1926, 1 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 1 p. m. this day for the purpose of continuing consideration of the proposed Budget of Municipal Expenditures for the fiscal year 1926-1927 as reported by the Finance Committee.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Marks presiding.

Street Lighting.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Items 33 and 34 be combined, making $630,000 for street lighting.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment that the combined items be increased $15,000.

Supervisor Badaracco moved, as an amendment to the amendment, reference to the Finance Committee.

Carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor.

The following items ordered inserted by request of Supervisor Havenner:

54 A	Aquatic Park	$10,000
54 B	Yacht Harbor	25,000

Appraisal of Taxable Property.

Consideration of Item No. 61, appropriating $50,000 for appraisal of taxable property, fixed for 1 p. m. today, was *laid over until Monday.*

Van Ness Avenue Extension.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Bath, moved to insert Item No. 66 D, "for the purpose of securing options and rights of way for the extension of Van Ness avenue as recommended by the Board of Public Works," $50,000.

Supervisor Powers. seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved reference to the Finance Committee.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Gallagher raised the point of order that Supervisor Powers' motion to refer is out of order for two reasons: Subject is now in committee and has been considered by it; also, matter has been in committee over thirty days and any member has a right to bring it before the Board now.

Chair ruled point of order not well taken.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the subject matter of Van Ness avenue be withdrawn from committee and placed in the hands of the Board.

Supervisor Baddaracco: I make the point of order that a motion is pending and the motion to withdraw is out of order.

Chair: *So ruled.*

Supervisor Roncovieri moved as an amendment reference to the Finance Committee's report to be made to the Board by the committee before the Budget goes to print.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—5.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

Whereupon, the roll was called on reference to the Finance Committee and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—5.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

Tubercular Sanitarium.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to insert Item No. 67 A, Tubercular Sanitarium, $100,000.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Teams, Board of Public Works.

Consideration of Items Nos. 76 to 79 E, relative to team hire for Board of Public Works were *laid over until Monday, awaiting opinion of the City Attorney in the matter.*

Street Reconstruction.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Item No. 96 A be amended to read as follows:

Main street, Howard to Harrison streets, $25,000.

Bureau of Supplies.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Bath, moved that Item No. 145, one stenographer, be increased from $2,100 to $2,220.

Supervisor Havenner moved reference to the Finance Committee.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

MAYOR.

The following items were taken up and *approved without objection*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
155	404 A	Mayor	$6,000	
156	"	Executive Secretary	4,800	
157	"	Assistant Secretary	4,200	
158	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400	
159	"	Telephone Operator	1,800	
160	"	Messenger	1,980	
161	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each	4,800	
		Total Personal Services	$31,980	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)

162	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter)	$3,600	
163	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	7,500	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$11,100	
		Total Mayor		**$43,080**

AUDITOR.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
164	405 A	Auditor	$8,000	
165	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
166	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each	10,800	
167	"	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760	
168	"	4 Deputies at $2,720 each	10,880	
169	"	1 Deputy	2,580	
170	"	5 Deputies at $2,280 each	11,400	
171	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580	
172	"	1 General Clerk	2,100	
173	"	1 General Clerk	1,800	
174	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,100	
175	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099 A	2,580	
176	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	1,980	
177	405 A	Attorney	3,000	
178	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	5,800	
		Total Personal Services	$74,960	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)

179	405 B	Contingents	$500	
		Total Auditor		**$75,460**

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that Item No. 170, five deputies at $2,280 each per annum be increased to $2,400 each per annum.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 172, one general clerk, be increased from $2,100 to $2,220 per annum.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 174, one stenographer-typist, be increased from $2,100 per annum to $2,220 per annum.

Supervisor Havenner moved reference to the Finance Committee of the proposed amendment.

Motion *carried without objection.*

TAX COLLECTOR.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)

180	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000
181	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
182	"	Cashier	3,300
183	"	Accountant	3,300
184	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480
185	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880
186	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each	5,160
187	"	26 Deputies at $2,280 each	59,280
188	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
189	"	Extra Clerical Help	10,800
190	"	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000
191	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$119,000

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
192	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List.....	$3,700	
193	"	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$5,860	
		Total Tax Collector.....		**$124,860**

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 187, 26 deputies at $2,280 each per annum, be increased to $2,400 each per annum; that Item No. 188, one stenographer at $2,100 per annum, be increased to $2,220 per annum; that Item No. 191, tunnel accountant, be increased from $2,100 per annum to $2,220 per annum.

Referred to Finance Committee without objection.

TREASURER.

The following items were *approved without objection*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)

194	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000	
195	"	Chief Deputy	2,880	
196	"	Cashier	5,100	
197	"	Bank and Bond Deputy.....	3,900	
198	"	1 Deputy	3,900	
199	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880	
200	"	1 Deputy	3,300	
201	"	Bookkeeper	3,600	
202	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400	
203	"	2 Clerks at $2,880 each	5,760	
204	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
		Total Personal Services	$43,700	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)

205	406 K	Non-Personal Services	200	
		Total Treasurer		**$43,900**

ASSESSOR.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)

206	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000	
207	"	Chief Deputy Assessor	2,400	
208	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers..	4,500	
209	407 AA	Cashier Auditor	2,400	
210	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year.....	8,400	
211	"	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800	
212	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year.	48,000	
213	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year.....	9,000	
214	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser.	2,400	
215	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
216	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100	
217	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
218	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,500	
219	A B	Temporary Asst. Deputies—General Clerks at $150 per month for time employed	91,800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$238,700	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)		
220	407 B	Non-Personal Services	$6,000	
		Total Assessor		**$244,700**

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 217, 15 assistant appraisers at $2,280 each a year, be increased to $2,400 each a year.

Supervisor Bath, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that Item No. 218, stenographer-typist, be increased from $1,500 per annum to $2,280 per annum.

Supervisor Bath moved that Item No. 219, temporary assistant deputies-general clerks

Privilege of the Floor.

Assessor Wolden was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, stating that his total request for the ensuing fiscal year was $252.160. He asked for an increase for extra help from $91,800 to $96.000. New laws, he said, require additional help and we will not be able to complete work properly unless such help is afforded.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that the Finance Committee be directed to consider and report in due time the addition to Item No. 219 of $4,200, increasing the total appropriation for the Assessor's Department to $96,000.

Motion subsequently withdrawn and Supervisor Gallagher thereupon moved that Item No. 219 be increased from present amount, $91,200, to $96,000.

Referred to Finance Committee without objection.

Department of Elections.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

(Letter from Election Commission.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

Thereupon, the following items were taken up:

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)	
221	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	5,000
222	"	Registrar of Voters.....	5,400
223	"	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200
224	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each.	13,500
225	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220
226	"	Typo Operator Mechanic.....	2,460
227	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant..	2,280
228	"	Voting Machine Adjuster.....	1,920
229	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280
			$57,260

ELECTION EXPENSES.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)	
230	431 A-C	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9.00 a day	$2,700

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
231	431 A-C	Outside Registration	15,000	
232	431 A-B	Temporary clerks, office and petitions	50,000	
233	431 A-B	Election Officers	110,000	
234	431 A-C	Laborers	1,000	
		Total Personal Services.....	$235,960	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)		
235	431 B	Contractual Services	$63,500	
236	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000	
237	431 D-C	Materials and Supplies and fixed charges	50,000	
	634	Special Election as required by Charter	50,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$313,500	
		Total Elections		**$549,460**

Whereupon, Item No. 224, five deputy registrars at $2,700 each, $13,500, was changed to Item No. 224, four deputy registrars at $2,700 each, $10,800. Also, Item No. 225, seven deputy registrars at $2,460 each per year, $17,220, be changed to Item No. 225, nine deputy registrars at $2,460 each a year, $22,140.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

The following items, Nos. 238 to 253, comprising the salary of the District Attorney and the personnel of his department, were *approved without objection*:

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)		
238	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000	
239	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each.....	22,500	
240	"	1 Assistant	3,600	
241	"	4 Assistants at $3,000 each	12,000	
242	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each	7,200	
243	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk	3,300	
244	"	1 Assistant Warrant and Bond Clerk	2,400	
245	"	6 Assistant Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680	
246	"	Chief Clerk	3,000	
247	"	Assistant Chief Clerk	2,100	
248	"	Bookkeeper	1,800	
249	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
250	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
251	"	Messenger	1,800	
252	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator	2,100	
		Total Personal Services.....	$87,980	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-B)		
253	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500	
		Total District Attorney.....		**$95,480**

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

The following items, Nos. 254 to 257, comprising the salary of the Public Defender and the personnel of his department, were *approved without objection.*

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-C)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
254	411	Public Defender	$5,000	
255	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800	
256	"	1 Deputy Public Defender	3,600	
257	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
		Total Public Defender		**$18,200**

CITY ATTORNEY.

The following items, Nos. 258 to 266, comprising the salary of the City Attorney and the personnel of his office, etc., were *approved without objection*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)

258	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000	
259	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200	
260	"	1 Deputy	7,200	
261	"	1 Deputy	6,000	
262	410 A	2 Assistants at $3,000 each	$6,000	
263	"	1 Clerk, Calendar, Filing and Records	2,400	
264	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200	
265	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk.	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$43,100	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-B)

266	410 K	General and Rate Litigation	$10,000	
		Total City Attorney		**$53,100**

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)

267	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each	$3,600	
268	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	
269	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
270	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
271	"	Assistant Inspector	2,280	
272	"	1 Assistant Secretary	2,280	
273	"	2 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 each	4,800	
274	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,100	
275	"	Special Examiners and Extra Clerks	1,500	
		Total Personal Services	$26,460	
276	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	1,250	
		Total Civil Service Commission.		**$27,710**

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 272, one assistant secretary,

be increased from $2,280 a year to $2,400 a year; Item No. 274, one clerk-stenographer, be increased from $2,100 a year to $2,220 a year.

Whereupon, further action on the above motion was *laid over temporarily until Monday afternoon.*

Thereupon, the remaining items under Civil Service Commission were *approved without objection.*

COUNTY CLERK.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
277	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
278	"	Chief Registry Clerk	3,600	
279	"	Cashier	3,000	
280	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each	14,400	
281	"	10 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	24,000	
282	"	16 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	38,400	
283	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each.	9,600	
284	"	38 Copyists at $2,280 each	86,640	
		Total Personal Services	$187,640	
285	426 K	Non-Personal Services (13-B)	150	
		Total County Clerk		**$187,790**

Amendment.

Item No. 284, thirty-eight copyists at $2,280 each, $86,640, was, on motion, increased to $2,400 a year each and said motion *referred to the Finance Committee.*

SHERIFF.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

286	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000
287	"	Cashier	3,300
288	"	Deputy	2,700
289	"	Attorney	1,800
290	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper	3,000
291	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each	36,120
292	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,244 each	132,396
293	430 A	Stenographer	2,100
294	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400
295	433 A	Office Superintendent	3,600
296	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000
297	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640
298	"	Chief Jailer	2,700
299	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,400
300	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,400
301	"	1 Matron	2,400
302	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,244 each	15,708
303	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each	4,800
304	"	2 Cooks at $2,100 each	4,200
305	"	1 Jailer	2,400
306	"	1 Female Jailer	1,800
307	"	16 Keepers at $1,800 each	28,800
		Total Personal Services	$268,664

Other than Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
308	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$65,000	
		Total Sheriff		**$333,664**

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 292, fifty-nine jailers at $2,244 each a year, be increased to $2,364 each a year.

Item No. 293, stenographer, from $2,100 to $2,220 a year.

Item No. 302, seven female jailers, from $2,244 each a year to $2,364 each a year.

Referred to Finance Committee without objection.

Whereupon, the remaining items under Sheriff were *approved.*

RECORDER.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)

	454 AA	Personal Services.		
309	"	Recorder	$8,000	
310	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
311	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
312	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	15,480	
313	"	7 Deputies at $2,280 each.....	15,960	
314	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
315	"	1 Special Coypist	2,580	
316	"	Machinist	2,700	
317	"	Stenographer	2,100	
318	454 AB	28 Copyists at $2,280 each.....	63,840	
		Total Recorder Services.....		**$122,000**

Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 313, seven deputies at $2,280 each a year, be increased to $2,400 each a year.

Item 318, 28 copyists at $2,280 each a year, be increased to $2,400 each a year.

Referred to Finance Committee without objection.

Whereupon, the remaining items under the head of Recorder were *approved.*

SUPERIOR COURTS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)

320	425 A	16 Judges	$56,000
321	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner..	6,000
322	"	Assistant Secretary	2,280
323	"	Jury Examiner	2,280
324	"	Information Deputy	1,800
325	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400
326	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each.....	16,800
327	"	Jury and Witness Fees.....	35,000
328	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses	8,000
329	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' fees)....	30,000
		Total Personal Services.....	$160,560

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
330	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
		Total Superior Courts.....		**$168,560**

Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item 322, assistant secretary, be increased from $2,280 a year to $2,400 a year.

Item 323, jury examiner, be increased from $2,280 a year to $2,400 a year.

Item 326, eight translators, at $2,100 each a year, be increased to $2,220 each a year.

Proposed amendments *referred to Finance Committee without objection.*

Thereupon, the remaining items of the Superior Courts were *unanimously approved.*

JUSTICES' COURTS

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)

330	424 A	5 Justices of the Peace at $4,200 each	$21,000
331	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200
332	"	Chief Deputy	3,300
333	"	Cashier	3,000
334	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each.....	8,100
335	"	Messenger	2,700
336	"	1 Clerk	2,700
337	"	1 Clerk	2,400
338	"	2 Clerks at $1,800 each.....	3,600
		Total Justices' Courts.....	**$51,000**

Amendments.

Supervisor Hayden moved that Item 331, Justices' Clerk, $4,200, be increased to $4,500 per annum.

Item 332, chief deputy, be increased from $3,300 to $3,600.

Item 333, cashier, be increased from $3,000 to $3,300.

Item 334, 3 deputy clerks at $2,700 each a year, be increased to $3,000 each a year.

Item 335, messenger, be increased from $2,700 to $3,000.

Item No. 336, one clerk, be increased from $2,700 to $3,000.

Item No. 337, one clerk, be increased from $2,400 to $2,700.

Item No. 338, two clerks at $1,800 each a year, be increased to $2,280 each a year.

Proposed amendments *referred to the Finance Committee without objection,* and Item 330, five Justices of the Peace at $4,200 each per annum, was *approved unanimously.*

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

Items 339 to 348, inclusive, comprising the salaries of the Superintendent, the personnel of the department and the appropriation for maintenance and subsistence were *approved without objection.*

Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)

339	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100
340	"	Matron	1,500
341	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620
342	"	Night Superintendent	1,620
343	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
344	"	7 Nurses at $960 each.....	6,720	
345	"	Cook	1,200	
346	"	1 Orderly	1,620	
347	"	1 Orderly	1,200	
		Total Personal Services.....	$18,780	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)		
348	558 Var	Maintenance and Subsistence.....	$15,000	
		Total Juvenile Detention Home..		**$33,780**

JUVENILE COURT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)

349	5591 A	Chief Probation Officer.....	$3,900	
350	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer....	3,000	
351	"	11 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,280 each	25,080	
352	"	3 Deputy Probation Officers at $2,280 each	6,840	
353	"	1 Collector	2,580	
354	"	1 Cashier-Bookkeeper	2,280	
355	"	1 File Clerk	1,800	
356	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each.....	4,200	
357	"	3 Stenographers at $1,800 each.....	5,400	
358	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
359	"	1 Referee	2,400	
		Total Personal Services.....	$59,460	
360	5591 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B)	$5,000	
		Total Juvenile Court.....		**$64,460**

Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 351, eleven probation officers at $2,280 each a year be increased to $2,400 each a year.

Item No. 352, three deputy probation officers at $2,280 each a year be increased to $2,400 each a year.

Item 354, one cashier-bookkeeper, be increased from $2,280 a year to $2,400 a year.

Item 355, one file clerk, be increased from $1,800 a year to $1,920 a year.

Item No. 356, two stenographers, at $2,100 each a year be increased to $2,220 each a year.

Item No. 357, three stenographers at $1,800 each a year be increased to $1,920 each a year.

Proposed amendment *referred to Finance Committee without objection* and remaining items in Juvenile Court appropriations *approved unanimously.*

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

The following items were taken up and *approved unanimously*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)

361	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$3,900
362	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer....	3,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
363	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,280 each	15,960	
364	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	1,980	
		Total Personal Services	$24,840	
365	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	$700	
		Total Adult Probation Dept.		**$25,540**

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

The following items were taken up and *approved unanimously*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)

366	553 A	Director	$3,000	
367	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
368	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
369	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$13,500	
370	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	$1,000	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau..		**$14,500**

LAW LIBRARY.

The following items were *approved unanimously*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

370	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
371	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
372	"	Bookbinder	2,100	
		Total Law Library		**$9,900**

POLICE COURTS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

373	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each	$14,400	
374	"	4 Stenographers at $3,600 each	14,400	
		Total Police Court		**$28,800**

Amendments.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Bath, moved that Item No. 374, four stenographers at $3,600 each per annum be increased to $4,200 each per annum.

Proposed amendment *referred to the Finance Committee* and Item 373, four police judges at $3,600 each per annum was *unanimously approved.*

CORONER.

The following items were *approved unanimously*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

375	429 A	Coroner	$8,000
376	"	Chief Deputy	3,300
377	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000
378	"	3 Deputies at $2,580 each	7,740

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
379	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940	
380	429 A	3 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	7,200	
381	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
382	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100	
383	"	Toxicologist	1,800	
384	"	Relief	800	
		Total Personal Services	$42,280	
385	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000	
		Total Coroner		**$45,280**

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

The following items were *approved unanimously*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

386	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	
387	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
388	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each	16,200	
		Total Non-Personal Services..	$24,300	
389	460 K	Non-Personal Services	1,500	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		**$25,800**

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

The following items were *approved unanimously*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

390	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner	$3,000	
391	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8.00 a day	4,800	
392	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$9,600	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-B)

393	"	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
		Total Horticultural Commission.		**$11,160**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

Commissioners and General Office.

394	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000	
395	"	Chief Deputy Commissioner	4,200	
396	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
397	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
398	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
399	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400	
400	"	Stenographer	2,400	
401	"	Stenographer	2,400	
402	"	Chauffeur	2,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
403	"	Messenger	2,280	
404	"	General Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services		$39,780

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved that Item 398, experienced clerk, $2,400 per annum, be increased to $2,700 per annum.

Item No. 399, ordinary clerk at $2,400 per annum be increased to $2,700 per annum.

Proposed amendments *referred to the Finance Committee without objection*, and the remaining items, 394 to 404, inclusive, comprising the Commissioners and general office force of the Board of Public Works were *approved unanimously.*

Bureau of Accounting.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

405	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge	$4,000
406	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper	2,700
407	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000
408	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,280 a year	27,360
409	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300
410	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier	3,300
411	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400
412	"	Clerk	2,700
413	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	4,200
414	"	4 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	7,920
415	"	Inspector of House Numbers	2,700
		Total Personal Services	$63,580

Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 408, twelve experienced clerks at $2,280 a year each be increased to $2,400 a year each.

Supervisor Hayden moved that Item No. 411, one experienced clerk at $2,400 a year be increased to $2,700 a year.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 413, two stenographers at $2,100 each a year be increased to $2,220 each a year.

Item No. 414, four stenographers at $1,980 each a year be increased to $2,100 each a year.

Proposed amendment *referred to the Finance Committee without objection*, and the remaining items of the Bureau of Accounting, 405 to 415, inclusive, were *unanimously approved.*

Bureau of Architecture.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

416	416 A	Superintendent of Construction	$4,200
417	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000
418	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700
419	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200
420	"	Stenographer	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$13,200

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 420, stenographer, be increased from $2,100 to $2,220.

Proposed amendment *referred to the Finance Committee* and remaining items, 416 to 419, inclusive, *approved unanimously.*

Bureau of Building Repair.

The following items were taken up:

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
421	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
422	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,600	
423	"	Superintendent of Painters	3,150	
424	"	Foreman Plumber	3,000	
425	"	Foreman Cement Finisher	3,000	
426	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,000	
427	"	Foreman Tinner	3,000	
428	"	Foreman Wireman	3,000	
429	"	Head Janitor	3,120	
430	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320	
431	"	Night Foreman Janitor	2,040	
432	"	57 Janitors, at $1,740 a year	99,180	
433	"	4 Window Cleaners, at $1,920 each a year	7,680	
434	"	Foreman Window Cleaner	2,100	
435	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation	3,480	
436	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall	2,040	
437	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,740 a year	29,580	
438	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,740 each a year	3,480	
439	432 AB	Relief and Vacation all Departments	1,740	
440	"	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,300 each a year	6,600	
441	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,400 a year	12,000	
442	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,400	
443	"	5 Firemen, at $2,100 each a year	10,500	
444	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation	410	
		Total Personal Services	$216,620	

Employments for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings.

445	432 AC	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day	$13,500	
446	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day	3,000	
447	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day	2,100	
448	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650	
449	"	5 Plumbers, at $10.00 per day	15,000	
450	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10.00 per day	6,000	
451	"	6 Painters, at $8.35 per day	15,030	
452	"	Foreman Painter, at $9.35 per day	2,805	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
453	"	Painters' Shop Man, $6.50 per day...	1,950	
454	"	Painters' Apprentice, at $5.50 per day	1,650	
455	"	2 Tinners, $9 per day.....	5,400	
456	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day....	1,950	
457	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day.....	1,200	
458	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
459	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day	2,400	
460	"	Glazier, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
461	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day.....	2,100	
462	"	Wireman, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
		Total Personal Services.....	$83,835	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-B and C)

463	432 B	Contractual Services	$6,300	
464	432 BA	Transportation Autos	2,500	
465	432 4A	Transportation Carfare	850	
466	432 C	Materials and Supplies	20,000	
		SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.		
467	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	$180	
468	432 BC	Towel Service	2,500	
469	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900	
		MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.		
470	432 CB	Fuel Oil	$22,000	
471	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	5,000	
472	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	3,150	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$63,380	
473	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	$30,000	
474	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	18,000	

Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 431, night foreman janitor, be increased from $2,040 per annum to $2,220 per annum; that Item No. 432, 57 janitors at $1,740 a year, be increased to $1,920 a year; that Item No. 433, four window cleaners at $1,920 each a year, be increased to $2,100 each a year; that Item No. 434, one foreman window cleaner from $2,100 per annum to $2,280 per annum; that Item No. 436, elevator starter, City Hall, from $2,040 to $2,220 per annum; that Item No. 437, 17 elevator operators, from $1,740 a year to $1,920 a year; that Item No. 438, 2 watchmen, from $1,740 a year to $1,920 a year.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that the Finance Committee be requested to report on Item No. 432 before this Budget goes to print.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the original motion to amend be referred to the Finance Committee.

Supervisor Gallagher withdrew his amendment temporarily.

Whereupon, proposed amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee without objection.*

Supervisor Gallagher thereupon moved that the Finance Committee be requested to report on the motion to amend Item No. 432 before the Budget goes to print.

Supervisor McSheehy stated that the committee has agreed to have a meeting Monday on these proposed amendments.

Whereupon, the foregoing amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee.*

Thereupon, Items Nos. 421 to 474, inclusive, except the proposed amended items as above, were *unanimously approved.*

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

The following items were taken up and *passed without objection*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
475	4142 AA	Storekeeper	$3,900	
476	"	Experienced Clerk	2,700	
477	"	Watchman	2,640	
478	"	Watchman	2,340	
479	"	Watchman	1,980	
480	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,740 each a year	3,480	
481	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
482	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000	
483	4142 AC	Machinist at $9 a day	2,700	
484	"	2 Blacksmiths at $9 a day	5,400	
485	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers at $7.08 a day	6,372	
486	4142 AC	Vulcanizer at $7 a day	2,100	
487	"	Carriage Painter at $8.50 a day	2,550	
488	"	2 Laborers, 365 days, at $6 per day	4,380	
489	"	3 Laborers at $6 a day	5,400	
		Total Personal Services	$52,542	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

490	4142 B-A	Transportation	$480	
491	4142 B-N	Repairs to Equipment	175	
492	4142 C	Material and Supplies	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$1,655	

Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend Item No. 480, two watchmen, at $1,740 each per year, increased to $1,920 a year each; that Item No. 481, two watchmen at $1,800 each a year, increased to $1,980 each a year.

Amendments *referred to Finance Committee without objection.*

Whereupon, the remaining items of the Bureau of Stores and Yards were *approved unanimously.*

Bureau of Building Inspection.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

493	455 A	Chief Inspector	$5,400
494	"	1 Inspector	3,300
495	"	1 Inspector	3,000
496	"	Boiler Inspector	3,000
497	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400
498	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400
499	"	10 Inspectors at $2,400 a year	24,000
500	"	Messenger	1,440
501	"	2 Engineers at $3,600 ea.	7,200
		Total Personal Services	$52,140

Amendment.

Supervisor Hayden moved to amend Item No. 497, experienced clerk at $2,400 be increased to $2,700 per annum.

Referred to Finance Committee without objection.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend Item No. 499, ten inspectors at $2,400 a year each be increased to $2,700 a year each.

Referred to the Finance Committee without objection.

Whereupon, the remaining items above were *approved unanimously.*

Bureau of Engineering.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
518	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000	
519	"	Asst. City Engineer	5,400	
520	"	4 Assistants, Civil Engineer, at $3,000 a year	12,000	
521	"	Surveyor	3,600	
522	"	Surveyor	3,000	
523	"	4 Surveyors at $2,700 a year	10,800	
524	"	Surveyor's Field Assistant	3,000	
525	"	2 Surveyor's Field Assistants at $2,700 a year	5,400	
526	"	4 Surveyor's Field Assistants at $2,400 a year	9,600	
527	"	1 Surveyor's Field Assistant	2,400	
528	"	20 Surveyor's Field Assistants at $2,400 a year	48,000	
529	415 A	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,600	
530	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,300	
531	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,000	
532	"	6 Engineering Draftsmen at $2,700 a year	16,200	
533	"	3 Engineering Draftsmen at $2,400 a year	7,200	
534	415 A	1 Engineering Draftsman	1,800	
535	"	Cartographer	2,700	
536	"	2 Inspectors of Streets and Sewers at $2,700 a year	5,400	
537	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,400	
538	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,220	
539	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,100	
540	"	Engineering Chemist	3,600	
541	"	Photographer	2,700	
542	"	Photostat Operator	2,400	
543	"	Blue Printer	2,100	
544	"	3 Stenographers at $1,980 a year	5,940	
545	"	Experienced Clerk	2,880	
546	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
547	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
548	415 A-B	Watchman, part time	870	
		Total Personal Services	$183,410	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)

549	415 B	Non-Personal Services	$8,000	
		Total Engineer's Department		**$191,410**

Supervisor Stanton offered the following amendments:

Item No. 520, four assistants, Civil Engineer, from $3,000 a year to $3,300, making a total of $13,200.

Item No. 523, four surveyors, from $2,700 a year each to $3,000 a year each, making a total of $12,000.

Item No. 526, four surveyors, field assistants, from $2,400 a year to $2,700 a year, making a total of $10,800.

Item No. 527, one surveyor's field assistant, from $2,400 a year to $2,700 a year, making a total of $2,700.

Item No. 528, twenty surveyors' field assistants, from $2,400 a year to $2,700 a year, making a total of $54,000.

Item No. 532, six engineering draftsmen, from $2,700 a year to $3,000 a year, making a total of $18,000.

Item No. 533, three engineering draftsmen, from $2,400 a year to $2,700 a year, making a total of $8,100.

Item No. 535, cartographer, from $2,700 a year to $3,000 a year, $3,000.

Item No. 537, one inspector of streets and sewers, from $2,400 to $2,700, $2,700.

Item No. 538, one inspector of streets and sewers, from $2,220 to $2,520, $2,520.

Item ~~No.~~ 539, one inspector of streets and sewers, from $2,100 to $2,400, $2,400.

Item No. 542, 1 photostat operator, from $2,400 to $2,700, $2,700.

Item No. 543, one blue printer, from $2,100 to $2,400, $2,400.

Street Repair Department.

The following matters were taken up and *approved unanimously*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
551	527 AA	Superintendent	$4,500	
552	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,900	
553	"	2 Asst. Superintendents at $2,700 each	5,400	
554	"	Experienced Clerk	3,000	
555	"	Stenographer, 4 months	600	
		MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.		
556	527 A	Engineer	$2,400	
557	"	2 Firemen at $1,980 a year	3,960	
558	"	Foreman at $9.50 a day	2,850	
559	"	Machinist	2.700	
560	527 AC	Asphalt Dryer Man at $8.00 a day	2,400	
561	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen at $8.00 a day	4,800	
562	"	Asphalt Mixerman at $8.50 a day	2,550	
563	"	2 Asphalt Finishers at $7.50 a day	4,500	
564	"	3 Asphalt Workers at $7.00 a day	6,300	
565	527 AA	Telephone Operator	1,620	
		ASPHALT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR—SURFACE HEATERS, FOUR MACHINES, 280 DAYS.		
566	527 AC	2 Foremen, 300 days, at $8.50 a day.	$5,100	
567	"	16 Finishers at $7.50 a day	33,600	
568	"	24 Asphalt Workers at $7.00 a day	47,040	
569	"	7 Engineers at $9.00 a day	17,640	
570	"	10 Chauffeurs at $7.00 a day	19,600	
		SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.		
571	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher at $9.00 a day	$1,350	
572	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers at $8 a day	2,400	
		CONCRETE REPAIRS, MISCELLANEOUS—280 DAYS.		
573	527 AC	15 Laborers at $6 a day	$25,200	
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.		
574	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters at $9 a day	$5,040	

BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—270 DAYS.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
574	527 AC	Foreman, 280 days, at $9 per day....	$2,520	
575	"	6 Pavers at $8 a day.....	12,960	
576	"	3 Rammers at $7 a day.....	5,670	
577	"	10 Laborers at $6 per day.....	16,200	
		Total Personal Services.....		$245,800
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-C)		
578	527	Asphalt Plant—Transportation, car fare, rental of 17 trucks at $12 a day, 280 days; switching and demurrage, garage expense, printing and bookbinding, power, water, repairs to equipment, tool sharpening, setting back hydrants, miscellaneous.....	$65,350	
579		Materials and Supplies — Asphalt, cement, limestone dust, paving brick, rock, sand and gravel, fuel oil, wood and coal, kerosene, gasoline, lubricants, tools, tires and tubes, redressed curb	92,400	
			$157,750	

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

The following items were taken up:

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)		
580	527 A	9 Engineers at $2,400 each a year...	$21,600	
581	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,400 a year.....	4,800	
582	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,740 each a year.....	17,400	
583	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,740 a year.....	3,480	
584	"	1 Carpenter at $9 per day.....	2,700	
585	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day.	1,950	
		Total Personal Services.....		$51,930
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)		
586	527 B	Contractual	$2,500	
587	527 C	Material and Supplies.....	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services...		$3,500

Supervisor Stanton offered the following proposed amendments:

Item No. 582, ten watchmen and bridge tenders, from $1,740 a year to $1,920 a year, $19,200.

Item No. 583, two watchmen, relief and vacation, from $1,740 a year to $1,920 a year, $3,840.

Referred to the Finance Committee without objection.

Whereupon, the remaining item in Department of Maintenance and Operation of Bridges was *approved unanimously.*

Street Cleaning Department.

The following items were taken up:

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A-1)		
588	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
589	"	2 Asst. Superintendents at $2,700 a yr.	5,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
590	"	1 Experienced Clerk.....	3,000	
591	502 AA	6 Watchmen at $1,740 each a year..	10,440	
592	502 AC	200 Sweepers at $6 per day.....	360,000	
593	"	19 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	39,900	
594	"	14 Gang Foremen at $6.50 per day..	27,300	
			$450,240	

Non-Personal Services—

595	502 BA	Transportation	$1,200	
596	502 BL	Water	6,500	
597	502 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment...	5,000	
598	502 C	Material and Supplies	20,000	
599	1092	Street Cans	600	
			$33,300	

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend Item No. 591, six watchmen at $1,740 a year each be increased to $1,920 a year each.

Referred to the Finance Committee without objection.

Whereupon, the remaining items under Street Cleaning Department were *approved unanimously.*

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

The following items were taken up and *approved without objection*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

600	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
601	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000
602	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700
603	501 AC	Asst. Superintendent in charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550
604	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day.....	16,500
605	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day.....	24,300
606	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	6,300
607	"	45 Sewermen at $6.50 per day.....	87,750
608	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8 per day.....	43,200
		Total Personal Services.....	$190,500
609	B	Repairs to equipment	$1,000
610	C	Materials and Supplies.....	20,000
		Total Non-Personal Services...	$21,000

Sewage Pumping Station.

The following items were taken up and *approved without objection*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)

611	501 AA	Watchman in Charge	$2,040
612	501 AB	Relief and Vacation	80
		Total Personal Services	$2,120

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)

613	501 BH	Power	$450
614	501 C	Material and Supplies	250
		Total Non-Personal Services	$700

MISCELLANEOUS.

(*Appropriation* 38-A)

615	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
616	501BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	4,000	
617	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment	2,000	
			$7,200	
		Total Board of Works.....		**$1,990,182**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)

618	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000
619	"	Secretary	3,000
620	"	1 Clerk	2,700
621	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100
622	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800
623	461 A	Chief Inspector	3,000
624	"	18 Inspectors at $2,700 each	48,600
625	465 A	Chief Operator	3,000
626	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600
627	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200
628	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750
629	"	Superintendent of Plant	3,300
630	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9.00 per diem.....	2,700
631	"	Batteryman	2,700
632	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000
633	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each..	13,500
634	"	Foreman Laborer	2,100
635	"	3 Laborers at $6.00 per diem	5,400
636	"	2 Machinists at $9.00 per diem	5,400
637	"	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,700 each...	8,100
638	"	14 Linemen at $2,400 each	33,600
639	"	Inside Wireman at $9.00 per diem	2,700
640	"	Commissary	2,100
641	465 AC	Repairer	2,460
642	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each	4,560
		Total Personal Services	$190,370

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)

643	465	Var. Mater'l, Supplies and Equipment	$15,000

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-E)

644	1093	Underground Conduit System	$15,000
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$30,000
		Total Department of Electricity	**$220,370**

Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend Item No. 621, one stenographer-typewriter at $2,100 a year be increased to $2,220 a year.

Item No. 642, two clerks, from $2,280 a year each to $2,400 a year each.

Amendments *referred to Finance Committee without objection.*

Whereupon, the remaining items under the head of Department of Electricity were *approved without objection.*

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
645	452 AA 4	Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
646	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary	4,500	
647	"	Physician	2,820	
648	"	Stenographer	2,580	
649	"	Chief Engineer	7,200	
650	"	First Assistant Chief	4,800	
651	"	Second Assistant Chief	4,800	
652	"	19 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	79,800	
653	"	22 Operators at $2,520 a year	55,440	
654	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440	
			$168,180	
		ENGINE COMPANIES.		
655	452 AA 45	Captains at $2,820 each a year	$126,900	
656	" 70	Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	186,900	
657	" 27	Engineers at $2,640 each a year	71,280	
658	" 84	Drivers at $2,400 each a year	201,600	
659	" 2	Drivers at $2,280 each a year	4,560	
660	" 6	Drivers at $2,160 each a year	12,960	
661	" 333	Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	799,200	
662	" 41	Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	93,480	
663	" 28	Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	60,480	
664	"	Automatic increase in salaries	3,165	
			$1,560,525	
		CHEMICAL COMPANIES.		
665	452 AA 13	Captains at $2,820 each a year	$36,660	
666	" 13	Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	34,710	
667	" 26	Drivers at $2,400 each a year	62,400	
668	" 29	Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	69,600	
668 A	2	Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	4,560	
668 B	8	Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	17,280	
668 C		Automatic increase in salaries	560	
			$225,770	
		TRUCK COMPANIES.		
669	452 AA 14	Captains at $2,820 each a year	$39,480	
670	" 17	Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	45,390	
671	" 28	Drivers at $2,400 each a year	67,200	
672	" 28	Tillermen at $2,400 each a year	67,200	
673	" 134	Truckmen at $2,400 each a year	321,600	
674	" 12	Truckmen at $2,280 each a year	27,360	
675	" 5	Truckmen at $2,160 each a year	10,800	
676	"	Automatic increase in salaries	1,065	
			$580,095	
		WATER TOWER COMPANIES.		
678	452 AA 1	Captain	$2,820	
679	" 1	Lieutenant	2,670	
680	" 2	Drivers at $2,400 each a year	4,800	
681	" 3	Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
			$17,490	

RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
682	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
683	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
684	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	4,800	
685	"	4 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	9,600	
686	"	1 Hoseman	2,280	
687		Automatic increase in salaries	65	
		Total Personal Services	$22,235	

PUMPING STATIONS.

688	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720	
689	"	5 Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800	
690	"	7 Firemen at $2,340 each a year	16,380	
691	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805	
692	"	Vacation Firemen	685	
			$38,390	

DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.

693	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
694	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880	
695	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman	2,730	
696	"	11 Gateman Hydrantmen, at $2,580 each a year	28,380	
697	452 AC	1 Calker, at $7.50 per day	2,250	
698	"	3 Laborers, at $6 per day each	5,400	
			$45,840	

CORPORATION YARD.

699	425 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
700	"	Clerk and Commissary	2,400	
701	"	Bookkeeper	2,700	
702	"	3 Watchmen, at $1,920 each a year	5 760	
703	425 A	1 Drayman	2,220	
704	"	1 Clerk	2,100	
705	"	Batteryman	2,700	
706	"	1 General Foreman	3,300	
707	525 AC	12 Machinists, at $9 per day	32,400	
708	"	5 Machinists' Helpers, at $6.58 per day	9,870	
709	"	4 Blacksmiths, at $9 per day	10,800	
710	"	4 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 per day	8,496	
711	"	2 Woodworkers, at $9 per day	5,400	
712	"	1 Brass Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
713	"	1 Boilermaker, at $9 per day	2,700	
714	"	1 Boilermakers' Helper, at $6.58 per day	1,974	
715	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter, at $9 per day	2,700	
716	"	2 Carriage Painters, at $8.35 per day	5,010	
717	"	1 Leather Worker, at $8.50 per day	2,550	

FOR FIRE PREVENTION AND THEATER INSPECTION.

719	"	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item	$79,000	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
720	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies..	$145,000	
721	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings	150,000	
		EQUIPMENT.		
722	1092	Equipment	90,000	
			$464,000	
		Total Fire Department.....		**$3,231,965**

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend Item No. 652, nineteen battalion chiefs at $4,200 a year, $79,800, by increasing same to read: Item No. 652, twenty-two battalion chiefs at $4,200 a year, $92,400.

Also, Item No. 653, 22 operators at $2,520 a year, $55,440, be increased to read Item No. 653, 24 operators at $2,520 a year, $60,480.

Also, Item No. 656, 70 lieutenants at $2,670 each a year, be increased to 82 lieutenants at $2,670 each a year.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk be directed to communicate with the Board of Fire Commissioners and ask for the information required by Supervisor Welch as to the effect of the promotion of twelve hosemen to lieutenants whether or not the personnel of the departmnt would be increased thereby.

Motion *referred to Finance Committee.*

Supervisor Gallagher moved to insert a new item, 676 A, new engine house, Third and Arthur streets (eight months), $20,940.

Referred to Finance Committee without objection.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to insert a new item, 718, new engine company.

Also, Item No. 719, increase from $79,000 to $93,000 for fire boat crew maintenance, etc.

Referred to Finance Committee without objection.

Also, Item No. 721, hydrant service, $150,000.

Referred to Finance Committee without objection.

Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend Item No. 702, three watchmen, at $1,740 each a year, be increased to $1,920 each a year.

Referred to the Finance Committee without objection.

Whereupon, the remaining items under head of Fire Department were *approved unanimously.*

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

The following items were taken up and *approved without objection*:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)

723	451 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800
724	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
725	451 AA	Confidential Clerk	2,400
726	"	Stenographer	3,000
		ADMINISTRATION.	
727	451 AA	Chief of Police	$7,200
728	"	Chief Clerk	3,600
729	"	Lieutenant	3,000
730	"	Stenographer	1,800
731	"	Office Asst. (Sergeant).....	2,640
732	"	Office Asst. (Corporal)	2,580

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
733	"	Physician	2,400	
734	"	6 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	10,800	
735	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760	
736	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760	
737	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760	
		BOOKKEEPING AND ACCOUNTING.		
738	415 AA	Bookkeeper (Sergeant)	$2,640	
739	"	2 Bookkeepers (Corporal) at $2,580 each a year	5,160	
740	"	4 Bookkeepers (Patrolmen) at $2,400 each a year	9,600	
		PROPERTY CLERK BUREAU.		
741	451 AA	Property Clerk (Captain)	$3,600	
742	"	Asst. Property Clerk (Corporal)	2,580	
743	"	4 Asst. Property Clerks (Patrolmen) at $2,400 each	9,600	
		BUREAU OF PERMITS AND REGISTRATION.		
744	451 AA	Sergeant	$2,640	
745	"	3 Patrolmen at $2,400	7,200	
		COMPLAINT BUREAU.		
746	451 AA	Lieutenant	$3,000	
747	"	Corporal	2,580	
748	"	3 Patrolmen at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.		
749	451AA	Captain of Detectives	$5,000	
750	"	6 Lieutenants at $3,000 each a year	18,000	
751	"	62 Detective Sergeants at $2,760 a year	171,120	
752	"	Stenographer	2,760	
753	"	Photographer	2,700	
754	"	3 Women Protective Officers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
		UNIFORM FORCE.		
755	451 AA	Captain of Traffic	$4,000	
756	"	14 Captains at $3,600 each a year	50,400	
757	"	25 Lieutenants at $3,000	75,000	
758	"	81 Sergeants at $2,640 each a year	213,840	
759	"	95 Corporals at $2,580 each a year	245,100	
760	"	870 Patrolmen at $2,400 each a year	2,088,000	
761	"	25 Patrol Drivers at $2,400 each a year	60,000	
762	"	10 Hostlers at $2,160 each a year	21,600	
763	"	4 Matrons at $2,100 each a year	8,400	
764	451 AA	Matrons' Relief	1,312	
765	"	Cook	2,100	
766	"	3 Engineers, Police Boat at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
		Total Personal Services		$3,095,632
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)		
767	451 B	Contractual Service	$26,500	
768	451 C	Material and Supplies	48,103	
769	1092	Equipments — Automobiles, Motorcycles — Horses, and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-B)	19,900	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
770	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
			$103,503	
		Total Police Department.....		**$3,199,135**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)

771	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000
772	"	Chief Clerk	4,200
773	"	Stenographer	2,580
774	"	3 Stenographers, at $2,280 a year...	6,840
775	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year.....	4,560
776	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800
777	"	2 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	3,600
778	476 AB	Relief Telephone Operator	400
		ACCOUNTING.	
779	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200
780	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year.....	4,560
		STATISTICS.	
781	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600
782	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280
783	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280
784	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.	
785	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector	$2,700
786	"	7 Veterinarians, $2,400 each a year..	16,800
787	"	16 Market Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	38,400
		OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.	
788	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors, at $2,700 each a year	$10,800
789	"	1 Disinfector	2,400
790	"	1 Disinfector	2,220
791	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.....	1,200
		VENEREAL CLINIC.	
792	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000
793	"	Psychologist	1,800
794	476 AA	Assistant Psychologist	1,500
795	"	Assistant Psychologist	900
796	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200
797	"	Psychologist	600
798	"	Social Service Worker	1,800
799	"	Physician	2,100
800	"	Janitress	1,500
		BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.	
801	476 AA	Director of Laboratories	$3,600
802	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000
803	476 AA	2 Bacteriologists, at $2,700 each a year	5,400
804	476 AA	1 Bacteriologist	2,100

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
805	"	Technician	1,500	
806	"	Clerk	2,280	
807	"	2 Helpers, at $720 each a year	1,440	

Conservation of Child Life.

SCHOOL INSPECTION.

808	476	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000	
809	476 AA	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
810	"	4 School Inspectors (Part Time), at $1,800 each a year	7,200	
811	"	Optometrist	1,500	

DENTAL.

812	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000	
813	"	2 Dental Hygienists, at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
814	"	7 Dentists, at $1,200 each a year	8,400	
815	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600	

CHILD WELFARE.

816	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000	
817	"	Pediatrician	480	
818	"	Pediatrician	240	

Food Inspection.

MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.

819	476 AA	2 Veterinarians, at $3,600 each a year	$7,200	
820	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000	

OTHER FOOD CONTROL.

821	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	$3,600	
822	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,100 each a year	14,700	

CHEMICAL LABORATORY.

823	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000	
824	"	Chemist	2,700	
825	"	Technician	1,080	

Protection of Life and Property.

PLUMBING INSPECTION.

826	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector, at $3,600 a year	$3,600	
827	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors, at $2,820 each a year	16,920	

HOUSING INSPECTION.

828	457 A	Tenement House Inspector	$3,000	
829	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
830	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors, at $2,100 each a year	6,300	

FACTORY INSPECTION.

831	458 AA	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	
832	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980	

REFUSE COLLECTION.

833	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	

CHARITIES AND RELIEF.

834	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year	$7,200	
835	"	1 City Physician	3,000	

FIELD NURSING.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
836	476 A	Director	$3,600	
837	"	Supervisor School Medical Inspection	2,280	
838	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280	
839	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280	
840	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau, at $2,280 each	4,560	

Nurses.

TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.

841	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year	$15,840	
842	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800	

SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.

843	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year	$59,400	
844	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100	

CHILD WELFARE.

845	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes	$1,980	
846	"	7 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year	13,860	

SOCIAL SERVICE.

847	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980	
848	"	Social Service Worker	1,980	
849	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620	

TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.

850	476 A	Director	$4,200	
851	"	Physician	600	
852	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020	
853	"	Cook—Michel Angelo School	720	
		Total Personal Services	$411,040	
854	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	2,500	
855	476 BL	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	25,600	

RAT EXTERMINATION.

856	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280	
857	476 B	Carfare	225	
858	476 C	Material and Supplies	525	
859	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-D)	23,850	
860	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment	39,350	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$97,330	

Relief Home.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)

861	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
862	"	Clerk	3,000	
863	"	Stenographer	2,280	
864	"	Telephone Operator	900	
865	"	Watchman	1,500	
866	"	Watchman	1,380	
867	"	Physician	2,400	
868	"	Physician	1,800	
869	"	Pharmacist	2,400	
870	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800	
871	"	7 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	8,400	
872	"	1 Practical Nurse	840	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
874	"	3 Practical Nurses at $600 each.....	1,800	
875	"	Orderly	720	
876	"	Orderlies as required	6,240	
877	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation.....	100	
878	"	Commissary	2,400	
879	"	Laundryman	2,268	
880	"	Laundress	1,524	
881	"	Laundryman..	600	
882	"	Chief Steward	2,400	
883	"	3 Stewards at $1,680 each a year.....	5,040	
884	"	Head Matron	2,400	
885	"	Matron	1,020	
886	"	Seamstress	1,380	
887	"	Seamstress	1,080	
888	"	Practical Nurse	1,080	
889	"	Chief Cook	2,280	
890	"	Cook	2,100	
891	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940	
892	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
893	"	Butcher	2,520	
894	"	Butcher, Relief	105	
895	"	Baker	1,980	
896	"	Farmer	1,920	
897	"	Farmer	1,800	
898	"	Engineer	2,400	
899	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,400 each a year	4,800	
900	"	Driver	1,800	
	552 AC	Plumber at $10.00 a day	3,000	
901	552 AA	Gardener	1,800	
901a	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900	
901b	552 AC	Inmate Help	25,316	
		Total Personal Services	$123,815	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)

902	552 B	Contractual Services	$7,900	
903	552 C	Materials and Supplies	260,000	
			$267,900	

Isolation Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)

904	554 AA	Superintendent	$2,400	
905	"	Office Attendant	840	
906	"	Watchman	1,620	
907	"	Night Watchman	1,200	
908	504 AC	Relief Night Watchman	201	
909	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $540	1,620	
910	"	Resident Physician	4,200	
911	"	House Officer	300	
912	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year	240	
913	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400	
914	"	Practical Nurse	600	
915	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief.	3,600	
916	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year	2,160	
917	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
918	"	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year...	1,152	
919	"	Seamstress	1,080	
920	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200	
921	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year.....	3,240	
922	"	7 Wardwomen at $540 each a year..	3,780	
923	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460	
924	554 AA	Cook	2,100	
925	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175	
926	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720	
927	"	2 Kitchen Helpers at $600 each a year	1,200	
928	"	Dishwasher	540	
929	"	Waitress	1,140	
930	554 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45	
931	554 AA	Gardner	1,200	

San Francisco Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

932	554 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
933	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700	
934	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B.....	2,400	
935	"	Clerk	3,000	
936	"	Stenographer	1,920	
937	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each a year.	1,800	
938	"	Statistician	2,280	
939	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200	
940	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
941	"	Office Attendant, T. B.	900	
942	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
943	"	Night Telephone Operator.....	1,080	
944	"	Night Telephone Operator.....	840	
945	"	Telephone Operator	1,500	
946	"	Watchman	1,500	
947	"	Watchman, T. B.	1,200	
948	"	Watchman	600	
949	"	Teamster	1,920	
950	"	Messenger	540	
951	"	Institutional Help	3,000	
952	554 AA	Resident Physician	1,500	
953	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600	
954	"	30 Internes at $120 a year.....	3,600	
955	"	Pharmacist	3,000	
956	"	Assistant Pharmacist	2,700	
957	"	Stockman	900	
958	"	Institutional Help	480	
959	"	Dentist	1,200	
960	"	Radiologist	3,000	
961	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800	
962	"	X-Ray Technician	1,200	
963	"	Clerk—Stenographer	1,200	
964	"	Operating Room Nurse.....	2,100	
965	"	Asst. Operating Room Nurse.....	1,320	
966	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
967	"	Anaesthetist	1,800	
968	"	Anaesthetist	1,500	
969	"	Plaster Cast Maker.....	900	
970	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year.....	3,240	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
971	"	Superintendent of Nurses.....	3,000	
972	"	3 Asst. Supt. of Nurses at $1,800 each a year.....	5,400	
973	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T. B.....	1,380	
974	"	Supervisor of Admissions.....	1,380	
975	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year.....	6,000	
976	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500	
977	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900	
978	"	Asst. Practical Nurse.....	720	
979	"	40 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	48,000	
980	"	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each.....	2,640	
981	"	Practical Nurses	13,000	
982	"	Orderlies	25,000	
983	"	Orderlies, T. B.....	1,450	
984	"	Office Assistant Supt. of Nurses....	540	
985	"	Medical Advisor	1,500	
986	"	Pupil Nurses.....	10,000	
987	554 AA	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500	
988	"	4 Institutional Nurses, at $1,200 each a year	4,800	
989	"	2 Practical Nurses, at $600 each a year	1,200	
990	"	2 Practical Nurses, at $540 each....	1,080	
991	"	Porter	540	
992	"	Pantrymaid	540	
993	"	4 Orderlies, at $600 each a year.....	2,400	
994	"	Orderly	540	
995	"	Commissary	3,600	
996	"	Store Keeper	1,800	
997	"	5 Store Keeper Helpers	2,000	
		LAUNDRY.		
998	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300	
999	"	Washer	1,764	
1000	"	Wringer	1,524	
1001	554 AA	Starcher	1,416	
1002	"	Washer's Helper	1,380	
1003	"	5 Ironers, at $1,176 each a year.....	5,880	
1004	"	3 Ironers, at $1,128 each a year...	3,384	
1005	"	4 Dry Room Workers, at $1,104 each a year	4,416	
1006	"	Deliveryman	480	
1007	"	Deliveryman	540	
1008	"	Marker	1,524	
1009	"	Sorter	1,524	
1010	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116	
1011	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104	
1012	"	10 Mangel Hands, at $1,020 each a year	10,200	
1013	"	2 Porters	1,020	
1014	"	Seamstress	540	
		HOUSEKEEPING.		
1015	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,200	
1016	"	4 Seamstresses at $540 each.....	2,160	
1017	"	Surgery Porter	900	
1018	"	Lockerman	600	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
1019	"	Morgueman	600	
1020	"	Morgueman	540	
1021	"	Mattress Maker	600	
1022	"	Incineratorman	540	
1023	"	Sterilizerman	600	
1024	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000	
1025	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080	
1026	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600	
1027	"	Window Washer	600	
1028	"	Window Washer	720	
1029	"	25 Wardmen	15,000	
1030	"	40 Porters	19,200	
1031	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500	
		CULINARY.		
1032	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280	
1033	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100	
1034	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100	
1035	"	4 Cooks at $1,980 each	7,920	
1036	"	Night Cook	1,080	
1037	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100	
1038	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500	
1039	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
1040	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,140 each a year	18,240	
1041	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000	
		POWER PLANT.		
1042	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,300	
1043	"	4 Engineers at $2,400 each a year	9,600	
1044	"	3 Firemen at $2,100 each a year	6,300	
1045	554 AB	Firemen Relief	2,100	
1046	554 AA	Helper	540	
		MAINTENANCE.		
1047	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year	$5,700	
1048	"	Gardener	1,680	
1049	"	Assistant Gardener	720	
1050	"	7 Yardmen	4,200	
1051	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,315	
		Total Personal Services	$421,557	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)		
1052	554 B	Contractual	$18,755	
1053	554 C	Materials and Supplies	170,000	
1054	554 CO	Foodstuff	230,000	
1055	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225	
1056	1092	Equipment	15,000	
			$435,980	

Emergency Hospitals.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)

1058	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000	
1059	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700	
1060	"	Chief Steward	3,000	
1061	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1062	"	10 Surgeons, at $2,400 each a year	24,000	
1063	"	22 Stewards, at $2,400 each a year	52,800	
1064	"	10 Nurses, at $1,980 each a year	19,800	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
1065	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1066	"	15 Ambulance Drivers, at $2,400 each a year	36,000	
1067	"	Messenger	1,200	
1068	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	
1069	"	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1070	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	$156,900	
1071	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	$8,287	
1072	5541 C	Material and Supplies	15,000	
1073	5541 H	Fixed Charges	500	
		Total	$23,787	
				$1,992,880

Amendments.

Supervisor Badaracco moved that Item No. 833, two inspectors at $2,100, $4,200, be amended to read one inspector at $2,100.

Supervisor Welch moved as an amendment reference to the motion to the Finance Committee.

Amendment *carried.*

Supervisor Stanton moved the following amendments which were *referred to Finance Committee without objection*:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

(Central Office.)

Item No. 774, three stenographers, from $2,100 each a year to $2,220 each a year, $6,660.

Item No. 775, two clerks, from $2,100 each a year to $2,220 each a year, $4,440.

Item No. 780, two clerks, from $2,100 each a year to $2,220 each a year, $4,440.

Item No. 782, one clerk, mortuary, from $2,100 a year to $2,220 a year, $2,220.

Item No. 783, one clerk, births, from $2,100 a year to $2,220 a year $2,220.

Item No. 806, one clerk, from $2,100 to $2,220 a year, $2,220.

Relief Home.

Item No. 863, one stenographer, $2,100 to $2,220 a year, $2,220.

Isolation Hospital.

Item No. 907, one night watchman, from $1,080 a year to $1,260 a year, $1,260.

Whereupon, the remaining items of the Department of Public Health, 771 to 1073, inclusive, were *approved without objection.*

BOARD OF EDUCATION.

Common School Fund—(Appropriations 48 and 48-B)

1074	576 A	Teachers' Compensation	$5,943,949	
1075		For the Conduct, Maintenance and Operation of the School Department, other than for Teachers' Salaries and Tuition of Junior College students	1,110,528	
		Total School		**$7,054,477**

CHARTER FUND AND STATE LAWS.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
1076	612	For Playground Fund as Provided by Charter Amendment, $0.05 in tax rate (Appropriation 49)		$350,000
1077	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 50).....		$700,000
1078	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 51)		$4,898,389
1079	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 52)		$345,000
1080	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 53)..		$262,500
1081	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 54).....		$664,700
1082	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55)		$70,000
1083	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 56)		$17,000
1084	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Appropriation 57)		$45,000
1085	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 59).		$162,318
1086	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 60)		$70,000
1087	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61)		$70,000
		TOTAL BUDGET		**$33,284,382**

Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend as follows:

Board of Education.

Item No. 1075—For the conduct, maintenance and operation of the School Department other than teachers' salaries and tuition for junior college students	$1,110,528	
Increase this total ..... to be applied to 237 janitors and janitresses in the School Department, increasing their present compensation $15 a month each	42,660	
		$1,153,188

Amendment *referred to Finance Committee without objection.*

Whereupon, the remaining items under Board of Education were *approved.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 7:30 p. m the Board took a recess until Monday, May 24, 1926, at 2 p. m.

J. S DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

MONDAY, MAY 24, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 24, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Marks was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the

meeting of March 29, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Law Enforcement, Labor Controversy.

The following was presented by Supervisors Colman, Hayden and Harrelson:

Whereas, there is at present an industrial controversy in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it has been published in the public press of this community and generally elsewhere that said controversy is being accompanied by repeated acts of violence; and

Whereas, continuance of such conditions must inevitably result in a loss to this community of its reputation as a peaceful and law-abiding community; therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that while it expresses no opinion as to the merits of said controversy (and while it fully recognizes the undisputed rights of both parties to such controversy to endeavor to obtain their desired objects in every lawful way) it does, nevertheless, now call upon every public official in this community whose sworn duty it is so to do, to take every necessary step to enforce law and order and to prevent the commission of criminal acts and to punish all persons guilty thereof.

Motions.

Supervisor Colman moved suspension of the rules and adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment that the resolution be referred to the Special Committee.

Thereupon, the following was presented by Supervisor Hayden, read by the Clerk and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

"THIS CITY'S AUTHORITIES MUST END THE REIGN OF STRIKE VIOLENCE.

("Examiner" Editorial)

San Francisco is being injured seriously through the State and Nation by the impression which is abroad that our administration of justice has broken down.

Day after day crimes of violence ranging from simple assault to murder are occurring, and up to the present time the record shows there has been a tragic inefficiency or lack of determination upon the part of the duly constituted authorities to meet the situation.

The names of Herrin and Cicero, two cities in the Middle West, are today synonymous with violence and lawlessness. The name of San Francisco must not be bracketed in any such company.

During the past month there have been practically daily riots in which mobs of men have assaulted individual workingmen and left them maimed and beaten. Arrest after arrest has been made, under circumstances which require that the District Attorney and the criminal courts should entertain charges of felony. The record shows that in practically every instance bail has been fixed in these cases at some ridiculously low amount and that subsequently the cases either have been dismissed or the fines assessed have been so nominal in character as to put a premium on further commission of crime.

The charge is openly made that several of the police judges are aspirants for other political offices and that deliberately they are avoiding their sworn duty. The charge is openly made that the District Attorney displays an utter indifference to the situation and that the prosecution, in cases where arrests have resulted, has been nothing more than perfunctory. The charge is openly made that the police department of San Francisco at the outset of the present reign of violence was willing, and attempted, to do its sworn duty, but that its morale has been destroyed by the attitude assumed by the judges of the police courts and by those higher in authority in the city government.

The present outburst has obtained for several weeks. It has been concurrent with the existence of the carpenters' strike. There has been ample time to verify the character of these attacks, and the record shows clearly and conclusively that in practically every instance of 102 cases of assault the victim has been either a nonunion workingman or a union workingman who had refused to quit employment on the strike order.

The evil results of this reign of lawlessness are many. First and outstanding has been the injury to organized labor in San Francisco. Every thoughtful labor leader and member of a union realizes that these daily acts of crime are throwing over the entire organized labor movement in San Francisco a pall of suspicion, distrust and ill-feeling on the part of the community at large.

At the outset of the strike there was a large body of citizens of San Francisco sympathetic with the carpenters, conscious of the prosperity of the city, and anxious and willing to bring about an adjustment which

would result advantageously to the workers. Repeated disturbance has resulted in a conviction upon the part of these citizens that no self-respecting community can countenance an attempted reign of terror.

While San Francisco is spending a million and a half a year inviting people to come to Northern California and San Francisco to make their homes, the current news of the past weeks has been of such a character that it has largely neutralized the beneficial advertising and discouraged people who would have come.

Not the least consideration is the fact that violence begets violence. Any observer of events would be stupid indeed if he did not sense the present serious trend. It is an hourly occurrence to hear law-abiding citizens denounce the supposedly constituted authorities of San Francisco who have permitted this situation to grow unchecked. Anyone who knows human nature must be convinced that further duration of the present conditions will culminate inevitably in some incident which will arouse the community and set in motion forces which it might be impossible to control.

It is the sworn duty of the Mayor, the District Attorney, the police judges and the police of San Francisco to enforce the law.

They have been elected or appointed to office for this purpose, and they have sworn to do their duty.

The time has come to determine whether the lawfully constituted authorities of San Francisco propose to do their duty or whether, through lack of courage, they choose to ignore it.

'The Examiner' is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the carpenters' strike. The attitude of this newspaper in relation to proper working conditions and wages of workingmen is written in the records for many years.

'The Examiner' is interested vitally with every citizen of San Francisco in the protection of life and the maintenance of law and order. When any body or group of men seeks, in defiance of law, to bring the community to submission, all right-thinking people can be of only one opinion, namely, that no such attempt can be permitted to succeed.

Any candidate for public office in San Francisco who hopes to satisfy a political ambition on a record of compromise with public duty or submission to lawlessness insults the intelligence of the San Francisco electorate.

The people of San Francisco are law-abiding, home-loving and God-fearing people and they are demanding that law and order shall be maintained in this community."

Point of Order.

Supervisor Shannon raised the point of order: "This matter is not properly before the Board. Havenner amendment is not in order. We should first vote on suspension of the rules."

Chair: Point of order well taken.

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, further action was *continued until* 5 *p. m.*

Consideration of the foregoing was thereupon *deferred until* 5 *p. m.*, when the matter was taken up and the following proceedings had:

Supervisor Colman said he considered this a matter of tremendous importance; that criminal acts of violence are hurting our city to a terrible degree, and renewed his motion for the suspension of the rules and the adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor Havenner: I would like at this time prior to voting upon the motion for the suspension of the rules to explain why I moved at the time this resolution was brought in to refer it to the committee appointed by the Mayor to attempt to effect a conciliation in the present industrial dispute in San Francisco.

Supervisor Gallagher: I rise to a point of order. My point is that the only thing we can discuss is the suspension of the rules for the introduction of this resolution.

The Chairman: The point of order is not well taken. You are in order, Supervisor.

Supervisor Havenner: My reason for moving, when this resolution was so suddenly and unexpectedly interjected into the Board, that it be referred to the committee, was that our committee has been proceeding in the utmost good faith, so far as I was aware, to arrive at a basis of settlement of the dispute which is referred to in this resolution. There was a joint agreement, a specific joint agreement, among the members of that committee, that no publicity would be given out which might tend in any way to affect our efforts, and this at least was implied—that no action of any member of the committee would be of such a nature as to affect adversely the efforts that we were trying to make. I believe

that the introduction of this resolution in the fashion in which it has come before this Board, signed by the three members of the committee, is a most unfortunate thing for the committee itself and its work. It was upon that theory that I rather hastily decided that the resolution should go to the committee. But after the speech made by Supervisor Hayden, who made an entirely unwarranted and malicious attack upon the committee on which he is now serving, indicating that I was a supporter of violence, whereas he knows from his experience in that committee that that is false and untrue, and that my efforts have been directed entirely towards ending this controversy and bringing a stop to violence in San Francisco, I feel that it is a personal affront which should not be tolerated on this Board. However, in veiw of the fact that the attempt has been made to make me appear as a proponent of violence, I shall vote in favor of this resolution at this time. I want the Supervisor to know why I vote in favor of it. I want him to know that I think it is a most regretable thing that this resolution was brought in in the fashion in which it was brought in. Of course, every member of this Board is going to vote for any declaration against violence, and the original statement which I made to the Board in support of the resolution which was adopted here three weeks ago, and which was referred to by Supervisor Hayden, contained a specific declaration that I was opposed to violence. I do not believe any body in San Francisco who knows me would in good faith say that I would stand for violence. I do not believe that any member of the Board except Supervisor Hayden would make such a statement. I say that that statement was malicious and false and should not have been tolerated. I am merely explaining my vote at this time.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend by inserting the following "Whereas" and "Resolved" in the resolution:

Whereas, the members of this Board of Supervisors have been attacked in the public press by what seems to be an intentional effort to misstate our declaration against partisan participation (by constituted authority) in the enforcement of law in the present industrial conflict; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee is hereby authorized to convey (out of the Publicity and Advertising Fund) through the most advisable channels the real attitude and purpose which actuated the action of a majority of this Board in the adoption of Resolution No. 25630 (New Series), dealing with the above-mentioned industrial dispute.

Thereupon, the resolution, as follows, was *adopted*, together with the amendment of Supervisor Gallagher, by the following vote:

Resolution No. 25709 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is at present an industrial controversy in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it has been published in the public press of this community and generally elsewhere, that such controversy has been and is being accompanied by repeated criminal acts of violence; and

Whereas, the continuance of such conditions must inevitably result in the loss by this community of its reputation as a peaceful and law-abiding community; and

Whereas, the members of this Board of Supervisors have been attacked in the public press by what seems to be an intentional effort to mistake our declaration against partisian participation (by constituted authority) in the enforcement of law in the present industrial conflict; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That, while it expresses no opinion as to the merits of such controversy (and while it fully recognizes the undisputed rights of both parties to such controversy to endeavor to obtain their desired objects in every lawful way), it does, nevertheless, now call upon every public official in this community whose sworn duty it is so to do, to take every necessary step to enforce law and order and to prevent the commission of criminal acts and to punish all persons guilty thereof.

Further Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee is hereby authorized to convey (out of the Publicity and Advertising Fund) through the most advisable channels, the real attitude and purpose which actuated the action of a majority of this Board in the adoption of Resolution No. 25630 (New Series), dealing with the above mentioned industrial dispute.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Condemnation of the "Un-American" System of Refusing Building Material to Union Jobs.

Supervisor Stanton thereupon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is reported that the occurrence of public violence in this City and County at the present time is due in large part to a secret system of violence which is being put into effect by a certain group of dealers in building materials, who are refusing to sell materials to citizens of San Francisco who apply for supplies of materials for building purposes unless they obtain an arbitrary permit from the aforesaid organization; and

Whereas, such a system of denying the right of American citizens to purchase materials required to carry out their legitimate business operations is thoroughly un-American and is a contributory factor of the utmost importance in prolonging the present industrial dispute which is halting the progress of our City; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors condemns without restriction the operation of this un-American system in the business affairs or our City and pledges all of its official influence and authority to stamp out this practice.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Embarcadero Bus Line.

Numerous protests have been filed against the award made by the Board of Public Works for furnishing buses to be used in the bus service along The Embarcadero.

These complaints are made by various automobile firms who claim that the specifications called for 21-passenger buses, whereas the award was made for a 29-passenger bus.

The protests were *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Mayor's Veto.

The veto of the Mayor on the question of the appointment of a special assessor for the city was *continued for a week.*

Reception to Crown Prince of Sweden.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
May 24, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I have the honor of informing you of the impending visit to San Francisco of their royal hignesses, the Crown Prince and Crown Princess of Sweden with a considerable suite.

The royal visitors are to be guests of the Department of State of the United States and will arrive in San Francisco on July 28, remaining until August 3.

I am sure that this city desires to accord the Crown Prince and Crown Princess a reception which will make their visit here stand out, and I would respectfully ask for authorization from your Honorable Board for a citizens' committee to have the reception in charge.

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Mayor, on motion duly made and carried, authorized to appoint a Citizens' Committee in accordance with his request.

Roofing Composition.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication, from Zellerbach Paper Company, together with samples of Richardson's Super-Giant and Viskolt Membrae roofing materials, which were ordered *referred to the Public Buildings Committee.*

Protest Against Placing Vicente Street in Commercial District.

Protest, of Taraval Improvement Association against proposed amendment to Zoning Ordinance classifying Vicente street as a Commercial District.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

SPECIAL ORDER, 2 P. M.

Set-back Line Hearing.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Fifteenth, Seventeenth, Nineteenth and Fortieth avenues.

Action Deferred.

The foregoing matter, together with the following bill, was ordered *re-referred to the City Planning Committee*:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fifteenth, Seventeenth, Nineteenth and Fortieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 26th day of April, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 121 to establish set-back lines along Fifteenth, Seventeenth, Nineteenth and Fortieth avenues, and fixed the 24th day of May, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were

posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly to Lincoln way, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Set-back Line Hearing.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-second, Thirty-first, Thirty-second and Thirty-seventh avenues.

No objections being offered the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7605, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-second, Thirty-first, Thirty-second and Thirty-seventh avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 26th day of April, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 122 to establish set-back lines along Twenty-second, Thirty-first, Thirty-second and Thirty-seventh avenues, and fixed the 24th day of May, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 7 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-first avenue between Santiago street and Rivera street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Cabrillo street, and running thence northerly to a point southerly from Balboa street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-

seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m., for furnishing milk, ice cream and groceries, fuel and gasoline, household supplies and cordage, drugs, medicines, chemicals, hospital appliances and surgical instruments, street and sewer materials, incandescent electric lights.

Referred to Supplies Committee.

Confirmation of Sale of City Lands.

Consideration of the matter of confirming the sale to George Cohn for the sum of ninety-two thousand seven hundred and fifty ($92,750) dollars the following described city lands, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of O'Farrell street, distant thereon 197 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Stockton street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of O'Farrell street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 73 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 73 feet to the northerly line of O'Farrell street and point of commencement; being a portion of 50 Vara Block 121, also known as Lot 11, Block 313 on Assessor's Map Book.

If an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that hereinabove named shall be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale in conformity with the provisions of the Charter; otherwise said sale to George Cohn will be confirmed for the price hereinabove stated.

The chair inquired if there was anyone present who desired to increase the bid of Mr. Cohn by 10 per cent and there was no response.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Confirming Sale of Land.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7604, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 7017, approved March 20, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 19th day of April, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County, for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein, with common certainty, and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 7017 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $100,000, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 19th day of April, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to George Cohn for the sum of $92,750 and accepted from said George Cohn a deposit in the form of a check in the amount of $9,275, being 10 per cent of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 26th day of April, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder, and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board

of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco, for a period of eighteen (18) days from and after the 29th day of April, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 24th day of May, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 24th day of May, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $92,750, bid as aforesaid by George Cohn, is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold, and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That said sale of the said land hereinafter described to George Cohn for the sum of $92,750 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to George Cohn all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of O'Farrell street, distant thereon 197 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Stockton street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of O'Farrell street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 73 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 73 feet to the northerly line of O'Farrell street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 121, also known as Lot 11, Block 313, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25700 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Anchor Brass Works, brass box water hydrants, etc., for parks (claim dated May 11, 1926), $649.50.

(2) The California Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated May 14, 1926), $543.70.

(3) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated May 14, 1926), $648.38.

(4) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated May 14, 1926), $1,243.30.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(5) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,-882.79.

(6) City Coal Co., Inc., coal Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $726.

(7) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,905.13.

(8) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, drill parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $638.05.

(9) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., five pumps, electric equipment, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $675.

(10) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,964.26.

(11) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,-007.15.

(12) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oils (claim dated May 7, 1926), $665.83.

(13) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., second payment, core borings along aqueduct, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 6, 1926), $2,521.20.

(14) R. W. McKinney Co., black

pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 10, 1926), $3,906.19.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 10, 1926), $671.50.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(16) United States Director of National Park Service, eighth annual payment, due under Section 17, Act of Congress, granting to the City and County rights of way in the Yosemite and Stanislaus National Parks, for 1926 (claim dated May 10, 1926), $15,000.

School Bond Fund, Issue 1918.

(17) The Dictaphone Sales Corporation, four dictaphone machines for High School of Commerce (claim dated May 11, 1926), $540.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(18) G. Albert Lansburgh, fifth payment, architectural service for the Alvarado School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,447.44.

(19) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, second payment, architectural service for Henry Durant School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $985.88.

(20) J. R. Miller, sixth payment, architectural service for Alamo School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,984.01.

(21) Ward & Blohme, third payment, architectural service, Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,608.57.

(22) Weeks & Day, fifth payment, architectural service for Hawthorne School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $726.08.

(23) Burnham Plumbing Co., seventh payment, gasfitting and sprinkler system for New Mission High School (claim dated May 12, 1926), $3,569.43.

(24) John Reid, Jr., fifth payment, architectural service for additional units to Galileo High School, gymnasium, etc., (claim dated May 12, 1926), $1,282.51.

(25) John Reid, Jr., eleventh payment, architectural service for New Mission High School (claim dated May 12, 1926), $1,064.52.

County Road Fund.

(26) Bond Construction Co., fifth payment, construction of bridge at Mt. Vernon avenue and San Jose avenue (claim dated May 12, 1926), $26,000.

(27) Fay Improvement Co., first payment, improvement of parking area of Claremont Circle (claim dated May 12, 1926), $2,400.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(28) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., of Municipal Railways (claim dated May 7, 1926), $6,835.42.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(29) L. P. Brassy, second payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 145 (claim dated May 11, 1926), $7,350.

(30) Robert W. Jamison, first payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for Sunset line, Municipal Railways, Contract 146 (claim dated May 10, 1926), $525.

Special School Tax.

(31) Carl H. Peterson, second payment, general construction of annex to Parkside School (claim dated May 10, 1926), $5,451.33.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(32) California Printing Co., printing license books for Auditor (claim dated May 7, 1926), $568.80.

(33) Frank Bryant, final payment, construction of bridge at Evans avenue and Army street (claim dated May 12, 1926), $891.15.

(34) Justin N. Ducray, final payment for construction of sewers in Twenty-sixth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim dated May 12, 1926), $6,072.50.

(35) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing the Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated May 17, 1926), $940.

(36) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated May 7, 1926), $720.

(37) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing Auditor's report (claim dated May 17, 1926), $900.

(38) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $3,850.80.

(39) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $2,117.68.

(40) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,509.38.

(41) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $989.89.

(42) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,431.57.

(43) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $564.17.

(44) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $10,523.05.

(45) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $3,709.12.

(46) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $24,290.32.

(47) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 7, 1926), $540.

(48) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors for March (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,034.83.

(49) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors for April (claim dated May 11, 1926), $1,071.99.

(50) American La France Co., Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated April 30, 1926), $543.25.

(51) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1926), $919.65.

(52) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,610.12.

(53) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated April 30, 1926), $987.25.

(54) Shell Company, fuel oil, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1926), $772.78.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water service and installing hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,255.07.

(56) Henry Cowell Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 7, 1926), $1,526.49.

(57) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing (claim dated May 7, 1926), $2,051.75.

(58) James E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,734.40.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for public buildings (claim dated May 10, 1926), $1,854.32.

(60) L. Dinkelspiel Co., sheeting for Relief Home (claim dated March 30, 1926), $650.92.

(61) Baumgarten Bros., meats Relief Home (claim dated April 27, 1926), $3,125.55.

(62) Golden State Milk Products Co., butter, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,006.32.

(63) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $810.

(64) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated May 6, 1926), $1,037.85.

(65) Kahn & Co., X-Ray films, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,268.72.

(66) P. J. Moliterno, potatoes, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $554.25.

(67) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $660.84.

(68) Herbert F. Dugan, bandages, Emergency Hospitals (claim dated May 10, 1926), $578.75.

(69) Grover R. Neil, first payment, loading, hauling and installing of safety-lane markers, Contract No. 1 (claim dated May 12, 1926), $750.

(70) Union Tank & Pipe Co., 10,000 Universal Safety Stops (traffic) (claim dated May 13, 1926), $1,200.

(71) Graf-Barton Signal Co., 500 traffic zone markers (claim dated May 13, 1926), $2,000.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(72) John J. Dailey, legal services in valuation of San Francisco electrical properties before the State Railroad Commission (claim dated May 14, 1926), $850.

(73) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services in valuation of San Francisco electric properties before the State Railroad Commission (claim dated May 14, 1926), $750.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Supervisor Marks voted *no* on Items Nos. 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24 and 25.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for the Acquisition of Property Required for the Extension of Market Street.

Resolution No. 25701 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the extension of Market street, to-wit:

(1) To Mary Lynch, for property on the southerly line of Market street, distant 425 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25601 (New Series), $4,052.

(2) To Anna D. Roller, for properties beginning at point of intersection of the northerly boundary line of Lot 4 of Block 4 of Market Street Homestead with the westerly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along said northerly boundary line 18.295 feet; and beginning at point of intersection of southerly line of Mono street north of Caselli avenue with the westerly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along southerly line of Mono street 14.632 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25601 (New Series), $2,750.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Properties Required for the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 25702 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the $100,000 set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series) in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, to-wit:

(1) To F. Reis, Jr., for property beginning at a point on the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, distant thereon 76.229 feet southerly from the southerly boundary of Lot 287, Precita Valley Lands; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25603 (New Series), $4,056.

(2) To Cecelia Ulfelder and Selma G. Lowe, for property beginning at point of intersection of the northerly line of Cortland avenue with the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Cortland avenue 40 feet; being portions of Lots 294 and 301, Precita Valley Lands; also, property beginning at point of intersection of the northern boundary of Lot 310, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and thence running southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 18.343 feet, etc.; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25559 (New Series), $11,694.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 25703 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Ahlbach & Mayer, south side of Clifford street, 116.62 feet west of Upper Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Brodie, west side of Divisadero street, 50 feet north of Jefferson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Carrere, 1435 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Arthur Dunn, 1632 Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of Twenty-eighth avenue, 100 feet south of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northwest corner of Oak street and Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. D. Frazee, 539 Fourteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. M. Goldberg, No. 2 Scenic way, 600 gallons capacity.

Ed. Jose, north side of F'ell street, 187 feet 6 inches east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed. Jose, north side of F'ell street, 220 feet east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed. Jose, north side of Fell street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Keenan, east side of Jones street, 100 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mercantile Trust Co., northeast corner of Twenty-second and Mission streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Capt. F. Nash, north side of Sea View Terrace, end of Thirty-first avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Nederland Bros., south side of Sixteenth street, 105 feet east of Folsom street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Polati, north side of Union street, 100 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Eugene Simon, south side of Jackson street, 165 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Smith-White Co., north side of Sea View Terrace, 125 feet west of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Wienholz, northwest corner of Howard and Adair streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Wienholz, west side of Twelfth avenue, 125 feet north of Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Acme Junk Co., 516 Townsend street, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 25704 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alfred C. Casseltana be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted McGary Robson by Resolution No. 25090 (New Series) for premises at the junction of Mission and Morse streets between Whittier and Lowell streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Resolution No. 25705 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northwest corner of Eleventh and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Construction of Photograph Gallery, Hall of Justice.

Bill No. 7591, Ordinance No. 7103 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a photograph gallery for the Police Department on the Hall of Justice, on Kearny street between Washington and Merchant streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contracts for said construction, approving plans and specifications therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of a photograph gallery for the Police Department on the Hall of Justice situate on Kearny street between Washington and Merchant streets, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said photograph gallery conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Confirming Sale of City Land.

Bill No. 7581, Ordinance No. 7104 (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 6999, approved March 10, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 5th day of April, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 6999 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $900 and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 5th day of April, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco for the sum of $1,100 and accepted from said Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco a deposit in cash in the amount of

$110, being 10 per cent of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 12th day of April, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of eighteen (18) days from and after the 15th day of April, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 10th day of May, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 10th day of May, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $1,100 bid as aforesaid by Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The said sale of the said land hereinafter described to Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco for the sum of $1,100 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wawona street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1273, also known as Lot 2, Block 2502, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Turk Street at Scott Street, Commercial District.

Bill No. 7592, Ordinance No. 7105 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Turk and Scott streets, for a distance of 50 feet on Turk street and 65 feet on Scott street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Potrero Avenue and Twenty-third Street, Commercial District.

Bill No. 7593, Ordinance No. 7106 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464

(New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Potrero avenue and Twenty-third street, for a distance of 25 feet on Potrero avenue and 100 feet on Twenty-third street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Releasing, Cancelling and Annulling Contracts for Improvement of Jones Street Between North Point and Beach Streets.

Bill No. 7596, Ordinance No. 7107 (New Series), as follows:

Releasing, cancelling and annulling that certain contract made and entered into by and between Municipal Construction Company and the Board of Public Works on December 16, 1925, designated as Street Assessment Contract No. 1981 (New Series), for the improvement of Jones street between North Point street and Beach street and repealing Ordinance No. 6819 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That certain contract made and entered into by and between Municipal Construction Company and the Board of Public Works on December 16, 1925, designated as Street Assessment Contract No. 1981 (New Series), for the improvement of Jones street between North Point street and Beach street, is hereby cancelled and annulled, and the Municipal Construction Company is hereby released from said contract at the instance and request of the contracting parties thereto, for the reasons specified in Resolution No. 91560 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works passed May 5, 1926, and filed in the Clerk's office of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1926.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 6819 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Jones street between North Point street and Beach street, is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Washington Street Between Taylor and Jones Streets.

Bill No. 7597, Ordinance No. 7108 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eleven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 6, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eleven, to read as follows:

Section 911. The width of sidewalks on Washington street, the southerly side of, between Taylor street and Jones street, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Washington street, the northerly side of, between Taylor street and Jones street, shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7598, Ordinance No. 7109 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Beverly, Monticello and Byxbee streets between Garfield street and Worcester avenue; on Ralston street between Garfield and Randolph streets; on Shields street between Ralston street and the westerly line of Byxbee street, and on Sargent street between Vernon street and Worcester avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 1st day of March, 1926, by Resolution No. 25247 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Beverly, Monticello and Byxbee streets between Garfield street and Worcester avenue; on Ralston street between Garfield and Randolph streets; on Shields street between Ralston street and the westerly line of Byxbee street, and on Sargent street between Vernon street and Worcester avenue.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Beverly Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Worcester avenue, northeasterly line, 225 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 55.53 feet northerly from Worcester avenue, 226 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 80.53 feet northerly from Worcester avenue, 226.40 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 105.53 feet northerly from Worcester avenue, 227.62 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 329 feet southerly from Shields street, 235.75 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 289 feet southerly from Shields street, 238.26 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 239 feet southerly from Shields street, 239.30 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 339 feet southerly from Shields street, 235.13 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 289 feet southerly from Shields street, 237.73 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 239 feet southerly from Shields street, 238.80 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Shields street, 240.15 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Shields street, 239.65 feet.

Easterly line of, at Shields street, 242.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Shields street, 239.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Shields street, 240.47 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet northerly from Shields street, 240 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Shields street, 235.87 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Shields street, 236.62 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 222 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 222.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 222 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 222.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Monticello Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Worcester avenue, northeasterly line, 226 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 180.96 feet southerly from Sargent street, 227 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 140 feet southerly from Sargent street, 228.53 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 231.85 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 60 feet southerly from Sargent street, 236.90 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 140 feet southerly from Sargent street, 229.02 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 232.13 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 60 feet southerly from Sargent street, 237.50 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, at Sargent street, 245 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sargent street, 248 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Sargent street, 247.25 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 75 feet northerly from Sargent street, 253.15 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 175 feet northerly from Sargent street, 261.76 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 275 feet northerly from Sargent street, 267.91 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 75 feet northerly from Sargent street, 253.65 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 175 feet northerly from Sargent street, 262.26 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 275 feet northerly from Sargent street, 268.41 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Shields street, 276.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Shields street, 277 feet.

Westerly line of, at Shields street, 276.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Shields street, 279.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Shields street, 276.71 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet northerly from Shields street, 276.21 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet northerly from Shields street, 263 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet northerly from Shields street, 263.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 500 feet northerly from Shields street, 247.72 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 500 feet northerly from Shields street, 248.22 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 241 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 242 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 241 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 242 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Byxbee Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Worcester avenue northeasterly line, 227 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 529.92 feet southerly from Sargent street, 228.10 feet.

400 feet southerly from Sargent street, 233 feet.

245 feet southerly from Sargent street, 246.44 feet.

175 feet southerly from Sargent street, 253.61 feet.

105 feet southerly from Sargent street, 263 feet. (Vertical curve passing through last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Sargent street, 277.25 feet.

Westerly line of, at Sargent street, 276.50 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sargent street, 279.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 8 feet northerly from Sargent street, 278.85 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Sargent street, 291.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Sargent street, 291 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 275 feet northerly from Sargent street, 306 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 275 feet northerly from Sargent street, 305.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Shields street, 313.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Shields street, 313 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Shields street, 315.13 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Shields street, 314.63 feet.

Easterly line of, at Shields street, 318.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Shields street, 315.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Shields street, 316.22 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Shields street, 304 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Shields street, 303.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 413 feet northerly from Shields street, 286.18 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 463 feet northerly from Shields street, 281.29 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 513 feet northerly from

Shields street, 275 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 413 feet northerly from Shields street, 285.68 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 463 feet northerly from Shields street, 280.74 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 513 feet northerly from Shields street, 274.30 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 264.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 263.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 264.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street, southerly line, 263.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ralston Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 239.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 240.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 110 feet northerly from Randolph street, 246.03 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 160 feet northerly from Randolph street, 249.98 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 210 feet northerly from Randolph street, 255.91 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 110 feet northerly from Randolph street, 246.35 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 160 feet northerly from Randalph street, 250.06 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 210 feet northerly from Randolph street, 255.91 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Sargent street, 289.09 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 296.67 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Sargent street, 305.62 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Sargent street, 289.09 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 296.86 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Sargent street, 306.37 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, at Sargent street, 314.50 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sargent street, 317.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 8 feet northerly from Sargent street, 316.83 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 440 feet southerly from Shields street, 336.95 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 340 feet southerly from Shields street, 347.53 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 240 feet southerly from Shields street, 352.19 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 440 feet southerly from Shields street, 337.45 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 340 feet southerly from Shields street, 348.03 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 240 feet southerly from Shields street, 352.69 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Shields street, 354.56 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Shields street, 355.06 feet.

Westerly line of, at Shields street, 354.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Shields street, 357.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Shields street, 355.22 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 260 feet northerly from Shields street, 329.49 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 360 feet northerly from Shields street, 318.02 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 460 feet northerly from Shields street, 304.20 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 260 feet northerly from Shields street, 329.99 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 360 feet northerly from Shields street, 318.57 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 460 feet northerly from Shields street, 304.92 feet. (Ver-

tical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 285 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 286 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 285 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 286 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Shields Street.

Ralston street westerly line, 354.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Byxbee street easterly line, 318.50 feet.

Byxbee street westerly line, 315.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Sargent Street.

Northerly line of, at Vernon street westerly line, 356.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Vernon street westerly line, 353 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ralston street easterly line, 317.50 feet.

Ralston street westerly line, 314.50 feet.

Byxbee street easterly line, 279.50 feet.

Byxbee street westerly line, 276.50 feet.

Monticello street easterly line, 248 feet.

Monticello street westerly line, 245 feet.

13 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Worcester avenue northeasterly line, 227 feet.

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 134.83 feet westerly from Monticello street, 228 feet.

On Beverly, Monticello and Byxbee streets between Garfield street and Worcester avenue; on Ralston street between Garfield and Randolph streets; on Shields street between Ralston street and the westerly line of Byxbee street, and on Sargent street between Vernon street and Worcester avenue, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Worcester avenue at Beverly, Monticello, Byxbee and Sargent streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7599, Ordinance No. 7110 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing official grades on Gaven street between Barneveld avenue and Boylston street and on Merrill street between Sweeny and Gaven streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 10th day of March, 1926, by Resolution No. 25312 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Gaven street between Barneveld avenue and Boylston street and on Merrill street between Sweeny and Gaven streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Gaven Street.

Barneveld avenue, 25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Merrill street, 40 feet. Grade elevation 35.62 feet on the southerly line of Gaven street 75.84 feet easterly from Boylston street be abolished.

Merrill Street.

Sweeny street, 58 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Gaven street, 40 feet. On Gaven street between Barneveld avenue and Boylston street, and on Merrill street between Sweeny and Gaven streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7600, Ordinance No. 7111 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing official grades on Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 10th day fo March, 1926, by Resolution No. 25313 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than 40 days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Seventeenth Avenue.

Ulloa street, 326 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

204.67 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 327.53 feet.

150 feet northerly from Vicente street, 325.70 feet.

Westerly line of, at Vicente street, 323 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Vicente street, 325 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Bill No. 7601, Ordinance No. 7112 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Munich street between Brazil avenue and a line parallel with and 300 feet southerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of February, 1926, by Resolution No. 25180 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Munich street between Brazil avenue and a line parallel with and 300 feet southerly therefrom; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Munich Street.

Easterly line of, at Brazil avenue, 324 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Brazil avenue, 322 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

250 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 307.50 feet.

300 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 306 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Munich street between Brazil avenue and a line parallel with and 300 feet southerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Bill No. 7602, Ordinance No. 7113 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 20th day of March, 1926,

by Resolution No. 25360 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Sixteenth Avenue.

Ulloa street, 362 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Ulloa street, 347 feet.

Vicente street, 340 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Action Deferred.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Zoning Sunset District.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed as follows:

That both sides of Lawton street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both of Noriega street between Twenty-second and Twenty-seventh avenues, between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues and between Forty-fourth and Forty-eighth avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Quintara street between Twentieth and Twenty-second avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Vicente street between Twenty-first and Twenty-fourth avenues and between Thirty-third and Forty-eighth avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

That both sides of Forty-sixth avenue between Taraval street and Sloat boulevard, and extending to the depth of the rear lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $59,687.80, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are here-

by authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Municipal Railway Fund.

(1) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for month of April, per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated May 14, 1926), $1,947.35.

(2) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated May 14, 1926), $3,431.65.

(3) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated May 15, 1926), $37,598.92.

(4) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 5, 1926), $1,310.86.

(5) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., steel shims for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 5, 1926), $575.

(6) Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway rights of way (claim dated May 5, 1926), $693.70.

Library Fund.

(7) American Building Maintenance Co., janitorial service for public libraries (claim dated April 30, 1926), $700.

(8) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,290.80.

(9) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1926), $3,359.92.

(10) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1926), $2,655.75.

(11) Macmillan Company, library books (claim dated April 30, 1926), $914.45.

(12) Houghton, Miffin Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1926), $797.07.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(13) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated May 18, 1926), $1,025.60.

(14) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,950.69.

(15) E. H. Jasper and Edwin T. Jasper, oak wood, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,060.63.

(16) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 17, 1926), $822.67.

(17) Leo Rosasco, oak wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,436.88.

(18) City Coal Company, Inc., coal, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 13, 1926), $1,141.29.

(19) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 13, 1926), $4,484.42.

(20) Hales & Symons, mining wedges, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 13, 1926), $507.70.

(21) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 13, 1926), $2,230.04.

(22) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerating unit, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 13, 1926), $1,510.

Special School Tax.

(23) Knittle Bros., first payment, steam heating in Glen Park School (claim dated May 17, 1926), $4,872.64.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(24) Crown Electric Co., sixth payment, electrical work, New Mission High School (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,429.50.

(25) Anderson & Ringrose, eleventh payment, construction of Dudley Stone School (claim dated May 18, 1926), $87,752.01.

(26) F. B. Knittle, third payment, mechanical equipment for Alamo School (claim dated May 19, 1926), $2,665.22.

Relief Home Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(27) Heywood-Wakefiield Co., chairs for new Relief Home (claim dated May 18, 1926), $2,950.

Park Fund.

(28) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated May 21, 1926), $1,609.29.

(29) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks and squares (claim dated May 21, 1926), $1,590.01.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(30) Crown Electric Co., final payment, electric work for Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,464.48.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(31) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for April (claim dated May 24, 1926), $50,923.84.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 24, 1926), $904.05.

(33) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,170.23.

(34) George H. Trask, book cases for Recorder (claim dated May 18, 1926), $692.40.

(35) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated May 13, 1926), $551.43.

(36) Baumgarten Bros., meats for County Jails (claim dated May 13, 1926), $732.13.

(37) California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated May 13, 1926), $1,074.66.

(38) Goldstone Bros., clothing for County Jails (claim dated May 13, 1926), $2,089.65.

(39) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund for labor, water, etc., furnished Civic Center (claim dated May 21, 1926), $599.46.

(40) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund for proportionate expense of cleaning lagoon at Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated May 21, 1926), $990.75.

(41) Spring Valley Water Co., water used for street sprinkling during April (claim dated May 12, 1926), $620.71.

(42) Standard Oil Company of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 12, 1926), $2,475.23.

(43) Standard Oil Company of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 12, 1926), $2,450.17.

(44) Lazare-Klein Co., sheeting for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,249.44.

(45) Miller & Lux, meats for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $743.88.

(46) Shell Company, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,657.49.

(47) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 24, 1926), $697.87.

Playground Fund.

(48) The Ellery Arms Co., basketballs, etc., for playgrounds (claim dated May 19, 1926), $2,617.80.

(49) Eaton & Smith, construction of Presidio avenue playgrounds, etc. (claim dated May 19, 1926), $3,231.45.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated May 19, 1926), $788.32.

(51) National Fence Co., furnishing and erecting fencing for Eighteenth avenue and Geary street playground (claim dated May 19, 1926), $1,660.

(52) Wilson Western Sporting Goods Co., recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated May 19, 1926), $2,449.

Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment.

(53) Tax Collector, City and County of San Francisco, to reimburse the Tax Collector's Personal Service Fund for expense incurred for account of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel (claim dated May 19, 1926), $4,930.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(54) Citizens' Summer Symphony Concerts, Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, for account of expense of Summer Symphony Concerts for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 21, 1926), $2,000

(55) Philip H. Sapiro, services of band and orchestra, May 4th, 5th and 8th, for account Music Week (claim dated May 24, 1926), $624.

Appropriation, $1,219, Payment of Jeanne W. Vosburgh for Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,219 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the $100,000 set aside out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series), and authorized in payment to Jeanne W. Vosburgh; being payment for all of Lot 31 according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25654 (New Series).

Appropriation, $768, Payment to Edward P. and Anne Maher for Lands Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $768 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Duboce-Tunnel Assessment and authorized in payment to Edward P. and Annie M. Maher; being payment for lands required for the construction of the Duboce Tunnel; said lands beginning at a point distant 225 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 36.112 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25602 (New Series). (Claim dated May 14, 1926.)

Providing for Selection and Location of Traffic Signals and Safety Zones.

On motion of Supervisor Bath:

Bill No. 7603, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the selection and location of traffic signals and safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever the public interest shall require the Board of Supervisors may order the installation of signal devices and the establishment of safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board shall have authority to select the devices, apparatus, markers or other appliances as it shall deem best suited for the purpose, and it shall be its duty to designate and specify the location of the same and the manner in which they are to be placed or installed, which installation of signal devices shall be made by the Department of Electricity.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Condemnation Proceedings Ordered for Acquisition of Property at Rivera Street and Twenty-third Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 25706 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the immediate acquisition, construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings and improvements to be used by the said City and County for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor is an imperative and public necessity and that the public interest and necessity demand such acquisition, construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco.

That the lands to be so acquired for public use and necessity are situated in the City and County of San Francisco and described as follows:

Commencing at a point of intersection of the northerly line of Rivera street with the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 100 feet; thence at right angles westerly 32 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 32 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1049, also known as Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

Further Resolved, That the lands above described are hereby selected by the City and County of San Francisco for the public uses and purposes herein set forth and that the whole thereof is necessary for the City and County of San Francisco and suitable and adaptable for said public uses and purposes.

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, condemnation proceedings against the owners of and all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the lands hereinbefore described, for the purpose of acquiring a title in fee simple to such described lands for the City and County of San Francisco for public uses herein set forth.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25707 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from P. J. Crowley to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the northerly line of Rivera street, distant thereon 32 feet 6 inches easterly from Twenty-fourth avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Rivera street, distant thereon 32 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Rivera street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1049, also known as Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivred to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby acecpted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Compromise of Gas and Electric Rate Litigation.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there are pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California four suits, numbered 27, 32, 97 and 190 on the equity file of said court, in all of which suits the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco and its officers are defendants; and

Whereas, said suits involve judicial determination of the validity under the United States Constitution of ordinances numbered 2349, 2348, 2814 and 3338 (all new series), of the City and County of San Francisco, prescribing and fixing respectively rates to be charged by public service corporations in San Francisco for electricity during the fiscal year 1913-14, and for gas during the fiscal years 1913-1914, 1914-15 and 1915-16; and

Whereas, the decrees previously rendered by the District Court in the City's favor in said suits numbered 27, 97 and 190, involving gas rates, have been reversed on appeal by the Supreme Court of the United States, and the suits sent back for retrial; and in case No. 32, involving electric rates, the report of the Master in Chancery recommends issuance of an injunction prohibiting the enforcement of said rates; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has transmitted to the Board of Supervisors a written communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, dated December 16, 1925, offering to compromise and settle all of said suits upon certain terms therein set forth; and

Whereas, both former City Attorney George Lull, who was in office pending the trial and appeal of the foregoing litigation, and the present City Attorney, John J. O'Toole, have recommended in writing that this Board authorize and direct the settlement of said suits upon the terms set forth in said communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company dated December 16, 1925; and

Whereas, after a careful examination and consideration of all the facts relating to said suits and the controversies therein involved, which have been submitted, the Board of Supervisors believes, in view of the large number of consumers who are interested in the outcome of said suits, of the considerable time which must elapse and the large expense to the taxpayers that must be incurred before the suits could again be tried and a final decision had, of the doubt that the City Attorney expresses as to the eventual outcome of the litigation by reason of the opinion of the United States Supreme Court rendered in the reversal of previous decrees in the Citys' favor, and in view of the relatively small amounts payable to individual consumers under any outcome of the suits, that the best interests of the City and the ratepayers will be conserved by a compromise along the lines recommended by the City Attorney; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to settle and compromise said four suits be and it is hereby accepted: that the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into stipulations with counsel for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the entry of decrees in said four suits which shall finally settle and dispose of the same upon the terms and conditions set forth in said letter from said company dated December 16, 1925; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to take all steps necessary to see that said decrees when entered by the court are duly executed so as to protect the interests of the City and the ratepayers in the premises.

Award of Contract, Garbage Cans.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25708 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to John H. Blakeway Sheet Metal Works on bid submitted May 10, 1926 (Proposal No. 188), for furnishing 200 galvanized iron garbage cans for School Department at $6.70 each.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Approval of Peninsula Water Survey.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25710 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco approves of the proposition to investigate the present and future water supply of the peninsula region as proposed by the committee appointed at a conference of city officials of said region, and expresses its willingness to participate in such investigation; furthermore, and inasmuch as said investigation will concern the use of water from the Hetch Hetchy, that the Finance Committee of this Board be recommended to appropriate the sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000) from the Hetch Hetchy Operative Fund for the purpose of making the investigation.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Referendum on Prohibition.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25711 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there has been an increasing demand throughout the United States for modification of the prohibition law, a demand which the public press recognized in a nationwide poll; and

Whereas, this unofficial poll revealed an overwhelming sentiment in favor of some change in the prohibition law; and

Whereas, in Pennsylvania a rebuke has been administered to the champions of prohibition as at present constituted in the United States, a situation which was recognized by Senator David M. Reed, who, in a recent statement, declared the time has come for the people officially to express themselves; and

Whereas, the Registrar of Voters has declared that to put on the November ballot an alternative measure which would allow the people so to express themselves would entail no additional expense; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors proclaims its sympathy with the demand for a public poll on the wet and dry issue, to take place at the general election next November, and directs the Registrar of Voters to make preparations to include on the ballot some means for such expression; and be it

Further Resolved, That this issue shall allow voters to express themselves as in favor of prohibition enforcement as now provided for by federal law; as in favor of modification to permit the sale of light wines and beer, or as in favor of repeal of the law, and that the City Attorney be instructed to prepare any legislation necessary to put this measure on the ballot at the November election.

Motion.

Supervisor Bath, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved reference to Judiciary Committee.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Harrelson—4.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—14.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Badaracco, the resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Purchase of Hetch Hetchy Rights of Way.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25712 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, in connection with the construction and operation of the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, proposes to construct a reservoir on Moccasin Creek in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, portions of certain existing county roads lie within the area to be covered by the proposed reservoir, and must be replaced by

new roads lying outside of said area; and

Whereas, a portion of an existing county road lies within the area occupied by operators' quarters and other buildings appurtenant to the Moccasin Power Plant, and it is desired by the City and County of San Francisco that said portion of said road be closed to public use and that a new road lying outside of said area be substituted therefor. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the City and County of San Francisco dedicate to the County of Tuolumne the following described parcels of land or rights of way for use as easements for the purposes of public roads or highways; said parcels being portions of larger tracts of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco:

Parcel 1: A strip of land having a width of 40 feet, 20 feet each side of the center line described as follows: Beginning at a point in the center of the existing road along the west bank of Moccasin Creek, which point bears North 7 deg. 53½ min. East 1575.7 feet from the southwest corner of Section 27, Township 1 South, Range 15 East; thence in a general southeasterly direction through the lands of the City and County of San Francisco to a point in the center of said existing County Road, which point bears North 29 deg. 34 min. West 396.4 feet from the quarter-section corner in the east line of Section 34, Township 1 South, Range 15 East.

In addition to the above described 40-foot strip of land, Parcel 1 includes all land in the west half of the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 34, and in the south half of the southwest quarter of Section 27, lying westerly from the westerly boundary of said 40-foot strip and lying between the westerly boundary of said 40-foot strip and the westerly boundary of the lands in said subdivisions of Sections 27 and 34 conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Thomas M. Hughes, et ux. by deed dated February 16, 1926, and recorded March 3, 1926, in Book 88 of Deeds, page 541, Tuolumne County Records.

Parcel 2: The right to construct, maintain and use a public road or highway having a roadway width not to exceed 20 feet upon the proposed dam to be constructed for said reservoir on Moccasin Creek.

Parcel 3: The right to construct, maintain and use a public road or highway having a roadway width not to exceed 20 feet, extending in a general southeasterly direction from the northeasterly end of Parcel 2 to a point near Grizzly Gulch.

Parcel 4: The right to construct, maintain and use a public road or highway having a roadway width not to exceed 20 feet along the easterly side of Grizzly Gulch from the easterly end of Parcel 3 to a point in the existing County Road which extends from Moccasin Creek to the Big Oak Flat Road.

Said dedication is made subject to the following conditions which shall be accepted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Tuolumne, before said dedication becomes effective, viz.:

First: The City and County of San Francisco will, at its own expense, supply all material and perform all work necessary in connection with the construction and surfacing of roads on said Parcels 1, 2, 3 and 4 made necessary as hereinabove mentioned.

Second: The Board of Supervisors of the County of Tuolumne shall, by appropriate action, authorize the construction of said new roads and abandonment of the portions of existing roads hereinafter described, and also relinquish to the City and County of San Francisco all right, title and interest of Tuolumne County in the ground occupied by the portions of the existing roads to be abandoned. Said portions of existing roads to be abandoned are described as follows:

Parcel X: A portion of the existing Moccasin Creek-Coulterville County Road along the southwesterly bank of Moccasin Creek in Sections 27 and 34, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, M. D. B. and M., described as follows:

Beginning at the point of beginning of Parcel 1 hereinabove described; thence along said existing County Road to the terminal point of said Parcel 1.

Parcel Y: A portion of the existing County Road which extends from the above mentioned Moccasin Creek-Coulterville County Road northeasterly along Grizzly Gulch in the north half of the northeast quarter of Section 34, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, M. D. B. and M., described as follows:

Beginning at the Moccasin Creek-Coulterville Road Junction on the southwesterly side of Moccasin Creek; thence northeasterly, crossing Moccasin Creek on a bridge, and continuing along said existing County Road to the terminal point of Parcel 4 hereinabove described.

Third: The roads to be constructed by the City and County of San Francisco on Parcels 1, 2, 3 and 4 may be constructed and opened

for use as public highways in successive units, temporary roads being provided by the City where necessary to connect such units to the existing roads until completion of all roads to be constructed on said Parcels 1, 2, 3 and 4. The closing and abandonment by the County of Tuolumne of existing roads shall be effective in corresponding units; the City shall have the right to close any unit of the roads to be abandoned immediately on completion of the corresponding unit of the roads on Parcels 1, 2, 3 and 4 and such temporary connecting roads as may be necessary. All such temporary roads shall be at least equal in roadway width and general standard of construction to the existing roads to which they connect.

Fourth: On completion of the construction by the City and County of San Francisco of said new roads on said Parcels 1, 2, 3 and 4, or of any unit of said roads, and making said roads or unit thereof available for use as a public road or highway, the City and County of San Francisco shall notify the County of Tuolumne that said roads or unit thereof are or is available for such use. The County of Tuolumne shall thereupon assume full responsibility for the maintenance, reconstruction and repair of said roads or unit thereof.

Fifth: The City and County of San Francisco reserves the right to construct ditches and culverts within the land described as Parcels 1, 2, 3 and 4, for the purpose of preventing contamination of the water to be stored in the proposed reservoir. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby authorized to execute a deed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said easements on Parcels 1, 2, 3 and 4 hereinabove mentioned to the County of Tuolumne, and said deed shall contain the conditions hereinabove mentioned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $3,000, Peninsula Water Supply Survey.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000) is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended from the Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund, the same to be expended by the Auditing Committee of the Peninsula Water Investigation for the purpose of making a survey of the present and future water supply of San Francisco and the peninsula region.

Finance Committee Requested to Appropriate $5,000 for Publicity in Obtaining Highway Development Near San Francisco by Direct Legislation.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Finance Committee be requested to recommend an appropriation of $5,000 from the Publicity and Advertising Fund for the purpose of promoting the construction of highways, particularly in the vicinity of San Francisco, by means of direct legislation which will provide more funds for that purpose.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Reception to Dr. Mannix, Archbishop of Melbourne, Australia.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 25713 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, The Right Reverend Daniel J. Mannix, Archbishop of Melbourne, Australia, and an internationally known prelate of the Catholic Church will arrive at San Francisco on the Steamship Sonoma on June 15th next; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee of five (5) members of this Board to make arrangements for participation on behalf of this City in the welcome already proposed to this distinguished citizen of Australia.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Use of Peace Officers and State Officers for Prohibition Enforcement.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is reported in the public press an attempt on the part of Federal prohibition officials to foist upon the State of California a plan whereby State and County peace officers be employed as Federal prohibition enforcement agents on the so-called "dollar-a-year" basis; and

Whereas, the Charter of this County expressly forbids such use of its officers, and prohibits the extension of their duties beyond those definitely fixed by the law of this City and County; and

Whereas, it has been suggested that because this plan for the use of peace officers of state, counties and cities emanated from the office of Col. Ned M. Green, prohibition administrator for Northern California, with headquarters here, that California be made the testing ground for this plan; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors is opposed to such use, not only of peace officers of this City and County as Federal agents on any basis, but to the employment of state officers whose duties are definitely fixed by state law, for such a purpose; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be presented to the Chief of Police, the Sheriff, Col. Green and to members of the California Senate and Congressional Delegation at Washington, and that a copy be filed with the Secretary of State at Sacramento, so that the State of California may know the attitude of this Board of Supervisors toward a situation with which the people are out of sympathy, and which clearly is an infringement of the rights of this commonwealth.

Referred to Police Committee.

Consideration of Proposed Budget of Municipal Expenditures for the Fiscal Year 1926-1927.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, May 24, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your Finance Committee has given careful attention to all of the proposed amendments to the 1926-1927 Budget which were referred to this committee during Budget sessions of the Board last week.

At this time we desire to reiterate, as a declaration of policy, the statement contained in our original Budget report to your Honorable Board, which reads as follows:

"At the municipal election of last November the people of the City and County of San Francisco, by overwhelming vote, issued a mandate for strict economy to the officials who were then elected. Your Finance Committee has faithfully endeavored to carry out this mandate and we believe that a careful analysis of this Budget estimate will convince the people of the sincerity of our endeavor. Incidentally we desire to express the belief that the mandate for economy applied not only to the members of this Board of Supervisors, who are invested with the responsibility of making the Budget and fixing the tax rate, but also to the officials and the employees of all of the executive and administrative branches of the City and County government. Acting upon this belief your Finance Committee has not recommended a single increase in the salary of any city official or employee beyond the amount heretofore fixed by this Board of Supervisors, nor have we recommended the abolition of a single employment which has been authorized by this Board."

The proposed amendments which have been submitted by your Honorable Board to this committee would represent, if adopted in toto, an increase of more than $575,000 in the Budget total.

Your committee is unable to recommend the inclusion of these proposed increases in its Budget estimate for the next fiscal year because we are confident that the people of San Francisco, having issued what is clearly an emergency mandate to impose a rigid check upon the expenditures of our City and County government, would not look with favor upon any departure from the uncompromising rule which we have adopted in endeavoring to carry out their wishes.

The stand taken by your Finance Committee must not be construed a sindicating any opposition to the payment of just compensation to any group of City employees, but we believe that the burden of the people's program of economy should be borne by all alike and that no exception in favor of one group of employees as against another can be justified at this time. We have every reason to be hopeful that the normal growth of our city and the attendant increases of the City and County revenues will obviate any necessity for prolonging this emergency rule of economy beyond the next fiscal year, and that many adjustments of compensation which have undeniable merit can be cared for in the not distant future without placing an unbearable burden upon the taxpayers.

Acting upon an opinion from the City Attorney, your Finance Committee recommends provision in the Fire Department Budget for the appointment of three additional battalion chiefs. This increase in the Fire Department personnel has been

PUBLIC LIBRARY

put into effect during the current fiscal year by the Fire Commission, and we are advised by the City Attorney that it is the duty of this Board to provide for their future compensation, although the City Attorney's opinion indicates that the payment of their compensation by the Fire Commission during the present fiscal year, without authority from this Board of Supervisors, may have been illegal.

In accordance with the rule above stated, of not allowing any employments which have not been previously authorized by this Board, nor made mandatory by the Charter, your committee does not recommend any other increases in the personnel of any of the City departments.

Your committee looks with sympathy upon the arguments that the compensation of the janitors in the School Department should be placed upon a parity with the compensation of janitors in other departments of the City government. We desire to call attention, however, to the fact that the authority to fix the salaries of school janitors is vested solely in the Board of Education, and that any adjustments of such compensation must be made by that Board out of the funds of the School Department.

The members of your Finance Committee are deeply interested in the Health Department plans to establish a tubercular sanatorium in the foothills of San Mateo County, and we believe that the funds now available will enable the department to proceed with the development of this project without delay during the next fiscal year. When, in the future, additional funds are needed for the extension of this project, we pledge our fullest cooperation to make them available.

An appropriation of $130,000 has been recommended in this Budget as an installment payment upon the cost of extending Van Ness avenue from Market to Mission street. An additional appropriation of the same amount must be made in the next year's Budget to complete the payment of this extension, and we do not believe the dictates of economy will permit any added appropriation at this time for the further extension of this street.

In accordance with the recommendations submitted by the bookkeeping department of the Board of Public Works, your committee submits to you herewith various minor adjustments in the Board of Public Works Budget, which do not involve any material increase in the operating cost of the department.

We believe that the people of San Francisco generally approve the Budget as now submitted to your Honorable Board, and we recommend its adoption without further amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
MILO F. KENT,
Finance Committee.

May 24, 1926.

Report on Fire Hydrants.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Budget Item No. 710 in this fiscal year of 1925-1926 calls for an expenditure for fire hydrants of $178,000. The Fire Commissioners in their set-up for next year's Budget increased this item by $30,000, or a total of $208,000. Of this sum $170,648 is expended annually for water passing through these hydrants, set up as a rental item of $3 per hydrant per month. There are 4743 hydrants, and these hydrants were furnished and installed by the City and County of San Francisco at an expenditure of $128.50 each, making a total investment of $609,476.

We have here at hand a bill for $620.71 from the Board of Public Works for sprinkling the streets for the month of April, 1926. This is for 281,067 cubic feet of water at 22 cents per 100 cubic feet of water. We also have a report from the Fire Department for the fiscal year 1923-1924, showing the exact time that every fire engine worked during that year. This is compiled on a working basis of the time that the engine companies left the house until the engine companies returned, and on this basis we find that the engine companies worked 138,771 minutes and that they pumped 750 gallons of water per minute, making a total of 13,877,100 cubic feet.

If this water was charged for at the rate of 22 cents per 100 cubic feet, the price we are paying for sprinkling streets, we would have a total of $30,529.62, and not the sum of $170,648, six times the price as asked for by the Fire Commission.

The Railroad Commission has fixed a charge of $3 per month per hydrant, or $36 per year. There is pending before that Commission a resolution, No. 25078 (New Series), passed by this Board January 8, 1926, showing that the Spring Valley Water Company is receiving an excessive rate from the City and

County of San Francisco for water, and asking the Railroad Commission for a rehearing.

Budget Item No. 710 for the fiscal year 1926-1927 allows for an expenditure for the rental charges of all hydrants for ten months, and if no reduction in the rates are granted by the Railroad Commission the Finance Committee can assure this Board that a sum of money sufficient to properly meet this obligation will be forthcoming, and the committee further recommends that a copy of this letter be officially sent to the City Attorney, calling his attention to this excessive charge for water. In the meantime there is no reason why the Fire Department should deny any needed installations of additional hydrant service for fire protection purposes or eliminate any hydrants now in service.

JAMES B. McSHEEHY,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
MILO F. KENT,
Finance Committee.

Communication From Board of Fire Commissioners.

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 20, 1926.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I regret that it will not be possible for me to attend the meeting of your Honorable Board this afternoon, at which time you are to take up the budget of the Fire Department.

I am therefore taking the liberty of expressing to your Board the sentiments of the Board of Fire Commissioners regarding the budget of the Fire Department as presented by the Finance Committee. First, we wish to convey to you the fact that we are in strict accord with your policy of economy in so far as the operation of our department is concerned, but we are of the opinion that the Fire Department is of such vital importance to the citizens of our community that a platform of economy in our department should receive the most serious consideration and careful study before reaching a decision which might result in a permanent setback in the growth of San Francisco. Probably no city in the world has had such a practical example of the value of efficient fire protection, and there is nothing upon which the future growth of a city depends more than on a properly equipped, manned and operated fire department.

We have presented to your Finance Committee, after a severe process of elimination from the recommendations of the Chief Engineer, a program of progress and improvement that is absolutely necessary for the safeguarding of life and property in San Francisco. The expansion of our city demands new fire houses in the rapidly growing outlying districts, such as the Marina, Sunset, Potrero and Mission, and for our valuable and important industrial district, water front and high-value property centers. Additional fire companies and fire apparatus are necessary for those houses; fire hydrants and water are most essential, and you probably are aware of the fact that no funds have been provided for fresh water in the high pressure system. This will necessitate the use of salt water for one year, which is very injurious to the pipes and valves in particular, tending greatly to impair the life of the high pressure system.

Economy in the Fire Department has always been carried to the fullest extent, but we feel that it should not be inflicted upon us at the expense of genuine efficiency. We would therefore request that the most serious consideration be given to some of the most vital and essential items to which we wish to call your attention, and if it be found that your policy prohibits the acceptance of our budget, as originally presented, we respectfully request that you do not eliminate the funds which will be required to permit us to proceed and continue as we have planned and are now operating.

We beg to submit for your special attention the following facts:

The budget provides for only 19 battalion chiefs and 22 operators, whereas the actual number in service at the present time is 22 battalion chiefs and 24 operators. As a result of the Miller & Lux fire, which occurred June 15, 1925, after the final adoption of the 1925 Budget, a new district was established, with headquarters at Engine Co. 16, at Tennessee and Twentieth streets, involving the addition of two battalion chiefs and two operators. The assignment of a battalion chief to the Fire Prevention Bureau at the same time had left District No. 3 with only one chief, necessitating the temporary detailing of a captain to act in that capacity, so that on December 1, 1925, this Board appointed a battalion chief to fill the place, leaving two

hosemen's positions vacant in order to make up the salary.

We would further call your attention to the fact that although only last week you authorized the expenditure of $60,793.32 out of Fire Department Land and Construction Fund for the erection of a new fire house at Third street and Arthur avenue, the contract for which has already been let and which is certain to be completed this year, nothing has been provided in the Budget for the salaries of a company which will be required to occupy said quarters.

We further note that the appropriation for hydrant service, water for house and high pressure system has been reduced from $208,000 to $150,000, and in order to bring the charge for hydrants within the amount of the appropriation it would be necessary to decrease the number of hydrants in service from 4,742 to 3,942, a decrease of 800. This would be disastrous since the rapid growth of the City requires an immediate increase of 200 hydrants rather than a decrease.

Finally, we desire to call your attention to Item No. 719—"Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this item—$79,000." According to the opinion of the Attorney-General the amount that the State can contribute toward the maintenance of the fire boats is limited to $65,000 per year. This, added to the City's appropriation of $79,000, makes a total of $144,000, whereas the actual cost of maintaining the two boats is $158,000; this leaves a deficiency of $14,000.

In view of these facts, as outlined above, we request you to increase the Budget allowance in the following numbered items to the amounts herein set forth:

652. $79,000 to $92,400 (battalion chiefs), increase $12,600.

653. $55,440 to $60,480 (operators), increase $5,040.

New Item 718. New engine company, Third street and Arthur avenue, for eight months, $20,940.

719. $79,000 to $93,000 (fire boats), increase $14,000.

721. $150,000 to $208,000 (hydrant service, water for houses and high pressure system), $58,000.

Total, $110,580.

Respectfully submitted,

BOARD OF FIRE COMMISSIONERS,

(Signed) FRANK C. SYKES,
President.

Opinion of City Attorney.

May 20, 1926.

Subject: In re Appointment and Salaries of Battalion Chiefs in San Francisco Fire Department.

Dear Sirs:

I have before me your letter of inquiry under this date as follows:

"The Board of Supervisors have under consideration the Budget for the forthcoming year and wishes an immediate reply to the following queries:

Has the Board of Fire Commissioners the authority to appoint battalion chiefs in excess of the number allowed by amount of money appropriated in the Budget?

And if the number of battalion chiefs is increased during the fiscal year, is the Board of Supervisors required to appropriate for the additional chiefs in the succeeding Budget?

Also, is it required that Fire Commissioners, before appointing additional battalion chiefs during the fiscal year, obtain the approval of the Board of Supervisors?"

Opinion.

Section 1 of Chapter IV of Article IX of the Charter provides that the number of battalion chiefs shall be determined by the Board of Fire Commissioners. The language contained in this section, in my opinion, gives the Board of Fire Commissioners the right to appoint such number of battalion chiefs as they shall deem necessary for the proper functioning of the department.

Section 1½ of Chapter VIII of the same article fixes the salaries of all officers and members of the department, including battalion chiefs.

The Appellate Court of this State has held that a regularly appointed member of the Fire Department is an officer.

"Where a public office is created and the compensation to be paid for services rendered by the incumbent thereof is to be fixed by public body, upon failure of such body to act therein, it may be compelled to do so by mandamus." (See McQuillin on Municipal Corporations, Section 516.)

This text is borne out by the decisions of many of the Supreme Courts of the country, and practically means that where a public office is legally created, it is incumbent upon the salary-fixing body, or upon the body that appropriates the funds for salary, to provide for the payment of that salary. In the instant case, the salary of Battalion Chief is fixed by Charter,

and the appointment having been legally made by the Board of Fire Commissioners as is provided in the Charter. I am of the opinion that those already appointed are officers in the department, and that it is incumbent upon the board to provide for their compensation.

As to the second inquiry made in your letter as to the necessity of the Board of Supervisors making provision for the salaries of officials in the Fire Department appointed during the past year, I will state that I think this question is sufficiently answered in my answer to your first inquiry.

As to the third inquiry in your letter, I am of the opinion that after your annual budget is made up and passed by the Board, that if the Board of Fire Commissioners attempted to make the appointment of additional officials in their department that they would have to serve without compensation, unless provision for their payment was made by your Board.

I do not wish to be understood by this opinion that it lies within the power of the Fire Commission to appoint members of the department generally without limit without the Board of Supervisors making appropriation for the payment of their salaries, and this opinion is limited merely to the right of the Fire Commission to appoint assistant and battalion chiefs, as Section 1 of Chapter IV of Article IX gives to the Commission discretionary powers as to the appointment of assistant and battalion chiefs, and not to any other official.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

(Board of Supervisors)

Supervisor McSheehy moved the adoption of the Finance Committee's report on Budget matters.

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Gallagher moved, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, that the Finance Committee's report be amended and that the Budget be changed in the following respects:

That an increase of $15 per month be granted to the janitors and janitresses under the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Works; that an increase equal to $15 per month per person be granted to the janitors and janitresses in the School Department; that an increase of $15 per month be granted to the watchmen employed under the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Works, and that an increase equal to $10 per month be granted to those persons, the lowest paid members of the engineering staff of the City and County.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Badaracco: The Chair has ruled that the amendments offered by Supervisor Gallagher are still in the committee. I raise that point of order.

Chairman Marks: The point of order is sustained.

Ruling of the Chair.

Chairman Marks: The Chair will rule that the motion to adopt the report by Supervisor McSheehy, made by Supervisor McSheehy, that that motion is in order, that the effect of that motion is to place before the Board of Supervisors a report respecting matters referred to in the report as a recommendation. A matter of policy of the Finance Committee, but it does not have the effect of either rejecting or passing motions referred to them; that the matters which are still in the hands of the Finance Committee, individual motions are subject to be withdrawn from the Finance Committee by the motion and vote of the Board, and that the only way that individual items in the Budget can be affected is by a direct vote either to withdraw from the committee if it has not been sent out by the committee and that the right of a member of the Board either at this session or some subsequent session to make other amendments or to offer motions making other amendments in the Budget is only limited by the condition that business must intervene between the defeat of one such motion and the making of the motion again. These individual motions are still pending if they have not been reported to the Finance Committee and if referred to the Finance Committee and not reported on by them, are still in their hands. Supervisor Gallagher makes a motion that we give a raise of $10 to the lowest paid members of the engineering staff. I would rule that motion entirely out of order as being uncertain and not having reference to any Budget item.

Supervisor Gallagher: I can make it again later.

Chairman Marks: And if the individual item that you have in mind is now in the hands of the Finance Committee you will have to make the motion to take it out of their hands.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment to the Finance Committee's report the inclusion of a new item, 54 C, San Francisco's par-

ticipation in the Reno Exposition, $50,000.

Subsequently *withdrawn.*

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that the Finance Committee's report be amended in respect to Item No. 66 D: "Extension of Van Ness avenue for the purpose of securing options and rights of way to extend Van Ness avenue southerly from its present termination as already projected and recommended by the Board of Public Works", the sum of $50,000.

Supervisor Havenner: This is not a proper amendment to the committee's report for the reason that the amendment just proposed by Supervisor Gallagher was submitted to this Board on Friday and referred to the Finance Committee and is still in the hands of the Finance Committee. I raise that point of order.

Chairman Marks: I rule the point of order is well taken. Your motion, Supervisor Gallagher, is out of order.

Appeal From the Decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Gallagher and *Supervisor Hayden* appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Chair Sustained.

Supervisor McSheehy put the question: Shall the decision of the Chair be sustained, and the roll was called with the following result:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent—2.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Marks—1.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 8 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors, pursuant to recess this afternoon reassembled at 8 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Street Work Out of Good Roads Fund.

The following was presented by Supervisor Welch and made part of the record:

Bay Shore Highway, $135,000.

Ocean Shore, land and options, $45,000.

Bernal Cut, land and options, $135,000.

Market Street Extension (First Cont. unit), $90,000.

Third street, Berry to Eighteenth, $67,000.

Mission Viaduct, $12,000.

Telegraph Hill boulevard, $15,000.

Grand View avenue, $45,000.

Turk street, Masonic to Willard, $36,000.

Masonic avenue, McAllister to Turk, $6,480.

Harrison street, Sixth to Eleventh, $49,700.

Vermont street, Twentieth to Twenty-second, $20,518.

San Jose avenue, Carter to Monterey boulevard, $27,000.

Silver avenue (widening). $22,500.

Southern Heights Diagonal, $9,000.

Portola drive, Twenty-fourth street-Laguna Honda boulevard, $18,000.

California street, Powell-Mason, Leavenworth-Hyde, $14,400.

Garfield street, Junipero Serra to Grafton avenue, $18,000.

Capitol, Montana to Lake View, $9,000.

Mason street, Sacramento to Clay, $5,000.

Hunt street, off Third between Howard and Mission, $2,500.

Octavia street between Pacific and Broadway, $4,500.

Stanyan street, McAllister to Fulton streets, $9,000.

Nineteenth street, Shotwell to Folsom, $900.

Shotwell street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth, $2,500.

Clay street, Mason to Taylor, $5,000.

Washington street, Taylor to Mason, $5,000.

Emergency street repairs, $10,000.

Steiner street, Jackson to Clay, $8,000.

Mount Vernon Extension, $4,000.

Douglass street, Twentieth to Twenty-first, $22,500.

Valencia street (widening), $——.

Total, $853,498.

Supervisor Gallagher, referring to ruling of the Chair in the afternoon meeting, said: "You ruled out of order my motion to amend report on Budget and said that I could

later move to amend the Budget on matters not reported on by withdrawing same from committee."

Supervisor Gallagher thereupon moved that the motion referred to Finance Committee at previous sessions made by him with reference to Van Ness avenue extension be withdrawn from the Finance Committee.

Supervisor Badaracco raised a point of order: The pending motion is the adoption of the report of the Finance Committee.

Chair: The motion pending is that the Finance Committee's report be adopted.

Supervisor Gallagher withdraws the motion temporarily.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that that portion of the report relating to the Fire Department, paragraph 2, on page 2, that that be amended and the Item No. 656, instead of 70 lieutenants be amended to read 82 lieutenants at $2,670 per annum.

Ruled out of order.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that the item for the School Department budget be increased $42,600 to give an increase to janitors and janitresses in the School Department of $15 per month.

Ruled out of order.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment that the report of the Finance Committee be rereferred to said committee with the statement that it is ambiguous, and that the chairman be asked to report on all matters referred to the committee except the three battalion chiefs.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Adoption of the Committee's Report.

Whereupon, the vote being taken on the adoption of the committee's report, the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved to include in the Budget in "Miscellaneous Accounts, under control of the Board of Supervisors," Item 79 F, lease of premises No. 333 Kearney street, $14,233.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—10.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Welch—6.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that the rules be suspended and that Capt. Brown and Lieutenant Ireland of the Fire Department be heard in the matter of provision being made for three additional battalion chiefs.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—5.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Whereupon, Capt. Brown, Lieutenant Ireland and others representing the David Scannell Club of the Fire Department, were heard at length, urging that provision be made for additional lieutenants and battalion chiefs in the Fire Department.

Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Badaracco, seconded by Supervisor Kent, at 10:30 p. m., moved that the Board take a recess until 10 a. m. tomorrow morning.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd, Welch—8.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Badaracco, seconded by Supervisor Welch, moved that the Board take a recess until 10:15 a. m. tomorrow.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Hayden, Stanton, Todd, Welch—6.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher withdrew his motion on Item 661, relating to hosemen in the Fire Department, and Item 656, relating to lieutenants in the Fire Department.

Supervisor Havenner agreed to present a resolution for the firemen at the final passage of the Budget.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Item No. 210, dealing with the increase of the allotment of the Assessor's office from $91,800 to $96,800, be withdrawn from the Finance Committee.

Assessor Requests Additional Sums in Personal and Nonpersonal Funds.

May 24, 1926.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the request of your Finance Committee and in the spirit of cooperation the Assessor's office made a modest request in this year's budget for a slight increase. This request in reality was too modest.

It is an absolute fact that the work in this office will be greater next season than it is now, and I therefore beg to call your attention to the fact that our budget notations have been cut and respectfully request that you give us additional sums, both in our Personal and Non-Personal Funds.

Yours respectfully,

R. L. WOLDEN,
Assessor.

Read and *filed.*

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Gallagher's motion to withdraw Item 219 from the Finance Committee and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that motions made by Supervisor Stanton and seconded by Supervisor Schmidt allowing increases of $15 each in the janitors of the Board of Public Works, being Item No. 432, be withdrawn from the Finance Committee.

Subsequently withdrawn on the statement of Supervisor McSheehy that this matter would be given consideration after the Budget was made up.

Supervisor Gallagher moved, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, that the motion made by Supervisor Stanton and seconded by Supervisor Schmidt to increase 17 elevator operators to $1,920 a year be withdrawn from the Finance Committee.

Subsequently withdrawn on the statement of Supervisor McSheehy that this matter would be given consideration after the Budget was made up.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that it be the sense of the Board of Supervisors that the Board of Education be requested to grant increase of pay to janitors and janitresses in School Department out of its $10,000 surplus and additions in the present Budget.

Motion *carried unanimously*

Supervisor Gallagher moved that motion to increase number of operators in the Fire Department from 22 to 24 at $2,520 a year, be withdrawn from the Finance Committee.

Subsequently the foregoing motion was withdrawn on the statement of Supervisor McSheehy that the same would be given consideration after the Budget was adopted.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that Item No. 1076, for playground fund, as provided by charter amendment, 5 cents in the tax rate (Appropriation 49), be amended to read $500,000 instead of $350,000.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronocvieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Kent, Shannon—4.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that Item No. 7, 2 assistant clerks, increased from $3,300 to $3,900 each, be withdrawn from Finance Committee.

Subsequently withdrawn on the statement of Supervisor McSheehy that the matter would be given consideration when the Budget was passed.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that Item No. 36, Civic Center and War Memorial, $290,000 instead of $200,000, as recommended. be withdrawn from the Finance Committee, the additional $90,000 being for the

completion of the brick pavement in the Civic Center.

Supervisor McSheehy could give no assurance that said appropriation would be made.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the motion to withdrawn from the Finance Committee and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden—4.

Noes— Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—10.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Kent, Shannon—4.

Supervisor Hayden moved that Item 66 A, X-Ray for San Francisco Hospital, $10,000, be withdrawn from the Finance Committee.

Being assured by Supervisor McSheehy that the item would be taken care of, the motion was thereupon withdrawn.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that Item 66 B, Pulgas Preventorium, Grade and Plans, Second Unit, $25,000, be withdrawn from the Finance Committee.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Kent, Shannon—4.

Supervisor Hayden moved that Item 66 C, Glen Park Health Center, $12,420, be withdrawn from the Finance Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy gave assurances that if circumstances permitted he would favor the appropriation.

Whereupon, Supervisor Hayden withdrew his motion.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that Item 61, for the purpose of appraising all real property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco, etc., $50,000, be approved.

There being no objection the item was *approved*.

Supervisor Gallagher's Statement for the Record.

Supervisor Gallagher: "I propose to vote for this item at this time and I do not wish, and I wish the record will read, to permit me to file approval of it. It might work out to be a very constructive thing, and it might not. I have been examining it as much as I can. The only conclusion I can come to now, we ought to pass it for the present."

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that Items 76 to 79, relating to teams in the Board of Public Works, be transferred to the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Works.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Noes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Hayden moved to grant the Civil Service Commission an additional appropriation for the Department of Civil Service for employing special examiners.

Motion was withdrawn upon the assurance given by Supervisor McSheehy that that would be done if possible.

Supervisor Harrelson urged the widening of Seventh avenue in the vicinity of Lake Honda out of the Good Roads Fund and was assured by Supervisor McSheehy that he would favor such improvement if circumstances permitted.

Supervisor Deasy Excused from Meetings.

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 24, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Gentlemen:

I have been in attendance at the meeting of your Honorable Board as a member thereof, being extremely desirous of recording my vote on the passage of the Budget.

My health is still impaired and I must be at the doctor's office by appointment. Upon motion the Budget discussion has been deferred to a later hour and I feel that I will be compelled to be excused from the meeting before the said matter is taken up.

Very respectfully yours,

C. J. DEASY.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Supervisor Deasy be excused.

So ordered.

Chairman Marks Commended.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, Acting Chairman Supervisor Marks was highly commended for his capable and efficient manner in presiding over the Budget proceedings and was given a vote of thanks by unanimous vote of the Board.

Statement of Supervisor Colman.

"I really feel now that I concur heartily in the remarks of Supervisor Welch about the capable manner in which our chairman has conducted this discussion. And I will say, this, that I haven't in any way, shape or manner, changed my policy, as the saying is. But I want to say a word more in appreciation of the effort of the Finance Committee in presenting this Budget. I think that this Finance Committee had many problems, and I believe they have tackled the thing in a very efficient way. There are points quite naturally that I regret were not included in the Budget, and I think this is a good opportunity to say when the thing is cut out how we are going to get along without them, and I am certain that there are certain things in the Budget that certainly meet with my very strong approval and I want to mention them specifically. If the chairman of the Finance Committee will allow me a minute or so to do it. One is, most particularly, the $100,000 for the Air port. That is a matter that should have been taken up before, but for one reason or another it was never done, and that is a constructive thing looking far ahead to the future. I also think that the money for the Civic Center has been well spent. We need that because that is a large problem and the action of the committee has been most constructive in allowing contributions for that. Also for the progress made on the Aquatic Park and the Yacht Harbor and the park in the Mission. I would have liked to see the School Department get more money. I realize two high schools are needed, one in the Richmond and possibly a new Polytechnic High, wherever that may be, and not being able to do that has probably retarded the development of our schools, but under the circumstances I must say that I consider this Budget a satisfactory Budget and a constructive Budget in the main and I think it is up to the mark of the budgets that I have seen presented while a member of this Board. I say that, and I say I will reserve my right to disagree with the worthy gentlemen probably very, very frequently as time goes on without any reservation or without any apology, but I also want to say that I want to give them credit whenever they present anything that is entitled to credit, because I am for the good of this City, the same as they are, and I recognize after all no minority and no majority when the interests of the City are concerned.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon the following bill was *passed for printing*:
Bill No. 7606, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Budget for Municipal Expenditures, Fiscal Year 1926-1927.

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and making a budget of the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter I, of the Charter of the City and County.

Section 2. No department, officer, board or commission shall expend, or agree to expend moneys, or to incur liabilities which, in total amount, exceed the total sum appropriated to such department, officer, board or commission by this ordinance, or which may subsequently be appropriated to such department, officer, board or commission.

Section 3. The amounts appropriated to the various departments, officers, boards and commissions shall be expended and administered by them subject to the following conditions:

A. No department, officer, board or commission shall expend moneys, or incur liabilities, in excess of the total amount appropriated to such department, officer, board or commission; provided further, that such expenditure shall conform to the provisions of Article III, Chapter I, Section 9, of the Charter.

B. When a specific appropriation is made to any bureau, division or institution of a department, board or commission such appropriation shall be known and administered as a main appropriation title, and the main division thereunder of an object of expenditure classification as theretofore adopted shall be known and administered as a specific sub-appropriation thereof.

C. Departments, officers, boards or commissions desiring to make transfers from one sub-appropriation to any other sub-appropriation embraced within the same main appropriation title shall file with the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors a statement in duplicate setting forth the reasons for requesting such transfers, the purpose to which the money is to be devoted, the sub-appropriation from and to which such transfer is requested, the amounts of such transfers, and any other facts which may aid in determining the merits of such request. Whenever any such transfer is approved by the Finance Committee, the duplicate request shall be forwarded to the Auditor and a notice sent to the department making the request, setting forth the amounts and the sub-appropriation, or budget item numbers affected. In case of disapproval, notice to this effect shall be given to the department making the request.

D. Whenever any department, officer, board or commission desires to make a transfer from any sub-appropriation or appropriation embraced in one main appropriation title or titles, to any other or the same sub-appropriation or appropriations embraced in or desired to be embraced in any other main appropriation title or titles, said department, officer, board or commission shall file with the Board of Supervisors a statement in duplicate similar to the statement required in condition "C" above and containing, in addition, the main appropriation title or titles from and to which such transfer is requested. If the Board of Supervisors approves the request, notice thereof shall be forwarded to the originating department and the duplicate copy of the request forwarded to the Auditor. Said notice shall contain the main appropriation title or titles affected, the sub-appropriation or appropriations from and to which such transfer is to be made and the amounts thereof. If the Board of Supervisors denies the request, notice thereof shall be sent to the originating department, with the reasons for such action.

Section 4. All positions for which appropriations have been made in this ordinance have been created by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, or have been created by Boards or Commissions duly authorized by said Charter or ordinance of the Board of Supervisors; and the appropriations herein made shall be deemed to be the amount of the compensation to be received by the officer or employee holding the position for which the sum is appropriated.

Section 5. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
1	1090 E to 1093 E	(Appropriation 1) for plans and construction of new school buildings, the purchase of land for school purposes, furniture, additions and repairs to existing school buildings. A special tax on each $100 of assessed valuation to produce		**$900,000**
		To be expended in part for the following repairs:		
		Painting—		
		Argonne, interior	$3,500	
		Balboa, interior and exterior.....	650	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		Bernal and Annex, interior	3,450	
		Douglas, interior	1,950	
		Farragut, fire escape	350	
		Frank McCoppin, fire escape	350	
		Grant, portion interior	1,500	
		Hamilton and Annex, exterior	3,450	
		Hancock, interior	3,300	
		Hillcrest, interior and exterior	1,050	
		Hunter's Point, exterior and interior.	750	
		Jean Parker, exterior	2,100	
		Jefferson, interior	3,900	
		John Sweet (six ports), exterior....	2,555	
		Laguna Honda, fire escape iron work	750	
		Mission High Annex, interior.....	1,700	
		Polytechnic, 61 rooms, halls, interior	5,600	
		Sarah B. Cooper, exterior	2,655	
		Sheridan, fire escape	350	
		Spring Valley, interior	2,350	
		Ungraded, interior and exterior....	1,450	
		Blackboards, 20 schools	3,500	
				$47,210
1	5763	For repair of Red Cross Buildings, Civic Center, as follows:		
	1091	New roofs for entire group of buildings	$3,000	
	5763	Painting sashes and window frames, etc.	1,050	
	5763	Carpenter work, general repairs....	2,375	
				$6,425
	1091	Burnett, new urinals and toilets overhauled	$3,350	
	"	Franklin, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,425	
	"	Golden Gate, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,300	
	"	Lincoln, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,875	
	"	Starr King, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,300	
	"	Bernal, new urinals and toilets overhauled	4,725	
	"	Polytechnic, 65 new water tanks and overhauling	3,500	
				$25,475
	"	Commodore Stockton — Yard area 6850 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; to be graded to height of 4 ft. above present yard; concrete walls 50 ft. x 20 ft. x 24 in. and 56 ft. x 16 ft. x 24 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; wire storm fence 137 ft. x 6 ft.; wooden fence 100 ft. x 8 ft. (T. & G.); approximate cost	$7,900	
	"	Douglas—Yard area 3498 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt		

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		surface; concrete wall 150 ft. x 3 ft.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; sidewalks 33 ft. x 14½ ft.; approximate cost..	1,578	
	"	Excelsior—Yard area 15,000 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 50 ft. x 4 ft. x 15 in. and 100 ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; sidewalks to be relaid 150 ft. x 14½ ft.; wire storm fence 150 ft. x 6 ft.; wooden fence 200 ft. x 6 ft. with 6 in. x 6 in. posts with 8 ft. baseball wire fence above; approximate cost	6,223	
	"	Glen Park—Yard area (lower yard), 16,728 sq. ft.; sump and plumbing for same; approximate cost	4,951	
1	1091	John Swett—Yard area 13,563 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls and steps; sumps; wire storm fence 439 ft. x 6 ft.; approximate cost...	6,972	
	"	Lincoln—Yard area 4125 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 25 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in. and 50 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in.; two sumps 3 ft. x 3 ft.; concrete wall and iron fence 25 ft. x 4 ft. to be removed; wire storm fence 50 ft. x 6 ft.; approximate cost	2,122	
	"	Redding—Yard area 18,495 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 135 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in. and 137 ft. x 3½ ft. x 15 in. and 40 ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; wire storm fence 276 ft. x 6 ft. with gates; approximate cost	6,584	
	"	Yerba Buena—Yard area 22,440 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 187½ ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in. and 187 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in. and 120 ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in. and 50 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; sidewalks to be relaid 450 sq. ft.; wire storm fence 374 ft. x 6 ft. with gates; wooden fence 120 ft. x 6 ft. with 8 ft. of baseball netting above; approximate cost	11,025	
	"	Converting heating system to oil burners in the following schools:		
		Bryant	$1,100	
		Cleveland	1,100	
		Denman	1,100	
		Excelsior	1,100	
		Farragut	1,100	
		Kate Kennedy	1,100	
		Laguna Honda	1,100	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		Longfellow	1,100	
		Madison	1,100	
		Repairs to roofs	9,000	
		Miscellaneous repairs	102,000	
		For construction of portables, partitions, fire escapes, permanent yard benches and ornamental iron work	25,000	

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 2-A)

2	401 A	18 Supervisors at $2,400 each.....	$43,200
3	402 A	Clerk	6,000
4	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk	4,200
5	"	1 Expert Accountant	6,000
6	"	1 Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	3,000
7	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,300.....	6,600
8	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000
9	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,700
10	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600
11	"	1 Stenographer	2,400
12	"	1 Stenographer	1,800
13	"	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,400
14	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	1,800
15	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400
16	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	2,700
17	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector	2,100
18	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium ...	3,000
			$96,900

TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.

19	433 A	Chief Operator	$1,980
20	"	4 Operators at $1,800.....	7,200
21	"	Relief Operators	600
		Total Personal Services.....	**$106,680**

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 3-22 to 3-86)

22	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses		$5,000
23	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses....		9,000
		To be expended by the following committees:		
	402	Auditorium $500		
	"	Civil Service and Retirement.. 500		
	419	City Planning 500		
	402	Education, Parks and Playgrounds 500		
	"	Finance 500		
	"	Fire 500		
	"	Judiciary 500		
	"	Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity 500		

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
	"	Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations 500		
	"	Police and Licenses 500		
	"	Public Buildings and Lands.... 500		
	"	Public Health 500		
23	402	Public Utilities 500		
	"	Public Welfare 500		
	"	Streets and Commercial Development 500		
	"	Supplies Committee 500		
	"	Traffic and Safety 500		
	"	Tunnels and Assessments..... 500		
24	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	55,000	
25	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000	
26	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000	
27	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar..	8,000	
28	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July.....	2,500	
29	"	Memorial Day Observance	500	
30	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band.....	12,000	
31	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000	
32	425 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals...	7,500	
33	529 B	Lighting Streets	630,000	
34	402 H	Premiums on Official Bonds.....	5,000	
35	1090	Civic Center and War Memorial....	200,000	
36	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	75,000	
37	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000	
38	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges....	10,000	
39	1093	Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers	200,000	
40	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit..	140,000	
41	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen.....	5,000	
42	678 K	Auditorium	10,000	
43	467 H	Public Pound	15,000	
44	553 B	Feeble-Minded Home	87,000	
45	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	690,000	
46	"	Widows' Pensions	235,000	
47	"	St. Catherine's Training School.....	8,600	
48	"	State Schools	20,000	
49	419 K	City Planning Commission Including Auto at $40 a month.....	6,880	
50	1091	Police Building	40,000	
51	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Railroad	8,878	
52	1090	Purchase of Lands from Spring Valley Water Company, Contract..	35,640	
53	1090	Aquatic Park Land Purchases Contract	70,000	
54	1091	Aquatic Park Improvement	10,000	
55	1091	Yacht Harbor Improvement	25,000	
56	1091	Improvement of City Property at Ocean Beach — Construction of Stable	20,000	
57	1091	Civic Center Beautification	15,000	
58	1090	Van Ness Avenue Extension—Second Purchase	130,000	
59	1091	Painting San Francisco Hospital...	10,000	
60	"	Municipal Air Port, Initial Appropriation	100,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
61	"	Sutro Monument, Rehabilitation of Statue and Grounds	10,000	
62	401	For the purpose of appraising all taxable real property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1927-28 and for subsequent years may be equalized and the same may conform to the true value of said property and that the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization may be fully advised as to the true value of said property	50,000	
63	552	Repairs to Engineer's Cottage, Relief Home	1,000	
64	1092	Relief Home Equipment	40,000	
65	"	Civic Center Facade, Fulton Street	100,000	
66	"	San Francisco Hospital Equipment, New Wards, Children's T. B. Wards	9,000	
67	"	San Francisco Hospital, Radium Equipment	17,500	
68		Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000	
		To be expended as folows:		
		Fire Department Buildings.		
		Engine Co. 41—		
	452	Repair rear porch, doors and sash, put concrete strips in floor to run apparatus on, repair tile in bath room and shower room	$541	
		Engine Co. 42—		
		Put window in captain's room, repair doors, windows and screens, repair floor in shower room, repair roof and ventilators, paint exterior	1,092	
		Engine Co. 43—		
		Repair roof, repair doors and windows, paint exterior	931	
		Engine Co. 45—		
		Repair floor, repair roof and leaders, repair hose tower, repair fence, damp proof south wall	742	
		Engine Co. 46—		
		Repair shower room floor, repair rear door frames and doors, repair roof.	315	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		Engine Co. 48—		
68	452	Extend retaining wall on west side of lot, repair fence and gate, paint fence and all outside frames	585	
		Truck 6 and Chemical 9—		
		Build room for officers in dormitory, build kitchen on lower floor, repair roof and sidewalk	819	
		Chemical Co. No. 12—		
		Repair floor, repair fence, repair windows	135	
		Corporation Yard—		
		Repair floor in shop.....	225	
		Metal cap back wall.....	45	
		Ventilators	135	
		Skylight bars	180	
		New ridge for roof.....	54	
		Repairs to cornice.....	180	
		Glazing	385	
		Concrete pits	1,800	
		Paint window frames, doors, etc.	1,575	
		Paint outside	900	
		Miscellaneous buildings..	29,361	
			$40,000	
69	1092	Traffic signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control		75,000
70	1093	Electrolysis Survey		5,000
71	"	McKinley Square Bulkhead.....		4,000
72	"	Rehabilitation of Fine Arts Building, as follows: Concrete underpinning, $50,000; Concrete Floor, $15,000; Plastering, $16,000; Painting, $6,000; Plumbing, $3,500; Electrical Work, $3,000; Piping for Heating, $4,500; Incidentals, $2,000		100,000
73	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District.....		100,000
74	1093	Street signs		10,000
75	"	Extension of High-Pressure System, Southern District		65,000
76	1090	Reimbursement in part of Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced for completion of Stockton and Twin Peaks Tunnels.....		50,000
77	4142 AC	Single team for stores and yards, Board of Public Works, at $10.75 per day		3,000
78	4142 B	Royalties for surface heaters, Board of Public Works.....		12,000
79	502 AC	25 Double teams, street cleaning, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day		84,500

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
80	501 AC 3	Double teams, sewer repair, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	10,350	
81	501 H	Rental, 7 1½-ton trucks, Board of Public Works, at $12 per day.....	25,200	
82	"	Rental, 1 3-ton truck, Board of Public Works, at $20 per day.....	6,000	
83	"	Rental, 14 autos, Board of Public Works, at $40 per month.....	6,720	
84	"	Improvements, San Francisco Hospital	7,500	
85	1092	Equipment, Emergency Hospital....	1,740	
86	——	Payment for Rental 333 Kearny Street Lease	14,233	
		Total		**$3,863,241**

Street Reconstruction.

(Appropriations 3-87 to 146)

87	52710	Eighteenth street, Noe to Douglass..	$9,000
88	"	Folsom street, Thirteenth to Sixteenth	22,500
89	"	Franklin street, Vallejo to Broadway	5,400
90	"	Sixth street, Howard to Harrison...	15,300
91	"	Hyde street, Beach to North Point, widening	2,250
92	"	North Point street, Van Ness to Larkin, widening	8,100
93	"	North Point street, Columbus avenue to Hyde street, widening.....	7,200
94	"	Broadway, Columbus avenue to Stockton, widening	7,200
95	"	Douglass street, Nineteenth to Carson	1,080
96	"	Drumm street, Clay to Jackson.....	9,000
97	"	Ellis street, Van Ness to Franklin..	5,400
98	"	Grant avenue, Filbert to Greenwich.	4,050
99	"	Gilbert street, Bryant to Brannan..	2,250
100	"	Hawthorne street, Howard to Folsom	6,030
101	"	Harriet street, Harrison to Brannan	4,500
102	"	Haight street, Gough to Buchanan, widening	22,500
103	"	Jones street, Bush to Pine.....	3,600
104	"	Jackson street, Embarcadero to Front-Battery	11,700
105	"	Leavenworth street, Union to Filbert	4,500
106	"	Geary street, Divisadero to Masonic.	18,000
107	"	Monroe street, Pine to Bush.....	2,025
108	"	O'Farrell street, Van Ness to Franklin	5,400
109	"	Sacramento street, Front to Battery.	3,600
110	"	Taylor street, Pine to Sutter.....	9,000
111	"	Taylor street, Broadway to Vallejo..	4,500
112	"	Willard street, Belmont to Parnassus	3,240
113	"	Baker street, Vallejo to Green.....	4,500
114	52710	Union street, Montgomery to Kearny	5,400
115	"	Bay street, Laguna to Fillmore.....	13,500
116	"	Castro street, Twentieth to Hill....	7,650
117	"	Chestnut street, Grant avenue to Stockton street	5,400
118	"	Divisadero street, Filbert to Greenwich	5,940

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
119	"	Fourteenth street, Howard to Valencia	13,500	
120	"	Filbert street, Grant avenue to Stockton	5,400	
121	"	Francisco street, Powell to Grant avenue	7,200	
122	"	Grant avenue, Vallejo to Columbus	1,350	
123	"	Greenwich street, Jones to Leavenworth	5,400	
124	"	Leavenworth street, Vallejo to Green	4,500	
125	"	Leavenworth street, Broadway to Jackson (resurfacing)	4,500	
126	"	Lombard street, Taylor to Leavenworth (incl. crossings)	12,150	
127	"	Lombard street, Larkin to Polk	5,400	
128	"	Mason street, Vallejo to Pacific	7,200	
129	"	Powers avenue, Mission to Coleridge	1,800	
130	"	Vallejo street, Jones to Leavenworth	4,500	
131	"	Vallejo street, Grant avenue to Kearny and Powell to Mason	10,800	
132	"	Vallejo street, Van Ness avenue to Hyde	13,500	
133	"	Washington street, Franklin to Gough	4,500	
134	"	Twenty-ninth street, Valencia street westerly (resurfacing)	3,600	
135	"	California street, Franklin to Fillmore	48,600	
136	"	Guerrero street, Twentieth street south	40,500	
137	"	Hayes street, Laguna westerly (widening)	10,800	
138	"	Peralta street, Tomasa to Montcalm	13,500	
139	"	Elizabeth street, Grand View to Hoffman avenue	6,300	
140	"	Tehama street, Second to Third	3,771	
141	"	Nineteenth street, Extension to Mono	13,500	
142	"	Clay street, Hyde to Leavenworth and Powell to Mason	10,800	
143	"	Broadway, Mason to Taylor	5,850	
144	"	Sacramento street, Franklin to Gough	4,500	
145	"	Water street, Mason to Taylor	2,475	
146	"	Bonita street, Polk street westerly	1,350	
		Total Streets		**$506,961**

Bureau of Supplies.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3½-A)

147	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000
148	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600
149	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000
150	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000
151	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery	2,700
152	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
153	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools	2,700
154	"	1 Stenographer File Clerk	1,500
155	"	1 Tabulator	1,500
		Total Personal Services	$30,100

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
156	634 BC	Non-Personal (Appropriation 3½B).	1,800	
157		Gasoline, oil and supplies for City Hall garage	3,000	
158		Stationery, printing, books, postage.	85,000	
159		Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc.	20,000	
160		Block books	4,000	
161		License tags and blanks	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$118,800	
		Total Bureau of Supplies		**$148,900**

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

162	404 A	Mayor	$6,000
163	"	Executive Secretary	4,800
164	"	Assistant Secretary	4,200
165	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400
166	"	Telephone Operator	1,800
167	"	Messenger	1,980
168	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each	4,800
		Total Personal Services	$31,980

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)

169	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter)	$3,600
170	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	7,500
		Total Non-Personal Services	$11,100
		Total Mayor	**$43,080**

AUDITOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)

171	405 A	Auditor	$8,000
172	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
173	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each	10,800
174	405 A	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760
175	"	4 Deputies at $2,720 each	10,880
176	"	1 Deputy	2,580
177	"	5 Deputies at $2,280 each	11,400
178	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580
179	"	1 General Clerk	2,100
180	"	1 General Clerk	1,800
181	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,100
182	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099 A.	2,580
183	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	1,980
184	"	Attorney	3,000
185	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	5,800
		Total Personal Services	$74,960

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
186	405 B	Contingents	$500	
		Total Auditor		**$75,460**

TAX COLLECTOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)

187	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000	
188	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
189	"	Cashier	3,300	
190	"	Accountant	3,300	
191	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each...	15,480	
192	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880	
193	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each..	5,160	
194	"	26 Deputies at $2,280 each	59,280	
195	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
196	"	Extra Clerical Help	10,800	
197	"	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000	
198	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100	
		Total Personal Services.....	$119,000	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)

199	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List	$3,700	
200	"	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$5,860	
		Total Tax Collector.....		**$124,860**

TREASURER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)

201	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000	
202	"	Chief Deputy	2,880	
203	"	Cashier	5,100	
204	"	Bank and Bond Deputy.....	3,900	
205	406 A	1 Deputy	3,900	
206	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880	
207	"	1 Deputy	3,300	
208	"	Bookkeeper	3,600	
209	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400	
210	"	2 Clerks at $2,880 each	5,760	
211	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
		Total Personal Services.....	$43,700	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)

212	406 K	Non-Personal Services	200	
		Total Treasurer		**$43,900**

ASSESSOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)

213	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000	
214	"	Chief Deputy Assessor.....	2,400	
215	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers.	4.500	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
216	407 AA	Cashier Auditor	2,400	
217	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400	
218	"	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800	
219	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year.	48,000	
220	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	9,000	
221	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser.	2,400	
222	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
223	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100	
224	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
225	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,500	
226	407 AB	Temporary Asst. Deputies—General Clerks at $150 per month for time employed	91,800	
		Total Personal Services	$238,700	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)		
227	407 B	Non-Personal Services, including Autos at $40 a month	$6,000	
		Total Assessor		**$244,700**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)		
228	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	$5,000	
229	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400	
230	431 A	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
231	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each..	13,500	
232	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220	
233	"	Typo Operator Mechanic	2,460	
234	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant..	2,280	
235	"	Voting Machine Adjuster	1,920	
236	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280	
			$57,260	

ELECTION EXPENSES.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)		
237	431 AC	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9 a day..	$2,700	
238	431 AC	Outside Registration	$15,000	
239	431 AB	Temporary clerks, office and petitions	50,000	
240	431 AB	Election Officers	110,000	
241	431 AC	Laborers	1,000	
		Total Personal Services	$235,960	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)		
242	431 B	Contractual Services	$63,500	
243	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
244	431 DC	Materials and Supplies and fixed charges	50,000	
245	634	Special Election as required by Charter	50,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$313,500	
		Total Elections		**$549,460**

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)

246	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000
247	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each	22,500
248	"	1 Assistant	3,600
249	"	4 Assistants at $3,000 each	12,000
250	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each	7,200
251	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk	3,300
252	"	1 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerk	2,400
253	"	6 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680
254	"	Chief Clerk	3,000
255	"	Assistant Chief Clerk	2,100
256	"	Bookeeper	1,800
257	"	1 Stenographer	2,400
258	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
259	"	Messenger	1,800
260	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$87,980

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-B)

261	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500
		Total District Attorney	**$95,480**

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-C)

262	411	Public Defender	$5,000
263	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800
264	"	1 Deputy Public Defender	3,600
265	"	1 Clerk	1,800
		Total Public Defender	**$18,200**

CITY ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)

266	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000
267	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200
268	"	1 Deputy	7,200
269	"	1 Deputy	6,000
270	"	2 Assistants at $3,000 each	6,000
271	"	1 Clerk, Calendar, Filing and Records	2,400
272	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200
273	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$43,100

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
274	410 K	General and Rate Litigation.....	$10,000	
		Total City Attorney.....		**$53,100**

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)

275	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each.....	$3,600	
276	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	
277	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
278	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
279	"	Assistant Inspector	2,280	
280	"	1 Assistant Secretary	2,280	
281	"	2 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 each.	4,800	
282	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,100	
283	"	Special Examiners and Extra Clerks	1,500	
		Total Personal Services.....	$26,460	
284	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	1,250	
		Total Civil Service Commission.		**$27,710**

COUNTY CLERK.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

285	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
286	"	Chief Registry Clerk	3,600	
287	"	Cashier	3,000	
288	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each....	14,400	
289	"	10 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	24,000	
290	"	16 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	38,400	
291	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each	9,600	
292	"	38 Copyists at $2,280 each	86,640	
		Total Personal Services.....	$187,640	
293	426 K	Non-Personal Services (13-B)	150	
		Total County Clerk		**$187,790**

SHERIFF.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

294	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000	
295	"	Cashier	3,300	
296	"	Deputy	2,700	
297	"	Attorney	1,800	
298	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper....	3,000	
299	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	36,120	
300	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,244 each.....	132,396	
301	430 A	Stenographer	2,100	
302	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400	
303	430 A	Office Superintendent	3,600	
304	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000	
305	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640	
306	"	Chief Jailer	2,700	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
307	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,400	
308	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,400	
309	"	1 Matron	2,400	
310	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,244 each	15,708	
311	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each	4,800	
312	"	2 Cooks at $2,100 each	4,200	
313	"	1 Jailer	2,400	
314	"	1 Female Jailer	1,800	
315	"	16 Keepers at $1,800 each	28,800	
		Total Personal Services	$268,664	
		Other than Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-B)		
316	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$65,000	
		Total Sheriff		**$333,664**

RECORDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)

	454 AA	Personal Services.		
317	"	Recorder	$8,000	
318	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
319	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
320	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
321	"	7 Deputies at $2,280 each	15,960	
322	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
323	"	1 Special Copyist	2,580	
324	"	Machinist	2,700	
325	"	Stenographer	2,100	
326	454 AB	28 Copyists at $2,280 each	63,840	
		Total Recorder Services		**$122,000**

SUPERIOR COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)

327	425 A	16 Judges	$56,000	
328	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner	6,000	
329	"	Assistant Secretary	2,280	
330	"	Jury Examiner	2,280	
331	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
332	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
333	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each	16,800	
334	"	Jury and Witness Fees	35,000	
335	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses	8,000	
336	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees)	30,000	
		Total Personal Services	$160,560	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)		
337	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
		Total Superior Courts		**$168,560**

JUSTICES' COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)

338	428 A	5 Justices of the peace at $4,200 each	$21,000	
339	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
340	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
341	"	Cashier	3,000	
342	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each	8,100	
343	"	Messenger	2,700	
344	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
345	"	1 Clerk	2,400	
346	"	2 Clerks at $1,800 each	3,600	
		Total Justices' Courts		**$51,000**

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)

347	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100	
348	"	Matron	1,500	
349	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620	
350	"	Night Superintendent	1,620	
351	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200	
352	"	7 Nurses at $960 each	6,720	
353	"	Cook	1,200	
354	"	1 Orderly	1,620	
355	"	1 Orderly	1,200	
		Total Personal Services	$18,780	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)

356	558 Var	Maintenance and Subsistence	$15,000	
		Total Juvenile Detention Home		**$33,780**

JUVENILE COURT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)

357	5591 A	Chief Probation Officer	$3,900	
358	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer	3,000	
359	"	11 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,280 each	25,080	
360	"	3 Deputy Probation Officers at $2,280 each	6,840	
361	"	1 Collector	2,580	
362	"	1 Cashief-Bookkeeper	2,280	
363	"	1 File Clerk	1,800	
364	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200	
365	"	3 Stenographers at $1,800 each	5,400	
366	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
367	"	1 Referee	2,400	
		Total Personal Services	$59,460	
368	5591 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B	$5,000	
		Total Juvenile Court		**$64,460**

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)

369	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$3,900	
370	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer	3,000	
371	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,280 each	15,960	
372	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	1,980	
		Total Personal Services	$24,840	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
373	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	$700	
		Total Adult Probation Dept....		**$25,540**

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)

374	553 A	Director	$3,000	
375	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
376	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
377	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$13,500	
378	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	$1,000	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau.		**$14,500**

LAW LIBRARY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

379	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
380	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
381	"	Bookbinder	2,100	
		Total Law Library.....		**$9,900**

POLICE COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

382	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each.....	$14,400	
383	"	4 Stenographers at $3,600 each.....	14,400	
		Total Police Court.....		**$28,800**

CORONER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

384	429 A	Coroner	$8,000	
385	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
386	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000	
387	"	3 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	7,740	
388	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940	
389	"	3 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	7,200	
390	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
391	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100	
392	"	Toxicologist	1,800	
393	"	Relief	800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$42,280	
394	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000	
		Total Coroner		**$45,280**

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

395	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
396	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
397	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$24,300	
398	460 K	Non-Personal Services	1,500	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		**$25,800**

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

399	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner	$3,000	
400	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8.00 a day	4,800	
401	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$9,600	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

402	464 A	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
		Total Horticultural Commission		**$11,160**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

Commissioners and General Office.

403	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000
404	"	Chief Deputy Commissioner	4,200
405	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
406	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
407	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400
408	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400
409	"	Stenographer	2,400
410	"	Stenographer	2,400
411	"	Chauffeur	2,400
412	"	Messenger	2,280
413	"	General Clerk	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$39,780

Bureau of Accounting.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

414	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge	$4,000
415	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper	2,700
416	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000
417	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,280 a year	27,360
418	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300
419	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier	3,300
420	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400
421	"	Clerk	2,700
422	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	4,200

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
423	"	4 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	7,920	
424	"	Inspector of House Numbers	2,700	
		Total Personal Services		$63,580

Bureau of Architecture.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

425	416 A	Superintendent of Construction	$4,200	
426	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000	
427	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700	
428	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200	
429	"	Stenographer	2,100	
		Total Personal Services		$13,200

Bureau of Building Repair.

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

430	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
431	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,600	
432	"	Superintendent of Painters	3,150	
433	"	Foreman Plumber	3,000	
434	"	Foreman Cement Finisher	3,000	
435	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,000	
436	"	Foreman Tinner	3,000	
437	"	Foreman Wireman	3,000	
438	"	Head Janitor	3,120	
439	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320	
440	"	Night Foreman Janitor	2,040	
441	"	57 Janitors, at $1,740 a year	99,180	
442	432 AA	4 Window Cleaners, at $1,920 each a year	7,680	
443	"	Foreman Window Cleaner	2,100	
444	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation	3,480	
445	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall	2,040	
446	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,740 a year	29,580	
447	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,740 each a year.	3,480	
448	432 AB	Relief and Vacation all Departments	1,740	
449	"	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,300 each a year	6,600	
450	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,440 a year	12,000	
451	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,400	
452	"	5 Fireman, at $2,100 each a year	10,500	
453	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation	410	
		Total Personal Services		$216,620

Employment for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
454	432 AC	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day....	$13,500	
455	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day....	3,000	
456	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day.....	2,100	
457	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650	
458	"	5 Plumbers, at $10.00 per day.....	15,000	
459	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10 per day....	6,000	
460	"	6 Painters, at $8.35 per day.....	15,030	
461	"	Foreman Painter, at $9.35 per day..	2,805	
462	"	Painters, Shop Men, $6.50 per day..	1,950	
463	"	Painters' Apprentice, at $5.50 per day	1,650	
464	"	2 Tinners, $9 per day.....	5,400	
465	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day...	1,950	
466	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day.....	1,200	
467	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
468	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day.	2,400	
469	"	Glazier, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
470	"	Steam Fitter, at $10 per day.....	3,000	
471	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day.....	2,100	
472	"	Wireman, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
		Total Personal Services.....	$86,835	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-B and C)		
473	432 B	Contractual Services	$6,300	
474	432 BA	Transportation Autos	2,500	
475	432 4A	Transportation Carfare	850	
476	432 C	Materials and Supplies.....	20,000	
		SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.		
477	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	$180	
478	432 BC	Towel Service	2,500	
479	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900	
		MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.		
480	432 CB	Fuel Oil	$22,000	
481	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	5,000	
482	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	3,150	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$63,380	
483	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	$30,000	
484	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	18,000	

Bureau and Stores and Yards.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)		
485	4142 AA	Storekeeper	$3,900	
486	"	Experienced Clerk	2,700	
487	"	Watchman	2,640	
488	"	Watchman	2,340	
489	"	Watchman	1,980	
490	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,740 each a year..	3,480	
491	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,800 each a year..	3,600	
492	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000	
493	4142 AC	Machinist, at $9 a day.....	2,700	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
494	"	2 Blacksmiths, at $9 a day	5,400	
495	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers at $7.08 a day	6,372	
496	4142 AC	Vulcanizer, at $7 a day	2,100	
497	"	Carriage Painter, at $8.50 a day	2,550	
498	"	2 Laborers, 365 days, at $6 a day	4,380	
499	"	3 Laborers at $6 a day	5,400	
		Total Personal Services	$52,542	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

500	4142 B-A	Transportation	$480	
501	4142 B-N	Repairs to Equipment	175	
502	4142 C	Material and Supplies	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$1,655	

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

503	455 A	Chief Inspector	$5,400	
504	"	1 Inspector	3,300	
505	"	1 Inspector	3,000	
506	"	Boiler Inspector	3,000	
507	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
508	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
509	"	10 Inspectors, at $2,400 a year	24,000	
510	"	Messenger	1,440	
511	"	2 Engineers, at $3,600 each	7,200	
		Total Personal Services	$52,140	

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

512	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000	
513	"	Asst. City Engineer	5,400	
514	"	4 Assistants, Civil Engineer, at $3,000 a year	12,000	
515	"	Surveyor	3,600	
516	"	Surveyor	3,000	
517	"	4 Surveyors, at $2,700 a year	10,800	
518	"	Surveyors' Field Assistant	3,000	
519	"	2 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	5,400	
520	"	4 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,400 a year	9,600	
521	"	1 Surveyor's Field Assistant	2,400	
522	"	20 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,400 a year	48,000	
523	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,600	
524	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,300	
525	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,000	
526	"	6 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	16,200	
527	"	3 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,400 a year	7,200	
528	415 A	1 Engineering Draftsman	1,800	
529	"	Cartographer	2,700	
530	"	2 Inspectors of Streets and Sewers, at $2,700 a year	5,400	
531	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
532	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers...	2,220	
533	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers..	2,100	
534	"	Engineering Chemist	3,600	
535	"	Photographer	2,700	
536	"	Photostat Operator	2,400	
537	"	Blue Printer	2,100	
538	"	3 Stenographers, at $1,980 a year....	5,940	
539	"	Experienced Clerk	2,880	
540	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
541	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
542	415 A-B	Watchman, part time.....	870	
		Total Personal Services.....	$183,410	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)

543	415 B	Non-Personal Services	$8,000	
		Total Engineer's Department...	$191,410	

Street Repair Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

544	527 AA	Superintendent	$4,500	
545	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,900	
546	"	2 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 each	5,400	
547	527 AA	Experienced Clerk	3,000	
548	"	Stenographer, 4 months.....	600	
		MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.		
549	527 A	Engineer	$2,400	
550	"	2 Firemen, at $1,980 a year.....	3,960	
551	"	Foreman, at $9.50 a day.....	2,850	
552	"	Machinist	2,700	
553	527 AC	Asphalt Dryermen, at $8 a day.....	2,400	
554	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen, at $8 a day...	4,800	
555	"	Asphalt Mixermen, at $8.50 a day...	2,550	
556	"	2 Asphalt Finishers, at $7.50 a day..	4,500	
557	"	3 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day.....	6,300	
558	527 AA	Telephone Operator	1,620	
		ASPHALT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR—SURFACE HEATERS, FOUR MACHINES, 280 DAYS.		
559	527 AC	2 Foremen, 300 days, at $8.50 a day	$5,100	
560	"	16 Finishers at $7.50 a day.....	33,600	
561	"	24 Asphalt Workers at $7 a day.....	47,040	
562	"	7 Engineers at $9 a day.....	17,640	
563	"	10 Chauffeurs at $7 a day.....	19,600	
		SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.		
564	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher at $9 a day.....	$1,350	
565	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers at $8 a day	2,400	
		CONCRETE REPAIRS, MISCELLANEOUS—280 DAYS.		
566	527 AC	15 Laborers at $6 a day.....	$25,200	
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.		
567	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters at $9 a day.....	$5,040	
		BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—270 DAYS.		
568	527 AC	Foreman, 280 days, at $9 per day...	$2,520	
569	"	6 Pavers at $8 a day.....	12,960	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
570	"	3 Rammers at $7 a day.....	5,670	
571	"	10 Laborers at $6 per day.....	16,200	
		Total Personal Services.....		$245,800
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-C)		
572	527	Asphalt Plant—Transportation, carfare, rental of 17 trucks at $12 a day, 280 days; switching and demurrage, garage expense, printing and bookbinding, power, water, repairs to equipment, tool sharpening, setting back hydrants, miscellaneous	$65,350	
573		Materials and Supplies — Asphalt, cement, limestone dust, paving brick, rock, sand and gravel, fuel oil, wood and coal, kerosene, gasoline, lubricants, tools, tires and tubes, redressed curb	92,400	
				$157,750

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)

574	527 A	9 Engineers at $2,400 each a year..	$21,600
575	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,400 a year	4,800
576	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,740 each a year	17,400
577	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,740 a year	3,480
578	"	1 Carpenter at $9 per day.....	2,700
579	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day	1,950
		Total Personal Services.....	$51,930

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)

580	527 B	Contractural	$2,500
581	527 C	Material and Supplies	1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services...	$3,500

Street Cleaning Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A-1)

582	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
583	"	2 Asst. Superintendents at $2,700 a year	5,400
584	"	1 Experienced Clerk	3,000
585	"	6 Watchmen at $1,740 each a year...	10,440
586	502 AC	200 Sweepers at $6 per day.....	360,000
587	"	19 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	39,900
588	"	14 Gang Foremen at $6.50 per day..	27,300
589	"	1 Night Gang Foreman	2,100
			$452,340

Non-Personal Services—

590	502 BA	Transportation	$1,200
591	502 BL	Water	6,500

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
592	592 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment..	5,000	
593	502 C	Material and Supplies.....	20,000	
594	1092	Street Cans	600	
			$33,300	

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

595	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
596	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000	
597	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700	
598	501 AC	Asst. Superintendent in charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550	
599	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day.....	16,500	
600	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day...	24,300	
601	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	6,300	
602	501	25 Sewermen at $6.50 per day.....	48,750	
603	"	21 Laborers at $6 per day.....	37,800	
604	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8 per day....	43,200	
		Total Personal Services.....	$189,300	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-B)

605	B	Repairs to equipment.....	$1,000	
606	C	Materials and Supplies.....	20,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$21,000	

Sewage Pumping Station.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)

607	501 AA	Watchman in Charge.....	$2,040	
608	501 AB	Relief and Vacation.....	80	
		Total Personal Services.....	$2,120	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)

609	501 BH	Power	$450	
610	501 C	Material and Supplies	250	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$700	

MISCELLANEOUS.
(Appropriation 38-A)

611	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200	
612	501 BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	4,000	
613	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment.....	2,000	
			$7,200	
		Total Board of Works.....		**$1,994,082**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)

614	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000	
615	"	Secretary	3,000	
616	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
617	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100	
618	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
619	465 A	Chief Inspector	3,000	
620		18 Inspectors at $2,700 each	48,600	
621	465 A	Chief Operator	3,000	
622	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600	
623	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200	
624	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750	
625	"	Superintendent of Plant	3,300	
626	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9.00 per diem	2,700	
627	"	Batteryman	2,700	
628	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000	
629	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each	13,500	
630	"	Foreman Laborer	2,100	
631	"	3 Laborers at $6.00 per diem	5,400	
632	"	2 Machinists at $9.00 per diem	5,400	
633	465 AC	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,700 each	8,100	
634	"	14 Linemen at $2,400 each	33,600	
635	"	Inside Wireman at $9.00 per diem	2,700	
636	"	Commissary	2,100	
637	465 AC	Repairer	2,460	
638	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each	4,560	
		Total Personal Services	$190,370	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)		
639	465 Var	Material, Supplies and Equipment	$15,000	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-E)		
640	1091	Underground Conduit System	$15,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$30,000	
		Total Department of Electricity.		**$220,370**

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)		
641	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
642	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary.	4,500	
643	"	Physician	2,820	
644	"	Stenographer	2,580	
645	"	Chief Engineer	7,200	
646	"	First Assistant Chief	4,800	
647	"	Second Assistant Chief	4,800	
648	"	22 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	92,400	
649	"	22 Operators at $2,520 a year	55,440	
650	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440	
			$180,780	
		ENGINE COMPANIES.		
651	452 AA	45 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$126,900	
652	"	70 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	186,900	
653	"	27 Engineers at $2,640 each a year.	71,280	
654	"	84 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	201,600	
655	"	2 Drivers at $2,280 each a year	4,560	
656	"	6 Drivers at $2,160 each a year	12,960	
657	"	333 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	799,200	
658	"	41 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	93,480	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
659	"	28 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year..	60,480	
660		Automatic increase in salaries..	3,165	
			$1,560,525	
		CHEMICAL COMPANIES.		
661	452 AA	13 Captains at $2,820 each a year..	$36,660	
662	"	13 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	34,710	
663	"	26 Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	62,400	
664	"	29 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	69,600	
665	452 AA	2 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year..	4,560	
666	"	8 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year..	17,280	
667		Automatic increase in salaries...	560	
			$225,770	
		TRUCK COMPANIES.		
668	452 AA	14 Captains at $2,820 each a year..	$39,480	
669	"	17 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	45,390	
670	"	28 Drivers at $2,400 each a year...	67,200	
671	"	28 Tillermen at $2,400 each a year..	67,200	
672	"	134 Truckmen at $2,400 each a year..	321,600	
673	"	12 Truckmen at $2,280 each a year..	27,360	
674	"	5 Truckmen at $2,160 each a year..	10,800	
675		Automatic increase in salaries...	1,065	
			$580,095	
		WATER TOWER COMPANIES.		
676	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
677	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
678	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year.....	4,800	
679	"	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year...	7,200	
			$17,490	
		RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.		
680	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
681	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
682	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year.....	4,800	
683	"	4 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year....	9,600	
684	"	1 Hoseman	2,280	
685		Automatic increase in salaries...	65	
		Total Personal Services.....	$22,235	
		PUMPING STATIONS.		
686	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720	
687	"	5 Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800	
688	"	7 Firemen at $2,340 each a year....	16,380	
689	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805	
690	"	Vacation Firemen	685	
			$38,390	
		DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.		
691	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
692	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880	
693	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman.....	2,730	
694	"	11 Gateman Hydrantmen at $2,580 each a year.....	28,380	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
695	452 AC	1 Calker at $7.50 per day.....	2,250	
696	"	3 Laborers at $6 per day each.....	5,400	
			$45,840	
		CORPORATION YARD.		
697	425 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
698	"	Clerk and Commissary.....	2,400	
699	425 AA	Bookkeeper	2,700	
700	"	3 Watchmen at $1,740 each a year..	5,220	
701	425 A	1 Drayman	2,220	
702	"	1 Clerk	2,100	
703	"	Batteryman	2,700	
704	"	1 General Foreman	3,300	
705	525 AC	12 Machinists at $9 per day.....	32,400	
706	"	5 Machinists' Helpers at $6.58 per day	9,870	
707	"	4 Blacksmiths at $9 per day.....	10,800	
708	"	4 Blackmiths' Helpers at $7.08 per day	8,496	
709	"	2 Woodworkers at $9 per day.....	5,400	
710	"	1 Brass Finisher at $9 per day.....	2,700	
711	"	1 Boilermaker at $9 per day.....	2,700	
712	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper at $6.58 per day	1,974	
713	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter at $9 per day	2,700	
714	"	2 Carriage Painters at $8.35 per day	5,010	
715	"	1 Leather Worker at $8.50 per day.	2,550	
		Total Corporation Yard.....	$109,440	
		FOR FIRE PREVENTION AND THEATER INSPECTION.		
716	"	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item.....	79,000	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)		
717	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$145,000	
718	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings.....	150,000	
		EQUIPMENT.		
719	1092	Equipment	90,000	
			$464,000	
		Total Fire Department.....		**$3,244,565**

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)

720	451 AA	4 Commissioners at $1200 each a year	$4,800	
721	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
722	"	Confidential Clerk	2 400	
723	"	Stenographer	3,000	
		ADMINISTRATION.		
724	451 AA	Chief of Police.....	$7,200	
725	"	Chief Clerk	3,600	
726	"	Lieutenant	3,000	
727	"	Stenographer	1,800	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
728	451 AA	Office Asst. (Sergeant)	2,640	
729	"	Office Asst. (Corporal)	2,580	
730	"	Physician	2,400	
731	"	6 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	10,800	
732	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760	
733	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760	
734	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760	
		BOOKKEEPING AND ACCOUNTING.		
735	451 AA	Bookkeeper (Sergeant)	$2,640	
736	"	2 Bookkeepers (Corporal) at $2,580 each a year	5,160	
737	"	4 Bookkeepers (Patrolmen) at $2,400 each a year	9,600	
		PROPERTY CLERK BUREAU.		
738	451 AA	Property Clerk (Captain)	$3,600	
739	"	Asst. Property Clerk (Corporal)	2,580	
740	"	4 Asst. Property Clerks (Patrolmen) at $2,400 each	9,600	
		BUREAU OF PERMITS AND REGISTRATION.		
741	451 AA	Sergeant	$2,640	
742	"	3 Patrolmen at $2,400	7,200	
		COMPLAINT BUREAU.		
743	451 AA	Lieutenant	$3,000	
744	"	Corporal	2.580	
745	"	3 Patrolmen at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.		
746	451 AA	Captain of Detectives	$5,000	
747	"	6 Lieutenants at $3,000 each a year	18,000	
748	"	62 Detective Sergeants at $2,760 a year	171,120	
749	"	Stenographer	2,760	
750	"	Photographer	2,700	
751	"	3 Women Protective Officers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
		UNIFORM FORCE.		
752	451 AA	Captain of Traffic	$4,000	
753	"	14 Captains at $3,600 each a year	50,400	
754	"	25 Lieutenants at $3,000 each a year	75,000	
755	"	81 Sergeants at $2,640 each a year	213,840	
756	"	95 Corporals at $2,580 each a year	245,100	
757	"	870 Patrolmen at $2,400 each a year	2,088,000	
758	"	25 Patrol Drivers at $2,400 each a year	60,000	
759	"	10 Hostlers at $2,160 each a year	21,600	
760	"	4 Matrons at $2,100 each a year	8,400	
761	"	Matrons' Relief	1,312	
762	"	Cook	2,100	
763	451 AA	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
		Total Personal Services		$3,095,632

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)

764	451 B	Contractual Service	$26,500	
765	451 C	Material and Supplies	48,103	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
766	1092	Equipment — Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-B)	19,900	
767	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
			$103,503	
		Total Police Department.....		**$3,199,135**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)

768	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000
769	"	Chief Clerk	4,200
770	"	Stenographer	2,580
771	"	3 Stenographers at $2,280 a year....	6,840
772	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each a year.....	4,560
773	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800
774	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year.....	3,600
775	476 AB	Relief Telephone Operator.....	400
		ACCOUNTING.	
776	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200
777	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each a year.....	4,560
		STATISTICS.	
778	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600
779	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280
780	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280
781	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.	
782	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector.....	$2,700
783	"	7 Veterinarians at $2,400 each a year	16,800
784	"	16 Market Inspectors at $2,400 each a year	38,400
		OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.	
785	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	$10,800
786	"	1 Disinfector	2,400
787	"	1 Disinfector	2,220
788	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.....	1,200
		VENEREAL CLINIC.	
789	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000
790	"	Psychologist	1,800
791	"	Assistant Psychologist	1,500
792	"	Assistant Psychologist	900
793	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200
794	"	Psychologist	600
795	"	Social Service Worker.....	1,800
796	"	Physician	2,100
797	"	Janitress	1,500
		BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.	
798	476 AA	Director of Laboratories.....	$3,600
799	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000
800	476 AA	2 Bacteriologists at $2,700 each a year	5,400

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
801	476 AA	1 Bacteriologist	2,100	
802	"	Technician	1,500	
803	"	Clerk	2,280	
804	"	2 Helpers at $720 each a year	1,440	

Conservation of Child Life.

SCHOOL INSPECTION.

805	476	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000	
806	476 AA	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
807	"	4 School Inspectors (part time) at $1,800 each a year	7,200	
808	"	Optometrist	1,500	

DENTAL.

809	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000	
810	"	2 Dental Hygienists at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
811	"	7 Dentists, at $1,200 each a year	8,400	
812	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600	

CHILD WELFARE.

813	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000	
814	"	Pediatrician	480	
815	"	Pediatrician	240	

Food Inspection.

MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.

816	476 AA	2 Veterinarians, at $3,600 each a year	$7,200	
817	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000	

OTHER FOOD CONTROL.

818	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	$3,600	
819	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,100 each a year	14,700	

CHEMICAL LABORATORY.

820	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000	
821	476 AA	Chemist	2,700	
822	"	Technician	1,080	

Protection of Life and Property.

PLUMBING INSPECTION.

823	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector, at $3,600 a year	$3,600	
824	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors, at $2,820 each a year	16,920	

HOUSING INSPECTION.

825	457 A	Tenement House Inspector	$3,000	
826	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
827	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	6,300	

FACTORY INSPECTION.

828	458 AA	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	
829	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		REFUSE COLLECTION.		
830	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each.....	$4,200	
		CHARITIES AND RELIEF.		
831	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year..	$7,200	
832	"	1 City Physician	3,000	
		FIELD NURSING.		
833	476 A	Director	$3,600	
834	"	Supervisor School Medical Inspection	2,280	
835	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280	
836	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280	
837	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau	4,560	
		Nurses.		
		TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.		
838	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year.....	$15,840	
839	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800	
		SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.		
840	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year...	$59,400	
841	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100	
		CHILD WELFARE.		
842	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes	$1,980	
843	"	7 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year	13,860	
		SOCIAL SERVICE.		
844	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980	
845	"	Social Service Worker	1,980	
846	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620	
		TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.		
847	476 A	Director	$4,200	
848	"	Physician	600	
849	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020	
850	"	Cook—Michel Angelo School.....	720	
		Total Personal Services.....	$411,040	
851	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	$2,500	
852	"	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	25,600	
		RAT EXTERMINATION.		
853	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280	
854	476 B	Carfare	225	
855	476 C	Material and Supplies	525	
856	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-D)	23,850	
857	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment..	39,350	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$97,330	
		Relief Home.		
		Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)		
858	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
859	"	Clerk	3,000	
860	"	Stenographer	2,280	
861	"	Telephone Operator	900	
862	"	Watchman	1,500	
863	"	Watchman	1,380	
864	"	Physician	2,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
865	"	Physician	1,800	
866	"	Pharmacist	2,400	
867	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800	
868	"	7 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	8,400	
869	"	1 Practical Nurse	840	
870	"	3 Practical Nurses at $600 each	1,800	
871	"	Orderly	730	
872	"	Orderlies as required	6,240	
873	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation	100	
874	"	Commissary	2,400	
875	"	Laundryman	2,268	
876	"	Laundress	1,524	
877	"	Laundryman	600	
878	"	Chief Steward	2,400	
879	"	3 Stewards at $1,680 each a year	5,040	
880	"	Head Matron	2,400	
881	"	Matron	1,020	
882	"	Seamstress	1,380	
883	"	Seamstress	1,080	
884	"	Practical Nurse	1,080	
885	"	Chief Cook	2,280	
886	"	Cook	2,100	
887	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940	
888	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
889	552 AA	Butcher	2,520	
890	"	Butcher, Relief	105	
891	"	Baker	1,980	
892	"	Farmer	1,920	
893	"	Farmer	1,800	
894	"	Engineer	2,400	
895	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,400 each a year	4,800	
896	"	Driver	1,800	
	552 AC	Plumber at $10.00 a day	3,000	
897	552 AA	Gardener	1,800	
898	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900	
899	552 AC	Inmate Help	25,316	
		Total Personal Services	$123,813	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)

900	552 B	Contractual Services	$7,900	
901	552 C	Materials and Supplies	260,000	
			$267,900	

Isolation Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)

902	554 AA	Superintendent	$2,400	
903	"	Office Attendant	840	
904	"	Watchman	1,620	
905	"	Night Watchman	1,200	
906	504 AC	Relief Night Watchman	201	
907	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $540 each	1,620	
908	"	Resident Physician	4,200	
909	"	House Officer	300	
910	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year	240	
911	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400	
912	"	Practical Nurse	600	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
913	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600	
914	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year....	2,160	
915	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160	
916	"	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152	
917	"	Seamstress	1,080	
918	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year.....	1,200	
919	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year...	3,240	
920	"	7 Wardmen at $540 each a year...	3,780	
921	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460	
922	554 AA	Cook	2,100	
923	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175	
924	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720	
925	"	2 Kitchen Helpers at $600 each a year	1,200	
926	"	Dishwasher	540	
927	554 AA	Waitress	1,140	
928	554 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45	
929	554 AA	Gardener	1,200	
		Total Personal Services.....		$54,573

San Francisco Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

930	554 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
931	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700	
932	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B.....	2,400	
933	"	Clerk	3,000	
934	"	Stenographer	1,920	
935	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each.....	1,800	
936	"	Statistician	2,280	
937	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200	
938	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year.....	2,400	
939	"	Office Attendant, T. B.....	900	
940	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
941	"	Night Telephone Operator.....	1,080	
942	"	Night Telephone Operator.....	840	
943	"	Telephone Operator	1,500	
944	"	Watchman	1,500	
945	"	Watchman, T. B.....	1,200	
946	"	Watchman	600	
947	"	Teamster	1,920	
948	"	Messenger	540	
949	"	Institutional Help	3,000	
950	"	Resident Physician	1,500	
951	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600	
952	"	30 Internes at $120 a year.....	3,600	
953	"	Pharmacist	3,000	
954	"	Asssistant Pharmacist	2,700	
955	"	Stockman	900	
956	"	Institutional Help	480	
957	"	Dentist	1,200	
958	"	Radiologist	3,000	
959	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800	
960	"	X-Ray Technician	1,200	
961	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,200	
962	"	Operating Room Nurse.....	2,100	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
963	"	Asst. Operating Room Nurse.....	1,320	
964	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
965	"	Anaesthetist	1,800	
966	"	Anaesthetist	1,500	
967	"	Plaster Cast Maker.....	900	
968	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year.....	3,240	
969	"	Superintendent of Nurses.....	3,000	
970	"	3 Asst. Supt. of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
971	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T. B.....	1,380	
972	554 AA	Supervisor of Admissions.....	1,380	
973	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year.....	6,000	
974	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500	
975	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900	
976	"	Assistant Practical Nurse.....	720	
977	"	40 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year.....	48,000	
978	"	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each.....	2,640	
979	"	Practical Nurses	13,000	
980	"	Orderlies	25,000	
981	"	Orderlies, T. B.....	1,450	
982	"	Office Assistant Supt. of Nurses.....	540	
983	"	Medical Advisor	1,500	
984	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000	
985	554 AA	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500	
986	"	4 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	4,800	
987	"	2 Practical Nurses at $600 each a year	1,200	
988	"	2 Practical Nurses at $540 each.....	1,080	
989	"	Porter	540	
990	"	Pantrymaid	540	
991	"	4 Orderlies at $600 each a year.....	2,400	
992	"	Orderly	540	
993	"	Commissary	3,600	
994	"	Storekeeper	1,800	
995	"	5 Storekeeper Helpers.....	2,000	
		LAUNDRY.		
996	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300	
997	"	Washer	1,764	
998	"	Wringer	1,524	
999	"	Starcher	1,416	
1000	"	Washer's Helper	1,380	
1001	"	5 Ironers at $1,176 each a year.....	5,880	
1002	"	3 Ironers at $1,128 each a year.....	3,384	
1003	"	4 Dry Room Workers at $1,104 each a year	4,416	
1004	"	Deliveryman	480	
1005	"	Deliveryman	540	
1006	"	Marker	1,524	
1007	"	Sorter	1,524	
1008	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116	
1009	"	Flat Work Finisher.....	1,104	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
1010	"	10 Mangel Hands at $1,020 each a year	10,200	
1011	"	2 Porters	1,020	
1012	"	Seamstress	540	
		HOUSEKEEPING.		
1013	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,200	
1014	"	4 Seamstresses at $540 each	2,160	
1015	554 AA	Surgery Porter	900	
1016	"	Lockerman	600	
1017	"	Morgueman	600	
1018	"	Morgueman	540	
1019	"	Mattress Maker	600	
1020	"	Incineratorman	540	
1021	"	Sterilizerman	600	
1022	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000	
1023	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080	
1024	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600	
1025	"	Window Washer	600	
1026	"	Window Washer	720	
1027	"	25 Wardmen	15,000	
1028	"	40 Porters	19,200	
1029	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500	
		CULINARY.		
1030	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280	
1031	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100	
1032	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100	
1033	"	4 Cooks at $1,980 each	7,920	
1034	"	Night Cook	1,080	
1035	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100	
1036	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500	
1037	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
1038	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,140 each a year	18,240	
1039	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000	
		POWER PLANT.		
1040	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,300	
1041	"	4 Engineers at $2,400 each a year	9,600	
1042	"	3 Firemen at $2,100 each a year	6,300	
1043	554 AB	Firemen Relief	2,100	
1044	554 AA	Helper	540	
		MAINTENANCE.		
1045	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year.	$5,700	
1046	"	Gardener	1,680	
1047	"	Assistant Gardener	720	
1048	"	7 Yardmen	4,200	
1049	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,315	
		Total Personal Services	$421,557	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)		
1050	554 B	Contractual	$18,755	
1051	554 C	Materials and Supplies	170,000	
1052	554 CO	Foodstuff	230,000	
1053	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225	
1054	1092	Equipment	15,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$435,980	

Emergency Hospitals.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1055	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000	
1056	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700	
1057	"	Chief Steward	3,000	
1058	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1059	"	10 Surgeons at $2,400 each a year	24,000	
1060	"	22 Stewards at $2,400 each a year	52,800	
1061	"	10 Nurses at $1,980 each a year	19,800	
1062	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1063	"	15 Ambulance Drivers at $2,400 each a year	36,000	
1064	"	Messenger	1,200	
1065	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	
1066	"	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1067	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	$156,900	
1068	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	$8,287	
1069	5541 C	Material and Supplies	15,000	
1070	5541 H	Fixed Charges	500	
		Total	$23,787	
		Total Board of Health		**$1,992,880**

BOARD OF EDUCATION.

Common School Fund—(Appropriations 48 and 48-B)

1071	576 A	Teachers' Compensation	$5,943,949	
1072		For the Conduct, Maintenance and Operation of the School Department, other than for Teachers' Salaries and Tuition of Junior College students	1,110,528	
		Total School		**$7,054,477**

CHARTER FUND AND STATE LAWS.

1073	612	For Playground Fund as provided by Charter Amendment, $0.05 in tax rate (Appropriation 49)	**$350,000**
1074	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 50)	**$700,000**
1075	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 51)	**$4,898,389**
1076	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 52)	**$345,000**
1077	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 53)	**$262,500**
1078	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 54)	**$664,700**
1079	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55)	**$70,000**
1080	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 56)	**$17,000**

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1081	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Appropriation 57)		**$45,000**
1082	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 59).		**$162,318**
1083	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 60)		**$70,000**
1084	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61)		**$70,000**
		TOTAL BUDGET		**$33,284,382**

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Shannon—3.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Gallagher explained his vote by saying:

"Mr. Chairman, I desire to file the following explanation of my vote for the record. I have made every effort to include items in the Budget that in my judgment were constructive and were for San Francisco. To vote against passage to print would be in effect a vote to stop all municipal activities. I vote aye, but still protest the refusal of the Board to include these constructive items. I believe the people desire economy, but not at the expense of underpaid men and women in the City employ, and not at the cost of constructive improvements badly needed. I commend the efforts of the Finance Committee to reduce the tax rate, but I think they have denied many requests that had merit and should have been allowed. I ask that that statement be filed with my vote.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of ——— adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 7, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 22

Tuesday, June 1, 1926

Wednesday, June 2, 1926.

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, June 1, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Supervisor Deasy excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Badaracco was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Report of Grand Jury on County Jails.

Communication, from Joseph F. Mareno, Secretary of the Grand Jury, transmitting report on Sheriff and County Jails approved in meeting of the Grand Jury, May 24, 1926, commending the Sheriff on the economic and businesslike manner in which the institutions are conducted and the courteous manner in which the public business is handled.

Read and *referred to Public Buildings Committee.*

Protests Against Rezoning Vicente Street.

Protests from Walter P. Barnes, Fred Parisien and E. C. Tassell, against the rezoning of Vicente street from First Residential to Commercial.

Communication, from Carl Gellert and C. H. Smith and wife, favoring the changing of the zones on Vicente street from First Residential to Commercial.

Read by the Clerk.

Carpenters' Strike Resolution Endorsed.

Communication, from the International Union of Steam and Operating Engineers expressing its appreciation and thanks for the fair and fearless stand of the Board in the matter of placing police officers on construction jobs and suggesting that they be required to patrol their regular beats.

Read and *filed.*

Proposed Assessment Survey Endorsed.

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council transmitting copy of resolution copied by said council at its meeting May 28, 1926, in appreciation of budget appropriation for proposed appraisement and investigation of properties subject to municipal taxation.

Read and *filed.*

Budget Appropriation for Palace of Fine Arts Appreciated.

Communication, from Marina Home Owners' Protective Association expressing its appreciation of the appropriation in this year's budget of $100,000 providing for the preservation of the Palace of Fine Arts and also for the development of the Yacht Harbor.

Read and *filed.*

Boulder Dam Project.

Communication, from Hiram W. Johnson, U. S. Senator, acknowledging copy of resolution adopted by Board of Supervisors endorsing proposed Boulder Dam Project bill and thanking Board for same.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Communication, from Congressman Phil D. Swing, acknowledging copy of resolution adopted by Board of Supervisors endorsing proposed Boulder Dam Project bill and thanking Board for same.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Relative to San Francisco Bridge Hearings.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Congressman Florence P. Kahn, acknowledging receipt of communication concerning report submitted by Maj. Schulz pertaining to hearings of San Francisco project and stating that she will take the matter up with Secretary Davis within the next few days and advise definitely later.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Communication, from L. J. Flaherty, acknowledging receipt of correspondence relative to report of Major Schulz pertaining to San Francisco bridge project, and stating that he will take the matter up with the Secretary, with the view of obtaining a decision from the War Department at the earliest possible moment.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Communication, from Hiram W. Johnson, U. S. Senator, acknowledging receipt of communication urging an early decision by the War Department relative to its ruling concerning the building of bridges across San Francisco Bay, and advising that he was informed by the War Department that the transcript of hearings was expected to reach the department about June 10, and that it hopes to be able to render its decision within two weeks thereafter.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Communication, from Earl North, Major, Corps of Engineers, War Department, Washington, acknowledging receipt of communication of the 18th inst., concerning hearings pertaining to construction of bridges over San Francisco Bay and advising that upon receipt of report of District Engineer at San Francisco the matter will be given prompt attention.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Leave of Absence, Fire Commissioner P. W. Meherin.

The following matter was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,,
May 29, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. P. W. Meherin, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing June 12, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, Jr.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25714 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. P. W. Meherin, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 12, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Mayor's Veto.

The veto of his Honor the Mayor on resolution appropriating $3,000 for employment of R. G. Stafford, appraisal expert, was *laid over for consideration until next meeting.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25715 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Municipal Railway Fund.

(1) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for month of April, per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated May 14, 1926), $1,947.35.

(2) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated May 14, 1926), $3,431.65.

(3) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated May 15, 1926), $37,598.92.

(4) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 5, 1926), $1,310.86.

(5) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., steel shims for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 5, 1926), $575.

(6) Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway

rights of way (claim dated May 5, 1926), $693.70.

Library Fund.

(7) American Building Maintenance Co., janitorial service for public libraries (claim dated April 30, 1926), $700.

(8) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,290.80.

(9) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1926), $3,359.92.

(10) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1926), $2,655.75.

(11) Macmillan Company, library books (claim dated April 30, 1926), $914.45.

(12) Houghton, Mifflin Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1926), $797.07.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(13) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated May 18, 1926), $1,025.60.

(14) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,-950.69.

(15) E. H. Jasper and Edwin T. Jasper, oak wood, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,060.63.

(16) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 17, 1926), $822.67.

(17) Leo Rosasco, oak wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,436.88.

(18) City Coal Company, Inc., coal, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 13, 1926), $1,-141.29.

(19) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 13, 1926), $4,484.42.

(20) Hales & Symons, mining wedges, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 13, 1926), $507.70.

(21) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 13, 1926), $2.230.04.

(22) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerating unit, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 13, 1926), $1,510.

Special School Tax.

(23) Knittle Bros., first payment, steam heating in Glen Park School (claim dated May 17, 1926), $4,-872.64.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(24) Crown Electric Co., sixth payment, electrical work, New Mission High School (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,429.50.

(25) Anderson & Ringrose, eleventh payment, construction of Dudley Stone School (claim dated May 18, 1926), $87,752.01.

(26) F. B. Knittle, third payment, mechanical equipment for Alamo School (claim dated May 19, 1926), $2,665.22.

Relief Home Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(27) Heywood-Wakefiield Co., chairs for new Relief Home (claim dated May 18, 1926), $2,950.

Park Fund.

(28) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated May 21, 1926), $1,-609.29.

(29) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks and squares (claim dated May 21, 1926), $1,590.01.

General Fund, 1924-1925.

(30) Crown Electric Co., final payment, electric work for Harbor Emergency Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,464.48.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(31) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for April (claim dated May 24, 1926), $50,923.84.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 24, 1926), $904.05.

(33) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated May 17, 1926), $1,170.23.

(34) George H. Trask, book cases for Recorder (claim dated May 18, 1926), $692.40.

(35) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated May 13, 1926). $551.43.

(36) Baumgarten Bros., meats for County Jails (claim dated May 13, 1926), $732.13.

(37) California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated May 13, 1926), $1,074.66.

(38) Goldstone Bros., clothing for County Jails (claim dated May 13, 1926). $2,089.65.

(39) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund for labor, water, etc., furnished Civic Center (claim dated May 21, 1926), $599.46.

(40) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund for proportionate expense of cleaning lagoon at Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated May 21, 1926). $990.75.

(41) Spring Valley Water Co., water used for street sprinkling during April (claim dated May 12, 1926), $620.71.

(42) Standard Oil Company of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 12, 1926), $2,-475.23.

(43) Standard Oil Company of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 12, 1926), $2,450.17.

(44) Lazare-Klein Co., sheeting for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1926), $1,249.44.

(45) Miller & Lux, meats for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $743.88.

(46) Shell Company, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,657.49.

(47) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 24, 1926), $697.87.

Playground Fund.

(48) The Ellery Arms Co., basketballs, etc., for playgrounds (claim dated May 19, 1926), $2,617.80.

(49) Eaton & Smith, construction of Presidio avenue playgrounds, etc. (claim dated May 19, 1926), $3,231.45.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated May 19, 1926), $788.32.

(51) National Fence Co., furnishing and erecting fencing for Eighteenth avenue and Geary street playground (claim dated May 19, 1926), $1,660.

(52) Wilson Western Sporting Goods Co., recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated May 19, 1926), $2,449.

Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment.

(53) Tax Collector, City and County of San Francisco, to reimburse the Tax Collector's Personal Service Fund for expense incurred for account of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel (claim dated May 19, 1926), $4,930.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(54) Citizens' Summer Symphony Concerts, Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, for account of expense of Summer Symphony Concerts for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 21, 1926), $2,000

(55) Philip H. Sapiro, services of band and orchestra, May 4th, 5th and 8th, for account Music Week (claim dated May 24, 1926), $624.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Appropriation, $1,219, Payment of Jeanne W. Vosburgh for Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 25716 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,219 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the $100,000 set aside out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 24422 (New Series), and authorized in payment to Jeanne W. Vosburgh; being payment for all of Lot 31 according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25654 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Appropriation, $768, Payment to Edward P. and Anne Maher for Lands Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Resolution No. 25717 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $768 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Duboce-Tunnel Assessment and authorized in payment to Edward P. and Annie M. Maher; being payment for lands required for the construction of the Duboce Tunnel; said lands beginning at a point distant 225 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street, and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 36.112 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25602 (New Series). (Claim dated May 14, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Appropriation, $3,000, Peninsula Water Supply Survey.

Resolution No. 25718 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000) is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended from the Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund, the same to be expended by the Auditing Committee of the Peninsula Water Investigation for the purpose of making a survey of the present and future water supply of San Francisco and the peninsula region.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Establishing Set-back Lines.

Bill No. 7605, Ordinance No. 7114 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-second, Thirty-first, Thirty-second and Thirty-seventh avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 26th day of April, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 122 to establish set-back lines along Twenty-second, Thirty-first, Thirty-second and Thirty-seventh avenues, and fixed the 24th day of May, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 7 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-first avenue between Santiago street and Rivera street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Cabrillo street, and running thence northerly to a point southerly from Balboa street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Confirming Sale of Land.

Bill No. 7604, Ordinance No. 7115 (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 7017, approved March 20, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the land hereinafter described and hereinbefore referred to as formerly dedicated for Fire Department purposes, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County to sell all of said land at public auction, to be held on or before the 19th day of April, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for three weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County, for three weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the land to be sold therein, with common certainty, and stating the date on or after which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 7017 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, in the City Hall, City and County

of San Francisco, State of California, on or after said date; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisement constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said land and fixed the fair value thereof at the sum of $100,000, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 19th day of April, 1926, at public auction, the Mayor sold said property to George Cohn for the sum of $92,750 and accepted from said George Cohn a deposit in the form of a check in the amount of $9,275, being 10 per cent of the amount bid as aforesaid, and thereupon and on the 26th day of April, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the sum bid, the name of the bidder, and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco, for a period of eighteen (18) days from and after the 29th day of April, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 24th day of May, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the property had been sold as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 24th day of May, 1926, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the sum of $92,750, bid as aforesaid by George Cohn, is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold, and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That said sale of the said land hereinafter described to George Cohn for the sum of $92,750 is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying to George Cohn all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the land sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of O'Farrell street, distant thereon 197 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Stockton street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of O'Farrell street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 73 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 73 feet to the northerly line of O'Farrell street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 121, also known as Lot 11, Block 313, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Bill No. 7585, Ordinance No. 7116 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and nine and nine hundred and ten.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 30, 1926, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and nine and nine hundred and ten, to read as follows:

Section 909. The width of sidewalks on Thirtieth street between Noe street and Castro street shall be as shown on that certain map

entitled "Map of Thirtieth street between Noe street and Castro street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 910. The width of sidewalks on Laidley street between Thirtieth street and Noe and Harry streets shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Laidley street between Thirtieth street and Noe street and Harry street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Selection and Location of Traffic Signal and Safety Zones.

The following matter heretofore passed for printing was taken up:

Bill No. 7607, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the selection and location of traffic signals and safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever the public interest shall require the Board of Supervisors may order the installation of signal devices and the establishment of safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board shall have authority to select the devices, apparatus, markers or other appliances as it shall deem best suited for the purpose, and it shall be its duty to designate and specify the location of the same and the manner in which they are to be placed or installed, which installation of signal devices shall be made by the Department of Electricity.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to amend by inserting the words "after conference with Police Department."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Harrelson, Kent—4.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill as amended was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Kent—3.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Relative to Ordinance on Commercial Zoning Sunset District.

Privilege of the Floor.

Hon. Matt I. Sullivan, chairman of the City Planning Commission, was granted the privilege of the floor and made the statement, on the information of Major Kendrick of the same commission, that real estate brokers are taking advantage of innocent purchasers at this time to sell to them real estate in the Sunset District, and in many instances getting inflated values for the property on the representation that they could guarantee that the Board would zone it for commercial purposes. The implication was that these brokers and agents claimed to have "inside information" as to what the Board of Supervisors would do for their particular pieces of real estate.

Milton Eisner, representing Coldwell, Cornwall & Banker, was mentioned by Major Kendrick as his informant.

Motion.

Supervisor Kent, seconded by Supervisor Marks, moved that No. 5 be referred to the Joint Committee on City Planning and Finance for investigation of the statement.

Motion *carried* and the ordinance was *referred to the City Planning and Finance Committees for consideration.*

The Finance Committee was included because it is vested with the power to subpoena witnesses and interrogate them, and it is the desire of the Board to investigate the matter to the fullest extent.

Supervisor McSheehy named Wednesday afternoon as the time for the hearing before the joint committee and urged all members of the Board to attend.

Referred.

The following matter was *referred to the Finance and City Planning Committee*:

Zoning Sunset District.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed as follows:

That both sides of Lawton street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Noriega street between Twenty-second and Twenty-seventh avenues, between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues and between Forty-fourth and Forty-eighth avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Quintara street between Twentieth and Twenty-second avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Vicente street between Twenty-first and Twenty-fourth avenues and between Thirty-third and Forty-eighth avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

That both sides of Forty-sixth avenue between Taraval street and Sloat boulevard, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $42,322.69, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Home Laundry Co., laundry for parks (claim dated May 28, 1926), $818.01.

(2) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated May 28, 1926), $505.20.

(3) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated May 28, 1926), $651.75.

(4) Chocolate Sales Corporation, supplies for parks (claim dated May 28, 1926), $575.25.

(5) John Reid, Jr., architect's fee, Donkey Barns, Fleishhacker Playground (claim dated May 28, 1926), $900.

County Road Fund.

(6) Market Street Railway Co., relaying of railroad tracks on Fillmore street between Chestnut and Bay streets, account of street widening, etc. (claim dated May 20, 1926), $2,000.

Special School Tax.

(7) W. P. Fuller & Co., oil, etc., for repairs to schools (claim dated May 25, 1926), $699.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(8) John Reid, Jr., twentieth payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated May 25, 1926), $1,143.81.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(9) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated May 25, 1926), $6,981.81.

(10) John Reid, Jr., twenty-fourth payment, architectural services, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated May 25, 1926), $655.88.

Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(11) California Corrugated Culvert Co., culverts for Hetch Hetchy

construction (claim dated May 21, 1926), $748.18.

(12) Hales & Symons, lumber, stakes, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $1,215.82.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machine parts (claim dated May 25, 1926), $2,827.63.

(14) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 20, 1926), $521.45.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 21, 1926), $4,561.

(16) R. L. Price, oak wood for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $2,496.88.

(17) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $543.35.

(18) George H. Tay Co., range boilers, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 21, 1926), $638.67.

(19) United States Rubber Co., hose, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $638.

(20) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., one lighting arrester, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 21, 1926), $918.27.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(21) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated May 20, 1926), $1,421.44.

(22) Jenkins-Hall Index Card Co., conductor's day cards, Municipal Railways (claim dated May 20, 1926), $529.20.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(23) Ralph H. Moore, compromise agreement for account personal injuries received by Municipal Railways (claim dated May 20, 1926), $600.

School Bond Fund, Issue 1918.

(24) Underwood Typewriter Co. Inc., typewriter machines for Mission High School (claim dated May 25, 1926), $660.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(25) Alex. Dulfer Printing Co., printing for account Music Week, for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 24, 1926), $585.50.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated June 1, 1926), $750.

(27) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund for labor grading steps at Telegraph Hill (claim dated May 28, 1926), $667.75.

(28) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Dept. hydrants, month of May (claim dated May 27, 1926), $14,227.40.

(29) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasins, etc., for sewer repairs (claim dated May 20, 1926), $748.68.

(30) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 20, 1926), $867.20.

(31) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated May 20, 1926), $718.10.

(32) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated May 20, 1926), $3,647.86.

(33) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, Civic Center Power House (claim dated May 20, 1926), $1,041.60.

(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated May 20, 1926), $3,749.32.

(35) American Radiator Co., repairs to steam boiler, Juvenile Detention Home (claim dated May 25, 1926), $675.06.

(36) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $787.52.

(37) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $708.77.

(38) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated May 22, 1926), $609.50.

(39) Pacific Portland Cement Co. Con., cement for street repair (claim dated May 24, 1926) $965.71.

(40) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,470.82.

(41) C. Nauman & Co., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated May 25, 1926), $1,192.51.

(42) H. E. Teller & Co., coffee, Relief Home (claim dated May 25, 1926), $502.50.

Auditorium Fund.

(43) Selby C. Oppenheimer, services of Louis Graveure, soloist in "Elijah" (claim dated June 1, 1926), $1,000.

Appropriations, Relief Home Equipment, Publicity for Highways, Equipment for Horace Mann School, Improvement Vallejo Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) For equipment of new Relief Home buildings, additional $16,642.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(2) For the expense of publicity and advertising in the promoting of direct legislation having for its purpose the construction of highways, particularly in the vicinity of San Francisco, and the providing of more funds for that purpose, $5,000.

(Said expenditures not to exceed $5,000).

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(3) For the purchase of equipment for the Horace Mann Junior High School, $1,321.33.

Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item 36*a*.

(4) For the improvement of Vallejo street between Mason and Taylor streets, and crossing of Vallejo and Taylor streets, $2,040.72.

Appropriation for Haulage and Installation of Safety Zone Markers.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25722 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $79.95 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, to enable the Board of Public Works to make final payment for the loading, hauling and installing of safety lane markers, Contract No. 1 (additional to $750).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation for Construction of Annex to Guadalupe School.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of annex to the Guadalupe School, to be erected in block bounded by Cordova, Prague and Naylor streets and Winding way, to-wit:

General construction (Anderson & Ringrose)	$ 92,000.00
Mechanical equipment (A. Lettich)	9,265.00
Plumbing system (Thos. R. Smith)	6,234.00
Electrical work (Alta Electric Co.)	5,072.00
Architectural fees	3,754.26
Possible extras, incidentals and inspection	5,000.00
Total	$121,325.26

Ordering Improvement of City Lands at Douglass and Twenty-sixth Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7608, Ordinance No ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of City lands at Douglass and Twenty-sixth streets by the grading, draining and fencing of same in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement. Cost of said improvement to be borne out of Budget Item No. 58, Fiscal Year 1925-1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The improvement of City lands at Douglass and Twenty-sixth streets by the grading, draining and fencing of same is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said improvement to be borne out of Budget Item No. 58, Fiscal Year 1925-1926.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Offer of Kingsley G. Dunn et al. to Sell Certain Land Required in the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25723 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Kingsley G. Dunn and Mildred Dunn, $1,490—Commencing at a point distant two hundred and seventy-five (275) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly

line of Clayton street and distant one hundred thirty-seven and fifty hundredths (137.50) feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly, parallel with Clayton street, fifty-five and nine hundred and thirteen thousandths (55.913) feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of two hundred and twenty-five (225) foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 61 deg. 31 min. 55 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 7 deg. 50 min. 02 sec., a distance of thirty and seven hundred and sixty-four thousandths (30.764) feet; thence northerly, parallel with Clayton street, seventy-two and three hundred and seventy-seven thousandths (72.377) feet; thence at right angles easterly twenty-five and nine hundred and fifty-eight thousandths (25.958) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer of Mary A. Fritz et al. to sell Land Required for the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25724 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easements situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey said easements desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Mary A. Fritz, $200—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Masonic avenue, distant thereon fourteen and five hundred and seven thousandths (14.507) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Masonic avenue with the southerly line of Frederick street, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Masonic avenue fifty and one hundred and ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly one hundred and three hundred and ninety thousandths (100.390) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly, parallel with Masonic avenue, fifty and one hundred and ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly one hundred and three hundred and ninety thousandths (100.390) feet to the westerly line of Masonic avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 660.

Mary F. Butler and V. K. Butler, $33—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace, distant thereon one hundred and forty-six (146) feet three and three-fourths (3¾) inches southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace with the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace twenty-four and nine hundred and ninety-two thousandths (24.992) feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly one hundred and one and four hundred and eighty-two thousandths (101.482) feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the left and running northerly, parallel with Buena Vista Terrace, seven and seven hundred and thirteen thousandths (7.713) feet; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block "A," Park Hill Homestead No. 2

Margaret Mullin and Patrick Mullin, $101—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Alpine Ter-

race, distant thereon one hundred and sixteen (116) feet ten and three-fourths (10¾) inches southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Alpine Terrace with the southerly line of Duboce avenue, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Alpine Terrace twenty-one and one hundred and ninety thousandths (21.190) feet; thence deflecting 85 deg. 43 min. 14 sec. to the right and running westerly forty and five hundred and seventy-seven thousandths (40.577) feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the right and running westerly, parallel with Duboce avenue, fifty-six and two hundred and twenty-four thousandths (56.224) feet; thence at right angles northerly twenty-eight (28) feet, and thence at right angles easterly ninety-four (94) feet two (2) inches to the westerly line of Alpine Terrace and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Park Hill Homestead No. 2, Block "A."

Ella C. Hughes and Fred B. Hughes, $11—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Buena Vista avenue West, distant thereon thirty-nine (39) feet one-eighth (⅛) inch southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence westerly, parallel with Frederick street, seventy-one (71) feet two and one-fourth (2¼) inches; thence at right angles southerly ten and three hundred twenty-five thousandths (10.325) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly seventy-one and five hundred thirty-seven thousandths (71.537) feet to a point on the westerly line of Buena Vista avenue West; thence northerly along the westerly line of Buena Vista avenue West four and twenty-four thousandths (4.024) feet to the point of commencement. Being portion of Block 2, Flint Tract Homestead Association.

Edward M. Twiggs and Walter A. Twiggs, $48—Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Frederick street, distant thereon one hundred and thirteen and one hundred and fifty-three thousandths (113.153) feet easterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said southerly line of Frederick street with the easterly line of Masonic avenue, running thence easterly along the said southerly line of Frederick street nine and eight hundred and forty-seven thousandths (9.847) feet; thence at a right angle southerly forty-nine and three hundred and twenty-five thousandths (49.325) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly forty-eight and one hundred and eighty-seven thousandths (48.187) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly, parallel with Masonic avenue fifty and one hundred and ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly thirty-eight and three hundred and two thousandths (38.302) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 2, Flint Tract.

Charles C. Gailey and Nina M. Gailey, $79—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Alpine Terrace, distant thereon eighty-eight (88) feet nine and one-fourth (9¼) inches southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Alpine Terrace with the southerly line of Duboce avenue, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Alpine Terrace twenty-eight (28) feet one and one-half (1½) inches; thence deflecting 95 deg. 31 min. 26 sec. to the right and running westerly, parallel with Duboce avenue, ninety-four (94) feet two (2) inches; thence at a right angle northerly thirteen and twenty-six thousandths (13.026) feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running easterly eighty-seven and nine hundred and four thousandths (87.904) feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the right and running easterly, parallel with Duboce avenue, four and eight hundred and one thousandths (4.801) feet to the westerly line of Alpine Terrace and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Park Hill Homestead No. 2, Block "A."

Nazzareno Regoli and Gilda Regoli, $11—Commencing at a point distant one hundred and forty-four and three hundred and twelve thousandths (144.312) feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue and distant forty-six and six hundred and fifty-one thousandths (40.651) feet westerly from the westerly line of Alpine Terrace, said distance being measured along a line parallel with Duboce avenue, running thence westerly, parallel with Duboce avenue, fifty-six and two hundred and twenty-four thousandths (56.224) feet; thence at a right angle southerly nine and seven hundred and

fifteen thousandths (9.715) feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly fifty-seven and fifty-eight thousandths (57.058) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Park Hill Homestead No. 2, Block "A."

Joseph O. Vierra and Mary Vierra, $1—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Alpine Terrace, distant thereon eighty-seven and eight hundred and ninety-six thousandths (87.896) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Alpine Terrace with the southerly line of Duboce avenue, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Alpine Terrace eight hundred and twenty thousandths (0.820) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 31 min. 26 sec. to the right and running westerly, parallel with Duboce avenue, four and eight hundred and one thousandths (4.801) feet; thence deflecting 170 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running easterly four and seven hundred and ninety-two thousandths (4.792) feet to the westerly line of Alpine Terrace and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Park Hill Homestead No. 2, Block "A."

Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, Inez W. Rodoni and Caesar Rodoni, $11—Commencing at a point distant seventy-four (74) feet five and one-fourth (5¼) inches southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, said distance being measured along a line parallel with Alpine Terrace and distant twenty-five and seven hundred and twenty-four thousandths (25.724) feet easterly from the easterly line of Alpine Terrace, said distance being measured along a line parallel with Duboce avenue, and running thence easterly parallel with Duboce avenue, fifty-five and three hundred and eighty-four thousandths (55.384) feet; thence deflecting 94 deg. 45 min. 40 sec. to the left and running northerly nine and four hundred and sixty-seven thousandths (9.467) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 02 min. 32 sec. to the left and running westerly fifty-five and four hundred and seven thousandths (55.407) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block "C," Park Hill Homestead Association No. 2.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the easements owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said easements, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer of Gertrude J. McCarthy et al. to Sell Certain Lands Required for the Construction of Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25725 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easements situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey said easements desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Gertrude J. McCarthy, $32—Commencing at a point distant twenty-eight (28) feet one and one-half (1½) inches at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Clayton street and distant ninety-one and three hundred and forty-one thousandths (91.341) feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street fourteen and six hundred and fifty-nine thousandths (14.659) feet; thence at right angles easterly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles northerly sixteen and eight hundred and sixty-eight thousandths (16.868) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly twenty-five and ninety-seven thousandths (25.097) feet to the point of commencement. Being a por-

tion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

Elizabeth MacDonald, $75—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon one hundred and two (102) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Ashbury street with the southerly line of Frederick street, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Ashbury street five and five hundred and sixty-six thousandths (5.566) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly one hundred and three hundred and ninety thousandths (100.390) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Ashbury street fourteen and four hundred and three thousandths (14.403) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet to the westerly line of Ashbury street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

Ella Castelhun, $75—Commencing at a point distant forty-eight (48) feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Delmar street and distant twenty-six and six hundred fifteen thousandths (26.615) feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Delmar street fifty and one hundred ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly thirty-seven and one hundred forty-four thousandths (37.144) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Delmar street fifty and one hundred ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly thirty-seven and one hundred forty-four thousandths (37.144) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 660.

Fred C. Wagener, $97—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Delmar street, distant thereon thirty and eight hundred and fifty-seven thousandths (30.857) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Delmar street with the southerly line of Frederick street, running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Delmar street fifty and one hundred and ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly forty-eight and one hundred and eighty-seven thousandths (48.187) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Delmar street fifty and one hundred and ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly forty-eight and one hundred and eighty-seven thousandths (48.187) feet to the easterly line of Delmar street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 660.

Emma A. Degen, $76—Commencing at a point distant thirty (30) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Delmar street and distant thirty-seven and four hundred eighty-six thousandths (37.486) feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Delmar street fifty and one hundred ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly thirty and one hundred seventeen thousandths (30.117) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Delmar street fifty and one hundred and ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly thirty and one hundred seventeen thousandths (30.117) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 660.

James F. Mason and Ida E. Mason, $48—Commencing at a point distant thirty (30) feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Downey street and distant seventy-one and one hundred and eighty thousandths (71.180) feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, running thence southerly parallel with Downey street thirty and eight hundred and twenty thousandths (30.820) feet; thence at right angles easterly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles northerly thirty-three and thirty thousandths (33.030) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly twenty-five and ninety-seven thousandths (25.097) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

F. E. Peterson and Laura M. Peterson, $305—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Clayton street, distant thereon one hundred and six (106) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Clayton street with the southerly line of Frederick street, running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Clayton street thirty (30) feet; thence at a right angle easterly ninety and seven hundred and sixty-three thousandths (90.763) feet; thence deflecting 5 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly ten and five hundred and twenty-eight thousandths (10.528) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Clayton street twenty-nine and seventy-three thousandths (29.073) feet; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred and one and two hundred and fifty thousandths (101.250) feet to the easterly line of Clayton street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

Clarence Cook and Harriet J. Cook, $77—Commencing at a point distant seventy-one (71) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Divisadero street and distant eleven and forty-six thousandths (11.046) feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly parallel with Divisadero street fifty and seven hundred and forty-one thousandths (50.741) feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running westerly twenty-five and three hundred and seventy thousandths (25.370) feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Divisadero street fifty and seven hundred and forty-one thousandths (50.741) feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running easterly twenty-five and three hundred and seventy thousandths (25.370) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block Number 120.

Gertrude B. Hynes and Martin E. Hynes, $143—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Downey street, distant thereon one hundred and six (106) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Downey street with the southerly line of Frederick street, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Downey street twenty-two and three thousandths (22.003) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly eighty and three hundred and twelve thousandths (80.312) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly twenty-nine and seventy-three thousandths (29.073) feet; thence at a right angle easterly eighty (80) feet to the westerly line of Downey street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

Edward E. Jones and Mary L. Jones, $95—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Downey street, distant thereon one hundred and two (102) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Downey street with the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Downey street twenty-two and twenty-six thousandths (22.026) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly eighty-six and five hundred and eighty-six thousandths (86.586) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Downey street fourteen and four hundred and three thousandths (14.403) feet; thence at right angles westerly eighty-six (86) feet three (3) inches to the easterly line of Downey street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the easements owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said easements and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer of Maud Lillian Sullivan et al. to Sell Property Required in the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25726 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easements situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County of San Francisco for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey said easements desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Maud Lillian Sullivan, $76—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Delmar street, distant thereon thirty-four and eight hundred and thirty-four thousandths (34.834) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Delmar street with the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Delmar street fifty and one hundred and ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly thirty and one hundred and seventeen thousandths (30.117) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Delmar street fifty and one hundred and ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly thirty and one hundred and seventeen thousandths (30.117) feet to the westerly line of Delmar street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 660.

Lena Schwartz, $20—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Divisadero street, distant thereon thirty-one (31) feet two and three-eighths (2 3/8) inches southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Divisadero street with the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Divisadero street nine and three hundred and thirty-five thousandths (9.335) feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 46 min. 12 sec. to the left, and running thence easterly fifty-one and two hundred and forty-eight thousandths (51.248) feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Divisadero street six hundred and nine thousandths (0.609) feet; thence at right angles westerly fifty and five hundred thousandths (50.500) feet to the easterly line of Divisadero street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block Number 120.

Louis Horvitz and Adeline Horvitz, $76—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Masonic avenue, distant thereon ten (10) feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Masonic avenue fifty and one hundred ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly seventy-five and two hundred ninety-two thousandths (75.292) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running northerly parallel with Masonic avenue fifty and one hundred ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left, and running westerly seventy-five and two hundred ninety-two thousandths (75.292) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block Number 2, Flint Tract Homestead Association.

Sarah E. Rowe and Purcell Rowe, $37—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Clayton street, distant thereon one hundred thirty-six (136) feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Clayton street eight and forty-one thousandths (8.041) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly ninety-one and one hundred seventeen thousandths (91.117) feet; thence deflecting 174 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running westerly ninety and seven hundred sixty-three thousandths (90.763) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

Frank L. Rosenau and Laura Rosenau, $10—Commencing at a point distant one hundred and sixteen (116) feet three and three-fourths (3¾) inches at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and distant twenty-four and six hundred and two thousandths (24.602) feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Buena Vista terrace, and running thence easterly parallel with Duboce avenue seventy-five and three

hundred and ninety-eight thousandths (75.398) feet; thence at right angles northerly thirteen and twenty-six thousandths (13.026) feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running westerly seventy-six and five hundred and fifteen thousandths (76.515) feet to the point of commencement. Being Lots Numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, Park Hill Homestead Block "A".

Jean Lahaderne and Mary Lahaderne, his wife, and Pierre Lahaderne and Madeline Lahaderne, his wife, $200—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon seventy-seven (77) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Ashbury street with the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Ashbury street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred and six (106) feet three (3) inches; thence at a right angle northerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred and six (106) feet three (3) inches to the westerly line of Ashbury street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the easements owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said easements, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Mayor Authorized to Sell Certain Property Purchased for the Extension of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25727 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land acquired for the extension of Market street, and known as Nos. 65-67 Merritt street, No. 73 Merritt street, No. 51 Danvers street and No. 3106 Market street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses to be removed by the purchaser within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Accepting Deed of Cecelia Ulfelder to Convey to the City and County of San Francisco Certain Lands Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25728 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed from Cecelia Ulfelder and Selma G. Lowe to the City and County of San Francisco for a parcel of land necessary for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard at the intersection of the northerly line of Cortland avenue with the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, fronting 473 feet on San Bruno avenue, be and the same is hereby accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to file the deed for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 123.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25729 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Com-

mission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the easterly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 80 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 26 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 26 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 318 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet. said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Judah street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Irving street, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along the southerly side of Rivera street between Twenty-first avenue and Twenty-second avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Santiago street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the easterly side of Mississippi street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Twenty-second street and running thence northerly 293 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 150 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 114 feet 3 inches, said set-back line to be 13 feet; thence northerly to Twentieth street, said set-back line to be 17½ feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 28th day of June, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 124.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25730 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of Lisbon street, commencing at a point 95 feet northeasterly from Brazil avenue and running thence northeasterly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northeasterly 200 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northeasterly to Excelsior avenue, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the southeasterly side of Lisbon street, commencing at a point 100 feet northeasterly from Brazil avenue and running thence northeasterly to a point 100 feet southwesterly from Excelsior avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the easterly side of Casa way, commencing at Marina boulevard and running thence southerly and at right angles to Marina boulevard, to the northeasterly side of Casa way, said set-back line to be 25 feet.

Along the westerly side of Avila street, commencing at Marina boulevard and running thence southerly and at right angles to Marina boulevard, to the northwesterly side of Avila street, said set-back line to be 25 feet.

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street, said set-back lines to be 15 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 28th day of June, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishment of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

E. Ahern, northwest corner of Tenth avenue and Judah street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Andrews-Wilmans Biscuit Co., 1026 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Brodie, west line of Webster street, 100 feet south of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Durant School), Hollis street between Ellis and O'Farrell streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, east side of Bay street, 150 feet south of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, northwest corner of Gough and Ivy streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Larkin Laundry, 730 Larkin street, 600 gallons capacity.

O. McHugh, north side of McAllister street, 50 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. M. Oyen, north side of Geary street, 60 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pacific Coast Glass Co., north side of Irwin street, 60 feet west of Seventh street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Rose, 2165 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Women's Building Association, southwest corner of Sutter and Mason streets, 2000 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northeast corner of Capra way and Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Steur, east side of Mason street, 100 feet north of Sutter street, 2000 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

The Raggo Co., 431 Jackson street, 20 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Oatfield & Fletcher be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Eldridge, Herman & Hale by Resolution No. 22413 (New Series), for premises at No. 25 Dolores street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred H. Wandell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Frank Zichosh by Resolution No. 24869 (New Series), for premises at 1600 Buchanan street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas J. Linehan be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Plymouth and Sagamore streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on the south side of Ocean avenue, between Tara and Howth

streets; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on Army, Kansas and De Haro streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ophthalmic Hospital Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Aaron S. Green, Louis D. Green and Martin D. Icove be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an ophthalmic hospital on the southwest corner of Bush and Octavia streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Amending Auctioneers' License Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Bill No. 7609, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 14 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes and relating to auctioneers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 14 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Auctioneers.

Section 14. For the purposes of this section every person, firm or corporation who sells, offers for sale, or exposes for sale by public auction any property, real or personal, or who maintains, conducts or carries on any public auction-room or rooms shall be deemed to be an "Auctioneer" and shall pay a license as follows:

Those whose sales amount to two million (2,000,000) dollars or more per year shall pay an annual license of eight hundred (800) dollars.

Those whose sales amount to one million (1,000,000) dollars or more and less than two million (2,000,-000) dollars per year shall pay an annual license of six hundred (600) dollars.

Those whose sales amount to five hundred thousand (500,000) dollars or more, and less than one million (1,000,000) dollars per year, shall pay an annual license of four hundred (400) dollars.

Those whose sales amount to less than five hundred thousand (500,-000) dollars per year shall pay an annual license of two hundred (200) dollars.

All licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall be paid annually in advance from the date of the issuance of any such license.

No license is required for the sale of any goods at public sale belonging to the United States or to this State, or for the sale of property by virtue of any process issued by any State or Federal court.

Every original application for a license under this section must be accompanied with a verified statement of the amount of sales proposed to be made monthly, and the license charge must be for the first year classified thereby; and every auctioneer applying to the Tax Collector for a renewal of his license must accompany his application with a statement under oath, setting forth that his average receipts per month on account of sales during the preceding year did not exceed the amount specified in the class of license for which he applies. The Tax Collector must provide blank forms of affidavit for that purpose and administer the oath required to such applicants without charge. No auctioneer must exceed

the amount of sales of the class in which his license is fixed.

No auctioneer is permitted to transfer his license to any other person for any part of the time for which his license is issued.

The Tax Collector shall not issue a license or a renewal thereof to any person, firm or corporation to engage in the business as auctioneer unless the applicant therefor shall have first obtained a permit for such license or renewal thereof from the Board of Police Commissioners.

(Note.—The amendment consists of the addition of the last paragraph to the existing law.)

Supervisors Opposed to Use of Peace Officers as Federal Agents or the Employment of State Officers for the Enforcement of the Prohibition Law on the Proposed Dollar-a-Year Basis.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Badaracco and referred to the Police Committee, was returned by said Committee with favorable recommendation and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 25731 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is reported in the public press an attempt on the part of Federal prohibition officials to foist upon the State of California a plan whereby State and County peace officers be employed as Federal prohibition enforcement agents on the so-called "dollar-a-year" basis; and

Whereas, the Charter of this County expressly forbids such use of its officers, and prohibits the extension of their duties beyond those definitely fixed by the law of this City and County; and

Whereas, it has been suggested that because this plan for the use of peace officers of State, Counties and Cities emanated from the office of Col. Ned M. Green, prohibition administrator for Northern California, with headquarters here, that California be made the testing ground for this plan; therefore be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors is opposed to such use, not only of peace officers of this City and County as Federal agents on any basis, but to the employment of State officers whose duties are definitely fixed by State law, for such a purpose; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be presented to the Chief of Police, the Sheriff, Col. Green and with members of the California Senate and Congressional delegation at Washington, and that a copy be filed with the Secretary of State at Sacramento, so that the State of California may know the attitude of this Board of Supervisors toward a situation with which the people are out of sympathy, and which clearly is an infringement of the rights of this Commonwealth.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer of Helen O'Connor to Sell Land on Twenty-fourth Avenue, North of Rivera Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25732 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Helen O'Connor to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $900 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon pay-

ment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer of Delia A. O'Connell to Sell Land on Page Street, East of Webster Street, Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 25733 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Delia A. O'Connell to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the north line of Page street, distant 152 feet 6 inches easterly from Webster street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $12,600, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Page street, distant thereon 152 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Webster street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Page street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 120 feet to the northerly line of Page street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 288, also known as Block No. 841 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer of J. P. Traynor to Sell Land on Twenty-third Avenue, North of Santiago Street, Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 25734 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from J. P. Traynor to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the east line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 175 feet north from Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,100, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 29 feet 6 inches; thence southeasterly 120 feet, more or less; thence at a right angle southerly 23 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1100, also Block 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 25349 (New Series), ap-

proved March 20, 1926, be and the same is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Invitation to Cities and Towns of Northern California and Los Angeles to Attend Conference for the Purpose of Formulating a Uniform Code of Traffic Regulations.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 25735 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, public inconvenience results from many forms of traffic regulations adopted by the various municipalities, many of which are conflicting and confusing to those who may travel from place to place; therefore

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to transmit to the legislative bodies and police departments of the various incorporated cities and towns of Northern California (and also to the City of Los Angeles), an invitation to a conference to be held at the City Hall, San Francisco, on Friday and Saturday, July 16 and 17, for the purpose of formulating a uniform code of traffic regulations to be ordained by the municipalities.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering Improvement of Stanyan Street Between McAllister and Fulton Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7610, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Stanyan street between McAllister and Fulton streets; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said work, and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the improvement of Stanyan street between McAllister and Fulton streets in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article 6, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Opening a New Street, to Be Known as Fraser Street, From Newcomb Avenue and Toland Street to Jerrold Avenue, West of Toland Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25736 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on the 12th day of April, 1926, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 25507 (New Series), which resolution was, on the 20th day of April, 1926, duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 25507 (New Series).

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the opening of a new street to be known as Fraser street, from Newcomb avenue and Toland street to Jerrold avenue west of Toland street.

The land deemed necessary to be taken for the opening of said new street from Newcomb avenue and Toland street to Jerrold avenue west of Toland street is particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point distant 260 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue and distant 600 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Selby street, said point of beginning being the northeasterly corner of proposed Toland street and proposed Newcomb avenue as described in Resolutions Nos. 17639 and 24089 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right of 274-foot radius, tan-

gent to a line parallel with the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue, central angle 90 degrees, a distance of 430.399 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve and parallel with Selby street 786 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of Jerrold avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly and along the southwesterly line of Jerrold avenue, a distance of 40 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly and parallel with Selby street, a distance of 786 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left of 314-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 90 degrees, a distance of 493.231 feet to a point distant 220 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue and distant 600 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Selby street, said point being the southeasterly corner of proposed Toland street and proposed Newcomb avenue as described in the resolutions hereinbefore mentioned; thence northeasterly at right angles with the tangent of the preceding course and parallel with Selby street, a distance of 40 feet, to the point of beginning, excepting therefrom those parcels described in Resolutions Nos. 17639 and 24089 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for the opening of McKinnon avenue, Newcomb avenue and Toland street.

The damages, costs and expenses of opening said street are nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying damages, costs and expenses thereof.

Said opening of said new street to be known as Fraser street from Newcomb avenue and Toland street to Jerrold avenue west of Toland street shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and sections following Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of said Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 12, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Welch.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, April 20, 1926.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolutions, and the said Board of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution, and did also cause, in the manner and as required by law, a notice, similar in substance, to be published for a period of ten days, in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed since the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objections to the opening of a new street to be known as Fraser street from Newcomb avenue and Toland street to Jerrold avenue west of Toland street were filed with the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days or at all; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as described in Resolution No. 25507 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order the opening of a new street to be known as Fraser street as aforesaid, and specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 25507 (New Series); therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered that said new street to be known as Fraser street, to be opened from Newcomb avenue and Toland street to Jerrold avenue west of Toland street, and as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 25507 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That the lands and property described in said Resolution No. 25507 (New Series) and declared to be deemed necessary to be taken for said opening of a new street be taken for said extension; and

Further Resolved, That said opening of a new street, to be known as Fraser street, from Newcomb avenue and Toland street to Jerrold avenue west of Toland street, be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and in the manner

laid down in and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of said Charter.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25737 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 91856 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted May 21, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed May 22, 1926, to-wit:

On Vernon, Arch, Ramsell, Victoria and Head streets between Garfield and Randolph streets, and on Sargent and Shields streets between Bright street and Ralston street easterly line.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25738 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. Voyce is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after May 12, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Cabrillo street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, where not improved.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is nearly completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25739 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. N. Ducray is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after May 8, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Mariposa street between Bryant and York streets, including the crossing of Mariposa and York streets.

This extension of time is granted pending the issuance of the acceptance of the work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25740 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 13, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Howard street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor is waiting to determine the legality of the contract, which has been questioned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25741 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Heyman Bros. is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 7, 1926, within which to complete contract for improvement of Thirtieth avenue between Cabrillo street and Fulton street, for the reason that the contract is practically completed and the extension of time is required pending the issuance of the acceptance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25742 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Irving H. Baum is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 30, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Byxbee street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street, for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25743 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 27, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Kearny street between Market street and Columbus avenue under a public contract.

The work is approximately half completed, but the contractor has been delayed by the property owners in setting back area walls, etc.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7611, Ordinance No. —— New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Huron avenue between Ottawa avenue and Mount Vernon avenue*, by the construction of a 15-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 15 Y branches and one brick manhole along the center line of Huron avenue between the northerly line of Ottawa avenue and the center line of Mount Vernon avenue.

Also the improvement of *the crossing of Huron avenue and Foote avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with one brick manhole; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7612, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improve-

ment Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Niagara avenue between Howth street and Willar street, where not already so improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7613, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the following portions of Joost avenue between Congo and Detroit streets*:

The northerly one-half thereof between Congo street and a line parallel with and 100 *feet westerly therefrom; the northerly one-half thereof, from a line parallel with and* 150 *feet westerly from Congo street to a line parallel with and* 200 *feet westerly from Congo street; the northerly one-half thereof, from a line parallel with and* 250 *feet easterly from Detroit street to a line parallel with and* 275 *feet easterly from Detroit street; the northerly one-half thereof, from a line parallel with and* 175 *feet easterly from Detroit street to a line parallel with and* 200 *feet easterly from Detroit street; the northerly one-half thereof, from a line parallel with and* 100 *feet easterly from Detroit street to a line parallel with and* 150 *feet easterly from Detroit street; the southerly one-half thereof, from Detroit street to a line parallel with and* 125 *feet easterly from Detroit street; the southerly one-half thereof, from a line parallel with and* 225 *feet easterly from Detroit street to a line parallel with and* 300 *feet easterly from Detroit street;* by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete and concrete pavements on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7614, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the

Board of Supervisors May 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The *improvement of the following portions of Palou avenue between Jennings and Hawes streets, described as follows:*

On the northerly one-half of Palou avenue from the easterly line of Jennings street to a line 75 *feet easterly from and parallel with Jennings street and from a line* 225 *feet easterly from and parallel with Jennings street to a line* 300 *feet easterly from and parallel with Jennings street, and from a line* 450 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Jennings street to the westerly line of Ingalls street, and from a line* 225 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street to a line* 275 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street, and from a line* 450 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street to the westerly line of Hawes street.*

On the southerly one-half of Palou avenue from a line 275 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Jennings street to a line* 325 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Jennings street, and from a line* 375 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Jennings street to a line* 450 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Jennings street, and from a line* 150 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street to a line* 225 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street, and from a line* 375 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street to the westerly line of Hawes street*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway of the said described portion, excepting on the roadway of those described portions being between the westerly line of Ingalls street and a line 225 feet westerly therefrom, which said portions are to be improved in part by the construction of a concrete pavement, 7 feet in width adjacent to the center line of Palou avenue in substitution of such asphaltic concrete pavement, which is to be constructed on the remainder of the roadway of said portions, and the *improvement of the crossings of Palou avenue and Hawes street, Palou avenue and Ingalls street, and Palou avenue and Jennings street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossings; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on each of the above mentioned crossings; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer *along the center line of Jennings street between the northerly and center line of Palou avenue*, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7615, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the

Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and sp fications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The *improvement of the following portions of Fourteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets*:

The easterly one-half of Fourteenth avenue from Ulloa street to a line parallel with and 100 *feet southerly therefrom; the easterly one-half of Fourtecnth avenue from a line parallel with and* 150 *feet northerly from Vicente street to a line parallel with and* 225 *feet northerly from Vicente street; the westerly one-half of Fourteenth avenue from Ulloa street to a line parallel with and* 150 *feet southerly therefrom; the westerly one-half of Fourteenth avenue from a line parallel with and* 250 *feet northerly from Vicente street to a line parallel with and* 300 *feet northerly from Vicente street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with necessary manholes and Y branches, that said frontages would be liable for, and by the construction of concrete and asphaltic concrete pavements as may be required.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7616, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the westerly one-half of Laidley street between Fairmont and Harry streets, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the consrtuction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7617, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Lobos street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7618, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks on *Grove street between Gough street and Octavia street*, and on *Ivy street between Gough street and Octavia street*, where full width artificial stone sidewalks have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7619, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco. approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part

II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width on *Farallones street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue, and* on *Sadowa street between Plymouth avenue and Capitol avenue;* and on *Plymouth avenue between Sadowa street and Sagamore street*, where artificial stone sidewalks of six feet or more in width have not already been constructed to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7620, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Douglass street between the northerly line of Twentieth street and the southerly line of Twenty-first street, including the crossing of Twenty-first street and Douglass street, and those portions of Douglass street opposite the terminations of Romain street, Corwin street and Twentieth street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of pipe sewers and appurtenances and side sewers; by the construction brick manholes and the necessary brick catchbasins with accompaning 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by resetting the existing catchbasins not at official line and grade; by the construction of class "A" Portland cement concrete in walls, steps, landings, and copings, including all excavation and backfill therefor; by the construction of galvanized wrought iron pipe railings, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete and concrete pavements on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Approving Map Showing the Widening of Southwest Corner of California Street and Presidio Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25744 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91837 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of the southwesterly corner of California street and Presidio avenue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of the southwesterly corner of California street and Presidio avenue is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Width on Detroit Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7621, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 14, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirteen, to read as follows:

Section 913. The width of sidewalks on Detroit street between Judson avenue and Circular avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Detroit street between Judson avenue and Circular avenue, showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks."

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Tehama Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7622, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and twelve.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 11, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and twelve, to read as follows:

Section 912. The width of sidewalks on Tehama street between Fifth street and Sixth street shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Declaring That Public Interest and Necesity Require the Closing of Portions of San Juan Avenue and Bauer Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25745 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of San Juan avenue and a portion of Bauer street, as hereinafter described, be closed and abandoned; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon a portion of San Juan avenue and a portion of Bauer street, as follows:

San Juan Avenue.

Commencing at the intersection of the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue with the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue, and running northwesterly along the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue a distance of 76.60 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 425 feet, central angle 10 deg. 12 min. 22 sec., a distance of 75.70 feet to a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue if produced northeasterly; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue produced, a distance of 689 feet to its intersection with the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue and point of commencement.

Bauer Street.

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Bauer street, distant thereon 19.22 feet northwesterly from its intersection with the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue, and running northwesterly on a curve to the left, tangent to the aforesaid line of Bauer street, radius 498.44 feet, central angle 17 deg. 17 min. 46 sec., a distance of 150.47 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 600.74 feet, central angle 7 deg. 46 min. 40 sec., a distance of 81.55 feet to the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue if produced southwesterly; thence northeasterly on the aforesaid southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue produced, a distance of 41.81 feet to its intersection with the northeasterly line of Bauer street; thence southeasterly on the northeasterly line of Bauer street 233.02 feet to the point of commencement.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of San Juan avenue and Bauer street shall be done and made in the manner and in acordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of closing said parcels are nominal and are to be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25746 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 91732 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted May 14, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed May 18, 1926, to-wit:

The grades on Marston avenue between Circular avenue and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, and on Circular avenue between lines at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue's northerly and southerly lines, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed May 18, 1926.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Foreign Trade Information Service Bill.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25747 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco, as America's second ranking city of foreign trade, is vitally interested in all that upbuilds and fosters foreign commerce; and

Whereas, the United States Department of Commerce at present conducts a Foreign Trade Information Service with forty-five offices in foreign countries, supplying prompt aud authentic information as to marketing conditions and opportunities abroad; and

Whereas, the existence of this important service is continually jeopardized because Congress has never formally authorized the Department of Commerce to conduct it; and

Whereas, there is a bill now pending before the Congress of the United States legalizing and organizing said service; be it therefore

Resolved, That the bill now pending before Congress, legalizing and organizing said service, be and the same is hereby heartily endorsed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and its adoption is urged as being vital to San Francisco's commercial life; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to United States Senators Hiram Johnson and Samuel Shortridge and Representatives Florence Kahn and Lawrence J. Flaherty, calling upon them to do all in their power to secure the passage of said bill.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Condemnation of Land for Mission Park.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25748 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Su-

pervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Silver avenue and the easterly line of Cambridge street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Silver avenue to the westerly line of Yale street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Yale street to the southerly line of Felton street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Felton street to the westerly line of Hamilton street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Hamilton street to the southerly line of Woolsey street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Woolsey street to the westerly line of Goettingen street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Goettingen street to the southerly line of Mansell street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Mansell street to the westerly line of Brussels street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Brussels street to the northerly line of Ward street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Ward street to the northerly line of Ankeny street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Ankeny street to the westerly line of Cowden street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Cowden street to the northerly line of Wilde avenue; thence westerly along the northerly line of Wilde avenue to the westerly line of Ervine street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Ervine street to the northerly line of Campbell avenue; thence westerly along the northerly line of Campbell avenue to the westerly line of Elliot street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Elliot street to the northerly line of Raymond avenue; thence westerly along the northerly line of Raymond avenue and the northerly line of Raymond avenue if extended and produced westerly a distance of 1630 feet, more or less; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 1000 feet, a distance of 2330 feet, more or less; thence southerly, tangent to the preceding curve 470 feet, more or less; thence southwesterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 500 feet, a distance of 1250 feet, more or less; thence westerly on a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 2000 feet, a distance of 1600 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of La Grande avenue if extended and proudced southerly; thence northerly along the easterly line of La Grande avenue to the southerly line of Burrows street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Burrows street to the easterly line of Cambridge street; thence northerly along the easterly line of Cambridge street to the southerly line of Silver avenue and the point of commencement. Be it

Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For a public park. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Auto Ferry Transportation Problem.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 25749 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors takes cognizance of the intolerable transportation situation existing over the recent holidays, whereby our citizens were subject to unusually long delays at auto ferries, and many required to stand in railroad cars on long trips; and it is apparent we are again drifting to the old transportation congestion and inconveniences; further

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee of this Board is requested to investigate and authorized to communicate with or confer with officials of every county, city and town within a radius of two hundred miles to the end that by intercommunity effort we may relieve this interference with the reasonably free movement of traffic.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Citizens' Committee, Commemoration Battle of Argonne Forest.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 25719 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized and requested to appoint a committee to cooperate with the officers and men of San Francisco's own regiment the 363d of the 91st Division, in commemorating the battle of the Argonne on the evening of September 25, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Street Car Accident Legislation Proposed.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25720 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works, through the City Engineer, be and it is hereby requested to furnish to the Board of Supervisors such recommendations as may receive the approval of the City Engineer, for the purpose of enabling this Board to pass suitable legislation to prevent a recurrence of the recent accident on the California Street Railroad, said recommendation to include such improvement in equipment as will prevent, or tend to prevent, similar accidents, both on the cable and on the electric cars operated in San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Mayor to Sell Improvements, Carl Street.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25721 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land acquired for the construction of Duboce Tunnel and known as No. 60 Carl street, No. 76 Carl street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses to be removed by the purchaser within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Change of Police Phone Number.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after much effort and education the telephone designation of the San Francisco Police Department became very well known to the citizenship as Douglas 20; and

Whereas, this knowledge was of great aid to our people and of much help in the detection and prevention of crime; and

Whereas, the telephone designation of said Police Department has been changed again to that of Davenport, and such changes are dangerous, an annoyance to citizens and a cause for apprehension to those who may have need to hurriedly call said department; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee of this Board of Supervisors is requested to investigate how necessary was said change, what has been its effect, and what are the possibilities of restoration of the former telephone number.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 2, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, June 2, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Welch—13.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd —5.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Marks was called to the chair.

Final Passage.

The following matter was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Bill No. 7606, Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), as follows:

Budget for Municipal Expenditures, Fiscal Year 1926-1927.

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and making a budget of the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter I, of the Charter of the City and County.

Section 2. No department, officer, board or commission shall expend, or agree to expend moneys, or to incur liabilities which, in total amount, exceed the total sum appropriated to such department, officer, board or commission by this ordinance, or which may subsequently be appropriated to such department, officer, board or commission.

Section 3. The amounts appropriated to the various departments, officers, boards and commissions shall be expended and administered by them subject to the following conditions:

A. No department, officer, board or commission shall expend moneys, or incur liabilities, in excess of the total amount appropriated to such department, officer, board or commission; provided further, that such expenditure shall conform to the provisions of Article III, Chapter I, Section 9, of the Charter.

B. When a specific appropriation is made to any bureau, division or institution of a department, board or commission such appropriation shall be known and administered as a main appropriation title, and the main division thereunder of an object of expenditure classification as theretofore adopted shall be known and administered as a specific sub-appropriation thereof.

C. Departments, officers, boards or commissions desiring to make transfers from one sub-appropriation to any other sub-appropriation embraced within the same main appropriation title shall file with the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors a statement in duplicate setting forth the reasons for requesting such transfers, the purpose to which the money is to be devoted, the sub-appropriation from and to which such transfer is requested, the amounts of such transfers, and any other facts which may aid in determining the merits of such request. Whenever any such transfer is approved by the Finance Committee, the duplicate request shall be forwarded to the Auditor and a notice sent to the department making the request, setting forth the

amounts and the sub-appropriation, or budget item numbers affected. In case of disapproval, notice to this effect shall be given to the department making the request.

D. Whenever any department, officer, board or commission desires to make a transfer from any sub-appropriation or appropriation embraced in one main appropriation title or titles, to any other or the same sub-appropriation or appropriations embraced in or desired to be embraced in any other main appropriation title or titles, said department, officer, board or commission shall file with the Board of Supervisors a statement in duplicate similar to the statement required in condition "C" above and containing, in addition, the main appropriation title or titles from and to which such transfer is requested. If the Board of Supervisors approves the request, notice thereof shall be forwarded to the originating department and the duplicate copy of the request forwarded to the Auditor. Said notice shall contain the main appropriation title or titles affected, the sub-appropriation or appropriations from and to which such transfer is to be made and the amounts thereof. If the Board of Supervisors denies the request, notice thereof shall be sent to the originating department, with the reasons for such action.

Section 4. All positions for which appropriations have been made in this ordinance have been created by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, or have been created by Boards or Commissions duly authorized by said Charter or ordinance of the Board of Supervisors; and the appropriations herein made shall be deemed to be the amount of the compensation to be received by the officer or employee holding the position for which the sum is appropriated.

Section 5. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
1	1090 E to 1093 E	(Appropriation 1) for plans and construction of new school buildings, the purchase of land for school purposes, furniture, additions and repairs to existing school buildings. A special tax on each $100 of assessed valuation to produce		**$900,000**
		To be expended in part for the following repairs:		
		Painting—		
		Argonne, interior	$3,500	
		Balboa, interior and exterior.....	650	
		Bernal and Annex, interior	3,450	
		Douglas, interior	1,950	
		Farragut, fire escape	350	
		Frank McCoppin, fire escape	350	
		Grant, portion interior	1,500	
		Hamilton and Annex, exterior	3,450	
		Hancock, interior	3,300	
		Hillcrest, interior and exterior	1,050	
		Hunter's Point, exterior and interior.	750	
		Jean Parker, exterior	2,100	
		Jefferson, interior	3,900	
		John Sweet (six ports), exterior....	2,555	
		Laguna Honda, fire escape iron work	750	
		Mission High Annex, interior.....	1,700	
		Polytechnic, 61 rooms, halls, interior	5,600	
		Sarah B. Cooper, exterior	2,655	
		Sheridan, fire escape	350	
		Spring Valley, interior	2,350	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		Ungraded, interior and exterior....	1,450	
		Blackboards, 20 schools	3,500	
			$47,210	
1	5763	For repair of Red Cross Buildings, Civic Center, as follows:		
	1091	New roofs for entire group of buildings	$3,000	
	5763	Painting sashes and window frames, etc.	1,050	
	5763	Carpenter work, general repairs....	2,375	
			$6,425	
	1091	Burnett, new urinals and toilets overhauled	$3,350	
	"	Franklin, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,425	
	"	Golden Gate, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,300	
	"	Lincoln, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,875	
	"	Starr King, new urinals and toilets overhauled	3,300	
	"	Bernal, new urinals and toilets overhauled	4,725	
	"	Polytechnic, 65 new water tanks and overhauling	3,500	
			$25,475	
	"	Commodore Stockton — Yard area 6850 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; to be graded to height of 4 ft. above present yard; concrete walls 50 ft. x 20 ft. x 24 in. and 56 ft. x 16 ft. x 24 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; wire storm fence 137 ft. x 6 ft.; wooden fence 100 ft. x 8 ft. (T. & G.); approximate cost	$7,900	
	"	Douglas—Yard area 3498 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete wall 150 ft. x 3 ft.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; sidewalks 33 ft. x 14½ ft.; approximate cost..	1,578	
	"	Excelsior—Yard area 15,000 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 50 ft. x 4 ft. x 15 in. and 100 ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; sidewalks to be relaid 150 ft. x 14½ ft.; wire storm fence 150 ft. x 6 ft.; wooden fence 200 ft. x 6 ft. with 6 in. x 6 in. posts with 8 ft. baseball wire fence above; approximate cost	6,223	
	"	Glen Park—Yard area (lower yard), 16,728 sq. ft.; sump and plumbing for same; approximate cost	4,951	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	1091	John Swett—Yard area 13,563 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls and steps; sumps; wire storm fence 439 ft. x 6 ft.; approximate cost...	6,972	
	"	Lincoln—Yard area 4125 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 25 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in. and 50 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in.; two sumps 3 ft. x 3 ft.; concrete wall and iron fence 25 ft. x 4 ft. to be removed; wire storm fence 50 ft. x 6 ft.; approximate cost	2,122	
	"	Redding—Yard area 18,495 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 135 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in. and 137 ft. x 3½ ft. x 15 in. and 40 ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; wire storm fence 276 ft. x 6 ft. with gates; approximate cost	6,584	
	"	Yerba Buena—Yard area 22,440 sq. ft.; 3 in. concrete base with 1 in. asphalt surface; concrete walls 187½ ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in. and 187 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in. and 120 ft. x 3 ft. x 15 in. and 50 ft. x 2 ft. x 15 in.; sump 3 ft. x 3 ft.; sidewalks to be relaid 450 sq. ft.; wire storm fence 374 ft. x 6 ft. with gates; wooden fence 120 ft. x 6 ft. with 8 ft. of baseball netting above; approximate cost	11,025	
	"	Converting heating system to oil burners in the following schools:		
		Bryant	$1,100	
		Cleveland	1,100	
		Denman	1,100	
		Excelsior	1,100	
		Farragut	1,100	
		Kate Kennedy	1,100	
		Laguna Honda	1,100	
		Longfellow	1,100	
		Madison	1,100	
		Repairs to roofs	9,000	
		Miscellaneous repairs	102,000	
		For construction of portables, partitions, fire escapes, permanent yard benches and ornamental iron work	25,000	

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 2-A)

2	401 A	18 Supervisors at $2,400 each.....	$43,200
3	402 A	Clerk	6,000
4	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk	4,200
5	"	1 Expert Accountant	6,000
6	"	1 Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	3,000
7	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,300.....	6,600
8	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
9	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,700	
10	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600	
11	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
12	"	1 Stenographer	1,800	
13	"	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,400	
14	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	1,800	
15	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400	
16	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	2,700	
17	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector	2,100	
18	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium ...	3,000	
			$96,900	
		TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.		
19	433 A	Chief Operator	$1,980	
20	"	4 Operators at $1,800.....	7,200	
21	"	Relief Operators	600	
		Total Personal Services.....		**$106,680**

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 3-22 to 3-86)

22	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses	$5,000	
23	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses....	9,000	
		To be expended by the following committees:		
	402	Auditorium $500		
	"	Civil Service and Retirement.. 500		
	419	City Planning 500		
	402	Education, Parks and Playgrounds 500		
	"	Finance 500		
	"	Fire 500		
	"	Judiciary 500		
	"	Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity 500		
	"	Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations 500		
	"	Police and Licenses 500		
	"	Public Buildings and Lands.... 500		
	"	Public Health 500		
23	402	Public Utilities 500		
	"	Public Welfare 500		
	"	Streets and Commercial Development 500		
	"	Supplies Committee 500		
	"	Traffic and Safety 500		
	"	Tunnels and Assessments..... 500		
24	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	55,000	
25	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000	
26	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000	
27	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar..	8,000	
28	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July.....	2,500	
29	"	Memorial Day Observance	500	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
30	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band.....	12,000	
31	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000	
32	425 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals...	7,500	
33	529 B	Lighting Streets	630,000	
34	402 H	Premiums on Official Bonds.....	5,000	
35	1090	Civic Center and War Memorial...	200,000	
36	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	75,000	
37	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000	
38	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges....	10,000	
39	1093	Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers	200,000	
40	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit..	140,000	
41	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen.....	5,000	
42	678 K	Auditorium	10,000	
43	467 H	Public Pound	15,000	
44	553 B	Feeble-Minded Home	87,000	
45	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	690,000	
46	"	Widows' Pensions	235,000	
47	"	St. Catherine's Training School.....	8,600	
48	"	State Schools	20,000	
49	419 K	City Planning Commission Including Auto at $40 a month.....	6,880	
50	1091	Police Building	40,000	
51	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Railroad	8,878	
52	1090	Purchase of Lands from Spring Valley Water Company, Contract..	35,640	
53	1090	Aquatic Park Land Purchases Contract	70,000	
54	1091	Aquatic Park Improvement	10,000	
55	1091	Yacht Harbor Improvement	25,000	
56	1091	Improvement of City Property at Ocean Beach — Construction of Stable	20,000	
57	1091	Civic Center Beautification	15,000	
58	1090	Van Ness Avenue Extension—Second Purchase	130,000	
59	1091	Painting San Francisco Hospital...	10,000	
60	"	Municipal Air Port, Initial Appropriation	100,000	
61	"	Sutro Monument, Rehabilitation of Statue and Grounds	10,000	
62	401	For the purpose of appraising all taxable real property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1927-28 and for subsequent years may be equalized and the same may conform to the true value of said property and that the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization may be fully advised as to the true value of said property	50,000	
63	552	Repairs to Engineer's Cottage, Relief Home	1,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
64	1092	Relief Home Equipment	40,000	
65	"	Civic Center Facade, Fulton Street	100,000	
66	"	San Francisco Hospital Equipment, New Wards, Children's T. B. Wards	9,000	
67	"	San Francisco Hospital, Radium Equipment	17,500	
68		Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000	
		To be expended as folows:		
		Fire Department Buildings.		
		Engine Co. 41—		
	452	Repair rear porch, doors and sash, put concrete strips in floor to run apparatus on, repair tile in bath room and shower room	$541	
		Engine Co. 42—		
		Put window in captain's room, repair doors, windows and screens, repair floor in shower room, repair roof and ventilators, paint exterior	1,092	
		Engine Co. 43—		
		Repair roof, repair doors and windows, paint exterior	931	
		Engine Co. 45—		
		Repair floor, repair roof and leaders, repair hose tower, repair fence, damp proof south wall	742	
		Engine Co. 46—		
		Repair shower room floor, repair rear door frames and doors, repair roof.	315	
		Engine Co. 48—		
68	452	Extend retaining wall on west side of lot, repair fence and gate, paint fence and all outside frames	585	
		Truck 6 and Chemical 9—		
		Build room for officers in dormitory, build kitchen on lower floor, repair roof and sidewalk	819	
		Chemical Co. No. 12—		
		Repair floor, repair fence, repair windows	135	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		Corporation Yard—		
		Repair floor in shop..... 225		
		Metal cap back wall..... 45		
		Ventilators 135		
		Skylight bars 180		
		New ridge for roof..... 54		
		Repairs to cornice..... 180		
		Glazing 385		
		Concrete pits 1,800		
		Paint window frames, doors, etc. 1,575		
		Paint outside 900		
		Miscellaneous buildings.. 29,361		
			$40,000	
69	1092	Traffic signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control	75,000	
70	1093	Electrolysis Survey	5,000	
71	"	McKinley Square Bulkhead.....	4,000	
72	"	Rehabilitation of Fine Arts Building, as follows: Concrete underpinning, $50,000; Concrete Floor, $15,000; Plastering, $16,000; Painting, $6,000; Plumbing, $3,500; Electrical Work, $3,000; Piping for Heating, $4,500; Incidentals, $2,000	100,000	
73	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District.....	100,000	
74	1093	Street signs	10,000	
75	"	Extension of High-Pressure System, Southern District	65,000	
76	1090	Reimbursement in part of Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced for completion of Stockton and Twin Peaks Tunnels.....	50,000	
77	4142 AC	Single team for stores and yards, Board of Public Works, at $10.75 per day	3,000	
78	4142 B	Royalties for surface heaters, Board of Public Works.....	12,000	
79	502 AC	25 Double teams, street cleaning, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	84,500	
80	501 AC	3 Double teams, sewer repair, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	10,350	
81	501 H	Rental, 7 1½-ton trucks, Board of Public Works, at $12 per day.....	25,200	
82	"	Rental, 1 3-ton truck, Board of Public Works, at $20 per day.....	6,000	
83	"	Rental, 14 autos, Board of Public Works, at $40 per month.....	6,720	
84	"	Improvements, San Francisco Hospital	7,500	
85	1092	Equipment, Emergency Hospital....	1,740	
86	——	Payment for Rental 333 Kearny Street Lease	14,233	
		Total		**$3,863,241**

Street Reconstruction.

(Appropriations 3-87 to 146)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
87	52710	Eighteenth street, Noe to Douglass..	$9,000	
88	"	Folsom street, Thirteenth to Sixteenth	22,500	
89	"	Franklin street, Vallejo to Broadway	5,400	
90	"	Sixth street, Howard to Harrison...	15,300	
91	"	Hyde street, Beach to North Point, widening	2,250	
92	"	North Point street, Van Ness to Larkin, widening	8,100	
93	"	North Point street, Columbus avenue to Hyde street, widening.....	7,200	
94	"	Broadway, Columbus avenue to Stockton, widening	7,200	
95	"	Douglass street, Nineteenth to Carson	1,080	
96	"	Drumm street, Clay to Jackson.....	9,000	
97	"	Ellis street, Van Ness to Franklin..	5,400	
98	"	Grant avenue, Filbert to Greenwich.	4,050	
99	"	Gilbert street, Bryant to Brannan..	2,250	
100	"	Hawthorne street, Howard to Folsom	6,030	
101	"	Harriet street, Harrison to Brannan	4,500	
102	"	Haight street, Gough to Buchanan, widening	22,500	
103	"	Jones street, Bush to Pine.....	3,600	
104	"	Jackson street, Embarcadero to Front-Battery	11,700	
105	"	Leavenworth street, Union to Filbert	4,500	
106	"	Geary street, Divisadero to Masonic.	18,000	
107	"	Monroe street, Pine to Bush.....	2,025	
108	"	O'Farrell street, Van Ness to Franklin	5,400	
109	"	Sacramento street, Front to Battery.	3,600	
110	"	Taylor street, Pine to Sutter.....	9,000	
111	"	Taylor street, Broadway to Vallejo..	4,500	
112	"	Willard street, Belmont to Parnassus	3,240	
113	"	Baker street, Vallejo to Green.....	4,500	
114	52710	Union street, Montgomery to Kearny	5,400	
115	"	Bay street, Laguna to Fillmore.....	13,500	
116	"	Castro street, Twentieth to Hill....	7,650	
117	"	Chestnut street, Grant avenue to Stockton street	5,400	
118	"	Divisadero street, Filbert to Greenwich	5,940	
119	"	Fourteenth street, Howard to Valencia	13,500	
120	"	Filbert street, Grant avenue to Stockton	5,400	
121	"	Francisco street, Powell to Grant avenue	7,200	
122	"	Grant avenue, Vallejo to Columbus..	1,350	
123	"	Greenwich street, Jones to Leavenworth	5,400	
124	"	Leavenworth street, Vallejo to Green	4,500	
125	"	Leavenworth street, Broadway to Jackson (resurfacing)	4,500	
126	"	Lombard street, Taylor to Leavenworth (incl. crossings).....	12,150	
127	"	Lombard street, Larkin to Polk.....	5,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
128	"	Mason street, Vallejo to Pacific.....	7,200	
129	"	Powers avenue, Mission to Coleridge.	1,800	
130	"	Vallejo street, Jones to Leavenworth	4,500	
131	"	Vallejo street, Grant avenue to Kearny and Powell to Mason.....	10,800	
132	"	Vallejo street, Van Ness avenue to Hyde	13,500	
133	"	Washington street, Franklin to Gough	4,500	
134	"	Twenty-ninth street, Valencia street westerly (resurfacing)	3,600	
135	"	California street, Franklin to Fillmore	48,600	
136	"	Guerrero street, Twentieth street south	40,500	
137	"	Hayes street, Laguna westerly (widening)	10,800	
138	"	Peralta street, Tomasa to Montcalm	13,500	
139	"	Elizabeth street, Grand View to Hoffman avenue	6,300	
140	"	Tehama street, Second to Third.....	3,771	
141	"	Nineteenth street, Extension to Mono	13,500	
142	"	Clay street, Hyde to Leavenworth and Powell to Mason.....	10,800	
143	"	Broadway, Mason to Taylor.....	5,850	
144	"	Sacramento street, Franklin to Gough	4,500	
145	"	Water street, Mason to Taylor.....	2,475	
146	"	Bonita street, Polk street westerly..	1,350	
		Total Streets		**$506,961**

Bureau of Supplies.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3½-A)

147	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000	
148	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies.....	3,600	
149	"	1 Inspector of Supplies.....	3,000	
150	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000	
151	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery.....	2,700	
152	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
153	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools.....	2,700	
154	"	1 Stenographer File Clerk	1,500	
155	"	1 Tabulator	1,500	
		Total Personal Services.....	$30,100	
156	634 BC	Non-Personal (Appropriation 3½B).	1,800	
157		Gasoline, oil and supplies for City Hall garage	3,000	
158		Stationery, printing, books, postage.	85,000	
159		Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc.	20,000	
160		Block books	4,000	
161		License tags and blanks.....	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$118,800	
		Total Bureau of Supplies.....		**$148,900**

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
162	404 A	Mayor	$6,000	
163	"	Executive Secretary	4,800	
164	"	Assistant Secretary	4,200	
165	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400	
166	"	Telephone Operator	1,800	
167	"	Messenger	1,980	
168	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each	4,800	
		Total Personal Services	$31,980	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)

169	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter)	$3,600	
170	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	7,500	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$11,100	
		Total Mayor		**$43,080**

AUDITOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)

171	405 A	Auditor	$8,000	
172	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
173	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each	10,800	
174	405 A	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760	
175	"	4 Deputies at $2,720 each	10,880	
176	"	1 Deputy	2,580	
177	"	5 Deputies at $2,280 each	11,400	
178	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580	
179	"	1 General Clerk	2,100	
180	"	1 General Clerk	1,800	
181	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,100	
182	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099 A.	2,580	
183	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	1,980	
184	"	Attorney	3,000	
185	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	5,800	
		Total Personal Services	$74,960	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)

186	405 B	Contingents	$500	
		Total Auditor		**$75,460**

TAX COLLECTOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)

187	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000	
188	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
189	"	Cashier	3,300	
190	"	Accountant	3,300	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
191	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each...	15,480	
192	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880	
193	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each..	5,160	
194	"	26 Deputies at $2,280 each	59,280	
195	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
196	"	Extra Clerical Help	10,800	
197	"	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000	
198	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100	
		Total Personal Services.....	$119,000	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)		
199	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List	$3,700	
200	"	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$5,860	
		Total Tax Collector.....		**$124,860**

TREASURER.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)		
201	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000	
202	"	Chief Deputy	2,880	
203	"	Cashier	5,100	
204	"	Bank and Bond Deputy.....	3,900	
205	406 A	1 Deputy	3,900	
206	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880	
207	"	1 Deputy	3,300	
208	"	Bookkeeper	3,600	
209	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400	
210	"	2 Clerks at $2,880 each	5,760	
211	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
		Total Personal Services.....	$43,700	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)		
212	406 K	Non-Personal Services	200	
		Total Treasurer		**$43,900**

ASSESSOR.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)		
213	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000	
214	"	Chief Deputy Assessor.....	2,400	
215	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers.	4.500	
216	407 AA	Cashier Auditor	2,400	
217	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400	
218	"	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800	
219	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year.	48,000	
220	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year.....	9,000	
221	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser.	2,400	
222	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
223	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
224	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
225	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,500	
226	407 AB	Temporary Asst. Deputies—General Clerks at $150 per month for time employed	91,800	
		Total Personal Services	$238,700	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)		
227	407 B	Non-Personal Services, including Autos at $40 a month	$6,000	
		Total Assessor		**$244,700**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)		
228	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	$5,000	
229	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400	
230	431 A	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
231	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each	13,500	
232	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220	
233	"	Typo Operator Mechanic	2,460	
234	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant	2,280	
235	"	Voting Machine Adjuster	1,920	
236	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280	
			$57,260	
		ELECTION EXPENSES.		
		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)		
237	431 AC	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9 a day	$2,700	
238	431 AC	Outside Registration	$15,000	
239	431 AB	Temporary clerks, office and petitions	50,000	
240	431 AB	Election Officers	110,000	
241	431 AC	Laborers	1,000	
		Total Personal Services	$235,960	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)		
242	431 B	Contractual Services	$63,500	
243	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000	
244	431 DC	Materials and Supplies and fixed charges	50,000	
245	634	Special Election as required by Charter	50,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$313,500	
		Total Elections		**$549,460**

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)		
246	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000	
247	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each	22,500	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
248	"	1 Assistant	3,600	
249	"	4 Assistants at $3,000 each	12,000	
250	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each	7,200	
251	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk	3,300	
252	"	1 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerk	2,400	
253	"	6 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680	
254	"	Chief Clerk	3,000	
255	"	Assistant Chief Clerk	2,100	
256	"	Bookeeper	1,800	
257	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
258	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
259	"	Messenger	1,800	
260	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$87,980	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-B)		
261	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500	
		Total District Attorney		**$95,480**

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-C)		
262	411	Public Defender	$5,000	
263	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800	
264	"	1 Deputy Public Defender	3,600	
265	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
		Total Public Defender		**$18,200**

CITY ATTORNEY.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)		
266	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000	
267	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200	
268	"	1 Deputy	7,200	
269	"	1 Deputy	6,000	
270	"	2 Assistants at $3,000 each	6,000	
271	"	1 Clerk, Calendar, Filing and Records	2,400	
272	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200	
273	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$43,100	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-B)		
274	410 K	General and Rate Litigation	$10,000	
		Total City Attorney		**$53,100**

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)		
275	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each	$3,600	
276	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
277	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
278	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
279	"	Assistant Inspector	2,280	
280	"	1 Assistant Secretary	2,280	
281	"	2 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 each.	4,800	
282	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,100	
283	"	Special Examiners and Extra Clerks	1,500	
		Total Personal Services.....	$26,460	
284	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	1,250	
		Total Civil Service Commission.		**$27,710**

COUNTY CLERK.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

285	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
286	"	Chief Registry Clerk	3,600	
287	"	Cashier	3,000	
288	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each....	14,400	
289	"	10 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	24,000	
290	"	16 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	38,400	
291	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each	9,600	
292	"	38 Copyists at $2,280 each	86,640	
		Total Personal Services.....	$187,640	
293	426 K	Non-Personal Services (13-B)	150	
		Total County Clerk		**$187,790**

SHERIFF.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

294	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000
295	"	Cashier	3,300
296	"	Deputy	2,700
297	"	Attorney	1,800
298	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper....	3,000
299	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	36,120
300	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,244 each.....	132,396
301	430 A	Stenographer	2,100
302	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400
303	430 A	Office Superintendent	3,600
304	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000
305	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640
306	"	Chief Jailer	2,700
307	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,400
308	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,400
309	"	1 Matron	2,400
310	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,244 each.....	15,708
311	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each	4,800
312	"	2 Cooks at $2,100 each.....	4,200
313	"	1 Jailer	2,400
314	"	1 Female Jailer	1,800
315	"	16 Keepers at $1,800 each.....	28,800
		Total Personal Services.....	$268,664

Other than Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
316	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$65,000	
		Total Sheriff		**$333,664**

RECORDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)

	454 AA	Personal Services.		
317	"	Recorder	$8,000	
318	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
319	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
320	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	15,480	
321	"	7 Deputies at $2,280 each.....	15,960	
322	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
323	"	1 Special Copyist	2,580	
324	"	Machinist	2,700	
325	"	Stenographer	2,100	
326	454 AB	28 Copyists at $2,280 each.....	63,840	
		Total Recorder Services.....		**$122,000**

SUPERIOR COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)

327	425 A	16 Judges	$56,000	
328	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner...	6,000	
329	"	Assistant Secretary	2,280	
330	"	Jury Examiner	2,280	
331	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
332	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
333	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each.....	16,800	
334	"	Jury and Witness Fees.....	35,000	
335	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses.....	8,000	
336	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees)....	30,000	
		Total Personal Services.....	$160,560	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)

337	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
		Total Superior Courts.....		**$168,560**

JUSTICES' COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)

338	428 A	5 Justices of the peace at $4,200 each	$21,000	
339	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200	
340	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
341	"	Cashier	3,000	
342	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each.....	8,100	
343	"	Messenger	2,700	
344	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
345	"	1 Clerk	2,400	
346	"	2 Clerks at $1,800 each.....	3,600	
		Total Justices' Courts		**$51,000**

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
347	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100	
348	"	Matron	1,500	
349	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620	
350	"	Night Superintendent	1,620	
351	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200	
352	"	7 Nurses at $960 each	6,720	
353	"	Cook	1,200	
354	"	1 Orderly	1,620	
355	"	1 Orderly	1,200	
		Total Personal Services	$18,780	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)		
356	558 Var	Maintenance and Subsistence	$15,000	
		Total Juvenile Detention Home		**$33,780**

JUVENILE COURT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)

357	5591 A	Chief Probation Officer	$3,900	
358	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer	3,000	
359	"	11 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,280 each	25,080	
360	"	3 Deputy Probation Officers at $2,280 each	6,840	
361	"	1 Collector	2,580	
362	"	1 Cashief-Bookkeeper	2,280	
363	"	1 File Clerk	1,800	
364	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200	
365	"	3 Stenographers at $1,800 each	5,400	
366	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
367	"	1 Referee	2,400	
		Total Personal Services	$59,460	
368	5591 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B	$5,000	
		Total Juvenile Court		**$64,460**

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)

369	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$3,900	
370	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer	3,000	
371	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,280 each	15,960	
372	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	1,980	
		Total Personal Services	$24,840	
373	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	$700	
		Total Adult Probation Dept.		**$25,540**

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)

374	553 A	Director	$3,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
375	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
376	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
377	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$13,500	
378	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	$1,000	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau.		**$14,500**

LAW LIBRARY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

379	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
380	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
381	"	Bookbinder	2,100	
		Total Law Library		**$9,900**

POLICE COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

382	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each	$14,400	
383	"	4 Stenographers at $3,600 each	14,400	
		Total Police Court		**$28,800**

CORONER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

384	429 A	Coroner	$8,000	
385	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
386	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000	
387	"	3 Deputies at $2,580 each	7,740	
388	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940	
389	"	3 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	7,200	
390	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
391	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100	
392	"	Toxicologist	1,800	
393	"	Relief	800	
		Total Personal Services	$42,280	
394	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000	
		Total Coroner		**$45,280**

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

395	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	
396	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
397	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$24,300	
398	460 K	Non-Personal Services	1,500	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		**$25,800**

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
399	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner	$3,000	
400	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8.00 a day	4,800	
401	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$9,600	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

402	464 A	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
		Total Horticultural Commission		**$11,160**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

Commissioners and General Office.

403	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000
404	"	Chief Deputy Commissioner.....	4,200
405	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
406	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
407	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400
408	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400
409	"	Stenographer	2,400
410	"	Stenographer	2,400
411	"	Chauffeur	2,400
412	"	Messenger	2,280
413	"	General Clerk	2,100
		Total Personal Services.....	$39,780

Bureau of Accounting.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

414	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge.....	$4,000
415	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper..	2,700
416	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000
417	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,280 a year	27,360
418	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300
419	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier.....	3,300
420	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400
421	"	Clerk	2,700
422	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	4,200
423	"	4 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	7,920
424	"	Inspector of House Numbers.....	2,700
		Total Personal Services.....	$63,580

Bureau of Architecture.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

425	416 A	Superintendent of Construction.....	$4,200
426	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
427	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700	
428	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200	
429	"	Stenographer	2,100	
		Total Personal Services.....	$13,200	

Bureau of Building Repair.

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

430	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
431	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,600	
432	"	Superintendent of Painters.....	3,150	
433	"	Foreman Plumber	3,000	
434	"	Foreman Cement Finisher.....	3,000	
435	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,000	
436	"	Foreman Tinner	3,000	
437	"	Foreman Wireman	3,000	
438	"	Head Janitor	3,120	
439	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320	
440	"	Night Foreman Janitor.....	2,040	
441	"	57 Janitors, at $1,740 a year.....	99,180	
442	432 AA	4 Window Cleaners, at $1,920 each a year	7,680	
443	"	Foreman Window Cleaner.....	2,100	
444	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation.....	3,480	
445	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall.....	2,040	
446	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,740 a year	29,580	
447	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,740 each a year.	3,480	
448	432 AB	Relief and Vacation all Departments	1,740	
449	"	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,300 each a year	6.600	
450	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,440 a year	12,000	
451	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,400	
452	"	5 Fireman, at $2,100 each a year...	10.500	
453	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation	410	
		Total Personal Services.....	$216,620	
		Employment for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings.		
454	432 AC	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day....	$13,500	
455	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day....	3,000	
456	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day.....	2,100	
457	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650	
458	"	5 Plumbers, at $10.00 per day.....	15,000	
459	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10 per day....	6,000	
460	"	6 Painters, at $8.35 per day.....	15,030	
461	"	Foreman Painter, at $9.35 per day..	2,805	
462	"	Painters, Shop Men, $6.50 per day..	1,950	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
463	"	Painters' Apprentice, at $5.50 per day	1,650	
464	"	2 Tinners, $9 per day	5,400	
465	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day	1,950	
466	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day	1,200	
467	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
468	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day	2,400	
469	"	Glazier, at $9 per day	2,700	
470	"	Steam Fitter, at $10 per day	3,000	
471	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day	2,100	
472	"	Wireman, at $9 per day	2,700	
		Total Personal Services		$86,835
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-B and C)		
473	432 B	Contractual Services	$6,300	
474	432 BA	Transportation Autos	2,500	
475	432 4A	Transportation Carfare	850	
476	432 C	Materials and Supplies	20,000	
		SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.		
477	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	$180	
478	432 BC	Towel Service	2,500	
479	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900	
		MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.		
480	432 CB	Fuel Oil	$22,000	
481	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	5,000	
482	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	3,150	
		Total Non-Personal Services		$63,380
483	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	$30,000	
484	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	18,000	

Bureau and Stores and Yards.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)		
485	4142 AA	Storekeeper	$3,900	
486	"	Experienced Clerk	2,700	
487	"	Watchman	2,640	
488	"	Watchman	2,340	
489	"	Watchman	1,980	
490	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,740 each a year	3,480	
491	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
492	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000	
493	4142 AC	Machinist, at $9 a day	2,700	
494	"	2 Blacksmiths, at $9 a day	5,400	
495	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers at $7.08 a day	6,372	
496	4142 AC	Vulcanizer, at $7 a day	2,100	
497	"	Carriage Painter, at $8.50 a day	2,550	
498	"	2 Laborers, 365 days, at $6 a day	4,380	
499	"	3 Laborers at $6 a day	5,400	
		Total Personal Services		$52,542

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
500	4142 B-A	Transportation	$480	
501	4142 B-N	Repairs to Equipment	175	
502	4142 C	Material and Supplies	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services		$1,655

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

503	455 A	Chief Inspector	$5,400	
504	"	1 Inspector	3,300	
505	"	1 Inspector	3,000	
506	"	Boiler Inspector	3,000	
507	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
508	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
509	"	10 Inspectors, at $2,400 a year	24,000	
510	"	Messenger	1,440	
511	"	2 Engineers, at $3,600 each	7,200	
		Total Personal Services		$52,140

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

512	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000	
513	"	Asst. City Engineer	5,400	
514	"	4 Assistants, Civil Engineer, at $3,000 a year	12,000	
515	"	Surveyor	3,600	
516	"	Surveyor	3,000	
517	"	4 Surveyors, at $2,700 a year	10,800	
518	"	Surveyors' Field Assistant	3,000	
519	"	2 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	5,400	
520	"	4 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,400 a year	9,600	
521	"	1 Surveyor's Field Assistant	2,400	
522	"	20 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,400 a year	48,000	
523	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,600	
524	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,300	
525	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,000	
526	"	6 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	16,200	
527	"	3 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,400 a year	7,200	
528	415 A	1 Engineering Draftsman	1,800	
529	"	Cartographer	2,700	
530	"	2 Inspectors of Streets and Sewers, at $2,700 a year	5,400	
531	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,400	
532	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,220	
533	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,100	
534	"	Engineering Chemist	3,600	
535	"	Photographer	2,700	
536	"	Photostat Operator	2,400	
537	"	Blue Printer	2,100	
538	"	3 Stenographers, at $1,980 a year	5,940	
539	"	Experienced Clerk	2,880	
540	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
541	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
542	415 A-B	Watchman, part time	870	
		Total Personal Services		$183,410
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)		
543	415 B	Non-Personal Services	$8,000	
		Total Engineer's Department		$191,410

Street Repair Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

544	527 AA	Superintendent	$4,500	
545	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,900	
546	"	2 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 each	5,400	
547	527 AA	Experienced Clerk	3,000	
548	"	Stenographer, 4 months	600	
		MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.		
549	527 A	Engineer	$2,400	
550	"	2 Firemen, at $1,980 a year	3,960	
551	"	Foreman, at $9.50 a day	2,850	
552	"	Machinist	2,700	
553	527 AC	Asphalt Dryermen, at $8 a day	2,400	
554	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen, at $8 a day	4,800	
555	"	Asphalt Mixermen, at $8.50 a day	2,550	
556	"	2 Asphalt Finishers, at $7.50 a day	4,500	
557	"	3 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day	6,300	
558	527 AA	Telephone Operator	1,620	
		ASPHALT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR—SURFACE HEATERS, FOUR MACHINES, 280 DAYS.		
559	527 AC	2 Foremen, 300 days, at $8.50 a day	$5,100	
560	"	16 Finishers at $7.50 a day	33,600	
561	"	24 Asphalt Workers at $7 a day	47,040	
562	"	7 Engineers at $9 a day	17,640	
563	"	10 Chauffeurs at $7 a day	19,600	
		SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.		
564	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher at $9 a day	$1,350	
565	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers at $8 a day	2,400	
		CONCRETE REPAIRS, MISCELLANEOUS—280 DAYS.		
566	527 AC	15 Laborers at $6 a day	$25,200	
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.		
567	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters at $9 a day	$5,040	
		BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—270 DAYS.		
568	527 AC	Foreman, 280 days, at $9 per day	$2,520	
569	"	6 Pavers at $8 a day	12,960	
570	"	3 Rammers at $7 a day	5,670	
571	"	10 Laborers at $6 per day	16,200	
		Total Personal Services		$245,800
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-C)		
572	527	Asphalt Plant—Transportation, carfare, rental of 17 trucks at $12 a day, 280 days; switching and demurrage, garage expense, printing and bookbinding, power, water,		

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		repairs to equipment, tool sharpening, setting back hydrants, miscellaneous	$65,350	
573		Materials and Supplies — Asphalt, cement, limestone dust, paving brick, rock, sand and gravel, fuel oil, wood and coal, kerosene, gasoline, lubricants, tools, tires and tubes, redressed curb	92,400	
			$157,750	

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)

574	527 A	9 Engineers at $2,400 each a year..	$21,600	
575	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,400 a year	4,800	
576	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,740 each a year	17,400	
577	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,740 a year	3,480	
578	"	1 Carpenter at $9 per day.....	2,700	
579	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day	1,950	
		Total Personal Services.....	$51,930	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)

580	527 B	Contractural	$2,500	
581	527 C	Material and Supplies	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services...	$3,500	

Street Cleaning Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A-1)

582	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
583	"	2 Asst. Superintendents at $2,700 a year	5,400	
584	"	1 Experienced Clerk	3,000	
585	"	6 Watchmen at $1,740 each a year...	10,440	
586	502 AC	200 Sweepers at $6 per day.....	360,000	
587	"	19 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	39,900	
588	"	14 Gang Foremen at $6.50 per day..	27,300	
589	"	1 Night Gang Foreman	2,100	
			$452,340	

Non-Personal Services—

590	502 BA	Transportation	$1,200	
591	502 BL	Water	6,500	
592	592 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment..	5,000	
593	502 C	Material and Supplies.....	20,000	
594	1092	Street Cans	600	
			$33,300	

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

595	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
596	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000	
597	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
598	501 AC	Asst. Superintendent in charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550	
599	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day	16,500	
600	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day	24,300	
601	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7 per day	6,300	
602	501	25 Sewermen at $6.50 per day	48,750	
603	"	21 Laborers at $6 per day	37,800	
604	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8 per day	43,200	
		Total Personal Services	$189,300	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-B)		
605	B	Repairs to equipment	$1,000	
606	C	Materials and Supplies	20,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$21,000	
		Sewage Pumping Station.		
		Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)		
607	501 AA	Watchman in Charge	$2,040	
608	501 AB	Relief and Vacation	80	
		Total Personal Services	$2,120	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)		
609	501 BH	Power	$450	
610	501 C	Material and Supplies	250	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$700	
		MISCELLANEOUS. (Appropriation 38-A)		
611	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200	
612	501 BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	4,000	
613	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment	2,000	
			$7,200	
		Total Board of Works		**$1,994,082**
		DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.		
		Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)		
614	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000	
615	"	Secretary	3,000	
616	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
617	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100	
618	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800	
619	465 A	Chief Inspector	3,000	
620		18 Inspectors at $2,700 each	48,600	
621	465 A	Chief Operator	3,000	
622	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600	
623	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200	
624	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750	
625	"	Superintendent of Plant	3,300	
626	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9.00 per diem	2,700	
627	"	Batteryman	2,700	
628	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000	
629	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each	13,500	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
630	"	Foreman Laborer	2,100	
631	"	3 Laborers at $6.00 per diem	5,400	
632	"	2 Machinists at $9.00 per diem	5,400	
633	465 AC	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,700 each	8,100	
634	"	14 Linemen at $2,400 each	33,600	
635	"	Inside Wireman at $9.00 per diem	2,700	
636	"	Commissary	2,100	
637	465 AC	Repairer	2,460	
638	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each	4,560	
		Total Personal Services	$190,370	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)		
639	465 Var	Material, Supplies and Equipment	$15,000	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-E)		
640	1091	Underground Conduit System	$15,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$30,000	
		Total Department of Electricity.		**$220,370**

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)		
641	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
642	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary.	4,500	
643	"	Physician	2,820	
644	"	Stenographer	2,580	
645	"	Chief Engineer	7,200	
646	"	First Assistant Chief	4,800	
647	"	Second Assistant Chief	4,800	
648	"	22 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	92,400	
649	"	22 Operators at $2,520 a year	55,440	
650	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440	
			$180,780	
		ENGINE COMPANIES.		
651	452 AA	45 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$126,900	
652	"	70 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	186,900	
653	"	27 Engineers at $2,640 each a year.	71,280	
654	"	84 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	201,600	
655	"	2 Drivers at $2,280 each a year	4,560	
656	"	6 Drivers at $2,160 each a year	12,960	
657	"	333 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	799,200	
658	"	41 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	93,480	
659	"	28 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	60,480	
660		Automatic increase in salaries	3,165	
			$1,560,525	
		CHEMICAL COMPANIES.		
661	452 AA	13 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$36,660	
662	"	13 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	34,710	
663	"	26 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	62,400	
664	"	29 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	69,600	
665	452 AA	2 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	4,560	
666	"	8 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	17,280	
667		Automatic increase in salaries	560	
			$225,770	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		TRUCK COMPANIES.		
668	452 AA	14 Captains at $2,820 each a year..	$39,480	
669	"	17 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	45,390	
670	"	28 Drivers at $2,400 each a year...	67,200	
671	"	28 Tillermen at $2,400 each a year..	67,200	
672	"	134 Truckmen at $2,400 each a year..	321,600	
673	"	12 Truckmen at $2,280 each a year..	27,360	
674	"	5 Truckmen at $2,160 each a year..	10,800	
675		Automatic increase in salaries...	1,065	
			$580,095	
		WATER TOWER COMPANIES.		
676	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
677	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
678	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year.....	4,800	
679	"	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year...	7,200	
			$17,490	
		RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.		
680	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
681	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
682	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year.....	4,800	
683	"	4 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year....	9,600	
684	"	1 Hoseman	2,280	
685		Automatic increase in salaries...	65	
		Total Personal Services.....	$22,235	
		PUMPING STATIONS.		
686	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720	
687	"	5 Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800	
688	"	7 Firemen at $2,340 each a year....	16,380	
689	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805	
690	"	Vacation Firemen	685	
			$38,390	
		DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.		
691	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
692	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880	
693	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman.....	2,730	
694	"	11 Gateman Hydrantmen at $2,580 each a year.....	28,380	
695	452 AC	1 Calker at $7.50 per day.....	2,250	
696	"	3 Laborers at $6 per day each.....	5,400	
			$45,840	
		CORPORATION YARD.		
697	425 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
698	"	Clerk and Commissary.....	2,400	
699	425 AA	Bookkeeper	2,700	
700	"	3 Watchmen at $1,740 each a year..	5,220	
701	425 A	1 Drayman	2,220	
702	"	1 Clerk	2,100	
703	"	Batteryman	2,700	
704	"	1 General Foreman	3,300	
705	525 AC	12 Machinists at $9 per day.....	32,400	
706	"	5 Machinists' Helpers at $6.58 per day	9,870	
707	"	4 Blacksmiths at $9 per day.....	10,800	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
708	"	4 Blackmiths' Helpers at $7.08 per day	8,496	
709	"	2 Woodworkers at $9 per day	5,400	
710	"	1 Brass Finisher at $9 per day	2,700	
711	"	1 Boilermaker at $9 per day	2,700	
712	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper at $6.58 per day	1,974	
713	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter at $9 per day	2,700	
714	"	2 Carriage Painters at $8.35 per day	5,010	
715	"	1 Leather Worker at $8.50 per day.	2,550	
		Total Corporation Yard	$109,440	
		FOR FIRE PREVENTION AND THEATER INSPECTION.		
716	"	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item	79,000	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)		
717	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$145,000	
718	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings	150,000	
		EQUIPMENT.		
719	1092	Equipment	90,000	
			$464,000	
		Total Fire Department		**$3,244,565**

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)		
720	451 AA	4 Commissioners at $1200 each a year	$4,800	
721	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
722	"	Confidential Clerk	2,400	
723	"	Stenographer	3,000	
		ADMINISTRATION.		
724	451 AA	Chief of Police	$7,200	
725	"	Chief Clerk	3,600	
726	"	Lieutenant	3,000	
727	"	Stenographer	1,800	
728	451 AA	Office Asst. (Sergeant)	2,640	
729	"	Office Asst. (Corporal)	2,580	
730	"	Physician	2,400	
731	"	6 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	10,800	
732	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760	
733	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760	
734	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760	
		BOOKKEEPING AND ACCOUNTING.		
735	451 AA	Bookkeeper (Sergeant)	$2,640	
736	"	2 Bookkeepers (Corporal) at $2,580 each a year	5,160	
737	"	4 Bookkeepers (Patrolmen) at $2,400 each a year	9,600	

PROPERTY CLERK BUREAU.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
738	451 AA	Property Clerk (Captain).....	$3,600	
739	"	Asst. Property Clerk (Corporal)...	2,580	
740	"	4 Asst. Property Clerks (Patrolmen) at $2,400 each.....	9,600	
		BUREAU OF PERMITS AND REGISTRATION.		
741	451 AA	Sergeant	$2,640	
742	"	3 Patrolmen at $2,400.....	7,200	
		COMPLAINT BUREAU.		
743	451 AA	Lieutenant	$3,000	
744	"	Corporal	2.580	
745	"	3 Patrolmen at $2,400 each a year..	7,200	
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.		
746	451 AA	Captain of Detectives.....	$5,000	
747	"	6 Lieutenants at $3,000 each a year..	18,000	
748	"	62 Detective Sergeants at $2,760 a year	171,120	
749	"	Stenographer	2,760	
750	"	Photographer	2,700	
751	"	3 Women Protective Officers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
		UNIFORM FORCE.		
752	451 AA	Captain of Traffic.....	$4,000	
753	"	14 Captains at $3,600 each a year...	50,400	
754	"	25 Lieutenants at $3,000 each a year	75,000	
755	"	81 Sergeants at $2,640 each a year..	213,840	
756	"	95 Corporals at $2,580 each a year..	245,100	
757	"	870 Patrolmen at $2,400 each a year	2,088,000	
758	"	25 Patrol Drivers at $2,400 each a year	60,000	
759	"	10 Hostlers at $2,160 each a year...	21,600	
760	"	4 Matrons at $2,100 each a year.....	8,400	
761	"	Matrons' Relief	1,312	
762	"	Cook	2,100	
763	451 AA	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year.....	7,200	
		Total Personal Services.....	$3,095,632	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)		
764	451 B	Contractual Service	$26,500	
765	451 C	Material and Supplies.....	48,103	
766	1092	Equipment — Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-B)	19,900	
767	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
			$103,503	
		Total Police Department.....		**$3,199,135**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)

768	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000
769	"	Chief Clerk	4,200
770	"	Stenographer	2,580

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
771	"	3 Stenographers at $2,280 a year....	6,840	
772	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each a year.....	4,560	
773	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800	
774	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year.....	3,600	
775	476 AB	Relief Telephone Operator.....	400	
		ACCOUNTING.		
776	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200	
777	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each a year.....	4,560	
		STATISTICS.		
778	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600	
779	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280	
780	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280	
781	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500	
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.		
782	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector.....	$2,700	
783	"	7 Veterinarians at $2,400 each a year	16,800	
784	"	16 Market Inspectors at $2,400 each a year	38,400	
		OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.		
785	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	$10,800	
786	"	1 Disinfector	2,400	
787	"	1 Disinfector	2,220	
788	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.....	1,200	
		VENEREAL CLINIC.		
789	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000	
790	"	Psychologist	1,800	
791	"	Assistant Psychologist	1,500	
792	"	Assistant Psychologist	900	
793	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200	
794	"	Psychologist	600	
795	"	Social Service Worker.....	1,800	
796	"	Physician	2,100	
797	"	Janitress	1,500	
		BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.		
798	476 AA	Director of Laboratories.....	$3,600	
799	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000	
800	476 AA	2 Bacteriologists at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
801	476 AA	1 Bacteriologist	2,100	
802	"	Technician	1,500	
803	"	Clerk	2,280	
804	"	2 Helpers at $720 each a year.....	1,440	

Conservation of Child Life.

		SCHOOL INSPECTION.		
805	476	Chief Medical Inspector.....	$3,000	
806	476 AA	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
807	"	4 School Inspectors (part time) at $1,800 each a year.....	7,200	
808	"	Optometrist	1,500	
		DENTAL.		
809	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000	
810	"	2 Dental Hygienists at $1,800 each a year	3,600	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
811	"	7 Dentists, at $1,200 each a year....	8,400	
812	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600	
		CHILD WELFARE.		
813	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000	
814	"	Pediatrician	480	
815	"	Pediatrician	240	

Food Inspection.

		MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.		
816	476 AA	2 Veterinarians, at $3,600 each a year	$7,200	
817	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000	
		OTHER FOOD CONTROL.		
818	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	$3,600	
819	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,100 each a year	14,700	
		CHEMICAL LABORATORY.		
820	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000	
821	476 AA	Chemist	2,700	
822	"	Technician	1,080	

Protection of Life and Property.

		PLUMBING INSPECTION.		
823	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector, at $3,600 a year	$3,600	
824	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors, at $2,820 each a year	16,920	
		HOUSING INSPECTION.		
825	457 A	Tenement House Inspector.....	$3,000	
826	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,400 each a year.....	7,200	
827	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,100 each a year.....	6,300	
		FACTORY INSPECTION.		
828	458 AA	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	
829	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980	
		REFUSE COLLECTION.		
830	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each.....	$4,200	
		CHARITIES AND RELIEF.		
831	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year..	$7,200	
832	"	1 City Physician	3,000	
		FIELD NURSING.		
833	476 A	Director	$3,600	
834	"	Supervisor School Medical Inspection	2,280	
835	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280	
836	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280	
837	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau	4,560	

Nurses.

		TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.		
838	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year.....	$15,840	
839	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800	
		SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.		
840	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year...	$59,400	
841	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100	

CHILD WELFARE.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
842	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes	$1,980	
843	"	7 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year	13,860	
		SOCIAL SERVICE.		
844	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980	
845	"	Social Service Worker	1,980	
846	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620	
		TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.		
847	476 A	Director	$4,200	
848	"	Physician	600	
849	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020	
850	"	Cook—Michel Angelo School.....	720	
		Total Personal Services.....	$411,040	
851	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	$2,500	
852	"	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	25,600	
		RAT EXTERMINATION.		
853	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280	
854	476 B	Carfare	225	
855	476 C	Material and Supplies	525	
856	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-D)	23,850	
857	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment..	39,350	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$97,330	

Relief Home.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)

858	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
859	"	Clerk	3,000	
860	"	Stenographer	2,280	
861	"	Telephone Operator	900	
862	"	Watchman	1,500	
863	"	Watchman	1,380	
864	"	Physician	2,400	
865	"	Physician	1,800	
866	"	Pharmacist	2,400	
867	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800	
868	"	7 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	8,400	
869	"	1 Practical Nurse	840	
870	"	3 Practical Nurses at $600 each.....	1,800	
871	"	Orderly	730	
872	"	Orderlies as required.....	6,240	
873	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation	100	
874	"	Commissary	2,400	
875	"	Laundryman	2,268	
876	"	Laundress	1,524	
877	"	Laundryman	600	
878	"	Chief Steward	2,400	
879	"	3 Stewards at $1,680 each a year....	5,040	
880	"	Head Matron	2,400	
881	"	Matron	1,020	
882	"	Seamstress	1,380	
883	"	Seamstress	1,080	
884	"	Practical Nurse	1,080	
885	"	Chief Cook	2,280	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
886	"	Cook	2,100	
887	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940	
888	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
889	552 AA	Butcher	2,520	
890	"	Butcher, Relief	105	
891	"	Baker	1,980	
892	"	Farmer	1,920	
893	"	Farmer	1,800	
894	"	Engineer	2,400	
895	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,400 each a year	4,800	
896	"	Driver	1,800	
	552 AC	Plumber at $10.00 a day	3,000	
897	552 AA	Gardener	1,800	
898	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900	
899	552 AC	Inmate Help	25,316	
		Total Personal Services	$123,813	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)				
900	552 B	Contractual Services	$7,900	
901	552 C	Materials and Supplies	260,000	
			$267,900	

Isolation Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)				
902	554 AA	Superintendent	$2,400	
903	"	Office Attendant	840	
904	"	Watchman	1,620	
905	"	Night Watchman	1,200	
906	504 AC	Relief Night Watchman	201	
907	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $540 each	1,620	
908	"	Resident Physician	4,200	
909	"	House Officer	300	
910	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year	240	
911	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400	
912	"	Practical Nurse	600	
913	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600	
914	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year	2,160	
915	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160	
916	"	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152	
917	"	Seamstress	1,080	
918	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200	
919	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,240	
920	"	7 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,780	
921	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460	
922	554 AA	Cook	2,100	
923	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175	
924	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720	
925	"	2 Kitchen Helpers at $600 each a year	1,200	
926	"	Dishwasher	540	
927	554 AA	Waitress	1,140	
928	554 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45	
929	554 AA	Gardener	1,200	
		Total Personal Services	$54,573	

San Francisco Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
930	554 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
931	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700	
932	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B	2,400	
933	"	Clerk	3,000	
934	"	Stenographer	1,920	
935	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each	1,800	
936	"	Statistician	2,280	
937	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200	
938	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
939	"	Office Attendant, T. B	900	
940	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
941	"	Night Telephone Operator	1,080	
942	"	Night Telephone Operator	840	
943	"	Telephone Operator	1,500	
944	"	Watchman	1,500	
945	"	Watchman, T. B	1,200	
946	"	Watchman	600	
947	"	Teamster	1,920	
948	"	Messenger	540	
949	"	Institutional Help	3,000	
950	"	Resident Physician	1,500	
951	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600	
952	"	30 Internes at $120 a year	3,600	
953	"	Pharmacist	3,000	
954	"	Asssistant Pharmacist	2,700	
955	"	Stockman	900	
956	"	Institutional Help	480	
957	"	Dentist	1,200	
958	"	Radiologist	3,000	
959	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800	
960	"	X-Ray Technician	1,200	
961	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,200	
962	"	Operating Room Nurse	2,100	
963	"	Asst. Operating Room Nurse	1,320	
964	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
965	"	Anaesthetist	1,800	
966	"	Anaesthetist	1,500	
967	"	Plaster Cast Maker	900	
968	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year	3,240	
969	"	Superintendent of Nurses	3,000	
970	"	3 Asst. Supt. of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
971	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T. B	1,380	
972	554 AA	Supervisor of Admissions	1,380	
973	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year	6,000	
974	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500	
975	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900	
976	"	Assistant Practical Nurse	720	
977	"	40 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	48,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
978	"	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each	2,640	
979	"	Practical Nurses	13,000	
980	"	Orderlies	25,000	
981	"	Orderlies, T. B.	1,450	
982	"	Office Assistant Supt. of Nurses	540	
983	"	Medical Advisor	1,500	
984	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000	
985	554 AA	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500	
986	"	4 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	4,800	
987	"	2 Practical Nurses at $600 each a year	1,200	
988	"	2 Practical Nurses at $540 each	1,080	
989	"	Porter	540	
990	"	Pantrymaid	540	
991	"	4 Orderlies at $600 each a year	2,400	
992	"	Orderly	540	
993	"	Commissary	3,600	
994	"	Storekeeper	1,800	
995	"	5 Storekeeper Helpers	2,000	
		LAUNDRY.		
996	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300	
997	"	Washer	1,764	
998	"	Wringer	1,524	
999	"	Starcher	1,416	
1000	"	Washer's Helper	1,380	
1001	"	5 Ironers at $1,176 each a year	5,880	
1002	"	3 Ironers at $1,128 each a year	3,384	
1003	"	4 Dry Room Workers at $1,104 each a year	4,416	
1004	"	Deliveryman	480	
1005	"	Deliveryman	540	
1006	"	Marker	1,524	
1007	"	Sorter	1,524	
1008	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116	
1009	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104	
1010	"	10 Mangel Hands at $1,020 each a year	10,200	
1011	"	2 Porters	1,020	
1012	"	Seamstress	540	
		HOUSEKEEPING.		
1013	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,200	
1014	"	4 Seamstresses at $540 each	2,160	
1015	554 AA	Surgery Porter	900	
1016	"	Lockerman	600	
1017	"	Morgueman	600	
1018	"	Morgueman	540	
1019	"	Mattress Maker	600	
1020	"	Incineratorman	540	
1021	"	Sterilizerman	600	
1022	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000	
1023	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080	
1024	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600	
1025	"	Window Washer	600	
1026	"	Window Washer	720	
1027	"	25 Wardmen	15,000	
1028	"	40 Porters	19,200	
1029	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500	

CULINARY.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1030	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280	
1031	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100	
1032	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100	
1033	"	4 Cooks at $1,980 each	7,920	
1034	"	Night Cook	1,080	
1035	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100	
1036	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500	
1037	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
1038	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,140 each a year	18,240	
1039	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000	

POWER PLANT.

1040	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,300	
1041	"	4 Engineers at $2,400 each a year	9,600	
1042	"	3 Firemen at $2,100 each a year	6,300	
1043	554 AB	Firemen Relief	2,100	
1044	554 AA	Helper	540	

MAINTENANCE.

1045	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year.	$5,700	
1046	"	Gardener	1,680	
1047	"	Assistant Gardener	720	
1048	"	7 Yardmen	4,200	
1049	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,315	
		Total Personal Services	$421,557	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)

1050	554 B	Contractual	$18,755	
1051	554 C	Materials and Supplies	170,000	
1052	554 CO	Foodstuff	230,000	
1053	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225	
1054	1092	Equipment	15,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$435,980	

Emergency Hospitals.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)

1055	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000	
1056	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700	
1057	"	Chief Steward	3,000	
1058	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1059	"	10 Surgeons at $2,400 each a year	24,000	
1060	"	22 Stewards at $2,400 each a year	52,800	
1061	"	10 Nurses at $1,980 each a year	19,800	
1062	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1063	"	15 Ambulance Drivers at $2,400 each a year	36,000	
1064	"	Messenger	1,200	
1065	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	
1066	"	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1067	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	$156,900	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1068	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	$8,287	
1069	5541 C	Material and Supplies	15,000	
1070	5541 H	Fixed Charges	500	
		Total	$23,787	
		Total Board of Health		**$1,992,880**

BOARD OF EDUCATION.

Common School Fund—(Appropriations 48 and 48-B)

1071	576 A	Teachers' Compensation	$5,943,949	
1072		For the Conduct, Maintenance and Operation of the School Department, other than for Teachers' Salaries and Tuition of Junior College students	1,110,528	
		Total School		**$7,054,477**

CHARTER FUND AND STATE LAWS.

1073	612	For Playground Fund as provided by Charter Amendment, $0.05 in tax rate (Appropriation 49)	**$350,000**
1074	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 50)	**$700,000**
1075	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 51)	**$4,898,389**
1076	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 52)	**$345,000**
1077	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 53)	**$262,500**
1078	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 54)	**$664,700**
1079	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55)	**$70,000**
1080	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 56)	**$17,000**
1081	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Approtion 57)	**$45,000**
1082	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 59)	**$162,318**
1083	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 60)	**$70,000**
1084	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61)	**$70,000**
		TOTAL BUDGET	**$33,284,382**

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Welch —13.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd —5.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 2:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 23

Monday, June 7, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 7, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 7, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Harrelson, Marks, McSheehy, Welch—4.

No quorum.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Welch, the Board adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 24

Monday, June 14, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 14, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 14, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Welch was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Building Trades Council Favors Reassessment of City Property.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the San Francisco Building Trades Council, transmitting copies of resolution adopted by said council endorsing budget appropriation of $50,000 for a resurvey and reassessment of all city property.

Ordered *filed*.

Appointment of Narcotic Agent.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Dr. Hassler, recommending appointment as narcotic agent for institution of the City and County.

Resolution of appointment *adopted*.

National Progress Club Endorses Law and Order Resolution.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the National Progress Club of San Francisco, transmitting resolutions of said organization unanimously adopted at its last meeting emphatically endorsing resolution of Board of Supervisors of May 24, 1926, calling upon city officials to enforce law and order and to prevent and punish criminal acts, and also commending Supervisors Harrelson, Hayden and Colman, the authors of the resolution.

Ordered *filed*.

Relative to Bay Bridge Hearings.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk and *filed*:

Communication, from Edward A. Bertram, C. E., acknowledging notice to the effect that bridge hearings will be held June 21, 1926, at 2 p. m., in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, and stating that accordingly he will make application for a franchise for "The San Francisco Bay Bridge" at that time and place.

Over until June 21, 1926, 3 *p. m.*

Protest, Gasoline Service Station, Balboa and Thirty-second Avenue.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Protest, of Gronosky Bros. and other residents and property owners in the immediate vicinity, against the granting of a permit for a gasoline service station at the northeast corner of Balboa street and Thirty-third avenue.

Referred to the Fire Committee.

Preservation of the Palace of Fine Arts.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Soroptimist Club, expressing the hope that the Board will make sufficient appropriation for the restoration and preservation of the Palace of Fine Arts Building at the Marina.

Ordered *filed*.

Irish Hurling Team.

Frank McGrath, representing the Tiperary Hurling Team, was granted the privilege of the floor and thanked the Board of Supervisors and the people of San Francisco for the entertainment and cordial reception given them during the past week, declaring that San Francisco's

well-known tradition for hospitality was nobly maintained.

Supervisor Hayden responded on behalf of the Board of Supervisors.

Protest Against Ordinance Authorizing Board of Supervisors to Determine Kind of Safety Stations and Traffic Signals.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Down Town Association, protesting against the final passage of the ordinance, now pending before the Board of Supervisors, which authorizes and empowers the Board of Supervisors to determine the kind and character of safety stations and traffic signals to be installed by the City.

Over two weeks.

Also, *communication*, from the San Francisco Board of Police Commissioners, requesting that Bill No. 7607, on final passage today, providing for the selection and location of traffic signals and safety zones be postponed for one week in order that said Board may have an opportunity to present data which it believes should have the consideration of the Board.

Over two weeks.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Marks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 9. 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Honorable Milton Marks, member of the Board of Supervisors, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing June 23.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25753 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Milton Marks, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 23, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Leave of Absence, Chief of Police O'Brien.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 7, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Daniel J. O'Brien, Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing July 13, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

June 4, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 3, Article 16, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I respectfully request permission to leave the State of California for a period of not longer than sxity days, commencing July 13, 1926.

Respectfully,
D. J. O'BRIEN,
Chief of Police.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25754 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Daniel J. O'Brien, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing July 13, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Leave of Absence, Coroner Leland.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 7, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Dr. T. B. W. Leland. Coronor, for a 60-day extension of his leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California.

I hereby request that you concur

with me in granting this extension of leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25755 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. T. B. W. Leland, Coroner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 19, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 12, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, member of your Board, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing June 18, 1926.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25756 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 18, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Resolutions of Respect.

The Board passed resolutions of respect to the memory of Lawrence J. Flaherty, member of Congress, and John D. Spreckels, and when the Board adjourned, it did so in respect to their memory.

Resolutions on Death of Congressman Lawrence J. Flaherty.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25751 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the morning newspapers bring the sad news of the death of Congressman Lawrence J. Flaherty, Representative of the Fifth Congressional District, embracing a large portion of the City of San Francisco; and

Whereas, Congressman Flaherty represented the Twenty-fourth Senatorial District in the State Legislature for a period of eight years; and

Whereas, it was my privilege and pleasure as a member of the Legislature from the Twenty-fourth Assembly District to have served under this great and noble leader who made as fine a record as has ever been established in our Legislative halls; and

Whereas, the people of this section mourn the loss of this great champion who stood for humanity and for all progressive laws of our great State; and

Whereas, his record will go down in history as an example for others to follow, which record will endure in the memory of his constituents for all time; and

Whereas, San Francisco as a whole, even though he represented the Fifth Congressional District, mourns his loss, and as his record is viewed from time to time by men in public life a lesson can be learned from the unselfish and patriotic service which he rendered during his public career; therefore, be it

Resolved, That when we adjourn we do so with a standing vote of respect to his memory, which we all love so dearly; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread upon the minutes and also a copy presented to his beloved wife offering the sympatheies which we hold for our honored friend and fellow citizen, Congressman Lawrence J. Flaherty.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 25757 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it becomes the duty of this Board to record the death of Lawrence J. Flaherty, member of the House of Representatives in the Congress of the United States, from the Fifth Congressional District, comprising a portion of this City and County; therefore

Resolved, That it is with profound sorrow that we regard the

passing of one who, for many years past has rendered faithful public service as a municipal officer, a state legislator and federal official, bringing in the discharge of his duties a comprehension of the responsibilities placed upon him, an ability to discharge them and a sincere desire to serve his constituents to the fullest measure of his time and strength. None questioned his integrity; his kindness was recognized by a host of friends, and the community will deeply grieve at the termination of his faithful service and extend its condolences to the members of his family, who have to bear a loss greater than can be measured.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Resolutions on Death of John D. Spreckels.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25752 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That there be recorded in the Journal of Proceedings of this Board the death of one of California's most public-spirited citizens, John D. Spreckels.

For half a century he has been prominent in the development of the State and his name has been associated with many enterprises that contributed to its fame. Yet his commercial activity has at all times been tempered by a sense of generosity and many have been his contributions to the cause of human welfare, and his gifts to the public have been numerous.

It is, therefore, with a desire to acknowledge the debt which our State owes for his deeds of kindness, to testify to our appreciation of his service as a member of the community and at the same time to express our sorrow over his departure, that these words are here inscribed.

Adopted unanimously by rising votes

The Clerk of the Board was instructed to make proper arrangements for the members of the Board to attend the funeral of Mr. Flaherty in a body.

Reception to German Schoolship "Hamburg."

The following was presented, read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

San Francisco, June 10, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Permit me, on behalf of the German-American Committee for the reception of the officers and men of the schoolship "Hamburg," representing the republic of Germany, to express our sincere thanks for your decision authorizing the Mayor to appoint a Citizens' Committee to take full charge of the reception to the officers and men.

We also wish to thank you for unanimous decision to decorate the City Hall and Market street with American and German flags.

San Francisco has indeed given new evidence of the generous, broad-minded spirt for which its citizens have always been noted. This committee knows how keenly the officers and men appreciated this broad-minded friendly spirit.

Incidents such as this have more than once been the foundation of a lasting national friendship and good-will that will go far in achieving permanent peace on earth.

Again thanking you for your courtesy, I am

Yours very truly,
Sgd) JOHN HERMANN,
Chairman German-American Committee.

Auditor Receipts for Budget.

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

June 14, 1926.

Received from J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), Budget for the Fiscal Year 1926-27, and approved by the Mayor June 13, 1926.

THOS. F. BOYLE,
Auditor.
(Sgd) By HENRY INGERSEN.

Citizens' Committee on Reception to Archbishop Mannix.

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 4, 1926.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan:

In accordance with Resolution No. 25713, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on May 24, 1926. and providing for the appointment by the Mayor of a committee of Supervisors to welcome the Right Reverend Daniel J. Mannix, Archbishop of Melbourne, Australia, I am appointing the following members of the Board:

Supervisors Andrew J. Gallagher, chairman; J. Emmet Hayden; Milo F. Kent; Alfred Roncovieri.. Warren Shannon; Richard J. Welch.

I would appreciate your advising these Board members of their appointment in order that Chairman Gallagher may call a meeting.

Very sincerely yours.
(Sgd) JAMES ROLPH, JR..
Mayor.

Relative to Occupancy of McLeran Building by Hall of Justice Departments.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 2, 1926.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

In accordance with my agreement with the Board of Supervisors I have had Mr. John Reid, the City Architect, check over the floor plan submitted by me for leasing the premises at 333 Kearny street.

I am enclosing you a letter signed by Mr. Reid acknowledging my communication and stating that he has found the plan correct as to the floor area submitted.

The premises are now completely prepared to be occupied by the City.

In accordance with my lease the rent will commence June 4, 1926.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) LOUIS T. SAMUELS.

John Reid, Jr., Architect, 60 Sansome street, San Francisco.

June 2, 1926.

Mr. Louis T. Samuels, 43 Sutter street, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Samuels:

This will acknowledge your communication of May 28, 1926, submitting two sets of blue prints of fourth, fifth, sixth and seventh floors of No. 333 Kearny street.

I have had these floor plans, showing the arrangements of partitions, checked at the building and found them to be correct as to size of rooms and locations of partitions in the building on the floors mentioned above.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) JOHN REID, JR.,

City Architect.

Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 25590 (New Series), adopted May 3, 1926, authorizing the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors to enter into contract with James G. Stafford, assessment expert, for the purpose of making a survey of taxable property for the City and County of San Francisco for the Board of Equalization, and providing for his compensation in the amount of $3,000.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

June 7, 1926—Over one week.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the matter be filed.

So ordered.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Stock Furniture, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing stock furniture for School Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Scissors, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing scissors for use in the School Department and *referred Supplies Committee.*

Stationery.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing stationery required by the City and County during the fiscal year 1926-1927 and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Forms and Blanks.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing printed and lithographed forms and blanks required by the City and County during the ensuing fiscal year 1926-1927 and *referred to Supplies Committee*

Books.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing books required by the City and County of San Francisco during fiscal year 1926-1927 and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Chair Desks, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing 3000 chair desks for School Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Lighting Streets, Public Buildings and Parks.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for lighting streets, public buildings and parks with gas and electricity and for furnishing heat, light and power to the City and County for the year ending June 30, 1927, and *referred to Lighting Committee.*

Calendars and Journals.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for printing the Journal of Proceedings and Calendars of the Board of Supervisors, Daily Trial and Law and Motion Calendars and Decisions of the Supreme and Appellate Courts for the fiscal year 1926-1927, and *referred to Welfare and Publicty Committee.*

Garbage Disposal.

Sealed proposals were received up to the hour of 3 p. m. this day for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the city.

The following bids or proposals were received and *referred to the Public Health Committee*:

1. G. W. Beers, sea dumping, 30 miles out, 80 cents a ton; no check.
2. A. H. Hyde. (If dumped by

sea will submit proposals when definite requirements are made known.) Address: 301 Wells Fargo Building.

3. Scavengers' Union Incorporated and Sunset Scavengers' Corporation. (Disposal by incineration in the City of San Francisco.)

4. Decarie Incinerator Corporation. Incineration plant 800 tons daily, cost $1,310,000.

5. Ludwig Incinerator. Hot air dry kiln, two 300-ton capacity units.

Supervisor Shannon's Resolution on Endorsement of "Fill and Cover Method."

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the disposal of San Francisco's garbage through the operation of the incinerator has resulted in the creation of a disgraceful public nuisance in the very heart of the Potrero District; and

Whereas, it is against public conscience that the citizens, taxpayers and owners of property in its vicinity should be compelled to further bear the insufferable conditions dangerous to health and seriously detrimental to property values for blocks around, resulting from its operation; and

Whereas, other large cities on the Pacific Coast are successfully and beneficially using the "fill and cover" method of garbage disposal, thereby reclaiming land and filling in swampy areas adjacent to said cities; and

Whereas, the tide lands to the seawall along San Francisco's bay shore should be reclaimed to provide additional industrial sites to care for San Francisco's rapid industrial development; and

Whereas, the "fill and cover" method is recommended by sanitation engineers; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby indorse the "fill and cover" method of garbage disposal and adopts such method as its policy in the disposition of garbage and waste arising in the City and County of San Francisco.

Referred to Health Committee.

Team Hire.

Supervisor Shannon moved, in accordance, he said, with the opinion of City Attorney Lull, Long, Lane and O'Toole, that team hire is a supply and under the jurisdiction of the Supplies Committee; that all items in the Budget relating to team hire now under jurisdiction of the Board of Public Works or other department be referred to the Supplies Committee and placed under the jurisdiction of said committee.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved, as an amendment, that the Supplies Committee report as to what manner it intends to deal with this teaming problem within thirty days.

Motion as amended *carried.*

Rezoning in Sunset District.

Supervisor Marks, chairman of the City Planning Committee, announced that in addition to public hearings on the subject held on May 11th and 25th, the City Planning Committee will hold public hearings relative to pending ordinance rezoning certain streets in the Sunset District on Tuesday, June 15th and Tuesday, June 22d, at 2 o'clock p. m.

The public is entitled at said hearings to present arguments with respect to all phases of such proposed rezoning.

Confiramtion of Sale of City Land.

Confirmation of sale of the following parcels of City land, private sale of which was duly authorized by Ordinance No. 7046 (New Series), to-wit:

Parcel No. 1, Mrs. Carrie P. Rhodes, $2,250.

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Mono street, distant thereon 20.200 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Market street, said point of beginning being on the proposed northwesterly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Mono street 79.800 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 00 min. 3 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 91.767 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead; thence deflecting 79 deg. 56 min. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 45.473 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Market street; thence southwesterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Market street on a curve to the left of 275-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 85 deg. 1 min. 14 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 12 deg. 20 min. 10 sec., a distance of 59.209 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead. Together with dwelling house and appurtenances thereon.

Parcel No. 2, Annie Keegan, $350.

Beginning at a point distant 500 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street and distant 60.067 feet southerly

from Market street, measured along a line parallel with Hattie street, said point of beginning being on the easterly boundary of Lot 36, Block B, of the Park Lane Tract, and running thence southerly along said easterly boundary and parallel with Hattie street 25.896 feet to the southerly boundary of said lot; thence westerly along said southerly boundary 25.096 feet to the westerly boundary of said lot; thence northerly along said westerly boundary 14.100 feet; thence northeasterly 28.631 feet to the point of beginning; being the southerly portion of Lot 36, Block B of Park Lane Tract.

Parcel No. 3, Steve Durakoff, $500.

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of De Haro street, distant thereon 372.726 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of De Haro street 27.274 feet; thence at right angles westerly 82.112 feet; thence deflecting 157 deg. 1 min. to the left and running southeasterly 81.640 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 112 deg. 59 min., a distance of 10.360 feet to tangency with the westerly line of De Haro street at the point of beginning: being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel No. 5, John Rudometkin, $800.

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of De Haro street, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of De Haro street 42.368 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 124 deg. 48 min. a distance of 10.891 feet; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 81.031 feet; thence deflecting 145 deg. 12 min. to the right and running westerly 74.720 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Parcel No. 6, Annie T. Jones Scherf, $30.

Beginning at a point distant 175 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street and distant 89.31 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Carolina street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 10.69 feet; thence at right angles northerly 7.430 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 48 min. to the right and running southeasterly 13.019 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Parcel No. 4, Fred Slepnikoff, $150.

Beginning at a point distant 325 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street and distant 58.944 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of De Haro street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 41.056 feet; thence at right angles northerly 17.413 feet; thence deflecting 112 deg. 59 min. to the right and running southeasterly 44.596 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel 1, as described in Section 1 of Ordinance No. 7046 (New Series), shall be sold subject to the obligations of the purchaser to cut off or otherwise remove all portions of the dwelling house situated thereon which extend southeasterly of the northwesterly line of Market street as widened and to construct a concrete or masonry retaining wall on said northwesterly line of Market street as widened and regraded so as to prevent subsidence or caving of the soil on to said street; and it shall be a further condition of said sale that the City and County assumes no liability to the said purchaser of Parcel 1 for damage or injury to the surface of the ground in said parcel or the building thereon which may result from the construction and regrading of Market street in front thereof.

If at said meeting an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that hereinabove named shall be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to the above named persons or order a new sale in conformity with the provisions of the Charter, otherwise said sale to Mrs. Carrie P. Rhodes, 3198 Market street, for $2,250; Annie Keegan, for $350; Steve Durakoff, 851 Rhode Island street, for $500; John Rudometkin, 871 Rhode Island street, for $800; Annie T. Jones Scherf, 864 Carolina street, for $30; Fred Slepnikoff, $150, will be confirmed for the price hereinabove stated.

Dated May 19, 1926.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

No increased offer being made, the following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

Confirming Sale of City Lands.

Bill No. 7635, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco and situate in the

City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 7046 (New Series), approved April 2, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the lands hereinafter described, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco to sell all of said lands at private sale to be held on May 4, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for two weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for two weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the lands to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 7046 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at his office in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on May 4, 1926; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisers constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said lands and fixed the fair value thereof and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 4th day of May, 1926, at private sale, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco sold said parcels of land to the following persons:

Parcel No. 1, Mrs. Carrie P. Rhodes, for $2,250;

Parcel No. 2, Annie Keegan, for $350;

Parcel No. 3, Steve Durakoff, for $500;

Parcel No. 4, Fred Slepnikoff, for $150;

Parcel No. 5, John J. Rudometkin, for $800;

Parcel No. 6, Annie T. Jones Scherf, for $30.

and accepted from said persons a deposit in the amount of ten per cent or more of the amount bid, and thereafter and at the next meeting of the Board of Supervisors, May 10, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the amounts bid, the names of the bidders, and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of twenty days from and after the 19th day of May, 1926, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 14th day of June, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confiramtion, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the lands had been sold as aforesaid, and the names of the purchasers, and also stating that if at such meeting, on the 14th day of June, 1926, an offer of ten per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing, by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the amounts bid as aforesaid are not disproportionate to the value of the property sold, and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That said sale of the said lands hereinafter described is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchasers upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying all the right, title and interest of the City and County in and to the lands sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel No. 1—Mrs. Carrie P. Rhodes, $2,250.

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Mono street, distant thereon 20.200 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Market street, said point of beginning being on the proposed northwesterly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Mono street 79.800 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 00 min. 3 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 91.767 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead; thence deflecting 79 deg. 56 min. to

the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 45.473 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Market street; thence southwesterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Market street on a curve to the left of 275-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 85 deg. 1 min. 14 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 12 deg. 20 min. 10 sec., a distance of 59.209 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead. Together with dwelling house and appurtenances thereon.

Parcel 1 as described in Section 1 hereof shall be sold subject to the obligation of the purchaser to cut off or otherwise remove all portions of the dwelling house situated thereon which extend southeasterly of the northwesterly line of Market street as widened and to construct a concrete or masonry retaining wall on said northwesterly line of Market street as widened and regraded so as to prevent subsidence or caving of the soil on to said street; and it shall be a further condition of said sale that the City and County assumes no liability to the said purchaser of Parcel 1 for damage or injury to the surface of the ground in said parcel or the building thereon which may result from the construction and regrading of Market street in front thereof.

Parcel No. 2—Annie Keegan, $350.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point distant 500 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street and distant 60.067 feet southerly from Market street, measured along a line parallel with Hattie street, said point of beginning being on the easterly boundary of Lot 26, Block B of the Park Lane Tract, and running thence southerly along said easterly boundary and parallel with Hattie street 25.896 feet to the southerly boundary of said lot; thence westerly along said southerly boundary 25.096 feet to the westerly boundary of said lot; thence northerly along said westerly boundary 14.100 feet; thence northeasterly 28.631 feet to the point of beginning; being the southerly portion of Lot 36, Block B, of Park Lane Tract.

Parcel No. 3—Steve Durakoff, $500.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of De Haro street, distant thereon 372.726 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of De Haro street 27.274 feet; thence at right angles westerly 82.112 feet; thence deflecting 157 deg. 1 min. to the left and running southeasterly 81.640 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 112 deg. 59 min. a distance of 10.360 feet to tangency with the westerly line of De Haro street at the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel No. 4—Fred Slepnikoff, $150.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point distant 325 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street and distant 58.944 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of De Haro street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 41.056 feet; thence at right angles northerly 17.413 feet; thence deflecting 112 deg. 59 min. to the right and running southeasterly 44.596 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel No. 5—John J. Rudometkin, $800.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of De Haro street, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of De Haro street 42.368 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 124 deg. 48 min. a distance of 10.891 feet; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 81.031 feet; thence deflecting 145 deg. 12 min. to the right and running westerly 74.720 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Parcel No. 6—Annie T. Jones Scherf, $30.

Parcel 6. Beginning at a point distant 175 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street and distant 89.31 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Carolina street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 10.69 feet; thence at right angles northerly 7.430 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 48 min. to the right and running southeasterly 13.019 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyances and supervise the delivery of deeds upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25757 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Home Laundry Co., laundry for parks (claim dated May 28, 1926), $818.01.

(2) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated May 28, 1926), $505.20.

(3) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated May 28, 1926), $651.75.

(4) Chocolate Sales Corporation, supplies for parks (claim dated May 28, 1926), $575.25.

(5) John Reid, Jr., architect's fee, Donkey Barns, Fleishhacker Playground (claim dated May 28, 1926), $900.

County Road Fund.

(6) Market Street Railway Co., relaying of railroad tracks on Fillmore street between Chestnut and Bay streets, account of street widening, etc. (claim dated May 20, 1926), $2,000.

Special School Tax.

(7) W. P. Fuller & Co., oil, etc., for repairs to schools (claim dated May 25, 1926), $699.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(8) John Reid, Jr., twentieth payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated May 25, 1926), $1,143.81.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(9) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated May 25, 1926), $6,981.81.

(10) John Reid, Jr., twenty-fourth payment, architectural services, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated May 25, 1926), $655.88.

Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(11) Colifornia Corrugated Culvert Co., culverts for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 21, 1926), $748.18.

(12) Hales & Symons, lumber, stakes, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $1,215.82.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machine parts (claim dated May 25, 1926), $2,827.63.

(14) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 20, 1926), $521.45.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 21, 1926), $4,561.

(16) R. L. Price, oak wood for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $2,496.88.

(17) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $543.35.

(18) George H. Tay Co., range boilers, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 21, 1926), $638.67.

(19) United States Rubber Co., hose, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $638.

(20) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., one lighting arrester, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 21, 1926), $918.27.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(21) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated May 20, 1926), $1,421.44.

(22) Jenkins-Hall Index Card Co., conductor's day cards, Municipal Railways (claim dated May 20, 1926), $529.20.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(23) Ralph H. Moore, compromise agreement for account personal injuries received by Municipal Railways (claim dated May 20, 1926), $600.

School Bond Fund, Issue 1918.

(24) Underwood Typewriter Co. Inc., typewriter machines for Mission High School (claim dated May 25, 1926), $660.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(25) Alex. Dulfer Printing Co., printing for account Music Week, for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 24, 1926), $585.50.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated June 1, 1926), $750.

(27) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund for labor grading steps at Telegraph Hill (claim dated May 28, 1926), $667.75.

(28) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Dept. hydrants, month of May (claim dated May 27, 1926), $14,227.40.

(29) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasins, etc., for sewer repairs (claim dated May 20, 1926), $748.68.

(30) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 20, 1926), $867.20.

(31) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated May 20, 1926), $718.10.

(32) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated May 20, 1926), $3,647.86.

(33) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, Civic Center Power House (claim dated May 20, 1926), $1,041.60.

(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated May 20, 1926), $3,749.32.

(35) American Radiator Co., repairs to steam boiler, Juvenile Detention Home (claim dated May 25, 1926), $675.06.

(36) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $787.52.

(37) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated May 25, 1926), $708.77.

(38) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated May 22, 1926), $609.50.

(39) Pacific Portland Cement Co. Con., cement for street repair (claim dated May 24, 1926) $965.71.

(40) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,470.82.

(41) C. Nauman & Co., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated May 25, 1926), $1,192.51.

(42) H. E. Teller & Co., coffee, Relief Home (claim dated May 25, 1926), $502.50.

Auditorium Fund.

(43) Selby C. Oppenheimer, services of Louis Graveure, soloist in "Elijah" (claim dated June 1, 1926), $1,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

(*Supervisor Marks* voted *no* on Items Nos. 5, 8, 9 and 10.)

Appropriations, Relief Home Equipment, Publicity for Highways, Equipment for Horace Mann School, Improvement Vallejo Street.

Resolution No. 25758 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) For equipment of new Relief Home buildings, additional $16,642.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(2) For the expense of publicity and advertising in the promoting of direct legislation having for its purpose the construction of highways, particularly in the vicinity of San Francisco, and the providing of more funds for that purpose, $5,000.

(Said expenditures not to exceed $5,000).

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(3) For the purchase of equipment for the Horace Mann Junior High School, $1,321.33.

Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item 36*a*.

(4) For the improvement of Vallejo street between Mason and Taylor streets, and crossing of Vallejo and Taylor streets, $2,040.72.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25759 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

E. Ahern, northwest corner of Tenth avenue and Judah street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Andrews-Wilmans Biscuit Co., 1026 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Brodie, west line of Webster street, 100 feet south of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Durant School), Hollis street between Ellis and O'Farrell streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, east side of Bay street, 150 feet south of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, northwest corner of Gough and Ivy streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Larkin Laundry, 730 Larkin street, 600 gallons capacity.

O. McHugh, north side of McAllister street, 50 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. M. Oyen, north side of Geary

street, 60 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pacific Coast Glass Co., north side of Irwin street, 60 feet west of Seventh street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Rose, 2165 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Women's Building Association, southwest corner of Sutter and Mason streets, 2000 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northeast corner of Capra way and Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Steur, east side of Mason street, 100 feet north of Sutter street, 2000 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

The Raggo Co., 431 Jackson street, 20 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 25760 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Oatfield & Fletcher be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Eldridge, Herman & Hale by Resolution No. 22413 (New Series), for premises at No. 25 Dolores street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Resolution No. 25761 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred H. Wandell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Frank Zichosh by Resolution No. 24869 (New Series), for premises at 1600 Buchanan street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25762 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas J. Linehan be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Plymouth and Sagamore streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Blasting Permits.

Resolution No. 25763 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on the south side of Ocean avenue, between Tara and Howth streets; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2 500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Resolution No. 25764 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on Army, Kansas and De Haro streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of

$5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Ophthalmic Hospital Permit.

Resolution No. 25765 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Aaron S. Green, Louis D. Green and Martin D. Icove be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an ophthalmic hospital on the southwest corner of Bush and Octavia streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Ordering Improvement of City Lands at Douglass and Twenty-sixth Streets.

Bill No. 7608, Ordinance No. 7118 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of City lands at Douglass and Twenty-sixth streets by the grading, draining and fencing of same in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement. Cost of said improvement to be borne out of Budget Item No. 58, Fiscal Year 1925-1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The improvement of City lands at Douglass and Twenty-sixth streets by the grading, draining and fencing of same is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said improvement to be borne out of Budget Item No. 58, Fiscal Year 1925-1926.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Amending Auctioneers' License Ordinance.

Bill No. 7609, Ordinance No. 7119 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 14 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes and relating to auctioneers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 14 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Auctioneers.

Section 14. For the purposes of this section every person, firm or corporation who sells, offers for sale, or exposes for sale by public auction any property, real or personal, or who maintains, conducts or carries on any public auction-room or rooms shall be deemed to be an "Auctioneer" and shall pay a license as follows:

Those whose sales amount to two million (2,000,000) dollars or more per year shall pay an annual license of eight hundred (800) dollars.

Those whose sales amount to one million (1,000,000) dollars or more and less than two million (2,000,000) dollars per year shall pay an annual license of six hundred (600) dollars.

Those whose sales amount to five hundred thousand (500,000) dollars or more, and less than one million (1,000,000) dollars per year, shall pay an annual license of four hundred (400) dollars.

Those whose sales amount to less than five hundred thousand (500,000) dollars per year shall pay an annual license of two hundred (200) dollars.

All licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall be paid annually in advance from the date of the issuance of any such license.

No license is required for the sale of any goods at public sale belonging to the United States or to this

State, or for the sale of property by virtue of any process issued by any State or Federal court.

Every original application for a license under this section must be accompanied with a verified statement of the amount of sales proposed to be made monthly, and the license charge must be for the first year classified thereby; and every auctioneer applying to the Tax Collector for a renewal of his license must accompany his application with a statement under oath, setting forth that his average receipts per month on account of sales during the preceding year did not exceed the amount specified in the class of license for which he applies. The Tax Collector must provide blank forms of affidavit for that purpose and administer the oath required to such applicants without charge. No auctioneer must exceed the amount of sales of the class in which his license is fixed.

No auctioneer is permitted to transfer his license to any other person for any part of the time for which his license is issued.

The Tax Collector shall not issue a license or a renewal thereof to any person, firm or corporation to engage in the business as auctioneer unless the applicant therefor shall have first obtained a permit for such license or renewal thereof from the Board of Police Commissioners.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Ordering Improvement of Stanyan Street Between McAllister and Fulton Streets.

Bill No. 7610, Ordinance No. 7120 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Stanyan street between McAllister and Fulton streets; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said work, and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the improvement of Stanyan street between McAllister and Fulton streets in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article 6, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7611, Ordinance No. 7121 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of in-

terest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Huron avenue between Ottawa avenue and Mount Vernon avenue*, by the construction of a 15-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 15 Y branches and one brick manhole along the center line of Huron avenue between the northerly line of Ottawa avenue and the center line of Mount Vernon avenue.

Also the improvement of *the crossing of Huron avenue and Foote avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with one brick manhole; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Bill No. 7612, Ordinance No. 7122 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Niagara avenue between Howth street and Willar street, where not already so improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Bill No. 7613, Ordinance No. 7123 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten

installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the following portions of Joost avenue between Congo and Detroit streets:*

The northerly one-half thereof between Congo street and a line parallel with and 100 *feet westerly therefrom; the northerly one-half thereof, from a line parallel with and* 150 *feet westerly from Congo street to a line parallel with and* 200 *feet westerly from Congo street; the northerly one-half thereof, from a line parallel with and* 250 *feet easterly from Detroit street to a line parallel with and* 275 *feet easterly from Detroit street; the northerly one-half thereof, from a line parallel with and* 175 *feet easterly from Detroit street to a line parallel with and* 200 *feet easterly from Detroit street; the northerly one-half thereof, from a line parallel with and* 100 *feet easterly from Detroit street to a line parallel with and* 150 *feet easterly from Detroit street; the southerly one-half thereof, from Detroit street to a line parallel with and* 125 *feet easterly from Detroit street; the southerly one-half thereof, from a line parallel with and* 225 *feet easterly from Detroit street to a line parallel with and* 300 *feet easterly from Detroit street;* by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete and concrete pavements on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Bill No. 7614, Ordinance No. 7124 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The *improvement of the following portions of Palou avenue between Jennings and Hawes streets, described as follows:*

On the northerly one-half of Palou avenue from the easterly line of Jennings street to a line 75 *feet easterly from and parallel with Jennings street and from a line* 225 *feet easterly from and parallel with Jennings street to a line* 300 *feet easterly from and parallel with Jennings street, and from a line* 450 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Jennings street to the westerly line of Ingalls street, and from a line* 225 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street to a line* 275 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street, and from a line* 450 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street to the westerly line of Hawes street.*

On the southerly one-half of Palou avenue from a line 275 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Jennings street to a line* 325 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Jennings street, and from a line* 375 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Jennings street to a line* 450 *feet easterly from and parallel with*

the easterly line of Jennings street, and from a line 150 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street to a line* 225 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street, and from a line* 375 *feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Ingalls street to the westerly line of Hawes street*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway of the said described portion, excepting on the roadway of those described portions being between the westerly line of Ingalls street and a line 225 feet westerly therefrom, which said portions are to be improved in part by the construction of a concrete pavement, 7 feet in width adjacent to the center line of Palou avenue in substitution of such asphaltic concrete pavement, which is to be constructed on the remainder of the roadway of said portions, and the *improvement of the crossings of Palou avenue and Hawes street, Palou avenue and Ingalls street, and Palou avenue and Jennings street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossings; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on each of the above mentioned crossings; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer *along the center line of Jennings street between the northerly and center line of Palou avenue*, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Bill No. 7615, Ordinance No. 7125 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The *improvement of the following portions of Fourteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets*:

The easterly one-half of Fourteenth avenue from Ulloa street to a line parallel with and 100 *feet southerly therefrom; the easterly one-half of Fourteenth avenue from a line parallel with and* 150 *feet northerly from Vicente street to a line parallel with and* 225 *feet northerly from Vicente street; the westerly one-half of Fourteenth avenue from Ulloa street to a line parallel with and* 150 *feet southerly therefrom; the westerly one-half of Fourteenth avenue from a line parallel with and* 250 *feet northerly from Vicente street to a line parallel with and* 300 *feet northerly from Vicente street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with necessary manholes and Y branches, that said frontages would be liable for, and by the construction of concrete and asphaltic concrete pavements as may be required.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Bill No. 7616, Ordinance No. 7126 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the westerly one-half of Laidley street between Fairmont and Harry streets, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the consrtuction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Bill No. 7617, Ordinance No. 7127 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Lobos street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Bill No. 7618, Ordinance No. 7128 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks on *Grove street between Gough street and Octavia street*, and on *Ivy street between Gough street and Octavia street*, where full width artificial stone sidewalks have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Bill No. 7619, Ordinance No. 7129 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width on *Farallones street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue, and* on *Sadowa street between Plymouth avenue and Capitol avenue;* and on *Plymouth avenue between Sadowa street and Sagamore street*, where artificial stone sidewalks of six feet or more in width have not already been constructed to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Bill No. 7620, Ordinance No. 7130 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Douglass street between the northerly line of Twentieth street and the southerly line of Twenty-first street, including the crossing of Twenty-first street and Douglass street, and those portions of Douglass street opposite the terminations of Romain street, Corwin street and Twentieth street,* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of pipe sewers and appurtenances and side sewers; by the construction brick manholes and the necessary brick catchbasins with accompaning 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by resetting the existing catchbasins not at official line and grade; by the construction of class "A" Portland cement concrete in walls, steps, landings, and copings, including all excavation and backfill therefor; by the construction of galvanized wrought iron pipe railings, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete and concrete pavements on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Width on Detroit Street.

Bill No. 7621, Ordinance No. 7131 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 14, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirteen, to read as follows:

Section 913. The width of sidewalks on Detroit street between Judson avenue and Circular avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Detroit street between Judson avenue and Circular avenue, showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks."

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Tehama Street.

Bill No. 7622, Ordinance No. 7132 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061,

entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and twelve.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 11, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and twelve, to read as follows:

Section 912. The width of sidewalks on Tehama street between Fifth street and Sixth street shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and on motion *laid over two weeks*:

Selection and Location of Traffic Signals and Safety Zones.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series). as follows:

Providing for the selection and location of traffic signals and safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever the public interest shall require the Board of Supervisors may order the installation of signal devices and the establishment of safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board shall have authority to select the devices, apparatus, markers or other appliances as it shall deem best suited for the purpose, and it shall be its duty to designate and specify the location of the same and the manner in which they are to be placed or installed, which installation of signal devices shall be made by the Department of Electricity.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $97,830.07, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs.....$62.08

Edna Nelson et al., compensation award 83.32

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) O. Monson, first payment, general construction of West Portal School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $23,717.40.

(2) O. Monson, ninth payment, general construction of Alvarado School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $13,256.25.

(3) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing for the Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $512.07.

(4) Standard Electrical Construction Co., fourth payment, electrical work for Le Conte School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $991.69.

(5) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural service for Dudley Stone School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $2,210.23.

(6) Pacific Electrical Construction Co., fourth payment, electrical work for the Alamo School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $2,027.59.

(7) Thos. Skelly, fourth payment, plumbing work for Alamo School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $4,288.16.

(8) L. Flatland, sixth payment, electrical work for addition to the High School of Commerce (claim dated June 2, 1926), $3,242.88.

(9) Amoroso & Damico, first payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $7,755.

(10) Wm. F. Wilson Co. (assignee), fifth payment, plumbing, etc., for the Alvarado School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,251.

(11) Barrett & Hilp, tenth payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $19,716.75.

(12) Severin Electric Co., first payment, electrical fixtures for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated June 2, 1926), $7,-381.50.

(13) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., final payment, electrical fixtures for Dudley Stone School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $759.25.

(14) L. Flatland, fourth payment, electrical work for Alvarado School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $967.50.

(15) A. Lettich, second payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,506.75.

(16) A. Lettich, eleventh payment, plumbing work for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,271.55.

(17) Anderson & Ringrose, extra work performed on Le Conte School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,-409.06.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(18) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated May 26, 1926), $609.

General Fund, 1923-1924.

(19) City Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Geary street from Mason street to Van Ness avenue (claim dated June 2, 1926), $4,101.89.

Park Fund.

(20) Federal Pipe & Supply Co., plumbing supplies for parks (claim dated June 4, 1926), $640.65.

(21) The Killefer Manufacturing Co., one scraper for tractor, for parks (claim dated June 4, 1926), $750.

(22) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated June 4, 1926), $893.17.

(23) Pioneer Rubber Co., hose for parks (claim dated June 4, 1926), $787.50.

Special School Tax.

(24) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school repairs (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,414.68.

(25) O. Monson, second payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $22,582.50.

(26) S. W. Band, second payment, plumbing, etc., for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,395.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(27) Walter V. Lake, guardian ad litem of Katherine Lake, a minor, payment of compromise agreement for account of injuries to Katherine Lake, a minor, by Municipal Railways (claim dated June 3, 1926), $1,250.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(28) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., tungsten lamps for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 26, 1926), $840.48.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(29) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals, for May (claim dated June 8, 1926), $1,250.

(30) San Francisco Bulletin. official advertising (claim dated June 8, 1926), $750.45.

(31) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, for May (claim dated June 8, 1926), $4,254.13.

(32) City Construction Co., second payment, improvement of Kearny street (claim dated June 2, 1926), $4,500.

(33) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalty for street resurfacing (claim dated May 29, 1926), $2,359.55.

(34) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,094.32.

(35) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, etc., for street repair (claim dated May 29, 1926), $762.90.

(36) The Spring Valley Water Co., resetting of hydrants on Kearny street, due to Kearny street widening (claim dated June 1, 1926), $584.11.

(Supervisor Marks requested to be recorded *no* on Item No. 5.)

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) California Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $693.16.

(2) Landau Economic Syphon

Co., soda water for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $643.20.

(3) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, poultry for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $1,095.35.

(4) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $521.27.

(5) Schweitzer & Co., Inc., meats for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $593.63.

(6) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $3,829.61.

Library Fund.

(7) Houghton, Mifflin & Co., public library books (claim dated May 31, 1926), $513.16.

(8) San Francisco News Co., public library books (claim dated May 31, 1926), $930.27.

(9) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated May 31, 1926), $700.

(10) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,359.55.

(11) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated May 31, 1926), $5,742.14.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(12) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished Moccassin Power Plant (claim dated June 5, 1926), $1,013.38.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(13) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 3, 1926), $818.72.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(14) L. P. Brassy, third payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated June 4, 1926), $6,210.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(15) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., galvanized corrugated sheets for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $1,496.95.

(16) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $565.67.

(17) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $548.45.

(18) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $824.02.

(19) Western Meat Co., meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $664.20.

(20) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $4,484.42.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(21) F. W. Snook Co., sixteenth payment, plumbing, etc., for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated June 8, 1926), $5,102.52.

(22) Clinton Construction Co., seventeenth payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated June 9, 1926), $15,450.

(23) F. W. Snook Co., fifteenth payment, mechanical equipment for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated June 9, 1926), $7,179.05.

Special School Tax.

(24) Carl H. Peterson, third payment, construction of annex to the Parkside School (claim dated June 8, 1926), $7,484.07.

(25) Joseph J. Rankin, second payment, architectural services for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $2,473.70.

(26) The Scott Co., first payment, heating system for annex to the Parkside School (claim dated June 8, 1926), $1,027.50.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(27) Anderson & Ringrose, eighth payment, general construction of the Le Conte School (claim dated June 8, 1926), $25,725.

(28) Lautourette Fical Co., seventh payment, mechanical equipment for the New Mission High School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $4,655.91.

(29) MacDonald & Kahn, tenth payment, construction of New Mission High School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $59,506.97.

(30) Bond Construction Co., sixth payment, general construction of the Hawthorne School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $26,558.33.

(31) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., fifteenth payment, construction of the Alamo School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $29,298.75.

(32) F. L. Hansen, fourth payment, general construction of the Henry Durant School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $27,845.63.

(33) L. Flatland, final payment, electrical work on Dudley Stone School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $2,630.50.

(34) Latourette Fical Co., third payment, mechanical equipment of the Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $1,891.78.

(35) Latourette Fical Co., first payment, plumbing work of Henry

Durant School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $1,949.56.

(36) W. H. Picard, seventh payment, plumbing and gasfitting of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $1,134.39.

(37) Mahony Bros., twenty-first payment, general construction of addition to the High School of Commerce (claim dated June 9, 1926), $22,556.82.

(38) Bond Construction Co., seventh payment, construction of additional units to Galileo High School, etc. (claim dated June 9, 1926), $9,966.88.

(39) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., seventh payment, general construction of Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $21,828.85.

Publicity and Advertising (*Appropriation* 55).

(40) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 14, 1926), $1,698.01.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(41) California Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $695.32.

(42) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,153.29.

(43) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $970.20.

(44) Golden State Milk Products Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,006.32.

(45) Miller & Lux Inc., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $736.19.

(46) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,908.36.

(47) California Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,292.55.

(48) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,311.78.

(49) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,152.81.

(50) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,709.85.

(51) Golden State Milk Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,949.84.

(52) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $4,090.55.

(53) Jacobson-Reimers Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,935.50.

(54) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $2,152.16.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1926), $760.20.

(56) Spring Valley Water Co., water, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,397.98.

(57) Elihu B. Booth, compensation insurance, Emergency Hospital employee, per award (claim dated June 4, 1926), $729.05.

(58) H. F. Dugan, drugs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $3,849.30.

(59) California Metal and Radiator Works, Fire Department equipment (claim dated May 31, 1926), $767.25.

(60) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1926), $960.13.

(61) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,423.31.

(62) Shell Company, fuel oil, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,862.98.

(63) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,550.81.

(64) E. D. Bullard Co., oxygen apparatus for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,020.

(65) F. L. Hansen, third payment, construction of Children's Ward on the southeast wing (tubercular) of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1926), $7,802.63.

(66) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing and publishing the Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated June 14, 1926), $940.

(67) Associated Charities, widow's pensions (claim dated June 11, 1926), $8.421.02.

(68) Eureka Benevolent Society, widow's pensions (claim dated June 11, 1926), $970.

(69) Little Children's Aid, widow's pensions (claim dated June 11, 1926), $7,557.47.

(70) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $1,487.50.

(71) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $3,882.83.

(72) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $945.

(73) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $2,276.69.

(74) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 9, 1926), $1,512.65.

(75) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $10,473.38.

(76) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 9, 1926), $27,013.27.

(77) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $3,859.82.

(78) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $617.78.

(79) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund for labor furnished in construction of stairs at Telegraph Hill (claim dated June 11, 1926), $662.50.

(80) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund, for labor, etc., for account Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 11, 1926), $2,153.20.

(81) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund, for labor and water furnished Civic Center (claim dated June 11, 1926), $991.84.

(82) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund for proportion of expense of cleaning Lagoon at the Marina (claim dated June 11, 1926), $2,305.87.

(83) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, oil, etc., for street cleaning (claim dated June 7, 1926), $512.88.

(84) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasin frames and gates for sewers (claim dated June 7, 1926). $534.60.

(85) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated June 8, 1926), $552.

(86) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 8, 1926), $3,404.58.

(87) Pacific Nash Motor Co., one Nash auto for use of Board of Public Works (claim dated June 7, 1926), $1,995.40.

(88) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 14, 1926), $2,032.05.

County Road Fund.

(89) Bond Construction Co., final payment, construction of San Jose avenue bridge at Mt. Vernon avenue (claim dated June 2, 1926), $10,078.11.

(Supervisor Marks requested to be recorded *no* on Item No. 25.)

Authorizing $3,000 for Making Survey on Present Water Supply of San Francisco and Peninsula Region.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 is authorized in payment out of the Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund to the Auditing Committee of the Peninsula Water Investigation for San Francisco's proportion of the expense of making a survey of the present water supply of San Francisco and peninsula region. (Claim dated June 14, 1926.)

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Florence A. Eglington, for lands on the northerly line of Santiago street, commencing 32½ feet easterly from easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence easterly on said northerly line of Santiago street 75 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of irregular dimensions; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25658 (New Series), $3,400.

(2) To Elly Sinclair, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, commencing 57½ feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue 125 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of irregular dimensions; also, lands on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 32½ feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 78 feet; thence at a right angle 120 feet easterly; of irregular dimensions; also, lands on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 162½ feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 36 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of irregular dimensions; per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 25657 (New Series), $9,200.

Appropriation, $2,228 for Underpinning East Wall of Fire Department Engine House No. 17.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,228 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to defray cost of underpinning the east wall of Fire Department Engine House No. 17, on Mint avenue and Stevenson street between Fifth and Sixth streets.

Appropriation for Reconstruction of Bluxome Street and Improvement of Claremont Circle.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Reconstruction of Bluxome Street, Fourth to Sixth Streets, Budget Item No. 83.

(1) For the cost of reconstructing Bluxome street between Fourth and Sixth streets, including engineering, inspection and possible extras (A. E. Hennessey contract), $20,000.

County Road Fund.

(2) For the cost of the improvement of Claremont Circle, additional to enable final payment, $575.

Ordering the Grading of Mount Vernon Avenue Extension.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7622, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the grading of Mount Vernon avenue extension between Harold avenue and Mount Vernon avenue; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said work and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the grading of Mount Vernon avenue extension between Harold avenue and Mount Vernon avenue, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article 6 of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands Required for the Extension and Widening of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25766 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Marie Benson, as executrix of the estate of August Petzold, deceased, $5,250.

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Market street with the westerly line of Hattie street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Market street 25.061 feet to the westerly boundary line of Lot No. 56 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract; thence deflecting 94 degrees 00 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running southerly parallel with Hattie street, along said westerly boundary line 20.049 feet; thence deflecting 85 degrees 59 minutes 40 seconds to the left and running easterly parallel with the southerly line of Market street and distant 20 feet at right angles southerly therefrom, a distance of 25.061 feet to the westerly line of Hattie street; thence deflecting 94 degrees 00 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running northerly along the westerly line of Hattie street 20.049 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot No. 56 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract.

The above amount includes damages in full to the adjoining lot caused or to be caused by the future establishment of a grade on Market street and the grading and construction of Market street, and to the building now partially on the above described parcel, said building to remain the property of the owner and to be moved by her within sixty (60) days after receiving notice by the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the owner of the above described parcel will not be assessed for the proposed improvement of Market street, as widened and extended, and the proposed improvement of Hattie street between Eighteenth street and Market street.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said

property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25767 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easement situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey said easement desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Nelle T. O'Neil and Annette O'Neil, $119 — Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon fifty-seven and three hundred and seventy-one thousandths (57.371) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Ashbury street with the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Ashbury street nineteen and six hundred and twenty-nine thousanths (19.629) feet; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred and six (106) feet three (3) inches; thence at a right angle northerly ten and two hundred and thirty-nine thousandths (10.239) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly one hundred and six and six hundred and sixty-four thousandths (106.664) feet to the westerly line of Ashbury street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 677.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the easement owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said easement, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $1,490, Payment to Kingsley G. Dunn et al. for Land Required for Duboce-Sunset Tunnel.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,490 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund, and authorized in payment to Kingsley G. Dunn and Mildred Dunn; being payment for portion of Lot 19, Block No. 1268, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 23856 (New Series), and which property is required for the construction of the Duboce-Sunset Tunnel. (Claim dated June 1, 1926.)

Appropriation, $10,004.70, Payment to Garrett W. McEnerney Refund Tax Judgment.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,004.70 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments" (Appropriation 59) and authorized in payment to Garrett W. McEnerney as attorney and agent for judgment creditors, pursuant to writ of mandate of the Supreme Court; said payment being one-tenth of judgment plus interest. (Claim dated June 21, 1926.)

Appropriation, $99,536.84 for the Construction of the Francis Scott Key School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are here-

by set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of the Francis Scott Key School, to-wit:

General construction (O. Monson), $72,640; mechanical equipment (Latourette Fical Co.), $8,957; plumbing work (S. W. Band), $7,618; electrical work (Latourette Fical Co.), $4,499; architectural fees (additional), $822.84; possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $5,000; total, $99,536.84.

Appropriations, Moving Buildings, Etc., Sherman School; Window and Door Shades, Alvarado School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of moving and altering existing buildings of the Sherman School, including possible extras, incidentals and inspection (E. K. Nelson contract at $8,250), $8,750.

(2) For cost of window and door shades for the Alvarado School, $942.

(3) For cost of electrical fixtures for the Alvadaro School, $319.

Ordering Fifteen New Street Cars for the Municipal Railway.

Also, Bill No. 7623, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the furnishing and delivering of fifteen street cars for the Municipal Railways; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said street cars; approving plans and specifications for same and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said furnishing and delivering.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the furnishing and delivering of fifteen street cars for the Municipal Railways, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said furnishing and delivering of fifteen street cars conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Board of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for the Construction of Additions in Lightwell Space at Hall of Justice.

Also, Bill No. 7624, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of additions in the lightwell space at the Hall of Justice; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of additions in the lightwell space at the Hall of Justice is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $15,525.23, to Credit of Municipal Railway Operating Fund to Cover Deficit, April, 1926.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25768 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,525.23 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Operating Fund, representing operating deficit for the month of April, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Additional Positions Ordinance Relative to Maximum Entrance Salary.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7625, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), entitled "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 28a of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 28a. The maximum entrance salary for appointees to positions classified by the Civil Service Commission as general clerks and stenographer-typists is hereby fixed at $1,800 per annum, and such entrance salary shall not be increased within six months after appointments.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of July 1, 1926.

Property Erroneously Assessed Withdrawn from Tax Sale.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25769 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor, the following property being erroneously assessed in 1925 must be withdrawn from sale and reassessed in 1926, in accordance with Section 3806 of the Political Code, and the Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer same for sale:

Vol.	Page	Block	Lot
1	63	77	15
2	143	247	32
2	170	270	9D
2	171	270	25
3	37	419A	25
3	288	443A	1a
3	288	443A	1b
3	288	443A	1d
3	288	443A	1e
3	288	443A	1f
3	288	443A	1g
3	288	443A	1h
3	288	443A	1i
3	289	443A	1j
3	289	443A	1k
3	289	443A	1l
3	289	443A	1m
3	289	443A	1n
3	235	567	3A
5	5	778	12
5	60	824	1A
5	161	930	34
5	225	977	11
7	38	1188	13A
7	130	1239	19
8	91	1368	22/23
8	171	1407	35
9	96	1474	6
9	99	1475	27
9	182	1528	29 Und. ½
9	182	1528	29 Und. ½
10	40	1565	17
10	151	1618	1D
10	203	1637	1C Und. ½
11	19	1680	27A
11	150	1743	50/51
12	179	1896	21
13	74	2039	15
15	66	2343	13
15	181	2403	9
16	54	2474	37
17	52	2658	27/28
17	80	2694	27
18	42	2877	16
19	73	3095	34
19	73	3095	35
19	73	3095	36
19	220	3212	19
20	147	3557	55A
20	195	3572	1
21	43	3587	10
21	110	3604	6
22	140	3737	8
26	81	4760	11
33	145	6049	16
33	201	6090	22 Und. ½
33	201	6090	22 Und. ½
35	180	6468	19A
35	117	6413	4
35	223	6502	11a
38	191	6947	1
39	66	6993	31
40	172	7167	16
3	53	443A	1
6	27	1003	23/27

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd—2.

Board of Public Works Authorized to Enter into Lease with Kate J. Rosasco et al. for Certain Property Required for the Construction of the Hetch Hetchy Water System.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25770 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Kate J. Rosasco, Estella Kelly, Leo Rosasco, Genevieve Rosasco, Clarence Rosasco and Mary Cave (formerly Mary Rosasco) have offered to lease to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of constructing buildings, roads, railroad sidings, pipe lines, telephone lines, power lines and other structures necessary in the construction of the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, certain real property situate in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, being portion of Section 36, Township 1 South, Range 13 East, M. D. B. and M., for a period of five years, commencing September 1, 1925, for the sum of $710, with the option of extending said lease for a period not exceeding five (5) years from and after expiration of same, at the rate of $142 per year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, upon recommendation of the City Engineer, That the

Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to execute said lease between the above named parties and said Board of Public Works, and the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to make the necessary payment, as per the terms of said lease.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Accepting Offer of Marie Lacabanne et al. to Sell Certain Land Required in Construction of Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25771 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Marie Lacabanne and Davis Lacabanne, $18,160 — Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 229 feet 4 inches easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Carl street 25.333 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.667 feet; thence at right angles southerly 90 feet; thence at right angles easterly 0.333 feet; thence at right angles southerly 47.500 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Accepting Offer of Alfred Hampton to Sell Certain Land Required in Construction of Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25772 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easements situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey said easements desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Alfred Hampton, $97 — Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Downey street, distant thereon seventy-seven and eight hundred and eight thousandths (77.808) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Downey street with the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly and along the said westerly line of Downey street twenty-eight and one hundred and ninety-two thousandths (28.192) feet; thence at right angles westerly fifty-three and one hundred and twenty-five thousandths (53.125) feet; thence at right angles northerly twenty-three and four hundred and ninety-seven thousandths (23.497) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly fifty-three and three hundred and thirty-two thousandths (53.332) feet to the westerly line of Downey street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

William F. Bartsch and Emma Bartsch, $52—Commencing at a point distant fifty-five (55) feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Downey street and distant sixty-eight and nine hundred and seventy thousandths (68.970) feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, running thence southerly, parallel with Downey street, thirty-three and thirty thousandths (33.030) feet; thence at a right angle easterly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle northerly

thirty-five and two hundred and thirty-nine thousandths (35.239) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly twenty-five and ninety-seven thousandths (25.097) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the easements owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said easements, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriations for Construction of Annex to Guadalupe School.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the following amounts to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of Annex to the Guadalupe School, to be erected in block bounded by Cordova, Prague and Naylor streets and Winding way, to-wit:

General construction (Anderson & Ringrose), $92,000.

Mechanical equipment (A. Lettich), $9,265.

Plumbing system (Thomas R. Smith), $6,234.

Electrical work (Alta Electric Co.), $5,072.

Architectural fees, $3,754.26.

Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $5,000.

Total amount, $121,325.26.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 125.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25773 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco street and Quintara street, said set-back lines to be 15 feet.

Along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Quintara street and running thence northerly 75 feet 9 inches, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly to Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Quintara street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at Cabrillo street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Balboa street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the easterly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at a point 65 feet 5 inches northerly from Point Lobos avenue and running thence northerly to Clement street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 6th day of July, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher,

Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd—2.

Referred.

The following bill was ordered *referred to the City Planning Committee*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Southeast Corner of Pine and Steiner Streets.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed, so as to place the southeast corner of Pine street and Steiner street, for a distance of 127½ feet along Steiner street and a distance of 181 feet 3 inches along Pine street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Set-back Lines, Seventeenth, Nineteenth and Fortieth Avenues.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7626, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth, Nineteenth and Fortieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 26th day of April, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 121 to establish set-back lines along Seventeenth, Nineteenth, and Fortieth avenues, and fixed the 24th day of May, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawnoa street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Irving street and running thence northerly to Lincoln way, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 126.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25774 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the easterly side of Nine-

teenth avenue commencing at Pacheco street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 6th day of July, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

S. H. Levin, south side of Twenty-fourth street, 60 feet west of Hampshire street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Bjors, southeast corner of Union and Sharp streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco (St. Brigid's Rectory), southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, south line of Eddy street, 175 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Liebman, north line of Francisco street, 150 feet west of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joseph Ehrman, 3452 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Byer & Riebman, north side of California street, 137 feet west of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, north side of Lake street, 110 feet west of Second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 130 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, west side of Taylor street, 120 feet south of O'Farrell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Lagomarsino, southwest corner of Oliver and Mission streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mercantile Trust Company, north side of Commercial street, 118 feet west of Montgomery street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Rosenberg, 1355 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Andrews-Wilmans Biscuit Co., 1026 Mission street, thirty-five (35) horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That George A Gibbs is hereby granted permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while excavating on property situate in

subdivision Westwood Highlands and Monterey Heights, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said George A. Gibbs, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Denying Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 25775 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied William Hench to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Shannon street, 62 feet 6 inches north of Geary street, thence southerly through to Jones street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—15.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Referred.

The following matter was *referred to the Fire Committee* on motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to mainatin and operate an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Thirty-third avenue and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Compromise of Gas and Electric Rate Litigation.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there are pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California four suits, numbered 27, 32, 97 and 190 on the equity file of said court, in all of which suits the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco and its officers are defendants; and

Whereas, said suits involve judicial determination of the validity under the United States Constitution of ordinances numbered 2349, 2348, 2814 and 3338 (all new series), of the City and County of San Francisco, prescribing and fixing respectively rates to be charged by public service corporations in San Francisco for electricity during the fiscal year 1913-14, and for gas during the fiscal years 1913-1914, 1914-15 and 1915-16; and

Whereas, the decrees previously rendered by the District Court in the City's favor in said suits numbered 27, 97 and 190, involving gas rates, have been reversed on appeal by the Supreme Court of the United States, and the suits sent back for retrial; and in case No. 32, involving electric rates, the report of the Master in Chancery recommends issuance of an injunction prohibiting the enforcement of said rates; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has transmitted to the Board of Supervisors a written communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, dated December 16th, 1925, offering to compromise and settle all of said suits upon certain terms therein set forth; and

Whereas, both former City Attorney George Lull, who was in office pending the trial and appeal of the foregoing litigation, and the present City Attorney, John J. O'Toole, have recommended in writing that this Board authorize and direct the settlement of said suits upon the terms set forth in said communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company dated December 16, 1925; and

Whereas, after a careful examination and consideration of all the facts relating to said suits and the controversies therein involved, which have been submitted, the Board of Supervisors believes, in view of the large number of consumers who are interested in the outcome of said suits, of the considerable time which must elapse and the large expense to the taxpayers that must be incurred before the suits could again be tried and a final decision had, of the doubt that the City Attorney expresses as to the eventual outcome of the litiga-

tion by reason of the opinion of the United States Supreme Court rendered in the reversal of previous decrees in the City's favor, and in view of the relatively small amounts payable to individual consumers under any outcome of the suits, that the best interests of the City and the ratepayers will be conserved by a compromise along the lines recommended by the City Attorney; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to settle and compromise said four suits be and it is hereby accepted; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into stipulations with counsel for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the entry of decrees in said four suits which shall finally settle and dispose of the same upon the terms and conditions set forth in said letter from said company dated December 16, 1925; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to take all steps necessary to see that said decrees when entered by the court are duly executed so as to protect the interests of the City and the ratepayers in the premises.

Approving Map of Oloran Alley, Colonial Way and Nantucket Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25776 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91882 (Second Series), approve a map showing the opening of Oloran alley from Santa Rosa avenue to Paulding street; and the extension of Colonial way and Nantucket avenue northwesterly to Oloran alley; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the opening of Oloran alley from Santa Rosa avenue to Paulding street; and the extension of Colonial way and Nantucket avenue northwesterly to Oloran alley is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Authorizing the Execution of a Deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25777 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board on the 19th day of April, 1926 (after proceedings theretofore had pursuant to the provisions of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco), duly adopted by Resolution No. 25535 (New Series), closing and abandoning a portion of Ellington avenue as in said resolution described; and

Whereas, on the 30th day of April, 1926, said resolution was duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Dolores Realty Company, owner of lands adjacent to or fronting on the aforesaid portion of said street so closed and abandoned, has offered to convey or cause to be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco property for the opening of Sala Terrace and the extension of Ellington avenue, in lieu of such portion of street so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said Dolores Realty Company, pursuant to its aforesaid offer, has caused to be made, executed and deliver to said City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient conveyance vesting in said City and County of San Francisco, for street purposes, the title to the parcels of land as accepted and dedicated by Resolution No. 25274 (New Series); and

Whereas, said new portions of streets so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco, for street purposes as aforesaid, will and do constitute ample consideration to the City and County for its deed to the portion of said street closed and abandoned as hereinbefore recited and will be of much greater practical value both to the City and County of San Francisco and to the general public; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That equity requires that the portion of said street closed and abandoned as aforesaid should be conveyed by the City and County of San Francisco to said Dolores Realty Company; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said Dolores Realty Company a deed conveying to said Dolores Realty Company all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco, in and to the parcel of

land situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Ellington avenue as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 25535 (New Series). Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25778 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Hennessy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 17, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Arleta avenue between Delta and Elliott streets.

The work is well under way; rough grade, catchbasins, curbs have been constructed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25779 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work:

Ninety days from and after June 24, 1926, to complete the contract for the improvement of Union street between Franklin and Steiner streets. The work is progressing.

Ninety days from and after June 11, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Douglass street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets. The work is completed with the exception of the covering, and delay has been caused on account of the time necessary to allow the fill placed in this street to settle.

Ninety days from and after June 12, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Arkansas and Twenty-second streets. The work is completed with the exception of the asphaltic covering, and contractor requests this extension in order to keep the contract alive in case of an appeal from the assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Also, Resolution No. 25780 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 17, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Kansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.

The work is completed with the exception of the covering. This extension is requested in order to keep the contract alive in case of an appeal.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Approving Map of Proposed Grades on Laguna Honda Boulevard.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25781 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91518 (Second Series), approve a map showing the proposed establishment of grades on Laguna Honda boulevard between Hernandez avenue and Portola drive; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the proposed establishment of grades on Laguna Honda boulevard between Hernandez avenue and Portola drive is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25782 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance

with Resolution No. 92112 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted June 7, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed June 8, 1926, to-wit:

On Castro street between Chenery street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Laidley street southeasterly line.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7627, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Forty-sixth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Fifteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Ingalls street between Jamestown and Ingerson avenues, Marston avenue between Edna and Circular avenues, Naglee avenue between Ellington and Huron avenues, and crossing of Naglee avenue and Huron avenue, Ortega street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues, and crossing of Ortega street and Seventeenth avenue, Sanchez street between Twenty-second and Hill streets, Vicente street between the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue, including the crossings of Vicente street and Fourteenth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue, Seventeenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue; crossing of Forty-fourth avenue and Rivera street, Key avenue between Third and Keith streets and crossing of Key avenue and Keith street, Noriega street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, Santiago street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete, and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Forty-sixth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Fifteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no mater mains have been laid therein.

Ingalls street between Jamestown and Ingerson avenues paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Marston avenue between Edna street and Circular avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water main have been laid therein.

Naglee avenue between Ellington and Huron avenues, and crossing of Naglee and Huron avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ortega street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues and crossing of Ortega street and Seventeenth avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sanchez street between Twenty-second and Hill streets paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue, including the crossings of Vicente street and Fourteenth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue, Seventeenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Forty-fourth avenue and Rivera street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Key avenue between Third and Keith streets, and crossing of Key avenue and Keith street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Noriega street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Declaring that Public Interest and Necessity Require the Acquisition of Certain Parcels of Land on Mangels Avenue, Baden Street, Joost Avenue and Monterey Boulevard for the Extension of Main Sewers.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25783 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Mangels avenue, distant thereon 275 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, running thence westerly and along said line of Mangels avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet to the point of commencement. Being Lot No. 26 in Block No. 40, Sunnyside.

Parcel 2. Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Joost avenue, distant thereon 275 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, running thence westerly and along said line of Joost avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the point of commencement. Being Lot No. 25 in Block No. 40, Sunnyside.

Parcel 3. Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Joost avenue, distant thereon 225 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, running thence westerly and along said line of Joost avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet to the point of commencement. Being Lot No. 30 in Block No. 41, Sunnyside.

Parcel 4. Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Monterey boulevard, distant thereon 350 feet easterly from the easterly line of Congo street, running thence easterly and along said line of Monterey boulevard 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the point of commencement; being Lot No. 29 in Block No. 41, Sunnyside; be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the extension of main sewers. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Gilman Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25784 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 25544 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon a portion of Gilman avenue in the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said portion of said Gilman avenue was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 2, Chapter III, Article IV and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the said closing and abandonment of said portion of said Gilman avenue was or were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing up of said portion of said Gilman avenue and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary therefor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said portion of said Gilman avenue be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street of the City and County of San Francisco.

The said portion of said Gilman avenue hereinabove referred to and closed and abandoned as a public street herein is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Gilman avenue, distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, and running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Gilman avenue 89.92 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary of the property now or formerly owned by the San Francisco Sulphur Company; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly boundary 85.22 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly line of Gilman avenue; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Gilman avenue 10.47 feet, more or less, to a point distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence northerly parallel with the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and distant 25 feet at right angles easterly therefrom, a distance of 135.30 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning; being all of that portion of Gilman avenue lying between the westerly boundary of the property of the San Francisco Sulphur Company and a line parallel with and distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, containing 4016 square feet. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25785 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 7, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-first street between Church and Sanchez streets, for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by the condition of the ground during the rainy season.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Second Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7628, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 221 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office May 28, 1926, by amending Section 221 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 221. The width of sidewalks on Second street between Market street and Folsom street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Second street between Folsom street and Townsend street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Second street between Townsend street and Berry street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Eighteenth Street.

Also, Bill No. 7629, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 915.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office May 29, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 915, to read as follows:

Section 915. The width of sidewalks on Eighteenth street between Church street and Guerrero street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Carolina Street.

Also. Bill No. 7630, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 914.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office May 28, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 914, to read as follows:

Section 914. The width of sidewalks on Carolina street between Twentieth street and Twenty-second street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Carolina Street between Twentieth Street and Twenty-second Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Brannan Street.

Also, Bill No. 7631, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 220 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 28, 1926, by amending Section 220 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 220. The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Beale street and Sixth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the northwesterly side of, between Sixth street and Seventh street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between Sixth street and Seventh street shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the northwesterly side of, between Seventh street and Eighth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between Seventh street and

Eighth street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Eighth street and a point 275 feet southwesterly from Eighth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Ninth street and a point 275 feet northeasterly from Ninth street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the northwesterly side of, between Ninth street and Dore street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between Ninth street and a point 29 feet southwesterly from Ninth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between a point 227.78 feet southwesterly from Ninth and Division streets shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between the points, respectively, 29 feet and 227.78 feet southwesterly from Ninth street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the northwesterly side of, the width shall extend from the property line to the curb line, which curb line shall be the prolongation of the curb line between Ninth street and Dore street.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Street Specifications Ordinance Amendment.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7632, Ordinance No. —— (New Series). as follows:

Amending Sections 5, 6 and 7 of Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the making and refilling of excavations in the public streets, alleys, sidewalks and other public places, and repealing Ordinance No. 658 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 2109 (New Series)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the making and refilling of excavations in the public streets, alleys, sidewalks and other public places, and repealing Ordinance No. 658 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 2109 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

"Section 5. In every case the street or thoroughfare so opened or torn up shall be restored by the person, firm or corporation opening or tearing up the same, in the manner and with the material in this ordinance provided, and to as good a condition as it was in before the opening or tearing up thereof.

The person, firm or corporation opening or tearing up any pavement shall assume the full responsibility for all reconstruction and repairs as aforesaid, and shall be subject to the penalties hereinafter provided in case the work of such repairs and reconstruction was not properly performed."

Section 2. Section 6 of said Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

"Section 6. No trench shall be opened in any graded street or thoroughfare for the purpose of laying pipes or conduits more than six hundred feet in advance of the pipe or conduit placed therein, except in case of emergency and by consent of the Board of Public Works. All such trenches shall be backfilled and the old torn-up pavements (except basalt blocks, cobbles or old concrete) shall be removed from the street, together with the surplus excavated material, within three working days from the time such material is placed upon the street, except by written consent of the Board of Public Works.

In case the street or roadway is paved with broken rock, macadam or basalt blocks, or cobbles, or concrete, on a sand foundation, said pavement shall be restored within three working days from the time the trench was backfilled.

In case the street or thoroughfare is paved with bitumen or asphalt, and a binder course on a block or cobble foundation, or bitumen or asphalt on a concrete foundation, said pavement shall be restored to the same thickness as the original pavement, including the foundation, with asphaltic concrete, commonly known as 'blackbase,' within three working days from the time the trench was backfilled. In case the street or thoroughfare is paved with basalt blocks or paving brick with grouted joints on a concrete foundation, said pavement shall be restored within not less than seven nor more than twelve days from the time the trench was refilled, five of which days are to be allowed for concrete to set and

harden. During the period following the laying of the concrete base to the relaying of the wearing surface such concrete shall be covered with planks and sand flush with the surface of the contiguous pavement.

Whenever any caving occurs in the side walls of any excavation, the pavement above such caving shall be cut away, and in no case shall any void under a pavement be filled by any side or lateral tamping.

In every case the work of repaving over all trenches must commence immediately after said trenches are backfilled, and the work of clearing up the streets is to be considered a part of the repaving work, and shall be finished within the same time allowed in all cases for said repaving and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

When a street is opened for the purpose of what is known as prospecting, or for the purpose of making repairs or alterations to pipes or conduits, as soon as the work of such repairs and alterations is finished the trench shall be backfilled and pavement restored in the manner and within the time allowed for the restoration of the same kind and character of pavements over main or service trenches.

In every case and at all times the work of removal from the streets of all obstructions, surplus materials and debris or waste matter of every description caused and accumulated by said work of opening and restoring public streets and thoroughfares, shall be kept up jointly with the work of backfilling and repaving either over 'main' or 'service' trenches, and all finished together —or nearly so—and within the time herein allowed in Section 6 of this ordinance, and in all cases the surface of the street shall be restored to as good a condition as it was in before the work of opening commenced.

When any of the work required to be done by Section 6 of this ordinance is necessarily delayed by any strike or strikes, such delay shall be added to the time limits therein prescribed."

Section 3. Section 7 of said Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

"Section 7. In case the pavement or surface of the street over said openings should become depressed or broken at any time after the work has been completed—natural wear of the surface or improper work of some other person, firm or corporation excepted—the person, firm or corporation for whom the street was opened shall, upon a written notice from the Board of Public Works, immediately proceed to repair and restore said pavement in a proper and workmanlike manner to the satisfaction of said Board of Public Works; and it is hereby expressly declared that the fact that the original work of restoring said pavement or surface was subject to the inspection or inspected by or under the authority of the Board of Public Works, as in this ordinance provided, shall not excuse such person, firm or corporation from the duty and obligation imposed by this section.

In case said pavement is not completely restored within ten days after such notice has been given, and unless delayed by a strike or strikes, or conditions beyond their control, the said Board shall thereupon do the work at the expense of said delinquent person, firm or corporation.

All materials shall be furnished by the party or parties for whom the work is being done, and said material shall be of the best quality, in strict accordance with the City's standard specifications, and all the work shall be performed in a proper and workmanlike manner in compliance with the rules and regulations and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works. The person, firm or corporation, during the progress of the work, shall maintain a sign at such excavation bearing the name of such person, firm or corporation."

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Spur Tract Permit, Crane Company.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7633, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Crane Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Second street between Brannan and Townsend streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, is hereby granted to Crane Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Second street between Brannan and Townsend streets as follows:

Relocate existing track by throwing from position shown dotted yellow to position shown dotted red on blue print, and construct addi-

tional spur, center line of which is described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center of the existing spur on Second street approximately 270 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Brannan street, said point being approximately 41 feet distant from the southwesterly line of Second street; thence northwesterly on a tangent and curve to the right to a point distant 18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Second street and approximately 170 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Brannan street; thence northwesterly, parallel to the southwesterly line of Second street, for a distance of 57 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rail under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by the Crane Company; provided, that the Crane Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that the curbs be set back to a line ten feet east of the west property line to allow for the construction of the track as shown on blue print attached to the petition; that all pavement disturbed be restored, and that proper clearances be allowed for in accordance with the requirements of the Railroad Commission.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time and Release From Payments of Liquidated Damages Upon Contract of Pioneer Rubber Mills for Fire Hose.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25786 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of thirty days' time and a release from payment of liquidated damages be hereby granted, upon recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, to Pioneer Rubber Mills, upon contract for furnishing 10,000 feet fire hose awarded by Resolution No. 25447 (New Series), approved April 2, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ellis Street.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Marks and *passed for printng*:

Bill No. 7634, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the Peaple of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ellis street, commencing at a point 164 feet 9 inches westerly from Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly 27 feet 6 inches, and extending to the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

List of Deputy Sheriffs Required.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Sheriff is requested to file with this Board the names, occupation and residence of all persons designated by him as deputy sheriffs from the period beginning January 1, 1925, to date.

Referred to Police Committee.

Illegal Prohibition Raids and Arrests.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the press has called attention to what is claimed are illegal raids and arrests of citizens in this City and County on charges

surrounding the Eighteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, and the so-called Wright Act; and

Whereas, it is stated that this practice is being continued, the particular area under criticism being the police district known as the Harbor Police Station; and

Whereas, because of our confidence in Chief of Police Daniel J. O'Brien, our faith in his judgment and our further feeling and belief that he, like ourselves holds sacred the constitutional rights of all of our people; therefore, be it

Resolved, That in consonance with oft repeated statements and rumors and with a desire to find out what is the situation existing, but with no desire to interfere with the jurisdiction of the police officials, and to protect the rights of all citizens, the Police Committee is directed to commence an investigation and at the earliest date to report the facts in the premises. And be it

Further Resolved, That if this Board finds the press reports to be fact, charges shall be preferred before the proper tribunal to remove from office any public official guilty of violating any constitutional right guaranteed under the Constitution.

Referred to Police Committee

City Telephone List.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Telephone Committee of this Board is requested to file with the Board the list of free telephones now in use under our jurisdiction, and the locations of same.

Referred to Telephone Service Committee.

Condemnation of School Land, Washington Street.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25787 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the immediate acquisition, construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings and improvements to be used by the said City and County for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor is an imperative and public necessity and that the public interest and necessity demand such acquisition, construction and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco.

That the lands to be so acquired for public use and necessity are situated in the City and County of San Francisco and described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Washington street, distant thereon 87 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Powell street, running thence easterly along the said southerly line of Washington street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right nagles northerly 137 feet 6 inches to the southerly line of Washington street and the point of commencement; being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 136, also known as Block No. 211 on Assessor's Map Book.

Further Resolved, That the land above described is hereby selected by the City and County of San Francisco for the public uses and purposes hereinafter set forth and that the whole thereof is necessary for the City and County of San Francisco and suitable and adaptable for said public uses and purposes.

Resolved Further, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco, condemnation proceedings against the owners of and all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the land hereinbefore described, for the purpose of acquiring a title in fee simple to such described land for the City and County of San Francisco for public uses herein set forth.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Todd —2.

Extension of Time, Dyer Brass Foundry.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25788 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of time of 30 days be hereby granted, upon recommendation of Board of Fire Commissioners, to F. G. Dyer Brass Foundry and Machine Works on contract awarded by Resolution No. 25445 (New Series), Proposal No. 166, for furnishing 4 Monitor Battery Nozzles and 8 four-way inlet boxes.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Dr. Hassler Appointed Narcotic Agent.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 25789 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That William C. Hassler, Health Officer of the Department of Public Health, is hereby appointed, with full authority for the year commencing July 1, 1926, and ending June 30, 1927, to sign orders for narcotics for the institutions of the Department of Public Health, namely, the Relief Home for Aged and Infirm, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospitals and the Central Office, Department of Public Health, and Hetch Hetchy Hospital, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Narcotic Division of the Treasury Department, Internal Revenue, San Francisco, California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Entertainment of Editors and Publishers' Convention.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 25790 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a large delegation of owners, publishers and editors of newspapers published throughout the United States are making a tour of the country and will arrive in San Francisco on or about July 28th and will be our guests for a period of three or four days; and

Whereas, it is of the utmost importance and will prove of inestimable value to our city if these distinguished visitors are given every facility to acquaint themselves with our resources and natural advantages and particularly that they be favorably impressed with the proverbial hospitality of our people; and

Whereas, the memories and impressions of their stay among us will be reflected in the reports which they will make to their respective communities through their home papers, thus proving of incalculable benefit to the city from an advertising standpoint; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be authorized to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for the reception and entertainment of these distinguished visitors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee be and it is hereby authorized to spend the sum of $1,500 in providing for their proper reception and entertainment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Bridge Hearings.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25791 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the result of years of incessant labor on the part of the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development of this Board to connect the City of San Francisco with the interior of the State by a comprehensive system of bridges and highways has been fruitful and it is conclusive that a physical connection can and will be built connecting San Francisco with Alameda County; and

Whereas, there are now pending before this Board of Supervisors eleven different petitions for a franchise to build a bridge or a combination of bridge and tube; and

Whereas, said franchise grant will bring a revenue and benefit to the City commensurate with the care and discretion exercised in granting the same; therefore,

Resolved, That the Streets and Commercial Development Committee be instructed to make all necessary arrangements as to notices and open hearings in order that the fullest publicity may be given the petitioners, the press and to the public, with the end in view that a physical connection, when and where built, will bring the maximum benefit to San Francisco.

Supervisor Welch relinquished the chair in favor of Supervisor Hayden, who was called upon to preside.

Motions.

Supervisor Badaracco moved that the resolution be referred to the Joint Committee on Public Utilities, Streets and Commercial Development.

Supervisor Welch moved as an amendment to the amendment that the resolution be referred to the Joint Committee on Finance, Public Utilities, Streets and Commercial Development.

Supervisor Marks moved as a substitute for the whole that the three latter mentioned committees, Finance, Public Utilities, Streets and Commercial Development be in-

serted in the resolution and that the same be adopted as so amended.

Motion *carried* and resolution *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Kent, Todd—3.

Committee to Attend Convention of League of Municipalities.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Resolution No. 25792 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be authorized to appoint a committee of five to attend the annual meeting of the League of Municipalities to be held August 16 to 20, 1926, at Yosemite National Park.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Kent, Todd—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at 4:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 20, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 25

Monday, June 21, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 21, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 21, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 12, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Letter of Thanks, Citizens' Committee All-Ireland Hurling Team Reception.

The following was read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

June 17, 1926.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, City Hall, City.

Gentlemen: The Citizens Committee, appointed by his Honor the Mayor for the purpose of properly receiving the Champion All-Ireland Hurling Team, begs leave to extend its thanks to the members of the Board of Supervisors for the very many courtesies received through your authority and commission.

You will be glad to know that the affair was a very great success and much of it was due to your kind cooperation.

With much gratitude,

Respectfully yours,

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
President.

MRS. SAMUEL HAYES,
Secretary.

Leave of Absence, Fred Dohrmann, Jr., School Director.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 16, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Fred Dohrmann, Jr., member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing July 6, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25833 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Fred Dohrmann, Jr., a member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing July 6, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Lile T. Jacks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 19, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Lile T. Jacks, Judge of Police Court, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing June 26, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

June 16, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Having been elected a delegate to the National Convention of the Loyal Order of Moose from our San Francisco Lodge No. 26, it will be necessary for me to be absent from the State of California if I am permitted by your Board to attend said convention. This convention will convene in Chicago on the 1st of July and I would therefore ask your Honorable Board to grant me a leave of absence for the period of thirty days, beginning on the 26th day of June.

Thanking you in advance for your favorable consideration of the above request, I beg to remain,

Your most respectfully,
L. T. JACKS.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25834 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Lile T. Jacks, Police Judge, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 26, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Leave of Absence, Alice Rose Power, School Director.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 15, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Miss Alice Rose Power, member of the Board of Education, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of six weeks, beginning June 20, 1926.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25835 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Miss Alice Rose Power, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of six weeks, commencing June 20, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Relative to Date of War Department Hearing on Bay Bridge.

The following matters were read by the Clerk:

(Night Letter.)
(Charge Board of Supervisors.)
San Francisco, June 18, 1926.

United States Senators Shortridge and Hiram W. Johnson, Washington, D. C.

The following telegram tonight to Secretary of War. Will you please at the request of Board of Supervisors give this personal attention and help to get early decision?

"Secretary of War, Washington, D. C.

Board of Supervisors respectfully wishes to inquire if an approximate date can be made when the engineers of the War Department will decide on applications for permission to bridge the bay. Reference made to letter of Chief Engineer, stating that matter would be given prompt consideration when District Engineer's report arrives at headquarters. Board of Supervisors under statute have this matter on its calendar Monday, June 21, 1926, and legal questions involved prompt this respectful inquiry as to when department at Washington will make decision on request to change ruling on bridges over San Francisco Bay. Because of the public interest in this matter Supervisors desire to proceed at earliest date possible. Answer by wire will be appreciated.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk Board of Supervisors."

Telegram.

Washington, D. C., 11:17 a. m.
June 19, 1926.

J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Calif.

Telegram received War Department. State to me they hope to be able render decision bridge matter before end of month. Will keep in

touch with matter and endeavor to keep you advised.

HIRAM W. JOHNSON.

8:46 a. m.

Telegram.

Washington, D. C. 4:29 p. m.
June 21, 1926.

J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Calif.

Replying your June 18th telegram, the subject matter thereof has received my attention.

SAMUEL M. SHORTRIDGE.

2:02 p. m.

Senator Lawrence Flaherty.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco, at 3:50 p. m., the Board took a recess of a few minutes in order to be in the rotunda when the body of the late Congressman Lawrence Flaherty arrived from Washington, and to pay respects to his memory.

HEARINGS—2:30 P. M.

Bridges.

Consideration of the application of John Bermingham, Jr., for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, continued for hearing until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Consideration of application of A. H. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Continued for hearing on motion of Supervisor Welch until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Consideration of the application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Continued for hearing, on motion of Supervisor Welch, until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Consideration of the application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, continued for hearing until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Consideration of application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Continued for hearing on motion of Supervisor Welch until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Consideration of application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Continued for hearing on motion of Supervisor Welch until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Consideration of application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, continued for hearing until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Consideration of the application of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco ond Alameda County.

Continued for hearing on motion of Supervisor Welch until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Additional Applications for Transbay Bridge Franchise.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

Application of Robert Lee Dunn.

May 17, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Gentlemen:

Prior to May 17, 1926 the undersigned, Robert Lee Dunn, duly and regularly published a notice in a newspaper in the County of Alameda, and one in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, once a week for seven successive weeks, specifying therein that he would on said May 17, 1926, make an application to your Honorable Body for authority to construct a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, State of California.

Prior to the 17th day of May, 1926, the undersigned filed with the Clerk of your Honorable Board a set of plans showing the location where applicant desired to place said bridge and the proposed form and structure thereof. That on the 17th day of May, 1926, your applicant appeared before your Honorable Board to press his said application but that the presiding officer of your Honorable Body then and there put the matter of said application over until the succeeding meeting and meetings.

Your applicant hereby requests full and favorable hearing on his said application and hereby files this, his written application for authority to construct said bridge.

Action: Continued for hearing on motion of Supervisor Welch until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Application of Allan C. Rush.

June 2, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco County, California.

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit a petition and request a franchise and legal authority for the construction, operation and maintenance of a toll suspension bridge over and across the waters of the Bay of San Francisco, California, connecting the two counties of San Francisco County, California, and Alameda County, California.

To which petition is here attached and made a part thereof, a description, in part, of said proposed bridge, with map and drawings of the Bay of San Francisco, together with that of other papers pertaining to the same, from which the identity of the signature of this applicant is herein established.

Together with description, map, photograph and letter of introduction signed by Joseph McMillan, former manager and superintendent of the Pacific Electric Railroad for Mr. Huntington and of his railway interests in Los Angeles and all interurban railway interests in Southern California. Also letter of reference from G. H. Colvin of the Farmers and Merchants National Bank of Forth Worth, testifying to the integrity and character of the applicant.

Action: Continued for hearing on motion of Supervisor Welch until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E.

Berkeley, Calif., June 21, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Gentlemen:

Edward A. Bertram, civil engineer, of Berkeley, California, presents his application for a franchise and authority to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the waters of San Francisco Bay, dividing the counties of San Francisco and Alameda in a north-south direction of said bay, and proponent shows that he is a New York State

licensed professional engineer, having given satisfactory evidence to the University of the State of New York that he has the qualifications as required by law to practice professional engineering, as shown on his license granted by the University of the State of New York, dated September 18, 1923, and that proponent is fully experienced and competent to enter upon and conduct and supervise the technical engineering work required for the construction, maintenance and operation of "The San Francisco Bay Bridge," the detailed description of which is given in the attached sheets Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the location of which is shown, as well as the type of design represented on the attached blue prints Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4, both the description and blue prints to be part of this application for franchise, etc.

Together with two clippings, notices of application published in local newspapers, four blue prints and four pages of descriptive matter entitled "San Francisco Bay Bridge proposed by Edward A. Bertram, C. E., 1152 Colusa avenue, Berkeley, California."

Action: Continued for hearing on motion of Supervisor Welch until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Notice of Application to Be Made by G. Lindenthal.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 21, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Sirs:

Referring to the pending applications for franchise for toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, please be advised that the undersigned, G. Lilienthal, desires to make an application for authority to construct such a bridge and will file said application on the 2d day of August, 1926, as soon as the necessary preliminary publication has been completed. A copy of the notice of such application as the same is being published in the City and County of San Francisco, and in the County of Alameda, is as follows:

(Printed notice of application accompanying.)

Action: Continued for hearing on motion of Supervisor Welch until Monday, June 28, 1926, at 2:30 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McShehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Printing.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing printed forms and blanks for School Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Athletic Goods.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing athletic goods for School Department and *referred to Supplies Committee*

Blankets.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing 900 gray blankets for Health Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Filing Equipment.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing 12 metal document files for County Clerk and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Oil Burners.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing oil-burning equipment for School Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Turbine Pump and Motor.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing turbine pump and motor for Park Commissioners and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Steam Shovel.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing steam shovel for Park Commissioners and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Basketball Backstops.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing 20 sets of basketball backstops for School Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Supervisors' Automobile.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that jurisdiction and control of the Supervisors' machine be placed in the hands of the Finance Committee

and that it arrange a schedule for use of machine by each committee of the Board.

So ordered.

Supervisor McSheehy requested Clerk to send letter to every member of the Board asking what day use of machine is desired.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25793 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) O. Monson, first payment, general construction of West Portal School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $23,717.40.

(2) O. Monson, ninth payment, general construction of Alvarado School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $13,256.25.

(3) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing for the Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $512.07.

(4) Standard Electrical Construction Co., fourth payment, electrical work for Le Conte School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $991.69.

(5) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural service for Dudley Stone School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $2,210.23.

(6) Pacific Electrical Construction Co., fourth payment, electrical work for the Alamo School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $2,027.59.

(7) Thos. Skelly, fourth payment, plumbing work for Alamo School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $4,288.16.

(8) L. Flatland, sixth payment, electrical work for addition to the High School of Commerce (claim dated June 2, 1926), $3,242.88.

(9) Amoroso & Damico, first payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $7,755.

(10) Wm. F. Wilson Co. (assignee), fifth payment, plumbing, etc., for the Alvarado School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,251.

(11) Barrett & Hilp, tenth payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $19,716.75.

(12) Severin Electric Co., first payment, electrical fixtures for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated June 2, 1926), $7,381.50.

(13) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., final payment, electrical fixtures for Dudley Stone School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $759.25.

(14) L. Flatland, fourth payment, electrical work for Alvarado School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $967.50.

(15) A. Lettich, second payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,506.75.

(16) A. Lettich, eleventh payment, plumbing work for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,271.55.

(17) Anderson & Ringrose, extra work performed on Le Conte School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,409.06.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(18) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated May 26, 1926), $609.

General Fund, 1923-1924.

(19) City Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Geary street from Mason street to Van Ness avenue (claim dated June 2, 1926), $4,101.89.

Park Fund.

(20) Federal Pipe & Supply Co., plumbing supplies for parks (claim dated June 4, 1926), $640.65.

(21) The Killefer Manufacturing Co., one scraper for tractor, for parks (claim dated June 4, 1926), $750.

(22) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated June 4, 1926), $893.17.

(23) Pioneer Rubber Co., hose for parks (claim dated June 4, 1926), $787.50.

Special School Tax.

(24) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school repairs (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,414.68.

(25) O. Monson, second payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $22,582.50.

(26) S. W. Band, second payment, plumbing, etc., for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,395.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(27) Walter V. Lake, guardian ad litem of Katherine Lake, a minor, payment of compromise agreement for account of injuries to Katherine Lake, a minor, by Municipal Railways (claim dated June 3, 1926), $1,250.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(28) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., tungsten lamps for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 26, 1926), $840.48.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(29) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals, for May (claim dated June 8, 1926), $1,250.

(30) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 8, 1926), $750.45.

(31) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, for May (claim dated June 8, 1926), $4,254.13.

(32) City Construction Co., second payment, improvement of Kearny street (claim dated June 2, 1926), $4,500.

(33) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalty for street resurfacing (claim dated May 29, 1926), $2,359.55.

(34) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,094.32.

(35) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, etc., for street repair (claim dated May 29, 1926), $762.90.

(36) The Spring Valley Water Co., resetting of hydrants on Kearny street, due to Kearny street widening (claim dated June 1, 1926), $584.11.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

(Supervisor Marks voted *no* on Item No. 5.)

Resolution No. 25794 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) California Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $693.16.

(2) Landau Economic Syphon Co., soda water for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $643.20.

(3) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, poultry for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $1,095.35.

(4) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $521.27.

(5) Schweitzer & Co., Inc., meats for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $593.63.

(6) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated June 11, 1926), $3,829.61.

Library Fund.

(7) Houghton, Mifflin & Co., public library books (claim dated May 31, 1926), $513.16.

(8) San Francisco News Co., public library books (claim dated May 31, 1926), $930.27.

(9) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated May 31, 1926), $700.

(10) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,359.55.

(11) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated May 31, 1926), $5,742.14.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(12) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished Moccassin Power Plant (claim dated June 5, 1926), $1,013.38.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(13) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 3, 1926), $818.72.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(14) L. P. Brassy, third payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated June 4, 1926), $6,210.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(15) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., galvanized corrugated sheets for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $1,496.95.

(16) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $565.67.

(17) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $548.45.

(18) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $824.02.

(19) Western Meat Co., meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $664.20.

(20) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1926), $4,484.42.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(21) F. W. Snook Co., sixteenth payment, plumbing, etc., for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated June 8, 1926), $5,102.52.

(22) Clinton Construction Co., seventeenth payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated June 9, 1926), $15,450.

(23) F. W. Snook Co., fifteenth payment, mechanical equipment for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated June 9, 1926), $7,179.05.

Special School Tax.

(24) Carl H. Peterson, third payment, construction of annex to the Parkside School (claim dated June 8, 1926), $7,484.07.

(25) Joseph J. Rankin, second payment, architectural services for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $2,473.70.

(26) The Scott Co., first payment, heating system for annex to the Parkside School (claim dated June 8, 1926), $1,027.50.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(27) Anderson & Ringrose, eighth payment, general construction of the Le Conte School (claim dated June 8, 1926), $25,725.

(28) Lautourette Fical Co., seventh payment, mechanical equipment for the New Mission High School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $4,655.91.

(29) MacDonald & Kahn, tenth payment, construction of New Mission High School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $59,506.97.

(30) Bond Construction Co., sixth payment, general construction of the Hawthorne School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $26,558.33.

(31) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., fifteenth payment, construction of the Alamo School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $29,298.75.

(32) F. L. Hansen, fourth payment, general construction of the Henry Durant School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $27,845.63.

(33) L. Flatland, final payment, electrical work on Dudley Stone School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $2,630.50.

(34) Latourette Fical Co., third payment, mechanical equipment of the Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $1,891.78.

(35) Latourette Fical Co., first payment, plumbing work of Henry Durant School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $1,949.56.

(36) W. H. Picard, seventh payment, plumbing and gasfitting of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $1,134.39.

(37) Mahony Bros., twenty-first payment, general construction of addition to the High School of Commerce (claim dated June 9, 1926), $22,556.82.

(38) Bond Construction Co., seventh payment, construction of additional units to Galileo High School, etc. (claim dated June 9, 1926), $9,966.88.

(39) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., seventh payment, general construction of Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated June 9, 1926), $21,828.85.

Publicty and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(40) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 14, 1926), $1,698.01.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(41) California Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $695.32.

(42) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,153.29.

(43) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $970.20.

(44) Golden State Milk Products Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,006.32.

(45) Miller & Lux Inc., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $736.19.

(46) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,908.36.

(47) California Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,292.55.

(48) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,311.78.

(49) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,152.81.

(50) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,709.85.

(51) Golden State Milk Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,949.84.

(52) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $4,090.55.

(53) Jacobson-Reimers Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,935.50.

(54) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $2,152.16.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1926), $760.20.

(56) Spring Valley Water Co., water, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $1,397.98.

(57) Elihu B. Booth, compensation insurance, Emergency Hospital employee, per award (claim dated June 4, 1926), $729.05.

(58) H. F. Dugan, drugs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1926), $3,849.30.

(59) California Metal and Radiator Works, Fire Department equipment (claim dated May 31, 1926), $767.25.

(60) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1926), $960.13.

(61) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,423.31.

(62) Shell Company, fuel oil, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,862.98.

(63) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,550.81.

(64) E. D. Bullard Co., oxygen apparatus for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,020.

(65) F. L. Hansen, third payment, construction of Children's Ward on the southeast wing (tubercular) of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1926), $7,802.63.

(66) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing and publishing the Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated June 14, 1926), $940.

(67) Associated Charities, widow's pensions (claim dated June 11, 1926), $8.421.02.

(68) Eureka Benevolent Society, widow's pensions (claim dated June 11, 1926), $970.

(69) Little Children's Aid, widow's pensions (claim dated June 11, 1926), $7,557.47.

(70) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $1,487.50.

(71) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $3,882.83.

(72) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $945.

(73) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $2,276.69.

(74) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 9, 1926), $1,512.65.

(75) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $10,473.38.

(76) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 9, 1926), $27,013.27.

(77) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $3,859.82.

(78) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 4, 1926), $617.78.

(79) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund for labor furnished in construction of stairs at Telegraph Hill (claim dated June 11, 1926), $662.50.

(80) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund, for labor, etc., for account Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 11, 1926), $2,153.20.

(81) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund, for labor and water furnished Civic Center (claim dated June 11, 1926), $991.84.

(82) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund for proportion of expense of cleaning Lagoon at the Marina (claim dated June 11, 1926), $2,305.87.

(83) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, oil, etc., for street cleaning (claim dated June 7, 1926), $512.88.

(84) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasin frames and gates for sewers (claim dated June 7, 1926), $534.60.

(85) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated June 8, 1926), $552.

(86) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 8, 1926), $3,404.58.

(87) Pacific Nash Motor Co., one Nash auto for use of Board of Public Works (claim dated June 7, 1926), $1,995.40.

(88) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 14, 1926), $2.032.05.

County Road Fund.

(89) Bond Construction Co., final payment, construction of San Jose avenue bridge at Mt. Vernon avenue (claim dated June 2, 1926), $10,078.11.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

(Supervisor Marks voted *no* on Item No. 25.)

Authorizing $3,000 for Making Survey on Present Water Supply of San Francisco and Peninsula Region.

Resolution No. 25795 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 is authorized in payment out of the Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund to the Auditing Committee of the Peninsula Water Investigation for San Francisco's proportion of the expense of making a survey of the present water supply of San

Francisco and peninsula region. (Claim dated June 14, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25796 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Florence A. Eglington, for lands on the northerly line of Santiago street, commencing 32½ feet easterly from easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence easterly on said northerly line of Santiago street 75 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of irregular dimensions; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25658 (New Series), $3,400.

(2) To Elly Sinclair, for lands on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, commencing 57½ feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue 125 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of irregular dimensions; also, lands on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 32½ feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 78 feet; thence at a right angle 120 feet easterly; of irregular dimensions; also, lands on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 162½ feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 36 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of irregular dimensions; per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 25657 (New Series), $9,200.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Appropriation, $2,228 for Underpinning East Wall of Fire Department Engine House No. 17.

Resolution No. 25797 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,228 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to defray cost of underpinning the east wall of Fire Department Engine House No. 17, on Mint avenue and Stevenson street between Fifth and Sixth streets.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Appropriation for Reconstruction of Bluxome Street and Improvement of Claremont Circle.

Resolution No. 25798 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Reconstruction of Bluxome Street, Fourth to Sixth Streets, Budget Item No. 83.

(1) For the cost of reconstructing Bluxome street between Fourth and Sixth streets, including engineering, inspection and possible extras (A. E. Hennessey contract), $20,000.

County Road Fund.

(2) For the cost of the improvement of Claremont Circle, additional to enable final payment, $575.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Appropriation, $1,490, Payment to Kingsley G. Dunn et al. for Land Required for Duboce-Sunset Tunnel.

Resolution No. 25799 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,490 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund, and authorized in payment to Kingsley G. Dunn and Mildred Dunn; being payment for portion of Lot 19, Block No. 1268, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 23856 (New Series), and which property is required for the con-

struction of the Duboce-Sunset Tunnel. (Claim dated June 1, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Appropriation, $10,004.70, Payment to Garrett W. McEnerney Refund Tax Judgment.

Resolution No. 25800 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,004.70 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments" (Appropriation 59) and authorized in payment to Garrett W. McEnerney as attorney and agent for judgment creditors, pursuant to writ of mandate of the Supreme Court; said payment being one-tenth of judgment plus interest. (Claim dated June 21, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Appropriation, $99,536.84 for the Construction of the Francis Scott Key School.

Resolution No. 25801 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of the Francis Scott Key School, to-wit:

General construction (O. Monson), $72,640; mechanical equipment (Latourette Fical Co.), $8,957; plumbing work (S. W. Band), $7,618; electrical work (Latourette Fical Co.), $4,499; architectural fees (additional), $822.84; possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $5,000; total, $99,536.84.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Appropriations, Moving Buildings, Etc., Sherman School; Window and Door Shades, Alvarado School.

Resolution No. 25802 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of moving and altering existing buildings of the Sherman School, including possible extras, incidentals and inspection (E. K. Nelson contract at $8,250), $8,750.

(2) For cost of window and door shades for the Alvarado School, $942.

(3) For cost of electrical fixtures for the Alvadaro School, $319.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Appropriation for Construction of Annex to Guadalupe School.

Resolution No. 25803 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of annex to the Guadalupe School, to be erected in block bounded by Cordova, Prague and Naylor streets and Winding way, to-wit:

General construction (Anderson & Ringrose)	$ 92,000.00
Mechanical equipment (A. Lettich)	9,265.00
Plumbing system (Thos. R. Smith)	6,234.00
Electrical work (Alta Electric Co.)	5,072.00
Architectural fees	3,754.26
Possible extras, incidentals and inspection	5,000.00
Total	$121,325.26

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 25804 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

S. H. Levin, south side of Twenty-fourth street, 60 feet west of Hampshire street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Bjors, southeast corner of

Union and Sharp streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco (St. Brigid's Rectory), southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, south line of Eddy street, 175 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Liebman, north line of Francisco street, 150 feet west of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joseph Ehrman, 3452 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden. Todd—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25805 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Byer & Riebman, north side of California street, 137 feet west of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, north side of Lake street, 110 feet west of Second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 130 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, west side of Taylor street, 120 feet south of O'Farrell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Lagomarsino, southwest corner of Oliver and Mission streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mercantile Trust Company, north side of Commercial street, 118 feet west of Montgomery street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Rosenberg. 1355 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Andrews-Wilmans Biscuit Co., 1026 Mission street, thirty-five (35) horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 25806 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That George A. Gibbs is hereby granted permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while excavating on property situate in subdivision Westwood Highlands and Monterey Heights, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said George A. Gibbs, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Ordering the Grading of Mount Vernon Avenue Extension.

Bill No. 7622, Ordinance No. 7133 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the grading of Mount Vernon avenue extension between Harold avenue and Mount Vernon avenue; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said work and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the grading of Mount Vernon avenue extension between Harold avenue and Mount Vernon avenue, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and

as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article 6 of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Ordering Fifteen New Street Cars for the Municipal Railway.

Bill No. 7623, Ordinance No. 7134 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the furnishing and delivering of fifteen street cars for the Municipal Railways; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said street cars; approving plans and specifications for same and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said furnishing and delivering.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the furnishing and delivering of fifteen street cars for the Municipal Railways, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said furnishing and delivering of fifteen street cars conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Amending Additional Positions Ordinance Relative to Maximum Entrance Salary.

Bill No. 7625, Ordinance No. 7135 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), entitled "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 28a of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 28a. The maximum entrance salary for appointees to positions classified by the Civil Service Commission as general clerks and stenographer-typists is hereby fixed at $1,800 per annum, and such entrance salary shall not be increased within six months after appointments.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of July 1, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Seventeenth, Nineteenth and Fortieth Avenues.

Bill No. 7626, Ordinance No. 7136 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth, Nineteenth and Fortieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 26th day of April, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 121 to establish set-back lines along Seventeenth, Nineteenth, and Fortieth avenues, and fixed the 24th day of May, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Vicente street, said setback line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue between Ortega street

and Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Irving street and running thence northerly to Lincoln way, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ellis Street.

Bill No. 7634, Ordinance No. 7137 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the Peaple of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ellis street, commencing at a point 164 feet 9 inches westerly from Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly 27 feet 6 inches, and extending to the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7627, Ordinance No. 7138 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Forty-sixth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Fifteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Ingalls street between Jamestown and Ingerson avenues, Marston avenue between Edna and Circular avenues, Naglee avenue between Ellington and Huron avenues, and crossing of Naglee avenue and Huron avenue, Ortega street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues, and crossing of Ortega street and Seventeenth avenue, Sanchez street between Twenty-second and Hill streets, Vicente street between the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue, including the crossings of Vicente street and Fourteenth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue, Seventeenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue; crossing of Forty-fourth avenue and Rivera street, Key avenue between Third and Keith streets and crossing of Key avenue and Keith street, Noriega street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, Santiago street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete, and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Forty-sixth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been

laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Fifteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no mater mains have been laid therein.

Ingalls street between Jamestown and Ingerson avenues paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Marston avenue between Edna street and Circular avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water main have been laid therein.

Naglee avenue between Ellington and Huron avenues, and crossing of Naglee and Huron avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ortega street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues and crossing of Ortega street and Seventeenth avenue paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sanchez street between Twenty-second and Hill streets paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue, including the crossings of Vicente street and Fourteenth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue, Seventeenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Forty-fourth avenue and Rivera street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Key avenue between Third and Keith streets, and crossing of Key avenue and Keith street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Noriega street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Second Street.

Bill No. 7628, Ordinance No. 7139 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 221 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office May 28, 1926, by amending Section 221 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 221. The width of sidewalks on Second street between Market street and Folsom street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Second street between Folsom street and Townsend street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Second street between Townsend street and Berry street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Eighteenth Street.

Bill No. 7629, Ordinance No. 7140 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 915.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office May 29, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 915, to read as follows:

Section 915. The width of sidewalks on Eighteenth street between Church street and Guerrero street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Carolina Street.

Bill No. 7630, Ordinance No. 7141 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 914.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office May 28, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 914, to read as follows:

Section 914. The width of sidewalks on Carolina street between Twentieth street and Twenty-second street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Carolina Street between Twentieth Street and Twenty-second Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Brannan Street.

Bill No. 7631, Ordinance No. 7142 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 220 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 28, 1926, by amending Section 220 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 220. The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Beale street and Sixth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the northwesterly side of, between Sixth street and Seventh street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between Sixth street and Seventh street shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the northwesterly side of, between Seventh street and Eighth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between Seventh street and Eighth street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Eighth street and a point 275 feet southwesterly from Eighth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Ninth street and a point 275 feet northeasterly from Ninth street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the northwesterly side

of, between Ninth street and Dore street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between Ninth street and a point 29 feet southwesterly from Ninth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between a point 227.78 feet southwesterly from Ninth and Division streets shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between the points, respectively, 29 feet and 227.78 feet southwesterly from Ninth street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the northwesterly side of, the width shall extend from the property line to the curb line, which curb line shall be the prolongation of the curb line between Ninth street and Dore street.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Street Specifications Ordinance Amendment.

Bill No. 7632, Ordinance No. 7143 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections 5, 6 and 7 of Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the making and refilling of excavations in the public streets, alleys, sidewalks and other public places, and repealing Ordinance No. 658 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 2109 (New Series)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the making and refilling of excavations in the public streets, alleys, sidewalks and other public places, and repealing Ordinance No. 658 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 2109 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

"Section 5. In every case the street or thoroughfare so opened or torn up shall be restored by the person, firm or corporation opening or tearing up the same, in the manner and with the material in this ordinance provided, and to as good a condition as it was in before the opening or tearing up thereof.

The person, firm or corporation opening or tearing up any pavement shall assume the full responsibility for all reconstruction and repairs as aforesaid, and shall be subject to the penalties hereinafter provided in case the work of such repairs and reconstruction was not properly performed."

Section 2. Section 6 of said Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

"Section 6. No trench shall be opened in any graded street or thoroughfare for the purpose of laying pipes or conduits more than six hundred feet in advance of the pipe or conduit placed therein, except in case of emergency and by consent of the Board of Public Works. All such trenches shall be backfilled and the old torn-up pavements (except basalt blocks, cobbles or old concrete) shall be removed from the street, together with the surplus excavated material, within three working days from the time such material is placed upon the street, except by written consent of the Board of Public Works.

In case the street or roadway is paved with broken rock, macadam or basalt blocks, or cobbles, or concrete, on a sand foundation, said pavement shall be restored within three working days from the time the trench was backfilled.

In case the street or thoroughfare is paved with bitumen or asphalt, and a binder course on a block or cobble foundation, or bitumen or asphalt on a concrete foundation, said pavement shall be restored to the same thickness as the original pavement, including the foundation, with asphaltic concrete, commonly known as 'blackbase,' within three working days from the time the trench was backfilled. In case the street or thoroughfare is paved with basalt blocks or paving brick with grouted joints on a concrete foundation, said pavement shall be restored within not less than seven nor more than twelve days from the time the trench was refilled, five of which days are to be allowed for concrete to set and harden. During the period following the laying of the concrete base to the relaying of the wearing surface such concrete shall be covered with planks and sand flush with the surface of the contiguous pavement.

Whenever any caving occurs in the side walls of any excavation, the pavement above such caving shall be cut away, and in no case shall any void under a pavement be filled by any side or lateral tamping.

In every case the work of repaving over all trenches must commence immediately after said trenches are backfilled, and the work of clearing up the streets is to be considered a part of the repaving work, and shall be finished within the same time allowed in all cases for said repaving and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

When a street is opened for the purpose of what is known as prospecting, or for the purpose of making repairs or alterations to pipes or conduits, as soon as the work of such repairs and alterations is finished the trench shall be backfilled and pavement restored in the manner and within the time allowed for the restoration of the same kind and character of pavements over main or service trenches.

In every case and at all times the work of removal from the streets of all obstructions, surplus materials and debris or waste matter of every description caused and accumulated by said work of opening and restoring public streets and thoroughfares, shall be kept up jointly with the work of backfilling and repaving either over 'main' or 'service' trenches, and all finished together —or nearly so—and within the time herein allowed in Section 6 of this ordinance, and in all cases the surface of the street shall be restored to as good a condition as it was in before the work of opening commenced.

When any of the work required to be done by Section 6 of this ordinance is necessarily delayed by any strike or strikes, such delay shall be added to the time limits therein prescribed."

Section 3. Section 7 of said Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

"Section 7. In case the pavement or surface of the street over said openings should become depressed or broken at any time after the work has been completed—natural wear of the surface or improper work of some other person, firm or corporation excepted—the person, firm or corporation for whom the street was opened shall, upon a written notice from the Board of Public Works, immediately proceed to repair and restore said pavement in a proper and workmanlike manner to the satisfaction of said Board of Public Works; and it is hereby expressly declared that the fact that the original work of restoring said pavement or surface was subject to the inspection or inspected by or under the authority of the Board of Public Works, as in this ordinance provided, shall not excuse such person, firm or corporation from the duty and obligation imposed by this section.

In case said pavement is not completely restored within ten days after such notice has been given, and unless delayed by a strike or strikes, or conditions beyond their control, the said Board shall thereupon do the work at the expense of said delinquent person, firm or corporation.

All materials shall be furnished by the party or parties for whom the work is being done, and said material shall be of the best quality, in strict accordance with the City's standard specifications, and all the work shall be performed in a proper and workmanlike manner in compliance with the rules and regulations and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works. The person, firm or corporation, during the progress of the work, shall maintain a sign at such excavation bearing the name of such person, firm or corporation."

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Spur Track Permit, Crane Company.

Bill No. 7633, Ordinance No. 7144 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Crane Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Second street between Brannan and Townsend streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, is hereby granted to Crane Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Second street between Brannan and Townsend streets as follows:

Relocate existing track by throwing from position shown dotted yellow to position shown dotted red on blue print, and construct addi-

tional spur, center line of which is described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center of the existing spur on Second street approximately 270 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Brannan street, said point being approximately 41 feet distant from the southwesterly line of Second street; thence northwesterly on a tangent and curve to the right to a point distant 18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Second street and approximately 170 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Brannan street; thence northwesterly, parallel to the southwesterly line of Second street, for a distance of 57 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rail under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by the Crane Company; provided, that the Crane Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that the curbs be set back to a line ten feet east of the west property line to allow for the construction of the track as shown on blue print attached to the petition; that all pavement disturbed be restored, and that proper clearances be allowed for in accordance with the requirements of the Railroad Commission.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Confirmation of Sale of City Lands.

Bill No. 7635, Ordinance No. 7145 (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco and situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 7046 (New Series), approved April 2, 1926, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the lands hereinafter described, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco to sell all of said lands at private sale to be held on May 4, 1926, and directed that notice of said sale be given for two weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for two weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the lands to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 7046 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at his office in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on May 4, 1926; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisers, constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said lands and fixed the fair value thereof and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 4th day of May, 1926, at private sale, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco sold said parcels of land to the following persons:

Parcel No. 1, Mrs. Carrie P. Rhodes, for $2.250·

Parcel No. 2, Annie Keegan, for $350;

Parcel No. 3, Steve Durakoff, for $500;

Parcel No. 4, Fred Slepnikoff, for $150;

Parcel No. 5, John J. Rudometkin, for $800;

Parcel No. 6, Annie T. Jones Scherf, for $30;

and accepted from said persons a deposit in the amount of ten per cent or more of the amount bid, and thereafter and at the next meeting of the Board of Supervisors, May 10, 1926, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the amounts bid, the names of the bidders, and

requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of twenty days from and after the 19th day of May, 1926; that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 14th day of June, 1926, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale, the amount for which the lands had been sold as aforesaid, and the names of the purchasers, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 14th day of June, 1926, an offer of ten per cent more in amount than tnat named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person, or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the date of confirmation specified in said notice has now arrived and a higher bid has not been obtained, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the amounts bid as aforesaid are not disproportionate to the value of the property sold, and that a greater sum cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That said sale of the said lands hereinafter described is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchasers upon payment of the balance of the purchase price a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying all the right, title and interest of the City and County in and to the lands sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel No. 1—Mrs. Carrie P. Rhodes, $2,250.

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Mono street, distant thereon 20.200 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Market street, said point of beginning being on the proposed northwesterly line of Market street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Mono street 79.800 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 00 min. 3 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 91.767 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead; thence deflecting 79 deg. 56 min. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 45.473 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Market street; thence southwesterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Market street on a curve to the left of 275-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 85 deg. 1 min. 14 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 12 deg. 20 min. 10 sec. a distance of 59.209 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Lot 12, Block 4, Market Street Homestead. Together with dwelling house and appurtenances thereon.

Parcel 1 as described in Section 1 hereof shall be sold subject to the obligation of the purchaser to cut off or otherwise remove all portions of the dwelling house situated thereon which extend southeasterly of the northwesterly line of Market street, as widened, and to construct a concrete or masonry retaining wall on said northwesterly line of Market street, as widened and regraded, so as to prevent subsidence or caving of the soil on to said street; and it shall be a further condition of said sale that the City and County assumes no liability to the said purchaser of parcel 1 for damage or injury to the surface of the ground in said parcel or the building thereon which may result from the construction and regrading of Market street in front thereof.

Parcel No. 2—Annie Keegan, $350.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point distant 500 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, and distant 60.067 feet southerly from Market street measured along a line parallel with Hattie street, said point of beginning being on the easterly boundary of Lot 36, Block B, of the Park Lane Tract, and running thence southerly along said easterly boundary and parallel with Hattie street 25.896 feet to the southerly boundary of said lot; thence westerly along said southerly boundary 25.096 feet to the westerly boundary of said lot; thence northerly along said westerly boundary 14.100 feet; thence northeasterly 28.631 feet to the point of beginning; being the southerly portion of Lot 36, Block B, of Park Lane Tract.

Parcel No. 3—Steve Durakoff, $500.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of De Haro street, distant thereon 372.726 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of De Haro street 27.274 feet;

thence at right angles westerly 82.112 feet; thence deflecting 157 deg. 1 min. to the left and running southeasterly 81.640 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 112 deg. 59 min., a distance of 10.360 feet to tangency with the westerly line of De Haro street at the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel No. 4—Fred Slepnikoff, $150.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point distant 325 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and distant 58.944 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of De Haro street. and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 41.056 feet; thence at right angles northerly 17.413 feet; thence deflecting 112 deg. 59 min. to the right and running southeasterly 44.596 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 159.

Parcel No. 5—John J. Rudometkin, $800.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of De Haro street, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of De Haro street 42.368 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 5-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 124 deg. 48 min., a distance of 10.891 feet; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 81.031 feet; thence deflecting 145 deg. 12 min. to the right and running westerly 74.720 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Parcel No. 6—Annie T. Jones Scherf, $30.

Parcel 6. Beginning at a point distant 175 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, and distant 89.31 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Carolina street, and running thence westerly parallel with Twenty-second street 10.69 feet; thence at right angles northerly 7.430 feet; thence deflecting 124 deg. 48 min. to the right and running southeasterly 13.019 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 178.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyances and supervise the delivery of deeds upon payment of purchase price as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $65,755.37, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated June 18, 1926), $1,272.80.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 18, 1926), $2,457.34.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(3) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated June 16, 1926), $1,155.

(4) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for sidesewers (claim dated June 16, 1926), $905.14.

Special School Tax.

(5) Knittle Bros,, final payment, heating system for Glen Park School (claim dated June 14, 1926), $4,349.36.

(6) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., final payment for window and door shades for the Dudley Stone School (claim dated June 14, 1926), $1,004.50.

(7) S. Heiman, second payment, architectural services for additions

to the Sunnyside School (claim dated June 15, 1926), $7,200.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(8) John Reid, Jr., twenty-first payment, architectural services for new Relief Home Buildings (claim dated June 16, 1926), $773.40.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(9) Hales & Symons, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 15, 1926), $824.54.

(10) E. H. Jasper and Edwin T. Jasper, oak chunk wood for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 15, 1926), $505.63.

(11) Chas. Rosasco, wood for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 15, 1926), $2,341.88.

(12) E. H. Edwards Co., steel cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 14, 1926), $2,322.54.

(13) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., third payment, core borings along Hetch Hetchy aqueduct (claim dated June 14, 1926), $2,756.43.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(14) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., extra work on Contract 95, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 14, 1926), $1,219.40.

(15) Western Pipe & Steel Co., twenty-fourth and final payment, construction of bay crossing pipe line, Contract 90 (claim dated June 15, 1926), $45,107.78.

Auditorium Fund.

(16) Walter Oesterreicher, for services of orchestra for performance of "Elijah" (claim dated June 21, 1926), $2,095.50.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(17) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., Market street decorations for Publicity and Advertising of San Francisco, account Cruiser "Hamburg" reception (claim dated June 21, 1926), $900.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(18) John J. Dailey, legal services for June, re valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated June 16, 1926), $850.

(19) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, month of June, re valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated June 16, 1926), $750.

Playground Fund.

(20) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for playgrounds (claim dated June 16, 1926), $1,390.82.

(21) L. M. Schomer, one Ford truck for playgrounds (claim dated June 16, 1926), $686.75.

County Road Fund.

(22) Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Claremont Circle (claim dated June 16, 1926), $575.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(23) Robert W. Jamison, second and final payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated June 16, 1926), $691.15.

Special School Tax.

(24) George H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies for schools (claim dated June 9, 1926), $676.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(25) Dan P. Maher Co., varnish for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 11, 1926), $787.50.

(26) Market Street Railway Co., repairs to ferry loop, etc., Municipal Railways (claim dated June 11, 1926), $1,019.54.

(27) Market Street Railway Co., May reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918, $1,592.77.

(28) Market Street Railway Co., electric power for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 11, 1926), $3,379.90.

(29) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated June 11, 1926), $38,195.98.

(30) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 8, 1926), $7,060.69.

School Construction Fund Bond Issue 1923.

(31) John Reid, Jr., twelfth payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated June 16, 1926), $1,659.88.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official adveritsing (claim dated June 21, 1926), $1,192.42.

(33) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 17, 1926), $556.72.

(34) Baumgarten Bros., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 17, 1926), $639.32.

(35) California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated June 17, 1926), $895.11.

(36) The A. J. Glesener Co., lag screws for traffic buttons (claim dated June 11, 1926), $607.50.

(37) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated June 12, 1926), $588.31.

(38) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repairs (claim dated June 12, 1926), $1,966.27.

(39) Standard Oil Co. of Califor-

nia, asphalt for street repairs (claim dated June 12, 1926), $1,995.67.

(40) James R. McElroy, improvement of Vallejo street between Mason and Taylor streets (claim dated June 16, 1926), $2,040.72.

(41) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,058.84.

(42) H. F. Dugan, drug sundries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $922.11.

(43) H. E. Teller Co., coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $502.50.

(44) The California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,311.60.

(45) Miller & Lux, meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,255.28.

(46) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,108.51.

(47) California Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $985.47.

(48) Golden State Milk Products Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,782.26.

(49) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,510.65.

(50) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $4,159.32.

(51) C. Naumann & Co., fruits, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 26, 1926), $1,381.

(52) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $2,340.66.

(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,462.15.

(54) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting, etc., for month of May, 1926 (claim dated June 21, 1926), $52,292.06.

(55) Standard Underground Cable Co., cable for use of Department of Electricity (claim dated May 31, 1926), $3,066.

Auditorium Fund.

(56) Louise Bennett, for payment to members of the Municipal Chorus for account of production of "Elijah" May 27, 1926, per schedule attached (claim dated June 1, 1926), $1,634.

Appropriation, $1,000, Payment to P. J. Crowley for Land on Rivera Street Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of one thousand dollars ($1,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to P. J. Crowley; being payment for land on the northerly line of Rivera street commencing 32½ feet easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly along the northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25707 (New Series), and required for school purposes. (Claim dated June 21, 1926.)

Appropriation, $7,222.19, Payment to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Standby Service During Construction Work on Hetchy Hetchy Project.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7,222.19 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund to enable payment to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for furnishing standby service during construction work on the Mountain Division of the Hetch Hetchy project for period October 24, 1924 to July 9, 1925.

Ordering Plans, etc., for Street Signs.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7636, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the delivering and erecting of street signs; ordering the delivering and erecting of said street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said delivering and erecting of said street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the delivering and erecting of street signs, and to enter into contract for the delivering and erecting of street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Statement of Percentage of Gross Receipts Payable to City.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25807 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of March and April, 1926, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under the terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

April—

Parkside Transit Co., etc., $496.90.

Gough Street Railroad, $53.46.

Parnassus and Ninth Avenue, etc., $331.90.

March—

Parkside Transit Co., etc., $531.30.

Gough Street Railroad, $53.55.

Parnassus and Ninth Avenue, etc., $347.82.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Accepting Offer of Ellen Watson to Settle for $500 Damage Caused by Drying Up Springs on Her Property in Hetch Hetchy Construction Work.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25808 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the construction of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnel in Tuolumne County, California, has caused various springs to dry up on the property owned by Ellen Watson, said property being described as follows:

The East ½ of the Southeast ¼ of Section 24, Township 1 South, Range 16 East; Lot 4, Section 19, Lot 1, Section 30, Township 1 South, Range 17 East, containing 136.71 acres, and a portion of the Northeast ¼ of Section 25, Township 1 South, Range 16 East, situate, lying and being north of the line of the Hetch Hetchy Railroad, containing 7 acres, more or less.

And as a result of said drying up of the springs the value of said land has been materially diminished and the water supply has been taken away; and

Whereas, the above mentioned Ellen Watson has offered to deed to the City and County of San Francisco all water rights which are intercepted or affected by said tunnel, and to release the City and County of San Francisco from all claims for damages which have been caused or may hereafter be caused by reason of the construction of said tunnel and the interception and drainage of said percolating waters, in consideration of the sum of five hundred and 00/100 dollars ($500.00); and

Whereas, the City Engineer of San Francisco has recommended the acceptance of said offer as a reasonable settlement of damage and injury to said land caused by the construction of said tunnel; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer of the above mentioned party be and it is hereby accepted, and said sum of $500 be paid to said party upon receipt of proper conveyance and release conveying said water rights to the City and County of San Francisco, and releasing the City from all further claims of damages; said conveyance and release to be in form satisfactory to the City Attorney of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Accepting Offers of Philip J. Fay and Others for Land Required in the Construction of Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25809 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easements situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey said easements desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite thier names, viz.:

Philip J. Fay, Trustee of the Estate of Continental Building and Loan Association, a corporation, bankrupt, $59—Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Buena Vista Terrace, distant thereon forty-six and eight hundred and fifty-five thousandths (46.855) feet southerly from the southeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue East, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Buena Vista Terrace fifty and seven hundred and forty-one thousandths (50.741)

feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running westerly seventy-nine and two hundred and eighty-five thousandths (79.285) feet to a point on the southeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue East; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue East seventy and six hundred and twelve thousandths (70.612) feet; thence deflecting 45 deg. 04 min. 48 sec. to the right and running easterly thirty-eight and sixty-four thousandths (38.064) feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Park Hill Homestead No. 2, Block "E."

Isadore Ruderman and Amelia Ruderman, $2—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon one hundred (100) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Ashbury street with the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Ashbury street one and four hundred and ninety thousandths (1.490) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly sixteen and nine hundred and twenty-one thousandths (16.921) feet; thence deflecting 174 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the left and running westerly sixteen and eight hundred and fifty-five thousandths (16.855) feet to the easterly line of Ashbury street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 660.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the easements owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said easements, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Accepting Offer of F. E. Hesthal Company to Sell Certain Land Required in the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25810 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

F. E. Hesthal Co., $10,500.

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Clayton street, distant thereon one hundred (100) feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Clayton street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles westerly one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet; thence at right angles northerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles easterly one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Clayton street, distant thereon one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick srteet, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Clayton street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles westerly one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet; thence at right angles northerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles easterly one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Parcel 3: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Clayton street, distant thereon one hundred (100) feet northerly from the northerly line of Carl street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Clayton street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles westerly one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet; thence at right angles southerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles easterly one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Whereas, the City Attorney has

recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Accepting Offer of Joseph J. Phillips to Sell Certain Lands in Tuolumne County Required for Hetch Hetchy Project.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25811 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owner of the following described land situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required as protective area in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Joseph J. Phillips, $8—

Parcel 1. The west ½ of the southwest ¼ of the northeast ¼ of Section 34, T. 1 S., R. 15 E., M. D. B. and M., located as "The Moccasin Placer Mining Claim"; containing 20 acres, $1.

Parcel 2. The east ½ of the southeast ¼ of the northwest ¼ of Section 34, T. 1 S., R. 15 E., M. D. B. and M., located as "The Reservoir Placer Mining Claim"; containing 20 acres, $1.

Parcel 3. The east ½ of Lot 5 in Section 6, T. 2 S., R. 15 E., M. D. B. and M., located as "The Lawrette Placer Mining Claim"; containing 17.235 acres, $1.

Parcel 4. The west ½ of Lot 5 in Section 6, T. 2 S., R. 15 E., M. D. B. and M., located as "The Victory Placer Mining Claim"; containing 17.235 acres, $1.

Parcel 5. The east ½ of Lot 3 in Section 1, T. 2 S., R. 14 E., located as "The Halcyon Placer Mining Claim"; containing 19.52 acres, $1.

Parcel 6. The west ½ of Lot 3 in Section 1, T. 2 S., R. 14 E., M. D. B. and M., located as "The Imelda Placer Mining Claim"; containing 19.52 acres, $1.

Parcel 7. The south ½ of Lot 16 in Section 35, T. 1 S., R. 14 E., M. D. B. and M., located as "The Beacon Placer Mining Claim"; containing 20 acres, $1.

Parcel 8. Lot 28 in Section 35, T. 1 S., R. 14 E., M. D. B. and M., located as "The Hetch Hetchy Placer Mining Claim"; containing 20 acres, $1.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of said Joseph J. Phillips to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned land be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said Joseph J. Phillips of the acceptance of his said offer, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title to said land, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriating Funds for Street Lighting Survey.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, petitions are pending before the Lighting Committee of this Board for additional lighting along many public streets and require action to be taken thereon at an early date; and

Whereas, the past experience of

the City has shown the granting of special lighting privileges along particular streets has not been conducive in securing the most efficient lighting of the same, but has resulted in unnecessary burdens being placed on both the City and property owners; and

Whereas, attention has been called to the absence of method in the development of the street lighting system, which has gone on until it now lacks that uniformity and efficiency that it should have; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity be authorized and directed to cause a survey to be made of the existing street lighting system, to the end that its defects and deficiencies may be pointed out, the means for correcting the same be suggested, and a plan presented for a proper system, so that further expansion may be made to harmonize therewith. For this purpose said committee is authorized to employ a competent lighting engineer, if deemed necessary, who has had experience in the installation of street lighting systems, and that the Board of Public Works and Department of Electricity be requested to detail an electrical engineer to assist in making such survey; be it

Further Resolved, That there be set aside and appropriated $4,000 out of Budget Item No. 33, providing $625,000 in this year's Budget for lighting streets and public buildings of the City and County, or so much of this fund as remains after meeting all obligations against it; and said amount is hereby authorized to be expended by the Committee on Lighting, Water, Telephone Service and Electricity for the purpose stated in this resolution.

Rescinding Set-back Line Resolution of Intention, Fifteenth Avenue Between Wawona and Vicente Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25812 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution of Intention No. 121 as declares it to be the intention to establish set-back lines along Fifteenth avenue between Wawona and Vicente streets be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Forty-third Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7637, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed, so as to place the southeast corner of Pine street and Steiner street, for a distance of 127½ feet along Steiner street and a distance of 181 feet 3 inches along Pine street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Forty-third Avenue.

Bill No. 7638, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 4 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Balboa street and running thence northerly to Anza street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

J. Burns, west side of Twenty-first avenue, 255 feet south of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 2740 Union street, 750 gallons capacity.

H. A. Golden, west side of Taylor street, 137 feet 6 inches north of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. H. Hooke, Inc., 747 Bryant street, 2000 gallons capacity.

Louis Johnson, southeast corner of Montgomery and Merchant streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Johnson, east side of Hyde street, 100 feet north of Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. LaCoste, 1451 Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Nelson & Marcussen, east side of Sixteenth avenue, 310 feet north of Judah street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Hunter-Dulin Co., southwest corner of Sutter and Montgomery streets, two 245 horse power boilers.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Isaiah Rothkwitz be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Mission and Foote streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lang & Witt be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Luella C. McCurcheon by Resolution No. 24610 (New Series) for premises at 1223 Ninth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Dave Caro be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Pohlman & Hurst by Resolution No. 22372 (New Series) for premises at 445 Fillmore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Recommitted.

The following resolution was ordered *recommitted to the Fire Committee*:

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting B. E. Johnson permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Thirty-third avenue and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Licensing the Business of Renting Automobiles Without Driver.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Bill No. 7639, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the business of renting automobiles without drivers or operators thereof, requiring permits for conducting such business, and imposing a license tax thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The business of renting automobiles without a driver or operator thereof is hereby declared to be subject to police inspection and regulation as herein provided.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of renting or leasing automobiles for hire without drivers shall hereafter obtain from the Board of Police Commissioners a permit to conduct such business, which permit shall be revocable by said Board for cause and after a hearing. The application for such permit shall state the name of the owner or owners, manager or managing conductors thereof, a statement showing the number, make and class of automobiles proposed to be rented, together with the state license number of such car or cars. After the issuance of such permit, any change or addition to such cars shall be reported to the Police Department within five days thereafter.

Section 3. Every firm, person or corporation engaged in such business shall keep a register of all automobiles rented, which register shall show:

1. The name and address to whom such automobile is rented.
2. The date and time thereof

and the time when same is returned.

3. The use or destination proposed to be taken.

4. Such register shall be signed by the person renting or leasing the said car.

Section 4. Every firm, person or corporation engaged in the said business shall not rent to any person an automobile unless such person shall possess an operator's or chauffeur's permit or license issued by the State of California or a state or territory.

Section 5. Every person, firm or corporation conducting a business of renting automobiles without drivers shall pay a license fee therefor as follows: For not more than ten automobiles kept for hire, $25 a quarter; for more than ten and not more than fifteen such automobiles, $35 a quarter; for more than fifteen and not more than twenty such automobiles, $45 a quarter; for more than twenty such automobiles, $65 a quarter.

Section 6. Any person violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to a fine of not more than five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1926.

Amendment.

Add to Section 5, "and for more than thirty such automobiles $2 for each automobile in addition to said last named sum of $65.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill, as amended, was *passed for printing*

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordinance 5431 Amended—Chiropractic Examiners Added to Board of Medical Examiners.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Bill No. 7640, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Adding a paragraph to Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The following paragraph is hereby added to Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series):

The term "Board of Medical Examiners," as used in this section, shall be held to include Board of Chiropractic Examiners of the State of California or any other board authorized by law to issue licenses to persons enrolled in the classifications herein enumerated.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1926.

Street Carnival Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 25813 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the West Portal Merchants' Association be granted permission to hold a street carnival on West Portal avenue from June 22d to June 30th, inclusive, without the payment of the customary license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Taxicab Stand Permits Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 25814 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Yellow Checker Cab Company, at 188 Fourth street; at side of 2597 Bryant street.

Checker Cab Company, at 587 Eddy street.

White Top Cab Company, at 720 Great Highway, 453 Pine street, 212 Ellis street. 117 Fourth street, 206 Taylor street, 4541 Mission street.

Louis E. Hendricks, at 584 Pacific street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Street Carnival Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 25815 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to the Visitacion Valley Community Center to hold a street carnival on July 14th to 18th, inclusive, on Raymond avenue and San Bruno avenue to Leland avenue, and without the payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25816 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. J. Bays be and is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after June 5, 1926, in which to complete his contract for the mechanical equipment at the Le Conte School, being the first extension. This extension is granted by reason of delay being caused through loss of materials at sea. Recommendation of Board of Public Works filed June 5, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Also, Resolution No. 25817 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. L. Hansen be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after April 21, 1926, in which to complete his contract for general construction of the Children's Ward, southwest wing, San Francisco Hospital, Twenty-second and Potrero avenue, being the first extension. This extension is granted by reason of unavoidable delays due to various causes. Recommendation of Board of Public Works filed June 5, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Accepting Offer of Betty Mehlwitz to Sell Land on Forty-third Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 25818 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Bettie Mehlwitz et al. to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Forty-third avenue, distant 175 feet northerly from Judah street, of dimensions 25 x 120 feet. required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,627.50, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Forty-third avenue, distant thereon 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Judah street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Forty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Forty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 1797 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land on Seneca and Otsego Avenues Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 25819 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Gilhooly et al. to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate intersection of northwesterly line of Seneca avenue with the southwesterly line of Otsego avenue, of irregular dimensions, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all

encumbrances, for the sum of $6,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Seneca avenue with the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, thence running northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Otsego avenue 160 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 237 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 45 feet to the land of Tiscornia & Ventre; thence southwesterly along said line 40 feet, more or less; thence continuing southwesterly along said line 83 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly line of Seneca avenue; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of Seneca avenue 198 feet to the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue and point of commencement. Being Lots 4 and 5, Block 15, West End Map No. 1, as per map thereof filed in the office of the City and County Recorder May 1, 1863. Also known as Lots 5 and 6, Block 6960, on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Bath, the following matter was *deferred one week*:

Compromise of Gas and Electric Rate Litigation.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there are pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California four suits, numbered 27, 32, 97 and 190 on the equity file of said court, in all of which suits the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco and its officers are defendants; and

Whereas, said suits involve judicial determination of the validity under the United States Constitution of ordinances numbered 2349, 2348, 2814 and 3338 (all new series), of the City and County of San Francisco, prescribing and fixing respectively rates to be charged by public service corporations in San Francisco for electricity during the fiscal year 1913-1914, and for gas during the fiscal years 1913-1914, 1914-1915 and 1915-16; and

Whereas, the decrees previously rendered by the District Court in the City's favor in said suits numbered 27, 97 and 190, involving gas rates, have been reversed on appeal by the Supreme Court of the United States, and the suits sent back for retrial; and in case No. 32, involving electric rates, the report of the Master in Chancery recommends issuance of an injunction prohibiting the enforcement of said rates; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has transmitted to the Board of Supervisors a written communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, dated December 16, 1925, offering to compromise and settle all of said suits upon certain terms therein set forth; and

Whereas, both former City Attorney George Lull, who was in office pending the trial and appeal of the foregoing litigation, and the present City Attorney, John J. O'Toole, have recommended in writing that this Board authorize and direct the settlement of said suits upon the terms set forth in said communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company dated December 16, 1925; and

Whereas, after a careful examination and consideration of all the facts relating to said suits and the controversies therein involved, which have been submitted, the Board of Supervisors believes, in view of the large number of consumers who are interested in the outcome of said suits, of the considerable time which must elapse and the large expense to the taxpayers that must be incurred before the suits could again be tried and a final decision had, of the doubt that the City Attorney expresses as to the eventual outcome of the litigation by reason of the opinion of the United States Supreme Court rendered in the reversal of previous decrees in the City's favor, and in

view of the relatively small amounts payable to individual consumers under any outcome of the suits, that the best interests of the City and the ratepayers will be conserved by a compromise along the lines recommended by the City Attorney; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to settle and compromise said four suits be and it is hereby accepted; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into stipulations with counsel for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the entry of decrees in said four suits which shall finally settle and dispose of the same upon the terms and conditions set forth in said letter from said company dated December 16, 1925; that the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to take all steps necessary to see that said decrees when entered by the court are duly executed so as to protect the interests of the City and the ratepayers in the premises.

Ferry Franchise.

The following was presented by Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Granting a franchise to A. O. Stewart and his assigns to erect, maintain and take tolls on a public ferry to be operated between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Alameda, Alameda County, California, across the San Francisco Bay, a navigable body of water dividing the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, and fixing the amount of the penal bond, the license tax, the rate of tolls to be collected and the term of said franchise."

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved to lay over one week and refer to committee in the meantime. City Attorney be requested to make an investigation of the franchise provisions and make some provision as to a minimum of continuing service and to give this Board some authority in connection with the transfer of the franchise.

Motion *carried.*

Award of Contract for Calendars and Journals.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 25820 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for printing and furnishing the Journal of Proceedings and Calendars of the Board of Supervisors. Daily Trial and Law and Motion Calendars and Decisions of the Supreme and Appellate Courts during the fiscal year 1926-1927 is hereby awarded to The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company at its bid price of $1,075 per month for printing and publishing said publications; providing the sureties on the bond of said The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, which is hereby fixed in the sum of one thousand dollars, are satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor, who is hereby authorized to enter into such contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25821 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 92208 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted June 10, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed June 15, 1926, to-wit:

On Diamond street between Army street and the southerly line of Duncan street, and between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth streets; on Twenty-seventh street between the westerly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 280 feet easterly therefrom; on Duncan street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Valley street between lines parallel with Diamond street and respectively 100 feet easterly and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Twenty-ninth street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom, and on Day street between a line parallel with Diamond street and 37 feet easterly therefrom and the westerly line of Diamond street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be con-

spicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, Bauer Cooperage Company.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7641, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Bauer Cooperage Company to construct maintain and operate a spur track on Mariposa street between York and Bryant streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Bauer Cooperage Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Mariposa street between York and Bryant streets as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing track in Mariposa street, owned by the City and County of San Francisco, distant westerly thereon 84 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of York street, thence in an easterly direction through a switch turnout to the right and to the left crossing York street and continuing in an easterly direction parallel to and distant northerly at right angles ten (10) feet from the southerly line of Mariposa street for a distance of 350 feet, more or less, to the end of track.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided. that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Bauer Cooperage Company.

Provided, that girder rail be used in its entire construction; that the curbs removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard; that provision be made to take care of the drainage intercepted by the proposed spur track by relocating the catchbasins where necessary; all pavement disturbed is to be restored, and all work is to be done under the supervision and direction of the Board of Public Works.

Provided, that Bauer Cooperage Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 7642, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred

payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Winfield street between Coso avenue and Esmeralda avenue*, where not already so improved, by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of the *intersection of Coso avenue and Winfield street, and that portion of Coso avenue opposite the intersection of Winfield street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned intersection; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7643, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows.

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments: that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the crossing of Kirkham street and Seventeenth avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 12-inch and 8-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7644, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred

payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the crossing of Ellington and Whipple avenues* by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7645, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-first avenue between the northerly line of Vicente street and the southerly line of Wawona street, and Wawona street between the easterly lines of Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue, including the crossings of Twenty-first avenue and Vicente street, Twenty-first avenue and Wawona street, and Twentieth avenue and Wawona street, excepting that portion required by law to be improved and kept in repair by the railroad company having tracks thereon*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers as required, with accompanying manholes and Y branches, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7646, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first

installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks, six feet in width, on Seventeenth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7647, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks, six feet in width, on *Tenth avenue from Noriega street to Ortega street*, and on *Noriega street between Ninth avenue and Tenth avenue*, where artificial stone sidewalks have not already been constructed, or where artificial stone sidewalks are not constructed to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7648, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of portions of the following streets:

The northerly side of Rivera street between the easterly line of Forty-sixth avenue and a line parallel with and 115 *feet easterly therefrom; the northerly side of Rivera street from a line parallel with and* 50 *feet westerly from Forty-fifth avenue to a line parallel and* 100 *feet westerly from Forty-fifth avenue; the northerly one-half of Rivera street between*

Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues; the southerly one-half of Santiago street from a line parallel with and 82 feet 6 inches easterly from Forty-sixth avenue to a line parallel with and 132 feet 6 inches easterly from Forty-sixth avenue; the northerly one-half of Santiago street from a line parallel with and 100 feet easterly from Forty-fifth avenue to a line parallel with and 140 feet easterly from Forty-fifth avenue; the southerly one-half of Santiago street from Forty-fifth avenue to a line parallel with and 132 feet 6 inches easterly from Forty-fifth avenue; the southerly one-half of Santiago street from a line parallel with and 32 feet 6 inches westerly from Forty-fourth avenue to a line parallel with and 57 feet 6 inches westerly from Forty-fourth avenue; the easterly one-half of Forty-sixth avenue from a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 275 feet northerly from Santiago street; the westerly one-half of Forty-sixth avenue from a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches northerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 500 feet northerly from Santiago street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and 175 feet northerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 300 feet northerly from Santiago street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from Santiago street ta line parallel with and 100 feet southerly from Santiago street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and 275 feet southerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 285 feet southerly from Santiago street; the westerly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and 150 feet southerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 450 feet southerly from Santiago street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and 100 feet northerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 150 feet northerly from Santiago street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 300 feet northerly from Santiago street; the westerly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from Rivera street to a line parallel with and 150 feet southerly from Rivera street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and 175 feet northerly from Taraval street to a line parallel with and 250 feet northerly from Taraval street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and 275 feet northerly from Taraval street to a line parallel with and 300 feet northerly from Taraval street; the westerly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and 100 feet southerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 125 feet southerly from Santiago street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of 8-inch, 18-inch and 21-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes and Y branches that such frontages would be liable for, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavements on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7649, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of in-

terest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks on the southerly one-half of John street from a point parallel with and one hundred and eighty-three (183) feet west of the west line of Powell street to a point parallel with and two hundred and six (206) feet west of the west line of Powell street, being that frontage of Lot 26, Block 180, and on the westerly one-half of Sixth avenue from a point parallel with and two hundred (200) feet south of the south line of Geary street to a point parallel with and two hundred and twenty-five (225) feet south of the south line of Geary street, being that frontage of Lot 6, Block 1538; and on the easterly one-half of Fifth avenue at a point parallel with and seventy-five (75) feet south of the south line of Irving street to a point parallel with and one hundred (100) feet south of the south line of Irving street, being that frontage of Lot 43, Block 1759; and on the easterly one-half of Divisadero street from the northerly line of Grove street to a point parallel with and one hundred and thirty-seven feet six inches (137 ft. 6 in.) north from the northerly line of Grove street, being that frontage of Lot 14, Block 1181; where artificial stone sidewalks have not been constructed to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Approving Map Showing Closed Portion of Ellington Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25822 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 92002 (Second Series), approve a map showing the closing of a portion of Ellington avenue between Foote avenue and Sala Terrace; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the closing of a portion of Ellington avenue between Foote avenue and Sala Terrace is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25823. (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 12, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Divisadero street from Chestnut street 137 feet 6 inches southerly, also the crossing of Divisadero and Chestnut streets, under public contract. The survey for the work has been ordered.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Also, Resolution No. 25824 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 12, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Vienna street between Avalon and Silver avenues. The contractor was delayed during the rainy season.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Also, Resolution No. 25825 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after June 17, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Chestnut street between Polk and Larkin streets.

The work is completed with the exception of the railing and the contractor requests this extension on account of the nonarrival of castings.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Also, Resolution No. 25826 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of

ninety days' time from and after June 17, 1926, within which to complete the street work in Detroit street between Hearst avenue and Monterey boulevard.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the extension of time is necessary pending the acceptance and issuance of assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Also, Resolution No. 25827 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Keystone Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 5, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Berry street between Seventh and De Haro streets. The contractor has been delayed by the laying of new rails by the Southern Pacific Company.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Also, Resolution No. 25828 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James T. Tobin is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete certain sidewalks:

Ninety days' time from and after July 2, 1926, within which to complete the construction of sidewalks in Avalon avenue and other streets in the Excelsior District, where not already constructed. The work is well under way, being 40 per cent completed.

Ninety days' time from and after July 2, 1926, within which to complete the construction of sidewalks on Jones street between Turk and Eddy streets. Work is progressing.

Ninety days' time from and after July 9, 1926, within which to complete the construction of sidewalks on Ocean avenue between Howth street and Harold avenue, etc. Work is completed and the extension is requested pending issuance of assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Pennsylvania Avenue, Iowa Street, Marin Street and Tulare Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25829 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 25471 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street in the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said portion of said Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 2, Chapter III, Article IV and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than 10 days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the said closing and abandonment of said portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street was or were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of 10 days or at all; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing up of said portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary therefor. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said portions of Pennsylvania avenue, Iowa street, Marin street and Tulare street and the same are hereby closed and abandoned as public streets of the City and County of San Francisco. The said portions of said streets hereinabove referred to and closed and abandoned as public streets are more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Pennsylvania avenue from the southerly line of the Ocean Shore right of way of the Western Pacific Railroad Company to the northerly line of Tulare street.

Iowa street from the south line of Army street to the north line of Tulare street.

Marin street from the west line of

Pennsylvania avenue to the west line of Indiana street.

Tulare street from the west line of Indiana street to a point 100 feet westerly of the west line of Pennsylvania avenue. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Award of Contract, Padlocks.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25830 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Marshall-Newell Supply Company for furnishing 4000 padlocks, keyless—Miller Lock Co. catalog No. 29, page 26, No. 034, at 86 cents each, for School Department, on bid submitted June 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 193).

Resolved, That all other bids be rejected.

Note.—Above award is made in consideration of delivery and on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Extension of Time on Contract to Furnish Kindergarten Tables.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25831 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of time of thirty days be hereby granted, upon recommendation of Superintendent of Schools, to California Mill Company on contract for furnishing 500 kindergarten tables awarded by Resolution No. 25627 (New Series). (Proposal No. 179.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

Extension of Time and Release From Payment of Liquidated Damages.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25832 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of thirty days' time and a release from payment of liquidated damages be hereby granted, upon recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, to Pioneer Rubber Mills upon contract for 10,000 feet fire hose awarded by Resolution No. 25447 (New Series), approved April 2, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Todd—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Reception to Major-General Umberto Nobile of Italian Army Flying Corps.

Resolution No. 25836 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to extend a welcome on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to Major-General Umberto Nobile of the Italian Army Flying Corps, who will arrive in this City the latter part of this month.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Death of Mary McLeran.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Marks and on his motion *adopted unanimously by rising vote*:

Resolution No. 25837 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City of San Francisco has suffered a great loss in the death of Mary McLeran, principal of the Argonne School, and associated with the public school department for many years; be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors expresses its deepest sympathy to the family of the departed in their bereavement; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board adjourns it do so in memory of Mary McLaren.

Assessor to Cancel Erroneous Assessment.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25838 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendations of the Assessor, the following property, being erroneously assessed in 1925, must be withdrawn from sale and reassessed in 1926, in accordance with Section 3806 of the Political Code, and the Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer same for sale:

Volume 8, page 70, Block 1358, Lot 2.

Volume 8, page 71, Block 1359, Lots 1-2.

Volume 31, page 9, Blocks 5598-5600, Lots 18-20.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Award of Contract, Desks, School Department.

Resolution No. 25839 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for supplying 3000 chair desks, movable; as per description in Proposal No. 196, for School Department, be hereby made to Heywood-Wakefiled Company on their bid submitted June 7, 1926 (proposal No. 196), as follows, viz.:

300 size No. 1, price each....$5.95
450 size No. 2, price each.... 5.95
1500 size No. 3, price each.... 5.70
750 size No. 4, price each.... 5.70

Time of delivery, 70 days.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Notice of Hearing, Rezoning Sunset District.

The following was presented by Supervisor Marks and read by the Clerk:

In addition to public hearings on the subject held on May 11th and May 25th, and June 15th, the City Planning Committee will hold public hearings relative to the pending ordinance rezoning certain streets in the Sunset District on Tuesday, June 22d at 2 p. m.

The public is entitled at said hearings to present arguments with respect to all phases of such proposed rezoning.

(Sgd.) MILTON MARKS,
Chairman City Planning Committee.

Providing for Twelve Temporary Lieutenants in Fire Department.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Fire Commissioners is hereby authorized to detail twelve eligible hosemen to act as temporary lieutenants in the Fire Department, and the additional salary, amounting to $3,240, be charged against the Personal Service appropriations of said Department.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Providing for Eighteen Temporary Police Corporals.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Police Commissioners is hereby authorized to detail eighteen eligible patrolmen to act as temporary corporals in the Police Department, and the additional salary, amounting to $3,240, be charged against the Personal Service appropriations of said Department.

Referred to Finance Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 5:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 20, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

Vol. 21—New Series No. 26

Monday, June 28, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 28, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Badaracco was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 19, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Labor Council Protests Franchise for Bus Lines.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council, transmitting copy of resolution adopted by said council instructing the officers of the council to protest against the granting of any franchise to any individual or corporation for the operation of bus lines on the ground that it is the policy of the municipality to acquire and conduct itself all means of transportation of passengers through the city streets.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Independence Week Proclaimed.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor Mayor Rolph, advising that numerous requests have been made to him that the week of July 4th to 10th, this year, be proclaimed as Independence Week in view of the Sesqui-Centennial Commemoration of the Declaration of Independence now being held in Philadelphia and throughout the country, and requesting that the Board of Supervisors adopt proper resolutions of appreciation and commendation for the idea of specially observing this occasion.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee and Citizens' Fourth of July Committee.

Protest Against Reconstruction of Streets Policy Change.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Civil Service Per Diem Men's Association of San Francisco, transmitting copy of resolution adopted by said organization, protesting the policy established by the Board of Public Works and approved by the Board of Public Works, of letting the reconstruction of two blocks on Bluxome street to contractors and simultaneously laying off several members of the Per Diem Men's organization.

Referred to the Streets and Commercial Development and Finance Committees.

Telegram, Bay Bridges.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

"Washington, D. C., June 22, 6:55 a. m. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California: Your wire 18 to Secretary of War, reference San Francisco Bay Bridges. Matter under consideration and decision expected not later than July 10. Signed, Taylor, Chief of Engineers."

Ordered *filed.*

Opinion of City Attorney on Transfer of Surplus Funds of Municipal Railway.

June 28, 1926.

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of your request that you be advised as to whether or not the Board of Supervisors has the power to transfer to the Board of Public Works, moneys from the surplus earnings of the Municipal Railway, with the express understanding that the moneys so transferred shall be used for the increase

of wages of certain employees in the operating department of the railway.

Opinion.

You are advised, that until the Charter amendment of 1924, commonly known as "The Standardization of Salaries Amendment," becomes effective, the salaries of all empoyees of the Board of Public Works are to be fixed by that Board, and, therefore, if any increase of wages to employees is to be made that increase must come from the Board of Public Works. However, in the matter of appropriation from the railway funds, the Board of Supervisors has sole authority to grant or withhold the appropriation as it may see fit. See Sec. 16, Art. XII:

"Whenever any public utility shall be operated by the City and County, the receipts from such utility shall be paid daily into the city treasury, and maintained in a special fund set aside for such utility. The Supervisors may, from time to time, make appropriations from such funds for the following purposes: (a) For the payment of the operating expenses of such utility;"

You are advised that the right to appropriate money for operating expenses gives the Board the right to determine for what particular purpose of operation the appropriation shall be used for, and therefore, should the Board make an appropriation to the Board of Public Works for the specific purpose of increasing the pay of certain employees, the money so appropriated must be used for that purpose, or not used at all.

Respectfully,
(Sgd.) JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

June 28, 1926—*Referred to Finance-Public Utilities Committee.*

Communication From Fire Chief Murphy in Re Additional Lieutenants.

San Francisco, California,
June 28, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

My attention was called through items appearing in the press on Saturday, June 26, 1926, that certain officers connected with this department had appeared before the Finance Committee of your Honorable Board urging that an appropriation be made to pay the salaries of twelve additional lieutenants. This would entail the sum of three thousand two hundred and forty dollars per annum.

Also, that if this request was granted provision be made for the appointing of twelve hosemen to fill the vacancies thus created.

The appropriation for the first year for the newly appointed hosemen would be twenty-five thousand nine hundred and twenty dollars; second year would be twenty-seven thousand three hundred and sixty dollars; and at the beginning of the third year, twenty-eight thousand eight hundred dollars. With three thousand two hundred and forty dollars this would bring the sum to a total of thirty-two thousand forty dollars per annum. The reason for this increase over the first two years is in compliance with the provisions of the Charter.

For your information, wish to state that each company has one captain and one lieutenant, making a total of seventy-three of each. In addition, thirty-one lieutenants have been provided for relief purposes in order to fill the positions of the various officers during vacation periods, days off, sickness, accidents and etcetra. This makes a total of one hundred and four lieutenants connected with this department. Up to the present time we have never experienced any serious difficulty with a shortage of officers.

It must be remembered, if this request is granted, that a certain percentage of the time of these new lieutenants while on duty will be filling the positions of hosemen or truckmen and at the same time receiving the salary of the higher officer.

Would urge that funds be provided for properly manning and equipping the companies in various sections of the City as well as the extending of the high-pressure mains into the Richmond District and other important parts of the City where the need of such has been constantly demonstrated.

Respectfully,
THOS. R. MURPHY,
Chief Engineer, S. F. F. D.

Read and *filed.*

Solution of Islais Creek Sewer Problem Sought.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25877 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there exists in the City of San Francisco what is known as the Islais Creek open sewer, which empties into the bed of Islais Creek at a point near the foot of Trumbull street, two blocks east of the Mission viaduct and Mission street; and

Whereas, sewage continually pours from a temporary sewer line at that point into the said creek bed, cre-

ating an obnoxious odor throughout the surrounding district, and resulting in masses of putrid matter being deposited along said creek; and

Whereas, such a condition menaces the health of our citizens, and has been characterized in the public press as a disgrace to the City of San Francisco; and

Whereas, property owners of the district so affected have repeatedly requested of this Board and the Health Department of this City that some action be taken to remedy the disgraceful condition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the matter be immediately referred jointly to the Streets and Commercial Development, Public Health and Finance Committees of this Board, with power to confer with the proper officials of the Board of Public Works for the purpose of working out a solution of the present unsatisfactory condition which exists; and be it

Further Resolved, That said committees be instructed to report the results of their survey at the earliest possible date that action may be taken by this Board to remedy said unsatisfactory, unsanitary and unhealthful condition.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Scientific Appraisal of City Property.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25878 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, for the purpose of making a scientific appraisal of the taxable real property of the City and County in order that the Board of Supervisors may perform the duties imposed by law upon said Board as a board of equalization, it is essential to create an advisory committee, including experts in valuation matters;

Resolved, That the following persons are hereby designated as such committee: Andrew J. Galagher, Paul Scharrenberg, Philip Paschel, B. A. Banker, M. H. Gates, Henry Boyen, Paul A. Sinsheimer, the same to act in conjunction with the Finance Committee of this Board and with the Assessor, the entire number to constitute the Advisory Committee of the Board of Equalization; and be it

Further Resolved, That said committee be empowered to hold public hearings for the purpose of ascertaining the true values of real property subject to taxation and to report the result of its investigation to the Assessor and to the Board of Equalization on or before July 1, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Leave of Absence, Auditor Boyle.

The following was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 25879 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing July 15, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Report of Police Committee on Unwarranted Arrets by Police Department.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The Police Committee, to which was referred the resolution of Supervisor Gallagher relative to the making of unwarranted arrests by the Police Department have had the same under consideration and having heard statements from various individuals relative thereto, recommend the adoption of the accompanying resolution.

WALTER SCHMIDT,
CHAS. TODD,
WM. HARRELSON,
Committee on Police.

HEARINGS—2:30 P. M.

Bridges.

Consideration of the application of John Bermingham, Jr., for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and

County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *hearing continued until July* 6, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of A. H. Bergstrom, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *hearing continued until July* 6, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *hearing continued until July* 6, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of Elbert W. Davis, for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *hearing continued until July* 6, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *hearing continued until July* 6, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *hearing continued until July* 6, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *hearing continued until July* 6, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *hearing continued until July* 6, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for bridge franchise.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *hearing continued until July* 6, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher,

Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of application of R. Lee Dunn for bridge franchise.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *hearing continued until July* 6, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Application for Tunnel Under Bay.

Application of O. A. Ellis for a franchise to tunnel under the Bay of San Francisco between San Francisco and Alameda County, with double trackage between the points designated in his tentative specification herein referred to and made a part hereof, consisting of ten pages of descriptive matter and drawings of the proposed project.

Referred to Committee on Streets and Commercial Development, Public Utilities and Finance Committees, and continued for hearing on motion of Supervisor Welch until July 6, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Bay Bridge Applications.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from W. F. Holcomb, giving notice that on the 9th day of August, 1926, at the hour of 2 p. m., that he will make application for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, California.

Ordered *referred to Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.*

Affidavit of J. C. Eisenhart, principal clerk of the printer and publisher of the San Francisco Bulletin, testifying that the annexed printed notice of application by E. A. Bertram, C. E., for a franchise for a double-deck bridge across San Francisco Bay, entitled "The San Francisco Bay Bridge," has been published six times in said newspaper, to-wit, on May 21, 24, June 1, June 7, June 14 and 21, 1926.

Ordered *referred to Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.*

Affidavit of G. T. McNeely, affirming that he served a true and correct copy of attached notice by delivery to Wm. Sherman, Acting President of the State Board of Harbor Commissioners, of the intention to apply on behalf of A. O. Stewart, for a franchise for a public ferry across the Bay of San Francisco between the City of San Francisco and Alameda.

Ordered *referred to Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.*

Affidavit of W. A. Rabbett, affirming that he served a true and correct copy of attached notice by delivery, Thursday, March 18, 1926, to Frank Otis, Acting Mayor of the City of Alameda, of the intention to apply on behalf of A. O. Stewart, for a franchise for a public ferry across the Bay of San Francisco between the City of San Francisco and Alameda.

Ordered *referred to Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.*

Affidavit of G. T. McNeely, affirming that he served a true and correct copy of attached notice by delivery to D. P. Ewing, acting assistant secretary of the Southern Pacific Company, of the intention to apply on behalf of A. O. Stewart, for a franchise for a public ferry across the Bay of San Francisco between the City of San Francisco and Alameda.

Ordered *referred to Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.*

Affidavit, C. D. Silva, affirming that he posted three notices in the matter of the application of A. O. Stewart for a franchise to construct a bridge across San Francisco Bay, one of said notices at the City Hall, where the Superior Court is held, another at the Hall of Justice, southeast corner of Kearny and Washington streets, and another at the Postoffice building, at the corner of Seventh and Mission streets; and that the attached printed notice marked "Exhibit A," is a true and correct copy of said notice posted by affiant.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Affidavit of C. D. Silva, affirming that he posted three notices in the matter of the application of A. O. Stewart for a franchise to construct a bridge across San Francisco Bay,

one of said notices at the City Hall, located at Santa Clara and Oak streets; another of said notices at U. S. Postoffice, Central and Park avenues, and another at the Justices' Court, located at 1414 Park street, and that the attached printed notice, marked "Exhibit A," is a full, true and correct copy of notice posted by affiant.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Affidavit of Neil T. Duffy, affirming that he is the principal clerk of the Commercial News Publishing Company, the printer and publisher of the Daily Commercial News, and declaring that a notice that one, A. O. Stewart, for authority to erect, maintain and take tolls on a public ferry to be operated across San Francisco Bay between terminals located at Pier 36, situated at the foot of Townsend street, San Francisco, and the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda, was published in said newspaper on March 1, 8, 15, 22 and 29, 1926.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Affidavit of C. Lewis Watson, affirming that he is the principal clerk of the Alameda Times-Star, and declaring that a notice that one, A. O. Stewart, for authority to erect, maintain and take tolls on a public ferry to be operated across San Francisco Bay between terminals located at Pier 36, situated at the foot of Townsend street, San Francisco, and the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda, was published in said newspaper on March 1, 8, 15, 22 and 29, 1926.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Notice of G. Lindenthal that he will file August 2.

Communication, from R. S. Chew, transmitting formal application for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, indicating approximately the location, and advising that further details would be furnished later; also, transmitting affidavit of publication of notice of said application by F. A. Anderson, principal advertising clerk of the San Francisco Examiner, that said notice was published in the aforesaid newspaper May 15, 22, 29, June 5, 12, 19 and 26, 1926. Also, similar affidavit of publication by M. K. Ogden, principal clerk of the Oakland Post-Enquirer, that said notice was published in the aforesaid newspaper May 15, 22, 29, June 5, 12, 19 and 26, 1926.

Referred to Committee on Streets and Commercial Development, Public Utilities and Finance Committee.

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS—3 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishing of set-back lines along portions of Thirty-eighth avenue and Fortieth avenue and Rivera, Santiago and Mississippi streets.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7650, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-eighth and Fortieth avenues, and Rivera, Santiago and Mississippi streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of June, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 123 to establish set-back lines along Thirty-eighth and Fortieth avenues, and Rivera, Santiago and Mississippi streets, and fixed the 28th day of June, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 80 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 26 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 26 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 318 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Judah street and

running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Irving street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the southerly side of Rivera street between Twenty-first avenue and Twenty-second avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Santiago street, between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the easterly side of Mississippi street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Twenty-second street and running thence northerly 293 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 150 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 114 feet 3 inches, said set-back line to be 13 feet; thence northerly to Twentieth street, said set-back line to be 17½ feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Avila and Lisbon streets, Casa way and Seventeenth avenue.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7651, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Avila and Lisbon streets, Casa way and Seventeenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of June, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 124 to establish set-back lines along Avila and Lisbon streets, Casa way and Seventeenth avenue, and fixed the 28th day of June, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of Lisbon street, commencing at a point 95 feet northeasterly from Brazil avenue and running thence northeasterly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northeasterly 200 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northeasterly to Excelsior avenue, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the southeasterly side of Lisbon street, commencing at a point 100 feet northeasterly from Brazil avenue and running thence northeasterly to a point 100 feet southwesterly from Excelsior avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the easterly side of Casa way, commencing at Marina boulevard and running thence southerly and at right angles to Marina boulevard, to the northeasterly side of Casa way, said set-back line to be 25 feet.

Along the westerly side of Avila street, commencing at Marina boulevard and running thence southerly and at right angles to Marina boulevard, to the northwesterly side of Avila street, said set-back line to be 25 feet.

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street, said set-back lines to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Compromise of Rate Litigation.

The question of the compromise of the rate litigation against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company was considered.

Supervisors Stanton and *Gallagher* particularly opposed the com-

promise, because they thought the people should have more of a rebate than was offered in the compromise proposed by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and in addition to that they were opposed to the payment of the sum of $20,000 to Mr. Robert M. Searls as attorney fees in the case, when he was at the same time drawing a salary from the City.

The Finance Committee was authorized to meet with the City Attorney and take up with the gas company the question of the rate litigation and see if a better offer of compromise cannot be obtained from the company.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Window Cleaning, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for window cleaning, School Department, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Safety Lane Markers.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for 61,000 pedestrian lane markers, Police Department, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Dry Goods and Wearing Apparel.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for dry goods and wearing apparel, Supplies Department, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Badges for Municipal Railway.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for 1250 badges for Municipal Railway, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Aluminum Ware for Relief Home.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for aluminum ware for Relief Home and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Laundry Machinery for San Francisco Hospital.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for laundry machinery for San Francisco Hospital and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Pasage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25840 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated June 18, 1926), $1,272.80.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 18, 1926), $2,457.34.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(3) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated June 16, 1926), $1,155.

(4) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for sidesewers (claim dated June 16, 1926), $905.14.

Special School Tax.

(5) Knittle Bros,, final payment, heating system for Glen Park School (claim dated June 14, 1926), $4,349.36.

(6) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., final payment for window and door shades for the Dudley Stone School (claim dated June 14, 1926), $1,004.50.

(7) S. Heiman, second payment, architectural services for additions to the Sunnyside School (claim dated June 15, 1926), $7,200.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(8) John Reid, Jr., twenty-first payment, architectural services for new Relief Home Buildings (claim dated June 16, 1926), $773.40.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(9) Hales & Symons, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 15, 1926), $824.54.

(10) E. H. Jasper and Edwin T. Jasper, oak chunk wood for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 15, 1926), $505.63.

(11) Chas. Rosasco, wood for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 15, 1926), $2,341.88.

(12) E. H. Edwards Co., steel cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 14, 1926), $2,322.54.

(13) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., third payment, core borings along Hetch Hetchy aqueduct (claim dated June 14, 1926), $2,756.43.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(14) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., extra work on Contract 95, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 14, 1926), $1,219.40.

(15) Western Pipe & Steel Co., twenty-fourth and final payment, construction of bay crossing pipe line, Contract 90 (claim dated June 15, 1926), $45,107.78.

Auditorium Fund.

(16) Walter Oesterreicher, for services of orchestra for performance of "Elijah" (claim dated June 21, 1926), $2,095.50.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(17) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., Market street decorations for Publicity and Advertising of San Francisco, account Cruiser "Hamburg" reception (claim dated June 21, 1926), $900.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(18) John J. Dailey, legal services for June, re valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated June 16, 1926), $850.

(19) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, month of June, re valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated June 16, 1926), $750.

Playground Fund.

(20) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for playgrounds (claim dated June 16, 1926), $1,390.82.

(21) L. M. Schomer, one Ford truck for playgrounds (claim dated June 16, 1926), $686.75.

County Road Fund.

(22) Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Claremont Circle (claim dated June 16, 1926), $575.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(23) Robert W. Jamison, second and final payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated June 16, 1926), $691.15.

Special School Tax.

(24) George H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies for schools (claim dated June 9, 1926), $676.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(25) Dan P. Maher Co., varnish for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 11, 1926), $787.50.

(26) Market Street Railway Co., repairs to ferry loop, etc., Municipal Railways (claim dated June 11, 1926), $1,019.54.

(27) Market Street Railway Co., May reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918, $1,592.77.

(28) Market Street Railway Co., electric power for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 11, 1926), $3,379.90.

(29) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated June 11, 1926), $38,195.98.

(30) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 8, 1926), $7,060.69.

School Construction Fund Bond Issue 1923.

(31) John Reid, Jr., twelfth payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated June 16, 1926), $1,659.88.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official adveritsing (claim dated June 21, 1926), $1,192.42.

(33) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 17, 1926), $556.72.

(34) Baumgarten Bros., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 17, 1926), $639.32.

(35) California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated June 17, 1926), $895.11.

(36) The A. J. Glesener Co., lag screws for traffic buttons (claim dated June 11, 1926), $607.50.

(37) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated June 12, 1926), $588.31.

(38) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repairs (claim dated June 12, 1926), $1,966.27.

(39) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repairs (claim dated June 12, 1926), $1,995.67.

(40) James R. McElroy, improvement of Vallejo street between Mason and Taylor streets (claim dated June 16, 1926), $2,040.72.

(41) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,058.84.

(42) H. F. Dugan, drug sundries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $922.11.

(43) H. E. Teller Co., coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $502.50.

(44) The California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,311.60.

(45) Miller & Lux, meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,255.28.

(46) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,108.51.

(47) California Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $985.47.

(48) Golden State Milk Products Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,782.26.

(49) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,510.65.

(50) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $4,159.32.

(51) C. Naumann & Co., fruits, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 26, 1926), $1,381.

(52) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $2,340.66.

(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,462.15.

(54) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting, etc., for month of May, 1926 (claim dated June 21, 1926), $52,292.06.

(55) Standard Underground Cable Co., cable for use of Department of Electricity (claim dated May 31, 1926), $3,066.

Auditorium Fund.

(56) Louise Bennett, for payment to members of the Municipal Chorus for account of production of "Elijah" May 27, 1926, per schedule attached (claim dated June 1, 1926), $1,634.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Appropriation, $1,000, Payment to P. J. Crowley for Land on Rivera Street Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25841 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of one thousand dollars ($1,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to P. J. Crowley; being payment for land on the northerly line of Rivera street commencing 32½ feet easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly along the northerly line of Rivera street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25707 (New Series), and required for school purposes. (Claim dated June 21, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Appropriation, $7,222.19, Payment to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Standby Service During Construction Work on Hetchy Hetchy Project.

Resolution No. 25842 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7,222.19 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund to enable payment to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for furnishing standby service during construction work on the Mountain Division of the Hetch Hetchy project for period October 24, 1924 to July 9, 1925.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Appropriating Funds for Street Lighting Survey.

Resolution No. 25843 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, petitions are pending before the Lighting Committee of this Board for additional lighting along many public streets and require action to be taken thereon at an early date; and

Whereas, the past experience of the City has shown the granting of special lighting privileges along particular streets has not been conducive in securing the most efficient lighting of the same, but has resulted in unnecessary burdens being placed on both the City and property owners; and

Whereas, attention has been called to the absence of method in the development of the street lighting system, which has gone on until it now lacks that uniformity and efficiency that it should have; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity be authorized and directed to cause a survey to be made of the existing street lighting system, to the end that its defects and deficiencies may be pointed out, the means for correcting the same be suggested, and a plan presented for a proper system, so that further expansion may be made to harmonize therewith. For this purpose said committee is authorized to employ a competent lighting engineer, if deemed necessary, who has had experience in the installation of street lighting systems, and that the Board of Public Works and Department of Electricity be requested to detail an electrical engineer to assist in making such survey; be it

Further Resolved, That there be set aside and appropriated $4,000 out of Budget Item No. 33, providing $625,000 in this year's Bud-

get for lighting streets and public buildings of the City and County, or so much of this fund as remains after meeting all obligations against it; and said amount is hereby authorized to be expended by the Committee on Lighting, Water, Telephone Service and Electricity for the purpose stated in this resolution.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25844 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

J. Burns, west side of Twenty-first avenue, 255 feet south of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 2740 Union street, 750 gallons capacity.

H. A. Golden, west side of Taylor street, 137 feet 6 inches north of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. H. Hooke, Inc., 747 Bryant street, 2000 gallons capacity.

Louis Johnson, southeast corner of Montgomery and Merchant streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Johnson, east side of Hyde street, 100 feet north of Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. LaCoste, 1451 Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Nelson & Marcussen, east side of Sixteenth avenue, 310 feet north of Judah street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Hunter-Dulin Co., southwest corner of Sutter and Montgomery streets, two 245 horse power boilers.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25845 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Isaiah Rothkwitz be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Mission and Foote streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25846 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lang & Witt be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Luella C. McCurcheon by Resolution No. 24610 (New Series) for premises at 1223 Ninth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25847 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Dave Caro be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Pohlman & Hurst by Resolution No. 22372 (New Series) for premises at 445 Fillmore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Ordering Plans, etc., for Street Signs.

Bill No. 7636, Ordinance No. 7146 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the delivering and erecting of street signs; ordering the delivering and erecting of said street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter

into contract for said delivering and erecting of said street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the delivering and erecting of street signs, and to enter into contract for the delivering and erecting of street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Forty-third Avenue.

Bill No. 7637, Ordinance No. 7147 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed, so as to place the southeast corner of Pine street and Steiner street, for a distance of 127½ feet along Steiner street and a distance of 181 feet 3 inches along Pine street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Forty-third Avenue.

Bill No. 7638, Ordinance No. 7148 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 4 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Balboa street and running thence northerly to Anza street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Licensing the Business of Renting Automobiles Without Driver.

Bill No. 7639, Ordinance No. 7149 (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the business of renting automobiles without drivers or operators thereof, requiring permits for conducting such business, and imposing a license tax thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The business of renting automobiles without a driver or operator thereof is hereby declared to be subject to police inspection and regulation as herein provided.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of renting or leasing automobiles for hire without drivers shall hereafter obtain from the Board of Police Commissioners a permit to conduct such business, which permit shall be revocable by said Board for cause and after a hearing. The application for such permit shall state the name of the owner or owners, manager or managing conductors thereof, a statement showing the number, make and class of automobiles proposed to be rented, together with the state license number of such car or cars. After the issuance of such permit, any change or addition to such cars shall be reported to the Police Department within five days thereafter.

Section 3. Every firm, person or corporation engaged in such business shall keep a register of all automobiles rented, which register shall show:

1. The name and address to whom such automobile is rented.
2. The date and time thereof and the time when same is returned.
3. The use or destination proposed to be taken.
4. Such register shall be signed by the person renting or leasing the said car.

Section 4. Every firm, person or corporation engaged in the said business shall not rent to any person an automobile unless such person shall possess an operator's or chauffeur's permit or license issued by the State of California or a state or territory.

Section 5. Every person, firm or corporation conducting a business of renting automobiles without drivers shall pay a license fee therefor as follows: For not more than ten automobiles kept for hire, $25 a quarter; for more than ten and not more than fifteen such automobiles, $35 a quarter; for more than fifteen and not more than twenty such automobiles, $45 a quarter; for more than twenty such automobiles, $65 a quarter.

Section 6. Any person violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to a fine of not more than five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd. Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Ordinance 5431 Amended—Chiropractic Examiners Added to Board of Medical Examiners.

Bill No. 7640, Ordinance No. 7150 (New Series), as follows:

Adding a paragraph to Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The following paragraph is hereby added to Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series):

The term "Board of Medical Examiners," as used in this section, shall be held to include Board of Chiropractic Examiners of the State of California or any other board authorized by law to issue licenses to persons enrolled in the classifications herein enumerated.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Spur Track Permit, Bauer Cooperage Company.

Bill No. 7641, Ordinance No. 7151 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Bauer Cooperage Company to construct maintain and operate a spur track on Mariposa street between York and Bryant streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Bauer Cooperage Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Mariposa street between York and Bryant streets as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing track in Mariposa street, owned by the City and County of San Francisco, distant westerly thereon 84 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of York street, thence in an easterly direction through a switch turnout to the right and to the left crossing York street and continuing in an easterly direction parallel to and distant northerly at right angles ten (10) feet from the southerly line of Mariposa street for a distance of 350 feet, more or less, to the end of track.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Bauer Cooperage Company.

Provided, that girder rail be used in its entire construction; that the

curbs removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard; that provision be made to take care of the drainage intercepted by the proposed spur track by relocating the catchbasins where necessary; all pavement disturbed is to be restored, and all work is to be done under the supervision and direction of the Board of Public Works.

Provided, that Bauer Cooperage Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Todd—6.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7642, Ordinance No. 7152 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Winfield street between Coso avenue and Esmeralda avenue*, where not already so improved, by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of the *intersection of Coso avenue and Winfield street, and that portion of Coso avenue opposite the intersection of Winfield street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned intersection; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Todd—6.

Bill No. 7643, Ordinance No. 7153 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows.

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the

said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the crossing of Kirkham street and Seventeenth avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 12-inch and 8-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Todd—6.

Bill No. 7644, Ordinance No. 7154 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the crossing of Ellington and Whipple avenues* by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Todd—6.

Bill No. 7645, Ordinance No. 7155 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifi-

cations are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-first avenue between the northerly line of Vicente street and the southerly line of Wawona street, and Wawona street between the easterly lines of Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue, including the crossings of Twenty-first avenue and Vicente street, Twenty-first avenue and Wawona street, and Twentieth avenue and Wawona street, excepting that portion required by law to be improved and kept in repair by the railroad company having tracks thereon*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catch-basins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers as required, with accompanying manholes and Y branches, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Todd—6.

Bill No. 7646, Ordinance No. 7156 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks, six feet in width, on Seventeenth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Todd—6.

Bill No. 7647, Ordinance No. 7157 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication

filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks, six feet in width, on *Tenth avenue from Noriega street to Ortega street*, and on *Noriega street between Ninth avenue and Tenth avenue*, where artificial stone sidewalks have not already been constructed, or where artificial stone sidewalks are not constructed to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Todd—6.

Bill No. 7648, Ordinance No. 7158 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications pre-Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of portions of the following streets:

The northerly side of Rivera street between the easterly line of Forty-sixth avenue and a line parallel with and 115 *feet easterly therefrom; the northerly side of Rivera street from a line parallel with and* 50 *feet westerly from Forty-fifth avenue to a line parallel and* 100 *feet westerly from Forty-fifth avenue; the northerly one-half of Rivera street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues; the southerly one-half of Santiago street from a line parallel with and* 82 *feet* 6 *inches easterly from Forty-sixth avenue to a line parallel with and* 132 *feet* 6 *inches easterly from Forty-sixth avenue; the northerly one-half of Santiago street from a line parallel with and* 100 *feet easterly from Forty-fifth avenue to a line parallel with and* 140 *feet easterly from Forty-fifth avenue; the southerly one-half of Santiago street from Forty-fifth avenue to a line parallel with and* 132 *feet* 6 *inches easterly from Forty-fifth avenue; the southerly one-half of Santiago street from a line parallel with and* 32 *feet* 6 *inches westerly from Forty-fourth avenue to a*

line parallel with and 57 feet 6 inches westerly from Forty-fourth avenue; the easterly one-half of Forty-sixth avenue from a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 275 feet northerly from Santiago street; the westerly one-half of Forty-sixth avenue from a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches northerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 500 feet northerly from Santiago street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and 175 feet northerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 300 feet northerly from Santiago street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from Santiago street ta line parallel with and 100 feet southerly from Santiago street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and 275 feet southerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 285 feet southerly from Santiago street; the westerly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and 150 feet southerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 450 feet southerly from Santiago street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and 100 feet northerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 150 feet northerly from Santiago street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 300 feet northerly from Santiago street; the westerly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from Rivera street to a line parallel with and 150 feet southerly from Rivera street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and 175 feet northerly from Taraval street to a line parallel with and 250 feet northerly from Taraval street; the easterly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and 275 feet northerly from Taraval street to a line parallel with and 300 feet northerly from Taraval street; the westerly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and 100 feet southerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 125 feet southerly from Santiago street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of 8-inch, 18-inch and 21-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes and Y branches that such frontages would be liable for, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavements on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Todd—6.

Bill No. 7649, Ordinance No. 7159 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks on the southerly one-half of John street from a point parallel with and one hundred and eighty-three (183) feet west of the west line of Powell street to a point parallel with and two hundred and six (206) feet west of the west line of Powell street, being that frontage of Lot 26, Block 180, and on the westerly

one-half of Sixth avenue from a point parallel with and two hundred (200) feet south of the south line of Geary street to a point parallel with and two hundred and twenty-five (225) feet south of the south line of Geary street, being that frontage of Lot 6, Block 1538; and on the easterly one-half of Fifth avenue at a point parallel with and seventy-five (75) feet south of the south line of Irving street to a point parallel with and one hundred (100) feet south of the south line of Irving street, being that frontage of Lot 43, Block 1759; and on the easterly one-half of Divisadero street from the northerly line of Grove street to a point parallel with and one hundred and thirty-seven feet six inches (137 ft. 6 in.) north from the northerly line of Grove street, being that frontage of Lot 14, Block 1181; where artificial stone sidewalks have not been constructed to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks. Todd—6.

Traffic Signals and Safety Zones.

Bill No 7607, Ordinance No. 7160 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the selection and location of traffic signals and safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever the public interest shall require, the Board of Supervisors may order the installation of signal devices and the establishment of safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board shall have authority to select the devices, apparatus, markers or other appliances as it shall deem best suited for the purpose, and, after conference with the Police Department, it shall be its duty to designate and specify the location of the same and the manner in which they are to be placed or installed, which installation of signal devices shall be made by the Department of Electricity.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson—2.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Kent, Marks—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $57,801.26, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Berringer & Russell, barley, oats, etc., for parks (claim dated June 25, 1926), $594.69.

(2) Don Lee, Inc., one Cadillac sedan for parks, less allowance on old auto (claim dated June 25, 1926), $2,360.

(3) Gantner & Mattern Co., bathing suits (claim dated June 18, 1926), $550.38.

(4) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for parks (claim dated June 25, 1926), $666.10.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated June 25, 1926), $1,701.46.

(6) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for parks (claim dated June 25, 1926), $1,881.25.

(7) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for insurance of park employees (claim dated June 25, 1926), $534.19.

(8) United States Steel Products Co., wire fencing for parks (claim dated June 25, 1926), $718.07.

de Young Museum (Appropriation 60).

(9) Board of Trustees of California Palace of Legion of Honor, for show cases furnished (claim dated June 25, 1926), $3,000.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(10) Citizens' Committee for expense of reception to Irish hurlers, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 28, 1926), $767.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(11) M. E. Ryan, extra electrical work, new Relief Home buildings (claim dated June 18, 1926), $783.05.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 22, 1926), $2,603.45.

(13) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 22, 1926), $1,426.64.

(14) India Tire & Rubber Company of California, cord tires for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 22, 1926), $672.40.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(15) Matthew Buxbaum, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries by Municipal Railways (claim dated June 22, 1926), $1,214.86.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(16) E. H. Edwards Co., steel cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 19, 1926), $1,306.93.

(17) The B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., belting for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $529.40.

(18) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $873.39.

(19) Standard Oil Company of California, gasoline, oils, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $795.74.

(20) Standard Oil Company of California, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $632.62.

(21) A. M. Scott Tire Co., tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $1,005.89.

(22) Western Butchers' Supply Co., five meat cutters with motors, for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated June 18, 1926), $1,540.15.

(23) Worthington Company, Inc., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $570.92.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(24) F. B. Knittle, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Alamo School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $1,954.65.

(25) J. R. Miller, seventh payment, architectural services for Alamo School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $918.71.

(26) Ward & Blohme, fourth payment, architectural services for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $581.59.

(27) Weeks & Day, sixth payment, architectural services for the Hawthorne School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $637.40.

(28) Bakewell & Brown, fourth payment, architectural services for the Douglas-Everett School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $1,162.33.

(29) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, third payment, architectural services for Henry Durant School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $751.24.

(30) Amoroso & Damico, second payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated June 23, 1926), $22,192.50.

(31) John Reid, Jr., twenty-fifth payment, architectural services for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated June 23, 1926), $826.87.

General Fund, 1925-926.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 28, 1926), $1,425.22.

(33) M. B. McGowan, partial payment, construction of Yacht Harbor wharf (claim dated June 25, 1926), $5,722.65.

(34) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1926), $2,545.46.

(35) Shell Company, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,662.69.

(36) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1926), $842.30.

(37) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated June 17, 1926), $670.

(38) Miller & Lux, meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,457.27.

(39) Whittier State School, care of minors (claim dated June 25, 1926), $503.23.

(40) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1926), $867.20.

(41) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1926), $1,734.40.

(42) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1926), $2,604.62.

(43) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1926), $992.66.

(44) Western Rock Products Company, sand for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1926), $4,444.15.

(45) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated June 21, 1926), $1,752.53.

(46) Edward C. Moran, fill for Sickles avenue and Regent street bridges over Ocean Shore Railroad cut (claim dated June 23, 1926), $1,230.

Special School Tax.

(47) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services on additions to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $2,934.52.

(48) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for temporary additional facilities at the Francisco School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $510.45.

(49) H. H. Hilp, final payment, general construction of temporary additional facilities at the Francisco School (claim dated June 23, 1926), $5,367.25.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(50) The Aluminum Cooking Utensil Co., cooking utensils for new Relief Home (claim dated June 15, 1926), $752.80.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(51) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, 100,000 16-page folders, San Francisco, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 28, 1926), $1,505.

Appropriation, $18,160, Payment to David Lacabanne et al. for Property Required in the Construction of the Sunset Tunnel.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $18,160 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Sunset Tunnel Assessment, and authorized in payment to David Lacabanne and Marie Lacabanne; being payment for property known as Lot No. 20, Block No. 1268, as per Assessor's Map Book, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25771 (New Series); required for the construction of the Sunset tunnel. (Claim dated June 18, 1926.

Appropriation, $5,250, Payment to Marie Benson, Executrix of the Estate of August Petzold, for Property Required for the Extension of Market Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to Marie Benson, as executrix of the estate of August Petzold, deceased, in care of Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, for property required for the extension and widening of Market street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25766 (claim dated June 25, 1926).

Appropriations in Payment for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Bettie Mehlwitz et al., for lands on the easterly line of Forty-third avenue, commencing 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Judah street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Forty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25818 (New Series), $1,627.50.

(2) To Mary Gilhooly et al., for lands commencing at point of intersection of northeasterly line of Seneca avenue with the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue; thence running northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue 160 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 227 feet; of irregular dimensions; known as Lots 5 and 6, Block 6960, on Assessor's Map Book; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25819 (New Series), $6,500.

(3) To Delia A. O'Connell, for property on the northerly line of Page street, commencing 152½ feet easterly from the easterly line of Webster street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Page street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25733 (New Series), $12,600.

Accepting Offer of Western Pacific Railroad to Sell for $200 Certain Property Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25848 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Fran-

cisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz:

The Western Pacific Railroad Company, $251—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Marin street with the northeasterly line of Jerrold avenue, and running thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Jerrold avenue 40.840 feet to the easterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 4.653 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right of 200-foot radius tangent to a line deflected 132 deg. 27 min. 15 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 13 deg. 49 min. 04 sec., a distance of 48.233 feet to a point on the northerly line of Marin street, distant thereon 8.706 feet easterly from the northeasterly line of Jerrold avenue; thence westerly along the northerly line of Marin street 8.706 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said corporation and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now therefor, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands Required for the Extension of Elk Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25849 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the extension of Elk street, have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz:

Martin Perkocha and Mary Perkocha, $800—All of Lot 17, Block Q, as recorded in Map Book G, pages 80 and 81, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The building on the above described parcel is to remain the property of the owners and is to be removed by said owners within thirty (30) days after receiving notice by the City and County of San Francisco.

Frank H. Welch and Alice F. Welch, $600—All of Lot 18, Block Q, as recorded in Map Book G, pages 80 and 81, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted upon the conditions and terms above set forth, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands Required for the Widening of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25850 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and

widening of Market street, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Ellen Dore and Charlotte E. Horrigan, administratrices of the estate of Maurice Dore, deceased, $17,250.

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Caselli avenue with the northeasterly line of Market street, and running thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Market street a distance of 64.864 feet; thence northerly along the easterly line of Market street a distance of 104.32 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 3 of Block 3 of Mountain Spring property; thence deflecting 111 degrees 43 minutes 8 seconds to the right and running southeasterly parallel with Mono street along said northeasterly boundary line a distance of 63.553 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 24.365 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left of 180-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 52 degrees 17 minutes 32 seconds to the left from the preceding course, central angle 20 degrees 38 minutes 55 seconds, a distance of 64.869 feet to a point on the northwesterly line of Caselli avenue, distant thereon 69.331 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Market street; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Caselli avenue 69.331 feet to the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 1, 2 and 3 of Block 3 of Mountain Spring property.

Parcel 2. All of Lot 16 of Block 4 of Market Street Homestead, as per map recorded on page 130 of Book C and D, records of City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 3. All of Lot 15 of Block 4 of Market Street Homestead, excepting therefrom the parcel of land conveyed to Ellen Monestier by deed recorded on page 391 of Volume 89, Official Records of City and County of San Francisco, and particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Falcon avenue at the division line of Lots 15 and 14 in Block 4 of the Market Street Homestead Association, as per map filed in the Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 26, 1868; thence north 46 degrees 30 minutes west 118 feet 3 inches along said division line to the southerly line of Corbett avenue; thence easterly along said line of Corbett avenue 25 feet; thence southeasterly and parallel with first course 118 feet 3 inches, more or less, to the northwesterly line of Falcon avenue, and thence southwesterly along said line of Falcon avenue 25 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the southern common corner of Lots 1 and 17 of Block D of Park Lane Tract No. 4, said corner being on the southerly boundary line of said block, and running thence westerly along said southerly boundary line a distance of 84.180 feet; thence deflecting 145 degrees 12 minutes 31 seconds to the right and running northeasterly 94.183 feet to a point on the subdivisional line between Lots 3 and 15 of said Block D at a point distant thereon 1.670 feet northerly from the common corner of Lots 2, 3, 15 and 16 of said Block D; thence southerly along the subdivisional line between Lots 3 and 15, 2 and 16, and 1 and 17 of said Block D a distance of 54.173 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots 15, 16 and 17 of Block D of Park Lane Tract No. 4.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for the Construction of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25851 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easement situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey said

easement desired by said City and County of San Francisco, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Jules Levaggi and G. Tiscornia Company, a corporation, also known as G. Tiscornia Co., a corporation, $185—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon fifty-one and two hundred and ninety-five thousandths (51.295) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Ashbury street with the southerly line of Frederick street, running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Ashbury street eighteen and seven hundred and five thousandths (18.705) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle northerly twenty-seven and five hundred and forty-three thousandths (27.543) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly one hundred and three hundred and ninety thousandths (100.390) feet to the easterly line of Ashbury street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 660.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the easement owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said easement, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Approval of Purchase of Property Required for Right of Way for Widening of State Highway South of Colma.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25852 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series), set aside, appropriated and authorized out of the County Road Fund the sum of one hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($150,000) for the purpose of acquiring rights of way, etc., for the widening of the State Highway south of Colma; and

Whereas, the City's right of way agents have secured an option from the following named property owner for the sum set forth opposite its name, for the following described property, viz.:

Bank of Italy, a corporation, $225—A portion of Lot 8, in Block 14, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Map of the Lands of the School House Homestead Association," as filed in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County July 10, 1872, and filed in Book 1 of Maps, at page 9. (As per written offer on file.) And

Whereas, the Division Engineer of the State Highway Commission has approved the said option and the purchase of the said property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the purchase of the said property for the above mentioned sum is hereby approved, and the payment of the above sum to the herein mentioned owner in care of the State Highway Commission is hereby authorized to be made for the said purpose above mentioned; the deed to run to the State of California in such form as the State Highway Commission shall direct.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Appropriations of Various Amounts Out of County Road Fund for Acquiring Rights of Way for Widening State Highway South of Colma.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25853 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series), set aside, appropriated and authorized out of the County Road Fund, the sum of one hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($150,000), for the purpose of acquiring rights of way, etc., for the widening of the State Highway south of Colma; and

Whereas, the City's right of way agents have secured options from the following named property own-

ers for the sums set forth opposite their names, for the following described property, viz.:

Matthew W. Grady and Alice Lagomarsino, $1,400—Portion of Lot 7, Block 14, as delineated on map entitled "Map of the Lands of the School House Homestead Association," as filed in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County, July 10, 1872, and filed in Book 1 of Maps at page 9 (as per written offer on file);

Matthew W. Grady and Alice Lagomarsino, $17,220—Portion of Lots 87, 88, 89 and 90 in Block 10, as delineated on map entitled "Property of the Garden Valley Land Association," as recorded in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County, January 22, 1872, and filed in Book 1 of Maps, at page 8 (as per written offer on file);

Ernestine M. Gouin, $3,500—Portion of Lot 86 in Block 10, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Property of the Garden Valley Land Association," as recorded in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County, January 22, 1872, and filed in Book 1 of Maps, at page 8 (as per written offer on file);

Pasquale Giacchino and Emilio Giacchino, $3,500—Portion of Lots 76, 77, 78, 79 and 84 in Block 9, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Property of the Garden Valley Land Association," as recorded in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County, January 22, 1872, and filed in Book 1 of Maps. at page 8 (as per written offer on file);

Simeon Paulin, $1,000—All of Lot 2 in Block 31, as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California, being a resubdivision of Lots 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 16 and 17 of Block 31; Lots 8, 11, 13 and 14 of Block 32; Lots 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 12 of Block 33; Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 12 of Block 34; Lot 5 of Block 35 of the City Extension Homestead Association," filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, April 26, 1907, in Book "A" of Original Maps, at page 38, and copied into Book 4 of Maps, at page 68;

Oscar P. Woolsey, $1,100—Portion of Lot 1 in Block 32, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Lands of the City Extension Homestead Association," as recorded in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County, October 15, 1870, and filed in Book 1 of Maps, at page 13; and a portion of Lot 5 in Block 32, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California," as recorded in the office of said Recorder, April 26, 1907, and filed in Book 4 of Maps, at page 68 (as per written offer on file);

Nettie Corserva, $2,660—Portion of Lot 55 in Block 32, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California, as recorded in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County on April 26, 1907, and filed in Book 4 of Maps, at page 68 (as per written offer on file);

Felix Bortis, Jr., and Henry Bortis, $6,380.32—Portion of Lots 6 and 12 in Block 23, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Lands of the City Extension Homestead Association," as recorded in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County, October 15, 1870, and filed in Book 1 of Maps, at page 13 (as per written offer on file);

Leopoldo Bocci and Marie Bocci, $18,100—Portion of Lot 12 in Block 24, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Lands of the City Extension Homestead Association," as recorded in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County, October 15, 1870, and filed in Book 1 of Maps, at page 13, and a portion of Lots 5, 6, 7, 8 and 48 in Block 34, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California, as recorded in the office of said Recorder, April 26, 1907, and filed in Book 4 of Maps, at page 68 (as per written offer on file); and

Whereas, the Division Engineer of the State Highway Commission has approved the said options and the purchase of the said property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved. That the purchase of the said properties for the above-mentioned sums is hereby approved, and the payment of the above sums to the herein mentioned owners in care of the State Highway Commission is hereby authorized to be made for the said purposes above mentioned; the deeds to run to the State of California in such form as the State Highway Commission shall direct.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd. Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Improvement of Market Street Extension from Mono to Ward Streets.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7652, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of the Market Street Extension, Section "B," Mono street to Ord street, Contract No. 1, and ordering the improvement of the Market Street Extension, Section "B," Mono street to Ord street, Contract No. 1, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said improvement of the Market Street Extension, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The improvement of the Market Street Extension, Section "B," Contract No. 1 Mono street to Ord street, is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for said improvement of the Market Street Extension, Section "B," and to enter into contract for said improvement of the Market Street Extension, Section "B," in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for the said improvement of the Market Street Extension conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $4,680, to Continue Employment of Bookkeeper and Stenographer, Board of Public Works.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,680 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, to continue the employment of a bookkeeper at $2,700 per annum, and a stenographer at $1,980 per annum, for the fiscal year 1926-1927, in the Bureau of Accounts, Board of Public Works. (Per Resolution No. 92338 (Second Series) of Board of Public Works.)

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Portion of Portola Drive in Commercial District Instead of First Residential District.

On motion of Supervisor Marks: Bill No. 7653, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 6 and 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, are hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of lands of W. C. R. and L. Good, said point of beginning being distant along said southwesterly line and said southwesterly line produced south 39 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 126.048 feet from a certain point on the line of existing monument that marks the center line of Portola drive, said certain point being distant along said monument line north 57 deg. 48 min. 26 sec. east 88.089 feet from a monument set at the intersection of those certain courses north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 359.115 feet and north 57 deg. 48 min. 26 sec. east 515.72 feet, which form part of said center line of Portola drive; thence parallel with said center line of Portola drive and distant therefrom 125 feet southeasterly at right angles south 57 deg. 48 min. 26 sec. west 98.763 feet, south 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. west 342.557 feet and south 42 deg. 39 min. 41 sec. west 316.772 feet; thence at a right angle south 47 deg. 20 min 19 sec. east 323.018 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 420 feet, tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 6.697 feet; thence north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 85.814 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears north 39 deg. 47 min. 29 sec. east 335 feet from this point easterly 410.48 feet; thence north 54 deg. 26 min. 42 sec. east 80.035 feet; thence north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 174.909 feet; thence along the

arc of a curve to the right whose center bears north 68 deg. 59 min. 19 sec. east 320 feet from this point northwesterly 89.797 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course north 4 deg. 56 min. west 51 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 330 feet, tangent to the preceding course northwesterly 163.956 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course north 33 deg. 24 min. west 294.369 feet to the southeasterly line of said lands of W. C. R. and L. Good; thence along said southeasterly line south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 1.117 feet and south 66 deg. 38 min. 47 sec. west 66.12 feet to the aforesaid southwesterly line of lands of W. C. R. and L. Good; thence along said southwesterly line north 39 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 43.541 feet to the point of beginning. As shown on map filed June 24, 1926.

Fire Commissioners to Appoint Hosemen as Lieutenants.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved the adoption of the following resolution:

Resolution No. 25854 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved That the Board of Fire Commissioners is hereby authorized to appoint twelve eligible hosemen as lieutenants in the Fire Department, and the additional salary, amounting to $3,240, be charged against the Personal Service appropriations of said department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Noes—Supervisors Kent, McSheehy—2.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Police Commissioners to Appoint Patrolmen as Corporals.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved adoption of the following resolution:

Resolution No. 25855 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Police Commissioners is hereby authorized to appoint eighteen eligible patrolmen as corporals in the Police Department, and the additional salary, amounting to $3,240, be charged against the Personal Service appropriations of said department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Noes—Supervisors Kent, McSheehy—2.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Establishing Industrial District Northwest Corner of Pacific and Cordelia Streets Instead of Commercial District.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Bill No. 7654, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Pacific street and Cordelia street, for a distance of 103 feet along Pacific street and a distance of 137½ feet along Cordelia street, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Commercial District.

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

C. J. Blumenthal, 138 Palm avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Martin Brennan, northwest corner of Thirty-fifth avenue and Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. J. Cervelli, 1818 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Eureka Valley Bakery, 4406 Eighteenth street, 600 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Mary Healy, northwest corner of Chestnut and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lager & Val Franz, northeast corner of California street and Funston avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lager & Val Franz, east side of Funston avenue, 100 feet north of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Denying Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 25856 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Louis Silverstein to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Silver avenue and San Bruno avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. Goessman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Geo. F. Clark by Resolution No. 25065 (New Series) for premises on the west side of Seventh avenue 195 feet 8 inches north of Plaza avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Down Town Parking Station be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile parking station permit heretofore granted Robert E. Waidhaas by Resolution No. 22613 (New Series) for premises on the northwest corner of Ellis and Taylor streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. H. Hoffman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Eddy and Webster streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

(Supervisor Gallagher requested to be recorded as voting *no* on the foregoing.)

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading property bounded by Bush, Montgomery and Pine streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Thirty-third avenue and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Award of Contract, Lighting.

Resolution No. 25857 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract to light the streets and the outlying districts and all public buildings (except school buildings) of the City and County of San Francisco with gas and electricity and for supplying power for all purposes for the term of one year, commencing July 1, 1926, and ending June 30, 1927, in strict accordance with the specifications and advertisement inviting proposals thereon is hereby awarded to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company at the hereinafter designated prices, said company being the lowest responsible bidder, to-wit:

1. For all electric public outdoor

lighting installations, using bracket (other than ornamental), mast arm or center suspension construction, and supplied from overhead lines by direct overhead services to lamps, where the contractor furnishes and maintains the entire equipment:

Rate per lamp per night; lighted all night:

250 c. p. series Mazda unit with refractor ... .$.099
400 ditto .. .. .115
600 ditto . . .. .137
200 watt 1 light multiple Mazda unit with refractor..... .107
300 watt 1 light ditto..... .125
400 watt 1 light ditto..... .143

Rate per lamp per night; lighted until midnight:

250 c. p. series Mazda unit with refractor .....$.079
400 ditto . . .. .091
600 ditto 107

2. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations, using standard ornamental brackets and supplied from overhead lines by direct overhead services to lamps, where the contractor furnishes the entire equipment:

Rate per lamp per night; lighted all night:

600 c. p. series Mazda unit with refractor .. ..$.137

3. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations using standard highly ornamental brackets (one or two lamps per standard) and supplied from overhead lines by direct overhead services to lamps, where the contractor furnishes the entire equipment:

Rate per lamp per night; lighted all night:

600 c. p. series Mazda unit with refractor .. ..$.192
1000 ditto ..... .228
1500 ditto ..... .273

Rate per lamp per night; lighted until midnight:

600 c. p. series Mazda unit with refractor .....$.162
1000 ditto ..... .192
1500 ditto ..... .227

4. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations supplied by underground service direct to bases of lamp posts, electroliers or ornamental posts; distribution system furnished by contractor; lamps and globes maintained by contractor:

Rate per lighting unit per night; lighted all night:

100 c. p. series Mazda with globe and refractor.....$.095
250 ditto ..... .115
400 ditto ..... .135
600 ditto ..... .160
1000 ditto ..... .191
1500 ditto ..... .238
100 watt 1 light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .104
200 watt 1 light ditto..... .125
210 watt 5 light ditto..... .146
300 watt 1 light ditto..... .146
300 watt 1 light ditto..... .146
400 watt 1 light ditto..... .168

Rate per lighting unit per night; lighted until midnight:

400 c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor..$.110
600 ditto ..... .130
1000 ditto ..... .155
1500 ditto ..... .192
100 watt 1 light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .087
200 watt 1 light ditto..... .102
210 watt 5 light ditto..... .123
300 watt 1 light ditto..... .120
400 watt 1 light ditto..... .137

5. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations supplied by underground services direct to lamps; distribution system and lighting equipment, including standard supporting posts and brackets, to be furnished by the contractor; all equipment to be maintained by the contractor:

Rate per lighting unit per night; lighted all night:

100 c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor..$.120
250 ditto ..... .140
400 ditto ..... .158
600 ditto ..... .183
1000 ditto ..... .216
1500 ditto ..... .263
100 watt 1 light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .128
200 watt 1 light ditto..... .150
210 watt 5 light ditto..... .171
300 watt 1 light ditto..... .169
400 watt 1 light ditto..... .191
500 watt 1 light ditto..... .212

Rate per lighting unit per night; lighted until midnight:

400 c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor..$.133
600 ditto ..... .153
1000 ditto ..... .179
1500 ditto ..... .217
200 watt 1 light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .127
210 watt 5 light ditto..... .148
300 watt 1 light ditto..... .143
400 watt 1 light ditto..... .160
500 watt 1 light ditto..... .176

6. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations supplied by underground service direct to lamps; distribution system and lighting equipment, including standard highly ornamental supporting posts and brackets (one or two lamps per standard) to be furnished by the contractor; all equip-

ment to be maintained by the contractor.

Rate per lamp per night; lighted all night:

400 c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor	$.199
600 ditto	.224
1000 ditto	.280
1500 ditto	.329

Rate per lamp per night; lighted until midnight:

400 c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor	$.174
600 ditto	.194
1000 ditto	.244
1500 ditto	.283

7. For all electric safety station, traffic and signal lighting installations supplied by underground service direct to lamps or supporting fixtures; distribution system furnished by contractor; lamps maintained by contractor.

Rate per lighting unit per night; lighted all night:

60 c. p. series Mazda unit	$.092
80 ditto	.094
100 ditto	.095
25 watt multiple Mazda unit	.087
40 ditto	.090
50 ditto	.092
60 ditto	.095
75 ditto	.099
100 ditto	.104

8. For Stockton Street Tunnel lighting equipment owned by the City and maintained by the contractor.

Rate per lighting unit per night; lighted all night:

60 watt 1 light multiple Mazda unit	$.045
200 ditto	.069

Rate per lighting unit per day; lighting continuously:

100 watt 1 light multiple Mazda unit	$.091

9. Path of Gold System—Three lamps per standard; underground distribution system and ornamental fixtures owned and maintained by the contractor. Lighting units mounted on Market Street Railway Company poles.

Rate per lamp per night; lighted all night:

6.6 amp. D. C. luminous magnetite arc lamps	$.265

Rate per lamp per night; lighted until midnight:

6.6 amp. D. C. luminous magnetite arc lamps	$.215

10. For Triangle District System—Two lamps per standard; underground distribution and ornamental standards and fixtures owned and maintained by the contractor. The "Triangle District" is defined as that section of the City of San Francisco bounded by Bush, Mason and Market streets (excluding Market street).

Rate per lamp per night; lighted all night:

6.6 amp. D. C. luminous magnetite arc lamps	$0.32

Rate per lamp per night; lighted until midnight:

6.6 amp. D. C. luminous magnetite arc lamps	$0.28

11. For public outdoor metered street lighting service to Fillmore street arches and to Ingleside system, or to similar installations, where the distribution system and lighting equipment is privately owned and maintained (not by contractor).

Rate per kw. hr., $0.0275.

12. For all gas street lighting; lighted all night:

Single burner gas lamps, per lamp, per night, $0.065.

Double inverted burner gas lamp, per lamp, per night, 10 cents.

Double globe gasolier—2 mantles per globe; per globe, per night, 10 cents.

Single globe gasolier—2 mantles per globe; per gasolier, per night, 10 cents.

Single globe gasolier—3 mantles per globe; per gasolier, per night, 12 cents.

Lighting Public Buildings.

A. For furnishing gas to all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places. Rate, per 1000 cubic feet, 75 cents.

B. For furnishing electric energy for lighting purposes (including power where served through the same meter) to all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places. Rate, per kilowatt hour, 2¾ cents.

C. For furnishing electric energy for power purposes for all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places. Rate, per kilowatt hour, 2 cents.

D. For furnishing standby or auxiliary electric service for lighting and power purposes for all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places that are normally supplied with electric energy of an isolated plant. Rate, per kilowatt hour, for lighting purposes, 2¾ cents; for power purposes, 2 cents. Minimum monthly charge—Schedule P-7, a copy of which is annexed to contract on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided that the sureties on the bond of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, which bond is hereby fixed at $35,000, shall be satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor, who is hereby authorized to enter into said

contract, subject to the following conditions, to-wit:

The time of lighting and extinguishing shall be as follows:

Electric lamps shall be lighted three-quarters of an hour after sunset and shall be extinguished not earlier than three-quarters of an hour before sunrise, except that from November 15 to March 1 of each year the lamps shall be lighted one-half hour after sunset until one-half hour before sunrise.

In the case of gas lamps the last lamp shall be lighted not later than one hour after sunset, and the first gas lamp shall be extinguished not earlier than forty-five minutes before sunrise, provided that the last lamp shall not be extinguished earlier than fifteen minutes before sunrise.

Deductions for lamps not burning shall be computed at the same rate for each class of lamp as above specified for lamps burning per light per night, the term "lamp" to include all kinds of lamps, gas or electric, above specified.

It is hereby provided that in no case where there is necessity for the removal of a lamp post, where it interferes with the entrance to a building or where a change is to be made from gas to electric service, shall there be any charge against the City.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Street Excavation Ordinance Amendment Relative to Removal of Lamp Posts.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton:

Bill No. 7655, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new section to be numbered Section 4½ to Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series) regulating the making and refilling of excavations in the public streets, etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered Section 4½ is hereby added to Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series), to read as follows:

Section 4½. The provisons of the preceding section shall not apply to excavations made or caused by the removal of a gas lamp post, but any damage to the pavement or sidewalk of any street by reason of such removal shall be remedied and such pavement or sidewalk shall be restored and put in good condition by the person making such removal.

Police Commission to Investigate Unlawful Arrests as Per Resolution of Supervisor Gallagher.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 25858 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in view of the evidence given and statements made before the Police Committee, the resolution presented by Supervisor Gallagher be referred to the Police Commission and that said Commission be requested to investigate the matters recited therein at an early date and to report its findings thereon to this Board. That the Clerk be directed to transmit this resolution to said Commission; also, the exhibits filed and names of witnesses appearing before the Police Committee when requested by said Commission.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Ferry Franchise, A. O. Stewart.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up:

Bill No. 7656, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting a franchise to A. O. Stewart and his assigns to erect, maintain and take tolls on a public ferry to be operated between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Alameda, Alameda County, California, across the San Francisco Bay, a navigable body of water dividing the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, and fixing the amount of the penal bond, the license tax, the rate of tolls to be collected and the term of said franchise.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A. O. Stewart, having on the 29th day of March, 1926, presented to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, his verified written petition praying for authority to erect maintain and take tolls on a public ferry to be operated across the San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,

and the City of Alameda, Alameda County, California, and said petition having come on regularly for hearing on said day before the said Board of Supervisors and said hearing having been duly and regularly continued from time to time to Monday, the 28th day of June, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m. of said day, and it having been made to appear by the necessary affidavits presented to said Board and filed in the office of the Clerk thereof that A. O. Stewart has given notice of his intention to apply to said Board of Supervisors on the 29th day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m. of said day, for authority to erect, maintain and take tolls on a public ferry, as hereinbefore stated, and that said notice has been given in the manner, form and for the time required by law, and that said notice was served upwards of ten days prior to the 29th day of March, 1926, upon Southern Pacific Company and upon the State of California and the City of Alameda, the State of California and the City of Alameda being the owners of the land upon which A. O. Stewart expects to locate the terminals of said public ferry, which said land is hereinafter particularly described; and

Section 2. It further appearing that A. O. Stewart has in all things complied with the law in making application for said ferry franchise between the said terminals and in giving notice of said application; and

Section 3. It further appearing that such ferry is a public necessity and convenience and for the best interest of said City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda and the traveling public and that the situation of the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Alameda and the crossing of the public highway renders it necessary for public convenience and necessity to establish such a ferry and that such a ferry be established; and

Section 4. It further appearing that A. O. Stewart is a proper and suitable person to which to grant such a franchise, right and privilege and that the owners of the land described herein and neither of them have made application for a ferry franchise within a reasonable time after the necessity therefor arose and that no franchise for a ferry has been granted by this Board within one mile of the proposed location of said ferry; and

Section 5. Now, therefore, this Board of Supervisors does hereby grant A. O. Stewart and his assigns for a period of fifty years from and after the date hereof, and on the terms and conditions herein contained, subject to the right of the Railroad Commission of the State of California to modify, as provided by law, the rates and tolls hereinafter set forth, the right, privilege and authority to erect, maintain and take tolls on a public ferry to be run and operated across the San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the City of Alameda, Alameda County, California, with ferry landings or terminals located in the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Alameda, as follows:

Location of San Francisco Ferry Landing.

That certain wharf and ferry slip located at the foot of Townsend street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and generally known and designated therein as "Pier 36," or such other pier, wharf or ferry slip in the City and County of San Francisco as may hereafter be assigned by the State Board of Harbor Commissioners to A. O. Stewart or his assigns, as a terminal or landing place for said public ferry.

Location of Ferry Landing in City of Alameda.

That the ferry landing or terminal of said public ferry in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, shall be located at the foot of Pacific avenue, in said city, upon the following piece and parcel of real property located in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, said State, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of the State Tide Lands, with the southerly line of Lot 6 of Twp. 2 S., R. 4 W., as said lines are shown on the map entitled "Map No. 2 of Salt Marsh and Tide Lands, situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, surveyed under the direction of G. F. Allardt, Chief Engineer, on file in the office of the Surveyor-General of the State of California at Sacramento, Calif."; running thence from said point of beginning south 18 deg. 45 min. east along said easterly line of State Tide Lands 200.02 feet; thence south 72 deg. 0 min. west 5500 feet to a point marking beginning of area for terminal structures; thence south 18 deg. 0 min. east 100 feet; thence south 72 deg. 0 min. west 1700 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly boundary of the City of Alameda; thence northerly

along said city boundary to a point distant 400 feet northerly from said line bearing south 72 deg. 0 min. west, said distance being measured at right angles to said line; thence north 72 deg. 0 min. east 1700 feet, more or less, to a point 300 feet northerly, located at right angles to said course from the beforementioned point marking the beginning of area for terminal structures; thence south 18 deg. 0 min. east 100 feet; thence north 72 deg. 0 min. east 5497.38 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 6. That said A. O. Stewart, or his assigns, will, in the operation of said ferry, maintain such service as public necessity and convenience demands, which service shall in no case be less than one round trip per hour between the termini hereinabove set forth between the hours of 8 o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m. during the day, due regard being had at all times for weather conditions.

Section 7. The amount of the penal bond to be given by A. O. Stewart or his assigns, as required by subdivision 1 of Section 2845 of the Political Code of the State of California is hereby fixed in the sum of ten thousand dollars, which said bond shall be given and renewed annually for said sum.

Section 8. The amount of the license tax to be paid by A. O. Stewart, or his assigns, for taking tolls on said ferry is hereby fixed at the sum of one hundred dollars per month, payable yearly.

Section 9. The rate of tolls which may be collected for crossing said ferry is hereby fixed as follows:

Alameda Ferry Rates.

That the rates of tolls and fares which may be collected for crossing said ferry are hereby fixed as follaws:

Rates for Animals, Teams and Vehicles Handled by Passengers; Also Freight Transported on Vehicles and Passengers Either in Vehicles or on Foot.

Commodity—Rates in Cents except as shown.	
Item 5.—Automobiles, except as otherwise shown	$ .60
Item 10.—Ambulances	.80
Item 15.—Hearses	.80
Item 20.—Automobile passenger busses	.80
Item 25.—Motorcycles	.20
Item 30.—Motocycles with side car	.40
Item 35.—Commercial or delivery automobiles and motor trucks (not exceeding 9 feet in width or 20 feet in length) either in load or vehicle	.80
Item 40—Commercial or delivery automobile and motor trucks (exceeding 9 feet in width or 20 feet in length) either in load or vehicle	1.60
Item 45.—Ditchers, harvesters, steam rollers, excavators, tractors, and all similar conveyances, machines, or vehicles not otherwise specified, per ton of 2000 lbs.	1.45
Item 50.—One horse and wagon or cart	.75
Item 55.—Two horses and wagon	.75
Item 60.—Two horses and truck or dray	1.00
Item 65.—Four horses and truck or dray	1.50
Item 70.—Six horses and truck or dray	2.00
Item 75.—Two-wheel trailers attached to automobile	.40
Item 80.—Four-wheel trailers attached to auto	.60
Item 85.—Two-wheel trailers attached to truck	.40
Item 90.—Four-wheel trailers attached to truck	.75
Item 95.—Bicycles, free when in custody of owner.	
Item 100.—Freight on all kinds of vehicles, per 100 lbs. (minimum charge of $0.15)*	.04½
Item 105—Freight on all kinds not on vehicles, per 100 lbs. (minimum charge of $0.20)	.10

*Includes return of empty containers used in transportation of property and return to shipper when carrier receives original haul.

Rules and Regulations.

Rule 1. All baggage, freight goods and merchandise charged for at freight rates (except personal handbags carried by passengers), shipper to give satisfactory proof of weight.

Rule 2. Rates on commercial or delivery vehicles or motor trucks apply only on stated sizes or capacity. The rates on motor trucks of a size or capacity not specifically provided for will be the same rate as charged for motor trucks of the next higher size or capacity. Motor busses of a passenger capacity not specified, apply rate of next higher classification on motor busses.

Rule 3. Livestock when uncrated will not be carried on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays. When crated and on conveyances it will be carried on all trips and charged as general freight.

Rule 4. Shipper to pay all war and other taxes now in force or hereafter levied in addition to the regular rate.

Rule 5. The carrier reserves the right to refuse any and all freight or vehicles of excessive size or weight, or which will jeopardize the safe passage of the boats, or which it is not equipped to handle.

Rule 6. Articles not taken: Benzine, camphene, coal oil, crude or refined petroleum, loose cotton, hay or hemp, loose rags, naphtha, nitric or sulphuric acid, nitroglycerine or any other articles of highly combustible or inflammable nature (except gasoline in tanks of automobiles in transit), when prohibited by law.

Rule 7. Flag poles, telegraph poles, ladders and all other articles of a similar nature as to length will be charged for at the rate of ten cents per lineal foot for every foot over twenty. No such articles will be carried on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays.

Rule 8. Commutation rates and/or fares, except as otherwise provided, are good only for passage of original purchaser. The purchaser must sign his or her name in the proper place on the contract. Monthly commutation rates and/or fares, except as otherwise provided, will include one round trip daily for the calendar month for which sold.

Rule 9. Any freight requiring the turning of the boat either to load or unload, additional charge for each turning of the boat, $10.

Rule 10. The carrier will absorb State toll not to exceed five cents per ton of 2000 pounds on shipments moved over ferry slips at San Francisco when the carrier receives haul from San Francisco to Alameda, California, or vice versa.

Passenger Fares.

Item 5.—One-way ticket, all persons over 7 years of age in vehicles $0.05

Item 10.—One-way ticket, foot passenger, all persons over 7 years of age not in vehicles .10

Item 15.—Children under 7 years of age when accompanied by parent or guardian or other adult person will be carried free.

Commutation Tickets.

Item 20.—One foot passenger (not in vehicles) commutation book containing one round-trip ticket daily for calendar month, including Sundays 4.00

Rules and Regulations.

Rule 1. Commutation tickets not otherwise specified are good for passage for original purchaser only. Purchaser must sign his or her name in proper place on the back, which is considered a contract.

Rule 2. Tickets will be redeemed to the original purchaser at fare paid when no portion of the trip has been made and at the difference between the fare paid and the published tariff between terminals if round-trip was not completed.

Rule 3. Baggage will not be checked.

Amendments.

Supervisor Havenner moved to amend as follows: That this franchise shall not be assigned nor shall the same be assignable by operation of law or otherwise without the consent of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Amendment *carried.*

Supervisor Shannon moved to amend that Section 1, line 19, read "June 28" instead of "June 21".

Amendment *carried.*

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for printing.*

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7657, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Blackwood street between Ninth street and its easterly termination, Capitol avenue between Sagamore and Sadowa streets, Capitol avenue between Sagamore street and Palmetto avenue, Ellington avenue between Whipple and Naglee avenues, Ellington avenue between Ottawa and Foote avenues, and the intersection of Ellington avenue and Sala Terrace, Hamburg street between Monterey boulevard and Joost avenue, Hamburg street between Joost and Mangels avenues, Kirkham street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, Lawton street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Mariposa street between Bryant and York streets, Moraga street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Moraga street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, Nineteenth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets, Rice street between San Jose avenue and the county line, Rivera street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, Rivera street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Thirty-fifth avenue between Irving and

Judah streets, Twenty-first avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, Twenty-first avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, Vicente street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, Vicente street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues, crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Rivera street, crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-first avenue, and the crossing of Twenty-third avenue and Vicente street, and the crossings of Hamburg street and Joost avenue and Mangels avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Blackwood street between Ninth street and its easterly termination. paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; no sewers, gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Capitol avenue between Sagamore and Sadowa streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Capitol avenue between Sagamore street and Palmetto avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Ellington avenue between Whipple and Naglee avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Ellington avenue between Ottawa and Foote avenues and the intersection of Ellington avenue and Sala Terrace, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Hamburg street between Monterey boulevard and Joost avenue and between Joost and Mangels avenues, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Kirkham street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Mariposa street between Bryant and York streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Moraga street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Moraga street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Nineteenth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Rice street between San Jose avenue and the county line, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Rivera street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Rivera street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirty-fifth avenue between Ir-

ving and Judah streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-first avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-first avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Rivera street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-first avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-third avenue and Vicente street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossings of Hamburg street and Joost avenue and Hamburg street and Mangels avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Board of Public Works to Grant Permits for Street Work on Unaccepted Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7658, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to grant permission for the performance of street work in or upon unaccepted public streets in the City and County of San Francisco by private contract; regulating the manner in which such work shall be done; prescribing the conditions and requirements essential to the obtaining of such permission, and repealing Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series) and all ordinances amendatory thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Application for permission to do any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street in the City and County of San Francisco by private contract must be made in writing to the Board of Public Works, which application shall contain a comprehensive description of the work to be done. Said Board shall thereupon investigate such application, and if after investigation the Board determines that the public interest or convenience requires the doing of the proposed work and that the same is expedient, and will not be productive of detriment to the public safety or convenience, it is hereby authorized to grant permission for the doing of the same as applied for or as modified by direction of the City Engineer, subject to the conditions and provisions in this ordinance hereinafter prescribed and provided.

Section 2. No permission for the doing of any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street in the City and County of San Francisco, except in the case of main sewer construction, or the improvement of a street crossing or intersection as hereinafter provided for, shall be granted in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance, unless the owners of at least sixty (60) per cent of the frontage on a block of the street whereon or wherein such work is proposed to be done, or the authorized agents of such owners, shall have entered into a written contract for the doing thereof; provided, however, that no work so contracted for shall be permitted to be done on the block until at least the entire improvable frontage on one side of the block between main street crossings or main street intersections, determinable by the longest side, in case one side exceeds the other in length, shall have been so contracted for, unless in the judgment of the Board of Public Works and the City Engineer, the frontage necessary to complete a full contracted frontage on such side will not be productive of consequent detriment to the public use

of such side of the block nor to the work proposed to be done on the block; and, further, provided, however, that if the entire improvable frontage on one side of a block has been so contracted for by the owner or owners of the lots and lands fronting thereon, or their authorized agents, and the City Engineer and the Board of Public Works deem the proposed improvement to be advisable and expedient and that the public interest and convenience would be subserved by such improvement, then and in such case the said Board may grant permission for the making of the same.

Where the construction of a main sewer is deemed by the Board of Public Works and the City Engineer to be necessary in any block proposed to be improved by private contract, then and in such case no work, except grading, involving the construction of a pavement on such block, shall be permitted to be done until such main sewer shall have been constructed with side sewers and other appurtenances as in this section hereinafter provided for and regulated.

Where a main sewer has already been constructed in a block and side sewers and other appurtenances to such main sewer are deemed necessary by the said Board and the City Engineer, the construction of the same shall be conditioned for in the private contract in this ordinance referred to.

In the case of the construction of a main sewer in any block, no permission for the construction of the same by private contract shall be granted unless such contract is signed and conditioned for the construction of such sewer for its entire serviceable length between the main street crossings, or main street intersections, as may be determined by the City Engineer, with side sewers and other expedient and essential appurtenances as may be required by the City Engineer, under such regulations as may be prescribed by him, and approved by the Board of Public Works.

The provisions of Ordinance No. 5923 (New Series) regulating the construction, reconstruction or repair of private side sewers or drains and the connection thereof with main public sewers, approved June 28, 1923, shall not be deemed applicable to the construction of side sewers by private contract under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance.

Permission for the improvement of a public street crossing or intersection shall not be granted unless the owners of at least a majority of the frontage of the lots and lands liable for the cost thereof, or the authorized agents of such owners, shall have entered into contract therefor, such frontage being determinable according to the method provided in the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 for determining the frontage liable for the improvement of street crossings or intersections.

Section 3. The contract for the doing of any proposed street work pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall be signed in triplicate, two of which shall accompany the application for permission to do the proposed work, together with a diagram showing thereon the lots and lands signed for by the respective owners thereof, or their agents, as indicated in such contract and the respective frontages thereof so signed for. To such contracts accompanying such application there shall be attached affidavits sworn to before a notary public that the signatures of said owners or their agents, respectively, appearing in such contracts, are genuine, and were to the actual knowledge of affiant subscribed by said owners or said agents, respectively, and that the frontage set opposite the said signatures, severally, is correct according to affiant's best information and belief.

Section 4. The work proposed to be done under such private contract must be of a class or type approved and recommended by the City Engineer. Such work must be done under the direction and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and the materials to be used therein must be in accordance with specifications adopted by the Board of Supervisors for similar work, and be to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

The Board of Public Works shall fix the time within which the work shall be completed, which time shall begin to run from the date of the resolution of the said Board granting the permission for the doing of the same.

The said Board shall not extend the time for the completion of the work more than ninety (90) days beyond the time fixed in the said resolution, unless the application for a further extension of time be filed before the expiration of the time of the first extension granted pursuant hereto and is supported by a certificate from the City Engineer, certifying that at least the major part of the work described in the resolution granting the permis-

sion for the doing thereof has actually been done, or by evidence satisfactory to the City Engineer and the said Board that the failure to have done the required measure of work was not due to lack of diligence in the prosecution of the work on the part of the contractor. The time for the completion of the work herein provided for shall be deemed to be of the essence of the contract herein referred to in all things, and the resolution of the Board of Public Works granting permission for the doing of the work shall so declare.

When the work shall have been completed to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and the Board of Public Works, the said Board shall so declare by resolution and thereupon deliver to the contractor a certificate to that effect.

Section 5. In case that the work to be done by private contract, as hereinbefore provided for, shall not have been completed within the time limited in the resolution of permission or within such extended time as may have been granted pursuant to the provisions of Section 4 of this ordinance, the Board of Public Works shall by resolution revoke the permission theretofore granted for doing such work, and no application for a renewed permission to do the same shall be considered by the said Board unless the same be accompanied by a contract signed anew in the manner and with the accompanying requirements prescribed in Sections 2 and 3 of this ordinance. No such application for such renewed permission, however, shall in any way be deemed to control the determination or discretion of the said Board to institute proceedings for the performance of the work pursuant to the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 6. No permission for doing any street work by private contract under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall become effective until the contractor covenanting to perform the same shall have executed to the City and County of San Francisco, and delivered to the Secretary of the Board of Public Works, a bond in such amount as may have been fixed in the resolution of the said Board granting such permission, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California as surety thereon, conditioned for the faithful performance of the contract, or shall have deposited with the said Secretary a certified check upon some solvent bank for the said amount as a guaranty for such performance, or in lieu of such bond or such certified check, shall have executed to said City and County and delivered to said Secretary a bond in the sum of ten thousand (10,000) dollars, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety thereon, conditioned for the faithful performance of any and all private contracts authorized to be performed by him in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance, which said bond shall be renewed annually. In every case of granting permission to do street work as provided for in this ordinance, the Board of Public Works shall fix in its resolution granting such permission the amount of bond required to be executed for the faithful performance of the contract, except in a case in and to which the lieu bond herein provided for may be made applicable.

Before entering upon the performance of any work in this ordinance provided for, the contractor covenanting to do such work shall execute and file with the Board of Public Works a good and sufficient bond to be satisfactory to the said Board in a sum not less than one-half of the total amount payable by the terms of his contract. Such bond shall in respect to the surety or sureties thereon conform to the requirements of the bond provided for in Section 7, Chapter III of Article II of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be conditioned for like purposes and intent as in said section provided and declared, and the procedure provided for in said section applicable to any claim or claims therein specified, shall be applicable to any claim or claims arising in connection with the work done or to be done under the said contract. The provisions of said Section 7, Chapter III of Article II of said Charter, as to the conditions, purposes and intent thereof, and the applicable procedure therein provided, are by reference hereby made a part of this section.

No assignment or transfer of a contract authorized or provided for in this ordinance, or of any rights thereunder, shall operate to relieve the surety or sureties on any bond executed in connection with such contract, as herein provided for, from the obligations or liabilities assumed in and by such bond, nor change or in any manner or degree qualify such obligations or liabilities.

Section 7. The Board of Public Works may institute such inquiry as it deems proper for the purpose of determining the authenticity of the signatures appearing on a private contract, or the authority of the parties thereto to sign the same. The provisions of Section 24 of Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco shall be applicable for such inquiry.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not be deemed in any manner to be in conflict with the provisions of Section 16, Chapter II of Article VI of the Charter, nor with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Nothing in this ordinance shall be so construed as prohibiting the Board of Public Works from granting permission to an individual owner to improve a public street in front of his property, if in the judgment of the City Engineer and the said Board such improvement be deemed advisable and expedient, and the public interest or convenience requires the same.

Section 9. Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series) and all ordinances amendatory thereof and all ordinances and parts of ordinances relating to private contracts in so far as they may conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed. Such provisions, however, shall not in any manner be held to affect any private contract heretofore in force and effect in pursuance of the provisions of Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series) and ordinances amendatory thereof, which provisions shall be deemed applicable until the completion of such contract.

Section 10. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25859 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after August 3, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Clement street between Arguello boulevard and Funston avenue under public contract. This extension of time is granted for the reason that delay in completion of the work was occasioned by the necessity of waiting for the public service corporations to remove poles and place service underground.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Approving Map Showing Extension of Grafton Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25860 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 92262 (Second Series), approve a map showing the extension of Grafton avenue from Harold avenue to Getz street; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the extension of Grafton avenue from Harold avenue to Getz street is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Approving Map Showing Closing of a Portion of Utah Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25861 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 92262 (Second Series), approve a map showing the closing of that portion of Utah street lying south of a line 250 feet south of Twenty-fifth street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved That the map showing the closing of that portion of Utah street lying south of a line 250 feet south of Twenty-fifth street is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Land Required for Opening and Extension of Newcomb Avenue from Selby Street to Barneveld Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25862 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the ac-

quisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Franacisco, and any and all rights thereto for street purposes, to-wit:

Beginning at a point which is 260 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue and 834.987 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Selby street, said point of beginning being on the center line of Alcatraz street, formerly Chase street (now closed); thence southerly along the center line of Alcatraz street (now closed), a distance of 14.194 feet, to the southerly boundary of Lot 1447 of Gift Map No. 4, extended and produced westerly; thence at right angles easterly along said boundary and said southerly boundary produced westerly a distance of 27.282 feet to a point which is 260 feet at right angles northerly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue; thence northwesterly and parallel with Oakdale avenue 30.753 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot No. 1447 of Gift Map No. 4 and that portion of Alcatraz street (formerly Chase street) that reverted to the said lot by closing of said street;

Resolved, That all the lands, rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable, necessary, and required for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

The opening and extension of Newcomb avenue from Selby street to Barneveld avenue;

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco, proceedings in eminent domain against the owner or owners of said lots, pieces, or parcels of land and any or all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the lands hereinbefore described, for the purpose of acquiring a title in fee simple to such described lands for the City and County of San Francisco, for the uses herein set forth and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Lands Required for the Opening of Fraser Street from Newcomb Avenue and Toland Street to Jerrold Avenue West of Toland Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25863 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and any and all rights and claims thereto for street purposes, to-wit:

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point distant 448.233 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of McKinnon avenue, and distant 874 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Selby street, said point of beginning being on the center line of Freedom street (now closed), distant thereon 90.506 feet westerly from the southwesterly line of Byrne street, and running thence northeasterly parallel with the northwesterly line of Selby street and distant 874 feet at right angles northwesterly therefrom, a distance of 101.923 feet to the center line of Byrne street (now closed); thence deflecting 71 deg. 10 min. 6 sec. to the left and running northwesterly along the center line of Byrne street 42.262 feet to a point distant 914 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Selby street; thence deflecting 108 deg. 49 min. 54 sec. to the left and running southwesterly parallel with the northwesterly line of Selby street and distant 914 feet at right angles northwesterly therefrom, a distance of 132.215 feet to the westerly line of Lot 1965 of Gift Map No. 4 extended and produced southerly; thence deflecting 38 deg. 49 min. 39 sec. to the left and running southerly along said westerly lot line produced southerly 12.108 feet to the center line of Freedom street; thence at right angles easterly along the center line of Freedom street 41.601 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lots Nos. 1965, 1966, 1967, 1968 and 1969 of Gift Map No. 4, and portions of Byrne street and Freedom street accruing to said lots by reason of the closing of said streets.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point distant 295.802 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeast-

erly line of Oakdale avenue, and distant 735.417 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Selby street, said point of beginning being on the southerly boundary of Lot 1477 of Gift Map No. 4, distant thereon 55.146 feet westerly from the westerly line of Bourne street (now closed), and running thence westerly along said southerly boundary 46.430 feet to a point distant 274.373 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue, and distant 776.606 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Selby street; thence northerly on a curve to the rignt of 314-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 61 deg. 42 min. 39 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course, central angle 19 deg. 20 min. 47 sec., a distance of 106.025 feet to the northerly boundary of Lot 1450 of Gift Map No. 4; thence deflecting 98 deg. 56 min. 34 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running easterly along said northerly boundary 40.566 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left of 274-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 79 deg. 44 min. 18 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 22 deg. 38 min. 1 sec., a distance of 108.238 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lots 1447, 1448, 1449, 1450, 1477 and 1478 of Gift Map No. 4.

Resolved, That all the lands rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable, necessary, and required for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

The opening of Fraser street from Newcomb avenue and Toland street to Jerrold avenue west of Toland street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, proceedings in eminent domain against the owner or owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land, and any or all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the lands hereinbefore described, for the purpose of acquiring at title in fee simple to such described lands for the City and County of San Francisco, for the uses herein set forth, and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Powell Street Between California Street and Broadway.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7659, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 916.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office July 19, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 916, to read as follows:

Section 916. The width of sidewalks on Powell street between California street and Broadway shall be as shown on that certain man entitled "Map of Powell street between California street and Broadway," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25864 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 92285 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted June 16, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed June 19, 1926, to-wit:

On La Grande avenue between Bacon street and Russia avenue; on Prague street between Excelsior avenue and Brazil avenue; on Dublin street between La Grande avenue and Persia avenue; on Excelsior avenue between Munich street and Prague street; on Brazil avenue be-

tween Prague street and La Grande avenue, and on Persia avenue between Dublin street and La Grande avenue.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Approving Map Showing Widening of San Bruno Avenue Southwest of Flower Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25865 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 92263 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of San Bruno avenue southwest of Flower street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of San Bruno avenue southwest of Flower street is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

City Attorney to File Supplemental Complaint in Matter of Condemnation of Certain Property Required by the City.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25866 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northerly boundary of Lot 3 of Block 3 of Subdivision No. 1 of Mountain Spring Property, distant thereon 6.042 feet easterly from the easterly line of Market street, and running thence easterly along said northerly boundary 57.511 feet; thence at right angles southerly 24.365 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the left of 60-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 127 degrees 42 minutes 28 seconds to the right from the preceding course, central angle 37 degrees 42 minutes 28 seconds, a distance of 39.487 feet; thence westerly tangent to the preceding curve 11.820 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 205-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 50 degrees 41 minutes 20 seconds to the right from the preceding course, central angle 4 degrees 9 minutes 18 seconds, a distance of 14.866 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 3 of Block 3 of Subdivision No. 1, Mountain Spring Property. Be it

Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening and extension of Market street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to file a supplemental complaint to the action in eminent domain known as "City and County of San Francisco vs. Charlotte E. Dore et al., Superior Court, City and County of San Francisco, No. 167112," against the owners of said tract of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Open Public Streets Declared, Monterey Heights.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25867 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 2d day of June, 1926, between Residential Development Company of San Francisco (a corporation) and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying lands for the purpose of opening, laying out and dedicating for street

purposes in said City and County of San Francisco as shown on map of Monterey Heights, San Francisco, California, Blocks 3044 and 3045 and part of Blocks 3039, 3042 and 3047, is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands conveyed be declared to be open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Approving Map, Monterey Heights.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25868 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 92378 (Second Series), approve a Map of Blocks 3044 and 3045 and part of Blocks 3039, 3042 and 3047, Monterey Heights, San Francisco, California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Map of Blocks 3044 and 3045 and part of Blocks 3039, 3042 and 3047, Monterey Heights, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Bond of Residential Development Company Conditioned for Payment of Taxes on Monterey Heights Property Approved.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25869 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Residential Development Company of San Francisco (a corporation), as principal, and John M. Punnett and Sam Israelsky, as sureties, in the sum of two thousand dollars, which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien, but not yet payable against the property as shown on a map entitled "Blocks 3044 and 3045 and part of Blocks 3039, 3042 and 3047, Monterey Heights, San Francisco, California, approved by Resolution No. 92378 (Second Series)," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7660, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 6th day of May, 1926, by Resolution No. 25572 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Twenty-eighth Street.

Diamond street, 423 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 441 feet.

100 feet easterly from Douglass street, 520 feet.

Douglass street, 533 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, changed and established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7661, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 2d day of April, 1926, by Resolution No. 25442 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Thirty-second Avenue.

Irving street, 169 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

200 feet northerly from Judah street, 209 feet.

100 feet northerly from Judah street, 216.25 feet.

Judah street, 218 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7662, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bronte street between lines parallel with Jarboe street and respectively 30 feet and 130 feet northerly therefrom."

Also, Bill No. 7663, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Allison street between Morse and Brunswick street and on Brunswick street between Concord and Pope streets."

Also, Bill No. 7664, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Flournoy street between the southwesterly line of and a line parallel with and 22 feet northeasterly therefrom, extending from Rhine street to De Long street."

Also, Bill No. 7665, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirtieth avenue, Moraga street, Noriega street, Lawton street, Fortieth avenue, Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-fifth avenue, Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue, Ortega street and Pacheco street."

Also, Bill No. 7666, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on San Miguel street between Mount Vernon avenue and Ridge lane; on Ridge lane between San Jose avenue and Tara street, and on Caine avenue between Ridge lane and a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 600 feet northerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 6th day of May, 1926, by Resolution No. 25569 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on San Miguel street between Mount Vernon avenue and Ridge lane; on Ridge lane between San Jose avenue and Tara street, and on Caine avenue between Ridge lane and a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 600 feet northerly therefrom; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

San Miguel Street.

Easterly line of, at Mount Vernon avenue southerly line, 292 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Mount Vernon avenue southerly line, 294 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 302.17 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 296.17 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 296.17 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 187.53 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 320 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 187.53 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 320 feet.

Westerly line of, 187.53 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 326 feet.

Easterly line of, at Ridge lane northerly line, 322 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ridge lane northerly line, 322 feet.

Westerly line of, at Ridge lane northerly line (for top of slope), 328 feet.

Ridge Lane.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 15 feet westerly from San Jose avenue, 282 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 175 feet westerly from San Jose avenue, 309 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at San Miguel street easterly line, 322 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 15 feet westerly from San Miguel street easterly line, 322 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at San Miguel street westerly line, 331 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Caine avenue easterly line, 339 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Caine avenue westerly line, 348 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Tara street easterly line, 358 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On San Miguel street between Mount Vernon avenue and Ridge lane; on Ridge lane between San Jose avenue and Tara street, and on Caine avenue between Ridge lane and a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 600 feet northerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Caine avenue at a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 600 feet northerly therefrom, and of San Jose avenue at Ridge lane.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7667, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Vallejo street between Montgomery and Kearny streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 6th day of May, 1926, by Resolution No. 25575 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Vallejo street between Montgomery and Kearny streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notice of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Vallejo Street.

Montgomery street, 115 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

215 feet easterly from Kearny street, 179.50 feet.

192.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 178.94 feet.

175 feet easterly from Kearny street, 178.04 feet.

157.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 176.22 feet.

137.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 173.12 feet.

117.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 169.02 feet.

(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

Kearny street, 142 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Vallejo street between Montgomery and Kearny streets, changed and established to conform to true

gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 7668, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street wrok, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifiations are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Rutland street between the northerly line of Arleta avenue and the southerly line of Harkness avenue*, excepting the intervening crossings, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement between the northerly line of Arleta avenue and the southerly line of Teddy avenue, and between the northerly line of Wilde avenue and the southerly line of Harkness avenue, by the construction of a concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Scissors, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25870 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing scissors for School Department on bids submitted June 14, 1926 (Proposal No. 199), be made as follows, to-wit:

1. 1000 dozen scissors, 4½-inch, round point, A., $1.61; C. F. Weber & Co.

2. 1600 dozen scissors, 5-inch, sharp point, No. 10, $1.59; Sloss & Brittain.

3. 12 dozen scissors, 10-inch; no award.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplie.s.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Stock Furniture, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25871 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing stock furniture for School Department be hereby made on bids submitted June 14, 1926 (Proposal No. 197), as follows, to-wit:

1. 1000 chairs, 18-inch, plain oak, $2.59; C. F. Weber & Co., 60 days.

2. 1000 chairs, 18-inch, quarter oak; no award.

3. 2000 chairs, assembly; no award.

4. 250 chairs, Vienna, $2.75; C. F. Weber & Co., 60 days.

5. 40 desks, flat top, double pedestal, 50x32, back panel, $29.54; Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., 60 days.

6. 100 desks, flat top, single pedestal, 42v32, back panel, $21.28; Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., 60 days.

7. 150 stools, maple, 18-inch, 96 cents; H. S. Crocker Co., 60 days.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when

award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Turbine Pump and Motor, Board of Park Commissioners.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 25872 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing and installing turbine pump and motor for Park Commissioners on bid submitted June 21, 1926 (Proposal No. 202), be made to Krogh Pump & Machinery Company for the sum of $1,295.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Metal Document Files for the County Clerk.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 25873 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing 12 metal document files for County Clerk on bid submitted June 21, 1926 (Proposal No. 201), be made to The Berger Manufacturing Co. of California for the sum of $1,058.40.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Method of Assessment Approved, Peralta Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented: Resolution No. 25880 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Peralta avenue from York street to Holladay avenue, including the intersection of York street, Tomasa street, Hampshire street and Montcalm street, and the improvement of Tomasa street from Peralta avenue to Montcalm street on the northerly line, and Brewster street on the southerly line, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete walls, balustrades and coping, concrete pavement, and an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic wearing surface; artificial stone sidewalks; concrete curbs; pipe railings; warning lights; sewers and appurtenances; brick catchbasins with appurtenances and culverts, and brick manholes with apurtenances, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 91102 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering Improvement of Peralta Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Welch: Bill No. 7669, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and speci-

fications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Peralta avenue from York street to Holladay avenue, including the intersection of York street, Tomasa street, Hampshire street and Montcalm street; and the improvement of Tomasa street from Peralta avenue to Montcalm street on the northerly line and Brewster street on the southerly line*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete walls, balustrades, and coping; concrete pavement; and an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface; artificial stone sidewalks; concrete curbs; pipe railings; warning lights; sewers and appurtenances; brick catchbasins with appurtenances and culverts, and brick manholes with appurtenances.

The method of assessment for said improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 91102 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Classroom Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25881 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for classroom supplies, paper, janitorial supplies for School Department, on bids submitted June 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 189), be made as follows, to-wit:

1. 750 waste baskets, Nemco No. 2, each $0.7889; Building Supplies Company.

2. 350 doz. artist fitch brushes, doz. $1.36; Dan P. Maher Co.

3. 1200 doz. camels' hair marker brushes, doz. $1.32; Milton Bradley Company.

4. 4000 gross crayons, chalk, white, dustless anduseptic, gross $0.365; H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

5. 400 gross crayons, chalk colored, gross $1.00; H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

6. 3000 doz. crayons, large wax marking crayonex, doz. $0.30; H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

7. 1000 doz. erasers, wool felt, College brand, doz. $1.74; C. F. Weber & Co.

8. 2000 packages ink powder, pkg. $0.05; C. F. Weber & Co.

9. 360 ink stands, Victor No. 3, each 34 cents; A. Carlisle & Co.

10. 20 doz. ink vents, Perfection, doz. $3.58; H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

11. 300 doz. jars paste, photo library, 5-oz., doz. jars $1.96; Fites Ink Mfg. Co.

12. 2250 sacks paste, dry powder, 1-lb. sacks, each 11 cents; Schwabacher-Frey Co.

13. 425 gross pencils, lead practice No. 265, gross $3.32; J. H. Newbauer & Co.

14. 1500 gross pencils, lead, Dixon's 1060, gross $1.49; H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

15. 240 pencil sharpeners, Junior, each $2.30; Schwabacher-Frey Co.

16. 1500 gross pens, steel, Eagle, gross $0.429; H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

17. 750 gross penholders, wooden, gross $2.24; Schwabacher-Frey Co.

18. 84 pointers, hardwood, rubber tip, each 69 cents; H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

19. 3000 boxes thmub tacks, 100 to box. No. 3977, box $0.072; A. Lietz Co.

20. 1000 yard-sticks, hardwood, varnished, each $0.08; Milton-Bradley Co.

21. 375,000 envelopes, No. 6¾, No. 1 Manila, substance No. 20, per M., 83 cents; The Envelope Corporation.

22. 125,000 envelopes No. 10, No. 1 Manila, substance No. 28, $1.70 per thousand; The Envelope Corporation.

23. 250,000 envelopes No. 3, No. 1 Manila, substance No. 20; $1.56 per thousand; Pacific Coast Paper Co.

24. 1500 reams construction paper; no award.

25. 500 reams paper, poster, colored, 9 x 12 $.90 per ream; C. F. Weber & Co.

26. 3600 packages paper, poster, colored, 1 x 24 in. strips; $.05 per package; C. F. Weber & Co.

27. 500 reams paper, drawing, gray, 9 x 12, cut from 24 x 36, 40 pounds; $.306; Zellerbach Paper Co.

28a. 1500 reams paper, drawing, gray, 9 x 12, cut from 24 x 36, 60 pound, $.459; Zellerbach Paper Co.

28b. 150 reams paper, drawing,

gray, 18 x 24, cut from 24 x 36, 60 pounds, $1.83; Zellerbach Paper Co.

29a. 250 reams paper, drawing, Manila, 9 x 12, cut from 24 x 36, 60 pounds, $.67; H. S. Crocker Co.

29b. 2150 reams paper, drawing, Manila, 12 x 18, cut from 24 x 36, 60 pounds, $1.34; H. S. Crocker Co.

30. 1500 reams paper, white drawing, 9 x 12, cut from 24 x 36, 60 pounds, $.67; H. S. Crocker Co.

31. 7500 rolls paper, crepe, rolls 10 ft. long 20 inches wide, $.0344; Carter, Rice & Co.

32a. 5000 pkgs. paper, foolscap, 8 x 12½, ruled, packages 1000 sheets, $.945; H. S. Crocker Co.

32b. 2500 kgs. paper, foolscap, 8 x 12½, unruled, pkg. 1000 sheets, $1.00; H. S. Crocker Co.

33. 30,000 lbs. paper, No. 1 wrapping, rolls, $.0522; J. H. Newbauer & Co.

34. 33,000 lbs. paper, No. 2 wrapping, Manila, $.04975; Zellerbach Paper Co.

35. 8000 paper, Manila sheets for binders, $1.30; Pacific Coast Paper Co.

36a. 1500 reams newspaper, 9 x 12, packages 500, $.17; Pacific Coast Paper Co.

36b. 3750 reams newspaper, 18 x 24, packages 500, $.64; Pacific Coast Paper Co.

37. 1000 reams paper, No. 2 unbleached parchment, $.33; Pacific Coast Paper Co.

38a. 1500 reams paper, black pattern, 9 x 12, $.60; T. J. Cardoza Co.

38b. 500 reams paper, black pattern, 18 x 24, $2.38; T. J. Cardoza Co.

39. 500 quires paper tissue 20 x 30, No. 1 grade, $.08; Zellerbach Paper Co.

40. 788 packages, tagboard, Manila, 2½ x 8½ inch strips, package 200 strips, $.38; Zellerbach Paper Co.

41. 6 doz. yard brushes; no award.

42. 15 doz. wall brush, ceiling dusters, $18; Building Supplies Co.

43. 45 doz. string dusters, string twisted in wire, 15-inch handle, $9.70; Building Supplies Co.

44. 35 doz. chamois skins; no award.

45. 10 doz. squeegees, Golden Queen, $10.95; Building Supplies Co.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Note.—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Reception to Commander-in-Chief United War Veterans.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 25882 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $250 be and is hereby appropriated out of Budget Item No. 1082 for the reception of Carmi A. Thompson, Commander-in-Chief of the United War Veterans, and special representative of President Coolidge to make a survey of the Philippine Islands.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Adopting Amended Location of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Transmission and Pipe Lines, Etc.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25883 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, for the successful consummation of the policy of the City and County of San Francisco of dedeloping a complete municipal water supply, with Hetch Hetchy Valley, Lake Eleanor and the waters of the Tuolumne River and its tributaries in Tuolumne County, California, as a source for obtaining said water supply, and generating electric power in connection with said water supply, it is necessary that an aqueduct, electric transmission lines, roads and water supply pipe line be constructed along the locations hereinafter described, and that the City and County occupy lands hereinafter described; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the hereinafter described locations be and the same are hereby adopted by the City and County of San Francisco as the amended definite locations of the said aqueduct, electric transmission lines, roads, pipe line and lands.

The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to apply to the United States Land Office, the Honorable Secretary of the Interior, and any other department of government on behalf

of the City and County for permission to construct and complete said aqueduct, transmission lines, roads and pipe line and to occupy said lands on said amended locations.

Said application is to be made under the Act of Congress approved December 19, 1913, entitled "An Act granting to the City and County of San Francisco certain rights of way in, over and through certain public lands, the Yosemite National Park and Stanilaus National Forest, and certain lands in the Yosemite National Park, the Stanislaus National Forest, and the public lands in the State of California, and for other purposes," in order that the City and County may obtain the benefits of said act.

The Mayor is further authorized and directed to make, on behalf of the City and County, any other application under said Act of Congress or under any law to any department of government, which may be necessary for the successful completion of the above described municipal water supply for the City and County of San Francisco; and also to enter into any stipulations or agreements on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco which may be required by the Department of the Interior, the Department of Agriculture or the Forestry Bureau of the United States Government in the matter of obtaining permits or favorable action from any of said departments on any of the City's applications before said departments, so as to complete successfully said municipal water supply.

The City Engineer is authorized and directed to prepare all the necessary surveys, maps and field notes for said application or for any other applications which it may be necessary to make under said Act of Congress, or under any law for the successful completion of the above described municipal water supply.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to appear before the United States Land Office, the Secretary of the Interior, or any other department of the government, for and on behalf of the City in the matter of this application, or any other proceeding which may be necessary for the successful completion of the above described municipal water supply.

Following are descriptions of the amended definite locations of said aqueduct, transmission lines, roads, pipe line and lands:

1. *Aqueduct*: A right of way 250 feet wide, 125 feet on each side of the following described center line of said City and County's canal, tunnel or aqueduct right of way: Beginning at the aqueduct initial point or Sta. "T" 0+00, whence the southwest corner of Section 36, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, M. D. B. and M., bears south 46 deg. 37 min. 20 sec. west 2668.1 feet, which initial points bears north 61 deg. 44 min. 50 sec. west 44.91 feet from the point designated as the initial point or point No. 1 in application, Sacramento Serial No. 010121, filed at the Sacramento Land Office December 13, 1916, and approved by the Secretary of the Interior September 21, 1917; thence by true courses and distances 8.33 miles to the aqueduct terminal point or Sta. "A_3" 330+35.86, whence the northwest corner of Section 2, Township 2 South, Range 14 East, M. D. B. and M., bears south 86 deg. 13 min. 30 sec. west 377.19 feet; said terminal point also bears north 75 deg. 55 min. east 791.24 feet from the point designated as the terminal point or Sta. "A" 337+70, in said application, Sacramento Serial No. 010121.

2. *Roads*: A right of way 100 feet wide, 50 feet each side of the following described center lines of said City and County's road rights of way: (A) Beginning at the initial point or Sta. "R_1" 114+00, whence the southeast corner of Section 36, Township 1 South, Range 14 East, bears north 2 deg. 49½ min. west 385.4 feet; thence by true courses and distances 1.64 miles to the terminal point or Sta. "L" 85+84.28="A_1" 301+20; (B) Beginning at the initial point or Sta. 0+00, whence the southeast corner of Section 25, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, bears south 58 deg. 22 min. east 920.9 feet; thence by true courses and distances 1.00 miles to the terminal point or Sta. 52+73.2; whence Sta. "T" 62+05.66 of the aqueduct survey line bears south 30 deg. 09 min. west 47.10 feet. Said roads are necessary in connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of said canal, tunnel or aqueduct.

3. *Lands for Reservoir and Protective Area*: Parcel No. 1, being the north ½ of the southwest ¼ of the northeast ¼, and the northeast ¼ of the southeast ¼ of the northwest ¼ of Section 34, Township 1 South, Range 15 East; containing 30 acres. Said parcel is required in connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of the Moccasin re-regulating reservoir, which forms a part of said canal, tunnel or aqueduct; the northeast corner (0.5 acre) of said parcel to be occupied by a portion of said reservoir; the remainder of said parcel, adjacent to said reservoir,

to protect the waters of said canal, tunnel or aqueduct from contamination.

4. *Lands for Construction Purposes*: Parcel No. 2, being the west ½ of Lot 5 of Section 6, Township 2 South, Range 15 East, and Lot 3 of Section 1, Township 2 South, Range 14 East; containing 56.28 acres and Parcel No. 3, being Lot 28 and the south ½ of Lot 16 of Section 35, Township 1 South, Range 14 East; containing 42.53 acres. Said Parcels Nos. 2 and 3 are necessary as sites for camps, construction plants, warehouses and dumps in connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of said City and County's canal, tunnel or aqueduct.

5. *Electric Transmission Lines*: Rights of way each 250 feet wide, 125 feet on each side of the center line: (A) Beginning at the initial point or Sta. "F_1" 0+00, whence the southwest corner of Section 36, Township 1 South, Range 15 East bears south 58 deg. 47 min. west, 3874.8 feet; thence by true courses and distances 2.19 miles to the terminal point or Sta. "F_1" 115+88.0, whence the northeast corner of Section 34, bears north 15 deg. 13 min. east, 1160.1 feet. (B) Beginning at the initial point or Sta. 0+00, whence the initial point or Sta. "F_1" 0+00 of the survey of transmission line "A" bears south 63 deg. 42 min. 45 sec. west 294.0 feet; thence north 78 deg. 44 min. 10 sec. west 2.04 miles to the terminal point or Sta. 107+51.4; whence the terminal point of the survey of transmission line "A" or Sta. "F_1", 115+88.0 bears north 87 deg. 47½ min. east 412.6 feet.

6. *Water Supply Pipe Line*: A right of way 50 feet wide, 25 feet on each side of the center line of said City and County's water supply pipe line right of way: Beginning at the initial point or Sta. "K_3" 6+45.9, whence Sta. "T" 62+05.66 of the aqueduct survey bears north 77 deg. 26 min. west 595.6 feet; thence by true courses and distances 0.87 miles to the terminal point or Sta. "K_2" 38+66.3, whence Sta. "E" 103+00 of the aqueduct survey, bears south 23 deg. 44 min. west 499.5 feet.

Said Surveys 1, 2 (A), 2 (B), 3, 4 and 6 constitute an amendment of the survey described in said application, Sacramento Serial No. 010121, so far as relates to the location of the canal, tunnel or aqueduct, and roads, pipe line and appurtenant lands necessary in connection therewith.

Said Surveys 5 (A) and 5 (B), constitute an amendment of a portion of the survey described in said application, Sacramento Serial No. 010121, that is, of the portion thereof between Point No. 1 and Sta. "A" 0+00, so far as said portion relates to the location of electric transmission lines.

All of the hereinabove described locations are situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Laundry Ordinance Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3300 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the establishment and maintenance of public laundries and public wash-houses within the City and County of San Francisco, and repealing all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3300 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association of persons to establish, maintain, operate or carry on the business of a public laundry or wash-house, where clothes or other articles are cleansed, ironed, washed, starched, marked or sorted for hire or profit, in any building or premises within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, without having first obtained a permit therefor from the Board of Supervisors, which said permit shall specify the name of the permitee and the location of the premises used or to be used as such laundry or wash-house.

Referred to Fire Committee.

Proceedings of the Board of Equalization.

Whereupon, the following resolutions were presented, read by the Clerk, and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25874 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby authorized to designate such employees of the Assessor's office as may be necessary as deputy clerks of this Board of Supervisors during the time of the meeting of the Board of Equaliza-

tion to perform duties in conection therewith.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Resolution No. 25875 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board meet on the 9th day of July, 1926, at 2 p. m., as a Board of Equalization, to examine applicants for reduction or correction of assessments, who have filed sworn statements as required by law; and to perform such other duties as may come before the Board.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Resolution No. 25876 (New Series), as follows:

Adopting a rule as to the manner in which persons, firms and corporations are to be notified why their assessments for the fiscal year 1926-1927 should not have been increased by the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization.

Resolved, That it is hereby adopted as a rule of this Board that the notice required to be given under the provisions of Section 3673 of the Political Code to corporations or persons to show cause why their assessments on the assessment books of real and personal property for the fiscal year 1926-1927 should not be increased will be as follows:

To corporations — a written or printed notice addressed to the president, secretary, manager or agent of such corporation and delivered by the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Board of Supervisors at the office of such corporation in this city.

To persons, firms or companies— a written or printed notice, postage prepaid, and mailed to their address.

The Clerk is hereby directed to cause to be delivered or mailed to the several corporations, persons, firms or companies, when designated by this Board, the said notice at least twelve (12) hours prior to the time set for hearing said parties as aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 27, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 27

Tuesday, July 6, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, JULY 6, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, July 6, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Badaracco was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of April 26, 29, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Letter of Thanks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

600 Lincoln avenue,
San Rafael, Caifornia,
June 30, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, Civic Center, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

With a deep expression of appreciation, I wish to acknowledge the resolutions passed by your Honorable Board relative to the death of my late husband, Lawrence J. Flaherty.

It was indeed thoughtful and kind of you, and the expression of sympathy contained therein was very consoling to me.

Again thanking you for your kindness, I am,

Very respectfully yours,
MRS. LAWRENCE J. FLAHERTY.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Edwin G. Bath.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, July 3, 1926.

Hon Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Edwin G. Bath, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing July 3, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25884 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Honorable Edwin G. Bath, member of this Board, be granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days commencing July 3, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Removal of Departments from Hall of Justice to 333 Kearny Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Building Committee to the Finance Committee advising that a majority of the Public Buildings and Lands Committee recommends award of contract to Collins Transfer Company, for moving departments and offices from the Hall of Justice to 333 Kearny street for the sum of $237.50, in accordance with bids on file with the Purchaser of Supplies, Supervisor Powers, chairman of the committee, being the dissenting member.

Referred to Finance Committee.

City Attorney Recommends Acceptance of Offer of I. Selig & Company, Inc., to Sell Certain Lands at the Northwest Corner of Fulton Street and Van Ness Avenue Required for War Memorial Purposes.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the City Attorney recommending dismissal of condemnation proceedings and acceptance of offer of I. Selig & Company, Inc., to sell to the City, property at the northwest corner of Fulton street and Van Ness avenue known as the St. James Hotel and required for War Memorial purposes.

Referred to the Finance Committee.

City Attorney Recommends Acceptance of Offer of the Eureka Benevolent Society to Sell for $15,000 Certain Property on Arguello Boulevard Required for an Addition to the Roosevelt School.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from City Attorney recommending that legal proceedings be dismissed in re City of San Francisco vs. Eureka Benevolent Society, and that offer to sell in the sum of $15,000 certain land on Arguello boulevard, near Geary street, required for additions to Roosevelt School, be accepted.

Referred to the Public Buildings Committee.

City Attorney Recommends Auction of Improvements on Site Purchased for Construction of Duboce Tunnel.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from City Attorney transmitting resolution authorizing auction of a house, numbered 56 Carl street, on property required for the construction of the Duboce Tunnel, and recommending its adoption.

Ordered filed and resolution *adopted.*

HEARINGS—2:30 P. M.

Bridges.

Consideration of the application of John Bermingham, Jr., for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of A. H. Bergstrom, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that

hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and operate a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for bridge franchise.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of R. Lee Dunn for bridge franchise.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Consideration of the application of R. S. Chew for a bridge franchise.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Tunnel.

Consideration of the application of O. A. Ellis for a franchise to construct and operate a tunnel under San Francisco Bay between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

Motion.

Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that hearing be continued until July 12, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Communication From Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations.

The following communication was presented and *referred to the Committees on Streets and Commercial Development, Public Utilities and Finance*:

San Francisco, July 2, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall,
Civic Center, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In view of the fact, if press reports be true, that the War Department engineers will soon render a decision on the request for the modification of present restrictions relative to San Francisco transbay bridge projects, the subject becomes a live matter in your hands, and upon you rests a tremendous responsibility in the selection of the persons to whom a bridge franchise is to be granted at your hands.

The Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations therefore, at this time, wishes to draw your attention to certain changes in the State laws relative to bridges, passed at the last session of the State Legislature, whereby a grantee of a bridge franchise is absolutely secure in his rights for a period of seven years, even though he makes no effort whatever to meet his requirements, whereas the authorities granting such franchise have their hands completely tied in the matter for that period of time.

Neighboring counties have had unpleasant experiences in granting bridge franchises to irresponsible parties before this change in the law became effective, and have had bridge projects delayed for several years, which, under the new State law, may easily be extended to a decade.

We therefore place before you the importance of the necessity of being absolutely and positively assured of the financial responsibility of any person, firm or corporation to whom you may feel inclined to grant a franchise for a project which, owing to insufficient engineering data at hand, may easily cost in excess of $75,000,000.

The Civic League desires to draw to your attention the prime necessity of protecting in full measure the people of San Francisco in this important project, and that no bridge franchise be granted to any person, firm or corporation unless such person, firm or corporation has had prepared, at their own expense, definite plans and specifications, based upon accurate soundings, together with complete estimates of cost of the project they are sponsoring.

This is not asking too much as a partial set-up of proof of financial responsibility, in view of the legal aspects hereinabove set forth.

Granting a bridge franchise to irresponsible parties will lead only to a positive delay of at least seven years of the entire project, which, in view of the rapidly approaching urgent necessity of the bridge, to San Francisco in particular and the bay region in general, would work irreparable injury to the communities of this vicinity.

Very truly yours,

CIVIC LEAGUE OF IMPROVEMENT CLUBS AND ASSOCIATIONS.

GEO. W. GERHARD,
Secretary.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Tobacco.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing tobacco for use of institutions of the City and County and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Aluminum Ware.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. for furnishing aluminum ware for use of the institutions of the City and County and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25885 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Berringer & Russell, barley, oats, etc., for parks (claim dated June 25, 1926), $594.69.

(2) Don Lee, Inc., one Cadillac sedan for parks, less allowance on old auto (claim dated June 25, 1926), $2,360.

(3) Gantner & Mattern Co., bathing suits (claim dated June 18, 1926), $550.38.

(4) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for parks (claim dated June 25, 1926), $666.10.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber for

parks (claim dated June 25, 1926), $1,701.46.

(6) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for parks (claim dated June 25, 1926), $1,881.25.

(7) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for insurance of park employees (claim dated June 25, 1926), $534.19.

(8) United States Steel Products Co., wire fencing for parks (claim dated June 25, 1926), $718.07.

de Young Museum (Appropriation 60).

(9) Board of Trustees of California Palace of Legion of Honor, for show cases furnished (claim dated June 25, 1926), $3,000.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(10) Citizens' Committee for expense of reception to Irish hurlers, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 28, 1926), $767.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(11) M. E. Ryan, extra electrical work, new Relief Home buildings (claim dated June 18, 1926), $783.05.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 22, 1926), $2,603.45.

(13) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 22, 1926), $1,426.64.

(14) India Tire & Rubber Company of California, cord tires for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 22, 1926), $672.40.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(15) Matthew Buxbaum, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries by Municipal Railways (claim dated June 22, 1926), $1,214.86.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(16) E. H. Edwards Co., steel cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 19, 1926), $1,306.93.

(17) The B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., belting for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $529.40.

(18) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $873.39.

(19) Standard Oil Company of California, gasoline, oils, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $795.74.

(20) Standard Oil Company of California, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $632.62.

(21) A. M. Scott Tire Co., tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $1,005.89.

(22) Western Butchers' Supply Co., five meat cutters with motors, for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated June 18, 1926), $1,540.15.

(23) Worthington Company, Inc., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1926), $570.92.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(24) F. B. Knittle, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Alamo School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $1,954.65.

(25) J. R. Miller, seventh payment, architectural services for Alamo School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $918.71.

(26) Ward & Blohme, fourth payment, architectural services for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $581.59.

(27) Weeks & Day, sixth payment, architectural services for the Hawthorne School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $637.40.

(28) Bakewell & Brown, fourth payment, architectural services for the Douglas-Everett School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $1,162.33.

(29) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, third payment, architectural services for Henry Durant School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $751.24.

(30) Amoroso & Damico, second payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated June 23, 1926), $22,192.50.

(31) John Reid, Jr., twenty-fifth payment, architectural services for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated June 23, 1926), $826.87.

General Fund, 1925-926.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 28, 1926), $1,425.22.

(33) M. B. McGowan, partial payment, construction of Yacht Harbor wharf (claim dated June 25, 1926), $5,722.65.

(34) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1926), $2,545.46.

(35) Shell Company, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,662.69.

(36) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1926), $842.30.

(37) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated June 17, 1926), $670.

(38) Miller & Lux, meats for San

Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1926), $1,457.27.

(39) Whittier State School, care of minors (claim dated June 25, 1926), $503.23.

(40) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1926), $867.20.

(41) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1926), $1,734.40.

(42) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1926), $2,604.62.

(43) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1926), $992.66.

(44) Western Rock Products Company, sand for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1926), $4,444.15.

(45) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated June 21, 1926), $1,752.53.

(46) Edward C. Moran, fill for Sickles avenue and Regent street bridges over Ocean Shore Railroad cut (claim dated June 23, 1926), $1,230.

Special School Tax.

(47) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services on additions to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $2,934.52.

(48) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for temporary additional facilities at the Francisco School (claim dated June 22, 1926), $510.45.

(49) H. H. Hilp, final payment, general construction of temporary additional facilities at the Francisco School (claim dated June 23, 1926), $5,367.25.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(50) The Aluminum Cooking Utensil Co., cooking utensils for new Relief Home (claim dated June 15, 1926), $752.80.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(51) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, 100,000 16-page folders, San Francisco, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 28, 1926), $1,505.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

(Supervisor Marks voted *no* on Items Nos. 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 47 and 48.)

Appropriation, $18,160, Payment to David Lacabanne et al. for Property Required in the Construction of the Sunset Tunnel.

Resolution No. 25886 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $18,160 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Sunset Tunnel Assessment, and authorized in payment to David Lacabanne and Marie Lacabanne; being payment for property known as Lot No. 20, Block No. 1268, as per Assessor's Map Book, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25771 (New Series); required for the construction of the Sunset tunnel. (Claim dated June 18, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Appropriation, $5,250, Payment to Marie Benson, Executrix of the Estate of August Petzold, for Property Required for the Extension of Market Street.

Resolution No. 25887 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to Marie Benson, as executrix of the estate of August Petzold, deceased, in care of Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, for property required for the extension and widening of Market street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25766 (claim dated June 25, 1926).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Appropriations in Payment for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25888 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Bettie Mehlwitz et al., for lands on the easterly line of Forty-third avenue, commencing 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Judah street, running thence north-

erly along said easterly line of Forty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25818 (New Series), $1,627.50.

(2) To Mary Gilhooly et al., for lands commencing at point of intersection of northeasterly line of Seneca avenue with the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue; thence running northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue 160 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 227 feet; of irregular dimensions; known as Lots 5 and 6, Block 6960, on Assessor's Map Book; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25819 (New Series), $6,500.

(3) To Delia A. O'Connell, for property on the northerly line of Page street, commencing 152½ feet easterly from the easterly line of Webster street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Page street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25733 (New Series), $12,600.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Appropriation, $4,680, to Continue Employment of Bookkeeper and Stenographer, Board of Public Works.

Resolution No. 25889 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,680 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, to continue the employment of a bookkeeper at $2,700 per annum, and a stenographer at $1,980 per annum, for the fiscal year 1926-1927, in the Bureau of Accounts, Board of Public Works. (Per Resolution No. 92338 (Second Series) of Board of Public Works.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 25890 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

C. J. Blumenthal, 138 Palm avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Martin Brennan, northwest corner of Thirty-fifth avenue and Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. J. Cervelli, 1818 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Eureka Valley Bakery, 4406 Eighteenth street, 600 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Mary Healy, northwest corner of Chestnut and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lager & Val Franz, northeast corner of California street and Funston avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lager & Val Franz, east side of Funston avenue, 100 feet north of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25891 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That W. Goessman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Geo. F. Clark by Resolution No. 25065 (New Series) for premises on the west side of Seventh avenue 195 feet 8 inches north of Plaza avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Transfer of Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25892 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Down Town Parking Station be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile parking station permit heretofore granted Robert E. Waidhaas by Resolution No. 22613 (New Series) for premises on the northwest corner of Ellis and Taylor streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25893 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. H. Hoffman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Eddy and Webster streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 25894 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading property bounded by Bush, Montgomery and Pine streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25895 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Thirty-third avenue and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Improvement of Market Street Extension from Mono to Ward Streets.

Bill No. 7652, Ordinance No. 7161 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of the Market Street Extension, Section "B," Mono street to Ord street, Contract No. 1, and ordering the improvement of the Market Street Extension, Section "B," Mono street to Ord street, Contract No. 1, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said improvement of the Market Street Extension, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The improvement of the Market Street Extension, Section "B," Contract No. 1 Mono street to Ord street, is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for said improvement of the Market Street Extension, Section "B," and to enter into contract for said improvement of the Market Street Extension, Section "B," in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for the said improvement of the Market Street Extension conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Portion of Portola Drive in Commercial District Instead of First Residential District.

Bill No. 7653, Ordinance No. 7162 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 6 and 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, are hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of lands of W. C. R. and L. Good, said point of beginning being distant along said southwesterly line and said southwesterly line produced south 39 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 126.048 feet from a certain point on the line of existing monument that marks the center line of Portola drive, said certain point being distant along said monument line north 57 deg. 48 min. 26 sec. east 88.089 feet from a monument set at the intersection of those certain courses north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 359.115 feet and north 57 deg. 48 min. 26 sec. east 515.72 feet, which form part of said center line of Portola drive; thence parallel with said center line of Portola drive and distant therefrom 125 feet southeasterly at right angles south 57 deg. 48 min. 26 sec. west 98.763 feet, south 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. west 342.557 feet and south 42 deg. 39 min. 41 sec. west 316.772 feet; thence at a right angle south 47 deg. 20 min 19 sec. east 323.018 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 420 feet, tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 6.697 feet; thence north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 85.814 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears north 39 deg. 47 min. 29 sec. east 335 feet from this point easterly 410.48 feet; thence north 54 deg. 26 min. 42 sec. east 80.035 feet; thence north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 174.909 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears north 68 deg. 59 min. 19 sec. east 320 feet from this point northwesterly 89.797 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course north 4 deg. 56 min. west 51 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 330 feet, tangent to the preceding course northwesterly 163.956 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course north 33 deg. 24 min. west 294.369 feet to the southeasterly line of said lands of W. C. R. and L. Good; thence along said southeasterly line south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 1.117 feet and south 66 deg. 38 min. 47 sec. west 66.12 feet to the aforesaid southwesterly line of lands of W. C. R. and L. Good; thence along said southwesterly line north 39 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 43.541 feet to the point of beginning. As shown on map filed June 24, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Establishing Set-back Lines.

Bill No. 7651, Ordinance No. 7163 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Avila and Lisbon streets, Casa way and Seventeenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of June, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 124 to establish set-back lines along Avila and Lisbon streets, Casa way and Seventeenth avenue, and fixed the 28th day of June, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of Lisbon street, commencing at a point 95 feet northeasterly from Brazil avenue and running thence

northeasterly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northeasterly 200 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northeasterly to Excelsior avenue, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the southeasterly side of Lisbon street, commencing at a point 100 feet northeasterly from Brazil avenue and running thence northeasterly to a point 100 feet southwesterly from Excelsior avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the easterly side of Casa way, commencing at Marina boulevard and running thence southerly and at right angles to Marina boulevard, to the northeasterly side of Casa way, said set-back line to be 25 feet.

Along the westerly side of Avila street, commencing at Marina boulevard and running thence southerly and at right angles to Marina boulevard, to the northwesterly side of Avila street, said set-back line to be 25 feet.

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue between Ortega street and Pacheco street, said set-back lines to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Bill No. 7650, Ordinance No. 7164 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-eighth and Fortieth avenues, and Rivera, Santiago and Mississippi streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of June, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 123 to establish set-back lines along Thirty-eighth and Fortieth avenues, and Rivera, Santiago and Mississippi streets, and fixed the 28th day of June, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 80 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 26 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 26 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 318 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Irving street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the southerly side of Rivera street between Twenty-first avenue and Twenty-second avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Santiago street, between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the easterly side of Mississippi street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Twenty-second street and running thence northerly 293 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 150 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 114 feet 3 inches, said set-back line to be 13 feet; thence northerly to Twentieth street, said set-back line to be 17½ feet.

As shown on the maps filed in

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Establishing Industrial District Northwest Corner of Pacific and Cordelia Streets Instead of Commercial District.

Bill No. 7654, Ordinance No. 7165 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Pacific street and Cordelia street, for a distance of 103 feet along Pacific street and a distance of 137½ feet along Cordelia street, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Commercial District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Street Excavation Ordinance Amendment Relative to Removal of Lamp Posts.

Bill No. 7655, Ordinance No. 7166 (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new section to be numbered Section 4½ to Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series) regulating the making and refilling of excavations in the public streets, etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered Section 4½ is hereby added to Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series), to read as follows:

Section 4½. The provisons of the preceding section shall not apply to excavations made or caused by the removal of a gas lamp post, but any damage to the pavement or sidewalk of any street by reason of such removal shall be remedied and such pavement or sidewalk shall be restored and put in good condition by the person making such removal.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract, Ferry Franchise, A. O. Stewart.

Bill No. 7656, Ordinance No. 7167 (New Series), as follows:

Granting a franchise to A. O. Stewart and his assigns to erect, maintain and take tolls on a public ferry to be operated between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Alameda, Alameda County, California, across the San Francisco Bay, a navigable body of water dividing the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, and fixing the amount of the penal bond, the license tax, the rate of tolls to be collected and the term of said franchise.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A. O. Stewart, having on the 29th day of March, 1926, presented to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, his verified written petition praying for authority to erect maintain and take tolls on a public ferry to be operated across the San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the City of Alameda, Alameda County, California, and said petition having come on regularly for hearing on said day before the said Board of Supervisors and said hearing having been duly and regularly continued from time to time to Monday, the 28th day of June, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m. of said day, and it having been made to appear by the necessary affidavits presented to said Board and filed in the office of the Clerk thereof that A. O. Stewart has given notice of his intention to apply to said Board of Supervisors on the 29th day of March, 1926, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m. of said day, for authority to erect, main-

tain and take tolls on a public ferry, as hereinbefore stated, and that said notice has been given in the manner, form and for the time required by law, and that said notice was served upwards of ten days prior to the 29th day of March, 1926, upon Southern Pacific Company and upon the State of California and the City of Alameda, the State of California and the City of Alameda being the owners of the land upon which A. O. Stewart expects to locate the terminals of said public ferry, which said land is hereinafter particularly described; and

Section 2. It further appearing that A. O. Stewart has in all things complied with the law in making application for said ferry franchise between the said terminals and in giving notice of said application; and

Section 3. It further appearing that such ferry is a public necessity and convenience and for the best interest of said City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda and the traveling public and that the situation of the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Alameda and the crossing of the public highway renders it necessary for public convenience and necessity to establish such a ferry and that such a ferry be established; and

Section 4. It further appearing that A. O. Stewart is a proper and suitable person to which to grant such a franchise, right and privilege and that the owners of the land described herein and neither of them have made application for a ferry franchise within a reasonable time after the necessity therefor arose and that no franchise for a ferry has been granted by this Board within one mile of the proposed location of said ferry; and

Section 5. Now, therefore, this Board of Supervisors does hereby grant A. O. Stewart and his assigns for a period of fifty years from and after the date hereof, and on the terms and conditions herein contained, subject to the right of the Railroad Commission of the State of California to modify, as provided by law, the rates and tolls hereinafter set forth, the right, privilege and authority to erect, maintain and take tolls on a public ferry to be run and operated across the San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the City of Alameda, Alameda County, California, with ferry landings or terminals located in the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Alameda, as follows:

Location of San Francisco Ferry Landing.

That certain wharf and ferry slip located at the foot of Townsend street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and generally known and designated therein as "Pier 36," or such other pier, wharf or ferry slip in the City and County of San Francisco as may hereafter be assigned by the State Board of Harbor Commissioners to A. O. Stewart or his assigns, as a terminal or landing place for said public ferry.

Location of Ferry Landing in City of Alameda.

That the ferry landing or terminal of said public ferry in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, shall be located at the foot of Pacific avenue, in said city, upon the following piece and parcel of real property located in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, said State, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of the State Tide Lands, with the southerly line of Lot 6 of Twp. 2 S., R. 4 W., as said lines are shown on the map entitled "Map No. 2 of Salt Marsh and Tide Lands, situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, surveyed under the direction of G. F. Allardt, Chief Engineer, on file in the office of the Surveyor-General of the State of California at Sacramento, Calif."; running thence from said point of beginning south 18 deg. 45 min. east along said easterly line of State Tide Lands 200.02 feet; thence south 72 deg. 0 min. west 5500 feet to a point marking beginning of area for terminal structures; thence south 18 deg. 0 min. east 100 feet; thence south 72 deg. 0 min. west 1700 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly boundary of the City of Alameda; thence northerly along said city boundary to a point distant 400 feet northerly from said line bearing south 72 deg. 0 min. west, said distance being measured at right angles to said line; thence north 72 deg. 0 min. east 1700 feet, more or less, to a point 300 feet northerly, located at right angles to said course from the beforementioned point marking the beginning of area for terminal structures; thence south 18 deg. 0 min. east 100 feet; thence north 72 deg. 0 min. east 5497.38 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 6. That said A. O. Stewart, or his assigns, will, in the operation of said ferry, maintain such service as public necessity and convenience demands, which service

shall in no case be less than one round trip per hour between the termini hereinabove set forth between the hours of 8 o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m. during the day, due regard being had at all times for weather conditions.

Section 7. The amount of the penal bond to be given by A. O. Stewart or his assigns, as required by subdivision 1 of Section 2845 of the Political Code of the State of California is hereby fixed in the sum of ten thousand dollars, which said bond shall be given and renewed annually for said sum.

Section 8. The amount of the license tax to be paid by A. O. Stewart, or his assigns, for taking tolls on said ferry is hereby fixed at the sum of one hundred dollars per month, payable yearly.

Section 9. The rate of tolls which may be collected for crossing said ferry is hereby fixed as follows:

Alameda Ferry Rates.

That the rates of tolls and fares which may be collected for crossing said ferry are hereby fixed as follaws:

Rates for Animals, Teams and Vehicles Handled by Passengers; Also Freight Transported on Vehicles and Passengers Either in Vehicles or on Foot.

Commodity—Rates in Cents each except as shown.

Item 5.—Automobiles, except as otherwise shown	$ .60
Item 10.—Ambulances	.80
Item 15.—Hearses	.80
Item 20.—Automobile passenger busses	.80
Item 25.—Motorcycles	.20
Item 30.—Motocycles with side car	.40
Item 35.—Commercial or delivery automobiles and motor trucks (not exceeding 9 feet in width or 20 feet in length) either in load or vehicle	.80
Item 40—Commercial or delivery automobile and motor trucks (exceeding 9 feet in width or 20 feet in length) either in load or vehicle	1.60
Item 45.—Ditchers, harvesters, steam rollers, excavators, tractors, and all similar conveyances, machines, or vehicles not otherwise specified, per ton of 2000 lbs.	1.45
Item 50.—One horse and wagon or cart	.75
Item 55.—Two horses and wagon	.75
Item 60.—Two horses and truck or dray	1.00
Item 65.—Four horses and truck or dray	1.50
Item 70.—Six horses and truck or dray	2.00
Item 75.—Two-wheel trailers attached to automobile	.40
Item 80.—Four-wheel trailers attached to auto	.60
Item 85.—Two-wheel trailers attached to truck	.40
Item 90.—Four-wheel trailers attached to truck	.75
Item 95.—Bicycles, free when in custody of owner.	
Item 100.—Freight on all kinds of vehicles, per 100 lbs. (minimum charge of $0.15)*	.04½
Item 105—Freight on all kinds not on vehicles, per 100 lbs. (minimum charge of $0.20)	.10

*Includes return of empty containers used in transportation of property and return to shipper when carrier receives original haul.

Rules and Regulations.

Rule 1. All baggage, freight goods and merchandise charged for at freight rates (except personal handbags carried by passengers), shipper to give satisfactory proof of weight.

Rule 2. Rates on commercial or delivery vehicles or motor trucks apply only on stated sizes or capacity. The rates on motor trucks of a size or capacity not specifically provided for will be the same rate as charged for motor trucks of the next higher size or capacity. Motor busses of a passenger capacity not specified, apply rate of next higher classification on motor busses.

Rule 3. Livestock when uncrated will not be carried on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays. When crated and on conveyances it will be carried on all trips and charged as general freight.

Rule 4. Shipper to pay all war and other taxes now in force or hereafter levied in addition to the regular rate.

Rule 5. The carrier reserves the right to refuse any and all freight or vehicles of excessive size or weight, or which will jeopardize the safe passage of the boats, or which it is not equipped to handle.

Rule 6. Articles not taken: Benzine, camphene, coal oil, crude or refined petroleum, loose cotton, hay or hemp, loose rags, naphtha, nitric or sulphuric acid, nitroglycerine or any other articles of highly combustible or inflammable nature (except gasoline in tanks of automobiles in transit), when prohibited by law.

Rule 7. Flag poles, telegraph poles, ladders and all other articles of a similar nature as to length will

be charged for at the rate of ten cents per lineal foot for every foot over twenty. No such articles will be carried on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays.

Rule 8. Commutation rates and/or fares, except as otherwise provided, are good only for passage of original purchaser. The purchaser must sign his or her name in the proper place on the contract. Monthly commutation rates and/or fares, except as otherwise provided, will include one round trip daily for the calendar month for which sold.

Rule 9. Any freight requiring the turning of the boat either to load or unload, additional charge for each turning of the boat, $10.

Rule 10. The carrier will absorb State toll not to exceed five cents per ton of 2000 pounds on shipments moved over ferry slips at San Francisco when the carrier receives haul from San Francisco to Alameda, California, or vice versa.

Passenger Fares.

Item 5.—One-way ticket, all persons over 7 years of age in vehicles $0.05

Item 10.—One-way ticket, foot passenger, all persons over 7 years of age not in vehicles .10

Item 15.—Children under 7 years of age when accompanied by parent or guardian or other adult person will be carried free.

Commutation Tickets.

Item 20.—One foot passenger (not in vehicles) commutation book containing one round-trip ticket daily for calendar month, including Sundays 4.00

Rules and Regulations.

Rule 1. Commutation tickets not otherwise specified are good for passage for original purchaser only. Purchaser must sign his or her name in proper place on the back, which is considered a contract.

Rule 2. Tickets will be redeemed to the original purchaser at fare paid when no portion of the trip has been made and at the difference between the fare paid and the published tariff between terminals if round-trip was not completed.

Rule 3. Baggage will not be checked.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7657, Ordinance No. 7168 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Blackwood street between Ninth street and its easterly termination, Capitol avenue between Sagamore and Sadowa streets, Capitol avenue between Sagamore street and Palmetto avenue, Ellington avenue between Whipple and Naglee avenues, Ellington avenue between Ottawa and Foote avenues, and the intersection of Ellington avenue and Sala Terrace, Hamburg street between Monterey boulevard and Joost avenue, Hamburg street between Joost and Mangels avenues, Kirkham street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, Lawton street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Mariposa street between Bryant and York streets, Moraga street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Moraga street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, Nineteenth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets, Rice street between San Jose avenue and the county line, Rivera street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, Rivera street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Thirty-fifth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, Twenty-first avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, Twenty-first avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, Vicente street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, Vicente street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues, crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Rivera street, crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-first avenue, and the crossing of Twenty-third avenue and Vicente street, and the crossings of Hamburg street and Joost avenue and Mangels avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon,

and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Blackwood street between Ninth street and its easterly termination, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon; no sewers, gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Capitol avenue between Sagamore and Sadowa streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Capitol avenue between Sagamore street and Palmetto avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Ellington avenue between Whipple and Naglee avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Ellington avenue between Ottawa and Foote avenues and the intersection of Ellington avenue and Sala Terrace, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Hamburg street between Monterey boulevard and Joost avenue and between Joost and Mangels avenues, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Kirkham street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Mariposa street between Bryant and York streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Moraga street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Moraga street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Nineteenth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Rice street between San Jose avenue and the county line, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Rivera street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Rivera street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirty-fifth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-first avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-first avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Rivera street, paved with as-

phaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-first avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-third avenue and Vicente street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewer and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossings of Hamburg street and Joost avenue and Hamburg street and Mangels avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Board of Public Works to Grant Permits for Street Work on Unaccepted Streets.

Bill No. 7658, Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to grant permission for the performance of street work in or upon unaccepted public streets in the City and County of San Francisco by private contract; regulating the manner in which such work shall be done; prescribing the conditions and requirements essential to the obtaining of such permission, and repealing Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series) and all ordinances amendatory thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Application for permission to do any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street in the City and County of San Francisco by private contract must be made in writing to the Board of Public Works, which application shall contain a comprehensive description of the work to be done. Said Board shall thereupon investigate such application, and if after investigation the Board determines that the public interest or convenience requires the doing of the proposed work and that the same is expedient, and will not be productive of detriment to the public safety or convenience, it is hereby authorized to grant permission for the doing of the same as applied for or as modified by direction of the City Engineer, subject to the conditions and provisions in this ordinance hereinafter prescribed and provided.

Section 2. No permission for the doing of any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street in the City and County of San Francisco, except in the case of main sewer construction, or the improvement of a street crossing or intersection as hereinafter provided for, shall be granted in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance, unless the owners of at least sixty (60) per cent of the frontage on a block of the street whereon or wherein such work is proposed to be done, or the authorized agents of such owners, shall have entered into a written contract for the doing thereof; provided, however, that no work so contracted for shall be permitted to be done on the block until at least the entire improvable frontage on one side of the block between main street crossings or main street intersections, determinable by the longest side, in case one side exceeds the other in length, shall have been so contracted for, unless in the judgment of the Board of Public Works and the City Engineer, the frontage necessary to complete a full contracted frontage on such side will not be productive of consequent detriment to the public use of such side of the block nor to the work proposed to be done on the block; and, further, provided, however, that if the entire improvable frontage on one side of a block has been so contracted for by the owner or owners of the lots and lands fronting thereon, or their authorized agents, and the City Engineer and the Board of Public Works deem the proposed improvement to be advisable and expedient and that the public interest and convenience would be subserved by such improvement, then and in such case the said Board may grant permission for the making of the same.

Where the construction of a main sewer is deemed by the Board of Public Works and the City Engineer to be necessary in any block proposed to be improved by private contract, then and in such case no work, except grading, involving the construction of a pavement on such block, shall be permitted to be done until such main sewer shall have been constructed with side sewers and other appurtenances as in this section hereinafter provided for and regulated.

Where a main sewer has already

been constructed in a block and side sewers and other appurtenances to such main sewer are deemed necessary by the said Board and the City Engineer, the construction of the same shall be conditioned for in the private contract in this ordinance referred to.

In the case of the construction of a main sewer in any block, no permission for the construction of the same by private contract shall be granted unless such contract is signed and conditioned for the construction of such sewer for its entire serviceable length between the main street crossings, or main street intersections, as may be determined by the City Engineer, with side sewers and other expedient and essential appurtenances as may be required by the City Engineer, under such regulations as may be prescribed by him, and approved by the Board of Public Works.

The provisions of Ordinance No. 5923 (New Series) regulating the construction, reconstruction or repair of private side sewers or drains and the connection thereof with main public sewers, approved June 28, 1923, shall not be deemed applicable to the construction of side sewers by private contract under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance.

Permission for the improvement of a public street crossing or intersection shall not be granted unless the owners of at least a majority of the frontage of the lots and lands liable for the cost thereof, or the authorized agents of such owners, shall have entered into contract therefor, such frontage being determinable according to the method provided in the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 for determining the frontage liable for the improvement of street crossings or intersections.

Section 3. The contract for the doing of any proposed street work pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall be signed in triplicate, two of which shall accompany the application for permission to do the proposed work, together with a diagram showing thereon the lots and lands signed for by the respective owners thereof, or their agents, as indicated in such contract and the respective frontages thereof so signed for. To such contracts accompanying such application there shall be attached affidavits sworn to before a notary public that the signatures of said owners or their agents, respectively, appearing in such contracts, are genuine, and were to the actual knowledge of affiant subscribed by said owners or said agents, respectively, and that the frontage set opposite the said signatures, severally, is correct according to affiant's best information and belief.

Section 4. The work proposed to be done under such private contract must be of a class or type approved and recommended by the City Engineer. Such work must be done under the direction and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and the materials to be used therein must be in accordance with specifications adopted by the Board of Supervisors for similar work, and be to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

The Board of Public Works shall fix the time within which the work shall be completed, which time shall begin to run from the date of the resolution of the said Board granting the permission for the doing of the same.

The said Board shall not extend the time for the completion of the work more than ninety (90) days beyond the time fixed in the said resolution, unless the application for a further extension of time be filed before the expiration of the time of the first extension granted pursuant hereto and is supported by a certificate from the City Engineer, certifying that at least the major part of the work described in the resolution granting the permission for the doing thereof has actually been done, or by evidence satisfactory to the City Engineer and the said Board that the failure to have done the required measure of work was not due to lack of diligence in the prosecution of the work on the part of the contractor. The time for the completion of the work herein provided for shall be deemed to be of the essence of the contract herein referred to in all things, and the resolution of the Board of Public Works granting permission for the doing of the work shall so declare.

When the work shall have been completed to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and the Board of Public Works, the said Board shall so declare by resolution and thereupon deliver to the contractor a certificate to that effect.

Section 5. In case that the work to be done by private contract, as hereinbefore provided for, shall not have been completed within the time limited in the resolution of permission or within such extended time as may have been granted pursuant to the provisions of Section 4 of this ordinance, the Board of Public Works shall by resolution revoke the permission theretofore granted

for doing such work, and no application for a renewed permission to do the same shall be considered by the said Board unless the same be accompanied by a contract signed anew in the manner and with the accompanying requirements prescribed in Sections 2 and 3 of this ordinance. No such application for such renewed permission, however, shall in any way be deemed to control the determination or discretion of the said Board to institute proceedings for the performance of the work pursuant to the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 6. No permission for doing any street work by private contract under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall become effective until the contractor covenanting to perform the same shall have executed to the City and County of San Francisco, and delivered to the Secretary of the Board of Public Works, a bond in such amount as may have been fixed in the resolution of the said Board granting such permission, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California as surety thereon, conditioned for the faithful performance of the contract, or shall have deposited with the said Secretary a certified check upon some solvent bank for the said amount as a guaranty for such performance, or in lieu of such bond or such certified check, shall have executed to said City and County and delivered to said Secretary a bond in the sum of ten thousand (10,000) dollars, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety thereon, conditioned for the faithful performance of any and all private contracts authorized to be performed by him in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance, which said bond shall be renewed annually. In every case of granting permission to do street work as provided for in this ordinance, the Board of Public Works shall fix in its resolution granting such permission the amount of bond required to be executed for the faithful performance of the contract, except in a case in and to which the lieu bond herein provided for may be made applicable.

Before entering upon the performance of any work in this ordinance provided for, the contractor covenanting to do such work shall execute and file with the Board of Public Works a good and sufficient bond to be satisfactory to the said Board in a sum not less than one-half of the total amount payable by the terms of his contract. Such bond shall in respect to the surety or sureties thereon conform to the requirements of the bond provided for in Section 7, Chapter III of Article II of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be conditioned for like purposes and intent as in said section provided and declared, and the procedure provided for in said section applicable to any claim or claims therein specified, shall be applicable to any claim or claims arising in connection with the work done or to be done under the said contract. The provisions of said Section 7, Chapter III of Article II of said Charter, as to the conditions, purposes and intent thereof, and the applicable procedure therein provided, are by reference hereby made a part of this section.

No assignment or transfer of a contract authorized or provided for in this ordinance, or of any rights thereunder, shall operate to relieve the surety or sureties on any bond executed in connection with such contract, as herein provided for, from the obligations or liabilities assumed in and by such bond, nor change or in any manner or degree qualify such obligations or liabilities.

Section 7. The Board of Public Works may institute such inquiry as it deems proper for the purpose of determining the authenticity of the signatures appearing on a private contract, or the authority of the parties thereto to sign the same. The provisions of Section 24 of Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco shall be applicable for such inquiry.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not be deemed in any manner to be in conflict with the provisions of Section 16, Chapter II of Article VI of the Charter, nor with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Nothing in this ordinance shall be so construed as prohibiting the Board of Public Works from granting permission to an individual owner to improve a public street in front of his property, if in the judgment of the City Engineer and the said Board such improvement be deemed advisable and expedient, and the public interest or convenience requires the same.

Section 9. Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series) and all ordinances amendatory thereof and all ordinances and parts of ordinances relating to private contracts in so

far as they may conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed. Such provisions, however, shall not in any manner be held to affect any private contract heretofore in force and effect in pursuance of the provisions of Ordinance No. 6278 (New Series) and ordinances amendatory thereof, which provisions shall be deemed applicable until the completion of such contract.

Section 10. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Powell Street Between California Street and Broadway.

Bill No. 7659, Ordinance No. 7170 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 916.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office July 19, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 916, to read as follows:

Section 916. The width of sidewalks on Powell street between California street and Broadway shall be as shown on that certain man entitled "Map of Powell street between California street and Broadway," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Changing Grades.

Bill No. 7660, Ordinance No. 7171 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 6th day of May, 1926, by Resolution No. 25572 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Twenty-eighth Street.

Diamond street, 423 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 441 feet.

100 feet easterly from Douglass street, 520 feet.

Douglass street, 533 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, changed and established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Bill No. 7661, Ordinance No. 7172 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 2d day of April, 1926, by Resolution No. 25442 (New Series),

declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Thirty-second Avenue.

Irving street, 169 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

200 feet northerly from Judah street, 209 feet.

100 feet northerly from Judah street, 216.25 feet.

Judah street, 218 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shann Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Bill No. 7662, Ordinance No. 7173 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bronte street between lines parallel with Jarboe avenue and respectively 30 feet and 130 feet northerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 2d day of April, 1926, by Resolution No. 25440 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Bronte street between lines parallel with Jarboe avenue and respectively 30 feet and 130 feet northerly therefrom; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Franciso as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Bronte Street.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 130 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 152.83 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 80 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 160.46 feet.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 30 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 163 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 130 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 152.68 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 80 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 160.42 feet.

7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 30 feet northerly from Jarboe avenue, 163 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Bronte street between lines parallel with Jarboe avenue and respectively 30 feet and 130 feet northerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Bill No. 7663, Ordinance No. 7174 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing official grades on Allison street between Morse and Brunswick streets and on Brunswick street between Concord and Pope streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommenda-

PUBLIC LIBRARY

tion of the Board of Public Works, did on the 20th day of April, 1926, by Resolution No. 25510 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Allison street between Morse and Brunswick streets and on Brunswick street between Concord and Pope streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Allison Street.

Morse street, 298 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

83.33 feet southerly from Morse street, 309.25 feet.

133.33 feet southerly from Morse street, 315.06 feet.

183.33 feet southerly from Morse street, 319 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

150 feet northerly from Brunswick street, 323 feet.

100 feet northerly from Brunswick street, 327.12 feet.

50 feet northerly from Brunswick street, 333.50 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, at Brunswick street, 341 feet.

Southerly line of, at Brunswick street, 343 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Brunswick Street

Concord street, 315 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Allison street, 341 feet.

Southerly line of, at Allison street, 343 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Pope street westerly line, 321 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Pope street westerly line, 323 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Allison street between Morse and Brunswick streets and on Brunswick street between Concord and Pope streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Bill No. 7664, Ordinance No. 7175 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Flournoy street between the southwesterly line of and a line parallel with and 22 feet northeasterly therefrom. Extending from Rhine street to De Long street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 6th day of May, 1926, by Resolution No. 25573 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Flournoy street between the southwesterly line of and a line parallel with and 22 feet northeasterly therefrom, extending from Rhine street to De Long street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Flournoy Street.

22 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Rhine street northwesterly line, 362.27 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, at Rhine street northwesterly line, 363.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, 14 feet

northwesterly from Rhine street, 366.70 feet.

At a point 22 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 220 feet southeasterly from De Long street, 364.93 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 22 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from De Long street, 363.53 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 22 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 120 feet southeasterly from De Long street, 354.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.) (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

At a point 14 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 220 feet southeasterly from De Long street, 364.93 feet.

At a point 14 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from De Long street, 362.53 feet.

At a point 14 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 120 feet southeasterly from De Long street, 354.40 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Southwesterly line of, 288.91 feet southeasterly from De Long street, 369.50 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 238.91 feet southeasterly from De Long street, 366.10 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 173.58 feet southeasterly from De Long street, 355.60 feet.

At a point 22 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at De Long street southerly line, 328 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 14 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at De Long street southerly line, 328 feet.

At a point on the southwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at De Long street southerly line, 332.60 feet.

Southerly line of, at De Long street, 320 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Flournoy street between the southwesterly line of, and a line parallel with and 22 feet northeasterly therefrom, extending from Rhine street to De Long street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Bill No. 7665, Ordinance No. 7176 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirtieth avenue, Moraga street, Noriega street, Lawton street, Fortieth avenue, Forty first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-fifth avenue, Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue, Ortega street and Pacheco street, as hereinafter stated.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 20th day of April, 1926, by Resolution No. 25508 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Thirtieth avenue, Moraga street, Noriega street, Lawton street, Fortieth avenue, Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-fifth avenue, Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue, Ortega street and Pacheco street, as hereinafter stated;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Thirtieth Avenue.

Lawton street, 235 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Lawton street, 250 feet.
100 feet northerly from Moraga street, 267 feet.
Easterly line of, at Moraga street, 274 feet.
Westerly line of, at Moraga street, 277 feet.
100 feet southerly from Moraga street, 273.50 feet.
100 feet northerly from Noriega street, 265.50 feet.
Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 262 feet.
Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 265 feet.
100 feet southerly from Noriega street, 265.50 feet.
100 feet northerly from Ortega street, 273.50 feet.
Westery line of, at Ortega street, 274 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Easterly line of, at Ortega street, 277 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Moraga Street.

Twenty-ninth avenue, 259 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Thirtieth avenue, easterly line, 274 feet.
Thirtieth avenue, westerly line, 277 feet.

Noriega Street.

Twenty-ninth avenue, westerly line, 281 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Thirtieth avenue, easterly line, 265 feet.
Thirtieth avenue, westerly line, 262 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
On Thirtieth avenue, between Lawton and Ortega streets, and on Moraga and Noriega streets, between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Lawton Street.

Fortieth avenue, easterly line, 123.50 feet.
Fortieth avenue, westerly line, 120.50 feet.
Forty-first avenue, easterly line, 100.50 feet.
Forty-first avenue, westerly line, 97.50 feet.
Forty-second avenue, easterly line, 86 feet.
Forty-second avenue, westerly line, 83 feet.
Forty-third avenue, easterly line, 79.13 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Fortieth Avenue.

Kirkham street, 114 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
100 feet northerly from Lawton street, 120.67 feet.
Easterly ine of, at Lawton street, 123.50 feet.
Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 120.50 feet.

Forty-first Avenue.

Kirkham street, 95 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
468.70 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 98.80 feet.
Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 100.50 feet.
Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 97.50 feet.

Forty-second Avenue.

Kirkham street, 78 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
200 feet northerly from Lawton street, 82.33 feet.
Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 86 feet.
Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 83 feet.
On Lawton street, between Fortieth and Forty-third avenue, and on Fortieth, Forty-first and Forty-second avenues between Kirkham and Lawton streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Forty-fifth Avenue.

Lawton street, 50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
200 feet northerly from Moraga street, 45.67 feet.
Westerly ine of, at Moraga street, 42 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Easterly line of, at Moraga street, 45 feet.
100 feet southerly from Moraga street, 43.70 feet.
100 feet northerly from Noriega street, 41.03 feet.
Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 41 feet.
Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 38.06 feet.
150 feet southerly from Noriega street, 37.84 feet.
150 feet northerly from Ortega street, 34.45 feet.
Easterly line of, at Ortega street, 34 feet.
Westerly line of, at Ortega street, 31.52 feet.
200 feet southerly from Ortega street, 31.06 feet.
100 feet northerly from Pacheco street, 28.51 feet.
Westery line of, at Pacheco street, 27.32 feet.
Easterly line of, at Pacheco street, 28 feet.
150 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 28.64 feet.
Easterly line of, at Quintara street, 32.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Quintara

street, 30.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-sixth Avenue.

Lawton street, 30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Lawton street, 28.10 feet.

Westerly line of, at Moraga street, 25.42 feet.

Easterly line of, at Moraga street, 27 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly ine of, 200 feet northerly from Noriega street, 27.76 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Noriega street, 28.66 feet.

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 26.43 feet.

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 28 feet.

Ortega street, 23 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet northerly from Pacheco street, 25.50 feet.

Pacheco street, 25 feet.

300 feet southerly from Pacheco street, 26.50 feet.

Quintara street, 25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-seventh Avenue.

Noriega street, 21 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ortega street, 16 feet.

200 feet southerly from Ortega street, 17 feet.

Pacheco street, 15 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Moraga Street.

Forty-fifth avenue, easterly line, 45 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, westery line, 42 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-sixth avenue, easterly line, 27 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-sixth avenue, westerly line, 25.42 feet.

Forty-seventh avenue, 20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Noriega street.

Forty-fifth avenue, easterly line, 41 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, westerly line, 38.06 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, easterly line, 28 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, westerly line, 26.43 feet.

Forty-seventh avenue, 21 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ortega Street.

Forty-fifth avenue, easterly line, 34 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, westery line, 31.52 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, 23 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-seventh avenue, 16 feet.

Forty-eighth avenue, 14 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Pacheco Street.

Forty-fifth avenue, easterly line, 28 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, westerly line, 27.32 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, 25 feet.

Forty-seventh avenue, 15 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues between Lawton and Quintara streets, on Forty-seventh avenue between Noriega and Pacheco street, on Moraga and Noriega streets between Forty-fifth and Forty-seventh avenues, on Ortega street between Forty-fifth and Forty-eighth avenues, and on Pacheco street between Forty-fifth and Forty-seventh avenues changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Forty-seventh Avenue.

Quintara street, 16 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet northerly from Rivera street, 18.50 feet.

Rivera street, 18 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Forty-seventh avenue, between Quintara and Rivera streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Bill No. 7666, Ordinance No. 7177 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on San Miguel street between Mount Vernon avenue and Ridge lane; on Ridge lane between San Jose avenue and Tara street, and on Caine avenue between Ridge lane and a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 600 feet northerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 6th day of May, 1926, by Resolution No. 25569 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on San Miguel street between Mount Vernon avenue and Ridge lane; on Ridge

lane between San Jose avenue and Tara street, and on Caine avenue between Ridge lane and a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 600 feet northerly therefrom; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

San Miguel Street.

Easterly line of, at Mount Vernon avenue southerly line, 292 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Mount Vernon avenue southerly line, 294 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 302.17 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 296.17 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 296.17 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 187.53 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 320 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 187.53 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 320 feet.

Westerly line of, 187.53 feet southerly from Mount Vernon avenue, 326 feet.

Easterly line of, at Ridge lane northerly line, 322 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ridge lane northerly line, 322 feet.

Westerly line of, at Ridge lane northerly line (for top of slope), 328 feet.

Ridge Lane.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 15 feet westerly from San Jose avenue, 282 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 175 feet westerly from San Jose avenue, 309 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at San Miguel street easterly line, 322 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 15 feet westerly from San Miguel street easterly line, 322 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at San Miguel street westerly line, 331 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Caine avenue easterly line, 339 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Caine avenue westerly line, 348 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Tara street easterly line, 358 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On San Miguel street between Mount Vernon avenue and Ridge lane; on Ridge lane between San Jose avenue and Tara street, and on Caine avenue between Ridge lane and a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 600 feet northerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Caine avenue at a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 600 feet northerly therefrom, and of San Jose avenue at Ridge lane.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Bill No. 7667, Ordinance No. 7178 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Vallejo street between Montgomery and Kearny streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 6th day of May, 1926, by Resolution No. 25575 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Vallejo street between Montgomery and Kearny streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notice of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publica-

tion of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Vallejo Street.

Montgomery street, 115 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

215 feet easterly from Kearny street, 179.50 feet.

192.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 178.94 feet.

175 feet easterly from Kearny street, 178.04 feet.

157.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 176.22 feet.

137.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 173.12 feet.

117.50 feet easterly from Kearny street, 169.02 feet.

(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

Kearny street, 142 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Vallejo street between Montgomery and Kearny streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7668, Ordinance No. 7179 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street wrok, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifiations are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Rutland street between the northerly line of Arleta avenue and the southerly line of Harkness avenue*, excepting the intervening crossings, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement between the northerly line of Arleta avenue and the southerly line of Teddy avenue, and between the northerly line of Wilde avenue and the southerly line of Harkness avenue, by the construction of a concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Ordering Improvement of Peralta Avenue.

Bill No. 7669, Ordinance No. 7180 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of

San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Peralta avenue from York street to Holladay avenue, including the intersection of York street, Tomasa street, Hampshire street and Montcalm street; and the improvement of Tomasa street from Peralta avenue to Montcalm street on the northerly line and Brewster street on the southerly line*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete walls, balustrades, and coping; concrete pavement; and an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface; artificial stone sidewalks; concrete curbs; pipe railings; warning lights; sewers and appurtenances; brick catchbasins with appurtenances and culverts, and brick manholes with appurtenances.

The method of assessment for said improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 91102 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $39,840.29, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 25896 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following-named persons and organizations be and they are hereby granted use of halls in the Municipal Auditorium on the following dates, deposits on rental therefor having been made with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors:

Albert A. Greenbaum (Summer Symphony Concerts), July 9, 13, 16, 18, 27 and 30; Third Church of Christ Scientist, July 11; San Francisco Lions' Club, July 19 to 24, inclusive; Jewel City Lodge No. 17, Knights of Pythias, July 26 to 28, inclusive.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) John Reid, Jr., sixth payment, architectural services, additional units to Galileo High School Gymnasium and Athletic Field (claim dated June 30, 1926), $814.67.

(2) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services, West Portal School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $569.22.

Park Fund.

(3) Troy Laundry Machinery Co., Ltd., dry tumhler for bath house (claim dated April 6, 1926), $2,625.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(4) F. E. Hesthal Co., purchase of property required for construction of Duboce Tunnel (claim dated June 24, 1926), $10,500.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(5) Aluminum Company of America, two hydraulic compressors, Moccasin Creek (claim dated May 12, 1926), $950.

(6) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., painting piers (claim dated June 9, 1926), $579.85.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund.

(7) Hales & Symons, lumber (claim dated May 28, 1926), $1,277.61.

(8) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated June 18, 1926), $720.78.

(9) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor belts (claim dated May 21, 1926), $1,104.30.

(10) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries (claim dated June 1, 1926), $665.11.

(11) Payne's Bolt Works, bolts and nuts (claim dated June 2, 1926), $544.20.

(12) Chas. Rosasco, stove wood (claim dated June 7, 1926), $621.88.

(13) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premiums on insurance policies (claim dated June 3, 1926), $1,412.92.

(14) Western Meat Company, bacon, hams, eggs, cheese, May and June (claim dated June 25, 1926), $1,014.87.

(15) Associated Oil Company, fuel oil (claim dated June 10, 1926), $892.27.

(16) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated June 29, 1926), $1,823.01.

(17) The Giant Powder Co., giant gelatin (claim dated June 11, 1926), $3,975.

(18) Hales & Symons, lumber (claim dated June 19, 1926), $534.18.

(19) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated May 14, 1926), $4,179.68.

(20) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor belts (claim dated June 3, 1926), $1,104.30.

(21) Old Mission Portland Cement Company, cement (claim dated June 9, 1926), $607.25.

(22) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated June 8, 1926), $1,112.35.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(23) Rincon Foundry Co., traffic safety buttons (claim dated June 21, 1926), $4,280.

(24) Crown Oil Company, gasoline, month of May, 1926 (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,195.43.

(25) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of Park Fund for labor and material, Telegraph Hill (claim dated June 3, 1926), $1,716.54.

(26) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper, Engineer's office (claim dated May 27, 1926), $526.80.

(27) Symon Brothers, team hire, first half of June, 1926 (claim dated June 25, 1926), $575.

(28) Crown Oil Company, gasoline and oil (claim dated June 10, 1926), $522.72.

(29) Henry Cowell Lime and Cement Co., cement (claim dated June 14, 1926), $511.87.

(30) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, Civic Center Power House, May (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,302.

(31) M. Greenberg's Sons, castings, Fire Department (claim dated May 29, 1926), $862.75.

(32) Mann Manufacturing Co., steering apparatus, Fire Department (claim dated June 7, 1926), $575.

(33) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Fire Department (claim dated June 29, 1926), $14,255.

(34) T. D. Harney, sewer construction, Havelock street (claim dated Feb. 19, 1926), $578.50.

(35) C. B. Cowden, sewer construction, Glen Park District (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,458.60.

(36) Timothy F. O'Brien, first payment, compensation insurance (claim dated June 25, 1926), $699.30.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(37) Thomas F. Boyle, Auditor, for expenses of celebration of Fourth of July, 1926 (claim dated July 6, 1926), $2,500.

(38) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidental expenses for July, 1926 (claim dated July 6, 1926), $625.

(39) J. H. Zemansky, postage to be used in circulating sample ballots for primary election, August, 1926 (claim dated July 1, 1926), $3,300.

(40) Daniel J. O'Brien, contingent expenses for the month of July, 1926 (claim dated July 1, 1926), $750.

Steinhart Aquarium Fund.

(41) Steinhart Aquarium, salaries and expenses for the month of

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

June, 1926 (claim dated June 30, 1926), $4,381.47.

(Supervisor Marks requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on items 1 and 2.)

Appropriations for Payment for Land Required for the Extension of Elk Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item 82-A, "Improvement of Elk Street," and authorized in payment to the hereinafter-named persons, being payments for properties required for the improvement of Elk street, to-wit:

(1) To Martin and May Perkocha, for all of Lot 17, Block Q, as recorded in Map Book G, pages 80 and 81, records of the City and County of San Francisco, $800.

(2) To Frank H. Welch and Alice F. Welch, for all of Lot 18, Block Q, as recorded in Map Book G, pages 80 and 81, records of the City and County of San Francisco, $600.

Appropriation, $17,250, Payment to Ellen Dore et al. for the Extension of Market Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Ellen Dore and Charlotte E. Horrigan, being payment for property required for the extension of Market street, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25850 (New Series), $17,250.

Finance Committee Authorized to Employ Superintendent, Experts and Assistants for Appraisement of City Realty.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25897 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, for the purpose of appraising all taxable real property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco, to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1927-28 and for subsequent years may be equalized and the same may conform to the true value of said property, and that the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, may be fully advised as to the true value of said property, the Finance Committee of this Board is hereby authorized to employ a superintendent and other experts and assistants, and to purchase such supplies as may be necessary to carry out the purpose herein expressed, the cost of the same to be paid from Budget Item No. 62, 1926-1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Taxicab Permits Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 25898 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved: Blue Bird Cab Company, at 250 Columbus avenue, 684 Broadway, 561-563 Jackson street and 603 Pacific street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Building Law With Reference to Fireproofing Limits.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 7670, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as the "Building Law," relating to fireproof roofing limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," relating to fireproof roofing limits, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Section 4. The roofs of all buildings hereafter constructed within the limits hereinafter in this section described shall consist of fireproof materials, and whenever the covering of the roof or roofs of any building or buildings heretofore constructed within the said limits shall, in the judgment of the Board of Public Works, be or become damaged through fire, decay or otherwise, to the extent of forty (40) per centum of the value of the said covering of the roof or roofs, then the said covering of the existing roof or roofs shall be reconstructed of or replaced with fireproof materials, subject to the approval and

to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and the Fire Marshal, and shall only apply to such roofs as now exist within the district defined herein.

Said fireproof materials shall consist of the same materials required for the roof coverings of all buildings erected within the fire limits of the City and County.

Said limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the northerly end of Van Ness avenue; thence southerly along the center line of Van Ness avenue to Green street; thence westerly along the center line of Green street to Lyon street; thence southerly along the center line of Lyon street to Pacific avenue; thence westerly along the center line of Pacific avenue to its intersection with the southerly line of Presidio reservation; thence following the southerly line of the Presidio reservation to Arguello boulevard; thence southerly along the center line of Arguello boulevard to California street; thence easterly along the center line of California street to Presidio avenue; thence southerly along the center line of Presidio avenue to Geary street; thence easterly along the center line of Geary street to Broderick street; thence southerly along the center line of Broderick street to Waller street; thence westerly along the center line of Waller street to Buena Vista avenue; thence southerly and easterly along the center line of Buena Vista avenue to Duboce avenue; thence easterly along the center line of Duboce avenue to Market street; thence southerly and westerly along the center line of Market street to Dolores street; thence southerly along the center line of Dolores street to Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly along the center line of Twenty-fifth street to the center line of Potrero avenue; thence northerly along the center line of Potrero avenue to the center line of Division street; thence easterly along the center line of Division street to the center line of King street; thence northeasterly along the center line of King street to the center line of Seventh street; thence southerly and easterly along the center line of Seventh street to the center line of Channel street; thence northerly and easterly along the center line of Channel street to the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco; thence following the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco to the point of commencement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Construction of Additions in Lightwell Space of Hall of Justice.

Also, Bill No. 7671, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of additions in the lightwell space at the Hall of Justice; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of additions in the lightwell space at the Hall of Justice is hereby ordered and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Board of Works to Confer With Chief of Police as to Additional Space Required for His Department in Hall of Justice.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25899 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works, through its architectural department, be requested to confer with the Chief of Police and ascertain from him his suggestions as to additional space required, other than the present contemplated improvements, for the Police Department in the Hall of Justice.

The said Board of Public Works is further requested to prepare plans and specifications, with estimate of cost of making such alterations in accordance with the suggestions and requirements of the Chief of Police.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Mayor Directed to Sell Improvements on Carl Street.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 25900 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described per-

sonal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land acquired for the construction of Duboce Tunnel and known as No. 56 Carl street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling house to be removed by the purchaser within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Approving Map Showing Extension of Kenwood Way, West of Faxon Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25901 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 92415 (Second Series), approve a map showing the extension of Kenwood way west of Faxon avenue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the extension of Kenwood way west of Faxon avenue is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25902 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 15, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Majestic avenue between Lake View avenue and Ridge lane. The contractor has been delayed by difficulty in obtaining labor and material.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25903 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 5, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Munich street between Brazil and Excelsior avenues. The contractor has been delayed by difficulty in obtaining labor and material.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25904 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 5, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Fifteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets. The contractor has been delayed by the construction of side sewers.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25905 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after August 3, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Thirty-first avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets. The contractor was delayed by waiting to place the asphalt on another street at the same time.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25906 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 13, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Munich street between Persia and Russia avenues. The contractor has been delayed by reason of fill in the block.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract, Window Cleaning, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25907 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to American Building Maintenance Company for window cleaning for School Department for fiscal year 1926-1927, on bid submitted June 28, 1926 (Proposal No. 208), for the sum of $6,400, apportioned as follows:

First District	$1,840
Second District	1,382
Third District	1,640
Fourth District	1,538

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract for Laundry Machinery.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25908 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to The American Laundry Machinery Company on bid submitted June 28, 1926) (Proposal No. 211), as follows:

1 Eight-roll flat-work ironer, Standard machine, right-hand drive.	$10,147.90
Installation as per detail of Proposal No. 211....	1,350.00
	$11,497.90
Allowance for 1 Motor-driven conveyor, dry room, and 1 15 h. p. line shaft motor and all shaftings and pulleys..	1,350.00
	$10,147.90

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract, Pedestrian Lane Markers.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 20909 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Union Tank & Pipe Company, on bid submitted June 28, 1926 (Proposal No. 205), as follows:

61,000 pedestrian lane markers, price $0.12 each, f.o.b. Corporation Yard, Eleventh and Bryant streets, San Francisco.

Note—60,000 of the above for general traffic; 1,000 of the above for Municipal Railway.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract for Municipal Railway Badges.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25910 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Albert S. Samuels Co., Inc., on bid submitted June 28, 1926 (Proposal No. 210), as follows:

1,250 badges for Municipal Railway, price $0.60 each, as per specification in Proposal No. 210.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract, Oil Burning Equipment for School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25911 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be made to W. S. Ray Manufacturing Company for furnishing and installing oil burning equipment for School Department, on bid submitted June 21, 1926 (Proposal No. 207), for the sum of $4,405, apportioned as follows:

Spring Valley School	$900
John Hancock School	1,100
Garfield School	1,430
Jean Parker School	975

The above to be fully installed within thirty days.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract, Printing.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25912 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the award of contract for printing for the School Department for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, be made on bids sub-

mitted June 21, 1926 (Proposal 194), as follows, viz.:

Item No.	Price	Contractor
1	$1.15	Buckley & Curtin.
2	1.20	Margaret Mary Morgan Co.
3	1.50	Buckley & Curtin.
4	7.00	Margaret Mary Morgan Co.
5	115.00	A. Carlisle & Co.
6	1.90	Margaret Mary Morgan Co.
7	1.16	Same
8	5.41	Same
9	2.20	Same
11	1.87	Same
12	13.55	Neal, Stratford & Kerr.
13	4.50	Margaret Mary Morgan Co.
14	26.66	Same
15	3.45	Wilcox & Co.
15½	3.30	Same
16	4.75	Same
17	7.50	Margaret Mary Morgan Co.
18	4.25	Buckley & Curtin.
19	1.25	Same
20	4.16	Margaret Mary Morgan Co.
22	52.00	Same
24	11.00	Same
25	3.50	Same
26	9.50	Same
27	6.50	Same
28	5.62	Same
29	5.00	Same
30	1.40	Same
31	1.18	Same
32	2.80	Same
33	.71	Same
34	2.50	Same
35	1.68	Same
36	4.58	Same
37	2.50	Same
39	.40	Same
40	.625	Same
41	.625	Same
42	2.85	A. Carlisle & Co.
43	4.00	Margaret Mary Morgan Co.
44	3.18	Same
45	3.80	Same
46	237.50	A. Carlisle & Co.
46½	10.32	Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.
47	2.35	Buckley & Curtin.
48	3.50	Wilcox & Co.
49	4.30	Neal, Stratford & Kerr.
50	2.60	California Printing Co.
51	5.95	Same
52	4.25	Buckley & Curtin.
53	2.75	Wilcox & Co.
54	2.75	Same
55	14.65	Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.
56	8.15	Levison Printing Co.
57	1.20	Phillips & Van Orden
58	4.50	Wilcox & Co.
59	20.25	Neal, Stratford & Kerr.
60	31.00	A. Carlisle & Co.
61	11.25	Same
62	10.27	Levison Printing Co.
63	15.25	Wilcox & Co.
64	18.74	Schyabacher-Frey Stationery Co.
65	18.00	Wilcox & Co.
66	19.00	Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.
67	24.00	Same
68	28.10	Same
69	42.10	Same
70	24.50	Buckley & Curtin.
71.	9.75	Same
72	22.00	Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.
73	56.80	California Printing Co.
75½	6.50	Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.
76	3.48	Levison Printing Co.
77	16.47	Same
81	8.75	Wilcox & Co.
82	8.75	A. Carlisle & Co.
84	5.43	Levison Printing Co.
86	9.55	Buckley & Curtin.
88	5.97	Levison Printing Co.
89	4.70	Phillips & Van Orden
90	4.60	Levison Printing Co.
91	4.60	Wilcox & Co.
92	6.00	Buckley & Curtin.
93	6.00	Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.
94	6.25	A. Carlisle & Co.
95	9.15	Same
96	7.95	Levison Printing Co.
97	7.75	A. Carlisle & Co.
98	29.50	F. W. Wentworth & Co.
102	7.50	Wilcox & Co.
103	12.50	Buckley & Curtin.
104	15.30	A. Carlisle & Co.
105	37.50	Buckley & Curtin.
106	25.00	Same
107	15.30	Phillips & Van Orden
108	3.07	Levison Printing Co.
109	7.40	California Printing Co.
110	13.95	Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.
111	14.50	Buckley & Curtin.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Daylight Saving.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, daylight saving has been put into effect in many of the forward-looking communities of the East; and

Whereas, this saving of one hour of sunshine during the summer months has been proven to be an immense boon to millions of people, including all classes of these communities, and has been demonstrated to be practical in every way; and

Whereas, this conservation of one of Nature's precious gifts would be of even greater value to California than to other less favored states, inasmuch as it would afford opportunity not only to our own citizens but to the many thousand toursits, who annually visit California, to enjoy the natural wonders of our state; and

Whereas, more time in the open means better health for our citizens; and

Whereas, it is the sense of this Board that the adoption of the daylight saying standard of time is a matter for state legislation, not for action by the separate communities, thereby avoiding the confusion that results from different standards of time; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby petitions the Legislature of the State of California to enact a daylight saving law, providing that the official time for the State shall be advanced one hour beginning at midnight the first Sunday in April and returning to standard time at midnight on the last Sunday in September. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to forward copies of this resolution to all members of the Legislature, boards of supervisors and city councils within the State of California, together with a letter urging such bodies to use their best efforts in securing this legislation, that California next year may benefit by this extra hour of sunshine.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Endorsement of Wiley Traffic Signal System.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, various traffic signal devices have been installed at various street intersections for the purpose of demonstrating the relative merits of the different systems, and as a result of such demonstrations it appears to the satisfaction of some of the members of this Board that the signal system manufactured by the City and known as the "Wiley System" is best adapted to meet the requirements of our traffic conditoins; therefore,

Resolved, That the said Wiley Traffic Signals be given the approval of this Board, such approval to be effective thirty days from the adoption of this resolution; provided, however, that in the meantime a majority of the membrs of this Board give their individual endorsement to this declaration after an impartial investigation of the various signal systems installed.

Referred to the Traffic Committee.

(Supervisor Colman asked for data, operating costs, etc., of the various systems.)

Rejection of Bids, Basket Ball Backstops.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25914 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids submitted June 21, 1926, on basket ball backstops, Proposal No. 206, be and the same are hereby rejected.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

Delivery of Assessment Roll 1926-1927.

The chair announced that Assessor Wolden has this day delivered to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors the assessment roll for fiscal year 1926-1927, describing real estate, secured personal property and unsecured personal property, together with indices thereto.

Also, that the Assessor had filed on July 1, 1926, a list of arbitrary assessments, separate from the assessment book as required by Section 3633 of the Political Code of the State of California.

Report of Assessor on Assessment Roll for 1926-1927.

The following report was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 6, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

I am this day delivering to your Honorable Board the Assessment Roll for 1926.

The entire or real increase is not evidenced by the totals, nor will it be in the final totals, but is shown

in the following report:

Net increase in taxable roll up to July 6, 1926.	$16,103,632
Estimated additions to unsecured personal property, July collections..	7,000,000
Loss by 3943 additional soldiers' exemptions—but absorbed in increase	2,413,116
Estimated loss by new 7 per cent solvent credit law and operative property taken from the property taken from the taxable roll (also absorbed)	30,000,000
Gross increase of taxable roll	$55,516.748

As in previous years, in addition to the taxable roll there will be a further increase which is obtained entirely by the diligence of my office force—namely, the collection of unsecured personal property in July. This amount, which is collected under Section 3821 of the Political Code will not be definite until some time in August. The indications are that this year this will be more than $6,000,000, but because of the new 7 per cent solvent credit law, etc., it is impossible to make a more accurate estimate. The unsecured personal property will approximate $77,000,000. All unsecured personal property is collected at the previous year's tax rate of $4.13. See Section 9, Article XIII. The City and County will derive a large additional revenue from this.

I have endeavored to fulfill my public duties with all the facilities at my command and without fear or favor and in an absolutely independent manner. The result is the largest assessment roll and the greatest gross increase ever returned or given by an Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, despite the fact that this year's assessment roll started with an estimated loss of $30,000,000 behind last year's roll, due to the initial operation of the new 7 per cent solvent credit law and property of the public service corporations taken from the taxable roll. This had to be absorbed, together with 3943 additional soldiers' exemptions.

The increased amount of business in the past year has greatly added to my duties, and in the performance of them I have been ably supported by a very efficient office staff, whose cooperation with me has made possible the largest assessment roll in the history of our City, although this work is handicapped by the limitations of the laws, funds and equipment at the disposal of the Assessor's office.

These results have been accomplished in spite of the fact that the duties of the late Mr. Ginty, by reason of his untimely death, were taken over by me at the height of the assessment period, and that the assumption of the office, with its manifold responsibilities, was rendered more difficult than it would have been under other circumstances.

My estimated report and comparison to last year's taxable roll is as follows:

Total nonoperative or taxable roll, 1926 to date	$750,032,999
Estimated July collections	7,000,000
Estimate of final roll (after losses have been absorbed)...	$757,032,999
Total nonoperative roll 1925, complete, including solvent credits under old law.....	733,929,367
(Gross increase, $55,516,748.) However, through absorptions, actual net increase	$ 23,103,632

It has been my endeavor to present to your Honorable Board and to the people a fair and just roll, and I am gratified that the results of this year's assessment roll will enable the tax rate to be materially lowered.

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) R. L. WOLDEN,
Assessor.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk be instructed to invite the Appraisement Committee of the Real Estate Board to attend the meeting of the Board of Equalization on Friday and explain what were its recommendations for increasing the assessment roll. Also, that the Assessor be invited to attend and explain why he reduced the committee's recommendations. A copy of the report made by the committee of the Real Estate Board to the Assessor.

Motion *carried.*

Transmittal of Arbitrary List.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 1, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Please find attached hereto a list of taxpayers who have neglected to

make a return of their taxable property, together with the amounts assessed against each for this class of property.

This assessment is made uder the provisions of Section 3633 of the Political Code, and does not represent the real estate holdings of these parties, or their investments in business, this property being separately assessed by this office. These arbitrary assessments include personal property only, and are made to include principally money in commercial banks and stocks and bonds of corporations whose property is located outside of this State, together with solvent credits, and is in addition to any assessment levied against real estate owned by these parties.

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) R. L. WOLDEN,
Assessor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25913 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors having met on this Tuesday afternoon, July 6, 1926, at 2 p. m. and examined the assessment books of real and personal property for the year 1926, will thereafter be in session as a Board of Equalization from time to time until Monday, July 19, 1926, at 12 o'clock noon, for the purpose of hearing applications, verified by oath, for the correction of assessment books.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheeny, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Resolution No. 25875 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board meet on the 9th day of July, 1926, at 2 p. m., as a Board of Equalization, to examine applicants for reduction or correction of assessment, who have filed sworn statements as required by law, and to perform such other duties as may come before the Board.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Resolution No. 25876 (New Series), as follows:

Adopting a rule as to the manner in which persons, firms and corporations are to be notified why their assessments for the fiscal year 1926-1927 should not have been increased by the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization.

Resolved, That it is hereby adopted as a rule of this Board that the notice required to be given under the provisions of Section 3673 of the Political Code, to corporations or persons, to show cause why their assessments on the assessment books of real and personal property for the fiscal year 1926-1927 should not be increased, will be as follows:

To corporations—a written or printed notice addressed to the president, secretary, manager or agent of such corporation and delivered by the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Board of Supervisors at the office of such corporation in this City.

To persons, firms or companies—a written or printed notice, postage prepaid, and mailed to their address.

The Clerk is hereby directed to cause to be delivered or mailed to the several corporations, persons, firms or companies, when designated by this Board, the said notice at least twelve (12) hours prior to the time set for hearing said parties as aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board adjourned to meet again Friday, July 9, 1926, as a Board of Equalization, to consider applications for correction or reduction of assessments.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

FRIDAY, JULY 9, 1926, 2 P. M.

Proceedings of the Board of Equalization.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Friday, July 9, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment to hear all applicants who had filed sworn applications for correction of assessments on the Assessment Book of Real and Personal Property for the fiscal year 1926-27, or those who, after notification of arbitrary assessments

made against them, had filed sworn statements of the personal property owned by them or in their possession on the first Monday in March, 1926.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Marks was elected to preside.

Privilege of the Floor.

Louis Mooser was granted the privilege of the floor on motion of Supervisor Havenner. In reply to a statement that representatives of the Real Estate Board had recommended an increase of upwards of $50,000,000 in the assessment roll and that the Assessor had brought in less than a $25,000,000 increase; he denied as a representative of the Real Estate Board that he had made any such recommendation. He said there was no detailed report made to the Assessor or any recommendation for increased assessment. A committee, he said, sat down with the Assessor and gave their opinions as to market values in order that a proper basis for assessment might be determined. The committee made no suggestion of increase, just gave its opinions of market values. He declared that two years ago in a letter to the Assessor he called attention to certain inequalities in the assessment of city property and Supervisor McLeran wanted to know what could be done. He (Mooser) suggested that the Assessor be given more money for assistants or get a Board of Appraisers to go over the assessment roll in every way, as to the statement that an increase for $50,000,000 was recommended. P. Paschel, B. A. Banker, W. Sullivan, Mr. Holms and myself sat down with the Assessor and Finance Committee and gave our opinions as to market values on certain streets. Being asked for the figures he had given the Assessor, he said, It is wholly a personal opinion and no one has any right to the figures. (The Assessor being asked if he would furnish the figures referred to) Mr. Mooser declared that "if the Assessor was willing, we are not."

Being asked if they acted as a committee or as individuals, he declared that they started as a committee of the Real Estate Board a couple of years ago to bring about an equaliaztion of assessments, but that in this instance they were acting as individuals.

Assessor Wolden also addressed the Board as to the $50,000,000 assessment increase referred to. In reply to one of the members, he declared, that "income is not a factor in assessing."

Consideration of Applications for Reduction of Assessment.

Thereupon, applications for reduction of assessments were taken up, the applicants or their agents called, sworn, examined and, after consideration, disposed of as follows:

	Name	Prop.	Lot	Blk.	Assess. Val.	Requested Reduction	Action
1.	Sarah R. O'Connor	Imp.	15A	6529	2,000	1,700	Advisement
2.	L. Kammeras	Imp.	13	1641	2,300	1,800	Advisement
3.	S. Vucolievich	Imp.	3-4	1012	2,000	1,600	Advisement
4.	R. L. Trouin	R. E.	67	3587	7.400	6,000	Advisement
5.	M. Dykas	Imp.	23	6020	1,700	1,400	Ref. Ass'r
6.	E. A. Keil	Imp.	19	1645	10,500	8,000	Ref. Ass'r
7.	R. Zurini	Imp.	38	1563	300	100	Advisement
8.	S. Schneebaum	Imp.	1	5858	900	500	Advisement
9.	Mathilda Mohns	Imp.	15	3163	2,100	1,800	Advisement
10.	F. G. Maffei	Imp.	29	62	4,500	3,800	Advisement
11.	Henry Staeglich	Imp.	6	1126	650	400	Advisement
12.	Florence Keen	Imp.	7	2429	1,600	1,000	Advisement
13.	Lillie H. Kage	Imp.	5	3553	3.000	2,500	Advisement
14.	Russ Building Co.	Imp.	1	269	70,000	nil	Advisement
15.	Geo. V. Biber	Imp.	7	282	51,700	46,000	Ref. Ass'r
16.	Hortense Davidson	R. E.	11	765	14,950	12,950	Advisement
17.	Hortense Davidson	Imp.	11	765	4,000	3,000	Advisement
18.	Mrs. R. L. Pos	Imp.	15	547	6,000	4,000	Advisement
19.	Eliza M. MacDonald	Imp.	12	630	1.700	1,000	Ref. Ass'r
20.	Eliza M. MacDonald	R. E.	12	630	3,400	2,400	Ref. Ass'r
21.	Mary A. Helms	R. E.	17	3616	7,640	5,640	Ref. Assr.
22.	Adele Amos	Imp.	27	979	2,650	1,300	Advisement
23.	Annie Emanuel	Imp.	1	590	16,500	13,500	Advisement

24. Katie Hansen	Imp.	15-16	6232	350	200	Advisement
25. M. E. Darby	Imp.	18	1523	4,500	4,250	Advisement
26. M. E. Darby	R. E.	18	1523	2,270	2,020	Advisement
27. Wilfred A. Robison	R. E.	1-5	7174	900	700	Advisement
28. A. D. McDonald	Imp.	27	7169	900	600	Ref. Ass'r
29. M. L. Rose Co	Imp.	13	977	9,000	....	Ref. Ass'r
30. Mrs. E. Woolf	Imp.	1E	497	5,200	4,200	Advisement
31. E. E. Ayers	Imp.	12-13	3576	3,700	....	Advisement
				3,700	....	
32. E. E. Ayers	R. E.	12-13	3576	8,540	....	Advisement
				9,210		
33. Morris Bernstein	Imp.	37	1640	1,550	1,200	Advisement
34. Adolph Cohn	Imp.	19	284	27,000	23,000	Ref. Ass'r
35. S. Biondi	Imp.	24	129	6,500	4,000	Advisement
36. Kate E. Tooley	Imp.	27A	1758	6,000	5,000	Advisement
37. A. K. Yndestad	Imp.	21	1754	2,700	1,100	Advisement
38. Agnes Eberhart	Imp.	28-29	6015	200	100	Advisement
				900	700	
39. Eric Nilan	Imp.	8	1128	1,900	1,000	Advisement
40. Mrs. J. J. Marincik	Imp.	1D	4078	2,000	1,000	Advisement
41. Ada R. & Emma L. Cella	Imp.	4-5	853	25,000	15,000	Advisement
42. Edith Fullaway	Imp.	25	1128	3,400	....	Ref. Ass'r
43. Edith Fullaway	Imp.	1	1128	3,200	....	Ref. Ass'r
44. Max Arzenberg	Imp.	14	1158	2,800	....	Advisement
45. D. Conway	Imp.	2	566	2.000	....	Ref. Ass'r
46. N. J. Farrah	Imp.	3-4	6796	11,200	9,000	Advisement
47. Elizabeth L. Harrington	Imp.	10	827	2,800	2,000	Advisement
48. Emma J. Potvin	Imp.	18	1208	3,500	....	Advisement
49. Ina D. Coolbrith	Imp.	53	157	3,000	2.500	Ref. Ass'r
50. J. O. & Susan Onstolt	Imp.	4	1387	6,500	5,500	Advisement
51. Mrs. H. Riegelbuth	Imp.	32	1527	1,500	1.300	Ref. Ass'r
52. Leo D. Londsen	R. E.	38-39	4218	660	330	Ref. Ass'r
			4258			

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 3 p. m., Thursday, July 19, 1926.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 18, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 28

Monday, July 12, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 12, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 12, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Invitation to Military Tournament.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Col. Ernest V. Smith, transmitting invitation of the Commandant and Corps of Instructors of the Citizens' Military Training Camp, Del Monte, California, to be present at the military tournament to be held in camp on July 24, 1925.

Referred to the Chairman of the Public Welfare Committee.

California State Fair—Laying Cornerstone, Grandstand.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Harold J. McCauley, president of the Sacramento Chamber of Commerce, inviting attendance at the ceremonies of laying the cornerstone for the new grandstand which is now being rushed to completion for the California State Fair this fall, which ceremonies will be held at 2:30 p. m., Thursday, July 15th.

Relative to Occupancy of 333 Kearny Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk and, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, ordered *placed on Calendar at next meeting*:

Communication, from Chief of Police Daniel J. O'Brien, advising that he has no desire to move from his present office to any other location.

Communication, from Frank J. Eagan, Public Defender, advising that he is not opposed to moving to 333 Kearny street or any other location selected by the Board of Supervisors, but is interested in securing adequate quarters to deal with the public.

Communication, from District Attorney Matthew Brady, declaring that his present accommodations in the Hall of Justice are most inadequate both as to equipment and office space, and advising that he is willing to accept any suitable quarters selected by the Board of Supervisors provided they are properly equipped.

Communication, from William H. Nicholl, chief of the Adult Probation Department, advising that he has not changed his opinion regarding the removal of the Adult Probation Department from the Hall of Justice, and that he believes it would be better for his department if it was in some other environment than the present.

Ordered *filed*.

Inter-Cities Bridge Co. Application.

Application of the Inter-Cities Bridge Company, a corporation, for authority to erect, maintain, operate and take tolls on a tollbridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco County to Alameda County, and filing as exhibits "A", articles of incorporation; "B", notice of proposed filing of this application; "C", proof of service upon Southern Pacific Company of notice of intention to apply for authority to erect tollbridge; "D", proof of service upon Western Pacific Railroad Company of notice of intention to apply for authority to erect tollbridge; "E", proof of

service upon Key System Transit Company of notice of intention to apply for authority to erect tollbridge; "F", proof of service upon Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad Company of notice of intention to apply for authority to erect tollbridge; "G", proof of service upon Northwestern Pacific Railroad Company of notice of intention to apply for authority to erect tollbridge; and "H", proof of service upon Golden Gate Ferry Company of notice of intention to apply for authority to erect tollbridge.

Continued for hearing, on motion of Supervisor Welch, until July 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*

Communications.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Timothy Healy, attorney, representing Mr. R. S. Chew, engineer, who is now planning for a bridge crossing San Francisco Bay from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, and thence to the Key Route fill or vicinity, and calling attention to the alleged fact that the Inter-Cities Bridge Company has given notice of its intention to file application for a franchise for a bridge across the bay which is practically a duplication and an outright adoption of the plan of his client, Mr. R. S. Chew.

Filed and made a part of the record.

Communication, from San Francisco-Alameda Counties Bridge Commission, transmitting copy of resolution adopted by the board of supervisors of the Down Town Association, in which cooperation is urged among all official, public and semi-public bodies concerned, with the sole object of devising a plan that will permit a bridge or bridge and tube construction across San Francisco Bay in accordance with the requirements of the War and Navy Departments, at the earliest possible moment.

Ordered *filed.*

Communcation, from Federated Voters' League of California, requesting that no consideration be given to a proposition for a bridge across the bay, and favoring the construction of a tube.

Ordered *filed.*

Mayor's Veto.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk and *laid over for consideration until next meeting*:

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am returning to you, without my approval, Ordinance No. 7160 (New Series), finally passed by your honorable Board June 28, 1926, entitled "Providing for the selection and location of traffic signals and safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco." My action is taken for the following reasons:

In conference with members of the Traffic Committee of the Board of Supervisors, the President of the Board of Police Commissioners and the Chief of Police, it was decided that this ordinance should so be amended that there will be vested in the Police Department the right and power to select and recommend to the Board of Supervisors the locations of all traffic signals, traffic safety zones and pedestrian lanes; also that the Board of Supervisors shall retain the authority to designate the type of traffic signal that may be purchased, and that, further, the Board of Supervisors shall retain the authority to substitute another signal or type of signal for any signal that may now be in operation or which hereafter may be installed on our streets.

My disapproval of this ordinance is therefore without prejudice, and is for the sole purpose of enabling the Board of Supervisors to amend this ordinance by adding the provisions herein suggested should the Board, in its wisdom, see fit to accept my recommendations.

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Communications, from Theodore Roche, President of the Police Commissioners; Daniel J. O'Brien, Chief of Police; Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations:

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, Civic Center, San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

There is now before you an ordinance passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco last Monday, which, if permitted to become effective, will, in the opinion of the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, be detrimental to the safety of pedestrians and automobilists alike, in that it takes from the proper police authorities the power to designate important traffic intersections at which traffic signals, automatic and otherwise, are to be installed, placing such authority in the hands of inexperienced laymen who may for the time being be members of the Traffic Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

As President of the Civic League

of Improvement Clubs and Associations, and by direction of its executive board, I am herewith placing this protest before you, with the sincere request that you veto this proposed ordinance, and by refusing your approval perhaps prevent this measure from becoming enacted into law.

Very truly yours,

CIVIC LEAGUE OF IMPROVEMENT CLUBS AND ASSOCIATIONS,
By W. W. WATSON,
President.

Bill No. 7607, Ordinance No. 7160 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the selection and location of traffic signals and safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever the public interest shall require, the Board of Supervisors may order the installation of signal devices and the establishment of safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board shall have authority to select the devices, apparatus, markers or other appliances as it shall deem best suited for the purpose, and, after conference with the Police Department, it shall be its duty to designate and specify the location of the same and the manner in which they are to be placed or installed, which installation of signal devices shall be made by the Department of Electricity.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Finally passed by the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, June 28, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson—2.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Kent, Marks—3.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Disapproved, San Francisco, July 9, 1926.

JAMES ROLPH, Mayor.

Without prejudice for reasons set forth in my accompanying communication.

Bay Bridge.

Supervisor Gallagher called for the Special Order for 2:30 p. m., asking for information and stating that he had noticed in the press that a bay bridge commission had been formed which has taken upon itself the business of wiring to Washington or somebody there to do certain things in relation to the decision of the War Department, requesting it to defer its decision on bridges. He asked the chairman of the joint committee if he or any other member of the committee, or any member of the Board, had been consulted in the matter.

Supervisor McSheehy, chairman of the joint committee, and Supervisor Shannon, chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, and Supervisors Badaracco and Powers, members of the last-named committee, declared that they had no communication nor had they been consulted in the matter.

Being interrogated by the Chair as to whether he had any communication from the War Department, the Clerk said "no."

The Clerk then presented the following communication, which, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, was read, to-wit:

Communication from S. F.-Alameda County Bridge Commission.

Gentlemen:

The undersigned, as chairman of the San Francisco-Alameda County Bridge Commission, is in receipt of a resolution, passed today by the board of directors of the Down Town Association in which cooperation is urged among all official, public and semi-official bodies concerned with the sole object of devising a plan that will permit a bridge or bridge and tube construction across San Francisco Bay under the requirements of the War and Navy Departments at the earliest possible moment.

In conformity with the purpose and spirit of this resolution, copy of which is herewith attached, this commission is pleased herewith to tender the services of its board of engineers and technical experts in whatever investigations or research may be undertaken on the various bridge projects.

The sole object of the commission is in having selected at the earliest possible time such bridge or bridge and tube project as will meet the requirements of the Washington authorities and bring about a speedy solution of our bay transportation problem. This commission will cooperate in every way in any plan of unified action that may be suggested by any of the parties concerned.

For your information there is given herewith the names and qualifications of the board of engineers and technical experts al-

ready in the service of this commission.

Very sincerely yours,
SAN FRANCISCO-ALAMEDA COUNTY BRIDGE COMMISSION,
By JAMES N. GILLETT,
Chairman.

The following is a list of the engineering and technical board of the San Francisco-Alameda County Bridge Commission:

Professor Charles D. Marx, professor of civil engineering, Leland Stanford Junior University, past president American Society of Civil Engineers, president Engineering Council of California, consulting engineer of Oakland harbor; Thomas E. Means, past president American Society Civil Engineers, San Francisco Section, Seismological Society of America, American Association for the Advancement of Science, noted geologist and foundation expert, formerly United States Reclamation Service, consulting engineer for governments of India and Egypt; Francis Betts Smith, builder navy dry docks Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, builder Hawaii Pineapple Co. harbor, Hawaii, consulting engineer Oakland-Alameda tube, Richmond harbor, Santa Barbara harbor, constructor Mare Island and League Island (Philadelphia) dry docks; Earl Cope, member American Society of Civil Engineers, construction engineer for many California bridges; Sydney W. Taylor, Jr., specialist in engineering economics, industrial engineering, engineering investigator for Canadian government; Jerome Neuman, former engineer State Board of Harbor Commission, superintendent of engineering, Yosemite Valley Railroad; Bailey Willis, president of Seismological Society of America, professor emeritus of geology, Leland Stanford Junior University, consulting adviser Japanese government; Capt. Frank H. Ainsworth, retired captain, U. S. N., Washington representative Alameda naval base; Fred Dohrmann, Jr., city planning expert, San Francisco Regional Plan Association.

The following is a copy of the resolution adopted by board of directors of the Down Town Association of San Francisco:

Whereas, there was organized by the Down Town Association on July 7, 1926, following several months of investigation and conference, an organization known as the San Francisco-Alameda County Bridge Commission, to make a complete survey and report on the entire San Francisco Bay bridge project to the end that unified action by all parties concerned, both in official and public circles, might be obtained for the speedy construction of such bridge or bridge and tube project as would meet the requirements and rulings of the War and Navy Departments at Washington; and

Whereas, the army and navy authorities at Washington, following representations for delay in a final ruling made by such bridge commission in conjunction with the Board of Supervisors, the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and other public and private agencies has granted such a continuance; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the directors of the Down Town Association hereby express their hearty endorsement of the activities of the San Francisco-Alameda County Bridge Commission and urges that this commission use its best efforts to effect a thorough cooperation through all official and semi-official bodies concerned with the objective of a bridge or tube across San Francisco Bay, in order that the most practicable and feasible plan under the rulings of the War and Navy Departments may be decided on at the earliest possible moment; and be it

Further Resolved, That copy of this resolution be forwarded to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and to the press.

Privilege of the Floor.

Judge McAtee, representing the Down Town Association, was granted the privilege of the floor, interrogated by various members of the Board, he was heard at length as to the action of the commission in requesting the War Department to delay its decision on bay bridges.

Charles Brennan, attorney for John Bermingham, Jr., stated that Mr. Huggins, who is chairman of the Bridge Committee of the Down Town Association, who organized the San Francisco-Alameda County Bridge Commission, is a representative of "Victory Tubes." That Mr. Sydney Taylor, Jr., a member of the commission, is also a representative of "Victory Tubes." That Capt. Frank H. Ainsworth, also a member of the commission, is a representative of the Southern Pacific Company, and is now in Washington.

Telegram.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton, the following telegram was prepared and ordered sent to the War Department at Washington:

"Please be advised that San Francisco-Alameda County Bay

Bridge Commission is purely an unofficial and voluntary body and is not in any manner authorized to speak officially for the Board of Supervisors or for any official board or commission of the government of the City and County. The Board of Supervisors is planning concurrently with further hearings which we are advised by the press have been adopted by the War Department to proceed immediately and without interruption to the public hearing of several applications for bridge franchises across the Bay of San Francisco, and we request that you consider as official and representative of the point of view of the people of San Francisco only such communications and representations concerning the building of a bridge or bridges across San Francisco Bay as shall hereafter be transmitted by this Board of Supervisors duly authenticated."

(*Supervisor Badaracco requested to be recorded as voting no.*)

An Associated Press notice was read from a daily paper to the effect that the War Department had ordered a further hearing before the department at San Francisco. However, the Board had not yet received official notice of the fact.

Protest.

Mr. Timothy Healy, attorney for R. S. Chew, filed a protest against the application of Inter-Cities Bridge Corporation, in which he states the application is almost identical with the plan of Mr. Chew, which was prepared in 1921.

HEARINGS—2:30 P. M.

Bridges.

Consideration of the application of John Bermingham, Jr., for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *continued for hearing until Monday, July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Consideration of application of A. H. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Continued for hearing, on motion of Supervisor Welch, *until Monday, July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Consideration of the application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Continued for hearing, on motion of Supervisor Welch, *until Monday, July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Consideration of the application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *continued for hearing until Monday, July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Consideration of application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge betwen San Francisco and Alameda.

Continued for hearing, on motion of Supervisor Welch, *until Monday, July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Consideration of application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Continued for hearing, on motion of Supervisor Welch, *until Monday, July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks,

McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Consideration of application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *continued for hearing until Monday, July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Consideration of the application of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Continued for hearing, on motion of Supervisor Welch, *until Monday, July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Consideration of the application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for a franchise to construct operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, further consideration of the foregoing was *continued until July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Consideration of the application of R. Lee Dunn for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a tool bridge between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, further consideration of the foregoing was *continued until July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner. Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Consideration of the application of R. S. Chew for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, further consideration of the foregoing was *continued until July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

At 2 p. m. there was filed the application of the Inter-Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, further consideration of the foregoing was *continued until July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner. Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Toll Bridge.

Consideration of application of O. A. Ellis for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a tunnel under San Francisco Bay between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, further consideration of the foregoing was *continued until July* 19, 1926, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Scavenger Service, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing scavenger service for the School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Chairs for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing chairs for the School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Hospital Supplies.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing hospital supplies, roller bandages, absorbing cotton, lint, oiled muslin and adhesive plaster and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Kent, the following bill was *laid over one week*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Sunset Commercial District.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed as follows:

That both sides of Lawton street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Noriega street between Twenty-second and Twenty-seventh avenues, between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues and between Forty-fourth and Forty-eighth avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Quintara street between Twentieth and Twenty-second avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Vicente street between Twenty-first and Twenty-fourth avenues and between Thirty-third and Forty-eighth avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

That both sides of Forty-sixth avenue between Taraval street and Sloat boulevard, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $44,230.21, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public troughs, May 31 to June 30, 1926, $67.26.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) Anderson & Ringrose, ninth payment on general construction contract, Le Conte School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $17,617.50.

(2) Thos. Skelly, fifth and conditional acceptance payment due on plumbing work contract, Alamo

School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $4,165.06.

(3) A. Lettich, fourth payment due on plumbing work contract, Le Conte School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,172.58.

(4) A. Lettich, twelfth payment due on plumbing work contract, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated July 7, 1926), $814.95.

(5) Barrett & Hilp, eleventh payment due on general construction contract, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $14,958.15.

(6) Standard Electrical Construction Co., fifth payment, electrical work, Le Conte School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,526.62.

(7) Wm. F. Wilson Co. (assignee), sixth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting work, Alvarado School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,890.

(8) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment, Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $835.25.

(9) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., first payment, electrical work, Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,762.85.

(10) Bond Construction Co., seventh payment, general construction, Hawthorne School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $22,072.68.

(11) L. Flatland, seventh payment, electrical work, addition to the High School of Commerce (claim dated July 7, 1926), $3,156.73.

(12) O. Monson, tenth payment, general construction, Alvarado School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $6,323.25.

(13) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., eighth payment, general construction Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $33,506.25.

(14) The Scott Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,733.75.

(15) Latourette-Fical Co., eighth payment due on contract for mechanical equipment, New Mission High School (claim dated July 7. 1926), $2,627.57.

(16) Thos. Skelly, third payment due on contract for plumbing and gas-fitting work, additional units to Galileo High School gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,444.25.

(17) A. Lettich, third payment due on mechanical equipment contract, Henry Durant School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,245.50.

(18) O. Monson, second payment on general construction of West Portal School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $13,956.83.

(19) Macdonald & Kahn, eleventh payment on general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $22,560.61.

(20) Bond Construction Co., eighth payment on general construction of the additional units to Galileo High School gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated July 7, 1926), $6,530.36.

(21) Wm. J. Bays, fourth payment on mechanical equipment of Le Conte School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $3,710.37.

(22) Herman Lawson, fourth payment on mechanical equipment, Hawthorne School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,340.62.

(23) Thos. Skelly, fourth payment due on plumbing work, Hawthorne School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,466.81.

(24) W. H. Picard, eighth payment due on plumbing and gas-fitting work, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,276.28.

(25) Scott Co., second payment due on mechanical equipment, additional units, Galileo High School gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,633.25.

(26) Latourette-Fical Co. second payment, plumbing work, Henry Durant School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $839.84.

(27) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, electrical work, additional units, Galileo High School gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,254.

(28) W. H. Picard, eighteenth payment, mechanical equipment, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated July 7, 1926), $828.93.

(29) Latourette-Fical Co., seventh payment, mechanical equipment, Alvarado School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,437.88.

Fire Department Land Account.

(30) Wm. A. Schadde, to appraising six parcels of land sold at public auction (claim dated May 27, 1926), $650.

Park Fund.

(31) Bode Gravel Co., crushed rock (claim dated July 11, 1926), $1,059.92.

(32) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, months of February, March and April (claim dated July 11, 1926), $770.04.

(33) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream, month of June, 1926 (claim dated July 11, 1926), $551.20.

(34) A. J. Raisch, covering tennis court, Balboa Park and McCoppin Square (claim dated July 11, 1926), $915.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(35) P. Montague, first payment on grading of site of Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated July 7, 1926), $5,850.

Playground Commission Fund.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds, month of June (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,615.14.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(37) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., fourth progress payment, core borings, Coast Range Division, Hetch Hetchy aqueduct (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,487.19.

County Roads Fund.

(38) Pacific States Construction Co., first progress payment for improvement of Fillmore street from Cervantes boulevard to Marina boulevard (claim dated July 6. 1926), $7,500.

Relief Home Construction.

(39) M. E. Ryan, twelfth payment on electrical work of the buildings for use of Relief Home (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,823.84.

(40) F. W. Snook Co., sixteenth payment, mechanical equipment, Relief Home (claim dated July 7, 1926), $573.97.

(41) F. W. Snook Co., seventeenth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting work, Relief Home (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,486.87.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(42) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., annual standby service, Oct. 20, 1924, to July 9. 1925 (claim dated July 3, 1926), $7,222.19.

Special School Tax Fund.

(43) Geo. H. Tay Co., urinal stalls (claim dated June 4, 1926), $676.

(44) S. W. Band, third payment, plumbing and gas-fitting system, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $757.50.

(45) P. J. Enright, first payment, mechanical equipment, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $4,539.75.

(46) O. Monson, third payment, general construction, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $6,000.

(47) Standard Electrical Construction Co., first payment, electrical work, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,441.80.

(48) A. Lettich, first payment, due on contract, plumbing system, annex to Parkside School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,214.25.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment.

(49) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, first payment, construction of Sunset Tunnel, Duboce avenue route (claim dated July 6. 1926), $5,625.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(50) J. A. Bryant, first payment, club house, Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,358.

(51) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expenses allowed for various conventions (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,951.31.

(52) Grand Jury of the City and County of San Francisco, expenses of Grand Jury investigation of Hetch Hetchy project (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,030.75.

(53) Citizens Committee, Summer Symphony Concerts, expense publicity and advertising, Summer Symphony Concerts (claim dated June 30, 1926), $8,000.

(54) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Law and Motion Calendars, Journal of Proceedings, etc., month of June, 1926 (claim dated June 30, 1926), $940.

(55) Sperry Flour Company, flour, etc., Relief Home (claim dated June 25, 1926), $883.66.

(56) United States Veteran Bureau (Elihu P. Booth), industrial accident compensation (claim dated June 25, 1926), $1,086.14.

(57) Pacific Body Works, Inc., ambulance body mounted on white chassis (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,338.75.

(58) Clarence B. Eaton, first payment, construction of sewers in westerly Sunset District (claim dated June 30, 1926), $7,050.

(59) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, construction of sewers, westerly Sunset District, Forty-third avenue main (claim dated June 30, 1926), $8,100.

(60) Clarence B. Eaton, first progress payment for improvement of Union street between Franklin and Steiner streets (claim dated June 30, 1926), $7,500.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(61) A. Carlisle & Co., printing (claim dated July 1, 1926), $1,071.

(62) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing (claim dated July 1, 1926), $906.20.

(63) H. S. Crocker Co., printing (claim dated July 1, 1926), $521.

(64) The Spring Valley Water Co., rental installment, lease covering 170 acres of property, Lake Mer-

ced Ranch (claim dated July 1, 1926), $3,000.

(65) Associated Charities, maintenance of half-orphans with widowed mothers, month of July, 1926 (claim dated July 16, 1926), $7,487.26.

(66) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of half-orphans, etc. (claim dated July 16, 1926), $846.32.

(67) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of half-orphans, etc., month of July, 1926 (claim dated July 16, 1926), $7,880.55.

Appropriations, Payments to Mark Hardy et al. and James W. Carbray for Lands Required for the Widening of the State Highway at Colma.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, that the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of the sum of $150,000 set aside out of the County Road Fund by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series), for the purpose of acquiring rights of way for the widening of the State Highway south of Colma, to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties and damages in full to improvements on properties, required for the widening of the State Highway south of Colma, to-wit:

(1) Mark Hardy and Thomas Hardy, portion of Lots 5 and 6, Block 31, as shown on map entitled "Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California," $800.

(2) James W. Carbray, administrator of the estate of Edward Carbray, deceased, various lots, as shown on map entitled "Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California," $200.

Appropriations, Payments to Henry E. Monroe, John Rosenfeld's Sons and The White Company for Lands Required for the Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Van Ness Avenue Extension, Second Purchase," and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated persons; being second payment on Van Ness avenue extension, as per terms of judgment by Superior Court action No. 153962, including interest and pro rate of taxes, to-wit:

(1) Henry E. Monroe, for parcel of land No. 1 (claim dated July 9, 1926), $51,492.57.

(2) John Rosenfeld's Sons, for parcel of land No. 2 (claim dated July 9, 1926), $43,131.89.

(3) The White Company, for parcel of land No. 3 (claim dated July 9, 1926), $31,619.07.

Appropriation, $1,500, Payment to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for Evaluation of Certain Properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Great Western Power Company.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund and authorized in payment to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for expense of valuation of certain properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Great Western Power Company.

Appropriations for Furnishings, Alvarado, Alamo, Le Conte, Lafayette, Hawthorne, Sanchez and West Portal Schools.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the School Bond Fund, Issue 1923, for the purpose of furnishing the hereinafter named schools, to-wit:

(1) For the purpose of furnishing the Alvarado, Alamo, Le Conte, Lafayette, Hawthorne and Sanchez Schools, $57,000.

(2) For the purpose of furnishing the West Portal School, $4,500.

Accepting Offer of I. Selig & Co. to Sell the Property of St. James Hotel for $180,000.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from I. Selig & Co., Inc., to convey to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum of one hundred and eighty thousand ($180,000) dollars, a certain parcel of land required for municipal purposes, and the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof, and its acceptance has been recommended by the City Attorney in a communication dated July 1, 1926; therefore,

Resolved, That the offer of said I. Selig & Co., Inc., to convey to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of one hundred and eighty thousand ($180,000) dollars be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Fulton street and the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence westerly along said line of Fulton street 109 feet and 9 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 109 feet and 9 inches to the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, and thence at a right angle southerly along said line of Van Ness avenue 120 feet to the point of beginning; together with the improvements and furniture therein.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted. Also,

Resolved, That the sum of one hundred and eighty thousand ($180,000) dollars is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the General Fund, Budget Item No. 35, 1926-27, in payment of the claim of I. Selig & Co., Inc., for the purchase of the land herein described.

Appropriation, $180,000, Payment to I. Selig & Co., Inc., for Land, Improvements and Furniture, St. James Hotel, Required as Site for War Memorial Buildings.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $180,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, Budget Item No. 35, 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to I. Selig & Co., Inc., being payment for land and improvements, together with furniture therein, situate at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Fulotn street and the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence westerly along said line of Fulton street 109 feet and 9 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 109 feet and 9 inches to the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, and thence at a right angle southerly along said line of Van Ness avenue 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Accepting Offer of Ella M. Rohlffs, Peter Mullin et al. and Frank W. Callahan to sell Certain Land Required in the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25915 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easements situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey said easements desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Ella M. Rohlffs, $86—Commencing at a point distant fifty-three (53) feet one and one-half (1½) inches at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Downey street and distant eighty-two and five hundred and three thousandths (82.503) feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Downey street twenty-three and four hundred and ninety-seven thousandths (23.497) feet; thence at a right angle westerly fifty (50) feet; thence at a right angle northerly nineteen and seventy-eight thousandths (19.078) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly fifty and one hundred and ninety-five thousandths (50.195) feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

Peter Mullen and Mamie Mullen, $186—Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Divisadero street with the southerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Duboce avenue seven and seventy-five thousandths (7.075) feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running westerly thirty-nine and five hundred two thousandths (39.502) feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the left and running southerly parallel with Divisadero street fifty and seven hundred forty-one thousandths (50.741) feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly forty-six and six hundred eighty-two thousandths (46.682) feet to

a point on the westerly line of Divisadero street, distant thereon forty-nine and five hundred eighteen thousandths (49.518) feet southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Divisadero street forty-nine and five hundred eighteen thousandths (49.518) feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Mission Block Number 120.

Frank W. Callahan and Helen C. Callahan, $189—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Ashbury street, distant thereon seventy (70) feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Ashbury street thirty (30) feet; thence at right angles easterly sixteen and eight hundred fifty-five thousandths (16.855) feet; thence deflecting 5 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running easterly eighty-three and four hundred sixty-nine thousandths (83.469) feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec, to the left and running northerly parallel with Ashbury street twenty-two and six hundred fifty-two thousandths (22.652) feet; thence at right angles westerly one hundred (100) feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 660.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the easements owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said easements and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offers of John Puncochar et al. and Olive D. Wilbur et al. to Sell Certain Easements Required in the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25916 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easements situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Dubose Tunnel, have offered to convey said easements desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

John Puncochar and Evelyn Puncochar, $38—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Clayton street, distant thereon ninety-three and eight hundred and twenty-seven thousandths (93.827) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Clayton street with the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Clayton street twelve and one hundred and seventy-three thousandths (12.173) feet; thence at a right angle easterly twenty-eight and one hundred and twenty-five thousandths (28.125) feet; thence at right angles northerly fourteen and six hundred and fifty-nine thousands (14.659) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly twenty-eight and two hundred and thirty-five thousandths (28.235) feet to the easterly line of Clayton street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

Olive D. Wilbur and Curtis D. Wilbur, $53—Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Downey street, distant thereon seventy-three and eight hundred and thirty-one thousandths (73.831) feet southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Downey street with the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Downey street twenty-eighth and one hundred and sixty-nine thousandths (28.169) feet; thence at a right angle easterly thirty (30) feet; thence at right angles northerly

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

thirty and eight hundred and twenty thousandths (30.820) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly thirty and one hundred and seventeen thousandths (30.-117) feet to the easterly line of Downey street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 677.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the easements owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said easements, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offers of Winifred Hughes, Matilda M. Fulthrop, Matteo Tara Bochia et al., Jane H. Terry, Violet M. Jelley to Sell Certain Lands Required in the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25917 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Winifred Hughes, $4,750—

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point distant 125 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 24.044 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 25.097 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Clayton street 26.254 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point distant 150 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 26.254 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 25.097 feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the right and running northerly parallel with Clayton street 28.463 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point distant 175 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 28.463 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 12.690 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left of 225-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 3 deg. 10 min. 08 sec. a distance of 12.444 feet; thence northerly parallel with Clayton street 31.018 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Mathilda M. Fulthrop, $627—Beginning at a point distant one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 111.314 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 26.186 feet; thence at right angles westerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles northerly 23.976 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running easterly 25.097 feet to the point of beginning; being portion

of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Matteo Tarabochia and Germania Tarabochia, $516—Beginning at a point distant one hundred and seventy-five (175) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 115.733 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 21.767 feet; thence at right angles westerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles northerly 19.452 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right of two hundred and seventy-five (275) foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 83 deg. 21 min. 56 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 1 deg. 35 min. 02 sec., a distance of 7.602 feet; thence easterly tangent to the preceding curve 17.506 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Jane H. Terry, $441—Beginning at a point distant two hundred (200) feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 118.048 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 19.452 feet; thence at right angles westerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles northerly 15.370 feet; thence easterly one a curve to the right of 275-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 78 deg. 05 min. 10 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 5 deg. 16 min. 46 sec., a distance of 25.340 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Violet M. Jelley, $836—Beginning at a point distant 200 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street 31.018 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left of 225-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 81 deg. 46 min. 50 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 6 deg. 29 min. 58 sec., a distance of 25.523 feet; thence northerly parallel with Clayton street 36.090 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block No. 678.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Appropriations in Payment, Additional Over Sums Heretofore Allowed in the Duboce Tunnel Report to Certain Parties for Property Acquired for the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25918 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the matter of the Duboce tunnel the Board of Supervisors hereby appropriates the following sums to the following property owners as additional payments over the sums allowed in the Duboce tunnel report. These additional payments are made for the reason that there has been an increase in the value of the property since the appraisal was originally made, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to make such additional payments to the persons mentioned below:

Edward P. Maher and Annie M. Maher, $279.45; portion of Lot 45, Block 1268, Assessor's Map.

Eva Pincus, $348.60; portion of Lot 18, Block 1268, Assessor's Map.

Kingsley G. Dunn and Mildred Dunn, $463.10; portion of Lot 19, Block 1268, Assessor's Map.

Agnes Ford and Thomas J. Ford, $31.35; portion of Lot 44, Block 1268, Assessor's Map.

Ellen C. Kennedy, $86.25; por-

tion of Lot 45, Block 1268, Assessor's Map.

Estate of Margaret C. Cooney, $160.15; portion of Lot 48, Block 1268, Assessor's Map.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer of Crocker Estate Company to Sell Certain Lands Required for the Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25919 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the widening of Alemany boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

Crocker Estate Company, $2,240—A strip of land twenty feet in width, the northerly boundary of which is the southerly boundary of that certain property designated as Parcel 28 in the deed from Ocean Shore Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded at page 39 of Volume 1164 of Official Records, said strip being terminated on the east by the Payson Tract and being terminated on the west by the property formerly owned by W. R. Eaton.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owner by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Mayor to Sell Improvements at No. 60 Harrold Avenue at Public Auction.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25920 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land acquired for the extension of Mt. Vernon avenue, and known as No. 60 Harold avenue.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling house to be removed by the purchaser within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Mayor to Sell Improvements at 59 and 61 Merritt Street at Public Auction.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25921 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land acquired for the extension of Market street, and known as No. 59 Merritt street and No. 61 Merritt street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses to be removed by the purchaser within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Approving the Purchase of Property of James W. Carbray and Mark Hardy et al., Being Rights of Way for the Widening of the State Highway South of Colma.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25922 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series), set aside, appropriated and authorized out of the County Road Fund the sum of one hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($150,000) for the purpose of acquiring rights of way, etc., for the widening of the State Highway south of Colma; and

Whereas, the City's right of way agents have secured options from the following named property owners for the sums set forth opposite their names, for the following described property, viz.:

James W. Carbray, administrator of estate of J. Edward Carbray, deceased, $200—All of Lot 4 in Block 31, as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California, being a resubdivision of Lots 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 16 and 17 of Block 31; Lots 8, 11, 13 and 14 of Block 32; Lots 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 12 of Block 33; Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 12 of Block 34; Lot 5 of Block 35 of the City Extension Homestead Association," filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County April 26, 1907, in Book "A" of Original Maps, at page 38, and copied into Book 4 of Maps, at page 68.

Mark Hardy and Thomas Hardy, $800—A portion of Lots 5 and 6 in Block 31, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California," recorded in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County April 26, 1907, and filed in Book 4 of Maps, at page 68; being all that portion of said lots lying on the easterly side of the present State Highway and within 62.0 feet of the center line thereof (as per written offer on file).

Whereas, the Division Engineer of the State Highway Commission has approved the said options and the purchase of the said property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the purchase of the said properties for the above mentioned sums is hereby approved, and the payment of the above sums to the herein mentioned owners in care of the State Highway Commission is hereby authorized to be made for the said purposes above mentioned; the deeds to run to the State of California in such form as the State Highway Commission shall direct.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464, Placing the Northerly Side of Ellis Street, West of Van Ness Avenue, in the Commercial District.

On motion of Supervisor Marks: Bill No. 7672, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ellis street, commencing at a point 192 feet 3 inches westerly from Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly 55 feet, and extending to the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Amending Ordinance No. 5464, Placing Southwest Corner of Franklin and Grove Streets in the Commercial Instead of Second Residential District.

Also, Bill No. 7673, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Franklin street and Grove street, for a distance of 47½ feet on Franklin street and a distance of 75 feet on Grove street, also the southerly side of Grove street, commencing at a point 75 feet westerly from Franklin street and running thence westerly 32½ feet, and extending to a depth of 95 feet, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Bazet, No. 4 Laguna street, 600 gallons capacity.

Marie Bessett, southeast corner of Nineteenth and Valencia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. F. Brooke, 2518 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. M. Burdick, 1740 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, southwest corner of Green and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 2550 Gough street, 750 gallons capacity.

Helbing Co., 345 Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Irving Cake Shop, 1360 Irving street, 600 gallons capacity.

Laven & Fields, northwest corner of Grove and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joseph Lopez, south side of Clement street, 82 feet 6 inches east of Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. W. Lowrie, northwest corner of Sixteenth and De Haro streets, 2000 gallons capacity.

E. G. Meyer, 2411 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. W. Newman, 100 Spruce street, 600 gallons capacity.

O. M. Oyen, west side of Shrader street, 25 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. T. Parker, south side of Bay street, 125 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mary Pearse, northwest corner of O'Farrell and Jones streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jas. H. Pinkerton Co., west side of Webster street, 80 feet south of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Ray, west side of San Anselmo avenue, 70 feet north of St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. J. Richardson, 2400 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Staller, southwest corner of Toledo and Mallorca ways, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. A. West, west side of Avila street, 50 feet south of Cabra way, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

J. W. Irish, 778 Golden Gate avenue, 4 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jones Bros. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted St. Francis Hospital Association by Resolution No. 24336 (New Series), for the premises on the north side of Bush street, forty (40) feet west of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Grove and Franklin streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Domenius Palladino be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 1519 Grant avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. E. Lunstedt be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north line of Chestnut street, seventy (70) feet west of Powell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Dyeing and Cleaning Works and Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Liberty Cleaning and Dyeing Works be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cleaning and dyeing works and laundry on the south side of North Point street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Larkin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Amending Traffic Ordinance Relating to Arterial Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Bath:

Bill No. 7674, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), entitled "Regulating moving traffic on the public streets relating to arterial streets."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 18-A. (a) An arterial street is hereby defined to be a main street connecting a central business district of the city with its environs, over which there is a large volume of moving traffic and which, by reason of its location, invites an unusual number of vehicles to use its facilities.

(b) Fell street between Market and Baker streets and Fulton street between Van Ness avenue and Stanyan street, Bush and Pine streets between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue, Geary street between Presidio and Forty-third avenues, Mission street between The Embarcadero and the County line, Valencia street between Market and Mission streets, Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Twenty-fourth avenue from Geary street to Fulton street, Twenty-fourth street from Dolores street to Hoffman avenue and Post street between Mason street and Presidio avenue are hereby declared to be arterial streets as defined in paragraph (a).

(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street is hereby declared to be an open public boulevard.

(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be held to include vehicles carrying goods for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevard. All vehicles shall be permitted to travel upon said boulevard for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.

(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street except as may be necessary to cross same at intersections.

(f) It shall be unlwaful for any driver or operator of any vehicle or motorman of any street car to cross an arterial street or a street declared to be an open public boulevard from an intersecting street unless such vehicle or street car shall have been brought to a full stop before entering the intersection of such arterial street or open public boulevard unless otherwise directed by a police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop or Go" or any other device or sign that shall be authorized, installed and put in use and operation by the Police Department of said City and County.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 25903 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That N. J. Treacy be granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 15. 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

The grading, sewers, etc., have been completed, and extension is

requested in order to allow the heavy fill on a portion of this work to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Also, Resolution No. 25924 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith be granted an extension of time of ninety days from and after May 30, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Saturn street between Ord street and Lower Terrace. The work being practically completed, this extension is requested in order to keep the contract alive in case of an appeal.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract, Foodstuffs.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25925 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the award of contract for furnishing foodstuffs during four months' term, viz., July, August, September and October, 1926, be made on bids submitted June 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 184), as follows, viz.:

Dressed Meat and Meat Products.

1—Bacon, $0.2975 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

2—Beef, fresh, steers, $0.1224 per pound; H. Moffatt Co.

3—Beef, forequarters, $0.0865 per pound; Baumgarten Bros.

4 (a)—Beef, steer, rounds, $0.1187 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

4 (b)—Beef, steer, chucks, $0.072 per pound; Baumgarten Bros.

5—Beef, plates, full, $0.0687 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

6—Beef, soup, shank, $0.0387 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

7 (a)—Beef, steer, loins, square, $0.2045 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

7 (b)—Beef, steer, cut full, $0.181 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

8—Beef, prime cuts, $0.132 per pound; Baumgarten Bros.

9—Beef, top rounds, $0.148 per pound; Baumgarten Bros.

10—Beef, cuts, $0.1974 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

11 (a)—Beef, corned, bottom round, $0.1335 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

11 (b)—Beef, corned, brisket, $0.0735 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

12—Ham, $0.34 per pound; South S. F. Packing Co.

13 (a)—Lard, 10 pound tins, $0.171 per pound; Bay City Market.

13 (b)—Lard, half bbls., $0.1518 per ponud; Bay City Market.

13 (c)—Lard, compound in tins, $0.15 per pound; Bay City Market.

14—Liver, $0.088 per pound; California Meat Co.

13—Mutton, $0.148 per pound; Union Sheep Co.

16—Lamb, $0.2085 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

17—Mutton, yokes, $0.0996 per pound; California Meat Co.

18—Lamb, yokes, $0.1096 per pound; California Meat Co.

19—Pork, $0.2487 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

20—Pork, bellies, $0.234 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

21 (a)—Sausage, frankfurters, $0.1315 per pound; Bay City Market.

21 (b)—Sausage, clubhouse, pork, $0.1632 per pound; Bay City Market.

22—Tongues, beef, $0.2197 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

23—Veal, $0.1575 per pound; Del Monte Meat Co.

24—Tripe, $0.058 per pound; Baumgarten Bros.

Fresh Fish.

30 (a)—Salmon, $0.18 per pound; S. F. International Fish Co.

30 (b)—Chicken halibut, $0.12 per pound; Standard Fisheries.

30 (c)—Flounder, etc., $0.06 per pound; Western California Fish Co.

Dairy Produce.

40 (a)—Butter, fresh, salted, $0.434 per pound; Morris & Co.

40 (b)—Butter, fresh, unsalted, $0.459 per pound; Morris & Co.

42 (a)—Cheese, California, flat, $0.224 per pound; Morris & Co.

42 (b)—Cheese, Cheddar Process, $0.224 per pound; Morris & Co.

Coffee and Tea.

140—Coffee; no award.

141 (a)—Tea, English Breakfast, $0.31 per pound; M. J. Brandenstein Co.

141 (b)—Tea, Japan Green No. 223, $0.32 per pound; S. H. Tyler & Son.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when

award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract, Tobacco.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25926 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted July 6, 1926 (Proposal No. 204), as follows:

For Relief Home.

Item No. 1—3000 pounds smoking tobacco, cut plug, "George Washington," 2¼ oz., $0.525 per pound; Healey & Donaldson.

Item No. 2—2000 pounds chewing tobacco, natural leaf, "Humming Bird", $0.425 per pound; Haas Bros.

For County Jail.

Item No. 3—34 gross granulated smoking tobacco, "Buffalo", $5.20 per gross; Glaser Bros.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Clerk to Indorse Check, Fireman's Fund Insurance Company.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25927 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is authorized to endorse check No. L 11761, Fireman's Fund Insurance Company, for $1,079.73, payable to the Bond Construction Company, as recommended by the Board of Public Works, Resolution No. 92548 (Second Series).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—6.

Traffic Convention.

Supervisor Todd announced that on Friday and Saturday there will be held a traffic convention of the cities of the northern part of the State and the larger cities of the southern part of the State, in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors.

Indian Chief Visits Board.

Chief White Horse Eagle, a doctor of divinity and Yale graduate who has preached all around the world, visited the Board. He says he is 104 years and six months old and has been preaching for 65 years. He asked that some arrangement might be made for his being allowed to speak in the Auditorium four or five days. The matter was *referred to the Finance Committee.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 25, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 29

Thursday, July 15, 1926
Monday, July 19, 1926, 10 A. M.
Monday, July 19, 1926, 2 P. M.

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, JULY 15, 1926, 3 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, July 15, 1926, 3 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment for the purpose of continuing consideration of applications for reduction or correction of assessments as same appear on assessment roll for 1926-1927.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—7.

Supervisor Shannon presiding.

Consideration of Applications.

Applications for the reduction or correction of assessments were taken up, the applicants or their agents called, duly sworn, examined and after consideration said applications were disposed of as follows:

Name	Prop.	Lot	Blk.	Assess. Val.	Requested Reduction	Action
53. Helene Oppenheimer	Imp.	1	1417	18,500	15,000	Advisement
54. F. & A. G. Nelson	R. E.	59	5517	760	500	Advisement
55. Jessie R. Chinn	Imp.	15	554	2,700	nil	Ref. Ass'r
56. Peter Cancilla	Imp.	14	6525	5,000	3,500	Ref. Ass'r
57. J. Schrick	Imp.	47	3580	250	nil	Advisement
58. Robert McMillan	Imp.	11-12	3730	3,000	1,500	Advisement
59. Fredk. W. Kant	Imp.	8	513	6,200	4,000	Advisement
60. S. Raskin	Imp.	4-5	1190	4,500	3,500	Advisement
61. S. Raskin	Imp.	30	1222	6,000	4,500	Advisement
62. M. J. Brady	Imp.	23	1015	8,000	13,500	Advisement
63. Beatrice S. Williams	R.E.	2	963	....	15,000	Ref. Ass'r
64. Beatrice S. Williams	Imp.	2	963	20,000	10,000	Ref. Ass'r
65. Mary Brolan	Imp.	3	1293	2,600	2,000	Advisement
66. Louise R. Braunschweiger	Imp.	6	235	6,000	....	Ref. Ass'r
67. Edward Braunschweiger	Imp.	7	235	6,000	....	Ref. Ass'r
68. Janet D. Costigan	Imp.	36	747	1,150	500	Ref. Ass'r
68A. Janet D. Costigan	Imp.	34	3705	6,000	5,000	Ref. Ass'r
68B. Janet D. Costigan	R. E.	36	747	2,500	1,600	Ref. Ass'r
68C. Janet D. Costigan	R. E.	5	175	2,120	1,400	Ref. Ass'r
68D. Janet D. Costigan	R. E.	34	3705	3,840	2,900	Ref. Ass'r
69. T. C. Norton	R. E.	3	1786	....	....	Advisement
		5-6	1796	....	....	
70. Mary E. Smallwood	Imp.	32	2611	2,500	1,500	Advisement
71. Mrs. E. Carroll	Imp.	9	3516	900	450	Ref. Ass'r
72. Mrs. Marg't White	Imp.	16	6579	3,500	....	Advisement
73. Reuben Ungar	Imp.	1A	3541	16,000	12,000	Advisement
74. Louise M. Montpellier	Imp.	7	1410	300	200	Advisement
75. Mathilda L. White	Imp	1	1254	7,300	6,300	Advisement
76. Mary & Charles Hermanson	R. E.	29-32	3091	860	450	Advisement
77. A. Kestler	Imp.	18	1621	5,500	5,000	Advisement
78. C. E. Willet	R. E.	19-20	2765	350	....	Ref. Ass'r
				290	....	
79. W. J. Dowling	Imp.	16	1212	12,500	6,000	Ref. Ass'r
80. J. B. Stocker	R. E.	53A	2612	250	100	Ref. Ass'r
81. Henry Francon	Imp.	4	942	1,800	1,600	Ref. Ass'r

82. Lesser Realty & Investment Co. ....Imp.	1	306	32,000	26,000	Ref. Ass'r
83. Adolph Petry .....Imp.	10	844	15,000	28,000	Advisement
84. Adolph Petry .....Imp.	11	844	15,000	28,000	Advisement
85. Adolph Petry .....R. E.	28	844	1,050	450	Ref. Ass'r
86. E. Segale .....Imp.	11	76	4,100	3,100	Ref. Ass'r
87. E. M. Samuels.....Imp.	37	1659	1,300	1,000	Advisement
88. Geo. Burich .....R. E.	3A	651	3,680	2,680	Ref. Ass'r
89. Wm. C. Mysell.....Imp.	29	3637	10,000	8,000	Ref. Ass'r
	30		16,000	12,000	
90. B. Goldstein .....Imp.	16	1184	6,000	4,000	Advisement
91. A. L. Horan.....Imp.	3	1002	3,400	2,500	Ref. Ass'r
92. Elizabeth H. Orr...Imp.	4	1280	2,000	1,000	Ref. Ass'r
93. E. M. Salch.....Imp.	15	1270	2,000	1,500	Advisement
94. Mrs. Barbara Cooney.R.E.	1	1819	1,700	700	Advisement
95. A. S. Petroffsky....Imp.	46	3569	1,400	1,000	Advisement
96. Z. Binachi .....Imp.	22A	6089	1,500	1,300	Advisement
97. P. W. Belton.....Imp.	14	4265	3,600	3,200	Ref. Ass'r
98. Mary T. Manning..R. E.	3H	1187	800	250	Ref. Ass'r
99.	8	440A	3,600	3,000	
100. Natale Merlo .....R. E.	19	721	3,110	2,110	Advisement
101. Savo Sosich .....Imp.	11A	1620	3,700	3,200	Ref. Ass'r
102. Thomas Kelly .....Imp.	7	928	4,400	2,200	Ref. Ass'r
103. Peter Bizal .....Imp.	3	4078	2,000	....	Advisement
104. Frank & Loretta Parenti .. ..Imp.	1A	4078	2,000	....	Advisement
105. Jno. & Emma Kaelin.Imp.	3B	4078	2,000	....	Advisement
106. Mrs. J. Marinich...Imp.	1D	4078	2,000	....	Advisement
107. Grace Patton .....Imp.	3F	4078	2,000	....	Advisement
108. M. Stenz .....Imp.	64-65	3574	1,200	800	Advisement
109. Alfred Sayner .....Imp.	32	3581	1,500	1,000	Advisement
110. H. J. Dempsey.....R. E.	90	3733	7,000	6,000	Advisement
111. B. & F. Bierbaum..Imp.	4	2137	1,700	1,300	Advisement
112. Patrick Holland ...Imp.	8	1223	....	....	Ref. Ass'r
113. Patrick Holland ...Imp.	30	3590	1,100	....	Advisement
114. Jeremiah McCarthy.Imp.	33	3577	3,000	....	Advisement
115. Ellen N. Verinder..R. E.	9	573	3,530	2,820	Advisement
116. Mary A. McCarthy..Imp.	11	182	10,300	9,000	Advisement
117. C. Ballistrieri .....Imp.	1	42	1,800	900	Ref. Ass'r
	1A		1,500	900	
118. Mrs. A. Serafina Casazza .. ..Imp.	13	5527	650	400	Ref. Ass'r
119. Gottfried Liessen ..Imp.	35	2045	3,200	2,900	Advisement
120. Effie S. Hammond..Imp.	3	1009	1,200	700	Advisement
121. D. J. Sullivan.....Imp.	2	237	3,500	....	Ref. Ass'r
	3		5,000	....	
122. Sarah Lambert ....Imp.	71	3755	1,900	3,000	Ref. Ass'r
123. Sarah Lambert ....Imp.	44-45	3730	3,000	5,000	Ref. Ass'r
124. A. M. MacGregor...Imp.	3	1336	3,500	3,000	Advisement
125. Mrs. E. Spannagle..Imp.	3	758	2,200	1,200	Advisement
126. S. Bartlett .....Imp,	26	752	800	600	Advisement
127. M. Firpo et al.....Imp.	9A	528	4,600	3,600	Ref. Ass'r
128. E. Quartaroli .....Imp.	6F	480	5,300	3,500	Advisement
129. Harry Kreiger et al.Imp.	1	159	17,000	12,000	Advisement
130. Mrs. N. Forrest....Imp.	55	1267	2,550	2,000	Advisement
131. Mrs. N. Forrest....Imp.	54	1267	1,000	800	Advisement
132. Mrs. M. E. Rock....Imp.	16	481	4,400	3,400	Ref. Ass'r
133. Bothin R. E. Co....Imp.	3A	3736	6,000	5,000	Advisement
134. Mrs. Rebecca Hyde.Imp.	13-14	1294	2,300	1,800	Ref. Ass'r
135. Mrs. Rebecca Hyde.R. E.	12-14	1294	2,290	1,000	Advisement
136. Leah Davis .....Imp.	5	935	3,000	1,500	Ref. Ass'r
137. Albert Braun .....Imp.	25	2652	3,800	3,000	Ref. Ass'r

RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board took a recess until 10 a. m., Monday, July 19, 1926.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

MONDAY, JULY 19, 1926, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 19, 1926, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment for the purpose of continuing consideration of applications for reductions or corrections of assessments as the same appear on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1926-1927.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Schmidt, Welch—7.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Harrelson presiding.

Consideration of Applications.

Applications for the reduction or correction of assessments were taken up. The applicants or their agents called, duly sworn, examined and after consideration, said applications were disposed of as follows:

Name	Prop.	Lot	Blk.	Assess. Val.	Requested Reduction	Action
138. Joaquin Leoni	Imp.	8	219	11,500	9,500	Advisement
138. Louise Schwamm	Imp.	14	736	2,600	1,500	Advisement
140. R. L. Madden	R. E.	12-19	68	37,500	42,930	Advisement
141. M. Harris	Imp.	7	1151	20,000	10,000	Ref. Ass'r
142. Earle C. Anthony	Imp.	3-5	719	....	nil	Ref. Ass'r
143. C. & B. Vetrano	Imp.	14	2751	1,600	1,000	Ref. Ass'r
144. Jennie Graham	R. E.	5	916	1,320	820	Ref. Ass'r
145. Fred S. B. Scudder	Imp.	10	1197	2,500	2,000	Advisement
146. Chester G. Butler	Imp.	23	1383	3,800	2,500	Advisement
147. F. W. Huller	Imp.	122) 126)	3753	....	....	Ref. Assr
148. C. S. Mayes	Imp.	4	1339	3,500	1,750	Ref. Ass'r
149. Dr. R. J. Tormey	Imp.	24	6919	4,000	3,000	Ref. Ass'r
150. John K. Plinez	Imp.	15	443A	14,000	10,000	Advisement
151.						Withdrawn
152. T. Crowley	R. E.	22	1407	1,600	1,000	Advisement
153. Victor Bjors	Imp.	23-24	1641	25,000	21,500	Ref. Ass'r
154. E. I. Harris, M. D.	Imp.	36	1417	6,500	5,000	Advisement
155. J. J. Hamlin	Imp.	10A	261	725,000	1,100,000	Advisement
156. Stella J. Wolff	Imp.	14	795	1,300	900	Advisement
157. Isidor Weinstein	Imp.	4	167	13,500	10,000	Advisement
158. Isidor Weinstein	R. E.	4	167	29,360	15,000	Advisement
159. Mrs. A. Volkmann	Imp.	5	1194	3,700	3,200	Advisement
160. S. H. Nicholas	Imp.	20-21	1408	18,000	15,000	Advisement
161. J. Leroy Nichols	Imp.	3	627	30,200	20,000	Ref. Ass'r
162. Miller & Lux	Imp.	14	5304	2,000	100	Ref. Ass'r
163. John W. Danz	Imp.	10	5307	500	300	Advisement
164. George Skaller	R. E.	10	3636	64,800	29,700	Advisement

Privilege of the Floor.

A. Young, attorney, representing Geo. Skaller, requested that assessment be reduced to $29,700. He declared that Mr. Skaller had only a leasehold interest and was tied up with a long term, low-rent lease and that tax would be out of all proportion to income derived from the property.

Geo. Skaller, applicant, was also heard on the matter.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the assessment of Mr. Geo. Skaller be fixed at $47,000.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Harrelson, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, Powers, Stanton, Todd—6.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Schmidt, Welch—7.

Name	Prop.	Lot	Blk.	Assess. Val.	Requested Reduction	Action
165. John A. Tennyson	Imp.	18C	943	4,200	3,500	Advisement
166. Mrs. C. C. Robledo	Imp.	10	479	12,000	....	Advisement

167. Elizabeth M. Stuart.	Imp.	6	1662	6,500	5,500	Ref. Ass'r
168. Chas. F. Adams....	Imp.	11	4000	7,400	4,200	Ref. Ass'r
169. T. Minutollo et al..	Imp.	3D	453	10,500	7,000	Ref. Ass'r
170. J. A. Verner	Imp.	5	498	12,000	9,000	Advisement
171. R. V. Ford.....		21	2701	2,000	1,700	Ref. Ass'r
172. Arthur Mullan		23	4037	....	....	Advisement
173. A. J. Rich, Jr.....	R. E.	1	2126	12,680	3,690	Advisement
174. A. J. Rich, Jr.....	R. E.	1	2171	13,200	7,400	Advisement
175. A. J. Rich, Jr.....	R. E.	16	2139	1,400	700	Advisement
176. A. J. Rich, Jr.....	R. E.	1	2166	13,200	7,140	Advisement
177. A. J. Rich, Jr.....	R. E.	1	2147	10,560	4,620	Advisement
178. A. J. Rich, Jr.....	R. E.	15B	2140	1,400	1,000	Advisement
179. A. J. Rich, Jr.....	R. E.	1	2202	12,720	8,850	Advisement
180. A. J. Rich, Jr.....		3	2187	5,100	1,790	Advisement
181. A. J. Rich, Jr.....		1	2187	4,580	1,530	Advisement
182. A. J. Rich, Jr.....		4	2136	990	500	Advisement
183. A. J. Rich, Jr.....		1	2309	3,300	930	Advisement
184. A. J. Rich, Jr.....		22	2309	3,300	930	Advisement
185. A. J. Rich, Jr.....		7	2141	510	420	Advisement
185. A. J. Rich, Jr.....		1	2306	330	130	Advisement
186. A. J. Rich, Jr.....						Advisement
187. A. J. Rich, Jr.....		1	7540	15,610	11,400	Advisement
188. E. J. Ensign.....	R. E.	24-29	6485	740	nil	Advisement
189. J. D. & L. Dockeill.	Imp.	32E	1770	3,700	2,500	Ref. Ass'r
190. Jos. A. Curci.....	R. E.	2	569	4,520	2,500	Advisement
191. Louis Scaviato	Imp.	21-22	78	6,700	5,700	Ref. Ass'r
192. Sterling Realty Co.	R. E.	21-30	1713	....	....	Advisement
193. Sterling Realty Co.	R. E.		1713-14	....	....	Advisement
194. Sterling Realty Co.	R. E.		1714	....	....	Advisement
195. Sterling Realty Co.	R. E.	24-31	1837	....	....	Advisement
196. Feliz Gonzales		22	866	1,400	....	Advisement
197. Alice Kelly		49	1243	12,000	....	Advisement
198. M. Tarabochia.....		47	1268	3,400	3,000	Advisement
199. Fred Seiler		3C	4078	5,630	....	Advisement
200. Anna B. Shea		3	502	3,690	2,500	Ref. Ass'r
201. Pac. Embroidery Co.		6A	3512	29,120	17,500	Advisement
202. Clara A. Hussel....		30	1333	1,300	....	Advisement
203. Annie Serpa		16	1052	2,850	2,000	Advisement
204. T. B. & A. Hum....		43	1430	8,200	....	Advisement
205. Esther Asher		2	3593	7,200	5,000	Ref. Ass'r
206. Esther Asher	R. E.	2	3593	2,620	1,750	Advisement
207. E. T. Barry.....	R. E.	40	1260	1,360	1.000	Ref. Ass'r
208. Mary J. Jones.....	Imp.	6	777	3,300	2,500	Ref. Ass'r
209. Timothy O'Leary ..	Imp.	7	672	17,500	15,000	Advisement
210. Mary Ostrander ...		12-14	1092	400	nil	Ref. Ass'r
211. Boyd Inv. Co.....	R. E.	9	262	144,160	....	Advisement
212. John T. McGowan..		30	1767	3,800	2,800	Ref. Ass'r

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the arbitrary list be increased 25 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Schmidt, Welch—7.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Assessor's report on all matters referred to him be approved.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Schmidt, Welch—7.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Assessor's clerical error list be adopted.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Schmidt, Welch—7.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that all matters taken under advisement be denied.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Schmidt, Welch—7.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization, at 12 o'clock noon, adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

MONDAY, JULY 19, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 19, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Badaracco was caled to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 3 and May 10, 1926, were considered read and *approved.*

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Relative to San Francisco Airport.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, inviting attendance at luncheon to be held at the Commercial Club rooms, Tuesday, July 20, at 12:10 p. m., on the matter of acquiring the site for proposed San Francisco airport.

Ordered *filed.* Members requested to note time and place.

Relative to Bay Bridge Application of W. F. Holcomb.

The following was read by the Clerk and made part of the record:

July 15, 1926.

Mr. John A. Dunnigan, Clerk Board of Supervisors, Clerk's Office, Civic Center (City Hall), San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

Mr. W. F. Holcomb has caused to be published notice of application for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, State of California. The return date on the notice of application is the 9th of August, 1926, at the hour of 2 p. m. I am the attorney for Mr. Holcomb and will be pleased to accept from you or the Board of Supervisors any notices or communications that you care to address to Mr. Holcomb in the matter of the notice of application.

I would also appreciate if you notify Mr. Holcomb through me and me personally of any bridge hearings in any matters so I can be present and absorb all the data and information that may be presented.

Thanking you in advance for your kindness in this matter, I am

Very truly yours,
JAMES M. HANLEY.

Convention of League of California Municipalities.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from J. D. Wood, president of the Board of Trustees of the City of Merced, inviting attendance at annual convention of the League of California Municipalities, to be held in the Yosemite Valley commencing August 16, 1926.

Referred to Special Committee.

Report of Special Committee on Sunset Zoning.

The following was presented by Supervisor McSheehy, read by the Clerk and *adopted* by the following vote:

San Francisco, July 8, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

On Tuesday, June 1, while the Board of Supervisors was considering an ordinance recommended by its City Planning Committee, providing for rezoning in the Sunset District, your Honorable Board appointed a Special Committee to investigate certain statements made by members of the City Planning

Commission. Judge Matt I. Sullivan, president of that Commission, had informed the Board of Supervisors that he had been told by Major Kendrick, another member of the City Planning Commission, that he had been approached by a certain real estate agent with a proposition to buy two blocks of land in the Sunset District.

This real estate agent, according to Judge Sullivan's statement to the Supervisors, said to Major Kendrick: "If you will buy this property I will guarantee that the Board of Supervisors will change the zoning character of that district"

Your Special Committee has held two extensive hearings in an endeavor to ascertain whether there was any foundation for the above statement, which implied that the real estate agent referred to had tried to sell the property with a positive guarantee that this Board of Supervisors would subsequently take action that would affect its value.

Your Committee is happy to report that its investigations have shown that there was no foundation whatsoever for the statement made to the Board. All of the witnesses examined denied, without reservation, that any offer to guarantee rezoning had been made in connection with the property offered for sale or that there was any possible basis for the implication that the real estate agent referred to had obtained or could obtain any definite information concerning the probable action of the Board of Supervisors with respect to the rezoning of property. Indeed, it was developed during the hearings that the property offered for sale to Major Kendrick was already zoned for the purpose for which it was offered.

The general examination of witnesses during the investigations, however, elicited the information that it is a somewhat common practice among real estate men in San Francisco to offer property for sale subject to future rezoning by the Board of Supervisors. We desire to condemn this practice, as it unquestionably has the tendency to create in the mind of the purchaser the strong impression that the seller may be able to influence the future action of the Supervisors. We believe that all of the people of San Francisco should be emphatically advised that the action of this Board upon any rezoning application will be adversely affected whenever it is disclosed that any such contract of sale has been entered into with respect to the property involved.

Respectfully submitted,
(Sgd.) JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
MILTON MARKS,
MILO F. KENT.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

HEARINGS—2:30 P. M.

Bridges.

Consideration of the application of John Bermingham, Jr., for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badacarro, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Consideration of the application of A. H. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badacarro, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Consideration of the application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Consideration of the application

PUBLIC LIBRARY

of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Consideration of application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badacarro, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Consideration of application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badacarro, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Consideration of application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badacarro, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Consideration of application of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badacarro, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Consideration of application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for bridge franchise.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badacarro, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Consideration of application of R. Lee Dunn for bridge franchise.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badacarro, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Consideration of application of R. S. Chew for bridge franchise.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badacarro, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Consideration of application of Inter-Cities Bridge Co. for a bay bridge franchise.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badacarro, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Tunnel.

Consideration of application of O. A. Ellis for a franchise to construct and operate a tunnel under San Francisco Bay between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, hearing of the foregoing application was *continued until August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badacarro, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Todd—3.

Notices.

The Clerk was instructed to send notices of the hearings to all the civic organizations, Labor Council, Building Trades Council and the War and Navy departments and invite them to have representatives present at the hearings.

SET-BACK LINE HEARING—2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Lisbon street.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7675, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Lisbon street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of June, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 124 to establish set-back lines along Lisbon street, and fixed the 28th day of June, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and the objections made to the establishment of said set-back lines were sustained in part and proposed set-back lines were ordered modified, and as modified, established as follows:

Section 2. Purusant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinonce No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of Lisbon street, commencing at a point 95 feet northeasterly from Brazil avenue, and running thence northeasterly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northeasterly 200 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northeasterly 175 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northwesterly to Excelsior avenue, said set-back line to be 4 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the pelanties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ordered on Calendar by Board of Supervisors.

Consideration of the matter of the lease and occupancy of No. 333 Kearny street by departments now housed in Hall of Justice.

Relative to Occupancy of 333 Kearny Street.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Public Defender Frank J. Eagan advising that he is not opposed to moving to 333 Kearny street or any other location selected by the Board, but is interested in securing adequate quarters for his department.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from William H. Nicholl, Chief Adult Probation Department, stating that he is of the opinion that his department would be better away from the environment of the Hall of Justice and that the quarters set aside by the Board of Supervisors for his department at 333 Kearny street will be very satisfactory.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from Matthew Brady, District Attorney, stating that it is the duty of the Board of Supervisors to furnish suitable offices for his department, that the present accommodations are inadequate both as to equipment and office space, and advising that he is willing to accept any suitable quarters selected by the Board of Supervisors provided they are properly equipped.

Ordered *filed.*

Report of Public Buildings Committee on Moving Departments, Hall of Justice.

The following was read by the Clerk:

June 30, 1926.

Finance Committee, Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

A majority of the Public Buildings and Lands Committee recommends award of contract be made to Collins Transfer Company for moving departments and offices from the Hall of Justice to 333 Kearny street for the sum of $237.50, in accordance with bids on file in the office of the Purchaser of Supplies.

Supervisor Powers, chairman of committee, dissenting.

Respectfully yours,

THOS. McGINNESS,
Clerk of Committee.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Deasy, moved adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor Powers moved as an amendment that resolution be referred to the Public Buildings Committee.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Welch —5.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract for Moving Hall of Justice Departments.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 25961 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be and is hereby made to Collins Transfer Company, in the sum of $237.50, for moving city departments and offices from the Hall of Justice to No. 333 Kearny street, in accordance with bid on file in the office of the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—10.

Noes — Supervisors Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Welch—5.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Basket Ball Back-stops.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for basket ball back-stops required for the School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Traffic Safety Buttons.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for galvanizing 2500 traffic safety buttons and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Mayor's Veto.

The Mayor's veto of the ordinance in regard to the location of traffic lanes and the selection of location for traffic signals, types of signals, etc., was *continued for one week.*

Reporter to Be Appointed.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, the Board instructed to have present at each meeting of the Board an official court reporter, one recognized as such by the courts of the City and County, to take down the proceedings of the Board and transcribe whatever portions the Board may require.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25928 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) Anderson & Ringrose, ninth payment on general construction contract, Le Conte School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $17,617.50.

(2) Thos. Skelly, fifth and conditional acceptance payment due on plumbing work contract, Alamo School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $4,165.06.

(3) A. Lettich, fourth payment due on plumbing work contract, Le Conte School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,172.58.

(4) A. Lettich, twelfth payment due on plumbing work contract, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated July 7, 1926), $814.95.

(5) Barrett & Hilp, eleventh payment due on general construction contract, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $14,958.15.

(6) Standard Electrical Construction Co., fifth payment, electrical work, Le Conte School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,526.62.

(7) Wm. F. Wilson Co. (assignee), sixth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting work, Alvarado School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,890.

(8) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment, Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $835.25.

(9) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., first payment, electrical work, Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,-762.85.

(10) Bond Construction Co., seventh payment, general construction, Hawthorne School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $22,072.68.

(11) L. Flatland, seventh payment, electrical work, addition to the High School of Commerce (claim dated July 7, 1926), $3,-156.73.

(12) O. Monson, tenth payment, general construction, Alvarado School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $6,323.25.

(13) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., eighth payment, general construction Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $33,506.25.

(14) The Scott Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,733.75.

(15) Latourette-Fical Co., eighth payment due on contract for mechanical equipment, New Mission High School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,627.57.

(16) Thos. Skelly, third payment due on contract for plumbing and gas-fitting work, additional units to Galileo High School gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,444.25.

(17) A. Lettich, third payment due on mechanical equipment contract, Henry Durant School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,245.50.

(18) O. Monson, second payment on general construction of West Portal School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $13,956.83.

(19) Macdonald & Kahn, eleventh payment on general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $22,560.61.

(20) Bond Construction Co., eighth payment on general construction of the additional units to Galileo High School gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated July 7, 1926), $6.530.36.

(21) Wm. J. Bays, fourth payment on mechanical equipment of Le Conte School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $3,710.37.

(22) Herman Lawson, fourth payment on mechanical equipment, Hawthorne School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,340.62.

(23) Thos. Skelly, fourth payment due on plumbing work, Hawthorne School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,466.81.

(24) W. H. Picard, eighth payment due on plumbing and gas-fitting work, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,-276.28.

(25) Scott Co., second payment due on mechanical equipment, additional units, Galileo High School gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,633.25.

(26) Latourette-Fical Co. second payment, plumbing work, Henry Durant School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $839.84.

(27) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, electrical work, additional units, Galileo High School gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,254.

(28) W. H. Picard, eighteenth payment, mechanical equipment, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated July 7, 1926), $828.93.

(29) Latourette-Fical Co., seventh payment, mechanical equipment, Alvarado School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,437.88.

Fire Department Land Account.

(30) Wm. A. Schadde, to appraising six parcels of land sold at public auction (claim dated May 27, 1926), $650.

Park Fund.

(31) Bode Gravel Co., crushed rock (claim dated July 11, 1926), $1,059.92.

(32) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, months of February, March and April (claim dated July 11, 1926), $770.04.

(33) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream, month of June, 1926 (claim dated July 11, 1926), $551.20.

(34) A. J. Raisch, covering tennis court, Balboa Park and McCoppin Square (claim dated July 11, 1926), $915.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(35) P. Montague, first payment on grading of site of Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated July 7, 1926), $5,850.

Playground Commission Fund.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds, month of June (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,615.14.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(37) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., fourth progress payment, core borings, Coast Range Division, Hetch Hetchy aqueduct (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,-487.19.

County Roads Fund.

(38) Pacific States Construction

Co., first progress payment for improvement of Fillmore street from Cervantes boulevard to Marina boulevard (claim dated July 6. 1926), $7,500.

Relief Home Construction.

(39) M. E. Ryan, twelfth payment on electrical work of the buildings for use of Relief Home (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,823.84.

(40) F. W. Snook Co., sixteenth payment, mechanical equipment, Relief Home (claim dated July 7, 1926), $573.97.

(41) F. W. Snook Co., seventeenth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting work, Relief Home (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,486.87.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(42) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., annual standby service, Oct. 20, 1924, to July 9. 1925 (claim dated July 3, 1926), $7,222.19.

Special School Tax Fund.

(43) Geo. H. Tay Co., urinal stalls (claim dated June 4, 1926), $676.

(44) S. W. Band, third payment, plumbing and gas-fitting system, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $757.50.

(45) P. J. Enright, first payment, mechanical equipment, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $4,539.75.

(46) O. Monson, third payment, general construction, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $6,000.

(47) Standard Electrical Construction Co., first payment, electrical work, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $1,441.80.

(48) A. Lettich, first payment, due on contract, plumbing system, annex to Parkside School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,214.25.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment.

(49) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, first payment, construction of Sunset Tunnel, Duboce avenue route (claim dated July 6. 1926), $5,625.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(50) J. A. Bryant, first payment, club house, Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,358.

(51) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expenses allowed for various conventions (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,-951.31.

(52) Grand Jury of the City and County of San Francisco, expenses of Grand Jury investigation of Hetch Hetchy project (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,030.75.

(53) Citizens Committee, Summer Symphony Concerts, expense publicity and advertising, Summer Symphony Concerts (claim dated June 30, 1926), $8,000.

(54) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Law and Motion Calendars, Journal of Proceedings, etc., month of June, 1926 (claim dated June 30, 1926), $940.

(55) Sperry Flour Company, flour, etc., Relief Home (claim dated June 25, 1926), $883.66.

(56) United States Veteran Bureau (Elihu P. Booth), industrial accident compensation (claim dated June 25, 1926), $1,086.14.

(57) Pacific Body Works, Inc., ambulance body mounted on white chassis (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,338.75.

(58) Clarence B. Eaton, first payment, construction of sewers in westerly Sunset District (claim dated June 30, 1926), $7,050.

(59) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, construction of sewers, westerly Sunset District, Forty-third avenue main (claim dated June 30, 1926), $8,100.

(60) Clarence B. Eaton, first progress payment for improvement of Union street between Franklin and Steiner streets (claim dated June 30, 1926), $7,500.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(61) A. Carlisle & Co., printing (claim dated July 1, 1926), $1,071.

(62) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing (claim dated July 1, 1926), $906.20.

(63) H. S. Crocker Co., printing (claim dated July 1, 1926), $521.

(64) The Spring Valley Water Co., rental installment, lease covering 170 acres of property, Lake Merced Ranch (claim dated July 1, 1926), $3,000.

(65) Associated Charities, maintenance of half-orphans with widowed mothers, month of July, 1926 (claim dated July 16, 1926), $7,-487.26.

(66) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of half-orphans, etc. (claim dated July 16, 1926), $846.32.

(67) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of half-orphans, etc., month of July, 1926 (claim dated July 16, 1926), $7,880.55.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25929 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following

amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) John Reid, Jr., sixth payment, architectural services, additional units to Galileo High School Gymnasium and Athletic Field (claim dated June 30, 1926), $814.67.

(2) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services, West Portal School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $569.22.

Park Fund.

(3) Troy Laundry Machinery Co., Ltd., dry tumhler for bath house (claim dated April 6, 1926), $2,625.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(4) F. E. Hesthal Co., purchase of property required for construction of Duboce Tunnel (claim dated June 24, 1926), $10,500.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(5) Aluminum Company of America, two hydraulic compressors, Moccasin Creek (claim dated May 12, 1926), $950.

(6) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., painting piers (claim dated June 9, 1926), $579.85.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund.

(7) Hales & Symons, lumber (claim dated May 28, 1926), $1,277.61.

(8) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated June 18, 1926), $720.78.

(9) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor belts (claim dated May 21, 1926), $1,104.30.

(10) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries (claim dated June 1, 1926), $665.11.

(11) Payne's Bolt Works, bolts and nuts (claim dated June 2, 1926), $544.20.

(12) Chas. Rosasco, stove wood (claim dated June 7, 1926), $621.88.

(13) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premiums on insurance policies (claim dated June 3, 1926), $1,412.92.

(14) Western Meat Company, bacon, hams, eggs, cheese, May and June (claim dated June 25, 1926), $1,014.87.

(15) Associated Oil Company, fuel oil (claim dated June 10, 1926), $892.27.

(16) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated June 29, 1926), $1,823.01.

(17) The Giant Powder Co., giant gelatin (claim dated June 11, 1926), $3,975.

(18) Hales & Symons, lumber (claim dated June 19, 1926), $534.18.

(19) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated May 14, 1926), $4,179.68.

(20) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor belts (claim dated June 3, 1926), $1,104.30.

(21) Old Mission Portland Cement Company, cement (claim dated June 9, 1926), $607.25.

(22) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated June 8, 1926), $1,112.35.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(23) Rincon Foundry Co., traffic safety buttons (claim dated June 21, 1926), $4,280.

(24) Crown Oil Company, gasoline, month of May, 1926 (claim dated June 2, 1926), $1,195.43.

(25) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of Park Fund for labor and material, Telegraph Hill (claim dated June 3, 1926), $1,716.54.

(26) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper, Engineer's office (claim dated May 27, 1926), $526.80.

(27) Symon Brothers, team hire, first half of June, 1926 (claim dated June 25, 1926), $575.

(28) Crown Oil Company, gasoline and oil (claim dated June 10, 1926), $522.72.

(29) Henry Cowell Lime and Cement Co., cement (claim dated June 14, 1926), $511.87.

(30) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, Civic Center Power House, May (claim dated May 29, 1926), $1,302.

(31) M. Greenberg's Sons, castings, Fire Department (claim dated May 29, 1926), $862.75.

(32) Mann Manufacturing Co., steering apparatus, Fire Department (claim dated June 7, 1926), $575.

(33) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Fire Department (claim dated June 29, 1926), $14,255.

(34) T. D. Harney, sewer construction, Havelock street (claim dated Feb. 19, 1926), $578.50.

(35) C. B. Cowden, sewer construction, Glen Park District (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,458.60.

(36) Timothy F. O'Brien, first payment, compensation insurance (claim dated June 25, 1926), $699.30.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(37) Thomas F. Boyle, Auditor, for expenses of celebration of

Fourth of July, 1926 (claim dated July 6, 1926), $2,500.

(38) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidental expenses for July, 1926 (claim dated July 6, 1926), $625.

(39) J. H. Zemansky, postage to be used in circulating sample ballots for primary election, August, 1926 (claim dated July 1, 1926), $3,300.

(40) Daniel J. O'Brien, contingent expenses for the month of July, 1926 (claim dated July 1, 1926), $750.

Steinhart Aquarium Fund.

(41) Steinhart Aquarium, salaries and expenses for the month of June, 1926 (claim dated June 30, 1926), $4,381.47.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Appropriations for Payment for Land Required for the Extension of Elk Street.

Resolution No. 25930 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item 82-A, "Improvement of Elk Street," and authorized in payment to the hereinafter-named persons, being payments for properties required for the improvement of Elk street, to-wit:

(1) To Martin and May Perkocha, for all of Lot 17, Block Q, as recorded in Map Book G, pages 80 and 81, records of the City and County of San Francisco, $800.

(2) To Frank H. Welch and Alice F. Welch, for all of Lot 18, Block Q, as recorded in Map Book G, pages 80 and 81, records of the City and County of San Francisco, $600.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Appropriation, $17,250, Payment to Ellen Dore et al. for the Extension of Market Street.

Resolution No. 25931 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Ellen Dore and Charlotte E. Horrigan, being payment for property required for the extension of Market street, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25850 (New Series), $17,250.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Appropriations, Payments to Mark Hardy et al. and James W. Carbray for Lands Required for the Widening of the State Highway at Colma.

Resolution No. 25932 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, that the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of the sum of $150,000 set aside out of the County Road Fund by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series), for the purpose of acquiring rights of way for the widening of the State Highway south of Colma, to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties and damages in full to improvements on properties, required for the widening of the State Highway south of Colma, to-wit:

(1) Mark Hardy and Thomas Hardy, portion of Lots 5 and 6, Block 31, as shown on map entitled "Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California," $800.

(2) James W. Carbray, administrator of the estate of Edward Carbray, deceased, various lots, as shown on map entitled "Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California," $200.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Appropriations, Payments to Henry E. Monroe, John Rosenfeld's Sons and The White Company for Lands Required for the Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

Resolution No. 25933 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Van Ness Avenue Extension, Second Purchase," and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated persons; being second payment on Van Ness avenue extension, as per terms of judgment by Superior Court action No. 153962, including interest and pro rate of taxes, to-wit:

(1) Henry E. Monroe, for parcel

of land No. 1 (claim dated July 9, 1926), $51,492.57.

(2) John Rosenfeld's Sons, for parcel of land No. 2 (claim dated July 9, 1926), $43,131.89.

(3) The White Company, for parcel of land No. 3 (claim dated July 9, 1926), $31,619.07.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Appropriation, $1,500, Payment to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for Evaluation of Certain Properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Great Western Power Company.

Resolution No. 25934 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund and authorized in payment to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for expense of valuation of certain properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Great Western Power Company.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Appropriations for Furnishings, Alvarado, Alamo, Le Conte, Lafayette, Hawthorne, Sanchez and West Portal Schools.

Resolution No. 25935 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the School Bond Fund, Issue 1923, for the purpose of furnishing the hereinafter named schools, to-wit:

(1) For the purpose of furnishing the Alvarado, Alamo, Le Conte, Lafayette, Hawthorne and Sanchez Schools, $57,000.

(2) For the purpose of furnishing the West Portal School, $4,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer of I. Selig & Co. to Sell the Property of St. James Hotel for $180,000.

Resolution No. 25936 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from I. Selig & Co., Inc., to convey to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum of one hundred and eighty thousand ($180,000) dollars, a certain parcel of land required for municipal purposes, and the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof, and its acceptance has been recommended by the City Attorney in a communication dated July 1, 1926; therefore,

Resolved, That the offer of said I. Selig & Co., Inc., to convey to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of one hundred and eighty thousand ($180,000) dollars be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Fulton street and the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence westerly along said line of Fulton street 109 feet and 9 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 109 feet and 9 inches to the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, and thence at a right angle southerly along said line of Van Ness avenue 120 feet to the point of beginning; together with the improvements and furniture therein.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted. Also,

Resolved, That the sum of one hundred and eighty thousand ($180,000) dollars is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the General Fund, Budget Item No. 35, 1926-27, in payment of the claim of I. Selig & Co., Inc., for the purchase of the land herein described.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Appropriation, $180,000, Payment to I. Selig & Co., Inc., for Land, Improvements and Furniture, St. James Hotel, Required as Site for War Memorial Buildings.

Resolution No. 25937 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $180,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, Budget Item No. 35, 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to I. Selig & Co., Inc., being payment for land and improvements, together with furniture therein, situate at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Fulotn street and the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence westerly along said line of Fulton street 109 feet and 9 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 109 feet and 9 inches to the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, and thence at a right angle southerly along said line of Van Ness avenue 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25938 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Bazet, No. 4 Laguna street, 600 gallons capacity.

Marie Bessett, southeast corner of Nineteenth and Valencia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. F. Brooke, 2518 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. M. Burdick, 1740 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, southwest corner of Green and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 2550 Gough street, 750 gallons capacity.

Helbing Co., 345 Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Irving Cake Shop, 1360 Irving street, 600 gallons capacity.

Laven & Fields, northwest corner of Grove and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joseph Lopez, south side of Clement street, 82 feet 6 inches east of Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. W. Lowrie, northwest corner of Sixteenth and De Haro streets, 2000 gallons capacity.

E. G. Meyer, 2411 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. W. Newman, 100 Spruce street, 600 gallons capacity.

O. M. Oyen, west side of Shrader street, 25 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. T. Parker, south side of Bay street, 125 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mary Pearse, northwest corner of O'Farrell and Jones streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jas. H. Pinkerton Co., west side of Webster street, 80 feet south of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Ray, west side of San Anselmo avenue, 70 feet north of St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. J. Richardson, 2400 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Staller, southwest corner of Toledo and Mallorca ways, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. A. West, west side of Avila street, 50 feet south of Cabra way, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

J. W. Irish, 778 Golden Gate avenue, 4 horse power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25939 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jones Bros. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted St. Francis Hospital Association by Resolution No. 24336 (New Series), for the premises on the north side of Bush street, forty (40) feet west of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 25940 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Grove and Franklin streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 25941 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Domenius Palladino be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 1519 Grant avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 25942 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. E. Lunstedt be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north line of Chestnut street, seventy (70) feet west of Powell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Sunset Commercial District.

Bill No. 7594, Ordinance No. 7181 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed as follows:

That both sides of Lawton street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Noriega street between Twenty-second and Twenty-seventh avenues, between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues and between Forty-fourth and Forty-eighth avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Quintara street between Twentieth and Twenty-second avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That both sides of Vicente street between Twenty-first and Twenty-fourth avenues and between Thirty-third and Forty-eighth avenues, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

That both sides of Forty-sixth avenue between Taraval street and Sloat boulevard, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, are hereby placed in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Amending Ordinance No. 5464, Placing the Northerly Side of Ellis Street, West of Van Ness Avenue, in the Commercial District.

Bill No. 7672, Ordinance No. 7182 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ellis street, commencing at a point 192 feet 3 inches westerly from Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly 55 feet, and extending to the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Amending Ordinance No. 5464, Placing Southwest Corner of Franklin and Grove Streets in the Commercial Instead of Second Residential District.

Bill No. 7673, Ordinance No. 7183 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Franklin street and Grove street, for a distance of 47½ feet on Franklin street and a distance of 75 feet on Grove street, also the southerly side of Grove street, commencing at a point 75 feet westerly from Franklin street and running thence westerly 32½ feet, and extending to a depth of 95 feet, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Amending Building Law With Reference to Fireproofing Limits.

Bill No. 7670, Ordinance No. 7184 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as the "Building Law," relating to fireproof roofing limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," relating to fireproof roofing limits, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Section 4. The roofs of all buildings hereafter constructed within the limits hereinafter in this section described shall consist of fireproof materials, and whenever the covering of the roof or roofs of any building or buildings heretofore constructed within the said limits shall, in the judgment of the Board of Public Works, be or become damaged through fire, decay or otherwise, to the extent of forty (40) per centum of the value of the said covering of the roof or roofs, then the said covering of the existing roof or roofs shall be reconstructed of or replaced with fireproof materials, subject to the approval and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and the Fire Marshal, and shall only apply to such roofs as now exist within the district defined herein.

Said fireproof materials shall consist of the same materials required for the roof coverings of all buildings erected within the fire limits of the City and County.

Said limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the northerly end of Van Ness avenue; thence southerly along the center line of Van

Ness avenue to Green street; thence westerly along the center line of Green street to Lyon street; thence southerly along the center line of Lyon street to Pacific avenue; thence westerly along the center line of Pacific avenue to its intersection with the southerly line of Presidio reservation; thence following the southerly line of the Presidio reservation to Arguello boulevard; thence southerly along the center line of Arguello boulevard to California street; thence easterly along the center line of California street to Presidio avenue; thence southerly along the center line of Presidio avenue to Geary street; thence easterly along the center line of Geary street to Broderick street; thence southerly along the center line of Broderick street to Waller street; thence westerly along the center line of Waller street to Buena Vista avenue; thence southerly and easterly along the center line of Buena Vista avenue to Duboce avenue; thence easterly along the center line of Duboce avenue to Market street; thence southerly and westerly along the center line of Market street to Dolores street; thence southerly along the center line of Dolores street to Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly along the center line of Twenty-fifth street to the center line of Potrero avenue; thence northerly along the center line of Potrero avenue to the center line of Division street; thence easterly along the center line of Division street to the center line of King street; thence northeasterly along the center line of King street to the center line of Seventh street; thence southerly and easterly along the center line of Seventh street to the center line of Channel street; thence northerly and easterly along the center line of Channel street to the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco; thence following the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco to the point of commencement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Ordering Construction of Additions in Lightwell Space of Hall of Justice.

Bill No. 7671, Ordinance No. 7185 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of additions in the lightwell space at the Hall of Justice; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of additions in the lightwell space at the Hall of Justice is hereby ordered and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Amending Traffic Ordinance Relating to Arterial Streets.

Bill No. 7674, Ordinance No. 7186 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), entitled "Regulating moving traffic on the public streets relating to arterial streets."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 18-A. (a) An arterial street is hereby defined to be a main street connecting a central business district of the city with its environs, over which there is a large volume of moving traffic and which, by reason of its location, invites an unusual number of vehicles to use its facilities.

(b) Fell street between Market and Baker streets and Fulton street between Van Ness avenue and Stanyan street, Bush and Pine streets between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue, Geary street between Presidio and Forty-third avenues, Mission street between The Embarcadero and the County line, Valencia street between Market and Mission streets, Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Twenty-fourth avenue from Geary street to Fulton street, Twenty-fourth street from Dolores street to Hoffman avenue and Post street between Mason street and Presidio avenue are hereby declared to be

arterial streets as defined in paragraph (a).

(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street is hereby declared to be an open public boulevard.

(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be held to include vehicles carrying goods for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevard. All vehicles shall be permitted to travel upon said boulevard for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.

(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street except as may be necessary to cross same at intersections.

(f) It shall be unlwaful for any driver or operator of any vehicle or motorman of any street car to cross an arterial street or a street declared to be an open public boulevard from an intersecting street unless such vehicle or street car shall have been brought to a full stop before entering the intersection of such arterial street or open public boulevard unless otherwise directed by a police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop or Go" or any other device or sign that shall be authorized, installed and put in use and operation by the Police Department of said City and County.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $69,899.09, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $67.26.

California Undertaking Co., burial Adolph Wels, ex U. S. soldier, $125.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund, 1925-1926.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries, June, 1926 (claim dated June 30, 1926), $700.

(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated June 30, 1926), $6,181.11.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,519.44.

California Palace Legion of Honor —(Appropriation 61).

(4) Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Co., wire telephony, adjunct to radio broadcasting from California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,316.

(5) James H. Berry Co., printing catalogs for California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated July 16, 1926), $815.

(6) Decker Electrical Construction Co., floodlight installation, etc., at California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,004.85.

(7) George A. Applegarth, architectural services for account California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated July 16, 1926), $850.

De Young Memorial Museum— (Appropriation 60).

(8) San Francisco Waterproofing Co., waterproofing furnished for the de Young Museum (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,026.75.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(9) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe

for sidesewers (claim dated June 30, 1926), $527.40.

(10) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated June 30, 1926), $525.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(11) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated July 6, 1926), $1,158.59.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund.

(12) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated July 8, 1926), $710.

(13) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats supplied (claim dated July 9, 1926), $570.52.

(14) City Coal Co., Inc., coal furnished (claim dated July 9, 1926), $745.54.

(15) United States Rubber Co., air drill hose, etc. (claim dated July 9, 1926), $805.51.

(16) A. L. Young Machinery Co., tank and sprinkling attachments (claim dated July 9, 1926), $510.

Special School Tax.

(17) Carl H. Peterson, fourth payment, general construction of annex to Parkside School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,792.90.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(18) J. J. Dailey, legal services rendered, valuation of San Francisco electrical properties, month of July (claim dated July 15, 1926), $850.

(19) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuaation of San Francisco electrical properties (claim dated July 15, 1926), $750.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) Frank F. Bodler, trolley wheels for Municipal Railways (claim dated July 12, 1926), $725.

(21) Hancock Bros. Inc., printing transfers (claim dated July 12, 1926), $558.

(22) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished (claim dated July 14, 1926), $37,095.05.

(23) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc. (claim dated July 7, 1926), $6,789.68.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(24) Clinton Construction Co., eighteenth payment, construction of Relief Home buildings (claim dated July 13, 1926), $66,618.75.

(25) Empire Planing Mill, first payment, benches for Relief Home buildings (claim dated July 13, 1926), $6,958.32.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(26) M. Flatland, extra electrical work, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated July 13, 1926), $2,268.45.

(27) Mahoney Bros., twenty-second payment, general construction, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated July 13, 1926), $10,511.62.

(28) John Reid, Jr., thirteenth payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated July 13, 1926), $604.52.

(29) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment, architectural services for additional units to Galileo High School gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated July 14, 1926), $614.42.

(30) O. Monson, eleventh payment, general construction of Alvarado School (claim dated July 14, 1926), $67,680.95.

(31) W. J. Bays, fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Dudley Stone School (claim dated July 14, 1926), $5,253.13.

(32) Thos. Skelly, extra plumbing work for the Alamo School (claim dated July 9, 1926), $654.

(33) Latourette-Fical Co., extra work on mechanical equipment for Alvarado School (claim dated July 9, 1926), $609.89.

(34) Park Commission, planting athletic field of Galileo High School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,660.

(35) Macdonald & Kahn, Inc., extra work, general construction of new Mission High School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,102.63.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(36) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Police Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,174.17.

(37) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,066.61.

(38) Albertinum Orphanage maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,233.10.

(39) The Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,400.17.

(40) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,240.33.

(41) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,331.68.

(42) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,725.60.

(43) C. Nauman Co., potatoes for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $617.71.

(44) Baumgarten Bros., meats,

Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,110.95.

(45) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $944.04.

(46) Golden Gate Milk Products Co., butter, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,257.90.

(47) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $974.17.

(48) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,752.84.

(49) Shell Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,753.74.

(50) White Bros., lumber, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $967.79.

(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $860.42.

(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,-698.06.

(53) E. B. Frick, room allowances for S. F. Hospital employees (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,-075.15.

(54) H. F. Dugan, drugs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $741.12.

(55) H. E. Teller Co., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $837.50.

(56) The California Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,241.49.

(57) Miller & Lux, meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,527.33.

(58) California Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,133.80.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,971.16.

(60) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,151.55.

(61) Golden State Milk Products Co., butter, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,-926.23.

(62) L. Lagomarsino & Co., veegtables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 25, 1926), $529.43.

(63) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,-617.42.

(64) St. Catherine's Home and Training School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $597.40.

(65) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con.. cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,-217.15.

(66) Symon Bros., team hire for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $569.25.

(67) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,380.91.

(68) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,049.82.

(69) School Repairs, Budget Item No. 1, for materials used on Hall of Justice (claim dated June 30, 1926), $649.47.

(70) School Repiars, Budget Item No. 1, for materials used at the City Hall (claim dated June 30, 1926), $954.48.

(71) School Repairs, Budget Item No 1, for materials used at the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $730.97.

(71a) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,685.82.

(72) F. L. Hansen, fourth payment, general construction of Children's Ward, southeast (Tubercular) wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $5,-074.54.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(73) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account American Optometric Convention, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 30, 1926), $997.84.

(74) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense of securing conventions for San Francisco, publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 30, 1926), $816.25.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(75) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 8, 1926), $25,295.12.

(76) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 8, 1926), $4,200.39.

(77) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 8, 1926), $11,226.53.

(78) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick automobile for Police Department (claim dated July 12, 1926), $1,160.

(79) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick automobile for Police Department (claim dated July 12. 1926), $1,302.50.

(80) Buckley & Curtin, printing for departments (claim dated July 14, 1926), $1,226.51.

(81) California Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated July 14, 1926), $510.95.

(82) Wilcox & Co., printing for departments (claim dated July 14, 1926), $901.93.

Appropriation, $2,240, Payment to Crocker Estate Company for Land Required for the Opening of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,240 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Crocker Estate Company; being payment for a strip of land 20 feet in width, the northerly boundary of which is the southerly boundary of that certain property designated as Parcel 28 in the deed from Ocean Shore Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded at page 39 of Volume 1164 of Official Records, said strip being terminated on the east by the Payson Tract and being terminated on the west by the property formerly owned by W. R. Easton; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25919 (New Series), and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard. (Claim dated July 14, 1926.)

Appropriation, $2,228, Payment to Macdonald & Kahn for Underpinning Engine House No. 17.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,228 be and the same is herbey authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, as set aside and appropriated by Resolution No. 25797 (New Series), to Macdonald & Kahn; being payment for underpinning of the east wall of Fire Department Engine House No. 17, Mint avenue and Stevenson street. (Claim dated June 30, 1926.)

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Land Required for the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund, and authorized in payment to the following named persons; being payments for properties required for the construction of the Duboce Tunnel, to-wit:

(1) To Winifred Hughes, for three parcels of land beginning respectively 125, 150 and 175 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and respectively distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and being portions of Western Addition Block No. 678; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25917 (New Series), $4,750.

(2) To Mathilda M. Fulthrop, for lands beginning at a point distant 125 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 111.314 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 678; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25917 (New Series), $627.

(3) To Matteo and Germania Tarabochia, for lands beginning at a point 175 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 115.733 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 678; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25917 (New Series), $516.

(4) To Violet M. Jelley, for lands beginning at a point 200 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 678; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25917 (New Series), $836.

Reconstruction of Certain Accepted Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7676, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $160,650, payable out of the hereinafter designated Budget Items for the fiscal year 1926-1927, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 126—Lombard

street, Taylor to Leavenworth, $12,-150.

Budget Item No. 119—Fourteenth street, Howard to Valencia, $13,500.

Budget Item No. 106 — Geary street, Divisadero to Presidio, $18,-000.

Budget Item No. 102 — Haight street, Gough to Buchanan, $22,500.

Budget Item No. 143—Broadway, Mason to Taylor, $5,850.

Budget Item No. 111 — Taylor street, Broadway to Vallejo, $4,500.

Budget Item No. 105 — Leavenworth street, Union to Filbert, $4,-500.

Budget Item No. 98—Grant avenue, Filbert to Greenwich, $4,050.

Budget Item No. 117—Chestnut street, Grant avenue to Stockton street, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 123—Greenwich street, Leavenworth to Jones, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 88—Folsom street, Thirteenth to Sixteenth, $22,500.

Budget Item No. 120 — Filbert street, Grant avenue to Stockton street, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 90—Sixth street, Howard to Harrison, $15,300.

Budget Item No. 97—Ellis street, Van Ness avenue to Franklin street, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 108—O'Farrell street, Van Ness avenue to Franklin street, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 137 — Hayes street, Laguna street westerly, $10,-800.

Total, $160,650.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriations out of County Road Fund for the Improvement of Certain Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of the following highways, to-wit:

Mission viaduct	$12,000
Grand View avenue	20,000
Southern Heights diagonal.	9,000
Mt. Vernon avenue extension	6,480
California street (Powell to Mason; Leavenworth to Hyde)	14,400
Mason street, Sacramento to Clay	5,000
Third street, Berry to Eighteenth	67,500
Total	$138,380

Appropriation, $15,000, Payment to Eureka Benevolent Society for Property on Arguello Boulevard Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the Eureka Benevolent Society; being payment for real property commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arguello boulevard, distant thereon 257.7 feet from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Arguello boulevard 75 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 75 x 120 feet, and being a portion of Block 213; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25946 (New Series), and required for school purposes. (Claim dated July 19, 1926.)

Appropriation, $1,118.10, for Window Shades for High School of Commerce Addition.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,-118.10 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, for the cost of window shades for the addition to the High School of Commerce.

Ordering Additional Facilities for Noe Valey Junior High School.

Also, Bill No. 7677, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of additional facilities for the Noe Valley Junior High School, to be erected at Elizabeth and Douglass streets, authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of additional facilities for the Noe Valley Junior High School, to be erected at Elizabeth and Douglass streets, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Plans and Specifications for High Pressure System, Butchertown District.

Also, Bill No. 7678, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the hauling and laying of high pressure water mains, conduits and appurtenances in the Butchertown district, and ordering the hauling and laying of high pressure water mains, conduits and appurtenances in said Butchertown district in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The hauling and laying of high pressure water mains, conduits and appurtenances in the Butchertown district is hereby ordered and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for said hauling and laying of high pressure water mains, conduits and appurtenances and to enter into contract for the hauling and laying of said high pressure water mains, conduits and appurtenances in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Plans and Specifications for the Improvement of Sutro Monument.

Also, Bill No. 7679, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds, and ordering the improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement. The cost of said improvement to be borne out of Budget Item No. 61, fiscal year 1926-1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds, and to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said improvement to be borne out of Budget Item No. 61, fiscal year 1926-1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

The Wages, Salary and Compensation Fund.

Also, Bill No. 7680, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Creating a special fund known as "The Wages, Salary and Compensation Fund," and providing how the moneys thereof may be reapportioned and expended.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Chapter I, Article III of the Charter of San Francisco, a special fund to be known as the Wages, Salary and Compensation Special Fund is hereby created. To such fund shall revert at the end of each and every month any and all amounts set aside and itemized in the annual budget and allowed in any department, office, board or commission, except as provided in Section 3 hereof, as wages, salary or compensation of the various deputies, clerks, assistants and employees of every kind and classification which have not been expended for the purposes so itemized in any one month.

Section 2. The money so reverting to said fund may be reapportioned by the Board of Supervisors from time to time for the payment of the purposes for which said money was originally set out in the budget ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall not apply to salaries, wages or compensation of the various clerks, assistants or employees of the Police Department, Fire Department, Park Commissioners, Playground Commission, School Department and Free Public Library.

Section 4. It shall be the duty of the Treasurer, the Auditor, the Civil Service Commission and of every department affected hereby to do such things as may be necessary to be done to place this ordinance and Section 3, Chapter I, Article III of the Charter as amended November 4, 1924, in full force and effect.

Section 5. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Mayor to Sell Premises No. 32½ Carl Street at Public Auction.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25943 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published

notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land required for the construction of Duboce Tunnel and known as No. 32½ Carl street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling house to be removed by the purchaser within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Subsurface Right of Way Easements Required in the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25944 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easements situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce Tunnel, have offered to convey said easements desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Celia S. Leonard and John V. Leonard, $56—Commencing at the point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of Frederick street with the westerly line of Buena Vista avenue West, and running thence westerly along the said southerly line of Frederick street seventy (70) feet five and one-half (5½) inches; thence at right angles southerly thirty-nine (39) feet; thence at right angles easterly seventy-one (71) feet two and one-fourth (2¼) inches to a point on the westerly line of Buena avenue West; thence northerly along the said westerly line of Buena Vista avenue West thirty-nine (39) feet one-eighth (⅛) of an inch to its intersection with the southerly line of Frederick street and the point of commencement. Being Lots Nos. 14 and 15, Block No. 2, Flint Tract.

Janet McLeod, $74—Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Duboce avenue, distant thereon fifty (50) feet six (6) inches easterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said southerly line of Duboce avenue with the easterly line of Divisadero street, and running thence easterly along the said southerly line of Duboce avenue twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-seven and four hundred and eighty-eight thousandths (27.488) feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 11 min. 48 sec. to the right and running westerly twenty-five and three hundred and seventy thousandths (25.370) feet; thence northerly at right angles thirty-one and eight hundred and seven thousandths (31.807) feet to the southerly line of Duboce avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block No. 120.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the easements owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said easements, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue from Circular Avenue to Capistrano Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25945 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names and further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

Enrico Viola and Louise Viola, $225—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 94.446 feet

southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Gorham street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.074 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 135 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Tingley street, 17.805 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 85 deg. 54 min. 23 sec. to the left and running northeasterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, 25.064 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot 135; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary line, parallel with Tingley street, 17.726 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 135 of the Demartini Tract.

Giovanni Viola and Mary Viola, $225—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 69.372 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Gorham street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.074 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 136 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Tingley street, 17.726 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 85 deg. 54 min. 23 sec. to the left and running northeasterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, 25.064 feet to the northeasterly line of said Lot 136; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary line 17.589 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 136 of the Demartini Tract.

Joseph Maita and Dina Maita, $216.50—

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 76.275 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Cotter street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 49 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line, parallel with Cotter street, 16.652 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 100 deg. 38 min. 37 sec. to the right and running southwesterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, 25.438 feet to a point on the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 49; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Cotter street, 16.583 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 49 of the Demartini Tract.

Giovanni Viola and Mary Viola, $171—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 50.042 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Gorham street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 19.330 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 137 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Tingley street, 17.589 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the present northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 85 deg. 54 min. 23 sec. to the left and running northeasterly, parallel with the present northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, 18.663 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot 137; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary line, parallel with Gorham street, 17.423 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 137 of the Demartini Tract.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to restore sidewalks where sidewalks are now constructed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer of Eureka Benevolent Society to Sell for $15,000 Certain Land on Arguello Boulevard Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25946 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Eureka Benevolent Society to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate easterly line of Arguello boulevard, of uniform dimensions, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arguello boulevard, distant thereon 257.7 feet from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Arguello boulevard 75 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet; thence at right angles southerly 75 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Arguello boulevard and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 213.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Right of Way Easemnts Required in Connection With the Construction, Maintenance and Operation of the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy Acqueduct.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25947 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described right of way easements situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Kate J. Rosasco, Estella Kelly, Leo Rosasco, Genevieve Rosasco, Clarence Rosasco and Mary Cave, $705.

Parcel 1. Portion of SE. ¼ SW. ¼ of NE. ¼, and Lot 2 of Sec. 31, T. 1 S., R. 14 E., and N. ½ of Sec. 36, T. 1 S., R. 13 E., for acqueduct tunnel easement.

Parcel 2. The right to construct, maintain, operate, patrol and repair water pipe line following generally the location of Parcel 1.

Parcel 3. The right to construct, maintain, replace, patrol and operate an electric transmission line following portion of Parcel 1.

Parcel 4. The right to use existing farm road in Sec. 36, T. 1 S., R. 13 E., and Sec. 31, T. I S., R. 14 E., M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 5. The right to construct, maintain and use a road with a roadway width not to exceed 25 feet, through Sec. 36, T. 1 S., R. 13 E., and Sec. 1, T. 2 S., R. 13 E., M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 6. The right to construct, maintain, replace, patrol and operate a telephone line following generally the location of Parcels 4 and 5.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francsico the above mentioned easements for the sum set forth opposite their names and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offer and

the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said easements, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf or the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, eDasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands in Alameda County Required as a Right of Way for the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25948 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owner of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Edward T. Planer, as County Tax Collector of the County of Alameda, State of California, $15.40—Lots Nos. 32, 33 and 34 in Block 8, as said lots and blocks are delineated on that certain map entitled "Map of the First Addition to Harriman Park, Newark, Alameda County, California," filed December 18, 1913, in Book 28 of Maps, page 48, Alameda County Records.

(As per written tax sale receipt on file covering the above property under Sale Nos. 72368, 72369 and 72370.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of the above named property owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sum set forth opposite his name be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said party of the acceptance of his said offer and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said lands, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25949 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of Rhea Fuidge Cowan, attorney in fact for Elizabeth Acton Fuidge, to convey to the City and County land 25 x 120 on Twenty-third avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets for the sum of $1,000 is hereby accepted; said land being required for school purposes

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company as to Percentage of Gross Receipts Due City.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 25950 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of May, 1926, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc....	$518.82
Parnassus Avenue, etc.....	323.74
Gough Street Railroad.....	52.65

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Fred L. Berry, west side of Lopez avenue, 300 feet south of Pacheco street, 600 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Jean Parker School), north side of Broadway between Mason and Powell streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Spring Valley School), south side of Jackson street between Hyde and Larkin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (John Hancock School), south side of Filbert street between Jones and Taylor streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Garfield School), northwest corner of Filbert and Kearny streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mortimer Fleischhacker, 2418 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. A. Golden, east side of Thirty-fourth avenue, 175 feet south of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Johnson, south side of Haight street, 100 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Johnson, south side of Haight street, 145 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindemann, east side of Golden Gate avenue, 50 feet north of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindemann, east side of Haight street, 50 feet north of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Papenhausen, southeast corner of Fifth avenue and Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Better Hat Co., 989 Market street, 5-horsepower boiler.

Geo. H. Hooke, Inc., 747-753 Bryant street, 100-horsepower boiler.

Joseph Lopez, south side of Clement street, 82 feet 6 inches east of Twenty-eighth avenue, 25-horsepower boiler.

M. W. Lowrie, northwest corner of Sixteenth and De Haro streets, 75-horsepower boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Youdall Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts in connection with the construction of the Sunset Tunnel (Dubove avenue route); provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Youdall Construction Company, then the privilges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Denying Automobile Parking Station Permit, Taylor Street.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 25951 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied E. W. Knepper to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street between Turk and Eddy streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher. Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon. Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Automobile Parking Station Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. N. Hughes be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile parking station permit heretofore granted Dufficy & Neilan by Resolution No. 20618 (New Series) for premises on the east side of Taylor street, 50 feet north of Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. E. Brownell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and Taraval street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Eleventh avenue and Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Award of Contract for Hospital Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25952 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for hosiptal supplies for Department of Public Health on bids submitted July 12, 1926 (Proposal No. 213), as follows, viz.

Item No. 1—1000 rolls bandages, roller gauze, 44 x 40 mesh, 1 x 10 yards, $0.70 per roll; J. Theo. Erlin Co.

Item No. 2—1650 pounds cotton, absorbent, $0.32 per pound; Lewis Mfg. Co.

Item No. 3—60 rolls gauze, plain, absorbent, 24 x 20 mesh, $3.30 per roll; J. Theo. Erlin Co.

Item No. 4—800 rolls gauze, plain, 16 x 20 mesh, $2.75 per roll; A. P. Hotaling & Co.

Item No. 5—236 pounds lint, $1.05 per carton; A. P. Hotaling & Co.

Item No. 6—75 rolls muslin, oiled, $3.60 per roll; J. Theo. Erlin Co.

Item No. 7—472 rolls plaster, adhesive, zinc oxide, $0.89 per roll; A P. Hotaling & Co.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract, Athletic Goods.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25953 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on athletic goods for School Department on bids submitted June 21, 1926 (Proposal No. 198), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—Basketballs, inseam, 10 dozen; no award.

Item No. 2—Basketballs, outseam, 4 dozen, $60 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 2—Basketballs, outseam, 5 dozen, $46.80 per dozen; The Ellery Arms Co.

Item No. 3—Indoor baseballs, outseam, 14-inch, 10 dozen, $13.50 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 4—Indoor baseball bats, 20 dozen, $6 per dozen; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 5—Lacings, rawhide, 80 dozen; no award.

Item No. 6—Playground balls, outseam, 12-inch, 30 dozen, $10.45 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 6—Playground balls, outseam, 12-inch, 10 dozen, $10.85 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 7—Referee whistles, 3 dozen, $2.95 per dozen; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 8—Soccer footballs, 8 dozen; no award.

Item No. 9—Volley balls, 15 dozen, $40 per dozen; The Ellery Arms Co.

Item No. 10—Volley ball nets, 2 dozen, $13.80 per dozen; The Ellery Arms Co.

Item No. 11—Boxing gloves, 24 sets, $3.85 per set; C. F. Weber & Co.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Extension of Time on Contract for Motor Battery Nozzles and Four-way Inlet Boxes for the Fire Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25954 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of time of thirty days be hereby granted, upon recommendation of Board of Fire Commissioners, to F. G. Dyer Brass Foundry and Machine Works on contract awarded by Resolution No. 25445 (New Series) (Proposal No. 166), for furnishing four Monitor battery nozzles and eight four-way inlet boxes.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Placing Northeasterly Side of La Salle Avenue in Second Residential District.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7681, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 9 and 10 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of said ordinance, are hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeasterly side of La Salle avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southeasterly from Mendell street and running thence southeasterly 50 feet and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District.

Modification of Set-back Line, Lisbon Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25955 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the objection of Andrew De Bendetti to the establishment of set-back lines along the northwesterly side of Lisbon street as described in Resolution of Intention No. 124, is hereby sustained in part and the proposed set-back line is ordered modified so as to fix said line at four feet for a distance of fifty feet southerly from Excelsior avenue and an ordinace establishing such lines as modified is directed to be presented.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

City Attorney to Inquire Into Right of Southern Pacific Company to Operate Auto Ferry.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25956 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Southern Pacific Company recently announced in the public press that it proposed on August 14th next to inaugurate a new public ferry service between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Alameda; and

Whereas, Southern Pacific Company has made no application to this Board for a franchise to operate said public ferry service, nor has any franchise for said public ferry service been granted it by this Board; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be instructed to inquire into the right of Southern Pacific Company to inaugurate said public ferry service, and to report to this Board whether Southern Pacific Company has the legal authority to inaugurate said proposed ferry service without a franchise therefor having first been obtained from this Board and a certificate of public convenience and necessity therefor from the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

City Attorney to Investigate Right of Southern Pacific Company Auto Ferry to Operate Without License.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25957 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Southern Pacific Company operates a ferry service between the City and County of San Francisco and the so-called Oakland Mole for the transportation of passengers, vehicles and freight, and also operates a ferry service between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Richmond for the transportation of passengers, vehicles and freight; and

Whereas, it appears that Southern Pacific Company is not now paying and never has paid to the City and County of San Francisco a license fee for said ferry services as requred by laiw; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney render his opinion on the question whether Southern Pacific Company may lawfully operate said ferry services, or either of them, without paying any license fee to the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Repealing Appropriation for Hetch Hetchy Transmission Line.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25958 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Resolution No. 25364, adopted by this Board on the 15th day of March, 1926, wherein and whereby there was appropriated from the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund the sum of twenty thousand ($20,000) dollars, to be expended for the preparation of plans, specifications and estimates by the City Engineer for the completion of the electrical transmission line of the Hetch Hetchy project from Newark, in Alameda County, to a point within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

City Attorney to Investigate Franchises of Street Railways.

Supervisor Badarcaco presented:

Resolution No. 25959 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to investigate the franchises of the nonmunicipal owned street railways operating within the City and County of San Francisco and to report to the Public Utilities Committee of this Board the routes covered by said various franchises and the date of the expiration of each of said franchises.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 25960 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Single-Top Gas Lamps.

North side Tehama street, 105 and 583 feet west of Fifth street.

South side Tehama street, 323 and 710 feet west of Fifth street.

North side Clementina street, 232 and 701 feet west of Fifth street.

South side Clementina street, 137 and 532 feet west of Fifth street.

North side Jessie street, 58 and 396 feet west of Mint avenue.

South side Jessie street, 216 feet west of Mint avenue.

North side Jessie street, 121 and 470 feet west of Sixth street.

South side Jessie street, 303 feet west of Sixth street.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Belden street between Bush and Pine streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Tehama street, west of Fifth street.

Tehama street, east of Sixth street.

Clementina street, west of Fifth street.

Clementina street, east of Sixth street.

Jessie street, west of Mint avenue.

Jessie street, west of Sixth street.

Seventeenth street between Dolores and Guerrero streets.

Twenty-seventh avenue and Vicente street.

Thirty-first avenue and Santiago street.

Thirty-first avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets.

Brighton and Lake View avenues.
Thirty-seventh avenue between Anza and Balboa streets.
San Jose avenue between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.
Parker avenue between McAllister and Turk streets.
Laguna Honda boulevard and Hernandez street.
Woodside avenue and Hernandez street.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Brant alley, rear of church.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Award of Contract, Steam Shovel.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25962 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Garfield & Co. for one steam shovel complete for Park Commissioners for the sum of $——— on bid submitted June 21, 1926 (Proposal No. 203); said steam shovel and equipment being more fully described as follows, viz.: One steam shovel, ½ yard and ⅝ yard; installed on caterpillar tractor, equipped with oil-burning apparatus—Erie Type "A" for the sum of $8,350.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Appropriation, $5,000, for Plans, Etc., Hetch Hetchy Transmission Line.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That there be and there is hereby appropriated from the moneys in the General Fund, not otherwise appropriated, the sum of five thousand ($5,000) dollars for the expense of the preparation of plans, specifications, surveys and estimates by the City Engineer for the completion of the electrical transmission line of the Hetch Hetchy project, from Newark in the County of Alameda to a point within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the City Engineer be and he is hereby directed to proceed forthwith with the preparation of plans, specifications, surveys and estimates of cost for said tranmission line, and to present said plans, specifications, surveys and estimates of cost thereof to this Board.

Referred to Finance and Public Utilities Committees.

Restriction of Heights of Buildings.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the erection of extremely high buildings within certain old-established residential districts materially interferes with many of the present existing well-constructed residences by the exclusion of light, air and view facilities, particularly within the hereinafter described boundaries; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be and is hereby requested to consider and recommend the advisability of restricting the height of buildings hereafter erected not exceeding five stories in height within the district bounded by Washington street on the south, Union street on the north and from Franklin street on the east to the boundaries of the Presidio Reservation on the west.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Amendment to Rules.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the rules of this Board be amended by adding the following paragraph to Rule 1:

When a permit of any kind has been denied by the Board, the committee having charge of the subject shall refuse consideration of a renewal of an application for such permit for a period of six (6) months from the date of the previous application, except by unanimous request or instruction from the Board in regular or special session.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Kent and *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $2,000, Publicity, State Fair.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside,

appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation No. 55), for participation in the State Fair at Sacramento, California, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Aquatic Park.

Edw. Scully was granted the privilege of the floor and asked for an appropriation to defray the cost of removing boat houses at the foot of Van Ness avenue to site in Aquatic Park.

Supervisor Marks moved reference of request to the Finance and Buildings Committees with instructions to report next week.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 25, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 30

Monday, July 26, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 26, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 26, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Marks was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 17, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Death of Hon. W. P. Lawlor, Justice of the Supreme Court.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25963 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the death has been announced of Judge William P. Lawlor, Justice of the Supreme Court of this State, bringing to an end a long and distinguished career as a judge of the Superior Court of this City and County, followed by a promotion to the higher tribunal, where he established a reputation as an impartial and able judicial officer; therefore,

Resolved, That this Board express by these words its profound sorrow of the passing of one who has been identified with the growth of this City for half a century as a citizen and as a public official, endeared by all who knew him and respected for his integrity and high character; his death is a loss to the State that cannot be measured, and to those who felt it most we offer our condolences.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Death of Edw. Reilly, Assessor's Office.

Supervisor Shannon called attention to the passing of Edw. Reilly, for upwards of forty years a Civil Service employee of the city. Supervisor Shannon moved that when the Board adjourned that it do so out of respect to the memory of Mr. Reilly and that the Clerk stand instructed to prepare suitable resolutions expressing the sympathy of the Board of Supervisors for the family of the deceased.

Bridges Across the Bay.

Communication, from Brig.-Gen. Herbert Deakyne, acting chief of engineers of the War Department, acknowledging receipt of the telegram sent to the department regarding notification that the Board of Supervisors is the only body officially interested in a bridge across the bay. He stated that it is not the intention of the department to hold any further public hearings. That the question of the modification of the War Department policy relative to bridging the bay is under consideration but decision will be deferred to give all interested parties opportunity to present their views. No further public hearings by the department on the subject are contemplated at present.

Communication, from the San Francisco-Alameda Counties Bridge Commission, setting forth the points which the commission thinks should be determined in regard to a trans-bay bridge.

These communications were *referred to the Commercial Development Committee.*

Suggested Airport Sites.

Communication, from the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, enclosing report of its aerial affairs committee, together with maps of suggested airport sites.

Ordered *referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee and Committee on Public Welfare.*

Rezoning Chestnut Street.

Supervisor Marks presented report of City Planning Committee in the matter of the application to rezone both sides of Chestnut street between Fillmore and Divisadero streets, together with committee's recommendations in the matter.

Over one week as a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m.

Proposed Bay Bridge.

Supervisor Welch presented communication from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting letter and drawing from Mr. J. H. Thereien regarding a proposed highway bridge crossing San Francisco Bay south of Hunter's Point.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Relative to Increase of Carmen's Wages.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council, transmitting resolution requesting his Honor, the Mayor, to instruct the Board of Public Works to comply with the expressed desire of the Supervisors which unanimously recommended an increase of 40 cents per day to certain groups of Municipal Railway employees, which recommendation was accepted by the representatives of all the parties in interest.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Airport Site Approved.

Communication, from E. W. Bell, vice-president Fokker Aircraft Corporation, addressed to Aero Coast Express Company, San Francisco, California, expressing the opinion that the airport site near South San Francisco is the best possible location for commercial purposes that he has seen in any of his trips about the bay region.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Leave of Absence, Hon. A. F. Mahony.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., July 19, 1926.
Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days commencing July 20, 1926.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presentd and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25990 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 20, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Todd. the following matter was *laid over one week*:

Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of ordinance entitled "Providing for the selection and location of traffic signals and safety zones on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco."

July 19, 1926—*Over one week.*

Question: Shall the ordinance finally pass notwithstanding the objection of his Honor, the Mayor?

Report of Health Committee on Garbage Disposal.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California,
July 26, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

A petition has been submitted to you asking for the removal of garbage incinerator located at Eighth and De Haro streets for the reason that it is a public nuisance and offensive to the entire neighborhood.

This petition was referred, after investigation, to the Health Committee, and this committee submits the following report:

The incinerator and the property on which it stands is owned by the City of San Francisco and represents an investment of $400,000, and the Scavengers' Protective Association is permitted to use it without charge. A charge of $1 per ton is made for garbage delivered by scavengers to the incinerator.

The incinerator was built more

than 30 years ago and has a capacity of 400 tons and during recent years it has been handling about 600 tons daily, which has increased the nuisance. Whenever the winds are blowing east or west an offensive smell is created in the Mission and Potrero districts which is almost unbearable.

The committee held several hearings and finds that the garbage is not being burned—it is partially cooked. Charred papers have blown through the chimney and carried several blocks by the wind. A number of industries adjacent to the incinerator claim that it is retarding the growth of the neighborhood and detrimental to that section of the City.

After carefully going into every phase as to the disposal of garbage, visiting Seattle, Portland, Los Angeles and Berkeley, the committee recommends that the incinerator be discontinued and that the "fill and cover" method be used. Seattle, Portland and Berkeley are using this method and it has proven a success. Lands that were almost worthless were reclaimed and are now being built on or are being used as public parks and playgrounds, school sites and for homes and factories. This fill was made in various parts of these cities with no complaint. The method of doing the work is by first filling with garbage and then covering the same with dirt, sand or clay, keeping a stock on hand at all times for covering. The covering is always done before quitting time so that there is never any smell.

Objections to the "fill and cover" method on the ground that it is impractical or unsanitary, are not sustained by experience. Seattle has used this method for ten years, Portland for eight years and Berkeley for three years. In all these cities the reclaimed land has proven practical for building purposes and no unsanitary conditions have developed. In San Francisco blocks of land south of Market street have been reclaimed by the "fill and cover" method and buildings of all types have been erected on these lands. There are large sections of land along the waterfront and adjacent thereto under State and private ownership that can be used for this purpose.

Ordinance No. 5503, regulating charge to householders for garbage, sets the prices at which this work may be done. These prices were compiled on the number of rooms in the house or apartment. If the "fill and cover" method is used, a charge of 40 cents per ton can be made by the City which will cover every expense and a saving of 60 cents per ton will be made. Ordinance No. 5503 can be amended and a cut of 10 per cent on all bills can be made to every householder in San Francisco.

The committee recommends the passage of necessary ordinances to abate the garbage incinerator, provide for the disposal of refuse and to revise the charges now collected from householders.

Respectfully submitted,
JAS. B. McSHEEHY.
W. P. STANTON.

As the committee did not offer any resolution in connection with the report, Supervisor Shannon asked to withdraw from the committee the resolution which he presented several weeks ago and which had been referred to the committee.

The report of the Health Committee will be considered by the Board at the meeting on August 9th, and made a Special Order of Business at 3 p. m.

The Clerk was instructed to send copies of the report to the members of the Board and to request the Health Officer to be present at the hearing. Supervisor Shannon's resolution was *rereferred to the Health Committee.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Manufactured Furniture for Schools.

Sealed proposals for furnishing manufactured furniture for schools were presented, opened and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Aluminum Ware.

Sealed proposals for furnishing aluminum ware were received, opened and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25964 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund, 1925-1926.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries, June, 1926 (claim dated June 30, 1926), $700.

(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., library

books (claim dated June 30, 1926), $6,181.11.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,519.44.

California Palace Legion of Honor —(Appropriation 61).

(4) Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Co., wire telephony, adjunct to radio broadcasting from California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,316.

(5) James H. Barry Co., printing catalogs for California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated July 16, 1926), $815.

(6) Decker Electrical Construction Co., floodlight installation, etc., at California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,004.85.

(7) George A. Applegarth, architectural services for account California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated July 16, 1926), $850.

De Young Memorial Museum— (Appropriation 60).

(8) San Francisco Waterproofing Co., waterproofing furnished for the de Young Museum (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,026.75.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(9) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated June 30, 1926), $527.40.

(10) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated June 30, 1926), $525.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(11) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated July 6, 1926), $1,158.59.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund.

(12) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated July 8, 1926), $710.

(13) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats supplied (claim dated July 9, 1926), $570.52.

(14) City Coal Co., Inc., coal furnished (claim dated July 9, 1926), $745.54.

(15) United States Rubber Co., air drill hose, etc. (claim dated July 9, 1926), $805.51.

(16) A. L. Young Machinery Co., tank and sprinkling attachments (claim dated July 9, 1926), $510.

Special School Tax.

(17) Carl H. Peterson, fourth payment, general construction of annex to Parkside School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3.792.90.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(18) J. J. Dailey, legal services rendered, valuation of San Francisco electrical properties, month of July (claim dated July 15, 1926), $850.

(19) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of San Francisco electrical properties (claim dated July 15, 1926), $750.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) Frank F. Bodler, trolley wheels for Municipal Railways (claim dated July 12, 1926), $725.

(21) Hancock Bros. Inc., printing transfers (claim dated July 12, 1926), $558.

(22) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished (claim dated July 14, 1926), $37,095.05.

(23) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc. (claim dated July 7, 1926), $6,789.68.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(24) Clinton Construction Co., eighteenth payment, construction of Relief Home buildings (claim dated July 13, 1926), $66,618.75.

(25) Empire Planing Mill, first payment, benches for Relief Home buildings (claim dated July 13, 1926), $6,958.32.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(26) M. Flatland, extra electrical work, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated July 13, 1926), $2,268.45.

(27) Mahoney Bros., twenty-second payment, general construction, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated July 13, 1926), $10,511.62.

(28) John Reid, Jr., thirteenth payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated July 13, 1926), $604.52.

(29) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment, architectural services for additional units to Galileo High School gymnasium and athletic field (claim dated July 14, 1926), $614.42.

(30) O. Monson, eleventh payment, general construction of Alvarado School (claim dated July 14, 1926), $67,680.95.

(31) W. J. Bays, fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Dudley Stone School (claim dated July 14, 1926), $5,253.13.

(32) Thos. Skelly, extra plumbing work for the Alamo School (claim dated July 9, 1926), $654.

(33) Latourette-Fical Co., extra work on mechanical equipment for Alvarado School (claim dated July 9, 1926), $609.89.

(34) Park Commission, planting athletic field of Galileo High School (claim dated July 7, 1926), $2,660.

(35) Macdonald & Kahn, Inc., extra work, general construction of new Mission High School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,102.63.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(36) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Police Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,174.17.

(37) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,066.61.

(38) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,233.10.

(39) The Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,400.17.

(40) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,240.33.

(41) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,331.68.

(42) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,725.60.

(43) C. Nauman Co., potatoes for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $617.71.

(44) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,110.95.

(45) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $944.04.

(46) Golden Gate Milk Products Co., butter, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,257.90.

(47) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $974.17.

(48) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,752.84.

(49) Shell Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,753.74.

(50) White Bros., lumber, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $967.79.

(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1926), $860.42.

(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,698.06.

(53) E. B. Frick, room allowances for S. F. Hospital employees (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,075.15.

(54) H. F. Dugan, drugs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $741.12.

(55) H. E. Teller Co., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $837.50.

(56) The California Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,241.49.

(57) Miller & Lux, meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,527.33.

(58) California Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,133.80.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,971.16.

(60) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,151.55.

(61) Golden State Milk Products Co., butter, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,926.23.

(62) L. Lagomarsino & Co., veegtables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 25, 1926), $529.43.

(63) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,617.42.

(64) St. Catherine's Home and Training School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $597.40.

(65) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,217.15.

(66) Symon Bros., team hire for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $569.25.

(67) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,380.91.

(68) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,049.82.

(69) School Repairs, Budget Item No. 1, for materials used on Hall of Justice (claim dated June 30, 1926), $649.47.

(70) School Repiars, Budget Item No. 1, for materials used at the City Hall (claim dated June 30, 1926), $954.48.

(71) School Repairs, Budget Item No 1, for materials used at the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $730.97.

(71a) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,685.82.

(72) F. L. Hansen, fourth payment, general construction of Children's Ward, southeast (Tubercular) wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $5,074.54.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(73) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account American Optometric Convention, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 30, 1926), $997.84.

(74) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense of se-

curing conventions for San Francisco, publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 30, 1926), $816.25.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(75) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 8, 1926), $25,295.12.

(76) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 8, 1926), $4,200.39.

(77) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 8, 1926), $11,226.53.

(78) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick automobile for Police Department (claim dated July 12, 1926), $1,160.

(79) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick automobile for Police Department (claim dated July 12. 1926), $1,302.50.

(80) Buckley & Curtin, printing for departments (claim dated July 14, 1926), $1,226.51.

(81) California Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated July 14, 1926), $510.95.

(82) Wilcox & Co., printing for departments (claim dated July 14, 1926), $901.93.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Appropriation, $2,240, Payment to Crocker Estate Company for Land Required for the Opening of Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 25965 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,240 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Crocker Estate Company; being payment for a strip of land 20 feet in width, the northerly boundary of which is the southerly boundary of that certain property designated as Parcel 28 in the deed from Ocean Shore Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded at page 39 of Volume 1164 of Official Records, said strip being terminated on the east by the Payson Tract and being terminated on the west by the property formerly owned by W. R. Easton; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25919 (New Series), and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard. (Claim dated July 14, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Land Required for the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Resolution No. 25966 (New Series), as follows:

Resaolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund, and authorized in payment to the following named persons; being payments for properties required for the construction of the Duboce Tunnel, to-wit:

(1) To Winifred Hughes, for three parcels of land beginning respectively 125, 150 and 175 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and respectively distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and being portions of Western Addition Block No. 678; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25917 (New Series), $4,750.

(2) To Mathilda M. Fulthrop, for lands beginning at a point distant 125 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 111.314 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 678; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25917 (New Series), $627.

(3) To Matteo and Germania Tarabochia, for lands beginning at a point 175 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 115.733 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 678; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25917 (New Series), $516.

(4) To Violet M. Jelley, for lands beginning at a point 200 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street and distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 678; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25917 (New Series), $836.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Appropriations out of County Road Fund for the Improvement of Certain Streets.

Resolution No. 25967 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of the following highways, to-wit:

Mission viaduct	$12,000
Grand View avenue	20,000
Southern Heights diagonal.	9,000
Mt. Vernon avenue extension	6,480
California street (Powell to Mason; Leavenworth to Hyde)	14,400
Mason street, Sacramento to Clay	5,000
Third street, Berry to Eighteenth	67,500
Total	$138,380

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Appropriation, $15,000, Payment to Eureka Benevolent Society for Property on Arguello Boulevard Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25968 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the Eureka Benevolent Society; being payment for real property commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arguello boulevard, distant thereon 257.7 feet from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Arguello boulevard 75 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 75 x 120 feet, and being a portion of Block 213; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25946 (New Series), and required for school purposes. (Claim dated July 19, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Appropriation, $1,118.10, for Window Shades for High School of Commerce Addition.

Resolution No. 25969 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,118.10 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, for the cost of window shades for the addition to the High School of Commerce.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Appropriation, $2,000, Publicity, State Fair.

Resolution No. 25970 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation No. 55), for participation in the State Fair at Sacramento, California, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 25971 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Fred L. Berry, west side of Lopez avenue, 300 feet south of Pacheco street, 600 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Jean Parker School), north side of Broadway between Mason and Powell streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Spring Valley School), south side of Jackson street between Hyde and Larkin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (John Hancock School), south side of Filbert street between Jones and Taylor streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Garfield School), northwest corner of Filbert and Kearny streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mortimer Fleischhacker, 2418 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. A. Golden, east side of Thirty-fourth avenue, 175 feet south of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Johnson, south side of Haight

street, 100 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Johnson, south side of Haight street, 145 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindemann, east side of Golden Gate avenue, 50 feet north of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindemann, east side of Haight street, 50 feet north of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Papenhausen, southeast corner of Fifth avenue and Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Better Hat Co., 989 Market street, 5-horsepower boiler.

Geo. H. Hooke, Inc., 747-753 Bryant street, 100-horsepower boiler.

Joseph Lopez, south side of Clement street, 82 feet 6 inches east of Twenty-eighth avenue, 25-horsepower boiler.

M. W. Lowrie, northwest corner of Sixteenth and De Haro streets, 75-horsepower boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 25972 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Youdall Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts in connection with the construction of the Sunset Tunnel (Dubove avenue route); provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Youdall Construction Company, then the privilges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Automobile Parking Station Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. 25793 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. N. Hughes be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile parking station permit heretofore granted Dufficy & Neilan by Resolution No. 20618 (New Series) for premises on the east side of Taylor street, 50 feet north of Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Resolution No. 25974 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. E. Brownell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and Taraval street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Resolution No. 25975 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Eleventh avenue and Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Reconstruction of Certain Accepted Streets.

Bill No. 7676, Ordinance No. 7187 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $160,650, payable out of the hereinafter designated Budget Items for the fiscal year 1926-1927, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 126—Lombard street, Taylor to Leavenworth, $12,-150.

Budget Item No. 119—Fourteenth street, Howard to Valencia, $13,500.

Budget Item No. 106 — Geary street, Divisadero to Presidio, $18,-000.

Budget Item No. 102 — Haight street, Gough to Buchanan, $22,500.

Budget Item No. 143—Broadway, Mason to Taylor, $5,850.

Budget Item No. 111 — Taylor street, Broadway to Vallejo, $4,500.

Budget Item No. 105 — Leavenworth street, Union to Filbert, $4,-500.

Budget Item No. 98—Grant avenue, Filbert to Greenwich, $4,050.

Budget Item No. 117—Chestnut street, Grant avenue to Stockton street, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 123—Greenwich street, Leavenworth to Jones, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 88—Folsom street, Thirteenth to Sixteenth, $22,500.

Budget Item No. 120 — Filbert street, Grant avenue to Stockton street, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 90—Sixth street, Howard to Harrison, $15,300.

Budget Item No. 97—Ellis street, Van Ness avenue to Franklin street, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 108—O'Farrell street, Van Ness avenue to Franklin street, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 137 — Hayes street, Laguna street westerly, $10,-800.

Total, $160,650.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Ordering Additional Facilities for Noe Valley Junior High School.

Bill No. 7677, Ordinance No. 7188 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of additional facilities for the Noe Valley Junior High School, to be erected at Elizabeth and Douglass streets, authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of additional facilities for the Noe Valley Junior High School, to be erected at Elizabeth and Douglass streets, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Ordering Plans and Specifications for High Pressure System, Butchertown District.

Bill No. 7678, Ordinance No. 7189 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the hauling and laying of high pressure water mains, conduits and appurtenances in the Butchertown district, and ordering the hauling and laying of high pressure water mains, conduits and appurtenances in said Butchertown district in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The hauling and laying of high pressure water mains, conduits and appurtenances in the Butchertown district is hereby ordered and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, in-

structed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for said hauling and laying of high pressure water mains, conduits and appurtenances and to enter into contract for the hauling and laying of said high pressure water mains, conduits and appurtenances in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Ordering Plans and Specifications for the Improvement of Sutro Monument.

Bill No. 7679, Ordinance No. 7190 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds, and ordering the improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement. The cost of said improvement to be borne out of Budget Item No. 61, fiscal year 1926-1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds, and to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said improvement to be borne out of Budget Item No. 61, fiscal year 1926-1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

The Wages, Salary and Compensation Fund.

Bill No. 7680, Ordinance No. 7191 (New Series), as follows:

Creating a special fund known as "The Wages, Salary and Compensation Fund," and providing how the moneys thereof may be reapportioned and expended.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Chapter I, Article III of the Charter of San Francisco, a special fund to be known as the Wages, Salary and Compensation Special Fund is hereby created. To such fund shall revert at the end of each and every month any and all amounts set aside and itemized in the annual budget and allowed in any department, office, board or commission, except as provided in Section 3 hereof, as wages, salary or compensation of the various deputies, clerks, assistants and employees of every kind and classification which have not been expended for the purposes so itemized in any one month.

Section 2. The money so reverting to said fund may be reapportioned by the Board of Supervisors from time to time for the payment of the purposes for which said money was originally set out in the budget ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall not apply to salaries, wages or compensation of the various clerks, assistants or employees of the Police Department, Fire Department, Park Commissioners, Playground Commission, School Department and Free Public Library.

Section 4. It shall be the duty of the Treasurer, the Auditor, the Civil Service Commission and of every department affected hereby to do such things as may be necessary to be done to place this ordinance and Section 3, Chapter I, Article III of the Charter as amended November 4, 1924, in full force and effect.

Section 5. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

SET-BACK LINE HEARING—2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Lisbon street.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7675, Ordinance No. 7193 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Lisbon street.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of June, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 124 to establish set-back lines along Lisbon street, and fixed the 28th day of June, 1926, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and the objections made to the establishment of said set-back lines were sustained in part and proposed set-back lines were ordered modified, and as modified, established as follows:

Section 2. Purusant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinonce No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of Lisbon street, commencing at a point 95 feet northeasterly from Brazil avenue, and running thence northeasterly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northeasterly 200 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northeasterly 175 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northwesterly to Excelsior avenue, said set-back line to be 4 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the pelanties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $2,228, Underpinning Engine House No. 17.

Resolved, That the sum of $2,228 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, as set aside and appropriated by Resolution No. 25797 (New Series), to Macdonald & Kahn; being payment for underpinning of the east wall of Fire Department Engine House No. 17, Mint avenue and Stevenson street. (Claim dated June 30, 1926.)

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $61,334.21, recommended by Finance Committee, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Federal Pipe and Supply Co., plumbing supplies for parks (claim dated June 30, 1926), $866.26.

(2) Gantner & Mattern Co., swimming suits for baths (claim dated June 30, 1926), $632.33.

(3) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 30, 1926), $4,194.93.

(4) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated June 30, 1926), $4,938.50.

(5) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, park employees (claim dated June 30, 1926), $658.58.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(6) Capital Decorating and

Manufacturing Co., city decorations for account Pacific Ad Clubs Convention, etc., for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,250.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(7) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., painting of bridge piers, etc., Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,-281.40.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) General Electric Co., parts for electric locomotives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 15, 1926), $697.26.

(9) Hercules Powder Co., Inc., explosives for Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated July 15, 1926), $1,105.10.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(10) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,550.90.

(11) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement for June, 1926. under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,-585.94.

(12) Market Street Railway Company, electric power, labor and materials furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated July 16, 1926), $3,394.03.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(13) F. L. Hanson, fifth payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated July 19, 1926), $18,763.42.

(14) E. K. Nelson, first payment, moving and alterations to Sherman School (claim dated July 19, 1926), $2,790.

(15) Joseph & Stone, second payment, architectural service for Portola Junior High School (claim dated July 21, 1926), $13,806.93.

(16) Pacific Electric Construction Co., final payment, electrical work on Alamo School (claim dated July 21, 1926), $3,721.64.

Special School Tax.

(17) Bureau of Street Repair, repairs to yard of Sheridan School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,-148.73.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(18) L. P. Brassey, fourth payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated July 19, 1926), $13,123.56.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(19) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1926), $631.78.

(20) Garcia & Maggini Co., potatoes for County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1926), $640.28.

(21) Baumgarten Bros., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1926), $644.56.

(22) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas service for County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1926), $741.18.

(23) California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1926), $789.47.

(24) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of inmates, month of May (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,163.21.

(25) Preston School of Industry, maintenence of inmates, month of June (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,079.32.

(26) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated June 30, 1926). $704.

(27) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for June (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,166.90.

(28) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated June 30, 1926), $626.04.

(29) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated June 30, 1926), $917.60.

(30) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,285.48.

(31) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,357.09.

(32) Dan P. Maher Co., varnish, shellac, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $737.46.

(33) Board of Public Works, labor and materials furnished the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $942.75.

(34) William Speck, furnishing and repairing revolving bridge carriage at Sixth Street Bridge (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,845.

(35) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,285.69.

(36) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Dept. buildings (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,940.59.

(37) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, removing and installing hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,-317.24.

(38) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $782.32.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(39) A. Carlisle & Co., printing, Department of Elections (claim dated July 12, 1926), $1,397.

(40) The Envelope Corporation,

envelopes, Department of Elections (claim dated July 1, 1926), $372.

(41) Levison Printing Co., printing rosters, etc., for Department of Elections (claim dated July 1, 1926), $564.

(42) Automatic Registering Machine Co., 75 voting machines, Department of Elections (claim dated July 22, 1926), $84,375.

(43) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated July 22, 1926), $713.

(44) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated July 26, 1926), $1,209.67.

Appropriation, $13,650.94, Condemnation Award, Mary Swift Property, Angelica Street and Cunningham Place Required for Mission Playground.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $13,650.94 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund (Appropriation 49), Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to City Title Insurance Company, San Francisco, being final payment on account of award made in Superior Court Action No. 141073 (condemnation of lands for Mission Playground, known as the Mary E. Swift property); pursuant to Ordinance No. 6398 (New Series); said final payment including balance of principal, interest and taxes. Said lands beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Angelica street with the southerly line of Cunningham place, running thence easterly and along the southerly line of Cunningham place 262 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 166 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 262 feet, and thence at a right angle northerly and along said easterly line of Angelica street 166 feet to the point of beginning. (Claim dated July 21, 1926.)

Appropriation, $1,000, Payment to Elizabeth A. Fuidge for Land on Rivera Street Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Elizabeth A. Fuidge; being payment for lands on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 286 feet 10 inches northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25949 (New Series); required for school purposes. (Claim dated July 26, 1926.)

Appropriation, $750, Payment to Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Co. for Right of Way for Widening State Highway South of Colma.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $750 be and the same is autorized in payment out of $150,000 set aside out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series) to Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Co.; being payment for right of way lands for the widening of the State Highway south of Colma, San Mateo County, California. (Claim dated July 15, 1926.)

Appropriation, $5,000, Out of Urgent Necessity Fund for Improvement to the Aquatic Park.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, for improvements at the Aquatic Park.

Appropriation, $3,000, Out of County Roads Fund to Cover Cost of Drainage Work, Castro Street B tween Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund to cover the cost of drainage work in connection with the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets.

Appropriation, $10,000, Construction of Three Additional Rooms, Spring Valley School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the construction of three additional rooms at the Spring Valley School, including possible extras, incidentals and inspection. (Voght & Dividson, Inc., contract at $9,240.)

Appropriation, $1,300, Repairs to Main Sewer, Winnipeg Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,300 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstrction of Main Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for repairs to main sewer in Winnipeg avenue at Farragut avenue.

Appropriating $210.78 for Lighting Fixtures in the Sheriff's Office.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25976 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $210.78 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 68, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the furnishing and installing of eighteen light fixtures in the offices of the Sheriff, City Hall.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

H. A. Mason Appointed Secretary of Advisory Committee, Board of Equalization, at an Increased Compensation.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25977 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. A. Mason, Bond and Ordinance Clerk of this Board, be assigned to duty as Secretary of the Advisory Committee to the Board of Equalization, in addition to his duties as Assistant Clerk, and to receive as compensation in addition to his present salary the sum of one hundred dollars a month, payable out of Budget Item No. 62, 1926-1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25978 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets, southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Joseph Silvia and Lillian G. Silvia, $4,500—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 77 feet southerly from the southerly line of Brook street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Jose avenue 25 feet to the southerly boundary of the property of Joseph Silvia and Lillian G. Silvia; thence deflecting 112 deg. 18 min. 51 sec. to the left and running easterly along said southerly boundary 10.118 feet to the proposed easterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 73 deg. 8 min to the left and running northerly along said proposed easterly line 24.107 feet to the northerly boundary of the property of Joseph Silvia and Lillian G. Silvia; thence deflecting 106 deg. 24 min. 38 sec. to the left and running westerly along said northerly boundary 7.631 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 394, Precita Valley Lands.

It is understood and agreed that the improvements partially located on the above described parcel of land are to become the property of the City and County of San Francisco.

August Chazel and Elizabeth Chazel, $75—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Brook street with the easterly line of San Jose avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Jose avenue 26 feet to the southerly boundary of the property of August Chazel and Elizabeth Chazel; thence deflecting 111 deg. 41 min. 45 sec. to the left and running easterly along said boundary 2.571 feet to the proposed easterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 73 deg. 45 min. 6 sec. to the left and running northerly along said proposed easterly line 25.163 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 394, Precita Valley Lands.

The proposed sidewalk fronting the adjoining parcel of land will be constructed at the expense of the City.

The above amount of $75 includes damages in full to the fence now located on the above described parcel of land.

Mary M. Bannan and William J. Bannan, $5,500—Lot 2, Block 6661, as per Assessor's Map of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of

the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Accepting Offer of Helen F. Rublee to Sell Land Required for the Extension of Elk Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25979 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the extension of Elk street, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Helen F. Rublee, for herself and as administratrix of the estate of George H. Rublee, deceased, $800—All of Lot 16, Block "Q", as recorded in Map Book "G", pages 80 and 81, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said Helen F. Rublee and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Accepting Offer of Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company to Sell Land Required for Widening of State Highway South of Colma.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25980 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series), set aside, appropriated and authorized out of the County Road Fund the sum of one hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($150,000) for the purpose of acquiring rights of way, etc., for the widening of the State highway south of Colma; and

Whereas, the City's right of way agents have secured an option from the following named property owner for the sum set forth opposite its name, for the following described property, viz.:

Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company, a corporation, $750—All of Lots 7, 8 and a portion of Lot 69 in Block 31, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California," as recorded in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County April 26, 1907, and filed in Book 4 of Maps, at page 68. (As per written offer on file.) And

Whereas, the Division Engineer of the State Highway Commission has approved the said option and the purchase of the said property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the purchase of the said property for the above mentioned sum is hereby approved, and the payment of the above sum to the herein mentioned owner in care of the State Highway Commission is hereby authorized to be made for the said purpose above mentioned, the deed to run to the State of California in such form as the State Highway Commission shall direct.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Clerk to Advertise for Demolition and Removal of St. James Hotel on War Memorial Site.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 25981 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received by this Board on Monday, August 9, 1926, for demolition and removal of the St. James Hotel at the northwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Fulton street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriating $5,000 Out of General Fund for Plans, Etc., Hetch Hetchy Transmission Line, Newark to San Francisco.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That there be and there is hereby appropriated from the moneys in the General Fund, not otherwise appropriated, the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) for the expense of the preparation of plans, specifications, surveys and estimates by the Board of Public Works for the completion of the electrical transmission line of the Hetch Hetchy project, from Newark in the County of Alameda to a point within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the Board of Public Works be and is hereby directed to proceed forthwith with the preparation of plans, specifications, surveys and estimates of cost for said transmission line, and to present said plans, specifications, surveys and estimates of cost thereof to this Board as directed by Resolution No. 25191 (New Series).

Action Deferred.

The following bill was presented and, on motion of Supervisor Kent, *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*:

Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Laguna Street, Second Residential District.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7682, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the north side of Pacific avenue, for a distance of 206 feet and 3 inches easterly from the easterly side of Laguna street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Temporary Building Permit.

Supervisor Marks presented: Resolution No. 25982 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Babetta Schmidt to erect a temporary building in the rear of 1385 Hampshire street for the purpose of covering machinery and tools used for constructing buildings in the block bounded by Hampshire street, Twenty-fifth street, Potrero avenue and playgrounds, the same being for the development of a residential district as defined in the zoning ordinance, this permit not to extend over a period of more than one (1) year.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Zoning Ordinance Amended, Twenty-second Street, Commercial District.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7683, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the following described area in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, viz.:

Commencing at a point distant 149 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, measured at right angles thereto and 82 feet westerly from the westerly line of Capp street, measured at right angles thereto, running thence westerly and parallel with the northerly line of Twenty-second street 40 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 36 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 40 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 36 feet to the point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block 64.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

P. Campiche, west side of Larkin street, 100 feet north of Greenwich street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. W. Dobson, 335 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Fassio, north side of Fell street, 250 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Greenbach, north line of Post street, 200 feet east of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Oliver J. Olson, northeast corner of Camino del Mar and Sea Cliff avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Sloss, north side of Pacific avenue, 150 feet west of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Steur, east side of Hyde street, 100 feet south of O'Farrell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Anton Usnick, southeast corner of O'Farrell and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Rutherford & Hood, 2760 Army street, 15-horsepower.

Western Oil Mills, 746 Sansome street, 20-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Denying Garage Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 25983 (New Seies), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied W. A. Tregay to maintain and operate a public garage at 275 Divisadero street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Garage Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jos. O'Brien be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the west side of Divisadero street, 79 feet 7 inches south of Sacramento street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Award of Contract, Basketball Backstops for Schools.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25984 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Standard Fence Co., Inc., on bids submitted July 19, 1926 (Proposal No. 215), for the following, viz.:

To furnish and install 20 sets of basketball backstops, as per City plan, in the yards of the following schools, viz.:

1.	Argonne	$75.00
2.	Bernal	75.00
3.	Bret Harte	75.00
4.	Bryant	75.00
5.	Commodore Sloat (Annex Yard)	75.00
6.	Crocker Jr. High (2 sets)	150.00
7.	Glen Park (new location)	75.00
8.	Golden Gate	75.00
9.	Hearst	75.00
10.	Junipero Serra	75.00
11.	Kate Kennedy	75.00
12.	Sheridan, Capitol avenue (new yard) ..	75.00
13.	Spring Valley (on level surface of yard)	75.00
14.	Twin Peaks	75.00
15.	Visitacion Valley	75.00
16.	Washington Irving ..	75.00
17.	Winfield Scott	75.00
18.	Yerba Buena	75.00
19.	Cabrillo	75.00

20. To furnish and install 2 backstops at Guadalupe School, posts already installed... 22.00

Total $1,522.00

Said backstops to be covered with Douglas fir, tongue and groove vertical grain, No. 2 clear, and to be thoroughly dry; 1¼x4 inches; properly battened to support baskets; paint same with 3 coats of lead and oil paints.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Disposal of Garbage by "Fill and Cover" Method.

The following resolution heretofore, on June 14, 1926, presented by Supervisor Shannon and referred to the Health Committee, was, on his motion, called up from said committee, the thirty-day limitation having expired:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the disposal of San Francisco's garbage through the operation of the incinerator has resulted in the creation of a disgraceful public nuisance in the very heart of the Potrero District; and

Whereas, it is against public conscience that the citizens, taxpayers and owners of property in its vicinity should be compelled to further bear the insufferable conditions dangerous to health, and seriously detrimental to property values for blocks around, resulting from its operation; and

Whereas, other large cities on the Pacific Coast are successfully and beneficially using the "fill and cover" method of garbage disposal, thereby reclaiming land and filling in swampy areas adjacent to said cities; and

Whereas, the tide lands to the seawall along San Francisco's bay shore should be reclaimed to provide additional industrial sites to care for San Francisco's rapid industrial development; and

Whereas, the "fill and cover" method is recommended by sanitation engineers; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse the "fill and cover" method of garbage disposal and adopts such method as its policy in the disposition of garbage and waste arising in the City and County of San Francisco.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Badaracco, moved that the City Attorney be requested to prepare an ordinance providing for plans, specifications, etc., for the disposal of garbage by the "fill and cover" method.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the foregoing resolution be rereferred to the Health Committee and copies sent to all members. Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Welsh—8.

Noes—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—4.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden—6.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon *withdrew* his motion and declared that he himself would have the City Attorney prepare ordinance.

Allocation of Hetch Hetchy Funds.

The following resolution was introduced by Supervisor Shannon and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 25989 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the proceeds of $45,000,000 of bonds voted by the people in 1910 have been expended on the Hetch Hetchy project in the acquiring of certain lands and rights incidental thereto and in the construction of certain dams, reservoirs, canals, tunnels, pipe lines, power houses, electric transmission lines and other works connected therewith: and

Whereas, a large amount of money must yet be spent extending over a period of several years into the future before the Hetch Hetchy water is brought to the City limits; and

Whereas, electric energy is now being generated at the large Moccasin Creek power plant and at the Early Intake power plant constructed as portions of said project, which energy is now being transmitted over the City's transmission line to a point in the vicinity of Newark where it is taken into the system of the Pacific Gas & Electric Company and distributed to

the inhabitants of the City and County under the terms of an agreement between the City and said company, dated July 1, 1925; and

Whereas, as a result of the operation of said power plants and the distribution of the City's energy to the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco, the City is now receiving a revenue of approximately $2,000,000 a year, resulting in a surplus revenue to the City and County over and above the expenses incident to the operation of said power system; and

Whereas, it is the desire and intention of the Board of Supervisors that the interest on and redemption of that portion of the bond issue of 1910, the proceeds of which were expended on such power development, be paid from the surplus earnings realized from the operation of said electric utility; and

Whereas, it is necessary, before the tax levy for the fiscal year 1926-1927 be fixed, that the amount of proceeds of the 1910 bond issue that were expended in the construction of the water development portion of the Hetch Hetchy project and the amount expended from said proceeds of the bond issue in the construction of the said hydro-electric portion of said project, be fixed and determined by official action of this Board, and a proper allocation of the funds expended on said project as between the water development portion thereof and the electric utility portion thereof be made:

Now, therefore, the Board of Supervisors does hereby request and direct the Public Utilities Committee of this Board to report to this Board at its next regular meeting a proper ordinance which will determine the amount actually expended from the proceeds of said 1910 bond issue in the construction and installation of all electrical equipment, including penstocks, power plants, transmission lines and stations constructed for or used in and about the development and distribution of said electrical energy in said Hetch Hetchy project and also to allocate and determine the proper amount of the other expenditures made in the development of said Hetch Hetchy water project that can or should be reasonably charged to the development of said electric energy.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Municipal Ownership.

The following statement was made by Supervisor Havenner for the record:

This is an appropriate time to remove the mask of pretended good citizenship and disinterested public service from Mr. Adolph Uhl.

Only a short time ago, within the space of the last three years, I believe, Mr. Uhl appeared before this Board of Supervisors in the guise of a friend of municipal ownership and presented a plan for the development of the municipal power project which was very much in accord with the progressive steps that have already been taken by this Board.

Today Mr. Uhl is displaying an inexplicable change of heart. Indeed, every move he has made recently indicates that he has become a bitter foe of municipal ownership, and, whether by definite arrangement or not, an untiring ally of the private power corporations and other interests which are seeking to sabotage and ultimately to defeat the program of public development to which our municipality and our citizens are committed. Acting on a legal technicality, Mr. Uhl has held up, by obstructionist tactics, in the courts, the appropriation which this Board authorized for the preliminary surveys for the construction of a transmission line to bring our San Francisco power to the homes of our citizens.

In the last municipal campaign there were two paramount issues which were submitted to the voters in the shape of a popular referendum. These were the reduction, by proper economy, of our mounting tax rate, and a pledge to bring into effect, at the earliest possible moment, municipal distribution of power. These issues were so thoroughly advertised that every citizen of San Francisco knew exactly the questions upon which he or she was voting. The leader of the ticket of supervisorial candidates which was pledged to carry out the program of municipal distribution of power received at the polls twice as many votes as the leader of the ticket which had stood for delay and procrastination.

Mr. Uhl's present activities clearly established him as the friend and active leader of the group of special interests which are endeav-

oring to defeat this overwhelming mandate of our people.

In further evidence of the present purposes and activities of Mr. Uhl, I read a letter which he has mailed, I am informed, to a number of citizens of San Francisco, whom he believes to be opposed to municipal ownership.

The letter from Mr. Uhl is as follows:

San Francisco, July 19, 1926.

We have reached the time when the income from the sale of power if applied to payment of interest on Hetch Hetchy bonds will further reduce the tax rate about twenty cents.

A lawsuit is necessary to accomplish same due to recent action of the Supervisors in creating that power operating fund. I therefore have filed a mandamus action in the Supreme Court to compel the Supervisors to transfer the revenue amounting to $1,752,000 from the power operating fund to the water operating fund.

Charter provides when a utility has been voted, an operating fund of that utility shall be created and into which the revenue of that utility shall be deposited. No power utility having as yet been voted, it is illegal for the Supervisors to create a power operating fund.

If a favorable decision is rendered in this action there will be an annual saving to you of five per cent in your taxes.

Five thousand dollars is the estimated cost of this Supreme Court action, together with the distribution of 120,000 circulars which set forth concrete facts that will influence the defeat of a bond election that will be called this fall for purchase of a power distributing system (copy of circular under separate cover).

Will you kindly contribute $.... toward these costs?

Yours truly,

(Signed) ADOLPH UHL.

Privilege of the Floor.

Adolph Uhl was granted the privilege of the floor. In his reply to Mr. Havenner and response to questions by members of the Board, Mr. Uhl stated that he is in favor of municipal ownership but also in favor of private corporation operation and distribution.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $5,000, Out of General Fund, for Plans, Etc., Hetch Hetchy Transmission Line From Newark to San Francisco.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That there be and there is hereby appropriated from the moneys in the General Fund, not otherwise appropriated, the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) for the expense of the preparation of plans, specifications, surveys and estimates by the Board of Public Works for the completion of the electrical transmission line of the Hetch Hetchy project from Newark, in the County of Alameda, to a point within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the Board of Public Works be and is hereby directed to proceed forthwith with the preparation of plans, specifications, surveys and estimates of cost for said transmission line, and to present said plans, specifications, surveys and estimates of cost thereof to this Board as directed by Resolution No. 25191 (New Series).

Survey of Teams Required.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco, the Board of Public Works was directed to file with the Supplies Committee of the Board of Supervisors, before Thursday, July 29, 5 p. m., an estimate of teams required, designating number of single teams, double teams and trucks.

Carmen Ask Raise In Pay.

A communication from the Labor Council, enclosing a resolution adopted by the Council, requests the Board of Supervisors to request the Mayor to ask the Board of Public Works to grant an increase in pay to the four classes of men who did not participate in the recent wage increase, viz.: the janitors, car repairers, trackmen and electrical employees.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Civil Service Preference for War Veterans.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Proposed Charter Amendment No. ———

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 22, Article XIII, relating to Civil Service pref-ernce to war veterans.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the next general election, to be held on the 2d of November, 1926, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

That Section 22, Article XIII, is hereby amended as follows:

Preference to War Veterans.

Section 22. The term "veteran" as used in this section shall be taken to mean any person who has served in the Army, Navy or Marine Corps of the United States in time of war, or in any expedition of the armed forces of the United States, and received an honorable discharge or certificate of honorable active service.

The Civil Service Commission shall by rule establish preference for veterans as follows: In the case of entrance examinations to establish elegible lists in the Police and Fire Departments, veterans who become eligible for appointment by obtaining the passing mark established for the examination whose service as veterans exceeds three months shall be classified on such eligible lists in the relative order of the individual ratings attained, and ahead of all non-veterans passing such examinations, and shall be eligible for appointment on the basis of such order of standing on such eligible lists. This preference shall expire five years after the ratification of this amendment.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Board of Public Works to File With Supplies Committee Estimate of Teams Required.

Resolution No. 25985 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be directed to file with the Supplies Committee, on or before Thursday, July 29, 1926, at 5 o'clock p. m., the following:

An estimate of services for the month of August, 1926, designating the Bureau Division or Sub-department requiring such service, together with full description of kind of service for

1. Single teams.
2. Double teams.
3. Trucks.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors, July 26, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Garage and Oil Station Permits on Divisadero Street to Be Refused.

Supervisor McSheehy presented for Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that, in the face of the many protests filed against petitions for supply station and public garage permits on Divisadero street, and with a desire to aid the merchants and property owners in the upbuilding of said street, all persons are hereby notified that no further applications for supply station and public garage permits on Divisadero street between Sacramento street and Haight street will be considered by the Fire Committee of this Board, except by the unanimous consent of all property owners and merchants thereon.

Over one week.

Award of Contract, Aluminum Ware.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 25987 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on aluminum ware for Relief Home on bids submitted July 6, 1926 (Proposal No. 212), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—3 No. 507 saucepans, $4.25 each; 1 cover for No. 507 saucepan, 40c; extension, $13.15; Palace Hardware Co.

Item No. 2—3 No. 508 saucepans, $6.35 each; 1 cover for No. 508 saucepan, $1.45; extension, $20.50; Levenson Company.

Item No. 3—3 No. 509 saucepans, $9.50 each; 1 cover for No. 509 saucepan, $1.60; extension, $30.10; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 4—3 No. 510 saucepans, $12.15 each; 1 cover for No. 510 saucepan, $1.90; extension, $38.35; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 5—3 No. 512 saucepans, $17.00 each; 1 cover for No. 512 saucepan, $2.15; extension, $53.15; Levenson Company.

Item No. 6—3 No. 513 saucepans, $22.45 each; 1 cover for No. 513 saucepan, $3.35; extension, $69.70; Levenson Company.

Item No. 7—3 No. 514 saucepans, $25.55 each; 1 cover for No. 514 saucepan, $2.60; extension, $79.25; Levenson Company.

Item No. 8—1 No. 780 cruller pan, $20.45; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 9—1 No. 1144 saute pan, $12.30; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 10—1 No. 1128 saute pan, $19.15; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 11—3 No. 419 shallow saucepans, $4.70 each; 1 cover for

No. 419 saucepan, $1.60; extension, $18.90; Levenson Company.

Item No. 12—4 No. 420 shallow saucepans, $6.85 each; 1 cover for No. 420 saucepan, $1.90; extension, $29.30; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 13—2 No. 422 shallow saucepans, $8.65 each; 1 cover for No. 422 saucepan, $2.15; extension, $19.45; Levenson Company.

Item No. 14—2 No. 423 shallow saucepans, $9.90 each; 1 cover for No. 423 saucepan, $2.35; extension, $22.15; Levenson Company.

Item No. 15—2 No. 424 shallow saucepans, $11.40 each; 1 cover for No. 424 saucepan, $2.60; extension, $25.40; Levenson Company.

Item No. 16—1 No. 1213 deep saucepan and cover, $7.35; Mangrum & Otter, Inc.

Item No. 17—1 No. 1215 deep saucepan and cover, $9.50; Mangrum & Otter, Inc.

Item No. 18—3 No. 813 deep stockpots, $25.80 each; 1 cover for No. 813 deep stockpot $2.35; extension $84.45; Chas. Brown & Sons.

Item No. 19—3 No. 814 deep stockpots, $29.90 each; 1 cover for No. 814 deep stockpot, $2.60; extension $92.30; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 20—3 No. 816 deep stockpots $38.30 each; 1 cover for No. 816 deep stockpot, $3.00; extension, $117.90; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 21—6 No. 950 cafeteria ladles, $0.39 each; extension, $2.34; Levenson Company.

Item No. 22—6 No. 951 cafeteria ladles, $0.41 each; extension, $2.46; Levenson Company.

Item No. 23—20 No. 948 hotel ladles, $1.40 each; extension, $28; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 24—20 No. 949 hotel ladles, $1.65 each; extension, $33; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 25—12 No. 789 steam table pots, $4.25 each; 4 covers for No. 789 steam table pots, $1.90 each; extension, $58.60; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 26—12 No. 407 fry pans, $1.45 each; extension, $17.40; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 27—6 No. 107 muffin pans, $1.40 each; extension, $8.40; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Item No. 28—3 No. 221 dippers, $2.55 each; extension, $7.65; Fred S. Campbell Co.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Zoning Law Amendment, Extension of Commercial Zone, Russia Avenue and London Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7682, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to extend the Commercial District on the northwest corner of Russia avenue and London street to line 150 feet northerly from Russia avenue.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles L. Harney is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Judson avenue between Foerster street and a point 250 feet easterly therefrom, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Charles L. Harney, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Carquinez Straits' Bridge Endorsed.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 25986 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a proposition is pending before the Board of Supervisors of Contra Costa County to construct a bridge across the Carquinez Straits; therefore,

Resolved, That this project should be encouraged as being one of the essentials in the development of the State and commend the same as worthy of serious consideration.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

City Attorney to Advise on Proceedings Relative to Bridge Applications.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 25988 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is of the utmost importance to the people of San Francisco that all of the proceedings relative to pending applications for bridge franchises be in strict conformity with provisions of law and that the Board of Supervisors, in its hearings and consequent determination of the pending applications, proceed upon engineering advice which shall be sound, unbiased and based solely upon consideration of public welfare; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be requested to examine all of the proceedings relative to pending applications for bridge franchises and to advise the Board prior to the commencement of hearings on August 3, 1926, whether or not such proceedings are in proper form; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be requested to attend all of the hearings of the Board upon bridge franchise applications, for the purpose of guiding and advising the Board with respect to all legal details in connection with such applications; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer and State Engineer be requested to attend all of the hearings of the Board upon bridge franchise applications, for the purpose of assisting the Board with respect to all engineering details in connection with such applications.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business. the Board at the hour of 5:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 8, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 31

Monday, August 2, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 2, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 2, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Rezoning Chestnut Street, Commercial District.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Marina Home Owners' Protective Association, by H. A. Jacobi, president, presenting protest of residents and property owners of the Marina District against the proposed rezoning of Chestnut street in the Commercial District.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from the Marina District Improvement Association, requesting rezoning of both sides of Chestnut street from Fillmore to Divisadero street into a Commercial District.

Ordered *filed.*

Trocadero Bowl.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication, from Mabel W. Hawkins, suggesting the El Trocadero property adjoining Sloat boulevard as a suitable site for outdoor bowl similar to the one at Hollywood.

Referred to Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Cost of Cleaning Lagoon at the Palace of Fine Arts.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Park Commission, furnishing details of the cost of the work performed in cleaning the Lagoon at the Palace of Fine Arts in the sum of $5,833.12, and stating that the job is not yet finished.

Ordered *filed.*

Assignment of Ferry Franchise by A. O. Stewart to Golden Gate Ferry Company.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from A. O. Stewart, requesting permission, right and authority to assign to the Golden Gate Ferry Company his franchise for a public ferry between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Alameda, which franchise was granted to him on the 6th day of July, 1926, by Ordinance No. 7167 (New Series).

Ordinance *passed to print.*

Carmen's Wages.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco, a committee was appointed consisting of Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon and Stanton to wait upon the Mayor in connection with Resolution No. 26008, adopted today, with respect to increase in carmen's wage.

Park to Be Named.

Members of the Excelsior Peoples Improvement Association and the South San Francisco Merchants Association appeared before the Board and requested that the park which has been unofficially designated as South San Francisco Park be named John McLaren Park in honor of our Superintendent of Parks.

The matter was *referred to the Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

Mayor' Veto, Traffic Signals.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of ordinance entitled "Providing for the selection and location of traffic signals and safety zones on the

streets of the City and County of San Francisco."

July 26, 1926—*Over one week.*

Question: Shall the ordinance finally pass, notwithstanding the objection of his Honor the Mayor?

Mayor's Veto Sustained.

Supervisor Todd, declaring that he intended to bring in a new bill, moved that the Mayor's veto on the foregoing be sustained.

Motion *carried unanimously.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Science Equipment.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for science equipment for the High School of Commerce and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Machinery and Tools.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for machinery and tools for the Noe Valley Junior High School and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Lumber.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for lumber for Industrial Arts Department of the School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Fire Hydrants.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for fire hydrants for the Fire De-Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Gymnasium Equipment.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for gymnasium equipment for School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Men's Suits.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for men's suits for Relief Home and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

SPECIAL ORDERS.

Rezoning Chestnut street from Second Residential to Commercial. Consideration of the report of the City Planning Committee for the rezoning of Chestnut street between Fillmore street and Divisadero street from Second Residential zone to Commercial zone fixed for the hour of 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Marks, chairman of the City Planning Committee, had supplied each member of the Board with a photostat copy of an elaborate report and drawings of the portion of Chestnut street which the Board had been petitioned to rezone, viz.: Between Fillmore and Divisadero streets.

The report recommended that the petition be denied as to the north side of Chestnut street between Fillmore and Divisadero streets and on the south side of Chestnut street between Divisadero and Scott streets. A resolution was adopted by the Board denying this portion of the petition.

Supervisor Marks suggested that the Board adopt a resolution endorsing the policy outlined in his report in reference to the south side of Chestnut street between Scott and Fillmore streets; that is, that if it is found that it would be developed as a Commercial District the change in zone be made.

As there seemed to be a slight divergence of opinion in regard to that particular portion of the street, it was referred back to commitee, so that all may have an opportunity to further investigate the situation.

Bridge Application, G. Lindenthal.

The Clerk read:

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described lines.

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Welch moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until 10 a. m., August 3, 1926.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 25991 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Federal Pipe and Supply Co., plumbing supplies for parks (claim dated June 30, 1926), $866.26.

(2) Gantner & Mattern Co., swimming suits for baths (claim dated June 30, 1926), $632.33.

(3) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 30, 1926), $4,194.93.

(4) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated June 30, 1926), $4,938.50.

(5) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, park employees (claim dated June 30, 1926), $658.58.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(6) Capital Decorating and Manufacturing Co., city decorations for account Pacific Ad Clubs Convention, etc., for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,250.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(7) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., painting of bridge piers, etc., Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,281.40.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) General Electric Co., parts for electric locomotives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 15, 1926), $697.26.

(9) Hercules Powder Co., Inc., explosives for Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated July 15, 1926), $1,105.10.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(10) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,550.90.

(11) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement for June, 1926, under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated July 16, 1926), $1,585.94.

(12) Market Street Railway Company, electric power, labor and materials furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated July 16, 1926), $3,394.03.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(13) F. L. Hanson, fifth payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated July 19, 1926), $18,763.42.

(14) E. K. Nelson, first payment, moving and alterations to Sherman School (claim dated July 19, 1926), $2,790.

(15) Joseph & Stone, second payment, architectural service for Portola Junior High School (claim dated July 21, 1926), $13,806.93.

(16) Pacific Electric Construction Co., final payment, electrical work on Alamo School (claim dated July 21, 1926), $3,721.64.

Special School Tax.

(17) Bureau of Street Repair, repairs to yard of Sheridan School (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,148.73.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(18) L. P. Brassey, fourth payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated July 19, 1926), $13,123.56.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(19) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1926), $631.78.

(20) Garcia & Maggini Co., potatoes for County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1926), $640.28.

(21) Baumgarten Bros., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1926), $644.56.

(22) Pacific Gas and Electric Co. gas service for County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1926), $741.18.

(23) California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1926), $789.47.

(24) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of inmates, month of May (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,163.21.

(25) Preston School of Industry. maintenence of inmates, month of June (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,079.32.

(26) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated June 30, 1926). $704.

(27) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for June (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,166.90.

(28) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated June 30, 1926), $626.04.

(29) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated June 30, 1926), $917.60.

(30) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,285.48.

(31) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,357.09.

(32) Dan P. Maher Co., varnish, shellac, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $737.46.

(33) Board of Public Works,

labor and materials furnished the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $942.75.

(34) William Speck, furnishing and repairing revolving bridge carriage at Sixth Street Bridge (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,845.

(35) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,285.69.

(36) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Dept. buildings (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,940.59.

(37) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, removing and installing hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,317.24.

(38) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $782.32.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(39) A. Carlisle & Co., printing, Department of Elections (claim dated July 12, 1926), $1,397.

(40) The Envelope Corporation, envelopes, Department of Elections (claim dated July 1, 1926), $372.

(41) Levison Printing Co., printing rosters, etc., for Department of Elections (claim dated July 1, 1926), $564.

(42) Automatic Registering Machine Co., 75 voting machines, Department of Elections (claim dated July 22, 1926), $84,375.

(43) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated July 22, 1926), $713.

(44) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated July 26, 1926), $1,209.67.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Appropriation, $13,650.94, Condemnation Award, Mary Swift Property, Angelica Street and Cunningham Place Required for Mission Playground.

Resolution No. 25992 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $13,650.94 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund (Appropriation 49), Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to City Title Insurance Company, San Francisco, being final payment on account of award made in Superior Court Action No. 141073 (condemnation of lands for Mission Playground, known as the Mary E. Swift property); pursuant to Ordinance No. 6398 (New Series); said final payment including balance of principal, interest and taxes. Said lands beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Angelica street with the southerly line of Cunningham place, running thence easterly and along the southerly line of Cunningham place 262 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 166 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 262 feet, and thence at a right angle northerly and along said easterly line of Angelica street 166 feet to the point of beginning. (Claim dated July 21, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Appropriation, $1,000, Payment to Elizabeth A. Fuidge for Land on Rivera Street Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 25993 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Elizabeth A. Fuidge; being payment for lands on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 286 feet 10 inches northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25949 (New Series); required for school purposes. (Claim dated July 26, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Appropriation, $750, Payment to Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Co. for Right of Way for Widening State Highway South of Colma.

Resolution No. 25994 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $750 be and the same is autorized in payment out of $150,000 set aside out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series) to Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Co.; being payment for right of way lands for the widening of the State Highway south of Colma, San

Mateo County, California. (Claim dated July 15, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Appropriation, $5,000, Out of Urgent Necessity Fund for Improvement to the Aquatic Park.

Resolution No. 25995 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, for improvements at the Aquatic Park.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Appropriation, $3,000, Out of County Roads Fund to Cover Cost of Drainage Work, Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Resolution No. 25996 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund to cover the cost of drainage work in connection with the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Appropriation, $10,000, Construction of Three Additional Rooms, Spring Valley School.

Resolution No. 25997 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the construction of three additional rooms at the Spring Valley School, including possible extras, incidentals and inspection. (Voght & Dividson, Inc., contract at $9,240.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Appropriation, $1,300, Repairs to Main Sewer, Winnipeg Avenue.

Resolution No. 25998 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,300 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstrction of Main Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for repairs to main sewer in Winnipeg avenue at Farragut avenue.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Appropriating $5,000 Out of General Fund for Plans, Etc., Hetch Hetchy Transmission Line, Newark to San Francisco.

Resolution No. 25999 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That there be and there is hereby appropriated from the moneys in the General Fund, not otherwise appropriated, the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) for the expense of the preparation of plans, specifications, surveys and estimates by the Board of Public Works for the completion of the electrical transmission line of the Hetch Hetchy project, from Newark in the County of Alameda to a point within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the Board of Public Works be and is hereby directed to proceed forthwith with the preparation of plans, specifications, surveys and estimates of cost for said transmission line, and to present said plans, specifications, surveys and estimates of cost thereof to this Board as directed by Resolution No. 25191 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Colman explained his vote by saying:

I want to be recorded as voting *no* on No. 10. I consider it a repetition. The reasons are very brief:

The City is now conducting evaluation proceedings — the Railroad Commission is—and the City is paying for that.

The object of the evaluation is to determine the price of the public utilities and placing the amount of severance charge. The object of this is to call a bond election at the earliest possible moment to put the question up to the people of San Francisco as to whether they will vote the money to embark in the power business, and in my opinion that could wait until these figures are determined and the people have had an opportunity of deciding by their ballots before expending any money on the matter of the retail distribution of the power.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26000 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

P. Campiche, west side of Larkin street, 100 feet north of Greenwich street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. W. Dobson, 335 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Fassio, north side of Fell street, 250 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Greenbach, north line of Post street, 200 feet east of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Oliver J. Olson, northeast corner of Camino del Mar and Sea Cliff avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Sloss, north side of Pacific avenue, 150 feet west of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Steur, east side of Hyde street, 100 feet south of O'Farrell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Anton Usnick, southeast corner of O'Farrell and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Rutherford & Hood, 2760 Army street, 15-horsepower.

Western Oil Mills, 746 Sansome street, 20-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26001 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jos. O'Brien be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the west side of Divisadero street, 79 feet 7 inches south of Sacramento street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26002 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles L. Harney is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Judson avenue between Foerster street and a point 250 feet easterly therefrom, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Charles L. Harney, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Zoning Law Amendment, Extension of Commercial Zone, Russia Avenue and London Street.

Bill No. 7682, Ordinance No. 7194 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Ordinance No. 5464 (New Se-

ries), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to extend the Commercial District on the northwest corner of Russia avenue and London street to line 150 feet northerly from Russia avenue.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Zoning Ordinance Amended, Twenty-second Street, Commercial District.

Bill No. 7683, Ordinance No. 7195 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the following described area in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, viz.:

Commencing at a point distant 149 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second street, measured at right angles thereto and 82 feet westerly from the westerly line of Capp street, measured at right angles thereto, running thence westerly and parallel with the northerly line of Twenty-second street 40 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 36 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 40 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 36 feet to the point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block 64.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up, and, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $2,228, Underpinning Engine House No. 17.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,228 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, fiscal year 1925-1926, as set aside and appropriated by Resolution No. 25797 (New Series), to Macdonald & Kahn; beirg payment for underpinning of the east wall of Fire Deparment Engine House No. 17, Mint avenue and Stevenson street. (Claim dated June 30, 1926.)

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $49,523.37, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) California Corrugated Culvert Co., corrugated culverts for parks (claim dated July 30, 1926), $740.21.

(2) Robert A. Smith, Inc., one Chevrolet coupe for parks (claim dated July 30, 1926), $602.75.

Publicity and Advertising, 1925-1926 (*Appropriation* 55).

(3) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, convention expense for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 30, 1926), $701.35.

Special School Tax.

(4) S. W. Band, fourth payment, plumbing, etc., for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated July 28, 1926), $2,280.

(5) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., galvanized iron and tin for school repairs (claim dated June 30, 1926), $924.95.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(6) Crown Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for Edison School (claim dated July 27, 1926), $1,120.71.

(7) Crown Electric Co., seventh payment, electrical work for New Mission High School (claim dated July 27, 1926), $2,000.04.

(8) E. H. Flagg Scenic Co., first payment, stage fittings, etc., for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated July 27, 1926), $3,084.38.

(9) James L. McLaughlin Co., acceptance payment, general construction of Alamo School (claim dated July 28, 1926), $85,740.56.

(10) Wm. F. Wilson Co. (assignee), final payment, plumbing for Alvarado School (claim dated July 28, 1926), $3,458.75.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, hardware for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 24, 1926), $797.70.

(12) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, hardware for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 24, 1926), $634.84.

(13) C. F. Braun & Co., cooling towers for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $3,800.08.

(14) Garfield & Co., locomotive parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $536.80.

(15) Hales & Symons, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $813.46.

(16) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $1,351.60.

(17) J. F. Mitchell, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $601.95.

(18) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chain, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $1,043.87.

(19) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $607.25.

(20) Waterbury Company, rope, cable, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $551.48.

(21) Gilmore Iron and Steel Co., iron and steel for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 22, 1926), $1.649.88.

(22) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $916.68.

(23) Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 27, 1926), $4,681.25.

(24) Hales & Symons, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 27, 1926), $944.20.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated July 27, 1926), $1,615.90.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) The Leader, printing of delinquent tax list (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,728.59.

(27) California Cotton Mills Co., towels, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $685.19.

(28) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., resurfacing of streets (claim dated June 30, 1926), $838.71.

(29) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,481.47.

(30) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,410.23.

(31) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, etc., for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,093.82.

(32) Western Rock Products Co., rock dust and sand for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $4,770.74.

(33) Crown Electric Co., second payment, electrical work, Children's Ward, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $550.36.

(34) Dieterich Post Co., blue print paper and cloth, Bureau of Engineering (claim dated June 30, 1926), $516.24.

(35) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,891.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(36) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Aug. 2, 1926), $562.35.

(37) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Aug. 1, 1926), $750.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated July 29, 1926), $14,274.70.

(39) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for August (claim dated Aug. 2, 1926), $625.

(40) Louis T. Samuels, rent of three floors, building 333 Kearny street, July 4 to Aug. 3, 1926 (claim dated Aug. 2, 1926), $1,186.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(41) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,424.07.

(42) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting, month of June, 1926 (claim dated June 30, 1926), $50,359.33.

Steinhart Aquarium (Appropriation 57).

(43) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of July, 1926

(claim dated July 31, 1926), $3,749.30.

Appropriation, $32,640, Payment to Spring Valley Water Company for Lake Merced Lands Used for Playground Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $32,640 be and the same is hereby set aside out of "Purchase of Lands from Spring Valley Water Company, Contract," Budget Item No. 52, and authorized in payment to the Spring Valley Water Company; being payment as per lease agreement dated July 1, 1922, covering 60 acres of Lake Merced Rancho lands bordering the ocean at Sloat boulevard, and used for playground purposes. (Claim dated August 2, 1926.)

Appropriation, $800, Payment to Ellen F. Rublee for Land Required for the Improvement of Elk Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $800 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment out of "Improvement of Elk Street," Budget Item No. 82a, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, in payment to Helen F. Rublee, care of City Title Insurance Company; being payment for all of Lot 16, Block "Q", as recorded in Map Book "G", pages 80 and 81, of the City and County, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25979 (New Series), and required for the improvement of Elk street. (Claim dated July 28, 1926.)

Appropriations in Payment for Lands Required for Opening and Widening Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening and widening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Joseph Silvia and Lilian G. Silvia, for property on the easterly line of San Jose avenue, commencing 77 feet southerly from the southerly line of Brook street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Jose avenue 25 feet; being of irregular dimensions, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25978 (New Series) (claim dated July 26, 1926), $4,500.

(2) To Mary M. Bannan and William J. Bannan, for Lot 2, Block No. 6661, as per Assessor's Map Book, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25978 (New Series) (claim dated July 27, 1926), $5,500.

Appropriations for Pedestrian Markers, Painting San Francisco Hospital, Reconstructing Retaining Wall, Twentieth Avenue, and Improvement of Stanyan Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Traffic Signals, etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(1) For purchase of 60,000 pedestrian lane markers for regulation of street traffic, $7,200.

Painting San Francisco Hospital, Budget Item No. 59.

(2) For painting at the San Francisco Hospital, $10,000.

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 36.

(3) For cost of reconstructing retaining wall at the northerly termination of Twentieth avenue, near Presidio line, $2,400.

County Road Fund.

(4) For the improvement of Stanyan street from Fulton to McAllister street, including inspection and possible extras (award to Municipal Construction Company at $10,676.20), $11,500.

Appropriations, Centrifugel Pump, Civic Center Fountains; Reconstructing Drain and Intake Box, Hudson Avenue and Keith Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26003 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, etc., Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For cost of installing Krogh centrifugal pump for Civic Center fountains, $250.

Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 39.

(2) For cost of constructing drain and intake box east of the crossing of Hudson avenue and Keith street, $150.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy,

Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Construction of Forty-third Avenue Main Sewer.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7684, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B", westerly Sunset District; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of said Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B"; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B", in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of the Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B", westerly Sunset District, is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for said Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B", construction, and to enter into contract for the construction of said Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B", in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said sewer construction conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Elk Street.

Also, Bill No. 7685, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Elk street extension, from Bosworth street to Chenery street, by grading; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement of Elk street extension, from Bosworth street to Chenery street, by grading, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Plans, Etc., Improvement of Geneva Avenue Extension.

Also, Bill No. 7686, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for and the improvement of the northerly side of Geneva avenue extension by a twenty-foot pavement, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of the northerly side of Geneva avenue extension, and to enter into contract for the improvement of the northerly side of Geneva avenue extension, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of the northerly side of Geneva avenue extension conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Moving of Auditorium Building, Lick School.

Also, Bill No. 7687, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the moving of the auditorium building of the Lick School, Twenty-fifth and Noe streets, to the site of the Noe Valley School, at Douglass and Elizabeth streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the moving of said auditorium building, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The moving of the auditorium building of the Lick School, Twenty-fifth and Noe streets, to the site of the Noe Valley School, at Douglass and Elizabeth streets, is hereby ordered, and the Board of

Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the moving of said auditorium building, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Preparation of Plans, Etc., Islais Creek Drainage System.

Also, Bill No. 7688, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of the necessary culverts and appurtenances for the Islais Creek drainage system, and ordering the construction of the necessary culverts and appurtenances for the Islais Creek drainage system, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor. Payment for said construction to be borne out of Budget Item No. 39, Fiscal Year 1926-1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of the necessary culverts and appurtenances for the Islais Creek drainage system, and to enter into contract for the construction of the necessary culverts and appurtenances for said Islais Creek drainage svstem, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said construction to be borne out of Budget Item No. 39, Fiscal Year 1926-1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Offers of Thomas E. Allen et al. for Land Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26004 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Thomas E. Allen and Anna U. Allen, $405.—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Tingley street with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 132 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line, parallel with Tingley street, 17.818 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 100 deg. 38 min. 37 sec. to the right and running southwesterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 25.438 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of Tingley street, distant thereon 17.750 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Tingley street 17.750 feet to the ponit of beginning; being portion of Lot 132 of the Demartini Tract.

Harry A. Sanford and Lillian M. Sanford, $233. — Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 50.850 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Theresa street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 93 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line, parallel with Theresa street, 17.269 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 100 deg. 38 min. 37 sec. to the right and running southwesterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, 25.438 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 93; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Theresa street, 17.201 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 93 of the Demartini Tract.

Warren Powers and Margaret Powers, $25.50.—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Capistrano avenue with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 29.61 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 1, Block "A" of Mission Terrace; thence deflecting 85 deg. 8 min. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 3.209 feet; thence deflecting 100 deg. 37 min. to the right and running southwesterly 30.017 feet to the northeasterly line of Capistrano avenue; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Capistrano avenue 0.190 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 1 of Block "A" of Mission Terrace.

Warren Powers and Margaret

Powers, $56.25.—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 29.61 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Capistrano avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.09 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 2 of Block "A" of Mission Terrace; thence deflecting 85 deg. 8 min. to the right and running southeasterly 5.766 feet; thence deflecting 100 deg. 37 min. to the right and running southwesterly 24.435 feet to the southwesterly boundary of said Lot 2; thence deflecting 79 deg. 23 min. to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 3.209 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot 2 of Block "A" of Mission Terrace.

John E. Brickley and Dorothy Brickley, $93.75.—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 54.70 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Capistrano avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.09 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 3 of Block "A" of Mission Terrace; thence deflecting 85 deg. 8 min. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 8.324 feet; thence deflecting 100 deg. 37 min. to the right and running southwesterly 25.435 feet to the southwesterly boundary of said Lot 3; thence deflecting 79 deg. 23 min. to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 5.166 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot 3 of Block "A" of Mission Terrace.

Charles Casassa, Jr., and Hazel M. Casassa, $122.—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 79.79 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Capistrano avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.09 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 4 of Block "A" of Mission Terrace; thence deflecting 85 deg. 8 min. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 10.881 feet; thence deflecting 100 deg. 37 min. to the right and running southwesterly 25.435 feet to the southwesterly boundary of said Lot 4; thence deflecting 79 deg. 23 min. to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 8.324 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot 4 of Block "A" of Mission Terrace.

Antone Devencenzi and Lena Devencenzi, $222.50.—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 50.850 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Tingley street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the southwesterly boundary of Lot 96 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary, parallel with Tingley street, 17.407 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 79 deg. 21 min. 23 sec. to the left and running northeasterly parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, 25.438 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 96 of the Demartini Tract! thence northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary, parallel with Tingley street, 17.475 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot 96 of the Demartini Tract.

Oscar J. Bruderer and Maria Bruderer, $229.50.—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 25.425 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Tingley street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 133 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line, parallel with Tingley street, 17.886 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 100 deg. 38 min. 37 sec. to the right and running southwesterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, 25.438 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 133; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Tingley street, 17.818 feet to the point of beginning: being portion of Lot 133 of the Demartini Tract.

Earl R. Howard and Regina B. Howard, $180.—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 104.88 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Capistrano avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 29.61 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 5 of Block "A" of Mission Terrace; thence deflecting 85 deg. 8 min. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 13.899 feet; thence deflecting 100 deg. 37 min. to the right and running southwesterly 30.017 feet to the southwesterly boundary of said Lot 5; thence deflecting 79 deg. 23 min. to the right and running northwesterly 10.881 feet to the point of be-

ginning; being portion of Lot 5 of Block "A" of Mission Terrace.

Mission Terrace Company, a corporation, $7.—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 134.49 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Capistrano avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 1 foot to the southwesterly boundary of the Demartini Tract; thence deflecting 85 deg. 6 min. 23 sec. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 14.003 feet; thence deflecting 100 deg. 38 min. 37 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 1.021 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 5 of Block "A" of Mission Terrace; thence deflecting 79 deg. 23 min. to the right and running northwesterly 13.899 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Block "A" of Mission Terrace.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Accepting Offers of A. B. Harrison et al. to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26005 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alemany boulevard, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

A. B. Harrison and Mary E. Harrison, $500—Beginning at a point on the center line of Ralston street, distant thereon 530.145 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street extended and produced westerly and running thence southerly along the center line of Ralston street produced southerly a distance of 11.124 feet to the northwesterly boundary of the strip of land conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by the Ocean Shore Railroad Company by deed recorded at page 61, Volume 1053, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 115 deg. 58 min. 38 sec. to the left and running northeasterly along said northwesterly boundary 510.843 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right of 1176.28-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 1 deg. 31 min. 27 sec., a distance of 31.292 feet to the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue; thence deflecting 102 deg. 13 min. 52 sec. to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue 10.230 feet to a point distant 10 feet radially northerly from the curve forming the second preceding course; thence westerly on a curve to the left of 1186.28-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 77 deg. 52 min. 25 sec. to the left from the preceding course and concentric with the curve forming the second preceding course, central angle 1 deg. 25 min. 10 sec., a distance of 29.391 feet; thence westerly tangent to the preceding course and parallel with the fourth preceding course and distant 10 feet at right angles northerly therefrom, a distance of 505.971 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of the Davis and Sage Tract.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolu-

tion attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Accepting Offers of Lorenzo Siri et al. to Sell, for $50, Right of Way Required for the Widening of the State Highway South of Colma.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26006 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series), set aside, appropriated and authorized out of the County Road Fund the sum of one hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($150,000) for the purpose of acquiring rights of way, etc., for the widening of the State Highway south of Colma; and

Whereas, the City's right of way agents have secured an option from the following named property owners for the sum set forth opposite their names, for the following described property, viz.:

Lorenzo Siri and Mary Siri and Michele Devincenzi and Palmira Devincenzi, $50—Portion of Lot 10, in Block 31, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Boulevard Park, San Mateo County, California," as recorded in the office of the Recorder of San Mateo County April 26, 1907, and filed in Book 4 of Maps, at page 68. (As per written offer on file.) And

Whereas, the Division Engineer of the State Highway Commission has approved the said option and the purchase of the said property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the purchase of the said property for the above mentioned sum is hereby approved, and the payment of the above sum to the herein mentioned owners in care of the State Highway Commission is hereby authorized to be made for the said purpose above mentioned; the deed to run to the State of California in such form as the State Highway Commission shall direct.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Mayor Directed to Sell Premises No. 3102 Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26007 (New Series), as follows.

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land acquired for the extension of Market street and known as No. 3102 Market street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling house to be removed within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Salary Increase for Platform Men, Municipal Railway.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26008 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to instruct the Board of Public Works to comply with the desires of the Board of Supervisors, previously expressed, to pay an increase of forty cents a day to employees of the Municipal Railway, other than platform men who have already received such increase. This is in pursuance of the understanding and agreement of the Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Amending Zoning Ordinance.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the north side of Pacific avenue, for a distance of 206 feet and 3 inches easterly from the easterly side of Laguna street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Denying Applications to Change Zoning Ordinance.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26009 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That applications to change the north side of Chestnut street between Fillmore and Divisadero streets and the south side of Chestnut street between Divisadero and Scott streets from the Second Residential District to the Commercial District be and the same are hereby denied.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Barrett & Hilp, 3465 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Barrett & Hilp, 3463 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Harris Bread Shop, north side of Balboa street, 200 feet west of Thirty-seventh avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, east side of Anza street, 85 feet north of Twenty-sixth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. J. Vogel, 55 Yerba Buena avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Standard Glass Works, 32 Julian avenue, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That American Furniture Manufacturing Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop at 2800 Twentieth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That D. J. Depaoli be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Howard street, 215 feet west of Third street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Walter Mohns be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply permit heretofore granted by Resolution No. 25297 (New Series) for premises on the southwest corner of Jackson street and Van Ness avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Youdall Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts in connection with the construction of the Sunset tunnel (Duboce avenue route), provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 11 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed

to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Youdall Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing hereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Approval of Taxicab Stands.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26010 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

White Top Cab Co., at 891 Geary street.

A. G. Barnes, at 110 Eddy street.

Yellow Checker Cab Co., at 501 Taylor street and 2-6 Hyde street.

Ed Rowland, at 618 Broadway.

Market Street Building Corp., at 1180A Market street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Masked Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26011 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Samuel M. Shortridge Non-Partisan League be granted permission to give a masked ball at the Exposition Auditorium on August 14th, from 8 p. m. to 5 a. m. following, upon the payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havvenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Denial of Garage and Supply Station Permits on Divisadero Street.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor McSheehy and laid over one week, was taken and *referred to Fire Committee*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that, in the face of the many protests filed against petitions for supply stations and public garage permits on Divisadero street, and with a desire to aid the merchants and property owners in the upbuilding of said street, all persons are hereby notified that no further applications for supply station and public garage permits on Divisadero street between Sacramento street and Haight street, will be considered by the Fire Committee of this Board, except by the unanimous consent of all property owners and merchants thereon.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Circus Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Miller Bros. 101 Ranch Real Wild West Shows be granted permission to give an exhibition (circus) on property known as The Marina Tract, bounded by Fillmore, Marina boulevard, Bay and Buchanan streets, September 11, 12 and 13, 1926.

Accepting Offer of James Pembroke to Sell Certain Land on Twenty-third Avenue, North of Santiago street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26012 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from James Pembroke to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate west line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 150 feet northerly from Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,200 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 150 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1099, also known as Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to

be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work on Elizabeth Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7689, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Burnham street, and the intersection of Elizabeth street, Burnham street and Market street*, by grading to official line and grade, and by the construction of the following: Class "A" Portland cement concrete in wall, balustrade, steps and copings, including all excavation and backfill therefor, and including the furnishing and placing of reinforcing steel for same; concrete pavement; artificial stone sidewalks, concrete curbs; warning light for end of retaining wall; 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying Y branches; manholes.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Thirty-fifth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7690, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the

said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Thirty-fifth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets*: *The easterly one-half of Thirty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and* 125 *feet northerly from Kirkham street to a line parallel with and* 175 *feet from Kirkham street; the westerly one-half of Thirty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and* 225 *feet southerly from Judah street to a line parallel with and* 250 *feet southerly from Judah street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary manholes and Y branches that said frontages would be liable for, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadwav thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 7691, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on San Miguel street between Mount Vernon avenue and Ridge lane; on Ridge lane between San Jose avenue and Tara street, and on Caine avenue between Ridge lane and a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 600 feet northerly therefrom."

Also, Bill No. 7692, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Seventeenth avenue between the easterly line of and a line parallel with and 15 feet westerly therefrom, extending from Noriega street to Ortega street, and on Noriega street between the southerly line of and a line parallel with and 4 feet northerly therefrom, extending from Seventeenth avenue to a line 40 feet easterly therefrom."

Also. Bill No. 7693, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lobos street between Plymouth avenue and the easterly termination."

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26013 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 92605 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted July 9, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed July 12, 1926, to-wit:

On Girard street between the northerly line of Mansell street and the northerly line of Ward street; on Brussels street between Dwight and Ward streets; on Goettingen street between Dwight street and Ordway street; on Olmstead street between Girard and Somerset streets; on Mansell street between San Bruno avenue and Somerset street; on Ordway street between the easterly line of Girard street and Goettingen street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment distric is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26014 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 92802 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted July 21, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed July 23, 1926, to-wit:

On Bay View street between the easterly line of Flora street and the intersection of Newhall street, and

on Newhall street between Revere and Thomas avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment distric is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and ther are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26015 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 92606 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted July 9, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed July 12. 1926, to-wit:

On Holladay avenue between a line at right angles to the westerly line of at the northerly line of Joy street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 126.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street; on Faith and Costa streets between San Bruno avenue and Brewster street; on Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and Isabel street, and on Isabel street between Brewster street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 130 feet northerly from Rutledge street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations given above therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26016 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 92390 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted June 23, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed June 23, 1926, to-wit:

On Van Ness avenue between the southerly line of Ellis street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Olive avenue and 7 feet southerly therefrom; on Ellis street between the easterly line of Van Ness avenue and a line parallel with the westerly line of Van Ness avenue and 84.75 feet westerly therefrom, and on Olive avenue between Van Ness avenue and a line parallel with and 50 feet westerly therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Authorizing the Exchange of Deeds for Opening and Widening Certain Streets in Parkside.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26017 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the execution of a deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to affronting and adjacent property owners to portions of streets closed by Res-

olution No. 24988 (New Series), in exchange for property for new streets to be opened and widened in lieu of such closed streets.

Whereas, this Board, on the 28th day of December, 1925 (after proceedings theretofore had, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco), duly adopted Resolution No. 24988 (New Series), closing and abandoning portions of Thirty-sixth, Thirty-fourth, Thirty-third, Thirty-second, Thirty-first, Thirtieth, Twenty-ninth, Twenty-eighth, Twenty-seventh, Twenty-sixth and Twenty-fifth avenues and portions of Wawona street, as in said resolution described; and

Whereas, on the 31st day of December, 1925, said resolution was duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco, owner of lands adjacent to or fronting on the aforesaid portion of said streets so closed and abandoned, has offered to convey, or cause to be conveyed, to the City and County of San Francisco property for the opening and widening of streets in lieu of such portions of streets so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco, pursuant to its aforesaid offer, has caused to be made, executed and delivered to said City and County of San Francisco good and sufficient conveyances vesting in said City and County of San Francisco, for street purposes, the title to the parcels of land hereinafter more particularly described in lieu of said portions of said streets so closed and abandoned as hereinbefore recited; and

Whereas, the said parcels of land so conveyed to said City and County of San Francisco as new streets and portions of streets in lieu of those closed and abandoned as aforesaid are more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of parcels 1, 2 and 3 (except that portion thereof lying within the bounds of the engine lot of the City and County of San Francisco in Outside Lands Block 1273, as said lot and block are delineated and designated on Outside Lands Map of said City and County of San Francisco), 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 19a, 20 and 21 as said parcels of land are delineated and designated on a certain plat entitled, "Plat showing parcels of land in Pine Lake Park to be deeded to the City and County of San Francisco, by the Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco for opening and widening streets," which plat is dated January 2, 1926, and recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book K of Maps, on pages 72, 73 and 74, filed July 28, 1926.

Whereas, it is deemed advisable by this Board of Supervisors that said parcels of land be opened as new streets and portions of streets in lieu of those so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said new streets and portions of streets so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes as aforesaid will and do constitute ample consideration to said City and County for its deed to the portions of said streets closed and abandoned as hereinbefore recited and will be of much greater practical value, both to the City and County of San Francisco and to the general public; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That equity requires that the portions of said streets closed and abandoned as aforesaid should be conveyed by the City and County of San Francisco to said Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco deeds conveying to said Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the parcels of land, situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All those portions of Thirty-sixth, Thirty-fourth, Thirty-third, Thirty-second, Thirty-first, Thirtieth, Twenty-ninth, Twenty-eighth, Twenty-seventh, Twenty-sixth and Twenty-fifth avenues and portions of Wawona street (except Wawona street lying between the easterly line of Twenty-eighth avenue and the median line of Twenty-fifth avenue and Twenty-sixth avenue lying north of Wawona street) as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 24988 (New Series); be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Accepting Deed to Land for Street Purposes in Pine Lake Park Subdivision.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26018 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 29th day of July, 1926, between Parkside Realty Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, conveying lands for street purposes as follows:

All of parcels 1, 2 and 3 (except that portion thereof lying within the bounds of the engine lot of the City and County of San Francisco in Outside Lands Block 1273, as said lot and block are delineated and designated on Outside Land Map of said City and County of San Francisco, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 19A, 20 and 21, as said parcels of land are delinated and designated on a certain plat entitled "Plat showing parcels of land in Pine Lake Park, to be deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco for opening and widening streets," which plat is dated January 2, 1926, and recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, Book "K", pages 72, 73 and 74, July 28, 1926.

is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Haight Street Between Gough and Buchanan Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7694, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventeen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office July 15, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventeen, to read as follows:

Section 917. The width of sidewalks on Haight street between Gough street and Buchanan street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26019 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Radio Terrace and Rock Ridge drive, as shown on map entitled "Amended grade map of a portion of Golden Gate Heights and adjacent streets," dated June, 1926, approved by Resolution No. 92391 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted June 23, 1926.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades on Wilder Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7695, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Wilder street between Carrie street produced and its easterly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Wilder

street between Carrie street produced and its easterly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed July 23, 1926.

Wilder Street.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at its easterly termination, 173 feet.

100 feet easterly from Carrie street produced, 167.32 feet.

50 feet easterly from Carrie street produced, 164.08 feet.

Carrie street produced, 163 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Wilder street between Carrie street produced an its easterly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on O'Farrell Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street.

Also, Bill No. 7696, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and nineteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office July 15, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and nineteen, to read as follows:

Section 919. The width of sidewalks on O'Farrell street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Geary Street.

Also, Bill No. 7697, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and twenty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office July 16, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and twenty, to read as follows:

Section 920. The width of sidewalks on Geary street, the northerly side of, between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue, shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Geary street, the southerly side of, between Divisadero street and Masonic avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Ellis Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street.

Also, Bill No. 7698, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eighteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office July 15, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eighteen, to read as follows:

Section 918. The width of sidewalks on Ellis street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Board of Works to Furnish Estimate of Cost for Widening Alemany Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26020 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has acquired the right of way of the Ocean Shore Railroad Company from San Bruno avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, now known as Alemany avenue boulevard; and

Whereas, it is the intention and policy of this Board to complete Alemany avenue boulevard as soon as possible; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Alemany avenue boulevard be widened to a width of one hundred feet from San Bruno avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works furnish this Board with an estimate of cost of the acquisition of the lands necessary for the widening of Alemany avenue boulevard to a width of one hundred feet.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Approving Bond for the Payment of Taxes, Miraloma Park.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26021 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Mercantile Trust Company of California, a corporation, as principal, and Theodore G. Meyer and G. H. Winter, as sureties, in the sum of one thousand dollars ($1,000), which sum is hereby fixed by this Board, and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against the property as shown on a map entitled "Subdivision No. 2, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California, approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 92636 (Second Series)," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Accepting Deed of Mercantile Trust Company to Land for Street Purposes, Miraloma Park.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26022 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed, executed on the 7th day of June, 1926, between Mercantile Trust Company of California, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying lands for the purpose of opening, laying out and dedicating for street purposes certain lands as shown on map entitled, "Subdivision No. 2, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California," is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Approving Map, Miraloma Park.

Resolution No. 26023 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 92636 (Second Series), approve a map entitled, "Subdivision No. 2, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California;" now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map entitled, "Subdivision No. 2, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Declaring That Public Interest Requires the Closing of a Portion of Clipper Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26024 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Clipper street be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Clipper street described as follows:

All that portion of Clipper street lying between the westerly line of Douglass street and the easterly line of Homestead street.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the

City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Clipper street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Closing and Abandoning Portions of San Juan Avenue and Bauer Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26025 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a portion of San Juan avenue and a portion of Bauer street as hereinafter described.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 26745 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon a portion of San Juan avenue and a portion of Bauer street in the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said portion of San Juan avenue and a portion of Bauer street, as hereinafter described, was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 2, Chapter 3, Article 6, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the said closing and abandonment of said portion of San Juan avenue and a portion of Bauer street was or were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days or at all; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing up of said portion of San Juan avenue and a portion of Bauer street, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary therefor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said portions of San Juan avenue and Bauer street be and the same are hereby closed and abandoned as public streets of the City and County of San Francisco. The said portions of said streets hereinafter referred to and closed and abandoned as public streets are more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

San Juan Avenue.

Commencing at the intersection of the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue with the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue, and running northwesterly along the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue a distance of 76.60 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 425 feet, central angle 10 degrees 12 minutes 22 seconds, a distance of 75.70 feet to a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue if produced northeasterly; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of of Cayuga avenue produced, a distance of 689 feet to its intersection with the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue and point of commencement.

Bauer Street.

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Bauer street, distant thereon 19.22 feet northwesterly from its intersection with the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue, and running northwesterly on a curve to the left, tangent to the aforesaid line of Bauer street, radius 498.44 feet, central angle 17 degrees 17 minutes 46 seconds, a distance of 150.47 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 600.74 feet, central angle 7 degrees 46 minutes 40 seconds, a distance of 81.55 feet to the southeasetrly line of Cayuga avenue if produced southwesterly; thence northeasterly on the aforesaid southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue produced, a distance of 41.81 feet to its intersection with the northeasterly line of Bauer street; thence southeasterly on the northeasterly line of Bauer street 233.02 feet to the point of commencement. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified

copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26026 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 92756 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted July 19, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed July 20, 1926, to-wit:

On Prague street between Russia avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Persia avenue between the easterly line of Prague street and Munich street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Ash Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26027 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that Ash street be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Ash street described as follows, to-wit:

All of that portion of Ash street lying between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street, in Western Addition Block No. 76.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Ash street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Declaring That Public Interest Requires the Closing of a Portion of Birch Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26028 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Birch street be closed and abandoned as hereinafter described. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Birch street described as follows, towit:

Portion of Birch Street to Be Closed.

All of that portion of Birch street lying west of the west line of Van Ness avenue, in Western Addition Block No. 75. Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Birch street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The San Francisco Bulletin," as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Extension of Time, Charles Schadek.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26029 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles Schadek is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 14, 1926, within which to complete the curbing and paving of Forty-third avenue between Geary and Anza streets where not already constructed.

This extension is granted to cover the time necessary for the issuance of the acceptance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Extension of Time, James M. Smith.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26030 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 30, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Saturn street between Ord street and Lower Terrace. The work is practically completed, and the extension is requested in order to keep the contract alive in case of an appeal.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Extension of Time, M. J. Treacy.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26031 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 15, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of the westerly one-half of Twenty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets. The grading, sewers, etc., have been completed, and this extension is requested in order to allow the heavy fill on a portion of this work to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26032 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 92490 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted June 30, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed July 8, 1926, to-wit:

Clementina Street.

275 feet easterly from Third street, 22.25 feet.

150 feet easterly from Third street, 19.80 feet.

50 feet easterly from Third street, 17.75 feet.

On Clementina street between Third street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Third street at Clementina street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26033 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 92757 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted July 19, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed July 20, 1926, to-wit:

On Jennings street between the easterly line of and a line parallel with and 24 feet westerly therefrom, extending from Le Conte avenue to a line parallel with and 75 feet southerly therefrom, and on Le Conte avenue between the southerly line of and a line parallel with and 30 feet northerly therefrom extending from Jennings street to a line parallel with and 100 feet easterly therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent. Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Change of Grades.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7699, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Scott street between Chestnut and Lewis streets; on Divisadero street between Chestnut and Bay streets and between Jefferson and Lewis streets; on Broderick street between Lewis street and a line parallel with Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches southerly therefrom; on Baker street between Francisco and Lewis streets; on Lyon street between Jefferson and Lewis streets; on Chestnut street between Divisadero and Baker streets; on Francisco street between Scott and Broderick streets; on Bay, North Point, Beach and Jefferson streets between Scott and Lyon streets, and on Tonquin and Lewis streets between Pierce and Lyon streets."

Ordering Street Work, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7700, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 18, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Laguna Honda boulevard from a line at right angles to the westerly line of Laguna Honda boulevard and passing through the southerly termination*

of the southwesterly circular curb at the southwesterly corner of Laguna Honda boulevard and Hernandez avenue to the westerly curb line of Portola drive, including certain conform work at the intersection of Laguna Honda boulevard and Portola drive, and including the crossings of Idora avenue and of Ulloa street with Laguna Honda boulevard, and the intersection of Rockaway avenue with Laguna Honda boulevard and Ulloa street, respectively, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the reconstruction and resetting of existing catchbasins; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manhole; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of an asphaltic conform surface, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7701, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adpoting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 20, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Kirkham Street between Sixteenth avenue and the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue; Lurline street between Funston avenue and Kirkham street; Lawton street between Twelfth avenue and Seventeenth avenue, excepting the crossing of Funston avenue and Lawton street; Aloha avenue between Funston avenue and Lomita avenue; Lomita avenue between Lawton street and Sixteenth avenue; Encinal walk between Fourteenth avenue and Grand View Park; Moraga street between Funston avenue and Fourteenth avenue; Moraga street between Fifteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue; Noriega street between Eleventh avenue and Sixteenth avenue; Selma way between Noriega street and Ortega street; Mount Lane bewteen Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue; Ortega street between Eleventh avenue and Fourteenth avenue; Ortega way between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue; Aerial way between Ortega street and Fourteenth avenue; Cascade walk between Ortega street and Pacheco street; Pacheco street between Tenth avenue and Funston avenue; Paacheco street between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue, including that portion of Fifteenth avenue southerly to the crossing of Pacheco street covered by the proposed connecting ramp, wall and stairway; Mandalay lane between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue; Radio Terrace between Twelfth avenue and Fourteenth avenue; Oriole way between Pacheco street and Eleventh avenue; Rockridge drive from Eleventh avenue to the southwesterly line of the intersection of Radio Terrace; Fanning way between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue, including that portion of Fifteenth avenue southerly to the crossing of Quintara street covered by the proposed connecting ramp, wall and stairway; Quintara street from the easterly line of Twelfth avenue to Fifteenth avenue; Eleventh avenue from Noriega street to a line parallel with and* 100 *feet northerly*

therefrom, where not already improved; Eleventh avenue between Noriega street and Pacheco street; Eleventh avenue between Rockridge drive and Quintara street; Twelfth avenue between Moraga street and Noriega street; Twelfth avenue between Pacheco street and a line 119.8 *feet southerly from the southerly line of Radio Terrace; Twelfth avenue from Quintara street northerly to Rockridge drive; Funston avenue between Moraga street and Noriega street; Funston avenue from Pacheco street to Rockridge drive and Twelfth avenue, respectively; Fourteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets; Fifteenth avenue between Kirkham street and the southerly line of Mount Lane produced; Sixteenth avenue between Kirkham street and the northerly line of Noriega street, being* 680 *feet northerly from the northerly line of Ortega street; Seventeenth avenue between Kirkham street and the southerly line of Lawton street, including all intervening crossings*, by grading to official line and grade, and by the construction of the following:

Class "A" Portland cement concrete in walls, balustrades, stairway railings, steps, copings and structures, including all execavation and backfill therefor, and including the furnishing and placing of reinforcing concrete steel for same; mortared rubble walls, including all excavation and backfill therefor; artificial stone sidewalks, brick manholes with appurtenances, brick junction manholes with appurtenaices, brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps, 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer; 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer; 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert; 12-inch class "A" cast iron pipe sewer; storm water inlets with cast iron frames and gratings; red warning lights for ends of retaining walls; drainage sumps for stairway gutters.

Said streets being within and border the district known as Golden Gate Heights, the same being more particularly delineated on accompanying General Plan No. B-6900.4.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7702, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding instaliment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Mount Vernon avenue between Ellington avenue and Huron avenue, where not already so improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width adjacent to the curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7703, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication

filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street wrok, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifiations are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Homestead street, where not already so improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed, and the improvement of the crossing of Twenty-fifth street and Homestead street, by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7704, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Rivera street, and of the crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Santiago street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch, 15-inch and 18-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7705, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Ortega street* by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of the necessary 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26034 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after July 20, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Virginia avenue between Eugenia avenue and Winfield street, under a public contract.

The grading, paving, curbs and sidewalks have been completed and the completion of the work has been delayed on account ow a separate contract for retaining walls, etc.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Resolution No. 26035 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Keystone construction Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty (60) days' time from and after August 12, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets, including the crossing of Nineteenth and Arkansas streets, and Arkansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, under a public contract. The work is practically completed, and this extension is granted pending the issuance of the acceptance of the work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Religious Persecution in Mexico.

Supervisor Todd presented and moved the adoption of the following:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, our neighbor Republic, Mexico, is passing through an ordeal of religious persecution at the hands of a vicious clique of political radicals and other anti-Christian elements thrown up by the disorders of the country and who represent only a very small minority of the great Mexican people who for centuries have been and now are overwhelmingly Christian and Catholic, which clique has taken advantage of the distracted, unarmed and defenseless condition of the mass of

the people to deprive millions of Catholic Christians of their religious and civil liberties; and

Whereas, as the result of a brutal and unwarranted order of the head of this clique, schools, orphanages, homes and convents conducted by the Catholic Church have been taken over by the government in Mexico and many thousands of unfortunate orphan children and dependents have been thrown on their own resources, unprotected and at the mercy of all the evil influences abroad in that helpless nation; and

Whereas, we people of California still have evidence, in the decay of the romantic Missions of this State, of what a previous coterie of Mexican officials did to the wonderful work of Father Junipero Serra and his devoted Catholic missionaries by the secularization of the Missions, and we need no further evidence of the intent and design of the present administration in Mexico; and

Whereas, we of the United States of America, menaced by the pernicious activities of the Know-Nothings, I. W. W., A. P. A. and Ku Klux Klan, which organizations by secret conspiracy and cowardly tactics, by lying and distortion of historical truth, charge the American Catholic with treason to this country, in an attempt to find a basis for depriving him of his civil and religious rights. So the Catholics of Mexico are now being charged for the same purpose. With the example of our own country before us, we are convinced that the Mexican Catholic clergy and laity are no less subject to the same lying propaganda of the same or similar organizations temporarily in power and are now intent upon accomplishing the destruction of all Catholic religious, educational and social activities in Mexico.

Resolved, That we see in this outrageous action of the Mexican government, inciting, provoking and amply justifying incessant revolutions, rebellions and disorders in Mexico, no promise of any stability of government in Mexico for generations to come; religious wars last for centuries and are never settled; wherefore, be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, representing the people of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby condemns this outrageous order of President Calles and deplores the fact that the government in Mexico has the recognition of our own country; and believing that the violence of this persecution done to the fundamental rights of the Mexican people, so much at variance with our own principles of civil and religious liberty, destroys whatever basis may exist for such recognition, we request the President of the United States and our representatives in Washington to reexamine the terms of such recognition with a view to bringing the action of the United States in the matter in conformity with our own principles of government as the only proper basis for recognition.

Further Resolved, That we extend to the oppressed people of Mexico our deepest sympathy in their extremity and suffering, and ask them to take hope and encouragement in their eternal struggle for justice and truth, with the assurance that they have the good-will of all right-thinking and fair-minded people.

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be telegraphed to His Excellency the President of the United States and to our Senators and Congressmen in Washington.

Referred to the Welfare Committee for redrafting.

Subsequently, the following sustitute was offered by Supervisor Havenner and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26036 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, recent press dispatches from our Sister Republic of Mexico report that by official action of the existing government the operation and conduct of the Catholic Church has been interrupted and subjected to arbitrary regulation; and

Whereas, there has been widespread protest against this interruption and regulation on the ground that it constitutes a violation of the principle of religious liberty; and

Whereas, the Government of the United States of America was established on the fundamental principle that there shall be no interference with religious convictions or the practice and enjoyment of religious freedom; therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That we respectfully and urgently petition the President of the United States and Secretary of State to make a most careful and thorough investigation of the reports of discrimination against and unjust treatment of the members and clergy of any church and religious sect in the Republic of Mexico to the end that this government shall not tolerate, in its recognition of any other government, a denial of those rights of religious freedom and belief which

the founders of this republic have declared to be fundamental.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy explained his vote by saying that out of courtesy to Supervisor Kent, who had been excused from the meeting in the interim and did not sign the Welfare Committee's report, he would vote *no*.

Reconstruction of Brannan Street.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Streets and Finance committees of this Board are requested to recommend the reconstruction of Brannan street east of First, and the requisite sum needed therefor.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Policy Regarding Zoning of Chestnut Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors adopts as its policy with respect to the rezoning of Chestnut street the recommendation made by the City Planning Committee and the plan therein recommended; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Planning Committee be and it is hereby requested and directed to carry to a proper consummation the scheme and plan recommended by said report.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Transfer of Franchise of A. O. Stewart to Golden Gate Ferry Company.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 7706, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing transfer of franchise heretofore granted to A. O. Stewart to operate ferry between City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California, and the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State aforesaid, to Golden Gate Ferry Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, did, on the 6th day of July, 1926, by Bill No. 7656, Ordinance No. 7167, grant to A. O. Stewart the right, privilege and franchise of operating a public ferry between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the City of Alameda, in the County of Alameda, State aforesaid; and

Whereas, it was in said franchise provided that the same should not be assigned or transferred without the permission of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, said A. O. Stewart has requested permission and authority to assign said franchise to the Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation; and

Whereas, it appears to the said Board of Supervisors that said Golden Gate Ferry Company is a suitable corporation to operate said ferry and to acquire said franchise;

Now, therefore, the consent of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to the assignment of the aforesaid franchise by A. O. Stewart to said Golden Gate Ferry Company be and the same is hereby given, and that said A. O. Stewart is hereby permitted to assign and transfer said franchise to said Golden Gate Ferry Company, upon the condition that said Golden Gate Ferry Company carry out and perform all and singular the terms and conditions agreed in said franchise to be performed and carried out by said A. O. Stewart, and that said Golden Gate Ferry Company be bound by all of the provisions of said franchise to the same extent as the said A. O. Stewart under the terms thereof, and that this consent shall not be taken as a consent to any further transfer of said franchise, or any right thereunder, without the consent and permission of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26037 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby authorized and instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

North side Birch street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.

Northwest and southeast corners Powell and Washington streets.

East side and west side Powell street, south of Washington street.

Northwest and southeast corners Powell and Clay streets.

East side and west side Powell street, south of Clay street.

East side and west side Powell street, south of Sacramento street.

Eleventh avenue, opposite No. 1277.

North side and south side Golden Gate avenue between Scott and Divisadero streets.

North side and south side Greenwich street between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.

West side Sterling street, first and second south of Harrison street.

East side Sterling street, first south of Harrison street.

Sterling and Harrison streets.

In front of 2622 Greenwich street.

Remove 600 *C. P. Ornamental Bracket.*

Southeast corner Taylor and Geary streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Powell and Washington streets.

Powell and Clay streets.

Powell street between Washington and Clay streets.

Powell street between Sacramento and Clay streets.

Powell street between California and Sacramento streets.

Golden Gate avenue between Scott and Divisadero streets.

Greenwich street between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.

Sterling and Harrison streets.

Sterling street, between Harrison and Bryant streets.

Install 600 *C. P. Ornamental Bracket.*

Corner Fourteenth avenue and Irving street.

North and south sides Irving street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues.

Corner Fifteenth avenue and Irving street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath. Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—6.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Market Street Widening.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26038 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Emma Winstedt, $6,200—Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Danvers street, distant thereon 5.593 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly boundary line of Lot No. 3 of Block D of Park Lane Tract No. 4, and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Danvers street 69.407 feet; thence deflecting 53 deg. 39 min. 44 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 31.035 feet to a point distant 25 feet at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Danvers street extended and produced southeasterly; thence deflecting 126 deg. 20 min. 16 sec. to the right and running northwesterly parallel with the southwesterly line of Danvers street and said line extended and produced southeasterly and distant 25 feet at right angles southwesterly therefrom, a distance of 82.027 feet; thence deflecting 77 deg. 00 min. 16 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 25.657 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lots Nos. 1, 2 and 3 of Block "D" of Park Lane Tract No. 4.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Exchange of Land for Streets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26040 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the execution of a deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of

the Board of Supervisors to affronting and adjacent property owners to portions of street or streets closed by Resolution No. 25784 (New Series) in exchange for property for new street or streets to be opened and widened in lieu of such closed street or streets.

Whereas, this Board on the 14th day of June, 1926 (after proceedings theretofore had, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco), duly adopted Resolution No. 25784 (New Series), closing and abandoning a portion of Gilman avenue as in said resolution described; and

Whereas, on the 26th day of June, 1926, said resolution was duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Kroehler Mfg. Company, a corporation, owner of lands adjacent to or fronting on the aforesaid portion of said street so closed and abandoned, has offered to convey or cause to be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco property for the widening of Charter Oak avenue in lieu of such portion of street so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said Kroehler Mfg. Company, a corporation, pursuant to its aforesaid offer, has caused to be made, executed and delivered to said City and County of San Francisco good and sufficient conveyances vesting in said City and County of San Francisco for street purposes, the title to the parcels of land hereinafter more particularly described in lieu of said portions of said street so closed and abandoned as hereinbefore recited; and

Whereas, the said parcels of land so conveyed to said City and County of San Francisco as new portions of street in lieu of that portion closed and abandoned as aforesaid are more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the northwesterly line of Lot No. 9 in Block No. 544 according to map hereinafter referred to, running thence northeasterly and along said line of said Lot No. 9 to a point which is pendendicularly distant 25 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence southeasterly and parallel with said line of Charter Oak avenue to the northeasterly line of Gilman avenue; thence northwesterly along said line of Gilman avenue to the northeasterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence northwesterly along said line of Charter Oak avenue 47.24 feet to the point of commencement.

Being part of Lots Nos. 6, 7, 8 and 9 in Block No. 544, according to map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase West of and Adjoining the Hudson Tract," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, May 6, 1869, and recorded in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 99.

Whereas, it is deemed advisable by this Board of Supervisors that said parcels of land be opened as new portions of a street in lieu of that portion so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said new portions of a street so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes as aforesaid will and do constitute ample consideration to said City and County for its deed to the portion of said street closed and abandoned as hereinbefore recited and will be of much greater practical value, both to the City and County of San Francisco and to the general public; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That equity requires that the portion of said street closed and abandoned as aforesaid should be conveyed by the City and County of San Francisco to said Kroehler Mfg. Company, a corporation; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said Kroehler Mfg. Company, a corporation, a deed conveying to said Kroehler Mfg. Company, a corporation, all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco, in and to the parcel of land situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Gilman avenue as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 25784 (New Series). Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy,

Harrelson, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 15, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 32

Monday, August 9, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 9, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 9, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy. Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Powers —2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Badaracco was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Bridge Petitions.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for a franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, further consideration of the application was *deferred to August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *o'clock a. m.*

Application of W. F. Holcomb for a franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, further consideration of the application was *deferred to August* 10, 1926, *at* 10 *o'clock a. m.*

Letter of Appreciation.

Communication, from the Swedish American Patriotic League of California, extending appreciation for the cooperation and spirit shown in reception to the Swedish Royal Party recently visting this City.

Read and *placed on file.*

League of California Municipalities Convention, 1927.

Communication, from the Sacramento Chamber of Commerce, extending invitation and welcome to the Board of Supervisors to hold annual meeting of the League of California Municipalities in 1927 at Sacramento, and requesting an endorsement of the invitation.

On motion, *referred to Special Committee.*

Report of Committee on Civil Service and Retirement.

The Committee on Civil Service and Retirement presented a report in the matter of the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System persons who retired as teachers in the San Francisco School Department and reciting therein the opinion of the City Attorney relative thereto.

The report was read at length by the Clerk and ordered *placed on file.*

Buildings Existing In Violation of the Building Laws.

Supervisor Shannon presented a resolution instructing the Building Committee of the Board of Supervisors to examine existing fire ordinaces and confer with officials of the Fire Department regarding complaints about so-called "fire traps", to the end that all lodging and other houses and buildings may at all times be arranged and conducted with due regard for the public safety, etc.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the matter was *referred to the Building Committee*

SPECIAL ORDER, 2:30 P. M.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and affecting the north side

of Pacific avenue for a distance of 206 feet 3 inches easterly from the east side of Laguna street was taken up and discussed at length.

On motion, said bill and ordinance was *laid over for two weeks, August 23, 1926, and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*

Proposals.

Proposals were presented for the purchase and removal of the St. James Hotel property of the City, situate northwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Fulton street, as follows:

Dolan Wrecking Co., price bid, $1,028.

R. R. Hillman, price bid, $1,200.

Simon Bros., price bid, $2,050.

California Wrecking Co., price bid, $1,600.

A. Vasolo, price bid, $8,000.

The bids were, on motion, *referred to the Public Buildings Committee.*

Also, proposals were presented for furnishing towels for the Relief Home, and upon motion, *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

In Memoriam.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26042 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in the death of Dr. Saxton Temple Pope, Professor of Surgery of the University of California and editor of the State Medical Journal, this City and State loses a noted character whose distinguished career as a surgeon has placed his name highest among those of the medical profession in America and whose services as Chief Surgeon in the San Francisco Emergency Hospital during the World War and subsequently during the recurrent "flu" epidemcis that afflicted this City, he has placed the people of this City under a deep debt of gratitude for inestimable services rendered in this trying ordeal.

Resolved, That in the death of Dr. Saxton Temple Pope this City loses a distinguished and faithful official and citizen who has rendered service of the highest and noblest character to the City of his adoption.

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors extend to the bereaved wife and children of Dr. Saxton Temple Pope its deepest sympathy and condolence in the loss of their distinguished husband and father.

Further Resolved, That when this Board adjourns it does so out of respect to the memory of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

City Attorney to Confer with Attorney-General of the State in re Franchise of the Southern Pacific Co. to Operate a Ferry.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26059 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has been advised by the City Attorney that Southern Pacific Company has not the legal right to establish a public ferry across the Bay of San Francisco between the City of Alameda and the City and County of San Francisco without first having obtained a franchise from this Board and certificate of public convenience and necessity from the State Railroad Commission; and

Whereas, it appears that Southern Pacific Company is preparing to establish such a public ferry without having obtained a franchise from this Board or a certificate of public convenience and necessity from the Railroad Commission and will establish such ferry and commence operating same on or before the 14th day of August, 1926, unless restrained from so doing by court order; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby requested in the name of the City and County of San Francisco to call upon the Attorney-General of this State and request that he, in behalf of the State, immediately commence quo warranto proceedings against the Southern Pacific Company for the purpose of restraining the establishment and operation of said ferry until said franchise and certificate of public convenience and necessity have been obtained in accordance with law. And be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby requested in the name of the City to file with the State Railroad Commission a written request that said Commission accept and file no rate or time schedules from Southern Pacific Company covering the operation of said ferry until the legal right of Southern Pacific Company to establish and operate said ferry be determined.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Powers—2.

SPECIAL ORDER, 3 P. M.

Garbage Disposal.

Consideration of proposals to dispose of City garbage was taken up:

Discussed by Supervisors Hayden and McSheehy.

The privilege of the floor was granted to Dr. Hassler, Health Officer, and heard at length as being opposed to the "fill and cover" proposition within the Butchertown area.

Dr. Dickey, member of State Board of Health, and *Dr. Perry* of the Health Bureau of the Federal Government, were also heard in general opposition to the "fill and cover" proposition.

Upon motion of Supervisor Schmidt, further hearing of the subject matter was *continued for three weeks, August* 30, 1926, *and made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *o'clock p. m.*

Agreement, Modesto Irrigation District.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into an agreement with the Modesto Irrigation District covering the furnishing of hydroelectric power to said district," was taken up, and on motion, was *laid over two weeks, August* 23, 1926, *and made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *o'clock p. m.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26043 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) California Corrugated Culvert Co., corrugated culverts for parks (claim dated July 30, 1926), $740.21.

(2) Robert A. Smith, Inc., one Chevrolet coupe for parks (claim dated July 30, 1926), $602.75.

Publicity and Advertising, 1925-1926 (*Appropriation* 55).

(3) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, convention expense for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated June 30 1926), $701.35.

Special School Tax.

(4) S. W. Band, fourth payment, plumbing, etc., for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated July 28, 1926), $2,280.

(5) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., galvanized iron and tin for school repairs (claim dated June 30, 1926), $924.95.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(6) Crown Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for Edison School (claim dated July 27, 1926), $1,120.71.

(7) Crown Electric Co., seventh payment, electrical work for New Mission High School (claim dated July 27, 1926), $2,000.04.

(8) E. H. Flagg Scenic Co., first payment, stage fittings, etc., for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated July 27, 1926). $3,-084.38.

(9) James L. McLaughlin Co., acceptance payment, general construction of Alamo School (claim dated July 28, 1926), $85,740.56.

(10) Wm. F. Wilson Co. (assignee), final payment, plumbing for Alvarado School (claim dated July 28, 1926), $3,458.75.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, hardware for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 24, 1926), $797.70.

(12) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, hardware for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 24, 1926), $634.84.

(13) C. F. Braun & Co., cooling towers for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $3,800.08.

(14) Garfield & Co., locomotive parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $536.80.

(15) Hales & Symons, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $813.46.

(16) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $1,351.60.

(17) J. F. Mitchell, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $601.95.

(18) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chain, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $1,-043.87.

(19) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $607.25.

(20) Waterbury Company, rope, cable, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $551.48.

(21) Gilmore Iron and Steel Co., iron and steel for Hetch Hetchy con-

struction (claim dated July 22, 1926), $1,649.88.

(22) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 23, 1926), $916.68.

(23) Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 27, 1926), $4,681.25.

(24) Hales & Symons, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 27, 1926), $944.20.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated July 27, 1926), $1,615.90.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) The Leader, printing of delinquent tax list (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,728.59.

(27) California Cotton Mills Co., towels, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $685.19.

(28) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., resurfacing of streets (claim dated June 30, 1926), $838.71.

(29) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,481.47.

(30) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,410.23.

(31) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, etc., for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,093.82.

(32) Western Rock Products Co., rock dust and sand for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $4,770.74.

(33) Crown Electric Co., second payment, electrical work, Children's Ward, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $550.36.

(34) Dieterich Post Co., blue print paper and cloth, Bureau of Engineering (claim dated June 30, 1926), $516.24.

(35) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,891.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(36) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Aug. 2, 1926), $562.35.

(37) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Aug. 1, 1926), $750.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated July 29, 1926), $14.274.70.

(39) James Rolph, Jr., Mavor's incidentals for August (claim dated Aug. 2, 1926), $625.

(40) Louis T. Samuels, rent of three floors, building 333 Kearny street, July 4 to Aug. 3, 1926 (claim dated Aug. 2, 1926), $1,186.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(41) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,424.07.

(42) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting, month of June, 1926 (claim dated June 30, 1926), $50,359.33.

Steinhart Aquarium (*Appropriation* 57).

(43) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of July, 1926 (claim dated July 31, 1926), $3,749.30.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Appropriation, $32,640, Payment to Spring Valley Water Company for Lake Merced Lands Used for Playground Purposes.

Resolution No. 26044 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $32,640 be and the same is hereby set aside out of "Purchase of Lands from Spring Valley Water Company, Contract," Budget Item No. 52, and authorized in payment to the Spring Valley Water Company; being payment as per lease agreement dated July 1, 1922, covering 60 acres of Lake Merced Rancho lands bordering the ocean at Sloat boulevard, and used for playground purposes. (Claim dated August 2, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Appropriation, $800, Payment to Ellen F. Rublee for Land Required for the Improvement of Elk Street.

Resolution No. 26045 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $800 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment out of "Improvement of Elk Street," Budget Item No. 82a, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, in payment to Helen F. Rublee, care of City Title Insurance Company; being payment for all of Lot 16, Block "Q", as recorded in Map Book "G", pages 80 and 81, of the City and County, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25979 (New Series), and required for the improvement of Elk street. (Claim dated July 28, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Mc-

Sheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Appropriations in Payment for Lands Required for Opening and Widening Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 26046 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening and widening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Joseph Silvia and Lilian G. Silvia, for property on the easterly line of San Jose avenue, commencing 77 feet southerly from the southerly line of Brook street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Jose avenue 25 feet; being of irregular dimensions, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25978 (New Series) (claim dated July 26, 1926), $4,500.

(2) To Mary M. Bannan and William J. Bannan, for Lot 2, Block No. 6661, as per Assessor's Map Book, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25978 (New Series) (claim dated July 27, 1926), $5,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Appropriations for Pedestrian Markers, Painting San Francisco Hospital, Reconstructing Retaining Wall, Twentieth Avenue, and Improvement of Stanyan Street.

Resolution No. 26047 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Traffic Signals, etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(1) For purchase of 60,000 pedestrian lane markers for regulation of street traffic, $7,200.

Painting San Francisco Hospital, Budget Item No. 59.

(2) For painting at the San Francisco Hospital, $10,000.

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 36.

(3) For cost of reconstructing retaining wall at the northerly termination of Twentieth avenue, near Presidio line, $2,400.

County Road Fund.

(4) For the improvement of Stanyan street from Fulton to McAllister street, including inspection and possible extras (award to Municipal Construction Company at $10,676.20), $11,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26048 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Barrett & Hilp, 3465 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Barrett & Hilp, 3463 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Harris Bread Shop, north side of Balboa street, 200 feet west of Thirty-seventh avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, east side of Anza street, 85 feet north of Twenty-sixth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. J. Vogel, 55 Yerba Buena avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Standard Glass Works, 32 Julian avenue, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon. Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Resolution No. 26049 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That American Furniture Manufacturing Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop at 2800 Twentieth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Mc-

Sheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26050 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That D. J. Depaoli be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Howard street, 215 feet west of Third street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26051 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Walter Mohns be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply permit heretofore granted by Resolution No. 25297 (New Series) for premises on the southwest corner of Jackson street and Van Ness avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26052 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Youdall Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts in connection with the construction of the Sunset tunnel (Duboce avenue route), provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 11 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Youdall Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing hereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Ordering Construction of Forty-third Avenue Main Sewer.

Bill No. 7684, Ordinance No. 7196 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B", westerly Sunset District; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of said Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B"; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B", in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of the Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B", westerly Sunset District, is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for said Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B", construction, and to enter into contract for the construction of said Forty-third avenue main sewer, section "B", in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said sewer construction conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Ordering the Improvement of Elk Street.

Bill No. 7685, Ordinance No. 7197 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Elk street extension, from Bosworth street to Chenery street, by grading; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement of Elk street extension, from Bosworth street to Chenery street, by grading, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Ordering Plans, Etc., Improvement of Geneva Avenue Extension.

Bill No. 7686, Ordinance No. 7198 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for and the improvement of the northerly side of Geneva avenue extension by a twenty-foot pavement, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of the northerly side of Geneva avenue extension, and to enter into contract for the improvement of the northerly side of Geneva avenue extension, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of the northerly side of Geneva avenue extension conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Ordering the Moving of Auditorium Building, Lick School.

Bill No. 7687, Ordinance No. 7199 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the moving of the auditorium building of the Lick School, Twenty-fifth and Noe streets, to the site of the Noe Valley School, at Douglass and Elizabeth streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the moving of said auditorium building, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The moving of the auditorium building of the Lick School, Twenty-fifth and Noe streets, to the site of the Noe Valley School, at Douglass and Elizabeth streets, is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the moving of said auditorium building, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Ordering Preparation of Plans, Etc., Islais Creek Drainage System.

Bill No. 7688, Ordinance No. 7200 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of the necessary culverts and appurtenances for the Islais Creek drainage system, and ordering the construction of the necessary culverts and appurtenances for the Islais Creek drainage system, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor. Payment for said construction to be borne out of Budget Item No. 39, Fiscal Year 1926-1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare

plans and specifications for the construction of the necessary culverts and appurtenances for the Islais Creek drainage system, and to enter into contract for the construction of the necessary culverts and appurtenances for said Islais Creek drainage system, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said construction to be borne out of Budget Item No. 39, Fiscal Year 1926-1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Transfer of Franchise of A. O. Stewart to Golden Gate Ferry Company.

Bill No. 7706, Ordinance No. 7201 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing transfer of franchise heretofore granted to A. O. Stewart to operate ferry between City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California, and the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State aforesaid, to Golden Gate Ferry Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, did, on the 6th day of July, 1926, by Bill No. 7656, Ordinance No. 7167, grant to A. O. Stewart the right, privilege and franchise of operating a public ferry between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the City of Alameda, in the County of Alameda, State aforesaid; and

Whereas, it was in said franchise provided that the same should not be assigned or transferred without the permission of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, said A. O. Stewart has requested permission and authority to assign said franchise to the Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation; and

Whereas, it appears to the said Board of Supervisors that said Golden Gate Ferry Company is a suitable corporation to operate said ferry and to acquire said franchise;

Now, therefore, the consent of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to the assignment of the aforesaid franchise by A. O. Stewart to said Golden Gate Ferry Company be and the same is hereby given, and that said A. O. Stewart is hereby permitted to assign and transfer said franchise to said Golden Gate Ferry Company, upon the condition that said Golden Gate Ferry Company carry out and perform all and singular the terms and conditions agreed in said franchise to be performed and carried out by said A. O. Stewart, and that said Golden Gate Ferry Company be bound by all of the provisions of said franchise to the same extent as the said A. O. Stewart under the terms thereof, and that this consent shall not be taken as a consent to any further transfer of said franchise, or any right thereunder, without the consent and permission of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Ordering Street Work on Elizabeth Street.

Bill No. 7689, Ordinance No. 7202 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time

after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Burnham street, and the intersection of Elizabeth street, Burnham street and Market street*, by grading to official line and grade, and by the construction of the following: Class "A" Portland cement concrete in wall, balustrade, steps and copings, including all excavation and backfill therefor, and including the furnishing and placing of reinforcing steel for same; concrete pavement; artificial stone sidewalks, concrete curbs; warning light for end of retaining wall; 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying Y branches; manholes.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Ordering Street Work on Thirty-fifth Avenue.

Bill No. 7690, Ordinance No. 7203 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Thirty-fifth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets: The easterly one-half of Thirty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and* 125 *feet northerly from Kirkham street to a line parallel with and* 175 *feet from Kirkham street; the westerly one-half of Thirty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and* 225 *feet southerly from Judah street to a line parallel with and* 250 *feet southerly from Judah street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary manholes and Y branches that said frontages would be liable for, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Changing Grades.

Bill No. 7691, Ordinance No. 7204 (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on San Miguel street between Mount Vernon avenue and Ridge lane; on Ridge lane between San Jose avenue and Tara street, and on Caine avenue between Ridge lane and a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 600 feet northerly therefrom."

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Bill No. 7692, Ordinance No. 7205 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Seventeenth avenue between the easterly line of and a line parallel with and 15 feet westerly therefrom, extending from Noriega street to Ortega street, and on Noriega street between the southerly line of and a line parallel with and 4 feet northerly therefrom, extending from Seventeenth avenue to a line 40 feet easterly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 14th day of May, 1926, by Resolution No. 25618 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Seventeenth avenue between the easterly line of and a line parallel with and 15 feet westerly therefrom, extending from Noriega street to Ortega street, and on Noriega street between the southerly line of and a line parallel with and 4 feet northerly therefrom, extending from Seventeenth avenue to a line 40 feet easterly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Seventeenth Avenue.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Noriega street southerly line, 411.57 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

9 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Noriega street southerly line, 411.74 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Noriega street southerly line, 416.25 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 285 feet southerly from Noriega street, 416.33 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

9 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 285 feet southerly from Noriega street, 416.33 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 285 feet southerly from Noriega street, 421 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 335 feet southerly from Noriega street, 417.17 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 335 feet southerly from Noriega street, 417.17 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 335 feet southerly from Noriega street, 421 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ortega street, 421.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ortega street, 421.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ortega street, 425 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ortega street northerly line, 421.57 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Ortega street, 422 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Noriega Street.

40 feet easterly from Seventeenth avenue, 417.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Seventeenth avenue easterly line, 416.25 feet.

4 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Seventeenth avenue easterly line, 412 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 9 feet westerly from Seventeenth avenue easterly line, 411.74 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Seventeenth avenue between the easterly line of and a line parallel with and 15 feet westerly therefrom, extending from Noriega street to Ortega street, and on Noriega street between the southerly line of and a line parallel with and 4 feet northerly therefrom, extending from Seventeenth avenue to a line 40 feet easterly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Mc-

Sheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Bill No. 7693, Ordinance No. 7206, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lobos street between Plymouth avenue and the easterly termination.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 14th day of May, 1926, by Resolution No. 25668 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Lobos street between Plymouth avenue and the easterly termination; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Lobos Street.

908 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 402.00 feet.

Northerly line of, 865 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 400.96 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 865 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 400.96 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 825 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 398.80 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 785 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 394.25 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Southerly line of, 865 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 400.96 feet.

Southerly line of, 825 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 398.80 feet.

Southerly line of, 785 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 394.25 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, 825 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 401.60 feet.

Northerly line of, 800 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 401.00 feet.

Northerly line of, 775 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 398.40 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 825 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 401.60 feet.

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 800 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 401.00 feet.

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 775 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 398.40 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, 590 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 371.71 feet.

Northerly line of, 540 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 365.45 feet.

Northerly line of, 490 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 361.09 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 590 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 371.71 feet.

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 540 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 365.45 feet.

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 490 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 361.09 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 590 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 366.19 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 540 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 359.96 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 490 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 355.67 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Southerly line of, 590 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 366.19 feet.

Southerly line of, 540 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 359.96 feet.

Southerly line of, 490 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 355.67 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, 275 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 346.41 feet.

Southerly line of, 225 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 343.43 feet.

Northerly line of, 175 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 341.33 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 275 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 346.41 feet.

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 225 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 343.43 feet.

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 175 feet easterly from

Plymouth avenue, 341.33 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 275 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 341.33 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 225 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 338.63 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 175 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 337.20 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Southerly line of, 275 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 341.33 feet.

Southerly line of, 225 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 338.63 feet.

Southerly line of, 175 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 337.20 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 338.82 feet.

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 338.82 feet.

24 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 336 feet.

Southerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Plymouth avenue, 336 feet.

Northerly line of, at Plymouth avenue, 336 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Plymouth avenue, 332 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Lobos street between Plymouth avenue and the easterly termination, changed and established to conform to the true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Haight Street Between Gough and Buchanan Streets.

Bill No. 7694, Ordinance No. 7207 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventeen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office July 15, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventeen, to read as follows:

Section 917. The width of sidewalks on Haight street between Gough street and Buchanan street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Establishing Grades on Wilder Street.

Bill No. 7695, Ordinance No. 7208 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Wilder street between Carrie street produced and its easterly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Wilder street between Carrie street produced and its easterly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed July 23, 1926.

Wilder Street.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at its easterly termination, 173 feet.

100 feet easterly from Carrie street produced, 167.32 feet.

50 feet easterly from Carrie street produced, 164.08 feet.

Carrie street produced, 163 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Wilder street between Carrie street produced an its easterly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Mc-

Sheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on O'Farrell Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street.

Bill No. 7696, Ordinance No. 7209 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and nineteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office July 15, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and nineteen, to read as follows:

Section 919. The width of sidewalks on O'Farrell street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Geary Street.

Bill No. 7697, Ordinance No. 7210 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and twenty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office July 16, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and twenty, to read as follows:

Section 920. The width of sidewalks on Geary street, the northerly side of, between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue, shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Geary street, the southerly side of, between Divisadero street and Masonic avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Ellis Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street.

Bill No. 7698, Ordinance No. 7211 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eighteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office July 15, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eighteen, to read as follows:

Section 918. The width of sidewalks on Ellis street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Change of Grades.

Bill No. 7699, Ordinance No. 7212 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grade on Scott street between Chestnut and Lewis streets;

on Divisadero street between Chestnut and Bay streets, and between Jefferson and Lewis streets; on Broderick street between Lewis street and a line parallel with Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches southerly therefrom; on Baker street between Francisco and Lewis streets; on Lyon street between Jefferson and Lewis streets; on Chestnut street between Divisadero and Baker streets; on Francisco street between Scott and Broderick streets; on Bay, North Point, Beach and Jefferson streets between Scott and Lyon streets, and on Tonquin and Lewis streets between Pierce and Lyon streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 28th day of May, 1926, by Resolution No. 25694 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Scott street between Chestnut and Lewis streets; on Divisadero street between Chestnut and Bay streets, and between Jefferson and Lewis streets; on Broderick street between Lewis street and a line parallel with Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches southerly therefrom; on Baker street between Francisco and Lewis streets; on Lyon street between Jefferson and Lewis streets; on Chestnut street between Divisadero and Baker streets, on Francisco street between Scott and Broderick streets; on Bay, North Point, Beach and Jefferson streets between Scott and Lyon streets, and on Tonquin and Lewis streets between Pierce and Lyon streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Scott Street.

Chestnut street, 10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Francisco, 6 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay street southerly line, 4.80 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay street southerly line produced, 5 feet.

Westerly line of, at Bay street, 4.80 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay street northerly line, 4.80 feet.

Easterly line of, 5 feet northerly from Capra way southerly line, 4.20 feet.

Easterly line of, 5 feet southerly from Capra way northerly line, 4.20 feet.

North Point street, southerly line produced, 3.60 feet.

Westerly line of, at North Point street, 3.60 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at North Point street northerly line, 3.60 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at North Point street northerly line produced, 3.27 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Beach street southerly line, 2.25 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Beach street northerly line produced westerly, 2.25 feet.

Easterly line of, at Beach street, 2.25 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Beach street southerly line, 2.00 feet.

Westerly line of, at Beach street, 2.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Beach street northerly line, 2.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Beach street northerly line produced easterly, 2.05 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Prado street southerly line, 1.50 feet.

Easterly line of, at Prado street, 1.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Prado street northerly line, 1.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Jefferson street southerly line, 1.30 feet.

Westerly line of, at Jefferson street, 1.30 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Jefferson street northerly line, 1.30 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Jefferson street northerly line produced, 0.90 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 75 feet southerly from Tonquin street (base).

Tonquin street (base).

Lewis street (base).

Divisadero Street.

Chestnut street, 9 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Francisco street, 6.50 feet.
Bay street, 5 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
North Point street, 4 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Beach street, 3 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Jefferson street, 2 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Tonquin street (base).
Lewis street (base). (The same being the present official grade.)

Broderick Street.

137 feet 6 inches southerly from Chestnut street, 19 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Chestnut street, 14 feet.
Francisco street, 7 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Bay street, 5.50 feet.
North Point street, 4.50 feet.
Beach street, 3.50 feet.
Jefferson street, 2.50 feet.
Tonquin street (base).
Lewis street (base).

Baker Street.

Francisco street, 6.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Bay street, 4.50 feet.
North Point street, 3.60 feet.
Beach street, 2.50 feet.
Jefferson street, 1.50 feet.
Tonquin street (base).
Lewis street (base). (The same being the present official grade.)

Lyon Street.

Jefferson street, 3 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Tonquin street (base).
Lewis street (base).

Chestnut Street.

Divisadero street, 9 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Broderick street, 14 feet.
12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Baker street easterly line, 18.30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Baker street easterly line, 19.30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Francisco Street.

Scott street, 6 feet.
150 feet easterly from Divisadero street, 6.70 feet.
Divisadero street, 6.50 feet.
100 feet easterly from Broderick street, 7.65 feet.
Broderick street, 7 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Bay Street.

Scott street westerly line, 4.80 feet.
185 feet easterly from Divisadero street, 5.60 feet.
Divisadero street, 5 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
150 feet easterly from Broderick street, 5.90 feet.
150 feet easterly from Broderick street, 5.90 feet.
Broderick street, 5.50 feet.
100 feet westerly from Broderick street, 5.55 feet.
Baker street, 4.50 feet.
Lyon street, 6 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

North Point Street.

Scott street westerly line, 8.60 feet.
160 feet easterly from Divisadero street, 4.40 feet.
Divisadero street, 4 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
150 feet easterly from Broderick street, 4.70 feet.
Broderick street, 4.50 feet.
100 feet westerly from Broderick street, 4.55 feet.
Baker street, 3.60 feet.
Lyon street, 5 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Beach Street.

Scott street westerly line, 2 feet.
100 feet easterly from Divisadero street, 3.05 feet.
Divisadero street, 3 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
150 feet easterly from Broderick street, 3.70 feet.
Broderick street, 3.50 feet.
100 feet westerly from Broderick street, 3.55 feet.
Baker street, 2.50 feet.
Lyon street, 4 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Jefferson Street.

Scott street, westerly line, 1.30 feet.
150 feet easterly from Divisadero street, 2.30 feet.
Divisadero street, 2 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
150 feet easterly from Divisadero street, 2.70 feet.
Broderick street, 2.50 feet.
100 feet westerly from Broderick street, 2.55 feet.
Baker street, 1.50 feet.
Lyon street, 3 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Tonquin Street.

Pierce street, 1 foot. (The same being the present official grade.)
Scott street (base).
Divisadero street (base).
Broderick street (base).

Baker street (base).
Lyon street (base).

Lewis Street.

Pierce street (base). (The same being the present official grade.)
Scott street (base).
Divisadero street (base). (The same being the present official grade.)
Broderick street (base).
Baker street (base). (The same being the present official grade.)
Lyon street (base).

On Scott street between Chestnut and Lewis streets; on Divisadero street between Chestnut and Bay streets, and between Jefferson and Lewis streets; on Broderick street between Lewis street and a line parallel with Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches southerly therefrom; on Baker street between Francisco and Lewis streets; on Lyon street between Jefferson and Lewis streets; on Chestnut street between Divisadero and Baker streets; on Francisco street between Scott and Broderick streets; on Bay, North Point, Beach and Jefferson streets between Scott and Lyon streets; and on Tonquin and Lewis streets between Pierce and Lyon streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Laid Over.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,228 is hereby appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, as set aside and appropriated by Resolution No. 25797 (New Series), to Macdonald & Kahn; being payment for underpinning of the east wall of Fire Department Engine House No. 17, Mint avenue and Stevenson street. (Claim dated June 30, 1926.)

On motion, action *deferred for one week.*

Referred to Committee.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Miller Bros. 101 Ranch Real Wild West Shows is hereby granted permission to give an exhibition (circus) on property known as the Marina Tract, bounded by Fillmore street, Marina boulevard, Bay and Buchanan streets, September 11, 12 and 13, 1926.

On motion, *referred to Police Committee.*

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ordering Street Work, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7700, Ordinance No. 7213 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 18, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Laguna Honda boulevard from a line at right angles to the westerly line of Laguna Honda boulevard and passing through the southerly termination of the southwesterly circular curb at the southwesterly corner of Laguna Honda boulevard and Hernandez avenue to the westerly curb line of Portola drive, including*

certain conform work at the intersection of Laguna Honda boulevard and Portola drive, and including the crossings of Idora avenue and of Ulloa street with Laguna Honda boulevard, and the intersection of Rockaway avenue with Laguna Honda boulevard and Ulloa street, respectively, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the reconstruction and resetting of existing catchbasins; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manhole; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of an asphaltic conform surface, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Bill No. 7701, Ordinance No. 7214 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adpoting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 20, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Kirkham Street between Sixteenth avenue and the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue; Lurline street between Funston avenue and Kirkham street; Lawton street between Twelfth avenue and Seventeenth avenue, excepting the crossing of Funston avenue and Lawton street; Aloha avenue between Funston avenue and Lomita avenue; Lomita avenue between Lawton street and Sixteenth avenue; Encinal walk between Fourteenth avenue and Grand View Park; Moraga street between Funston avenue and Fourteenth avenue; Moraga street between Fifteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue; Noriega street between Eleventh avenue and Sixteenth avenue; Selma way between Noriega street and Ortega street; Mount Lane bewteen Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue; Ortega street between Eleventh avenue and Fourteenth avenue; Ortega way between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue; Aerial way between Ortega street and Fourteenth avenue; Cascade walk between Ortega street and Pacheco street; Pacheco street between Tenth avenue and Funston avenue; Paacheco street between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue, including that portion of Fifteenth avenue southerly to the crossing of Pacheco street covered by the proposed connecting ramp, wall and stairway; Mandalay lane between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue; Radio Terrace between Twelfth avenue and Fourteenth avenue; Oriole way between Pacheco street and Eleventh avenue; Rockridge drive from Eleventh avenue to the southwesterly line of the intersection of Radio Terrace; Fanning way between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue, including that portion of Fifteenth avenue southerly to the crossing of Quintara street covered by the proposed connecting ramp, wall and stairway; Quintara street from the easterly line of Twelfth avenue to Fifteenth avenue; Eleventh avenue*

from Noriega street to a line parallel with and 100 *feet northerly therefrom, where not already improved; Eleventh avenue between Noriega street and Pacheco street; Eleventh avenue between Rockridge drive and Quintara street; Twelfth avenue between Moraga street and Noriega street; Twelfth avenue between Pacheco street and a line* 119.8 *feet southerly from the southerly line of Radio Terrace; Twelfth avenue from Quintara street northerly to Rockridge drive; Funston avenue between Moraga street and Noriega street; Funston avenue from Pacheco street to Rockridge drive and Twelfth avenue, respectively; Fourteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets; Fifteenth avenue between Kirkham street and the southerly line of Mount Lane produced; Sixteenth avenue between Kirkham street and the northerly line of Noriega street, being* 680 *feet northerly from the northerly line of Ortega street; Seventeenth avenue between Kirkham street and the southerly line of Lawton street, including all intervening crossings*, by grading to official line and grade, and by the construction of the following:

Class "A" Portland cement concrete in walls, balustrades, stairway railings, steps, copings and structures, including all execavation and backfill therefor, and including the furnishing and placing of reinforcing concrete steel for same; mortared rubble walls, including all excavation and backfill therefor; artificial stone sidewalks, brick manholes with appurtenances, brick junction manholes with appurtenaices, brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps, 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer; 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer; 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert; 12-inch class "A" cast iron pipe sewer; storm water inlets with cast iron frames and gratings; red warning lights for ends of retaining walls; drainage sumps for stairway gutters.

Said streets being within and border the district known as Golden Gate Heights, the same being more particularly delineated on accompanying General Plan No. B-6900.4.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Bill No. 7702, Ordinance No. 7215 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Mount Vernon avenue between Ellington avenue and Huron avenue, where not already so improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width adjacent to the curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Mc-

Sheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Bill No. 7703, Ordinance No. 7216 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street wrok, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifiations are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Homestead street, where not already so improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed, and the improvement of the crossing of Twenty-fifth street and Homestead street, by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Bill No. 7704, Ordinance No. 7217 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Rivera street, and of the crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Santiago street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full of-

ficial width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch, 15-inch and 18-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Bill No. 7705, Ordinance No. 7218 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 8, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Ortega street* by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of the necessary 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $38,356.69. recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Powers—2.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $104.15.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $78.65.

On motion, *laid over one week.*

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Parks (claim dated Aug. 6, 1926), $877.82.

(2) Worley & Co., lockers for Park Pool (claim dated Aug 6, 1926), $2,613.60.

(3) A. G. Spalding & Bros., golf materials for Parks (claim dated Aug. 6, 1926), $700.20.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(4) General Electric Co., portable welding motor, etc., for Municipal Railways (claim dated July 29, 1926), $1,074.26.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(5) P. Montague, second payment, grading site for Tuberculosis Sanitarium (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $2,700.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(6) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, second payment, construction of tunnel, etc., under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $49,162.50.

Special School Tax.

(7) W. P. Fuller & Co., lead and turpentine for schools (claim dated July 29, 1926), $761.50.

(8) P. J. Enright, second payment, mechanical equipment for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $4,881.12.

(9) O. Monson, fourth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $19,560.

(10) Standard Electrical Construction Co., second payment, electrical work for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $1,750.58.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(11) M. E. Ryan, thirteenth payment, electrical work, new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,391.20.

(12) M. Getz & Co., bakery machinery for Relief Home new buildings (claim dated July 31, 1926), $825.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(13) Herman Lawson, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne school, fifth payment (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $556.68.

(14) A. Lettich, fifth payment, plumbing work for Lafayette (Anza Street) School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $1,349.18.

(15) A. Lettich, thirteenth payment, plumbing work for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $10,098.60.

(16) A. Lettich, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,597.13.

(17) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., ninth payment, general construction of Lafayette (Anza Street) School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $27,452.62.

(18) O. Monson, third payment, general construction of West Portal School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $12,489.37.

(19) W. H. Picard, ninth payment, plumbing work, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $3,696.57.

(20) M. E. Ryan, sixth payment, electrical work, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,381.98.

(21) Scott Company, fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,931.92.

(22) Scott Company, third payment, mechanical equipment, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $712.13.

(23) Thos. Skelly, fifth payment, plumbing work for Hawthorne School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $2,185.07.

(24) Aetna Electric Co., second payment, electrical work for Hawthorne School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $3,253.73.

(25) Bond Construction Co., eighth payment, general construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $20,549.82.

(26) Bond Construction Co., final payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $36,467.51.

(27) L. Flatland, eighth payment, electrical work, High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $12,764.28.

(28) L. Flatland, final payment, electrical work, Alvarado School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $3,212.50.

(29) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, plumbing system, West Portal School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $2,353.26.

(30) Latourette-Fical Co., ninth payment, mechanical equipment, New Mission High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $1,195.12.

(31) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, plumbing work, Henry Durant School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $1,197.54.

(32) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth payment, mechanical equipment, Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $792.41.

(33) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, plumbing work, Edison School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,361.52.

(34) Latourette-Fical Co., eighth payment, mechanical equipment, Alvarado School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $3,825.67.

(35) Bureau of Engineering, blue prints, etc., furnished for Mission Junior High School (claim dated July 28, 1926), $786.16.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55), 1925-1926.

(36) Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor, for expense of publicity and advertising in the promoting of direct legislation having for its purpose the constructing of highways, particularly in vicinity of San Francisco; per appropriation by Resolution No. 25758 (New Series) (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,593.26.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(37) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals, month of June, 1926 (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,250.

(38) Standard Underground Cable Co., cable for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1926), $555.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., raising High Pressure Water System Main, etc., in Harrison street (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,797.19.

(40) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, construction of sewers, westerly Sunset District, Contract No. 2, Sec. "C" (claim dated June 30, 1926), $14,250.

(41) City Construction Co., third payment, improvement of Kearny street between Market street and Columbus avenue (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,000.

(42) Clarence B. Eaton, second payment, construction of sewers, Sec. "A", Contract No. 1, westerly Sunset District (claim dated June 30, 1926), $10,200.

(43) James M. Smith, balance of City's portion for improvement of Saturn street between Ord street and Lower Terrace (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(44) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for sewer repair (claim dated July 29, 1926), $828.72.

(45) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Aug. 9, 1926), $1,075.

(46) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Aug. 9, 1926), $1,250.

(47) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Aug. 9, 1926), $1,254.67.

(48) Schultz Construction Co., repairs to Winnipeg avenue sewer (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,299.70.

(49) J. E. French Co., one Dodge coupe, less allowance, for Dept. of Public Health (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $829.20.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(50) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of evaluation of electric properties during August, 1926 (claim dated Aug. 9, 1926), $1,500.

Appropriation, $2,200 Payment to James Pembroke for Lands on Santiago Street Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,200 be and the same is hereby set aside out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to James Pembroke; being payment for lands situate on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 150 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly on westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 50 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26012, and required for school purposes. (Claim dated Aug. 9, 1926.)

Appropriation, $6,200, Payment to Emma Winstedt for Property Required for the Extension of Market Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Emma Winstedt (care of Title Insurance and Guaranty Co.); being payment for property required for the extension of Market street, described as follows: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Danvers street, distant thereon 5.593 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly boundary line of Lot No. 3 of Block "D" of Park Lane Tract No. 4, and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Danvers street 69.407 feet; of irregular dimensions, and more particularly described by Resolution No. 26038 (New Series), accepting offer for said property. (Claim dated Aug. 5, 1926.)

Appropriation, $922,760.30 for the Construction of the Mission Junior High School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of the Mission Junior High School, as follows, to-wit:

General construction (R. W. Moller contract)	$742,500.00
Mechanical equipment (Scott Co. contract)	56,480.00
Plumbing, gas fitting, sprinkler systems (Latourette-Fical Co. contract)	57,900.00
Electrical work (Alta Electric Co. contract)	39,875.00
Architectural fees	1,005.30
Possible extras, incidentals and inspection	25,000.00
Total	$922,760.30

Appropriation, $8,390, for the Construction of a Two-Story Building at County Jail No. 2 for Stable, Garage, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8,390 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," etc., Budget Item No. 68, for the construction of a two-story building at County Jail No. 2, to be used for stable, garage, storage and work house purposes.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Paul De Martini, west side of Octavia street, 150 feet south of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Corp., 817 Mission street, 500 gallons capacity.

Financial Center Building, northwest corner of California and Montgomery streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, south side of Eddy street, 100 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, south side of Eddy street, 140 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Sockolov, 3935 Washington street, 600 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southeast corner of Cervantes boulevard and Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. P. Storeheim, northeast corner of Scott and Alhambra streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

John Lacosta, 3745 Mission street, 50-horsepower boiler.

Moludite Co., 566 Commercial street, 15-horsepower boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Guerin Bros. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on the southeast corner of Lakeview and Plymouth avenues; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Guerin Bros., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James R. Ewing, Jr., and L. D. Marks be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to mainatin and operate an automobile parking station on the north line of Sutter street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Powell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Accepting Offer of Margaret T. Torpey et al. to Sell Lands Required in the Construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26053 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easement situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce tunnel, have offered to convey said easement desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz:

Margaret T. Torpey and Katherine Torpey, $36.

Commencing at a point distant fifty-three (53) feet one and one-half (1½) inches at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Clayton street and distant eighty-nine and one hundred and thirty-two thousandths (89.132) feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, and running thence southerly parallel with Clayton street sixteen and eight hundred and sixty-eight thousandths (16.868) feet; thence at right angles easterly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles northerly nineteen and seventy-eight thousandths (19.078) feet; thence deflecting 95 deg. 03 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly twenty-five and ninety-seven thousandths (25.097) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 677.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the easment owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said easement and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Page Street East of Webster Street on Lands Acquired for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26054 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain frame building located on land situate north line of Page strete, distant 152 feet 6 inches easterly from Webster street, recently acquired by the City for school purposes.

The Board of Public Works is requested to prepare specifications and conditions for the removal of said building by the purchaser.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Award of Contract, Lumber, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presnted:

Resolution No. 26055 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing lumber for Industrial Arts Department of the School Department be made on bids submitted August 2, 1926 (Proposal No. 219), as follows, viz.:

To J. E. Higgins Lumber Co., lot of soft wood for the sum of $2,400.

To White Brothers, lot of hardwood for the sum of $2,297.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Award of Contract, Suits, Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26056 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing 50 men's suits for Relief Home be hereby made to Levi Strauss, Inc., at $13.50 per suit, on bid submitted August 2, 1926 (Proposal No. 223).

Note: This award is made in consideration of the quality offered as determined by test and recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies and the Using Department.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Purchaser of Supplies to Purchase Furniture and Equipment for District Attorney, Public Defender and Adult Probation Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26057 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies be directed to purchase furniture and equipment required by the District Attorney, Public Defender and Adult Probation Department as per their requisitions filed therefor. Said purchases to be made on selection of Supplies Committee and Purchaser of Supplies and in accordance with bids received under Quotation No. 767, opened in Supplies Committee's meeting of Friday, July 30, 1926.

Expense of said purchases to be borne out of Budget Item No. 159, appropriation for furniture and equipment, public offices, etc.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Noes — Supervisors Kent, McSheehy, Stanton—3.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Clerk to Advertise Sale of $1,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received by this Board on Monday, August 30, 1926, up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., for the purchase of the following described bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

$1,000,000, 5 per cent Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue of January 1, 1925, comprising 25 bonds of $1,000 denomination of each year's maturity, 1930 to 1969, inclusive.

The Finance Committee is directed to fix the terms and conditions of sale.

On motion, action *defererd one week.*

Amending Zoning Ordinance Describing Method of Procedure for Establishing Set-back Lines.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), describing a method of procedure for establishing set-back lines.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. From and after the taking effect of such ordinance establishing any set-back line or lines, it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to construct or place any building, wall, fence or other structure, provided, however, a bay window not more than fifteen feet wide and projecting not more than two feet over said set-back line may be erected, within the space between a street line and the set-back line so established; and provided further, that an ornamental wall may be erected along the street line upon the approval of the design thereof by the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Public Works shall refuse to issue any permit for any building or structure to be erected or placed on such space.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

On motion, *referred to Joint Committee on Public Buildings and Lands and Zoning.*

Circus Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to Sells-Floto Circus Company to give a circus on The Marina on September 25, 26, 27 and 28, 1926, subject to the payment of the usual license fee and observance of the ordinances of the City relative thereto.

On motion, *referred to Police Committee.*

Award of Contract, Scavenger Service, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing scavenger service for School Department during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, be made to the lowest responsible bidder, viz.: D. Fontana, 421 Eighth avenue, at a monthly rate of $1,095, as per his bid submitted July 12, 1926 (Proposal No. 209).

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

On motion, *referred to Supplies Committee.*

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

His Honor the Mayor to Appoint Committee to Receive and Entertain the Officers and Men of the United States Fleet.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26058 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Grand Fleet of the United States Navy will arrive in

San Francisco Harbor on August 20th and remain with us until August 30th; and

Whereas, San Francisco, the largest shipbuilding center and commercial port on the Pacific Coast is honored by a visit from this great Armada; and

Whereas, the Navy of the United States holds a particularly warm spot in the hearts of the people of our City; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to appoint the Honorable Board of Supervisors and a representative committee of citizens to make plans for the proper reception and entertainment of the officers and men of this fleet during their ten days' stay in San Francisco. And be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee be authorized to expend out of the Publicity Fund the sum of $2,500 for this reception and entertainment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

Award of Contract, Dry Goods for Public Institutions.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26060 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing dry goods and wearing apparel be made on bids submitted June 28, 1926 (Proposal No. 195), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

L. Dinkelspiel Co., Inc., bond required $600.

Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, bond required, $200.

Leighton-Jellett Co., bond required, none.

Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., Incorporated, bond required, $100.

Lazare-Klein Co., bond required, $1,000.

Carl Munter & Co., bond required, none.

Eloesser-Heyneman Co., bond required, none.

D. N. & E. Walter & Company, bond required, $100.

Neustadter Brothers, bond required, $150.

Buckingham & Hecht, bond required, none.

Philadelphia Shoe Co., bond required, $100.

N. & S. E. Kalischer, bond required, none.

Adolph Meyer, bond required, none.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers, Welch—4.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7:30 o'clock p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors November 22, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 33

Monday, August 16, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 16, 1926, 2 P. M.

The meeting of the Board of Supervisors was called to order by Supervisor Marks in the chair.

Present: Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—9.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and *unanimously adopted by a rising vote*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors learns with deep regret of the passing of Honorable Thomas J. Lennon, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of California. Justice Lennon has discharged many public duties with probity and integrity and his passing is a great loss to the State of California. His services on the Superior bench in Marin County and later on the Appellate and Supreme courts of this State was marked with ability and patriotism. As a mark of respect the Board of Supervisors records this in the Journal and adjourns this day out of respect to his memory. We extend to his bereaved family our deepest sympathy.

The following resolutions were introduced and ordered *placed on Calendar of meeting of August* 23, 1926:

Widening of Noriega Street Between Twenty-first and Thirty-first Avenues.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the property owners owning all the frontage on both sides of Noriega street between Twenty-first and Thirty-first avenues have agreed to widen said thoroughfare 7 feet on each side, making a total roadway of 94 feet; therefore, be it

Resolved, That as soon as the deeds for this property are deposited with the City Engineer the necessary resolution and map approving of the same will be adopted by this Board.

Board of Health to Investigate Health Conditions Existing in Butchertown District.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution calling upon the Board of Health to make an immediate and thorough investigation of the manner of conduct and the health conditions existing in the district commonly known as "Butchertown" and in the so-called "hog ranches" and report to the Board of Supervisors without delay the details and results of such investigation.

ADJOURNMENT.

There not being a quorum present for the transaction of regular business, the meeting adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors November 22, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 34

Monday, August 23, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 23, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 23, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Veto Message, Quo Warranto Proceedings, Southern Pacific Co.

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, returning without his approval Resolution No. 26059 (New Series), requesting the City Attorney to call upon the Attorney-General of this State, in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, and request that he, in behalf of the State, immediately commence quo warranto proceedings against the Southern Pacific Company for the purpose of restraining the establishment and operation of a ferry across the Bay of San Francisco between the City of Alameda and the City and County of San Francisco until a franchise and certificate of public convenience and necessity have been obtained in accordance with law.

The communication from his Honor the Mayor reads as follows:

Mayor's Veto.

I am returning to you herewith, without my approval, Resolution No. 26059 (New Series), for the following reasons:

It does not seem to me consistent with the policy of bridging the Bay to accommodate traffic, and the granting of new franchises to ferries to care for the traffic until a bridge can be erected, to forbid a ferry, long in existence, and now in operation in passenger and freight service, to carry automobiles for the convenience of the public.

If there is any technical legal objection discriminating against automobiles on a passenger and freight ferry, that, of course, is a matter for the courts, not for the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor.

I understand that, since the passage of your resolution, the rights to maintain the ferry service, referred to in your resolution, have been challenged in a suit in the Federal Courts, brought by the Golden Gate Ferry Company, where I assume all points involved will be settled.

Therefore, the subject is now a moot question as far as the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor are concerned.

Pending the determination of the law, it appears to me unwise to announce, in the face of the admitted need for enlarged transportation facilities, that we desire to reduce those in being.

Your respectfully,

(Sgd.) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

The communication was read and action thereon *laid over one week.*

Mayor to Appoint a Citizens' Committee.

His Honor the Mayor also presented a communication advising the Board that a communication had been received from the Consul General of the Netherlands, in San Francisco, announcing the impending visit to this City about August 25th of the Netherlands Submarine K XIII, engaged in scientific research, and suggesting that by reason of the important commission and the warm friendship existing between the government of the Netherlands and this government, that he be authorized to appoint a proper committee to entertain the

officers and men of the submarine while in this port.

On motion of Supervisor Colman, the Mayor was authorized to appoint a proper citizens' committee to receive and entertain the distinguished visitors in conjunction with his Honor the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors.

Report of State Board of Equalization.

Communication, from the State Board of Equalization was received, enclosing therein a statement of the amount to be collected and received from State taxes for the seventy-eighth fiscal year, beginning July 1, 1926.

The communication was read and *ordered placed on file.*

City Attorney's Opinion Concerning an Airport Site Outside the Limits of the City and County.

The opinion of the City Attorney concerning the question of whether or not the City and County of San Francisco is permitted to spend money for the purchase of an airport site outside the limits of the City and County of San Francisco without an amendment to the City Charter authorizing it.

The opinion was read by the Clerk, and, on motion, *referred to the Special Committee on Airport Site and the Public Utilities Committee.*

Introduction of Chas. A. Dietz.

Honorable Chas. A. Dietz, Councilman of Milwaukee, was introduced by his Honor the Mayor. Mr. Dietz addressed the Board and expressed his appreciation of the City and his presence before the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Hayden responded in an appropriate way to the remarks of the visitor.

Invitation to Attend Meeting of the Advisory Committee to the Board of Equalization.

The Advisory Committee of the Board of Equalization cordially invited the Board to attend an exemplification of the method of appraisal of the taxable real property of the City and County on August 25, 1926, at 4 o'clock p. m.

The Clerk was directed to request that the said committee fix upon a future date for the meeting more convenient to the Board.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Beds for Relief Home.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing 200 beds for Relief Home.

Reports, Bureau of Engineering.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing 400 copies annual report, Bureau of Engineering, 1924-1925.

Reports of the Department of Public Health.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing 500 copies annual report of the Department of Public Health, 1924-1925.

Equipment for Infirmary and Kitchen, Relief Home.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing equipment for infirmary and kitchen, Relief Home.

On motion, the bids presented for the foregoing supplies were *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

His Honor the Mayor asked to be excused from the meeting. Request granted.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

Supervisor Hayden was heard at length and extended his felicitations and the compliments of the Board to his Honor Mayor Rolph, it being his fifty-seventh birthday.

Supervisor McSheehy was also heard and expressed kind sentiments and compliments to the Mayor.

His Honor Mayor Rolph responded in expression of his appreciation of the kindly words and compliments extended to him and invited the Board to visit his office at the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., at which time a reception would be tendered him by the 30th Marine Band.

RECESS.

Supervisor McSheehy asked the Board to recess at the hour of 3 o'clock for visit to the Mayor's office.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Welch, the Board at the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. took a recess for thirty minutes to call upon his Honor the Mayor and join in the reception tendered him.

BOARD RECONVENED.

Reference to Committees.

The Board having reconvened, Supervisor Welch presented:

Communication, from the Central Council Greater Excelsior District, requesting that a sufficient appro-

priation of funds be allowed to proceed with the erection of the new Southern High School on Onondaga avenue.

On motion, communication was *referred to the Public Buildings and Lands Committee.*

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Communication, from property owners and residents, calling attention to an industry known as the Eureka Planing Mill, located on Erie street between Mission and Howard streets, and complaining about its present mode of operation causing trouble and uneasiness in the neighborhood due to the number of fires.

On motion, the communication was *referred to the Fire Committee.*

Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Havenner introduced Mr. Carl Salbach, president of the Dahlia Society of California, who was heard at length on the aims and purposes of his organization, and requested that the dahlia be pronounced the official flower of San Francisco and presented the following communication:

The Dahlia Society of California, Creston Road, Berkeley, Calif.
To the Mayor and Board of Supervisors of the City of San Francisco.

We, the officers and members of the California Dahlia Society, beg to submit the following petition to your honorable Board on behalf of our organization and of the dahlia lovers of San Francisco.

Whereas, the dahlia has reached its highest perfection in the gardens in and about San Francisco, and because dahlias originated in San Francisco are grown in gardens all over the word;

Whereas, the dahlia partakes essentially of the character of our beloved city, in birth, breeding and habit, for it was originally Mexican, carried thence to Spain, to France and to England in turn, being changed in the process from a simple daisy-like flower to a cosmopolitan beauty, and, like many sophisticated world travelers, has come back to San Francisco to find its favorite home here, where it thrives in the cool summers and the moist air of our fog-swept, sandy gardens near the sea;

Whereas, it is a robust flower, generous and able to thrive in any reasonable soil, so long as it is not too dry, and has the primitive strength and vitality of our pioneer ancestors, together with the gayety and color that no other city nor flower can hope to equal, going like our artists and poets to carry color and beauty into far climes; and

Whereas, it is an autumn flower, blooming in its greatest profusion when San Francisco's fiesta days are at their best;

Whereas, in its versatility, its beauty, its infinite variety of color and form, it is the very symbol of San Francisco life and of the spirit of her people;

Therefore, we petition your honorable Board to pronounce the dahlia as the official flower of San Francisco, and that you may see it in its best array, we cordially invite you to attend the eleventh annual dahlia show, to be given by the undersigned association at the Palace Hotel on August 26, 27 and 28 of this year.

Very respectfully yours,
CALIFORNIA DAHLIA SOCIETY,
By (Sgd) CARL SALBACH,
President.
(Sgd) H. T. HENNIG,
Secretary.

Mrs. Hubbard was also heard in the matter. Supervisor Havenner extended thanks to the society for its floral distribution, and upon motion, the communication was *referred to the Public Welfare Committee.*

Leave of Absence.

The following resolution was taken up out of order and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26061 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Andrew F. Mahony, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing August 17, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Police Commission to Appoint Eight Sergeants.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26062 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Police Commissioners is hereby authorized to appoint eight eligible sergeants as lieutenants in the Police Department, and the additional salary, amounting to $2,400. to be charged against the Personal Serv-

ice appropriation of said Department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,080 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of Appropriation 42-A (Police Department Personal Services), to provide compensation during fiscal year 1926-1927 for three detective sergeants to meet quota according to Charter requirements.

Passed to print by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Automobile Supply Station.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Granting Wm. Bourne permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Twenty-fifth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Granting J. M. Johnson permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the south side of Balboa street, 90 feet east of Thirty-second avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

On motion *referred to the Fire Committee.*

Rezoning of Chestnut Street.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors adopts as its policy with respect to the rezoning of Chestnut street the recommendation made by the City Planning Committee and the plan therein recommended.

The City Planning Committee is hereby requested and directed to carry to a proper consummation the scheme and plan recommended by said report.

Upon motion, *laid over one week and made a Special Order for Hearing at 3 o'clock p. m.*

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to Enter Into An Agreement With the Modesto Irrigation District for the Furnishing of Hydroelectric Power to the District.

The following bill and ordinance was taken up:

Bill No. 7707, Authorizing, empowering and directing the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the Modesto Irrigation District, a public corporation, covering the furnishing of hydroelectric power to said district.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a written agreement with the Modesto Irrigation District, a public corporation of Stanislaus County, California, covering the furnishing by the City and County of San Francisco to the said Modesto Irrigation District of hydroelectric power from the City's plant at Moccasin Creek, for pumping and municipal purposes as provided for in the Act of Congress, approved December 19, 1913, and commonly known as the Raker Act, the maximum amount of said power to be furnished under said contract not to exceed the total connected load for pumping, irrigation and municipal purposes, which the said district has upon its electrical distribution system; and the terms and conditions of said contract to be as follows, to-wit:

This agreement, made and entered into this ——— day of ———, 1926, by and between the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, acting for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as "City," under authority of the Board of Supervisors granted by Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), the party of the first part, and the Modesto Irrigation District, a public corporation of Stanislaus County, California, hereinafter referred to as the "District," the party of the second part;

Witnesseth:

Whereas, the City is the owner

and holder of certain water rights situate on the Tuolumne River and on Lake Eleanor, which are commonly known as the City's Hetch Hetchy project, and that in connection with said water rights the City is developing electric power at its power plant, installed at Moccasin Creek, and is transmitting said power so developed to a point in the vicinity of Newark, in the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, under the terms of the Act of Congress, approved December 19, 1913, commonly known as the Raker Act, the City is obligated to sell to the said District electric energy as provided by said Act; and

Whereas, the District has made demand on the City that it furnish to the District the amount of electric energy which it is required to furnish pursuant to the terms of said Raker Act, and the City is willing to comply with said demand;

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises and of the mutual covenants and conditions herein contained, the parties hereto agree as follows:

That the amount of electric energy that the District may receive pursuant to the terms of this agreement shall be determined from time to time by representatives of each of the parties hereto, and shall be the amount of energy which the City is obligated to furnish to the District under the terms of the said Raker Act, but in no event to exceed the amount of the total connected load on the system of the District coming within the said provisions of said Raker Act, said amount to be corrected for transmission and distribution losses, and that the expense of determining from time to time the amount of energy which the District is entitled to receive as herein provided shall be borne by the District.

That the District agrees to pay to the City for all electric energy furnished to the District at the rate of 4.7 mills per kilowatt hour, provided, however, that the minimum amount to be paid to the City by the District for said energy shall be three hundred and seventy-five ($375.00) dollars per month, and in case the District's needs for the purposes hereinbefore mentioned shall exceed 3000 k. w., then in that event, the monthly minimum payment shall be increased proportionately. All amounts to become due under the terms of this contract to be payable to the City at the office of the Board of Public Works in the City and County of San Francisco, on or before the 20th day of each and every calendar month following the month in which the said City commences to furnish said energy to the said District. Provided, however, that if the price of 4.7 mills per kilowatt hour for said energy furnished to the District as aforesaid should not return to the City the actual and total cost of providing and supplying said power computed as provided in said Raker Act, the said price may hereafter be increased, to the end that the same will return to the City said actual cost. And provided, further, should the Secretary of the Interior of the United States hereafter determine or fix any other price for the said energy supplied to the said District, the rate therefor as herein provided may be changed accordingly, provided, however, that the minimum charge shall remain as herein set forth.

The District shall install at its own cost and maintain and operate at its own expense, a step-down station at a point at or near the City's transmission lines, which said station shall be capable of receiving electric energy at voltages of approximately 110,000 or 165,000 volts, or at both of said voltages. And the said District shall also provide, install and maintain, at its own expense, the necessary demand and watt-hour meters and relays at the primary side of said station, for the purpose of measuring the energy received by the said District; and that said step-down station, said meters and relays shall be of standard construction and equipment, and shall be of sufficient strength, power and capacity to be used in connection with the power lines and plant of the City without the same being a source of danger to said lines or plant, and that all of the said equipment shall be constructed, installed and maintained to the satisfaction of the City, or its Engineer.

That the District shall inspect, test and keep in proper repair all meters and accessories at said station which will be used for measuring the amount of electric energy delivered to the District under this agreement. The said meters shall be kept under joint seals of the City and District, which shall not be broken except in the presence of authorized representatives of both parties. Either party shall have the right at any time to request an

inspection or test, and, if found necessary, proper adjustment of such meters shall be made in the presence of a representative of the other party appointed for the purpose. When such inspection or test is desired, sufficient notice shall be given by the party desiring the test to permit of the other party having its representative present. The registration of the meters shall be used as the basis of determining the amount of energy received by the district, unless, upon being tested, the meters shall be found to register inaccurately and such inaccuracy shall exceed two per cent (2%). Where the inaccuracy is more than two per cent (2%), but the actual inaccuracy can be approximately determined, the readings of such meters shall be corrected, and such corrected readings and any other available data shall be used as a basis for determining the amount of energy delivered.

The energy furnished to the District shall be received from the transmission lines belonging to the City at the voltage at which the same is ordinarily transmitted thereover, provided that said voltage shall not be higher than approximately 165,000 or lower than approximately 110,000 volts, and any loss or damage caused to the transmission lines or to the generating equipment of the City by reason of any act or omission by the District shall be borne and paid for by the District.

That if during the continuation of this agreement it should happen that the City should not be operating the Moccasin Creek plan on a twenty-four hour basis, then in that event the City hereby agrees to maintain an emergency crew, subject to call by the District, for the purpose of furnishing the service herein provided for, and the District hereby agrees that the monthly minimum charge payable by the District shall be increased to cover the actual cost to the City of mantaining such emergency crew during such period and any other cost of operating said plant which is made necessary solely by the District's needs.

The City shall not be obligated to deliver energy to the District, nor shall the District be obligated to receive energy, at such time as either shall be prevented from doing so on account of accidents or acts of God, making it physically impossible to so deliver or receive energy. In the event of inability on the part of the City to deliver the said energy, or inability on the part of the District to receive the energy, arising from any of the causes in this paragraph specified, the party so prevented from making such delivery of energy or receiving such energy shall proceed with all possible speed to take the necessary action to enable it to comply with its covenants herein contained.

Neither this agreement nor anything contained herein, nor the prices, rates or charges fixed herein, shall ever be offered or in any manner used as evidence by either said City or said District or any successor in interest of either of them, in any court or before any commission or official of the State of California or the United States of America, in any action or proceeding for the purpose of obtaining a judicial or official interpretation of the terms or provisions of the Raker Act, nor shall anything contained herein be construed as committing or binding either party as to the interpretation of either party to the terms or provisions of said Raker Act.

That the District shall proceed with all possible speed to erect and construct said step-down station, and make itself ready to receive said electric energy from the City, and shall complete said station and said equipment within eighteen (18) months from the date of the execution of this agreement, due regard being had for strikes, lockouts, acts of God, inability to get labor or materials, or any other act beyond the control of the District, and if said station and equipment is not completed within 18 months from the date of the execution of this agreement, and the District is not ready to receive said electric energy from the City, this agreement may, at the option of the City, be cancelled and annulled; provided, however, that such time as the District may be delayed in the construction of said step-down station and equipment by strikes, lockouts, acts of God, inability to get labor or material, or by other acts over which the District has no control, shall be added to the said period of 18 months hereinbefore allowed to the District within which to commence receiving said power.

In witness whereof, the Board of Public Works has caused these presents to be duly executed and signed by its members, and the District has caused these presents to be executed by its President and Secretary and have affixed their of-

ficial seals thereto the day and year first above written.

Board of Public Works of City and County of San Francisco,

By .....

By .....

By .....

Attest: .. ..

Secretary.

Modesto Irrigation District,

By .....

Its President.

By .....

Its Secretary.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

The foregoing bill was discussed at length by Supervisor Shannon, who moved its passage to print.

The bill was further discussed by Supervisors Colman, Bath, Marks, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Stanton, Badaracco, Harrelson and McSheehy.

On motion of Supervisor Colman, Mr. Eckert, Assistant City Engineer, was granted the privilege of the floor and read the following communication:

August 23, 1926.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

There is on the calendar an ordinance authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into an agreement with the Modesto Irrigation District for furnishing hydro-electric power to that district.

I do not wish my attitude on this subject to be misunderstood. The City Engineer bears the kindliest feelings towards the Modesto Irrigation District and ever since the passage of the Raker Act our relations with the citizens and landowners of the district have been most friendly. We wish to cooperate with the district in every way in the improvement of their electric service, so long as in helping them it is not done at an unreasonable expense to San Francisco. The City Engineer feels that the friendly feeling between San Francisco and the district will best be fostered and maintained if any contract which is entered into with the district is based strictly on the terms of the Raker Act and is couched in language that cannot be misunderstood, and which expresses fully and clearly the full intent and obligations of both parties, thus avoiding cause for future litigation and the resulting bitterness of feeling.

Section 1 of the ordinance sets forth that the agreement covers the furnishing of hydro-electric power to the Modesto Irrigation District for pumping and municipal purposes as provided for in the Raker Act, and states that the maximum amount of power to be furnished under the contract is not to exceed the total connected load for pumping, irrigation and municipal purposes which the said district has upon its electrical distribution system.

If the contract provided that the Modesto Irrigation District would buy from the City all of the electric energy to be used for the purpose of operating their pumps and for municipal purposes, as contemplated under the Raker Act and limited to these purposes only, and the price to be paid for that power wah such as to actually reimburse the City for the cost of developing, maintaining and transmitting the electrical energy for this purpose, the City Engineer's office would not oppose the contract, notwithstanding the fact that even under these conditions it may impose on the City an actual loss of revenue in excess of $30,000 per year, for these are our solemn obligations under the Raker Act. The Raker Act requires that we furnish the irrigation districts upon demand (and that means the Turlock Irrigation District as well as the Modesto Irrigation District) "electrical energy for pumping sub-surface water for drainage or irrigation or for the actual municipal purposes of municipalities within the districts (which purposes shall not include the sale to private persons or corporations) at such price as will actually reimburse the said grantee for developing and maintaining and transmitting the electrical energy thus sold."

It is not, however, the intention of the Modesto Irrigation District, nor does this contract bind the district to buy from the City the power required for pumping and municipal purposes. What the district wants and what it aims to get under this contract is what is known as a standby service. This means that the district will use its own power plant for operating the pumps and for the other purposes specified under the Raker Act, and will call upon San Francisco to furnish electrical service only at such times as their plant or their transmission lines are out of commission. And what does the contract provide that they pay for this service? The price of 4.7 mills per KWH for the amount of energy ac-

tually used, with no reference to or consideration of the load factor. Standby service is not sold or furnished on the basis of so much per kilowatt of energy used. Standby service is sold on the basis of a price per month or per year per kilowatt of demand, plus a rate per kilowatt hour of energy used. This contract eliminates the demand charge entirely, the most important factor in a standy rate schedule. Established schedules for standby service indicate a rate of from $10 to $24 per year per kilowatt of demand plus, say, 6/10 cents per kilowatt of energy used.

The distribution system of the Modesto Irrigation District as constructed makes it impossible for the district in distributing power received from San Francisco's transmission line to distinguish between that which is used for so-called Raker Act purposes and that which is used by private persons or corporations for other purposes. This clearly indicates that it is the intention of the district, should the occasion arise, to take from our lines sufficient electrical energy to meet whatever the emergency might require for all purposes. The contract should prohibit this, for the reason that while we are obliged to furnish the district the amount of energy that they require for the specified purposes under the Raker Act at actual cost, we are not obliged to furnish them any energy beyond this, especially when by so doing it will cause the City to lose additional revenue which might bring the total loss of revenue up to more than $90,000, or even $132,000 a year.

To support the general statements that I have made, let us see how this contract as it is now drawn would have worked out for the City if it had been in effect during 1925. In that year the Modesto Irrigation District used for pumping, drainage and municipal public purposes (which are the so-called Raker Act purposes) a total of a little less than 3,000,000 KWH of electrical energy. According to the statement of their electrical engineer, Mr. O'Connell, the maximum demand at any time during the year for this service amounted to 1350 kilowatts, and the connected load was 2300 kilowatts. During the same period of time, the total amount of electrical energy delivered to the Modesto District was 9.300,000 KWH, and the maximum demand or peak load in distributing this amount of energy was between 4200 and 4500 kilowatts.

Now, if the contract was limited purely and solely to furnishing the power for Raker Act purposes during the year 1925, and the district took all the power that they required for those purposes, we would have received from the district the sum of $14,100 on the basis of 4.7 mills per KWH. If this power was used by the district only as standby when their lines were out, the City would have received for this service only $4,200.

The furnishing of the power to the district would, on the basis of a maximum demand of 1350 kilowatts, have resulted in a reduction of revenue under the agency contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company of $42,600, a loss of $28,500, provided the district bought all of the power they required for Raker Act purposes from the City; if used as standby only, the loss would have been $38,400. However, let us suppose that the district's plant went out of service while they were connected with the City's transmission line. Can you imagine that they would not at that time call upon and take from us all of the power that was necessary to meet whatever demand they had to meet at the time the plant went out? If this should occur at a time of their peak load, it would make a demand upon the City of from 4200 to 4500 kilowatts. Now, if under any contract that we enter into with the district it is possible for us to be called upon to furnish a demand service of, say, 4200 kilowatts, it will reduce by that amount the dependable peak that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company may count upon from our plant, and that company has indicated to the City Attorney that they so interpret the contract. If this contention were sustained it would reduce the obligation of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to take all of the output of the Moccasin power plant on the basis of an 80,000 kilowatt peak at a 75 per cent load factor to taking that output on the basis of, say, 75,800 kilowatt peak, and this would result in a reduction of revenue of $132,500 per year.

The advocates of this contract will say that the City is not obligated under the agreement as drawn to furnish any power in addition to that required to meet the purposes of the Raker Act. However, if the contract is consummated and the connections made,

it would be a very difficult matter to prevent the Modesto District from taking power in excess of these requirements. The City Engineer recommends that if this contract be entered into, that a clause be added to read, "That the district does hereby agree not to use any of the power furnished by the City under the terms of this agreement for any other purpose than that required for the purposes specified in the Raker Act as being those for which the City is obligated to furnish power to the district." I understand that our City Attorney suggested that such a clause should be written into the contract, but that the representatives of the Modesto District were unwilling to have such a clause in the contract. If they do not intend to use this power for other purposes than those for which they were ostensibly securing it, why should they object to such a clause being written into the contract?

The City Engineer is in full accord with carrying out every term of the obligation of the City imposed by the terms of the Raker Act. He does not believe, however, that the Raker Act obligates the City in any way to furnish purely a standby service The Railroad Commission in establishing its schedules of rates draws a very distinct line between electrical energy furnished for general commercial purposes and power furnished for standby. Advocates of the contract may take a contrary view to that held by the City Engineer. However, it is clear that no such class of service was contemplated under the Raker Act as drawn; but even if we are obliged to furnish standby service, we are bound under the terms of the Raker Act to charge for that service the actual cost. Now let us look into the question of what is the cost of furnishing standby service.

When a power company, or in this case the City, enters into a contract to furnish standby service to the extent of 2300 kilowatts or 4200 kilowatts, as the case may be, it really obligates itself to set aside or allocate to that customer a definite amount of its plant capacity equal to the amount of that standby demand—that we must be prepared at any and all times if called upon to furnish that service. This is particularly true where we have only one customer for that class of service. The Moccasin power plant has a peak capacity of let us say 80,000 kilowatts. Now if we allocate to the Modesto District for standby service 2300 or 4200 kilowatts, we are setting aside for their exclusive use, subject to their demand, 2.9 per cent (or 5¼ per cent, as the case may be) of our entire plant capacity. In round numbers for rate-making purposes, the Moccasin plant represents a capital investment of approximately $25,000,000, which figure includes interest during construction. The fixed charges on this investment represent, in round numbers, $1,150,000, or nearly $14.50 per kilowatt of demand per year, so that for 2300 kilowatts of demand our charges should be $33,000 per year, and for 4200 kilowatts of demand they should be somewhat ever $60,000 per year, to which should be added a charge to cover the actual amount of energy, or the actual number of KWH used.

The Modesto Irrigation District is growing. They propose to install, if this agreement is entered into, a stepdown station with capacity for taking a maximum demand of 9000 kilowatts from our line. Would they install this additional capacity if they did not propose to use it?

There is one way in which the amount of power that they might take at any time could be limited, and that would be by installing a device which would open the switch if any attempt was made to draw more than the predetermined amount of power than the device might be set for. This device is known as an overload relay, and if any agreement is entered into with the Modesto District, that agreement should provide a clause that such overload relays shall be installed and set to operate under conditions approved by the Board of Public Works and that they shall be sealed and that the seal shall not be broken except in the presence of a representative of the Board of Public Works.

This contract and these figures, indicative of what the City's loss of revenue might be, apply only to the Modesto Irrigation District. Do not forget that the City has the same obligation under the terms of the Raker Act to render service to the Turlock Irrigation District that it has to Modesto. The Turlock and Modesto Irrigation Districts jointly own and operate the power plant which serves the Modesto District. Turlock's interest in that plant is twice that of Modesto and it would be fair to assume that the amount of electrical energy that Turlock might call upon San Francisco for under the terms of the

Raker Bill would be twice that of Modesto and might involve twice the loss of revenue.

There is one other point that I want to lay some stress upon, and that is that this contract is drawn in perpetuity, and that is all right as far as this contract deals with and governs only the electrical energy furnished to the Modesto Irrigation District under the terms of the Raker Act and for those purposes only, but unless great pains are taken to limit and prevent the contract being construed to apply to power for any other purposes, your Board should go very slow before passing this ordinance without having some time limit in the contract which would protect the City in the event that it was found in the working of the contract that an undue hardship was being brought upon the City in relation to power furnished or taken by the district and used for other than Raker Act purposes.

I have written a letter to the City Attorney suggesting a number of modifications in the contract which, if incorporated, will, I believe, protect the City's interests in these matters. A copy of this letter is attached hereto.

Respectfully,
M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
City Engineer.
August 7, 1926.

Hon. John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sir:

I have gone over the latest draft of the proposed Modesto power agreement, which I received from you yesterday morning. I note that you have made a number of changes from the original agreement as prepared by the Modesto District representatives, all designed to protect and safeguard San Francisco's interests.

The present form is still, however, far from satisfactory in two very vital particulars.

First: It does not bind the district not to use the power furnished under the Raker Act for other purposes than those for which San Francisco is obliged to furnish electric energy to the district under the act. This is extremely important in view of the fact that the contract is in perpetuity.

Second: The price named of 4.7 mills per kilowatt without prescribing that this shall be taken or paid for on the basis of a 75 per cent load factor is wholly inadequate for the service and does not in any degree compare with the revenue being received by the City for the energy sold through the agency of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Aside from these main points, I must point out that while the contract purports to enable the Modesto District to buy power from San Francisco for certain purposes named in the Raker Act, it is well known that it is their intention to utilize power furnished by San Francisco for standby service not only for Raker Act purposes but for general commercial purposes. This certainly will result in much controversy later as to the interpretation of the various provisions and with possible necessity of court action.

In addition to these main points, I am suggesting a number of minor changes which should be made to clarify the agreement and further safeguard the City's interests. These are as follows:

In the second "Whereas" on page 1 insert the word "certain" before "electric energy."

The third "Whereas" reads: "The district has made demand on the City that it furnish to the district the amount of electric energy which it is required to furnish pursuant to the terms of the Raker Act." I am not aware that such demand has formally been made, and would suggest that this clause be changed to read: "Whereas, the district does hereby make demand on the City that it furnish to the district, etc."

In the first clause of the agreement, reading, "that the amount of electric energy that the district may receive . . . shall be determined from time to time . . .", it is quite necessary to change this clause to read as follows: "That the rate, expressed in kilowatts, at which the electric energy that the City is obligated to sell to the district pursuant to the terms of this agreement shall be delivered, shall be determined from time to time when requested by either party, by representatives of each of the parties hereto, and shall be such as is actually necessary to enable the City to meet its obligations to furnish energy to the district under the terms of the said Raker Act, but in no event to exceed the amount of the total connected load on the system of the district coming within the said provisions of said Raker Act, said amount to be corrected for transmission and distribution losses, and that the expense of determining from time to time the rate of delivery of the

energy which the district is entitled to receive as hereby provided shall be borne by the district."

On the second page, in the clause beginning, "that the district agrees to pay to the City for all electric energy furnished to the district at the rate of 4.7 mills per kilowatt hour," there should be added at this point, "on the basis of a 75 per cent monthly load factor, based on the determined rate of delivery." This will permit of striking out the balance of that sentence beginning, "Provided, however."

In the same paragraph, in the sentence beginning, "Provided, however, that if," after the word "district" insert "on a basis of a 75 per cent monthly load factor," and in the last two lines on page 2 strike out the clause, "Provided, however, that the minimum charge shall remain as herein set forth."

On page 3, the first line, after the word "cost," insert "and shall reimburse the City for any and all expense incurred by the City in providing for the necessary connection with the said stepdown station."

The last line of the first paragraph on page 3 should read, "to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works." Also, there should be added, "that the relays shall be set to operate under conditions approved by the Board of Public Works, and shall be sealed and the seals shall not be broken except in the presence of a representative of the Board of Public Works." The second line of the last sentence on page 3 substitute "delivered to" for the words "received by."

On page 4, in the paragraph beginning "The energy furnished," after "110,000 volts," begin a new paragraph, "Any loss or damage, etc."

On the fourth page, the paragraph beginning "That if during the continuation of this agreement," strike out "it should happen that"; and in the next line, after the word "basis" insert "it shall notify the district of that fact and shall . . .," and strike out "then in that event the City hereby agrees to. . . ."

In the same paragraph strike out the words "subject to call by the district."

There should also be added a clause reading somewhat as follows:

"The district shall reimburse the City for any and all loss of revenue resulting from interruption of service occasioned by the original construction or repairs or maintenance work in connection with the stepdown station or its connections and appurtenances."

There should also be added a further clause to read:

"That the district does hereby agree not to use any of the power furnished by the City under the terms of this agreement for any other purpose than that required for the purposes as specified in the Raker Act as being those for which the City is obligated to furnish power to the district."

Very truly yours,
M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
City Engineer.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole was heard in explanation of his views in drafting the contract agreement, and in reference to its legality.

On motion, Mr. Adolph Uhl was granted the privilege of the floor, and expresesd himself as being opposed to the conditions of the agreement.

J. C. Garrison, chairman of the directors of the Modesto Irrigation District, was heard and stated that the contract and agreement as presented was favorable to his organization.

Supervisor Colman presented the following amendment, signed by himself with Supervisors Hayden and Harrelson, and moved that the matter be referred to the Public Utilities Committee:

This agreement, made and entered into this ————day of ————, 1926, by and between the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, acting for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as "City," under authority of the Board of Supervisors granted by Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), the party of the first part, and the Modesto Irrigation District, a public corporation of Stanislaus County, California, hereinafter referred to as the "District," the party of the second part;

Witnesseth:

Whereas, the City is the owner and holder of certain water rights situate on the Tuolumne River and on Lake Eleanor, which are commonly known as the City's Hetch Hetchy project, and that in connection with said water rights the City is developing electric power at its power plant installed at Moccasin Creek, and is transmitting said power so developed to a point in the vicinity of Newark, in the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, under the terms of the Act of Congress, approved December 19, 1913, commonly known as the Raker Act, the City is obligated, upon request, to sell or supply to the Modesto and Turlock Irrigation Districts and to the municipalities within either or both of said districts for the use of any land owner or owners therein for pumping subsurface water for drainage or irrigation, or for the actual municipal public purposes of said municipalities (which purposes shall not include sale to private persons or corporations) electrical energy at such price as will actually reimburse the City for developing, maintaining and transmitting the electrical energy thus sold; and

Whereas, the District does hereby make demand on the City that it furnish to the District the amount of electric energy which it is required to furnish pursuant to the terms of said Raker Act, at the price to be established in accordance with the terms thereof, and the City is willing to comply with said demand.

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises and of the mutual covenants and conditions herein contained, the parties hereto agree as follows:

That the rate, expressed in kilowatts, at which the electric energy that the City is obligated to sell to the District pursuant to the terms of this agreement shall be delivered shall be determined from time to time when requested by either party by representatives of each of the parties hereto, and shall be such as is actually necessary to enable the City to meet its obligations to furnish energy to the District under the terms of the said Raker Act, said amount to be corrected for transmission and distribution losses, and that the expense of determining from time to time the rate of delivery of the energy which the District is entitled to receive, as herein provided, shall be borne by the District.

That the District agrees to pay the City for all electric energy furnished to the District for Raker Act purposes such price as will reimburse the City for developing and maintaining and transmitting the electrical energy delivered to the District for said Raker Act purposes.

And in the event the District should take delivery from the City of any electrical energy in excess of the actual requirements of the Raker Act obligations, the District shall pay to the City for such excess electrical energy at the rate of 4.7 mills per kilowatt hour, on the basis of a 75% monthly load factor based on the determined rate of delivery of such excess energy.

Provided that the price for electrical energy delivered and received in excess of the Raker Act requirements may be modified at any time upon thirty days' notice in writing to the District, and such modified price shall be equal to the lowest price established for the disposal of power to other customers taking into consideration load factor, point of delivery and other elements affecting the sale of power. And provided further, should the Secretary of the Interior of the United States hereafter determine or fix any other price for the said energy supplied to the said District, the rate therefor as herein provided may be changed accordingly. All amounts to become due under the terms of this contract to be payable to the City at the office of the Board of Public Works in the City and County of San Francisco, on or before the 20th day of each and every calendar month following the month in which the said City commences to furnish said energy to the said District.

The District shall install at its own cost and shall reimburse the City for any and all expense incurred by the City in providing for the necessary connection with the said step-down station, and maintain and operate, at its own expense, a step-down station at a point at or near the City's transmission lines, which said station shall be capable of receiving electric energy at voltages of approximately 110,000 or 165,000 volts, or at both of said voltages. And the said District shall also provide, install and maintain, at its own expense, the necessary demand and watt hour meters and relays at the primary side of said station, for the purpose of measuring the energy received by the said District; and that said step-down station, said meters and relays shall be of standard construction and equipment, and shall be of sufficient strength, power and capacity to be used in connection with the power lines and plant of the City without the same being a source of danger to said lines or plant, and that all of the said equipment shall be constructed, installed and maintained to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works. Relays shall be set to operate under conditions approved by

the City Engineer and shall be sealed and seals not broken except in the presence of the City Engineer.

That the District shall inspect, test and keep in proper repair, all meters and accessories at said station which will be used for measuring the amount of electric energy delivered to the District under this agreement. The said meters shall be kept under joint seals of the City and District, which shall not be broken except in the presence of authorized representatives of both parties. Either party shall have the right at any time to request an inspection or test and, if found necessary, proper adjustment of such meters shall be made in the presence of a representative of the other party appointed for the purpose. When such inspection or test is desired, sufficient notice shall be given by the party desiring the test, to permit of the other party having its representative present. The registration of the meters shall be used as the basis of determining the amount of energy delivered to the District, unless upon being tested, the meters shall be found to register inaccurately and such inaccuracy shall exceed two per cent (2%). Where the inaccuracy is more than two per cent (2%), but the actual inaccuracy can be approximately determined, the readings of such meters shall be corrected and such corrected readings and any other available data shall be used as a basis for determining the amount of energy delivered.

The energy furnished to the District shall be received from the transmission lines belonging to the City at the voltage at which the same is ordinarily transmitted thereover, provided that said voltage shall not be higher than approximately 165,000, or lower than approximately 110,000 volts.

Any loss or damage caused to the transmission lines or to the generating equipment of the City by reason of any act or omission by the District shall be borne and paid for by the District.

The City shall not be obligated to deliver energy to the District, nor shall the District be obligated to receive energy at such time as either shall be prevented from doing so on account of accidents or acts of God, making it physically impossible to so deliver or receive energy. In the event of inability on the part of the City to deliver the said energy, or inability on the part of the District to receive the energy, arising from any of the causes in this paragraph specified, the party so prevented from making such delivery of energy or receiving such energy shall proceed at all possible speed to take the necessary action to enable it to comply with its covenants herein contained.

That the District shall proceed with all possible speed to erect and construct said step-down station, and make itself ready to receive said electric energy from the City, and shall complete said station and said equipment within eighteen (18) months from the date of the execution of this agreement, due regard being had for strikes, lockouts, acts of God, inability to get labor or materials, or any other act beyond the control of the District, and if said station and equipment is not completed within 18 months from the date of the execution of this agreement, and the District is not ready to receive said electric energy from the City, this agreement may, at the option of the City, be cancelled and annulled, provided, however, that such time as the District may be delayed in the construction of said step-down station and equipment by strikes, lockouts, acts of God, inability to get labor or material, or by other acts over which the District has no control, shall be added to the said period of 18 months hereinbefore allowed to the District within which to commence receiving said power.

Nothing in this contract shall be construed to bind the City in any way to furnish electric energy to the District for any other purpose than those named in Section 9, Subdivision 1, of the Raker Act.

In witness whereof, the Board of Public Works has caused these presents to be duly executed and signed by its members, and the District has caused these presents to be executed by its President and Secretary and have affixed their official seals thereto the day and year first above written.

Board of Public Works of City and County of San Francisco,

By .....

By .....

By .....

Attest: .....

Secretary.

Modesto Irrigation District,

By .....

Its President.

By .....

Its Secretary

The chair ruled that the que

tion of amendment was before the meeting.

Amendment read by Clerk.

Supervisor Badaracco thereupon moved that the amendment be laid on the table, which motion was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—10.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt—8.

Supervisors Marks, Schmidt, Powers, Gallagher, Stanton, Badaracco and Havenner arose and explained their votes and were heard at length in the matter.

Whereupon, Supervisor Marks moved that the amendment be taken from the table. There being no objection it was *so ordered.*

Supervisor Colman moved that the amendment, with the original matter, be referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

The motion was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden—3.

Noes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks. McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

The question was then called on passage of the amendment and it was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden—3.

Noes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks. McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

The question was thereupon called on passage to print of bill and ordinance as originally presented and the said Bill No. 7707 and ordinance was *passed to print* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden—3.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Changing Zone—Pacific Avenue East from Laguna Street.

Bill No. 7708, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), regulating and establishing the location of trades, etc. Changing the north side of Pacific avenue for a distance of 206 feet 3 inches east from Laguna street to the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District.

Subject matter was discussed by Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner and Powers.

A communication from the Hon. James D. Phelan was presented and read by the Clerk, calling attention to the condition of apartment houses destroying the tradition of homes and requesting impartial consideration.

The question being called the bill and ordinance was *passed to print* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers—5.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26064 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated Aug. 6, 1926), $877.82.

(2) Worley & Co., lockers for park pool (claim dated Aug. 6, 1926), $2,613.60.

(3) A. G. Spalding & Bros., golf materials for parks (claim dated Aug. 6, 1926), $700.20.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(4) General Electric Co., portable welding motor, etc., for Municipal Railways (claim dated July 29, 1926), $1,074.26.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(5) P. Montague, second payment, grading site for Tuberculosis Sanitarium (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $2,700.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(6) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, second payment, construction of tunnel, etc., under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $49,162.50.

Special School Tax.

(7) W. P. Fuller & Co., lead and

turpentine for schools (claim dated July 29, 1926), $761.50.

(8) P. J. Enright, second payment, mechanical equipment for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $4,881.12.

(9) O. Monson, fourth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $19,560.

(10) Standard Electrical Construction Co., second payment, electrical work for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $1,750.58.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(11) M. E. Ryan, thirteenth payment, electrical work, new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,391.20.

(12) M. Getz & Co., bakery machinery for Relief Home new buildings (claim dated July 31, 1926), $825.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(13) Herman Lawson, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne school, fifth payment (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $556.68.

(14) A. Lettich, fifth payment, plumbing work for Lafayette (Anza Street) School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $1,349.18.

(15) A. Lettich, thirteenth payment, plumbing work for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $10,098.60.

(16) A. Lettich, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,597.13.

(17) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., ninth payment, general construction of Lafayette (Anza Street) School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $27,452.62.

(18) O. Monson, third payment, general construction of West Portal School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $12,489.37.

(19) W. H. Picard, ninth payment, plumbing work, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $3,696.57.

(20) M. E. Ryan, sixth payment, electrical work, Douglas - Everett School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,381.98.

(21) Scott Company, fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,931.92.

(22) Scott Company, third payment, mechanical equipment, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $712.13.

(23) Thos. Skelly, fifth payment, plumbing work for Hawthorne School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $2,185.07.

(24) Aetna Electric Co., second payment, electrical work for Hawthorne School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $3,253.73.

(25) Bond Construction Co., eighth payment, general construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $20,549.82.

(26) Bond Construction Co., final payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $36,467.51.

(27) L. Flatland, eighth payment, electrical work, High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug 4, 1926), $12,764.28.

(28) L. Flatland, final payment electrical work, Alvarado School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $3,212.50.

(29) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, plumbing system, West Portal School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $2,353.26.

(30) Latourette-Fical Co., ninth payment, mechanical equipment, New Mission High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $1,195.12.

(31) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, plumbing work, Henry Durant School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $1,197.54.

(32) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth payment, mechanical equipment Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $792.41.

(33) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, plumbing work, Edison School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,361.52.

(34) Latourette-Fical Co., eighth payment, mechanical equipment, Alvarado School (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $3,825.67.

(35) Bureau of Engineering, blue prints, etc., furnished for Mission Junior High School (claim dated July 28, 1926), $786.16.

Publicity and Advertising (*Appropriation* 55), 1925-1926.

(36) Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor, for expense of publicity and advertising in the promoting of direct legislation having for its purpose the constructing of highways, particularly in vicinity of San Francisco; per appropriation by Resolution No. 25758 (New Series) (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,593.26.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(37) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals, month of June, 1926 (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,250.

(38) Standard Underground Cable Co., cable for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1926), $555.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., raising high-pressure water system main, etc., in Harrison street (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,797.19.

(40) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, construction of sewers, westerly Sunset District, Contract No. 2, Sec. "C" (claim dated June 30, 1926), $14,250.

(41) City Construction Co., third payment, improvement of Kearny street between Market street and Columbus avenue (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,000.

(42) Clarence B. Eaton, second payment, construction of sewers, Sec. "A", Contract No. 1, westerly Sunset District (claim dated June 30, 1926), $10,200.

(43) James M. Smith, balance of City's portion for improvement of Saturn street between Ord street and Lower Terrace (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(44) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for sewer repair (claim dated July 29, 1926), $828.72.

(45) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Aug. 9, 1926), $1,075.

(46) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Aug. 9, 1926), $1,250.

(47) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Aug. 9, 1926), $1,254.67.

(48) Schultz Construction Co., repairs to Winnipeg avenue sewer (claim dated Aug. 4, 1926), $1,-299.70.

(49) J. E. French Co., one Dodge coupe, less allowance, for Dept. of Public Health (claim dated Aug. 3, 1926), $829.20.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(50) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of evaluation of electric properties during August, 1926 (claim dated Aug. 9, 1926), $1,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Appropriation, $2,200, Payment to James Pembroke for Lands on Santiago Street Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26065 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,200 be and the same is hereby set aside out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to James Pembroke; being payment for lands situate on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 150 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly on westerly line of Twenty third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 50 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26012 (New Series), and required for school purposes. (Claim dated Aug. 9, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Appropriation, $6,200, Payment to Emma Winstedt for Property Required for the Extension of Market Street.

Resolution No. 26066 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Emma Winstedt (care of Title Insurance and Guaranty Co.); being payment for property required for the extension of Market street, described as follows: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Danvers street, distant thereon 5.593 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly boundary line of Lot No. 3 of Block "D" of Park Lane Tract No. 4, and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Danvers street 69.407 feet; of irregular dimensions, and more particularly described by Resolution No. 26038 (New Series), accepting offer for said property. (Claim dated Aug. 5, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Appropriation, $922,760.30 for the Construction of the Mission Junior High School.

Resolution No. 26067 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of the Mission Junior High School, as follows, to-wit:

General construction (R.

W. Moller contract) ...	$742,500.00
Mechanical equipment (Scott Co. contract) ...	56,480.00
Plumbing, gas fitting, sprinkler systems (Latourette-Fical Co. contract)	57,900.00
Electrical work (Alta Electric Co. contract)	39,875.00
Architectural fees	1,005.30
Possible extras, incidentals and inspection	25,000.00
Total	$922,760.30

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Appropriation, $8,390, for the Construction of a Two-Story Building at County Jail No. 2 for Stable, Garage, Etc.

Resolution No. 26068 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8,390 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," etc., Budget Item No. 68, for the construction of a two-story building at County Jail No. 2, to be used for stable, garage, storage and work house purposes.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Authorization.

Resolution No. 26070 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,228 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 24, fiscal year 1925-1926, as set aside and appropriated by Resolution No. 25797 (New Series), to Macdonald & Kahn; being payment for underpinning of the east wall of Fire Department Engine House No. 17, Mint avenue and Stevenson street. (Claim dated June 30, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26071 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Paul De Martini, west side of Octavia street, 150 feet south of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Corp., 817 Mission street, 500 gallons capacity

Financial Center Building, northwest corner of California and Montgomery streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, south side of Eddy street, 100 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, south side of Eddy street, 140 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Sockolov, 3935 Washington street, 600 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southeast corner of Cervantes boulevard and Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. P. Storeheim, northeast corner of Scott and Alhambra streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

John Lacosta, 3745 Mission street, 50-horsepower boiler.

Moludite Co., 566 Commercial street, 15-horsepower boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26072 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Guerin Bros. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on the southeast corner of Lakeview and Plymouth avenues; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided. also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Guerin Bros., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26073 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James R. Ewing, Jr., and L. D. Marks be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north line of Sutter street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Powell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Inviting Proposals, Sale Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26069 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received by this Board on Tuesday, September 7, 1926, up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., for the purchase of the following described bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

$1,000,000 5 Per Cent Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue of January 1, 1925, comprising 25 bonds of $1,000 denomination of each year's maturity, 1930 to 1969, inclusive.

The Finance Committee is directed to fix the terms and conditions of sale.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $120,020.33. recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $104.15.

Spring Valley Water Company, water for horse troughs, $78.65.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,235.20.

(2) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated July 31, 1926), $700.

Park Fund.

(3) Architects and Engineers Associated, professional services for account of Kezar Stadium Clubhouse (claim dated August 13, 1926), $4,114.08.

(4) H. Dragon & Co., supplies for parks (claim dated August 13, 1926), $500.95.

(5) Frank Food Co., supplies furnished parks (claim dated August 13, 1926), $582.77.

(6) Landau Economic Syphon Co., supplies for parks (claim dated August 13, 1926), $901.01.

(7) M. B. McGowan, third payment, construction for Yacht Harbor Wharf (claim dated August 13, 1926), $635.85.

(8) M. B. McGowan, final payment, construction of Yacht Harbor Wharf (claim dated August 13, 1926), $2,119.50.

(9) National Ice Cream Co., supplies furnished parks (claim dated August 13, 1926), $1,624.35.

(10) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated August 13, 1926), $5,268.49.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(11) Clinton Construction Co., nineteenth payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated August 11, 1926). $24,806.25.

(12) John Reid, Jr., 22d payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated August 11, 1926), $1,859.08.

(13) F. W. Snook Co., 18th pay-

ment, plumbing etc., for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated August 11, 1926), $5,359.80.

(14) F. W. Snook Co., 17th payment, mechanical equipment, etc., for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated August 11, 1926), $3,632.37.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(15) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., fifth payment, core borings, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 10, 1926), $837.22.

(16) City Coal Co., coal, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 9, 1926), $726.

(17) Crucible Steel Co. of Americ, drill and tool steel for Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 9, 1926), $5,215.05.

(18) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 7, 1926), $3,975.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy water construction (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,088.69.

(20) Myers-Whaley Co., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 9, 1926), $500.28.

(21) Pope & Talbot, lumber, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 7, 1926), $583.91.

(22) Air Reduction Sales Co., welding and cutting outfits, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 5, 1926), $958.50.

(23) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 5, 1926), $844.95.

(24) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 5, 1926), $607.25.

(25) A. M. Scott Tire Co., auto tires, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 5, 1926), $817.92.

(26) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, covering Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated August 5, 1926), $1,657.87.

(27) Tansey-Crowe Co., tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 5, 1926), $575.17.

(28) United States Rubber Co., jute cable, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 5, 1926), $627.94.

(29) Western Meat Co., meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 5, 1926), $573.26.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(30) Amoroso & Damico, 3d payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $19,312.50.

(31) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction of LeConte School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $70,779.50.

(32) Barrett & Hilp, 12th payment, general construction, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $10,447.35.

(33) Burnham Plumbing Co., 8th payment, plumbing, etc., New Mission High School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $7,720.88.

(34) Crown Electric Co., 8th payment, electrical work, New Mission High School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $1,827.22.

(35) Crown Electric Co., 2d payment, electrical work, Edison School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $637.14.

(36) Crown Electric Co., 1st payment, electrical work, West Portal School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $706.43.

(37) F. L. Hansen, 6th payment, general construction, Henry Durant School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $30,636.94.

(38) F. B. Knittle, final payment, mechanical equipment, Alamo School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $4,075.66.

(39) MacDonald & Kahn, 12th payment, general construction, New Mission High School (claim dated August 10, 1926), $68,021.49.

(40) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, 4th payment, architectural services, Henry Durant School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $1,327.13.

(41) E. K. Nelson, 2d payment, moving and alteration of buildings, Sherman School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $2,315.63.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(42) R. D. Nuttall Co., motor parts, Municipal Railway (claim dated August 4, 1926), $2,283.60.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(43) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated August 2, 1926), $713.86.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(44) United States Steel Products Co., spikes and bolts for Municipal Railways (claim dated August 7, 1926), $621.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(45) Mahony Bros., 23d payment, general construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated August 11, 1926), $170,643.71.

Special School Tax.

(46) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., 1st payment, electrical work, Parkside School (claim dated August 10, 1926), $733.08.

(47) Carl H. Peterson, 5th payment, general construction of Annex to Parkside School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $7,397.25.

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(48) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for relief of destitute (claim dated August 16, 1926), $950.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(49) Crown Electric Co., 3d payment, electrical work, Children's Ward, southeast wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated August 11, 1926), $504.31.

(50) F. L. Hansen, 4th payment, general construction of Children's Ward, southeast wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated August 11, 1926), $5,584.35.

(51) J. E. French Co., one Dodge touring car, Dept. Public Works (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,022.

(52) Otis Elevator Co., furnishing and installing elevator door locks, etc., at City Hall and Hall of Justice (claim dated June 30, 1926), $714.10.

(53) California Rock Co., gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,179.72.

(54) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,961.33.

(55) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,460.93.

(56) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,954.88.

(57) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,944.72.

(58) J. A. Bryant, 2d payment, construction of club house at Kezar Stadium (claim dated August 13, 1926), $8,557.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(59) The California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated August 12, 1926), $906.15.

(60) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated August 12, 1926), $1,113.24.

(61) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated August 16, 1926), $997.80.

(62) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated August 13, 1926), $8,245.31.

(63) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated August 13, 1926), $895.

(64) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated August 13, 1926), $8,052.43.

(65) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $3,789.63.

(66) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $1,643.61.

(67) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $1,711.97.

(68) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $1,220.

(69) Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $871.56.

(70) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $11,485.37.

(71) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $29,143.94.

(72) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $4,514.61.

(73) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $577.20.

(74) Symon Bros., teams for street cleaning (claim dated August 9, 1926), $805.

(75) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,988.03.

(76) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,230.

(77) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,465.25.

(78) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,465.25.

(79) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,465.25.

(80) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,135.

(81) Burroughs Adding Machine Co., 10 portable adding machines (claim dated August 10, 1926), $950.

(82) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., carpet for Superior Court (claim dated August 10, 1926), $519.94.

(83) George H. and Henry Kahn Co., X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 2, 1926), $1,966.80.

(84) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., food-

stuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 3, 1926), $1,686.

(85) Smith Lynden Co., foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 2, 1926), $968.10.

(86) Haas Bros., foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 2, 1926), $1,119.58.

(87) Sussman-Wormser Co., foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 2, 1926), $1,012.88.

Appropriation.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the cost of erecting additions to the Sunnyside School, to-wit:

General construction (Anderson & Ringrose), $170,000; mechanical equipment (Thos. Skelly), $16,706; plumbing system (Thos. Skelly), $10,170; electrical work (L. Flatland), $7,550; architectural fees, $3,265.56; possible extras, incidentals and inspection $10,000; total, $217,691.56.

Appropriation.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,735 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the construction of shop building at the Noe Valley Junior High School; per contract awarded to Grant & Hart at $9,985; and for possible extras, incidentals and inspection at $750.

Appropriation.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,080 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of Appropriation 42-A (Police Department Personal Services) to provide compensation during fiscal year 1926-1927 for three detective sergeants, to meet quota according to Charter requirements.

Authorizations.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) California Baking Co., bread furnished parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $1,075.80.

(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric and gas service for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $3,533.79.

(3) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $600.66.

(4) Schweitzer & Co., meats for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $751.22.

(5) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter and eggs for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $546.88.

(6) Edw. L. Soule Co., steel bars, etc., for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $561.06.

(7) United Milk Co., milk, etc., for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $505.

County Road Fund.

(8) Pacific States Construction Co., second payment, improvement of Fillmore street, Cervantes to Marina boulevard (claim dated August 18, 1926), $10,000.

Special School Tax.

(9) Stewart School Supply Co., first and final payment, auditorium chairs for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated August 17, 1926), $10,208.66.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(10) P. Montague, final payment, grading of site of Tuberculosis Sanitarium (claim dated August 17, 1926), $3,375.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(11) J. E. French Co., one Dodge auto for Municipal Railways (claim dated August 11, 1926), $791.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated August 12, 1926), $3,231.86.

(13) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of December 12, 1918, $1,619.56.

(14) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways, July (claim dated August 12, 1926), $37,366.17.

(15) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated August 10, 1926), $6,834.94.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(16) N. Randall Ellis, engineering service, valuation of electric

properties (claim dated August 19, 1926), $750.

(17) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of electric properties (claim dated August 19, 1926), $850.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(18) Bald Eagle Meat Market, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 11, 1926), $2,780.91.

(19) Hales & Symons, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 11, 1926), $1,787.25.

(20) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, drill parts, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 13, 1926), $1,366.32.

(21) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chains, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 16, 1926), $576.42.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(22) Barrett & Hilp, thirteenth payment, general construction of the Douglas-Everett School (claim dated August 17, 1926), $7,113.75.

(23) E. K. Nelson, final payment, general contract for moving Sherman School (claim dated August 17, 1926), $3,144.37.

(24) Barrett & Hilp, extra work, general construction of Douglas-Everett-Sanchez School (claim dated August 18, 1926), $501.85.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(25) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated August 19, 1926), $992.50.

(26) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chairs for new Relief Home (claim dated August 19, 1926), $1,470.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(27) J. H. McCallum, lumber for sewer repairs (claim dated June 30, 1926), $618.55.

(28) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,615.15.

(29) J. B. Rogers, sinking test holes for Engine House No. 18, at Third and Fourth streets (claim dated June 13, 1926), $772.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(30) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 16, 1926), $1,318.56.

(31) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of Park Fund for Civic Center beautification (claim dated August 20, 1926), $894.99.

(32) Maggini Motor Car Co., one Ford coupe for use of Juvenile Court (claim dated July 27, 1926), $608.51.

(33) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated August 16, 1926), $565.99.

(34) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting of public buildings (claim dated Aug. 16, 1926), $3,132.98.

(35) American La France Fire Engine Co., Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated July 31, 1926), $604.54.

(36) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,287.41.

(37) Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., tires, Fire Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1926), $682.27.

(38) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,511.34.

(39) Shell Co., fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,703.57.

(40) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., water furnished, installing and removing hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,487.72.

(41) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $935.50.

(42) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,714.04.

(43) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,928.64.

(44) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $810.

(45) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $650.

(46) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $824.56.

(47) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,395.14.

(48) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,370.28.

(49) Haas Bros., groceries for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $794.90.

(50) Haas Bros., tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $851.07.

(51) H. Moffat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $598.54.

(52) Morris & Co., butter, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,079.68.

(53) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $605.45.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $842.49.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital

(claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,588.94.

(56) E. B. Frick, room allowance for employees of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,152.85.

(57) H. Moffat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,009.54.

(58) Union Sheep Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $610.50.

(59) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,130.20.

(60) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,437.23.

(61) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., fruit for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,298.75.

(62) California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,243.23.

(63) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $4,184.73.

(64) Morris & Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital, claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,796.88.

De Young Museum (Appropriation 60).

(65) San Francisco Waterproofing Co., waterproofing materials for De Young Museum (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $647.70.

(66) The Paraffine Co., malthoid, floatine, etc., De Young Museum (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $550.88.

County Road Fund.

(67) J. P. Holland, surfacing Harding Memorial boulevard, Lincoln Park (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $1,310.

(68) J. P. Holland, surfacing roadway of Harding Municipal Golf Links (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $1,135.

Appropriation.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Repair and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, 1926-1927, to the credit of "Maintenance and Operation of Bridges. Contractual, Appropriation 34-B," for emergency repairs to bridges.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lawrence Barrett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the east side of Taylor street, 75 feet north of O'Farrell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lawrence Barrett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Taylor and O'Farrell streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. W. Kyte be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of West Portal avenue and Vicente street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Boicelli be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Turk street, 183 feet west of Jones street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. E. Cullen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Fred Wandell by Resolution No. 25761 (New Series) for premises at 1600 Buchanan street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles Schwarz be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisor, to maintain and operate a public garage at the southwest corner of Sixth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. L. Lassalle be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 5483 Mission street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Oil Tank Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

American Radiator Co., west side of Fourth street, 250 feet north of Townsend street, 1750 gallons capacity.

E. C. Anthony, Inc., northwest corner of Ellis street and Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. R. H. Blanquie, 480 Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. G. Chanslor, 25 Sea Cliff avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cherry Bakery, 4600 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Christiansen Bros., north side of Geary street, 75 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Mission Everett Junior High school), block bounded by Sixteenth, Dehon, Seventeenth and Church street, 3000 gallons capacity.

Crimm Estate, 549 Kearny street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. H. Denke, southeast corner of Broadway and Taylor streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alex Dulfer, 722 Funston avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

W. A. Haas, 2770 Vallejo street, 750 gallons capacity.

Hamill & Hall, northeast corner of Jackson and Steiner streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. A. Helbing, 2260 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

John Lacosta, 3745 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. C. Larsen, west side of Fillmore street, 583 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., northeast corner of Van Ness avenue and Filbert street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Nineteen-Eighty Vallejo Street, Inc., north side of Vallejo street, 70 feet east of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Parkside Bakery, 1711 Taraval street, 600 gallons capacity.

L. E. Petersen, southeast corner of Fulton and Clayton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Sheftel, south side of Washington street, 150 feet west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Sheftel, south side of Washington street, 100 feet west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tastegood Bakery, 2645 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Fred Warden, west side of Eighth avenue, 125 feet north of Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades.

On motion of Supervisors Welch:

Bill No. 7709, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Vernon, Arch, Ramsell, Victoria and Head streets between Garfield and Randolph streets, and on Sargent and Shields streets between Bright street and Ralston street easterly line.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of June, 1926, by Resolution No. 25737 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Vernon, Arch, Ramsell, Victoria and Head streets between Garfield and Randolph streets, and on Sargent and Shields streets between Bright street and Ralston street easterly line.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the

resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Vernon Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street, northerly line, 254.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 255.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet northerly from Randolph street, 260.60 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Randolph street, 267.77 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Randolph street, 276.50 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Randolph street, 261.35 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Randolph street, 267.96 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Randolph street, 276.50 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

300 feet northerly from Randolph street, 305.00 feet.

100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 338.00 feet.

7.50 feet southerly from Sargent street, 356.75 feet.

Westerly line of, at Sargent street, 356.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sargent street, 359.00 feet.

8 feet northerly from Sargent street, 358.28 feet.

50 feet northerly from Sargent street, 366.38 feet.

100 feet northerly from Sargent street, 374.88 feet.

150 feet northerly from Sargent street, 381.16 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

250 feet southerly from Shields street, 401.84 feet.

200 feet southerly from Shields street, 405.71 feet.

150 feet southerly from Shields street, 407.00 feet.

100 feet southerly from Shields street, 405.97 feet.

50 feet southerly from Shields street, 402.88 feet. (Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

18 feet southerly from Shields street, 400.25 feet.

Westerly line of, at Shields street, 398.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Shields street, 401.00 feet.

50 feet northerly from Shields street, 391.84 feet.

150 feet northerly from Shields street, 375.00 feet.

450 feet northerly from Shields street, 330.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 304.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 305.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 304.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 305.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Arch Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 270.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 271.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

50 feet northerly from Randolph street, 278.75 feet.

200 feet northerly from Randolph street, 305.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

400 feet northerly from Randolph street, 345.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Sargent street, 394.00 feet.

400 feet northerly from Sargent street, 463.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

430 feet northerly from Sargent street, 466.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

460 feet northerly from Sargent street, 463.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Shields street, 429.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Shields street, 432.00 feet.

8 feet northerly from Shields street, 429.75 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 316.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly

line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 316.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 316.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 316.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ramsell Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 283.30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 284.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

25 feet northerly from Randolph street, 288.08 feet.

100 feet northerly from Randolph street, 302.44 feet.

150 feet northerly from Randolph street, 311.53 feet.

200 feet northerly from Randolph street, 319.70 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

300 feet southerly from Sargent street, 335.10 feet.

200 feet southerly from Sargent street, 351.65 feet.

100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 370.50 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

25 feet southerly from Sargent street, 385.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Sargent street, 391.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sargent street, 389.00 feet.

25 feet northerly from Sargent street, 395.25 feet.

50 feet northerly from Sargent street, 401.00 feet.

100 feet northerly from Sargent street, 411.46 feet.

150 feet northerly from Sargent street, 419.87 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Shields street, 434.63 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Shields street, 441.15 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Shields street, 446.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Shields street, 450.08 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Shields street, 446.33 feet. (Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Shields street, 434.63 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Shields street, 441.15 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Shields street, 446.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Shields street, 450.25 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Shields street, 447.00 feet. (Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

Westerly line of, at Shields street, 442.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Shields street, 444.00 feet.

250 feet northerly from Shields street, 388.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 324.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 324.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 324.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 324.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Victoria Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 293.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 295.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

25 feet northerly from Randolph street, 297.12 feet.

200 feet southerly from Sargent street, 346.58 feet.

150 feet southerly from Sargent street, 353.50 feet.

100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 361.41 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

25 feet southerly from Sargent street, 374.05 feet.

Westerly line of, at Sargent street, 379.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sargent street, 376.00 feet.

25 feet northerly from Sargent street, 381.00 feet.

100 feet northerly from Sargent street, 392.75 feet.

200 feet southerly from Shields street, 433.69 feet.

100 feet southerly from Shields street, 443.42 feet.

Shields street, 446.00 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

250 feet northerly from Shields street, 390.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 330.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 330.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 330.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 330.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Head Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 295.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 295.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet northerly from Randolph street, 309.00 feet.

100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 356.00 feet.

Sargent street, 371.00 feet.

100 feet northerly from Sargent street, 387.00 feet.

150 feet southerly from Shields street, 435.13 feet.

100 feet southerly from Shields street, 441.03 feet.

50 feet southerly from Shields street, 445.00 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Shields street, 448.00 feet.

450 feet northerly from Shields street, 375.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 347.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 348.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 347.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 348.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Sargent Street.

Northerly line of, at Bright street, 377.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Bright street, 373.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Head street, 371.00 feet.

Victoria street easterly line, 376.00 feet.

Victoria street westerly line, 379.00 feet.

Ramsell street easterly line, 389.00 feet.

Ramsell street westerly line, 391.00 feet.

100 feet easterly from Arch street, 395.00 feet.

Arch street, 394.00 feet.

Vernon street easterly line, 359.00 feet.

Vernon street westerly line, 356.00 feet.

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Ralston street easterly line, 317.50 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

13 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Ralston street easterly line, 317.50 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

Shields Street.

Bright street, 470.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Head street, 448.00 feet.

Victoria street, 446.00 feet.

Ramsell street easterly line, 444.00 feet.

Ramsell street westerly line, 442.00 feet.

Arch street easterly line, 432.00 feet.

Arch street westerly line, 429.00 feet.

Vernon street easterly line, 401.00 feet.

Vernon street westerly line, 398.00 feet.

Ralston street easterly line, 357.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Vernon, Arch, Ramsell, Victoria and Head streets between Garfield and Randolph streets, and on Sargent and Shields streets between Bright street and Ralston street easterly line, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 7710, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Marston avenue between Circular avenue and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, and on Circular avenue between lines at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue northerly and southerly lines.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of June, 1926, by

Resolution No. 25746 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Marston avenue between Circular avenue and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, and on Circular avenue between lines at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue northerly and southerly lines.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Marston Avenue.

Northerly line of, at Circular avenue, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 14.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 19.93 feet westerly from Circular avenue, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 22.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 19.93 feet westerly from Circular avenue, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point on the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Circular avenue northwesterly line, 219.90 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 14.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Circular avenue northwesterly line, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 22.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Circular avenue northwesterly line, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 22.50 feet northerly from the southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 3.28 feet westerly from Circular avenue northwesterly line, 218.23 feet.

At a point 14.50 feet northerly from the southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 3.28 feet westerly from Circular avenue northwesterly line, 218.23 feet.

At a point on the northeasterly line of Circular avenue 17.07 feet northeasterly from the intersection of the northwesterly line of Circular avenue with the southerly line of Marston avenue, 218.23 feet.

Southerly line of, at Circular avenue, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, 227.43 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 14.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, 226.27 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, 226.27 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Circular Avenue.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue northerly line, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point on the intersection of the northwesterly line of, with the northerly curb line of Marston avenue, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point on the northwesterly line of, 17.07 feet northeasterly from the intersection of the northwesterly line of, with the southerly line of Marston avenue, 218.23 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue southerly line, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Marston avenue between Circular avenue and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, and on Circular avenue between lines at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue northerly and southerly lines, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Dublin Street.

Also, Bill No. 7711, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections two hundred and ninety and two hundred and ninety-one thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and the same is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1926, by amending Sections two hundred and ninety and two hundred and ninety-one thereof, to read as follows:

Section 290. The width of sidewalks on Dublin street between its southwesterly termination and Russia avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Dublin street between Russia avenue and La Grande avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Dublin street between Russia avenue and La Grande avenue" showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 291. The width of sidewalks on La Grande avenue between its southwesterly termination and Logan street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Grande avenue between Logan street and Woolsey street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of La Grande avenue between Logan street and Woolsey street" showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

The width of sidewalks on La Grande avenue between Woolsey street and Peru avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Tomasa Street.

Also, Bill No. 7712, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and twenty-one to nine hundred and twenty-five, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1926, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and twenty-one to nine hundred and twenty-five, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 921. The width of sidewalks on Tomasa street between Brewster street and Holladay avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Tomasa street between Brewster street and Holladay avenue," showing the locations of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 922. The width of sidewalks on Brewster street between Isabel street and Tomasa street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Brewster street between Isabel street and Tomasa street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 923. The width of sidewalks on Montcalm street between Tomasa street and Peralta avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Montcalm street between Tomasa street and Peralta avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 924. The width of sidewalks on Wright street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Wright street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 925. The width of sidewalks on Isabel street between Brewster street and Wolfe street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Isabel street between Brewster street and Wolfe street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths

shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Holladay Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7713, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered nine hundred and twenty-six to nine hundred and twenty-nine, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18. 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 3, 1926, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered nine hundred and twenty-six to nine hundred and twenty-nine, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 926. The width of sidewalks on Holladay avenue between Joy street and Tomasa street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Holladay avenue between Joy street and Tomasa street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 927. The width of sidewalks on Faith sreet between Holloday avenue and San Bruno avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Faith street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 928. The width of sidewalks on Costa street between Brewster street and San Bruno avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Costa street between Brewster street and San Bruno avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 929. The width of sidewalks on Isabel street between Rutledge street and Brewster street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Isabel street between Rutledge street and Brewster street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Girard Street.

Also, Bill No. 7714, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections three hundred and ninety-five to three hundred and ninety-seven, inclusive, and Section seven hundred and forty-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 3, 1926, by amending Sections three hundred and ninety-five to three hundred and ninety-seven, inclusive, and Section seven hundred and forty-seven thereof, to read as follows:

Section 395. The width of sidewalks on Girard street between Silver avenue and Mansell street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Girard street, the westerly side of, between Mansell street and Ward street, shall be twenty (20) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Girard street, the easterly side of, between Mansell and Ward street, shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Girard street between Ward street and its southerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 396. The width of sidewalks on Brussels street between Silver avenue and Dwight street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brussels street, the westerly side of, between Dwight street and Ward street, shall be twenty (20) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brussels street, the easterly side of, between Dwight street and Ward street, shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brussels street between Ward street and its southerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 397. The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Silver avenue and Dwight street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Dwight street and Olmstead street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Goettingen street between

Dwight street and Olmstead street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the westerly side of, between Olmstead street and Mansell street, shall be twenty (20) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the easterly side of, between Olmstead street and Mansell street, shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Mansell street and its southerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 747. The width of sidewalks on Holladay avenue between Peralta avenue and Tomasa street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Holladay avenue between Peralta avenue and Tomasa street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Bay View Street.

Also, Bill No. 7715, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-one and nine hundred and thirty-two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 6, 1926, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-one and nine hundred and thirty-two, to read as follows:

Section 931. The width of sidewalks on Bay View street between Flora street and Newhall street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Bay View street between Flora street and Newhall street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 932. The width of sidewalks on Newhall street between Shafter avenue and a point 50 feet southerly from Bay View street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Newhall street between Shafter avenue and a point 50 feet southerly from Bay View street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Osage Alley.

Also, Bill No. 7716, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 5, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-three, to read as follows:

Section 933. The width of sidewalks on Osage alley, the westerly side of, between Twenty-fourth street and Twenty-fifth street, shall be three (3) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Osage alley, the easterly side of, between Twenty-fourth street and Twenty-fifth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Vicente Street.

Also, Bill No. 7717, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty, to read as follows:

Section 930. The width of sidewalks on Vicente street between Thirty-fifth avenue and the Great Highway shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Beach Street.

Also, Bill No. 7718, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 541 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 5, 1926, by amending Section 541 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 541. The width of sidewalks on Beach street between Hyde street and Larkin street shall be nine (9) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Establishing Grades on Osage Alley.

Also, Bill No. 7719, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Osage alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Osage alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed July 30, 1926.

Osage Alley.

150 feet southerly from Twenty-fourth street, 67.50 feet.

250 feet southerly from Twenty-fourth street, 66.50 feet.

300 feet southerly from Twenty-fourth street, 66.50 feet.

Easterly line of, 160 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 68.41 feet.

Easterly line of, 110 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 69.30 feet.

Easterly line of, 60 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 68.80 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

3 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 160 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 68.41 feet.

3 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 110 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 69.34 feet.

3 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 60 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 68.96 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Osage alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets at Osage alley.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Conditional Acceptance, Roadway of Chestnut Street.

Also, Bill No. 7720, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Chestnut street between Polk street and a point 212 feet easterly from Polk street; Ceres street between Williams and Thornton avenues; Detroit street between Hearst avenue and a point 125 feet northerly from Hearst avenue; Forty-third avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Italy avenue between Edinburgh and Naples streets; Kansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets; Keith street between Underwood and Van Dyke avenues; Lucy street between Thornton and Williams avenues; Lawton street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues; Lane street between Van Dyke and Underwood avenues; Madrid street between Silver and Peru avenues; Ottawa street between Ellington and Huron avenues and the intersection of Ottawa street and Del Monte street; Orizaba avenue between Randolph and Broad streets, and the intersection of Orizaba avenue and Broad

street and the intersection of Orizaba avenue and Farallones street; Worcester avenue between the southerly line of Randolph street and Junipero Serra boulevard, including the crossing of Worcester avenue and Randolph street and the intersection of Worcester avenue and Byxbee street, Chester street, Monticello street and Beverly street; Phelps street between Oakdale and Palou avenues, Phelps street between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues; Underwood avenue between Jennings and Keith streets; crossing of Keith street and Van Dyke avenue; crossing of Lane street and Van Dyke avenue; Lane street between Van Dyke avenue and Third street; crossings of Forty-fifth avenue and Rivera street and Forty-fifth avenue and Santiago street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter II, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Chestnut street between Polk street and a point 212 feet easterly from Polk street, paved with asphaltic concrete and a 14-foot central strip of brick and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ceres street between Williams and Thornton avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Detroit street between Hearst avenue and a point 125 feet northerly from Hearst avenue, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-third avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Italy avenue between Edinburgh and Naples streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, gas mains have been laid therein, no sewer or water mains have been laid therein.

Kansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and a 14-foot central strip of concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Keith street between Underwood and Van Dyke avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lucy street between Thornton and Williams avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and a 14-foot central strip of concrete, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lane street between Van Dyke and Underwood avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Madrid street between Silver and Peru avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ottawa avenue between Ellington and Huron avenues and the intersection of Ottawa avenue and Del Monte street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Orizaba avenue between Randolph and Broad streets, intersection of Orizaba avenue and Broad street, intersection of Orizaba avenue and Farallones street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Worcester avenue between the southerly line of Randolph street and Junipero Serra boulevard, including the crossing of Worcester

avenue and Randolph street and the intersections of Worcester avenue and Byxbee street, Chester street, Monticello street and Beverly street, paved with asphaltic concrete pavement and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Phelps street between Oakdale and Palou avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete with a 14-foot central strip of concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Phelps street between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Underwood avenue between Jennings and Keith streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Keith street and Van Dyke avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Lane street and Van Dyke avenue and Lane street between Van Dyke avenue and Third street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossings of Forty-fifth avenue and Rivera street and Forty-fifth avenue and Santiago street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following matters were taken up and *adopted* by the following vote:

Purchase of Land.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26063 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Henry Krohn and Emma Krohn, $4,000. Lot 18, Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Book.

Alonzo Gray and Catherine Gray, $3,750. Lot 26, Block 6691, as per Assessor's Block Book.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Purchase of Land.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26074 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the widening of Grand View avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Annie Engle, $66.

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 288.723 feet southerly from the southerly line of Romain street, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 25.120 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 27 min. 56 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 5.899 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 96 deg. 11 min. 3 sec. to the right and running

northeasterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 25.103 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 48 min. 57 sec. to the right and running southeasterly 6.054 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block 19 of Market Street Homestead.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 313.843 feet southerly from the southerly line of Romain street, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 50.240 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 27 min. 56 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 5.590 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 96 deg. 11 min. 3 sec. to the right and running northeasterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 50.206 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 48 min. 57 sec. to the right and running southeasterly 5.899 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block 19 of Market Street Homestead.

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements partially located on the above described parcels.

Edward Whorisky and Susan A. Whorisky, $19.20—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 270 feet northeasterly from the northerly line of Morgan alley, said point being on the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 19 of Block 19 of Pioche & Robinson's Subdivision of a portion of San Miguel Rancho, and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 45.833 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot 19; thence deflecting 97 deg. 33 min. 51 sec. to the left and running northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary line 5.320 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 82 deg. 47 min. 10 sec. to the left and running southwesterly along said proposed line 45.891 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 19; thence deflecting 98 deg. 16 min. 16 sec. to the left and running southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary line 5.050 feet to the point of beginning; being all of said Lot 19 lying southeasterly of the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue.

Henry Becker and Anna O. Becker, $1.60—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 56 feet westerly from the most easterly corner of Lot 1 of Block 16 of Market Street Homestead, said point being the most northerly corner of said Lot 1, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Grand View avenue 19.118 feet to the proposed southerly line of Grand View avenue; thence easterly along said proposed southerly line on a curve to the right of 84-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 17 degrees 20 minutes 16 seconds, a distance of 25.419 feet to a point on the southerly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 7.039 feet easterly from the point of beginning; thence westerly along the southerly line of Grand View avenue 7.039 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 1 of Block 16 of Market Street Homestead.

Sarah A. Washburn, $5.60—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 53.016 feet northeasterly from the northerly line of Morgan alley, and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 33.651 feet; thence deflecting 103 deg. 16 min. 6 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 3.965 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence southwesterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue on a curve to the left of 166-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 77 deg. 45 min. 35 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 11 deg. 5 min. 5 sec., a distance of 32.115 feet; thence southwesterly tangent to the preceding curve a distance of 0.906 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 23 of Block 19 of Market Street Homestead.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorneys is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evi-

dence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Purchase of Land.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26075 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets, southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way, to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Samuel A. Schwartz and Rose Y. Schwartz, $538.—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 128 feet southerly from the southerly line of Brook street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Jose avenue 26 feet to the southerly boundary of the property of the party of the first part; thence deflecting 113 deg. 19 min. 56 sec. to the left and running easterly along said southerly boundary 15.362 feet to the proposed easterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 72 deg. 6 min. 55 sec. to the left and running northerly along said proposed easterly line 24.963 feet to the northerly boundary of the property of the party of the first part; thence deflecting 107 deg. 21 min. 26 sec. to the left and running westerly along said northerly boundary 12.731 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 193, Precita Valley Lands.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Spring Musical Festival.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 16076 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Auditorium Committee, authorize his Honor the Mayor to enter into contract with the Musical Association of San Francisco, Alfred Hertz, conductor, for the Spring Music Festival of four (4) concerts to be held in the Auditorium during the spring season of 1927, upon a percentage basis in lieu of the specific rental fee as provided in Ordinance No. 5320 (New Series), and upon the terms that the lessee and lessor shall equally share a 50-50 basis of profits accruing from such performances.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Purchase of Land.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26077 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name and the further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

Caterina Bignotti, $223.15—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 25.425 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Tingley street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the southwesterly boundary of Lot 97 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly bounuary, parallel with Tingley street, 17.475 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right

angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 79 deg. 21 min. 23 sec. to the left and running northeasterly parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 25.438 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 97 of the Demartini Tract; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary and parallel with Tingley street, 17.544 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 97 of the Demartini Tract.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to restore sidewalks where sidewalks are now constructed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Award of Bid, Wrecking St. James Hotel.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26078 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sale of the St. James Hotel building, situate at the northwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Fulton street be and is hereby awarded to Simon Brothers for the sum of $2.050 in strict accordance with their bid therefor.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Revocation of Taxicab Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26079 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit for a taxicab stand held by W. J. Osgood at 57 Taylor street, not being in use, be and is hereby revoked.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Intention to Close Parts of Duncan Street, Twenty-eighth Street, Valley Street, Twenty-ninth street, Day Street, Fowler Avenue and Stanford Heights Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26080 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a petition has been filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, petitioning that parts of Duncan street, Twenty-eighth street, Valley street, Twenty-ninth street, Day street, Fowler avenue and Stanford Heights avenue, hereinafter more particularly described, be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, new streets to be approved by the Board of Supervisors will be opened up and deeded by the owners of the adjoining property to the City and County of San Francisco conforming to the contours of said land adjoining said streets to be closed; and

Whereas, public interest and convenience require and would be conserved by said closing and abandoning of said parts of Duncan street, Twenty-eighth street, Valley street, Twenty-ninth street, Day street, Fowler avenue and Stanford Heights avenue, hereinafter particularly described; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of said Board of Supervisors to close and abandon parts of Duncan street, Twenty-eighth street, Valley street, Twenty-ninth street, Day street, Fowler avenue and Stanford Heights avenue.

Parcel No. 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Duncan street, distant thereon south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 233.386 feet from the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue, thence along said southerly line of Duncan street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 364.877 feet; thence north 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. west 2.947 feet; thence north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 113.914 feet to the northerly line of Duncan street; thence along said northerly line of Duncan street

north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 232.983 feet; thence south 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. east 74.177 feet; thence south 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. west 2.908 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 2. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Duncan street, distant thereon south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 19.011 feet from the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue, thence along said southerly line of Duncan street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 127.749 feet; thence north 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. west 76.043 feet to the northerly line of Duncan street; thence along said northerly line of Duncan street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 133.608 feet; thence south 33 deg. 24 min. east 73.045 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-eighth street with the easterly line of Fowler avenue, thence along said northerly line of Twenty-eighth street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 74.294 feet; thence south 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. west 161.659 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 15 feet, tangent to the preceding course southwesterly 7.441 feet to the westerly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said westerly line of Fowler avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 139.245 feet to the westerly boundary line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said westerly boundary line of Stanford Heights avenue north 0 deg. 22 min. 19 sec. west 64.205 feet; thence north 42 deg. 39 min. 41 sec. east 87.208 feet to the easterly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said easterly line of Fowler avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 172.839 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 4. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street, distant thereon south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 494.065 feet from the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said southerly line of Twenty-eighth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 83.282 feet; thence north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 70.093 feet; thence south 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. east 44.977 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 5. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street, distant thereon south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 208.431 feet from the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue, thence along said southerly line of Twenty-eighth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 192.509 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears north 33 deg. 48 min. 16 sec. east 155 feet from this point northwesterly 51.194 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course north 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. west 31.149 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-eighth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-eighth street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 291.218 feet: thence along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears north 72 deg. 48 min. 45 sec. west 155 feet from this point southwesterly 80.723 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue with the northerly line of Twenty-eighth street, thence along said westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 193.441 feet; thence south 33 deg. 24 min. east 5.982 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 330 feet, tangent to the preceding course southerly 163.956 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 4 deg. 56 min. east 51 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 320 feet, tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 124.62 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 54.782 feet; thence south 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. west 81.687 feet to the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 176.09 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street; thence along said southerly line of Twenty-eighth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 115.305 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears north 61 deg. 54 min. 12 sec. west 225 feet from this point northeasterly 70.202 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-eighth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-eighth street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 87.155 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 7. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Fowler avenue, distant thereon south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 54.961 feet from the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street; thence along said easterly line of Fowler avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 53.382 feet; thence north 47 deg. 20 min. 19 sec. west 27.732 feet; thence along the

arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 15 feet, tangent to the preceding course northerly 26.197 feet; thence north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 18.908 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 8. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Valley street with the easterly line of Fowler avenue, thence along said northerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 29.583 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears south 59 deg. 52 min. 3 sec. west 15 feet from this point southerly 19.399 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 43 deg. 58 min. west 126.382 feet to the westerly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said westerly line of Fowler avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 207.006 feet; thence south 47 deg. 20 min. 19 sec. east 101.272 feet to the easterly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said easterly line of Fowler avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 31.273 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 9. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Valley street, distant thereon north 85 deg. 24 min 56 sec. east 129.129 feet from the easterly line of Fowler avenue, thence along said northerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 405.919 feet; thence south 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. east 6.658 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 15 feet, tangent to the preceding course southwesterly 25.605 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 420 feet, tangent to the preceding course southwesterly 138.414 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 87.804 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears north 1 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 420 feet from this point northwesterly 195.228 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 10. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Valley street, distant thereon south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 543.877 feet from the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue, thence along said southerly line of Valley street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 70.019 feet; thence north 43 deg. 58 min. east 27.333 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 15 feet, tangent to the preceding course easterly 19.727 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 480 feet, tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 36.726 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 11. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the easterly line of Fowler avenue, thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 659.568 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears south 89 deg. 14 min. 45 sec. west 15 feet from this point southwesterly 22.559 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 644.603 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 278 feet, tangent to the preceding course westerly 69.472 feet to the westerly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said westerly line of Fowler avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 129.22 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears south 46 deg. 40 min. 46 sec. east 745 feet from this point northeasterly 8.401 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course north 43 deg. 58 min. east 83.362 feet to the easterly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said easterly line of Fowler avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 184.634 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 12. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Day street, if produced, south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 20 feet from the easterly line of Fowler avenue, thence along said northerly line of Day street, produced, south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 48.75 feet to the westerly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said westerly line of Fowler avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 235.287 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears north 7 deg. 30 min. 53 sec. east 328 feet from this point southeasterly 49.251 feet; thence south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 228.61 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 13. Beginning at a point which is distant from the point of intersection of the southerly line of Day street with the easterly line of Fowler avenue south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 40 feet, along said southerly line of Day street, produced, westerly and north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 4 feet; thence south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 180 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 10 feet, tan-

gent to the preceding course southwesterly 15.708 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 18.75 feet to the westerly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said westerly line of Fowler avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 200 feet; thence north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 18.75 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 10 feet, tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 15.708 feet to the point of beginning.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declare that the said closing and abandoning should be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and succeeding section of Chapter 3, Article 6 of the Charter, as amended; be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of said parts of Duncan street, Twenty-eighth street, Valley street, Twenty-ninth street, Day street, Fowler avenue and Stanford Heights avenue are nominal and shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said closing and abandonment of said parts of said streets in the manner provided by law, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26081 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after August 4, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Mangels avenue between Genesee and Foerster streets under a public contract.

The work is under way; the grading has been completed and the sewer is in course of construction. Contractor was delayed in completion of the work on account of the public service corporations.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Setting Date for Hearing Appeal, Improvement of Key Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26082 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, August 30, 1926, is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of Charles L. Harney from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on the 4th day of June, 1926, for the improvement of Key avenue between the westerly line of Third street and a line 350 feet westerly from Keith street, including the crossing of Key avenue and Keith street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners of the above-mentioned crossing; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width between Third street and a line 350 feet westerly from Keith street; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch iron-stone pipe culverts on the above-mentioned crossing, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26083 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after August 28, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Byxbee street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is under way.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26084 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Hennessey is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after August 6, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the im-

provement of Judah street between Thirty-first and Forty-first avenues.

The work is practically completed, the placing of the asphaltic concrete surface being all that is required to be done.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Change of Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26085 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 92896 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted July 28, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed July 30, 1926, to-wit:

On Josiah avenue between Lake View avenue and Ridge lane.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Widening of Noriega Street Between Twenty-first and Thirty-first Avenues.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26086 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the property owners owning all the frontage on both sides of Noriega street between Twenty-first and Thirty-first avenues have agreed to widen said thoroughfare 7 feet on each side, making a total roadway of 94 feet; therefore, be it

Resolved, That as soon as the deeds for this property are deposited with the City Engineer the necessary resolution and map approving of the same will be adopted by this Board.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Rejecting Bids for Chairs.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26087 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore, on July 12, 1926 (Proposal No. 214), submitted for furnishing chairs for School Department.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Awarding Contract, Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26088 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing supplies in the following classes, viz.: Class 6, engineering and plumbing supplies; Class 7, hardware and castings; Class 9, paints, painters' supplies and glass, and Class 11, lumber, that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing July 1 and ending December 31, 1926, be made on bids submitted May 17, 1926 (Proposal No. 181), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee, and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., bond required, $1,000; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., $100; J. W. Bockman, none; Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., $100; Dalziel-Moller Co., $100; F. G. Dyer Brass Foundry and Machine Works, $100; Enterprise Foundry Co., $200; Friedman Bros., $100; W. P. Fuller & Co., $500; A. J. Clesener Co., none; Glidden Company of California, $100; M. Greenberg's Sons, none; Great Western Supply Company, none; General Machinery and Supply Company, none; Gorman Metal Company, none; Grinnell Company of the Pacific, $100; Hill-Hubbell & Co., none; Levenson Co., none; Marshall-Newell Supply Company, $100; Dan P. Maher Co., $500; J. H. McCallum, $500; National Lead Company, $100; P. E. O'Hair & Co., none; Pacific Abrasive Supply Company, none; Richmond Sanitary Company, none; Steam and Plumbing Service Corporation, none; San Francisco Stove Works, $100; Tiernan Lumber Company, $100; George H. Tay Co., $100; Wolverine Brass Works, $100; Walworth-Lally Co., none; Yates & Co., none.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as

required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Awarding Contract, Forms and Blanks.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26089 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing printed and lithographed forms and blanks for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, be made on bids submitted June 14, 1926 (Proposal No. 191), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Neal, Stratford & Kerr, bond required, $500; Buckley & Curtin, $1,000; Wilcox & Co., $1,000; Levison Printing Company, $500; California Printing Company, $750; The Mercury Press, $500; A. Carlisle & Co., $200; Excelsior Press, $300; The Jenkins-Hall Index Card Company, $500; A. D. Zanetti, $100; Philips & Van Orden Co., $750; The Envelope Corporation, $250; Hancock Bros., Inc., $200; Alex Dulfer Printing Company, $250.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Awarding Contract, Blankets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26090 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pendleton Woolen Mills on bid submitted June 11, 1926 (Proposal No. 200), for furnishing the following, viz.: Blankets for Relief Home and San Francisco Hospital:

Item No. 1(a)—600 gray blankets, made of 85 per cent virgin wool on a 15 per cent cotton warp, 58x82 inches, to weigh at least 4 pounds and not to exceed 4½ pounds, and to have woven in black through center "Property of the Relief Home for Aged and Infirm." Price, each, $4.50.

Item No. 2(a)—300 gray blankets, made of virgin wool, 85 per cent, on a cotton warp, 15 per cent of contents, approximately 58x82 inches, and to weigh at least 4 pounds and not to exceed 4½ pounds. To have woven in black through center "Property of the San Francisco Hospital. Price, each, $4.50.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Awarding Contract, Groceries, Etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26091 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing supplies in the following classes, viz.: Class 1, milk, ice cream and groceries; Class 5, fuel and gasoline; Class 8, lubricants; Class 10, household supplies and cordage; Class 15, drugs, medicines, chemicals, hospital appliances and surgical instruments; Class 16, street and sewer material; Class 18, incandescent electric lamps, that may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1926, and ending June 30, 1927, be made on bids submitted May 24, 1926 (Proposal No. 177), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Acme Gravel Co., bond required, $500; Alaska Codfish Co., $100; Associated Oil Co., $100; Blake, Moffitt & Towne, $200; Building Supplies Co., $500; E. W. Bennett & Co., no bond; Boxboard Products Co., $100; Braun-Knecht-Heimann Co., $200; Blake Bros. Co., $500; G. Bianchini & Co., $100; Bay Development Co., $1,000; Clorox Chemical Corporation, $100; Columbia Soap & Chemical Works, no bond; Coffin-Redington Co., $500; Certified Laboratory Products, ——; California Supply Co., ——; City Coal Co., ——; California Petroleum Corporation of California, ——; Castolene, Inc., ——; Crown Oil Co., $1,000; California Brick Co., $5,000; California Pottery Co., $100; N. Clark & Sons, $100; California Rock Co., $500; Coast Rock and Gravel Co., $300; Henry Cowell Lime and Cement Co., no bond; Herbert F. Dugan, $1,000; Dodge,

Sweeney & Co., $500; Easterday Supply Co., $100; J. Theo. Erlin Co., no bond; Felix Gross Coal Co., $500; Golden Eagle Soap Co., $500; Joseph Gutradt Co., $500; General Chemical Co., $100; Gladding, McBean & Co., $500; A. Ginnocchio & Son, $500; Hockwald Chemical Co., $100; A. P. Hotaling Co., $500; H. C. Hacke, $100; Haas Brothers, $500; Hooper & Jennings, $100; Johnson & Johnson, $100; Levenson Co., $100; Lindauer & Co., $100; John P. Lynch Co. of San Francisco, no bond; H. C. Long Syrup Co., no bond; Lyons California Glace Fruit Co., $200; Dan P. Maher Co., $200; Morck Brush Mfg. Co., $500; Mason By-Products Co., $500; H. K. Mulford Co., $500; H. A. Metz Laboratories, Inc., $100; Mona Motor Oil Co., $100; Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., $300; National Ice and Cold Storage Co. of Cal., $500; National Ice Cream Co., $500; Pioneer Soap Co., Inc., no bond; Pacific Silicate Co., no bond; Panama Lamp and Commercial Co., $5,000; Reid Bros., Inc., $100; Remillard Brick Co., $500; Sunset Broom and Brush Co., $500; Sanitary Towel Supply Co., $100; Sharp & Bohme, $100; E. R. Squibb & Sons, $100; Jules E. Steen, $100; George A. Sheehan Co., no bond; San Francisco Dairy Co., $2,000; Shell Company of California, $3,000; Standard Oil Company of California, $3,000; Travers Surgical Co., $250; The Texas Oil Co., U. S. A., no bond; Tiger Oil Co., no bond; U. S. Rubber Co., no bond; Union Oil Company of California, $100; Weeks-Howe-Emerson Co., no bond; Western Rock Products Co., $1,000; Yates & Co., Inc., no bond; Zellerbach Paper Co., $1,000.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected except bids on Item No. 1034, paper towels, which are held in abeyance.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Awarding Contract, Towels for Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26092 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing 250 dozen crash towels for Relief Home at $2 per dozen be hereby made to Leighton Jellett Co. on bid submitted August 9, 1926 (Proposal No. 226).

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Awarding Contract, Machinery, Noe Valley School.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26093 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing machinery and tools for Noe Valley Junior High School be made on bid submitted August 2, 1926 (Proposal No. 218), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., no bond required; The Eby Machinery Co., no bond; Harron, Rickard & McCone Co., $100; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, $150; Smith Booth Usher Co., $100; The Stallman Supply Co., $150; Yates-American Machine Co., $350.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Purchaser of Supplies to Contract, from Month to Month, for Scavenger Service.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26094 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, The Board of Supervisors has under consideration general policies re garbage disposal in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, bids were received July 12, 1926 (Proposal No. 209), for scavenger service for School Department; therefore be it

Resolved, That pending settle-

ment of the garbage disposal question, the Purchaser of Supplies be authorized to enter into a month-to-month contract with D. Fontana, 421 Eighth avenue, San Francisco, at a monthly rate of $1,095, as his bid submitted, it being the lowest responsible bid received under said Proposal No. 209.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Awarding Contract, Books.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26095 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing books for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, be made on bids submitted June 14, 1926 (Proposal No. 192), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Neal, Stratford & Kerr, bond required, $1,000; John Kitchen, Jr., Co., $1,000; California Printing Company, $1,000; Levison Printing Company, $1,000; Edward Barry Co., Inc., $1,000; F. Malloye Co., $500; A. Carlisle & Co., $1,000; A. L. Houle Bindery Company, $500; Dever, Garrity & Keys, $300; Wilcox & Co., $500; Buckley & Curtin, $500; A. D. Zanetti, $500; F. W. Wentworth Co., none.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Awarding Contract, Science Equipment for High School of Commerce.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26096 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing science equipment for High School of Commerce be made on bids submitted August 2, 1926 (Proposal No. 220), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee, and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Braun-Knecht-Heimann Co., bond required, $300; Central Scientific Company, $100; Justinian Caire Co., $100; Spencer Lens Company, none; University Apparatus Co., $100.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Circus Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented: Resolution No. 26097 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Miller Bros. 101 Ranch Real Wild West Shows be granted permission to give an exhibition (circus) on property situated between Santa Rosa avenue, Alemany street, Tingley street and Mission Terrace, September 11, 12 and 13, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Circus Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented: Resolution No. 26098 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to Sells-Floto Circus Company to give a circus on lot situated between Santa Rosa avenue, Alemany street, Tingley street and Mission Terrace, September 25, 26, 27 and 28, 1926, subject to the payment of the usual license fee and observance of the ordinances of the City relative thereto.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented: Resolution No. 26099 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby authorized and requested to install the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Twenty-eighth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Twenty-seventh avenue and Santiago street.

Twenty-sixth avenue and Santiago street.

Twenty-fifth avenue and Santiago street.

Twenty-fifth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets.

Twenty-first avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Appropriations.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week and referred to Streets Committee*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) For the cost of borings necessary to ascertain condition of foundations for structures in connection with Bernal Cut opening, $1,500.

(2) For expense of extending Howard street to Army street, City's portion, $1,800.

Street Signs—Budget Item No. 74.

(3) For the furnishing, delivering and erecting of street signs, per award of contract to Schultz Construction Co., $7,208.

Purchase of Land for Park Street Extension.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the extension of Park street, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Frank Labrucherie, $11,500—All of Lots 3 and 4 in Block "F," according to map entitled "Map showing subdivisions of the French and Gilman Tract," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, September 13, 1890, and recorded in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, page 48.

Whereas, it is understood and agreed that the adjoining property of the above owner will not be assessed for the improvement of Park street as extended.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

On motion *laid over one week.*

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

City Attorney to Intervene in Action Against the Southern Pacific Company in re Ferry from San Francisco to Alameda.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26100 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate Ferry Company and A. O. Stewart have commenced an action in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, against Southern Pacific Company, seeking to restrain Southern Pacific Company from establishing a vehicular ferry between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Alameda, on the ground that Southern Pacific Company has not the legal right to establish said vehicular ferry without first having obtained a franchise therefor from this Board, which said action was recently transferred at the request of Southern Pacific Company to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California; and

Whereas, the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco has advised this Board that Southern Pacific Company has not the legal right to establish said vehicular ferry without first having obtained a franchise therefor from this Board; and

Whereas, this Board has never granted any such franchise to Southern Pacific Company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby requested in the name of the City and County of San Francisco to intervene in said action against Southern Pacific Company in behalf of the plaintiffs therein, in order to protect the interest of the City and County of San Francisco in the subject-matter of said litigation.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the resolution was *adopted* by the following vote :

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Referred to Committee.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication, from Columbia Steel Corporation, transmitting draft of ordinance creating specification for reinforcing steel.

On motion *referred to Public Buildings Committee.*

Appropriation.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that the sum of $4,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation No. 55, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for expense incidental to the reception and entertainment of the officers and men of the United States Fleet, as well as for that of the Pacific Coast Yacht Regatta, during their stay in San Francisco; being for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

On recommendation of Finance Committee the resolution was ordered *passed to print.*

Invitation from Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company.

A communication was received from the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company tendering an invitation to the Board to a public reception and inspection of its central office, No. 74 Otis street.

Invitation *accepted.*

Communication from the Department of State, Washington.

The following communications were received from the Department of State at Washington:

August 13, 1926.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Board of Supervisors, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Sir:

The receipt is acknowledged by reference from the White House of your letter of August 4, 1926, with regard to the policy of the Mexican government with respect to the Catholic Church and clergy.

In reply I desire to assure you that the situation as it affects American churchmen in Mexico will continue to receive the department's earnest attention. However, as regards persons other than American citizens this government is not in a position to intercede on their behalf.

I may say in this connection, for your information, that the department has recently advised the Mexican ambassador at this capital of the many protests received by it from Catholic organizations in the United States.

I am, sir, your obedient servant,
for the Secretary of State,
STAN HARRISON,
Assistant Secretary.

Department of State, Washington.
August 13, 1926.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk Board of Supervisors, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Sir:

The receipt is acknowledged of your recent communication regarding the Mexican government's policy with respect to the church and clergy.

In reply I desire to assure you that the situation as it affects American churchmen in Mexico will continue to receive the department's earnest attention. However, as regards persons other than American citizens, this government is not in a position to intercede on their behalf.

I may say in this connection, for your information, that the department has recently advised the Mexican Ambassador at this capital of the many protests received by it from Catholic organizations in the United States.

I am, sir, your obedient servant,
For Secretary of State,
R. C. TANIS,
Acting Chief, Division of Mexican Affairs.

Read and *placed on file.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 11:45 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors November 22, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 35

Monday, August 30, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 30, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 30, 1926, 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Leave of Absence, Civil Service Commissioner Walcott.

A communication from his Honor the Mayor, recommending a leave of absence to E. A. Walcott, member of the Board of Civil Service Commissioners, was received and read by the Clerk, and upon motion, the following resolution was taken up out of order and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26101 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. E. A. Walcott, member of the Board of Civil Service Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing September 4, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bridge Across San Francisco Bay.

Communication was received from J. W. McCreary, address, care First National Bank, Santa Cruz, California, setting forth that he has plans for crossing San Francisco Bay from Oakland Mole to San Francisco with bridges and tunnels for highway, interurban, freight and passenger lines different from anything yet proposed, and requesting information as to number of plans and typewritten copies of report are necessary to be filed with the committee as to what form of application is required for a franchise.

The Clerk was directed to furnish the information.

Invitation Extended to Attend Celebration of Fifteenth Anniversary of Linking of San Francisco and Los Angeles.

A communication was received from the Chamber of Commerce of Los Angeles, extending an invitation to the Board of Supervisors to participate in the ceremony in celebration of the fiftieth anniversary of the linking of San Francisco and Los Angeles, to take place September 5, 1926, at Lang Station, where the gold spike was driven fifty years ago.

The communication was read by the Clerk and on motion, the chair was authorized to appoint a committee consisting of the Mayor and five Supervisors to represent the City at the celebration. The chair thereupon appointed the following members of the Board: Supervisors Hayden, Havenner, Kent, Shannon, Schmidt, Stanton.

The chair announced that he had added the name of Supervisor Hayden and to act as chairman of the committee.

Proposed Charter Amendment Relating to Works of Art.

Supervisor McSheehy presented proposed amendment to the Charter relating to works of art, and upon motion, the subject matter was *referred to the Judiciary Committee.*

Telegrams of Appreciation, U. S. Navy Fleet.

The following telegrams were received from the Mayor's office, which were read by the Clerk and *placed on file*:

Sent via Navy Department Radio Service.
San Francisco,
August 30, 1926.

Admiral C. F. Hughes,
U. S. S. "California."

As your most enjoyable visit to San Francisco draws to a close, I want to express the keen satisfaction of our people at your presence here and our regrets that you are leaving so soon. We hope that before long you may be with us again, and that we may see you often while you hold the high command that is to be yours.

Sincerely,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Sent via Navy Department Radio Service.
San Francisco,
August 30, 1926.

Admiral S. S. Robison,
U. S. S. "Seattle."

At this moment when your great fleet is about to weigh anchor and to leave our port, the people of San Francisco have mingled emotions—both joy at your visit and regret at your departure. Godspeed and good luck and may you return to us soon again.

Sincerely,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Received via Navy Department Radio Service.
August 30, 1926.

Mayor Rolph,
San Francisco.

Thank you for your courteous message just received, which is, I assure you, most cordially appreciated by me personally and by the officers and men under my command. We have thoroughly enjoyed our stay in San Francisco and wish to thank you and all San Franciscans who did so much to make our visit a happy one. We are already looking forward with pleaseure to the time when we shall again be with you.

Commander-in-Chief of the Pacific Battle Fleet.

Received via Navy Department Radio Service.

From the Commander-in-Chief of the United States Fleet:
James Rolph, Jr., Mayor,
San Francisco.

Many thanks for your kind message upon the departure of the fleet from San Francisco. The Commander-in-Chief wishes to express to you and the people of San Francisco the sincere thanks and appreciation of myself and the personnel of the fleet for the many courtesies and lavish entertainment that have been extended to them during the visit making this stay in San Francisco truly enjoyable. All regret that we depart so soon and will remember this visit with pleasure.

Transfer of Funds.

Supervisors Hayden, Colman and Harrelson jointly presented the following resolution:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Supreme Court of the State of California has rendered a decision directing that after the payment of operating expenses and the cost of repairs and reconstruction in connection with the Hetch Hetchy water and power project, all revenues from the operation of said project, including the revenues from the disposal of power, shall, so far as may be required, be applied to the payment of interest and redemption of bonds issued for the construction of said water and power project, as set forth in the Charter; and

Whereas, under Ordinance No. 6980 (New Series) there was appropriated out of said revenues a sufficient amount to provide for the payment of interest and redemption of $9,000,000 of the Water Bonds of the Issue of 1910, or the sum of $358,118.48; and

Whereas, according to the Treasurer's books, there was in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, as of June 30, 1926. an unexpended and unappropriated balance of $1,007,112.74; and

Whereas, the application of this amount to the payment of bond interest and redemption will result in a further reduction of from ten to twelve cents in the tax rate; and

Whereas, there will be required for the payment of interest and redemption on the outstanding 4½ per cent Water Bonds, Issue of 1910, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, a sum in excess of the unexpended and unappropriated balance in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund as of June 30, 1926; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the sum of $1,007,112.74, the unexpended and unappropriated balance in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund as of June 30, 1926, be and the same is hereby transferred, set aside and appropriated to the credit of the

Water Bond Interest and Redemption Fund.

Referred to the Finance Committee.

Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 26059, requesting the City Attorney, in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, to call upon the Attorney-General of this State, that he, in behalf of the State, immediately commence quo warranto proceedings against the Southern Pacific Company for the purpose of restraining the establishment and operation of a ferry across the Bay of San Francisco between the City of Alameda and the City and County of San Francisco until said franchise and certificate of public convenience and necessity have been obtained in accordance with law; and further requesting the City Attorney to file, in the name of the City, with the State Railroad Commission a written request that said Commission accept and file no rate or time schedules from Southern Pacific Company covering the operation of said ferry until the legal right of Southern Pacific Company to establish and operate said ferry be determined.

August 20, 1926—*Veto message filed.*

August 23, 1926—*Read. Over one week.*

August 30, 1926—*Over two weeks.*

Hearing of Appeal, Improvement of Key Avenue.

Appeal of Charles L. Harney from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Key avenue between the westerly line of Third street and a line 350 feet westerly from Keith street, including the crossing of Key avenue and Keith street.

August 30, 1926—*Over one week.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Crockery.

Sealed proposals were received and opened by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for furnishing crockery.

Fire Hose.

Sealed proposals were received and opened by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for furnishing 10,000 feet 2¾-inch cotton rubber-lined fire hose for Fire Department.

Fire Engines.

Sealed proposals were received and opened by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for furnishing two gasoline motor-driven combined pumping engines and hose wagons.

Bids received for the foregoing supplies were, upon motion, *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26102 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,235.20.

(2) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated July 31, 1926), $700.

Park Fund.

(3) Architects and Engineers Associated, professional services for account of Kezar Stadium Clubhouse (claim dated August 13, 1926), $4,114.08.

(4) H. Dragon & Co., supplies for parks (claim dated August 13, 1926), $500.95.

(5) Frank Food Co., supplies furnished parks (claim dated August 13, 1926), $582.77.

(6) Landau Economic Syphon Co., supplies for parks (claim dated August 13, 1926), $901.01.

(7) M. B. McGowan, third payment, construction for Yacht Harbor Wharf (claim dated August 13, 1926), $635.85.

(8) M. B. McGowan, final payment, construction of Yacht Harbor Wharf (claim dated August 13, 1926), $2,119.50.

(9) National Ice Cream Co., supplies furnished parks (claim dated August 13, 1926), $1,624.35.

(10) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated August 13, 1926), $5,268.49.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(11) Clinton Construction Co., nineteenth payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated August 11, 1926), $24,806.25.

(12) John Reid, Jr., 22d payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated August 11, 1926), $1,859.08.

(13) F. W. Snook Co., 18th payment, plumbing etc., for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated August 11, 1926), $5,359.80.

(14) F. W. Snook Co., 17th payment, mechanical equipment, etc., for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated August 11, 1926), $3,632.37.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(15) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., fifth payment, core borings, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 10, 1926), $837.22.

(16) City Coal Co., coal, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 9, 1926), $726.

(17) Crucible Steel Co. of Americ, drill and tool steel for Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 9, 1926), $5,215.05.

(18) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 7, 1926), $3,975.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy water construction (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,088.69.

(20) Myers-Whaley Co., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 9, 1926), $500.28.

(21) Pope & Talbot, lumber, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 7, 1926), $583.91.

(22) Air Reduction Sales Co., welding and cutting outfits, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 5, 1926), $958.50.

(23) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated August 5, 1926), $844.95.

(24) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 5, 1926), $607.25.

(25) A. M. Scott Tire Co., auto tires, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 5, 1926), $817.92.

(26) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, covering Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated August 5, 1926), $1,657.87.

(27) Tansey-Crowe Co., tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 5, 1926), $575.17.

(28) United States Rubber Co., jute cable, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 5, 1926), $627.94.

(29) Western Meat Co., meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 5, 1926), $573.26.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(30) Amoroso & Damico, 3d payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $19,312.50.

(31) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction of LeConte School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $70,779.50.

(32) Barrett & Hilp, 12th payment, general construction, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $10,447.35.

(33) Burnham Plumbing Co., 8th payment, plumbing, etc., New Mission High School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $7,720.88.

(34) Crown Electric Co., 8th payment, electrical work, New Mission High School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $1,827.22.

(35) Crown Electric Co., 2d payment, electrical work, Edison School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $637.14.

(36) Crown Electric Co., 1st payment, electrical work, West Portal School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $706.43.

(37) F. L. Hansen, 6th payment, general construction, Henry Durant School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $30,636.94.

(38) F. B. Knittle, final payment, mechanical equipment, Alamo School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $4,075.66.

(39) MacDonald & Kahn, 12th payment, general construction, New Mission High School (claim dated August 10, 1926), $68,021.49.

(40) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, 4th payment, architectural services, Henry Durant School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $1,327.13.

(41) E. K. Nelson, 2d payment, moving and alteration of buildings, Sherman School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $2,315.63.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(42) R. D. Nuttall Co., motor parts, Municipal Railway (claim dated August 4, 1926), $2,283.60.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(43) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated August 2, 1926), $713.86.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(44) United States Steel Products Co., spikes and bolts for Municipal Railways (claim dated August 7, 1926), $621.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(45) Mahony Bros., 23d payment, general construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated August 11, 1926), $170,643.71.

Special School Tax.

(46) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., 1st payment, electrical work, Parkside School (claim dated August 10, 1926), $733.08.

(47) Carl H. Peterson, 5th payment, general construction of Annex to Parkside School (claim dated August 11, 1926), $7,397.25.

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(48) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for relief of destitute (claim dated August 16, 1926), $950.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(49) Crown Electric Co., 3d payment, electrical work, Children's Ward, southeast wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated August 11, 1926), $504.31.

(50) F. L. Hansen, 4th payment, general construction of Children's Ward, southeast wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated August 11, 1926), $5,584.35.

(51) J. E. French Co., one Dodge touring car, Dept. Public Works (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,022.

(52) Otis Elevator Co., furnishing and installing elevator door locks, etc., at City Hall and Hall of Justice (claim dated June 30, 1926), $714.10.

(53) California Rock Co., gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,179.72.

(54) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,961.33.

(55) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,460.93.

(56) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,954.88.

(57) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,944.72.

(58) J. A. Bryant, 2d payment, construction of club house at Kezar Stadium (claim dated August 13, 1926), $8,557.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(59) The California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated August 12, 1926), $906.15.

(60) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated August 12, 1926), $1,113.24.

(61) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated August 16, 1926), $997.80.

(62) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated August 13, 1926), $8,245.31.

(63) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated August 13, 1926), $895.

(64) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated August 13, 1926), $8,052.43.

(65) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $3,789.63.

(66) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $1,643.61.

(67) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $1,711.97.

(68) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $1,220.

(69) Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $871.56.

(70) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $11,485.37.

(71) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $29,143.94.

(72) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $4,514.61.

(73) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 4, 1926), $577.20.

(74) Symon Bros., teams for street cleaning (claim dated August 9, 1926), $805.

(75) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,988.03.

(76) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,230.

(77) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,465.25.

(78) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,465.25.

(79) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,465.25.

(80) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated August 9, 1926), $1,135.

(81) Burroughs Adding Machine Co., 10 portable adding machines (claim dated August 10, 1926), $950.

(82) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., carpet for Superior Court (claim dated August 10, 1926), $519.94.

(83) George H. and Henry Kahn Co., X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 2, 1926), $1,966.80.

(84) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., food-

stuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 3, 1926), $1,686.

(85) Smith Lynden Co., foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 2, 1926), $968.10.

(86) Haas Bros., foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 2, 1926), $1,119.58.

(87) Sussman-Wormser Co., foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 2, 1926), $1,012.88.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

(Supervisor Marks voted *no* as to Items 3 and 12.)

Appropriation.

Resolution No. 26103 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the cost of erecting additions to the Sunnyside School, to-wit:

General construction (Anderson & Ringrose), $170,000; mechanical equipment (Thos. Skelly), $16,706; plumbing system (Thos. Skelly), $10,170; electrical work (L. Flatland), $7,550; architectural fees, $3,265.56; possible extras, incidentals and inspection $10,000; total, $217,691.56.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Appropriation.

Resolution No. 26104 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,735 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the construction of shop building at the Noe Valley Junior High School; per contract awarded to Grant & Hart at $9,985; and for possible extras, incidentals and inspection at $750.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Appropriation.

Resolution No. 26105 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,080 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of Appropriation 42-A (Police Department Personal Services) to provide compensation during fiscal year 1926-1927 for three detective sergeants, to meet quota according to Charter requirements.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26106 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) California Baking Co., bread furnished parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $1,075.80.

(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric and gas service for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $3,533.79.

(3) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $600.66.

(4) Schweitzer & Co., meats for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $751.22.

(5) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter and eggs for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $546.88.

(6) Edw. L. Soule Co., steel bars, etc., for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $561.06.

(7) United Milk Co., milk, etc., for Parks (claim dated August 20, 1926), $505.

County Road Fund.

(8) Pacific States Construction Co., second payment, improvement of Fillmore street, Cervantes to Marina boulevard (claim dated August 18, 1926), $10,000.

Special School Tax.

(9) Stewart School Supply Co., first and final payment, auditorium chairs for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated August 17, 1926), $10,208.66.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(10) P. Montague, final payment, grading of site of Tuberculosis San-

itarium (claim dated August 17, 1926), $3,375.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(11) J. E. French Co., one Dodge auto for Municipal Railways (claim dated August 11, 1926), $791.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated August 12, 1926), $3,231.86.

(13) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of December 12, 1918, $1,619.56.

(14) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways, July (claim dated August 12, 1926), $37,366.17.

(15) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated August 10, 1926), $6,834.94.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(16) N. Randall Ellis, engineering service, valuation of electric properties (claim dated August 19, 1926), $750.

(17) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of electric properties (claim dated August 19, 1926), $850.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(18) Bald Eagle Meat Market, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 11, 1926), $2,780.91.

(19) Hales & Symons, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 11, 1926), $1,787.25.

(20) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, drill parts, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 13, 1926), $1,366.32.

(21) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chains, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated August 16, 1926), $576.42.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(22) Barrett & Hilp, thirteenth payment, general construction of the Douglas-Everett School (claim dated August 17, 1926), $7,113.75.

(23) E. K. Nelson, final payment, general contract for moving Sherman School (claim dated August 17, 1926), $3,144.37.

(24) Barrett & Hilp, extra work, general construction of Douglas-Everett-Sanchez School (claim dated August 18, 1926), $501.85.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(25) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated August 19, 1926), $992.50.

(26) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chairs for new Relief Home (claim dated August 19, 1926), $1,470.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(27) J. H. McCallum, lumber for sewer repairs (claim dated June 30, 1926), $618.55.

(28) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,615.15.

(29) J. B. Rogers, sinking test holes for Engine House No. 18, at Third and Fourth streets (claim dated June 13, 1926), $772.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(30) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 16, 1926), $1,318.56.

(31) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of Park Fund for Civic Center beautification (claim dated August 20, 1926), $894.99.

(32) Maggini Motor Car Co., one Ford coupe for use of Juvenile Court (claim dated July 27, 1926), $608.51.

(33) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated August 16, 1926), $565.99.

(34) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting of public buildings (claim dated Aug. 16, 1926), $3,132.98.

(35) American La France Fire Engine Co., Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated July 31, 1926), $604.54.

(36) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,287.41.

(37) Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., tires, Fire Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1926), $682.27.

(38) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,511.34.

(39) Shell Co., fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,703.57.

(40) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., water furnished, installing and removing hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,487.72.

(41) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $935.50.

(42) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,714.04.

(43) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,928.64.

(44) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $810.

(45) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $650.

(46) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $824.56.

(47) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,395.14.

(48) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,370.28.

(49) Haas Bros., groceries for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $794.90.

(50) Haas Bros., tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $851.07.

(51) H. Moffat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $598.54.

(52) Morris & Co., butter, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,079.68.

(53) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $605.45.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $842.49.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,588.94.

(56) E. B. Frick, room allowance for employees of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,152.85.

(57) H. Moffat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,009.54.

(58) Union Sheep Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $610.50.

(59) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,130.20.

(60) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,437.23.

(61) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., fruit for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,298.75.

(62) California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,243.23.

(63) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $4,184.73.

(64) Morris & Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital, claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,796.88.

De Young Museum (Appropriation 60).

(65) San Francisco Waterproofing Co., waterproofing materials for De Young Museum (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $647.70.

(66) The Paraffine Co., malthoid, floatine, etc., De Young Museum (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $550.88.

County Road Fund.

(67) J. P. Holland, surfacing Harding Memorial boulevard, Lincoln Park (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $1,310.

(68) J. P. Holland, surfacing roadway of Harding Municipal Golf Links (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $1,135.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Appropriation.

Resolution No. 26107 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Repair and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, 1926-1927, to the credit of "Maintenance and Operation of Bridges, Contractual, Appropriation 34-B," for emergency repairs to bridges.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy. Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26109 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lawrence Barrett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Taylor and O'Farrell streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26110 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lawrence Barrett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the east side of Taylor street, 75 feet north of O'Farrell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Automobile Supply Station.

Resolution No. 26111 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. W. Kyte be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of West Portal avenue and Vicente street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Automobile Parking Station.

Resolution No. 26112 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Boicelli be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Turk street, 183 feet west of Jones street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26113 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. E. Cullen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Fred Wandell by Resolution No. 25761 (New Series) for premises at 1600 Buchanan street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26114 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles Schwarz be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisor, to maintain and operate a public garage at the southwest corner of Sixth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 26115 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. L. Lassalle be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 5483 Mission street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 26116 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

American Radiator Co., west side of Fourth street, 250 feet north of Townsend street, 1750 gallons capacity.

E. C. Anthony, Inc., northwest corner of Ellis street and Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. R. H. Blanquie, 480 Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. G. Chanslor, 25 Sea Cliff avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cherry Bakery, 4600 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Christiansen Bros., north side of

Geary street, 75 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Mission Everett Junior High school), block bounded by Sixteenth, Dehon, Seventeenth and Church street, 3000 gallons capacity.

Crimm Estate, 549 Kearny street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. H. Denke, southeast corner of Broadway and Taylor streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alex Dulfer, 722 Funston avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

W. A. Haas, 2770 Vallejo street, 750 gallons capacity.

Hamill & Hall, northeast corner of Jackson and Steiner streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. A. Helbing, 2260 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

John Lacosta, 3745 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. C. Larsen, west side of Fillmore street, 583 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., northeast corner of Van Ness avenue and Filbert street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Nineteen-Eighty Vallejo Street, Inc., north side of Vallejo street, 70 feet east of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Parkside Bakery, 1711 Taraval street, 600 gallons capacity.

L. E. Petersen, southeast corner of Fulton and Clayton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Sheftel, south side of Washington street, 150 feet west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Sheftel, south side of Washington street, 100 feet west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tastegood Bakery, 2645 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Fred Warden, west side of Eighth avenue, 125 feet north of Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Appropriation.

Resolution No. 26117 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that the sum of $4,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation No. 55, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for expense incidental to the reception and entertainment of the officers and men of the United States Fleet, as well as for that of the Pacific Coast Yacht Regatta, during their stay in San Francisco; being for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Change of Zone, Pacific Avenue, East of Laguna Street.

Bill No. 7708, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled 'Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions' ", heretofore passed to print, was taken up on final passage.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the bill be re-referred to the City Planning Committee. The motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon, Todd—6.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—9.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Welch—3.

The question was thereupon called on final passage, whereupon the said bill and ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Bill No. 7708, Ordinance No. 7219 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its 'provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Prop-

erty Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the north side of Pacific avenue for a distance of 206 feet and 3 inches easterly from the easterly side of Laguna street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Noes — Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Powers—3.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Welch—3.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades.

Bill No. 7709, Ordinance No. 7220 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Vernon, Arch, Ramsell, Victoria and Head streets between Garfield and Randolph streets, and on Sargent and Shields streets between Bright street and Ralston street easterly line.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of June, 1926, by Resolution No. 25737 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Vernon, Arch, Ramsell, Victoria and Head streets between Garfield and Randolph streets, and on Sargent and Shields streets between Bright street and Ralston street easterly line.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Vernon Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street, northerly line, 254.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 255.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet northerly from Randolph street, 260.60 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Randolph street, 267.77 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Randolph street, 276.50 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Randolph street, 261.35 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Randolph street, 267.96 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Randolph street, 276.50 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

300 feet northerly from Randolph street, 305.00 feet.

100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 338.00 feet.

7.50 feet southerly from Sargent street, 356.75 feet.

Westerly line of, at Sargent street, 356.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sargent street, 359.00 feet.

8 feet northerly from Sargent street, 358.28 feet.

50 feet northerly from Sargent street, 366.38 feet.

100 feet northerly from Sargent street, 374.88 feet.

150 feet northerly from Sargent street, 381.16 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

250 feet southerly from Shields street, 401.84 feet.

200 feet southerly from Shields street, 405.71 feet.

150 feet southerly from Shields street, 407.00 feet.

100 feet southerly from Shields street, 405.97 feet.

50 feet southerly from Shields street, 402.88 feet. (Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

18 feet southerly from Shields street, 400.25 feet.

Westerly line of, at Shields street, 398.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Shields street, 401.00 feet.

50 feet northerly from Shields street, 391.84 feet.

150 feet northerly from Shields street, 375.00 feet.

450 feet northerly from Shields street, 330.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly

line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 304.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 305.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 304.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 305.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Arch Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 270.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 271.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

50 feet northerly from Randolph street, 278.75 feet.

200 feet northerly from Randolph street, 305.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

400 feet northerly from Randolph street, 345.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Sargent street, 394.00 feet.

400 feet northerly from Sargent street, 463.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

430 feet northerly from Sargent street, 466.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

460 feet northerly from Sargent street, 463.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Shields street, 429.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Shields street, 432.00 feet.

8 feet northerly from Shields street, 429.75 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 316.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 316.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 316.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 316.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ramsell Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 283.30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 284.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

25 feet northerly from Randolph street, 288.08 feet.

100 feet northerly from Randolph street, 302.44 feet.

150 feet northerly from Randolph street, 311.53 feet.

200 feet northerly from Randolph street, 319.70 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

300 feet southerly from Sargent street, 335.10 feet.

200 feet southerly from Sargent street, 351.65 feet.

100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 370.50 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

25 feet southerly from Sargent street, 385.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Sargent street, 391.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sargent street, 389.00 feet.

25 feet northerly from Sargent street, 395.25 feet.

50 feet northerly from Sargent street, 401.00 feet.

100 feet northerly from Sargent street, 411.46 feet.

150 feet northerly from Sargent street, 419.87 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Shields street, 434.63 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Shields street, 441.15 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Shields street, 446.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Shields street, 450.08 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Shields street, 446.33 feet. (Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Shields street, 434.63 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Shields street, 441.15 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Shields street, 446.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Shields street, 450.25 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Shields street, 447.00 feet. (Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

Westerly line of, at Shields street, 442.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Shields street, 444.00 feet.

250 feet northerly from Shields street, 388.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 324.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 324.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 324.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 324.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Victoria Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 293.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 295.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

25 feet northerly from Randolph street, 297.12 feet.

200 feet southerly from Sargent street, 346.58 feet.

150 feet southerly from Sargent street, 353.50 feet.

100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 361.41 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

25 feet southerly from Sargent street, 374.05 feet.

Westerly line of, at Sargent street, 379.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sargent street, 376.00 feet.

25 feet northerly from Sargent street, 381.00 feet.

100 feet northerly from Sargent street, 392.75 feet.

200 feet southerly from Shields street, 433.69 feet.

100 feet southerly from Shields street, 443.42 feet.

Shields street, 446.00 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

250 feet northerly from Shields street, 390.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 330.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 330.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 330.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 330.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Head Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 295.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 295.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet northerly from Randolph street, 309.00 feet.

100 feet southerly from Sargent street, 356.00 feet.

Sargent street, 371.00 feet.

100 feet northerly from Sargent street, 387.00 feet.

150 feet southerly from Shields street, 435.13 feet.

100 feet southerly from Shields street, 441.03 feet.

50 feet southerly from Shields street, 445.00 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Shields street, 448.00 feet.

450 feet northerly from Shields street, 375.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 347.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Garfield street, 348.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 347.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Garfield street southerly line, 348.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Sargent Street.

Northerly line of, at Bright street, 377.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Bright street, 373.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Head street, 371.00 feet.

Victoria street easterly line, 376.00 feet.

Victoria street westerly line, 379.00 feet.

Ramsell street easterly line, 389.00 feet.

Ramsell street westerly line, 391.00 feet.

100 feet easterly from Arch street, 395.00 feet.

Arch street, 394.00 feet.

Vernon street easterly line, 359.00 feet.

Vernon street westerly line, 356.00 feet.

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Ralston street easterly line, 317.50 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

13 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Ralston street east-

erly line, 317.50 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

Shields Street.

Bright street, 470.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Head street, 448.00 feet.

Victoria street, 446.00 feet.

Ramsell street easterly line, 444.00 feet.

Ramsell street westerly line, 442.00 feet.

Arch street easterly line, 432.00 feet.

Arch street westerly line, 429.00 feet.

Vernon street easterly line, 401.00 feet.

Vernon street westerly line, 398.00 feet.

Ralston street easterly line, 357.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Vernon, Arch, Ramsell, Victoria and Head streets between Garfield and Randolph streets, and on Sargent and Shields streets between Bright street and Ralston street easterly line, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades.

Bill No. 7710, Ordinance No. 7221 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Marston avenue between Circular avenue and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, and on Circular avenue between lines at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue northerly and southerly lines.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of June, 1926, by Resolution No. 25746 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Marston avenue between Circular avenue and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, and on Circular avenue between lines at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue northerly and southerly lines.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Marston Avenue.

Northerly line of, at Circular avenue, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 14.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 19.93 feet westerly from Circular avenue, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 22.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 19.93 feet westerly from Circular avenue, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point on the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Circular avenue northwesterly line, 219.90 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 14.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Circular avenue northwesterly line, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 22.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Circular avenue northwesterly line, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 22.50 feet northerly from the southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 3.28 feet westerly from Circular avenue northwesterly line, 218.23 feet.

At a point 14.50 feet northerly from the southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 3.28 feet westerly from Cir-

cular avenue northwesterly line, 218.23 feet.

At a point on the northeasterly line of Circular avenue 17.07 feet northeasterly from the intersection of the northwesterly line of Circular avenue with the southerly line of Marston avenue, 218.23 feet.

Southerly line of, at Circular avenue, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, 227.43 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 14.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, 226.27 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, 226.27 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Circular Avenue.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue northerly line, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point on the intersection of the northwesterly line of, with the northerly curb line of Marston avenue, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point on the northwesterly line of, 17.07 feet northeasterly from the intersection of the northwesterly line of, with the southerly line of Marston avenue, 218.23 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue southerly line, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Marston avenue between Circular avenue and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 117 feet westerly from Circular avenue, and on Circular avenue between lines at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue northerly and southerly lines, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Dublin Street.

Bill No. 7711, Ordinance No. 7222 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections two hundred and ninety and two hundred and ninety-one thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and the same is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1926, by amending Sections two hundred and ninety and two hundred and ninety-one thereof, to read as follows:

Section 290. The width of sidewalks on Dublin street between its southwesterly termination and Russia avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Dublin street between Russia avenue and La Grande avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Dublin street between Russia avenue and La Grande avenue" showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 291. The width of sidewalks on La Grande avenue between its southwesterly termination and Logan street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Grande avenue between Logan street and Woolsey street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of La Grande avenue between Logan street and Woolsey street" showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

The width of sidewalks on La Grande avenue between Woolsey street and Peru avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Tomasa Street.

Bill No. 7712, Ordinance No. 7223 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and twenty-one to nine hundred and twenty-five, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1926, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and twenty-one to nine hundred and twenty-five, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 921. The width of sidewalks on Tomasa street between Brewster street and Holladay avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Tomasa street between Brewster street and Holladay avenue," showing the locations of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 922. The width of sidewalks on Brewster street between Isabel street and Tomasa street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Brewster street between Isabel street and Tomasa street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 923. The width of sidewalks on Montcalm street between Tomasa street and Peralta avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Montcalm street between Tomasa street and Peralta avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 924. The width of sidewalks on Wright street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Wright street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 925. The width of sidewalks on Isabel street between Brewster street and Wolfe street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Isabel street between Brewster street and Wolfe street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Holladay Avenue.

Bill No. 7713, Ordinance No. 7224 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered nine hundred and twenty-six to nine hundred and twenty-nine, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18. 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 3, 1926, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered nine hundred and twenty-six to nine hundred and twenty-nine, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 926. The width of sidewalks on Holladay avenue between Joy street and Tomasa street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Holladay avenue between Joy street and Tomasa street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 927. The width of sidewalks on Faith sreet between Holloday avenue and San Bruno avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Faith street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 928. The width of sidewalks on Costa street between Brewster street and San Bruno avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Costa street between Brewster street and San Bruno avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 929. The width of sidewalks on Isabel street between Rutledge street and Brewster street shall be as shown on that certain

map entitled "Map of Isabel street between Rutledge street and Brewster street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Girard Street.

Bill No. 7714, Ordinance No. 7225 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections three hundred and ninety-five to three hundred and ninety-seven, inclusive, and Section seven hundred and forty-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 3, 1926, by amending Sections three hundred and ninety-five to three hundred and ninety-seven, inclusive, and Section seven hundred and forty-seven thereof, to read as follows:

Section 395. The width of sidewalks on Girard street between Silver avenue and Mansell street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Girard street, the westerly side of, between Mansell street and Ward street, shall be twenty (20) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Girard street, the easterly side of, between Mansell and Ward street, shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Girard street between Ward street and its southerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 396. The width of sidewalks on Brussels street between Silver avenue and Dwight street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brussels street, the westerly side of, between Dwight street and Ward street, shall be twenty (20) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brussels street, the easterly side of, between Dwight street and Ward street, shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brussels street between Ward street and its southerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 397. The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Silver avenue and Dwight street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Dwight street and Olmstead street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Goettingen street between Dwight street and Olmstead street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the westerly side of, between Olmstead street and Mansell street, shall be twenty (20) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the easterly side of, between Olmstead street and Mansell street, shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Mansell street and its southerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 747. The width of sidewalks on Holladay avenue between Peralta avenue and Tomasa street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Holladay avenue between Peralta avenue and Tomasa street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Bay View Street.

Bill No. 7715, Ordinance No. 7226 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-one and nine hundred and thirty-two.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 6, 1926, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-one and nine hundred and thirty-two, to read as follows:

Section 931. The width of sidewalks on Bay View street between Flora street and Newhall street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Bay View street between Flora street and Newhall street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 932. The width of sidewalks on Newhall street between Shafter avenue and a point 50 feet southerly from Bay View street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Newhall street between Shafter avenue and a point 50 feet southerly from Bay View street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Osage Alley.

Bill No. 7716, Ordinance No. 7227 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 5, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-three, to read as follows:

Section 933. The width of sidewalks on Osage alley, the westerly side of, between Twenty-fourth street and Twenty-fifth street, shall be three (3) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Osage alley, the easterly side of, between Twenty-fourth street and Twenty-fifth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Vicente Street.

Bill No. 7717, Ordinance No. 7228 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty, to read as follows:

Section 930. The width of sidewalks on Vicente street between Thirty-fifth avenue and the Great Highway shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Beach Street.

Bill No. 7718, Ordinance No. 7229 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061,

entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 541 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 5, 1926, by amending Section 541 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 541. The width of sidewalks on Beach street between Hyde street and Larkin street shall be nine (9) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner. Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Establishing Grades on Osage Alley.

Bill No. 7719, Ordinance No. 7230 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Osage alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Osage alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed July 30, 1926.

Osage Alley.

150 feet southerly from Twenty-fourth street, 67.50 feet.

250 feet southerly from Twenty-fourth street, 66.50 feet.

300 feet southerly from Twenty-fourth street, 66.50 feet.

Easterly line of, 160 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 68.41 feet.

Easterly line of, 110 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 69.30 feet.

Easterly line of, 60 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 68.80 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

3 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 160 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 68.41 feet.

3 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 110 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 69.34 feet.

3 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 60 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 68.96 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Osage alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets at Osage alley.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Conditional Acceptance, Roadway of Chestnut Street.

Bill No. 7720, Ordinance No. 7231 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Chestnut street between Polk street and a point 212 feet easterly from Polk street; Ceres street between Williams and Thornton avenues; Detroit street between Hearst avenue and a point 125 feet northerly from Hearst avenue; Forty-third avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Italy avenue between Edinburgh and Naples streets; Kansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets; Keith street between Underwood and Van Dyke avenues; Lucy street between Thornton and Williams avenues; Lawton street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues; Lane street between Van Dyke and Underwood avenues; Madrid street between Silver and Peru avenues; Ottawa street between Ellington and Huron avenues and the intersection of Ottawa street and Del Monte street; Orizaba avenue between Randolph and Broad streets, and the intersection of Orizaba avenue and Broad street and the intersection of Orizaba avenue and Farallones street; Worcester avenue between the southerly line of Randolph street and Junipero Serra boulevard, including the crossing of Worcester avenue and Randolph street and the intersection of Worcester avenue and Byxbee street, Chester street, Monticello street and Beverly street; Phelps street between Oakdale and Palou avenues,

Phelps street between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues; Underwood avenue between Jennings and Keith streets; crossing of Keith street and Van Dyke avenue; crossing of Lane street and Van Dyke avenue; Lane street between Van Dyke avenue and Third street; crossings of Forty-fifth avenue and Rivera street and Forty-fifth avenue and Santiago street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter II, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Chestnut street between Polk street and a point 212 feet easterly from Polk street, paved with asphaltic concrete and a 14-foot central strip of brick and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ceres street between Williams and Thornton avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Detroit street between Hearst avenue and a point 125 feet northerly from Hearst avenue, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-third avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Italy avenue between Edinburgh and Naples streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, gas mains have been laid therein, no sewer or water mains have been laid therein.

Kansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and a 14-foot central strip of concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Keith street between Underwood and Van Dyke avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lucy street between Thornton and Williams avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and a 14-foot central strip of concrete, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lane street between Van Dyke and Underwood avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Madrid street between Silver and Peru avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ottawa avenue between Ellington and Huron avenues and the intersection of Ottawa avenue and Del Monte street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Orizaba avenue between Randolph and Broad streets, intersection of Orizaba avenue and Broad street, intersection of Orizaba avenue and Farallones street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Worcester avenue between the southerly line of Randolph street and Junipero Serra boulevard, including the crossing of Worcester avenue and Randolph street and the intersections of Worcester avenue and Byxbee street, Chester street, Monticello street and Beverly street, paved with asphaltic concrete pavement and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Phelps street between Oakdale and Palou avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete with a 14-foot central strip of concrete and concrete

curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Phelps street between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Underwood avenue between Jennings and Keith streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Keith street and Van Dyke avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Lane street and Van Dyke avenue and Lane street between Van Dyke avenue and Third street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossings of Forty-fifth avenue and Rivera street and Forty-fifth avenue and Santiago street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to Enter Into An Agreement With the Modesto Irrigation District for the Furnishing of Hydroelectric Power to the District.

Bill No. 7707, Ordinance No. 7232 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing, empowering and directing the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the Modesto Irrigation District, a public corporation, covering the furnishing of hydroelectric power to said district.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a written agreement with the Modesto Irrigation District, a public corporation of Stanislaus County, California, covering the furnishing by the City and County of San Francisco to the said Modesto Irrigation District of hydroelectric power from the City's plant at Moccasin Creek, for pumping and municipal purposes as provided for in the Act of Congress, approved December 19, 1913, and commonly known as the Raker Act, the maximum amount of said power to be furnished under said contract not to exceed the total connected load for pumping, irrigation and municipal purposes, which the said district has upon its electrical distribution system; and the terms and conditions of said contract to be as follows, to-wit:

This agreement, made and entered into this ———— day of ————, 1926, by and between the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, acting for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as "City," under authority of the Board of Supervisors granted by Ordinance No. —— (New Series), the party of the first part, and the Modesto Irrigation District, a public corporation of Stanislaus County, California, hereinafter referred to as the "District," the party of the second part;

Witnesseth:

Whereas, the City is the owner and holder of certain water rights situate on the Tuolumne River and on Lake Eleanor, which are commonly known as the City's Hetch Hetchy project, and that in connection with said water rights the City is developing electric power at its power plant, installed at Moccasin Creek, and is transmitting said power so developed to a point in the vicinity of Newark, in the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, under the terms of the Act of Congress, approved December 19, 1913, commonly known as the Raker Act, the City is obligated to sell to the said District electric energy as provided by said Act; and

Whereas, the District has made demand on the City that it furnish to the District the amount of electric energy which it is required to furnish pursuant to the terms of said Raker Act, and the City is willing to comply with said demand;

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises and of the mutual covenants and conditions herein contained, the parties hereto agree as follows:

That the amount of electric energy that the District may receive pursuant to the terms of this agreement shall be determined from time to time by representatives of each of the parties hereto, and shall be the amount of energy which the City is obligated to furnish to the District under the terms of the said Raker Act, but in no event to exceed the amount of the total connected load on the system of the District coming within the said provisions of said Raker Act, said amount to be corrected for transmission and distribution losses, and that the expense of determining from time to time the amount of energy which the District is entitled to receive as herein provided shall be borne by the District.

That the District agrees to pay to the City for all electric energy furnished to the District at the rate of 4.7 mills per kilowatt hour, provided, however, that the minimum amount to be paid to the City by the District for said energy shall be three hundred and seventy-five ($375.00) dollars per month, and in case the District's needs for the purposes hereinbefore mentioned shall exceed 3000 k. w., then in that event, the monthly minimum payment shall be increased proportionately. All amounts to become due under the terms of this contract to be payable to the City at the office of the Board of Public Works in the City and County of San Francisco, on or before the 20th day of each and every calendar month following the month in which the said City commences to furnish said energy to the said District. Provided, however, that if the price of 4.7 mills per kilowatt hour for said energy furnished to the District as aforesaid should not return to the City the actual and total cost of providing and supplying said power computed as provided in said Raker Act, the said price may hereafter be increased, to the end that the same will return to the City said actual cost. And provided, further, should the Secretary of the Interior of the United States hereafter determine or fix any other price for the said energy supplied to the said District, the rate therefor as herein provided may be changed accordingly, provided, however, that the minimum charge shall remain as herein set forth.

The District shall install at its own cost and maintain and operate at its own expense, a step-down station at a point at or near the City's transmission lines, which said station shall be capable of receiving electric energy at voltages of approximately 110,000 or 165,000 volts, or at both of said voltages. And the said District shall also provide, install and maintain, at its own expense, the necessary demand and watt-hour meters and relays at the primary side of said station, for the purpose of measuring the energy received by the said District; and that said step-down station, said meters and relays shall be of standard construction and equipment, and shall be of sufficient strength, power and capacity to be used in connection with the power lines and plant of the City without the same being a source of danger to said lines or plant, and that all of the said equipment shall be constructed, installed and maintained to the satisfaction of the City, or its Engineer.

That the District shall inspect, test and keep in proper repair all meters and accessories at said station which will be used for measuring the amount of electric energy delivered to the District under this agreement. The said meters shall be kept under joint seals of the City and District, which shall not be broken except in the presence of authorized representatives of both parties. Either party shall have the right at any time to request an inspection or test, and, if found necessary, proper adjustment of such meters shall be made in the presence of a representative of the other party appointed for the purpose. When such inspection or test is desired, sufficient notice shall be given by the party desiring the test to permit of the other party having its representative present. The registration of the meters shall be used as the basis of determining the amount of energy received by the district, unless, upon being tested, the meters shall be found to register inaccurately and such inaccuracy shall exceed two per cent (2%). Where the inaccuracy is more than two per cent (2%), but the actual inaccuracy can be approximately determined, the readings of such meters shall be corrected, and such corrected readings and any other available data shall be used as a basis for determining the amount of energy delivered.

The energy furnished to the District shall be received from the transmission lines belonging to the City at the voltage at which the same is ordinarily transmitted

thereover, provided that said voltage shall not be higher than approximately 165,000 or lower than approximately 110,000 volts, and any loss or damage caused to the transmission lines or to the generating equipment of the City by reason of any act or omission by the District shall be borne and paid for by the District.

That if during the continuation of this agreement it should happen that the City should not be operating the Moccasin Creek plan on a twenty-four hour basis, then in that event the City hereby agrees to maintain an emergency crew, subject to call by the District, for the purpose of furnishing the service herein provided for, and the District hereby agrees that the monthly minimum charge payable by the District shall be increased to cover the actual cost to the City of mantaining such emergency crew during such period and any other cost of operating said plant which is made necessary solely by the District's needs.

The City shall not be obligated to deliver energy to the District, nor shall the District be obligated to receive energy, at such time as either shall be prevented from doing so on account of accidents or acts of God, making it physically impossible to so deliver or receive energy. In the event of inability on the part of the City to deliver the said energy, or inability on the part of the District to receive the energy, arising from any of the causes in this paragraph specified, the party so prevented from making such delivery of energy or receiving such energy shall proceed with all possible speed to take the necessary action to enable it to comply with its covenants herein contained.

Neither this agreement nor anything contained herein, nor the prices, rates or charges fixed herein, shall ever be offered or in any manner used as evidence by either said City or said District or any successor in interest of either of them, in any court or before any commission or official of the State of California or the United States of America, in any action or proceeding for the purpose of obtaining a judicial or official interpretation of the terms or provisions of the Raker Act, nor shall anything contained herein be construed as committing or binding either party as to the interpretation of either party to the terms or provisions of said Raker Act.

That the District shall proceed with all possible speed to erect and construct said step-down station, and make itself ready to receive said electric energy from the City, and shall complete said station and said equipment within eighteen (18) months from the date of the execution of this agreement, due regard being had for strikes, lockouts, acts of God, inability to get labor or materials, or any other act beyond the control of the District, and if said station and equipment is not completed within 18 months from the date of the execution of this agreement, and the District is not ready to receive said electric energy from the City, this agreement may, at the option of the City, be cancelled and annulled; provided, however, that such time as the District may be delayed in the construction of said step-down station and equipment by strikes, lockouts, acts of God, inability to get labor or material, or by other acts over which the District has no control, shall be added to the said period of 18 months hereinbefore allowed to the District within which to commence receiving said power.

In witness whereof, the Board of Public Works has caused these presents to be duly executed and signed by its members, and the District has caused these presents to be executed by its President and Secretary and have affixed their official seals thereto the day and year first above written.

Board of Public Works of City and County of San Francisco,
By .....
By .....
By .....
Attest: .. ..
Secretary.
Modesto Irrigation District,
By .....
Its President.
By .....
Its Secretary.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Statement of Supervisors J. Emmet Hayden, Jesse C. Colman and Wm. H. Harrelson.

In the matter of the foregoing ordinance, No. 7232, Supervisors Hayden, Colman and Harrelson, jointly presented the following:

Memorandum of reasons why the Modesto power contract should not be finally adopted.

We do not believe that the contract as drawn properly protects the City's interests, particularly in the

matter of price to be paid for the service.

The contract provides for a rate of 4.7 mills per kilowatt hour of energy used, with the provision that the minimum amount to be paid to the City by the District shall be $375 per month, on the basis of 3000 kilowatts of demand. The City Engineer has plainly told this Board that that price, without taking reference to the load factor, is totally inadequate.

It is true that a clause is contained in the contract which says that if the price of 4.7 mills per kilowatt hour should not return to the City the actual and total cost of providing and supplying the power as provided in the Raker Act, that the price may be hereafter increased so that it will make return on the said actual cost. The City Engineer has pointed out to you that this does not take care of any loss in revenue due to sale of power below cost during the time between the execution of the contract and the date when the correct determination of costs is established.

But there is one very important point which has not be emphasized and that is that the contract includes a clause which reads: "Provided, however, that the minimum charge shell remain as herein set forth." Now, it is entirely probable that when the cost of urnishing energy to the district is finally established, either by the interested parties or a court, or by the Secretary of the Interior, the price to be charged will be found to be based upon two elements—the amount of demand or standby requirements and the actual amount of energy used, and the amount of such demand charge, even on the basis of a $15,000,000 valuation for power, which we understand from the press has been recommended by the Public Utilities Committee, the minimum monthly charge would be $2 500, and if the valuation of approximately $25,000,000, suggested by the City Engineer, is used, this minimum monthly charge would amount to approximately $4,200 per month, and yet the contract says that the minimum charge shall remain at $375 per month. This, we understand. makes the contract an agreement in violation of the terms of the Raker Bill, and for that reason alone we will vote "no."

JESSE C. COLMAN.
WM. H. HARRELSON.
J. EMMET HAYDEN.

San Francisco, California, August 30, 1926.

Mr. Adolph Uhl also presented the following communication:

San Francisco, Aug. 30, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

You propose furnishing Modesto 3000 kilowatts standby service for $375 a month, or 12½ cents a kilowatt a month.

Modesto is paying P. G. & E. for 225 kilowatts standby $370 a month, or $1.60 a kilowatt a month.

It costs Modesto $20,000 a year, $1,666 month, for 1200 kilowatts in her steam plant, or $1.30 a kilowatt a month.

The price for standby service established by the Railroad Commission is as follows:

For the first 20 kilowatts $2.00 per kilowatt a month.

For the next 80 kilowatts $1.50 per kilowatt a month.

For all over 100 kilowatts $1.25 per kilowatt.

The price of 12½ cents per kilowatt a month proposed by you in this Modesto contract is positively absurd, it evidences the fact you are not qualified to fix electric rates, which, in turn, *threatens the success of municipal operation.*

You refuse to be guided by the price suggested by the City Engineer. You disregard the suggestion of conferring with Mr. Lester R. Ready, chief electrical engineer of the Railroad Commission regarding price, etc.

The City Efficiency League protests the adoption of the proposed contract giving Modesto a standby service, the Raker Act imposes no obligation upon San Francisco to furnish an emergency service known as standby, furthermore, it involves San Francisco unnecessarily in a contract for perpetuity and will positively bring about litigation. Besides, *price is ridiculously low.*

The City Efficiency League does favor selling Modesto Irrigation District Hetch Hetchy power in strict accordance with the Raker Act.

Respectfully submitted,

CITY EFFICENCY LEAGUE,
ADOLPH UHL, Manager.

The question being called on final passage, the bill and ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Harrelson, Welch—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $45,813.33, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Aug. 12, 1926), $565.32.

Special School Tax.

(2) W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., Inc., oil burning equipment for schools (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $4,405.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(3) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., sand blasting, granite work, etc., Water Construction Contract 95 (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $1,808.60.

(4) Healy-Tibbittts Construction Co., 26th and final progress payment, construction of steel bridge substructures, Dumbarton Straits (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $120,045.84.

School Bonds. 1923.

(6) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., chair desks for High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $7,520.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(7) Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, 1925, reimbursement for supplies, materials, hospital and other services furnished and performed during months of April, May and June, 1926 (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $7,796.32.

(8) Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, reimbursement for materials and supplies, etc., furnished during months of April, May and June, 1926 (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $1,264.28.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(9) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., for Hetchy Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $962.61.

(10) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $551.90.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $1,459.

(12) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $1,488.01.

(13) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $2,063.61.

(14) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $2,128.18.

(15) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 21, 1926), $665.02.

(16) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 21, 1926), $824.74.

(17) A. M. Scott Tire Co., auto tubes and tires, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $579.78.

(18) Waterbury Co., steel and manila rope, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $685.53.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(19) L. P. Brassy, 5th and final payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 145 (claim dated April 23, 1926), $820.02.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $2,278.09.

(21) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $1,699.79.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(22) James L. McLaughlin Co., extra work, general construction of

the Alamo School (claim dated Aug. 23, 1926), $720.

(23) John Reid, Jr., 26th payment, architectural services, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug. 25, 1926), $4,660.45.

(24) John Reid, Jr., 14th payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated Aug. 25, 1926), $1,938.36.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(25) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $992.50.

Playground Fund.

(26) N. Clark & Sons, gutter construction, Mission bath house (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $620.

(27) N. Clark & Sons, gutter construction for the North Beach bath house (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $720.

(28) Krieg Tanning Co., tanbark for playground, Spruce and Locust streets (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $570.

(29) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, construction of concrete curb and walk around Mission Plaground swimming tank, etc. (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $559.50.

(30) Roth, Winter & Walsh, ham and bacon for Municipal Camp, Mather, Cal. (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $522.

(31) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $1,666.26.

(32) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, construction of playground in Chinatown (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), progress payment, $5,025.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(33) Clarence B. Eaton, 2d payment, improvement of Union street between Franklin and Steiner streets (claim dated Aug. 25, 1926), $5,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(34) A. P. Hotaling & Co., gauze, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,047.25.

(35) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $516.47.

(36) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,548.88.

(37) Automatic Registering Machine Co., 75 voting machines, Department of Elections (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $84,375.

(38) Union Tank & Pipe Co., traffic markers (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $7,200.

(39) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $690.

(40) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Aug. 12, 1926), $1,734.40.

(41) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $724.60.

(42) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $2,021.46.

(43) Louis T. Samuels, rental of premises No. 333 Kearny street, Aug. 4 to Sept. 4, 1926 (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $1,186.

Publicity and Advertising.

(44) Capital Decorating and Manufacturing Co., decoration of Market street, etc., during visit of Crown Prince of Sweden, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $600.

School Bonds, 1923.

(45) Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., title insurance reports covering 99 pieces of property authorized for school purposes (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $1,485.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(46) Glad Tidings Tabernacle, refund of amount of taxes collected on property erroneously assessed (claim dated Aug. 21, 1926), $1,032.50.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(47) Samuel A. Schwartz and Rose Y. Schwartz, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26075, New Series (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $538.

(48) Alonzo Gray and Catherine Gray, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26063, New Series (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $3,750.

(49) Henry Krohn and Emma Krohn, for property required for opening of Bernal Cut, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 23063, New Series (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $4,000.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) To defray cost of Market street extension, Section "B," Mono to Ord streets, Contract No. 1, in-

cluding engineering and inspection, and extras involving track work (contract awarded to James M. Smith), $85,000.

(2) For cost of grading of Capitol avenue between Thrift street and Lakeview avenue, including crossings, City's portion, $6,570.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers, Budget Item No. 39.

(3) To defray cost of completing work of installing the main sewer in Glen Park Playground by the Department of Public Works, $1,500.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(4) For the purchase of equipment of the new Relief Home, including aluminum kitchen equipment, mixer, cutter, meat grinder, coffee mill, coffee grinder and 600 blankets, $5,032.35.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) For the cost of borings necessary to ascertain condition of foundations for structures in connection with Bernal Cut opening, $1,500.

(2) For expense of extending Howard street to Army street, City's portion, $1,800.

Street Signs—Budget Item No. 74.

(3) For the furnishing, delivering and erecting of street signs, per award of contract to Schultz Construction Co., $7,208.

Amending Ordinance No. 3300, Regulating Establishment of Laundries.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Bill No. 7737, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3300 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating the establishment and maintenance of public laundries and wash-houses within the City and County of San Francisco, and repealing all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3300 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association of persons to establish, maintain, operate or carry on the business of a public laundry or wash-house, where clothes or other articles are cleansed, ironed, washed, starched, marked or sorted for hire or profit, in any building or premises within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, without having first obtained a permit therefor from the Board of Supervisors, which said permit shall specify the location of the premises used or to be used as such laundry or wash-house.

Automobile Parking Station.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. L. Karstens be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Seventh street, north of Minna street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Oil Tank Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Dr. E. Dickson, 155 San Fernando way, 600 gallons capacity.

Geo. B. Eberhard, northwest corner of First and Folsom streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Globe Bakery, 2051 Fillmore street, 300 gallons capacity.

David Kron, 201 Fourteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Kronquist, north side of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. H. Pinkerton Co., south side of Pacific avenue, 150 feet west of Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. M. M. Scott, 9 Scenic way, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. E. Somerton, southeast corner of Marina boulevard and Casa way, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. E. Young, 51 Satello street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. V. Tucker be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situated on the west side of Kansas street, 100 feet south of

Seventeenth street; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said H. V. Tucker, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7721, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $5,940, payable out of the hereinafter designated Budget Item for the Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the reconstruction and repair of the following street, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 118, Divisadero street, Filbert street to Greenwich street, $5,940.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7722, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Hampshire street and York street, authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said work and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Hampshire street and York street in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article 6 of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7723, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred

payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the crossing of Beach and Baker streets* by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer as required, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7724, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Chenery street between Carrie and Natick streets, Hancock street between Sanchez and Noe streets, Sanchez street between Hancock and Nineteenth streets*, by the construction of full-width artificial stone sidewalks where artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7725, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of 6-foot artificial stone sidewalks on *Cabrillo street, Thirty-seventh to Thirty-eighth avenues; Moraga street, Eighteenth to Nineteenth avenues; Portola drive, Kensington way to Granville way*, where artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet or more in

width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7726, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Irivng street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues, Twenty-fifth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets, Thirty-second avenue between Camino Del Mar and California street,* by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks have not already been constructed, or not to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7727, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 17, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on *Greenwich street between Baker street and Lyon street, and on Fourteenth street between Church street and Sanchez street,* where artificial stone walks of the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7728, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its

office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Rhode Island street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets*, where not already so improved, the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed; by the construction of a concrete pavement between *Southern Heights avenue and Twenty-second street*, where not already constructed; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface between *Southern Heights avenue and Twentieth street*, where not already constructed, and by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where not already constructed.

And the improvement of *the crossing of Twentieth street and Rhode Island street*, by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of *Twentieth street between the center and the westerly lines of Rhode Island street*, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7729, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part Ii of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Baker street between North Point and Beach streets*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters two feet in width adjacent to the above mentioned curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7730, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of

the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1924, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street, including the intersection of Turk and Stanyan streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 15-inch ironstone pipe sewer, 3 manholes with 62 Y branches along the center line of *Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street;* by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the intervening angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 6801 (New Series) and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7731, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 30, 1924, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Turk street between the westerly curb line of Masonic avenue and the easterly curb line of Parker avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer, 4 manholes and 70 Y branches along the center line of Turk street from Parker avenue to a line 700 feet westerly from the westerly line of Masonic avenue; and by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer, 2 manholes and 46 Y branches along the center line of Turk street between Masonic avenue and a line 700 feet westerly therefrom; by the construction of the necessary artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of Masonic avenue and Turk street, and of Parker avenue and Turk street; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 6801

(New Series), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7732, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1924, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Masonic avenue between the southerly line of Turk street and the southerly line of McAllister street, including the crossings of McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 4 brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the intervening angular corners; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 6801 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 7733, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Diamond street between Army street and the southerly line of Duncan street, and between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth streets; on Twenty-seventh street between the westerly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 280 feet easterly therefrom; on Duncan street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Valley street between lines parallel with Diamond street and respectively 100 feet easterly and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Twenty-ninth street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom; and on Day street between a line parallel with Diamond street and 37 feet easterly therefrom, and the westerly line of Diamond street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 2d day of July, 1926, by Resolution No. 25821 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Diamond street between Army street and the southerly line of Duncan street, and between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth streets; on Twenty-seventh street between the westerly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 280 feet easterly therefrom; on Duncan street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Valley street between lines parallel with Diamond street and respectively 100 feet easterly and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Twenty-ninth street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom; and on Day street between a line parallel with Diamond street and 37 feet easterly therefrom, and the westerly line of Diamond street.

Whereas, said resolution was so

published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Diamond Street.

Army street, 350.00 feet. (The came being the present official grade.)

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Twenty-seventh street northerly line, 378.00 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Twenty-seventh street northerly line, 377.00 feet.

Westerly line of, at Twenty-seventh street, 379.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Twenty-seventh street, 377.00 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Twenty-seventh street southerly line, 378.00 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Twenty-seventh street southerly line, 377.00 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Duncan street northerly line, 381.50 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Duncan street northerly line, 380.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from Duncan street northerly line, 383.00 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Duncan street southerly line, 385.00 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet southerly from Duncan street northerly line, 381.17 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 15 feet northerly from Duncan street southerly line, 383.83 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Duncan street southerly line, 385 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Duncan street southerly line, 385 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-eighth street, 423 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Valley street northerly line, 421.30 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Valley street northerly line, 420.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from Valley street northerly line, 421.30 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Valley street southerly line, 419 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet southerly from Valley street northerly line, 419.50 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet northerly from Valley street southerly line, 417 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Valley street southerly line, 417 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Valley street southerly line, 416 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Twenty-ninth street northerly line, 402.50 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Twenty-ninth street northerly line, 401 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from Twenty-ninth street northerly line, 404 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Twenty-ninth street southerly line, 404 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet southerly from Twenty-ninth street northerly line, 399 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 15 feet northerly from Twenty-ninth street southerly line, 399 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Twenty-ninth street southerly line, 402.50 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Twenty-ninth street southerly line, 401 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Day street northerly line, 442.50 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Day street northerly line, 441 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from Day street northerly line, 443.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Day street southerly line, 443.50 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet southerly from Day street northerly line, 441 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet northerly from Day street southerly line, 441 feet.

19 feet easterly from the west-

erly line of, at Day street southerly line, 442.50 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Day street southerly line, 442 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Thirtieth street northerly line, 474.63 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Thirtieth street northerly line, 471.39 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-seventh Street.

280 feet easterly from Diamond street, 332 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Diamond street easterly line, 377 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 377 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 378 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 377 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 378 feet.

Diamond street westerly line, 379 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Duncan Street.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 381.17 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 383.83 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 380.50 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 381.50 feet.

Southerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 385 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 385 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 383 feet.

15 feet nortrerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 385 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 405.07 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 407.25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Valley Street.

Northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Diamond street, 382 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Diamond street, 379 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 419.50 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 417 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 420.50 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 421.30 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 416 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 417 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 421.30 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 419 feet.

Northerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 438.21 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 434.93 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-ninth Street.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 399 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 399 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 401 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 402.50 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 401 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 402.50 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 404 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 404 feet.

100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 430 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Day Street.

At a point 23 feet northerly from the center line of, and 37 feet easterly from Diamond street, 432.39 feet from Diamond street easterly line, 442 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 443.50 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 443.50 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 443.50 feet.

On Diamond street between Army street and the southerly line of Duncan street, and between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth streets; on Twenty-seventh street between the westerly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 280 feet easterly therefrom; on Duncan street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Valley street between lines parallel with Diamond street and respectively 100 feet easterly and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Twenty-ninth street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom, and on Day street between a line parallel with Diamond street and 37 feet easterly therefrom, and the westerly line of Diamond street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7734, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on La Grande avenue between Bacon street and Russia avenue; on Prague street between Excelsior avenue and Brazil avenue; on Dublin street between La Grande avenue and Persia avenue; on Excelsior avenue between Munich street and Prague street; on Brazil avenue between Prague street and La Grande avenue, and on Persia avenue between Dublin street and La Grande avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 2nd day of July, 1926, by Resolution No. 25864 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on La Grande avenue between Bacon street and Russia avenue; on Prague street between Excelsior avenue and Brazil avenue; on Dublin street between La Grande avenue and Persia avenue; on Excelsior avenue between Munich street and Prague street; on Brazil avenue between Prague street and La Grande avenue, and on Persia avenue between Dublin street and La Grande avenue.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and,

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Prague Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Excelsior avenue southerly line, 401 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 82.26 feet southerly from Excelsior avenue, 382.06 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 117.26 feet southerly from Excelsior avenue, 375.49 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 152.26 feet southerly from Excelsior avenue, 371.90 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at La Grande avenue westerly line, 371.90 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 357 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 353.53 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 349.15 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 357 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 353.63 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 349.53 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, at Brazil avenue, 339 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Brazil avenue, 341 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

La Grande Avenue.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bacon street southerly line, 404 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 35 feet southerly from Bacon street, 399.88 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 70 feet southerly from Bacon street, 393.55 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 127.85 feet southerly from Bacon street, 381.24 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 161.85 feet southerly from Bacon street, 375.73 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 195.85 feet southerly from Bacon street, 373.69 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Prague street easterly line, 373.69 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 218.72 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 371.46 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 168.72 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 370.15 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 118.72 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 367.15 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 218.72 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 371.46 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 168.72 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 370.15 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 118.72 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 367.15 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Brazil avenue northerly line, 358 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Brazil avenue northerly line, 358 feet.

Westerly line of, at Brazil avenue northerly curb line, 357.60 feet.

12 feet northerly from Brazil avenue southerly line at La Grande avenue westerly line, 354 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Brazil avenue southerly line, 352.80 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Brazil avenue southerly line, 352.80 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Saratoga street easterly line, 348.65 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 110.94 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 344.66 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 160.94 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 341.59 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 210.94 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 339.70 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Saratoga street westerly line, 341.60 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 294.59 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 337.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 294.59 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 338.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Woolsey street northerly line, 338 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Woolsey street southerly line, 337 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 78.53 feet northerly from Logan street, 337.80 feet.

45 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 78.53 feet northerly from Logan street, 336.80 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Logan street easterly line, 338.50 feet.

45 feet westerly from the easterly line of. at Logan street easterly line, 337.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Logan street westerly line, 340 feet.

45 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Logan street westerly line, 339 feet.

Westerly line of, at Russia avenue northerly line, 349 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Dublin Street.

15 feet easterly from La Grande avenue westerly line, at Dublin street westerly line intersection 337.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 118.01 feet northerly from Persia avenue, 334.80 feet.

55 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 118.01 feet northerly

from Persia avenue, 335.80 feet.

Westerly line of, at Persia avenue, 329 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Persia avenue, 331 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Excelsior Avenue.

Northerly line of, at Munich street easterly line, 394 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Munich street easterly line, 394 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet easterly from Munich street, 400 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Prague street westerly line, 402 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Prague street westerly line, 402 feet.

Prague street easterly line, 341 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 48.15 feet westerly from La Grande avenue, 351.40 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line, 28.15 feet westerly from La Grande avenue, 353.35 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 8.15 feet westerly from La Grande avenue, 354 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at La Grande avenue westerly line, 354 feet.

Northerly curb line of, at La Grande avenue westerly line, 357.60 feet.

Persia Avenue.

Grade of 332 feet at westerly line of La Grande avenue be abolished.

On La Grande avenue between Bacon street and Russia avenue; on Prague street between Excelsior avenue and Brazil avenue; on Dublin street between La Grande avenue and Persia avenue; on Excelsior avenue between Munich street and Prague street; on Brazil avenue between Prague street and La Grande avenue, and on Persia avenue between Dublin street and La Grande avenue, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7735, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Castro street between Chenery street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Laidley street southeasterly line.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 26th day of June, 1926, by Resolution No. 25782 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Castro street between Chenery street and a line at right angles to the easterly line, at Laidley street southeasterly line; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Castro Street.

Easterly line of, at Laidley street southeasterly line, 215.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Laidley street southeasterly line, 215 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 8.28 feet northerly from Surrey street, 213 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Surrey street northeasterly line, 212.10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Chenery street, 190.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Chenery street, 193 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Surrey Street.

Conform to Castro street.

On Castro street between Chenery street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Laidley street southeasterly line, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amending Traffic Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Bath:

Bill No. 7736, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating moving traffic of the public streets relating to arterial streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 18-A. (a) An arterial street is hereby defined to be a main street connecting a central business district of the City with its environs, over which there is a large volume of moving traffic and which, by reason of its location, invites an unusual number of vehicles to use its facilities.

(b) Fell street between Market and Baker streets and Fulton street between Van Ness avenue and Stanyan street, Bush and Pine streets between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue, Geary street between Presidio and Forty-third avenues, Mission street between The Embarcadero and the county line, Valencia street between Market and Mission streets, Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Twenty-fourth avenue from Geary street to Fulton street, Twenty-fourth street from Dolores street to Hoffman avenue and Post street between Mason street and Presidio avenue are hereby declared to be arterial streets as defined in Paragraph (a).

(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street, Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street and Francisco street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street are hereby declared to be open public boulevards.

(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be held to include vehicles carrying goods for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevard. All vehicles shall be permitted to travel upon said boulevard for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.

(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street except as may be necessary to cross same at intersections.

(f) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any vehicle or motorman of any street car to cross an arterial steret or a street declared to be an open public boulevard from an intersecting street unless such vehicle or street car shall have been brought to a full stop before entering the intersection of such arterial street or open public boulevard unless otherwise directed by a police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop or Go" or any other device or sign that shall be authorized installed and put in use and operation by the Police Department of said City and County.

Amending Ordinance No. 6249 (New Series), Relating to Establishment of Set-back Lines.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7738, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Ordinance No. 6249 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Washington street between Maple and Spruce streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6249 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Washington street between Maple and Spruce streets is hereby repealed.

Appropriations.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26118 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For furnishing and installing shelving in supplies room at County Jail No. 2, $195.

(2) For installing 2-inch suction line from boiler room to oil tank at the Juvenile Detention Home, $200.

(3) For installing telephone booth, window between rooms and necessary changes, including painting, at Central Police Station, $475.

(4) For excavating and replacing of portion of main steam return line on Grove street between Larkin and Polk streets, $500.

(5) For repairs to toilets, etc., installation of handball court, reversing window screens, etc., at the Juvenile Detention Home, $227.50.

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 36.

(6) For paving of alley frontage in Diamond street between Surrey and Sussex streets, $100.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Statements of Market Street Railway Co., Showing Receipts.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26119 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company, showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of June and July, 1926, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

June—

Twentieth avenue, Wawona street and Nineteenth avenue, etc.	$483.09
Gough Street Railroad	49.57
Parnassus avenue, Judah street and Ninth avenue	315.59

July—

Twentieth avenue, Wawona street and Nineteenth avenue, etc.	$514.21
Gough Street Railroad	49.08
Parnassus avenue, Judah street and Ninth avenue	303.00

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railroad Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Accepting Land for Extension of Park Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26120 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the extension of Park street, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Frank Labrucherie, $11,500—All of Lots 3 and 4 in Block "F," according to map entitled "Map showing subdivisions of the French and Gilman Tract," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, September 13, 1890, and recorded in Books "E" and "F" of Maps, page 48; and

Whereas, it is understood and agreed that the adjoining property of the above owner will not be assessed for the improvement of Park street as extended; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property· now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition. to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Supervisor Colman moved that the above entitled matter be laid over one week.

The motion was *defeated* and the resolution *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden—3.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Garage Permit Denied.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 26121 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Thos. W. Seaton to maintain and operate a public garage at 983 Post street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Extension of Time to C. B. Eaton.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26122 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton be granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after August 11, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Howard street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets.

This extension is asked for the reason that contractor has been delayed in adjusting certain difficulties with property owners.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Extension of Time, City Construction Company.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26123 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company be granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after August 12, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Brazil avenue between Moscow and Munich streets.

The work has been completed and an extension is desired pending acceptance and issuance of assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Acceptance of Deed from W. B. McKinnon Co. and the McCarthy Co. for Opening of Streets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26124 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the execution of a deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to affronting and adjacent property owners to portions of street or streets closed by Resolution No. 26025 (New Series) in exchange for property for new street or streets to be opened and widened in lieu of such closed street or streets.

Whereas, this Board on the 2d day of August, 1926 (after proceedings theretofore had pursuant to the provisions of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco), duly adopted Resolution No. 26025 (New Series), closing and abandoning a portion of San Juan avenue and a portion of Bauer street as in said resolution described; and

Whereas, on the 13th day of August, 1926, said resolution was duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the William B. McKinnon and The McCarthy Company, owners of lands adjacent to or fronting on the aforesaid portions of said streets so closed and abandoned, have offered to convey or cause to be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco property for the widening of San Juan avenue and the widening of Bauer street in lieu of such portions of streets so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said William B. McKinnon and The McCarthy Company, pursuant to their aforesaid offers, have caused to be made, executed and delivered to said City and County of San Francisco good and sufficient conveyances vesting in said City and County of San Francisco for street purposes, the title to the parcels of land hereinafter more particularly described in lieu of said portions of said street so closed and abandoned as hereinafter recited; and

Whereas, the said parcels of land so conveyed to said City and County of San Francsico as new portions of a street in lieu of those closed and abandoned as aforesaid are more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Bauer street, distant thereon 25.26 feet northwesterly from its intersection with the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue, and running westerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the aforesaid line of Bauer street, radius 453.44 feet, central angle 22 deg. 9 min., a distance of 175.30 feet; thence westerly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 425.00 feet, central angle 7 deg. 1 min. 2 sec., a distance of 52.05 feet to the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue; thence northeasterly along said line of Cayuga avenue 50.54 feet to its intersection with the southwesterly line of Bauer street; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Bauer street 226.98 feet to the point of commencement. And

Commencing at the intersection

of the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue with the northeasterly line of San Juan avenue, and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of San Juan avenue a distance of 73.82 feet; thence southeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 600.74 feet, central angle 6 deg. 58 min. 33 sec., a distance of 73.14 feet to the southwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue 4.57 feet to its intersection with the northeasterly line of San Juan avenue and point of commencement.

Whereas, it is deemed advisable by this Board of Supervisors that said parcel of land be opened as new portions of a street in lieu of those so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said new portions of a street so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes as aforesaid will and do constitute ample consideration to said City and County for its deed to the portions of said street closed and abandoned as hereinbefore recited and will be of much greater practical value, both to the City and County of San Francisco and to the general public; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That equity requires that the portions of said streets closed and abandoned as aforesaid should be conveyed by the City and County of San Francisco to said William B. McKinnon and to said The McCarthy Company. And be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said William B. McKinnon and to said The McCarthy Company deeds conveying to said William B. McKinnon and to said The McCarthy Company all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the parcels of land, situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Bauer street as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 26025 (New Series) to said William B. McKinnon; and

All that portion of San Juan avenue as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 26025 (New Series) to said The McCarthy Company. Be it

Futher Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in "The Bulletin" as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Limiting Loads and Speed of Vehicles Upon Public Streets.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 26125 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Streets and Traffic Committees be directed to give consideration to an ordinance limiting the loads and speed of loaded vehicles upon the public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Award of Contract, Lumber.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26126 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for lumber for Industrial Arts Department of the School Department heretofore made by Resolution No. 26055 (New Series), approved August 20, 1926, be hereby rescinded, and

Resolved, That award of contract for said lumber be made as follows, viz.:

To J. E. Higgins Lumber Company, entire lot of both soft wood and hard wood as per schedule in Proposal No. 219, submitted August 2, 1926, for a lump sum of $4,550.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Equipment for Infirmary and Kitchen at Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26127 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing equipment for infirmary and kitchen, Relief Home, be made on bids submitted August 23, 1926 (Proposal No. 227), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau

of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Montague Range and Furnace Co., bond required $50.

Reid Bros., Inc., bond required $500.

Mangrum & Otter, Inc., bond required $200.

Walters Surgical Co., bond required none.

Travers Surgical Co., bond required none.

Lansing Co. of Delaware, bond required $50.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Printing Annual Report of the Health Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26128 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to James H. Barry Co. on bid submitted August 23, 1926 (Proposal No. 229), for furnishing the following, viz.: Printing 500 copies of the annual report of the Department of Public Health, 1924-25, for the sum of $861.

Resolved, That a bond of $200 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Printing Anuual Report of Bureau of Engineering.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26129 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pidge Printing Service on bid submitted August 23, 1926 (Proposal No. 228), for furnishing the following, viz.: Printing 400 copies of the annual report of the Bureau of Engineering, 1924-25, for the sum of $850.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Gymnasium Equipment, Galileo High School.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26130 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts for furnishing and installing gymnasium equipment, Galileo High School and High School of Commerce, be made on bids submitted August 2, 1926 (Proposal No. 222), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., bond required $200.

E. P. Finnigan, bond required $400.

J. L. Stuart Manufacturing Co., bond required $250.

Fred Medart Manufacturing Co., bond required $500.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bids for Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26131 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Bernhard's Inc., on bid submitted August 23, 1926 (Proposal No. 234) for furnishing the following, viz.:

200 institution beds, Simmon's Cat., page 46, Design No. 1772, equipped with gliders and finished in Duco, French grey; delivered to Relief Home, $10.25 each.

Resolved, That a bond of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

SPECIAL ORDER.

Hearing Matter of Garbage Disposal.

The matter of hearing proposals for disposal of City garbage heretofore made a special order for hearing at 3 o'clock p. m., was taken up.

Supervisor Hayden was heard, and asked the privilege of the floor for Mr. Conyell.

J. B. Conyell was granted the privilege of the floor and was heard at length in opposition to the "fill and cover" system of operation.

The following were also heard in opposition: Bay View District Association, by Thos. Kehoe and John T. Regan, representing South San Francisco Parlor, Native Sons Golden West.

C. Tromutolo, attorney, representing the Scavangers' Union, was heard at length favoring the system of incineration, and stated that the Scavangers' Union would agree to erect an incinerator at its own expense and would file a bond to guarantee its perfect working condition. The association would expect a franchise for a period of twenty-five years and the exclusive right of collection of garbage, after which time the plant would revert to the City.

Wm. Kelly, attorney, was heard, and expressed himself favorable to the "fill and cover" system.

Colonel F. Eddy, City Engineer of Berkeley, was heard at length and explained the mode of removal of garbage, and the "fill and cover" system in vogue in his city and stated that it was very satisfactory to the City of Berkeley.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden, further discussion was *laid over for two weeks, and be made a Special Order of Business at 3 p. m.*

SPECIAL ORDER.

Rezoning of Chestnut Street.

The following resolution was taken up for hearing under Special Order, discussed by Supervisor Marks:

Resolution No. 26108 (New Series), as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors adopts, as its policy with respect to the rezoning of Chestnut street, the recommendation made by the City Planning Committee and the plan therein recommended; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Planning Committee be and it is hereby requested and directed to carry to a proper consummation the scheme and plan recommended by said report.

On motion, *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Referred to Committee.

The following matters were, upon motion, *referred to the Streets and Commercial Development Committee*:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Ordering the performance of street work on Moraga street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues."

Also, Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. ——, entitled:

"Ordering street work on Eighteenth street between Dehon street and Railroad avenue."

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Set-back Lines on Washington Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7738, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), repealing so much of Ordinance No. 6249 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Washington street between Maple and Spruce streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6249 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Washington street between Maple and Spruce streets is hereby repealed.

Mayor to Appoint Committee, Mission Dolores Anniversary.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26132 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, October 8, 1926, will mark the 150th anniversary of the solemn dedication of Mission Dolores by the Franciscan Fathers; and

Whereas, it is fitting and proper that this historical event, so intimately associated with the early history of our city, should be appropriately commemorated; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be authorized to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the celebration of this event over a period from October 9 to October 12, 1926, inclusive.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Mayor to Appoint Committee to Attend Meeting of Municipal Traffic League.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 26133 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee of five (5) members of the Board to attend the meeting of the Municipal Traffic League at Fresno on November 18, 19 and 20, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Mayor to Appoint Committee, Admission Day Celebration.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26134 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is desirable that San Francisco should be officially represented at the annual celebration of Admission Day; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to appoint a committee of seven members of this Board to attend the coming celebration at Sacramento on September 9, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Advertise Jackie Coogan Productions on Street Cars.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 26135 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Jackie Coogan Productions be and it is hereby granted a permit to advertise on the outside of the street cars of the Market Street Railroad Company (provided said cars when used for said advertising purposes are not used to carry passengers) the Jackie Coogan Circus, which is to take place at Tanforan September 12, and the entire proceeds of which are to be turned over to the San Francisco Park Commission for the John McLaren Fund.

This permit is to be effective between the dates of September 9 and September 12, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Central Supply Station and Warehouse.

The report of the Supplies Committee, relating to a Central Supply Station and Warehouse, was, on motion of Supervisor Badaracco, *referred to the Joint Committee on Finance, Lands and Public Buildings.*

Charter Amendment.

Supervisor Shannon presented a proposed amendment to the Charter, relating to Park employees, and on motion was *referred to the Judiciary Committee.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 7:40 o'clock p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors November 22, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 36

Tuesday, September 7, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 7, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Todd—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Welch was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 19, 20, 21 and 24, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. E. J. Mott.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
September 4, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Director of the Board of Education, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing September 10, 1926.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26147 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Tnat, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, Director of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of thirty days, commencing September 10, 1926 with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Todd—2.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Towels.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing towel service for the Municipal Railway and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Hearing of Appeal.

Appeal of Charles L. Harney from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Key avenue between the westerly line of Third street and a line 350 feet westerly from Keith street, including the crossing of Key avenue and Keith street.

No objection being offered, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26136 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of Charles L. Harney from the certificate and assessment issued on the 4th day of June, 1926, for the improvement of Key avenue betweeen the westerly line of Third street and a line 350 feet westerly from Keith street, including the crossing of Key avenue and Keith street, by grading to official line and grade, etc., be and the same is hereby sustained, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to issue a new certificate and assessment in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer dated August 5, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Todd—2.

Tax Levy—1926-1927.

Recommended by Finance Committee.

The following ordinance fixing the tax levy for the fiscal year 1926-1927 is recommended by the Finance Committee in lieu of the ordinance recommended by the committee and printed on the calendar August 23rd. The reason for this substitution is explained in the report of the Finance Committee to the Board under date of September 7th.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, execpt such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and sixty-six hundredths (3.66) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and sixty-six hundredths (3.66) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of..... $0.949400

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School departments, other than the special school building tax hereinafter provided for, the rate of ..... .225300

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay the demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, and demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of..... .824300

For the City and County Elementary School Fund, the minimum City and County school tax for the elementary schools to equal the estimated minimum for such purposes furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools, in in accordance with the provisions of Section 1817 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of .. .. .180000

For the City and County High School Fund, the minimum City and County high school tax to equal the estimated minimum for such purpose furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools in accordance with the provisions of Section 1764 of the Political Code of the State ..... .100400

For a special school tax for the School Building Fund, the rate of.... .130000

For the Common School Fund, in addition to the minimum amounts for elementary schools and high schools, and the special school tax for School Building Fund hereinbefore provided, the rate of ..... .424030

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of .. .. .034700

For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, the rate of .. .. .100000
For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of ..... .050400
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising, pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of 008110
For Retirement System for City Employees .... .090000
For Playground Fund.... .049300
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund... .009100
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund ..... .009100
For maintenance of the blind, Statutes 1919, Chapter 144 ..... .002230
For maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium ..... .005930
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:
Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .....$0.002939
Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .. .. .001293
Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .... .001185
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .025687
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .015459
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .018790
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .007325
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .004112
Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908.. .005070
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:
Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .. ..$0.005680
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918 ..... .038959
Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..... .008446
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .007642
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .010399
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .017004
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .007190
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .002646
Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910 .. .. .004786
Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910 ..... .125463
City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 ..... .070359
Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 ..... .042082
Hospital-Jail Completion Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1913 ..... .016454
Relief Home Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund... .006230
Hetch Hetchy Water Bond, 1925 issue, Interest Fund ..... .000860
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of each of the following final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California, numbered on the records of said court, respectively: S. F. Nos. 10320, 10379, 10738, 10749, 10746, 10762, 10780, 10800, 10829, 10799, 10790, 10791, 11170, 11228, 11231, 11247, 11251, 11742, 11706, 11754 and L. A. 7823. And in the District Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, numbered on the rec-

ords of said court, respectively: Nos. 4309, 4312, 4317, 4318, 4319, 4320, 4321, 4339, 4345, 4347, 4709, 4744, 4646, 4647, 4750, and 4757, and S. F. Superior Courts Nos. 160354 and 160701	.021640
Total	$3.660000

Discussion.

The Chair (Supervisor McSheehy): At this particular time the tax rate is on the calendar and I have a letter here from the City Attorney which is self-explanatory, and I feel that the Board after hearing it read by the Clerk—Mr. Clerk, read the letter, please.

(Letter from the City Attorney was thereupon read.)

The Chair: Personally, I have felt I thought the tax rate should go over today, and after receiving this advice from our City Attorney, and he called my attention, as we all know, to the fact that Thursday is a legal holiday and therefore the passing of the tax rate this week would mean nothing, in a way, on account of the holiday. I feey that his advice should be followed and if there is no objection I would ask that the tax rate remain on the calendar as it is in its present form until next Monday, September 13th.

Supervisor Colman: I second that.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman.

The Chair: Supervisor Havenner.

Supervisor Havenner: Does that provide ample time to meet the advertisinng?

The Clerk: Yes, there are no holidays next week. If it is ordered to print next Monday it would come up for final passage on the 20th; that is the law.

The Chair: That will be the order.

* * *

The Chair: May I interrupt for a moment? The City Attorney, Mr. O'Toole, has an announcement that I think is very interesting.

City Attorney O'Toole: A few moments ago I sent over to Supervisor McSheehy, as chairman of the Finance Committee, a letter requesting that no action be taken on the matter of passage to print of the fixing of the tax rate at this meeting, for the reason that the Supreme Court had not as yet determined the City's petition for rehearing, thinking that it might have some bad effect upon the petition. But they just telephoned me from the Clerk's office of the Supreme Court that the petition for rehearing is denied, so the Board is free to proceed with the fixing of the tax rate.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move the vote by which the matter was laid over one week be rescinded.

The Chair: Is there any objection?

(There being no objection, the vote was ordered rescinded.)

The Chair: May I at this time ask if there is any objection to the adoption of the tax rate as printed on the calendar?

Supervisor Gallagher: Three dollars and sixty-six cents, in accordance with the decision?

The Chair: Yes. Any objection?

Supervisor Hayden: I would just like to ask how much money from the Hetch Hetchy revenue operating fund does that reduction represent.

Supervisor McSheehy: Off-hand, I think it is one million seven hundred and thirty-eight thousand dollars. I have the report here. It represents $1,743,000.

Supervisor Hayden: Or sixteen cents in the tax rate?

Supervisor McSheehy: If you put it in that language, yes.

Supervisor Hayden: In other words, the former tax rate of August 23 of $3.82 was the tax rate of today of $3.66, following the decision of the Supreme Court and following the resolution of Supervisors Hayden, Harrelson and Colman, the reduction of the tax rate of sixteen cents.

Supervisor McSheehy: No.

Supervisor Hayden: It is there in plain figures.

The Chair: You may make your statement from the floor. You have the floor and I will reply when you have finished.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman.

The Chair: Supervisor Havenner.

Supervisor Havenner: In the tax rate of last year for which the Supervisor who has just spoken, and which he supported on this floor, the Finance Committee announced their rate under the revenues of this City upon which the tax rate was based approximately, I think, $1,400,000 of the power revenue. The tax rate of $4.13 was based on an estimate of revenues including that $1,400,000. Subsequently the City Attorney decided that that money could not be legally used to finance the budget for last year and a deficit of approximately that amount ensued. The legal tax rate for last year to finance the budget which the previous Board supported

here, should have been $4.26. It was illegally fixed at $4.13, and this Board had to make up the deficit by a series of retrenchments. As a matter of fact, when we compute the reduction in this year's tax rate from last year's tax rate, an additional amount of Hetch Hetchy revenue of only about three hundred and, I think, forty-three thousand was written into this year's tax rate. Therefore, the actual amount of reduction represented by the additional use of Hetch Hetchy funds this year is only five cents. I am going to say frankly that as one member of the Finance Committee it was my intention to vote to use the necessary amount, approximately estimated at $1,000,000, of the revenues from the power project to construct a transmission line into San Francisco. I believe that to have been part of the mandate of the people at the last municipal election. The Supreme Court has held that we cannot use it. I expressed here last week, and protested against this decision of the Supreme Court. I desire to reiterate that protest. I have discussed it with many lawyers, with the City's own advisors. It is one of those decisions that is sort of wide open question where the courts of last resort can more or less arbitrarily decide either way. The court has seen fit to hold in effect that the people of San Francisco cannot do as they see fit with their own public utilities. I believe that many thousands of people throughout Califrnia last week expressed at the polls a protest similar to the one which I voiced here last week. It was directed not perhaps entirely at this particular decision of the Supreme Court, but against other decisions of the Supreme Court in the past, which in my judgment have been a denial of the right of the people to exercise their citizenship freely. A very remarkable vote was cast last week for the late Supreme Justice Lennon, a man who was universally recognized as one of the most liberal judges that we have ever had on our Supreme bench, and at the last time a count was reported, it was an incomplete report, although I would say that almost every citizen of California must have known of the death of Judge Lennon in the midst of his campaign, he had received more than 100,000 votes, and his total was only about 15,000 behind that of the other contestant for Chief Justice, who is now the only living candidate in the field for that office. Therefore, I desire again to express my own protest against this decision and to say that we are applying this money to the tax rate purely and simply because of a legal mandate that has been imposed upon us.

The Chair: I feel this will clarify the situation. May I ask the Clerk at this time to read the report of the Finance Committee and have the same made part of the record?

The Clerk (Reading): "San Francisco, September 7, 1926. To the Honorable Board of Supervisors. Gentlemen: Your committee recommends a tax rate for the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1926-1927, to be fixed at $3.66. This report is supplementary to the report of the committee on August 23, 1926. Subsequent to the filing of that report a decision was rendered by the Supreme Court which directed that the surplus revenues in the Hetch Hetchy power fund be applied to the interest and reduction of water bonds of 1910. Upon advice of the City Attorney, your committee revised the original report and applies $1,743,000, being the surplus as of July 1, 1926, to the interest and reduction fund. Your committee desires to call attention to the fact that in last year's tax rate, which was based upon the application of $1,400,000 to the financing of the budget for the fiscal year 1925-1926, the City Attorney subsequently held that this sum could not be legally used and a corresponding deficit ensued. We wish to emphasize the fact, however, that this year's reduction of tax rate involves the use of only $343,000 more from the power revenues than was gotten under last year's tax rate, and this additional sum represents only five cents of the total reduction. Respectfully,

(Signed)."

Supervisor Colman: Mr. Chairman.

The Chair: Supervisor Colman.

Supervisor Colman: Mr. Chairman, on the matter of the tax rate as presented by the Finance Committee, I have no words at all except words of praise. It was my privilege to commend the Finance Committee on the budget. I do so again on the tax rate. I think that some considerable economies have been effected and at the same time constructive measures, such as the air port, the park in the Mission and various other fine constructive things have been taken care of. So, as far as the tax rate, when I praise the Finance Committee, I also feel that I am entitled to a full one-eighteenth part of the praise because I am for economy,

sound economy, just as much as the Finance Committee. Regarding the matter of the revenue from the power, I think the Finance Committee have done most wisely. Now, I have no criticism. The reverse of criticism. I have praise for our Supreme Court for what, to my lay mind, is absolutely a clear and proper decision. It takes the projects as one and it provides that revenues from that project shall be used for certain, to me, very proper purposes. I do not look upon any results of this election as any criticism at all of our courts. On the other hand, I look upon them as a wonderful tribute to a very fine judge and a splendid gentleman, a gentleman whom I knew in life quite well, and that is Judge Lennon. These issues, I think, as a rule, when it comes to the voter, it is a matter of which judge they prefer, and that was the question before the voters, and, as I said, it was not a question of any criticism whatever, but a tribute to a splendid public servant, a man who gave many years to faithful service of the people in the courts. So I think now, at the present time, you have done very well in putting this money where the courts said it belonged. In fact, it was necessary that you do that. It was practically a mandate of the court and in my opinion a just mandate. It seems absolutely sound to me that revenues from a public utilities should be devoted in their order to those purposes as set out, interest, depreciation and so forth, and then bond redemption, and I realize the right of anyone to disagree, but to me it is sound and you gentlemen have followed the only proper course. And, in conclusion, regarding the tax rate, as I said, I have nothing but praise. I hope you will continue. And as far as any election promise on the economy, I think considerable progress has been made. I recognize in the last election no other real issue. As I had occasion to point out, much capital was made over a certain contract characterized as a black spot in our history. Now, since you have taken office, as I have pointed out twice, you have had the power to initiate legislation in five minutes that in twenty-four hours would end that and it is my own personal claim that by not doing that you have given it the approval to which it is entitled, and I thought Sunday as you, Mr. Chairman and Mr. Harrelson and I stood in the power house at Moccasin Creek and we saw—that is, we didn't see it but we saw the evidence, we saw the transmission lines going down, and I thought to myself it would be a crime, unthinkable, to allow that power to go to waste and to lose to the people of San Francisco an income of $2,036,000 annually net.

Supervisor Stanton: Mr. Chairman.

The Chair: Supervisor Stanton.

Supervisor Stanton: I can hardly let Supervisor Colman get away with that unchallenged. Two or three times he has made the same statement, that this Board of Supervisors, or those elected a year ago, or the first of the year, the last of last year, could by a single stroke of the pen or by a resolution in five minutes cancel a contract. I feel, and I believe I am speaking for the rest of the Supervisors that were elected last term, that they feel that the Hetch Hetchy power was not handled in the best interests of the people of San Francisco. The mere fact of us having a contract signed at that time, which it was, in the way that it was, doesn't signify in any manner, shape or form that we agree that it is all right, and it is not fair for you at this time to say to us that by a single stroke of the pen or a resolution that we could cancel the contract. That is well and true. But we were in no way responsible for the conditions that led up to the signing of that contract. Not a word was said to anybody until the power lines were brought to Newark, to the doors of the gas company's power house, and then it said: "Here is your power. Here is your power line built. Everything is ready. There is nothing more to do now but to sell to the power company," and it is dead certain that that was the case. Everything was worked out to a certain point and that was to a place where the gas company, and the gas company alone, could handle the power, and there wasn't a thing left hardly to do at that particular time, but we do maintain and we will always maintain that the provision should have been made for the power to be distributed to the people of San Francisco, and until that time, until that is done, the Hetch Hetchy project is never completed. There was absolutely no step taken, no recommendations made by the administration, or by the Board of Works to the Board of Supervisors to handle that power in a way that would best serve the people of San Francisco. To the contrary, they did everything in their power to block the City of San Francisco getting into the power business.

That is well known. City officials were appearing before clubs, different civic organizations, making speeches, doing everything that was within their power to keep San Francisco and to turn public opinion against public ownership. Now, this is a matter, Supervisor Colman, you persist about telling about this wonderful contract. I think myself that we were bunked, and I think that a lot more people in San Francisco agree with me, and as far as saying that at this time we could cancel the contract—sure, we art not crazy, nor are we going to bite our nose off to spite our face, nor are we going to cancel any contract to satisfy anybody else. The contract is there. Try to find a way out whereby we could distribute that power municipally to the people of San Francisco and let them get the benefit of it. Again, I want to protest to the statement you are continually making, that by a single resolution we could cancel that contract. We were led, and not by our doing, but those in charge, into the place where nothing else could be done, so we had to accept just what they wanted to give to us. There was no argument to it. We had to do it or let a certain amount of money go to waste, and it was just bringing the proposition as close to the bone as possible.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would like to rise to a question of information. Would the reporter read the remarks of Mr. Stanton there, when he said that the administration had made no recommendations on the subject of municipal ownership of Hetch Hetchy power?

(Whereupon, the reporter read the following: "There was aboslutely no step taken, no recommendations made by the administration, or by the Board of Works to the Board of Supervisors to handle that power in a way that would best serve the people of San Francisco. To the contrary, they did everything in their power to block the City of San Francisco getting into the power business. That is well known. City officials were appearing before clubs, different civic organizations, making speeches, doing everything that was within their power to keep San Francisco and to turn public opinion against public ownership.")

Supervisor Gallagher: May I ask to whom he refers when he says: "City officials were appearing before clubs, doing everything in their power to block municipal ownership"?

Supervisor Stanton: I would say the attorney for the Hetch Hetchy power, the chief engineer had been in several debates, several within our engineering department—I don't know anybody—

Supervisor Gallagher: Have I the floor, Mr. Chairman?

The Chair: Yes, you have the floor.

Supervisor Gallagher: I wish to join with Supervisor Colman in commending the Finance Committee in the reduction of this tax rate, and in keeping their pledges to the people of San Francisco. I think they have done a good job. I was not quite satisfied with the way it was done in some things, like the extension of Van Ness avenue and some raising of salaries of janitors and of poorly paid people in the City government. However, the Finance Committee indicated that in those cases they will deal with them a little later, as soon as this tax rate is adopted, and that they will give justice to the poorer paid employees who most assuredly deserve it, and I think that that thought is shared by the committee. With another, I thought, at least as one who seconded Supervisor Stanton's motion, that the report of the committee which will give to those people that are very well down on the list in the way of payment of wages, the City employees, adequate compensation in this day of the high cost of living. Now, the election is over and the Supervisor who has just spoken is one with a number of others that have had their feast. The barbecue is ended, and, due to the statements made just like the one that has just been made, a number of people were pretty well walloped last November, some deserving, some undeserving. When Supervisor Stanton makes the statement that the administration—I have no quarrel with him on the subject of the conduct of the Engineer, except that I reiterate this statement now, that I have reiterated before and expect to do so again, because my confidence has not been withdrawn, that in the present City Engineer, regardless of whether you agree with his views or not, we have a man the like of whose kind has seldom served a municipality. That he is honest is beyond question. The only trouble with some people in this political life of ours is that the City Engineer that we have now has intestinal courage, something many men never possess, is able to say what he thinks and take the gaff for the saying of it. Perhaps he talked too much, because

he provided a chance for a number of gentlemen to have themselves elected to public office with the help of the San Francisco Examiner and the San Francisco Call and the San Francisco Daily News, who did all but blow up the City Hall to convince the people of San Francisco that everything was about to be stolen and that the deliverance of the people rested in their columns every day of the week. When you make the statement that the administration did nothing to further the interests of municipal power that statement is not correct and should not go unanswered. I amp perhaps not the one to answer it. It would seem to me that some of the gentlemen that sat during the turbulent times of last year and the year before would be better qualified, but I distinctly remember as a citizen hearing resolutions for evaluation passed by the former Board. I distinctly remember a statement that "just as soon as it is legally possible to decide, a bond issue will be proposed to the people of San Francisco looking to their approval of engaging in the business of distributing municipal power. Now, I have here the Mayor's message to this Board under date of January 4, 1926, in which he says: "In numerous messages addressed to your Honorable Board in the past, I have mentioned the Hetch Hetchy project and have specifically called attention to the necessity of providing municipal distribution for our power. In my message of January 7, 1924, when I assumed office for the fourth consecutive time, I said, January 7, 1924, this power is of the utmost importance, for the income from its disposal after the bonds have been retired will be of great profit to the people. For this reason, as well as in accordance with the provisions of the Raker Act, under which the Hetch Hetchy development is proceeding, and in line with the policy of the Board of Supervisors, the power must be brought in to San Francisco at the earliest possible moment, and if necessary the charter should be so amended as to provide for its distribution by the municipality." And a statement made by a Supervisor, long after election it is true, but probably bringing back to life some of the sleeping dogs that were roused during the last campaign, a statement to the effect that the administration did nothing to provide for municipal power is not by the record correct.

Supervisor Stanton: Positively.

Supervisor Gallagher: You are in the position, then, as "There are none so blind as those that won't see." As we read that record you swing back in your chair and say "Positively." Then either your ears are clogged or your brain is befogged. Here is the record. I am not defending the administration. I am not defending the act by which the power was stopped at Newark. I do not believe I would have been a party to it were I on the Board, but just as, even in this football game of politics, even in this attempt to crawl over and over into places of power by grinding your heels in the faces of those who are down, there belongs somebody to speak by the record. Now, I say I have no defense to make of Mr. Searles, or the members of the Engineering Department, when they went before the people of San Francisco on the subject of this contract which was entered into, but I point out to you, and to those who were elected with you, in all spirit of kindness and friendship, that though you were elected on the basis of giving Hetch Hetchy back to the people and on the condemnation of this contract made with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, there has rested in the hands of your Public Utilities Committee since last year a resolution abrogating that contract and you have not dared to bring it in and to say whether it should be abrogated or whether it should stand. So that, gentlemen, is the exact situation. I intend to vote for the tax rate and mind my own business and keep quiet, but I do not propose that any member of the Board in whatever kindly spirit he may be speaking, go beyond the record and accuse, if you will, the whole administration of negligence of public duty or of recognition of a thing so important as municipal ownership and distribution of power when the record does not bear him out, and I have merely risen to say these things on that subject. I don't think it is a fair statement. I believe there were some mistakes, some mistakes made of policy by some people around the City Hall on the subject of power distribution, but there are those who sit here now, who, except for the unfortunate condition or policy which they indulged in, some of them should rise up and bless them. Were it not for the fact that—and this is said kindly, in a kindly spirit—were it not for the fact that the former Board of Supervisors blindly and in a stumbling way tried to solve the problem of power distribution, my tall

and good-looking friend would not be sitting in the seat that he is in today, so he should get down on his knees this night.

Supervisor Stanton: That was their mistake.

Supervisor Gallagher: It was their mistake and your benefit and your gain, for if they had not made some of those errors you would be tending to your business down town. Probably I would, too. I repeat that I merely rise at this time to have Supervisor Stanton reminded of the fact that the record, when he speaks of the administration—I am particularly referring to his Honor the Mayor—on the subject, that I do not know of a time, and this ought to be fairly and frankly said, I do not know of a time when the question of municipal ownership was before the people that the Mayor of the City was not in favor of it. His message here of January 7, 1924, and of January 8, 1926, I think is evidence of that. I may desire to have something more to say on this subject in case the debate is extended enough, because this is early and we might have a full day on this subject, but for the present I acquaint my friend, Supervisor Stanton, with the record and I think the statement has been too broad in view of the facts that have been brought out.

Supervisor Harrelson: Mr. Chairman.

The Chair: Supervisor Harrelson.

Supervisor Harrelson: I wish to commend the members of the Finance Committee for their action in reducing the tax rate, and I wish to assure the members of that committee that they will have my unqualified support in all the constructive measures which they have voted. They have been successful in reducing the tax rate to quite an extent and at the same time have provided in the budget for all the necessary items of expense which should and have been taken care of. Now, so far as the applictaion of the Hetch Hetchy revenues go toward reducing this tax rate, I am not concerned whether the tax rate has been reduced five cents, seven cents, or twenty-seven cents, or any other amount, but of this fact I am well aware, that we have received a revenue of something over two million dollars due to the contract being enforced which we entered into last year with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. Supervisor Stanton says that nothing was said to anybody about the question of the power until the power was at Newark. I wish to remind him that something was said to somebody previous to that time. Very pointed questions were directed towards me at the time of my campaign for office; that was practically two years, Brother Stanton, before the power arrived at Newark. Now, I am going to commend the City Engineer, and not criticize him, for ending the power line at Newark. I think that had we extended the line to San Francisco we would still have been at the mercy of the same company, the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, because that was the only company that could distribute the power as they have distributed it. I felt since I have visited Hetch Hetchy, or the Moccasin Creek Power House, and watched that 857 feet of water going down through the spillway or the tailrace into Moccasin Creek, that we who are on the Board today might in five or six years be criticized for not taking some action to dispose of that water when it arrives at Spring Valley Lake, which it is bound to do in the next few years. It occurs to me that unless some active measures are adopted by this Board we will be in the same position with our water as we have found ourselves with the power. I think that active thought should be given to that subject and some arrangement made whereby we will be able to take care of that water when it arrives, and not find ourselves in the same position that we are found in regards to the power. So far as our contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is concerned, I don't agree with Mr. Stanton at all.

Supervisor Stanton: We never did agree on that.

Supervisor Harrelson: We never will. I have my opinion and I am entitled to it. I am proud that I voted for the power and I am proud that I voted for the contract and thereby have enabled the Finance Committee, no matter what they have used, they have reduced the tax rate. I am satisfied that I voted for the contract and I am satisfied that the remaining members don't see fit to cancel it, and I am not going to criticize them for that position.

Supervisor Powers: Mr. Chairman.

The Chair: Supervisor Powers.

Supervisor Powers: It seems to me that the members of the Board are commending the Finance Committee. I want to commend all the members of the Board that voted for this particular question of the tax rate in the budget, and I want

to say a few words upon the subject of the Hetch Hetchy project. I know they have been selling power from the Early Intake for five or six years and there was never an injunction put upon the funds that were received from the small power plant from Early Intake. I think the money went into the Hetch Hetchy operative fund and there was no big citizen of San Francisco placed any injunction upon the proceeds that came from that power house. When this Board of Supervisors, as Supervisor Gallagher has stated, was elected we were all elected on an issue. I know that I was elected on an issue, and I told the people of San Francisco, I made quite a few statements on the same issue of municipal distribution of our Hetch Hetchy power, when I went around to the different halls in San Francisco, because I sat on this Board of Supervisors on the 26th day of August, 1923, three years ago, two months and a half before the election of that year. The municipal distribution resolution came into this Board of Supervisors on that date, and just because it was previous to election there were eighteen "aye" votes in this Board of Supervisors for municipal distribution. What happened two weeks after the election? A resolution came into this Board of Supervisors postponing the action on municipal distribution of our Hetch Hetchy power for six months. What was the vote on that resolution? The vote was eleven to postpone it for six months, and there were just seven of us that stood up against that resolution of postponing that Hetch Hetchy municipal distribution for six months. That's the way the bill was handled here on the Board of Supervisors, and the only member of the Board that was sitting here at that time well knows that there was no good citizen came in and put protest against our water bond, and we also realize that the citizens of San Francisco voted $45,000,000 for Hetch Hetchy water. We turned around and we built the power plant and the power house and we built the transmission line as far as Newark. The same money could have been used to bring that power from Newark to San Francisco by the same resolution that built the rest of the transmission line and the power house. It is up to this Board of Supervisors right now. I am a member of the Public Utilities Committee, Supervisor Gallagher, and we have not been asleep on the job, as you have just stated.

Supervisor Gallagher: No, sir, I beg your pardon.

Supervisor Powers: We have been working and waiting and thinking that no person of San Francisco would put an injunction against the $1,700,000 so that we could go ahead and spend that money as the citizens of San Francisco wanted to do, because they would not have elected the clean-out ticket by as big a majority as they did if it weren't for the issue. That was the issue before the people of San Francisco, and what I am going to advocate right now is a charter amendment before the people of San Francisco at the earliest date, and I think if we meet before the 20th day of this month we can get a charter amendment before the people of San Francisco for the November election, to say that the Supervisors of San Francisco cannot spend the profit from the power of Moccasin Creek to improve the power line and bring it on its way into San Francisco.

Supervisor Schmidt: Mr. Chairman.

The Chair: Supervisor Schmidt.

Supervisor Schmidt: Mr. Colman has made the statement on three different occasions, and as one who made that pledge, I don't know if it was in the exact words outlined by Supervisor Colman, to give Hetch Hetchy back to the people, but I want to say that the skid chains were pretty well on it towards the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, as it appears to me, as I stood and watched the votes cast. I don't know who is to blame. If we have a good engineer—Mr. Mulholland, in Los Angeles, it didn't take him a great many years to get a distribution system in Los Angeles, probably not as long as it took San Francisco. As far as the necessary money that might be needed to carry the project to completion so that San Francisco may be able—we cannot, as newly elected members, do something that has been on its way for four years, but I will say that I hope that as soon as possible we will form a distribution system into San Francisco and that the people of San Francisco will be receiving the benefits of that project. A statement has been made regarding vast sums of money spent for the evaluation of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company's system. I understand Mr. ———— stated he would take it into the highest court of the land and fight it out many, many years. If that is going to be the situation we might as well shut our eyes to the evaluation and go ahead and bring it in,

and, if so, to erect a standby plant, or whatever is necessary so we might have an immediate distribution of Hetch Hetchy. The long delay on this Board, not finding some ways and means to bring that in, certainly lies within the hands of the Board of Supervisors that preceded me—I say that that was not properly handled and I am willing to stand upon the same question that I stood upon in my campaign, that as soon as possible I am for any legislation that will bring power into San Francisco and that is the only pledge I ever made.

Supervisor Bath: I thought we were on the tax rate. We are talking about campaigns and distribution of Hetch Hetchy.

Supervisor Hayden: * * *

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman.

The Chair: Supervisor McSheehy.

Supervisor McSheehy: I feel I should say a word in reference to the tax rate. Members of the Board, as the chairman of your Finance Committee I want to thank you for your unanimous support in passing the budget. I feel that this tax rate will be passed here unaimously. I hope it will be passed unanimously, and I want to thank all city officials for their cooperation. The people of San Franacisco last November expressed very definitely a mandate that we be the officials of San Francisco, and we have respected that mandate and we have received the cooperation of all city officials throughout. Your committee did not have troubles that previous committees have had in making up a budget. Your committee has not had the troubles in making up this tax rate. According to conditions we were forced several times to ask for postponement on account of a suit. Now the suit has been heard. The courts have decided and we are respecting the mandates of the court and we are pleased today to offer to you the tax rate that we are offering, and we hope that it will be unanimously passed. I would like at this time, but I feel it is not germane, to answer certain questions that Supervisor Gallagher has more or less propounded to this committee on the evaluation and on the distributing part of a program that some members of this Board have outlined. Some day I feel that that question can be answered and I don't feel that it is within my province today to attempt to touch upon it, on account of having the serious matter of the tax rate. I don't think it is entirely germane, because the courts have expressed their decision on this matter and we have to respect that decision, but I feel that there is room for great argument, perhaps, to explain to Supervisor Gallagher our stand in reference to the distribution of the power from Hetch Hetchy, but, by the way, members of the Board, Supervisors Harrelson, Colman and myself made a thorough inspection of Hetch Hetchy during the Saturday and Sunday of last week and of this week, and I was elated with the construction work that I found at Hetch Hetchy. The construction work is progressing all that one could ask for. I made up my mind that I wanted to go into Hetch Hetchy because, as chairman of this committee, I have to sign about $150,000 worth of demands for construction work going on there every month, and I wanted to assure myself that the work was progressing satisfactorily, and I want to say it is progressing satisfactorily, very satisfactorily, and I was elated at the construction work going on at Hetch Hetchy. I say this in all fairness in the matter that is going on today. Everything up there had the outlook of being carefully gone into and carefully taken care of. I don't know whether we have learned by our experience in the past, but I think we have. We all learn from experience. Now, a contract is going to be called for the 15th of this month concerning certain tunnel work in Hetch Hetchy. That contract will be let in units. This Board will receive those contracts and what we will do with the bids I don't know at this particular time, but I can say to you in all fairness that the Engineer's office and the Board of Public Works are efficiently carrying on the work today, and I am proud to say it, I am pleased to say it. Now, members of the Board, I hope you will pass this tax rate as reported to you by your Finance Committee. I want to thank you for the confidence you have shown in the work of the committee in passing the budget. I hope you will continue that confidence and report the tax rate as prepared.

Supervisor Stanton: Mr. Chairman.

The Chair: Supervisor Stanton.

Supervisor Stanton: I would like to say a word in answering some of the statements of Supervisor Gallagher. Naturally, the Supervisor gets up and defends the administration. I would think there was something wrong with him, possibly, if he did not, and he did it to the best of his ability, as wrong

as he was. It is hard to put up a good argument when the case is weak. I would never have brought the matter up today, I wouldn't have said a word about it, but Supervisor Colman continually touches upon that contract in a way that he is throwing a defi to the members that were elected last time, telling them what they could do and what they couldn't do. We all know how the thing was entered into. Several years ago, Mr. Chairman and members of this Board, in the organization that I come from, the Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, we discussed the Hetch Hetchy problem. We saw a statement in the paper where in a year's time the Hetch Hetchy power would be brought to San Francisco, and as electrical workers we were kind of interested and wondered why it was that we never heard anything about a distributing system. We knew perfectly well that such should be the case. We knew that every power company, when they went into the mountains and started developing their power, they have a market for their current, and, being possibly a little inquisitive, we had a resolution drawn up and sent to the Labor Council, asking their endorsement, and they in turn brought it to the Board of Supervisors. Well do I remember the night that we brought the resolution to the Board of Supervisors, about three years ago. After discussing it and telling what we thought of the power, a certain delegate got up and said, "Well, this thing will be taken into the Board of Supervisors, and it will be juggled from committee to committee, and after election it will be forgotten." That was the election two years ago, two years and a half ago. I hardly thought it was possible; I didn't think it was possible; it was about possibly three years ago that we first came into the Board, and we asked that some steps be taken for the distribution of the power, and the thing was juggled, and it was passed around, and there were many hearings held, and we didn't get very far for some time until we got the three papers interested. The Supervisor read a statement from the Mayor, and he severely condemned me for criticizing the administration——

Supervisor Gallagher: I didn't condemn you. I said you were mistaken.

Supervisor Stanton: That's a nice way of putting it, but I stand for the same distribution. I want to say this to you, Supervisor Gallagher, that no corporation developing power develops the water or the hydroelectric power and the generating station and brings the power to a city and doesn't know what to do with it. That has never happened, and the engineering office, or anybody in charge of it, that would do such a trick wouldn't be with that corporation very long. You know that, and I think everybody else knows it. It is common sense. We feel, and we felt at that time, as late as we felt we were three years ago, and at that time we felt that some steps should be taken, either for condemnation or to tell the people of San Francisco, "You haven't money enough to complete your system. Get busy and give us the money before the time is too late." That's what we were in here for. That's what we came from the Labor Council to caution the Board of Supervisors that that was going to happen, three years ago, and we didn't get any action. Supervisor Powers well says, and I remember the date that the pictures came out in the Examiner, of all the different members, that they were unalterably opposed to the selling of the power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and I want to say that I perfectly well knew at that time that there wasn't anything else for them to do, the way they were permitting the thing to drag. It is not a matter of my trying to get on anybody's back or getting anybody to make any grandstand play at this time. I was responsible for the first resolution that came in here. I have followed Hetch Hetchy very closely, and I am sincere when I say I want the power distributed to the people of San Francisco. We came in here and tried to show a way to do it, that we should have a bond issue, that we should try to bring the power to the gates of San Francisco, and I submit to you it was not up to me or somebody on the outside, but that it was up to the Engineering Department or those held directly responsible, to make the people of San Francisco familiar with the fact that the money was going to run out at a certain time and that they would not be able to build them a distributing system. Now, everybody in San Francisco, I believe, felt that a distributing system would not be complete until the power was delivered to their doors, and they expected the plant to be built and the power delivered to their homes, and they were badly surprised when they said, "Here is the power. We can sell it to the Gas Company for a certain amount, and if we cannot do that we will have to permit the

water to run down the stream unused." At that particular time all concerned were in a jam. There was nothing for them to do, because they had let the thing go by bad management to a place where they had to make the best of a bad bargain, and, as I wanted to say again in conclusion, I would not have taken the floor had Supervisor Colman not just continued rubbing the thing up against the grain. It is not altogether fine for us to sit here and have him throw out a challenge. I say it was for the Board of Supervisors or the administration long before the power was brought to the doors of the Gas Company's station, for them to get busy and make the facts known to the people of San Francisco so that the money could be furnished.

Supervisor Colman: Mr. Chairman.

The Chair: Supervisor Colman.

Supervisor Colman: I just wanted to answer very briefly. I want to say that when the people of San Francisco embarked in the Municipal Railroad they voted bonds to do so. Subsequent to that they decided to acquire Hetch Hetchy and embark in the water business and furnish an adequate water supply for San Francisco, and they voted bonds. They were called upon to vote additional bonds for that same project. Now, if the people of San Francisco desire to embark in the business of the retail distribution of power, I say give them the opportunity to vote the bonds. That will settle the question once and for all, and in order to bring that about I was going to answer along the lines suggested by Supervisor Gallagher, that we did vote for evaluation proceedings. We did vote and we have spent, I think, now over $300,000. We spent this money just for one purpose, so that the people will know the value of these bonds plus the value of whatever severance charges may be put by the Railroad Commission, so that the people will know the extent of the project upon which they are embarked. That, I believe, was advocated by you, if I remember correctly, and that was done by the members of this Board.

Supervisor Stanton: I never talked for evaluation.

Supervisor Colman: I believe the Labor Council favored it. I know the three papers you have mentioned have favored it, and it was a constructive thing. The argument was well brought out at that time, how can we go into this thing without knowing what it is going to cost us. You don't know the value of the bonds; you don't know what will be determined as the severance charge, and the reason I am opposed to the building of the transmission line is that I don't believe that it is wise. I believe you are doing something ahead of time, before you are giving the people an opportunity of stating by their votes whether they desire to go into it or not. If you held a bond election and placed before the people the question of power, "Yes" is "Yes," and that's the end of it, and "No" is "No." And those are the only points where we seem to be apart. Regarding any pre-election pledges made by me prior to my last election, I am in favor of municipal ownership, and when the question was squarely put to me, "Supposing there will be power available at Newark before we will be in position to handle it, do you or do you not favor wasting it?" and I refused to follow the policy of letting that power go to waste. Now, there is the whole situation in a nutshell. Let the people decide this. I did object regarding this decision by a vote recently against $800,000 or $500,000 for a transmission line, because I tell you that if the people should vote "No" it would be money wasted, and it would be alrady spending some of the people's money before they had the opportunity of stating whether they wanted to embark in that proposition or not. I don't think that is fair. I say let them decide, like they decided the Municipal Railway, and let them decide the power. Now, I am for the retail distribution of power after we have finished and safeguarded beyond any question our water system, but I am here to tell you that I favor first and foremost the successful completion and bringing here of the water, because that is a vital necessity to this city, and I believe in four or five years, maybe six or seven, which is nothing in the life of a city, that our supply and our demands will be coming pretty close together on the water question and therefore it will be necessary, vitally necessary, to finish the Hetch Hetchy project and to bring the water, provide a distribution system for the water here in San Francisco. Now, I think, too, there is a great question as to our bonded limit, and I think steps along with the constructive suggestion of Supervisor Harrelson, that adequate steps should be taken now toward acquiring a water system, or we might find ourselves in the same position. Also a test case should be made to determine our bonding

limits, which is a matter of great importance and which possibly cannot be answered now, whether certain operative profits are included or whether they are not.

Thereupon, Bill No. 7739, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), was *passed to print* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Todd—2.

School Construction, Excelsior District.

Supervisor McSheehy asked for a report of the Special Committee on Costs of School Building Construction, of which Supervisor Marks is chairman. He said the Board of Supervisors is being charged with holding up the school construction work on the proposed new school for the Excelsior District.

Supervisor Marks: Mr. Chairman.

The Chair (Supervisor Welch): Supervisor Marks.

Supervisor Marks: I desire to make a very brief statement, and I ask permission to have it printed in the Journal. Regardless of the fact that the special committee is conducting an investigation which has not as yet been terminated, I am prepared at such time as the appropriate committee brings in a recommendation for adequate appropriation for the building of this school which has been referred to, to vote for all the details and items of such recommendation, inclusive of architectural services.

Supervisor Powers, chairman of the Buildings Committee, declared that he would have the Clerk prepare a report showing how far the Board of Education has proceeded with its plans.

Consideration of Bids for the Purchase of $1,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds.

At the hour of 3 p. m., the time fixed for the opening and consideration of bids for the purchase of $1,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, the following bids, each accompanied with the required certified check, were opened:

1—Blair & Co., Inc., $1,066,400 and accrued interest.

2—Geo. H. Burr & Co., by Geo. H. Burr, Conrad & Broom, Inc., by Chas. C. Brooks, $1,069,290 and accrued interest.

3—Anglo London Paris Company, First National Bank, New York; Bank of Italy, Eldredge & Company, Kissel, Kinnicutt & Company, Redmond & Company, The Detroit Company, $1,074,100 and accrued interest.

4—R. H. Moulton & Company, Anglo-California Trust Co., Security Company, $1,072,625 and accrued interest.

5—Heller, Bruce & Co., Lehman Bros. (N. Y.), Kountze Bros. (N. Y.), Ames, Emerich & Co. (N. Y.), Dean Witter & Co. (S. F.), Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Co. (S. F.), Heller, Bruce & Co. (S. F.), $1,072,574 and accrued interest.

6—Guaranty Company of New York, Remick, Hodges & Co., Peirce, Fair & Co., $1,073,396 and accrued interest.

7—Drake, Riley & Thomas, Banker Trust Co. of New York, Wm. R. Compten Co., $1,066,099.30 and accrued interest.

8—R. C. Campbell & Co. (L. A.), W. A. Harriman & Co. (N. Y.), $1,070,780 and accrued interest.

9—Halsey, Stuart & Co., R. W. Pressprich & Co., Blyth, Witter & Co., $1,075,600 and accrued interest.

10—Harris Trust and Savings Bank, $1,069,560 and accrued interest.

11—The National City Company (Syndicate Managers), The California Securities Co., Bond & Goodwin & Tucker, $1,071,836 and accrued interest.

12—E. H. Rollins & Sons, $1,071,488 and accrued interest.

13—E. R. Gundelfinger, Inc., Hunter, Dulin & Co., Federal Securities Corporation, Schwabacher & Company, Second Ward Securities Co., Carstens & Earles, Inc., William Cavalier & Co., $1,072,188 and accrued interest.

All the foregoing bids were *referred to the Finance Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Referred.

The following resolution heretofore passed for printing was taken up and, on motion of Supervisor Powers, *referred to the Finance Committee*:

Appropriation, $4,114.08, Professional Services, Architects and Engineers Associated, Kezar Stadium Clubhouse.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the hereinafter mentioned amount be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned account in payment to the following named claimant, to-wit:

Park Fund.

Architects and Engineers Associated, professional services for Kezar Stadium Club House (claim dated Aug. 13, 1926), $4,114.08.

Passed for printing Aug. 23, 1926, *and withheld from final passage Aug.* 30, 1926.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $37,625.40, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance covering Park employees (claim dated Sept. 3, 1926), $597.41.

Special School Tax.

(2) Dalziel-Moller Co., iron tanks furnished Polytechnic High School (clami dated Aug. 26, 1926), $774.18.

(3) J. H. McCallum, lumber furnished for school buildings (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $598.08.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(4) G. Albert Langsburgh, final payment, architectural services for Alvarado School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $2,569.72.

(5) Amorosi & Damico, fourth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $17,250.

(6) Wm. J. Bays, final payment, mechanical equipment for Le Conte School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $4,842.91.

(7) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing work for Le Conte School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $3,920.75.

(8) Standard Electric Construction Co., final payment, electrical work for Le Conte School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $2,676.94.

(9) The Scott Company, final payment, mechanical equipment for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $4,606.

(10) Jas. L. McLaughlin, tenth payment, general construction of Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $21,937.50.

(11) A. Lettich, fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $2,339.25.

(12) H. A. Porter, second payment, electrical work for Henry Durant School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,008.

(13) Latourette-Fical Co., sixth payment, mechanical equipment, Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,050.46.

(14) Macdonald & Kahn, thirteenth payment, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $37,135.67.

(15) A. Lettich, first payment, mechanical equipment for Guadalupe School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,143.75.

(16) A. Lettich, sixth payment, plumbing work for Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $782.92.

(17) Barrett & Hilp, final payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $59,962.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(18) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $4,225.

(19) Sonora Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 25, 1926), $2,306.60.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(20) Capital Decorating and Manufacturing Co., decoration of streets for account visit of U. S. Fleet, yachting regatta, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $2,500.

(21) Philip H. Sapiro, music furnished for reception of U. S. Fleet, yachting regatta, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $566.50.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(22) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., sixteenth and final payment, construction of submarine pipe line in Dumbarton Straits, etc., Hetch Hetchy Water construction (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $74,559.28.

(23) The Spring Valley Water Co., placing rock on road in connection with trestle construction, pipe installation, etc., for Bay Division of Hetch Hetchy aqueduct (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $606.10.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(24) Leonard F. Youdall and R. R. Clifford, third payment, construction of tunnel etc, under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $39,786.45.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(25) Van Emon Elevator Co., final payment, installation of elevator for High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,174.88.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(26) Bond Construction Co., ninth payment, general contract, Hawthorne School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $65,117.30.

(27) Latourette-Fical Co., tenth payment, mechanical equipment for New Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $2,010.55.

(28) J. E. O'Mara, first payment, mechanical equipment for West Portal School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,561.69.

(29) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, plumbing work for Edison School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,226.01.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(30) J. W. Burtchaell, second payment, electric fixtures for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Sept 1, 1926), $2,962.90.

(31) F. W. Snook Co., nineteenth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $3,275.85.

(32) John Reid, Jr., twenty-third payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,012.09.

Special School Tax.

(33) S. W. Band, fifth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $2,220.

(34) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, second payment, architectural services, addition to Madison School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,800.

(35) P. J. Enright, third payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $2,971.37.

(36) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing work for Parkside School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,536.90.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(37) Elliot & Grant, first payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $3,159.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(38) City Construction Co., fourth payment, improvement of Kearny street, Market street to Columbus avenue (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $4,700.

(39) Louis J. Cohn, third payment, construction of sewers, westerly Sunset District, Section "C" (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $10,650.

(40) Clarence B. Eaton, third payment, construction of sewers, westerly Sunset District, Section "A" (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $10,500.

(41) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose, Fire Dept. (claim dated June 30, 1926), $19,680.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(42) Daniel J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $750.

(43) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $506.11.

(44) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, imopunding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $1,250.

(45) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $14,304.40.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(46) Capital Decorating and Manufacturing Co., decorating of Market street during International Convention of Lions, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $1,000.

Intsallation Fund—Department of Electricity.

(47) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for use of Department of Electricity (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,000.

(Supervisor Marks requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on Item Nos. 4, 32 and 34. *So ordered.*)

Appropriation, $11,500, Payment to Frank Labrucherie for Lots Required for the Extension of Park Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $11,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Frank Labrucherie for all of Lots 3 and 4 in Block F, according to map entitled "Map showing subdivision of the French and Gilman Tract," filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, Books "E" and "F" of Maps, page 48; said property being required for the opening and extension of Park street (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden—3.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Appropriations, Repairs to Engineer's Cottage, Relief Home, and Removal of Sand From Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Engineers Cottage, Relief Home, Budget Item No. 63.

(1) For repairs to the Engineer's cottage at the Relief Home, by the Dept. of Public Works, $1,000.

County Road Fund.

(2) For removal of sand from Thirtyeighth avenue between Irving and Judah streets and Irving street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues, $1,000.

Appropriation, $200 for Service of Deputy Coroner Employed During Incapacity of Regular Deputy Who was Injured.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26137 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of Coroner, Personal Services, Appropriation 23-A, to provide for the services of a deputy Coroner during the incapacity of regular deputy due to injuries received.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26138 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Langton street, first and second south of Harrison street.

Langton street, first south of Harrison street.

Northwest corner Langton and Bryant streets.

East and west sides Langton street between Bryant and Brannan streets.

East side Gilbert street, first and second south of Bryant street.

West side Gilbert street, first south of Bryant street.

East and west sides Harriett street between Bryant and Brannan streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Precita avenue between Florida and Alabama streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Rejection of Bids for Galvanizing Traffic Safety Buttons.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26139 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids received July 19, 1926 (Proposal No. 216), for galvanizing 2500 traffic safety buttons be hereby rejected upon recommendation of Traffic Committee and the Purchaser of Supplies be directed to readvertise for bids.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Award of Contract for Crockery.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26146 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Chas. Brown & Sons on bid submitted August 30, 1926 (Proposal No. 230) for furnishing the following, viz.: Crockery, to be vitrified porcelain hotel crockery, "Shenango". Every article to bear the impress of the manufacturer. The descriptions given below relate to "Shenango Pottery Co.'s" Price List No. 14, issued April 1, 1922:

Vitrified China, Two Green Hair Line Decoration, for Relief Home.

Item No. 1—40 dozen cups, coffee, Shen. H., 10-ounce, $2.60 per dozen.

Item No. 2—40 dozen saucers, coffee, Phila. R/E., $1.53 per dozen.

Item No. 3—25 dozen egg cups, wheat, unhdld., 7-ounce, $2.68 per dozen.

Item No. 4—40 dozen butters, individual, roll edge, 3⅜-inch overall, 60 cents per dozen.

Item No. 5—40 dozen fruits, coupe, roll edge, 5⅛-inch overall, $1.38 per dozen.

Item No. 6—50 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, 6⅝-inch overall, $1.84 per dozen.

Item No. 7—50 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, 8-inch overall, $2.68 per dozen.

Item No. 8—50 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, 9-inch overall, $3.37 per dozen.

Item No. 9—25 dozen coupe soups, roll edge, 7¼-inch overall, $2.91 per dozen.

Item No. 10—3 dozen jugs, Hall Boy, 2¾-pint, $9.57 per dozen.

Item No. 11—3 dozen sugar bowls, 30S., Globe CVD 17½-ounce, $6.78 per dozen.

Item No. 12—4 dozen platters, oval, rolled edge, footed 15¼-inch overall, $14.36 per dozen.

Vitrified China, Plain White, First Selection, for Relief Home.

Item No. 13—200 dozen coffee cups, Phila., extra welded hdld., 8-ounce, $2.17 per dozen.

Item No. 14—200 dozen coffee saucers, Phila., roll edge, 99 cents per dozen.

Item No. 15—100 dozen fruits, coupe, roll edge, 5⅛-inch overall, 99 cents per dozen.

Item No. 16—200 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, 9-inch overall, $1.84 per dozen.

Item No. 17—100 dozen bowls, 30S low foot, half thick, 6-inch overall, $2.23 per dozen.

Vitrified China, Two Green Hair Line Decoration, for San Franicsco Hospital.

Item No. 18—200 dozen fruits, coupe, roll edge, 5⅛-inch, overall, $1.38 per dozen.

Item No. 19—100 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, 6⅝-inch overall, $1.84 per dozen.

Item No. 20—12 dozen jugs, 6S, roll edge, 3-quart capacity, $31.90 per dozen.

Item No. 21—12 dozen sauceboats large, 1-ounce, $9.56 per dozen.

Total, $3,164.66.

Delivery, ninety days.

Resolved, That a bond of $800 shall be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Constitutional Amendment No. 4, Gas Tax, Approved.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26140 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is important that the state system of highways be speedily brought to completion and that money be provided for that purpose; therefore,

Resolved, That this Board earnestly recommends and urges voters to approve of Constitutional Amendment No. 4 on the ballot of the November election, which amendment provides for the imposition and collection of a tax of one (1c) cent a gallon on motor fuel, the proceeds of which tax is to be expended exclusively for the construction of state highways; and

Whereas, Constitutional Amendment No. 8 on the ballot provides an appropriation from the general fund of the State for highway construction, which appropriation will be wholly unnecessary in the event of the approval of Amendment No. 4, and in any event may involve the levying of an ad valorem tax on all property, which will be burdensome and inequitable, and moreover, said amendment contains other objectionable features by creating political divisions of the State for the purposes of constructing highways and thereby destroy the unity of the system; therefore,

Resolved, That this Board is unalterably opposed to Constitutional Amendment No. 8 and urges all voters to register their disapproval thereof, and vote yes on Amendment No. 4.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Todd—2.

Regulation of Auto Ferry Traffic.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26141 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has become noticeable that the traffic to Marin County has increased to such a volume, particularly on holidays, that the ferry is congested with automobiles and passengers to an extent that has reached a danger point and threatens public safety; therefore,

Resolved, That the attention of

governmental authorities is hereby called to the existing conditions and they are earnestly urged to impose such regulations as are essential to the protection of the lives of those who are forced to travel on such ferries.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Todd—2.

Approval of Map, Alemany Tract.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26142 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 93415 (Second Series), approve a map of the Alemany Tract showing dedication of land thereon designated as Kempton avenue, Stanley street and Worcester avenue as public streets; therefore,

Resolved, That said map of Alemany Tract be and is hereby approved; also, that the land designated thereon as Kempton avenue and portions of Stanley street and Worcester avenue not heretofore dedicated be and are hereby declared to be open streets and highways dedicated to public use; that the deed to the land designated as such streets be accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaraco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Todd—2.

Dismissal of Condemnation Proceedings.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26143 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in condemnation entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. John Lefkowitz and Isabella M. Cowell et al.," and numbered 143266 in the files thereof, in so far as the land described as Parcel Six (6), Paragraph 4 of the complaint therein on file is concerned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Todd—2.

Also, Resolution No. 26144 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in condemnation entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. Kate Buchel, Marcella Cafferata and Marie Cafferata and Whittell Realty Co. et al.," and numbered 148000 in the files thereof, in so far as the land described as Parcel One (1), Paragraph IV, and Parcel 15, Paragraph IV of the complaint therein on file is concerned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Todd—2.

Instruction of Election Officers.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, voting machines were used in all the precincts of the City for the first time at the primary election held last Tuesday; and

Whereas, considerable complaint has been made of confusion, delays and errors resulting no doubt from unfamiliarity of the voters, as well as the election officers, with the operation of the machine; and

Whereas, it is possible that a large number of the voters, due to their experience at the primary last Tuesday may be discouraged from voting at the general election, and

Whereas, it is important that a full vote should be recorded; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Election Commissioners be requested to take whatever steps may be necessary to instruct the election officers in the various precincts as to the mechanism of the machines and to furnish general information to the voting-public concerning the same to the end that the vote at the general election may be recorded with less confusion, greater accuracy and more speed.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Proposed Charter Amendment, Statuary, Golden Gate Park.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Amendment No. ——.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend Article 14, Section 10 thereof of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding thereto a new section to be known and designated as "Section

10½", pertaining to the placing of works of art upon the streets, avenues, squares, parks, municipal buildings or other public places belonging to the City and County of San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend Article 14, Section 10 of the Charter of the said City and County as follows:

That a new section, to be known as "Section 10½", be added to Article 14, to read as follows:

Section 10½. "In the event the Park Commissioners shall fail to approve, within ninety days, any request of the Board of Supervisors to place any work of art, as defined in Section 10 of this article, upon any street, avenue, square, park, municipal building or other public place belonging to the City and County, the question of the placing of such work of art may be submitted to the people by the intiative method under the provisions of this Charter, and if adopted by the qualified voters of the City and County of San Francisco, such work or works of art shall be placed accordingly and the Park Commissioners shall thereupon place the same as provided by the vote of the people."

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Charter Amendment, Personal Property Appraiser, Assessor's Office.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Charter Amendment No. ——

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section —— of Article —— of the Charter of said City and County by adding thereto a new subdivision to be known as Subdivision ——, relating to the office of Assessor.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend Article —— of the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new subdivision to be known as Subdivision —— be added to Section —— of Article —— and to read as follows:

Subdivision ——. Any person who has served for a period of one year continuously next prior to the date of approval by the Legislature of this amendment, and who shall actually be employed in the position of Personal Property Appraiser in the office of said Assessor, is hereby declared to be appointed within the provisions of Article —— of the Charter to such position and shall be entitled to all the benefits of said Article —— thereafter. Hereafter the position herein named shall be subject to the provisions of said Article ——.

Ordered submitted and published by the Board of Supervisors ———.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amendment, Tunnel Procedure Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Harrelson:

Bill No. 7740, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 54 of Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), known as "The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance" of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 of Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), known as "The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance" of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 54. Payments made pursuant to Section 25 and Section 51 of this ordinance shall, in either case, be first approved by the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors upon the approval of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. Liedwano be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Chas. Linnance by Resolution No. 24118 (New Series) for premises on the northwest corner of San Bruno avenue and Hale street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Reconstruction and Repair of Accepted Streets.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Bill No. 7741, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $126,180. payable out of the hereinafter designated budget items for the fiscal year 1926-1927, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Budget Item No.		
89	Franklin street, Vallejo to Broadway	$ 5,400
103	Jones street, Bush to Pine	3,600
125	Leavenworth street, Broadway to Jackson, resurfacing	4,500
136	Guerrero street, Twentieth street south	40,500
127	Lombard street, Larkin to Polk	5,400
142	Clay street, Hyde to Leavenworth and Powell to Mason	10,800
128	Mason street, Vallejo to Pacific	7,200
110	Taylor street, Pine to Sutter	9,000
133	Washington street, Franklin to Gough	4,500
113	Baker street, Vallejo to Green	4,500
118	Divisadero street, Filbert to Greenwich	5,940
101	Harriet street, Harrison to Brannan	4,500
134	Twenty-ninth street, Valencia street westerly, resurfacing	3,600
112	Willard street, Belmont to Parnassus	3,240
132	Vallejo street, Van Ness avenue to Hyde	13,500
		$126,180

(Supervisor Gallagher asked for the inclusion of Harrison and Bluxome streets. *Referred to Finance Committee.*)

Passed for Printing

Whereupon the foregoing bill was *passed for printing.*

Death of Mrs. Julia Welch.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26145 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Divine Providence has called from our midst Mrs. Julia Welch, a pioneer resident of the Mission District, the loving mother of a large family and mother-in-law of our colleague, Chas. Powers; and

Whereas, her demise will be a heartfelt loss to her many friends founded on her kind friendship and charitable acts during her lifetime; therefore, be it

Resolved, That we, the members of the Board of Supervisors, in meeting assembled, do hereby express our sympathies to the members of the bereaved family and rest in the assurance that she will enjoy an eternal happiness with her Creator which has been so well deserved.

Further Resolved, That when this Board adjourns it do so out of respect to the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 5:50 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors November 22, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 37

Monday, September 13, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 13, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Marks was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of June 2 and 9, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Sesqui-Centennial of Founding of San Francisco.

Communication, from the California Historical Society, inviting attendance at an exhibit to be held in the Civic Auditorium, Larkin Hall, Tuesday, September 14, to Saturday, September 18, 1926, of the historical matters pertaining to San Francisco and California in commemoration of the Sesqui-Centennial Anniversary of the City and County of San Francisco.

Read and *invitation accepted.*

Telegram From the Sacramento Chamber of Commerce.

The following was received, read by the Clerk and ordered *acknowledged.*

Sacramento, Cal, Sept. 13, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco County, San Francisco, California.

In behalf of citizens of this community I take this opportunity of expressing our great appreciation of your cordial cooperation in connection with Admission Day celebration. Splendid support of San Francisco and magnificent floats entered in parade were crowning features of great celebration which made all Sacramento happy.

SACRAMENTO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

E. C. PORTER, Manager.

Letter of Thanks.

Communication, from the American Optometric Association, transmitting copy of resolution passed at meeting of its house of delegates, held in San Francisco, June 29 and 30 and July 1, 2 and 3, commending San Francisco on its high standard of hospitality and expressing appreciation of the entertainment afforded its members during their recent convention in this city.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Board Congratulated on Achievement.

Communication, from United Citizens' Club, advising that at a regular meeting of said organization a resolution was adopted unanimously congratulating the Board of Supervisors on their splendid record of achievement since induction into office, and extending thanks for having kept their pledge to the people in the reducing of the tax rate.

Read and ordered *filed.*

San Francisco Day at Sesqui-Centennial Exposition.

The following communication, presented by his Honor the Mayor, was read by the Clerk:

Philadelphia, Penn., 2:17 P., Sept. 9, 1926.

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, San Francisco, Cal.

The City of Philadelphia and the Sesqui-Centennial Exposition Association have this day set aside Wednesday, October 20, as San Francisco Day at the Exposition. We will all enthusiastically join in making the day a most memorable one in honor of your splendid and progressive city and there will be a most sincere welcome awaiting

you and the San Francisco Supervisors in this great American city. Accept my highest regards and best wishes and command me for anything I can personally do to make San Francisco Day a success in every particular.

W. FREELAND KENDRICKS,
Mayor of Philadelphia.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor, together with three members of this Board, to be appointed by him, be authorized to represent San Francisco at the Sesqui-Centennial Exposition at Philadelphia on San Francisco Day, October 20, 1926.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—9.

No—Supervisor Badaracco—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Havenner, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd, Welch—8.

Schedule of Dates of the Dedication of the Following Named New School Buildings:

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Dudley Stone, Sunday, September 19th, at 2 p. m.; speaker of Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Bath; speaker Board of Education, Mr. Coburn.

Le Conte, Sunday, September 19th, at 2 p. m; speaker, Board of Education, Mr. Dohrmann.

High School of Commerce, Saturday, September 25th, at 8 p. m.; speaker, Board of Supervisors, Supervisor McSheehy; speaker, Board of Education, Mr. Esberg.

Sanchez, Sunday, September 26th, at 2 p. m.; speaker, Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Hayden; speaker, Board of Education, Mrs. Mott.

Alamo, Sunday, September 26th, at 2 p. m.; speaker, Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Roncovieri; speaker, Board of Education, Mrs. Prag.

Hawthorne, Sunday, October 3d, at 2 p. m.; speaker, Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Powers; speaker, Board of Education, Miss Power.

Alvarado, Sunday, October 3d, at 2 p. m.; speaker, Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Schmidt; speaker, Board of Education, Mr. Murphy.

M. R. NORRIS,
Secretary Board of Education.

Proposed Charter Amendment, Assessor's Office.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Communication, from W. C. Stetson and others, representing the Assessor's office, transmitting copy of a proposed charter amendment for submsision to the voters at the next election, relating to the office of the Assessor.

Ordered *referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Dedication of New School Buildings.

Communication, from the Board of Education, requesting that Supervisors be designated as speakers upon the occasion of the dedication of certain new school buildings.

Referred to the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Supervisors Committee, Admission Day Celebration, Sacramento.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, designating Supervisors James B. McSheehy, chairman; Cornelius J. Deasy, John B. Badaracco, Charles J. Powers, Milton Marks, Alfred Roncovieri and Andrew J. Gallagher as a Supervisorial Committee to represent San Francisco at the Admission Day celebration at Sacramento, September 9, 1926.

Ordered *filed.*

Protest Against Garbage "Dump and Fill" Method.

Communication, from S. J. Hansen, Keeper of Records and Seals pro tem., San Francisco Lodge No. 45, Knights of Pythias, advising that said organization at its last meeting went on record as being vigorously opposed to the "dump and fill" method of disposing of garbage, on the ground that it is a menace to health and will be a drawback to the development of the City.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Berkeley Schedule of Garbage Collection Rates, Etc.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Communication, from H. A. Eddy, City Engineer of the City of Berkeley, transmitting copy of Ordinance No. 1003 (New Series) of the City of Berkeley, which gives schedule of garbage collection rates now in force in said city and which also defines garbage, rubbish and other refuse and provides regulations for handling same.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Protest, of Pacific Temple No. 37, Pythian Sisters, against dumping of garbage in the Bay View District.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Recreation Pier, Aquatic Park.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting letter from the Secretary of War, Dwight F. Davis, regarding the request of the City and County of San Francisco for permission to construct a Recreation Pier in the San Francisco Bay adjacent to the Fort Mason Military Reservation, and in connection with the establishment of an Aquatic Park, and advising that this application involves several points of law which will require the opinion of the Attorney-General, to whom the matter has been referred as to whether or not the application may be granted.

Referred to the Park Commission.

Relative to Payment of Architects and Engineers, Kezar Stadium.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from B. P. Lamb, Secretary, Park Commissioners, relative to payments made to architects and engineers employed for the Kezar Stadium.

Ordered *filed.*

Opinion of City Attorney Upon the Final Passage of the Tax Rate.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

"City Attorney, San Francisco,
Sept. 7, 1926.

Hon. James B. McSheehy, Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Mr. McSheehy:

The Supreme Court has not as yet passed upon the petition for rehearing filed by the City in the case of Uhl vs. The Board of Supervisors. I understand that the Board of Supervisors has before it today the matter of fixing the annual tax rate as recommended by the Finance Committee. If the passage to print on whatever action the Board may take regarding this matter could be deferred to a subsequent meeting I believe that it would be to the advantage of the City, for the reason that if action should be taken on the matter today, the Supreme Court might receive the idea that the Board was satisfied with its opinion as already rendered, and look upon the questions arising in the case as merely moot questions.

As it will not be possible to take final action on the tax rate by next Monday, I would suggest that either an adjourned meeting be held later in the week for the purpose of giving consideration to the tax rate, or, if time will permit, postpone the matter until next Monday.

Very truly yours,
(Sgd) JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney."

Ordered *filed.*

Report of the Finance Committee on the Tax Rate for the Ensuing Fiscal Year.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

"San Francisco,
September 7, 1926.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your Finance Committee recommends a tax rate for the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1926-1927 to be fixed at $3.66. This report is supplementary to the report of the Committee of August 23, 1926.

Subsequent to the filing of that report a decision was rendered by the Supreme Court which directed that the surplus revenues in the Hetch Hetchy Power Fund be applied to the interest and redemption of Water Bonds of 1910.

Upon advice of the City Attorney the Committee revises the original report and applies $1,743,000, being the surplus as of July 1, 1926, to the Interest and Redemption Fund.

Your Committee desires to call you attention to the fact that last year's tax rate of $4.13 was based upon the application of $1,400,000 of Hetch Hetchy Power revenues to the financing of the Budget for the fiscal year 1925-1926. The City Attorney subsequently held that this sum could not be legally used, and a resultant deficit ensued. We wish to emphasize the fact, however, that this year's reduction of the tax rate involves the use of only $343,000 more from the power revenues than was written into last year's tax rate, and this additional sum represents only five cents of the total reduction.

Respectfully submitted,
(Sgd) JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
MILO F. KENT,
Finance Committee."

Mayor's Veto, Southern Pacific Ferry.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 26059, requesting the City Attorney, in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, to call upon the Attorney-General of this State, that he, in behalf of the State, immediately commence quo warranto proceedings against the Southern Pacific Company for the purpose of restraining the es-

tablishment and operation of a ferry across the Bay of San Francisco between the City of Alameda and the City and County of San Francisco until said franchise and certificate of public convenience and necessity have been obtained in accordance with law; and further requesting the City Attorney to file, in the name of the City, with the State Railroad Commission a written request that said commission accept and file no rate or time schedules from Southern Pacific Company covering the operation of said ferry until the legal right of Southern Pacific Company to establish and operate said ferry be determined.

Veto Sustained.

The question being put, "Shall the resolution pass, notwithstanding the objections of His Honor the Mayor?" the roll was called and the veto *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Welch—2.

Veto Sustained.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from "aye" to "no" and gave notice of reconsideration.

The Clerk was directed to get the City Attorney's opinion as to whether the thirty-day limit as provided in Charter had expired.

Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto, dated September 2d, of Resolution No. 26100, requesting the City Attorney to intervene in action against the Southern Pacific Company in behalf of the Golden Gate Ferry Company and A. O. Stewart, in action to restrain said Southern Pacific Company from establishing vehicular ferry between San Francisco and Alameda.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the foregoing matter be laid over one week and made a special order for 3 p. m.

Motion *carried*.

Mayor's Veto, Modesto Irrigation District Contract.

Consideration of his Honor the Mayor's veto of the proposed Modesto Irrigation District power contract was, on motion, *conitnued one week*.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Garbage Disposal.

Consideration of the matter of garbage disposal fixed for 3 p. m. this day.

Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. Hirsch, representing the Ludwig Incinerator, was heard with reference to the merits of his incinerator for the garbage disposal and terms of his proposal to City.

Capt. Beers, 310 California street, discussed his proposal to dispose of garbage by dumping at sea.

Fred Nickelson, representing Bay View merchants, protested the project of so-called "dump and fill" method with particular objection to a dumping site along bay shore.

Mr. Coryell rehemently opposed any "dump and fill" method along the bay shore.

Whereupon, the hearing was *continued until October* 4, 1926, *Special Order*, 3 *p. m.*

PRESENTATIONS OF PROPOSALS.

Photo Printing Supplies.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for furnishing photo printing supplies (blue print paper and blue process cloth and photostat paper) as same may be ordered from time to time from date to June 30, 1927, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Plumbing Fixtures.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for furnishing plumbing fixtures required by Building Repair Department for installation in school buildings and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Enameled Wares, Etc.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing enameled wares, aluminum ware, tinware, japan and galzanized wares, and kitchen utensils and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Pasage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26148 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of

the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Aug. 12, 1926), $565.32.

Special School Tax.

(2) W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., Inc., oil burning equipment for schools (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $4,405.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(3) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., sand blasting, granite work, etc., Water Construction Contract 95 (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $1,808.60.

(4) Healy-Tibbittts Construction Co., 26th and final progress payment, construction of steel bridge substructures, Dumbarton Straits (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $120,045.84.

School Bonds. 1923.

(6) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., chair desks for High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $7,520.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(7) Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, 1925, reimbursement for supplies, materials, hospital and other services furnished and performed during months of April, May and June, 1926 (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $7,796.32.

(8) Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, reimbursement for materials and supplies, etc., furnished during months of April, May and June, 1926 (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $1,264.28.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(9) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., for Hetchy Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $962.61.

(10) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $551.90.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $1,459.

(12) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $1,488.01.

(13) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $2,063.61.

(14) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $2,128.18.

(15) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 21, 1926), $665.02.

(16) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 21, 1926), $824.74.

(17) A. M. Scott Tire Co., auto tubes and tires, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $579.78.

(18) Waterbury Co., steel and manila rope, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $685.53.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(19) L. P. Brassy, 5th and final payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 145 (claim dated April 23, 1926), $820.02.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $2,278.09.

(21) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $1,699.79.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(22) James L. McLaughlin Co., extra work, general construction of the Alamo School (claim dated Aug. 23, 1926), $720.

(23) John Reid, Jr., 26th payment, architectural services, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug. 25, 1926), $4,660.45.

(24) John Reid, Jr., 14th payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated Aug. 25, 1926), $1,938.36.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(25) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $992.50.

Playground Fund.

(26) N. Clark & Sons, gutter construction, Mission bath house (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $620.

(27) N. Clark & Sons, gutter construction for the North Beach bath house (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $720.

(28) Krieg Tanning Co., tanbark for playground. Spruce and Locust streets (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $570.

(29) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, construction of concrete curb and walk around Mission Plaground swimming tank, etc. (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $559.50.

(30) Roth, Winter & Walsh, ham

and bacon for Municipal Camp, Mather, Cal. (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $522.

(31) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), $1,666.26.

(32) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, construction of playground in Chinatown (claim dated Aug. 18, 1926), progress payment, $5,025.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(33) Clarence B. Eaton, 2d payment, improvement of Union street between Franklin and Steiner streets (claim dated Aug. 25, 1926), $5,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(34) A. P. Hotaling & Co., gauze, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,047.25.

(35) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1926), $516.47.

(36) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,548.88.

(37) Automatic Registering Machine Co., 75 voting machines, Department of Elections (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $84,375.

(38) Union Tank & Pipe Co., traffic markers (claim dated Aug. 24, 1926), $7,200.

(39) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 20, 1926), $690.

(40) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Aug. 12, 1926), $1,734.40.

(41) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $724.60.

(42) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Aug. 19, 1926), $2,021.46.

(43) Louis T. Samuels, rental of premises No. 333 Kearny street, Aug. 4 to Sept. 4, 1926 (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $1,186.

Publicity and Advertising.

(44) Capital Decorating and Manufacturing Co., decoration of Market street, etc., during visit of Crown Prince of Sweden, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $600.

School Bonds, 1923.

(45) Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., title insurance reports covering 99 pieces of property authorized for school purposes (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $1,485.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(46) Glad Tidings Tabernacle, refund of amount of taxes collected on property erroneously assessed (cláim dated Aug. 21, 1926), $1,032.50.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(47) Samuel A. Schwartz and Rose Y. Schwartz, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26075, New Series (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $538.

(48) Alonzo Gray and Catherine Gray, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26063, New Series (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $3,750.

(49) Henry Krohn and Emma Krohn, for property required for opening of Bernal Cut, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 23063, New Series (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $4,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

(Supervisor Powers voted *no* on Item No. 43.)

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Appropriations.

Resolution No. 26149 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) To defray cost of Market street extension, Section "B," Mono to Ord streets, Contract No. 1, including engineering and inspection, and extras involving track work (contract awarded to James M. Smith), $85,000.

(2) For cost of grading of Capitol avenue between Thrift street and Lakeview avenue, including crossings, City's portion, $6,570.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers, Budget Item No. 39.

(3) To defray cost of completing work of installing the main sewer in Glen Park Playground by the Department of Public Works, $1 500.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(4) For the purchase of equipment of the new Relief Home, including aluminum kitchen equipment, mixer, cutter, meat grinder, coffee mill, coffee grinder and 600 blankets, $5,032.35.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Resolution No. 26150 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) For the cost of borings necessary to ascertain condition of foundations for structures in connection with Bernal Cut opening, $1,500.

(2) For expense of extending Howard street to Army street, City's portion, $1,800.

Street Signs—Budget Item No. 74.

(3) For the furnishing, delivering and erecting of street signs, per award of contract to Schultz Construction Co., $7,208.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Automobile Parking Station.

Resolution No. 26151 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. L. Karstens be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Seventh street, north of Minna street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 26152 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Dr. E. Dickson, 155 San Fernando way, 600 gallons capacity.

Geo. B. Eberhard, northwest corner of First and Folsom streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Globe Bakery, 2051 Fillmore street, 300 gallons capacity.

David Kron, 201 Fourteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Kronquist, north side of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. H. Pinkerton Co., south side of Pacific avenue, 150 feet west of Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. M. M. Scott, 9 Scenic way, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. E. Somerton, southeast corner of Marina boulevard and Casa way, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. E. Young, 51 Satello street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26153 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. V. Tucker be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situated on the west side of Kansas street, 100 feet south of Seventeenth street; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said H. V. Tucker, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Amending Ordinance No. 3300, Regulating Establishment of Laundries.

Bill No. 7737, Ordinance No. 7233 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3300 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the establishment and maintenance of public laundries and wash-houses within the City and County of San Francisco, and repealing all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3300 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association of persons to establish, maintain, operate or carry on the business of a public laundry or wash-house, where clothes or other articles are cleansed, ironed, washed, starched, marked or sorted for hire or profit, in any building or premises within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, without having first obtained a permit therefor from the Board of Supervisors, which said permit shall specify the location of the premises used or to be used as such laundry or wash-house.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7721, Ordinance No. 7234 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $5,940, payable out of the hereinafter designated Budget Item for the Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the reconstruction and repair of the following street, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 118. Divisadero street, Filbert street to Greenwich street. $5,940.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7722, Ordinance No. 7235 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Hampshire street and York street, authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said work and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Hampshire street and York street in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article 6 of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7723, Ordinance No. 7236 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed

under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *the crossing of Beach and Baker streets* by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer as required, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7724, Ordinance No. 7237 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Chenery street between Carrie and Natick streets, Hancock street between Sanchez and Noe streets, Sanchez street between Hancock and Nineteenth streets*, by the construction of full-width artificial stone sidewalks where artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7725, Ordinance No. 7238 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of

said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of 6-foot artificial stone sidewalks on *Cabrillo street, Thirty-seventh to Thirty-eighth avenues; Moraga street, Eighteenth to Nineteenth avenues; Portola drive, Kensington way to Granville way,* where artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7726, Ordinance No. 7239 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Irivng street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues, Twenty-fifth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets, Thirty-second avenue between Camino Del Mar and California street,* by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks have not already been constructed, or not to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7727, Ordinance No. 7240 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 17, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed

under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on *Greenwich street between Baker street and Lyon street, and on Fourteenth street between Church street and Sanchez street,* where artificial stone walks of the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7728, Ordinance No. 7241 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Rhode Island street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets,* where not already so improved, the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed; by the construction of a concrete pavement between *Southern Heights avenue and Twenty-second street,* where not already constructed; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface between *Southern Heights avenue and Twentieth street,* where not already constructed, and by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where not already constructed.

And the improvement of *the crossing of Twentieth street and Rhode Island street,* by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of *Twentieth street between the center and the westerly lines of Rhode Island street,* and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7729, Ordinance No. 7242 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with

the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part Ii of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Baker street between North Point and Beach streets*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters two feet in width adjacent to the above mentioned curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7730, Ordinance No. 7243 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1924, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street, including the intersection of Turk and Stanyan streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 15-inch ironstone pipe sewer, 3 manholes with 62 Y branches along the center line of *Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street;* by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the intervening angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 6801 (New Series) and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7731, Ordinance No. 7244 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of cer-

tain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 30, 1924, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Turk street between the westerly curb line of Masonic avenue and the easterly curb line of Parker avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer, 4 manholes and 70 Y branches along the center line of Turk street from Parker avenue to a line 700 feet westerly from the westerly line of Masonic avenue; and by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer, 2 manholes and 46 Y branches along the center line of Turk street between Masonic avenue and a line 700 feet westerly therefrom; by the construction of the necessary artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of Masonic avenue and Turk street, and of Parker avenue and Turk street; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 6801 (New Series), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediatelv.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7732, Ordinance No. 7245 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1924, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Masonic avenue between the southerly line of Turk street and the southerly line of McAllister street, including the crossings of McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the

construction of 4 brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the intervening angular corners; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 6801 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Changing Grades.

Bill No. 7733, Ordinance No. 7246 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Diamond street between Army street and the southerly line of Duncan street, and between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth streets; on Twenty-seventh street between the westerly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 280 feet easterly therefrom; on Duncan street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Valley street between lines parallel with Diamond street and respectively 100 feet easterly and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Twenty-ninth street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom; and on Day street between a line parallel with Diamond street and 37 feet easterly therefrom, and the westerly line of Diamond street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 2d day of July, 1926, by Resolution No. 25821 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Diamond street between Army street and the southerly line of Duncan street, and between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth streets; on Twenty-seventh street between the westerly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 280 feet easterly therefrom; on Duncan street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Valley street between lines parallel with Diamond street and respectively 100 feet easterly and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Twenty-ninth street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom; and on Day street between a line parallel with Diamond street and 37 feet easterly therefrom, and the westerly line of Diamond street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Diamond Street.

Army street, 350.00 feet. (The came being the present official grade.)

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Twenty-seventh street northerly line, 378.00 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Twenty-seventh street northerly line, 377.00 feet.

Westerly line of, at Twenty-seventh street, 379.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Twenty-seventh street, 377.00 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Twenty-seventh street southerly line, 378.00 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Twenty-seventh street southerly line, 377.00 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Duncan street northerly line, 381.50 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Duncan street northerly line, 380.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from Duncan street northerly line, 383.00 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Duncan street southerly line, 385.00 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet southerly from Duncan street northerly line, 381.17 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 15 feet northerly from Duncan street southerly line, 383.83 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Duncan street southerly line, 385 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Duncan street southerly line, 385 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-eighth street, 423 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Valley street northerly line, 421.30 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Valley street northerly line, 420.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from Valley street northerly line, 421.30 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Valley street southerly line, 419 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet southerly from Valley street northerly line, 419.50 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet northerly from Valley street southerly line, 417 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Valley street southerly line, 417 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Valley street southerly line, 416 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Twenty-ninth street northerly line, 402.50 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Twenty-ninth street northerly line, 401 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from Twenty-ninth street northerly line, 404 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Twenty-ninth street southerly line, 404 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet southerly from Twenty-ninth street northerly line, 399 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 15 feet northerly from Twenty-ninth street southerly line, 399 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Twenty-ninth street southerly line, 402.50 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Twenty-ninth street southerly line, 401 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Day street northerly line, 442.50 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Day street northerly line, 441 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from Day street northerly line, 443.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Day street southerly line, 443.50 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet southerly from Day street northerly line, 441 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet northerly from Day street southerly line, 441 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Day street southerly line, 442.50 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Day street southerly line, 442 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Thirtieth street northerly line, 474.63 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Thirtieth street northerly line, 471.39 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-seventh Street.

280 feet easterly from Diamond street, 332 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Diamond street easterly line, 377 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 377 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 378 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 377 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 378 feet.

Diamond street westerly line, 379 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Duncan Street.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 381.17 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 383.83 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 380.50 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 381.50 feet.

Southerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 385 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 385 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet southerly from the north-

erly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 383 feet.

15 feet nortrerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 385 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 405.07 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 407.25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Valley Street.

Northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Diamond street, 382 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Diamond street, 379 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 419.50 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 417 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 420.50 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 421.30 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 416 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 417 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 421.30 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 419 feet.

Northerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 438.21 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 434.93 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-ninth Street.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 399 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 399 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 401 feet.

Northerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 402.50 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 401 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 402.50 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 404 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 404 feet.

100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 430 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Day Street.

At a point 23 feet northerly from the center line of, and 37 feet easterly from Diamond street, 432.39 feet from Diamond street easterly line, 442 feet.

Southerly line of, 19 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 443.50 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 443.50 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 443.50 feet.

On Diamond street between Army street and the southerly line of Duncan street, and between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth streets; on Twenty-seventh street between the westerly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 280 feet easterly therefrom; on Duncan street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Valley street between lines parallel with Diamond street and respectively 100 feet easterly and 100 feet westerly therefrom; on Twenty-ninth street between the easterly line of Diamond street and a line parallel with Diamond street and 100 feet westerly therefrom, and on Day street between a line parallel with Diamond street and 37 feet easterly therefrom, and the westerly line of Diamond street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7734, Ordinance No. 7247 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on La Grande avenue between Bacon street and Russia

avenue; on Prague street between Excelsior avenue and Brazil avenue; on Dublin street between La Grande avenue and Persia avenue; on Excelsior avenue between Munich street and Prague street; on Brazil avenue between Prague street and La Grande avenue, and on Persia avenue between Dublin street and La Grande avenue

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 2nd day of July, 1926, by Resolution No. 25864 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on La Grande avenue between Bacon street and Russia avenue; on Prague street between Excelsior avenue and Brazil avenue; on Dublin street between La Grande avenue and Persia avenue; on Excelsior avenue between Munich street and Prague street; on Brazil avenue between Prague street and La Grande avenue, and on Persia avenue between Dublin street and La Grande avenue.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and,

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Prague Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Excelsior avenue southerly line, 401 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 82.26 feet southerly from Excelsior avenue, 382.06 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 117.26 feet southerly from Excelsior avenue, 375.49 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 152.26 feet southerly from Excelsior avenue, 371.90 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at La Grande avenue westerly line, 371.90 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 357 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 353.53 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 349.15 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 357 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 353.63 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 349.53 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, at Brazil avenue, 339 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Brazil avenue, 341 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

La Grande Avenue.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bacon street southerly line, 404 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 35 feet southerly from Bacon street, 399.88 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 70 feet southerly from Bacon street, 393.55 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 127.85 feet southerly from Bacon street, 381.24 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 161.85 feet southerly from Bacon street, 375.73 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 195.85 feet southerly from Bacon street, 373.69 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Prague street easterly line, 373.69 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 218.72 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 371.46 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 168.72 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 370.15 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 118.72 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 367.15 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 218.72 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 371.46 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 168.72 feet

northerly from Brazil avenue, 370.15 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 118.72 feet northerly from Brazil avenue, 367.15 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Brazil avenue northerly line, 358 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Brazil avenue northerly line, 358 feet.

Westerly line of, at Brazil avenue northerly curb line, 357.60 feet.

12 feet northerly from Brazil avenue southerly line at La Grande avenue westerly line, 354 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Brazil avenue southerly line, 352.80 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Brazil avenue southerly line, 352.80 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Saratoga street easterly line, 348.65 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 110.94 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 344.66 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 160.94 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 341.59 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 210.94 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 339.70 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Saratoga street westerly line, 341.60 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 294.59 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 337.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 294.59 feet southerly from Brazil avenue, 338.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Woolsey street northerly line, 338 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Woolsey street southerly line, 337 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 78.53 feet northerly from Logan street, 337.80 feet.

45 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 78.53 feet northerly from Logan street, 336.80 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Logan street easterly line, 338.50 feet.

45 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Logan street easterly line, 337.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Logan street westerly line, 340 feet.

45 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Logan street westerly line, 339 feet.

Westerly line of, at Russia avenue northerly line, 349 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Dublin Street.

15 feet easterly from La Grande avenue westerly line, at Dublin street westerly line intersection 337.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 118.01 feet northerly from Persia avenue, 334.80 feet.

55 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 118.01 feet northerly from Persia avenue, 335.80 feet.

Westerly line of, at Persia avenue, 329 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Persia avenue, 331 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Excelsior Avenue.

Northerly line of, at Munich street easterly line, 394 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Munich street easterly line, 394 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet easterly from Munich street, 400 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Prague street westerly line, 402 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Prague street westerly line, 402 feet.

Prague street easterly line, 341 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 48.15 feet westerly from La Grande avenue, 351.40 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line, 28.15 feet westerly from La Grande avenue, 353.35 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 8.15 feet westerly from La Grande avenue, 354 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at La Grande avenue westerly line, 354 feet.

Northerly curb line of, at La Grande avenue westerly line, 357.60 feet.

Persia Avenue.

Grade of 332 feet at westerly line of La Grande avenue be abolished.

On La Grande avenue between Bacon street and Russia avenue; on Prague street between Excelsior avenue and Brazil avenue; on Dublin street between La Grande ave-

nue and Persia avenue; on Excelsior avenue between Munich street and Prague street; on Brazil avenue between Prague street and La Grande avenue, and on Persia avenue between Dublin street and La Grande avenue, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Bill No. 7735, Ordinance No. 7248 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Castro street between Chenery street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Laidley street southeasterly line.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 26th day of June, 1926, by Resolution No. 25782 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Castro street between Chenery street and a line at right angles to the easterly line, at Laidley street southeasterly line; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Castro Street.

Easterly line of, at Laidley street southeasterly line, 215.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Laidley street southeasterly line, 215 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 8.28 feet northerly from Surrey street, 213 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Surrey street northeasterly line, 212.10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Chenery street, 190.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Chenery street, 193 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Surrey Street.

Conform to Castro street.

On Castro street between Chenery street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Laidley street southeasterly line, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Amending Traffic Ordinance.

Bill No. 7736, Ordinance No. 7249 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating moving traffic of the public streets relating to arterial streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 18-A. (a) An arterial street is hereby defined to be a main street connecting a central business district of the City with its environs, over which there is a large volume of moving traffic and which, by reason of its location, invites an unusual number of vehicles to use its facilities.

(b) Fell street between Market and Baker streets and Fulton street between Van Ness avenue and Stanyan street, Bush and Pine streets between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue, Geary street between Presidio and Forty-third avenues, Mission street between The Embarcadero and the county line, Valencia street between Market and Mission streets, Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Twenty-fourth avenue from Geary street to Fulton street, Twenty-fourth street from Dolores street to Hoffman avenue and Post street between Mason street and Presidio

avenue are hereby declared to be arterial streets as defined in Paragraph (a).

(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street, Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street and Francisco street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street are hereby declared to be open public boulevards.

(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be held to include vehicles carrying goods for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevard. All vehicles shall be permitted to travel upon said boulevard for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.

(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street except as may be necessary to cross same at intersections.

(f) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any vehicle or motorman of any street car to cross an arterial steret or a street declared to be an open public boulevard from an intersecting street unless such vehicle or street car shall have been brought to a full stop before entering the intersection of such arterial street or open public boulevard unless otherwise directed by a police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop or Go" or any other device or sign that shall be authorized installed and put in use and operation by the Police Department of said City and County.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Amending Ordinance No. 6249 (New Series), Relating to Establishment of Set-back Lines.

Bill No. 7738, Ordinance No. 7250 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Ordinance No. 6249 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Washington street between Maple and Spruce streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6249 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Washington street between Maple and Spruce streets is hereby repealed.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $45,566.28, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Company, water for horse troughs, $60.07.

Edna Nelson et al, compensation award, $83.32.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(1) The Giant Powder Company, Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $8,082.50.

(2) Hercules Powder Company, explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $4,916.97.

(3) Joshua Hendy Iron Works,

two mine cages for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,068.

(4) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,238.75.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $701.36.

(6) Standard Oil Company of California, oils, candles, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $841.30.

(7) A. M. Scott Tire Company, tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $579.77.

(8) Tansey-Crowe Co., tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $609.56.

(9) U. S. Machinery and Steel Company, two Ford-Edison gas locomotives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,000.

(10) Western Meat Company, foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $551.04.

(11) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs and butter, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $591.50.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(12) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., repairs to hydraulic cylinder for Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Sept. 3, 1926), $1,560.50.

Special School Tax.

(13) Wm. Bateman, millwork, etc., for school repairs (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $623.50.

(14) George H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies for repair of school buildings (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $677.82.

School Bonds, 1918.

(15) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., chairs for High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,036.

School Bonds, 1923.

(16) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Alamo School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(17) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for the Dudley Stone School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(18) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for the Hawthorne School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(19) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Lafayette School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(20) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Le Conte School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(21) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Sanchez School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(22) Mahony Bros., final payment, general construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $10,000.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(23) R. W. Kinney Co., first payment, steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $2,525.87.

(24) Hales & Symons, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $1,204.34.

(25) R. W. Kinney Co., black pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $3,070.72.

(26) Standard Oil Co., oils and gasoline, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $611.50.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(27) H. G. Butler, monthly retaining fee in connection with severance damage report in valuation of Pacific Gas and Electric and Great Western Power companies' properties (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $612.50.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(28) Fred D. Bullock, services rendered, auditing accounts of 1910 Water Bonds (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $625.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(29) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense incurred for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $965.33.

Park Fund.

(30) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for Yacht Harbor construction (claim dated Sept. 10, 1926), $776.25.

(31) Robinson Tractor Co., overhauling, furnishing fittings, etc., for tractor (claim dated Sept. 10, 1926), $663.89.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(32) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926) $1,953.01.

Tuberculosis Sanitarium Fund.

(33) P. Montague Pulgas Road construction in connection with grading of site for Tuberculosis Sanitarium (claim dated Sept. 4, 1926), $1,458.83.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(34) Cyclops Iron Works, water cooling equipment for new Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $1,190.

(35) G. V. Godin, first payment, alterations and additions to Super-

intendent's residence, Reilef Home (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,309.06.

(36) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 4, 1926), $992.50.

Special School Tax.

(37) Standard Electrical Construction Co., third payment, electrical work for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,783.81.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(38) F. Boeken, reimbursement for expenditures of Superintendent and Chief Clerk, Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $1,000.

(39) Mann Manufacturing Co., Municipal Railway car equipment (claim dated Sept. 3, 1926), $562.35.

(40) Machod and United States Signal Co., Inc., equipment for four blocks, two light signals for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 3, 1926), $1,156.40.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(41) J. R. Miller, final payment, architectural services for Alamo School (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $2,498.14.

(42) Crown Electric Co., ninth payment, electrical work for New Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,985.22.

(43) Burnham Plumbing Co., ninth payment, plumbing, etc., for New Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,298.68.

(44) E. H. Flagg Scenic Co., final payment, stage fittings, etc., for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,840.62.

(45) W. H. Picard, nineteenth payment, mechanical equipment for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $18,637.32.

(46) Herman Lawson, sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $1,240.85.

(47) Aetna Electric Co., third payment, electric work for Hawthorne School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,661.02.

(48) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, mechanical equipment for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $1,501.12.

(49) Bakewell & Brown, fifth payment, architectural services for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,428.18.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(50) Dudley B. Perkins, twelve motorcycles for Police Dept. (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $4,526.

(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water supplied for street repair (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,668.24.

(52) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $747.50.

(53) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $880.75.

(54) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,249.09.

(55) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $680.82.

(56) Haas Bros., sugar for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,130.

(57) H. Moffat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $627.18.

(58) Morris & Co., butter and cheese for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,119.16.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,979.04.

(60) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $810.

(61) Louis Straus, men's suits for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $675.

(62) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,569.33.

(63) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $1,075.

(64) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium during August (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $3,507.48.

(65) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $2,656.05.

Appropriations, Equipment for Relief Home; Hauling and Laying High Pressure Water Mains.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(1) For purchase of equipment required for the new Relief Home, including dish trucks, dishwasher, pedestal, beds, peeler, scale and medical equipment, $4,041.02.

Extension of High Pressure System, Southern District.

(2) For hauling and laying cast iron high-pressure water mains

and appurtenances in the Butchertown district, including inspection, extras and additional manifold (contract awarded Schultz Construction Co. at $45,656.50), $51,000.

Cancellation of Sales and Assessment of Property Sold for Delinquent Taxes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26154 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, and was sold for delinquent taxes for 1925 on June 28, 1926, and recommends that the assessment and sales be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel the assessment and sales as described as follows:

Vol. 2, Blk. 225, Lot 10, assessed to Pack Chin and Fong Hom; Sale No. 57.

Vol. 2, Blk. 225, Lot 11, assessed to Helen N., Louis A., Marguerite and Marie Goetz; Sale No. 58.

Vol. 2, Blk. 225, Lot 12, assessed to Toyo K. Lowe; Sale No. 59.

Vol. 2, Blk. 225, Lot 15, assessed to Louis A., Marie, Marguerite and Helen W. Goetz; Sale No. 60.

Vol. 2, Blk. 225, Lot 18, assessed to Louis A., Marie, Marguerite and Helen W. Goetz; Sale No. 61.

Vol. 2, Blk. 225, Lots 26-27, assessed to Louis A., Marie, Marguerite and Helen W. Goetz; Sale No. 62.

Vol. 3, Blk. 406, Lot 2-A, assessed to Griffith Kinsey; Sale No. 93.

Vol. 3, Blk. 545, Lot 2, assessed to B. Cademartori; Sale No. 140.

Vol. 3, Blk. 545, Lot 3, assessed to Wm. H. and Victoria Grodt; Sale No. 141.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 1, assessed to —— Humphrey; Sale No. 174.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 2, assessed to Victor and Virginia Thierry; Sale No. 175.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 3, assessed to Lillie and Minnie Abrams, Ottie Winn and Cyril I. Morris; Sale No. 176.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 4, assessed to Israel and Nellie Greenblat; Sale No. 177.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 5, assessed to Emanuel Hirschfeld; Sale No. 178.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 6, assessed to Elizabeth Taylor; Sale No. 179.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 7, assessed to Matilda Healy; Sale No. 180.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lots 8 and 9, assessed to M. A and J. H. Guinn; Sale No. 181.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 10, assessed to Joseph Rothschild; Sale No. 182.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 12-A, assessed to Edward and Fannie Talbow; Sale No. 183.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 29, assessed to Isidore and Fannie Davis; Sale No. 184.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 30, assessed to Samuel Meyer; Sale No. 185.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 31, assessed to Francis S. and Isabella S. Briggs; Sale No. 186.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 32, assessed to Carrie Meyer; Sale No. 187.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 33, assessed to John A. Bergerot; Sale No. 188.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 34, assessed to Clara Cheshire; Sale No. 189.

Vol. 4, Blk. 724, Lot 36, assessed to David and Anna Stark; Sale No. 190.

Vol. 4, Blk. 768, Lot 10, assessed to Henry and Jacob Nathan; Sale No. 195.

Vol. 5, Blk. 841, Lot 11, assessed to Wm. and Louise Wertsch; Sale No. 208.

Vol. 5, Blk. 841, Lot 15, assessed to Ella Cordino; Sale No. 209.

Vol. 5, Blk. 841, Lot 16, assessed to Hugh Keenan; Sale No. 210.

Vol. 6, Blk. 1141, Lot 27, assessed to Bank of Italy; Sale No. 270.

Vol. 7, Blk. 1265, Lot 27, assessed to Timothy B. and Catherine L. Healy; Sale No. 298.

Vol. 11, Blk. 1761, Lot 31, assessed to Harriet J. Chadwick; Sale No. 491.

Vol. 11, Blk. 1761, Lot 32, assessed to J. Friedlander; Sale No. 492.

Vol. 12, Blk. 1797, Lots 10 and 11, assessed to Shafter Realty Co.; Sale No. 520.

Vol. 12, Blk. 1797, Lots 23-24, assessed to Mattie Perlet; Sale No. 521.

Vol. 12, Blk. 1797, Lot 26, assessed to Virginia Post; Sale No. 522.

Vol. 17, Blk. 2773, Lot 3, assessed to Edna and Robert Allbright; Sale No. 801.

Vol. 17, Blk. 2773, Lot 5, assessed to Margaret M. Lynch; Sale No. 802.

Vol. 17, Blk. 2773, Lot 6, assessed to Josephine A. Jacquemet; Sale No. 803

Vol. 17, Blk. 2773, Lot 7, assessed to Jos. Jacquemet; Sale No. 804.

Vol. 17, Blk. 2773, Lot 19, assessed to Jos. Jacquemet; Sale No. 806.

Vol. 18, Blk. 2979, Lots 14 to 45, inclusive, assessed to Meyer Bros.; Sales Nos. 876 to 907, inclusive.

Vol. 19, Blk. 3121, Lots 24 to 28, inclusive, assessed to Eleanor J. McCloskey and Maurice K. Cattran; Sale No. 959.

Vol. 19, Blk. 3088, Lot 18, assessed to Carmen Rubia; Sale No. 938.

Vol. 19, Blk. 3208-A, Lot 2, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 985.

Vol. 20, Blk. 3565, Lot 3, assessed

to Eugene and Georges Le Roy; Sale No. 1013.

Vol. 20, Blk. 3565, Lots 4 and 5, assessed to Chas. F. Horning; Sale No. 1014.

Vol. 20, Blk. 3565, Lot 8, assessed to Rose and Margaret Denman; Sale No. 1015.

Vol. 20, Blk. 3565, Lot 9, assessed to Andrew Mork; Sale No. 1016.

Vol. 20, Blk. 3565, Lot 11, assessed to David and Bridget Hurley; Sale No. 1017.

Vol. 20, Blk. 3565, Lot 16, assessed to E. C. Juillerat; Sale No. 1018.

Vol. 20, Blk. 3565, Lot 18, assessed to Alice Eliza Sweeney; Sale No. 1019.

Vol. 20, Blk. 3565, Lot 57, assessed to H. M. Quast; Sale No. 1021.

Vol. 20, Blk. 3565, Lot 70, assessed to John W. Flood; Sale No. 1022.

Vol. 20, Blk. 3565, Lot 71, assessed to Herman N. Quast; Sale No. 1023.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 2, assessed to Joseph C. and Amy A. Hart; Sale No. 1055.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 26, assessed to John and Mary Bickley; Sale No. 1056.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 28, assessed to Louis and Vicenza Cilento; Sale No. 1057.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 29, assessed to Alma S. Christensen; Sale No. 1058.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 30, assessed to Chas. A. and Amanda Johnson; Sale No. 1059.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 32, assessed to Joseph A. Brown; Sale No. 1060.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 34, assessed to David H. Van Glahn; Sale No. 1061.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 35, assessed to Josephine Windfuhr; Sale No. 1062.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 36, assessed to M. A. Jones; Sale No. 1063.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 37, assessed to Franz E. and Marie Huske; Sale No. 1064.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 38, assessed to Frances M. Edwards; Sale No. 1065.

Vol. 21, Blk. 3630, Lot 39, assessed to Kath. S. F. Murphy; Sale No. 1066.

Vol. 22, Blk. 3638, Lot 4, assessed to Rosina M. T. Braese; Sale No. 1070.

Vol. 22, Blk. 3638, Lot 5, assessed to Williamson Est., Inc.; Sale No. 1071.

Vol. 22, Blk. 3638, Lot 6, assessed to Hans Cunferman; Sale No. 1072.

Vol. 22, Blk. 3638, Lot 55, assessed to Sanford D. Le Cair; Sale No. 1073.

Vol. 22, Blk. 3638, Lot 56, assessed to Alice J. Leigh; Sale No. 1074.

Vol. 22, Blk. 3638, Lot 57, assessed to M. L. Perkins; Sale No. 1075.

Vol. 22, Blk. 3638, Lot 58, assessed to Jacob Frederick; Sale No. 1076.

Vol. 24, Blks. 4102-4129, Lot 43, assessed to Cal. Pac. Title Ins. Co.; Sale No. 1183.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5983, Lot 2, assessed to Harry and Bertha Lichtenstein; Sale No. 1578.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5983, Lot 3, assessed to Vincenzo Campilleri; Sale No. 1579.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5983, Lot 5, assessed to Michael and Esther C. Allbrecht; Sale No. 1580.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5983, Lot 6, assessed to James M. Boyd; Sale No. 1581.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5983, Lots 8 and 9, assessed to Chas. J. McDonnell; Sale No. 1582.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5983, Lot 10, assessed to Hazel Vellgath; Sale No. 1583.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5983, Lot 17, assessed to Henrietta Sabling; Sale No. 1584.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lot 1, assessed to John D. and Emma Reinhard; Sale No. 1585.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lot 2, assessed to Wm. J. and Lillian H. Hall; Sale No. 1586.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lot 3, assessed to Samuel and Susie Mann; Sale No. 1587.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lot 4, assessed to Julia Dold; Sale No. 1588.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lots 5 and 6, assessed to Emilia Brignardello; Sale No. 1589.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lots 7 and 8, assessed to Arthur Brignardello; Sale No. 1590.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lots 9 and 10, assessed to Aurora Brignardello; Sale No. 1591.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lot 11, assessed to Joseph and Sadie A. Maguire; Sale No. 1592.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lots 14 and 15, assessed to Nicholas Ely; Sale No. 1593.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lot 16, assessed to S. F. Land and Title Co.; Sale No. 1594.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lot 17, assessed to Anna Schultz; Sale No. 1595.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lots 20 and 21, assessed to John E. and Margt. Cailteaux; Sale No. 1596.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lots 22 to 25, inclusive, assessed to John E. and Margt. Cailteaux; Sale No. 1597.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lot 26, assessed to Jensino Bentzen; Sale No. 1598.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lot 27, assessed to John B. and Margt. Cailteaux; Sale No. 1599.

Vol. 33, Blk. 5984, Lot 28, assessed to John D. J. and Anna Reinhard; Sale No. 1600.

Vol. 33, Blk. 6012, Lot 5, assessed to Margaret Shewbridge; Sale No. 1616.

Vol. 37, Blk. 6644, Lots 26-27, as-

sessed to Kathlynn Courtney; Sale No. 2035.

Vol. 38, Blk. 6786, Lot 8, assessed to Ocean Shore Ry. Co.; Sale No. 2144.

Vol. 38, Blk. 6786-A, Lot 1-A, assessed to Ocean Shore Ry. Co.; Sale No. 2146.

Vol. 38, Blk. 6795-B, Lot 3, assessed to Ocean Shore Ry. Co.; Sale No. 2147.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6954, Lot 11-C, assessed to Ocean Shore Ry. Co.; Sale No. 2198.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6957, Lot 4-B, assessed to Ocean Shore Ry. Co.; Sale No. 2200.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6958, Lot 2, assessed to Louis Depaoli; Sale No. 2201.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6958, Lot 5, assessed to Virginia Varni; Sale No. 2202.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6958, Lots 12-14-20-25-27-33, assessed to Virginia Varni; Sales Nos. 2203 to 2208, inclusive.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6958, Lot 39, assessed to Louis Depaoli; Sale No. 2209.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6958, Lot 39-A, assessed to Wm. and Margt. Pimentel; Sale No. 2210.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6958, Lot 39-B, assessed to Guiseppi and Anna Caleagno; Sale No. 2211.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6958, Lot 39-C, assessed to Guiseppi Carraro; Sale No. 2212.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6958, Lot 40, assessed to Giaochnio and Lena Caleagno; Sale No. 2213.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6958, Lot 40-A, assessed to Antonio and Rosalia Sanfilippo; Sale No. 2214.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6960, Lots 1-7-19-23, assessed to Virginia Varni; Sales Nos. 2215 to 2218, inclusive.

Vol. 39, Blk. 6968, Lot 25, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2220.

Vol. 39, Blk. 7042, Lot 16, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2262.

Vol. 39, Blk. 7045, Lot 2-A, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2263.

Vol. 39, Blk. 7064, Lot 9-B, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2280.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7130, Lot 24-A, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2330.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7132, Lot 6-A, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2331.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7133, Lot 5-A, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 1332.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7134, Lot 5-B, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2333.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7135, Lot 9-A, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2335.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7136, Lot 13-B, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2336.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7140, Lot 1, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2337.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7141, Lot 34-A, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2340.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7146, Lots 19-20-21, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2345.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7146, Lot 24, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2346.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7148, Lot 8-B, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2347.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7149, Lot 5-B, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2348.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7150, Lot 1, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2350.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7156, Lot 1-A, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2352.

Vol. 40, Blk. 5157, Lots 1-2-3, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2354.

Vol. 40, Blk. 7158, Lots 7-° assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2355.

Vol. 41, Blk. 5801, Lot 19, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2371.

Vol. 41, Blk. 6959, Lot 3-A, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2376.

Vol. 41, Blk. 7126-A, Lot 4, assessed to Ocean Shore R. R. Co.; Sale No. 2377.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Cancellation of Sale of Proeprty Sold for Delinquent Taxes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26155 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property is now owned by the State of California, and was sold for delinquent taxes for 1925, on June 28, 1926, and recommends that the assessment and sale be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel the following described assessment and sale:

Lot 1, Block 857, assessed to San Francisco Protestant Orphanage Society, in Real Estate Vol. 5, and

sold to the State June 28, 1926, under Sale No. 217.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Award of Contract, Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26156 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, issue of January 1, 1925, to the amount of $1,000,000, would be opened and considered on Tuesday, the 7th day of September, 1926; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of Halsey, Stuart & Company, R. W. Pressprich & Company and Blyth, Witter & Company for said $1,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, comprising twenty-five bonds of $1,000 denomination of each year's maturity, 1930 to 1969, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Halsey, Stuart & Company, R. W. Pressprich & Company and Blyth, Witter & Company for the price bid therefor, to-wit: $1,075,600, and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Street Carnival Permit.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 26157 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to St. Peter's Church and School to use Harrison street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-sixth streets for a Street Carnival and Bazaar from October 16 to 27, 1926, inclusive.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 26158 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and organizations be and they are hereby granted permission to rent the Exposition Auditorium, the necessary fees required by the ordinance having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

Dreamland Athletic Club, September 13, 1926, Main Hall.

Summer Symphony, September 14, 1926, Main Hall.

Musicians' Union, September 16, 1926, Main Hall.

Historical Society of California (free exhibition), September 13 to 19, 1926, inclusive, Larkin Hall.

San Francisco Opera Association, September 17 to October 7, 1926, inclusive, Main Hall.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—17.

Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Committee to Attend State Legislature.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26159 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to name a committee of three or four members of this Board to represent this City at Sacramento in order to cooperate with our elected representatives in the State Legislature in the matter of promoting beneficial legislation for this City or to protect it where matters inimical to our interests may be presented.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Award of Contract, Towel Service, Municipal Railway.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26160 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to the Dryrite Company of California, Inc., on bid submitted September 7, 1926 (Proposal No. 234), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Towel Service for Municipal Railway.

To supply continuous cotton towel service for Municipal Railway until June 30, 1927, "Dryrite System."

Cabinets to be furnished, installed and maintained by bidder without extra charge.

Towels to be not less than 25 yards in length and 15 inches wide.

To be delivered at Geary street barn and Seventeenth street barn as required.

Estimated quantity required, 400 rolls per month. Price, per roll, $0.24.

If other municipal departments require said service it will be furnished at the said price.

Resolved, That a bond of $300 be required for the faithful performanceof this contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Award of Contract, Fire Hose.

Also, Resolution No. 26161 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pioneer Rubber Mills in conformity with the recommendation of Board of Fire Commissioners on bid submitted August 30, 1926 (Proposal No. 231), as follows, viz.:

For furnishing and delivering 10,000 feet of two and three-quarters inch (internal diameter) circular woven, 2-ply or jacket, cotton, rubber-lined fire hose in 50-foot lengths, each with couplings attached as specified, and in full accordance with the specifications and delivered f. o. b. Corporation Yard of the Fire Department, 313 Francisco street, San Francisco, California. Price, per foot, $0.74.

Resolved, That a bond of $2,000 shall be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Accepting Offer, Robert L. Rauer, Twenty-third Avenue.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26162 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Robert L. Rauer to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 236 feet 10 inches, more or less, northerly from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,200, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point situate on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 236 feet 10 inches northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street; running thence easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue; thence southerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet to the point of commencement; being a portion of O. L. Block No. 1048, also known as Block 2195 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase

price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Accepting Offer, Henry Hiniker et al., Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26163 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described land situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the operation of the Moccasin Power Plant, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Henry Hiniker and Annie Hiniker, $442—A portion of Lot 2 and the west ½ of Lot 1 in Section 35, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, M. D. B. and M., containing 25.6 acres, more or less. (As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above-described offer of the above-named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned land for the sum set forth opposite their names, be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above-mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Investigation of Overcrowded Northwestern Pacific Railroad Ferry Service.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Northwestern Pacific Railroad Company maintains and operates a ferry system from the City of Sausalito, in the County of Marin, to the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transporting passengers between said points in connection with its railroad system of passenger transportation; and

Whereas, in addition to said passenger transportation said Northwestern Pacific Railroad Company has been transporting automobiles between the said City and County of San Francisco and the said City of Sausalito on the ferryboats carrying passengers; and

Whereas, the said Northwestern Pacific Railroad Company has been allowing said ferryboats to become overcrowded with passengers and automobiles to such an extent that there has been and now is great danger to all using said ferryboats; and

Whereas, the said ferryboats now being used by said Northwestern Pacific Railroad Company were built many years ago, long before automobiles were in common use, and suitable provision was not and has not been made to care for such automobile service and recently on two separate occasions certain parts of the machinery and equipment by which said ferryboats are operated became disabled and broke down, thereby causing a great deal of confusion and excitement to the passengers on board on each occasion; and

Whereas, a number of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco during the summertime maintain homes in the County of Marin and therefore are accustomed during that time to use daily the transportation facilities of said railroad company; and

Whereas, other large numbers of citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, attracted by the natural beauty of Marin County, constantly and especially during the week-ends use the ferry and railroad transportation facilities of said Northwestern Pacific Railroad Company in order to get to various points in said Marin County; and

Whereas, the said Northwestern Pacific Railroad Company and its officials in order to carry the large gatherings of people using their transportation facilities overcrowds and overloads its said ferryboats

with passengers and automobiles; and

Whereas, the matters above referred to concerning the operation of its said ferryboats and railroad passenger transportation facilities have become matters of great public interest to the residents, taxpayers, citizens and property owners of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it seems that the situation is such as to warrant the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the better security of life and safety of its citizens, to institute proceedings before the proper officials or commissioners looking toward remedying the causes of complaint against said railroad company and insuring the welfare of the citizens using said railroad and ferry transportation facilities; now, therefore, it is

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that the City Attorney of the said City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby authorized, empowered and instructed to make such an investigation into the methods and manner of the said Northwestern Pacific Railroad Company's conduct of its passenger transportation system in so far as it affects residents of the City and County of San Francisco, and to report the result of his investigations to this Board, together with his suggestions and conclusions as to any matters in regard to which he finds that said railroad company is not giving to the public the service to which they are entitled and also as to any steps that may be properly taken by the Board so as to remedy any delinquencies tending to affect the safety and welfare of the persons using said transportation facilities.

Over one week—Special order, 3 p. m.

Mayor to Appoint Committee on Noise Elimination.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City of Chicago has appointed a commission which has for its purpose the recommending of measures for the elimination of noise; and

Whereas, this constructive move in the interests of health is of interest and concern to all the cities and towns of this country; be it therefore

Resolved, That in order that we may profit by the findings of said commission and keep in close touch with its work, the Mayor is requested to appoint a committee of five persons who shall have authority to keep in close touch with this movement in Chicago, to make a study of local conditions and to recommend any measures calculated to eliminate unnecessary or avoidable noises in and around San Francisco.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Police and Traffic Committees to Consider Statutory Fines for Traffic Violations.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Police and Traffic Committees are requested to meet jointly and to consider and recommend to this Board of Supervisors, ordinances or amendments bringing into legal effect the so-called statutory fine for traffic violations, i. e., a set fine for all persons pleading or being found guilty of infractions as to parking, etc.; also the said joint committees are further requested to recommend (if they find it advisable) the complete elimination of all parking in the downtown congested area, or a maximum parking allowance of ten minutes, excepting vehicles engaged in the receipt or delivery of freight and merchandise.

Referred to Police and Traffic Committees jointly.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on California Street.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7742, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Widths of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section No. 884 thereof, width of sidewalks on California street between Powell street and Presidio avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended by amending Section No. 884 to read as follows:

Section 884. The width of sidewalks on California street between Powell street and Presidio avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths

shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Referred.

The following bills were *referred to the Police Committee*:

Amending Ordinance No. 6979, Regulating Use of Taxicabs.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new subdivision to be designated (f) to Section 3 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), regulating the use of taxicabs, etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new subdivision to be designated (f) is hereby added to Section 3 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series) to read as follows:

(f) When a permit granted, as provided in this section, has been revoked no new permit for the same premises or at any location within fifty feet thereof in any direction shall be granted within six months from the date of such revocation.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Imposing License Tax on Stands for Public Passenger Vehicles.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new section to be numbered Section 54½ to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes, etc., and imposing a license tax on stands for public passenger vehicles.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), to be numbered Section 54½ and to read as follows:

Section 54½. The holder of each permit for a public passenger vehicle stand on any public street shall pay a license fee therefor of two dollars and fifty cents a quarter year, each quarter to commence on the first days of January, April, July and October.

School Street Permit, St. Brigid's School.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 26164 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to the St. Brigid's School to rope off Broadway between Franklin street and Van Ness avenue against vehicular traffic at the noon recess period. This action is being taken in order to protect the children attending said school.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Southwestern School.

Supervisor McSheehy requested that members of the Building Committee, the Special School Committee, meet with the Finance Committee at ten o'clock next Friday morning to take up the consideration of the southwestern school. He also asked the members of the Board of Education be invited to be present.

Approving Map, Alemany Tract.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 26165 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with the Board by A. B. Harrison and Mary E. Harrison as principals and H. F. Ramacciotti and L. G. Ecklon as sureties in the sum of five hundred dollars, which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien, but not yet payable, against the property as shown on Map of Alemany Tract approved by Resolution No. 26142 (New Series), is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

John McLaren Testimonial, Jackie Coogan Circus.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 26166 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a circus will be held at Tanforan Park on Sunday, September 19, 1926, the purpose of which is to raise funds for the construction of a testimonial lake in Golden Gate Park to honor John McLaren, Superintendent of Golden Gate Park; and

Whereas, this recognition of the constructive public service of Superintendent McLaren is a well deserved tribute to a faithful official, who has given forty years' service to this City and State; and

Whereas, the Honorable Board of Park Commissioners as well as nearly every civic organization are cooperating in this splendid endeavor, be it therefore

Resolved, That we heartily endorse the proposed circus and we request every city department to give their full cooperation to the affair, and they are further requested to enlist the attendance of all employees of our departments and to individually and collectively do everything possible to make the proposed circus a tremendous success.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Charter Amendments.

On motion of Supervisor Bath, chairman of the Judiciary Committee, the Board voted to meet at 10 o'clock on Monday morning, September 20th, in order to consider charter amendments to be recommended to the voters at the coming election.

Proposed Charter Amendments.

Supervisor McSheehy presented a charter amendment, to add a new chapter (V) to Article II of the City Charter, as follows:

V.

Bureau of Architecture.

Section 1. A bureau of architecture is hereby created. The Chief Architect to be appointed and salary fixed by the Board of Supervisors. He shall be an architect of at least five years' experience, licensed as such under the laws of the State of California, and at the time of his appointment shall be a resident of the City and County. The Board of Supervisors shall provide for the appointment of all necessary assistants and employees, subject to the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter.

Section 2. It shall be the duty of the Bureau of Architecture to prepare plans for and supervise the construction of all municipal buildings and said bureau shall succeed to the powers now vested in the Board of Public Works respecting the plans for and the construction of such buildings.

Section 3. No compensation for any service rendered by said bureau or any employee thereof shall be based on the cost of any building.

It was *referred to the Judiciary Committee.*

Proposed Amendment to Section 11 of Article XIV-A of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

The following Charter amendment was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and *referred to the Judiciary Committee*:

Playground Commission.

Amend Section 2, which provides that the commissioners shall be seven in number, five of whom shall be appointed by the Mayor and two of whom shall be ex-officio and which two shall be, one, the President of the Board of Education and two, either the President of the Park Commissioners or the Superintendent of Golden Gate Park, as follows:

Amend the last half of line 12 and all of line 13 of said Section 2 of Article XIV-A to read:

"The five members of said commission appointed by the Mayor shall receive a salary of one hundred and fifty dollars per month."

Two Per Cent Tax for Urgent Necessities.

Supervisor Roncovieri introduced a Charter amendment to provide a two per cent tax to be used for urgent necessities and other purposes.

It was *referred to the Judiciary Committee.*

Civil Service, School Teachers.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented another Charter Amendment which would place elementary day and evening school teachers under the Civil Service.

It would also provide for a special tax to be levied by the Board of Supervisors, to be used as follows:

(a) For acquiring, purchasing and paying for building sites and land for school purposes;

(b) For the annual accumulation of a fund for purchasing and paying for building sites and lands, and for building, constructing, erecting and furnishing and equipping buildings;

(d) For completing improvements in school buildings contemplated at the time of their erection;

(e) For financing and improving grounds used for school purposes;

(f) For the repair, improvement, remodeling, reconstruction or alteration of any school building or building already erected.

Any unexpended balance remain-

ing in the "school buildings and grounds fund" at the end of any fiscal year shall be carried forward to the credit of the same fund.

The proposed amendment was *referred to the Judiciary Committee.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. took a recess until tomorrow, September 14, 1926, at 10 o'clock a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by Board of Supervisors Monday, November 29, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 38

Monday, September 20, 1926

Tuesday, September 21, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 1926, 11:30 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 20, 1926, 11:30 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment for the purpose of taking up and considering proposals to amend the Charter as recommended by the Judiciary Committee.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Colman, Deasy, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Powers, Roncovieri, Todd, Havenner, Hayden, Welch—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Kent, Marks, Shannon—5.

Chairman.

Supervisor Marks moved that Supervisor Bath take the Chair.

Motion *carried.*

Report of Judiciary Committee on Proposed Charter Amendments.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Sept. 18, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your Judiciary Committee has had before it consideration of various charter amendments and has held extensive hearings thereon. We recommend for adoption amendments set forth in full upon a printed sheet marked "Recommended for Adoption"; and recommend that the Board do not adopt those amendments transmitted to you on a printed sheet and marked "Not Recommended".

Your Committee adopted a resolution of policy as follows:

"Be it Resolved, That the Judiciary Committee adopt as its policy the recommendation to the Board of Supervisors of only such charter amendments as are vitally and urgently required for the successful operation of the government of San Francisco, which are properly matters which should be included in the charter considered as the organic law, and which are not susceptible of treatment by ordinance."

In furtherance of the foregoing it has been the endeavor of this Committee to limit the amendments in number, and also to recommend only those which we consider vital to the machinery of government, and have rejected some amendments which, while meritorious, could be covered by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors.

Several of the proposed amendments possess points of merit, but are of such fundamental character that we believe that further study and deliberation should be given to them over an extensive period of time prior to their submission to the people for action.

Respectfully submitted,
JUDICIARY COMMITTEE,
By (Sgd) EDWIN G. BATH,
Chairman.
(Sgd) MILTON MARKS.

Air Port.

City may purchase, hold or lease property within or without the City and County for air port, terminal or landing place for air craft.

Charter Amendment No. 30.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Article I of the Charter of said City and County by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Section 7, relating to the acquisition of land within or without the City for the purpose of an air port.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2nd day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

That a new section be added to Article I to be numbered Section 7 to read as follows:

Section 7. The City and County of San Francisco may purchase, hold or lease property within or outside of the boundaries of the City and County suitable and convenient for

an air port, terminal or landing place for air craft, provide for the equipment and maintenance of the same and may cooperate with other governmental agencies in the acquisition, management or control thereof.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Powers, the foregoing amendment was *approved* and ordered *submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Kent, Shannon—4.

Works of Art in Golden Gate Park. Withdrawn.

The following proposed amendment heretofore recommended by the Judiciary Committee was withdrawn upon the declaration that a place in Golden Gate Park would be found for the statute of Father McKinnon:

That a new section, to be known as "Section 10½," be added to Article 14, to read as follows:

Section 10½. In the event the Park Commissioners shall fail to approve, within ninety days, any request of the Board of Supervisors to place any work of art, as defined in Section 10 of this article, upon any street, avenue, square, park, municipal building or other public place belonging to the City and County, the question of the placing of such work of art may be submitted to the people by the initiative method under the provisions of this Charter, and if adopted by the qualified voters of the City and County of San Francisco, such work or works of art shall be placed accordingly and the Park Commissioners shall thereupon place the same, as provided by the vote of the people.

Palace of Fine Arts Site.

Authorizing the Board of Supervisors to acquire from the United States a portion of the Presidio Reservation occupied by the Palace of Fine Arts, in consideration of the right to construct and maintain a spur track over certain public streets.

Charter Amendment No. 33.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article I, to be numbered Section 8 thereof, relative to the acceptance of land occupied by the Palace of Fine Arts.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2nd day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

That a new section be added to Article I of the Charter, to be numbered Section 8 and to read as follows:

Section 8. The City and County of San Francisco may accept and receive from the Secretary of War, pursuant to the provisions of an act of Congress of March 4, 1925, a deed to the following described property, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Lyon street, distant thereon five and seventeen one-hundredths feet southerly from the northerly line of Bay street if extended and produced westerly, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Lyon street one thousand one hundred and ninety-six and eighty one-hundredths feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of six hundred and twelve feet radius, central angle one hundred and fifty-five degrees forty-seven minutes and fifty seconds, tangent to a line deflected one hundred and two degrees six minutes and five seconds to the left from the preceding course a distance of one thousand six hundred and sixty-four and thirteen one-hundredths feet to the westerly line of Lyon street and the point of commencement; containing nine and ninety-three one-hundredths acres, more or less.

In consideration of the granting to the City and County of San Francisco of the above described property, the Board of Supervisors of said City and County is hereby given permission and the right and said Board is hereby directed by proper ordinance to grant to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate in perpetuity a spur track over and along the following described streets and property in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Along Beach street from the east line of Laguna street to the west line of Webster street; along Webster street from the south line of Beach street to the north line of Tonquin street; along Tonquin street from the east line of Webster street to the west line of Lyon street, and to include the privilege of a swith into the Army Supply Depot, Fort Mason, from a point on Beach street approximately 70 feet west of the west line of Laguna street, as shown on blue print filed December 2, 1915.

On motion of Supervisor Bath, the foregoing amendment was *approved* and ordered *submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Kent, Shannon—4.

Semi-Monthly Payment of Salaries.

Salaries of all officers and employees, including policemen, firemen and teachers in the public schools and others employed at fixed wages shall be payable semi-monthly.

Charter Amendment No. 31.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Chapter IV of Article III of the Charter of said City and County, relative to the payment of claims.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2nd day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

That Chapter IV of Article III be amended so as to read as follows:

Chapter IV—Payment of Claims.

Section 1. The salaries and compensation of all officers, including policemen, firemen and employees of all classes, and all teachers in the public schools and others employed at fixed wages, shall be payable semi-monthly. Any demand upon the treasury accruing under this Charter shall not be paid, but shall be forever barred by limitation of time, unless the same be presented for payment, properly audited, within one month after such demand became due and payable; or if it be a demand which must be passed and approved by the Supervisors or Board of Education, or by any other board, then within one month after the first regular meeting of the proper board held next after the demand accrued, or, unless the Supervisors shall, within six months after the demand accrued as aforesaid, on a careful examination of the facts, resolve that the same is in all respects just and legal and the presentation of it, as above required, was not in the power either of the original party interested or his agent or the present holder, in which case they may by ordinance revive such claim, but it shall be barred in the same manner unless presented for payment within twenty days thereafter. No valid demand arising subsequent to the claim which may be revived as aforesaid shall be rendered invalid by reason of such revival exhausting the fund out of which subsequent claims might otherwise be paid. Such revived claim shall take rank as of the day of its revival.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, the foregoing amendment was *approved* and ordered *submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Kent, Shannon—4.

Urgent Necessities, Two Per Cent of Budget.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved the approval and submission of the following proposed Charter amendment:

Amending Section 8 of Chapter I of Article III, as follows:

Section 8. The Board of Supervisors may make an appropriation in the budget of a sum not to exceed two per cent of the total appropriations made or provided for the general fund, to be used for urgent necessities to make up deficiencies in other appropriations made from or for such general fund. Sub-appropriations, expenditures or transfers to meet deficiencies shall not be made except from such appropriation, and only by an affirmative vote of fifteen Supervisors. No appropriation shall be made out of any income and revenues received in any year by the City and County in excess of the total sum made or provided for in the budget for the same year.

Discussion.

Supervisor Bath acted as chairman.

After the discussion of various proposed Charter amendments the following proceedings were had in regard to the following Charter amendment:

"Amending Section 8 of Chapter I of Article III, as follows:

"Section 8. The Board of Supervisors may make an appropriation in the budget of a sum not to exceed two per cent of the total approproations made or provided for the general fund, to be used for urgent necessities to make up deficiencies in other appropriations made from or for such general fund. Sub-appropriations, expenditures or transfers to meet deficiencies shall not be made except from such appropriation and only by an affirmative vote of fifteen Supervisors. No

appropriation shall be made out of any income and revenues received in any year by the City and County in excess of the total sum made or provided for in the budget for the same year."

The Chairman: Now, we are on No. 6, and Supervisor McSheehy has asked for some information on that proposed amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri: What is the information desired?

Supervisor McSheehy: I would like to know the reasons for placing that particular amendment on the ballot. I see, in this particular amendment, an increase in the tax rate.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Will you kindly indicate it?

Supervisor McSheehy: Let the Clerk read it.

(The Clerk reads proposed Charter amendment.)

Supervisor McSheehy: I am asking your reasons for placing that on the ballot.

Supervisor Roncovieri: You said it would increase the tax rate. Will you kindly indicate to me your thought in that matter so I can answer you specifically?

Supervisor McSheehy: What are your reasons for placing this amendment on the ballot?

Supervisor Roncovieri: My reason, first, is to follow very closely upon an argument that you, personally, have made upon this Board for years, that all moneys appropriated should be budgeted. This will produce that effect. I believe that your arguments in the past were sound, and if you still believe in your own arguments you will certainly support this. It provides, "No appropriation shall be made out of any income and revenues received in any year by the City and County in excess of the total sum made or provided for in the budget for the same year." You said it will increase the tax rate. I would like to know how. Under this amendment you would not have a grab-bag to play with, as you have charged has been done particularly in the past. Now, I do not know whether the citizens of this City know that there was a grab-bag to the extent of seven hundred thousand dollars held out on them last year, and that a tax rate was levied to produce that amount of money, indirectly.

Supervisor Havenner: In what year?

Supervisor Roncovieri: Last year, not this year. This year the so-called grab-bag which you kept out, from the figures I have, I do not think will exceed $200,000. That, added to the $100,000 of the urgent necessity fund, would make about $300,000 to lay with, as you have expressed it yourself. Now this would allow you $400,000—about $370,000—this year to play with. We call it that, although it is not fair, really, to say "to play with," because every dollar that has been taken from that so-called grab-bag has been, without doubt, honestly expended for the welfare of our city. But we should know in advance, if we have any vision, what improvements we want in the City and County of San Francisco. Our budget amounts to many million dollars, and we are going to levy a tax for that sum, but beyond that amount we should not go. However, in a large corporation, expending as much as $35,000,000 in any one year, it is very easily conceivable that something might happen, that some deficiency might occur here and there in some fund; that contingencies and eventualities may arise which no human mind could foresee.

Supervisor Gallagher: Like the Miami flood situation.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Things of that kind.

Supervisor Gallagher: How would you take care of that?

Supervisor Roncovieri: By increasing the emergency fund automatically by 2 per cent. Now, let me take your mind back to 1898, when this Charter was adopted. The total appropriations in the budget at that time amounted to about $4,000,000. That has gradually grown until it is $35,000,000 today. It was thought at that time that we should have a nest egg or an emergency fund of $100,000. Now, the relation of $100,000 to $4,000,000 was just about this 2 per cent that I speak of. But if $100,000 for eventualities was sufficient in 1898, surely it is not sufficient today. And the growth of our budget and the growth of the urgent necessity fund should go along parallel to each other, on a percentage basis, because it is easily conceivable that in ten years the budget may rise to $50,000,000. In that case $100,000 is out of all proportion to the amount of money that you are spending in your budget. To overcome the situation the Board, having no rule and no law, has held out sums running from $300,000 to $750,000. The Board has held that out. I would not call it a purposeful omission on the part of the Finance Committee. They underestimate annually that miscellaneous source

of revenue in an amount from $300,000 to $700,000. And if they can hold out $700,000 they can hold out ten times that sum, and they can keep holding out such sums on the people and levy taxes away beyond the necessities of government. And our successors may come along and they may say, "Well, let us hold out $1,000,000," and that might not be unreasonable ten or fifteen years from now. If you make it 2 per cent, it will gradually increase as the budget increases. At the present time it would amount to very close to $400,000 for these contingencies, and I think it is a sound financial way of preparing your budget, and it keeps the tax rate down to whatever is contained in the budget, and you do not hold out a certain amount of money, which can be appropriated during the course of the year, and which we know nothing about. Supervisor McSheehy, who has been on the Board many years, has often said that it was impossible for him to follow the recommendations and appropriations of the Finance Committee. They come in here and recommend that a certain thing be done, and another thing, and another, running into hundreds of thousands of dollars. There is nothing said about money from other sources, and naturally the Finance Committee—and I am not speaking of any individuals—can blow hot and cold with that money. They can favor some district with which they are friendly, they can do this and they can do that. You know that the motion is made, "I move that the reading of No. so and so"—that is the appropriations—"be dispensed with." We never read it except here and there, perhaps. Supervisor Marks may say, "I object to the payment of this particular item." We do not have time to read it all.

Supervisor Powers: We will not have time to get through with this calendar at all if a member gets up to make an explanation and takes half an hour.

Supervisor Roncovieri: What is the idea?

Supervisor Powers: I think you ought to put it in a short form. You are making a speech, going back to 1898.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Was I elected here to take my thoughts from you or was I elected to express my own thoughts?

Supervisor Colman: You are in order.

The Chairman: You have the floor.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I will shorten the speech, if it is a speech, I did not intend it as a speech. I could give a full explanation of all the details. If those who questioned me want further light I am ready to give it.

Supervisor Gallagher: Let us assume that the Board of Supervisors appropriate $50,000,000 for a piece of street work. It is found, after the bids are in, that the work is going to cost $60,000. Under your amendment, the money to take care of that deficiency would come from that 2 per cent fund by 15 votes of the Supervisors?

Supervisor Roncovieri: Yes, any deficiency may be made up, but only to that amount of 2 per cent. I want to make it as hard as I possibly can to spend the peoples' money beyond the amount that is budgeted. When the people come here and we discuss this budget and we levy a tax rate to meet the expenditure set forth in that budget, the people go home, believing that that is the minimum amount that we can get along with, or the maximum, either way, but the people do not know that we have held out, in our inside pockets, as I might say, as much as, in last year, $700,000 on them. Now I think that this proposed Charter amendment will cure that condition. It is even dishonest. Any large corporation, the Standard Oil Company, the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, or any large corporation that would find its finance committee—and I am not mentioning any names—say the finance committee of the Standard Oil Company, holding out several hundred thousand dollars on them and then turning around and saying, "We spent it for your good," the directors of that Standard Oil Company would say, "We want to know, in advance, what you are going to do. We will allow your fluctuation of plus and minus equal to 2 per cent, say, of all you spend." It is bad practice.

Supervisor Gallagher: According to your figures, instead of having an item of $100,000—leaving out the items that they play with—according to your figures, the committee would have this year $340,000 to play with, wouldn't they?

Supervisor Roncovieri: No, they would have a little more than that.

Supervisor Gallagher: All right, Supervisor. In other words, the urgent necessity fund, by 15 votes of the Supervisors, would it not be just as much of play fund in the hands of the Finance Committee? You increase a fund that is already there to over $340,000 and you in-

crease the tax rate by 2 or 3 cents. The commitee reserves two or three hundred thousand dollars for emergency, like if we want to help the people of Florida in its flood. Now where is the objection?

Supervisor Roncovieri: The other way it is in the tax rate just the same because you levy a tax rate, not counting the $750,000. You omit that, knowingly, and I might say dishonestly, you dishonestly hold out several hundred thousand dollars from the people. Now answering you, that $700,000 that they hold out is expended in a hit and miss fashion, without any knowledge of any member of this Board. Supervisor McSheehy, who has been on the Board a long time, said that he did not know of this until about four years after he had been a Supervisor. Therefore, you can see how cleverly it may be done, holding out on the people. Now, under my amendment that could not be done because any amount of money that is expended out of the urgent necessity fund is specially placed on our calendar.

Supervisor Welch: Is is possible for the Board to proceed with the uncontested amendments?

Supervisor Marks: We have done that already.

* * * * * * *

Supervisor Gallagher: I desire to make a motion. I move you that it be the sense of the Board that we remain in session until 1:30 on the subject of Charter amendments. These people have come here and they have sat here all morning. It is not fair to turn them away.

Supervisor Schmidt: I will second that motion.

Supervisor McSheehy: I think that I can make a statement here to Supervisor Roncovieri, a short statement.

The Chairman: Is there any objection? If not, such will be the order.

Supervisor Marks: I am sorry that I must leave, but I am scheduled to make an address at the St. Francis at 12:15, and I will not stay there for the luncheon, but will come back here just as soon as I get through talking.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will guarantee to speak three minutes by the clock. Supervisor Roncovieri and members of the Board——

Supervisor Roncovieri (interrupting): Are there any further questions first, so that I can conclude?

Supervisor Gallagher: Yes, there is one further question.

Supervisor McSheehy: Wont' you allow me to make a statement before the Supervisor leaves?

Supervisor Roncovieri: I have the floor, and I am going to answer all the questions at once and get done with it.

* * * * * * *

Supervisor McSheehy: Now, Supervisor Roncovieri, in reference to this particular amendment and members of the Board, I simply make this statement: This particular amendment, offered by you, Supervisor Roncovieri, will do three things: It will increase the urgent necessity fund from $100,000 to $340,000, using this year's budget figures. That will reflect in the tax rate to the amount of 3 3/7 cents of an increase. The Finance Committee submitted to you a statement of revenues received from other sources, figured right down, and you personally complimented me on that statement. I say to you there is no necessity of an amendment of this type at this time. It will positively increase your tax rate next year. I do not know what may be in the future, but I feel that the same Finance Committee will be in office next year, and they will submit to you the same itemization of revenues from other sources that you received this year. So I say to you, members of the Board, do not present an amendment to to the people of San Francisco that will positively increase your tax rate 3 3/7 cents. I do not want to go into any argument, I do not want to talk about the past. I have no desire to talk about the past. The past is gone. I would like to look to the future. I do not want to take up any further of your time.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I must reply to that because that is too serious.

* * * * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I want to make this statement before I leave, with respect to this amendment: When the amendment was before the Judiciary Committee it was my belief that, whatever action was taken by the Judiciary Committee, we would have the advice of the Finance Committee as to its legal effect and as to its financial effect, and it was only because of the fact that the Finance Committee was not at that time available—it was late at night—that the amendment was recommended or sent in to the Board, in order that this very discussion might take place. I do not desire to have it understood that I am in favor of this amendment. Frankly I do not know its full effect, nor did I know its full effect

until the matter was presented to the Board here for discussion by the Finance Committee. So this is not my recommendation. May I be excused, Mr. Chairman?

The Chairman: Yes.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I wish to correct the statement made by Supervisor McSheehy. He tells you that it will raise the tax rate 3 3-7 cents. He forgets to tell you that he has held out this year enough money to make up that 3 cents, which I do not blame him for, mark you, but he has held out somewhere between $250,000 and $300,000, if the figures are correct. Now, then, he has actually raised the tax rate 3 cents plus, and then he tells you that this will raise the tax rate. Now, if it is a good thing to do it secretly, it is a mighty good thing to do it publicly.

Supervisor McSheehy: It cannot be in secret if you have the information.

Supervisor Roncovieri: No, I have the information only by digging for it. The average citizen does not get it. You have held out practically the same amount of money that I propose to put into this urgent necessity fund, and play the game with the light of day upon you, and not in the dark, holding out 3 cents from the people, and then say, "This will raise it 3 cents." That is true, but you are holding out 3 cents and last year there was held 10 cents on the people. And I must repeat that I am not aiming at any individual or group of individuals on this Board, but the present members of this Board are going to pass on, as sure as God made little apples, and you don't know what your successors will do. The future will be no different from the past. You are holding out this 3 cents and your predecessors have held out as much as 10 cents, and you do not know what your successors will hold out. You can play with that money, you can make a grab-bag of it, you can jockey with it, you can say, "Now, Supervisor Todd, you are a good friend of mine, you can have $50,000 to repair certain streets." And perhaps Supervisor Gallagher has no friend on the Finance Committee and he cannot get a thing. That is wrong, it is dishonest. Let us openly and frankly and in the light of day say to the people, "We are going to tax you 3 cents." That is open. To do it secretly and then say that this will raise the tax rate, when it has already been raised, is unfair.

Supervisor Havenner: Supervisor Roncovieri was good enough to discuss this amendment with me some days ago and ask my opinion about it. I frankly told him that it was a matter on which I was not prepared to express an offhand opinion, and I confess now that I am not certain yet as to just what the effect of the amendment would be. It is a very radical alteration of our present fiscal system. I am in sympathy with the general purpose of the proposal, but I think it is of such importance that I wish it could be deferred until such time as we could have a scientific study made of our whole budget system. I am thoroughly in favor of that. I am thoroughly in favor of doing away, if we can in a practical manner, with the business of handling unbudgeted funds, but I think the Supervisors will appreciate that it is impossible to estimate exactly the amount of revenues for the year. If it is his purpose, by this amendment, to absorb into the tax rate all of the possible revenues during the year I am afraid that it cannot be done. I wish that the proposal could be deferred until perhaps two years from now, and in the meantime we will have a study made of our whole budget system and come before the Board with a comprehensive plan for revising this whole budget system. I will confess that I am so uncertain about this that I will hesitate to vote for it now.

The Chairman: I will advise the Board that I did not favor this in committee, and for that reason I am going to vote against it at this time, not that I am in any way trying to ask the members to vote one way or the other.

Supervisor Gallagher: Supervisor Roncovieri ought to get up and move that it be submitted to the people, or that it be thrown in the waste basket.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I more that it be submitted to the people.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will second the motion, out of courtesy, but I will vote no.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Kent, Marks, Shannon, Welch—6.

(*Supervisor Bath* explained that he had opposed this measure in committee and would vote *no.*)

Water Bonds.

Decreases bond limit for general purposes from 15 to 12 per cent of

taxable property. Excludes bonds heretofore or hereafter issued for water purposes from limitation.

Charter Amendment No. 29.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 9 of Article XII thereof, relating to the limitation of the amount of bonded indebtedness.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Section 9 of Article XII be amended so as to read as follows:

Section 9. No indebtedness shall be incurred for the acquisition of any public utilities under the provisions of this article, which, together with the existing bonded indebtedness of the City and County, shall exceed at any one time twelve per centum of the assessed value of all real and personal property in the City and County subject to taxation for City and County purposes; provided, however, that any existing bonded indebtedness created by the sale of any of the water bonds which were authorized by vote of the people on the 14th day of January, 1910, and of the water bonds which were authorized by vote of the people on the 7th day of October, 1924, together with any bonded indebtedness which may hereafter arise from the sale of bonds hereafter to be authorized for water supply purposes exclusively, including the acquisition of a water distribution system, shall be exclusive of the bonded indebtedness of the City and County limited by this section, and any bonded indebtedness heretofore created under the provisions of Section 29a of Article XVI of the Charter in aid of the exposition to celebrate the completion of the Panama Canal, which particular exposition bonds were excluded from the bond limits prescribed by said Section 9 by an amendment of the section ratified by the people in November, 1910, and approved by the Legislature February 17, 1911, shall continue to be exclusive of the bonded indebtedness of the City and County limited by this section.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Powers, the foregoing amendment was *approved* and ordered *submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner Hayden. McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Kent, Marks, Shannon —5.

Retirement Allowance to Certain Teachers.

All teachers retired from service under the law of 1913, who are not now drawing a pension, shall be entitled to receive retirement allowance.

Charter Amendment No. 34.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Article XVII of the Charter of said City and County by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Section 9, relating to retirement of certain teachers retired under the State Law.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

That a new section be added to Article XVII, to be numbered Section 9, to read as follows:

Section 9. All teachers who were retired from service in the public schools of San Francisco, under the provisions of the law of 1913, establishing the California Public School Teachers' Retirement Salary Fund and who are not now drawing a pension under the provisions of Article XVII, Section 8 of this Charter, shall be entitled to and shall receive a retirement allowance, to be calculated on the same basis as that established in the said section for determining the retirement allowances provided for in said section.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, the foregoing amendment was *approved* and ordered *submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Kent, Marks, Shannon —5.

Salaries of Mayor and Supervisors.

After July 1, 1927, the salary of Mayor to be $12,000 annually, and salaries of Supervisors one-third that of Mayor.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Charter Amendment No. 32.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 1 of Chapter I of Article IV, relating to salary of the Mayor and by amending Section 2 of Chapter I of Article II, relating to the salary of the members of the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Section 1 of Chapter I of Article IV be amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. The chief executive officer of the City and County shall be designated the Mayor. He shall be an elector of the City and County at the time of his election, and must have been such for at least five years next preceding such time. He shall be elected by the people to hold office for four years. He shall receive an annual salary of $12,000. All of the appointees to positions in the Mayor's office shall hold their positions at the pleasure of the Mayor.

That Section 2 of Chapter I of Article II be amended so as to read as follows:

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors shall consist of eighteen members, all of whom shall hold office for four years and be elected from the City and County at large. Each one must be at the time of his election an elector of the City and County and must have been such for at least five years next preceding his election. Each Supervisor shall receive a salary equal to one-third of that of the Mayor.

Every person who has served as Mayor of the City and County, so long as he remains a resident thereof, shall be entitled to a seat in the Board of Supervisors and to participate in its debates, but shall not be entitled to a vote nor to any compensation.

This amendment shall take effect July 1, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, seconded by Supervisor Todd, the foregoing amendment was *approved* and ordered *submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—12.

No—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Kent, Marks, Shannon —5.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Havenner explained his vote by saying: "I am fully in accord with the idea that the salary of the Mayor of this City should be increased to a figure that would be somewhat commensurate with the salary paid by other municipalities of equal size throughout the country. I also believe that the members of this Board of Supervisors have given a service that is not at all compensated for by the present standard of salaries.

"During my brief period on this Board I have seen the amount of time my colleagues have devoted to this work here and I know that most of them have devoted a greater part of their time during all this year to the official business of this City. I believe that the salary of the members of the Board of Supervisors is neither fair or just or in accordance with the standard of salaries paid for similar service elsewhere.

"I have a feeling, however, as a member of the Finance Committee, which laid down the policy this year in accordance with our program of economy, that we would not raise a single salary in the City government in order to make good our pledge to the people, and I cannot make my first official act in the submission of Charter amendments an appeal for the raising of my own salary. I say this in entire frankness, because I don't want to embarrass the submission of this amendment and I don't want to embarrass my colleagues who don't agree with me. I am going to agree that the amendment is meritorious; I am going to say frankly that if it is submitted at some future day I will vote for it, but I am merely going to be recorded as voting "NO" at this time because I don't believe I can consistently vote for it."

Civil Service—Playgrounds.

Makes employees of the Playground Commission subject to Civil Service provisions and those employed one year are appointed thereunder.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Proposed Charter Amendment No. 36.

That Subdivision a of Section 11, Article XIII, be amended so as to read as follows:

Section 11, Subdivision a. The provisions of this article shall apply

to the following offices and departments of the City and County:

The County Clerk, the Assessor, the Tax Collector, the Sheriff, the Auditor, the Recorder, the Treasurer, the Coroner, the clerks and stenographers of the Justices' and Police courts, the Board of Public Works, the Police Department, the Fire Department, the Board of Election Commissioners, the Board of Health, the Department of Electricity, the Board of Supervisors, the Civil Service Commission and Playground Commission, and all boards and departments controlling public utilities, but the following deputies, clerks and employees in said offices and departments shall be exempted therefrom:

The chief deputy of the County Clerk; the chief deputy and the deputy designated as the cashier of the Assessor; the chief deputy and the cashier of the Tax Collector; two deputies of the Sheriff designated as the under-sheriff and the attorney; the deputy Auditor; the chief deputy of the Recorder; four employees of the Treasurer designated as the cashier, the chief deputy, one assistant deputy designated as a bond deputy and one clerk; the chief deputy and the autopsy physician of the Coroner; the City Engineer; the secretary of the Board of Public Works; the City Architect; the Registrar of the Board of Election Commissioners; the Justices' Clerk; the Chief of Police; the chief engineer and secretary of the Fire Department; the chief of the Department of Electricity; the clerk of the Board of Supervisors; superintendent of the Playground Commission; all physicians appointed by the Board of Health; persons appointed by the Mayor under the authority of this Charter; the chief deputy and the head of any bureau or department created by this Charter or by ordinance; the chief examiner and special examiners appointed by the Civil Service Commission, and persons employed by the commission to exercise the authority conferred by Section 14 of this article; the manager or superintending head of each public utility; attorneys and physicians employed to perform duties included in their profession; persons employed by the Board of Supervisors for temporary service in positions requiring high technical skill; persons employed in positions having a confidential relation to the head of the department in which the employment is held, but not more than one such position shall be established in any department; persons employed on public works outside the City and County. Any person who has served in any position or employment under the Playground Commission, except in those positions hereinbefore excepted from the provisions of this article, for a period of one year continously prior to the approval of this amendment and who shall be actually employed in any of said offices at such time is hereby declared to be appointed within the provisions of Article XIII of this Charter to the position to which he may be assigned and shall be entitled to all the benefits of said article thereafter.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Wage-Fixing Powers.

Vests in the Supervisors power to fix compensation of all city employess, pending the adoption of report on standardization of salary.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Charter Amendment No. 38.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Chapter II of Article II, relating to the wage-fixing powers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

That a new section be added to Chapter II of Article II, to be designated Section 15, and to read as follows:

Section 15. The Charter salary and wage-fixing powers heretofore existing and remaining in force and effect pending the adoption by the Supervisors of classification and compensation schedules, as provided in Section 14 of this Charter, are, upon the ratification of this amendment by the Legislature transferred to the Board of Supervisors; and pending the adoption of such classification and compensation schedules the Supervisors shall have power and authority, and it shall be their duty, to fix salaries and wages for all officers and employees of all the departments, offices, boards and commissions that were intended to be, or hereafter may become, subject to the provisions of said Section 14; provided, however, that this amendment shall not operate to lower existing salaries or wages or adversely affect the civil service ratings and duties of persons holding office or employment under the

City and County at the time of the adoption of this amendment.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Hospital Service.

Authorizes the Supervisors to provide a hospital system for municipal public utility employees, cost to be divided between employees and City.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Charter Amendment No. 39.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new article thereto, to be designated Article VIII, relating to hospital service for employees of municipal public utilities.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

That a new article be added to the Charter, to be designated Article XVIII, and to read as follows:

Article XVIII.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County is empowered under the conditions set forth herein, on a vote of fourteen members and the approval of the Mayor, to establish a hospitalization system and to provide for hospital benefits for municipal employees of any public utility system heretofore or hereafter established or operated by the City and County. The said employees shall become members thereof and shall contribute one-half the cost of the maintenance of such hospitalization system, not to exceed the sum of one dollar per month, and the said City and County shall furnish the other half of the cost thereof. Membership in said hospitalization system shall be compulsory. The public utility to which the employee is attached or by which he is employed shall be charged by the City and County of San Francisco with one-half of the cost of the membership of each member of the hospitalization system employed by said utility or attached thereto. A board of administration is hereby created, consisting of the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, the Auditor, the City Attorney and four members to be elected from the active members of the hospitalization system; such appointees shall serve without compensation. They shall be appointed by the Mayor within sixty days from the taking effect of this amendment. Members other than ex officio members shall so classify themselves by lot that one term shall expire each year. The board of administration may establish such rules and regulations as it may deem proper, shall elect one of its members president and appoint a secretary, and may appoint such other employees as may be necessary. Such appointments shall be made under the provisions of Article XIII of this Charter. The board of administration shall be the sole authority to judge, under such general ordinances as may be adopted by the Supervisors, as to the conditions under which persons may be admitted to benefits of any sort under this system, and shall have exclusive control of the administration thereof. The Board of Supervisors is hereby fully empowered by a majority vote of the members to enact any and all ordinances necessary to carry into effect the provisions of this article. The board of administration shall have full and complete charge of all moneys contributed by the City and County and said employees, including any interest which might be earned thereon, and that said moneys shall be deposited in a special fund to be known as the "Hospital Fund."

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

RECESS.

Thereupon, the Board, at the hour of 1:35 p. m., recessed until 3 p. m. for further consideration of Charter amendments.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 20, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of June 7, 14 and 21,

1926, were considered read and *approved.*

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Committee to Represent San Francisco at Philadelphia's Sesqui-Centennial Exposition.

San Francisco, Cal.,
September 15, 1926.

Hon. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan:

I am in receipt of your letter of September 14th, advising me that on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Board of Supervisors has authorized me to appoint three members of that Board to accompany the Mayor to Philadelphia to attend San Francisco Day at the Sesqui-Centennial Exposition, October 20, 1926.

It gives me pleasure to appoint the following Supervisors to accompany me: Warren Shannon, Andrew J. Gallagher, J. Emmett Hayden.

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

California State Agricultural Society, Letter of Thanks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Chas. W. Paine, secretary-manager California State Agricultural Society, expressing on behalf of the board of directors of said organization its expression of appreciation for the efforts of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors in installing the San Francisco County exhibit at the 1926 California State Fair.

Ordered *filed.*

Modesto Power Contract Approved.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Building Trades Council advising of the adoption of a resolution by said Council endorsing the stand of the Board of Supervisors in the matter of selling Hetch Hetchy power to the city of Modesto and requesting that there be no rescinding of its action on the part of the Board of Supervisors.

Ordered *filed.*

Modesto Power Contract.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from J. F. Kelly, secretary Central Council of Civic Clubs, requesting that the Mayor's veto be sustained in the matter of Modesto power contract or consideration postponed until such time as the Board can have the opinion of a disinterested expert.

Ordered *filed.*

Investigation of Sanitary Fill for Garbage Disposal.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from John N. Edy, city manager, Berkeley, California, extending to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors and to the City Engineer an invitation to visit Berkeley for the purpose of inspecting its sanitary fill method of garbage and refuse disposal as it has been conducted in the city of Berkeley for the past two years.

Referred to Health Committee.

Leave of Absence, Wm. C. Hassler, Health Officer.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
September 19, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Hon. William C. Hassler, member of the Department of Public Health Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days commencing October 5, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Sept. 17, 1926.

The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Article XVI, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I herewith respectfully request permission to absent myself from the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California for a period of thirty days from October 5, 1926, for the purpose of attending as a representative of the City of San Francisco, the 55th annual meeting of the American Public Health Association, to be held in the city of Buffalo, New York, during the month of October, 1926.

In conformity with the Charter provisions, I have this day forwarded a similar communication to

His Honor James Rolph, Jr., Mayor
City and County of San Francisco.

Yours very truly,
WILLIAM C. HASSLER,
Health Officer.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26168 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. William C. Hassler, Health Officer, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 5, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Leave of Absence, T. Reardon, President Board of Public Works.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
September 20, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Timothy A. Reardon, president of the Board of Public Works, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty-five (35) days commencing September 25, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26169 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. Timothy A. Reardon, President of the Board of Public Works, be granted a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State, for a period of thirty-five days, commencing September 25, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Leave of Absence, A. F. Mahony, Police Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
September 15, 1926.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable A. F. Mahoney, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of two weeks, commencing September 15, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26167 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable A. F. Mahony, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing September 1, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Forage.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing forage, farinaceous products that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing October 1, 1926, and ending September 30, 1927, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Electrical Supplies.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing electrical supplies required for the City and County and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Florida Disaster.

The Clerk read a telegram sent by Mayor Rolph to the Mayor of Miami, Florida, in which he expressed sympathy to the people in the district which was struck by a tornado with a large loss of life and property.

The Mayor stated that San Francisco desires to assist in whatever way, although, due to the great distance from the scene of the disaster, it would not be feasible to send food and medicinal supplies, and asking to be advised as to how San Francisco may best be of service.

The Clerk also read a message from Wm. C. Edmond, president of

the Red Cross, in which he stated that the President has just issued a proclamation in which he stated that such assistance as is within the means of the executive department of the government will be rendered, but he calls upon the American people to render whatever assistance they can to the stricken district.

The request was made that contributions be sent to the National Red Cross or turned over to local Red Cross organizations to be forwarded.

The Red Cross has appropriated the sum of $100,000 for relief purposes.

On the suggestion of the Mayor and motion of Supervisor McSheehy the chairman of the Finance Committee was authorized to get in touch with the president of the local Red Cross chapter and ascertain and report to the Board at as early a date as possible what, in their judgment, they think San Francisco should do.

Before the Board adjourned Supervisor Todd introduced a resolution which would authorize the appropriation of $10,000 toward the Florida relief fund. It was referred to the Finance Committee.

Mayor's Veto, S. P. vs, Golden Gate Ferry, Quo Warranto Proceedings.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 26059, requesting the City Attorney, in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, to call upon the Attorney-General of this State, that he, in behalf of the State, immediately commence quo warranto proceedings against the Southern Pacific Company for the purpose of restraining the establishment and operation of a ferry across the Bay of San Francisco between the City of Alameda and the City and County of San Francisco until said franchise and certfiicate of public convenience and necessity have been obtained in accordance with law; and further requesting the City Attorney to file, in the name of the City, with the State Railroad Commission a written request that said Commission accept and file no rate or time schedules from Southern Pacific Company covering the operation of said ferry until the legal right of Southern Pacific Company to establish and operate said ferry be determined.

Reconsideration.

Supervisor Shannon, in accordance with notice given at last meeting, moved that the vote on the foregoing matter, whereby the Mayor's veto was sustained, be reconsidered.

Motion *carried*.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the question "Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?", and the veto was *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson—2.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the following matter was *continued for one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.*:

Mayor's Veto, S. P. vs. Golden Gate Ferry, Action to Intervene.

Consideration of Mayor's veto, dated September 2d, of Resolution No. 26100, requesting the City Attorney to intervene in action against Southern Pacific Company in behalf of the Golden Gate Ferry Company and A. O. Stewart, in action to restrain said Southern Pacific Company from establishing vehicular ferry between San Francisco and Alameda.

Ferries to Be Investigated.

Supervisor Kent presented a resolution authorizing, empowering and instructing the City Attorney to make such investigation of the methods of the passenger transportation on the ferry boats between San Francisco and Marin County and refer the result of his investigations to the Board.

The resolution calls particular attention to the carrying of automobiles on passenger ferries.

Four Mayors on Platform.

At this meeting of the Board Mayor Rolph presented two ex-mayors and one mayor, viz.: ex-Mayor P. H. McCarthy of San Francisco, his Worship Honorable A. Levy, ex-mayor of the city of Ballarat, a city just a short distance outside of the city of Melbourne, and Honorable Sol. P. Ellias, mayor of the city of Modesto.

Ex-Mayor Levy of Ballarat stated he has been a member of the council of his city for twenty-four years and has served three times as mayor of the city, now being a member of the city council.

Modesto Irrigation District Agreement.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Ordinance No. 7232 (New Series), authorizing, empowering and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into an agreement with Modesto Irrigation District covering

the furnishing of certain hydro-electric energy of Moccasin Creek Power Plant for stand-by service.

September 13, 1926, *presented to the Board, laid over and postponed for hearing until this day.*

The question being "Shall the ordinance pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?"

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment the apotion of the following resolution:

Resolved, That, in view of the radical difference in opinion on the subject of whether the City will lose or gain financially in the proposed contract with the Modesto Irrigation District, the Finance Committee is authorized to secure the services of an independent engineer to examine the figures and to make known his conclusions at the next meeting of this Board of Supervisors; that the vote on the veto of the Mayor be postponed one week and be made a Special Order of Business next Monday, September 27th, at 3 p. m.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden—5.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Gallagher: Mr. Chairman, I would like this inserted in the record. I have tried very hard to secure more information than I have had on the subject of this controversy. I voted for the contract in the first instance. My mind is still somewhat beclouded, yet I have not sufficient information to change my vote. I vote *aye*.

Mayor Rolph, in supporting his veto, stated that he did not think the price to be paid by the Modesto Irrigation District was enough.

He also told the Board that his veto message had been concurred in by Hon. Matt I. Sullivan, member of the Hetch Hetchy Advisory Committee, and who assisted him in the preparation of the veto message.

He had been guided, he said, to a great extent by the recommendation of the City Engineer, and Mr. Eckert, Assistant City Engineer, made a lengthy presentation of figures and facts, based upon records of the Board of Public Works and from the books of Mr. Bullock and the Auditor, in order to show the actual cost of production and thus the amount Modesto should pay for service under the Raker Act.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the "question" and the Mayor's veto *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden—3.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26170 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance covering Park employees (claim dated Sept. 3, 1926), $597.41.

Special School Tax.

(2) Dalziel-Moller Co., iron tanks furnished Polytechnic High School (clami dated Aug. 26, 1926), $774.18.

(3) J. H. McCallum, lumber furnished for school buildings (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $598.08.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(4) G. Albert Langsburgh, final payment, architectural services for Alvarado School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $2,569.72.

(5) Amorosi & Damico, fourth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $17,250.

(6) Wm. J. Bays, final payment, mechanical equipment for Le Conte School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $4,842.91.

(7) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing work for Le Conte School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $3,920.75.

(8) Standard Electric Construction Co., final payment, electrical work for Le Conte School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $2,676.94.

(9) The Scott Company, final payment, mechanical equipment for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $4,606.

(10) Jas. L. McLaughlin, tenth payment, general construction of Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $21,937.50.

(11) A. Lettich, fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $2,339.25.

(12) H. A. Porter, second payment, electrical work for Henry Du

rant School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,008.

(13) Latourette-Fical Co., sixth payment, mechanical equipment, Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,050.46.

(14) Macdonald & Kahn, thirteenth payment, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $37,135.67.

(15) A. Lettich, first payment, mechanical equipment for Guadalupe School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,143.75.

(16) A. Lettich, sixth payment, plumbing work for Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $782.92.

(17) Barrett & Hilp, final payment, general construction of Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $59,962.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(18) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $4,225.

(19) Sonora Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 25. 1926), $2,306.60.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(20) Capital Decorating and Manufacturing Co., decoration of streets for account visit of U. S. Fleet, yachting regatta, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $2,500.

(21) Philip H. Sapiro, music furnished for reception of U. S. Fleet, yachting regatta, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $566.50.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(22) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., sixteenth and final payment, construction of submarine pipe line in Dumbarton Straits, etc., Hetch Hetchy Water construction (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $74,559.28.

(23) The Spring Valley Water Co., placing rock on road in connection with trestle construction, pipe installation, etc., for Bay Division of Hetch Hetchy aqueduct (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $606.10.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(24) Leonard F. Youdall and R. R. Clifford, third payment, construction of tunnel etc, under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $39,786.45.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(25) Van Emon Elevator Co., final payment, installation of elevator for High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,174.88.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(26) Bond Construction Co., ninth payment, general contract, Hawthorne School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $65,117.30.

(27) Latourette-Fical Co., tenth payment, mechanical equipment for New Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $2,010.55.

(28) J. E. O'Mara, first payment, mechanical equipment for West Portal School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,561.69.

(29) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, plumbing work for Edison School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926). $1,226.01.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(30) J. W. Burtchaell, second payment, electric fixtures for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Sept 1, 1926), $2,962.90.

(31) F. W. Snook Co., nineteenth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $3,275.85.

(32) John Reid, Jr., twenty-third payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,012.09.

Special School Tax.

(33) S. W. Band, fifth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $2,220.

(34) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, second payment, architectural services, addition to Madison School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,800.

(35) P. J. Enright, third payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $2,971.37.

(36) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing work for Parkside School (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $1,536.90.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(37) Elliot & Grant, first payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $3,159.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(38) City Construction Co., fourth payment, improvement of Kearny street, Market street to Columbus avenue (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $4,700.

(39) Louis J. Cohn, third payment, construction of sewers, westerly Sunset District, Section "C" (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $10,650.

(40) Clarence B. Eaton, third payment, construction of sewers, west-

erly Sunset District, Section "A" (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $10,500.

(41) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose, Fire Dept. (claim dated June 30, 1926), $19,680.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(42) Daniel J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $750.

(43) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 26, 1926), $506.11.

(44) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, imopunding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $1,250.

(45) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $14,304.40.

Installation Fund—Department of Electricity.

(46) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for use of Department of Electricity (claim dated July 31, 1926), $1,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $11,500, Payment to Frank Labrucherie for Lots Required for the Extension of Park Street.

Resolution No. 26171 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $11,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Frank Labrucherie for all of Lots 3 and 4 in Block F, according to map entitled "Map showing subdivision of the French and Gilman Tract," filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, Books "E" and "F" of Maps, page 48; said property being required for the opening and extension of Park street (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Appropriations, Repairs to Engineer's Cottage, Relief Home, and Removal of Sand From Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Resolution No. 26172 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Engineers Cottage, Relief Home, Budget Item No. 63.

(1) For repairs to the Engineer's cottage at the Relief Home, by the Dept. of Public Works, $1,000.

County Road Fund.

(2) For removal of sand from Thirtyeighth avenue between Irving and Judah streets and Irving street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues, $1,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26173 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(1) The Giant Powder Company, Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $8,082.50.

(2) Hercules Powder Company, explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $4,916.97.

(3) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, two mine cages for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,068.

(4) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,238.75.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $701.36.

(6) Standard Oil Company of California, oils, candles, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $841.30.

(7) A. M. Scott Tire Company, tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $579.77.

(8) Tansey-Crowe Co., tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $609.56.

(9) U. S. Machinery and Steel Company, two Ford-Edison gas locomotives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,000.

(10) Western Meat Company, foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $551.04.

(11) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs and butter, Hetch Hetchy construc-

tion (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $591.50.

Hetch Hetch Power Operative Fund.

(12) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., repairs to hydraulic cylinder for Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Sept. 3, 1926), $1,560.50.

Special School Tax.

(13) Wm. Bateman, millwork, etc., for school repairs (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $623.50.

(14) George H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies for repair of school buildings (claim dated Sept. 1, 1926), $677.82.

School Bonds, 1918.

(15) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., chairs for High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,036.

School Bonds, 1923.

(16) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Alamo School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(17) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for the Dudley Stone School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(18) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for the Hawthorne School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(19) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Lafayette School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(20) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Le Conte School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(21) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Sanchez School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $715.50.

(22) Mahony Bros., final paymentment, general construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $10,000.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(23) R. W. Kinney Co., first payment, steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $2,525.87.

(24) Hales & Symons, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $1,204.34.

(25) R. W. Kinney Co., black pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $3,070.72.

(26) Standard Oil Co., oils and gasoline, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $611.50.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(27) H. G. Butler, monthly retaining fee in connection with severance damage report in valuation of Pacific Gas and Electric and Great Western Power companies' properties (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $612.50.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(28) Fred D. Bullock, services rendered, auditing accounts of 1910 Water Bonds (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $625.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(29) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense incurred for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $965.33.

Park Fund.

(30) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for Yacht Harbor construction (claim dated Sept. 10, 1926), $776.25.

(31) Robinson Tractor Co., overhauling, furnishing fittings, etc., for tractor (claim dated Sept. 10, 1926), $663.89.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(32) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1926) $1,953.01.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(33) P. Montague, Pulgas Road construction in connection with grading of site for Tuberculosis Sanitarium (claim dated Sept. 4, 1926), $1,458.83.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(34) Cyclops Iron Works, water cooling equipment for new Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $1,190.

(35) G. V. Godin, first payment, alterations and additions to Superintendent's residence, Reilef Home (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,309.06.

(36) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for new Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 4, 1926), $992.50.

Special School Tax.

(37) Standard Electrical Construction Co., third payment, electrical work for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,783.81.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(38) F. Boeken, reimbursement for expenditures of Superintendent and Chief Clerk, Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $1,000.

(39) Mann Manufacturing Co., Municipal Railway car equipment (claim dated Sept. 3, 1926), $562.35.

(40) Machod and United States Signal Co., Inc., equipment for four blocks, two light signals for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 3, 1926), $1,156.40.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(41) J. R. Miller, final payment,

architectural services for Alamo School (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $2,498.14.

(42) Crown Electric Co., ninth payment, electrical work for New Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,985.22.

(43) Burnham Plumbing Co., ninth payment, plumbing, etc., for New Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,298.68.

(44) E. H. Flagg Scenic Co., final payment, stage fittings, etc., for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,840.62..

(45) W. H. Picard, nineteenth payment, mechanical equipment for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $18,637.32.

(46) Herman Lawson, sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $1,240.85.

(47) Aetna Electric Co., third payment, electric work for Hawthorne School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,661.02.

(48) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, mechanical equipment for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $1,501.12.

(49) Bakewell & Brown, fifth payment, architectural services for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Sept. 8, 1926), $2,428.18.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(50) Dudley B. Perkins, twelve motorcycles for Police Dept. (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $4,526.

(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water supplied for street repair (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,668.24.

(52) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $747.50.

(53) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $880.75.

(54) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,249.09.

(55) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $680.82.

(56) Haas Bros., sugar for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,120.

(57) H. Moffat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $627.18.

(58) Morris & Co., butter and cheese for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,119.16.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,979.04.

(60) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $810.

(61) Louis Straus, men's suits for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $675.

(62) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,569.33.

(63) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $1,075.

(64) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium during August (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $3,507.48.

(65) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $2,656.05.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Appropriations, Equipment for Relief Home; Hauling and Laying High Pressure Water Mains.

Resolution No. 26174 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(1) For purchase of equipment required for the new Relief Home, including dish trucks, dishwasher, pedestal, beds, peeler, scale and medical equipment, $4,041.02.

Extension of High Pressure System, Southern District.

(2) For hauling and laying cast iron high-pressure water mains and appurtenances in the Butchertown district, including inspection, extras and additional manifold (contract awarded Schultz Construction Co. at $45,656.50), $51,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26175 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. Liedwano be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Chas. Linnance by Resolution No. 24118 (New Series) for premises on the northwest corner of San Bruno avenue and Hale street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Tax Levy—1926-1927.

Bill No. 7739, Ordinance No. 7251 (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, execpt such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and sixty-six hundredths (3.66) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and sixty-six hundredths (3.66) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of..... $0.949400

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School departments, other than the special school building tax hereinafter provided for, the rate of ..... .225300

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay the demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, and demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of..... .824300

For the City and County Elementary School Fund, the minimum City and County school tax for the elementary schools to equal the estimated minimum for such purposes furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools, in in accordance with the provisions of Section 1817 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of .. .. .180000

For the City and County High School Fund, the minimum City and County high school tax to equal the estimated minimum for such purpose furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools in accordance with the provisions of Section 1764 of the Political Code of the State ..... .100400

For a special school tax for the School Building Fund, the rate of.... .130000

For the Common School Fund, in addition to the minimum amounts for elementary schools and high schools, and the special school tax for School Building Fund hereinbefore provided, the rate of ..... .424030

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of .. .. .034700

For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, the rate of .. .. .100000

For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of ..... .050400

For special tax levied for publicity and advertising, pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section

4041 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of	008110
For Retirement System for City Employees	.090000
For Playground Fund	.049300
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund	.009100
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund	.009100
For maintenance of the blind, Statutes 1919, Chapter 144	.002230
For maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium	.005930
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:	
Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904	$0.002939
Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904	.001293
Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904	.001185
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.025687
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.015459
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.018790
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.007325
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.004112
Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.005070
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:	
Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904	$0.005680
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918	.038959
Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904	.008446
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.007642
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.010399
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.017004
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.007190
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.002646
Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910	.004786
Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910	.125463
City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912	.070359
Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912	.042082
Hospital-Jail Completion Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1913	.016454
Relief Home Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund	.006230
Hetch Hetchy Water Bond, 1925 issue, Interest Fund	.000860
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of each of the following final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California, numbered on the records of said court, respectively: S. F. Nos. 10320, 10379, 10738, 10749, 10746, 10762, 10780, 10800, 10829, 10799, 10790, 10791, 11170, 11228, 11231, 11247, 11251, 11742, 11706 11754 and L. A. 7823. And in the District Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, numbered on the records of said court, respectively: Nos. 4309, 4312, 4317, 4318, 4319, 4320, 4321, 4339, 4345, 4347, 4709, 4744, 4646, 4647, 4750, and 4757, and S. F. Superior Courts Nos. 160354 and 160701	.021640
Total	$3.660000

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Reconstruction and Repair of Accepted Streets.

Bill No. 7741, Ordinance No. 7252 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $126,180. payable out of the hereinafter designated budget items for the fiscal year 1926-1927, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Budget Item No.		
89	Franklin street, Vallejo to Broadway	$ 5,400
103	Jones street, Bush to Pine	3,600
125	Leavenworth street, Broadway to Jackson, resurfacing	4,500
136	Guerrero street, Twentieth street south	40,500
127	Lombard street, Larkin to Polk	5,400
142	Clay street, Hyde to Leavenworth and Powell to Mason	10,800
128	Mason street, Vallejo to Pacific	7,200
110	Taylor street, Pine to Sutter	9,000
133	Washington street, Franklin to Gough	4,500
113	Baker street, Vallejo to Green	4,500
118	Divisadero street, Filbert to Greenwich	5,940
101	Harriet street, Harrison to Brannan	4,500
134	Twenty-ninth street, Valencia street westerly, resurfacing	3,600
112	Willard street, Belmont to Parnassus	3,240
132	Vallejo street, Van Ness avenue to Hyde	13,500
		$126,180

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Amendment, Tunnel Procedure Ordinance.

Bill No. 7740, Ordinance No. 7253 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 54 of Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), known as "The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance" of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 of Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), known as "The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance" of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 54. Payments made pursuant to Section 25 and Section 51 of this ordinance shall, in either case, be first approved by the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors upon the approval of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on California Street.

Bill No. 7742, Ordinance No. 7254 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Widths of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section No. 884 thereof, width of sidewalks on California street between Powell street and Presidio avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended by

amending Section No. 884 to read as follows:

Section 884. The width of sidewalks on California street between Powell street and Presidio avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $69,457.96, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $1,042.02.

County Road Fund.

(2) Guerin Brothers, grading Capitol avenue between Thrift street and Lakeview avenue, including crossings (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $6,570.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(3) John J. Dailey, legal services for September, re valuation of San Francisco electric properties before the Railroad Commission (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $850.

(4) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for September, re valuation of San Francisco electric properties before the Railroad Commission (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $750.

(5) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense for account of valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of September, 1926 (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $1,000.

Auditorium Fund.

(6) Canners League of California, refund of deposit as bond for the occupancy of the Auditorium, January, 1927 (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $1,000.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(7) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways during August, 1926 (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $37,718.29.

(8) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for employees of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $6,966.41.

(9) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $1,987.42.

(10) Market Street Railway Company, electric power furnished Municipal Railways, August, 1926 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $3,232.53.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(11) George T. Clancy and Clara Clancy, his wife, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries sustained by Gertrude Clancy and other injuries sustained as result of accident (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926) $1,000.

(12) C. H. L. Turner and Louisa D. Turner, compromise agreement for personal injuries sustained as result of accident (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $6,000.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(13) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,343.70.

(14) Peter Colvey, sixth payment, for account of injuries received (claim dated Sept. 10, 1926), $535.26.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(15) Clinton Construction Co., twentieth payment, general construction, new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $23,182.50.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) F. L. Hansen, seventh payment, general construction, Henry

Durant School (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $19,069.53.

(17) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $1,700.23.

(18) O. Monson, first payment, general construction, Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $11,223.75.

(19) O. Monson, fourth payment, general construction, West Portal School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $10,593.90.

(20) M. E. Ryan, final payment, electrical work, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $2,147.49.

(21) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, electrical work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $855.92.

Library Fund.

(22) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $700.

(23) McKee & Wentworth, catalog cases for public libraries (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $769.05.

(24) San Francisco News Co., books for public libraries (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $3,121.23.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(25) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., sixth and final payment for making core borings along Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Coast Range Division (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $8,804.53.

(26) R. W. Kinney Co., second payment, wrought steel pipe for Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $2,531.85.

Special School Tax.

(27) O. Monson, fifth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $29,092.50.

(28) C. H. Peterson, sixth payment, general construction of annex to Parkside School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $6,562.80.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(29) Spring Valley Water Co., resetting and installing hydrants in connection with widening of Clement street from First to Twelfth avenues (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $617.50.

Playground Fund.

(30) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,503.15.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(31) J. H. Zemansky, Registrar of Voters, postage for sample ballots and returns, general election (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $11,700.

(32) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $8,018.30.

(33) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $905.

(34) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $8,442.46.

(35) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,628.94.

(36) Protestant Orphanage Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,120.

(37) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $4,038.70.

(38) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,613.11.

(39) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,353.94.

(40) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $11,738.57.

(41) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $28,409.20.

(42) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $4,335.79.

(43) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors, State Schools (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $501.36.

(44) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, State Schools (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $960.64.

(45) The Envelope Corporation, envelopes for Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 3, 1926), $744.

(46) J. H. Zemansky, auto expense, etc., for account of Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $524.75.

(47) California Printing Co., printing index, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $21,938.73.

(48) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Police Department (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $1,355.41.

(49) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $1,343.67.

(50) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $2,233.25.

(51) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $1,810.28.

(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings

(claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $1,-976.18.

(53) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $3.380.22.

(54) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public streets during months of July and August, 1926 (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $104,-427.70.

(55) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $1,297.57.

(56) Fred S. Campbell Co., kitchen equipment for new Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $512.90.

(57) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowances for employees of the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,256.95.

(58) California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,185.42.

(59) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $650.

(60) J. H. Newbauer & Co., foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,-082.48.

(61) H. Moffat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,066.35.

(62) Union Sheep Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $602.51.

(63) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1.958.96.

(64) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $745.22.

(65) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1.983.94.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospital buildings (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,-549.96.

(67) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $1,554.29.

(68) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil furnished Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $1,760.52.

(69) Spring Valley Water Co., water supplied Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $834.50.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(70) The Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for the new Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $992.50.

(71) The Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for the new Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $693.

Appropriation, $2,200, Payment to Robt. L. Rauer for Land on Twenty-third Avenue, Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Robert L. Rauer; being payment for lands on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 236 feet 10 inches northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue; thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet. As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26162 (New Series). Required for school purposes. (Claim dated Sept. 20, 1926.)

Appropriation for Improvement of Telegraph Hill Boulevard, of Harrison Street and of Bluxome street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For improving the Telegraph Hill Boulevard, Contract No. 2, including inspection and extras (Keystone Construction Co. contract, at $11,977.14), $13,500.

(2) For the improvement of Harrison street between Sixth and Eleventh streets, $49,700.

(3) For the improvement of Bluxome street between Fourth and Sixth streets (additional to $20,000 heretofore appropriated), $4,000.

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for street improvement, City's liability, to-wit:

Twenty-fourth avenue, Ulloa to Vicente streets (F. Hyland), $3,163.

Thirtieth, Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues and Anza street (Eaton & Smith), $6,387.16.

Capitol avenue and Minerva street (Treacy), $759.47.

Lenox way, Taraval to Ulloa street (Raisch Imp. Co.), $6,198.75.

Twenty-sixth and Douglas streets (Eaton & Smith), $1,717.73.

Lane street, Newcomb to Oakdale avenue (Eaton & Smith), $1,409.

Circular avenue and Detroit street (Eaton & Smith), $1,150.

Twenty-fifth avenue, Santiago to Taraval street (Fay Improvement Co.), $2,806.04.

Eighteenth avenue, Ulloa to Vicente street (F. McHugh), $1,620.

Appropriations for Street Improvement.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26176 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for street improvement, City's liability, to-wit:

Thirty-sixth avenue and Ulloa street (Fay Imp. Co.), $101.

Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, Balboa to Cabrillo street (T. Gallagher), $270.

Judson avenue and Foerster street (O. L. Harney), $290.

Jerrold avenue, San Bruno avenue easterly (Eaton & Smith), $53.86.

Naples street, Peru to Silver avenue (Eaton & Smith), $476.70.

San Jose avenue, sidewalks (Guerin), $71.93.

Vicente street, Forestside to Nineteenth avenue (McHugh), $463.90.

Williams avenue, Third to Lucy street (Eaton & Smith), $500.

Twenty-first avenue, Noriega to Ortega street (P. McHugh), $289.50.

Thirty-first avenue and Vicente street (Fay Imp. Co.), $112.50.

Thirty-first avenue and Santiago street (Fay Imp. Co), $105.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $495, for Test Borings, Islais Creek District Reclamation.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26177 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $495 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, for test borings in the Islais Creek District, necessitated by the reclaiming district now being formed for the reclaiming of this land.

Adopted by the following vote.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Declaring That Public Interest Demands the Condemnation of Certain Property for Bay Shore Boulevard Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26178 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Marin street with the easterly line of Jerrold avenue as shown in map filed on page 13 of Map Book "K", Records of City and County of San Francisco, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Jerrold avenue on a curve to the right of 160-foot radius, central angle 5 deg. 7 min. 42 sec., a distance of 14.321 feet; thence southerly along the easterly line of Jerrold avenue tangent to the preceding curve, 53.497 feet; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Jerrold avenue on a curve to the left of 100-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 36 deg. 13 min., a distance of 63.210 feet; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Jerrold avenue, tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 42.313 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right of 100-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 36 deg. 13 min., a distance of 63.210 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve and parallel with the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, and distant 125 feet at right angles easterly therefrom, a distance of 50.553 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the left of 200-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 16 deg. 3 min. 5 sec., a distance of 56.030 feet to a point on the southerly line

of Marin street, distant thereon 18.269 feet easterly from the easterly line of Jerrold avenue; thence westerly along the southerly line of Marin street 18.269 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the southerly boundary of Lot No. 347 of Precita Valley Lands, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 30.500 feet; thence deflecting 59 deg. to the right and running southeasterly 87.498 feet to a point distant 225 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly boundary of Lot 347, Precita Valley Lands; thence deflecting 121 deg. to the right and running westerly at right angles with San Bruno avenue 75.565 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant thereon 72 feet northerly from the southerly boundary of Lot No. 347 of Precita Vallay Lands, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 153 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 65 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 153 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 65 feet to the point of commencement.

Being portion of Precita Valley Lands Lot No. 347.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue with the southwesterly boundary line of Lot No. 346 Precita Valley Lands according to the map hereinafter referred to, running thence northeasterly and along said line of San Bruno avenue 132 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 65 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 132 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot No. 346 Precita Valley Lands, and thence at a right angle northwesterly along said last named boundary line 65 feet to the point of commencement.

Being part of Lots Nos. 346 and 347 according to map entitled "Precita Valley Lands," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on March 14, 1860, and recorded in Liber 1 of Maps, page 85.

Parcel 5. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot No. 336 of Precita Valley Lands as recorded on pages 108 and 109 of Map Book 2 "A and B", Records of the City and County of San Francisco, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 505.83 feet to a point distant 20 feet northerly from the southerly boundary of Lot 344 of Precita Valley Lands; thence at a right angle easterly 65 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 329.278 feet; thence deflecting 9 deg. 15 min. to the right and running southerly parallel with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue and distant 65 feet at right angles easterly therefrom 187.068 feet to the southerly boundary of Lot No. 336, Precita Valley Lands; thence at a right angle westerly along said southerly boundary 65 feet to the point of commencement.

Being portion of Lots Nos. 336 to 344, inclusive, of Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot 335, Precita Valley Lands with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 60 feet to the northerly boundary of Lot 335, Precita Valley Lands; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly boundary 65 feet; thence at right angles southerly 60 feet to the southerly boundary of said Lot 335; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly boundary 65 feet to the point of beginning.

Being the westerly 65 feet of Lot 335, Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 7. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot No. 334, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 60 feet to the northerly boundary of said Lot No. 334; thence at a right angle easterly along said northerly boundary 65 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 60 feet to the southerly boundary of said Lot 334; thence at a right angle westerly along said southerly boundary 65 feet to the point of beginning.

Being the westerly 65 feet of Lot No. 334 Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 8. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Costa street and the northwesterly line of San Bruno avenue; running thence southwesterly and along said line of San Bruno avenue 198.442 feet to a point distant thereon 1.518 feet northeasterly from the northerly line of Faith street; thence northerly on a curve

to the left of 937.50 feet radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 12 deg. 4 min. 20 sec., a distance of 197.531 feet to a point on the southerly line of Costa street, distant thereon 0.106 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence easterly along said line of Costa street 0.106 foot to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lots Nos. 1 to 7 in Block "C" of the Welch and Cosgrove Tract.

Parcel 9. Lot No. 37 according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Parcel 10. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant thereon 3 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly boundary of Lot 33 of Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue 97 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 36 of said Haley's Map; thence at right angles southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 65 feet to the southeasterly boundary of said Lot 36; thence at right angles southwesterly along the southeasterly boundary of Lots 36, 35, 34 and 33 of said Haley's Map 97 feet to a point distant 3 feet at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly boundary of said Lot 33; thence at right angles northwesterly 65 feet to the point of beginning.

Being all of Lots 36, 35 and 34 and the northeasterly 22 feet of Lot 33 of Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots 319 to 333, inclusive.

Parcel 11. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue with the southwesterly boundary of Lot 32 of Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue 28 feet to a point distant 3 feet at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly boundary of Lot 33 of said Haley's Map; thence at right angles southeasterly, parallel with said southwesterly boundary and distant 3 feet at right angles northeasterly therefrom, a distance of 65 feet to the southeasterly boundary of said Lot 33; thence at right angles southwesterly along the southeasterly boundary of said Lots 32 and 33, a distance of 28 feet to the southwesterly boundary of said Lots 32 and 33, a distance of 28 feet to the southwesterly boundary of said Lot 32; thence at right angles northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 65 feet to the point of beginning.

Being all of Lot 32 and the southwesterly 3 feet of Lot 33 of Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots 319 to 333, inclusive.

Parcel 12. All of Lot No. 30, according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Parcel 13. All of Lot No. 29, according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Parcel 14. All of Lots Nos. 27 and 28, according to map entitled "Haley's Subdivision Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Parcel 15. All of Lot No. 26, according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Parcel 16. All of Lots Nos. 20, 21, 22 and 23 according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Parcel 17. All of Lot No. 18 according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Parcel 18. All of Lot No. 17 according to map entitled "Haley's

Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Parcel 19. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot 306, Precita Valley Lands with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 60 feet to the northerly boundary of said Lot 306; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly boundary 25.298 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left of 937.500-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 91 deg. 26 min. 40 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 1 deg. 26 min. 40 sec., a distance of 23.635 feet to a point distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence southerly tangent to the preceding curve and parallel with San Bruno avenue 36.367 feet to the southerly boundary of aforesaid Lot 306; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot No. 306, Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 20. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot No. 305, Precita Valley Lands with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 60 feet to the northerly boundary of said Lot No. 305; thence at a right angle easterly along said northerly boundary 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 60 feet to the southerly boundary of said Lot 305; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly boundary 25 feet to the point of beginning; being the westerly 25 feet of Lot No. 305, Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 21. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Waterloo street, distant thereon 321 feet westerly from the westerly line of Loomis street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Waterloo street 38.282 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 71.367 feet, more or less, to the southerly boundary of Lot 302, Precita Valley Lands; thence easterly along said southerly boundary 25 feet, more or less, to a line drawn northerly at right angles to Waterloo street from the point of beginning; thence at right angles southerly 70 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot No. 865 of Gift Map No. 4.

Parcel 22. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Cortland avenue wtih the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Cortland avenue 40 feet; thence at right angles southerly 192.823 feet to the northwesterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence deflecting 168 deg. 16 min. 50 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 196.928 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Gift Map No. 2.

Parcel 23. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Waterloo street with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue and running easterly along the southerly line of Waterloo street 46.541 feet to a point distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of San Bruno avenue opposite Cortland avenue extended and produced southerly; thence at right angles southerly 209.843 feet to tangency with a curve of 12-foot radius, said curve being also tangent to the northwesterly line of Marengo street; thence easterly on a curve to the left of 12-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 143 deg. 53 min., a distance of 30.135 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Marengo street; thence southwesterly tangent to the preceding curve along the northwesterly line of Marengo street 248.873 feet to a point distant 100 feet at right angles westerly from the easterly line of San Bruno avenue opposite Cortland avenue extended and produced southerly; thence deflecting 143 deg. 53 min. to the right and running northerly parallel with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue opposite Cortland avenue extended and produced and distant 100 feet at right angles westerly therefrom a distance of 105.167 feet to tangency with a curve of 12-foot radius, said curve being also tangent to the southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence westerly on a curve to the left of 12-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 140 deg. 31 min. 50 sec., a distance of 29.433 feet to tangency with the southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue 324.458 feet to the point of beginning; being part of Gift Map No. 4.

Parcel 24. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Marengo street with the

northeasterly line of Dickinson street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Marengo street 595.942 feet to the proposed easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard; thence deflecting 143 deg. 53 min. to the right and running southerly along said proposed easterly line 26.610 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the left of 30-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 113 deg. 42 min. 30 sec., a distance of 59.538 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 147.513 feet to the westerly boundary of the property claimed under Action No. 34375, Alex J. Young vs. All Persons; thence deflecting 149 deg. 49 min. 30 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along said westerly boundary 159.159 feet; thence deflecting 30 deg. 10 min. 30 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 58.340 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of 50-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 66 deg. 17 min. 30 sec., a distance of 57.850 feet to tangency with the proposed easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard; thence southerly tangent to the preceding curve along said proposed easterly line 44.942 feet to the westerly boundary of the property claimed in aforesaid Action No. 34375; thence deflecting 36 deg. 7 min. to the right and running southwesterly along said westerly boundary 161.437 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along said westerly boundary on a curve to the left of 880.805-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 3 deg. 24 min. 23 sec., a distance of 52.366 feet to the proposed westerly line of the Bay Shore boulevard; thence northerly along said proposed westerly line on a curve to the right of 1062.500-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 146 deg. 02 min. 34 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 1 deg. 14 min. 49 sec., a distance of 23.124 feet; thence continuing northerly along said proposed westerly line, tangent to the preceding curve 19.552 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left of 30-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 149 deg. 22 min. 49 sec., a distance of 78.215 feet; thence southwesterly tangent to the preceding curve a distance of 220.673 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of Dickinson street, distant thereon 84.689 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Marengo street; thence deflecting 106 deg. 6 min. 25 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Dickinson street 84.689 feet to the point of commencement; being a portion of Gift Map No. 4.

Parcel 25. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Dickinson street, distant thereon 398.694 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Marengo street, and running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Dickinson street 134.948 feet to the southwesterly corner of Parcel 1 of the land conveyed by Alex J. Young to City and County of San Francisco by deed dated Nov. 21, 1914, and recorded at page 326 of Volume 830 of Deeds, records of City and County of San Francisco, State of California; thence deflecting 118 deg. 7 min. 36 sec. to the left and running northeasterly along the northwesterly boundary of said Parcel 1 a distance of 186.226 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the right of 12-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 142 deg. 22 min. 59 sec., a distance of 29.821 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 937.50-foot radius tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 19 deg. 1 min. 1 sec., a distance of 311.164 feet; thence northerly tangent to the preceding curve 150.486 feet to the northwesterly line of Barneveld avenue (now closed); thence deflecting 143 deg. 53 min. to the left and running southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Barneveld avenue (now closed) 161.437 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Barneveld avenue (now closed) on a curve to the left of 880.805-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 3 deg. 24 min. 23 sec., a distance of 52.366 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left of 1062.50-foot radius concentric with the curve forming the fourth preceding course, central angle 19 deg. 12 min. 11 sec., a distance of 356.104 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the right of 12-foot radius, tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 157 deg. 10 min. 36 sec., a distance of 32.919 feet to tangency with the northeasterly line of Dickinson street to the point of beginning; being a portion of Gift Map No. 4, containing an area of 62.911 square feet, more or less.

Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening of Bay Shore boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and di-

rected to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Acceptance of Offer of Albert Tassi to Sell Land Required for the Widening of San Jose avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26179 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue has offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name and the further considerations mentioned below, viz.:

Albert Tassi, $1,920.50.

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Theresa street with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 53 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Theresa street, 16.858 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 79 deg. 21 min. 23 sec. to the left and running northeasterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, 25.437 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of Theresa street, distant thereon 16.926 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Theresa street 16.926 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 53 of the Demartini Tract.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 25.425 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Theresa street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 76.275 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 50 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Theresa street, 16.652 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 79 deg. 21 min. 23 sec. to the left and running northeasterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 76.313 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 52 of the Demartini Tract; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary line, parallel with Theresa street 16.858 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lots 50, 51 and 52 of the Demartini Tract.

The above amount includes, in addition to the payment of the herein described parcels, all damages in full to the remaining lots and the building now partially located on the above described Parcel No. 2; said building to be moved by the owner within thirty (30) days after receiving notice by the City and County of San Francisco.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to restore sidewalks where sidewalks are now constructed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the properties owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Changing Northeast Corner Steiner and Hermann streets from Second Residential to Commercial.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7743, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeast corner of Steiner street and Hermann street for a distance of 48 feet on Steiner street and a distance of 80 feet on Hermann street in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be in effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Edward Bailey, 324 Bartlett street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bordi & Jose, south side of Fulton street, 200 feet east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Brodi, northeast corner of Jackson and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Colton, 3505 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. F. Cowan, 37 Presidio avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Thos. Day Co., 52 West Clay Park, 740 gallons capacity.

Alfred H. Feasy, Miraloma drive, Lot 48, Block 3011, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. A. Golden, southwest corner of Divisadero and Lombard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Granara, north side of Wayland street between Somerset and Holyoke streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Halpern, north side of Hayes street, 225 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Harris, northeast corner of California and Steiner streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Hechter, southwest corner of Octavia and Linden streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hermanson & Berquist, west side of Church street, 150 feet south of Twenty-fourth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, northwest corner of Capra way and Magnolia way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Erlendsen, southeast corner of Oak and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. C. Kierulff, 15 Walnut street, 750 gallons capacity.

J. Kirschling, nortwest corner of Webster and Pixley streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Larson, south side of Guttenberg street, 50 feet east of Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Graham MacDonald, 70 West Clay Park, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., southwest corner of Clay and Franklin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Henry Meyer, 39 Nineteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. H. C. Meyer, north side of Twenty-second avenue, 200 feet east of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Moss, east side of San Lorenzo way, 100 feet south of Portola drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hans Nelson, northwest corner of El Verano and St. Elmo streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Oil-O-Matic Company of California, 3434 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. F. Postel, west side of Santa Clara avenue, 150 feet south of San Anselmo avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

R. A. Smith, 39 Sea Cliff, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Semeria, southwest corner of Jones and Glover streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sheehan-Woolfrey, south side of Hayes street, 180 feet west of Ashbury street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. Strand, northwest corner of Third avenue and Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Suslowitz, 1525 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Zellerbach, 3524 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

E. E. Fall, 90 Moss street, 10-horsepower boiler.

C. Restani, 250 Schwerin street, 150-horsepower boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Hand Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joseph Lopez be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry on the south side of Clement street, 82 feet 6 inches east of Twenty-eighth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Bianchi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted John Brusa by Resolution No. 25555 (New Series) for premises on the west side of Third street, 52 feet south of Gilman avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Public Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That George D. Guenley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 1301 Pierce street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Earle C. Anthony, Inc., be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 901-925 Van Ness avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Public Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Otto J. Schulz be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 2470 California street, providing applicant complies with the provisions of Ordinance No. 746 (New Series).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void

Dyeing and Cleaning Permit Denied.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 26180 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Isabel Baptista to have transferred to her cleaning and dyeing permit heretofore granted for premises at 6211 Geary street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Release of Contract, Plumbing and Gas Fitting.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26181 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works by communication filed September 13, 1926, and in accordance with letter from City Attorney addressed to the Board of Public Works and dated September 2, 1926, Clarence Drucker be and he is hereby released from his contract heretofore entered into with the City and County for the installation of plumbing, gas-fitting, etc., in Engine House No. 19.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Board of Works Authorized to Establish Embarcadero Motor Bus Service.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 7744, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to establish, maintain and operate a municipal motor bus service on The Embarcadero or waterfront of the City and County, and to purchase the motor busses and equipment necessary for such service in the open market.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to establish, maintain and operate a municipal motor bus service suitable for the transportation of passengers along The Embarcadero or waterfront of the City and County, from a southerly terminus at or in the vicinity of Third and Townsend streets to a northerly terminus in the vicinity of the Golden Gate Ferry terminus at the foot of Hyde street; and for the purpose of establishing this municipal motor bus service the said Board is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to purchase the motor busses and equipment necessary for such service in the open market as provided in Ordinance No. 6440 (New Series).

Section 2. When such bus line has been established and operated the rate of fare on and over said line on said busses shall be five cents.

Section 3. The authority to exercise the powers herein granted is hereby declared to be contingent upon the receipt of a legal offer and guarantee by and from the Board of State Harbor Commissioners that such board will contribute from its funds a sum (which shall not exceed $18,000 in any one year) for the period of three years to offset any deficiency which may result from the operation of said municipal bus line by the City and County.

Section 4. So much of Ordinance No. 6723 (New Series) as conflicts with this ordinance is hereby repealed.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Providing for the Selection, Location, etc., of Traffic Signals.

The following bill, heretofore presented by Supervisor Todd and on motion laid over one week, was taken up and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7745, Ordinance No. ——. (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the selection, purchase, location, operation, installation and control of traffic signals and protecting devices for safety zones, pedestrian paths and other places upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever public necessity shall require, the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to select and purchase the devices, apparatus, markers or other appliances as in its judgment it shall deem best suited for the establishment of signal devices for the purpose of regulating traffic within the City and County of San Francisco and the establishment, protection and control of safety zones and pedestrian paths on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to substitute in place of the signal devices for the regulation of traffic and the markers for safety zones and pedestrian paths that are now or may hereafter be in use, the signal devices and safety zone and pedestrian path markers that said Board of Supervisors may adopt in accordance with Section 1 of this ordinance, and provide for the maintenance of the same.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby given exclusive authority to designate the streets and places on the streets within the City and County of San Francisco where signal devices, safety zones and pedestrian path markers shall be installed and it shall be the duty of the said Board of Supervisors to supply such signal devices and the said safety zone and pedestrian path markers in such quantities as shall be needed.

The Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby given exclusive control and operation of all such signal devices and safety zones and pedestrian paths, as provided by said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. It shall be the duty of the Department of Electricity of the City and County to disconnect and remove any existing signal devices and in lieu thereof to connect and install, at the places designated by said Board of Supervisors, such signal devices as are selected by said Board of Supervisors. All signal devices now or that shall hereafter be installed shall be maintained by said Department of Electricity.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Taxicab Stand Permits Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26182 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following per-

mits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

American Limousine Service Co., at 523 Post street.

Blue Bird Cab Company at 557 Kearny street.

Blue Bird Cab Company at No. 3 Howard street.

Geary-Mason Taxicab Co., at 346 Mason street.

Geary-Mason Taxicab Co., at 397 Geary street.

Geary-Mason Taxicab Co., at 344 Mason street.

Golden Gate Cab Company at 16 and 18 Taylor street.

John Meyer at 172 Columbus avenue.

Blue Bird Cab Company at 51 Powell street.

White Top Cab Co., at 2500 Mission street, not less than 20 feet from south property line of Twenty-first street.

White Top Cab Co., at 1586 Jackson street.

White Top Cab Co., at 500 McAllister street, not less than 20 feet from westerly property line of Van Ness avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy. Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisor Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26183 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

North side Lombard street, first west of Taylor street.

South side Lombard street, first and second west of Taylor street.

Northeast and southwest corners Lombard and Taylor streets.

East and west sides Monroe street, north of Bush street.

North and south sides O'Farrell street, west of Van Ness avenue.

East and west sides Leavenworth street between Union and Filbert streets.

Haven street, west of Leavenworth street.

East and west sides Grant avenue, north of Filbert street.

Northwest and southeast corners Grant avenue and Filbert street.

Northwest and southeast corners Grant avenue and Greenwich street.

North and south sides Chestnut street, west of Grant avenue.

Northwest and southeast corners Chestnut and Stockton streets.

Southwest corner Grant avenue and Chestnut street.

North side Ellis street, first and second west of Van Ness avenue.

South side Ellis street, first and second west of Van Ness avenue.

North side Eighteenth street, first and second west of Guerrero street.

South side Eighteenth street, first and second west of Guerrero street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Lombard street between Taylor and Jones streets.

Lombard and Taylor streets.

Monroe street between Bush and Pine streets.

O'Farrell street, east of Franklin street.

O'Farrell street, east of Franklin street, opposite church.

Leavenworth street between Union and Filbert streets.

Haven street, west of Leavenworth street.

Grant avenue between Filbert and Greenwich streets.

Grant avenue and Filbert street.

Grant avenue and Greenwich street.

Chestnut street between Grant avenue and Stockton street.

Chestnut and Stockton streets.

Chestnut street and Grant avenue.

Ellis street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.

Eighteenth street, west of Guerrero street.

Eighteenth street, east of Dolores street.

Thirty-second avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisor Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7746, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication

filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Holladay avenue between Esmeralda avenue and Mayflower street; Franconia street between Esmeralda avenue and Mayflower street; Peralta avenue between Esmeralda avenue and Mayflower street; Bradford street between Esmeralda avenue and Mayflower street; Esmeralda avenue between Bradford street produced and Peralta avenue; Mayflower street between Bradford street and Holladay avenue* by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances:

A 15-inch with 21 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Holladay avenue between the existing manhole opposite Esmeralda avenue and the center line of Mayflower street produced.

A 12-inch with 24 Y branches and 3 brick manholes along the center line of Mayflower street between the center line of Holladay avenue and the center line of Bradford street.

An 8-inch with 24 Y branches and 2 brick manholes along the center line of Franconia street between the center line of Mayflower street and a point 20 feet easterly from the easterly line of Esmeralda avenue.

A 12-inch with 22 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Peralta avenue between the center line of Mayflower street and the center line of Esmeralda avenue.

An 8-inch with 8 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Bradford street between the center line of Esmeralda avenue and the existing manhole in Bradford street.

An 8-inch with 8 Y branches along the center line of Esmeralda avenue between the center line of Bradford street produced and the center line of Peralta avenue produced northerly.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7747, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Bay street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7748, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the the Board of Supervisors September 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Jarboe avenue between Banks and Folsom streets; Jarboe avenue between Moultrie and Anderson streets; Jarboe avenue between Ellsworth and Gates streets; Anderson street between Jarboe avenue and Cortland avenue*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7749, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Montgomery street between Broadway and Vallejo street; Vallejo street between Montgomery and Sansome streets*, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks where full width artificial stone sidewalks have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7750, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Thirty-seventh avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Thirty-fifth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Twenty-eighth avenue between Irving and Judah streets; Nineteenth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets; Carl street between Willard street and Hillway avenue*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7751, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Carolina street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, excepting the intersection of Southern Heights avenue*:

On the easterly one-half of Carolina street from a line 250 *feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Twentieth street to a line* 275 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street, and from a line* 566 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street to a line* 666 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street; on the westerly one-half of Carolina street from the southerly line of Twentieth street to a line* 191 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street, and from a line* 366 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street to a line* 391 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street, and from a line* 416 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street to a line* 441 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street, and from a line*

541 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street to a line* 616 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street, and from a line* 816 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street to a line* 841 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7752, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twentieth street between De Haro and Carolina streets*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of *the crossing of Twentieth and Carolina streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the southerly angular corners; by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the northerly side of Twentieth street between the easterly and westerly lines of Carolina street; by the construction of 4 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7753, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *crossing of Brussels street and Harkness avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7754, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, shall be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installmnets must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Girard street between Ward street and Harkness avenue; on the easterly side, from the northerly line of Harkness avenue to a line* 195 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue, and from a line* 270 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue to a line* 295 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue; on the westerly side, from the northerly line of Harkness avenue to a line* 75 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue, and from a line* 195 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue to a line* 295 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of concrete pavement adjacent to the center line opposite the above-described portions that are between the southerly line of Ward street and a line 200 feet southerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repeal of Ordinance Ordering Improvement of Thirty-fifth Avenue Between Judah and Kirkham Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7755, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7203 (New Series), approved August 20, 1926, ordering the improvement of Thirty-fifth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7203 (New Series), approved August 20, 1926, ordering the improvement of Thirty-fifth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26184 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 93488 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted September 3, 1926, and written recommendation of

said Board, filed September 8, 1926, to-wit:

On Douglass street between Army and Twenty-eighth streets, and on Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets between Diamond street and Hoffman avenue.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26185 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 93431 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Work, adopted September 1, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed September 8, 1926, to-wit:

On Blanche street between the northerly line of Twenty-third street and a line parallel with and 260 feet northerly therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26186 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 93432 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Work, adopted September 1, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed September 8, 1926, to-wit:

On Thirtieth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades, Decker Alley.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7756, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Decker alley between Langton street and its southwesterly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Decker alley between Langton street and its southwesterly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommandation of the Board of Public Works, filed September 8, 1926.

Decker Alley.

Northwesterly line of, at Langton

street southwesterly line, 7.81 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly line of, at Langton street southwesterly line, 7.78 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly termination, 8.50 feet.

On Decker alley between Langton street and its southwesterly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades, Wawona Street and on Twenty-fourth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7757, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Wawona street between the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, and on Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente street and the southerly line of Wawona street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Wawona street between the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, and on Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente street and southerly line of Wawona street are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed September 4, 1926.

Closing Portion of Clipper Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26187 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning all that portion of Clipper street between the westerly line of Douglass street and the easterly line of Homestead street as hereinafter described.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 26024 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon all that portion of Clipper street between the westerly line of Douglass street and the easterly line of Homestead street, situated in the City and County of San Francisco and hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of all that portion of Clipper street between the westerly line of Douglass street and the easterly line of Homestead street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 3, Chapter 3, Article VI, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Clipper street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Clipper street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 26024 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said street is nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said portion of said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for closing of a portion of Clipper street, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of a portion of Clipper street be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of Clipper street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The said portion of Clipper street hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Clipper street lying between the westerly line of Douglass street and the easterly line of Homestead street; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers,

Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

School Street Closing Permit.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26188 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission be and is hereby granted the Board of Education to rope off Franklin street between Union and Green streets for vehicular traffic at the short recess period and the noon recess period during the construction of the new Sherman School. This action is being taken in order that the children attending the Sherman School may be more adequately protected from the dangers of vehicular travel and may utilize that portion of said street between said hours as a playground.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisor Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading at the crossing of Duncan and Castro streets, provided said permittees shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Eaton & Smith then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be executed within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Closing Walbridge Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26189 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Walbridge street be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Walbridge street described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Walbridge street in San Francisco County with the southerly boundary of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Walbridge street 1008.972 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the left of 1102.246-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 171 deg. 32 min. 16 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 8 deg. 27 min. 44 sec., a distance of 162.795 feet to a point distant 12 feet at right angles southerly from the northerly line of Walbridge street; thence easterly, tangent to the preceding curve, parallel with the northerly line of Walbridge street and distant 12 feet at right angles southerly therefrom, a distance of 813.027 feet to the southerly boundary of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 19 deg. 34 min. 40 sec. to the left and running easterly along said southerly boundary 35.812 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Walbridge street, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26190 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty (60) days' time from and after October 10, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Divisadero street from the northerly line of Chestnut street to a line 137 feet 6 inches southerly from Chestnut street.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is practically completed and this extension is required pending the issuance of the assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Also, Resolution No. 26191 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty (60) days' time from and after August 25, 1926, within which to complete the widening of Kearny street between Market street and Columbus avenue.

This extension is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed through owners not setting back walls.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Closing of Oneida Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26192 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Oneida avenue be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervirso to close up and abandon all that portion of Oneida avenue lying between Otsego avenue and Cayuga avenue.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Cnapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Oneida avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Award of Contract, Stationery.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26193 (New Series), as follws:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing stationery required during the fiscal year 1926-27 be made on bids submitted June 14, 1926 (Proposal No. 190) and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Bonestell & Co., bond required, $300; The T. J. Cardoza Co., $250; A. Carlisle & Co., $200; The Commercial Trading Co., $300; H. S. Crocker Co., Inc., $250; Fites Ink Manufacturing Co., no bond; H. C. Magnus & Co., no bond; Montebello Ink Co., no bond; Neal, Stratford & Kerr, $250; O'Connell & Davis, no bond; Patrick & Co., no bond; The San Francisco News Co., $250; Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., $250.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality offered as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected except the following bids, which are held in abeyance, viz.:

Item Nos. 5 and 6, carbon; Item Nos. 81, 82 and 83, inks; Item Nos. 110 and 111, typewriter ribbons.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner,

Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Award of Contract, Photo Printing Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26194 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing photo printing supplies as same may be ordered from time to time from date to June 30, 1927, be made on bids submitted September 13, 1926 (Proposal No. 236), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—Cloth, blue process, in either 10 or 50-yard rolls, as ordered; (a) 30 inches wide, thin, $0.4507 per yard; (b) 30 inches wide, thick, $0.3741 per yard; (c) 36 inches wide, thin, $0.5160 per yard; (d) 36 inches wide, thick, $0.4306 per yard; (e) 42 inches wide, thin, $0.5750 per yard; (f) 42 inches wide, thick, $0.5040 per yard; (g) 54 inches wide, thick, $0.8720 per yard; (h) 54 inches wide, thin, $0.9750 per yard; Dieterich Post Co.

Item No. 2—Paper, blue print, in either 10 or 50-yard rolls: (a) 30 inches wide, thin, 3 awards; $0.05 per yard, Eugene Dietzgen Co.; $0.0666 per yard, Keuffel & Esser Co.; $0.075 per yard, Dieterich Post Co.; (b) 30 inches wide, medium; 3 awards; $0.042 per yard, Eugene Dietzgen Co.; $0.0694 per yard, Keuffel & Esser Co.; $0.0636 per yard, Dieterich Post Co.; (c) 36 inches wide, thin; 3 awards; $0.06 per yard, Eugene Dietzgen Co.; $0.0734 per yard, Keuffel & Esser Co.; $0.0802 per yard, Dieterich Post Co.; (d) 36 inches wide, medium; 3 awards; $0.05 per yard, Eugene Dietzgen Co.; $0.08 per yard, Keuffel & Esser Co.; $0.0702 per yard, Dieterich Post Co.; (e) 42 inches wide, thin; 3 awards; $0.055 per yard, Eugene Dietzgen Co.; $0.084 per yard, Keuffel & Esser Co.; $0.0990 per yard, Dieterich Post Co.; (f) 42 inches wide, medium; 3 awards; $0.056 per yard, Eugene Dietzgen Co.; $0.0906 per yard, Keuffel & Esser Co.; $0.0840 per yard, Dieterich Post Co.

Item No. 3—Photostat paper; no award.

Resolved, That bonds be required for the faithful performance of said contracts, as follows:

Eugene Dietzgen Co., $100; Keuffel & Esser Co., $100; Dieterich Post Co., $200.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Rejecting Bids for Plumbing Fixtures.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26195 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore, on September 13, 1926 (Proposal No. 238), submitted for furnishing plumbing fixtures required by Building Repair Department for installation in school buildings.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

Consideration of Proposed Charter Amendments.

Supervisor Shannon: Are the charter amendments before us for consideration? I just wanted to know what the situation was. I wasn't able to be here this morning.

The Chair: I was here and all charter amendments were passed which were recommended by the committee except one, and that particular charter amendment is the one, I believe, that Supervisor Roncovieri has now. I am talking about those approved by the committee. There were a great many charter amendments that the committee did not recommend, quite a few.

Supervisor Shannon: There was one introduced, I believe, regarding the change of salary of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors.

The Chair: That was passed.

Supervisor Shannon: Am I too late to be recorded on that?

The Clerk: I think, Mr. Shannon, if you don't mind, unless you want us to change the record, this will all be followed by a resolution when you conclude your deliberations, with a resolution which endorse all of these. We can change it, though, if you wish.

Supervisor Shannon: Whatever way it can be done, I want to be recorded as voting "no" on it. I feel that the election of this Board on an economy platform should be

fulfilled. I stated so when the matter was being considered. I understand this came throught the Labor Council. I appreciate the fact that this Board is doing more work than we have ever done before, that our committee work is taking more time, and I feel that the office is deserving of a greater salary, but at the same time, in view of the fact that we have turned down employees of the City government at the time of the consideration of the budget and now, notwithstanding this comes from a very friendly source, at the same time I think that it is untimely and for that reason I would like to have the record show that I voted "no".

The Clerk: May I make a suggestion, please. If you will direct a minute be entered in the Journal, that you were not present and had you been present you would have voted "no".

Supervisor Shannon: I will so request, that the minutes show that had I been present I would have gone on record along the lines just stated by me and as taken down by the reporter.

Supervisor Welch: It is unfortunate that a matter of this kind be called to the attention of the Board at 2 o'clock in the mornning; unfortunate, indeed, that the member wasn't present when the amendment was before the Board and argument advanced in its favor by several members of the Board, and reference made to the particular objection that the Finance Committee refused to sanction raises to the City officials. That, I trust, is not to be made permanent. I don't know that this Board is going to close its doors to salary raises from now on, but for this partciular year in making up the budget and the tax rate the Finance Committee made its report to the Board, and the Board, agreeable to that report, has refused to raise any salaries during this fiscal year, but the charter amendment as offered by the speaker will not go into force and effect until the next fiscal year. Therefore, it cannot be maintained that the Board of Supervisors refused to sanction increases of salaries for City employees and in the next breath raise their own salaries, because who here will say that next year the Board will not consider some of the petitions that were made during this year and grant the increase of salaries where in their judgment they deem necessary. This particular amendment will not go into force and effect until the Board has before it again a consideration of salaries, salary raises, in making up next year's budget. It is too bad that these things were not known to all of the Board, and making objections to them at this time of day——

* * * * * *

Civil Service Appointment of Teachers, Estimates of Expenses, Tax for Schools and Disbursement of Moneys.

Thereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Charter Amendment No. 35.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 1 of Chapter III, Section 1 of Chapter V, Section 2 of Chapter V, Subdivision 9 of Section 1 of Chapter III of Article VII, relating to the School Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby sumbits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

Amend Section 1, Chapter III, Article VII of the Charter by adding thereto a new subdivision to be known as Subdivision 13.

Civil Service Appointment of Teachers.

13. To conduct at least annually a competitive examination to establish an eligible list of teachers for appointment to positions in the elementary day and evening schools of the City and County of San Francisco. Such examinations shall be practical in their character, and shall relate to those matters only which will fairly test the relative capacity of the persons examined for said positions, and shall include such tests of physical qualifications and health as the said Board of Education shall determine. That said Board of Education shall control all of such examinations and may designate a suitable person or persons either in or out of the official service of the City and County to conduct said examination and to make return and report thereof to the Board. That no person shall be permitted to take said examination unless he or she is under the age of thirty-five (35) years, and in good health, and is the holder of a certificate valid under the laws of the State of California authorizing said person to teach in the public schools of the State of California in a grade not lower than the grammar grade. That said Board of Education shall by rule establish a passing mark for all participating in said examination, and from the returns of the

examiners or from the examinations made by the Board, the Board shall prepare a register of teachers whose general average standing upon examination is not less than the minimum fixed by the rule of said Board, and such persons participating in said examination shall take rank upon the said eligible register in the order of their relative excellence as determined by said examination. That all teachers appointed either to regular positions or to substitute positions in the department shall be appointed from said list in the order of their standing thereon, those appointed to substitute positions being preferred for regular appointment before those on the eligible list. Provided, however, that where the eligible list of said teachers has been exhausted, the Board of Education may make a temporary appointment of any duly qualified teacher to either a regular or substitute position in the department, but such appointment shall be made only until an eligible list of appointees is obtained. That all appointments made under the provisions of this section shall be subject to a satisfactory probationary service of not less than two years.

That Section 1 of Chapter V, Article VII of the Charter is hereby amended to read as follows:

Annual Estimate of Current Expenses.

Section 1. The Board of Education shall, on or before the first Monday of April in each year, report to the Board of Supervisors an estimate of the amount of money which shall be required during the ensuing fiscal year for the purpose of meeting the current annual expenses of the School Department, specifying the amount required for textbooks and other materials to be furnished to pupils; for all other supplies needed for public instruction and maintenance of schools, laboratories and manual training shops; for purchasing and procuring sites; for leasing rooms or erecting buildings; for repairing, altering or enlarging buildings; for equipping and furnishing rooms and buildings; for the Teachers' Salary Fund; for the salaries of the School Directors, Superintendent, Deputy Superintendents, Supervisors, and all other persons employed in the School Department; and for all other expenditures necessary for the administration, maintenance and support of the public Kindergarten Schools, Day and Evening Elementary Schools, Day and Evening High Schols, Technical Schools, and other secondary schools, and for the establishment and realization of such other public school policies as may be authorized by the laws of the State of California.

That Section 2, Chapter V, Article VII, of the Charter, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Common School Fund.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors at the time and in the manner of levying and collecting City and County taxes shall levy and cause to be collected for the Common School Fund a separate and distinct tax for the support of each of the following: (1) Public Kindergarten Schools; (2) Public Day and Evening Elementary Schools; (3) Public Day and Evening High Schools, Technical Schools and other secondary schools; (4) and such other schools or policies as may be authorized by the laws of the State of California; but the rate of each such separate tax shall not exceed, in any instance, for the support of each of the abovementioned schools and purposes, the maximum rate fixed for counties and cities and counties by the laws of the State of California.

That Subdivision 9 of Section 1 of Chapter III of Article VII be amended to read as follows:

Section 9. To establish regulations for the disbursement of all moneys belonging to the School Department or to the Commn School Fund, and to secure strict accountability in the expenditure thereof; to provide for the prompt payment, on not later than the fifth day of every month, of all salaries due and allowed officers, teachers and other employees of the School Department. For this purpose the Auditor shall annually segregate so much of the Common School Fund as may have been provided, allowed or appropriated by the Board of Education, or by the payment of the salalies of all officers, teachers and other employees for the fiscal year, and the amount so segregated shall not be applied to the payment of any demand against such Common School Fund during any fiscal year other than for salaries, until all salaries for that fiscal year have been fully paid or provided for. The Board shall ascertain and transmit to the Auditor on or before the first Monday in April of each year an estimate of the amount required for the payment of said salaries for the fiscal year commencing on July first following, which said estimate shall be based upon the amount allowed for said salaries for said year previous for which said estimate is made, and upon such other data relative to the

needs of the Department as said Board may have before it.

On motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, the foregoing amendment was *approved* and ordered *submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—10.

Noes—Supervisors Kent, McSheehy, Powers—3.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks —5.

Civil Service, Playground Employees.

Supervisor Welch moved the approval of the following proposed amendment:

Charter Amendment No. 36.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Subdivision A of Section 11 of Article XIII, relating to the Civil Service of the employees of the Playground Commission.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

That Subdivision A of Section 11, Article XIII, be amended so as to read as follows:

Section 11, Subdivision A. The provisions of this article shall apply only to the following offices and departments of the City and County: The County Clerk, the Assessor, the Tax Collector, the Sheriff, the Auditor, the Recorder, the Treasurer, the Coroner, the clerks and stenographers of the Justices' and Police Courts, the Board of Public Works, the Police Department, the Fire Department, the Board of Election Commissioners, the Board of Health, the Department of Electricity, the Board of Supervisors, the Civil Service Commission and Playground Commission, and all boards and departments controlling public utilities, but the following deputies, clerks and employees in said offices and departments shall be exempted therefrom: The Chief Deputy of the County Clerk; the Chief Deputy and Deputy designated as the Cashier of the Assessor; the Chief Deputy and the Cashier of the Tax Collector; four deputies of the Sheriff designated as the Under-Sheriff, the Chief Bookkeeper, the Attorney and the Cashier; the Deputy Auditor; the Chief Deputy of the Recorder; four employees of the Treasurer designated as the Cashier, the Chief Deputy, one Assistant Deputy designated as a Bond Deputy, and one Clerk; the Chief Deputy and the Autopsy Physician of the Coroner; the City Engineer; the Secretary of the Board of Public Works; the City Architect; the Registrar of the Board of Election Commissioners; the Justices' Clerk; the Chief of Police; the Chief Engineer and Secretary of the Fire Department; the Chief of the Department of Electricity; the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors; Superintendent of the Playground Commission; all physicians appointed by the Board of Health; persons appointed by the Mayor under the authority of this Charter; the Chief Deputy and the head of any bureau or department created by this Charter or by ordinance; the chief examiner and special examiners appointed by the Civil Service Commission, and persons employed by the Commission to exercise the authority conferred by Section 14 of this article; the manager or superintending head of each public utility; attorneys and physicians employed to perform duties included in their profession; persons employed by the Board of Supervisors for temporary service in positions requiring high technical skill; persons employed in positions having a confidential relation to the head of the department in which the employment is held, but not more than one such position shall be established in any department; persons employed on public works outside the City and County. Any person who has served in any position or employment under the Ploygound Commission, except in those positions hereinbefore excepted from the provisions of this article, for a period of one year continuously prior to the approval of this amendment, and who shall be actually employed in any of said offices at such time, is hereby declared to be appointed within the provisions of Article XIII of this Charter to the position to which he may be asigned and shall be entitled to all the benefits of said article thereafter.

Amendment.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment the following proposed Charter amendment on the committee's unrecommended list:

That Subdivision A of Section 11, Article XIII, be amended so as to read as follows:

Section 11, Subdivision A. The provisions of this article shall apply to the following offices and de-

partments of the City and County: The County Clerk, the Assessor, the Tax Collector, the Sheriff, the Auditor, the Recorder, the Treasurer, the Coroner, the clerks and stenographers of the Justices' and Police Courts, the Board of Public Works, the Police Department, the Fire Department, the Board of Election Commissioners, the Board of Health, the Department of Electricity, the Board of Supervisors, the Civil Service Commission, Trustees of M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum, Trustees of the California Palace of the Legion of Honor, Park Commission and Ployground Commission, and all boards and departments controlling public utilities, but the following deputies, clerks and employees in said offices and departments shall be exempted therefrom: The Chief Deputy of the County Clerk; the Chief Deputy and the Deputy designated as the Cashier of the Assessor; the Chief Deputy and the Cashier of the Tax Collector; two Deputies of the Sheriff designated as the Under-Sheriff; and the Attorney; the Deputy Auditor; the Chief Deputy of the Recorder; four employees of the Treasurer designated as the Cashier, the Chief Deputy, one Assistant Deputy designated as a Bond Deputy, and one clerk; the Chief Deputy and the Autopsy Physician of the Coroner; the City Engineer; the Secretary of the Board of Public Works; the City Architect; the Registrar of the Board of Election Commissioners; the Justices' Clerk; the Chief of Police; the Chief Engineer and Secretary of the Fire Department; the Chief of the Department of Electricity; the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors; Curator of M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum; Director of the California Palace of the Legion of Honor; the organist at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor; the Secretary of the Park Commission; the Superintendent of Golden Gate Park; Superintendent of the Playground Commission; all physicians appointed by the Board of Health; persons appointed by the Mayor under the authority of this Charter; the Chief Deputy and the head of any bureau or department created by this Charter or by ordinance; the chief examiner and special examiners appointed by the Civil Service Commission, and persons employed by the Commission to exercise the authority conferred by Section 14 of this article; the manager or superintending head of each public utility; attorneys and physicians employed to perform duties included in their profession; persons employed by the Board of Supervisors for temporary service in positions requiring high technical skill; persons employed in positions having a confidential relation to the head of the department in which the employment is held, but not more than one such position shall be established in any department; persons employed on public works outside the City and County. Any person who has served in any position or employment in the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum, or the California Palace of the Legion of Honor, or under the Park Commission, or under the Playground Commission, except in those positions hereinbefore excepted from the provisions of this article, for a period of one year continuously prior to the approval of this amendment, and who shall be actually employed in any of said offices at such time, is hereby declared to be appointed within the provisins of Article XIII of this Charter to the position to which he may be assigned and shall be entitled to all the benefits of said article thereafter.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—5.

Noes — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Kent, McSheehy, Schmidt, Welch—6.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the roll was called on proposed Charter Amendment No. 36, as presented by Supervisor Welch, and the same was *approved* and ordered *submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—10.

No—Supervisor Bath—1.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Supervisors' Approval of Mayor's Appointments, Providing for Hearings, Charges, Etc.

Supervisor Stanton presented the following Charter amendment which was not recommended by the Judiciary Committee:

Charter Amendment No. 37.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XVI, to be numbered Section 39,

relating to appointments to and removals from office.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of Novemeer, 1926, a proposal to amend said Charter, as follows:

That a new section be added to Article XVI, to be known as Section 39, and to read as follows:

Section 39. The appointments of all boards or commissions authorized by this Charter to be made by the Mayor shall be ratified and approved by the Board of Supervisors. All appointees made by the Mayor to any board or commission may be removed by him for cause in the manner provided in this Charter, subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors; provided, however, that if six members of the Board of Supervisors shall file with the Mayor charges in writing of malfeasance or nonfeasance in office against any member of any board or commission appointed by the Mayor, it shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors, after reasonable notice to the person so charged, to hear and determine said charges. That said hearings on charges shall be in open session of the Board of Supervisors, and in the presence of the person so charged, should he desire to attend, and if said charges are sustained by a vote of two-thirds of the members of said Board, the said person so charged shall be removed from his office or position to which he had been theretofore appointed by the Mayor.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton the foregoing amendment was *approved* and ordered *submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Schmidt—7.

Wage-Fixing Powers.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Charter Amendment No. 38.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County, by adding a new section to Chapter II of Article II, relating to the wage-fixing powers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

That a new section be added to Chapter II of Article II, to be designated Section 15, and to read as follows:

Section 15. The Charter salary and wage-fixing powers heretofore existing and remaining in force and effect pending the adoption by the Supervisors of classification and compensation schedules, as provided in Section 14 of this Chapter, are, upon the ratification of this amendment by the Legislature, transferred to the Board of Supervisors; and pending the adoption of such classification and compensation schedules the Supervisors shall have power and authority, and it shall be their duty, to fix salaries and wages for all officers and employees of all the departments, offices, boards and commissions that were intended to be or hereafter may become subject to the provisions of said Section 14; provided, however, that this amendment shall not operate to lower existing salaries or wages or adversely affect the civil service ratings and duties of persons holding office or employment under the City and County at the time of the adoption of this amendment.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton the foregoing amendment was *approved* and ordered *submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Accepting Offer of Annie W. McMullen to Sell Land on Thirty-first Avenue, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26196 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Annie W. McMullen to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 260 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the

following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,200, be and the same is hereby accepted; the said land being described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 260 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence westerly at a right angle 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 56 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence northerly along said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 56 feet 10 inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 253, also known as Block 1513 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Marks—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 2:15 p. m. took a recess until Tuesday, September 21, 1926, at 3 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1926, 3 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 21, 1926, 3 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Welch—7.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

Proceedings Relating to Amendment No. 10 on the Ballot, Providing for the Appointment and Removal From Office.

Supervisor McSheehy acted as chairman of the meeting.

After the transaction of other business, the following proceedings were had in relation to Amendment No. 10 on the ballot, providing for the appointment and removal from office.

The Chairman: Supervisor Gallagher.

Supervisor Gallagher: The Board adopted an amendment providing for the appointment and removal from office. I do not like to reconsider a thing you did this morning, yet Mr. Grover O'Connor, representing the Municipal Service employees, raised a question, and but for the hour I would at that time had to debate it. I wonder if Mr. O'Connor could be given the floor preparatory to a motion I may make to reconsider the vote.

The Chairman: What is the number of that?

Supervisor Bath: No. 10.

Supervisor Gallagher: Would you listen to Mr. O'Connor on the subject?

Supervisor Stanton: Those amendments have been adopted——

Supervisor Gallagher: It was adopted this morning by a mistake I made—I would not have voted for it—I reserved the right to ask for a rehearing on the subject. I said right across the table, "I will vote for it now, but——"

(At this point Supervisor Todd assumed the chair.)

The Chairman: Wasn't No. 10 adopted last night?

Supervisor Galagher: Yes.

Supervisor Bath: The objection to Number 10 is that the initiative comes from six members of the Board of Supervisors to file charges of malfeasance in office to any appointive officer and what Mr. O'Connor objected to is that Civil Service Commissioners are removed in the same manner as elective officers are removed. Mr. O'Connor believes they should not come under the same provisions.

Mr. O'Connor: The reason the Civil Service employees are interested in the Civil Service Commission is that the Civil Service Commission under the Charter constitutes a sort of a court of appeals for all the Civil Service employees

from their various departments. A man must be removed from his department on a trial and if he is removed he has the right of appeal to the Civil Service Commission. The past history has been that they have been very fair and the employees do not want to have any political friendships—not an intimation against this Board but of all boards—political pressure brought on the Civil Service Commission.

If an attempt is made, to use a slang expression, to "get" an employee—some of these Civil Service jobs are big jobs—Doctor Hassler, for instance, gets a salary of $9,000 a year. There are a number of big jobs and the same argument goes right down the line.

In the city government you have about four thousand five hundred Civil Service employees and naturally they are interested in that Commission, which is a court of last appeals, as far as they are concerned, and for the past twenty-five years there has never been any complaint so far as the Commission is concerned.

Supervisor Gallagher: Your idea this morning was you desired to have the Civil Service——

Mr. O'Connor (interrupting): It is not personal with me. The executive committee last Friday night went all over this amendment and I was instructed to be here to oppose to including the Civil Service Commission within the scope of this amendment.

At the present time a distinction is made with regard to removal. At the present time a Civil Service employee can only be removed by an elected official. The idea was to keep the Civil Service Commission away from political pressure. You cannot do it entirely, but as far as possible we wish it done. Under the Charter at the present time a man must be reelected. That is also provided to prevent a Mayor getting control of the Civil Service Commission.

Supervisor Harrelson: What is your argument?

Mr. O'Connor: The Civil Service Commission has the last word.

Supervisor Harrelson: That takes that away from him?

Mr. O'Connor: Suppose the Board wanted to come in and get Mr. Rogers' post?

(At this time Supervisor McSheehy resumed the chair.)

The Chairman: Do I understand Mr. O'Connor is talking upon an amendment this Board passed?

Supervisor Gallagher: Yes.

The Chairman: What right have we to talk here upon a matter of this kind? You know we are all tired and I hope——

Supervisor Gallagher: You are no more tired than I am.

The Chairman: But I hope we will not attempt to continue. You know if you want to rescind your action of last night you can do so, but you cannot take up——

Supervisor Hayden: I will make a motion that we rescind Amendment No. 10.

Supervisor Gallagher: I second it to get the point before us.

Mr. O'Connor: I do not suppose Mr. Rogers objects to my using him as an illustration——

The Chairman: It has been regularly moved and seconded we rescind the action taken last night in reference to Amendment No. 10 and Mr. O'Connor has been allowed the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would like to say this, and I would say this to Supervisor Stanton: That at the time I voted for it there was just a quorum here. One vote would have stopped it. I think the amendment has some merit and I did not care to stop it at that time, but I said to Mr. Stanton that I may raise this question again because I was satisfied there was some merit in Mr. O'Connor's point. I am going to vote for the amendment in its present structure but I would like to see the thought expressed by Mr. O'Connor discussed here and it would not hurt the amendment at all. I sincerely hope that the members who secured my one vote and voted me down on some of the pets I had, that my one vote this morning to make it possible to submit this to the people will not prevent the door to be opened to destroy the amendment or to keep it from going on the ballot because even at the worst I will vote to put it on the ballot but would permit this action to go through so that Mr. O'Connor can establish the theory and establish that the inclusion of the Civil Service Commission in this amendment is a serious mistake. Let us illustrate: If twenty-five hundred people in the Civil Service Association objected to this amendment based upon the inclusion of the Civil Service Commission within it, it seems to me it is in a weak position. If, on the contrary, it can be arranged so that their opposition is withdrawn, and if they had the favor instead of the opposition, I think it would be in a strong position.

Supervisor Colman: Supervisor Hayden made a motion which you stated was in order and which I seconded, namely, to rescind the

action on No. 10. I am in favor of that. I would like this statement to go into the record. I freely admit that last night I should have remained here. I was completely tired out after the matter of the Mayor's veto was finally decided and I left. It had been stated here that amendments would be taken up after the Modesto matter but that slipped my mind and I have no excuse to offer, I should have stayed, but I am oposed to No. 10——

Supervisor Gallagher: To the principle?

Supervisor Colman: I am opposed to the principle. Would you believe——

Supervisor Gallagher: I would like——

The Chairman: Do not interrupt the speaker, because our rules are very distinct on that. You cannot interrupt a speaker, at least until he finishes. Unless he objects——

Supervisor Colman: I object to being interrupted. I admit freely that the statement of Mr. O'Connor—would you give me your attention? Would you, too?

Supervisor Gallagher: This is my—go ahead.

The Chairman: Supervisor Colman has the floor.

Supervisor Colman: I want to say this: I admit the true point brought out by Mr. O'Connor in every detail and it is very strong, and the point is extremely well taken. However, I believe that all you have said applies in a great degree, possibly not so great as in your particular case, but to an important enough degree, to every other commission appointed by the Mayor and which are concerned in Article No. 10. I think this is a direct blow at the spirit of the present charter. The idea of the founders of the charter was that the Mayor should appoint these commissions and should remove them and they should be responsible to the Mayor and as long as we have that charter in existence I believe that that is one of the most vital points about the charter.

Now, to go a little further into the point made by Mr. O'Connor regarding the Civil Service Commission: I see full well the dangers that would come and I can also see the danger that would come from authority of any kind or any contact given to the Police Department in this Board. I think it would strike at a very, very important matter and that is: The safety and protection of life and property of our citizens.

I can also see in including the Fire Department, in bringing politics into the Fire Department, you are striking at something that is extremely vital to the people of San Francisco, and I claim the only way we can have an efficient policy or Fire Department, and, if you like, to probably a little greater extent, the Civil Service Commission, and other commissions, is to keep those commissions and those departments out of politics and when any control is vested in this Board of Supervisors it becomes a hotbed of politics. I was not here last night

---

Supervisor Stanton (interrupting): Will you repeat that?

Supervisor Colman: I say that whenever department control comes into this Board it becomes a hotbed of politics. What I mean by "hotbed" is no reflection on the Board or any member of it, but I mean political pressure centers here and it becomes an active center of politics, and I claim that questions of vital importance to the people of San Francisco, such as its police or fire or civil service, and I am free to grant them first, although it had not occurred to me until you brought out the fact that they are a court of last resort, that all matters should be kept out of any political board.

Now, I was not here to vote against this No. 10, but I am very much opposed to No. 10, and I shall do all in my power——

Supervisor Gallagher (interrupting): I rise to a point of order. Mr. Colman is debating the merits of the amendment and not the rescision.

The Chairman: The point of order is not well taken.

Supervisor Colman: I am going to oppose this to the best of my ability. I believe that is a very ill-chosen and poor amendment to be presented by this Board of Supervisors and I believe, and I will say to Mr. Kent, that he made a statement this afternoon that is absolutely true, he applied it, however, I think, possibly to the wrong amendment, because the amendment of Mr. Vandeur possibly has merit to it, but I think Mr. Kent's statement that the introduction of certain amendments might well result in a *no* vote going right down the line is absolutely true and is worthy of the consideration of the members of this Board. Mr. O'Connor has been given the privilege

of appearing before this Board and protesting against the inclusion of the Civil Service Commission within this amendment. I am sure, without knowing that the members of the Police Commission and of the Fire Commission would oppose this amendment, and if they were given the opportunity, the same opportunity that is afforded today to Mr. O'Connor, it is my belief, without knowing it, Supervisor, but my strong belief, that both Mr. Roche and Mr. Sikes, if asked if they approved of this, would say that they did not and would give you as the reason they were opposed to the interjection of politics into the conduct of the Police Department or of the Fire Department, and when I say that I do not mean necessarily that as the night follows the day that that thing will be the result, but I do claim, without any doubt, it leaves an opening for politics to be interjected into those departments, and I tell you, gentlemen, that I am willing to go on record and to fight and urge with all the strength that I have that the only way we will have an efficient Police Department, and it is an efficient Police Department, and the reason for its efficiency is that there is no politics in the Police Department.

By chance I met the President of the Commission, Mr. Roche, the other night, and stopped and chatted with him on general questions. I chatted with him on a matter independent of this; I knew nothing about it at the time; and we discussed the merits of our Police Department, and Mr. Roche made the statement, "The principal reason for the efficiency that we have obtained in the Department is there is no politics in there."

Now, I may talk a little longer, but. Mr. Chairman, the way I look at it I could not be talking about anything of more importance to the people of San Francisco, namely: What to me might result in a loss in efficiency in the most important functions of the city government.

Now, I say again, I met Mr. Sikes only today and chatted with him on matters, and I am sure, for I did not know about it at this time, I am sure he did not, we did not mention it, but I am sure that Mr. Sikes and the members of the Fire Commission would take the same attitude and they would realize the great loss that might come to their Department through any possibility of political pressure being exercised in the Department.

Now, when we do something that will hurt those two departments we are striking right at the heart of the people of San Francisco. Now, I tell you that, gentlemen, in all sincerity.

My position is clear. The people's lives and property are entitled to full protection, and I can see in my mind, looking ahead, possibly not from this Board, but from other Boards of Supervisors, the things that might come up. And again referring to Mr. O'Connor, to his Civil Service Commission, he tells you quite frankly why that group of gentlemen have sent him here to object, simply because this is a move to bring it into politics. The Police Department is safely in the hands of the Mayor of San Francisco, and by the "Mayor of San Francisco" I mean the office of Mayor of San Francisco. I do not refer to my friend the present Mayor of San Francisco, because human life, human tenure of political office is most uncertain, as we all know, and he may or may not be the Mayor, but regardless of who the Mayor of San Francisco may be, I claim that the destiny of the Police Department, the Fire Department, the Civil Service Department, is safer in the hands of the Mayor than it is in the Board of Supervisors.

So, in conclusion, I want it distinctly understood that if anybody would listen to me on this question I will be glad to tell them why they should vote *no* on amendment No. 10, and in seconding Supervisor Hayden's motion I do urge the Board to rescind their action on this amendment. I think they will be glad, and I think honestly that Mr. Kent struck the keynote, and the only thing is that if there is amendment that can be objected to with good reason, that a tremendously strong case can be worked up against it, it is amendment No. 10, and there, Mr. Kent, might come the result you thought of, and your thought was sound, and if there is one amendment that many people might think strikes at the vital spot of San Francisco, they might or might not, but they might take the method you described of voting *no* down the list.

(At this point other matters were taken up, following which the fol lowing proceedings were had.)

(Supervisor McSheehy in the chair.)

The Chairman: Members of the Board, I do not want to keep the

members here any longer, but let me make a statement especially in reference to this Amendment 10. The members voted for that amendment last night.

Supervisor Gallagher: I will withdraw the motion as soon as I can get the floor.

The Chairman: To rescind?

Supervisor Gallagher: I am ready to withdraw that motion.

Supervisor Colman: Didn't Supervisor Hayden move to rescind the motion?

The Clerk: Yes.

Supervisor Colman: Supervisor Hayden made the motion and I seconded it.

The Chairman: Let us have a vote here. There were ten members of this Board voted in favor of that amendment last night and it will take ten members to rescind. I voted in favor of that amendment last night and I see no reason why I should vote to rescind today, so let us have a vote. Let me say——

Supervisor Hayden: You don't have to—that is not——

The Chairman (interrupting): Don't start that.

Supervisor Hayden: That is all right, you kept us here all night, didn't you?

Supervisor Gallagher: I can see now that if the motion to rescind carried, there are three or four members who propose to vote against the amendment and it would not get back on the ballot. I would like to satisfy the position taken by Mr. O'Connor but I am not going to sacrifice the amendment to accomplish that.

Supervisor Hayden: We are going to have some reason in this matter——

Supervisor Stanton (interrupting): Now, the Supervisor said he is going to vote against it and I am going to vote against it and Supervisor McSheehy will vote against it——

Supervisor Kent: You will talk to yourselves in a moment—I am going——

Supervisor Hayden: All right, you may be excused. I will say I am most emphatically opposed to the most vicious charter amendment that has ever come into this Board during my seventeen years here. Here is a charter adopted in 1900 and has the trial of twenty-six years and I do not know of anybody who has any reason to complain about the operation of this charter from the standpoint of charges and trials in any department in the City government. The people elect a Mayor in this City that they have confidence in—just a minute now——

The Chairman: Wait, please——

Supervisor Hayden (continuing): I think when the people elect a Mayor of this City they elect him because they have confidence in him and in this case they elected Mayor Rolph for fifteen years—just closing fifteen years—and you would know in that time whether there was anything that happened during the fifteen years in carrying out the charter of any injustice done any appointee. The Mayor has appointed a Civil Service Commission during that time and there is no time that I know in fifteen years where, in my recollection, any criticism has been cast on the members of the Civil Service Commission. I know Mr. O'Connor here, representing the Civil Service employees, has the great confidence in the Civil Service Commission. I know the members of the Civil Service Employees' Organization are willing to take their chances in all matters concerning their welfare with the Civil Service Commission——

Supervisor Stanton (interrupting): The Scanlon Club came in last night and saw the amendment—both the Police and——

Supervisor Hayden (interrupting): I do not care—I do not care—I have the greatest respect for the Scanlon Club, but here is a Board of Supervisors which controls the appropriations, a Board of Supervisors, who, under this charter amendment, in addition to having that club is going to have another club as to the tenure of office. The slightest pretext that the majority of this Board, or any Board that might follow, can be used in a political way to punish. You are building up a political organization here that would make Chris Buckley's organization pale into insignificance and then, to think of interfering with the Police Department. You are attempting that already here within the first six months of the organization of this Board by the introduction of the resolution of Supervisor Havenner on the Police Department and the whole City was up in condemnation of that.

Now, if you had a club like that in your hands during that time and you wanted to punish somebody, what would happen? You control the Police Department, you control the appropriations, and I think that is the most dangerous place to put——

Supervisor Stanton: They do it in Los Angeles.

Supervisor Hayden: I know what you are trying to do—the majority of this Board—you are trying to get control of every department. You have proved it by every act; you have criticized every department, not neglecting the Mayor, and the only department that has escaped criticism has been the Fire Department and now you are not satisfied to go out openly to criticize the departments and cast ridicule and humiliation on every department, but now you want to gather in to the Board of Supervisors and hold this club over their heads so they won't have any independence at all. They will be crawling on their hands and knees——

Supervisor Gallagher: Will you yield to a question?

Supervisor Hayden: No I will not yield to a——

Supervisor Stanton: He is your little playmate——

Supervisor Hayden: My vote will not be given in support of this very drastic revolutionary charter amendment that has for its purpose only one thing and that is the political control absolutely to be vested in this Board of Supervisors. I think the people will take their chances with the Mayor, whether it is Mayor Rolph or any other, and they will take their chances with the Civil Service Commission appointed by the Mayor, and if the Mayor is going to be elected now and elected in the future, he will be a man we have confidence in——

Supervisor Stanton: You have confidence in everybody but the Supervisors.

Supervisor Hayden: I have confidence but not to the extent of giving this power, which is an attempt for political control of this City government in every department and it will not be with my approval, and further than that, I shall exercise my right and shall prepare an argument to go on the ballot in opposition to the particular amendment. I have no hope of changing your mind here, you are bent in getting control of this City government, you are not going to succeed because the people won't allow it, and the people will give that such a humiliating defeat you will never know it was on the ballot. And I am going to help to defeat it. I have declared myself, and declared it and that amendment is only to be compared to the one brought in by Supervisor Havenner on the carpenter strike.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would not debate with Supervisor Hayden. but I am going to support this amendment even though the Civil Service Commission is included in it. But let me say to you very frankly: When this power is given to the Mayor—and this is not speaking of Mayor Rolph, but of the office—what is the situation? No democratic government should be conducted in that manner. The Mayor is the sole judge and the sole jury and there is no appeal. Is that democracy? No. The argument of Supervisor Hayden may sound like a joke, but what I know of "clean up and clean out" and everything else, I do not think there is much danger of its being brought up on the charter amendment, especially in regard to the Police Commission. I guess there isn't much danger, but the power of the Mayor now is this: That Mr. Harrelson can prefer charges and the Mayor tries them and he is judge and jury and out goes the man. That is not democracy.

Supervisor Hayden: Has it been abused?

Supervisor Gallagher: Yes it has been abused. I will show where it was badly abused and I will speak about one of my friends when I say it. Mayor McCarthy over night removed five boards.

Supervisor Stanton: You are depending on personalities——

The Chairman: Members of the Board, in all fairness—we will be here until midnight tonight.

Supervisor Gallagher: No, we won't, but in order to have Supervisor Hayden give this more thought I want to dissuade him on two points. I am not joining in a movement to control the government. This charter amendment, by the way, goes to the people, and I submit to you that the next Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, who may be anybody we may think of who is anxious to become Mayor, may do what Mayor McCarthy did over night and which I fought him on for hours——

Supervisor Hayden: He lasted two years.

Supervisor Gallagher: He lasted long enough to do that damage and he did it in twenty-four hours. He removed five separate boards over night.

Now, suppose you were elected Mayor tomorrow and you did not like Mr. Roche and others and you did not like the Civil Service Commission—no, you did not like the Fire Commission, you did not like certain other commissions, and

with one stroke of the pen, in view of the fact that you are judge and jury, they are removed and expelled from public office subject to the castigation that goes, and the ignominy, and if you can find a worse system than that you go and find it. I would rather take my chance with the Board of Supervisors of eighteen men any time than I would with one mayor who would be politically opposed to me and would want my scalp because I would not do as he wished.

Supervisor Harrelson: This has to be placed on the ballot. I have not had any opportunity whatever of scrutinizing the various amendments. I know more of this one than I do of any other because it has been discussed, but it seems to me, without giving the matter very much thought, that this is an amendment very vicious and if it becomes a law it will place those commissioners and the appointees of the Mayor in office, place their tenure in a very insecure position. I am opposed to the amendment as it stands and I have no doubt if it finds its place on the ballot I hope it will be defeated because I think it is objectionable. I reserve the right, of course, to have my opinion on it—I shall vote for the entire list approved by this Board in order not to prevent the entire list from going on the ballot, but with that reservation I believe it is vicious.

Supervisor Stanton: I want to say that when the President of the United States makes an appointment it must be approved by the Senate. It is in line with the same vicious amendment that we are proposing now for the charter. You read in the papers every day where Congress is bringing different officials and investigating them and going right down the line. Is there anything wrong or vicious about that? Is there anything wrong in putting the power into the hands of a Board of Supervisors of eighteen who are elected by the people of San Francisco? Where do you get this vicious stuff? We are giving them a fair trial. You are putting the whole thing on one real good, honest man. You are making a king of him and a king is a fine person for the people providing he rules well, but you have one individual you are willing to bank on entirely and I want to say that you are the power there because you have a Mayor who is with you.

This amendment possibly, if it carries, will be there for a long time. This Board of Supervisors is not going to stay here forever because we have seen a lot of faces around here in my time and there have been some Mayors in my time, too, and I do not believe we can build up a form of government that is based on one individual, a man's personality, and that is what you are banking your whole case on.

I do not see—I cannot for the life of me see, where you are afraid to take a chance yourself. It is true, possibly, that everybody does not think as you do, but we don't know what might happen at even the next election. There might be an entirely different change around here, the complexion might change, people's opinions might change from time to time, and it takes six members of this Board of Supervisors, and surely they will have to come in here on some grounds, for the rest are not going to follow them along like a bunch of sheep and give a man a trial in open meeting with the members of the press sitting and listening to them. I say, by all means that the form of government that puts the power in the hands of elected officers is the best form of government we can have.

Supervisor Harrelson: The Mayor is elected.

Supervisor Colman: Now, Mr. Chairman, I would like——

Supervisor Kent: Now, listen, I am going to walk out of here——

Supervisor Colman: Then I want——

Supervisor Kent: I have something more dear at home than listening to a lot of bull around here and I am going to sit at the supper table tongiht with my youngsters and they are wondering why I am not home. Now, you pass your resolution and do it right away or you will be left because I am going to be the baby that will walk out of here.

Supervisor Colman: I am looking at a matter that is protecting many other fathers and mothers with little babies at home. I think Supervisor Stanton unwittingly presented one of the chief arguments against this amendment himself. That is this: The Scanlon Club——

The Chairman: Supervisor Colman, do you realize the fact that it takes ten votes to rescind the action of this Board?

Supervisor Colman: I do. I am responsible for mine and you be responsible for yours.

The Chairman: You can only talk for the record, Supervisor.

Supervisor Colman: Well, I do not know that. I know if I were seated here and I listened to such straight stuff as I am telling you it might change my vote, Supervisor McSheehy.

The Chairman: I never yet saw you change your vote, Supervisor Colman.

Supervisor Colman: That is because probably I never heard the right stuff.

The Chairman: You heard the right stuff in one particular case.

Supervisor Colman: I believe if you listen to what I am going to tell you and what we have already told you, I believe you will have heard enough to change your vote. Now, I want to point out here and say that the Scanlon Club, the splendid organization of the men in the Fire Department, looking out for the best interests in the Department and looking out for the interests of the firemen, and when Supervisor Stanton, however, states that they approve of this, to me that is not a good reason to adopt it because the conduct of a department should rest with those in charge.

Now, let me talk a word about the Fire Department and the Police. Both of those callings are hazardous and the nearest comparisons we have to police and fire departments is the army and the navy. Those men must obey blindly without hestitation and witnout questioning the orders of their superiors and they must go into places in which their lives are frequently in danger and in order to get efficiency and the right results from the Police and Fire, you must have discipline. You must have the power in those men who are charged with the conduct of that office; that is where the authority must be and when those men are divided between two masters then the general efficiency of a department will suffer. That is my contention and it is true. It is absolutely true and in those two particular departments it applies with——

Supervisor Stanton (interrupting): You are in favor of slavery?

Supervisor Colman: No. I am in favor of a Mayor, elected by the people, being charged with certain responsibility and I believe if you want to get results you have got to give some power to one man and hold him responsible and if there is anything wrong with the Police Department, you go to the Mayor of San Francisco, who has appointed the Commission that is charged with the responsibility of the conduct of that office. You do not go to a body of eighteen men with all different interests subject to push and pull from all sections of San Francisco if you want to get results. You say they have it in Los Angeles. I do not question it, whether they have or whether they have not, but I know, however, that they do not do it in New York and they do not do it in Chicago. The Police Department is in the hands of one man in New York City, and there is an army. I believe it is seven or eight thousand—seventeen thousand. It is in the hands of a commissioner of police and he is responsible, strictly responsible for the conduct of that office, and if he cannot make good he is removed.

Now, I think that the very argument—I don't believe, with all respect, I want to help the police and the firemen get all that is coming to them in the way of wages, living conditions, hours and so forth, but I will never consent to take the word of subordinates in any organization above those in charge, nor will I take the advice of the subordinates of a Department as to the best meahod of running that Department any more than if I want —or if the President or anyone else wants approval of some method in the conduct of the army and the navy he does not go to the privates in the army or to the sailors in the navy although he has the greatest respect and admiration for both, as we all have, but he calls on those in authority for approval and the privates and the sailors are not consulted. The more I think of this amendment, sitting here, really and truly, the more vicious I think it can be in its results and I tell you if there was any doubt as to the efficiency of the San Francisco Police Department or the San Francisco Fire Department, if you can get up here and show how you will get a better Police Department or better Fire Department, than you have now by introducing this method, I would listen to you carefully, because I want to see, if possible, a better Police Department and I want to see a better Fire Department, but I challenge you to stand up and show us how you will improve the morale, the discipline and the general conduct and result of either the Police or Fire Department if this amendment will carry.

Supervisor Gallagher: I will show you one instance.

Supervisor Colman: Show me one instance?

Supervisor Gallagher: I will show you an instance——

Supervisor Stanton: This is not a time of war—— (Turmoil.)

Supervisor Gallagher: I am asking a question. Supervisor Colman asked me a question and I will point this out to you. You say the Fire Department is an efficient Department?

Supervisor Colman: Yes, sir.

Supervisor Gallagher: Do you remember when the Chief of the Fire Department was removed and the Mayor had to remove the entire Commission before he could remove the Chief? Could that happen if the control was in this body?

Supervisor Colman: I know if the control of the Fire Department was in this Board, anybody who had a cousin, a friend of a cousin, a friend of a friend, or a friend of a friend of a friend, they would come around to intercede for this man. That is exactly what I am opposed to.

Supervisor Stanton: That is done now.

Supervisor Colman: That is not done now, but that is just what would happen, Supervisor Gallagher. You may laugh, but that is no argument. Your laughter is no argument except to those who may be listening and who think it is an argument——

Supervisor Gallagher: I was laughing at your excitement.

Supervisor Colman: I am excited, and if there is one thing the people of San Francisco should get excited about it is meddling with the Fire and Police Departments.

(Calls for the question.)

The Clerk: The question is to rescind. Supervisor Badaracco? Supervisor Bath?

Supervisor Bath: No.

The Clerk: Supervisor Colman?

Supervisor Colman: Aye.

The Clerk: Supervisor Deasy?

Supervisor Deasy: No.

The Clerk: Supervisor Gallagher?

Supervisor Gallagher: No.

The Clerk: Supervisor Harrelson?

Supervisor Harrelson: Aye.

The Clerk: Supervisor Hayden?

Supervisor Hayden: Aye.

The Clerk: Supervisor Kent?

Supervisor Kent: No.

The Clerk: Supervisor Marks? Supervisor McSheehy?

Supervisor McSheehy: No.

The Clerk: Supervisor Powers? Supervisor Roncovieri?

Supervisor Roncovieri: No.

The Clerk: Supervisor Schmidt? Supervisor Shannon? Supervisor Stanton?

Supervisor Stanton: No.

The Clerk: Supervisor Todd? Supervisor Welch? Seven noes, three ayes, eight absent.

Hospitalization of Employees of Municipal Public Utilities.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Charter Amendment No. 39.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new article thereto to be designated Article XVIII, relating to hospital service for employees of municipal public utilities.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1926, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

That a new article be added to the Charter to be designated Article XVIII and to read as follows:

Article XVIII.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County is empowered under the conditions set forth herein on a vote of fourteen members and the approval of the Mayor to establish a hospitalization system and to provide for hospital benefits for municipal employees of any public utility system heretofore or hereafter established or operated by the City and County. The said employees shall become members thereof and shall contribute one-half the cost of the maintenance of such hospitalization system not to exceed the sum of one dollar per month and the said City and County shall furnish the other half of the cost thereof. Membership in said hospitalization system shall be compulsory. The public utility to which the employee is attached or by which he is employed shall be charged by the City and County of San Francisco with one-half of the cost of the membership of each member of the hospitalization system employed by said utility or attached thereto. A board of administration is hereby created, consisting of the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, the Auditor, the City Attorney and four members to be elected from the active members of the hospitalization system; such appointees shall serve without compensation. They shall be appointed by the Mayor within sixty days from

the taking effect of this amendment. Members other than ex-officio members shall be so classify themselves by lot that one term shall expire each year. The board of administration may establish such rules and regulations as it may deem proper, shall elect one of its members president and appoint a secretary and may appoint such other employees as may be necessary. Such appointments shall be made under the provisions of Article XIII of this Charter. The board of administration shall be the sole authority to judge under such general ordinance as may be adopted by the Supervisors as to the conditions under which persons may be admitted to benefits of any sort under this system and shall have exclusive control of the administration thereof. The Board of Supervisors is hereby fully empowered by a majority vote of the members to enact any and all ordinances necessary to carry into effect the provisions of this article. The board of administration shall have full and complete charge of all moneys contributed by the City and County and said employees including any interest which might be earned thereon and that said moneys shall be deposited in a special fund to be known as the "Hospital Fund."

City Attorney's Opinion.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole advised that the Supervisors had power to provide for compulsory hospitalization of City employees.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the foregoing amendment was *approved* and ordered *submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Todd, Welch—8.

Resolution.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26197 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That at the general election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1926. there shall be placed upon the ballot used at said election proposals to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to enable the electors of said City and County to vote upon said proposals to amend said Charter as hereinafter described and enumerated.

That said proposals to amend said Charter were ordered submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco by the Board of Supervisors at meeting held on the 20th day of September, 1926, and ordered published as required by the provisions of Section 8, Article XI of the Constitution of the State of California.

That said proposals shall be known and designated upon the said ballot under a caption, "Charter Amendments Relating to the City and County of San Francisco," and shall be consecutively numbered thereon, beginning with the number twenty-nine and ending with the number thirty-nine.

That said amendments shall be printed upon the official ballots for the general election to be held in said City and County of San Francisco on the 2d day of November, 1926, in the manner provided by law for submitting said propositions at such general election and the propositions stated as required by said law.

Also, said ballots shall have printed thereon the following:

Amendment No. 29—Water Bonds.

Decreases bond limit for general purposes from 15 to 12 per cent of taxable property. Excludes bonds heretofore or hereafter issued for water purposes from limitation.

Amendment No. 30—Air Port.

City may purchase, hold or lease property within or without the City and County for air port terminal or landing place for air craft.

Amendment No. 31—Semi-Monthly Payment of Salaries.

Salaries of all officers and employees, including policemen, firemen and teachers in the public schools and others employed at fixed wages shall be payable semi-monthly.

Amendment No. 32—Salaries of Mayor and Supervisors.

After July 1, 1927, the salary of Mayor to be $12,000 annually and salaries of Supervisors one-third that of Mayor.

Amendment No. 33—Palace of Fine Arts Site.

Authorizing Board of Supervisors to acquire from the United States a portion of the Presidio Reservation occupied by the Palace of Fine Arts, in consideration of the right to construct and maintain a spur track over certain public streets.

Amendment No. 34—Retirement Allowance to Certain Teachers.

All teachers retired from service under the law of 1913, who are not now drawing a pension, shall be entitled to receive retirement allowance.

Amendment No. 35—School Department.

Provides for the Civil Service appointment of teachers, for making estimate of expenses, for a tax for the schools, for regulations for the disbursement of money.

Amendment No. 36—Civil Service—Playgrounds.

Makes employees of the Playground Commission subject to Civil Service provisions and those employed one year are appointed thereunder.

Amendment No. 37—Appointment to and Removal from Office.

Provides for the ratification by Board of Supervisors of Mayor's appointments to commissions, and for their removal for cause by said Board.

Amendment No. 38—Wage-Fixing Powers.

Vests in the Supervisors power to fixe compensation of all city employees pending the adoption of report on standardization of salary.

Amendment No. 39—Hospital Service.

Authorizes the Supervisors to provide a hospital system for municipal public utility employees, cost to be divided between employees and City.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Welch—8.

Explanations of Vote.

Supervisor Hayden explained his vote by saying: "I do not want to by my vote interfere with the adoption of these amendments, but I wanted to make a statement to the effect that I shall vote for the amendments with the idea in mind that I do not want to debar the other amendments passing but I am opposed to No. 10 in principle and shall go out on the highways and byways and place an argument on the ballot against No. 10. With that understanding I will vote for the combined amendments."

Supervisor Harrelson explained his vote by saying: "Before I vote I want to reiterate what I said a few moments ago. I will vote for the list approved by the Board in order to secure their being placed on the ballot reserving the right to scrutinize these different amendments and criticize them. I think some have merit but some are there that should not be there."

Leave of Absence.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
September 21, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Matthew Brady, District Attorney, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing September 23, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26198 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Matthew Brady, District Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of thirty days, commencing September 23, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Welch—8.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by Board of Supervisors, December 6, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 39

Monday, September 27, 1926

Wednesday, September 29, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 27, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of June 28, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Increases for Municipal Railway Employees.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from San Francisco Labor Council, transmitting copy of resolution adopted at last meeting of said Council recommending certain increases in the salaries of Municipal Railway employees.

Supervisor Stanton moved reference to Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*.

Salary Increases for Mayor and Suervisors Endorsed.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Office Employees' Association, advising that said organization has endorsed proposed charter amendment relating to increases in salaries paid the Mayor and members of the Board of Supervisors.

Ordered *filed*.

Also, *communication*, from United Citizens' League, advising that said organization has endorsed proposed charter amendment relating to increases in salaries paid the Mayor and members of the Board of Supervisors.

Ordered *filed*.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Galvanizing Traffic Safety Buttons.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for galvanizing 2500 traffic safety buttons, and *referred to the Supplies Committee*.

Linoleum.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing linoleum that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing at date and ending June 30, 1927, and *referred to the Supplies Committee*.

Vehicle License Plates.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for 15,071 vehicle license plates for use of the tax collector, and *referred to the Supplies Committee*.

SPECIAL ORDER, 3 P. M.

Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto, dated September 2nd, of Resolution No. 26100, requesting the City Attorney to intervene in action against Southern Pacific Company in behalf of the Golden Gate Ferry Company and A. O. Stewart, in action to restrain said Southern Pacific Company from establishing vehicular ferry between San Francisco and Alameda.

September 7, 1926—Presented to the Board and continued until Monday, September 13, 1926.

September 13, 1926—Laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.

September 20, 1926—On motion of Supervisor Shannon, laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.

Mayor's Veto Sustained.

The question being put, "Shall the resolution pass, notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the

Mayor?" the roll was called and the veto *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Whereupon, the Chair announced the Mayor's veto *sustained.*

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

Traffic Signals.

Bill No. 7758, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the selection, purchase, location, operation, installation and control of traffic signals and protecting devices for safety zones, pedestrian paths and other places upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever public necessity shall require, the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to select and purchase the devices, apparatus, markers or other appliances as in its judgment it shall deem best suited for the establishment of signal devices for the purpose of regulating traffic within the City and County of San Francisco and the establishment, protection and control of safety zones and pedestrian paths on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to substitute in place of the signal devices for the regulation of traffic and the markers for safety zones and pedestrian paths that are now or may hereafter be in use, the signal devices and safety zone and pedestrian path markers that said Board of Supervisors may adopt in accordance with Section 1 of this ordinance, and provide for the maintenance of the same.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby given exclusive authority to designate the streets and place on the streets within the City and County of San Francisco where signal devices, safety zones and pedestrian path markers shall be installed, and it shall be the duty of the said Board of Supervisors to supply such signal devices and the said safety zone and pedestrian path markers in such quantities as shall be needed.

The Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby given exclusive control and operation of all such signal devices and safety zones and pedestrian paths, as provided by said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. It shall be the duty of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco to disconnect and remove any existing signal devices and in lieu thereof, to connect and install, at the places designated by said Board of Supervisors, such signal devices as are selected by said Board of Supervisors. All signal devices now or that shall hereafter be installed shall be maintained by said Department of Electricity.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

September 13, 1926—*Over one week.*

September 20, 1926—*Passed for printing. Supervisor Gallagher changed his vote from no to aye, and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration this day.*

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the foregoing notice of reconsideration on bill providing for the selection, etc., of traffic signals was *laid over until next meeting.*

Action Rescinded.

Subsequently, during the proceedings, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the vote whereby the foregoing matter was laid over one week be rescinded.

Motion *carried.*

Reconsideration.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the vote whereby the foregoing bill was, on September 20, 1926, passed for printing be reconsidered.

Motion *carried.*

Amendments.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the words "Board of Supervisors" in the first line of Section 3 be stricken out and that the words "Police Department" be inserted in lieu thereof; that the word "said" in the sixth line of Section 3 be stricken out; that the words "Board of Supervisors" be stricken out and the words "Police Department" in the fifth line of Section 4 be inserted in lieu thereof.

Amendments *carried without objection.*

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill, numbered 7758, was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, referred to the Public Buildings Committee, and after approved by the Finance Committee, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorization, $4,114.08, Payment to Architects and Engineers Associated for Professional Services in Connection With the Kezar Stadium Club House Construction.

Resolution No. 26199 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the hereinafter mentioned amount be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned account in payment to the following named claimant, to-wit:

Park Fund.

Architects and Engineers Associated, professional services for Kezar Stadium Club House (claim dated Aug. 13, 1926), $4,114.08.

(Passed for printing August 23, 1926, and withheld from final passage August 30, 1926.)

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $70,490.40, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) M. B. McGowan, for driving piles, etc., at Yacht Harbor (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $1,426.

(2) A. J. Raisch, construction of tennis courts at Kezar Stadium (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $640.60.

(3) National Ice Cream Co., for ice cream furnished (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $1,517.05.

(4) Montague Pipe & Steel Co., galvanized iron pipe for parks (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $2,225.41.

(5) Byron Jackson Pump Mfg. Co., furnishing and installing pump in Golden Gate Park (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $11,759.

(6) Garfield & Co., one Type "A" Erie steam shovel equipped with oil-burning apparatus, etc., for parks (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $8,350.

(7) Gladding, McBean & Co., furnishing and setting in place tile roof on stable, Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $2,450.

(8) Guilfoy Cornice Works, sheet metal work for stable, Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $978.

(9) Spencer Planing Mill, millwork and glazing for stable Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $1,365.

(10) Frank G. White, third payment, plans and specifications for piers and wharves at Aquatic Park (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $2,000.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(11) R. W. Kinney Co., third payment, furnishing and delivering 4-inch wrought steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $2,529.68.

(12) R. W. Kinney, fourth payment, furnishing and delivering 4-inch wrought steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $2,529.88.

(13) The Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., Edison electric storage cells, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $10,563.25.

(14) General Electric Co., electric locomotive parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,090.82.

(15) B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., 4-ply commander belts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $529.40.

(16) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,059.02.

(17) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim

dated Sept. 15, 1926), $587.55.

(18) Myers-Whaley Company, shoveling machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $763.80.

(19) Smith-Booth-Usher Co., two Wright high-speed hoist, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,472.

(20) United Commercial Co., one Lidgerwood Cableway, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $937.02.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(21) Haas Wood & Ivory Works, biology tables for High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 21, 1926), $1,020.

(22) Royal Typewriter Co., Inc., 42 typewriters for High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 21, 1926), $2,940.

(23) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., 40 desks for High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 21, 1926), $1,-181.60.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(24) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 16, 1926), $1,683.88.

Special School Tax.

(25) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints for school buildings (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $525.75.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) American La France Fire Engine Company, one combination pumper and hose motor car for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $11,740.

(27) Howard Automobile Company, two Buick autos for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,925.

(28) The Seagrave Corporation, one combination pumper and hose car for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $11,500.

(29) Frederick W. Snook, disinfector for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,682.

(30) Bush Electric Corporation, diaphragm table for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,575.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(31) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,154.92.

(32) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,668.86.

(33) Shell Company, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,104.85.

(34) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrants installed, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,790.65.

(35) J. A. Bryant, third payment, construction of club house, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $23,609.

(36) J. A. Bryant, fourth payment, construction of club house, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $8,822.

(37) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $552.32.

(38) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept 17, 1926), $2,283.

(39) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $3,043.08.

(40) The California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $824.44.

(41) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas furnished County Jails (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $851.29.

(42) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $1,086.85.

(43) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasin frames, grates, etc., for sewers (claim dated Sept. 21, 1926), $594.41.

(44) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 21, 1926), $632.50.

(45) Cambridge Instrument Co., electrocardigraph for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $2,104.

(46) Morris & Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,820.

(47) Lazare-Klein Co., sheeting, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $577.97.

(48) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,180.77.

(49) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $4,-009.66.

(50) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $2,105.72.

(51) Haas Bros., sugar, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,106.08.

(52) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund for beautification of Civic Center during August (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $835.33.

(53) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund in part for cost of construction of stable and improvement of City property at Ocean Beach (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $20,000.

(54) Louis T. Samuels, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, Sept. 4 to Oct. 4, 1926 (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $1,186.

(55) Pacific Spruce Corporation, a corporation, payment of judgment by United States District Court for Northern California, Action No. 18738, including interest and costs (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $7,223.88.

(56) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for various departments (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $768.

(57) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $684.97.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(58) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, miscellaneous expense for account of the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $1,789.95.

(59) Leo Glick, expense covering San Francisco exhibit at State Fair, Sacramento, Cal., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $515.33.

(60) San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, expense in connection with Convention of National Editorial Association in San Francisco, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $1,644.80.

(Supervisor Powers requested to be recorded *no* on Item 54.)

So ordered.

Appropriations, Painting and Carpentry, Enigne House No. 42, and Changing Main Steam Supply Connections, Hall of Justice Engine Room.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 68, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For painting, carpentry work, etc., at Engine House No. 42, $1,092.

(2) For changing main steam supply from boilers to give independent connections to auxiliary steam lines, Hall of Justice engine room, $700.

Appropriation, $5,000, Electrolysis Survey of the High Pressure Auxiliary Water System.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Electrolysis Survey," Budget Item No. 70, for expense of making an electrolysis survey by the City Engineer of the high pressure auxiliary water system for fire protection.

Appropriation, $181,033, for the Construction of the Tubercular Preventorium on Pulgas Tunnel Lands, San Mateo County.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $181,033 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Tubercular Sanitarium Fund for the erection of the Tuberculosis Preventorium, on City land, Pulgas tunnel, San Mateo County, under proposition No. 3, consisting of three buildings, water supply system, electrical work and plumbing work, possible extras, incidentals and inspection.

Appropriation of $125,224 for the Construction of the Commodore Sloat School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $125,224 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, for the cost of erecting additions to the Commodore Sloat School, Junipero Serra boulevard and Darien way, to-wit:

General construction (Mahony Bros.), $98,850; electrical work (Alta Electric Co.), $5,349; plumbing system (Thomas Kelly), $7,488; mechanical equipment (Scott Co.), $6,077; architectural fees, $2,460; possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $5,000.

Appropriation, $2,560.19, for Purchase of Property, Renovating of Rugs and Carpets, Etc., New Relief Home.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,560.19 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Relief Home Equipment," Budget Item No. 64, for the purchase of crockery, renovating carpets and rugs, bathroom appliances, gliders and sockets for the new Relief Home.

Appropriation, $1,920.50, Payment to Albert Tassi for Land Required for the Widening of San Jose avenue.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,920.50 be and the same is author-

ized in payment to Albert Tassi out of County Road Fund, appropriations for widening of San Jose avenue, for property situate at the intersection of southwesterly line of Theresa street with southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26179 (New Series), and required for the widening of San Jose avenue. (Claim dated Sept. 23, 1926.)

Appropriation, $3,200, Payment to Annie W. McMullen for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Annie W. McMullen; being payment for lands situate on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, commencing 260 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence westerly at a right angle 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 56 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence northerly along the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 56 feet 10 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26196 (New Series), and required for school purposes.

Appropriation, $9,000, Authorized to Be Paid to the County Clerk, Being Consideration of Judgment in Re City v. F. E. Hesthal Company et al. for Land Required for Sunset Tunnel Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $9,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund, and authorized in payment to the County Clerk of San Francisco; being consideration of judgment in re City and County vs. F. E. Hesthal Co. et al., in payment of Kawalkowski property, required for Sunset Tunnel purposes; Superior Court Action No. 168636. (Claim dated Sept. 22, 1926.)

Appropriation, $10,000, for Relief of Sufferers of Florida Disaster.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for relief of sufferers in the State of Florida as a result of the recent disaster.

Privilege of the Floor.

Samuel Knight, representing San Francisco Red Cross, asked that the appropriation be increased to $25,000, declaring that the necessity was very great as the disaster was widespread, affecting not only Miani but all the surrounding country.

Motions.

Supervisor Hayden moved that appropriation be increased to $25,000.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment reference of the foregoing to the Finance Committee, with instructions to report back today.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment to the amendment reference to the Finance Committee with instructions to report next Monday.

Roll being called on Supervisor Gallagher's amendment to the amendment same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton —8.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Welch—10.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion and same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Havenner—3.

Communication.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Miami, Florida,
September 22, 1926.

James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of San Francisco, California:

Realizing that you fair city passed through a calamity even more disastrous than our own, as Acting Mayor of the City, I am sure our citizens will be most deeply appreciative of the tender of aid by the citizenry of San Francisco in our hour of distress.

No foodstuffs or medical supplies are needed and there is a sufficient quantity of building materials available to make rehabilitation of homes and business houses possible at an early date. The chief need at this time is donations of cash to care for the needy and destitute and to aid in the work of providing shelter for those whose homes were destroyed. Up to this afternoon the number of dead in the Miami District numbered ninety-two, with the number of injured persons approximately one thousand. Dona-

tions of cash can be made through R. A. Reeder, Chairman of the Committee on Needy and Destitute, care Daily News Tower, or The American Red Cross, Miami.

Thanking yourself and the citizens of San Francisco for this expression of sympathy and aid, I am glad to acknowledge it in behalf of our people.

(Sgd) JAMES H. GILMAN,
Acting Mayor of Miami.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Land for Widening of Portola Drive and Opening New Street or Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26200 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Portola drive with the southwesterly line of Stanford Heights avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 135 feet to the southwesterly boundray of the property of the party of the first part; thence at right angles southeasterly 114 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 59.176 feet to the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue 132.195 feet; thence deflecting 35 degrees to the left and running northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Stanford Heights avenue 5.712 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block 40 of Stanford Heights.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant thereon 135 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Stanford Heights avenue, said point of beginning being on the northeasterly boundary of the property of the parties of the first part, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 200 feet to the southwesterly boundary of said property; thence at right angles southeasterly 89.020 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 82 degrees 36 minutes 30 seconds to the left and running northeasterly along said proposed southeasterly line 70.309 feet to the southwesterly line of the proposed boulevard; thence deflecting 88 degrees 47 minutes 34 seconds to the right and running southeasterly along said proposed southwesterly line 52.849 feet to the southeasterly boundary of the property of the parties of the first part; thence deflecting 141 degrees 41 minutes 17 seconds to the left and running northerly along said southeasterly boundary 51.320 feet; thence deflecting 45 degrees 30 minutes 13 seconds to the right and running northeasterly along said southeasterly boundary parallel with the southeasterly line of Portola drive and distant 114 feet at right angles southeasterly therefrom 100 feet to the northeasterly boundary of said property; thence at right angles northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary 114 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Stanford Heights Block No. 40.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Duncan street with the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue 295.772 feet to a point distant 114 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Portola drive, said point being on the southeasterly boundary of the property of H. Becker; thence deflecting 125 degrees to the left and running southwesterly parallel with the southeasterly line of Portola drive and distant 114 feet at right angles southeasterly therefrom, along the southwesterly boundary of the properties of H. Becker and of W. R. C. and L. Good, a distance of 159.176 feet; thence deflecting 45 degrees 30 minutes 13 seconds to the left and running southwesterly along the southeasterly boundary of said Good property 51.320 feet to the southwesterly line of the proposed boulevard; thence deflecting 38 degrees 18 minutes 43 seconds to the left and running southeasterly along said proposed southwesterly line 175.599 feet to a point on the northerly line of Duncan street, distant thereon 54.220 feet westerly from the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 61 degrees 11 minutes 4 seconds to the left and running easterly along the northerly line of Duncan street 54.220 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Stanford Heights Block No. 40.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point

on the southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant thereon 192.809 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Duncan street, said point of beginning being on the southwesterly boundary of the property of the party of the first part, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 220 feet to the northeasterly boundary of said property; thence at right angles southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 89.020 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 97 degrees 23 minutes 30 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along said proposed southeasterly line 98.770 feet; thence deflecting 5 degrees 4 minutes 44 seconds to the left and continuing southwesterly along said proposed southeasterly line 122.149 feet to the southwesterly boundary of the property of the party of the first part; thence deflecting 87 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 71.383 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant thereon 162.809 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Duncan street, said point of beginning being on the southwesterly boundary of the property of the party of the first part, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 30 feet to the northeasterly boundary of said property; thence at right angles southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 71.383 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 92 degrees 18 minutes 46 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along said proposed southeasterly line 30.025 feet to the southwesterly boundary of the property of the party of the first part; thence deflecting 87 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 70.172 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block 40 of Stanford Heights.

Parcel 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Duncan street with the southeasterly line of Portola drive, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 162.809 feet to the northeasterly boundary of the property of the party of the first part; thence at right angles southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 70.172 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 92 degrees 18 minutes 46 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along said proposed southeasterly line 73.368 feet to a point on the northerly line of Duncan street; thence deflecting 32 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running westerly along the northerly line of Duncan street 109.260 feet; thence deflecting 55 degrees to the right and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Duncan street 4.541 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights.

Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening of Portola drive and opening of a new street or boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interest therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

John Gernns, northwest corner of Turk and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gilley-Schmidt Co., 840 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gilley-Schmidt Co., northeast corner of Lyon and Lombard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gilley-Schmidt Co., northeast corner of Pacific avenue and Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Great Western Supply Co., north side of Folsom street, 375 feet west of Fifth street, 750 gallons capacity.

F. S. Haines, 301 St. Francis boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

P. M. Midbust, northeast corner

of Fulton and Steiner streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Elizabeth Murphy, 115 Spruce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

New San Francisco Laundry, Inc., west line of Fifth street, 177 feet 6 inches south of Harrison street, 2000 gallons capacity.

Restani Nursery, 250 Schwerin street, 3500 gallons capacity.

Sbarboro, Detgen & Jorgensen, northwest corner of Scott and Chestnut streets, 1500 gallons cacapity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. A. Hill, V. D. Ryan and H. A. Ford be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Steiner and Hermann streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James A. Mulnix be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station for a period of four (4) days, commencing September 25, 1926, and ending September 29, 1926, on the west side of Allemany avenue between Santa Rosa avenue and Tingley street.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the New San Francisco Laundry, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry on the west line of Fifth street, 177 feet 6 inches south of Harrison street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26201 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas.

North side Grove street, 103 feet west of Fillmore street.

West side Eliza place between Folsom and Shipley streets.

Southwest corner Eliza place and Shipley street.

North side Shipley street, 100 feet west of Eliza place.

Install 100 *C. P.*

Claremont boulevard and Granville way, pole 79.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Taraval street and Lenox way.

Lenox way between Taraval and Ulloa streets.

Seventeenth avenue and Pacheco street.

Peralta and Wolf streets.

Capital avenue between Grafton and Lakeview avenues.

Winnipeg street between Sickles avenue and Regent street.

Beverly street between Shields and Garfield streets.

Thirty-second avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

Eighteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Paris street between Russia and France avenues.

Forty-seventh avenue and Moraga street.

Pilgrim avenue, off San Jose avenue.

Shipley and Eliza streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued for one week*:

License Fees for Public Passenger Vehicle Stands.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new section to be numbered Section 54½ to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes, etc., and imposing a license tax on stands for public passenger vehicles.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) to be numbered Section 54½ and to read as follows:

Section 54½. The holder of each permit for a public passenger vehicle stand on any public street shall pay a license fee therefor of two dollars and fifty cents a quarter year for each vehicle permitted to stand thereat, each quarter to

commence on the first days of January, April, July and October.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Relative to Revocation of Taxicab Stand Permits.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new subdivision to be designated (f) to Section 3 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), regulating the use of taxicabs, etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new subdivision to be designated (f) is hereby added to Section 3 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), to read as follows:

(f). When a permit granted, as provided in this section, has been revoked no new permit for the same premises or at any location within fifty feet thereof in any direction shall be granted within six months from the date of such revocation.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Approval of Wiley Traffic Signal.

The following minority recommendation of the Traffic and Safety Committee by Supervisor Schmidt was taken up:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, various traffic signal devices have been installed at various street intersections for the purpose of demonstrating the relative merits of the different systems, and as a result of such demonstrations it appears to the satisfaction of some of the members of this Board that the signal system manufactured by the City and known as the "Wiley System" is best adapted to meet the requirements of our traffic conditions; therefore,

Resolved, That the said Wiley Traffic Signals be given the approval of this Board, such approval to be effective thirty days from the adoption of this resolution; provided, however, that in the meantime a majority of the members of this Board give their individual endorsement to this declaration after an impartial investigation of the various signal systems installed.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Gallagher raised the point of order that Supervisor Schmidt's resolution was out of order inasmuch as there was no report from the committee.

Point of order *sustained.*

Whereupon, Supervisor Schmidt gave notice of his intention, at the next meeting of the Board, to call up and withdraw from the Committee on Traffic and Public Safety his resolution providing for the approval of the Wiley Traffic Signal and he thereupon requested that the resolution be put on next Monday's calendar and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were presented and on motion of Supervisor Badaracco *laid over one week*:

Award of Contract, Fire Hydrants and Parts.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on fire hydrants and parts on bids submitted August 2, 1926 (Proposal No. 221), as follows:

200 double 5-inch hydrants, $83.20 each; The Moore Dry Dock Company.

Extra parts as specified to M. Greenberg's Sons, as follows, viz.:

12 or more elbows, $12.50 each.

Lots of 50 or more hydrant bodies, $38.50 each.

12 or more stuffing boxes, $2 each.

12 or more gland bushings and nuts, 50 cents each.

12 or more caps, complete, $1 each.

12 or more cap chains, 15 cents each.

12 or more valve carriers, $2.50 each.

12 or more sets of galvanized forgings, $3 each.

Wrought iron galvanized bolts and galvanized cast iron washers, in lots of 50 or more, $70 cents each.

Wrought iron galvanized bands, in lots of 25 or more, $1.25 each.

8 or more 5-inch gate valves, complete, $32.50 each.

4 or more 4-inch gate valves, as shown on Drawing No. 2, $28.50 each.

12 or more stuffing boxes, 5-inch, $1.50 each.

12 or more stuffing boxes, 4-inch, $1.50 each.

12 or more spindles for hydrants, $3.25 each.

6 or more spindles for 5-inch gate valves, $3.25 each.

6 or more spindles for 4-inch gate valves, $3 each.

12 or more tapers, 5-inch, $8.75 each.

12 or more tapers, 4-inch, $7.75 each.

12 or more discharge seats and outlets, $3 each.

3 or more sets of gate valve discs, 4-inch, $4 each.

3 or more sets of gate valve discs, 5-inch $4.50 each.

50 6-inch flanged raisers, machined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $4.50 each.

25 8-inch flanged raisers, ma-

chined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $5.50 each.

25 12-inch flanged raisers, machined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $6.50 each.

25 16-inch flanged raisers, machined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $7.50 each.

25 or more flat tops (bonnets) for hydrant body, faced and drilled, $1.50 each.

25 or more Fig. 4 tops (bonnets) for hydrant body, faced and drilled, $1.50 each.

25 or more ball tops (bonnets) for hydrant body, faced and drilled, $2.25 each.

Price for making hardwood pattern and core boxes for 5-inch double bell 90-degree elbow as per blue print which replaces our bell and spigot pattern; said pattern to become the property of the San Francisco Fire Department and to be put in perfect order along with our other patterns after completion of contract herein, $200.

Resolved, That bonds be required for the faithful performance of said contracts as follows, viz.:

The Moore Dry Dock Co., $4,000; M. Greenberg's Sons, $1,000.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Rejection of Bids—Electrical Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore on September 20, 1926 (Proposal No. 225), submitted for furnishing electrical supplies.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Extension of Time, Installation of Safety Buttons.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 26202 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days from and after September 20, 1926, within which to complete the installation of safety buttons under public contract, for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by the buttons being galvanized instead of being painted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Approval of Bond, Parkside Realty Company.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26203 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco (a corporation), as principal, and Charles E. Green and Roland C. Foerster, as sureties, in the sum of seven thousand dollars ($7,000), which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of taxes which are now a lien, but not yet payable, against the property as shown on a map entitled "Pinelake Park, San Francisco, California," Blocks numbered 2460-A to 2466-A inclusive, 2494-A to 2499-A, 2500-A to 2505-A inclusive, 2520-A, 2521-A and 2522 to 2528 inclusive, in four sheets, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Open Public Streets, Pinelake Park.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26204 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 93751 (Second Series), approve a map of Pinelake Park, San Francisco, California, Blocks numbered 2460-A to 2466-A inclusive, 2494-A, 2499-A, 2500-A to 2505 inclusive, 2520-A, 2521-A and 2522 to 2528 inclusive, in four sheets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Pinelake Park, San Francisco, California, Blocks numbered 2460-A to 2466-A inclusive, 2494-A, 2499-A, 2500-A to 2505 inclusive, 2520-A 2521-A and 2522 to 2528 inclusive, in four sheets, is hereby approved.

Further Resolved, That the lands as shown on said map are hereby declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Mayor to Appoint Armistice Day Committee.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be authorized to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for the proper observance of Armistice Day.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Mayor to Appoint Christmas Eve Committee.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for the observance of Christmas Eve, December 24, 1926.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

County Road Fund Appropriation for Nineteenth and Shotwell Streets.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of the following highways, to-wit:

Nineteenth, Shotwell to Folsom	$ 900
Shotwell, Eighteenth to Nineteenth	2,500
	$3,400

Referred to Streets Committee.

Salary Increases, Register Clerks, County Clerk's Office.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Subdivision (d) of Section 14 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

County Clerk.

Section 1. Subdivision (d) of Section 14 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended to read as follows:

(d) Thirty assistant register clerks, grade four, each at a salary of twenty-seven hundred ($2,700) dollars a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Inspection of Grandstands.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, at a recent entertainment held in this City a block of seats (occupied by citizens and children), collapsed and fatalities nearly resulted; and

Whereas, the collapse of said seating structure was due to insecure foundations; and

Whereas, happenings of this kind point a warning and indicate the necessity of there being a rigid inspection by a responsible department or officer; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Building Committee of this Board investigate this subject and recommend at the earliest possible date any legislation necessary for the protection of life and limb in this regard.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Public Utilities Committee to Make Study of Necessary Municipal Street Car Extensions.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26205 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it will be necessary to provide additional funds with which to complete the Judah street line of our Municipal Railroad system; and

Whereas, leading citizens and civic organizations in other districts of San Francisco are advocating the extension of our Municipal car service; and

Whereas, it is vital to the successful development of San Francisco that proper transportation facilities be afforded to its inhabitants; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors make a study of the necessity of extending our Municipal car service, and render a report to this Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Larsen Park Deed Accepted.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 26206 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Carl G. Larsen did by deed dated the 16th day of September, 1926, convey to the City and County of San Francisco, Outside Land Block No. 1192, bounded by Ulloa and Vicente streets and Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, and Outside Land Block No. 1249, bounded by Vicente and Wawona streets and Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said deed executed on the 16th day of September, 1926, between Carl G. Larsen and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying lands in Outside Land Block No. 1192, bounded by Ulloa and Vicente streets and Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues and

Outside Land Block No. 1249, bounded by Vicente and Wawona streets and Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Motion.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the Parks and Playgrounds Committee was authorized to draft an appropriate resolution of appreciation and thanks to be presented at the meeting of the Board on Monday next at 3 p. m., and to request Mr. Larsen to be present when he can receive the thanks of the Board personally.

Award of Contract, Safety Buttons.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26207 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for galvanizing 2500 traffic safety buttons be hereby made to John Finn Metal Works at 2¼ cents per pound as per bid submitted September 27, 1926 (Proposal No. 241).

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $800 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that when we adjourn, we do so to meet again on Wednesday, September 29, 1926, at 9:55 a. m., for the purpose of passing upon matters heretofore passed for print and held up on account of intervening holiday.

Motion *carried.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 1926, 9:55 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, September 29, 1926, 9:55 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch —10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was called to the chair.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26208 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $1,042.02.

County Road Fund.

(2) Guerin Brothers, grading Capitol avenue between Thrift street and Lakeview avenue, including crossings (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $6,570.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(3) John J. Dailey, legal services for September, re valuation of San Francisco electric properties before the Railroad Commission (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $850.

(4) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for September, re valuation of San Francisco electric properties before the Railroad Commission (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $750.

(5) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense for account of valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of September, 1926 (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $1,000.

Auditorium Fund.

(6) Canners League of California, refund of deposit as bond for the occupancy of the Auditorium, January, 1927 (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $1,000.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(7) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways during August, 1926 (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $37,718.29.

(8) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for employees of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 7, 1926), $6,966.41.

(9) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $1,987.42.

(10) Market Street Railway Company, electric power furnished Municipal Railways, August, 1926 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $3,232.53.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(11) George T. Clancy and Clara Clancy, his wife, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries sustained by Gertrude Clancy and other injuries sustained as result of accident (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926) $1,000.

(12) C. H. L. Turner and Louisa D. Turner, compromise agreement for personal injuries sustained as result of accident (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $6,000.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(13) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $1,343.70.

(14) Peter Colvey, sixth payment, for account of injuries received (claim dated Sept. 10, 1926), $535.26.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(15) Clinton Construction Co., twentieth payment, general construction, new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $23,182.50.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) F. L. Hansen, seventh payment, general construction, Henry Durant School (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $19,069.53.

(17) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $1,700.23.

(18) O. Monson, first payment, general construction, Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $11,223.75.

(19) O. Monson, fourth payment, general construction, West Portal School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $10.593.90.

(20) M. E. Ryan, final payment, electrical work, Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $2,147.49.

(21) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, electrical work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $855.92.

Library Fund.

(22) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $700.

(23) McKee & Wentworth, catalog cases for public libraries (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $769.05.

(24) San Francisco News Co., books for public libraries (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $3,121.23.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(25) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., sixth and final payment for making core borings along Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Coast Range Division (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $8,804.53.

(26) R. W. Kinney Co., second payment, wrought steel pipe for Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $2,531.85.

Special School Tax.

(27) O. Monson, fifth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1926), $29,092.50.

(28) C. H. Peterson, sixth payment, general construction of annex to Parkside School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $6,562.80.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(29) Spring Valley Water Co., resetting and installing hydrants in connection with widening of Clement street from First to Twelfth avenues (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $617.50.

Playground Fund.

(30) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,503.15.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(31) J. H. Zemansky, Registrar of Voters, postage for sample ballots and returns, general election (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $11,700.

(32) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $8.018.30.

(33) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 17. 1926), $905.

(34) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $8,442.46.

(35) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1.628.94.

(36) Protestant Orphanage So-

ciety, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,120.

(37) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $4,038.70.

(38) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,613.11.

(39) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,353.94.

(40) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $11,738.57.

(41) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $28,409.20.

(42) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926). $4,335.79.

(43) Whittier State School, maintenance of minors, State Schools (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $501.36.

(44) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, State Schools (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $960.64.

(45) The Envelope Corporation, envelopes for Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 3, 1926), $744.

(46) J. H. Zemansky, auto expense, etc., for account of Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $524.75.

(47) California Printing Co., printing index, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 2, 1926), $21,938.73.

(48) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Police Department (claim dated Sept. 13, 1926), $1,355.41.

(49) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $1,343.67.

(50) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $2,233.25.

(51) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $1.810.28.

(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $1,976.18.

(53) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Sept. 14, 1926), $3.380.22.

(54) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public streets during months of July and August, 1926 (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $104,427.70.

(55) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $1,297.57.

(56) Fred S. Campbell Co., kitchen equipment for new Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $512.90.

(57) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowances for employees of the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,256.95.

(58) California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,185.42.

(59) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $650.

(60) J. H. Newbauer & Co., foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,082.48.

(61) H. Moffat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,066.35.

(62) Union Sheep Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $602.51.

(63) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,958.96.

(64) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $745.22.

(65) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1.983.94.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospital buildings (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,549.96.

(67) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $1,554.29.

(68) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil furnished Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $1,760.52.

(69) Spring Valley Water Co., water supplied Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $834.50.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(70) The Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for the new Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $992.50.

(71) The Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for the new Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $693.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Appropriation, $2,200, Payment to Robt. L. Rauer for Land on Twenty-third Avenue, Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26209 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Robert L. Rauer; being payment for

lands on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 236 feet 10 inches northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue; thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet. As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26162 (New Series). Required for school purposes. (Claim dated Sept. 20, 1926.)

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Appropriation for Improvement of Telegraph Hill Boulevard, of Harrison Street and of Bluxome street.

Resolution No. 26210 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For improving the Telegraph Hill Boulevard, Contract No. 2, including inspection and extras (Keystone Construction Co. contract, at $11,977.14), $13,500.

(2) For the improvement of Harrison street between Sixth and Eleventh streets, $49,700.

(3) For the improvement of Bluxome street between Fourth and Sixth streets (additional to $20,000 heretofore appropriated), $4,000.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property.

Resolution No. 26211 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for street improvement, City's liability, to-wit:

Twenty-fourth avenue, Ulloa to Vicente streets (F. Hyland), $3,163.

Thirtieth, Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues and Anza street (Eaton & Smith), $6,387.16.

Capitol avenue and Minerva street (Treacy), $759.47.

Lenox way, Taraval to Ulloa street (Raisch Imp. Co.), $6,198.75.

Twenty-sixth and Douglas streets (Eaton & Smith), $1,717.73.

Lane street, Newcomb to Oakdale avenue (Eaton & Smith), $1,409.

Circular avenue and Detroit street (Eaton & Smith), $1,150.

Twenty-fifth avenue, Santiago to Taraval street (Fay Improvement Co.), $2,806.04.

Eighteenth avenue, Ulloa to Vicente street (F. McHugh), $1,620.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26212 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Edward Bailey, 324 Bartlett street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bordi & Jose, south side of Fulton street, 200 feet east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Brodi, northeast corner of Jackson and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Colton, 3505 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. F. Cowan, 37 Presidio avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Thos. Day Co., 52 West Clay Park, 740 gallons capacity.

Alfred H. Feasy, Miraloma drive, Lot 48, Block 3011, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. A. Golden, southwest corner of Divisadero and Lombard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Granara, north side of Wayland street between Somerset and Holyoke streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Halpern, north side of Hayes street, 225 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Harris, northeast corner of California and Steiner streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Hechter, southwest corner of Octavia and Linden streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hermanson & Berquist, west side of Church street, 150 feet south of Twenty-fourth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, northwest corner of Capra way and Magnolia way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Erlendsen, southeast corner of Oak and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. C. Kierulff, 15 Walnut street, 750 gallons capacity.

J. Kirschling, nortwest corner of Webster and Pixley streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Larson, south side of Guttenberg street, 50 feet east of Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Graham MacDonald, 70 West Clay Park, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., southwest corner of Clay and Franklin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Henry Meyer, 39 Nineteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. H. C. Meyer, north side of Twenty-second avenue, 200 feet east of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Moss, east side of San Lorenzo way, 100 feet south of Portola drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hans Nelson, northwest corner of El Verano and St. Elmo streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Oil-O-Matic Company of California, 3434 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. F. Postel, west side of Santa Clara avenue, 150 feet south of San Anselmo avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

R. A. Smith, 39 Sea Cliff, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Semeria, southwest corner of Jones and Glover streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sheehan-Woolfrey, south side of Hayes street, 180 feet west of Ashbury street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. Strand, northwest corner of Third avenue and Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Suslowitz, 1525 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Zellerbach, 3524 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

E. E. Fall, 90 Moss street, 10-horsepower boiler.

C. Restani, 250 Schwerin street, 150-horsepower boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26213 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Bianchi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted John Brusa by Resolution No. 25555 (New Series) for premises on the west side of Third street, 52 feet south of Gilman avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Transfer of Public Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26214 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That George D. Guenley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 1301 Pierce street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26215 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Earle C. Anthony, Inc., be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 901-925 Van Ness avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Transfer of Public Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26216 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Otto J. Schulz be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to

him public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 2470 California street, providing applicant complies with the provisions of Ordinance No. 746 (New Series).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26217 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading at the crossing of Duncan and Castro streets, provided said permittees shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Eaton & Smith then the privileges and all the rights acruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be executed within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7746, Ordinnace No. 7255 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 11, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Holladay avenue between Esmeralda avenue and Mayflower street; Franconia street between Esmeralda avenue and Mayflower street; Peralta avenue between Esmeralda avenue and Mayflower street; Bradford street between Esmeralda avenue and Mayflower street; Esmeralda avenue between Bradford street produced and Peralta avenue; Mayflower street between Bradford street and Holladay avenue* by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances:

A 15-inch with 21 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Holladay avenue between the existing manhole opposite Esmeralda avenue and the center line of Mayflower street produced.

A 12-inch with 24 Y branches and 3 brick manholes along the center line of Mayflower street between the center line of Holladay avenue and the center line of Bradford street.

An 8-inch with 24 Y branches and 2 brick manholes along the center line of Franconia street between the center line of Mayflower street and a point 20 feet easterly from the easterly line of Esmeralda avenue.

A 12-inch with 22 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Peralta avenue between the center line of Mayflower street and

the center line of Esmeralda avenue.

An 8-inch with 8 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Bradford street between the center line of Esmeralda avenue and the existing manhole in Bradford street.

An 8-inch with 8 Y branches along the center line of Esmeralda avenue between the center line of Bradford street produced and the center line of Peralta avenue produced northerly.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Bill No. 7747, Ordinance No. 7256 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Bay street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Bill No. 7748, Ordinance No. 7257 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the the Board of Supervisors September 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Jarboe avenue between Banks and Folsom streets; Jarboe avenue between*

Moultrie and Anderson streets; Jarboe avenue between Ellsworth and Gates streets; Anderson street between Jarboe avenue and Cortland avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Bill No. 7749, Ordinnace No. 7258 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Montgomery street between Broadway and Vallejo street; Vallejo street between Montgomery and Sansome streets*, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks where full width artificial stone sidewalks have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Bill No. 7750, Ordinance No. 7259 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Thirty-seventh avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Thirty-fifth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Twenty-*

eighth avenue between Irving and Judah streets; Nineteenth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets; Carl street between Willard street and Hillway avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Bill No. 7751, Ordinance No. 7260 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Carolina street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, excepting the intersection of Southern Heights avenue*:

On the easterly one-half of Carolina street from a line 250 *feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Twentieth street to a line* 275 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street, and from a line* 566 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street to a line* 666 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street; on the westerly one-half of Carolina street from the southerly line of Twentieth street to a line* 191 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street, and from a line* 366 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street to a line* 391 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street, and from a line* 416 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street to a line* 441 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street, and from a line* 541 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street to a line* 616 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street, and from a line* 816 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street to a line* 841 *feet southerly from and parallel with Twentieth street*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Bill No. 7752, Ordinance No. 7261 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City

and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twentieth street between De Haro and Carolina streets*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of *the crossing of Twentieth and Carolina streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the southerly angular corners; by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the northerly side of Twentieth street between the easterly and westerly lines of Carolina street; by the construction of 4 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Bill No. 7753, Ordinance No. 7262 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *crossing of Brussels street and Harkness avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8

Bill No. 7754, Ordinance No. 7263 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco,

approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, shall be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installmnets must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Girard street between Ward street and Harkness avenue; on the easterly side, from the northerly line of Harkness avenue to a line* 195 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue, and from a line* 270 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue to a line* 295 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue; on the westerly side, from the northerly line of Harkness avenue to a line* 75 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue, and from a line* 195 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue to a line* 295 *feet northerly from and parallel with Harkness avenue,* by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of concrete pavement adjacent to the center line opposite the above-described portions that are between the southerly line of Ward street and a line 200 feet southerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Repeal of Ordinance Ordering Improvement of Thirty-fifth Avenue Between Judah and Kirkham Streets.

Bill No. 7755, Ordinance No. 7264 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7203 (New Series), approved August 20, 1926, ordering the improvement of Thirty-fifth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7203 (New Series), approved August 20, 1926, ordering the improvement of Thirty-fifth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt. Stanton, Todd—8.

Establishing Grades, Decker Alley.

Bill No. 7756, Ordinance No. 7265 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Decker alley between Langton street and its southwesterly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Decker alley between Langton street and its southwesterly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommandation of the Board of Public Works, filed September 8, 1926.

Decker Alley.

Northwesterly line of, at Langton street southwesterly line, 7.81 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly line of, at Langton

street southwesterly line, 7.78 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly termination, 8.50 feet.

On Decker alley between Langton street and its southwesterly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Establishing Grades, Wawona Street and on Twenty-fourth Avenue.

Bill No. 7757, Ordinance No. 7266 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Wawona street between the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, and on Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente street and the southerly line of Wawona street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Wawona street between the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, and on Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente street and southerly line of Wawona street are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed September 4, 1926.

Wawona Street.

Twenty-third avenue westerly line, 189.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of. at Twenty-fourth avenue easterly line, 172.00 feet.

30 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Twenty-fourth avenue easterly line, 172.00 feet.

Southerly line of, at Twenty-fourth avenue easterly line, 160.00 feet.

30 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Twenty-fourth avenue easterly line, 160.00 feet.

Northerly line of. at Twenty-fourth avenue westerly line, 170.00 feet.

30 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Twenty-fourth avenue westerly line, 170.00 feet.

Southerly line of, at Twenty-fourth avenue westerly line, 158.00 feet.

30 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Twenty-fourth avenue westerly line, 158.00 feet.

Northerly line of, at Twenty-fifth avenue easterly line, 131.00 feet.

30 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Twenty-fifth avenue easterly line, 131.00 feet.

Southerly line of, at Twenty-fifth avenue easterly line, 119.00 feet.

30 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Twenty-fifth avenue easterly line, 119.00 feet.

Twenty-fourth Avenue.

Vicente street, 210.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

200 feet southerly from Vicente street, 211.50 feet.

300 feet southerly from Vicente street, 208.62 feet.

400 feet southerly from Vicente street, 198.50 feet. (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

100 feet northerly from Wawona street, 184.75 feet.

Westerly line of, at Wawona street northerly line, 170.00 feet.

Wesetrly line of, 30 feet southerly from Wawona street northerly line, 170.00 feet.

Westerly line of, 30 feet northerly from Wawona street southerly line, 158.00 feet.

Westerly line of, at Wawona street southerly line, 158.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Wawona street northerly line, 172.00 feet.

Easterly line of, 30 feet southerly from Wawona street northerly line, 172.00 feet.

Easterly line of, 30 feet northerly from Wawona street southerly line, 160.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Wawona street southerly line, 160.00 feet.

On Wawona street between the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, and on Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente street and the southerly line of Wawona street, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Changing Northeast Corner Steiner and Hermann streets from Second Residential to Commercial.

Bill No. 7743, Ordinance No. 7267 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeast corner of Steiner street and Hermann street for a distance of 48 feet on Steiner street and a distance of 80 feet on Hermann street in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be in effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

Board of Works Authorized to Establish Embarcadero Motor Bus Service.

Bill No. 7744, Ordinance No. 7268 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to establish, maintain and operate a municipal motor bus service on The Embarcadero or waterfront of the City and County, and to purchase the motor busses and equipment necessary for such service in the open market.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to establish, maintain and operate a municipal motor bus service suitable for the transportation of passengers along The Embarcadero or waterfront of the City and County, from a southerly terminus at or in the vicinity of Third and Townsend streets to a northerly terminus in the vicinity of the Golden Gate Ferry terminus at the foot of Hyde street; and for the purpose of establishing this municipal motor bus service the said Board is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to purchase the motor busses and equipment necessary for such service in the open market as provided in Ordinance No. 6440 (New Series).

Section 2. When such bus line has been established and operated the rate of fare on and over said line on said busses shall be five cents.

Section 3. The authority to exercise the powers herein granted is hereby declared to be contingent upon the receipt of a legal offer and guarantee by and from the Board of State Harbor Commissioners that such board will contribute from its funds a sum (which shall not exceed $18,000 in any one year) for the period of three years to offset any deficiency which may result from the operation of said municipal bus line by the City and County.

Section 4. So much of Ordinance No. 6723 (New Series) as conflicts with this ordinance is hereby repealed.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—8.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 10 a. m. adjourned for further consideration of bridge applications.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by Board of Supervisors, December 6, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 40

Monday, October 4, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 4, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

(Supervisor Kent excused.)

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Completion of Victory Highway.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Down Town Association, requesting that the Board of Supervisors make a substantial contribution to the cost of completing that portion of the Victory Highway between Lovelock and Reno, Nevada.

Referred to the Finance Committee.

Land for Southeasterly Mission Park.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Crocker Estate Company, offering to sell for $1,500 an acre a parcel of land of 56.27 acres, to be used for Southeasterly Mission Park.

Referred to Finance and Building and Lands Committees.

Dahlia.

Communication, from Nellie Miller, endorsing proposition to make the dahlia the official flower of San Francisco.

Read and *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from Central Council of Civic Clubs, endorsing the dahlia as the official flower of San Francisco.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Street Light.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Petition, from J. J. Dunleavy and others, for a street light at the corner of Seventeenth avenue and Kirkham street.

Referred to Lighting Committee.

City Engineer Recommends Appropriation of $6,000 for Survey for Hetch Hetchy Roadwork.

October 4, 1926.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Under Section 9, paragraph (p) of the Raker Bill the City is required to build "a road along the southerly slope of Smith's Peak from Hog Ranch past Harden Lake to a junction with the old Tioga road, in Section 4, Township 1 South, Range 21 East, Mount Diablo base and meridian."

The United States Bureau of Roads has survey parties working in the field for the Yosemite National Park authorities for the location and improvement of the Tioga road beyond this proposed junction, and through the Hon. Stephen T. Mather, Director of National Parks, has made a request on this department that the survey for the location of the road from Mather or Hog Ranch to the junction with the old Tioga road be made at this time so that the project can be studied as a whole.

This survey work can most economically and most advantageously be performed by the United States Bureau of Roads, and the location determined upon by the park authorities will have the approval of the Secretary of the Interior, as required under the Raker Act.

I would therefore recommend that your Board make available the sum of $6,000, which is the estimated cost of making the surveys, to be expended for that purpose by the United States Bureau of Roads, as

requested by the Director of National Parks.

This expenditure will be a proper charge against the Hetch Hetchy Operative Fund, being in the nature of a payment of rental to the United States government for the lands in use in connection with the Hetch Hetchy Project.

It is urgent that this appropriation be made available at the earliest possible date, as, in order to complete the work before the snows would interfere, the surveys must be started almost immediately.

Respectfully,

M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
City Engineer.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Appointment of Advisory Bridge Engineer.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26275 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is of vital importance that this Board and the people of San Francisco be in possession of the best expert engineering advice obtainable with respect to the location and construction of the proposed bridge across San Francisco Bay; and

Whereas, the public interest demands that no franchise should be granted for the construction and operation of such a bridge until this expert engineering advice has been obtained; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes its Special Bridge Committee to retain the services of three bridge engineers of established reputation, not affiliated with any project seeking a franchise from this Board, to study the problem of bridging the bay and to make such investigations and compile such engineering data and information as may be essential to enable this Board and the people of San Francisco to determine the best type and location of a bridge which will serve the interests of the community.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch —16.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Kent—2.

San Francisco Supervisorial Bridge Committee to Go to Washington, D. C.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to appoint a committeen of three (3) members of the Board to go to Washington and confer with the officials of the War Department and ascertain from them in the fullest detail just what is their authority and jurisdiction and what requirements they will impose respecting the construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Amendment.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved as an amendment, that the Mayor be substituted for the chairman of the Finance Committee to name the membership of the proposed bridge committee.

Supsequently withdrawn.

Whereupon, *Supervisor Hayden* moved as an amendment that the Mayor and the chairman of the Finance Committee shall appoint a committee of three (3) members of the Board for the purpose above indicated.

Amendment to the Amendment.

Supervisor Badaracco moved, as an amendment to the amendment, that the chairman of the bridge committee be authorized to name the members of the committee to be authorized to represent the City at Washington with respect to bridge matters.

Whereupon, the roll was called and Supervisor Badaracco's amendment to the amendment was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Welch—9.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Stanton—7.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Excused from voting—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Stanton explained his vote by saying that he was not in favor of any committee at all going to Washington.

Thereupon. the roll was called on Supervisor Havenner's motion as amended and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Welch—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks, seconded by Supervisor Todd, moved that when this Board of Supervisors has con-

cluded its hearings on the bridges that the Clerk be directed to send a telegram to the Board of Engineers of the War Department, Washington, D. C.

Amendment.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment that the telegram be sent stating that the Board will this week complete its hearings.

(Agreed.)

Supervisor Roncovieri. seconded by Supervisor Todd, moved as an amendment that the words "on or about the 1st day of December" for the committee to be heard, be inserted.

(Accepted.)

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Marks' motion as amended and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden—2.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Kent—2.

Bridge Matter—Telegram to War Department for Hearing.

Pursuant to Supervisor Marks' motion, the following telegram was prepared and ordered sent to Washington:

To the Board of Engineers, War Department, Washington, D. C.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will this week complete its hearings on all proposed projects for bridging San Francisco Bay. We desire to send a duly authorized special committee of Supervisors to confer with your honorable Board in Washington on issues presented, and we request you to name date in December for its appearance. Respectfully urge that your final ruling be withheld until this committee, officially representing city government, has had opportunity to be heard.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By J. S. DUNNNIGAN.
Clerk of Board.

Action Rescinded.

The action taken several weeks ago appointing a committee of three to attend the Sesquicentennial Exposition at Philadelphia was rescinded, so that one committee might act in both capacities.

Appointment of Sesqui-Centennial Committee Rescinded.

Supervisor Powers moved that the Board rescind its action of two weeks ago providing for the appointment of a committee to attend the Sesqui-Centennial celebration at Philadelphia.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

No—Supervisor Bath—1.

Excused—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Kent—2.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Tableware, Etc.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. for furnishing tableware and cutlery for the Relief Home and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Sand and Tanbark.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. for furnishing sand and tanbark for playgrounds and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Mattresses.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. for furnishing 400 hair mattresses and 400 feather pillows for the Relief Home and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Garbage Disposal.

Consideration of proposals to dispose of city garbage made a special order of business for 3 p. m. this day.

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. George Ebright, president of the State Board of Health, opposed the fill and cover method.

Mr. W. H. Harman, superintendent of streets of Oakland, stated they dump their garbage at sea.

Mrs. Albert McLaughlin not in favor of "fill and cover" method and wants more time for study.

Mr. Dudley Sales, representing Mr. A. O. Stewart, offers to collect and dispose of garbage at sea—thirty or forty miles—at a cost of 15 per cent less than now charged householders. No charge to city.

Capt. Beers and *Thos. Jordan* were also heard.

F. W. Camp submitted an amended offer.

Thos. Kehoe, representing the Bay View District, was heard in opposition to the "dump and fill" method in the Bay View District.

Supervisor Stanton opposed dumping at sea, because of pollution of the shores; favors fill and cover method.

Communication, received from the South San Francisco Dock Company, offering to negotiate with the

City for dumping space for fill and cover method.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden, the entire matter was referred back to the Health Committee for further consideration and report.

Law and Order Demanded.

Supervisor Colman presented the following letter, which was *referred to the Police and Finance Committee*:

"San Francisco, California,
October 4, 1926.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

For the period of over six months San Francisco has been suffering from a breakdown of law and order. Public opinion and the press, irrespective of their attitude upon the controversies out of which this breakdown has grown, have finally crystallized a determination to stop gang assaults made upon unarmed citizens waylaid when they are alone.

One hundred men and women, whose names are appended, comprising the executive, spiritual and professional leadership of San Francisco, voluntarily waited upon the Mayor and voiced their unanimous demand that he vigorously exercise his authority under the law to bring about the immediate cessation of violence and the determined prosecution of the habitual offenders, offering, if necessary, to supplement the forces at his command with disciplined and courageous men who might be selected by him from lists furnished by organizations whose disinterestedness and competency were beyond criticism.

The Mayor declined this offer upon the ground that it could not be legally accomplished under the laws governing the city, but declared to this committee of citizens that he proposed to utilize every force at his command to stamp out violence. He stated, as did the president of the Police Commission and the Chief of Police, that he required the services of additional police officers, as authorized by the Charter of this City. Also, that this complement of men has already been examined and passed under the customary civil service regulations and that he proposed requesting your body to make the necessary appropriations available at once to finance their activities.

Maintenance of law and order is the first requisite of civilized society, the first duty of officials, and a first charge upon the public treasury. We request that those whose definite task is to enforce the law be provided with the necessary personnel and implements to accomplish it, to the end that San Francisco may regain her self-respect and demonstrate to the outside world that it is still a community in which men and women may safely live and work.

Faithfully yours,

T. T. C. GREGORY,
SIDNEY M. EHRMAN,
MRS. S. G. CHAPMAN,
FREDERICK J. KOSTER,
M. A. HARRIS,
COLBERT COLDWELL,
A. B. C. DOHRMANN,
Committee."

List of 100 bankers and financiers of the city attached.

During the discussion on the addition of seventy-five policemen to the police force Supervisor Colman in part spoke as follows:

Supervisor Colman: My object is, and I would like to have this appear in the record, that I respectfully request the chairman of the Police Committee, as soon as he possibly can, to give the matter of this communication his careful consideration, and I respectfully call to his attention the importance of the matter referred to in the communication, and I want to further say I consider it a matter of absolutely the greatest importance, and I would like to have that appear in the record, and I urge again, in concluding, that the chairman of the Police Committee give this matter as early a hearing as he possibly can.

Reception to Carl Larsen, Donor of Lands for City Park in Sunset District.

After the transaction of other business, the following proceedings were had in relation to the resolution of appreciation to Carl Gustave Larsen for his gift of two blocks in the Sunset to the City and County of San Francisco for park and playground purposes.

James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, acted as chairman of the meeting.

Supervisor Gallagher: Mr. Mayor, I have just presented to you and placed in your courteous care, Mr. Larsen, a pioneer citizen of San Francisco, who has, within the last week, permitted us to consummate the acceptance of a gift of two blocks of land in the Sunset District, for the future use, in perpetuity, of the people of the City and County of San Francisco. I think, as chairman of the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds, that I need not try to acquaint the members of the Board, nor the citizens present, with Mr. Larsen's career in San Francisco. It is sufficient to say that most of us have

visited his place on Eddy street and have helped him in the building up of that business, which, today finds him independent, but after many, many years of hard work. Mr. Larsen's gift to the City takes a more significant turn when it is realized that, instead of waiting for the call, or instead of waiting for a monument or a statue to be built to him, or instead of being immortalized or commemorated in statue or in a monument of that fixed kind, he has indicated that, during his lifetime he desires to see his fellow citizens and their children enjoy themselves in a park and on grounds dedicated to recreational purposes and to the enjoyment of our people. His example, of course, is one that every citizen can feel encouraged over and his example will probably be followed by many others at whose hands and from whose generosity the City and its citizens will benefit in the future. But when a citizen who has worked very hard all of his life, who has a reputation in the community for straight forward business dealing and straightforward honesty, not only in his dealings with other mercantile firms but also in respect to the people who are his customers or patrons in his place of business; when, after working very hard as Mr. Larsen has worked, and as most of us know that he has worked, he then presents to the City and County land to the value of $200,000 and only specifies that that land shall be held by us, first by the Board of Supervisors, and then transferring it to the Park Commission, and through them making it possible for all of the people of San Francisco to use it, and that the only reservation practically that there is in this gift to the people is that it shall be held forever for the enjoyment of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco. And it is for that reason, Mr. Mayor, that your Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds felt it appropriate and asked this Board to agree to honor Mr. Larsen by having him as our guest here today—as a guest, if you please, of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, for it is in that spirit and in that capacity that Mr. Larsen becomes our guest for the few moments that he will be with us. I was somewhat amused in my attempt to corner Mr. Larsen in his place of business for the last two days, and when he found out that the Board of Supervisors' machine was to call for him he protested very vigorously and said that the street car would be good enough for him. And when I just told him that he automobile would wait until this ceremony was over and take him anywhere he cared to go, he said that I could dismiss the car and that he would go on the street car back to his place of business. He has been a very hard gentleman to get in a position where we can testify to him our gratitude for what he has done. And we are testifying that gratitude on behalf of the whole people. I would ask Mr. Dunnigan, before Mr. Larsen is introduced, to read the resolution presented by the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds. And I will move the adoption of that resolution and ask that it be acted upon by the Board.

(The Clerk reads the resolution.)

Supervisor Gallagher: I would move the adoption of that resolution by the Board by a rising vote.

The Mayor: Would it not be well to just have a word before we accept this resolution?

Supervisor Gallagher: I think so.

The Mayor: May I just respectfully suggest that. Gentlemen of the Board and my fellow citizens and our distinguished, generous donor, Mr. Carl Gustave Larsen: Before that resolution is placed before you for consideration, may I, as Mayor, say a few words on behalf of the City for this generous gift that is given to the City and its people today by Mr. Carl Gustave Larsen. And I hope that, before it is put to the Board that each and every member of the Board who desires to be heard will express himself on what this means and what the generosity of the donor is doing for a great city. Opposite me here, on my right, sits a citizen of San Francisco who came here in the year 1869 and has been in our midst for 57 years. He was born in a small town near Copenhagen, the capital of Denmark, in the year 1844. His mother died when he was but six months old. He arrived in New York in 1867, and he came out to San Francisco to cast his future and his fortunes in the West. He is now and always has been a bachelor, and he is eighty-two years of age, still eligible for matrimony. (Laughter.) He has been one of those farsighted San Franciscans who looked to the future and looked to the old adage of the old pioneers, "Young man, go West; and westward the course of empire takes it way." This bachelor, now in the prime of life, and full of vigor, looks back upon the fifty-seven years that he has spent in San Francisco. He looked to the sand

dunes; he looked to the days when Mayor McCoppin and the Supervisors in those days were fighting as to whether or not the outside lands would become part of San Francisco. He participated in the great political battles of those days, when it took the energy and the ability of the Supervisors and the Mayor of those times to go to Congress and to have granted to the City of San Francisco what are known as the "Outside Lands." And he has seen all these battles because Mayor McCoppin was Mayor when he was a young man, in 1869. And he saw the barren wastes of sand, with the unceasing wash of the ocean driving those small atoms of sand over the outside land. And he saw Mayor McCoppin, in those historic days, fighting against Supervisors and with Supervisors in order that that 1026 acres of land now known as Golden Gate Park should become the property of the citizens. And the campaign issue in those days was that Mayor McCoppin was a dreamer, that the land would never be valuable, and they made a great political issue out of that campaign.

Mr. Larsen, as a young man, fifty-seven years ago, pledged his faith in the future of San Francisco. He took the earnings, small as they were, being frugal and saving, and invested them in the outside lands, after they were deeded to the City of San Francisco by the United States Government through an act of Congres. And year by year he has made his investment, year by year he has seen the central part of San Francisco grow into a great metropolitan area. He has grown up with the times. He has seen the outside lands in the Sunset District and the Richmond District come right into the heart of San Francisco. He has seen a tunnel completed under Stockton street, another under Twin Peaks. He paid $10,800 alone as his assessment on these two blocks of land for the Twin Peaks tunnel. He is seeing the Sunset tunnel go through. And now in the vigor of life, feeling that San Francisco has been good to him, he comes and makes us a present. A generous gift, a deed without any blemishes on it, to the people of San Francisco, for recreational purposes, of two blocks of land between Vicente and Wawona streets, Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues. And we are here this afternoon, as the executive branch of our City, and the legislative branch, and representing all of its people, to accept this gift from this splendid citizen of ours, who became a citizen of San Francisco, foreswore his allegiance to the crown of Denmark, thus becoming a full-fledged San Franciscan, and now in his eighty-second year he comes and makes us this gift. I look around our City, my colleagues, and I see gifts that have been made to the people of this City. Oh, that men, during their lifetimes, and with their pockets and their bank accounts filled with all the worldly goods, could leave a monmument to their memory as this man is leaving a monument to his today. Riches you cannot take with you. You cannot take your wealth away with you in a paper bag. He who stores up his riches cannot tell who will reap them. This fine, distinguished San Franciscan wants to take some of his well-earned investments and give to the people that helped him to make that which he has. I think of the great monument to General M. H. De Young, the De Young Museum in Golden Gate Park. There is recreation for brain matter, recreation in all the arts and the industries and the sciences—a great gift from Michael Henry De Young to the people of San Francisco. I see out yonder in Lincoln Park a great monument to A. B. Spreckels, a gift during his lifetime, the Legion of Honor Palace, a great museum, second to none in all the world. I see the Ignatz Steinhart Aquarium in Golden Gate Park. I see Stow Lake; I see Huntington Lake; I see Huntington Park up on Nob Hill, and I see, over at the great University of California the great gift given by Mrs. Phoebe A. Hearst, one of the most-beloved women of California, and her distinguished son, William Randolph Hearst, to higher education, located in the university grounds of California.

Gifts like these, my colleagues and my fellow citizens, are gifts that we can be proud of. These are monuments. He who gives during his lifetime is the one who gives something that will remain long after he is gone. He who walks away and takes away his goods is soon forgotten, because all in life is not made up of the almighty dollar, but it is made up of what you can do for humanity while you are living. And today, following in the footsteps of these distinguished San Franciscans whom I have mentioned, others, I hope, will come forward, and give us, during their lifetimes, great gifts to the City of San Francisco, much that we need, parks and playgrounds, a new conservatory in Golden Gate Park, other things that will perpetuate

their memories in the years and years to come, in the life of a great city.

We accept from you today, Mr. Carl Gustave Larsen, this generous gift that you give to our people. Your name will forever be inscribed upon the annals of the City of San Francisco. There were three others: Robinson, Wendell and Fritz Ohlson, who laid aside a sum, $40,000 from Robinson, $20,000 from Wendell and $1,000 from Fritz Ohlson, to the memory of his mother, all to be invested in city and county bonds, the interest of which is given, through the Mayor's office, whoever the Mayor may be, to the women and children who are in destitute circumstances. And you today, with these blocks of playground and recreation field, that, as San Francisco grows are going to become more valuable, are giving health and life and contentment to the people in the years to come. The Board of Supervisors, and I with them, join in paying our profound thanks and gratitude to you for this wonderful gift, and the inspiration that a good citizen has inspired in the people of San Francisco. (Applause.)

I forgot to mention to the members of the Board that a few years ago Mr. Larsen presented to this City six acres of land, bounded between Quintara and Pacheco ("Q" and "P") streets, and Twelfth and Thirteenth avenues.

Supervisor Gallagher: I meant to call attention to the fact that this is the second gift of Mr. Larsen, the former gift being eight acres of land on Golden Gate Heights. At this time, your Honor, if the Board is disposed, I would like to permit a pioneer of the Sunset District to say just a word, if it might be said, respecting the citizenship who are not in the official family, relative to this gift of Mr. Larsen. And I would ask the Board to permit the privilege of the floor to Mr. John Kalish, who desires to speak on this subject matter. (Applause.)

Mr. John Kalish: Mr. Mayor, Carl Larsen, Esq., gentlemen of the Board, ladies and gentlemen: I would be recalcitrant in my trust as a resident of the Sunset District, and as a citizen of San Francisco, were I to let this moment go by unnoticed. Mr. Mayor, you touched, in wonderful words, upon the life of Carl G. Larsen in San Francisco. You touched with beautiful words and praise on the latter days of that gentleman. As for myself, I have been in constant intercourse with Mr. Carl Larsen since the days of 1878 down on Eddy street, and, therefore, an acquaintanceship extending nearly fifty years. It has been my pleasure, more or less every day, to call on Mr. Larsen. The people in the Sunset District echo the sentiments expressed by you in reference to the gratitude that Mr. Larsen has within his breast for the magnificent opportunity the people afforded him to acquire the land out there in the Sunset. And in appreciation of that fact he has bestowed two blocks of that land, from Ulloa to Wawona, the two very best lots of land in all of the Sunset District, upon San Francisco. And we people of the Sunset, Mr. Larsen, mindful and grateful for this act of yours, are your children. We look upon you as the foster father, the pioneer. You always have been right in your desires for progress and for the best that is in the Sunset today. When we called on you for you to give to the City and County of San Francisco that piece of property at Eighteenth avenue and Irving street for a public library, and at that time you were not selling or otherwise disposing of your properties, you gladly said that you would give that to the City and County, and they could make the price. And today that monument stands, at that particular corner, in honor of you. When I called upon you to bestow upon the people of the Ocean Side District that wonderful block of land between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues and Judah and Kirkham streets for a playground, you said to me, "How do you know that I am not going to give to the City and County of San Francisco a piece of land for the children and for the benefit of the people? Let me take care of that." And as time has rolled by, you did give to the City and County of San Francisco, for the benefit of the people, located, as it is, in this integral part of San Francisco known as the Sunset District, a double amount of land, and we people in the Sunset have an everlasting debt of gratitude to you, and we cherish it, and we expect you to be our guests in the Sunset upon every occasion. I take this opportunity and liberty to speak for all the people in the Sunset. I congratulate you and wish you long life. (Applause.)

Supervisor Gallagher: There are two members of the Board who are in the same line of business as

Mr. Larsen, and who might be expected, when they reach the prime of life, as he has done, having become rich in the same business, I say we might expect from them some gift of this kind. I refer to Supervisors Hayden and Colman who, as I say, might well take the example set by Mr. Larsen, and before they pass out, might also remember us with some gift of this kind. I would like to hear from Mr. Hayden or Mr. Colman, or any other member of the Board.

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Chairman—it is with a great deal of pride, Mr. Mayor and members of the Board, that I take part in this ceremony and offer congratulations to a colleague of mine in the restaurant business. Mr. Larsen is a member of the San Francisco Restaurant Association, along with Supervisor Colman and hundreds of others in the city, an institution that is striving to serve the people of San Francisco with the very best that money can afford. In fact, Mr. Larsen has reached a point of success in the restaurant business, I think, that very few men in that business ever enjoyed, because the restaurant business is almost a public benefaction because the people of this community are served so well, with the very best goods and at the very modest prices, so that the restaurant people are really giving their goods away for the benefit of the hungry mortals of this community. How Mr. Larsen ever succeeded in acquiring such a competency, and reaching the time of his life where he has an estate that runs up into the sixth figure and maybe the seventh figure, I hope, of course, is something that we in the restaurant business marvel at. And ye are proud of it. Supervisor Colman and myself, of course, when we reach the year that he has reached, of eighty-two, we hope that we will be as successful as he is at this time of his life. But we are proud, just the same, Mr. Mayor, we are proud of this gentleman because the good that we do lives after us. And here is a man that has the opportunity to come here and make this munificent gift to the people of San Francisco, and enjoy the appreciation of the Mayor and Board of Supervisors, and the whole people of San Francisco whom we represent. His case is an extraordinary one, Mr. Mayor. He is one of many. As the Mayor has recounted today many gifts by men of means, why it is really surprising how few there are, when you consider the great wealth of the City of San Francisco. The Mayor has referred to a few of them, but he failed to mention Mr. LeBritton who built the home for the poor out on Lake street, between Seventh and Eighth avenues. Like Mr. Larsen, he lived to see that building a going concern, he lived to see those poor people, in the winter of their lives, enjoying the results of his efforts, and he died with that beautiful institution in existence, after it had housed many thousands of people. And he left an endowment to it so that it can go on for all time.

Now, Mr. Larsen is living today to see the people of San Francisco enjoy his beautiful gift. We might also refer to Mr. Fleishhacker, who gave the Fleishhacker Playfield out here near the Beach. Mr. Fleishhacker has the satisfaction of living to see the children enjoy that wonderful recreation ground prepared for the children of San Francisco. And Mr. Adolph Sutro, a former Mayor of this City. He laid out beautiful Sutro Park, always with the idea that the people of San Francisco would one day be the beneficiaries of that park, and we are now in possession of it, Mr. Mayor, and the people are enjoying it. But there we stop. As you say, there are many men in this City, and women, of means, and we hope that they will emulate the example of Mr. Larsen, and give while they live, so that they can enjoy the satisfaction of giving, so that they can enjoy the appreciation of the people of San Francisco, who are the beneficiaries of their munificence.

And, Mr. Mayor, I just happen to have on my desk a little clipping that I have taken from the paper, written by Mr. Alexander Fall, and the title of the article is "My Creed." And I do not know anything that fits this case so beautifully as this does and I am going to take the liberty of reading it.

"Do not keep the alabaster box of your love and tenderness sealed up until your friends are dead. Fill their lives with sweetness, speak approving, cheering words while their ears can hear them, and while their hearts can be thrilled and made happier by them. The kind things you mean to say when they are gone, say before they go; the flowers you mean to send for their coffins, send to brighten and sweeten their homes before they leave them. If my friends have an alabaster box laid away, full of fragrant perfumes

of sympathy and affection, which they intend to break over my dead body, I would rather they would bring them out in the weary and troubled hours, and open them, that I may be cheered by them while I need them. I would rather have a plain coffin, without a flower, a funeral without a wreath, than a life without the sweetnes of love and sympathy. Let us learn to annoint our friends beforehand for their burial. Post mortem kindness does not cheer the burdened spirit; flowers on the coffin cast no fragrance backward over the weary way."

And how beautifully that applies in this instance! Mr. Larsen has the beauty and the fragrance while he can enjoy them; the sweetness of the music that appeals to his ears, and the love and affection and appreciation of the people of San Francisco for his magnificant gift. (Applause.)

Supervisor McSheehy: It would be impossible for me to let this occasion go by without saying a word. I dare say, of all the members of this Board, perhaps I have known Mr. Larsen longer than any other member. As a very, very small boy, right opposite the Tivoli Cafe, on Eddy street, there was a marble yard, and I lived within one block of that cafe. And adjacent to that marble yard was a residence, and in the yard of that home there were two peach trees, and as a small boy many and many a time I crawled over the fence and helped myself to those peaches. Mr. Larsen and his cafe are right across the street from that place. Mr. Gallagher stated that Mr. Larsen is in the same line of business that Mr. Hayden and Mr. Colman are in, but I claim to be in the same line of endeavor that Mr. Larsen followed as a boy and as a young man until finally he became a cafe owner.

Now, Mr. Larsen is rather a unique individual. You will find Mr. Larsen in that cafe every day about noon time. Mr. Larsen has some employees there, one by the name of Pete—I cannot even tell you his last name—but to my knowletdge that man has been employed there for thirty years, if not more. He is a real landmark there. And as I stated here a week ago, we unfortunates who happen to be in political life always find a kind word and a kind hand for Mr. Larsen and his employees. There have been no apologies necessary, and no favors asked, in reference to posting any one of our cards in Mr. Larsen's cafe.

One week ago, when this wonderful gift was presented to the Board, I could not help but think of what a splendid man Mr. Larsen is. Why, Mr. Larsen has not enjoyed any of the real good things in life that a lot of people have, but Mr. Larsen is now enjoying one of the greatest rewards that can ever come to a human being, today, in giving to San Francisco what he has given to us. It means more to him than it does to us, the recipients of this wonderful gift, because the giver finds greater pleasure in giving than the receiver. And today I sort of envy Mr. Larsen, because I feel that in this room today he is by far the happiest man of all, and he should be happy to think of what he is doing for the people of San Francisco, and what this great gift will mean to the people of San Francisco. And I am glad to think that I am here, one of the boys that took pleasure, if you want to call it that, in taking peaches in the home right across the street from Mr. Larsen's cafe. And then to think that I am here today to share in receiving Mr. Larsen's gift on this auspicious occasion.

Now, members of the Board, I really have not the words at my command to thank Mr. Larsen. The Mayor very, very graciously covered the entire subject matter. And he told of the wonderful gifts that the people of San Francisco have been recipients of, but I do not believe anyone ever gave a gift that meant as much to the giver as this particular gift does to Mr. Larsen, because he has struggled so hard, he has gone on so many years, he has denied himself of so many privileges, and today he gives all, everything, almost, he has to the entire people of San Francisco. And, Mr. Larsen, I thank you. (Applause.)

Supervisor Gallagher: I am ready to move the adoption of the resolution, but before I do so, Mrs. Hubbard, representing the Dahlia Society, desires to present to Mr. Larsen, with the compliments of that society, a magnificent bouquet of dahlias, which are grown, I understand, in great profusion in the Sunset District. Will your Honor permit Mrs. Hubbard to present Mr. Larsen with the dahlias?

(Mrs. Hubbard presents Mr. Larsen with a bouquet of dahlias.)

Mrs. Hubbard: Mr. Mayor, Honorable members of the Board of Supervisors, and fellow citizens: Two years ago I was writing the history of San Francisco for the San Francisco Bulletin, and when I asked who is the man who knew most about the Sunset District, I was

directed to Carl Larsen. I interviewed Carl Larsen and was most delighted at what he had to say. I found that he was indeed the father of the Sunset District, and in his enthusiasm and his trust in the future and in his belief in its great possibilities. Inasmuch as the dahlia is the very bloom of the Sunset District, the district that has made San Francisco the center of the dahlia culture of the world, it may be well to say that, if Carl Larsen is the father of the Sunset District, he is the grandfather of the dahlia culture of San Francisco. And, on behalf of all of his grandchildren, however quiet they may be, I would like to present a few of them to Mr. Larsen. On behalf of the Dahlia Society of California, who, by the way, today are petitioning your Honorable Board to establish the dahlia as the official flower of San Francisco, and surely no more auspicious time could be had for that purpose, I present these dahlias to you, Mr. Larsen. (Applause.)

The Mayor: If this is the appropriate time for the making of gifts to Mr. Larsen, I wish that I had in my hand a magic wand or Aladdin's lamp, or that I had some possible way of making him happy on this occasion, and if I had that magic wand, or if I had that Aladdin's lamp here today, I would ask him to give me the permission, and accept from me a bride that I would choose for him. He is a batchelor, in his eighty-second year. I would choose a bride for him that would give unto him all those tender caresses, all those choice bits of happiness in life, give unto him all those wonderful things that come to married men. I would present him with a bride because I could pick one out, and I know there are thousands and thousands or them available. I have one over here right now. I would present to him someone who would take him for a trip around the world, I would present to him someone who would give those happinesses in life that Mr. McSheehy says he has been lacking; I would give him a real helpmate. one who would grow the dahlias in his backyard for him, one who would make him live another eighty-two years, one who would be a kind, comfortable, loving, devoted, charming, sweet personaltiy. Take him out for a dance once in a while, take him down to our wonderful cafes and hotels, to get some meals outside of the Tivoli Cafe, instead of having some one run up, as Mr. McSheehy says he does, and ask him to give me "Ham and" or "Sunny side up". That is the magic wand that I would swing today, to give him a wife, because I am for the women. I want to tell you a man cannot get along without the women. That is what my gift would be to you today, Mr. Larsen, before we now accept your wonderful gift. You are a batchelor. My gracious! I would rather be a married man for eighty-two years than be a batchelor for eighty-two years. What is the pleasure of the Board?

Supervisor Gallagher: I move the adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor McSheehy: I second the motion.

The Mayor: Call the roll and respond by a standing vote.

(Roll call and standing vote.)

Supervisor Badaracco: Aye.
Supervisor Colman: Aye.
Supervisor Deasy: Aye.
Supervisor Gallagher: Aye.
Supervisor Harrelson: Aye.
Supervisor Havenner: Aye.
Supervisor Hayden: Aye.
Supervisor Marks: Aye.
Supervisor McSheehy: Aye.
Supervisor Powers: Aye.
Supervisor Roncovieri: Aye.
Supervisor Schmidt: Aye.
Supervisor Shannon: Aye.
Supervisor Stanton: Aye.
Supervisor Todd: Aye.
Supervisor Welch: Aye.
Supervisor Bath: Absent.
Supervisor Kent: Absent.

The Mayor: The ayes have it and it is unanimously adopted. So ordered.

Supervisor Gallagher: Mr. Mayor, I would like to move you now that the Clerk of the Board be instructed to have transcribed and placed in suitable book form the remarks of all of those who have spoken their welcome and thanks to Mr. Larsen. And perhaps you might induce Mr. Larsen—I have never been able to do it yet myself and I do not know of anybody else—but perhaps you might induce Mr. Larsen to say a few words. If you can do so you will have performed a miracle.

The Mayor: Now, Mr. Larsen, you have heard from the Supervisors and others what they think of this generous gift that you have given to the City. The Board of Supervisors lives on and lives on. The Board of Supervisors is always in session. They and their successors are the custodians of this gift. Won't you please, unprepared as you are for public speaking, just give us the opportunity of hearing you just say a word, with that fine ozone that you have in your lungs from the Sunset District. Just say a word to the Supervisors and your

fellow citizens who are here. Mr. Carl Gustave Larsen.

Mr. Larsen: Honorable Mayor and Board of Supervisors, ladies and gentlemen: I am a little afraid that what I am going to say will not be as pleasant to you as what you have all been saying to me. You know, I have been in business for a great many years and there are thousands of children who have come into my place and I consider them all my own children, and I love children. But my idea is that the children here do not get a fair show. When I was a boy, I went to school until I was fourteen, and I got a school education—as much as I ever needed. Then I began to make furniture and when I was eighteen years of age I could make my own living. Now, what can any boy in this country do when he is eighteen? He goes to school until he is eighteen, and when he is pretty near ready to get married, as his Honor Mayor Rolph wants me to get married now, the poor boy comes around to me perhaps and asks for a job. I say, "What can you do?" "Are you a waiter or are you a cook?" "Oh, I will do anything," and the poor boy cannot do anything. Who is to blame for it? There is too much schooling here. I do not think that a boy should go to school after he is fourteen. A day or two ago a man down at Modesto went to jail for five days because he would not send his boy to school. I tell you a boy should learn to work, instead of going to all these schools where they learn things that do not do them much good. They should have schools where any boy can learn anything that he would like to learn and be a mechanic. If later on he can do better, well and good. Then he can do it by himself the same as I did.

That is about all I want to say. Just bear this in mind: Here I have a clipping—I take twenty copies of each of the daily papers—and here is a clipping from the Examiner yesterday, as to what Mr. Forbes says about the boys. I will give it to the Mayor or the Board of Education so that anybody can read it over. It is just my sentiments. You know a boy, when he is ready for life now, he has not learned anything. Now I have two nephews working for me. They are both about eighteen years of age. I have one boy working on my ranch. He gets $50 a month and his board and room. The other boy he had to go to school half a day. I asked him the other day, "What you learn?" "Well, I learned arithmetic and language." "What kind of language, do you learn?" "English." A boy who has been here all his lifetime. (Laughter.)

Now, Gentlemen and ladies, that is one reason why I give this land. I give another piece up on Golden Gate Heights which is going to be the finest part of this City, and the reason to make life as easy as possible for the children. I hope they will all enjoy it and I know that there is also going to be there a playground for the older people where they can have some enjoyment too, where we can all go and enjoy ourselves. I thank you all. (Applause.)

(At the close of his remarks Mr. Larsen was presented with a large and beautiful bouquet of dahlias grown in the Sunset District.)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26218 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) M. B. McGowan, for driving piles, etc., at Yacht Harbor (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $1,426.

(2) A. J. Raisch, construction of tennis courts at Kezar Stadium (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $640.60.

(3) National Ice Cream Co., for ice cream furnished (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $1,517.05.

(4) Montague Pipe & Steel Co. galvanized iron pipe for parks (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $2,225.41.

(5) Byron Jackson Pump Mfg. Co., furnishing and installing pump in Golden Gate Park (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $11,759.

(6) Garfield & Co., one Type "A" Erie steam shovel equipped with oil-burning apparatus, etc., for parks (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $8,350.

(7) Gladding, McBean & Co., furnishing and setting in place tile roof on stable, Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $2,450.

(8) Guilfoy Cornice Works, sheet metal work for stable, Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $978.

(9) Spencer Planing Mill, millwork and glazing for stable Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $1,365.

(10) Frank G. White, third payment, plans and specifications for piers and wharves at Aquatic Park (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $2,000.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(11) R. W. Kinney Co., third payment, furnishing and delivering 4-inch wrought steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $2,529.68.

(12) R. W. Kinney, fourth payment, furnishing and delivering 4-inch wrought steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $2,529.88.

(13) The Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., Edison electric storage cells, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $10,563.25.

(14) General Electric Co., electric locomotive parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,090.82.

(15) B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., 4-ply commander belts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $529.40.

(16) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,059.02.

(17) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $587.55.

(18) Myers-Whaley Company, shoveling machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $763.80.

(19) Smith-Booth-Usher Co., two Wright high-speed hoist, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $1,472.

(20) United Commercial Co., one Lidgerwood Cableway, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $937.02.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(21) Haas Wood & Ivory Works, biology tables for High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 21, 1926), $1,020.

(22) Royal Typewriter Co., Inc., 42 typewriters for High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 21, 1926), $2,940.

(23) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., 40 desks for High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 21, 1926), $1,181.60.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(24) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 16, 1926), $1,683.88.

Special School Tax.

(25) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints for school buildings (claim dated Sept. 15, 1926), $525.75.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) American La France Fire Engine Company, one combination pumper and hose motor car for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $11,740.

(27) Howard Automobile Company, two Buick autos for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2 925.

(28) The Seagrave Corporation, one combination pumper and hose car for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $11,500.

(29) Frederick W. Snook, disinfector for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,682.

(30) Bush Electric Corporation, diaphragm table for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,575

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(31) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1.154.92.

(32) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1 668.86.

(33) Shell Company, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,104.85.

(34) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrants installed, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,790.65.

(35) J. A. Bryant, third payment, construction of club house, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $23,609.

(36) J. A. Bryant, fourth payment, construction of club house, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Sept. 24, 1926), $8,822.

(37) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $552.32.

(38) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept 17, 1926), $2,283.

(39) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $3,043.08.

(40) The California Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $824.44.

(41) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas furnished County Jails (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $851.29.

(42) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Sept. 20, 1926), $1,086.85.

(43) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasin frames, grates, etc., for

sewers (claim dated Sept. 21, 1926), $594.41.

(44) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 21, 1926), $632.50.

(45) Cambridge Instrument Co., electrocardigraph for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $2,104.

(46) Morris & Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,820.

(47) Lazare-Klein Co., sheeting, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $577.97.

(48) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,180.77.

(49) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $4,009.66.

(50) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $2,105.72.

(51) Haas Bros., sugar, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,106.08.

(52) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund for beautification of Civic Center during August (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $835.33.

(53) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund in part for cost of construction of stable and improvement of City property at Ocean Beach (claim dated Sept. 17, 1926), $20,000.

(54) Louis T. Samuels, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, Sept. 4 to Oct. 4, 1926 (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $1,186.

(55) Pacific Spruce Corporation, a corporation, payment of judgment by United States District Court for Northern California, Action No. 18738, including interest and costs (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $7,223.88.

(56) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for various departments (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $768.

(57) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $684.97.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(58) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, miscellaneous expense for account of the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $1,789.95.

(59) Leo Glick, expense covering San Francisco exhibit at State Fair, Sacramento, Cal., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $515.33.

(60) San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, expense in connection with Convention of National Editorial Association in San Francisco, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 27, 1926), $1,644.80.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

(Supervisor Powers voted *no* on Item No. 54.)

Appropriation, $5,000, Anniversary Celebration.

Resolution No. 26219 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, Budget Item 1079, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the purpose of defraying expenses in the celebration of the 150th anniversary of the dedication of Mission Dolores and the foundation of San Francisco, which celebration is to cover the period of October 9 to 12, 1926, inclusive.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

Appropriations, Painting and Carpentry, Enigne House No. 42, and Changing Main Steam Supply Connections, Hall of Justice Engine Room.

Resolution No. 26220 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 68, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For painting, carpentry work, etc., at Engine House No. 42, $1,092.

(2) For changing main steam supply from boilers to give independent connections to auxiliary steam lines, Hall of Justice engine room, $700.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $5,000, Electrolysis Survey of the High Pressure Auxiliary Water System.

Resolution No. 26221 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Electrolysis Survey," Budget Item No. 70, for expense of making an electrolysis survey by the City Engineer of the high pressure auxiliary water system for fire protection.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $181,033, for the Construction of the Tubercular Preventorium on Pulgas Tunnel Lands, San Mateo County.

Resolution No. 26222 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $181,033 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Tubercular Sanitarium Fund for the erection of the Tuberculosis Preventorium, on City land, Pulgas tunnel, San Mateo County, under proposition No. 3, consisting of three buildings, water supply system, electrical work and plumbing work, possible extras, incidentals and inspection.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

Appropriation of $125,224 for the Construction of the Commodore Sloat School.

Resolution No. 26223 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $125,224 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, for the cost of erecting additions to the Commodore Sloat School, Junipero Serra boulevard and Darien way, to-wit:

General construction (Mahony Bros.), $98,850; electrical work (Alta Electric Co.), $5.349; plumbing system (Thomas Kelly), $7,488; mechanical equipment (Scott Co.), $6,077; architectural fees, $2,460; possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $5,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $2,560.19, for Purchase of Property, Renovating of Rugs and Carpets, Etc., New Relief Home.

Resolution No. 26224 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,560.19 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Relief Home Equipment," Budget Item No. 64, for the purchase of crockery, renovating carpets and rugs, bathroom appliances, gliders and sockets for the new Relief Home.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $1,920.50, Payment to Albert Tassi for Land Required for the Widening of San Jose avenue.

Resolution No. 26225 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,920.50 be and the same is authorized in payment to Albert Tassi out of County Road Fund, appropriations for widening of San Jose avenue, for property situate at the intersection of southwesterly line of Theresa street with southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26179 (New Series), and required for the widening of San Jose avenue. (Claim dated Sept. 23, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $3,200, Payment to Annie W. McMullen for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26226 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Annie W. McMullen; being payment for lands situate on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, commencing 260 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence westerly at a right angle 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 56 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence northerly along the westerly

line of Thirty-first avenue 56 feet 10 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26196 (New Series), and required for school purposes.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $9,000, Authorized to Be Paid to the County Clerk, Being Consideration of Judgment in Re City v. F. E. Hesthal Company et al. for Land Required for Sunset Tunnel Purposes.

Resolution No. 26227 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $9,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund, and authorized in payment to the County Clerk of San Francisco; being consideration of judgment in re City and County vs. F. E. Hesthal Co. et al., in payment of Kawalkowski property, required for Sunset Tunnel purposes; Superior Court Action No. 168636. (Claim dated Sept. 22, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 26228 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

John Gernns, northwest corner of Turk and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gilley-Schmidt Co., 840 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gilley-Schmidt Co., northeast corner of Lyon and Lombard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gilley-Schmidt Co., northeast corner of Pacific avenue and Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Great Western Supply Co., north side of Folsom street, 375 feet west of Fifth street, 750 gallons capacity.

F. S. Haines, 301 St. Francis boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

P. M. Midbust, northeast corner of Fulton and Steiner streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Elizabeth Murphy, 115 Spruce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

New San Francisco Laundry, Inc., west line of Fifth street, 177 feet 6 inches south of Harrison street, 2000 gallons capacity.

Restani Nursery, 250 Schwerin street, 3500 gallons capacity.

Sbarboro, Detgen & Jorgensen, northwest corner of Scott and Chestnut streets, 1500 gallons cacapity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26229 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. A. Hill, V. D. Ryan and H. A. Ford be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Steiner and Hermann streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26230 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James A. Mulnix be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station for a period of four (4) days, commencing September 25, 1926, and ending September 29, 1926, on the west side of Allemany avenue between Santa Rosa avenue and Tingley street.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 26231 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the New San Francisco Laundry, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry on the west line of Fifth street, 177 feet 6 inches south of Harrison street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Selection of Traffic Signals.

Bill No. 7758, Ordinance No. 7269 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the selection, purchase, location, operation, installation and control of traffic signals and protecting devices for safety zones, pedestrian paths and other places upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever public necessity shall require, the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to select and purchase the devices, apparatus, markers or other appliances as in its judgment it shall deem best suited for the establishment of signal devices for the purpose of regulating traffic within the City and County of San Francisco, and the establishment, protection and control of safety zones and pedestrian paths on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to substitute in place of the signal devices for the regulation of traffic and the markers for safety zones and pedestrian paths that are now or may hereafter be in use, the signal devices and safety zone and pedestrian path markers that said Board of Supervisors may adopt in accordance with Section 1 of this ordinance, and provide for the maintenance of the same.

Section 3. The Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby given exclusive authority to designate the streets and places on the streets within the City and County of San Francisco where signal devices, safety zones and pedestrian path markers shall be installed, and it shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors to supply such signal devices and the said safety zone and pedestrian path markers in such quantities as shall be needed.

The Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby given exclusive control and operation of all such signal devices and safety zones and pedestrian paths as provided by said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. It shall be the duty of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco to disconnect and remove any existing signal devices and in lieu thereof to connect and install, at the places designated by said Police Department, such signal devices as are selected by said Board of Supervisors. All signal devices now or that shall hereafter be installed shall be maintained by said Department of Electricity.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Action Deferred.

The following bill heretofore passed for printing was taken up, *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*

Hand Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 26288 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joseph Lopez be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry on the south side of Clement street, 82 feet 6 inches east of Twenty-eighth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Indefinite Postponement.

The following matter heretofore passed for printing was taken up and *indefinitely postponed*:

Appropriation, $25,000, Florida Relief.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $25,000 out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the American Red Cross for relief of sufferers from recent disaster in the State of Florida and the adjacent stricken area.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $48,118.72, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $104.15.

League of California Municipalities, league dues, $70.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Oct. 1, 1926), $4,265.78.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Oct. 1, 1926), $4,340.95.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(3) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 28, 1926), $1,479.71.

(4) F. G. Dyer, bearings for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $539.82.

(5) Hancock Bros., printing transfers for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 25, 1926), $755.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(6) Bethlehem Steel Company, tie plates for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 25, 1926), $1,113.84.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(7) Bureau of Street Repair, Board of Public Works, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway right of way (claim dated Sept. 23, 1926), $1,508.22.

Special School Tax.

(8) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services, annex to Parkside School (claim dated Sept. 28, 1926), $696.03.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(9) R. Brandlein & Co., drawing tables furnished Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 28, 1926), $5,003.10.

(10) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., window and door shades for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,118.10.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(11) John Reid, Jr., twenty-fourth payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,113.15.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) John Reid, Jr., fifth payment, architectural services for the West Portal School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $999.87.

(13) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing work, Hawthorne School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $3,180.28.

(14) Severin Electric Co., final payment, electrical fixtures, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $5,821.

(15) Severin Electric Co., first and final payment, electrical fixtures for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $642.

(16) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, window and door shades for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $846.90.

(17) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., window and door shades for Hawthorne School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $940.95.

(18) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., window and door shades for the Alamo School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $724.30.

County Road Fund.

(19) Municipal Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Stanyan street, Fulton to McAllister streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $6,000.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 28, 1926), $705.

(21) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,105.06.

(22) Crucible Steel Co. of America, drill and tool steel for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $5,223.68.

(23) Hercules Power Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $749.25.

(24) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, drill and pump parts, Hetch

Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,969.

(25) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,345.14.

(26) Pope & Talbot, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $684.45.

(27) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $537.49.

(28) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $838.62.

(29) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., supplies, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $619.47.

(30) United States Rubber Co., jute cable, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $1,746.20.

(31) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., transformers, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $696.02.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(32) National Mill and Lumber Co., cross arms for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $600.

(33) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Oct. 1, 1926), $750.

(34) City Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Kearny street, Market street to Columbus avenue (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,328.69.

(35) Clarence B. Eaton, final payment, improvement of Union street, Franklin to Steiner streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $3 439.51.

(36) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., sand and rock for street repair (claim dated Sept. 29. 1926), $736.20.

(37) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., sand and rock for street repair (claim dated Sept. 28, 1926), $639.13.

(38) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Lane street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues, City's portion (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,409.

(39) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Circular avenue between Judson avenue and Detroit street and Marston avenue (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,150.

(40) Eaton & Smith, improvement of crossing of Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,728.79.

(41) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Twenty-fifth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $2,806.84.

(42) A. J. Raisch, improvement of Lenox way at Taraval street (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $6,198.75.

(43) Frank J. McHugh, improvement of Eighteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,620.

(44) City Construction Co., improvement of crossings of Capitol avenue at Minerva and at Montana streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $769.47.

(45) Eaton & Smith, grading of Thirtieth, Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues and Anza street (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $6,387.16.

(46) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Sept. 23, 1926), $1,720.88.

(47) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 23, 1926), $1,802.15.

(48) Pacific Gear and Tool Works, Inc., machine parts for asphalt plant, Board of Public Works (claim dated Sept. 23, 1926), $637.10.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926). $14,353.70.

(50) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, Mayor's incidentals (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $625.

(51) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $1,250.

(52) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, September, 1926 (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $3,551.54.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(53) Boseland Ballroom, rental of ballroom, attendants and entertainment for sailors of United States Fleet, for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $1,201.26.

Archer M. Huntington Special Deposit Fund—California Palace Legion of Honor.

(54) Park Commission, transfer of Archer M. Huntington Special Deposit Fund to the Park Commission for construction of pedestal for statue of Joan of Arc (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $5,000.

Appropriation, $900, Payment to Ellen O'Connor for Land on Twenty-fourth Avenue, North of Rivera Street, Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $900 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Con-

struction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Helen O'Connor; being payment for lands on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25732 (New Series); and required for school purposes.

Appropriation, $25,000, for Relief of Sufferers in Florida Disaster.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people on the eastern coast of the State of Florida have been visited by a tropical storm and hurricane which has destroyed their cities and their homes and the said people are at the present time in dire want and distress and have made appeal to the people of the United States for aid in the time of their distress; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has for the fiscal year 1926-1927 levied a tax pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State of California, the proceeds of said tax to be used for advertising, exploiting and making known the resources of the City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of inducing immigration to and increasing the trade and commerce of the said City and County; and

Whereas, in the opinion of this Board of Supervisors by no better means can a knowledge of the wealth and the resources of this City and County of San Francisco be so thoroughly broadcast to all the people of the United States, nor can there be attached to it a more desirable name and reputation than that acquired through broad benevolences, particularly such as caring for the people of cities less fortunate than our own in time of distress; and

Whereas, by heeding the appeal of the people of the State of Florida the City and County of San Francisco will in a small way be making return for the generosity of the people of the United States to San Francisco in its disaster of 1906; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, In the carrying out of this expressed purpose there be and there is hereby appropriated from the Publicity and Advertising Fund the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars for the relief of the sufferers in Florida.

Further Resolved, That the said sum of $25,000 is authorized in payment to Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor of the City and County, for the relief of the said sufferers in Florida.

Reconstruction of Accepted Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7770, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $54,810, payable out of the hereinafter designated budget items for the fiscal year 1926-1927 for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 104—Jackson street, Embarcadero to Front-Battery, $11,700.

Budget Item No. 96—Drumm street, Clay to Jackson, $9,000.

Budget Item No. 116—Castro street, Twentieth to Hill, $7,650.

Budget Item No. 114—Union street, Montgomery to Kearny, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 129—Powers avenue, Mission to Coleridge, $1,800.

Budget Item No. 131—Vallejo street, Grant avenue to Kearny and Powell to Mason, $10,800.

Budget Item No. 122—Grant avenue, Vallejo to Columbus, $1,350.

Budget Item No. 95—Douglass street, Nineteenth to Carson, $1,080.

Budget Item No. 100—Hawthorne street, Howard to Folsom, $6,030.

Total, $54,810.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation for Improvement of Certain Streets Out of County Road Fund.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and au-

thorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of the following streets, to-wit:

Nineteenth street, Shotwell to Folsom, $900.

Shotwell street, Eighteenth to Nineteenth, $2,500.

Octavia street, Pacific to Broadway, $4,500.

Steiner street, Jackson to Clay, $8,000.

Total, $15,900.

Appropriation, $10,000, Out of County Road Fund for Emergency Street Repair.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for emergency street repairs.

Appropriation, $30,000, for Furnishing Wrought Steel Blackpipe, Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $30,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925, to cover cost of furnishing and delivering to Hetch Hetchy Junction, Calif., a quantity of 4-inch standard weight lap weld wrought steel blackpipe, under Contract No. 114, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, to R. W. Kinney Co., at $0.5180 per lineal foot.

Appropriation, $1,438, for Erection of a Toilet at Loop Terminal of Municipal Railway in the Presidio.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,438 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Fund to defray cost of erection of a building adjoining present building at the loop terminal of the Municipal Railway in the Presidio, to be used for toilet purposes, etc.

Appropriations for Painting Islais Creek Bridge and New Motor, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repair and Painting of Bridges, Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For expense of cleaning and partial painting of movable bridges crossing Islais Creek channel and crossing Channel street, including inspection, $5,500.

(2) For purchase of new motor and appurtenances for the Islais Creek bridge, $1,500.

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 36.

(3) For construction of an artificial stone sidewalk fronting city property at Twenty-first and Folsom streets, $1,300.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 68.

(4) For expense of furnishing and installing fifty-four heavy iron window guards on windows at south end of the Juvenile Detention Home, second to eighth floors, inclusive; also to bathroom and bedroom windows and three door panels on fourth floor, $560.

Appropriation, $450, for Artificial Stone Sidewalk in Front of Columbia Square.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26232 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $450 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for construction of a full width artificial stone sidewalk fronting Columbia Square, Folsom street between Sixth and Seventh streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Auditor to Cancel Assessment on City Property.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26233 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the property known as Lot 2, Block 786, assessed for the year 1926, in R. E. Vol. 5, page 18, in the name of Selig Estate, Inc., is now owned by the City and County; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor is hereby directed to cancel said assessment for the year 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Accepting Offer of H. N. Gray to Sell Land Required for Improvement of Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26234 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening and improvement of Castro street, between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

H. N. Gray, $500—Commencing at the intersection of the westerly line of Castro street with the northerly line of Thirtieth street, and running westerly on said line of Thirtieth street 105 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 26.5 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 105 feet to the westerly line of Castro street; thence southerly on said westerly line of Castro street 26.5 feet to the point of commencement. Being portion of Horner's Addition Block 173.

San Francisco Home Building Company, $3,000—Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Castro street with the southerly line of Day street, and running easterly on said line of Day street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 164 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 10 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the last described course, radius 86 feet, central angle 41 deg. 21 min. 28 sec., a distance of 62.799 feet; thence southeasterly, tangent to the last described curve, 10.891 feet; thence southerly on a line deflected 48 deg. 38 min. 32 sec. to the right from the last described course 35.355 feet to the northerly line of Thirtieth street; thence westerly on said northerly line of Thirtieth street 105 feet to its intersection with the easterly line of Castro street; thence northerly on said easterly line of Castro street 228 feet to the point of commencement. Being portion of Horner's Addition Block 172.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Accepting Offer of Charles P. Gibbons to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26235 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Chas. P. Gibbons, $60—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Ralston street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to a point on the westerly line of Ralston street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Ralston street 12 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 32 of Block 13 of City Land Association Tract.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying

title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Accepting Offer of Rose Welsch to Sell Land Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26236 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name and the further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

Rose Welsch, $2,500—All of Lots Nos. 1 and 3 in Block 6777, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to restore sidewalks where sidewalks are now constructed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Accepting Offer of E. C. R. LaChapelle to Sell Land Required for the Improvement of Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26237 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening and improvement of Castro street, between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

E. C. R. LaChapelle, $2,350—Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the easterly line of Castro street, and running easterly on said southerly line of Twenty-ninth street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 114 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 30 feet to the easterly line of Castro street; thence northerly on said line of Castro street 114 feet to the point of commencement. Being portion of Horner's Addition Block 171.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the properties owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Accepting Offer of F. Jackson et al. to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26238 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

F. Jackson and S. Jackson, $4,407—Lot 16, Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

W. Sullivan and A. Sullivan $5,500—Lot 1, Block 6690, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appaisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Lands Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26239 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel A. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Brook street with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 230.285 feet to the northerly line of Randall street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Randall street 51.373 feet to a point distant thereon 54.052 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Mission street; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right of 20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 109 deg. 19 min. 21 sec., a distance of 38.161 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 193.373 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lots 393 and 394 of Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel B. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Randall street, distant thereon 233.471 feet easterly from the southeasterly line of Chenery street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Randall street 84 feet, more or less, to the westerly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southerly along said westerly boundary to the northerly line of Fairmount street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Fairmount street 123 feet, more or less, to a point distant thereon 215.431 feet easterly from the southeasterly line of Chenery street; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 68 deg. 18 min. 35 sec., a distance of 23.845 feet; thence northeasterly, tangent to the preceding curve 242.952 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the left of 8-foot 6-inch radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 11 deg. 41 min. 25 sec., a distance of 16.570 feet to tangency with the southerly line of Randall street at the point of beginning; being portion of Lots 5, 6, 11 and 12 of Fairmount Block 18.

Parcel C. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Randall street with the northwesterly line of Mission street, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Mission street to a point distant thereon 175

feet northeasterly from the northerly line of Charles street, said point being on the northerly boundary of Lot 6 of Block "A" of the French and Gilman Tract; thence deflecting 92 deg. 54 min. to the right and running westerly along said northerly boundary 16 feet; thence deflecting 75 deg. 49 min. 27 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 180.265 feet to a point on the northerly line of Charles street, distant thereon 69 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Charles street 73 feet, more or less, to the easterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence northeasterly along said easterly boundary to the southerly line of Randall street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Randall street to the point of beginning; being portion of the Sam Brannan Tract and a portion of Block "A" of the French and Gilman Tract.

Parcel D. All of Lots 19 to 30, inclusive, of Block 17 of Fairmount, as recorded on page 145 of Map Book "E" and "F", records of City and County of San Francisco, being all of that parcel of land bounded by Charles street, Academy street, Fairmount street and the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

Parcel E. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Charles street, distant thereon 192.300 feet easterly from the southeasterly line of Chenery street, said point of beginning being on the westerly line of Academy street extended and produced southerly, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Charles street 95.528 feet to the northwesterly line of Arlington street; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Arlington street 224.001 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 108-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 28 deg. 35 min. 5 sec., a distance of 53.881 feet to tangency with the westerly line of Academy street extended and produced southerly; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, along the westerly line of Academy street extended and produced southerly a distance of 128.069 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lots 11, 12 and 13 of Fairmount Block 16.

Parcel F. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Charles street, distant thereon 95 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Charles street 70.20 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary to the northerly line of Highland avenue; thence easterly along the northerly line of Highland avenue 91 feet, more or less, to a point distant thereon 172 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence deflecting 71 deg. 29 min. 15 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 210.644 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block "B" of French and Gilman Tract.

Parcel G. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Highland avenue, distant thereon 210 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Highland avenue 94 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary to the northerly line of Park street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Park street 101.50 feet, more or less, to a point distant thereon 305 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence deflecting 57 deg. 2 min. 40 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 235.106 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block "C" of French and Gilman Tract.

Parcel H. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 428 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of San Miguel street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Arlington street to the northwesterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly boundary to the northeasterly line of San Miguel street; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of San Miguel street 75 feet, more or less, to a point distant thereon 50 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence at right angles northeasterly and parallel with Arlington street 100 feet; thence deflecting 7 deg. 7 min. 30 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 274.117 feet to a point distant 372 feet at right angles northeatserly from the northeasterly line of San Miguel street and distant 16 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence deflecting 2 deg. 0 min. 19 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 56.719 feet to

a point distant 428 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of San Miguel street and distant 7 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence deflecting 80 deg. 52 min. 11 sec. to the left and running northwesterly at right angles with Arlington street 7 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Fairmount Block 36.

Parcel I. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Park street, distant thereon 338 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Park street 103.40 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary to the northerly line of Richland avenue; thence easterly along the northerly line of Richland avenue 93 feet, more or less, to a point distant thereon 464 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence deflecting 51 deg. 9 min. 2 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 253.309 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block "D" of French and Gilman Tract.

Parcel J. Beginning at a point on the soutehrly line of Richland avenue, distant thereon 500 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Richland avenue 92.63 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary to the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue 35.50 feet to a point distant thereon 106.50 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of College avenue; thence deflecting 56 deg. 24 min. 5 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 118.316 feet to a point on the southwesterly boundary of Lot 36 of Block No. 1 of College Hill Tract, distant thereon 58 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of College avenue; thence deflecting 5 deg. 42 min. 6 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 139.384 feet to a point on the southwesterly boundary of Lot 31 of Block No. 1 of College Hill Tract, distant thereon 14 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of College avenue; thence deflecting 62 deg. 6 min. 11 sec. to the right and running southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary 14 feet to the northwesterly line of College avenue; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of College avenue 151.542 feet to a point distant thereon 623.459 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence deflecting 48 deg. 25 min. 56 sec. to the left from the northerly line of College avenue and running northeasterly 260.692 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block "E" of the French and Gilman Tract and portion of Block No. 1 of College Hill Tract.

Parcel K. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of San Miguel street, distant thereon 60 feet southeasterly from the southwesterly line of Arlington street, and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of San Miguel street 75 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly boundary to the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Mateo street 66 feet 10 inches, more or less, to a point distant thereon 82 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence deflecting 87 deg. 28 min. 59 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 91.088 feet to a point distant 91 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street and distant 78 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence deflecting 34 min. 38 sec. to the left and continuing northeasterly 222.324 feet to a point distant 313 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street and distant 66 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence deflecting 1 min. 39 sec. to the right and continuing northeasterly 112.161 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Fairmount Block 10.

Parcel L. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Mateo street, distant thereon 105 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street, and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Mateo street 49 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly boundary to the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue 27 feet, more or less, to a point distant

thereon 124 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence deflecting 83 deg. 48 min. 13 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 176.028 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Fairmount Block 8.

Parcel M. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of St. Mary's avenue, distant thereon 126 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street, and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of St. Mary's avenue to the northwesterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly boundary to the northeasterly line of Roanoke street; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Roanoke street to a point distant thereon 110 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence deflecting 94 deg. 3 min. 31 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 226.067 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Fairmount Block 8.

Parcel N. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of St. Mary's avenue, distant thereon 288.167 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Marsily street, and running thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of St. Mary's avenue 15 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary to the easterly line of Cuvier street; thence southerly along the easterly line of Cuvier street 15 feet, more or less, to a point distant thereon 428.612 feet northerly from the northerly line of Bosworth street; thence deflecting 119 deg. 18 min. 36 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 80.895 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 2 of De Boom Tract.

Parcel O. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Cuvier street, distant thereon 399 feet northerly from the northerly line of Bosworth street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Cuvier street 16.084 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary to the easterly line of Milton street; thence southerly along the easterly line of Milton street 16.21 feet, more or less, to a point distant thereon 292 feet northerly from the northerly line of Bosworth street; thence deflecting 118 deg. 1 min. 4 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 123.471 feet to a point distant 350 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Bosworth street, and distant 91 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Cuvier street; thence deflecting 16 min. 59 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 103.354 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 3 of De Boom Tract.

Parcel P. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Milton street, distant thereon 265 feet northerly from the northerly line of Bosworth street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Milton street 18.08 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary to a point distant thereon 39.212 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Bosworth street, said point being on the northeasterly boundary of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 46.567 feet to a point distant thereon 48.070 feet from the northwesterly line of Bosworth street; thence deflecting 56 deg. 57 min. 11 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 61.529 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 10 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 164.332 feet to a point distant 74 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Bosworth street; thence deflecting 1 deg. 21 min. 47 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 132.003 feet to a point on the northeasterly boundary of the property of Frank and Fanny Machkota, distant thereon 110 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Bosworth street; thence deflecting 2 deg. 1 min. 5 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 140.084 feet to a point on the northerly terminus of Rousseau street, distant thereon 21,520 feet southwesterly from the easterly line of said street; thence deflecting 14 deg. 47 min. 4 sec. to the left and running northeasterly along the northerly terminus of Rousseau street 21.520 feet to the easterly line or Rousseau street; thence deflecting 128 deg. 32 min. 28 sec. to the right and running southerly along the easterly line of Rousseau street 6 feet; thence deflecting 114 deg. 56 min. 18 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 220.565 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 5 of De Boom Tract and a portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

Parcel Q. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Roanoke street, distant thereon 107 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street, and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Roanoke street to the northwesterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly boundary to the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Arlington street to a point distant thereon 571 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence at right angles southeasterly 15 feet, deflecting 81 deg. 33 min. 30 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 286.100 feet to a point distant 288 feet at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street, and distant 57 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence deflecting 3 deg. 18 min. 30 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 127.675 feet to a point distant 163 feet at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street and distant 83 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence deflecting 3 deg. 53 min. 32 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 51.923 feet to a point distant 113 feet at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street, and distant 97 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence deflecting 10 deg. 35 min. 6 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 113.442 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 35 Mission and Thirtieth Streets Homestead Union, a portion of Fairmount Block 35, a portion of Lots 29 to 42, inclusive, of Jones Addition to Fairmount, and all of Lots 24 to 28, inclusive, of Jones Addition to Fairmount.

Parcel R. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 70 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Natick street, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Arlington street 171.75 feet; thence deflecting 80 deg. 0 min. 20 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Arlington street 63.393 feet; thence deflecting 132 deg. 37 min. 47 sec. to the right and running easterly 53.726 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the left of 82-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 20 deg. 8 min. 7 sec. a distance of 28.817 feet; thence northeasterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 94 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 89-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 12 deg. 30 min. a distance of 19.417 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Arlington street at the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots 18 to 23, inclusive, of Jones Addition to Fairmount.

Parcel S. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 278.327 feet easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Bosworth street 75 feet to the northwesterly line of Arlington street; thence deflecting 53 deg. 21 min. 4 sec. to the left and running northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Arlington street 102.112 feet; thence deflecting 147 deg. 21 min. 53 sec. to the left and running westerly 8.278 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left of 157-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 18 deg. 17 min. 3 sec., a distance of 50.102 feet; thence southwesterly tangent to the preceding curve 68 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the right of 51-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 39 deg., a distance of 34.715 feet to tangency with the northerly line of Bosworth street at the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 14 of Mission and Thirtieth Street Extension Homestead Union.

Parcel T. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Diamond street, distant thereon 326 feet 2 inches southerly from the southerly line of Bosworth street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Diamond street 42.526 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; thence deflecting 131 deg. 18 min. 30 sec. to the left and running northeasterly along said northwesterly boundary 451.784 feet to the point at which said right of way widens from 60 feet to 200 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly along the southwesterly boundary of the widened right of way 30 feet: thence at right angles southwesterly 421.500 feet to the northerly boundary of the property of William and Mathilda Dautel; thence deflecting 41 deg. 18 min. 30 sec. to the right and running westerly along said northerly boundary 2.945 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 1 of Mission and Thirtieth Streets Extension

Homestead Union and portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

Parcel U. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue with the southeasterly boundary of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company (at Diamond street), and running northeasterly along said southeasterly boundary 576.170 feet to the point at which said right of way widens from 60 feet to 200 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly along the southwesterly boundary of said widened portion of said right of way 30 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 617.433 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of 150-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 22 deg. 46 min. 15 sec., a distance of 59.570 feet to tangency with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue at a point distant thereon 107.716 feet southwesterly from aforesaid southeasterly boundary; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 107.716 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract. Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Accepting Offer of Western Land and Reclamation Company et al. to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26240 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Western Land and Reclamation Company, $792.50—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Beverly street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Beverly street 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 116.60 feet, more or less, to the westerly boundary of Block 2 of City Land; thence northerly along said westerly boundary 12 feet to the southerly line of Garfield street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 116 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 24 of Block 2 of City Land Association Tract.

William P. Filmer, $180—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 25 feet easterly from the easterly line of Beverly street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lots 26 and 27 of Block 5 of City Land Association Tract.

Fred L. Jones, $90—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 75 feet easterly from the easterly line of Beverly street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 28 of Block 5 of City Land Association Tract.

Catherine Hyde et al., $180—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Monticello street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lots 29 and 30 of Block 5 of City Land Association Tract.

Minnie Lazarus, $180—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Monticello street with the southerly line of Garfield

street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 50 feet to a point on the westerly line of Monticello street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Monticello street 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lots 31 and 32 of Block 5 of City Land Association Tract.

William P. Filmer, $120—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Monticello street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet to a point on the easterly line of Monticello street; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of Monticello street 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lots 25 and 26 of Block 10 of City Land Association Tract.

Peter Delmar and Arthur H. White, $180.

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 50 feet easterly from the easterly line of Monticello street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lots 27 and 28 of Block 10 of City Land Association Tract.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 50 feet easterly from the easterly line of Vernon street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lots 27 and 28 of Block 23 of City Land Association Tract.

Walter C. Rogers and Martha M. Rogers, $180.

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Byxbee street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lots 29 and 30 of Block 10 of City Land Association Tract.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Byxbee street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to a point on the westerly line of Byxbee street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Byxbee street 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot 32 of Block 10 of City Land Association Tract.

Peter P. McDonough and Thomas McDonough, $60—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 25 feet westerly from the westerly line of Byxbee street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot 31 of Block 10 of City Land Association Tract.

J. W. Wright & Sons Investment Company, $60—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Byxbee street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to a point on the easterly line of Byxbee street; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of Byxbee street 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot 25 of Block 13 of City Land Association Tract.

George C. Faulkner and Nora Faulkner, $120—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 25 feet easterly from the easterly line of Byxbee street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lots 26 and 27 of Block 13 of City Land Association Tract.

Mary E. Klevesahl and F. W. Klevesahl, $120—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 75 feet easterly from the easterly line of Byxbee street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of

Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lots 28 and 29 of Block 13 of City Land Association Tract.

Harry Batham and Ruby H. V. Batham, $30—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Ralston street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to a point on the easterly line of Ralston street; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of Ralston street 12 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 25 of Block 20 of City Land Association Tract.

Mary Meehan, $60—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 50 feet easterly from the easterly line of Ralston street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lots 27 and 28 of Block 20 of City Land Association Tract.

Edmund H. Brennan, $30—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 75 feet westerly from the westerly line of Vernon street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot 29 of Block 20 of City Land Association Tract.

John P. Kelly, $90—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Vernon street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 75 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 75 feet to a point on the westerly line of Vernon street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Vernon street 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lots 30, 31 and 32 of Block 20 of City Land Association Tract.

Annie L. Nickerson, $30—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 75 feet westerly from the westerly line of Ramsell street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot 29 of Block 32 of City Land Association Tract.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Property Required for Southeasterly Mission Park.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26241 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Hamilton street with the southerly line of Felton street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Felton street to the westerly line of Yale street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Yale street to the southerly line of Silver avenue; thence westerly along the southerly line of Silver avenue to the easterly line of Cambridge

street; thence southerly along the easterly line of Cambridge street to the southerly line of Burrows street; thence westerly along the southerly line of Burrows street to the southeasterly line of Le Grande avenue; thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Le Grande avenue to a point distant thereon 210.856 feet southwesterly from the westerly line of Logan street; thence southwesterly on the preceding course extended and produced southwesterly to the northeasterly line of Walbridge street, extended and produced northwesterly; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Walbridge street and said line produced northwesterly to a point distant thereon 720 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Carter street extended and produced northeasterly, said point being on the northwesterly line of proposed Cabrillo street, as per map recorded on page 15 of Map Book 7, records of San Mateo County; thence at right angles northeasterly 648.12 feet to the northeasterly boundary of the Martin property; thence at right angles southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 820 feet to a point distant 110 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Hahn street extended and produced southwesterly; thence northeasterly parallel with the northwesterly line of Hahn street (and said line extended southwesterly) and distant 110 feet at right angles northwesterly therefrom to the southwesterly boundary of the Reis Tract; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 7.22 feet, more or less, to the westerly boundary of the Reis Tract; thence northerly along said westerly boundary to the northeasterly line of Raymond avenue; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Raymond avenue to the northwesterly line of Elliot street; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Elliot street to the northeasterly line of Campbell avenue; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Campbell avenue to the northwesterly line of Ervine street; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Ervine street to the northeasterly line of Wilde avenue; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Wilde avenue to the northwesterly line of Cowden street; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Cowden street to the northerly line of Ankeny street; thence easterly and southeasterly along the northerly and northeasterly line of Ankeny street to the northerly line of Ward street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Ward street to the westerly line of Brussels street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Brussels street to the southerly line of Mansell street; thence westerly along the southerly line of Mansell street to the westerly line of Goettingen street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Goettingen street to the southerly line of Woolsey street; thence westerly along the southerly line of Woolsey street to the westerly line of Hamilton street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Hamilton street to the point of beginning, saving and excepting therefrom the right of way of the Gough Street Railroad, the lands being acquired for the extension of Geneva avenue as per map thereof on file at the City Engineer's office, and the lands purchased by the City and County of San Francisco for the reservoir known as the Amazon Reservoir. Be it

Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adpatable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, for a public park. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid. Be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 25748 (New Series), approved June 12, 1926, be and the same is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Clerk to Advertise Sale of Water Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26242 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received by this Board on Monday, November 15, 1926, up to the hour of 3 p. m., for the purchase of the folowing described bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, $2,400,000 5 per cent Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue of January 1,

1925, comprising 60 bonds of $1,000 denomination of each year's maturity, 1930 to 1969, inclusive.

The Finance Committee is directed to fix the terms and conditions of sale.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Southeasterly Corner of Italy Avenue and Lisbon Street in Commercial District Instead of Second Residential District.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7758, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed by placing the southwesterly corner of Italy avenue and Lisbon street, for a distance of twenty-five feet southerly on Lisbon street and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Southwest Corner of Chestnut and Steiner Streets in the Commercial District Instead of the Second Residential District.

Also, Bill No. 7759, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed by placing the southwest corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets, for a distance of 206 feet 3 inches on Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Ames, Harris & Neville Co., Seventeenth street between Alabama and Florida streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. H. Brawner, 3680 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. R. Brinkman, northeast corner of St. Francis boulevard and Santa Clara avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Geo. H. Cameron, 1919 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Campbell, north side of El Camino, 150 feet east of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Francis Scott Key School), east side of Twenty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. W. Duffy, 650 Victoria street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Etienne, 1900 Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fleming Estate, southwest corner of Sixteenth and Guerrero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. N. Galgiani, 17 Seventeenth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Helbing Co., northwest corner of Franklin and Sutter streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Helbing Co., west side of Franklin street, 70 feet north of Sutter street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. G. Hind, north side of Fell street, 177 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Hoffman, east side of Octavia street, 50 feet south of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, northeast corner of Magnolia and Webster streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, southwest corner of Jackson street and Marcy place, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, southeast corner of Chestnut and Webster streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Kaufmann, 466 Fifteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Kronquist, southwest corner

of Clipper and Noe streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Law Estate, southeast corner of Powell and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Leonard Levy, southeast corner of Garcia and Vasquez avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

Magnuson & Peterson, north side of Fourteenth street, 300 feet west of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. N. Malberg, 48 Mercedes way, 600 gallons capacity.

R. Monson, east side of Eighth avenue, 100 feet north of Lawton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. A. Moore, 2 West Clay Park, 600 gallons capacity.

R. N. Nason, 183 Twenty-fourth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

J. E. O'Brien, southwest corner of Twenty-fourth avenue and Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. M. Oyen, east side of Baker street, 75 feet north of Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Piasocki, south side of Fulton street, 81 feet 6 inches west of Ashbury street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop, north side of Natoma street, 250 feet west of Third street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Rosenblum, 138 Arguello boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Seltzer Water Co., north side of Pacific street, 50 feet west of Cordelia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tire Exchange, rear of 651 Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Shirley C. Walker, 2812 Washington street, 500 gallons capacity.

Well Known Bakery, 3187 Sixteenth street, 600 gallons capacity.

V. Zaruba, 2423 Broadway, 600 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Chas. Bach Co., 2108 Stockton street, 8-horsepower.

New San Francisco Laundry, west side of Fifth street, 177 feet 6 inches south of Harrison street, 150-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. J. Noone be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile parking station permit heretofore granted P. J. Noone by Resolution No. 16723 (New Series) for premises situate on the east side of Steuart street, 230 feet south of Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Peninsula Rapid Transit Co. be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 458 Natoma street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Michelangelo School Site.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26243 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, his Honor the Mayor is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter the certain frame buildings situate on the site of the Michelangelo School, excepting the one located on the west line of the property and now being used as an open-air classroom.

The said school is situated on the south side of Greenwich street, 137 feet 6 inches west from Jones street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Referred.

The following matter was ordered *referred to the Police Committee*:

Revocation of Permits.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new subdivision to be designated (f) to Section 3 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), regulating the use of taxicabs, etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new subdivision to be designated (f) is hereby added to Section 3 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), to read as follows:

(f) When a permit granted as provided in this section has been revoked, no new permit for the same premises or at any location

within fifty feet thereof in any direction shall be granted within six months from the date of such revocation.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Extension of Water Mains.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 7761, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for extensions of water mains and distribution lines of the municipal waterworks in subdivisions or in districts where a system of feeder lines and laterals must be installed to furnish the water service desired; and a method of enabling the party or parties to be served to participate in the initial cost of such installation

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Extensions of mains will be made by the Board of Public Works only on public streets and avenues where pressure conditions permit and where grades of such streets and avenues have been established by law and brought to grade; provided, that the Board of Public Works has a sufficient water supply developed to take on new or additional services without detriment to those already served, and that the following provisions governing such installations shall apply:

Section 2. The Board of Public Works shall specify sizes, character and location of all pipes and appurtenances for new services and shall perform the work of construction.

It is understood that where extensions are to be made from feeder lines already installed in the district the maximum deposit required from the consumer to cover the cost of the extension will be based on the cost of four-inch cast iron pipe.

In subdivisions or in districts where a system of feeder lines and laterals must be installed to furnish the service desired, the applicant or applicants for such service will be required to deposit the estimated cost of the complete system.

Section 3. If the estimated cost for such pipe extension for new service is not in excess of four times the estimated annual revenue therefrom the Board of Public Works will make such installation at its own expense. The Board of Public Works reserves the right to refuse to make any extension at its own expense if it is not satisfied that it will be put to immediate and permanent use.

Section 4. If the estimated cost of such extension for new service is in excess of four times the estimated annual revenue therefrom the Board of Public Works will make the installation, provided the applicant deposits with the Board of Public Works the estimated amount of such cost in excess of four times the estimated immediate annual revenue, adjustment of any difference between the estimated and actual cost to be made at the completion of the installation. The Board of Public Works will thereafter refund to the applicant, until the amount of the deposit has been returned, four times the amount of revenue collected annually from the permanent new consumers attached to this extension; provided, however, that if additional consumers, other than those contemplated in the original application, are connected to such extension, they shall be required, upon demand by the original depositor, to pay to the Board of Public Works their pro rata of the cost of the extension, proportional refund to be made by the Board of Public Works to the original depositor.

(a) The term "estimated immediate annual revenue" is to apply to houses under construction by the applicant at the time of making the application and to houses already occupied and which will be connected to the new extension.

(b) In any case in which the construction of an extension will, in the opinion of the Board of Public Works, work an undue hardship upon it or its existing consumers, or cause the Board of Public Works to enter undesirable territory, and a satisfactory adjustment cannot be made between the Board of Public Works and the applicant, then the matter shall be referred to the Board of Supervisors for determination.

Section 5. When the extension of mains for new services are made under the above rules the necessary active services and meters will be installed at the expense of the Board of Public Works.

Section 6. If request is made by the applicant, additional services will be installed prior to the erection of houses, provided the full cost thereof is deposited by the applicant, and provided that such services will be put to use within a reasonable period of time. Such payments for services are not to be included in the above refunding schedule, as they will only be refunded as the services are put into active and permanent use.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Dahlia Chosen as San Francisco's Flower.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26244 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the dahlia has reached it highest perfection in the gardens in and about San Francisco, and because dahlias originated in San Francisco are grown in gardens all over the world; and

Whereas, the dahlia partakes essentially of the character of our beloved city, in birth, breeding and habit, for it was originally Mexican, carried thence to Spain, to France and England in turn, being changed in the process from a simple daisylike wild flower to a cosmopolitan beauty. It has come back to San Francisco like the sophisticated world traveler it is, to find its favorite home here, where it thrives in the cool summers and the moist air of our fog-swept, sandy gardens by the sea;

Whereas, it is an autumn flower, blooming in its greatest profusion when San Francisco's fiesta days are at their best;

Whereas, it is a robust flower, generous and able to thrive in any reasonable soil, so long a' it is not too dry, and has the primitive strength of our pioneer ancestors, together with the gayety and color that no other city nor flower can hope to equal, going, like our artists and poets, to carry color and beauty into far climes, but blooming best in our own gardens out of doors in our cool even climate;

Whereas, in its versatility, its beauty, its infinite variety of color and form it is the very symbol of San Francisco life and of the spirit of her people; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the dahlia be and it is hereby designated the official flower of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Referred.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Gallagher, and *referred to the Public Welfare Committee*:

Elimination of Noise.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City of Chicago has appointed a commission which has for its purpose the recommending of measures for the elimination of noise; and

Whereas, this constructive move in the interests of health is of interest and concern to all the cities and towns of this county; be it, therefore,

Resolved, That, in order that we may profit by the findings of said commission and keep in close touch with its work, the Mayor is requested to appoint a commitee of five persons who shall have authority to keep in close touch with this movement in Chicago, to make a study of local conditions and to recommend any measures calculated to eliminate unnecessary or avoidable noises in and around San Francisco.

Intention to Close Cunningham Place.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26274 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Cunningham place be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Cunningham place described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Cunningham place, distant thereon 179 feet westerly from the westerly line of Valencia street, and running westerly on said southerly line of Cunningham place 183 feet to the easterly line of Angelica street; thence at a right angle northerly along the easterly line of Angelica street 35 feet to the northerly line of Cunningham place; thence at a right angle easterly along the northerly line of Cunningham place 173 feet; thence at a right angle southerly along the easterly boundary of part of Cunningham place 23 feet to the northerly line of the easterly section of Cunningham place; thence at a right angle easterly along the northerly line of Cunningham place 10 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 12 feet to the southerly line of Cunningham place and point of commencement.

Being the westerly portion of Cunningham place.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco,

as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Cunningham place in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Intention to Close Portion of McAllister Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26245 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of McAllister street be closed and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of McAllister street described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the present westerly terminus and the southerly line of that portion of McAllister street extending westerly from Parker avenue, running thence easterly along the southerly line of McAllister street 2.58 feet to the westerly line of Stanyan street, produced southerly from that portion of Stanyan street lying north of McAllister street; thence at right angles northerly 19.67 feet; thence deflecting 172 deg. 31 min. 38 sec. to the left and running southerly a distance of 19.84 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of McAllister street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Intention to Close Portion of San Bruno Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26246 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of San Bruno avenue as hereinafter described be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon that portion of San Bruno avenue described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly boundary of Lot 310, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 18.343 feet; thence deflecting 18 deg. 52 min. 10 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 90.162 feet; thence deflecting 74 deg. 34 min. 16 sec. to the right and running westerly 13.107 feet to the easterly line of proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence northerly along said proposed easterly line on a curve to the right of 937.500-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 93 deg. 4 min. 33 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 6 deg. 39 min. 17 sec., a distance of 108.888 feet to the northerly boundary line of Lot 310, Precita Valley Lands, extended and produced westerly; thence deflecting 87 deg. 15 min. 30 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running easterly along said northerly boundary 24.035 feet to the

point of beginning; being portion of San Bruno avenue.

Said closing and abandonment of the said portion of San Bruno avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of San Bruno avenue be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26247 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after September 5, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-first street between Church and Sanchez streets, under public contract. The work is completed and an extension is required pending acceptance of contract and issuance of assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26248 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James T. Tobin is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 21, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Palou avenue between Jennings and Hawes streets. The work is under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26249 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 22, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Bemis street between Miguel and Mateo streets. The contractor was delayed by building operations.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution 26250 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 21, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Lane street between McKinnon avenue and Newcomb avenue.

The work is completed with the exception of the covering, and this extension is requested in order to keep contract alive in case of appeal.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26251 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after November 1, 1926, within which to complete the widening of Clement street between Arguello boulevard and Funston avenue.

The work is progressing and delay occasioned by necessity of waiting for public service corporation to take care of installations and services.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26252 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Hennessy is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after September 19, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-second street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, and Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets.

Ninety days' time from and after September 15, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Arleta avenue between Delta and Elliott streets.

The work has been completed and this extension is required pending the acceptance and issuance of the assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26253 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Keystone Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty (60) days from and after October 3, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Berry street between Seventh and De Haro streets.

The work has been completed and this extension is required pending the acceptance of the contract and issuance of the assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26254 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after September 10, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Vienna street between Silver and Avalon avenues.

This work has been completed and this extension is required pending acceptance and issuance of assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also Resolution No. 26255 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the United Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after September 17, 1926, within which to complete the construction of a sewer in Joost avenue between Edna and Detroit streets.

The contractor has been delayed by labor and material conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26256 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Keystone Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty (60) days' time from and after October 11, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets and Arkansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.

The work has been completed and this extension is required pending its acceptance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts during the construction of Market street extension, from Hattie street to Short street, provided said permittees shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights ac-

cruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be executed within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26257 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 93561 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted September 8, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 14, 1926, to-wit:

Egbert Avenue.

Ingalls street, 9 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet westerly from Ingalls street, 10.50 feet.

Jennings street, 9.00 feet.

Jennings Street.

Donner avenue, 7.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Egbert avenue, 9.00 feet.

Fitzgerald avenue, 11.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Egbert avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets, and on Jennings street between Donner and Fitzgerald avenues, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26258 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 93559 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted September 8, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 12, 1926, to-wit:

Goettingen Street.

Wayland street, 68 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

240 feet southerly from Wayland street, 69.20 feet.

290 feet southerly from Wayland street, 70.47 feet.

340 feet southerly from Wayland street, 73.79 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Woolsey street northerly line, 79 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Goettingen street between Wayland and Woolsey streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner. Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26259 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 93563 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted September 8, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 12, 1926, to-wit:

On Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues between Rivera and Santiago streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district

is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26260 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 93558 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted September 8, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 12, 1926, to-wit:

On Fitgerald avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets, and on Hawes street between the southerly line of Egbert avenue and the northerly line of Gilman avenue.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26261 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 93560 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted September 8, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 14, 1926, to-wit:

On Hawes street between Yosemite avenue and Fitzgerald avenue, on Ingalls street between Wallace avenue and Carroll avenue, on Jennings street between Yosemite avenue and Bancroft avenue, on Yosemite and Bancroft avenues between Hawes and Jennings streets, on Armstrong avenue between Hawes and Keith streets, and on Carroll, Donner and Egbert avenues between Hawes and Ingalls streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26262 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 93776 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted September 22, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 24, 1926, to-wit:

On Twenty-ninth avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets, and on Rivera street between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades

is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Approving Map, Miguel Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26263 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 93576 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of a portion of Miguel street between Niagara avenue and Mount Vernon avenue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of a portion of Miguel street between Niagara avenue and Mount Vernon avenue be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Approving Map, Russian Hill Place.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26264 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 93717 (Second Series), approve a map showing the opening of Russian Hill place in 50 Vara Block 211; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the opening of Russian Hill place in 50 Vara Block 211 be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Accepting Deed From W. C. Mysell for the Widening of San Miguel Street Between Niagara Avenue and Mt. Vernon Avenue.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26265 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed from W. C. Mysell, executed May 15, 1926 and the deed from Kathryn M. Sullivan, John J. Ivancovich and Eugene Ivancovich, executed August 27, 1926, conveying lands to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the widening of a portion of Miguel street between Niagara avenue and Mount Vernon avenue, as shown on map approved by Resolution No. 93576 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deeds are hereby declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Accepting Deed From Norman B. Livermore et al. for Land Required for the Opening of Russian Hill Place.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26266 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed, executed on the 5th day of August, 1926, between Norman B. Livermore and Caroline S. Livermore (wife), conveying lands to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the opening of Russian Hill place in 50 Vara Block 211, as shown on map approved by Resolution No. 93717 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land as shown on said map is hereby declared an open public street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades, Radio Terrace.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7762, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Radio Terrace and Rock Ridge drive as shown on map entitled, "Amended Grade Map of Portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets." approved by Resolution No. 92391 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted June 23, 1926.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of August,

1926, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Radio Terrace and Rock Ridge drive as shown on map entitled, "Amended Grade Map of a Portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets," approved by Resolution No. 92391 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Radio Terrace and Rock Ridge drive, as shown on map entitled, "Amended Grade Map of a Portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets," are hereby changed and established at points and elevations above city base as shown on map entitled, "Amended Grade Map of a Portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets," approved by Resolution No. 92391 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades, Clementina Street.

Also, Bill No. 7763, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Clementina street between Third street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of August, 1926, by Resolution No. 26032 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Clementina street between Third street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Clementina Street.

275 feet easterly from Third street, 22.25 feet.

150 feet easterly from Third street, 19.80 feet.

50 feet easterly from Third street, 17.75 feet.

On Clementina street between Third street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Third street at Clementina street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Fire Hydrants.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26269 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing enameled wares, aluminum ware, tinware, Japanned and galvanized wares and kitchen utensils that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing at date and ending June 30, 1927, as submitted on bid of September 13, 1926 (Proposal No. 233), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 351—Aluminum ware: "Wear-Ever" Cat. No. 51, Price List W, dated March 15, 1926 (Reference Cat. No. 411B). Discount, 12 per cent. Contractor, Levenson Co.

Item No. 352(a)—Gray enameled ware: Lalance & Grosjean Mfg. Co.'s Cat. "Enamel, Steel and Sheet Metal Cooking and Sanitary Wares," dated July 1, 1922, and special list, "Enameled Ware Only," dated February 18, 1926 (Reference Cat. No. 13B). Discount, 51 per cent. Contractor, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Item No. 352(b) and (c)—Deep stamped tinware and shallow stamped ware: Lalance & Grosjean Mfg. Co.'s Cat., "Miscellaneous Sheet Metal Wares for Domestic and General Uses," dated July 1, 1922, and Price List, "General Catalogue," dated July 1, 1922, supplemented by "Corrections of List or Net Prices of Articles Contained in 'Sheet Metal Catalogue'," dated December 1, 1924 (Reference Cat. No. 13C).

Discount, 41 per cent. Contractor, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Item No. 352(d)—Hotel ware: Same catalogues and lists as preceding item. Discount, 27½ per cent. Contractor, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Item No. 352(e)—Hercules heavy tinware: List attached to bid. Net prices. Contractor, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Item No. 352(f) — Galvanized ware: The Heyman-Weil Co.'s General Catalogue No. S 26 and Price List July 1, 1926 (Reference Cat. No. 410). Discount, 55 per cent. Contractor, The Heyman-Weil Co.

Item No. 353—White enameled ware: "K. E. R." Swedish White and White Enameled Ware Cat. and Price List No. 9, dated July, 1926 (Reference Cat. No. 13D). Discount, 12½ per cent. Contractor, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of above contracts be required as follows: Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, bond required, $100; Levenson Co., bond required, $100; The Heyman-Weil Co., bond required, $100.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Award of Contract, License Plates.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26270 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Patrick & Company, as per bid submitted September 27, 1926 (Proposal No. 239), for furnishing the following, viz.: 15,071 vehicle license plates at 17 cents each, and additional plates as requisitioned at 20 cents each.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

Award of Contract, Forage and Farinaceous Products.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26271 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted September 20, 1926 (Proposal No. 235), as follows, viz.: For furnishing forage and farinaceous products that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing October 1, 1926, and ending September 30, 1927:

Forage.

Item No. 401—Barley, rolled, $30 per ton; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 402—Bran, wheat, $32.50 per ton; Sperry Flour Co.

Item No. 404—Corn, $2.35 per cwt.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 405(a)—Hay, alfalfa, $16.50 per ton; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 405(b)—Hay, oat, tame, $15 per ton; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 405(c)—Hay, wheat, $16.50 per ton; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 406—Middlings, wheat, $44.25 per ton; Sperry Flour Co.

Item No. 408—Oats, feed, $1.60 per cwt.; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 409—Straw, barley, 65 cents per cwt.; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 410—Wheat, recleaned, $2.425 per cwt.; Sperry Flour Co.

Farinaceous Products.

Item No. 60—Barley, pearl, .065 per lb.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 62—Corn meal, .0285 per lb.; Pacific Milling Co.

Item No. 63—Cracker meal, .0974 per lb.; J. H. Newbauer & Co.

Item No. 64—Crackers, soda, .126 per lb.; Haas Bros.

Item No. 65—Farina, .045 per lb.; Pacific Milling Co.

Item No. 66—Flour, wheat, $6.80 per bbl.; Sperry Flour Co.

Item No. 67—Hominy, coarse, .034 per lb.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 68—Oatmeal, steel cut, .045 per lb.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 69—Oats, cereal, rolled, .042 per lb.; Pacific Milling Co.

Item No. 71—Peas, split, .075 per lb.; Pacific Milling Co.

Item No. 72—Rice, .0635 lb.; M. J. B. Co.

Item No. 73(a)—Tapioca, pearl, .06 per lb., Dodge, Sweeney & Co.

Item No. 73(b)—Tapioca, sago size, .06 per lb.; Dodge, Sweeney & Co.

Item No. 74—Wheat, rolled, .0375 per lb.; Pacific Milling Co.

Resolved, That said contractors shall furnish bonds for the faithful

performance of their respective contracts as follows, viz.:

Albers Bros. Milling Co, bond $500; Berringer & Russell, bond $2,000; Dodge, Sweeney & Co., no bond required; Haas Bros., bond $100; M. J. B. Co., bond $500; J. H. Newbauer & Co., no bond required; Pacific Milling Co., bond $500; Sperry Flour Co., bond $3,000.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Settlement of Claims for Damages, Municipal Railway.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund in payment to Harry T. Simms and Evelyn Simms, his wife, in full settlement of the claim of said Harry T. Simms and Evelyn Simms, his wife, against the Municipal Railways for injuries and damages sustained December 20, 1925, arising out of collision between automobile driven by said Harry T. Simms with a street car of the Municipal Railways at the intersection of Twenty-third avenue and California street; and as approved by the City Attorney. (Claim dated October 2, 1926.)

Appreciation of Gift of Carl G. Larsen.

Supervisors Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 26273 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has been the recipient of a gift from Carl G. Larsen of two blocks of land bounded by Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues and Ulloa and Wawona streets for the purposes of a public park and recreation center; therefore,

Resolved, That in the acceptance of this gift, the City of San Francisco, by its Board of Supervisors, acknowledges itself greatly indebted to Mr. Larsen and commends the public-spirit and generosity displayed by him in thus providing for the welfare, not only of the present, but of future generations. This being the second gift of this kind by Mr. Larsen, his action merits added appreciation for its munificence.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Reestablishing Grades, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7764, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Holladay avenue between a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at the northerly line of Joy street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 126.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street; on Faith and Costa streets between San Bruno avenue and Brewster street; on Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and Isabel street, and on Isabel street between Brewster street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 130 feet northerly from Rutledge street, to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Rutledge street at Isabel street."

Also, Bill No. 7765, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Girard street between the northerly line of Mansell street and the northerly line of Ward street; on Brussels street between Dwight and Ward streets; on Goettingen street between Dwight street and Ordway street; on Olmstead street between Girard street and Somerset street; on Mansell street between San Bruno avenue and Somerset street; and on Ordway street between the easterly line of Girard street and Goettingen street."

Also, Bill No. 7766, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Prague street between Russia avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Persia avenue and 100 feet northerly therefrom, and on Persia avenue between the easterly line of Prague street and Munich street."

Also, Bill No. 7767, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bay street between the easterly line of Flora street and the intersection of Newhall street

and on Newhall street between Revere and Thomas avenues."

Also, Bill No. 7768, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Van Ness avenue between the southerly line of Ellis street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Olive avenue and 7 feet southerly therefrom; on Ellis street between the easterly line of Van Ness avenue and a line parallel with the westerly line of Van Ness avenue and 84.75 feet westerly therefrom; and on Olive avenue between Van Ness avenue and a line parallel with and 50 feet westerly therefrom."

Also, Bill No. 7769, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Jennings street between the easterly line of and a line parallel with and 24 feet westerly therefrom, extending from Le Conte avenue to a line parallel with and 75 feet southerly therefrom, and on Le Conte avenue between the southerly line of and a line parallel with and 30 feet northerly therefrom extending from Jennings street to a line parallel with and 100 feet easterly therefrom."

Providing for Morning's Sessions.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the increasing business brought before the Board of Supervisors requires that more time should be taken during daylight, and that those having business before the Board be relieved of the necessity of remaining until a late hour; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the rules be amended so that the sessions of this Board commence at 10 o'clock a. m., with such recess at noon as may be necessary.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of the Bernal Heights boulevard.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amendment to Traffic Ordinance, Penalties.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. 7771, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 83 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating moving travel and traffic upon streets and other public places of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing a punishment for any violation thereof, approved March 26, 1912.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 83 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 83. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than one hundred dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding fifty days or by both such fine and imprisonment.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, December 13, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 41

Monday, October 11, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 11, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 11, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING TO ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the preceding meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Dudley Field Malone Congratulates San Francisco on the One Hundred Fiftieth Anniversary of the Founding of the City.

The following telegram was presented by his Honor the Mayor, read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*:

New York, Oct., 10, 1926.

Hon. James Rolph, Mayor, San Francisco, Cal.

You cannot know the thrill of love and pride I feel away off here in celebrating with you and all us citizens the one hundred and fiftieth anniversary of San Francisco. In all my far-flung travels, north and south, at home and abroad, always deep in my heart is the wish and the hope some day to live in San Francisco with its splendid men and women. No city in the world is more cosmopolitan, no people have more of the fine courage and idealism of those before them. Good luck, prosperity and my deep affection to you all.

(Signed)

DUDLEY FIELD MALONE.

Use of Voting Machines and Paper Ballots Cojointly.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, advising that aside from the question of legality it would be impractical for the Board of Election Commissioners and the Registrar of Voters to arrange for the use of voting machines and paper ballots concurrently in the same polling place to be used respectively at the option of the voter, and stating that he has been advised that the difficulties of last election will be overcome by arrangements now being made for the ensuing election.

Ordered *filed*.

Amendment to Zoning Ordinance, Chestnut and Steiner Streets.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Charles Kendrick, acting chairman, City Planning Commission, advising that no application for a change of classification on the southwest corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets has been received by the Planning Commission, and that the bill to that effect now pending before the Board is in violation of Section 10, Ordinance No. 5464.

Ordered *filed*.

Coast Scenic Highway.

Communication, from H. E. Miller, clerk, Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors, transmitting copy of resolution adopted by said board endorsing proposed Coast Scenic Highway from Eureka to the southern line of California.

Read and *referred to Streets Committee*.

Mayor's and Supervisors' Salaries Increase Endorsed.

Communication, from the San Francisco Municipal Service Association, transmitting copy of resolution adopted by the association endorsing proposed Charter Amend-

ment No. 32, designed to increase the salaries of the Mayor and Board of Supervisors, and pledging the organization to support the measure by every legitimate means.

Read and *referred to Supervisor McSheehy's Special Committee.*

Approval of Contract, Modesto Irrigation District.

The following was presented, read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

Modesto, California,
October 5, 1926.

Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir:

Inclosed please find copy of a resolution and letter sent to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco.

Yours truly,
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
By C. S. ABBOTT, Secretary.

October 5, 1926.

Mr. Timothy Reardon, President Board of Public Works, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Sir:

I am inclosing herewith a certified copy of the action of the Board of Directors of this District in approving the power contract between this District and the City and authorizing it to be signed by its President and Secretary.

Mr. Garrison has suggested Tuesday, October 12th, 1926, as the date for the President and Secretary of the District to go to San Francisco and sign the contract, however, if this date is not agreeable to your Board kindly fix a date and notify us.

Yours truly,
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
By C. S. ABBOTT, Secretary.

Incl.

c/c to Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Modesto, California,
October 4, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Directors of the Modesto Irrigation District.

Gentlemen:

I hereby move the acceptance of the San Francisco power contract, as passed by the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco at their meeting as of September 20th, 1926. This motion also to authorize the signing of said contract by the President and Secretary of our Board.

(Signed) H. H. STURGILL,
Director.

I, C. S. Abbott, Secretary of the Board of Directors of the Modesto Irrigation District, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Directors of said District, October 4th, 1926.

C. S. ABBOTT,
Secretary.

State Building Trades Council Protests Faulty Conitruction, Edison School Building.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the State Building Trades Council, complaining of the alleged faulty concrete construction in the new Edison School building, submitting photographic exhibits thereof and requesting an investigation and report.

Referred to the Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Upper Noe Valley Playground.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Communication, from Upper Noe Valley Improvement Club, requesting that provision be made for a suitable playground at Noe, Diamond, Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth streets.

Referred to the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Death of Thos. Keating, Manager of St. Francis Hotel.

Supervisor Shannon called attention to the passing of Thos. Keating, for many years connected with the management of the St. Francis Hotel. He spoke feelingly of the uniform courtesy and kindness that had endeared Mr. Keating to thousands of friends in San Francisco and surrounding cities. Indeed, he said, Mr. Keating was an international character who had won high praise from world travelers who had occasion to know of him and of his engaging qualities in their recurring visits to San Francisco.

Supervisor Shannon therefor moved that it be the sense of the Board of Supervisors that when the Board adjourns it does so out of respect to the memory of Thos. Keating.

Supervisor Gallagher suggested that the sentiments of the Board he put in the form of a resolution and sent to the family of the deceased.

Supervisors Colman and *Deasy* seconded the remarks of Supervisor Shannon with respect to the sterling character of Thos. Keating.

Whereupon, the motion made was *unanimously adopted by a rising vote.*

Telegram, Bridge Hearing.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and *referred to Special Bridge Committee*:

War Department Telegram.
Washington, October 5, 1926.
J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.
General Jadwin absent. Will bring your telegram of October 4th to his notice on his return about fourteenth.

DEAKYNE,
Acting Chief of Engineers.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Motor, Islais Creek Bridge.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors, and opened at 3 p. m., for furnishing motor for Islais Creek bridge and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Chairs.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors, and opened at 3 p. m., for furnishing chairs for School Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Motion Picture Machine.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors, and opened at 3 p. m., for furnishing motion picture machine for Relief Home and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Athletic Goods.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors, and opened at 3 p. m., for furnishing athletic goods for playgrounds and for School Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Track Materials.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors, and opened at 3 p. m., for furnishing track materials and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26276 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Oct. 1, 1926), $4,265.78.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Oct. 1, 1926), $4,340.95.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(3) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 28, 1926), $1,479.71.

(4) F. G. Dyer, bearings for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $539.82.

(5) Hancock Bros., printing transfers for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 25, 1926), $755.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(6) Bethlehem Steel Company, tie plates for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 25, 1926), $1,113.84.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(7) Bureau of Street Repair, Board of Public Works, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway right of way (claim dated Sept. 23, 1926), $1,508.22.

Special School Tax.

(8) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services, annex to Parkside School (claim dated Sept. 28, 1926), $696.03.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(9) R. Brandlein & Co., drawing tables furnished Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 28, 1926), $5,003.10.

(10) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., window and door shades for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,118.10.

Relief Home Bonds, 1923.

(11) John Reid, Jr., twenty-fourth payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,113.15.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) John Reid, Jr., fifth payment, architectural services for the West Portal School (claim dated Sept. 29. 1926), $999.87.

(13) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing work, Hawthorne School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $3,180.28.

(14) Severin Electric Co., final payment, electrical fixtures, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $5,821.

(15) Severin Electric Co., first and final payment, electrical fixtures for Douglas-Everett School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $642.

(16) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, window and door shades for Douglas-Everett

School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $846.90.

(17) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., window and door shades for Hawthorne School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $940.95.

(18) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., window and door shades for the Alamo School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $724.30.

County Road Fund.

(19) Municipal Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Stanyan street, Fulton to McAllister streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $6,000.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 28, 1926), $705.

(21) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,105.06.

(22) Crucible Steel Co. of America, drill and tool steel for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $5,223.68.

(23) Hercules Power Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $749.25.

(24) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, drill and pump parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,969.

(25) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim lated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,345.14.

(26) Pope & Talbot, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $684.45.

(27) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $537.49.

(28) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $838.62.

(29) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., supplies, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $619.47.

(30) United States Rubber Co., jute cable, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 22, 1926), $1,746.20.

(31) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., transformers, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $696.02.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(32) National Mill and Lumber Co., cross arms for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $600.

(33) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Oct. 1, 1926), $750.

(34) City Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Kearny street, Market street to Columbus avenue (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,328.69.

(35) Clarence B. Eaton, final payment, improvement of Union street, Franklin to Steiner streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $3 439.51.

(36) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., sand and rock for street repair (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $736.20.

(37) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., sand and rock for street repair (claim dated Sept. 28, 1926), $639.13.

(38) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Lane street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues, City's portion (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,409.

(39) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Circular avenue between Judson avenue and Detroit street and Marston avenue (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,150.

(40) Eaton & Smith, improvement of crossing of Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,728.79.

(41) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Twenty-fifth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $2,806.84.

(42) A. J. Raisch, improvement of Lenox way at Taraval street (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $6,198.75.

(43) Frank J. McHugh, improvement of Eighteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $1,620.

(44) City Construction Co., improvement of crossings of Capitol avenue at Minerva and at Montana streets (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $769.47.

(45) Eaton & Smith, grading of Thirtieth, Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues and Anza street (claim dated Sept. 29, 1926), $6,387.16.

(46) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Sept. 23, 1926). $1,720.88.

(47) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 23, 1926), $1,802.15.

(48) Pacific Gear and Tool Works, Inc., machine parts for asphalt plant, Board of Public Works (claim dated Sept. 23, 1926), $637.10.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926). $14,353.70.

(50) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, Mayor's incidentals (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $625.

(51) San Francisco Society for

the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $1,250.

(52) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, September, 1926 (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $3,551.54.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(53) Boseland Ballroom, rental of ballroom, attendants and entertainment for sailors of United States Fleet, for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $1,201.26.

Archer M. Huntington Special Deposit Fund—California Palace Legion of Honor.

(54) Park Commission, transfer of Archer M. Huntington Special Deposit Fund to the Park Commission for construction of pedestal for statue of Joan of Arc (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $5,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Appropriation, $900, Payment to Ellen O'Connor for Land on Twenty-fourth Avenue, North of Rivera Street, Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26277 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $900 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Helen O'Connor; being payment for lands on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 25732 (New Series); and required for school purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Appropriation, $25,000, for Relief of Sufferers in Florida Disaster.

Resolution No. 26278 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people on the eastern coast of the State of Florida have been visited by a tropical storm and hurricane which has destroyed their cities and their homes and the said people are at the present time in dire want and distress and have made appeal to the people of the United States for aid in the time of their distress; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has for the fiscal year 1926-1927 levied a tax pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State of California, the proceeds of said tax to be used for advertising, exploiting and making known the resources of the City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of inducing immigration to and increasing the trade and commerce of the said City and County; and

Whereas, in the opinion of this Board of Supervisors by no better means can a knowledge of the wealth and the resources of this City and County of San Francisco be so thoroughly broadcast to all the people of the United States, nor can there be attached to it a more desirable name and reputation than that acquired through broad benevolences, particularly such as caring for the people of cities less fortunate than our own in time of distress; and

Whereas, by heeding the appeal of the people of the State of Florida the City and County of San Francisco will in a small way be making return for the generosity of the people of the United States to San Francisco in its disaster of 1906; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, In the carrying out of this expressed purpose there be and there is hereby appropriated from the Publicity and Advertising Fund the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars for the relief of the sufferers in Florida.

Further Resolved, That the said sum of $25,000 is authorized in payment to Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor of the City and County, for the relief of the said sufferers in Florida.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Appropriation for Improvement of Certain Streets Out of County Road Fund.

Resolution No. 26279 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improve-

ment of the following streets, to-wit:

Nineteenth street, Shotwell to Folsom, $900.

Shotwell street, Eighteenth to Nineteenth, $2,500.

Octavia street, Pacific to Broadway, $4,500.

Steiner street, Jackson to Clay, $8,000.

Total, $15,900.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Appropriation, $10,000, Out of County Road Fund for Emergency Street Repair.

Resolution No. 26280 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for emergency street repairs.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Appropriation, $30,000, for Furnishing Wrought Steel Blackpipe, Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Resolution No. 26281 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $30,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925, to cover cost of furnishing and delivering to Hetch Hetchy Junction, Calif., a quantity of 4-inch standard weight lap weld wrought steel blackpipe, under Contract No. 114, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, to R. W. Kinney Co., at $0.5180 per lineal foot.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Appropriation, $1,438, for Erection of a Toilet at Loop Terminal of Municipal Railway in the Presidio.

Resolution No. 26282 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,438 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Fund to defray cost of erection of a building adjoining present building at the loop terminal of the Municipal Railway in the Presidio, to be used for toilet purposes, etc.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Appropriations for Painting Islais Creek Bridge and New Motor, Etc.

Resolution No. 26283 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repair and Painting of Bridges, Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For expense of cleaning and partial painting of movable bridges crossing Islais Creek channel and crossing Channel street, including inspection, $5,500.

(2) For purchase of new motor and appurtenances for the Islais Creek bridge, $1,500.

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 36.

(3) For construction of an artificial stone sidewalk fronting city property at Twenty-first and Folsom streets, $1,300.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 68.

(4) For expense of furnishing and installing fifty-four heavy iron window guards on windows at south end of the Juvenile Detention Home, second to eighth floors, inclusive; also to bathroom and bedroom windows and three door panels on fourth floor, $560.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Settlement of Claims for Damages, Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. 26284 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund in payment to Harry T. Simms and Evelyn Simms, his wife, in full settlement of the claim of said Harry T. Simms and Evelyn Simms, his wife, against the Municipal Railways for injuries and damages sustained December 20, 1925, arising out of collision between automobile driven by said Harry T. Simms with a street car of the Municipal Railways at the intersection of Twenty-third avenue

and California street; and as approved by the City Attorney. (Claim dated October 2, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Mark McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26285 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Ames, Harris & Neville Co., Seventeenth street between Alabama and Florida streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. H. Brawner, 3680 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. R. Brinkman, northeast corner of St. Francis boulevard and Santa Clara avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Geo. H. Cameron, 1919 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Campbell, north side of El Camino, 150 feet east of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Francis Scott Key School), east side of Twenty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. W. Duffy, 650 Victoria street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Etienne, 1900 Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fleming Estate, southwest corner of Sixteenth and Guerrero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. N. Galgiani, 17 Seventeenth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Helbing Co., northwest corner of Franklin and Sutter streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Helbing Co., west side of Franklin street, 70 feet north of Sutter street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. G. Hind, north side of Fell street, 177 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Hoffman, east side of Octavia street, 50 feet south of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, northeast corner of Magnolia and Webster streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, southwest corner of Jackson street and Marcy place, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, southeast corner of Chestnut and Webster streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Kaufmann, 466 Fifteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Kronquist, southwest corner of Clipper and Noe streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Law Estate, southeast corner of Powell and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Leonard Levy, southeast corner of Garcia and Vasquez avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

Magnuson & Peterson, north side of Fourteenth street, 300 feet west of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. N. Malberg, 48 Mercedes way, 600 gallons capacity.

R. Monson, east side of Eighth avenue, 100 feet north of Lawton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. A. Moore, 2 West Clay Park, 600 gallons capacity.

R. N. Nason, 183 Twenty-fourth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

J. E. O'Brien, southwest corner of Twenty-fourth avenue and Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. M. Oyen, east side of Baker street, 75 feet north of Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Piasocki, south side of Fulton street, 81 feet 6 inches west of Ashbury street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop, north side of Natoma street, 250 feet west of Third street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Rosenblum, 138 Arguello boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Seltzer Water Co., north side of Pacific street, 50 feet west of Cordelia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tire Exchange, rear of 651 Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Shirley C. Walker, 2812 Washington street, 500 gallons capacity.

Well Known Bakery, 3187 Sixteenth street, 600 gallons capacity.

V. Zaruba, 2423 Broadway, 600 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Chas. Bach Co., 2108 Stockton street, 8-horsepower.

New San Francisco Laundry, west side of Fifth street, 177 feet 6 inches south of Harrison street, 150-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Mark McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26286 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. J. Noone be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile parking station per-

mit heretofore granted P. J. Noone by Resolution No. 16723 (New Series) for premises situate on the east side of Steuart street, 230 feet south of Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26287 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Peninsula Rapid Transit Co. be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors. to maintain and operate a public garage at 458 Natoma street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Hand Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 26288 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joseph Lopez be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry on the south side of Clement street, 82 feet 6 inches east of Thirty-eighth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Welch—15.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Todd—2.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26289 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts during the construction of Market street extension, from Hattie street to Short street, provided said permittees shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be executed within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Reconstruction of Accepted Streets.

Bill No. 7770, Ordinance No. 7270 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $54,810, payable out of the hereinafter designated budget items for the fiscal year 1926-1927 for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 104—Jackson street, Embarcadero to Front-Battery, $11,700.

Budget Item No. 96—Drumm street, Clay to Jackson, $9,000.

Budget Item No. 116—Castro street, Twentieth to Hill, $7,650.

Budget Item No. 114—Union street, Montgomery to Kearny, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 129—Powers

avenue, Mission to Coleridge, $1,800.
Budget Item No. 131—Vallejo street, Grant avenue to Kearny and Powell to Mason, $10,800.
Budget Item No. 122—Grant avenue, Vallejo to Columbus, $1,350.
Budget Item No. 95—Douglass street, Nineteenth to Carson, $1,080.
Budget Item No. 100—Hawthorne street, Howard to Folsom, $6,030.
Total, $54,810.
Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.
Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Southeasterly Corner of Italy Avenue and Lisbon Street in Commercial District Instead of Second Residential District.

Bill No. 7758, Ordinance No. 7271 (New Series), as follows:
Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."
Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:
Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:
That Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed by placing the southwesterly corner of Italy avenue and Lisbon street, for a distance of twenty-five feet southerly on Lisbon street and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.
Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Amendment to Traffic Ordinance, Penalties.

Bill No. 7771, Ordinance No. 7272 (New Series), as follows:
Amending Section 83 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating moving travel and traffic upon streets and other public places of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing a punishment for any violation thereof, approved March 26, 1912.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:
Section 1. Section 83 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:
Section 83. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than one hundred dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding fifty days or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.
Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Imposing License Taxes, Etc.

Bill No. 7760, Ordinance No. 7273 (New Series), as follows:
Adding a new section to be numbered Section 54½ to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes, etc., and imposing a license tax on stands for public passenger vehicles.
Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) to be numbered Section 54½ and to read as follows:
Section 54½. The holder of each permit for a public passenger vehicle stand on any public street shall pay a license fee therefor of two dollars and fifty cents a quarter for each vehicle permitted to stand thereat, each quarter to commence on the first days of January, April, July and October.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.
Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Extension of Water Mains.

Bill No. 7761, Ordinance No. 7274 (New Series), as follows:
Providing for extensions of water mains and distribution lines of the municipal waterworks in subdivisions or in districts where a system of feeder lines and laterals must be installed to furnish the water service desired; and a method of enabling the party or parties to be served to participate in the initial cost of such installation
Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Extensions of mains will be made by the Board of Public Works only on public streets and avenues where pressure conditions permit and where grades of such streets and avenues have been established by law and brought to grade; provided, that the Board of Public Works has a sufficient water supply developed to take on new or additional services without detriment to those already served, and that the following provisions governing such installations shall apply:

Section 2. The Board of Public Works shall specify sizes, character and location of all pipes and appurtenances for new services and shall perform the work of construction.

It is understood that where extensions are to be made from feeder lines already installed in the district the maximum deposit required from the consumer to cover the cost of the extension will be based on the cost of four-inch cast iron pipe.

In subdivisions or in districts where a system of feeder lines and laterals must be installed to furnish the service desired, the applicant or applicants for such service will be required to deposit the estimated cost of the complete system.

Section 3. If the estimated cost for such pipe extension for new service is not in excess of four times the estimated annual revenue therefrom the Board of Public Works will make such installation at its own expense. The Board of Public Works reserves the right to refuse to make any extension at its own expense if it is not satisfied that it will be put to immediate and permanent use.

Section 4. If the estimated cost of such extension for new service is in excess of four times the estimated annual revenue therefrom the Board of Public Works will make the installation, provided the applicant deposits with the Board of Public Works the estimated amount of such cost in excess of four times the estimated immediate annual revenue, adjustment of any difference between the estimated and actual cost to be made at the completion of the installation. The Board of Public Works will thereafter refund to the applicant, until the amount of the deposit has been returned, four times the amount of revenue collected annually from the permanent new consumers attached to this extension; provided, however, that if additional consumers, other than those contemplated in the original application, are connected to such extension, they shall be required, upon demand by the original depositor, to pay to the Board of Public Works their pro rata of the cost of the extension, proportional refund to be made by the Board of Public Works to the original depositor.

(a) The term "estimated immediate annual revenue" is to apply to houses under construction by the applicant at the time of making the application and to houses already occupied and which will be connected to the new extension.

(b) In any case in which the construction of an extension will, in the opinion of the Board of Public Works, work an undue hardship upon it or its existing consumers, or cause the Board of Public Works to enter undesirable territory, and a satisfactory adjustment cannot be made between the Board of Public Works and the applicant, then the matter shall be referred to the Board of Supervisors for determination.

Section 5. When the extension of mains for new services are made under the above rules the necessary active services and meters will be installed at the expense of the Board of Public Works.

Section 6. If request is made by the applicant, additional services will be installed prior to the erection of houses, provided the full cost thereof is deposited by the applicant, and provided that such services will be put to use within a reasonable period of time. Such payments for services are not to be included in the above refunding schedule, as they will only be refunded as the services are put into active and permanent use.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Changing Grades, Radio Terrace.

Bill No. 7762, Ordinance No. 7275 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Radio Terrace and Rock Ridge drive as shown on map entitled, "Amended Grade Map of Portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets" approved by Resolution No. 92391 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted June 23, 1926.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works,

did, on the 13th day of August, 1926, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Radio Terrace and Rock Ridge drive as shown on map entitled, "Amended Grade Map of a Portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets," approved by Resolution No. 92391 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Radio Terrace and Rock Ridge drive, as shown on map entitled, "Amended Grade Map of a Portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets," are hereby changed and established at points and elevations above city base as shown on map entitled, "Amended Grade Map of a Portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets," approved by Resolution No. 92391 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Changing Grades, Clementina Street.

Bill No. 7763, Ordinance No. 7276 (New Series), as follows.

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Clementina street between Third street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of August, 1926, by Resolution No. 26032 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Clementina street between Third street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Clementina Street.

275 feet easterly from Third street, 22.25 feet.

150 feet easterly from Third street, 19.80 feet.

50 feet easterly from Third street, 17.75 feet.

On Clementina street between Third street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Third street at Clementina street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallaghe Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Reestablishing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7764, Ordinance No. 7277 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Holladay avenue between a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at the northerly line of Joy street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 126.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street; on Faith and Costa streets between San Bruno avenue and Brewster street; on Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and Isabel street, and on Isabel street between Brewster street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 130 feet northerly from Rutledge street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of August, 1926, by Resolution No. 26015 (New Series) declare its intention to change

and reestablish the grades on Holladay avenue between a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at the northerly line of Joy street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 126.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street; on Faith and Costa streets between San Bruno avenue and Brewster street; on Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and Isabel street, and on Isabel street between Brewster street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 130 feet northerly from Rutledge street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Holladay Avenue.

43 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 126.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 71.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

17 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 131.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street, 73 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

17 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 118.67 feet northerly from the first angle northerly from Rutledge street, 75.42 feet.

17 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 39.89 feet northerly from the first angle northerly from Rutledge street, 74.10 feet.

17 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 36.03 feet southerly from the first angle northerly from Rutledge street, 67 feet.

17 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 7.17 feet northerly from Rutledge street, 60.64 feet.

17 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 21.61 feet southerly from Rutledge street, 61.56 feet.

(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 120.85 feet northerly from the first angle northerly from Rutledge street, 74.82 feet.

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 39.89 feet northerly from the first angle northerly from Rutledge street, 73.95 feet.

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 33.85 feet southerly from the first angle northerly from Rutledge street, 67 feet.

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 7.17 feet northerly from Rutledge street, 60.67 feet.

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 23.79 feet southerly from Rutledge street, 61.69 feet.

(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 10.03 feet northerly from Costa street, 68 feet.

17 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Costa street, 68.30 feet.

Westerly line of, at Costa street, 72 feet.

At a point 0.86 feet easterly from the easterly line of and 7 feet southerly from Costa street northerly line, 67.68 feet.

At a point 0.86 feet westerly from the easterly line of and 7 feet northerly from Costa street southerly line produced, 68.50 feet.

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 10.03 feet southerly from Costa street, 70 feet.

17 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Costa street, 70 feet.

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 10.08 feet northerly from Faith street, 71 feet.

17 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10.29 feet northerly from Faith street, 71 feet.

At a point 1.27 feet easterly from the easterly line of and 7 feet southerly from Faith street northerly line, 69 feet.

At a point 1.27 feet westerly from the easterly line of, and 7 feet northerly from Faith street southerly line produced, 70.27 feet.

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 10.08 feet southerly from Faith street, 71 feet.

At a point 2.43 feet easterly from the westerly line of and 7 feet southerly from Faith street northerly line produced, 72.50 feet.

At a point 2.43 feet westerly from

the westerly line of and 7 feet northerly from Faith street southerly line, 74.50 feet.

17 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10.29 feet southerly from Faith street, 72.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Joy street, 78 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of at a point at right angles from its westerly line, at intersection of Joy street northerly line, 75 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Faith Street.

7 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at San Bruno avenue westerly line, 10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at San Bruno avenue westerly line, 10.83 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 7 feet southerly from the northerly line of and 1.27 feet easterly from Holladay avenue easterly line, 69 feet.

At a point 7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, produced and 1.27 feet westerly from Holladay avenue easterly line, 70.27 feet.

At a point 7 feet southerly from the northerly line of, produced and 2.43 feet easterly from Holladay avenue westerly line, 72.50 feet.

At a point 7 feet northerly from the southerly line of and 2.43 feet westerly from Holladay avenue westerly line, 74.50 feet.

Northerly line of, at Brewster street, 145 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Brewster street, 147 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Costa Street.

San Bruno avenue, 10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 0.26 feet westerly from San Bruno avenue, 10 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 150 feet westerly from San Bruno avenue, 41.34 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 200 feet westerly from San Bruno avenue, 51.31 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 250 feet westerly from San Bruno avenue, 59.91 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

At a point 7 feet southerly from the northerly line of and 0.86 feet easterly from Holladay avenue easterly line, 67.68 feet.

At a point 7 feet northerly from the southerly line produced and 0.86 feet westerly from Holladay avenue easterly line, 68.50 feet.

Holladay avenue westerly line, 72 feet.

Brewster street easterly line, 134.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Isabel Street.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at intersection with Brewster street easterly line, 149 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 121.83 feet southeasterly from Brewster street, 134.40 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 141.83 feet southeasterly from Brewster street, 132.60 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 161.83 feet southeasterly from Brewster street, 132 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at the first angle southeasterly from Brewster street, 132 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Brewster street, 132.42 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Brewster street, 133.68 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 198.65 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Brewster street, 137 feet.

Westerly line of, 130 feet northerly from Rutledge street, 131 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 136.75 feet northerly from Rutledge street, 131 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Holladay avenue between a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at the northerly line of Joy street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 126.31 feet southerly from Tomasa street; on Faith and Costa streets between San Bruno avenue and Brewster street; on Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and Isabel street and on Isabel street between Brewster street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 130 feet northerly from Rutledge street changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations given above therefor, and the present official grade of Rutledge street at Isabel street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Bill No. 7765, Ordinance No. 7278 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Girard street between the northerly line of Mansell street and the northerly line of Ward street; on Brussels street between Dwight and Ward streets; on Goettingen street between Dwight street and Ordway street; on Olmstead street between Girard street and Somerset street; on Mansell street between San Bruno avenue and Somerset street, and on Ordway street between the easterly line of Girard street and Goettingen street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of August, 1926, by Resolution No. 26013 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Girard street between the northerly line of Mansell street and the northerly line of Ward street; on Brussels street between Dwight and Ward streets; on Goettingen street between Dwight street and Ordway street; on Olmstead street between Girard street and Somerset street; on Mansell street between San Bruno avenue and Somerset street, and on Ordway street between the easterly line of Girard street and Goettingen street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Girard Street.

Mansell street northerly line, 140 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Mansell street southerly line, 143 feet.

Westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Mansell street, 153 feet.

Westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Mansell street, 169 feet.

Westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Mansell street, 177.45 feet.

Westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Mansell street, 182.80 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Mansell street, 163 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Mansell street, 171.45 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Mansell street, 176.80 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Mansell street, 163 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Mansell street, 171.45 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Mansell street, 176.80 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet northerly from Ordway street, 188.20 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Ordway street, 188.20 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Ordway street, 197 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ordway street northerly line, 192 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ordway street northerly line, 190.50 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet southerly from Ordway street northerly line, 190 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ordway street southerly line, 190 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Ordway street northerly line, 193 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ordway street southerly line, 193 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ordway street southerly line, 192 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ordway street southerly line, 190.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 13 feet southerly from Ordway street, 198.20 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Ordway street, 193.50 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly

line of, 100 feet southerly from Ordway street, 193.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ordway street, 201 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ordway street, 195 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ordway street, 195 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Ward street, 199 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 193 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 193 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Brussels Street.

Dwight street southerly line, 119 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Dwight street, 128 feet.

Westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Dwight street, 143.56 feet.

Westerly line of, 175 feet southerly from Dwight street, 148.24 feet.

Westerly line of, 225 feet southerly from Dwight street, 147.40 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Dwight street, 137.57 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 175 feet southerly from Dwight street, 142.33 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 225 feet southerly from Dwight street, 141.78 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 125 feet southerly from Dwight street, 137.57 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 175 feet westerly from Dwight street, 142.33 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 225 feet southerly from Dwight street, 141.78 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 142 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 75 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 135.33 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 75 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 135.33 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 139 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Olmstead street northerly line, 132 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Olmstead street northerly line, 130.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Olmstead street northerly line, 133 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Olmstead street southerly line, 133 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet southerly from Olmstead street northerly line, 130 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Olmstead street southerly line, 130 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Olmstead street southerly line, 130.50 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Olmstead street southerly line, 132 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 138 feet.

Westerly line of, 30 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 138 feet.

Westerly line of, 70 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 139.31 feet.

Westerly line of, 110 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 143.37 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 30 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 132 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 70 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 133.39 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 110 feet westerly from Olmstead street, 137.57 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 30 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 132 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 70 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 133.39 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 110 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 137.57 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 30 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 131.14 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 70 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 133.17 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 110 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 137.57 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Mansell street, 181 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Mansell street, 175.91 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 15 feet northerly from Mansell street, 175.91 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Mansell street northerly line, 176.50 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mansell street northerly line, 178 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Mansell street northerly line, 179 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Mansell street southerly line, 179 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet southerly from Mansell street northerly line, 176 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Mansell street southerly line, 176 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Mansell street southerly line, 176.50 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mansell street southerly line, 178 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from Mansell street, 186 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Mansell street, 178.61 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet southerly from Mansell street, 178.61 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 220 feet northerly from Ordway street, 214.46 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 170 feet northerly from Ordway street, 224.27 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 120 feet northerly from Ordway street, 232.64 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, 220 feet northerly from Ordway street, 214.46 feet.

Easterly line of, 170 feet northerly from Ordway street, 224.27 feet.

Easterly line of, 120 feet northerly from Ordway street, 232.64 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 170 feet northerly from Ordway street, 231 feet.

Westerly line of, 80 feet northerly from Ordway street, 245 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ordway street, 253 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Ordway street, 248.71 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet northerly from Ordway street, 248.71 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ordway street northerly line, 249.50 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ordway street northerly line, 251 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Ordway street northerly line, 252 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ordway street southerly line, 252 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet southerly from Ordway street northerly line, 249 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ordway street southerly line, 249 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ordway street southerly line, 249.50 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ordway street southerly line, 251 feet.

Westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Ordway street, 257.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Ordway street, 259.66 feet.

Westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Ordway street, 260.37 feet.

Westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ordway street, 259.85 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Ordway street, 253.67 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Ordway street, 254.38 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ordway street, 253.86 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Ordway street, 253.67 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Ordway street, 254.38 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ordway street, 253.86 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Ordway street, 253.17 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Ordway street, 254.18 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ordway street, 253.56 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 20 feet northerly from Ward street, 255.70 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 247.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ward street northerly line, 249.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Goettingen Street.

Dwight street southerly line, 163 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 203 feet.

250 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 202.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 238 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 206.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 20 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 188.25 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 20 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 182.25 feet.

Easterly line of, 20 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 182.25 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Olmstead street northerly line, 182 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Olmstead street northerly line, 180.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Olmstead street northerly line, 183 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Olmstead street southerly line, 183 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet southerly from Olmstead street northerly line, 180 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Olmstead street southerly line, 180 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Olmstead street southerly line, 180.50 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Olmstead street southerly line, 182 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 188 feet.

Westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 189.04 feet.

Westerly line of, 175 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 191.93 feet.

Westerly line of, 225 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 199.68 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 183.08 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 175 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 185.92 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 225 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 193.61 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 183.08 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 175 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 185.92 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 225 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 193.61 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 125 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 182.64 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 175 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 185.81 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 225 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 193.61 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 90 feet northerly from Mansell street, 217 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Mansell street, 226.98 feet.

Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Mansell street, 226.98 feet.

Westerly line of, at Mansell street, 230 feet.

Easterly line of, at Mansell street, 227 feet.

Ordway street northerly line, 303 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Olmstead Street.

Girard street, 92 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

120 feet westerly from Girard street, 107 feet.

Brussels street easterly line, 130 feet.

Brussels street westerly line, 133 feet.

Goettingen street easterly line, 180 feet.

Goettingen street westerly line, 183 feet.

Somerset street easterly line, 234 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Mansell Street.

Northerly line of, at San Bruno avenue, 112.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at San Bruno avenue, 115 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

60 feet westerly from San Bruno avenue. 120.65 feet.

60 feet easterly from Girard street, 134.55 feet.

Northerly line of, at Girard street, 140 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Girard street, 143 feet.

60 feet westerly from Girard street, 149.37 feet.

Brussels street easterly line. 176 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Brussels street westerly line, 179 feet,

Goettingen street easterly line, 227 feet.

Goettingen street westerly line, 230 feet.

Somerset street easterly line, 266 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ordway Street.

Easterly line of, at Girard street, 190 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Girard street, 193 feet.

Easterly line of, at Brussels street, 249 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Brussels street, 252 feet.

Northerly line of, at Goettingen street, 303 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Goettingen street, 305 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Girard street between the northerly line of Mansell street and the northerly line of Ward street; on Brussels street between Dwight and Ward streets; on Goettingen street between Dwight street and Ordway street; on Olmstead street between Girard street and Somerset street; on Mansell street between San Bruno avenue and Somerset street, and on Ordway street between the easterly line of Girard street and Goettingen street changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Mark McSheehy. Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Bill No. 7766, Ordinance No. 7279 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Prague street between Russia avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Persia avenue and 100 feet northerly therefrom, and on Persia avenue between the easterly line of Prague street and Munich street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of August, 1926, by Resolution No. 26026 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Prague street between Russia avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Persia avenue and 100 feet northerly therefrom, and on Persia avenue between the easterly line of Prague street and Munich street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Prague Street.

100 feet northerly from Persia avenue, 314.75 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Persia avenue, 312 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Persia avenue, 311.57 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Persia avenue, 310.43 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Persia avenue northerly line, 310 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Persia avenue southerly line, 310 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 220 feet southerly from Persia avenue, 313.03 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 260 feet southerly from Persia avenue, 314.77 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Persia avenue, 319.08 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 220 feet southerly from Persia avenue, 313.20 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 260 feet southerly from Persia avenue, 314.82 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Persia avenue, 319.08 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet northerly from Russia avenue, 326.04 feet.

15 feet easterly from the west-

erly line of, 200 feet northerly from Russia avenue, 331.05 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Russia avenue, 332.16 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet northerly from Russia avenue, 326.04 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Russia avenue, 331.15 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Russia avenue, 332.59 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, at Russia avenue, 329 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Russia avenue, 332 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Persia Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Prague street, 312 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from Prague street easterly line, 311.57 feet.

15 feet easterly from Prague street westerly line, 310.43 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Prague street westerly line, 310 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Prague street westerly line, 310 feet.

Munich street, 297 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Prague street between Russia avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Persia avenue and 100 feet northerly therefrom, and on Persia avenue between the easterly line of Prague street and Munich street changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Bill No. 7767, Ordinance No. 7280 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bay View street between the easterly line of Flora street and the intersection of Newhall street, and on Newhall street between Revere and Thomas avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of August, 1926, by Resolution No. 26014 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Bay View street between the easterly line of Flora street and the intersection of Newhall street, and on Newhall street between Revere and Thomas avenues; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Bay View Street.

Flora street easterly line, 150 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 23.05 feet easterly from Flora street westerly line produced, 151.88 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Flora stret westerly line produced, 153.27 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 23.05 feet westerly from Flora street westerly line produced, 155.20 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

At a point on the northerly curb line of, 35.97 feet westerly (measured along the curb) from the last described points, 159.30 feet.

At a point on the northerly curb line of, 54.20 feet westerly (measured along the curb) from the last described points, 164.60 feet.

13 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Flora street westerly line produced, 153 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

13 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 23.05 feet westerly from Flora street westerly line produced, 155.20 feet.

At a point on the southerly curb line of, 51.88 feet westerly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 159.30 feet,

At a point on the southerly curb line of, 47.97 feet westerly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 163.75 feet.

Newhall Street.

Revere avenue, 166 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 130.19 feet southerly from Revere avenue, 166.60 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 210.19 feet southerly from Revere avenue, 166.22 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 255.19 feet southerly from Revere avenue, 165.70 feet.

At a point on the easterly curb line of, 45 feet southeasterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 164.60 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 441.63 feet southerly from Revere avenue, 162 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 402.63 feet southerly from Revere avenue, 163.43 feet.

At a point on the easterly curb line of, 39 feet northeasterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 163.75 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 255.19 feet southerly from Revere avenue, 168 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 402.63 feet southerly from Revere avenue, 166 feet.

Thomas avenue, 157 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Bay View street between the easterly line of Flora street and the intersection of Newhall street, and on Newhall street between Revere and Thomas avenues changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Mar- McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Bill No. 7768, Ordinance No. 7281 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Van Ness avenue between the southerly line of Ellis street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Olive avenue and 7 feet southerly therefrom; on Ellis street between the easterly line of Van Ness avenue and a line parallel with the westerly line of Van Ness avenue and 84.75 feet westerly therefrom, and on Olive avenue between Van Ness avenue and a line parallel with and 50 feet westerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of August, 1926, by Resolution No. 26016 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Van Ness avenue between the southerly line of Ellis street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Olive avenue and 7 feet southerly therefrom; on Ellis street between the easterly line of Van Ness avenue and a line parallel with the westerly line of Van Ness avenue and 84.75 feet westerly therefrom, and on Olive avenue between Van Ness avenue and a line parallel with and 50 feet westerly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Van Ness Avenue.

Ellis street southerly line, 116 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Ellis street, 116 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Ellis street southerly line, 116 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

22 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ellis street northerly line, 116 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from Ellis street northerly line, 117.30 feet.

22 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ellis street northerly line, 117.30 feet.

22 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Olive avenue southerly

line, 122.11 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 7 feet northerly from Olive avenue southerly line, 123 feet.

Westerly line of, 7 feet southerly from Olive avenue, northerly line, 123.53 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

22 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Olive avenue northerly line, 123.89 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ellis Street.

Van Ness avenue easterly line, 116 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 22 feet westerly from Van Ness avenue easterly line, 116 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Van Ness avenue, 116 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Van Ness avenue westerly line, 116 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 22 feet easterly from Van Ness avenue westerly line, 117.30 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Van Ness avenue westerly line, 117.30 feet.

84.75 feet westerly from Van Ness avenue, 123.49 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Olive Avenue.

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Van Ness avenue westerly line, 123 feet.

7 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Van Ness avenue westerly line, 123.53 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

50 feet westerly from Van Ness avenue, 127.81 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Van Ness avenue between the southerly line of Ellis street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Olive avenue and 7 feet southerly therefrom; on Ellis street between the easterly line of Van Ness avenue and a line parallel with the westerly line of Van Ness avenue and 84.75 feet westerly therefrom, and on Olive avenue between Van Ness avenue and a line parallel with and 50 feet westerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Bill No. 7769, Ordinance No. 7282 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Jennings street between the easterly line of and a line parallel with and 24 feet westerly therefrom, extending from Le Conte avenue to a line parallel with and 75 feet southerly therefrom, and on Le Conte avenue between the southerly line of and a line parallel with and 30 feet northerly therefrom extending from Jennings street to a line parallel with and 100 feet easterly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of August, 1926, by Resolution No. 26033 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Jennings street between the easterly line of and a line parallel with and 24 feet westerly therefrom, extending from Le Conte avenue to a line parallel with and 75 feet southerly therefrom, and on Le Conte avenue between the southerly line of and a line parallel with and 30 feet northerly therefrom extending from Jennings street to a line parallel with and 100 feet easterly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Jennings Street.

Easterly line of, 30 feet northerly from Le Conte avenue southerly line, 138.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Le Conte avenue southerly line, 142.50 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Le Conte avenue southerly line, 142.50 feet.

24 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Le Conte avenue southerly line, 139 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 75 feet southerly from Le Conte avenue, 148.34 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

24 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 75 feet southerly from Le Conte avenue, 144.90 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Le Conte Avenue.

30 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Jennings street,148.94 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Jennings street, 153 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Jennings street easterly line, 142.50 feet.

30 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Jennings street easterly line, 138.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 8 feet westerly from Jennings street easterly line, 142.50 feet.

Southerly line of, 24 feet westerly from Jennings street easterly line, 139 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Jennings street between the easterly line of and a line parallel with and 24 feet westerly therefrom. extending from Le Conte avenue to a line parallel with and 75 feet southerly therefrom, and on Le Conte avenue between the southerly line of and a line parallel with and 30 feet northerly therefrom extending from Jennings street to a line parallel with and 100 feet easterly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinnace shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $42,797.34, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Company, water, horse troughs, $57.46.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation award, $83.32.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chestnut and Steiner Streets.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed by placing the southwest corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets, for a distance of 206 feet 3 inches on Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Privilege of the Floor.

Lucius Solomons, attorney at law, was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that he represented every improvement club in Golden Gate Valley and spoke on behalf of the residents of that district and the abutting property owners, and thereupon entered an emphatic protest against the passage of the bill on legal grounds.

Motions.

Supervisor Colman moved reference to the City Planning Commission.

Supervisor Badaracco moved as an amendment rereference to the City Planning Committee.

Supervisor Stanton moved as an amendment to the amendment that the City Attorney's opinion be obtained as to whether or not the Board of Supervisors is acting legally in the premises.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that

the City Attorney be requested to advise as to whether or not the City Planning Commission has the legal right of its own initiative to recommend a reclassification of any area without giving a hearing to the property owners.

Supervisor Marks suggested that the City Attorney be asked whether or not the City Planning Commission has a right to deny application without hearing.

Supervisor Havenner requested that the City Attorney be asked to advise whether or not this Board can authorize rezoning of any specific piece of property without first having held a hearing in committee of the owners of the particular piece of property and consulting particular property owners and adjacent property owners interested.

Supervisor Stanton's motion with suggestions offered was *adopted* and *referred to City Attorney.*

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(1) Elliot & Grant, second payment, general construction, Fire Department, Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $2,382.75.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(2) Crown Electric Co., fourth payment, electrical work for Children's Ward, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $676.50.

(3) John Spargo, first payment, construction of photograph gallery, Hall of Justice (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $4,050.

(4) Thos. Skelly, second payment. plumbing work, Children's Ward, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,881.83.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(5) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., building of frame house, etc., on pier at Dumbarton (claim dated Oct. 1, 1926), $1,034.10.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(6) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 2, 1926), $613.41.

(7) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chains, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 2, 1926), $955.76.

(8) Sonora Meat Market, meats furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $2,995.12.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(9) First National Bank of Redwood City (assignee of M. E. Ryan), fourteenth payment, electrical work for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $1,156.41.

(10) F. W. Snook Co., twentieth payment, plumbing, new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $3,623.44.

(11) F. W. Snook, eighteenth payment, mechanical equipment for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $6,546.53.

Special School Tax.

(12) Standard Electrical Construction Co., fourth payment, electric work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $663.75.

(13) O. Monson, sixth payment, general construction, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $33,513.75.

(14) Grant & Hart, first payment, general construction of Noe Valley Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $3,870.

(15) Scott Co., second payment, heating work, Annex to Parkside School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $505.13.

(16) Vogt & Davidson, first payment, general construction of Spring Valley School (lcaim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $4,035.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(17) J. L. Stuart Mfg. Co., gymnasium mats for Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $559.20.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(18) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., desks for the Alvarado School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $715.50.

(19) L. Flatland, extra electrical work, Addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $695.

(20) Crown Electric Co., second payment, electrical work, West Portal School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $899.77.

(21) O. Monson, fifth payment, general construction, West Portal School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $18,157.50.

(22) Scott Company, first payment, mechanical equipment for Ed-

ison School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $2,583.

(23) Crown Electric Co., third payment, electrical work for Edison School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $556.80.

(24) W. & J. Sloane, first and final payment, window and door shades for the Alvarado School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $942.

(25) James L. McLaughlin, eleventh payment, general construction of Lafayette School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $16,908.75.

(26) Anderson & Ringrose, first payment, general construction, Annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $19,230.

(27) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing for the Alamo School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $538.

(28) MacDonald & Kahn, fourteenth payment, construction of new Mission High School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $41,076.03.

(29) R. W. Moller, first payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $16,827.75.

(30) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, plumbing for Edison School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $945.90.

(31) Amoroso & Damico, fifth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $12,472.50.

(32) J. E. O'Mara, second payment, mechanical equipment for West Portal School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,005.71.

(33) A. Lettich, sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $2,006.24.

(34) A. Lettich, second payment, mechanical equipment for Guadalupe School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,083.75.

(35) H. A. Porter, third payment, electrical work for Henry Durant School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $3,188.40.

(36) Crown Electrical Co., tenth payment, electrical work for new Mission High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $2,250.18.

(37) Latourette-Fical Co., eleventh payment, mechanical equipment for new Mission High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $2,291.29.

(38) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, plumbing for West Portal School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,018.51.

(39) Latourette-Fical Co., seventh payment, mechanical equipment for Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,069.35.

(40) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, electrical work for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,407.60.

(41) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,776.19.

(42) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., second payment, electrical work for Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Oct. 5. 1926), $2,063.07.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(43) Department of Public Works (Bureau of Accounts, Appropriation 32-B), reimbursement for expenditures for account of block books (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $1,046.56.

(44) Standard Oil Co., gasoline furnished City Hall Garage (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $602.80.

(45) Dieterich-Post Co., blue print paper (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $523.18.

(46) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing during July (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $1,641.50.

(47) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $1,693.75.

(48) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., street repair sand and rock (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $666.66.

(49) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Civic Center power house (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,628.90.

(50) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing bridge franchise proceedings (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,967.

(51) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,075.

(52) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending Sept. 30 (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $660.

(53) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $869.70.

(54) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,109.85.

(55) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,051.27.

(56) Holbook, Merrill & Stetson, hardware for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $817.77.

(57) Philadelphia Shoe Co., shoes for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $558.

(58) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,308.08.

(59) Morris & Co., butter for Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,252.72.

(60) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,915.20.

(61) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $816.60.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(62) American Laundry Machinery Co., eight-roll flat work ironer for San Francisco Hospital, less credit (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $10,147.

Playground Fund.

(63) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $1,494.31.

(64) Hugo H. Haun, payment on account of construction of Chinatown Playground (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $7,500.

Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55.

(65) Pelicano, Rossi & Co., furnishing of floral float, flags, etc., for Admission Day Celebration, Sacramento, Cal., Seut. 9, 1926, for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $776.

(66) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $542.65.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(67) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of valuation of properties of the Pacific Gas & Electric Co. and the Great Western Power Co. (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,500.

Appropriation, $160, Reconstruction of Receiving Platform, San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26290 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $160 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 68, for the reconstruction of the receiving platform at the San Francisco Hospital.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, Repairs to Roof of San Francisco Hospital; Additions to Light Well, Hall of Justice.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Improvements, San Francisco Hospital, Budget Item No. 84.

(1) For expense of material and labor necessary for the continuing of repair to roof of the San Francisco Hospital, $3,000.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(2) For cost of construction of additions in the light well space at the Hall of Justice, per award to John Spargo, $7,440.

Appropriation, $313,873, Construction of Hearst-Moulder School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of the Hearst-Moulder School, Webster, Page and Oak streets, to-wit:

(1) General construction (Anderson & Ringrose), $257,673.

(2) Electrical work (Edward F. Dowd). $11,100.

(3) Plumbing work (A. Lettich), $15,200.

(4) Mechanical equipment (Reliable Plumbing Co.), $14,900.

(5) Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $15,000.

Total, $313,873.

Appropriations, Air-Brake Equipment, Municipal Railway, and Car Trucks, Municipal Railway.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the furnishing of air-brake equipment for Municipal street cars, Contract No. 149-D, awarded to Westinghouse Traction Brake Company, including inspection and possible extras, $9,300.

(2) For furnishing car trucks for the Municipal Railways, Contract 149-E, awarded to J. G. Brill Co., including inspection, $29,000.

Appropriation, $6,000 for Making Surveys, Road From Mather or Hog Ranch to Junction With Old Tioga Road.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Revenue Fund for the expense of making surveys in connection with the construction of a road from Mather or Hog Ranch to the junction with the old Tioga road, for which the City is liable under the Raker Act. To be expended under the direction of the United States Bureau of Roads.

Accepting Offer of Helen F. Croft et al. for Property Required for Widening of Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26291 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening and improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Helen M. Croft and Robert Croft, $10—Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Thirtieth street, distant thereon 155 feet easterly from its intersection with the easterly line of Castro street, and running easterly on said northerly line of Thirtieth street 23 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the last described course, radius 87 feet, central angle 15 deg. 19 min. 46 sec., a distance of 23.277 feet; thence southerly on a line deflected 105 deg. 19 min. 46 sec. to the left from the tangent to the last described curve 3.095 feet to the point of commencement; being portion of Horner's Addition Block 172.

Elmer Otto Linstrum and Grace M. Linstrum, $165—Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Thirtieth street, distant thereon 105 feet easterly from its intersection with the easterly line of Castro street, and running easterly on said northerly line of Thirtieth street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 14.440 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 56 deg. 30 min. 53 sec. to the left from the preceding course, radius 87 feet, central angle 7 deg. 52 min. 21 sec., a distance of 11.954 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve 20.668 feet; thence southerly on a line deflected 131 deg. 21 min. 28 sec. to the left from the last described course 35.355 feet to the point of commencement; being portion of Horner's Addition Block 172.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Accepting Offer of E. M. Sink to Sell Certain Property Required for the Extension and Widening of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26292 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Market street, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

E. M. Sink, $67—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Dixie alley with the northwesterly line of Market street, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Market street 42.333 feet; thence deflecting 117 deg. 19 min. 29 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 11.144 feet; thence deflecting 62 deg. 20 min. 26 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 5.831 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 244.80-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 8 deg. 20 min. 38 sec., a distance of

35.650 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of Dixie alley, distant thereon 8.617 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Market street; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Dixie alley 8.617 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company of Gross Receipts of Franchises Due City.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26293 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement by the Market Street Railway Company for the year ended December 31, 1925, showing franchise percentages due the City and County from passenger receipts in the sum of $52,178.07, be and the same is hereby accepted; and

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit said sum of $52,178.07 with the Treasurer of the City and County, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company Showing City's Percentage of Gross Receits From Passenger Fares.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26294 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month ending August 31, 1926, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc...$506.55
Gough Street Railroad..... 50.96
Parnassus and Ninth Avenue 323.42

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Accepting Offer of San Francisco & Fresno Land Company to Sell Property Required for Park Purposes in University Mound.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26295 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from San Francisco and Fresno Land Company to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate within the boundary set forth and required for park purposes and consisting of 18.46 acres, more or less, south of University Mound; and

Whereas, the price at which the said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the price of $1,500 per acre for 18.46 acres, more or less, the said land being described more specifically as follows:

Commencing at extreme north point of Subdivision 1 in Section 33, Township 2 South, Range 5 West, M. D. B. & M., running thence south 52 deg. 30 min. west 1452 feet; thence north 69 deg. 30 min. west 544½ feet; thence north 71 deg. 15 min. east 132 feet; thence

north 61 deg. 30 min. east 428.52 feet; thence south 18 deg. 18 min. east 10.12 feet; thence north 60 deg. 50 min. east 244.38 feet; thence north 18 deg. 18 min. west 7.29 feet; thence north 61 deg. 30 min. east 111.77 feet; thence north 72 deg. east 891 feet to point of commencement; containing 8.36 acres, more or less; and

Commencing at extreme north point of Subdivision 1 in Section 33, Township 2 South, Range 5 West, M. D. B. and M., running thence south 53 degrees east 6½ chains; thence south 80 degrees 30 minutes east 22.58 chains; thence north 86 degrees 30 minutes east 11½ chains; thence north 66 degrees 45 minutes east 1.46 chains; thence north 81 degrees west 40.20 chains to point of beginning; containing 10.10 acres, more or less.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed to said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County on payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Accepting Offer of Crocker Estate Company to Sell Certain Land Required for Park Purposes in the Southeasterly Mission District.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26296 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Crocker Estate Company to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate within the boundary set forth and required for park purposes and consisting of 56.27 acres; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the price of $1,500 per acre for 56.27 acres, the sum total of which is $84,405. The said land being described more specifically as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Woolsey street with the northeasterly line of Mansfield street, running thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Mansfield street and said line produced a distance of three hundred twenty-two and twenty-nine hundredths (322.29) feet; thence at right angles northeasterly thirty-five and thirty-nine hundredths (35.39) feet to the true point of beginning for this description; thence bearing south 53 deg. east four hundred twenty-nine (429) feet; thence south 80 deg. 19 min. 3 sec. east fourteen hundred eighty-two and twenty-nine hundredths (1482.29) feet; thence south 0 deg. 20 min. east twelve hundred fifty-three and forty-six hundredths (1253.46) feet; thence north 71 deg. 9 min. west two hundred nine and sixty-six hundredths (209.66) feet to a point which is distant one hundred seventy-nine and thirty-three hundredths (179.33) feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Gundlach street and three hundred eleven and forty-nine hundredths (311.49) feet at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Visitacion avenue; thence bearing north 68 deg. 44 min. 22 sec. west twenty-seven hundred nineteen and twenty-four hundredths (2719.24) feet; thence north 52 deg. 30 min. east eleven hundred sixty-one and fifty-seven hundredths (1161.57) feet to the true point of beginning; containing fifty-six and twenty-seven hundredths (56.27) acres, more or less.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to the said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher,

Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Baker Oil Burner Co., 261 Claremont boulevard, 500 gallons capacity.

M. F. Baldocchi, 670 Funston avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cahill Bros., northeast corner of Pine and Sansome streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Anderson, northwest corner of Twelfth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. L. Nelson, east side of Mallorca way, 79 feet 3 inches south of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John A. Percy, 101 Spruce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray, southwest corner of Broadway and Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. I. Zemansky, east side of Eighteenth avenue, 125 feet north of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Thos. Sherman and Thos. R. Smith, 1018 Fell street, 25-horsepower boiler.

Vegoil Products Co., 228 Commercial street, 15-horsepower boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Deasy, and on motion, *laid over one week*:

Denying Permit for Home for Aged.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Jane Scheuer to maintain a home for the aged and infirm at 1521 Masonic avenue.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Maher be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the southwest corner of First and Tehama streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That N. L. Ferrari be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Mission street and Highland avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Investigation of Hog Ranches.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has been called to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that conditions within and surrounding certain slaughterhouses and so-called "hog ranches" in the district commonly called Butchertown, are of such a nature as to require instant investigation and action to safeguard public welfare; and

Whereas, it is of pressing importance to the people of San Francisco that the slaughterhouses and so-called "hog ranches" in this City be conducted in such manner, and the territory surrounding the same be safeguarded and protected to such extent that the men, women and children of this municipality shall be secure in the proper enjoyment of their dwelling places and their health and comfort fully subserved; and

Whereas, the maintenance of conditions which may be deleterious to public health and welfare, if found upon investigation to exist, calls urgently for the intervention of public officials in the enforcement of existing laws and for legislative action if more adequate laws be necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the Board of Health be and the same is hereby called upon to make an immediate

and a thorough investigation of the manner of conduct and the health conditions existing in the district commonly known as Butchertown and in the so-called "hog ranches" and to report to the Board of Supervisors without delay the details and results of such investigation, the adequacy or inadequacy of existing legislation respecting supervision, regulation and other necessary health precautions, and the recommendations of said Board of Health, respecting necessary legislative action, if any be required. And be it

Further Resolved, That the assistance and cooperation of all persons, firms and corporations conducting establishments in said district is requested and solicited to the end that such investigation may be thorough and complete, and the results thereof mutually advantageous to the people of San Francisco and the conduct of legitimate industry.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that the Clerk send notices to the Health Officer and the Butchers' Union of the foregoing matter.

Outdoor Carnival Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26297 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco County Council, American Legion, be permitted to hold a week of entertainment in the Civic Center from November 8 to 15, 1926, inclusive, for the purpose of celebrating the Armistice and to secure funds for needy World War veterans, like permission having been given by the Board of Park Commissioners.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Taxicab Stand Permits Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26298 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands be approved:

Mike Manuck, at 301 Mason street and 300 O'Farrell street.

Blue Bird Cab Co., at 402 Bush street, 569 Jackson street and 1005 Bush street.

Green Top Cab Co., at 309 O'Farrell street.

White Top Cab Co., at 700 Great Highway and 2995 Sixteenth street.

Yellow Checker Cab Co., at 600 Divisadero street.

M. J. Ward, at 209 Taylor street and 180 Eddy street.

Keers & McGain, at 952 Market street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending License Tax Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Bill No. 7772, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 36 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 36 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 36. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any public roller or ice skating rink shall pay therefor a license of ten (10) dollars per day or forty (40) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any revolving wheel, chute, toboggan slide or other mechanical contrivance where a fee or sum of money is charged to carry any person thereon, whose total receipts amount to fifteen hundred (1500) dollars or more per quarter shall pay a license of one hundred (100) dollars per quarter. If such total receipts are less than fifteen hundred (1500) dollars per quarter such person, firm or corporation shall pay a license of forty (40) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any merry-go-round or swing where a fee or sum of money is charged to carry any person thereon shall pay a license fee of twenty (20) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any museum, panarama or cyclorama where an admission fee is charged, or phonograph parlor, or any collection of machines operated for the entertainment or amusement of the public shall pay a license of fifty (50) dollars per quarter.

The Tax Collector shall not issue

a license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct a public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, tobaggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, moving picture exhibition, panorama, cyclorama or any mechanical contrivance used to carry passengers, under the provisions of this section, unless the applicant therefor shall have first obtained from the Board of Police Commissioners a permit to conduct such public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, toboggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, panomara, cyclorama or mechanical contrivance; such permits shall be issued annuallv and may be revoked at any time by the Board of Police Commissioners, and upon the revocation of such permit such license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire.

The Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue any permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue any license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct a public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, toboggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, moving picture exhibition, panorama or cyclorama, or any mechanical contrivance used to carry passengers, within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line on the sides or rear of any church, school lot, or children's playground; provided, however, that this restriction shall not apply to buildings already erected or in course of erection, and especially designed to be used for any of the above purposes.

Amending Building Law Relative to Theaters.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 7773, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Paragraphs Nos. 5, 6 and 7 in Section 175 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," relating to fire protection in theatres.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraphs Nos. 5, 6 and 7 in Section 175 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," are hereby amended to read as follows:

In Section 175:

(Fifth Paragraph.) A proper and sufficient quantity of one and one-half inch cotton, rubber-lined hose, not less than 100 feet, fitted with the regulation couplings of the Fire Department, and with regulation Fire Department shut-off nozzles attached thereto, and with hose spanners at each outlet, shall always be kept attached to each hose attachment, as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may direct.

(Sixth Paragraph.) There shall also be kept in readiness for immediate use on the stage at least two casks of water, and two buckets to each cask. The casks and buckets shall be painted red.

(Seventh Paragraph.) There shall also be provided hand-pumps or other portable fire extinguishing apparatus, and at least four axes, and also two 25-foot hooks, two 15-foot hooks and two 10-foot hooks on each tier or floor of the stage.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Condemnation of Land for Widening Portola Drive.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26299 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is desirous of securing certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco as a part of the San Miguel reservoir site of the Hetch Hetchy water project, and for the location of a private road skirting said reservoir site and for the widening of Portola drive; and

Whereas, the Mercantile Trust Company is desirous of securing certain parcels of land acquired by the City in connection with the acquisition of property for said reservoir site and not necessary for the same; and

Whereas, the properties desired by both parties are of almost equal area and are of equal value and are described as follows:

Property Desired by the City and County of San Francisco:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Duncan street with the southeasterly line of Portola drive, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 162.809 feet to the northeasterly boundary of the property of the party of the first part; thence at right angles southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 70.172 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 92 deg. 18 min. 46 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along said proposed southeasterly line 73.368 feet to a point on the northerly line of Duncan street; thence deflecting 32 deg. 41 min. 14 sec. to the right and running west-

erly along the northerly line of Duncan street 109.260 feet; thence deflecting 55 deg. to the right and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Duncan street 4.541 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights, and containing 0.189 acres.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant thereon 192.809 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Duncan street, said point of beginning being on the southwesterly boundary of the property of the party of the first part, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 220 feet to the northeasterly boundary of said property; thence at right angles southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 89.020 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 97 deg. 23 min. 30 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along said proposed southeasterly line 98.770 feet; thence deflecting 5 deg. 4 min. 44 sec. to the left and continuing southwesterly along said proposed southeasterly line 122.149 feet to the southwesterly boundary of the property of the party of the first part; thence deflecting 87 deg. 41 min. 14 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 71.383 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights and containing 0.393 acres.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Duncan street with the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue 295.772 feet to a point distant 114 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Portola drive, said point being on the southeasterly boundary of the property of H. Becker; thence deflecting 125 deg. to the left and running southwesterly parallel with the southeasterly line of Portola drive and distant 114 feet at right angles southeasterly therefrom along the southwesterly boundary of the properties of H. Becker and of W. R. C. and L. Good a distance of 159.176 feet; thence deflecting 45 deg. 30 min. 13 sec. to the left and running southwesterly along the southeasterly boundary of said Good property 51.320 feet to the proposed southwesterly line of O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence deflecting 38 deg. 18 min. 43 sec. to the left and running southeasterly along said proposed southwesterly line 175.599 feet to a point on the northerly line of Duncan street, distant thereon 54.220 feet westerly from the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 61 deg. 11 min. 4 sec. to the left and running easterly along the northerly line of Duncan street 54.220 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Stanford Heights Block No. 40, and containing 0.634 acres.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue with the southerly line of Duncan street, thence along said westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 34.559 feet; thence north 33 deg. 24 min. west 39.443 feet to said southerly line of Duncan street; thence along said southerly line of Duncan street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 19.011 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 45, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.008 acres.

Parcel 5. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Twin Peaks avenue with the southerly line of Twenty-ninth street, thence along said westerly line of Twin Peaks avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 228 feet to the northerly line of Day street; thence along said northerly line of Day street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 75.611 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears south 76 deg. 37 min. 22 sec. west 300 feet from this point northwesterly 259.161 feet; thence north 82 deg. 34 min. west 89.552 feet to the aforesaid southerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said southerly line of Twenty-ninth street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 301.985 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 66, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.701 acres.

Parcel 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Twin Peaks avenue with the southerly line of Day street, thence along said westerly line of Twin Peaks avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 228 feet to the northerly line of Thirtieth street; thence along said northerly line of Thirtieth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 10.001 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears north 45 deg. 19 min. 3 sec. east 310 feet from this point northwesterly 245.321 feet to the aforesaid southerly line of Day street; thence along said southerly line of Day street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 81.572 feet to the point of beginning. Being part

of Block 75, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.328 acres.

Parcel 7. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Thirtieth street with the easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue, thence along said southerly line of Thirtieth street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 560 feet to the westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said westerly line of Burnett avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 228 feet to the northerly line of Clarkson street; thence along said northerly line of Clarkson street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 172.353 feet; thence north 26 deg. 16 min. west 108.425 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears south 33 deg. 28 min. west 250 feet from this point northwesterly 102.393 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course north 80 deg. west 182 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 310 feet, tangent to the preceding course northwesterly 87.324 feet to the aforesaid easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue; thence along said easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 2.816 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 79, Stanford Heights, and containing 1.556 acres.

Parcel 8. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Clarkson street, distant thereon north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 155 feet from the easterly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said southerly line of Clarkson street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 224.438 feet to the easterly boundary line of Stanford Heights; thence along said last named easterly boundary line south 0 deg. 47 min. 54 sec. east 228.499 feet to the northerly line of Thirty-first street; thence along said northerly line of Thirty-first street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 209.35 feet; thence parallel with the aforesaid easterly line of Burnett avenue and distant therefrom 155 feet easterly at right angles north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 91, Stanford Heights, and containing 1.135 acres.

Property Desired by Mercantile Trust Company:

Parcel "A". Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the westerly line of Kenyon avenue, thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 216.295 feet; thence south 58 deg. 36 min. east 145.267 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 545 feet, tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 227.972 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 82 deg. 34 sec. east 19.846 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said westerly line of Kenyon avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 46.459 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 60, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.071 acres.

Parcel "B". Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Kenyon avenue with the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street, thence along said easterly line of Kenyon avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 31.822 feet; thence south 82 deg. 34 min. east 152.831 feet to the aforesaid northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 149.482 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 61, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.055 acres.

Parcel "C". Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Thirty-first street with the westerly line of Burnett avenue, thence along said northerly line of Thirty-first street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue; thence along said easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Clarkson street; thence along said southerly line of Clarkson street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 413.101 feet; thence south 26 deg. 16 min. east 71.833 feet and south 44 deg. 36 min. east 187.186 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said westerly line of Burnett avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 17.889 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 90, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.528 acres.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco hereby agrees with the Mercantile Trust Company to place the said lands desired by it up for sale as per the terms of Section 9, Chapter II, Article II of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and also hereby agrees to pay to the said Mercantile Trust Company for

the lands desired by the City an amount equal to that received by the City for the lands sold at said sale.

And the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to enter into an agreement in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco with said Mercantile Trust Company, carrying out the above and below terms.

It is hereby understood and agreed that inasmuch as the lands are of equal value, said City and County shall bear all expense necessary in connection with said auction sale.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Award of Contract, Sand, Tanbark, Etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26300 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Atlas Hauling and Grading Company on bid submitted September 27, 1926 (Proposal No. 240), for furnishing and delivering to the playgrounds the following, viz.:

Sand (equal to Lake Majella or Monterey), price, per cubic yard, $4.93.

Tanbark, price, per cubic yard, $1.94.

Resolved, That said contractor be required to furnish a bond in the sum of $500 for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Law, San Bruno Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7774, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of San Bruno avenue commencing at a point 200 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street and running thence southerly 233 feet and extending to a depth of 200 feet, in the Heavy Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26301 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be authorized and instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Single-Top Gas Lamps.

North side Seventeenth street between Bryant and Florida streets.

Northwest corner Seventeenth and Florida streets.

North side Florida street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

East side Stockton street between Union and Green streets.

Move Gas Lamps.

Front of 445 Webster street, about 7 feet south.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Nineteenth avenue between Kirkham and Judah streets.

Twenty-first avenue and Pacheco street.

Fifteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Florida street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

Oliver street between Mission and Brunswick streets.

Hampshire street, north of Twenty-sixth street.

Seventeenth avenue and Kirkham street.

South Hill boulevard, Toyon Land and Baltimore way.

Nantucket street, off San Jose avenue.

North side Stockton street between Union and Green streets.

Florida and Seventeenth streets.

Magnolia and Laguna streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Kent—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7775, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Broadway, Laguna street, Buchanan street and Pacific avenue in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District, where not already so zoned.

Constitutional Amendment No. 10, University of California, Approved.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26302 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the University of California be and it is hereby granted permission to use the Main Hall, Civic Auditorium, on the evening of October 27, 1926, for the purpose of conducting a public mass meeting for the purpose of placing before the voters the merits of proposed constitutional amendment No. 10, which will be on the ballot at the next general election.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Kent—2.

Boy Scouts' Home.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26303 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Boy Scouts of America, District No. 4, own a building in the Sunset District, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which is used for a Boy Scouts' Home; and

Whereas, this building is the only Boy Scouts' Home in the United States; and

Whereas, there is an indebtedness of $3,500 against said home, and the citizens and residents of the Sunset District are holding an indoor carnival on November 10, 11, 12 and 13 for the purpose of raising funds to pay off said debt of $3,500; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco heartily endorses the Sunset District Carnival for the benefit of the building fund of the Boy Scouts of America, District No. 4, to be held on November 10, 11, 12 and 13, 1926, in the Boy Scouts' Home, located on Twenty-fourth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and urge the residents and citizens of the City and County of San Francisco to aid and support this worthy cause which means so much to the youth of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Kent—2.

Bridge Announcement.

Supervisor McSheehy announced that the Board would meet Wednesday at 10 a. m. to consider bridge matters.

Armistice Day Committee.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 26304 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be authorized to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for the proper observance of Armistice Day.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, December 13, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 42

Monday, October 18, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 18, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 18, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Roncovieri excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 6 and 9, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Rezoning of Chestnut Street.

Communication, from Marina District Improvement Club, endorsing proposed legislation for the rezoning of the south side of Chestnut street for a distance of 206 feet 3 inches on Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches on Steiner street, and placing same in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Subject matter *over four weeks.*

Carpenters' Protest Against Discriminatory Use of Spur Track Privileges.

Communication, from Bay Counties District Council of Carpenters, calling attention to alleged fact that certain people operating spur tracks in San Francisco are discriminating against some 30,000 citizens represented by the Bay Counties Council in the matter of handling building material.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Communication, from Building Trades Council, endorsing resolutions adopted by the Bay Counties District Council of Carpenters, advising that resolutions relative to the carpenters' protest against discriminatory use of spur track privileges in the matter of hauling building materials to the detriment of the Carpenters' Unions, and stating that said resolutions have received the approval of the Building Trades Council of San Francisco and urging that the Board of Supervisors grant relief prayed for.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council, transmitting resolution presented in said organization in behalf of the Building Trades Council of Carpenters relative to discriminatory use of spur track privileges to the detriment of organized labor, and stating that said resolutions were endorsed by unanimous vote, and urging upon the Board of Supervisors their earnest consideration of same and favorable action thereon.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Special Session of Legislature—Boulder Dam.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people of California have recently been surprised and mystified by the action of their outgoing Governor in calling a special session of the State Legislature for the ostensible purpose of taking immediate action upon the proposal to dam the waters of the Colorado River; and

Whereas, this proposed project is now pending before the Congress of the United States, and no request for such hasty action by the State Legislature has been made by any representative of California in the Congress, nor have the people of Southern California, who are most directly interested in the development of the Colorado River project,

made any appeal to the Governor, either direct or indirect, for such hasty action; and

Whereas, United States Senator Hiram W. Johnson and Representative Phil D. Swing, co-authors of the so-called Boulder Canyon Bill, now pending before Congress, for the development of the Colorado River project, have publicly announced that the action proposed by the Governor would be premature and might adversely affect the primary objects and ultimate success of the project; and

Whereas, the leaders of the movement in Southern California for the development of this project have acquiesced in the views expressed by Senator Johnson and Congressman Swing, and have indicated a belief that the real purpose of the Governor in calling this special session of the Legislature is to defeat the purposes of the Boulder Canyon Bill; and

Whereas, the ultimate completion of this project is of vital importance to the entire State of California because it proposes providing irrigation for hundreds of thousands of acres of land now barren, and cheap electricity for thousands of citizens of many communities in the southern section of the State; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco deplore the action of the Governor in calling this special session of the Legislature just prior to his departure from office as an unnecessary expense upon the taxpayers of California and a palpable political move to discredit and adversely affect the great constructive project now under consideration by Congress; and be it

Further Resolved, That we, the Board of Supervisors, urge the members of the Legislature representing San Francisco to exercise the utmost caution in casting their votes upon any proposal which may be submitted by our defeated Governor to the special session of the Legislature, to the end that nothing may be done which will embarrass the authors of the Bouldar Canyon Bill in Congress or endanger the ultimate success of that measure; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to his Excellency, the Governor, to his Excellency, the Lieutenant-Governor, to United States Senator Hiram W. Johnson and Representative Phil D. Swing, and to each Senator and Assemblyman representing San Francisco in the State Legislature.

As other members of the Board thought the matter of such great importance that it should be carefully studied before being acted upon, and Supervisor Colman particularly stressed the fact that in his opinion the Board, as representing the people of San Francisco, had no right to criticize the Governor, the resolution was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved suspension of the rules and adoption of the resolution.

Motion to suspend rules *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—11.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden—4.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Referred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, the foregoing resolution was ordered *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Request for Seventy-five Additional Police Officers.

Communication, from Theodore J. Roche, president of the Police Commission, stating that as a result of mature reflection the Police Commission has reached the conclusion that it is essential that the uniformed force of the Police Department be augmented, and requesting the Board of Supervisors to make an appropriation which will enable it to appoint approximately 75 additional patrolmen.

Referred to the Police and Finance Committees jointly.

Proposed New Charter.

Communication, from the Grand Jury, advising that at a meeting of the Grand Jury held on October 14, 1926, a resolution was adopted to the effect that the present City Charter has been outgrown, and suggesting that the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors take immediate steps for the drawing up and adoption of a new Charter.

Referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Constitutional Amendment No. 8, State Highways.

Communication, from Automobile Club of Southern California, calling attention to the merits of Constitutional Amendment No. 8 and requesting the support of the Board of Supervisors in having it favorably voted upon in this section of the State.

Ordered *filed.*

Letter of Thanks, Dahlia Society.

Communication, from the Dahlia Society of California, extending its thanks to the members of the Board of Supervisors for the adoption of the resolution of the Dahlia Society making the dahlia the offical flower of San Francisco.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Lighting Geary Street.

Communication, from the Geary Street Merchants' Association, inviting attendance at a meeting of said association to be held on October 19, 1926, at 8:30 p. m., in the Knights of Columbus Hall, Eighteenth avenue and Geary street, in the matter of proposed consideration of the improvement of street lighting on Geary street.

Referred to the Lighting Committee.

Geary Street Lighting.

Ralph Hogan was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that he represented the Geary Street Merchants' Association, who had made a request of the Board that the additional lighting of Geary street, now being paid for by the merchants and property owners, be taken over and paid for by the City.

The representative of the association stated that the association has been paying for the lights for the past three years; that their contract expires on November 1 and unless the City will pay for the lights, Geary street, in the Park-Presidio District, will be in darkness.

Supervisor Stanton stated that the Lighting Committee has for some time been considering a uniform system of lighting for the City; that the City Attorney is to draft a suitable ordinance which will be presented to the Board soon. There will probably be two propositions, he said, presented for consideration, one of which would provide for an equal distribution of lights and the other would give the business districts super-lighting, the difference to be paid for by the property owners. When the matter is ready for presentation, he said, the improvement clubs and any other interested parties will have an opportunity to be heard.

In the meantime, Supervisor Stanton said, the additional lighting of Geary street will be taken care of by the Committee.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Lighting Committee give Geary street merchants a hearing and report in two weeks.

Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved as an amendment that the Committee report as soon as possible.

Amendment *accepted.*

Federal Reapportionment Plan.

Communication, from Federal Plan Reapportionment Committee, requesting the assistance of the Board of Supervisors in its campaign for adoption of the Federal plan of reapportionment. Said measure is No. 28 on the November ballot.

Referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Mayor's and Supervisors' Salary Increase Endorsed.

Communication, from Mission Street Merchants' Association, advising that at its meeting held on October 12th the proposed Charter amendment increasing the salaries of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors were discussed and favorably acted upon.

Read and ordered *filed.* Copies to the press.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Counterpanes, Sheets and Pillow Cases for Relief Home.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for furnishing counterpanes, sheets and pillow cases for the Relief Home and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Printing Proposal Blanks.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for furnishing printing proposal blanks that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing at date and ending June 30, 1927, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Queen Marie of Rumania.

Mayor Rolph announced that Queen Marie had been invited to be present in San Francisco on Armistice Day and would probably be here from November 6th to 11th.

He was authorized to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for appropriate reception and entertainment of the queen.

Wiley Signals.

Supervisor Schmidt gave notice that at the meeting of the Board on Monday next he would recall from the Traffic Committee the resolution which he introduced which would adopt the Wiley traffic signal as the type of signal to be installed by the City.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following matter heretofore passed for printing and laid over one week was taken up and again *laid over four weeks*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chestnut and Steiner Streets.

Bill No. 7759, Ordinance No. —— New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed by placing the southwest corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets, for a distance of 206 feet 3 inches on Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $51,257.05, recommended by the Finance Committee, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelsen, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following name claimants, to-wit:

Municipal Railway Fund.

(1) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., armature and axles for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 9, 1926), $1,510.13.

(2) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $6,761.13.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(3) Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway right of way (claim dated Oct. 9, 1926), $933.24.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(4) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $4,100.

(5) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $1,448.85.

(6) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 8, 1926), $650.40.

(7) Pope & Talbot, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $601.59.

(8) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium covering Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $2,599.29.

County Road Fund.

(9) James M. Smith, first payment, improvement of Market street extension from Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $7,200.

(10) Pacific States Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Fillmore street, Cervantes to Marina boulevards (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $4,978.55.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(11) F. G. Dyer, monitor battery nozzles, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,300.

(12) M. Greenberg's Sons, hydrant bodies, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,192.50.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co., one air compressor and accessories, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,481.20.

(14) C. B. Eaton, fourth payment, construction of sewers, Sunset District, Contract No. 1 (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $9.300.

(15) Louis J. Cohn, fourth payment, construction of sewers, Sunset District, Contract No. 2 (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $9,300.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(16) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $7,967.03.

(17) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $905.

(18) Little Children's Aid, wi-

dows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $8,359.67.

(19) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,115.79.

(20) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $4,250.55.

(21) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,414.03.

(22) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,315.78.

(23) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,837.70.

(24) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $4,352.22.

(25) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $11,615.99.

(26) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct 11, 1926), $26,993.99.

(27) St. Catherine's Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $529.04.

(28) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, State School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $920.66.

(29) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $1,521.90.

(30) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for October (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $625.

(31) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Oct. 8, 1926), $1,734.40.

(32) Symon Brothers, street cleaning teams (claim dated Oct. 8, 1926), $747.50.

(32a) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Oct. 8, 1926), $1,849.94.

(33) Lazare-Klein Co., dry goods, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $2,758.72.

(34) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $2.079.10.

(35) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $1,518.88.

(36) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $2,034.63.

(37) H. Moffat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $579.69.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $916.15.

(39) H. S. Crocker Co., stationery for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $686.14.

(40) Buckley & Curtin, printing for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $695.

(41) The Envelope Corporation, envelopes and printing (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $820.13.

(42) Levison Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $536.53.

(43) John Kitchen, Jr., printing for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $778.62.

(44) R. L. Polk & Co., city directories for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $525.

(45) H. S. Crocker & Co., printing for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $583.

(46) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for September, 1926 (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $50,-883.10.

(47) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $1,521.90.

Park Fund.

(48) Hayman Building Supply Co., loam for Harding Park golf links (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $1,000.

(49) G. W. Price Pump & Engine Co., one pump for Harding Park (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $2,135.

(50) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $517.79.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(51) Capital Decorating & Manufacturing Company, decorating Market street, Dolores street and Auditorium, etc., for Sesquicentennial Celebration, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $1,650.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(52) John J. Dailey, legal services for October, valuation of electric properties by Railroad Commission (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $850.

(53) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for October, valuation of electric properties by Railroad Commission (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $750.

(54) H. G. Butler, services rendered in connection with valuation of electric properities by Railroad Commission (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $600.

Appropriations for Purchase of Land for Widening State Highway South of Colma.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of the $150,000 set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series), to the hereinafter mentioned claim-

ants, being payments incident to the widening of the State Highway south of Colma, San Mateo County, California, to-wit:

(1) To the Cypress Lawn Cemetery Association, care of California Highway Commission, Division IV, to cover cost of removing improvements on strip of land taken and used for highway purposes and for damages to adjacent property (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $7,500.

(2) To Henry Kempf, care of California Highway Commission, Division IV, to cover cost of removing improvement on strip of land taken and used for highway purposes and for damage to adjacent property (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $2,730.

(3) To Odd Fellows' Cemetery Association, care of California Highway Commission, Division IV, to cover cost of removing improvements on strip of land taken in connection with widening of State Highway (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $2,000.

(4) To Congregation Emanu-El, care of California Highway Commission, Division IV, to cover cost of removing improvements on strip of land taken and used for highway purposes (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $1,350.

Appropriations for Purchase of Land Required for Bernal Cut Rights of Way.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for lands required for the opening and widening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Frank Harry Avery Jackson and Sophie Jackson for Lot 16, Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26238 (New Series), (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $4,407.

(2) To Walter E. Sullivan and Anna E. Sullivan, for Lot No. 1, Block 6690, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26238 (New Series), (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $5,500.

Appropriations for Acquisition of Land Required for Castro Street Widening and Improvement Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund for the widening and improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated claimants, being payments for lands required for the said widening and improvement of Castro street, to-wit:

(1) To Mrs. E. C. R. La Chapelle, for lands commencing at point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the easterly line of Castro street, and running easterly on said southerly line of Twenty-ninth street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 114 feet; of uniform dimensions, 30x114 feet, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26237 (New Series); (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $2,350.

(2) To San Francisco Home Building Company, for lands commencing at a point of intersection of the easterly line of Castro street with the southerly line of Day street and running easterly on said line of Day street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 164 feet; of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26234 (New Series); (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $3,000.

Appropriations for Acquisition of Land Required for Widening of San Jose Avenue and of Garfield Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated claimants, being payments for lands required for the widening of San Jose avenue and of Garfield street, to-wit:

(1) To Rose Welsch, for all of Lots Nos. 1 and 3 in Block No. 6777, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26236 (New Series); (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), (San Jose avenue widening), $2,500.

(2) To Western Land & Reclamation Company, for lands beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Beverly street with the southerly line of Garfield street and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Beverly street 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 116.60 feet more or less to the westerly boundary of Block 2 of City Land; thence northerly along said west-

erly boundary 12 feet to the southerly line of Garfield street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 116 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26240 (New Series); (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), (Garfield street widening), $792.50.

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Land Required for Ocean View Playground.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund—Appropriation 49, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for property required for the Ocean View Playground, to-wit:

(1) To John Rogers, care of Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, for portion of Lot 4, Block "P," Railroad Homestead Association (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $4,700.

(2) To Owen Maguire, care of Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, for portion of Lot 4, Block "S," Railroad Homestead Association (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $1,900.

(3) To Raymond F. Fitzpatrick, for portion of Lot 4, Block "S," Railroad Homestead Association (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), care of Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, $900.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvements to Various Streets.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Geneva avenue from Prague street to the County line of San Mateo county, including inspection and possible extras (Fay Imp. Co. award at $19,313.64), $20,500.

(2) For the improvement and construction of the Bernal Heights boulevard, $19,000.

(3) For the improvement of Douglass street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets—city's portion, $17,500.

(4) For lands required for, and construction of, the Bay Shore highway, $135,000.

(5) For lands required for, and construction of, the Ocean Shore Right of Way boulevard, $45,000.

(6) For lands required for, and construction of, the Bernal Cut boulevard, $135,000.

(7) For construction of Grand View Avenue boulevard, $45,000.

(8) For construction of the San Jose Avenue boulevard, Carter street to Monterey boulevard, $27,000.

(9) For the widening and construction of Silver Avenue boulevard, $22,500.

(10) For the widening and improvement of Garfield street, Junipero Serra boulevard to Grafton avenue, $18,000.

(11) For the improvement of Greenwich street, Larkin to Polk streets, $5,000.

Motion.

Subsequently, Supervisor Badaracco moved to rescind action whereby foregoing resolution was passed for printing.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Todd—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Welch —5.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Amendment.

Supervisor Badaracco moved to include an item of $5,000 for the improvement of Steiner street, Green to Union streets.

So *ordered*.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following amended resolution was *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Geneva avenue from Prague street to the county line of San Mateo County, including inspection and possible extras (Fay Imp. Co. award at $19,313.64), $20,500.

(2) For the improvement and construction of the Bernal Heights boulevard, $19,000.

(3) For the improvement of Douglass street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, City's portion, $17,500.

(4) For lands required for, and construction of the Bay Shore Highway, $135 000.

(5) For lands required for, and construction of the Ocean Shore Right of Way boulevard, $45,000.

(6) For lands required for, and construction of the Bernal Cut boulevard, $135,000.

(7) For construction of Grand View avenue boulevard, $25,000.

(8) For construction of the San Jose avenue boulevard, Carter street to Monterey boulevard, $27,000.

(9) For the widening and construction of Silver avenue boulevard, $22,500.

(10) for the widening and improvement of Garfield street, Junipero Serra boulevard to Grafton avenue, $18,000.

(11) For the improvement of Greenwich street, Polk to Larkin streets, $5,000.

(12) Improvement of Steiner street, Green to Union streets, $5,000.

Appropriations for Publicity and Advertising Expense, Raising High Pressure Mains on Sixth Street, Plumbing, Etc., Engine House No. 19, and Construction of Islais Creek Drainage Culvert.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(1) For expense incident to receptions to touring railroad traveling passenger agents and ticket agents during their stay in San Francisco, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco, $2,000.

Extension of High Pressure System—Budget Item 75.

(2) For expense of raising existing high pressure water mains in Sixth street between Howard and Harrison streets, made necessary on account of reconstruction of the street (to the credit of Budget Item No. 90), $4,200.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(3) For the cost of plumbing, gas fitting and mechanical equipment for Fire Department, Engine House No. 19, Third and Arthur streets, by the Department of Public Works, $4,500.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 39.

(4) For construction of the Islais Creek Drainage Culvert, including inspection and possible extras (award to Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co. at $2,130), $2,500.

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property and Publicity and Advertising Expense, California Wool Growers' Convention.

Also, Resolution No. 26305 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 36.

(1) For construction of artificial stone sidewalks fronting Columbus School, Funston avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, $350.

(2) For construction of 4-inch asphalt pavement on alley south of Leland avenue leading into the Municipal Pumping Plant, $440.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55

(3) For expense incident to Annual Convention of California Wool Growers' Association in San Francisco, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco, $300.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Repealing Resolution Appropriating $4,533 for Plumbing, Etc., Engine House No. 19.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26306 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 25635 (New Series) as appropriates $4,533 for plumbing, gas-fitting and mechanical equipment, Fire Dept., Engine House No. 19, out of Fire Department Land and Construction Fund, be and the same is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing:*

Ordering the Improvement of Masonic Avenue Between Oak and Fell Streets.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7776, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Ma-

PUBLIC LIBRARY

sonic avenue between Oak street and Fell street, authorizing the preparations of plans and specifications for said work and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the improvement of Masonic avenue between Oak street and Fell street in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article 6, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Offer of Annie Curry to Sell for $12,960 Certain Property Required for the Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26307 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alemany boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Annie Curry, $12,960.

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Huron avenue and the northeasterly line of Mt. Vernon avenue and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Huron avenue 240 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 40 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 240 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 40 feet to the point of beginning.

The above mentioned sum of $12,960 includes, in addition to the payment of the above described parcel, all damages in full to the buildings partially situated thereon, said buildings to be relocated by the owner within sixty (60) days after receiving notice by the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, it is understood and agreed that the street work on Huron avenue fronting the above described parcel will be done at the sole expense of the City and County of San Francisco, said street work to include curbs and asphaltic pavement;

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon.

Accepting the Offer of Frances P. Morse to Sell for $26,174 Certain Property Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26308 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Frances P. Morse, $26,174.

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Charter Oak avenue with the westerly boundary of the land conveyed to Frances P. Morse by deed recorded at Page 491, of Volume 1032 of Official Records of San Francisco (said point of beginning being at the southeasterly terminus of the southwesterly line of Char-

ter Oak avenue), and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Charter Oak avenue extended and produced southeasterly to a point on the northerly line of Paul avenue, distant 200 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence easterly along the northerly line of Paul avenue to a point distant 325 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of San Bruno avenue; thence northwesterly, parallel with the northeasterly line of San Bruno avenue and distant 325 feet at right angles northeasterly therefrom, to a point on the westerly boundary of the aforesaid lands of Frances P. Morse; thence southerly along said westerly boundary to the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offer of J. D. Allen to Sell for $1,750 Certain Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26309 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets, southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right-of-way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

J. D. Allen, $1,750.

Lot 24, Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Map of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for the Widening and Improving of Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26310 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening and improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Peter Christensen and Frances Christensen, $15.

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Castro street, distant thereon 40.5 feet northerly from its intersection with the northerly line of Thirtieth street, and running southerly on a curve to the right, tangent to said westerly line

of Castro street, radius 15 feet, central angle 68 degrees 57 minutes 38 seconds, a distance of 18.054 feet; thence easterly on a line deflected 158 degrees 57 minutes 38 seconds to the left from the tangent to the last described course, 9.615 feet to aforesaid westerly line of Castro street; thence northerly on said line of Castro street 14 feet to the point of commencement.

Being portion of Horner's Addition Block 173.

Margaret O'Kane, $45.

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Thirtieth street, distant thereon 130 feet easterly from its intersection with the easterly line of Castro street, and running easterly on said northerly line of Thirtieth street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 3.095 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 74 degrees 40 minutes 14 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 87 feet, central angle 18 degrees 9 minutes 21 seconds, a distance of 27.569 feet; thence southerly on a line deflected 123 degrees 29 minutes 07 seconds to the left from the tangent to the last described curve, 14.44 feet to the point of commencement.

Being portion of Horner's Addition Block 172.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue From Circular Avenue to Capistrano Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26311 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names and the further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

Julia A. Brown and Frank W. Brown, $1,700.

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 50.850 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Tingley street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.300 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 134 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line, parallel with Tingley street, 17.865 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 94 degrees 05 minutes 37 seconds to the right and running southwesterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, 8.664 feet; thence deflecting 6 degrees 33 minutes to the right and running southwesterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, and distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly therefrom, 16.644 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 134; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Tingley street, 17.886 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Lot 134 of the Demartini Tract.

James Tiscornia and Mercedes Tiscornia, $222.50.

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 76.275 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Theresa street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 94 of the Demartini Tract; thence running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 17.338 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles south-

easterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 100 degrees 38 minutes 37 seconds to the right and running southwesterly parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 25.438 feet to the southwesterly boundary of said Lot 94; thence deflecting 79 degrees 21 minutes 23 seconds to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 17.269 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Lot 94 of the Demartini Tract.

Frances Michel, $2,583.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Gorham street with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 50.042 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 138 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Gorham street, 17.423 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the present northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 88 degrees 17 minutes 23 seconds to the left and running northeasterly 8.846 feet; thence deflecting 11 degrees 10 minutes to the left, and running northeasterly 41.681 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of Gorham street, distant thereon 9.070 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Gorham street 9.070 feet to the point of commencement.

Being portion of Lots 138 and 139 of the Demartini Tract.

Giovanni and Maria D. Calderone, $1,995.

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Theresa street with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 50.850 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 92 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line, parallel with Theresa street, 17.201 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 100 degrees 38 minutes 37 seconds to the right and running southwesterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 50.875 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of Theresa street, distant thereon 17.064 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Theresa street 17.064 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Lots 91 and 92 of the Demartini Tract.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to restore sidewalks where sidewalks are now constructed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Garfield Street From Orizaba Avenue to Junipero Serra Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26312 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Charles Schoepke and Anna Schoepke, $642.

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Ramsell street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 75 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly

75 feet to a point on the westerly line of Ramsell street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Ramsell street 12 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lots 30, 31 and 32 of Block 32 of City Land Assn.

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now partially on the above described parcel.

George A. Kinney, $120.

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 25 feet westerly from the westerly line of Ralston street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 31 of Block 13 of City Land Assn.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 50 feet easterly from the easterly line of Victoria street; running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning.

William Budde, $60.

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Ralston street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 30 of Block 13 of City Land Assn.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil Station Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Atlas Heating & Ventilating Co., north side of Lombard street, 75 feet west of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Commercial Centre Realty Co., 617 Montgomery street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. C. Darlington, west side of Twenty-seventh avenue, 275 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Chas. A. Donohue, 4702 Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, south side of Lombard street, 100 feet east of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of San Fernando way, between St. Francis boulevard and Portola drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, northeast corner of Lombard and Stockton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Gottschalk, 12 Presidio terrace, 600 gallons capacity.

E. Gundy, 3541 Seventeenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Gunn, 235 St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Hohfeld, 2500 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hunter Dulin Co., southwest corner of Sutter and Montgomery streets, two tanks of 5000 gallons capacity each.

B. Joseph, north side of El Camino, 200 feet east of Sea Cliff avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., 2727 Pierce street, 750 gallons capacity.

Nate Levy, 1343 Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. H. C. Myers, east side of Twenty-second avenue, 200 feet north of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sheehan & Woolfrey, southeast corner of Twenty-fourth and Capp streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Silverstein, 50 Palm avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southeast corner of Francisco and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southwest corner of Twelfth avenue and Lincoln way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, north side of Clay street, 107 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Trisat, 3512 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

A. J. Zadig, 2255 Franklin street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Denial of Permit of Jane Scheuer to Conduct Home for Aged and Infirm.

The following matter, heretofore presented by Supervisor Deasy and laid over one week, was taken up:

Resolution No. 26313 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Jane Scheuer to maintain a home for the aged and infirm at 1521 Masonic avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Garage Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. J. Dunkel be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Tony Peters by Resolution No. 25299 (New Series), for premises at 419 Larkin street.

The rights granted upon this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Investigation of Conditions at Butchertown and Hog Ranches.

The following matter, heretofore presented by Supervisor Marks, laid over one week, and thereafter referred to the Health Committee, and returned by said Committee with favorable consideration, was *laid over and made a Special Order of Business for* 3:30 *p. m. Monday, October* 25, 1926.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has been called to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that conditions within and surrounding certain slaughterhouses and so-called "hog ranches" in the district commonly called Butchertown, are of such a nature as to require instant investigation and action to safeguard public welfare; and

Whereas, it is of pressing importance to the people of San Francisco that the slaughterhouses and so-called "hog ranches" in this city be conducted in such manner, and the territory surrounding the same be safeguarded and protected to such extent, that the men, women and children of this municipality shall be secure in the proper enjoyment of their dwelling places and their health and comfort fully subserved; and

Whereas, the maintenance of conditions which may be deleterious to public health and welfare, if found upon investigation to exist, calls urgently for the intervention of public officials in the enforcement of existing laws and for legislative action if more adequate laws be necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the Board of Health be and the same is hereby called upon to make an immediate and a thorough investigation of the manner of conduct and the health conditions existing in the district commonly known as Butchertown and in the so-called "hog ranches" and to report to the Board of Supervisors without delay the details and results of such investigation, the adequacy or inadequacy of existing legislation respecting supervision, regulation and other necessary health precautions, and the recommendations of said Board of Health, respecting necessary legislative action, if any be required; and be it

Further Resolved, That the assistance and cooperation of all persons, firms and corporations, conducting establishments in said district, is requested and solicited to the end that such investigation may be thorough and complete, and the results thereof mutually advantageous to the people of San Francisco and the conduct of legitimate industry.

Clerk was directed to notify the Health officer and other interested parties of the hearing on above matter.

School Street Permit.

Supervisor Todd presented.

Resolution No. 26314 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to the Reverend J. A. McAuliffe, Rector of St. Charles Church, to rope off from 11:45 a. m. to 1 p. m., each school day, the roadway of Eighteenth street from Howard to Shotwell streets, in order that the children attending St. Charles School may be more adequately protected from the dangers of vehicular travel and may utilize that portion of said street between the said hours as a playground.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Hyde Street Between North Point and Beach Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 7777, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 13, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-four, to read as follows:

Section 934. The width of sidewalks on Hyde street between North Point street and Beach street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Guerrero Street Between Twentieth Street and San Jose Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7778, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Nine Hundred and Thirty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 13, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Nine Hundred and Thirty-five, to read as follows:

Section 935. The width of sidewalks on Guerrero street between Twentieth street and San Jose avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Spur Track Permit, Western Pacific Railroad.

Also, Bill No. 7779, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Brannan street near Ninth street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the Applicant's Team Track No. 16, said point being approximately 80 feet westerly from the westerly line of Brannan street and approximately 219 feet northerly from the northerly line of Ninth street; thence in a general easterly direction with turnout to the left approximately 70 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 262.04 feet a distance of 37.42 feet, crossing the westerly line of said Brannan street, to a point in said Brannan street approximately 227 feet northerly from the northerly line of said Ninth street and approximately 26 feet easterly from the westerly line

of said Brannan street; thence easterly tangent to said curve and approximately 227 feet northerly from and parallel with said northerly line of Ninth street a distance of approximately 328 feet, crossing the easterly line of said Brannan street, and also crossing applicant's team track No. 17 at a point approximately 15 feet westerly from the easterly line of said Brannan street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation.

Provided, that Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, shall erect and maintain all night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided girder rails shall be used in the construction of said spur track; that the existing sewer in Brannan street be reinforced, if necessary.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Improvement of Southern Heights Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7780, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Southern Heights avenue, where not already improved, from Carolina street to Rhode Island street by the necessary paving and appurtenances; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the improvement of Southern Heights avenue, where not already improved, from Carolina street to Rhode Island street by the necessary paving and appurtenances in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said improvement of Southern Heights avenue, where not already improved, from Carolina street to Rhode Island street conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7781, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 5, 1926, having recommended the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of in-

terest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following: Lee Avenue between Holloway and Lake View avenues; Grafton avenue between Harold and Faxon avenues; Ashton avenue between De Montford and Grafton avenues; Capitol avenue between Holloway and Lake View avenues; Faxon avenue between Holloway and Lake View avenues; westerly one-half of Harold avenue between Holloway and Lake View avenues; easterly one-half of Harold avenue between Bruce avenue and the southerly line of Grafton avenue extended; Granada avenue between Holloway and Lake View avenues; De Montford avenue between Miramar and Capitol avenues; De Montford avenue between Jules and Faxon avenues; Jules avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues; Brighton avenue between Grafton and Lake View avenues; Holloway avenue between Lee and Brighton avenues; Miramar avenue between Grafton and Lake View avenues, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7782, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 5, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Thirty-sixth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Twenty-eighth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Twenty-fourth avenue between Taraval and Vicente streets; Twenty-third avenue between Taraval and Vicente streets; Ulloa street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-ninth avenues; Ulloa street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7783, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 5, 1926, having recommended the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement

Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Nineteenth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street; Forty-eighth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Twenty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets; Thirty-eighth avenue between Geary and Anza streets; Anza street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues; Cabrillo street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues; Hearst avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Reconstruction and Repair of Certain Accepted Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7784, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $11,700, payable out of the hereinafter designated Budget Items for the Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 121—Francisco street, Powell street to Grant avenue, $7,200.

Budget Item No. 124—Leavenworth street, Vallejo street to Green street, $4,500.

Total, $11,700.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26315 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after October 5, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of City lands at Douglass and Twenty-sixth streets under public contract. Contractor has been delayed in finding suitable rock for paving.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 26316 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith is hereby granted an extension of sixty (60) days' time from and after August 27, 1926, within which to complete the construction of Section "A" of the Forty-third avenue main sewer under public contract. Contractor has been delayed in obtaining materials, especially brick.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 26317 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. J. Cohn is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after October 4, 1926, within which to complete contract for the construction of sewers and appurtenances in the westerly Sunset District, under Section "C", Forty-third avenue main sewer, Contract No. 2, Santiago street district. The work is well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 26318 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. Schadek is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 12, 1926, within which to complete the unfinished portions of Forty-third avenue between Geary and Anza streets. The work has been completed and this extension is requested to keep contract alive pending acceptance and issuance of the assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 26319 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Treacy is hereby granted the following extensions of time:

Ninety days' time from and after October 11, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Munich street between Persia and Russia avenues. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the grading has been completed and this extension is requested in order to allow the fill to settle before paving.

Ninety days' time from and after October 13, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets. The work is progressing.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Repealing Resolution of Intention Changing Grades on Castro Street and on Day Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26320 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works, by Resolution No. 93829 (Second Series), adopted September 27, 1926, recommended to this Board that Resolution No. 13984 (New Series) be rescinded, for the reason that the property owners protested against the proposed change of grade on Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets and on Day street between a line 280 feet westerly from Noe street and a line 9 feet westerly from Castro street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Resolution of Intention No. 13984 (New Series), adopted February 14, 1917, to change the grade on Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, and on Day street between a line 280 feet westerly from Noe street and a line 9 feet westerly from Castro street, be and the same is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Map of Twenty-sixth Street Extension Approved.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26321 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 93910 (Second Series), approve a map showing the extension of Twenty-sixth street from its present easterly termination easterly to Hampshire street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the extension of Twenty-sixth street from its present easterly termination easterly to Hampshire street is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Approving Map, Lundy's Lane.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26322 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 93910 (Second Series), approve a map showing the extension of Lundy's lane from its present southwesterly terminus 56 feet southwesterly; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Lundy's lane from its present southwesterly terminus 56 feet southwesterly is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Closing Portions of Duncan, Twenty-eighth, Valley, Twenty-ninth and Day Streets, Fowler and Stanford Heights Avenues.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26323 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning parts of Duncan street, Twenty-eighth street, Valley street, Twenty-ninth street, Day street, Fowler avenue and Stanford Heights avenue, as set forth in Resolution of Intention No. 26080 (New Series).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Acceptance of Streets in Crocker Amazon Tract.

The following matter was presented by Supervisor Welch, *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public streets in the Crocker Amazon Tract have been improved under private contract to the advantage of the City and the property owners thereon have paid the cost thereof and deserve to have the same kept in repair as in case of improvements made under public contract; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works report to this Board a list of streets in the Crocker Amazon Tract that have been constructed and to recommend that the same be accepted as provided in Section 23 of Chapter 2 of Article 6 of the Charter.

Board of Public Works to Recommend the Acceptance of Certain Streets in Forest Hill Tract.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Welch, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public streets in Forest Hill Tract have been improved under private contract to the advantage of the City and County of San Francisco and the property owners thereon have paid the cost thereof and deserve to have the same kept in repair as in case of improvements made under public contract; therefore,

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works report to this Board a list of streets in the Forest Hill Tract that have been constructed and to recommend that the same be accepted as provided in Section 23 of Chapter 2 of Article VI of the Charter.

Clerk instructed to send notice of hearing of foregoing matter to property owners.

Return of Bidders' Checks Where There Is Delay in Testing and Inspecting Samples.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26324 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That when the award of contract upon pending bids is delayed to permit the testing and inspection of samples upon materials, supplies and equipment, then the Purchaser of Supplies, with the approval of the Supplies Committee, may return bidders' checks, and, if deemed necessary, require a bidder's bond in lieu thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Award of Contract, Motor, Islais Creek.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26325 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to General Electric Company on bid submitted October 11, 1926 (Proposal No. 248), for furnishing the following, viz.: One motor for Islais Creek bridge for the sum of $1,129.88.

Resolved, That said contractor shall furnish a bond of $300 for the faithful performance of his contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon —5.

Masquerade Ball Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26326 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted The Paraffine Companies, Inc., to conduct a masquerade ball at the Whitcomb Hotel, Friday evening, October 29, 1926, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon —5.

Also, Resolution No. 26327 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Veterans of Foreign Wars to conduct a masquerade ball in California Hall, northwest corner Polk and Turk streets, Saturday evening, October 30, 1926, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon —5.

Completion of State Highway System.

Resolution No. 26328 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, completion of the State Highway system is vital to the continued development and prosperity of every section of California; and

Whereas, State funds for new highway construction are exhausted while the State Highway system stands less than half completed with many districts and communities suffering from lack of proper traffic arteries; and

Whereas, there are two propositions on the November ballot dealing with highway financing; one of these being Initiative Measure No. 4, the one-cent gasoline tax, an equitable "pay-as-you-go" plan, insuring the completion of the highway system, and the other being Initiative Measure No. 8, an inadequate sectional scheme linking a legislative appropriation with an arbitrary division of the State into highway districts and an unfair sectional allocation of funds; and

Whereas, confusion is likely to be created in the minds of the voters due to the appearance of two highway financing measures on the ballot and the designation of Proposition No. 8 as "State Highways"; and

Whereas, the decision of the electorate on these measures will affect the progress of California, its commerce, agriculture, tourist attractions and reputation as a good roads state for years to come; and

Whereas, No. 8, initiated by certain selfish Los Angeles interests, mandates the Legislature to appropriate $5,000,000 annually for twelve years from the State Treasury without providing a source of revenue, which would mean an increase in the present State taxes or the levying of a State ad valorem tax against all property in the State; and

Whereas, Initiative Measure No. 4 would leave undisturbed the present highly satisfactory method of administering highway affairs while No. 8 would deprive the Legislature and the California Highway Commission of their proper functions by writing into the State Constitution complicated and untried methods of classifying roads, dividing funds and administering highway affairs that are arbitrary, sectional and extremely dangerous and which could not be changed to meet unforeseen conditions without another vote of the people; and

Whereas, No. 8, after adding four roads of approximately 100 miles in length, would "freeze" the State Highway system into its present form, making it almost prohibitive to add new roads irrespective of future traffic needs and unforeseen requirements; and

Whereas, No. 8 would prevent continued expenditure of maintenance and reconstruction funds in accordance with the physical needs of our highways and traffic requirements; and

Whereas, No. 8 is extremely dangerous and un-American in that it destroys State unity through creation of two highway and voting districts—a "Northern" and a "Southern" California; and

Whereas, the most competent estimates obtainable show that the amount of money appropriated by No. 8 would be less than one-half the amount required to complete the highway system in 12 years, while No. 4, the one-cent gasoline tax, would provide ample funds to complete the system on an adequate basis within 12 years; and

Whereas, the gasoline tax is in reality an investment, returning to the motorist dividends many times greater by reducing car operating expenses; and

Whereas, No. 8 goes even so far as to provide that in the event the gasoline tax carries, the funds raised thereby, or any funds made available for new construction, shall be expended according to the unfair sectional provisions of Proposition No. 8; and

Whereas, these matters were given careful consideration at the convention of the County Supervisors' Association of California, held in San Diego last March and the vote was unanimous to support the one-cent gasoline tax proposition, which is now on the ballot in the form of Initiative Measure No. 4. Therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Su-

pervisors of San Francisco County, in regular session on this 18th day of October, 1926, does hereby urge the electorate of this county to unanimously vote *no* on Initiative Measure No. 8, to the end that this proposition will be so overwhelmingly defeated that such an unfair and dangerous scheme shall not again be placed before the voters of the State for adoption; and be it

Further Resolved, That the electorate be urged to vote *yes* on Initiative Measure No. 4, in order that California's State Highway development may continue under sound financial principles and on a proper and adequate basis; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be instructed to forward copies of this resolution to the newspapers of this county and to the Good Roads-Gas Tax Campaign Committee at 150 Van Ness avenue, San Francisco, California, and at Room 424 Subway-Terminal Building, 417 South Hill street, Los Angeles, California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Roads in Presidio.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26329 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the streets and roads within the Government Military Reservation, known as The Presidio, are in a deporable condition and in contrast to those of the City connecting therewith and excite unfavorable comment from the visitors who travel over them; therefore,

Resolved, That the attention of the governmental authorities having jurisdiction over the reservation be called to this matter with a request for cooperation with City officials for the improvement of these highways; also,

Resolved, That the City Engineer be requested to take up this matter with the Commanding Officer of The Presidio and secure an estimate of the cost of improving the said highways to meet public requirements.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Proposed Charter Amendment No. 36 Opposed.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Badaracco, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26330 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, proposed amendment to the Charter to be designated No. 36 on the ballot, as approved by the Board of Supervisors, was unobjectionable and did not adversely affect the Civil Service standing of any employees of the City now protected by the Civil Service provisions of the Charter; but

Whereas, in the transmittal of said proposed amendment from the Clerk of the Supervisors to the Registrar of Voters, several errors crept in, which would jeopardize the Civil Service standing of approximately 375 employees of the City; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors is opposed to any break-down in the Civil Service system of San Francisco; now, therefore, it is hereby

Resolved, That the voters of San Francisco be and they hereby are advised to vote *no* on said proposed Amendment 36.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Privilege of the Floor.

Grover O'Connor, representing Civil Service employees, asked that the Board go on record against proposed Charter Amendment blanketing into civil service certain employees of the Playground Commission on the ground that through error in proposed amendment 300 employees of the School Department and others heretofore under civil service would be deprived of their civil service standing.

Miss Dempsey, representing the employees of the School Department was also heard in opposition to the proposed amendment.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Sunset Tunnel Construction Appropriation.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $34,-

801.05 be and the same is hereby appropriated out of the Sunset Assessment Fund, to be paid to Youdall & Clifford, the contractors who are boring the Duboce-Sunset tunnel.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 7:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 20, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 43

Monday, October 25, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 25, 1926, 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Supervisor Roncovieri excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding:

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 12, 15 and 19 were considered, read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Regulation of Heights of Buildings.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Communication, from the City Planning Commission, reporting in compliance with resolution of the Board of Supervisors, upon the advisability of restricting the height of buildings hereafter to be erected to five stories in the district bounded by Washington street, Union street, Franklin street and the Presidio Reservation; transmitting in connection herewith proposed bill regulating the height of buildings in territory bounded by San Francisco Bay on the north, Fillmore street on the east, Jackson street on the south and Lyon street on the west, to a height of 40 feet.

Referred to City Planning Committee on motion of Supervisor Marks.

Improvement of Streets in Presidio.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from F. C. Bolles, Colonel 30th Infantry, acknowledging receipt of resolution relative to improvement of streets in the Presidio and declaring that it will be a great pleasure for him to cooperate with the city officials in every way for the maintenance, upkeep and construction of roads in Presidio Reservation.

Ordered *filed*.

Good Roads-Gas Tax.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Executive Committee, Good Roads-Gas Tax Campaign Committee, expressing gratification that Board of Supervisors of San Francisco has adopted a resolution endorsing proposition No. 4 and opposing proposition No. 8 on the ballot for the November election.

Ordered *filed*.

Employees of Board of Education Give Thanks to Board for Action in Opposition to Charter Amendment No. 36.

Communication, from Katherine Dempsey, representing 375 Civil Service employees of the School Department adversely affected by serious defect in the wording of proposed Charter Amendment No. 36, expressing appreciation of the action of the Board of Supervisors contained in Resolution No. 26330, advising the voters of San Francisco in view of said defect to vote *no* on said amendment at coming election.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Photographic Records Act Approved.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from L. E. Hollowell, clerk of the Board of Supervisors, County of Ventura, California, transmitting copy of resolution of said Board urging that our representatives in the 1927 session of the State Legislature be requested to use their best efforts in support of the passage of an act which will legalize and validate the use of photographic or mechanical processes for reproducing filed or recorded documents in public of-

fices of the State of California and of the various counties thereof.

Referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Acquisition of Palace of Fine Arts.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Golden Gate Valley Commercial Club, advising that at its last meeting a resolution was passed endorsing Charter Amendment No. 33, which gives the Board of Supervisors the legal authority to carry through the necessary pending negotiations to obtain from the national government the ownership of all land comprising the site upon which rests the Palace of Fine Arts.

Ordered *filed.*

Importance of Action on Air Port Site.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from James E. Power, Postmaster of San Francisco, advising that he has been informed that within a few weeks bids for operating the United States transcontinental air mail service by private contract will be called for and that the government route will be turned over to private operation, and urging the importance of immediate action in selecting a site for an air field in order that San Francisco may maintain its standing as the Western terminal of the transcontinental air mail route.

Ordered *filed.*

Salary Increases for Mayor and Supervisors Endorsed.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Norman H. McLean, secretary-treasurer Bay Counties District Council of Carpenters, advising that his organization has endorsed proposed Charter Amendment No. 32, which provides for an increase in salaries for the various members of the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from Howard E. Dunn, recording secretary Local Union No. 6, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, endorsing Charter Amendment No. 32, calling for increase in salaries of Mayor and Board of Supervisors.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from E. F. Hermann, assistant secretary Southern Promotion Association, endorsing Charter Amendment No. 32, providing an increase in salaries for the Mayor and the members of the Board of Supervisors.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from John A. O'Connell, secretary San Francisco Labor Council, endorsing Charter Amendment No. 32, providing for increase in salaries of the Mayor and the Supervisors.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from M. Gertrude Roddy, recording secretary of the San Francisco Federation of Teachers, No. 61, officially endorsing Amendment No. 32, and pledging its membership in support of said amendment at the polls.

Ordered *filed.*

Modesto Power Contract.

The Clerk, on motion of Supervisor Marks, was directed to forward to the Modesto Irrigation District the contract for standby electric service, together with a certified copy of the ordinance authorizing the execution of the agreement.

Protest Against Garage on Balboa Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Sutro Heights Improvement Association and numerous property owners, against the granting of a permit for a public garage to one Mr. Johnson, on the south side of Balboa street between Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues.

Subject matter *laid over one week.*

Mayor Recommends Additional Police.

Mayor's Office, San Francisco,
October 25, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The lawlessness that has appeared in San Francisco demands the immediate enlargement of its police force.

San Francisco owes every citizen protection of life, limb and property. This the citizens of San Francisco are not receiving.

Based upon an estimated population of 700,000 people (less than San Francisco actually has), the charter authorizes the appointment of 215 additional police officers. The addition of 75 police officers now demanded by the Police Department, coupled with an enlarged motor service that will enable policemen to properly patrol extensive territory, will effectively carry out the municipality's duty to its citizens.

I am asking for these additional

police officers for the general protection of the whole community and the enforcement of law and order.

It is my duty as Mayor to see that the Police Department leaves nothing undone to wipe out the criminal conditions now prevailing. This I shall do. But to make my order effective the Police Department must be forthwith enlarged. It must be made sufficient in order to be efficient.

The present criminal conditions are a disgrace to San Francisco. You have it within your power to relieve these shocking conditions and at the same time remove the resultant stigma from the fair name of our City, by adding to the power of the police this necessary number of men.

With this augmented force I can assure you that the Police Department will be able to suppress lawlessness and protect the lives and rights of all our people.

Yours very respectfully,
(Signed)
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Referred to Finance and Police Committee Jointly Meeting October 29, 1926, 2 p. m.

Mr. Piggott, Head of Melbourne Detective Bureau, Visits San Francisco.

The Mayor introduced Mr. Piggott, head of the detective bureau of Melbourne, Australia, who is making a tour of the larger cities of the United States, and en route to Scotland Yard, to make a study of methods of taking care of the criminal situation.

Mr. Piggott said: "In our continent the burning question today is crime. I know by the methods your police force has that they are endeavoring to do the best they can and to be clean. I feel sure that you have the right material in the Police Department and that you have the public back of them."

Report of Health Officer on Conditions in Butchertown.

Supervisor Hayden presented the following, which was ordered *spread in the Journal*:

City and County of San Francisco,
Department of Public Health.
September 20, 1926.

To the Board of Health.

Gentlemen: The recent agitation concerning the insanitary conditions in and about the Butchers' Reservation that has resulted from hearings held by the Health Committee of the Board of Supervisors and of the Board of Supervisors as a body concerning the disposal of garbage of San Francisco, are matters that have long occupied the attention of your Honorable Board and did, so far as our records go, as far back as 1908. At different times since that period up to date consideration has been given the various questions involved, prominent among which were:

1. The abatement of violation of the law in the matter of feeding and raising of hogs in the Butchers' Reservation.
2. The providing of proper sewerage and drainage for abattoirs.
3. The pollution of the shore line of this reservation.

Following the adoption of the meat ordinance in 1910 the matter of sanitation of the abattoirs received consideration and all plants engaged in the slaughter of food animals were brought up to a standard to comply with the provisions of this meat ordinance and were issued abattoir permits and specific numbers to be used in the branding of animals killed in the respective plants.

There is no question in the whole controversy concerning their sanitary status or the fitness for use for the purposes intended. All food animals slaughtered are under the strict supervision of the Meat Inspection Division of your Board and all food products stamped and passed by our inspectors are fit and wholesome for public consumption.

On many occasions, however, there have been complaints concerning the dumping of wastage, consisting of waste waters and the contents of stomachs and intestines of slaughtered animals, into the waters of the Bay, and on various occasions recommendations have been made to establish sewers in order to relieve this condition. With the paving and sewering of Evans avenue a considerable relief was afforded to the district, but there still remain a number of plants, including soap works, abattoirs, reduction plants and fertilizer works, which, by reason of a lack of sewer facilities, cannot drain into the sewer, but must allow their wastage to enter the Bay, and for this there can be no relief until certain streets are filled in, brought to grade and main sewers established, making it possible for these plants to have side-sewer connections.

The chief nuisance, however, in my judgment at this time exists in the so-called hog-raising plants, which are being maintained in violation of Ordinance 1410, of which the following is a list:

1288 Davidson street,
301 Lane street,
300 Lane street,
1106 Davidson street,
1290 Evans avenue,
1202 and 1206 Evans avenue,
Northwest corner of Custer avenue and Mendell street,
Davidson street near Custer avenue,
Davidson street in rear of 1320 Evans avenue,
842 Davidson street,
812 Davidson street,
800 Davidson street.

All of these plants are maintained in violation of the Building Laws and all other laws of hygiene and sanitation in our present code. Refuse, swill and animal matter not consumed by hogs on the premises, together with manure discharges and other wastage, are indiscriminately dumped on the shore lands of the Bay, creating a foul-smelling and noisome nuisance in addition to establishing an ideal harbor for the breeding, feeding and propagating of rats.

The subject has been so thoroughly investigated and studies by the various members of the Board that further description of the insanitary conditions is unnecessary. The remedy to be applied must have in mind not only the abatement of the obvious violations of the law and the nuisances that exist, but must give consideration to the permanent reconstruction of the entire area so that development may progress with other sections of the Potrero District and not be retarded by the polluted mud flats exposed on low tide that would remain even if the hog-raising establishments were removed and new sewers placed in streets so that they would afford drainage for existing plants.

It is, therefore, recommended that the plan under consideration by the State Harbor Commission be hastened to an early completion, which is to have a bulkhead from the outlet of the channel along what would be Arthur avenue, if extended, to where it would intersect Custer avenue. This would be along a line of deep water. All lands within that bulkhead should then be filled in with silt and sand pumped from the Bay. The City should extend its streets, beginning with Third, to Custer avenue to meet this shore line bulkhead and such lands as might remain unfilled the City should require the owners to properly fill in.

With the City and State cooperating in such a plan a wonderful commercial district would be created in which abattoirs and other industries permitted in the heavy commercial zone could be carried on without detriment to other industries.

Pending, however, such a solution of the problem, would earnestly recommend to your Honorable Board:

1. That all hog-raising establishments in the district be condemned and ordered vacated and that all buildings in the district that do not comply with the building laws be ordered demolished and surface area cleared of all refuse and debris.

2. That the present needs of the existing plans without sewer connections might be relieved by a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors to grade and sewer Davidson street for its entire length. This would make it possible for the abattoirs, soap works and various fertilizer and reduction plants to connect to the street sewer and avoid dumping the wastage into the waters and shore line of the Bay.

By the accomplishment of these two recommendations one of our greatest rat harbors would be eradicated and the bringing about of better sanitary conditions would be possible until such time as this entire area could be properly filled in and treated in the manner previously referred to.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. C. HASSLER,
Health Officer.

October 25, 1926—Filed by Supervisor Hayden, with request to spread in Journal.

September 16, 1926.

The following cases set for hearing in condemnation proceedings were called:

Jennings street, Custer avenue, Ingalls street and Davidson avenue.
300 Lane street, corner Davidson avenue.
301 Lane street, corner Davidson avenue.
Mendell street near Custer avenue.
Northwest corner Custer avenue and Mendell street.
Davidson avenue in rear of 1520 Evans avenue.
800 Davidson avenue.
842 Davidson avenue.
1106 Davidson street, corner of Lane street.
1288 Davidson avenue.
1202-6 Evans avenue.
1290 Evans avenue.
812 Davidson street.

Edward F. Moran, attorney, stated that he was representing all of the defendants in the cases above enumerated. He had received a copy

of a complaint of the health officer in one of these cases only a day or two ago. Most of the men whom he represents do not understand what must be done, and he has not had an opportunity to discuss the matter with some of them.

Mr. Moran requested that all of these hearings be postponed for a reasonable time until he has had an opportunity to communicate with his clients and to figure out what they would do if this Board takes action before they could make arrangements for transferring the hogs to other quarters. Many of the defendants have contracts with restaurants, hotels, and the like for removal of swill that run until January 2, 1927, and if they do not fulfill these contracts they are likely to be subjected to heavy damages.

These hog ranches have been in the vicinity for many years and there does not seem to be any imminent menace to public health by continuing them for a short time. These defendants have their entire fortunes invested in this enterprise and should be given a fair opportunity to get adjusted to new conditions that no doubt will be imposed.

At this point President Klimm asked Mr. Moran if he was willing to waive the reading of the complaints in these cases, and Mr. Moran replied in the affirmative and said that everything required by ordinance in the way of procedure had been followed in these cases.

Mr. Barendt requested to be informed as to what Mr. Moran proposes to do in the interim, provided the extension of time requested by him until January 2, 1927, be granted, stating that he was in favor of a continuance but some program of work should be carried out in the meantime so that when the expiration of such time is reached, the nuisance will have been fully abated.

Mr. Barendt further stated he was not in favor of simply postponing the matter and letting it drop entirely in the meantime urging some progressive plan of procedure to be followed, and this could be accomplished by getting together with Mr. Moran and agreeing upon some method of procedure which will eradicate the existing insanitary conditions.

Mr. Moran assured the board that he would be pleased to cooperate with the health officer and the board as the representative of these various defendants.

President Klimm suggested that a roll call of the defendants represented by Mr. Moran be taken, but the latter stated that he would submit a written list of those he represents which can be checked against the names now listed in these cases. This arrangement was deemed satisfactory to all concerned.

J. B. Coryell of Menlo Park, California, property owner in the district under discussion, stated to the Board that he was a property owner in the district affected and was the mouthpiece of other property owners in the district. He admitted that it would not be quite fair to take summary action against the hog ranches and order the vacation of their premises immediately, but urged that a definite understanding be reached that would result in the complete elimination of the existing nuisances by the second of January, 1927.

Mr. Moran stated that while he is aware of the fact that the State Legislature of 1868 had set aside the butchers' reservation for a specific purpose, that he realized that the offending hog ranches are only allowed to be maintained under sufferance in so far as raising hogs is concerned.

Mr. Arnstein stated that there seems to be no doubt but that we should have to grant a reasonable time to Mr. Moran in order to consult with his clients and familiarize himself with conditions, but did think after a fairly reasonable time had been given for this purpose that some progress should be made toward clearing up the entire district by January 3, 1927.

Mr. Barendt then stated that in his opinion there is one point on which the board is unanimous and that is that the hog ranch nuisance must be abated, that the one particular issue that is to be stressed is that these people are raising hogs. The butchers' reservation was never intended for raising cattle, sheep or hogs. It was simply to be a place where cattle should be loaded, unloaded and held preliminary for slaughter. Therefore, this problem should be considered immediately with the idea that the whole matter become one of progressive action so when January 3, 1927, arrives the City will be relieved of the conditions complained of.

Mr. Barendt offered the following motion:

That a period of time, which will expire January 3, 1927, be granted the various defendants named in the several complaints to vacate all of the premises now occupied by them as hog ranches. This continuance being granted with the understanding that all parties concerned

will immediately proceed to make arrangements to comply with the requirements of the Board so that all of the nuisances mentioned shall be completely abated by said January 3, 1927, and to this end Mr. Moran, as the representative of these people, is to confer with the health officer so that this plan may be carried into effect within the next three and a half months.

Duly seconded.

The health officer stated that in his opinion the request for delay of time would be properly in order and that all parties concerned should understand the terms of these proceedings.

Mr. Barendt, addressing all of the lessees and owners present, impressed upon them the necessity of fixing in their minds that they must be out of the various plants or ranches by January 3, 1927.

President Klimm stated also to the same group that this board is determined that hog raising in San Francisco shall be discontinued and the setting of the date, January 3, 1927, did not mean that they would be given until that time to consider the matter, but they should proceed at once to adjust themselves to the conditions imposed.

President called for a vote on motion as put by Mr. Barendt and all members voted affirmatively. Same declared duly carried.

Report of Airport Committee.

The following was presented, read and *referred to the Committee of the Whole*:

San Francisco,
October 25, 1926.

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your joint committee appointed to consider the matter of securing a municipal airport for this City has to report that we have held numerous hearings at which several proposed sites were brought to our attention as suitable for this purpose.

We have had reports from the Chamber of Commerce and other civic bodies setting forth the requisites for a proper landing place for air craft and have listened to statements of those qualified to express an opinion on this subject. We have also obtained certain options and estimates of cost and maps showing the various locations in detail.

At a meeting of the committee held this morning the following action was taken:

That the committee express its preference for site No. Two, located at South San Francisco, and described in the proposition of the South San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and the South San Francisco Land and Improvement Company; that the Finance Committee of this Board be recommended to arrange for its purchase.

On the above motion the vote was:

Supervisors Welch, Gallagher and Shannon—Aye.

Supervisor Todd—No.

And Supervisors Kent and Havenner excused from voting for the reason that they are members of the Finance Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD J. WELCH.
WARREN SHANNON.
ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
Chairman.

Statement of Supervisors Hayden, Colman and Harrelson on Proposed Charter Amendment No. 37.

The following was presented by Supervisor Hayden and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

Vote "no" on Amendment 37. Keep the Police, Fire, Civil Service and School Departments out of petty politics.

Charter Amendment No. 37 is a bald and tricky attempt to give to the Board of Supervisors powers which do not belong to the Board and which the framers of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco never intended that the Supervisors should have. If adopted, it will throw all administrative departments of the City Government into the political arena and make them the object of attack, through the Board of Supervisors, of every group of citizens who can influence six votes on the Board, because six Supervisors can bring any appointive official to trial on any charge they may desire to make.

The amendment provides that all the Mayor's appointments to Commissions must have the ratification of the Board of Supervisors. This would take away from the Mayor his authority, but would not reduce, one iota, his great responsibility to the people of San Francisco.

The amendment would create a condition of dual authority as between the Mayor, who is, under the Charter, responsible for the operation and conduct of the several departments controlled by the Boards and Commissions appointed by him, and the Board of Supervisors. Not only could the Supervisors penalize departments, through appropriations, but they could, in addition, place all the Boards and Commissions in a position where each of their acts must be considered in the light of its possible reaction politically on the Board of Supervisors.

Under Charter Amendment No. 37 the Supervisors could remove every member of the Mayor's office staff or even refuse to confirm the appointment by the Mayor of his own private secretary.

The citizens elect a Mayor and give him comprehensive powers of generally supervising the conduct of affairs in the various municipal departments, to the end that his administration may be properly and effectively conducted. If any department fails in performing its functions properly, the Mayor has the full responsibility. And if the Mayor fails to supervise properly the various departments, the people can, through the recall, remove the Mayor himself.

This procedure has been in effect for twenty-six (26) years and at no time has it proved unsatisfactory except to some group unable to secure their selfish ends—frequently ill-advised or illegitimate—through the pressure which they have attempted to bring to bear on appointed municipal officials.

It is now proposed by Charter Amendment No. 37 to alter this condition and to revert to a plan of municipal organization that has been universally condemned and which has proven a failure wherever it has been tried.

Should this amendment become operative, municipal departments, such as the Police, Fire, Health, School and, above all, Civil Service departments, would immediately become footballs whose every act would necessarily have to be determined by whether or not it would be possible for those urging a particular line of action to secure six members of the Board of Supervisors who will be willing to drag into a public trial the members of some commission whose acts had not met with the approbation of some particular group.

Should this situation prevail and members of Commissions be subjected to political whims and political scheming, it would be practically impossible to secure the acceptance of appointive office by any decent citizen.

The experience of the undersigned on the Board of Supervisors has demonstrated to us time and time again that it is a comparatively simple matter for any group who may pretend to have political influence to secure the sympathetic ear of at least six Supervisors—all that are required under Amendment 37 to bring any appointive official of the City to trial.

If the Mayor, whoever he might be in the future, is to be held responsible for the acts of those officials whom he appoints, this responsibility cannot and must not be divided. Charter Amendment No. 37 means chaos in our City Government, it means a return to the old "spoils system", it means a lowering of efficiency and the advent of a type of political wire-pulling that will work against this City's best interests.

We are confident that the voters of this City do not wish to see the Police, Fire and Health Departments, and the Board of Education, now among the most efficient in the United States, made subservient to politics. We are sure that the voters do not wish to cripple the Civil Service Commission, which has staunchly stood between municipal employees and their arbitrary removal from employment.

If you, the voters, desire to see maintained the high standard of achievement which these and the other departments of the City Government have always stood for, and if you want to see San Francisco go ahead with its present high development, vote "NO" on Charter Amendment No. 37—the most reactionary and dangerous amendment that has been submitted to the people in a quarter of a century.

Vote "NO" on Amendment 37.

Keep our municipal departments clean and kill the spoils system which this amendment attempts to establish.

Kindly note facsimile of Amendment 37 on paper ballots and voting machines.

Paper Ballot *Vote No*

37	*Appointment to and Removal from Office.* Provides for the ratification by Board of Supervisors of Mayor's appointments to Commissions, and for their removal for cause by said Board.	YES —— NO	—— X

Voting Machine *Vote No*

YES	Amendment 37 *Appointment to and Removals from Office* Provides for the ratification by Board of Supervisors of Mayor's appointments to Commissions, and for their removal for cause by said Board.	NO

J. EMMET HAYDEN,
JESSE C. COLMAN,
WM. H. HARRELSON,
Members of the Board of Supervisors.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26332 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following name claimants, to-wit:

Municipal Railway Fund.

(1) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., armature and axles for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 9, 1926), $1,510.13.

(2) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Oct 7, 1926), $6,761.13.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(3) Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway right of way (claim dated Oct. 9, 1926), $933.24.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(4) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $4,100.

(5) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $1,448.85.

(6) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 8, 1926), $650.40.

(7) Pope & Talbot, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $601.59.

(8) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium covering Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $2,599.29.

County Road Fund.

(9) James M. Smith, first payment, improvement of Market street extension from Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $7,200.

(10) Pacific States Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Fillmore street, Cervantes to Marina boulevards (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $4,978.55.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(11) F. G. Dyer, monitor battery nozzles, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $2,300.

(12) M. Greenberg's Sons, hydrant bodies, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $1,192.50.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co., one air compressor and accessories, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1926), $3,481.20.

(14) C. B. Eaton, fourth payment, construction of sewers, Sunset District, Contract No. 1 (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $9,300.

(15) Louis J. Cohn, fourth payment, construction of sewers, Sunset District, Contract No. 2 (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $9,300.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(16) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $7,967.03.

(17) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $905.

(18) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $8,359.67.

(19) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,115.79.

(20) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $4,250.55.

(21) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,414.03.

(22) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,315.78.

(23) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,837.70.

(24) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $4,352.22.

(25) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $11,615.99.

(26) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct 11, 1926), $26,993.99.

(27) St. Catherine's Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $529.04.

(28) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, State School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $920.66.

(29) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $1,521.90.

(30) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for October (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $625.

(31) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Oct. 8, 1926), $1,734.40.

(32) Symon Brothers, street

cleaning teams (claim dated Oct. 8, 1926), $747.50.

(32a) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Oct. 8, 1926), $1,849.94.

(33) Lazare-Klein Co., dry goods, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $2,758.72.

(34) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $2.079.10.

(35) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $1,518.88.

(36) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $2,034.63.

(37) H. Moffat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $579.69.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1926), $916.15.

(39) H. S. Crocker Co., stationery for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $686.14.

(40) Buckley & Curtin, printing for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $695.

(41) The Envelope Corporation, envelopes and printing (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $820.13.

(42) Levison Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $536.53.

(43) John Kitchen, Jr., printing for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $778.62.

(44) R. L. Polk & Co., city directories for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $525.

(45) H. S. Crocker & Co., printing for departments (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $583.

(46) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for September, 1926 (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $50,883.10.

Park Fund.

(47) Hayman Building Supply Co., loam for Harding Park golf links (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $1,000.

(48) G. W. Price Pump & Engine Co., one pump for Harding Park (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $2,135.

(49) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $517.79.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(50) Capital Decorating & Manufacturing Company, decorating Market street, Dolores street and Auditorium, etc., for Sesquicentennial Celebration, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $1,650.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(51) John J. Dailey, legal services for October, valuation of electric properties by Railroad Commission (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $850.

(52) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for October, valuation of electric properties by Railroad Commission (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $750.

(53) H. G. Butler, services rendered in connection with valuation of electric properities by Railroad Commission (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $600.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriations for Purchase of Land for Widening State Highway South of Colma.

Resolution No. 26333 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of the $150,000 set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series), to the hereinafter mentioned claimants, being payments incident to the widening of the State Highway south of Colma, San Mateo County, California, to-wit:

(1) To the Cypress Lawn Cemetery Association, care of California Highway Commission, Division IV, to cover cost of removing improvements on strip of land taken and used for highway purposes and for damages to adjacent property (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $7,500.

(2) To Henry Kempf, care of California Highway Commission, Division IV, to cover cost of removing improvement on strip of land taken and used for highway purposes and for damage to adjacent property (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $2,730.

(3) To Odd Fellows' Cemetery Association, care of California Highway Commission, Division IV, to cover cost of removing improvements on strip of land taken in connection with widening of State Highway (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $2,000.

(4) To Congregation Emanu-El, care of California Highway Commission, Division IV, to cover cost of removing improvements on strip of land taken and used for highway purposes (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $1,350.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Mc-

Sheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriations for Purchase of Land Required for Bernal Cut Rights of Way.

Resolution No. 26334 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for lands required for the opening and widening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Frank Harry Avery Jackson and Sophie Jackson for Lot 16, Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26238 (New Series), (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $4,407.

(2) To Walter E. Sullivan and Anna E. Sullivan, for Lot No. 1, Block 6690, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26238 (New Series), (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $5,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriations for Acquisition of Land Required for Castro Street Widening and Improvement Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Resolution No. 26335 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund for the widening and improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated claimants, being payments for lands required for the said widening and improvement of Castro street, to-wit:

(1) To Mrs. E. C. R. La Chapelle, for lands commencing at point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the easterly line of Castro street, and running easterly on said southerly line of Twenty-ninth street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 114 feet; of uniform dimensions. 30x114 feet, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26237 (New Series); (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $2,350.

(2) To San Francisco Home Building Company, for lands commencing at a point of intersection of the easterly line of Castro street with the southerly line of Day street and running easterly on said line of Day street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 164 feet; of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26234 (New Series); (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $3,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriations for Acquisition of Land Required for Widening of San Jose Avenue and of Garfield Street.

Resolution No. 26336 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated claimants, being payments for lands required for the widening of San Jose avenue and of Garfield street, to-wit:

(1) To Rose Welsch, for all of Lots Nos. 1 and 3 in Block No. 6777, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26236 (New Series); (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), (San Jose avenue widening), $2,500.

(2) To Western Land & Reclamation Company, for lands beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Beverly street with the southerly line of Garfield street and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Beverly street 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 116.60 feet more or less to the westerly boundary of Block 2 of City Land; thence northerly along said westerly boundary 12 feet to the southerly line of Garfield street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 116 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26240 (New Series); (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), (Garfield street widening), $792.50.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Land Required for Ocean View Playground.

Resolution No. 26337 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund—Appropriation 49, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for property required for the Ocean View Playground, to-wit:

(1) To John Rogers, care of Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, for portion of Lot 4, Block "P," Railroad Homestead Association (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $4,700.

(2) To Owen Maguire, care of Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, for portion of Lot 4, Block "S," Railroad Homestead Association (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $1,900.

(3) To Raymond F. Fitzpatrick, for portion of Lot 4, Block "S," Railroad Homestead Association (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), care of Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, $900.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvements to Various Streets.

Resolution No. 26338 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Geneva avenue from Prague street to the county line of San Mateo County, including inspection and possible extras (Fay Imp. Co. award at $19,313.64), $20,500.

(2) For the improvement and construction of the Bernal Heights boulevard, $19,000.

(3) For the improvement of Douglass street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, City's portion, $17,500.

(4) For lands required for, and construction of the Bay Shore Highway, $135 000.

(5) For lands required for, and construction of the Ocean Shore Right of Way boulevard, $45,000.

(6) For lands required for, and construction of the Bernal Cut boulevard, $135,000.

(7) For construction of Grand View avenue boulevard, $25,000.

(8) For construction of the San Jose avenue boulevard, Carter street to Monterey boulevard, $27,000.

(9) For the widening and construction of Silver avenue boulevard, $22,500.

(10) for the widening and improvement of Garfield street, Junipero Serra boulevard to Grafton avenue, $18,000.

(11) For the improvement of Greenwich street, Polk to Larkin streets, $5 000.

(12) Improvement of Steiner street, Green to Union streets, $5,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriations for Publicity and Advertising Expense, Raising High Pressure Mains on Sixth Street, Plumbing, Etc., Engine House No. 19, and Construction of Islais Creek Drainage Culvert.

Resolution No. 26339 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(1) For expense incident to receptions to touring railroad traveling passenger agents and ticket agents during their stay in San Francisco, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco, $2,000.

Extension of High Pressure System—Budget Item 75.

(2) For expense of raising existing high pressure water mains in Sixth street between Howard and Harrison streets, made necessary on account of reconstruction of the street (to the credit of Budget Item No. 90), $4,200.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(3) For the cost of plumbing, gas fitting and mechanical equipment for Fire Department, Engine House No. 19, Third and Arthur streets, by the Department of Public Works, $4,500.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 39.

(4) For construction of the Islais Creek Drainage Culvert, including inspection and possible ex-

tras (award to Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co. at $2,130), $2,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Sunset Tunnel Construction Appropriation.

Resolution No. 26340 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $34,801.05 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment to Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.) out of Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund; being 4th payment for the construction of the Duboce-Sunset Tunnel (claim dated October 4, 1926).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26341 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(1) Elliot & Grant, second payment, general construction, Fire Department, Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $2,382.75.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(2) Crown Electric Co., fourth payment, electrical work for Children's Ward, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $676.50.

(3) John Spargo, first payment, construction of photograph gallery, Hall of Justice (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $4,050.

(4) Thos. Skelly, second payment. plumbing work, Children's Ward, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,881.83.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(5) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., building of frame house, etc., on pier at Dumbarton (claim dated Oct. 1, 1926), $1,034.10.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(6) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 2, 1926), $613.41.

(7) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chains, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 2, 1926), $955.76.

(8) Sonora Meat Market, meats furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $2,995.12.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(9) First National Bank of Redwood City (assignee of M. E. Ryan), fourteenth payment, electrical work for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $1,156.41.

(10) F. W. Snook Co., twentieth payment, plumbing, new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $3,623.44.

(11) F. W. Snook, eighteenth payment, mechanical equipment for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $6,546.53.

Special School Tax.

(12) Standard Electrical Construction Co., fourth payment, electric work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $663.75.

(13) O. Monson, sixth payment, general construction, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $33,513.75.

(14) Grant & Hart, first payment, general construction of Noe Valley Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $3,870.

(15) Scott Co., second payment, heating work, Annex to Parkside School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $505.13.

(16) Vogt & Davidson, first payment, general construction of Spring Valley School (lcaim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $4,035.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(17) J. L. Stuart Mfg. Co., gymnasium mats for Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $559.20.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(18) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., desks for the Alvarado School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $715.50.

(19) L. Flatland, extra electrical work, Addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $695.

(20) Crown Electric Co., second payment, electrical work, West Portal School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $899.77.

(21) O. Monson, fifth payment, general construction, West Portal School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $18,157.50.

(22) Scott Company, first payment, mechanical equipment for Edison School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $2,583.

(23) Crown Electric Co., third payment, electrical work for Edison School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $556.80.

(24) W. & J. Sloane, first and final payment, window and door shades for the Alvarado School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $942.

(25) James L. McLaughlin, eleventh payment, general construction of Lafayette School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $16,908.75.

(26) Anderson & Ringrose, first payment, general construction, Annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $19,230.

(27) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing for the Alamo School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $538.

(28) MacDonald & Kahn, fourteenth payment, construction of new Mission High School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $41,076.03.

(29) R. W. Moller, first payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $16,827.75.

(30) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, plumbing for Edison School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $945.90.

(31) Amoroso & Damico, fifth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $12,472.50.

(32) J. E. O'Mara, second payment, mechanical equipment for West Portal School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,005.71.

(33) A. Lettich, sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $2,006.24.

(34) A. Lettich, second payment, mechanical equipment for Guadalupe School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,083.75.

(35) H. A. Porter, third payment, electrical work for Henry Durant School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $3,188.40.

(36) Crown Electrical Co., tenth payment, electrical work for new Mission High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $2,250.18.

(37) Latourette-Fical Co., eleventh payment, mechanical equipment for new Mission High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $2,291.29.

(38) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, plumbing for West Portal School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,018.51.

(39) Latourette-Fical Co., seventh payment, mechanical equipment for Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,069.35.

(40) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, electrical work for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,407.60.

(41) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1926), $1,776.19.

(42) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., second payment, electrical work for Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Oct. 5. 1926), $2,063.07.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(43) Department of Public Works (Bureau of Accounts, Appropriation 32-B), reimbursement for expenditures for account of block books (claim dated Oct. 7, 1926), $1,046.56.

(44) Standard Oil Co., gasoline furnished City Hall Garage (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $602.80.

(45) Dieterich-Post Co., blue print paper (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $523.18.

(46) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing during July (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $1,641.50.

(47) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $1,693.75.

(48) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., street repair sand and rock (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $666.66.

(49) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Civic Center power house (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,628.90.

(50) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing bridge franchise proceedings (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,967.

(51) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,075.

(52) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending Sept. 30 (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $660.

(53) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $869.70.

(54) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,109.85.

(55) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $1,051.27.

(56) Holbook, Merrill & Stetson, hardware for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $817.77.

(57) Philadelphia Shoe Co., shoes for Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1926), $558.

(58) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,308.08.

(59) Morris & Co., butter for Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,252.72.

(60) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,915.20.

(61) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $816.60.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(62) American Laundry Machinery Co., eight-roll flat work ironer for San Francisco Hospital, less credit (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $10,147.

Playground Fund.

(63) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $1,494.31.

(64) Hugo H. Haun, payment on account of construction of Chinatown Playground (claim dated Oct. 6, 1926), $7,500.

Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55.

(65) Pelicano, Rossi & Co., furnishing of floral float, flags, etc., for Admission Day Celebration, Sacramento, Cal., Seut. 9, 1926, for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $776.

(66) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $542.65.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(67) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of valuation of properties of the Pacific Gas & Electric Co. and the Great Western Power Co. (claim dated Oct. 11, 1926), $1,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, Repairs to Roof of San Francisco Hospital; Additions to Light Well, Hall of Justice.

Resolution No. 26342 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Improvements, San Francisco Hospital, Budget Item No. 84.

(1) For expense of material and labor necessary for the continuing of repair to roof of the San Francisco Hospital, $3,000.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(2) For cost of construction of additions in the light well space at the Hall of Justice, per award to John Spargo, $7,440.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, $313,873, Construction of Hearst-Moulder School.

Resolution No. 26343 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of the Hearst-Moulder School, Webster, Page and Oak streets, to-wit:

(1) General construction (Anderson & Ringrose), $257,673.

(2) Electrical work (Edward F. Dowd), $11,100.

(3) Plumbing work (A. Lettich), $15,200.

(4) Mechanical equipment (Reliable Plumbing Co.), $14,900.

(5) Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $15,000.

Total, $313,873.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriations, Air-Brake Equipment, Municipal Railway, and Car Trucks, Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. 26344 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the furnishing of air-brake equipment for Municipal street cars, Contract No. 149-D, awarded to Westinghouse Traction Brake Company, including inspection and possible extras, $9,300.

(2) For furnishing car trucks for the Municipal Railways, Contract 149-E, awarded to J. G. Brill Co., including inspection, $29,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, $6,000 for Making Surveys, Road From Mather or Hog Ranch to Junction With Old Tioga Road.

Resolution No. 26345 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,000

be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Revenue Fund for the expense of making surveys in connection with the construction of a road from Mather or Hog Ranch to the junction with the old Tioga road, for which the City is liable under the Raker Act. To be expended under the direction of the United States Bureau of Roads.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26346 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Baker Oil Burner Co., 261 Claremont boulevard, 500 gallons capacity.

M. F. Baldocchi, 670 Funston avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cahill Bros., northeast corner of Pine and Sansome streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Anderson, northwest corner of Twelfth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. L. Nelson, east side of Mallorca way, 79 feet 3 inches south of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John A. Percy, 101 Spruce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray, southwest corner of Broadway and Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. I. Zemansky, east side of Eighteenth avenue, 125 feet north of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Thos. Sherman and Thos. R. Smith, 1018 Fell street, 25-horsepower boiler.

Vegoil Products Co., 228 Commercial street, 15-horsepower boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 26347 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Atlas Heating & Ventilating Co., north side of Lombard street, 75 feet west of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Commercial Centre Realty Co., 617 Montgomery street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. C. Darlington, west side of Twenty-seventh avenue, 275 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Chas. A. Donohue, 4702 Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, south side of Lombard street, 100 feet east of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of San Fernando way, between St. Francis boulevard and Portola drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, northeast corner of Lombard and Stockton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Gottschalk, 12 Presidio terrace, 600 gallons capacity.

E. Grundy, 3541 Seventeenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Gunn, 235 St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Hohfeld, 2500 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hunter Dulin Co., southwest corner of Sutter and Montgomery streets, two tanks of 5000 gallons capacity each.

B. Joseph, north side of El Camino, 200 feet east of Sea Cliff avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., 2727 Pierce street, 750 gallons capacity.

Nate Levy, 1343 Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. H. C. Myers, east side of Twenty-second avenue, 200 feet north of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sheehan & Woolfrey, southeast corner of Twenty-fourth and Capp streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Silverstein, 50 Palm avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southeast corner of Francisco and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southwest corner of Twelfth avenue and Lincoln way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, north side of Clay street, 107 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Trisat, 3512 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

A. J. Zadig, 2255 Franklin street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26348 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. J. Dunkel be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Tony Peters by Resolution No. 25299 (New Series), for premises at 419 Larkin street.

The rights granted upon this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26349 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Maher be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the southwest corner of First and Tehama streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26350 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That N. L. Ferrari be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Mission street and Highland avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Ordering the Improvement of Masonic Avenue Between Oak and Fell Streets.

Bill No. 7776, Ordinance No. 7283 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Masonic avenue between Oak street and Fell street, authorizing the preparations of plans and specifications for said work and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the improvement of Masonic avenue between Oak street and Fell street in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article 6, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance.

Bill No. 7775, Ordinance No. 7284 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Broadway, Laguna

street, Buchanan street and Pacific avenue in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District, where not already so zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Amending License Tax Ordinance.

Bill No. 7772, Ordinance No. 7285 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 36 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing license taxes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 36 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 36. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any public roller or ice skating rink shall pay therefor a license of ten (10) dollars per day or forty (40) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any revolving wheel, chute, toboggan slide or other mechanical contrivance where a fee or sum of money is charged to carry any person thereon, whose total receipts amount to fifteen hundred (1500) dollars or more per quarter shall pay a license of one hundred (100) dollars per quarter. If such total receipts are less than fifteen hundred (1500) dollars per quarter such person, firm or corporation shall pay a license of forty (40) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any merry-go-round or swing where a fee or sum of money is charged to carry any person thereon shall pay a license fee of twenty (20) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any museum, panarama or cyclorama where an admission fee is charged, or phonograph parlor, or any collection of machines operated for the entertainment or amusement of the public shall pay a license of fifty (50) dollars per quarter.

The Tax Collector shall not issue a license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct a public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, tobaggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, moving picture exhibition, panorama, cyclorama or any mechanical contrivance used to carry passengers, under the provisions of this section, unless the applicant therefor shall have first obtained from the Board of Police Commissioners a permit to conduct such public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, toboggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, panomara, cyclorama or mechanical contrivance; such permits shall be issued annually and may be revoked at any time by the Board of Police Commissioners, and upon the revocation of such permit such license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire.

The Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue any permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue any license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct a public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, toboggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, moving picture exhibition, panorama or cyclorama, or any mechanical contrivance used to carry passengers, within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line on the sides or rear of any church, school lot, or children's playground; provided, however, that this restriction shall not apply to buildings already erected or in course of erection, and especially designed to be used for any of the above purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Amending Building Law Relative to Theaters.

Bill No. 7773, Ordinance No. 7286 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Paragraphs Nos. 5, 6 and 7 in Section 175 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," relating to fire protection in theatres.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraphs Nos. 5, 6 and 7 in Section 175 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," are hereby amended to read as follows:

In Section 175:

(Fifth Paragraph.) A proper and sufficient quantity of one and one-half inch cotton, rubber-lined hose, not less than 100 feet, fitted with the regulation couplings of the Fire Department, and with regulation Fire Department shut-off nozzles at-

tached thereto, and with hose spanners at each outlet, shall always be kept attached to each hose attachment, as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may direct.

(Sixth Paragraph.) There shall also be kept in readiness for immediate use on the stage at least two casks of water, and two buckets to each cask. The casks and buckets shall be painted red.

(Seventh Paragraph.) There shall also be provided hand-pumps or other portable fire extinguishing apparatus, and at least four axes, and also two 25-foot hooks, two 15-foot hooks and two 10-foot hooks on each tier or floor of the stage.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Amending Zoning Law, San Bruno Avenue.

Bill No. 7774, Ordinance No. 7287 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of San Bruno avenue commencing at a point 200 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street and running thence southerly 233 feet and extending to a depth of 200 feet, in the Heavy Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Hyde Street Between North Point and Beach Streets.

Bill No. 7777, Ordinance No. 7288 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 13, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-four, to read as follows:

Section 934. The width of sidewalks on Hyde street between North Point street and Beach street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Guerrero Street Between Twentieth Street and San Jose Avenue.

Bill No. 7778, Ordinance No. 7289 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Nine Hundred and Thirty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 13, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Nine Hundred and Thirty-five, to read as follows:

Section 935. The width of sidewalks on Guerrero street between Twentieth street and San Jose avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall

take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Spur Track Permit, Western Pacific Railroad.

Bill No. 7779, Ordinance No. 7290 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Brannan street near Ninth street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the Applicant's Team Track No. 16, said point being approximately 80 feet westerly from the westerly line of Brannan street and approximately 219 feet northerly from the northerly line of Ninth street; thence in a general easterly direction with turnout to the left approximately 70 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 262.04 feet a distance of 37.42 feet, crossing the westerly line of said Brannan street, to a point in said Brannan street approximately 227 feet northerly from the northerly line of said Ninth street and approximately 26 feet easterly from the westerly line of said Brannan street; thence easterly tangent to said curve and approximately 227 feet northerly from and parallel with said northerly line of Ninth street a distance of approximately 328 feet, crossing the easterly line of said Brannan street, and also crossing applicant's team track No. 17 at a point approximately 15 feet westerly from the easterly line of said Brannan street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation.

Provided, that Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, shall erect and maintain all night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided girder rails shall be used in the construction of said spur track; that the existing sewer in Brannan street be reinforced, if necessary.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Improvement of Southern Heights Avenue.

Bill No. 7780, Ordinance No. 7291 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Southern Heights avenue, where not already improved, from Carolina street to Rhode Island street by the necessary paving and appurtenances; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for the improvement of Southern Heights avenue, where not already improved, from Carolina street to Rhode Island street by the necessary paving and appurtenances in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said improvement of Southern Heights avenue, where not already improved, from Caro-

lina street to Rhode Island street conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Ordering Street Work, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7781, Ordinance No. 7292 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 5, 1926, having recommended the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following: Lee Avenue between Holloway and Lake View avenues; Grafton avenue between Harold and Faxon avenues; Ashton avenue between De Montford and Grafton avenues; Capitol avenue between Holloway and Lake View avenues; Faxon avenue between Holloway and Lake View avenues; westerly one-half of Harold avenue between Holloway and Lake View avenues; easterly one-half of Harold avenue between Bruce avenue and the southerly line of Grafton avenue extended; Granada avenue between Holloway and Lake View avenues; De Montford avenue between Miramar and Capitol avenues; De Montford avenue between Jules and Faxon avenues; Jules avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues; Brighton avenue between Grafton and Lake View avenues; Holloway avenue between Lee and Brighton avenues; Miramar avenue between Grafton and Lake View avenues, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Bill No. 7782, Ordinance No. 7293 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 5, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the

PUBLIC LIBRARY

said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Thirty-sixth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Twenty-eighth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Twenty-fourth avenue between Taraval and Vicente streets; Twenty-third avenue between Taraval and Vicente streets; Ulloa street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-ninth avenues; Ulloa street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Bill No. 7783, Ordinance No. 7294 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 5, 1926, having recommended the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Nineteenth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street; Forty-eighth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Twenty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets; Thirty-eighth avenue between Geary and Anza streets; Anza street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues; Cabrillo street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues; Hearst avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Ordering Reconstruction and Repair of Certain Accepted Streets.

Bill No. 7784, Ordinance No. 7295 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $11,700, payable out of the hereinafter designated Budget Items for the Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 121—Francisco street, Powell street to Grant avenue, $7,200.

Budget Item No. 124—Leavenworth street, Vallejo street to Green street, $4,500.

Total, $11,700.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $53,220.56, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $9,309.91.

(2) R. W. Kinney Co., blackpipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $3,571.70.

(3) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $595.06.

(4) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $2,017.84.

(5) Pope & Talbot, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $599.04.

(6) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $594.87.

(7) A. M. Scott Tire Co., tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $562.72.

(8) Tansey-Crowe Co., tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $599.28.

(9) Water Construction Fund, covering one Lidgerwood cableway furnished for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 14, 1926), $7,158.97.

(10) Western Meat Co., foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $587.89.

(11) The White Co., auto truck parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $544.80.

(12) Wilsey Bennett Co., foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $669.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(13) Blake, Moffitt & Towne, trolley cord for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $719.22.

(14) Market Street Railway Co., September reimbursement, under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $1,536.06.

(15) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $3,100.41.

(16) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service, Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $36,629.26.

Auditorium Fund.

(17) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Auditorium (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $706.78.

Tearing Up Street Fund.

(18) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $521.22.

Library Fund.

(19) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $700.

(20) Foster & Futernick Co., binding public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $724.65.

(21) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,999.16.

(22) San Francisco News Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,473.62.

(23) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $4,405.37.

Park Fund.

(24) Armour & Co., foodstuffs for parks (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $651.63.

(25) California Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $717.78.

(26) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $1,020.45.

(27) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $607.60.

(28) Frank G. White, fourth and final payment, plans and specifications for piers and wharves at Aquatic Park (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $1,000.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(29) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., chairs for Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $577.50.

(30) Sherman, Clay & Co., two pianos for High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $647.50.

(31) J. L. Stuart Mfg. Co., gymnasium mats for High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $559.20.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(32) Heywood, Wakefield Co., chair desks for Le Conte School (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $2,627.70.

(33) Park Commission, labor and material in planting grounds of High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $550.

(34) Bond Construction Co., final payment, construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $800.

(35) F. L. Hansen, eighth payment, construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $24,170.26.

(36) John Galen Howard, final payment, architectural service for Le Conte School (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $3,330.91.

(37) John Reid, Jr., fifteenth payment, architectural service for new Mission High School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $2,161.14.

(38) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing and gasfitting for Galileo High School Gymnasium (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $1,968.

(39) Herman Lawson, seventh payment, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne School (claim dated Oct. 20, 1926), $3,933.47.

(40) Scott Company, final payment, mechanical equipment for additional units to the Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 20, 1926), $1,987.12.

Special School Tax.

(41) Carl H. Peterson, seventh payment, general construction of Annex to Parkside School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $3,551.10.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(42) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels, blankets for new Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 21, 1926), $958.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(43) Clarence B. Eaton, first payment, improvement of City lands, Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets (claim dated Oct. 20, 1926), $5,100.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(44) John Kitchen, Jr., printing for Departments (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $1,357.13.

(45) Mercury Press, printing for Departments (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $530.56.

(46) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $1,353.90.

(47) California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $655.

(48) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $1,123.74.

(49) J. A. Bryant, fifth payment, construction of club house at Kezar Stadium, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $11,195.

(50) City Coal Co., wood and coal, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $614.05.

(51) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $880.39.

(52) General Engineering & Dry Dock Co., repairs and painting of fire boats Scannel and Sullivan (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $2,274.40.

(53) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,728.74.

(54) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $507.25.

(55) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,171.04.

(56) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and installing and removing hydrants, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,417.90.

(57) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowance for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,218.

(58) Lewis Manufacturing Co., drug sundries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $512.

(59) H. Moffat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $973.21.

(60) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, San Francisco

Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $2,071.20.

(61) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,991.90.

(62) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $2,242.40.

(63) Morris & Co., butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,904.

(64) San Francisco International Fish Co., fish for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $519.70.

(65) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,452.98.

(66) Bernhard Mattress Co., beds for new Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $2,050.

(67) McCarthy Bros., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $650.

(68) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Oct 15, 1926), $526.80.

(69) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., resurfacing of streets (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $1,381.88.

(70) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $3,551.74.

(71) Joseph Fitzpatrick, fifth payment, per award by State Industrial Accident Commission, employee of Dept. of Public Works (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $2,688.24.

(72) Louis T. Samuels, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street for period Oct. 4 to Nov. 4, 1926 (claim dated Oct. 25, 1926), $1,186.

Authorizing Payments for Properties Required for Widening and Improving Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payment for properties required for widening and improving boulevards, to-wit:

(1) To Annie Curry, for property beginning at intersection of the northwesterly line of Huron avenue and the northeasterly line of Mt. Vernon avenue, running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Huron avenue 240 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 40 feet; of uniform dimensions 40 x 240 feet, and required for improvement of Alemany boulevard (claimed dated Oct. 19, 1926), per Resolution No. 26307 (New Series), $12,960.

(2) To Frances P. Morse, for property beginning at intersection of southwesterly line of Charter Oak avenue with westerly boundary of the land conveyed to Frances P. Morse by deed recorded at page 491 of Volume 1032 of Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26308 (New Series); required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $26,174.

(3) To Giovanni and Maria D. Calderone, for property beginning at intersection of northerly line of Theresa street with southeasterly line of San Jose avenue; running thence northerly on southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 50.850 feet; being portions of the Demartini Tract; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26311 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $1,995.

(4) To Julia A. Brown and Frank W. Brown, for property on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, commencing 50.850 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Tingley street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.300 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26311 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), required for widening of San Jose avenue boulevard. $1,700.

(5) To Frances Michel, for property commencing at intersection of the southwesterly line of Gorham street with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 50.042 feet; thence southeasterly, parallel with Gorham street, 17.423 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26311 (New Series); required for widening of San Jose avenue boulevard (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $2,583.

(6) To Charles Schopke and Anna Schopke, for property beginning at point of intersection of the westerly line of Ramsell street with the southerly line of Garfield street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 75 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; of uniform dimensions 75 x 12 feet; required for the widening of Garfield street (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), per Resolution No. 26312 (New Series), $642.

Authorizing Payment to J. D. Allen et al. for Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,750 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No.

77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to J. D. Allen and Cecelia Allen, care of California Pacific Title Insurance Co.; being payment for Lot 24, Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Map of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26309 (New Series); required for the opening of Bernal Cut. (Claim dated Oct. 20, 1926.)

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Mrs. James Conlin, north side of Broadway, 75 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. L. Nelson, east side of Mallorca way, 79 feet 3 inches south of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

American O. T. Co., Ltd., 226 Jackson street, 10 horsepower.

The Tire Exchange, 561 Golden Gate avenue, 35 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ross Wilson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Sacramento and Front streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That I. C. Malnburg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Taraval street, 107 feet 6 inches west of Twenty-eighth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit Denied.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 26351 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied F. H. Wong to maintain and operate a laundry at 635 Clay street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Investigation of Conditions in Butchertown.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26352 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has been called to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that conditions in the district surrounding the so-called "hog ranches" are of such a nature as to require instant investigation and action to safeguard public welfare; and

Whereas, it is of pressing importance to the people of San Francisco that the "hog ranches" in this City be conducted in such manner, and the territory surrounding the same be safeguarded and protected to such extent that the men, women and children of this municipality shall be secure in the proper enjoyment of their dwelling places and their health and comfort fully subserved; and

Whereas, the maintenance of conditions which may be deleterious to public health and welfare, if found upon investigation to exist, calls urgently for the intervention of public officials in the enforcement of existing laws and for legislative action if more adequate laws be necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the Board of Health be and the same is hereby called upon to make an immediate and a thorough investigation of the manner of conduct and the health conditions in the district surrounding and in the so-called "hog ranches" and to report to the Board of Supervisors without delay the details and results of such investigation, the adequacy or inadequacy of existing legislation respecting supervision, regulation and other necessary health precautions, and the recommendations of said Board of Health respecting necessary legislative action, if any be required; and be it

Further Resolved, That the assistance and cooperation of all persons, firms and corporations conducting establishments in said district is requested and solicited to the end that such investigation may be thorough and complete, and the results

thereof mutually advantageous to the people of San Francisco and the conduct of legitimate industry.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26353 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to change, remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Southwest corner Haight and Gough streets.

South side Haight street, 130 feet west of Gough street.

South side Haight street, 270 feet west of Gough street.

Southwest corner Haight and Octavia streets.

South side Haight street, 206 feet west of Octavia street.

Southeast corner Haight and Laguna streets.

South side Haight street, 114 feet west of Laguna street.

North side Haight street, 310 feet west of Gough street.

Northeast corner Haight and Octavia streets.

North side Haight street, 103 feet west of Octavia street.

North side Haight street, 310 feet west of Octavia street.

Northwest corner Haight and Laguna streets.

North side Haight street, 157 feet west of Laguna street.

North side Haight street, 246 feet west of Laguna street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

South side Haight street, 135 feet west of Gough street.

Northeast corner Haight and Octavia streets.

South side Haight street, 135 feet west of Octavia street.

Northeast corner Haight and Laguna streets.

South side Haight street, 135 feet west of Laguna street.

Reconstruct lighting system on Market street from Haight street to Castro street by the installation of 600 C. P. Ornamental Brackets, all-night lights, on each alternate trolley pole.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

City to Assume Cost of Haight Street Lighting.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26354 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City take over payment of difference in cost between 400 C. P. and 600 C. P. Ornamental Bracket Lights on Haight street, involving an expense of $25 a month, heretofore paid by Haight & Ashbury Improvement Club for ornamental lighting on said street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Approval of Constitutional Amendment No. 25.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Resolution No. 26355 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board endorses and commends to the voters a favorable consideration of Constitutional Amendment No. 25, providing for the partial retirement of judges after a service of twenty-four years at a pension of half salary.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Welch—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Traffic Ordinance Relative to Arterial Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. 7785, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating moving traffic of the public streets, relating to arterial streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 18-A. (a) An arterial street is hereby defined to be a main street connecting a central business district of the City with its environs, over which there is a large volume of moving traffic and which, by reason of its location, invites an unusual number of vehicles to use its facilities.

(b) Fell street between Market and Baker street and Fulton street between Van Ness avenue and Stanyan street, Bush and Pine streets between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue, Geary street between Presidio and Forty-third avenues, Mission street between the Embarcadero and the County line, Valencia street between Market and Mission streets, Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Twenty-fourth avenue from Geary street to Fulton street, Twenty-fourth street from Dolores street to Hoffman avenue, Post street between Mason street and Presidio avenue, and Lombard street between Van Ness avenue and Lyon street, are hereby declared to be arterial streets as defined in paragraph (a).

(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street, Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street, and Francisco street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street are hereby declared to be open public boulevards.

(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along a street declared to be an open public boulevard, and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be held to include vehicles carrying goods for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevard. All vehicles shall be permitted to travel upon said boulevard for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.

(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along a street declared to be an open public boulevard except as may be necessary to cross same at intersections.

(f) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any vehicle or motorman of any street car to cross an arterial street or a street declared to be an open public boulevard from an intersecting street unless such vehicle or street car shall have been brought to a full stop before entering the intersection of such arterial street or open public boulevard unless otherwise directed by a police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop or Go" or any other device or sign that shall be authorized installed and put in use and operation by the Police Department of said City and County.

Report Asked on Streets in Crocker Amazon Tract.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26356 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public streets in the Crocker Amazon Tract have been improved under private contract to the advantage of the City and the property owners thereon have paid the cost thereof and deserve to have the same kept in repair as in case of improvements made under public contract; therefore,

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works report to this Board a list of streets in the Crocker Amazon Tract that have been constructed and recommend to the Board what steps must be taken by the property owners so that these streets will be accepted by the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Board of Public Works to Recommend Acceptance of Streets in Forest Hill Tract.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 26357 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public streets in Forest Hill Tract have been improved under private contract to the advantage of the City and County of San Francisco and the property owners thereon have paid the cost thereof and deserve to have the same kept in repair as in case of improvements made under public contract; therefore,

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works report to this Board a list of streets in the Forest Hill Tract that have been constructed and to recommend that the same be accepted as provided in Section 23 of Chapter 2 of Article 6 of the Charter. October 18, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon. Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Rejecting Bids for Printing Proposal Blanks.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26358 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore, on October

18, 1926 (Proposal No. 243), submitted for furnishing the following, viz.: Printing proposal blanks that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing at date and ending June 30, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Motion Picture Machine, Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26359 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bid submitted October 11, 1926 (Proposal No. 246), to Walter G. Preddey for furnishing and installing complete the following, viz.:

Motion picture machine for Relief Home, more particularly described as follows, viz.:

1 Motiograph de luxe projector, complete with reflector lamp house, equipped with lens suitable for proper projection	$ 950
1 G. E. rectifier to convert 220v-3p A. C.....	225
1 Bruckert carbon spot light lamp and color wheel.....	71
Total	$1,246

Resolved, That said contractor shall furnish a bond in the sum of $300 for the faithful performance of this contract, including his guarantee to keep said article in good working condition for 90 days.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Counterpanes, Sheets, Etc., Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26360 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted October 18, 1926 (Proposal No. 250), for furnishing counterpanes, sheets and pillow cases for Relief Home as follows: viz.:

600 counterpanes, crochet white 72 x 84, sample No. 3, $1.85 each, Levi Strauss & Co.

100 dozen sheets, bleached, pequot, 63 x 99, $14.25 dozen, L. Dinkelspiel Co.

100 dozen pillow cases, bleached pequot, 36 x 45, $3.98 dozen, L. Dinkelpsiel Co.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of contract be furnished as follows, viz.:

Levi Strauss & Co., bond required, $300.

L. Dinkelspiel Co., bond required, $500.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the followig vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Linoleum.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26361 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to D. N. & E. Walter & Co. on bid submitted September 27, 1926 (Proposal No. 242), for furnishing the following, viz.: Linoleum that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing at date and ending June 30, 1927. Linoleum shall be in accordance with U. S. Government master specifications No. 209, battleship linoleum.

Light battleship, without laying, per square yard, $1.33½.

Medium battleship, without laying, $1.65.

Heavy battleship, without laying, $1.97½.

Extra charge for laying with tacks, 25 cents.

Extra charge for laying with cement solid, 35 cents.

Resolved, That said contractor shall furnish a bond of $100 for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Track Materials for Municipal Railway.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26362 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted October 11, 1926 (Proposal No. 249), for furnishing track materials for Municipal Railway as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1, 50 tons, 106-422 Lorain rail, no award.

Item No. 2, 325 pairs joint plates for Lorain 106-422, $5.05 per cwt., United States Steel Products Co.

Item No. 3, 20 kegs 1 x 4½ track bolt, $12.20 per keg, United States Steel Products Co.

Item No. 4, 5 kegs ⅞ x 4¾ track bolts, $12.20 per keg, United States Steel Products Co.

Item No. 5, 25 kegs 9/16 inch x 5½ inch track spikes, $6.60 per keg, Macaulay Steel & Equipment Co.

Item No. 6, 1900 6 inch x 8 inch x 8 feet split redwood ties, $1.02 per tie, Christenson Lumber Co.

Item No. 7, 150 tie rods ⅞ inch x 5 feet 0½ inch, 40 cents per rod, Payne Bolt Works.

Resolved, That bonds be furnished for the faithful performance of contract as follows, viz.:

United States Steel Products Co., bond required $200.

Macaulay Steel & Equipment Co., no bond required.

Christenson Lumber Co., bond required, $500.

Payne Bolt Works, no bond required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Special Session Condemned.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Havenner and recommended by Public Utilities Committee, was *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco deplores the action of the Governor in calling a special session of the Legislature just prior to his departure from office as an unnecessary expense upon the taxpayers of California, and a palpable political move to discredit and adversely affect the great constructive project now under consideration by Congress, relative to the proposal to dam the waters of the Colorado River.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Unfavorable Advertising.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26363 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has come to our attention that considerable propaganda has been broadcast to the detriment of San Francisco relative to the recent earthquakes; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Publicity and Welfare Committee be directed to meet and draft advertising literature concerning San Francisco as to its true physical condition, together with an estimate of cost to spread this information, both items to be reported back to this Board with dispatch for consideration.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Letter of Sympathy to Captain Henry Gleason.

Supervisor Hayden moved that a letter be sent Captain Henry Gleason expressing the sympathy of the Board of Supervisors during his present illness and with the hope that he will soon recover and again be on the job directing the traffic of San Francisco.

Carried.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Park Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26364 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Sam Dabovich to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate and being Lot No. 30, Block 6179, on Wilde avenue; also Lots Nos. 24 and 25 in Block 6212, on Sawyer street, required for park purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $300, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Being all of Lot No. 30 in Block

6179 and situate on Wilde avenue; also being Lots Nos. 24 and 25 in Block 6212, situate on Sawyer street. The full description of said lots herein referred to are to be more specifically described in the deed.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Acceping Offer of Peter C. Dodge to Sell Land for Park Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26365 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Peter C. Dodge to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the northeasterly line of Visitacion avenue, northwesterly from Carter street, required for park purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which the said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $375, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Visitacion avenue, distant thereon 389 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Carter street, running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of Visitacion avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet to the said northeasterly line of Visitacion avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Visitacion Valley Homestead Association, also known as Lot No. 4 in Block 6266 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing* on motion of Supervisor Stanton:

Lighting Committee to Appoint Expert.

Bill No. 7786, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Committee on Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity to appoint such engineers as may be necessary in carrying out the work of its survey for a proposed improved street lighting system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Committee on Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity is hereby authorized and directed to employ such engineers as may be necessary to carry on a survey for a proposed new street lighting system for San Francisco.

Section 2. This ordinance to take effect immediately.

Music Contracts.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 26366 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors upon the recommendation of the Auditorium Committee authorizes his Honor the Mayor to enter into contracts with the following named persons and associations

for musical entertainments to be held in the Auditorium as hereinafter set forth:

1. With the Musical Association of San Francisco for the services of the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for the performance of Handel's Oratorio, "The Messiah", on such date during the month of December, 1926, as may hereafter be agreed upon between the said Musical Association of San Francisco and the Auditorium Committee of the Board of Supervisors, the said Musical Association of San Francisco to be paid for said production the sum of three thousand one hundred and twenty-five ($3,125) dollars.

2. With the Musical Association of San Francisco for a series of five concerts to be given by the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra under the direction of Alfred Hertz, on the following dates, to-wit: November 16, 1926; December 3, 1926; January 25, 1927; February 15, 1927, and March 8, 1927; the said Musical Association of San Francisco to be paid the sum of two thousand ($2,000) dollars for each of said concerts.

3. With Cecelia Hansen, or her representative, to appear as a soloist at one of the said concerts to be given pursuant to the provisions of paragraph two hereof, and that said Cecelia Hansen, or her representative, be paid the sum of eight hundred ($800) dollars for her services as such soloist at said concert.

4. With Florence Anstral, or her representative, to appear as a soloist at one of the said concerts to be given pursuant to the provisions of paragraph two hereof, and that said Florence Anstral, or her representative, be paid the sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars for her services as such soloist at said concert.

5. With Alfred Cortot, or his representative, to appear as a soloist at one of the said concerts to be given pursuant to the provisions of paragraph two hereof, and that said Alfred Cortot, or his representative, be paid the sum of twelve hundred and fifty ($1,250) dollars for his services as such soloist at said concert.

6. With Guy Maier and Lee Pattison, or their representatives, to appear as soloists at one of the concerts to be given pursuant to the provisions of paragraph two hereof, and that said Guy Maier and Lee Pattison, or their representatives, be paid the sum of twelve hundred ($1,200) dollars for their services as soloists at said concert.

7. With Yehudi Menuhin, or his representative, to appear as a soloist at one of the said concerts to be given pursuant to the provisions of paragraph two hereof, and that said Yehudi Menuhin, or his representative, be paid the sum of twelve hundred and fifty-one ($1,251) dollars for his services as such soloist at said concert.

That the said sums be paid said association and said individuals upon the conclusion of the respective concert performances. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute for and on behalf of the City and County the necessary contracts with said association and individuals above named for the respective concerts and performances.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

Morning Sessions.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Resolution No. 26367 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the calendar of the Board of Supervisors is frequently so extensive that the people interested in various legislation are unable to present their views until late in the evening, frequently extending into the early hours of the morning; and

Whereas, it is impossible to complete the large amount of business coming before the Board at a seasonable hour; therefore, be it

Resolved, That beginning on Monday, October 25, 1926, the hour of meeting of the Board of Supervisors be changed from 2 p. m. to 10 a. m. of each Monday.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 3:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 20, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 44

Monday, November 1, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1926, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 1, 1926, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Welch—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Appointment of Lewis F. Byington Supervisor, Vice Richard J. Welch, Congressman-Elect, Resigned.

"San Francisco, Cal.,
November 1, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

At the Mayor's direction, I am enclosing herewith copy of the resignation of the Honorable Richard J. Welch from the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, effective October 31, 1926, and copy of the Mayor's acceptance of the resignation.

Very sincerely yours,
WM. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor."

"City and County of San Francisco.
October 26, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, Clerk's Office, Room 235, City Hall.

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

My dear Mayor:

Having been elected as a Representative in Congress from the Fifth Congressional District, I hereby respectfully tender my resignation as a member of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, the same to take effect October 31, 1926.

Yours respectfully,
(Signed) RICHARD J. WELCH."

"Mayor's Office,
San Francisco.
October 27, 1926.

Hon Richard J. Welch, 978 Guerrero street, San Francisco.

Dear Supervisor Welch:

It is with a feeling of regret that I accept your resignation from the Board of Supervisors, to take effect October 31, 1926. I am sorry that the City is to lose your valued services as a member of the Board of Supervisors, although, at the same time, I am happy that the City will gain through your broader services as Representative of the Fifth Congressional District in the United States Congress.

It has been a great pleasure for me to associate with you for so many years in an official capacity, and I want to congratulate you upon the splendid constructive work that you have done for San Francisco's betterment, not only during your tenure of office as Supervisor, but also prior to that, during your many years in the California State Senate.

Your promotion of the Skyline boulevard and of many other highway and public utility projects has made for you a record of which you may justly be proud, and I hope that in Washington, in a larger field, you may be even more successful as a legislator than you have been either in the State Senate or in the Board of Supervisors.

With kindest personal regards and with every good wish for your future welfare, believe me

Very sincerely yours.
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor."

"The City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

To all to whom these presents

shall come: Greeting: Reposing special confidence in the fidelity and ability of

LEWIS F. BYINGTON

I do, by these presents, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, appoint him a member of the Board of Supervisors in and for the City and County of San Francisco, he to hold said office for the term ending the first Monday after the first Sunday of January, 1928, from and after the 1st day of November, 1926, vice Richard J. Welch, resigned.

In testimony whereof, I have signed my name and have caused the seal of my office to be amxed hereto this 1st day of November, 1926.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Attest: EDWARD RAINEY, Executive Secretary to the Mayor."

Thereupon, the roll was called and the following members noted present:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd.—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri.—1.

Whereupon, the chair declared Supervisor Byington duly inducted into the office of Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Mayor: Now, Gentlemen of the Board, and my fellow citizens: You have heard of the resignation of Supervisor Welch, whom you elected with a very large vote three years ago to serve you as a Supervisor. You have elevated him to the position of Congressman from the Fifth District, where he will probably and undoubtedly remain for a great many years. His vacancy left it to the Mayor to fill. I looked all over this city and endeavored to find a man who would be helpful in advancing the progress of San Francisco, and I prevailed upon the Honorable Lewis F. Byington, whom you all know and whom all our citizens know, to serve. He was a Supervisor under James B. Phelan in 1901, and he was elected your District Attorney for three successive terms. He has been a very difficult man to get to reenter public life, and it was only by pressure, and only by urging him to serve with you and serve with me and serve our fellow-citizens that he has consented to act in the vacancy caused by the resignation of Supervisor Richard J. Welch. I hope, Mr. Byington, your term of office here will be pleasing. I thank you for accepting the appointment. I am sure all your colleagues will be gratified to know that you will serve with them, and you add dignity, knowledge, ability and all the attributes that are necessary to fill the office of a dignified Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco.

I would like to appoint a committee, consisting of Mr. McSheehy, Mr. Hayden and Mr. Harrelson to escort Mr. Byington to his seat, left vacant by the resignation of Supervisor Welch.

(Supervisor Byington is escorted to his seat by the committee named, amid great applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: Before the Board formally welcomes Mr. Byington, or Supervisor Byington, there are a number of citizens in the room who would like to have the opportunity of expressing their appreciation of your appointment, Mr. Mayor. And at this time I would like to ask for the privilege of the floor for Mr. Daniel A. Ryan.

Mr. Daniel A. Ryan: Mr. Mayor and gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors, ladies and gentlemen of San Francisco: With some of Lew Byington's associates, with thousands of his friends, with the whole people of San Francisco, we rejoice today at the induction into office of a Supervisor of San Francisco of our dear friend, Lew Byington. Mr. Mayor, for such appointment you are to be congratulated, and the the people of San Francisco, and lastly, and I say it designedly, Lewis F. Byington. The people of San Francisco will have the benefit of a man who, for many years, has served the city in all its civic strife and all its civic needs, and all its civic accomplishments. No man ever loved San Francisco more than Lew Byington. Whenever an old landmark was found and some memento went to mark the place, the eloquent tongue of Lewis F. Byington brought back the sentiments of early days and once more enlivened the hearts of the people of San Francisco. Whenever some civic affair occurred in San Francisco Lew Byington was to the forefront. Whenever a delegation was sent into any other State or any other Nation, Lew Byington was its spokesman, bespeaking San Francisco, its aspiration, its loves and its desires. No matter who came to these shores, Lew Byington was in the forefront. And now that you have him among the members of

your Board, Mr. Mayor, and without detracting at all from your magnetic personality, bring on your queen or anyone else visiting San Francisco. (Laughter and applause.) I say that knowingly because, notwithstanding all his other accomplishments, Lew Byington remained devoted wholly to San Francisco. Neither wife nor child divided his time from his native city. So we congratulate you, gentlemen of the Board, we congratulate you, Mr. Mayor. And, bespeaking particularly and immediately for his brothers and the Native Sons and the Native Daughters of the Golden West, success go with you, Lew Byington, continue on, and may you, for years, continue to serve the city you love so well. (Applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: If there are any other citizens who wish to address the Board, under these circumstances, I think it would be now opportune to extend the invitation to them.

The Mayor: The Supervisors have invited any who desire to say a few words at this time to do so.

Mr. Joseph Cumings: I want to say something too. Of course, Mr. Ryan is Past President of the Native Sons, but I want to say that, in 1895, the first year I went to the Grand Parlor, I was one of the guard that got together and determined that the best man we could ever have for a Grand President of the Native Sons was Lew Byington, and in my Parlor of the Native Sons we organized the fight and put him over then and made him the Grand President of the Native Sons. Afterwards we were proud to elect him a Supervisor and then District Attorney. And I want to tell you, last year, Mr. President, when the Downtown Association took its trip to Mexico, wherever we went, we put Mr. Byington in the forefront to represent you, sir, to represent the people of San Francisco. We had him address the President of the Republic of Mexico, in your name, and felt proud to think that a man like Mr. Byington was the man who was representing the City of San Francisco on that trip of the Downtown Association in Mexico. I have known him for many years and I know there is no man in the City of San Francisco with a higher sense of honor, honesty and integrity than Mr. Byington. And, Mr. Mayor, I am very happy, on behalf of the Downtown Association, and I think I can say on behalf of the business interests of San Francisco, to congratulate you on the appointment you have made of Mr. Byington. (Applause.)

Supervisor Hayaen: Mr. Mayor, if there are no other citizens, I suppose it is now a matter for the Board.

The Chairman: Is there any lady who wants to speak? Mr. Byington is a bachelor.

Mr. Angelo J. Rossi: Mr. Mayor and members of the Board: I did not come here today with any intention of saying anything. I came here to indicate, by my presence, my appreciation of the splendid judgment that the Mayor has used in the appointment of Lewis F. Byington. It has been my privilege and extreme pleasure to have known Mr. Byington for the past thirty years. I know of no one who has been a more loyal Californian than Lewis F. Byington. I know of his love of the City. I know of his love for the State. I know of his love for the country. He is what I would term a one thousand per cent, red-blooded American. I know what his ideals are; I know that he stands for good government. I know that he stands for a better and a greater and a bigger San Francisco. And, Mr. Mayor, I too want to join with Mr. Ryan in saying that you are to be commended for selecting a man such as Mr. Byington, to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Supervisor Welch. You could look the city over and select no one that would meet with greater approval than the selection of Supervisor Byington. And I am more than happy and pleased that he is a member of this Board. And were I a member of the Board here today nothing would give me greater pleasure than to have the privilege to extend to him a welcome, and to have the honor of sitting with him as one member of the legislative Board of Supervisors. Mr. Byington, I also want to speak on behalf of the Downtown Association as one of its past presidents. We want you to know that the Downtown Association stands ready, at all times, to cooperate with you and other members of this Board, for anything that is for the good and welfare of San Francisco. Anything that you may advocate means a greater and a bigger and a better San Francisco. I want to express to you my sincere congratulations, and I want you to know that I fully realize, as well as every other San Franciscan does, that you have every qualification to fill the office of Supervisor, and far more than that, that you have every qualification, in my opinion, to fill

any office within the gift of the people. (Applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Chairman, I understand a former deputy of Mr. Byington, many years ago when Mr. Byington was the District Attorney of this City, who was at that time a young man and a very able deputy in the District Attorney's office, and he is here today, Mr. James Hanley. I would request, on behalf of the Board, that he come forward.

Mr. James M. Hanley: Mr. Mayor and Mr. Byington and members of the Board and ladies and gentlemen: With a great deal of pleasure yesterday we read in the paper that the Mayor had seen fit to appoint a new Supervisor in the place of Richard Welch, in the person of Lewis F. Byington. Like Mr. Rossi, who just took his seat, I have known Mr. Byington for some thirty odd years. It was my extreme pleasure to be one of his deputies for a period of six years while he was District Attorney from 1900 until the end of 1905. And I want to say to you, members of the Board, and to the Mayor in particular, that you have no more careful man upon this Board with reference to detail, because no man who has been in the District Attorney's office from the time of 1900, the going in of the new Charter, until the present time—and that is not criticizing any District Attorney who has succeeded him—has lived up to the strong ideals and to the careful attention of Lewis F. Byington while he was in office. We had to toe the mark during the six years that we were there under him, but we all grew to love him. And I want to say to the members of the Board that every succeeding year in the twenty-six, no matter where or what part of the State they may be in, the old guard of Lewis F. Byington, numbering now only a few—we have lost in death a great many, men of eminence who were with him during those six years—during all the twenty-six years, we have gathered together. Poor old Charlie Weller, one of our members, was always at the head of the table when he was alive. So every year, we once again sit around the table, tell the old stories, tell the old tales, one upon the other, and we love him as you will love him when you know him, and we congratulate you, Mr. Mayor, and members of the Board, in the selection of Lewis F. Byington. Good luck to you, Lewis.

Supervisor Hayden: There is still another friend here of Mr. Byington's, Harry I. Mulcrevy, our County Clerk. I see him in the audience.

Mr. Harry I. Mulcrevy: Mr. Mayor, gentlemen of the Board, and my fellow citizens: As a friend of Richard Welch, I rejoice with him in the great honor he has obtained, by being elected as the Congressional Representative from the Fifth District, but as a citizen of this City, I join with the Mayor in my regrets that we lose his valuable services as a member of this Board. But we are compensated, to a great degree, in the wisdom of the Mayor in selecting, to fill the vacancy, such a person as Lewis F. Byington. Like Mr. Rossi, I have known Mr. Byington for over thirty years, and those who know him best love him most, And you gentlemen of the Board will find in him an educated and cultured gentleman, and the people of this City will get the services of a trained public official, a learned lawyer and a man who can and will devote his best energies to the progress of our City and the welfare of our people. I congratulate the Mayor and the Board upon this new member. I congratulate the people that he was available, and I wish for my friend, Lewis Byington, that he may enjoy continued good health, so that he might continue in the good work for the progress of our beloved City and the welfare of our people.

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Mayor, it is well that the ladies should be heard today, notwithstanding the fact that Mr. Byington has been declared by Mr. Ryan and by you to be a bachelor, still he is a champion of the ladies and the ladies are his champions. I would like to ask Mrs. Calhan to be given the privilege of the floor.

Mrs. Calhan: Your Honor the Mayor and members of the Board of Supervisors and neighbors and friends: The fact that Mr. Byington is a bachelor does not tempt me because I have been safely married for twenty years, but, nevertheless, on behalf of the women, I would like to congratulate the members of the Board on this appointment. I might say that the people of San Francisco did not need to worry as to whom the Mayor would appoint, for I have observed that his appointees are always good. Ira Coburn was a wonderful addition to the Board of Education. We could not have a better member sitting in that Board than Ira Coburn. If Supervisor Harrelson had happened to go to the Board of Public Works he would have been a great credit to that Board, as he is also to the present Board of Supervisors. And so I feel the Mayor has chosen wisely and well when he has chosen

Mr. Byington to represent the people of San Francisco, and I know that he will represent the City of San Francisco. True, we are losing Supervisor Welch, and we felt that he was our particular representative in his district, from which he has been elected to Congress. But we feel that Mr. Byington will ably fill that vacancy, and, therefore, Mr. Mayor, we thank you for this appointment. (Applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Mayor, I do not know whether there are any other citizens who wish to be heard. I think, Mr. Mayor, that the Board is now in possession of Mr. Byington, as an associate and colleague. I think it is incumbent upon us now, Mr. Mayor, to welcome him into the family. Before I refer to Mr. Byington's appointment, I want to say that, after an association of eleven years with former Supervisor Welch, who has been promoted to the very important position of a member of Congress, that I feel that the eleven years of service rendered by Supervisor Welch was a service constructive and patriotic, and one that will forever leave its mark on the progress and on the records of this Board of Supervisors. In the elevation of former Supervisor Welch, we are losing a very valuable man here in this Board of Supervisors. But Congress is also the gainer because we know that, with Congressman Welch at his post in Washington, knowing the many needs of San Francisco, from the standpoint of our harbor, from the standpoint of our Hetch Hetchy project, and all those matters that will be necessary to watch in the House of Representatives, we know that we will, at all times, be ably represented by ex-Supervisor Richard J. Welch, and we are proud of his promotion to the Halls of Congress.

But, by reason of this elevation of Supervisor Welch, there naturally was left a vacancy in this Board, and his Honor, the Mayor, under the Charter, had the opportunity to fill that vacancy. How well he filled that vacancy you can hear all over the City and County of San Francisco. You have heard his appointment commended from the time it was announced in the paper Saturday night. It has been the consensus of opinion, universally agreed on, that it is the most wonderful selection that the Mayor could have made to fill the vacancy left by the promotion of former Supervisor Welch. The people of San Francisco seemed to be of one mind that the Mayor, in all his career, has never made a better selection than he has made today in the appointment of Lewis F. Byington. And I, personally, Mr. Mayor, want to thank you for the selection that you have made in giving us, as one of our colleagues, the Honorable Lewis F. Byington, who will work with us now as a member of this Board. It is like trying to paint the lily, Mr. Mayor, to add further compliments to the many that have already been bestowed upon Mr. Byington. Like the first speakers, I have known him through all these years. I have known him for thirty years myself. I consider Mr. Byington one of my most intimate acquaintances. I know of his public career. The people of San Francisco know of his public career and the wonderful record that he has made in that capacity. He indeed comes here as a tried and a competent public official, who has seen service. He is no amateur, Mr. Mayor, in the matter of public service. He comes here with all the attributes that are necessary to make a good public official. And in the selection of Mr. Byington, Mr. Mayor, you are giving us a man that I think will help to be a sort of a stabilizer here in the affairs of this Board of Supervisors because, from his experience in matters of legislation, he will be in a position, with his advice and his counsel, and his knowledge of law and his knowledge of legislation, with that training, he will be in a position I say to be a valuable adjunct to the membership of this Board of Supervisors.

So, Mr. Byington, I want to congratulate you, as a colleague on this Board of Supervisors. I know when I bespeak, as I do, a welcome, I bespeak the welcome of the whole Board of Supervisors. I know that they appreciate your ability as I do, and I know that, while you fill that chair, that there never will be an act of yours on any matter coming before the Supervisors but what will be for the best interests of the people of San Francisco. Mr. Byington, I am looking forward, with a great deal of pleasure, to my association with you as a member of the Board of Supervisors, as I can look back, for many years, to my personal association and friend ship with you as a man. And I am going to say, Mr. Byington, that it is one of the proudest moments of my public career now that I have been given this opportunity by the Mayor to sit side by side with my dearest of friends and my colleague, Lewis F. Byington. (Applause.)

Supervisor Gallagher: Mr. Mayor: Mr. Byington has heard all of the good things that could be said about a man in an afternoon, but in the long list of appointees that it has been your pleasure to make, I do not think you have made a more popular selection. Mr. Hayden well recites that it is the view of the people of the city, as we hear the reaction and the reflection on the appointment, that it is a very happy choice. Mr. Byington brings a big mentality to this Board. He brings a very broad vision to it, and he brings a clarity of opinion that has characterized every act of his life. I am sure that, if I were asked to bet on the name of a Supervisor, though he be new in the office, who might be calculated as being the one who might not lose his temper, I would select Mr. Byington now that he sits among us. I would not bet a great deal, it is true, Mr. Byington, as I know things around here, but, at the same time, I am satisfied that your appointment will help us materially. An ex-deputy city attorney sits among us. Now a former district attorney is with us. And we have another member of the legal fraternity added to the Board. I am sure that the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco may be expected, or can expect that he may spend a good deal more of his Monday afternoons in his office than he has needed to spend heretofore. Mr. Byington, you have helped every cause, you have helped men. Was there a candidate who deserved to be helped, who was a half decent good fellow in the city, in the sense that we understand that word, Mr. Byington gave his advice and his time to his cause. Was there a cause that needed help in a large way, Lewis Byington very rarely, if ever, refused to help that cause. Mr. McSheehy, perhaps unconsciously today, as I say, mindful of your past career in the big things that San Francisco has had to do, has practically said to you in his resolution, if it is adopted, that you can remap the whole town. If you do not like the way the town is built, you are Chairman, to be appointed by Mr. McSheehy, of the City Planning Committee, and you can change the whole topography of San Francisco. It is characteristic, of course, of this Board of Supervisors that a man must win his spurs before he comes in, or shortly after he gets here. And, of course, it was not surprising to us, that, before you took your seat, you had to literally fight for it, that is, we had to help you get it, if only for a short while. But, Mr. Mayor, the appointment of Mr. Byington is a popular appointment, and when I say "popular appointment" I mean it in the sense that a great many people are glad that Mr. Byington consented to sit among us. Despite all these little controversies that occur in this Board, some friendships are formed here, and they last, and they last for a long, long time, and this is a friendship renewed officially. I am sure that while you may sometimes feel that you are placed in what is known sometimes as the minority of the Board—I trust not—that still you will make new friendships as a member of this Board, and I think they will be as lasting as some that you have made before. Your colleagues of the Native Sons of the Golden West, your brother and sister members of that fraternity, I am sure, must rejoice that you have consented to come on this Board. It is no disrespect to the men who sit here to say that for every mentality of your kind that comes here they must be glad. You take the place of a member of this Board, former Supervisor Welch, who dealt in the big things. Say what you will in reference to the policy that Mr. Welch pursued as a member of this Board, it must be said for him that his eye was cast always on projects calculated to make this a bigger and a better place to live in. And I was hoping that, perhaps, you might be willing to carry on where he had left off. But I am satisfied, Mr. Mayor, that in whatever position or committee Mr. Byington may be placed on this Board, he will reflect credit upon you for having appointed him, and I am sure that the people of San Francisco will be benefited by his taking his seat here today. I express the wish now for myself, and I am sure I express it for all of the members of this Board, that, regardless of those little perplexing problems that sometimes cost men their tempers and their patience, and that are a part of the work of this Board, and the fact that we cannot all agree on the manner in which this should be done, I am expressing, I believe, the feeling of the average member of this Board when I say that we are glad to see you seated among us, we are glad to know that you consented to be a part of us. And I am satisfied, I repeat, that, regardless of these things that will take place, and sometimes wearing on the patience, that, before the end of your term you will have made a very firm friend of every member of this Board, and you will have rewarded the Mayor's appointment by secur-

ing the expressed approval, as well as people can express it, of the people of San Francisco, that Lewis F. Byington has made a very good Supervisor. (Applause.)

Supervisor Shannon: Mr. Mayor and members of the Board and ladies and gentlemen: It is a very great pleasure for me to be able to greet an old friend and colleague on the Board of Supervisors today. I noticed, in mentioning the length of their friendship for Mr. Byington, most of his friends went back only thirty years. I do not know whether there was a meeting previous to this one whereat it was agreed upon, among the friends of this very eligible bachelor, that they should limit their term of acquaintanceship to thirty years, but if there was a meeting I was not invited, and it is my pleasure to announce that I have known Mr. Byington well and favorably for over thirty-five years. When I first knew Mr. Byington, he was a black-headed youth, with plenty of hair. I met him at Hinzman's Dancing Academy on Polk street, between Pine and California streets, tripping the light fantastic and enjoying himself in a nice way, as he has always enjoyed himself during all of his career. I want to call Mr. Byington's attention to one thing, and that is that he will find a different condition here than he found in 1901. In those days the Board of Supervisors had their weekly meeting and possibly one other meeting a week. If the condition continues as it has been for the past couple of years, I think we will have to hold meetings on Saturdays, because we now meet here every day of the week. And, as you are a bachelor, Mr. Byington, it might be well if you have no particular place of abode to get a cot and move here to the City Hall where you will be always easy of access, because most of us now spend five days and many nights here in these chambers. For the past four and a half months we have been meeting on Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday, from 10 o'clock until 6 o'clock, listening to the bridge applicants present their projects to the Board. We meet here, as the citizens of San Francisco know, and many of them think only, on Monday afternoon. With other committee meetings on Friday we have had a full quota of meetings. However, I know you are a man that has accomplished much in the past, you are a man of great capacity, and little things like daily meetings, taking six or eight hours, will not disturb your equilibrium very much. I congratulate the Mayor on your appointment, I congratulate Mr. Byington on his acceptance of the appointment, and I sincerely trust that you will meet with every success that your fondest hopes anticipate. (Applause.)

Supervisor Colman: I think the moral of that part of Supervisor Shannon's remarks referring to the duties of a Supervisor is to vote yes on Amendment 32. Mr. Mayor, I want to add a brief word of congratulation to you for your appointment of Mr. Byington. His ability and his integrity have been proven beyond the question of any doubt. I, too, am an appointee of yours, like also the two preceding speakers, Supervisor Gallagher and Supervisor Shannon. So Supervisor Byington is the fourth. I know that Mr. Byington comes here with a way of voting and talking that will be perfectly independent. He will always say just exactly what he thinks, and he will always vote that way. I consider it a great honor to serve on the same Board with him. (Applause.)

Supervisor Powers: Mr. Mayor and members of the Board and fellow citizens: Like the previous speakers I want to say a brief word of praise to you, your Honor, for your wonderful selection. I claim that the Board of Supervisors are very fortunate to have the assistance of a man with the ability of Lewis F. Byington, sitting here with us. We have lost a very good Supervisor, one who is so recognized by every citizen of San Francisco as is shown by the vote in the Fifth Congressional District a short time ago, where he received, for the office of Congressman, five votes to his opponents' one. I refer, of course, to Congressman Welch; and in your appointment today, the citizens of San Francisco are very fortunate to have a man like Lewis F. Byington as one of their representatives. It is only five months ago that I was in a convention with Lewis F. Byington, and I insisted that he become a candidate for Governor of the State of California. (Applause.) There are a few of us live Democrats left in the State of California. And I know that he will go out from here and on to higher honors, the same as the former Supervisor whose seat he now occupies. I thank you. (Applause.)

Supervisor McSheehy: Your Honor and fellow-citizens: I am not an appointee of the Mayor; I am what you might term a volunteer, and not a drafted man. But there seems to be something in this office of Supervisor, whether a man

is a volunteer or a drafted man, that there is some attraction about it, so that he remains here just as long as the people allow him to remain. Now, Lewis F. Byington has never tasted defeat. He was elected to the office of Supervisor and then elected to the office of District Attorney. Both of the offices that he occupied he filled with great credit to his city and to himself. And I want to say to Lewis F. Byington today that I have known him for a great many years. I particularly associated with him in the work of the Native Sons. I have served on many committees with him. And I have found him a man who has the characteristic of carefully going into details, and I know that he will go into the details pertaining to the office of Supervisor. And I want to congratulate your Honor, and I want to say this: that I never was more surprised in my life than I was when I heard that you had appointed Lewis F. Byington. (Laughter.) I never dreamt for one moment that you would have the vision to select a man of his type. You have made a real ten-strike, and I feel that the people of San Francisco are to be congratulated, because we have in him a man of extraordinary ability, a man who could devote his time, if he wishes, for twenty-four hours a day, almost, to this office, and, as has been well said by Supervisor Shannon, he will find that he will have to devote a great deal of his time to the office of Supervisor. And I feel that he will, and, your Honor, I want to congratulate you on this great big constructive work that you have done here today in giving us a man like Lewis F. Byington.

Supervisor Deasy: Mr. Mayor, as one member of this Board, I wish to congratulate you upon your appointment of my old friend Lewis F. Byington. In appointing him you have appointed a man that is fearless and honest, and I want to congratulate you, Mr. Lewis F. Byington, on the appointment, and to thank you again, Mr. Mayor, for the appointment. (Applause.)

The Clerk: Mr. Mayor, I have a message from Supervisor Roncovieri, who is ill, and his message might properly come in here.

The Mayor: Read it, Mr. Clerk.

The Clerk (reading): "Although illness prevents my presence at the meeting of the Board today, still I respectfully ask the privilege of expressing something of the joy it has given me to learn that the Honorable Lewis F. Byington, whom I have the honor to call friend, has accepted the office of Supervisor made vacant by the resignation of Congressman Welch.

"The people of San Francisco are to be congratulated that a man of such sterling character, altruism and exalted ideals, of such ability and experience in public affairs, is willing to give unstintingly of his talents and learning to the service of his fellow-citizens.

"Mr. Byington knows and understands the City's problems and I know that he will enrich our debates and contribute much to the solution of our problems by the honest and sound judgment for which he is noted.

"My compliments and all good wishes to you, my dear Mr. Byington.

"To Congressman Welch, who has been a tower of strength in the councils of the Board for constructive and progressive legislation, and who leaves us today, I wish godspeed and success in the larger field of usefulness to which he has been called in the Nation's forum.

"San Francisco, Cal., Nov. 1. 1926."

The Mayor: Supervisor Byington, you must be delighted at the encomiums of good will and words of commendation that you have received from your former Supervisors and fellow-citizens today. Knowing your modesty and your desire not to speak any oftener than you find it necessary to speak, I think we would all like to trespass upon you, even though it be your maiden speech with this Board, for just a few words, so that you may be seen again by your colleagues and by your fellow-citizens and everybody present here. (Applause.)

Supervisor Byington: Mr. Mayor and friends: Of course, a man would be lacking in all spirit of humanity if he did not love to hear the kind words of friends. And I have been moved by those who have come here today to say a kind word for me. It really is an inspiration to good deeds to know that you find a safe and lasting refuge in the hearts of your friends. I want to thank you, Mr. Mayor, for the honor that you have conferred upon me in calling me back to service for this city. I wanted to thank every one of the friends who spoke here today, and I hope that I will not establish a bad precedent as a new Supervisor in making a long speech. I took this position with no other object in the world than the object of doing something for the City and County of San Francisco. Somebody said that in the olden days, when I was a member of the Board, I think it was Supervisor Shannon, that we adjourned within a couple

of hours and only met once a week. I rather regret that we cannot do that in these days, meet here once a week, perform our duties expeditiously and then retire to our homes. While it is always pleasant to stand with a majority on a proposition that concerns the welfare of the City, the man who is acting independently never fears to stand with the minority. And I never have been afraid to stand on my honest convictions, even though I stood alone. I hope that, when I retire from this position—and I do not expect to be here long—that everybody can say he always did his duty by the City, no matter what the results may be. If I can retire in a year or two with the conviction that I have never, by my vote, respected anything but the honest spirit of the citizenship of San Francisco, I will retire gracefully to the obscurity from which, you may say, I have come. I hope to retire with the good will of every citizen of San Francisco, but if I have to offend some in doing my duty I will nevertheless be glad to retire, knowing that I have performed my duty honestly, as I saw it. (Applause.)

The Clerk: I would like to revert back to the presentation of credentials. I have here the County Clerk's certificate that Lewis F. Byington has taken the constitutional oath required, and also the Recorder's receipt that the official bond has been duly recorded, as approved by the Mayor.

"State of California, City and County of San Francisco—ss.

I, Lewis F. Byington, do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States of America and the Constitution of the State of California, and that I will faithfully discharge the duties of member of the Board of Supervisors in and for the City and County of San Francisco, according to the best of my ability.

LEWIS F. BYINGTON.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of November, 1926.

(Seal) H. I. MULCREVY,
County Clerk of San Francisco and ex-officio Clerk of the Superior Court thereof."

Supervisor Marks: I move you that the proceedings of the Board, up to this present moment, be transcribed by the reporter and bound by the Clerk and presented to Mr. Byington.

Supervisor Hayden: I second that. That refers to the proceedings concerning Mr. Byington?

Supervisor Marks: Yes, certainly.

The Mayor: It has been moved and seconded that all the proceedings of the Board, from the time of the notification to the Board of Mr. Byington's appointment, and the resignation of former Supervisor Welch, be properly engrossed and presented, in book form, to Supervisor Byington. All in favor will please say aye. (Ayes.)

The Mayor: The ayes have it and it is so ordered.

Committee Chairmanship Change.

The following report was presented and *adopted*:

San Francisco, October 30, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The Committee of Committees, which was appointed by your Honorable Board on reorganization, recommends that Supervisor Milton Marks be transferred from the Chairmanship of the City Planning Committee to the Chairmanship of the Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Respectfully submitted,
COMMITTEE OF COMMITTEES.

Supervisor Byington to Take Seat Vacated by Supervisor Welch.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby assign to Supervisor Lewis F. Byington the chair occupied by his predecessor, former Supervisor Richard J. Welch.

Referred to Committee on Committees on motion of Supervisor McSheehy.

Supervisor Marks' Statement for the Record.

Supervisor Marks: I am rather reluctant, Mr. Chairman, to speak on a subject which affects me, but I cannot refrain from making these comments, especially in view of the fact of the statements made by Supervisors Colman and Hayden. And, apparently, Supervisors Colman and Hayden, who are quite eager at all times to laud the Mayor for his exercise of judgment, did not hear what the Mayor said to this Board at the time that Mr. Byington was presented to the Board of Supervisors, because he reserved for himself the right, not only to fill the office of Supervisor, but to fill the vacancy upon the chairmanship of the committee, something which Supervisors Colman and Hayden approved of, and that vacancy would have been filled by one practicing the same profession as I am. Now, Supervisor Colman and Supervisor Hayden, the filling of a vacancy by the Mayor,

with a man who stands high in the legal profession, that is Supervisor Byington, and the exercise, by the Mayor, of the right to fill the vacancy of the Street Committee with a lawyer meets with your approval.

Supervisor Hayden: We have not recommended it.

Supervisor Marks: And that is fitting a square peg to a square hole, but when the appointment comes from what is denominated "the majority group on this Board", to fill that vacancy with one who practices the same profession, that is trying to fit a round peg in a square hole, according to Supervisor Colman. Now, Mr. Chairman, at the time that the comments were made on the part of members of the Board with respect to Supervisor Byington, I mentally seconded every favorable comment that was made concerning it, because I take it, as a younger man at the bar, not as long at the bar as Supervisor Byington, that there is no man who stands higher than does he in the legal profession in San Francisco. His entire career, both private and public, does not possess a single blot or blemish, and I welcome him, as do the other members of the Board, regardless of a majority group and a minority group in politics, I welcome him as a member of the Board, and I am satisfied that he will give conspicuous service to San Francisco.

Now, gentlemen of the Board, I have been chairman of the City Planning Committee for ten months. As chairman of that committee, and with the very earnest and sincere assistance of Supervisor Kent and Supervisor Deasy, we have tried, on that committee, to solve problems presented to us, great in number and great in importance, and, in the majority of cases, the recommendation of the City Planning Committee has met with the approval of this Board, in many cases by unanimous vote. I think that we have done a good job, at least we have endeavored to do it. Recently I expressed a desire to be relieved of the responsibility of the chairmanship of that committee. I did so, frankly Mr. Chairman, because I have not, as yet, been sufficiently long in public office to develop the hide of rhinoceros—that may come in time—and I have felt a peculiar sensitiveness about some of the criticism leveled at the City Planning Committee, and it has been because of a desire to be relieved of that responsibility that I expressed a preference to the committee on reorganization, that I be transferred to some other committee. It is not my selection particularly, Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the Board, that I be assigned to the Streets Committee. I do not recognize that committee as a technical committee, which involves the surveys and actual construction of streets. That is done, as I understand, by the Board of Public Works, and in certain cases, by private contractors. The work of the Streets Committe, as I understand it, and as I have learned here on the Board, has been such work as would be performed by a City Planning Committee, in a sense, the determination of the location of streets, the preparatory expenditure of money as to certain locations and general supervision over construction, in the sense that a legislative body does supervise construction. Mr. Chairman, if it be the will of this Board that I be assigned to the chairmanship of the Streets Committee, I shall endeavor to give service to that committee. I do receive that assignment, if it be the will of this Board, with some of the pleasure taken away from it, by reason of the comments of Supervisor Colman in particular. I close with just this comment, Mr. Chairman, and I do it as charitably as possible: Supervisor Colman has asked me not to be offended at his remarks, he has asked me not to be offended at the suggestion made by him, that the selection of this Board would be an unfit selection, that it would be the selection of a round peg in a square hole. But, Mr. Chairman, and I say this rather hesitantly, but I cannot refrain from saying it, I have reached the point, after ten months of service upon this Board, when I have gotten beyond the point when I can be offended at anything that is said by Supervisor Colman.

Report of Joint Committee on Police and Finance Relative to Appointment of 75 Additional Police Officers.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

San Francisco, October 30, 1926.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: A joint committee, consisting of the members of the Police and Finance Committees, was appointed by your Honorable Body one week ago to consider a letter from his Honor the Mayor, which stated that a condition of lawlessness existed in San Francisco and suggested a permanent increase of 75 officers in the Police Department,

together with an enlarged motor service to meet this condition.

Your Joint Committee met in special session on October 29th to discuss the Mayor's letter. The hearing lasted three hours. Testimony was taken from officers of the Police Department and from various interested citizens. The representatives of the Police Department submitted voluminous data with respect to the present organization of the department, the various kinds of duty to which its members have been assigned during recent months, and statistical tables showing the number of crimes of various classifications which have been reported to the San Francisco Police during the last six years and the number of arrests made for these various offenses. This data is so extensive that time will be required to make a proper study and analysis of it.

Honorable Theodore J. Roche, President of the Police Commission, expressed the opinion that the San Francisco Police Department was one of the most efficient departments in the United States and testified that the number of crimes committed in this City and County, in proportion to the population, is relatively low in comparison with other cities of similar size. The statistical table of crimes reported to the Police Department indicates that, notwithstanding the rapid growth of our city, the number of crimes of major classification has not, in most instances, increased during the last six-year period, and in some cases has shown a marked decrease.

The President of the Police Commission and the Chief of Police both asserted that desperate criminals show a marked tendency to avoid San Francisco and that in those instances when they have appeared here they have usually been apprehended promptly. Commissioner Roche stated that the request of the Police Department for additional men was not influenced by the recent demand of the so-called "Committee of One Hundred" for additional police protection in connection with an industrial dispute now in progress in San Francisco, and that the Police Department's recommendation had nothing to do with the report of the Citizens' Committee. Both the President of the Commission and the Chief of Police asserted that their request for an increased force was based merely upon the normal requirements of a growing city.

A number of citizens appeared before your Joint Committee in protest against any emergency increase of the police force at this time. They contended that no emergency exists which justifies an annual expenditure of $180,000 of the taxpayers' money for additional police officers, and cited the testimony of the Police Commissioner and the Chief of Police as proof that the present force is efficient and adequate to cope with any condition of lawlessness which has recently occurred or may at present exist in this City and County.

Your Committee was informed by certain of these citizens that the industrial dispute now in progress in our community, which has been held responsible by certain groups of citizens for recent outbreaks of violence, was caused and is being prolonged by a denial of the right of collective bargaining, which has been endorsed by every recent President of the United States as a fundamental American right. The denial of this right was attributed by these citizens to an organization known as the Industrial Association of San Francisco, which is a party to the present industrial dispute. It was also testified to your Committee that an economic boycott, by which citizens of San Francisco are refused the right to purchase building materials and conduct building operations unless they agree to certain stipulations limiting their right to employ labor, is a contributory factor in the continuation of this industrial dispute.

Your Joint Committee is unqualifiedly opposed to violence of any character and it is equally opposed to any denial of the fundamental rights of American citizens which may incite misguided individuals to commit violence in protest against such denial of rights.

After hearing the testimony of officials of the Police Department and the various citizens who attended the hearing your Joint Committee feels that a very thorough study should be made of the testimony submitted and the statistical data presented by the Police Department. We recognize that San Francisco is a growing city and that law and order must be preserved, but it is our sworn duty to conserve the public funds and we believe that our final recommendation to your Honorable Board should be based upon a thorough understanding of the immediate needs of the community and a sound consideration of economy and efficiency. In view of the fact that the officials of the Police Department did not support the Mayor's contention that there is any present state of lawlessness exist-

ing in San Francisco which necessitates an immediate enlargement of the force, we desire to devote further time to a study of the evidence presented.

Respectfully submitted,

FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
MILO F. KENT,
CHAS. F. TODD.
WALTER J. SCHMIDT,
JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
Chairman,
Joint Committee—Police and Finance.

Read and ordered filed.

Statement by Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri.

The following was ordered *printed in the record*:

Charter Amendment No. 35 provides:

Firstly, the selection and appointment of teachers by the Board of Education under a Civil Service competitive merit system;

Secondly, the correction of the existing defects in the Charter with respect to school funds.

First:

Amendment No. 35 provides for the selection and appointment of teachers to the elementary schools of the City and County by the Board of Education. This amendment places in our organic law, the Charter, the identical Civil Service system of selecting and appointing teachers that has existed since 1902, but only as a regulation of the Board of Education. This clean system should be perpetuated, for it has completely eliminated the baneful influences that prevailed in the selection of teachers previous to its adoption as a rule of the Board, in 1902.

This Civil Service method of selecting teachers for our children has eliminated all personal, political, fraternal or religious influences. For 25 years teachers have been selected by this open, free-for-all, honest merit system and they have been absolutely free from obligation to any person for their positions. The system has received the unqualified approval of educators of national renown. It has been copied by Los Angeles and other cities. It has stood the test of time and of many administrations, and it should now be made a permanent feature of the administration of the School Department of San Francisco, for the best interests of the children for whom only the teachers are selected and appointed.

All of the teachers appointed to the elementary schools of the City and County since 1902 have been selected *solely* by means of the existing Civil Service rule of the Board of Education. This rule could have been abolished by any of the school administrations of the past 25 years, but its integrity has been continuously maintained inviolate. The rule is still in force and effect at the present time. It should be made permanent by placing it in the Charter. This Civil Service system has been endorsed by the San Francisco Teachers' Association on important occasions.

Second:

Amendment No. 35 also contains amendments to those sections of the Charter which impose stringent financial limitations. The existing sections relating to the finances of the School Department (Sections 1 and 2 of Chapter 5, Article 7, and Subdivision 9 of Section 1, Chapter 3 of Article 7), are exceedingly dangerous, not alone to the general maintenance and conduct of the department, but also to the salaries of the teachers and all other employees of the department. These sections, as they exist, were written into the Charter in 1898. They may have been good enough in 1898 when the Charter was adopted, when the salaries of teachers ran from a minimum of $50 per month to $83.33 per month and educational activities were exceedingly limited; but the limitations of 1898 are, in 1926, absurdly ridiculous and out of date. These old Charter limitations on school expenditures, if enforced by court injunction, would constitute a strangle-hold upon the many activities of our modern School Department, as well as upon the salaries now being paid to teachers and other employees.

The per capita increased cost of education in 1926 over 1898 is due to three main causes:

Increase in salaries of teachers and other employees;

Increase in number of teachers due to reduction of average number of pupils to each teacher; and

Added educational activities, some of which were entirely unknown in 1898, but which are absolutely necessary in a modern school system.

If any citizen should appeal to the courts for the enforcement of the existing financial sections of the Charter, and obtain judgment, the result would be a reduction in the total amount appropriated to the School Department by more than two-thirds, and likewise the aggregate of all salaries now being paid would have to be reduced by more than two-thirds. Such a situation would bring about chaos, yet a judge would have no discretion but to interpret the law as he

finds it. Modern educational conditions demand that these obsolete financial provisions of the Charter be amended, and that the finances of the School Department be placed under the more liberal State laws, as they apply to every other county in the State. This is exactly what Amendment No. 35 will do, and no more. It is unbelievable that the people of San Francisco will knowingly permit the continued existence of antiquated Charter provisions which might, if invoked by any taxpayer, bring about an educational calamity.

The proposed Amendment No. 35 relates only to Civil Service and to finances, and is the same as Amendment No. 25 proposed by the Teachers' Association of San Francisco in 1920. It is well known that the political fight against Amendment No. 25 centered around the election of the Superintendent of Schools, and the excellent features of Amendment No. 25 as to school finances and Civil Service were lost sight of.

Public officials began to violate the Charter limitations on school finances after 1906, and have done so increasingly every year since then. The Charter provisions that are being violated are Subdivision 9 of Section 1 of Chapter 4 of Article 7, and also Sections 1 and 2 of Chapter 5 of Article 7 of the Charter.

No one can tell when some citizen taxpayer may go to the courts and demand that the expenses of education be maintained within the maximum amount fixed by the Charter. The fact that the Charter provisions have been violated for years would be no excuse for continuing such violation; and the courts, having no alternative but to determine the validity of the law, would be forced (no doubt with regret) to render judgment in favor of the citizen taxpayer.

A very real peril is ever menacing the present welfare and future development of our schools, unless the situation is faced fully and frankly and proposed Amendment No. 35 is adopted. This proposed amendment gives every true friend of our public schools the opportunity to place them under our more liberal State laws in so far as school finances are concerned, exactly as are the public schools of every other county, and city and county, in the State of California.

The purpose of these amendments is to legalize the appropriations and expenditures for school purposes as they are actualy being made.

This amendment provides for the budgeting of a definite amount for the protection of the salaries of teachers and other employees, viz.: That the total amount paid for all salaries by the end of any year shall be the minimum that must be set aside for salaries for the next ensuing year, and none of this salary fund shall be used for any other purpose until all salaries have been paid.

Amendment No. 35 is the same as Amendment No. 25 of 1920.

In the argument published by the Teachers' Association of San Francisco when Amendment No. 25 was proposed to the people in 1920, the teachers published the following:

"The Teachers' Association of San Francisco, numbering nearly 2,000 members, offers Amendment No. 25 as a safe, sane and progressive measure providing for greater efficiency and economy in the public school system. It is the result of years of constructive effort on the part of our own expert educators, the teachers, whose intimate and first-hand knowledge, gained through service, qualifies them, above all others, to speak of the needs of the schools.

"Amendment No. 25 guarantees the funds necessary to do the big things for the children of San Francisco which have been denied them for many years for lack of money. It makes provisions which members of the Board of Supervisors declare to be the most progressive and constructive suggested for many years in connection with the financing of the School Department.

"Amendment No. 25 permanently provides for kindergartens. Note—Kindergartens are not a compulsory part of the State school system, and must be supported wholly by the City and County.

"Amendment No. 25 permanently establishes the Civil Service merit system of appointment of teachers. Several years' trial has proved this system to be the greatest safeguard against political interference in the appointment of teachers in our schools. Make it permanent!

"Amendment No. 25 creates a teachers' salary fund. Efficiency in the schools and the requirements of present-day education make this imperative. It means fewer children in a class.

"Amendment No. 25 strengthens the School Department."

THE TEACHERS' ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO.
MRS. MARJORIE STUART, President.
MISS FRANCES A. MOONEY, Secretary.

Permissive tax rates which may be levied upon the City and County of San Francisco by the Board of Supervisors for the support of public schools:

For the support of kindergartens, 15 cents.

Section 1616, Political Code, provides that a tax not to exceed 15 cents may be levied for the support of kindergartens in addition to any other school tax.

Note: Kindergartens are not compulsory under State law.

For the support of elementary schools, no maximum limit.

Section 1818, Political Code, provides that a tax must be levied sufficient to produce a minimum aggregate amount, which amount is found by multiplying the total number of elementary pupils in average daily attendance by $30.

No Maximum Limit: The maximum rate was 50 cents some years ago, but this limit was removed by the Legislature.

For erecting and repairing elementary school buildings and purchasing sites for same, 15 cents.

Section 1838, Political Code, provides that a tax not to exceed 15 cents may be levied for repairs and new construction of elementary school buildings and buying sites for the same, in addition to any other school tax.

For the support of high schools, no maximum limit.

Section 1764, Political Code, provides that a tax *must* be levied sufficient to produce a minimum aggregate amount, which amount is found by multiplying the total number of high school pupils in average daily attendance by $60.

No maximum limit.

For erecting and repairing high school buildings and purchasing sites for same, 75 cents.

Section 1755, Political Code, provides that a tax not to exceed 75 cents may be levied for repairs and new construction of high school buildings and buying sites for same, in addition to any other school tax.

Section 1839, Political Code, provides a maximum tax rate upon any school district for building and maintenance of $1.

The above is corroborated by telegram from State Superintendent of Public Instruction, as follows:

"Sacramento, October 15, 1926.
"Alfred Roncovieri:

"For kindergarten see Section 1616. Places maximum at 15 cents. For elementary see Section 1818, which fixes the minimum amount to be raised. There is no maximum rate for elementary schools. For high schools see Section 1764, which fixes the minimum to be raised. There is no maximum rate for county high school fund. Part-time schools are a part of the high school system, hence no special rate fixed for such schools. Section 1839 fixes maximum rate for district building tax and maintenance for elementary schools at a total of $1. Sections 1755, 1756 and 1757 establish rates for high school district taxes.

"WILL C. WOOD."

Table Showing Amounts Allowed by the Charter for All School Purposes and the Amounts Actually Expended.

1921-1922.....	75,059	$2,439,417	$7,490,020	$99.00	$5,050,603	$66.75
1922-1923.....	75,939	2,468,107	7,150,082	94.16	4,682,065	61.66
1923-1924.....	81,480	2,648,100	6,989,371	85.78	4,341,271	53.28
1924-1925.....	87,726	2,851,095	7,190,623	81.97	4,339,522	49.47
1925-1926.....	91,737	2,981,452	9,578,089	104.41	6,596,637	71.91

Column 1—Year.

Column 2—State enrollment (largest figure). The figures in this column include every pupil enrolled on class registers at any time during the year, even though pupil attended but one day.

Column 3—Maximum amounts allowed by Charter for all school purposes limited to $32.50 per pupil. (See Sections 1 and 2, Chapter V, Article VII, of Charter.)

Column 4—Total actually expended for all school purposes out of tax levy.

Column 5—Per capita actually expended.

Column 6—Charter limitation violated to amounts noted in this column.

Column 7—Per capita excess over Charter limitation of $32.50.

Table Showing the Amounts Allowed by the Charter for All Salaries and the Amounts Actually Expended.

1921-1922.....	53,792	$1,506,176	$4,276,862	$79.68	$2,770,686	$51.51

1922-1923.....	55,059	1,541,652	4,560,707	82.83	3,019,055	54.83
1923-1924.....	56,578	1,584,184	4,821,979	85.22	3,237,795	57.23
1924-1925.....	59,880	1,676,640	5,271,647	88.03	3,595,007	60.04
1925-1926.....	60,331	1,689,352	6,436,713	106.68	4,747,361	78.52

Column 1—Year.
Column 2—Average daily attendance.
Column 3—Amount allowed under Charter limitation of $28 per pupil in average daily attendance for all salaries due and allowed officers, teachers and other employees. (See Subdivision 9, Section 1, Chapter III, Article VII of Charter.)
Column 4—Total paid for salaries of officers, teachers and other employees.
Column 5—Per capita expended for all salaries.
Column 6—Charter limitation violated to amounts noted in this column.
Column 7—Per capita excess over Charter limitation of $28.

Table Showing Amounts Paid for Teachers' Salaries Only.

1921-1922.....	53,792	$3,822,455	$71.05	$79.68
1922-1923.....	55,059	4,048,852	73.53	82.83
1923-1924.....	56,578	4,241,754	75.14	85.22
1924-1925.....	59,880	4,649,748	77.65	88.03
1925-1926.....	60,331	5,493,764	91.05	106.68

Column 1—Year.
Column 2—Average Daily Attendance.
Column 3—Total of salaries paid to teachers only.
Column 4—Per capita actually paid for teachers' salaries based on average daily attendance.
Column 5—Per capita expended for all salaries based on average daily attendance which under present Charter should be $28 per pupil. (See Subdivision 9, Section 1, Chapter III, Article VII, of the Charter.)

Abatement of Crematory Nuisance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the City Attorny declaring that he has been advised that unless City takes action to abate the crematory nuisance a restraining order will be invoked by the San Francisco Development Company.

Referred to the Public Health Committee.

Supervisor Hayden, in this connection, read a schedule of dates, whereupon hearings of the garbage disposal question was held by the Health Committee, indicating diligence on the part of the committee in arriving at some solution of the garbage question, a copy of which schedule was ordered sent to the City Attorney.

Whereupon, Supervisor Hayden presented a resolution providing for the appointment of the garbage investigation committee to visit eastern cities and learn of methods employed elsewhere in the solution of the problem.

Bernal School Alleged Fire Trap.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:
Communication, from the Bernal Progressive Club complaining of the dangerous condition of the Bernal School, and alleging that same constitutes a fire trap and a menace to the lives of the children attending same.

Referred to the Supplies, Finance and Public Buildings Committee.

Redwood Highway.

Communication, from Redwood Empire Association, transmitting resolution of said organization expressing appreciation of the interest and support afforded by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors in the matter of the development of the Redwood Highway.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Mayor and Supervisors' Salary Increases Endorsed.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Emergency Hospital Employees' Association endorsing Charter Amendment No. 32, providing for an increase in the salaries of the Mayor and the members of the Board of Supervisors.

Ordered *filed*.

Communication, from the Granite Cutters' Union, endorsing Charter Amendment No. 32, providing for an increase in the salaries of the Mayor and the members of the Board of Supervisors.

Ordered *filed*.

Geo. W. Goethals Explains Bridge Application Connection.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

George W. Goethals
Consulting Engineer
40 Wall Street
New York
October 23, 1926.

The Mayor and
Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Mr. Fred C. Ehman calls my attention to testimony given in the hearings for a franchise to bridge San Francisco Bay in which my name is linked with competing parties.

This apparent confusion is due to the fact that Mr. Ehman was seeking to secure for me the engineering work of design and construction, and, since I was unable to go to the Coast at the time, secured the services of Colonel Otwell, well known to me, for the preliminary work.

I was approached by a party from San Francisco, who on learning from me that I was not interested in the bridge matter, stated that the issue of a franchise was being considered; that there were two opposite factions, headed by Strauss and Dr. Lindenthal, that if I would join and cooperate with the latter, Dr. Lindenthal would secure it. I had not heard from Mr. Ehman's efforts in my behalf; consequently, I was not at that time interested. The statement as to the limited competition was accepted as 100 per cent correct; hence Mr. Ehman could not be involved. I know Dr. Lindenthal favorably and stated that I would gladly become associated with him in any enterprise provided Dr. Lindenthal so desired, as I had no wish to inject myself into a situation unless by so doing I could be of assistance and service.

It subsequently developed that there are a dozen or more applicants, one of which, if the franchise is secured, will turn the engineering and construction work over to me to handle.

I trust this clarifies the situation.

Very truly yours,
(Sgd.) GEO. W. GOETHALS.

November 1, 1926—*Made a part of the bridge record.*

Protest Against Selection of South San Francisco Airport Site.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, advising that a committee representing flying fraternity has visited him and entered a protest against the desirability and practicability of the proposed airport site at South San Francisco.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Board meet as a Committee of the Whole on airport site November 9, 1926, at 10 a. m.

So ordered.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Furniture, Etc., San Francisco Hospital.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing furniture and equipment for the Tuberculosis Wards of the San Francisco Hospital and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Tobacco.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing tobacco and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Sugar.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing sugar and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Foodstuffs.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing foodstuffs during four months' term, November, December, 1926, and January, February, 1927, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Hospital Supplies.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for furnishing hospital supplies — roller bandages, absorbent cotton, gauze, lint, oiled muslin and adhesive plaster and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Report of Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds on Purchase of Trocadero Property.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

San Francisco,
November 1, 1926.

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds begs leave to report as follows:

It has had under consideration for some time past the proposal that the City purchase the old Trocadero property, located at the northwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard, for park and recreational purposes. Members of the Committee have visited the location and were very favorably impressed with its natural advantages. It is located in a natural bowl or canyon, sheltered from wind and fog, and would be an

ideal place for concerts, pageants and plays. It would rival in attractiveness the outdoor bowl located at Hollywood and would prove a great attraction and benefit to San Francisco. The grounds are already beautifully laid out and planted with rare shrubbery and flowers, and there is an abundant supply of water for irrigation of the gardens and for artificial lakes.

The property can be secured at a reasonable price and arrangements can be made for annual payments spread over a term of years.

Your Committee has therefore recommended to the Finance Committee that provision be made in the new budget for the acquisition of this property while the same may be secured at a reasonable price, as land values in this neighborhood are constantly increasing.

And the Committee further recommends that a copy of this report be submitted to the Park Commission and the Playground Commission with a request for a recommendation thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
W. P. STANTON,
Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

Read and ordered filed.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2:30 P. M.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

The following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a north-

erly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Mc-

Sheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The lenght of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwescterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line

of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 8, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Employment of Bridge Experts.

Resolution No. 26275 (New Series), heretofore presented by Supervisor Shannon, authorizing the Special Bridge Committee to retain the services of three bridge engineers, not affiliated with any of the proposed bridge projects, to make such investigations and compile such data as is necessary to determine the best location and type of bridge across San Francisco Bay was read by the Clerk.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Special Bridge Committee be instructed to meet and to make its recommendations relative to the selection of engineers at the very earliest possible date.

No objection and it was *so ordered.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26368 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $9,309.91.

(2) R. W. Kinney Co., blackpipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $3,571.70.

(3) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $595.06.

(4) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $2,017.84.

(5) Pope & Talbot, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $599.04.

(6) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $594.87.

(7) A. M. Scott Tire Co., tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $562.72.

(8) Tansey-Crowe Co., tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $599.28.

(9) Water Construction Fund, covering one Lidgerwood cableway furnished for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 14, 1926), $7,158.97.

(10) Western Meat Co., foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $587.89.

(11) The White Co., auto truck parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $544.80.

(12) Wilsey Bennett Co., foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $669.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(13) Blake, Moffitt & Towne, trolley cord for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $719.22.

(14) Market Street Railway Co., September reimbursement, under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $1,536.06.

(15) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $3,100.41.

(16) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service, Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 16, 1926), $36,629.26.

Auditorium Fund.

(17) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Auditorium (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $706.78.

Tearing Up Street Fund.

(18) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $521.22.

Library Fund.

(19) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $700.

(20) Foster & Futernick Co., binding public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $724.65.

(21) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,999.16.

(22) San Francisco News Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,473.62.

(23) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $4,405.37.

Park Fund.

(24) Armour & Co., foodstuffs for parks (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $651.63.

(25) California Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $717.78.

(26) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $1,020.45.

(27) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $607.60.

(28) Frank G. White, fourth and final payment, plans and specifications for piers and wharves at Aquatic Park (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $1,000.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(29) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., chairs for Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $577.50.

(30) Sherman, Clay & Co., two pianos for High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $647.50.

(31) J. L. Stuart Mfg. Co., gymnasium mats for High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $559.20.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(32) Heywood, Wakefield Co., chair desks for Le Conte School (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $2,627.70.

(33) Park Commission, labor and material in planting grounds of High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 13, 1926), $550.

(34) Bond Construction Co., final payment, construction of Hawthorne School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $800.

(35) F. L. Hansen, eighth payment, construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $24,170.26.

(36) John Galen Howard, final payment, architectural service for Le Conte School (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $3,330.91.

(37) John Reid, Jr., fifteenth payment, architectural service for new Mission High School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $2,161.14.

(38) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing and gasfitting for Galileo High School Gymnasium (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $1,968.

(39) Herman Lawson, seventh payment, mechanical equipment for Hawthorne School (claim dated Oct. 20, 1926), $3,933.47.

(40) Scott Company, final payment, mechanical equipment for additional units to the Galileo High

School (claim dated Oct. 20, 1926), $1,987.12.

Special School Tax.

(41) Carl H. Peterson, seventh payment, general construction of Annex to Parkside School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $3,551.10.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(42) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels, blankets for new Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 21, 1926), $958.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(43) Clarence B. Eaton, first payment, improvement of City lands, Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets (claim dated Oct. 20, 1926), $5,100.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(44) John Kitchen, Jr., printing for Departments (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $1,357.13.

(45) Mercury Press, printing for Departments (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $530.56.

(46) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated Oct. 18, 1926), $1,353.90.

(47) California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $655.

(48) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $1,123.74.

(49) J. A. Bryant, fifth payment, construction of club house at Kezar Stadium, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Oct. 22, 1926), $11,195.

(50) City Coal Co., wood and coal, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $614.05.

(51) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $880.39.

(52) General Engineering & Dry Dock Co., repairs and painting of fire boats Scannel and Sullivan (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $2,274.40.

(53) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,728.74.

(54) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $507.25.

(55) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,171.04.

(56) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and installing and removing hydrants, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,417.90.

(57) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowance for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,218.

(58) Lewis Manufacturing Co., drug sundries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $512.

(59) H. Moffat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $973.21.

(60) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $2,071.20.

(61) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,991.90.

(62) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $2,242.40.

(63) Morris & Co., butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,904.

(64) San Francisco International Fish Co., fish for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $519.70.

(65) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Sept. 30, 1926), $1,452.98.

(66) Bernhard Mattress Co., beds for new Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $2,050.

(67) McCarthy Bros., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $650.

(68) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Oct 15, 1926), $526.80.

(69) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., resurfacing of streets (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $1,381.88.

(70) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Oct. 15, 1926), $3,551.74.

(71) Joseph Fitzpatrick, fifth payment, per award by State Industrial Accident Commission, employee of Dept. of Public Works (claim dated Oct. 4, 1926), $2,688.24.

(72) Louis T. Samuels, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street for period Oct. 4 to Nov. 4, 1926 (claim dated Oct. 25, 1926), $1,186.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington. Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Noes — Supervisor Marks voted "No" on items Nos. 36 and 37 and Supervisor Powers voted "No" on item No. 72.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Authorizing Payments for Properties Required for Widening and Improving Boulevards.

Resolution No. 26369 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payment for properties required for widening and improving boulevards, to-wit:

(1) To Annie Curry, for property beginning at intersection of the northwesterly line of Huron avenue and the northeasterly line of Mt. Vernon avenue, running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Huron avenue 240 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 40 feet; of uniform dimensions 40 x 240 feet, and required for improvement of Alemany boulevard (claimed dated Oct. 19, 1926), per Resolution No. 26307 (New Series), $12,960.

(2) To Frances P. Morse, for property beginning at intersection of southwesterly line of Charter Oak avenue with westerly boundary of the land conveyed to Frances P. Morse by deed recorded at page 491 of Volume 1032 of Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26308 (New Series); required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $26,174.

(3) To Giovanni and Maria D. Calderone, for property beginning at intersection of northerly line of Theresa street with southeasterly line of San Jose avenue; running thence northerly on southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 50.850 feet; being portions of the Demartini Tract; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26311 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $1,995.

(4) To Julia A. Brown and Frank W. Brown, for property on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, commencing 50.850 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Tingley street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.300 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26311 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), required for widening of San Jose avenue boulevard, $1,700.

(5) To Frances Michel, for property commencing at intersection of the southwesterly line of Gorham street with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 50.042 feet; thence southeasterly, parallel with Gorham street, 17.423 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26311 (New Series); required for widening of San Jose avenue boulevard (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), $2,583.

(6) To Charles Schopke and Anna Schopke, for property beginning at point of intersection of the westerly line of Ramsell street with the southerly line of Garfield street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 75 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; of uniform dimensions 75 x 12 feet; required for the widening of Garfield street (claim dated Oct. 19, 1926), per Resolution No. 26312 (New Series), $642.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Authorizing Payment to J. D. Allen et al. for Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 26370 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,750 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to J. D. Allen and Cecelia Allen, care of California Pacific Title Insurance Co.; being payment for Lot 24, Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Map of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26309 (New Series); required for the opening of Bernal Cut. (Claim dated Oct. 20, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26371 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Mrs. James Conlin, north side of Broadway, 75 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. L. Nelson, east side of Mallorca way, 79 feet 3 inches south of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

American O. T. Co., Ltd., 226 Jackson street, 10 horsepower.

The Tire Exchange, 561 Golden Gate avenue, 35 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26372 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ross Wilson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Sacramento and Front streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26373 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That I. C. Malnburg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Taraval street, 107 feet 6 inches west of Twenty-eighth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Lighting Survey Engineers.

Bill No. 7786, Ordinance No. 7296 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Committtee on Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity to appoint such engineers as may be necessary in carrying out the work of its survey for a proposed improved street lighting system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Committee on Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity is hereby authorized and directed to employ such engineers as may be necessary to carry on a survey for a proposed new street lighting system for San Francisco.

Section 2. This ordinance to take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Amending Traffic Ordinance Relative to Arterial Streets.

Bill No. 7785, Ordinance No. 7297 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), regulating moving traffic of the public streets, relating to arterial streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 18-A of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 18-A. (a) An arterial street is hereby defined to be a main street connecting a central business district of the City with its environs, over which there is a large volume of moving traffic and which, by reason of its location, invites an unusual number of vehicles to use its facilities.

(b) Fell street between Market and Baker street and Fulton street between Van Ness avenue and Stanyan street, Bush and Pine streets between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue, Geary street between Presidio and Forty-third avenues, Mission street between the Embarcadero and the County line, Valencia street between Market and Mission streets, Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Twenty-fourth avenue from Geary street to Fulton street, Twenty-fourth street from Dolores street to Hoffman avenue, Post street between Mason street and Presidio avenue, and Lombard street between Van Ness avenue and Lyon street, are hereby declared to be arterial streets as defined in paragraph (a).

(c) Fell street between Baker street and Stanyan street, Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street, and Francisco street between Van Ness avenue and Laguna street are hereby declared to be open public boulevards.

(d) No heavy traffic shall be allowed to pass upon and along a street declared to be an open public boulevard, and the words "heavy traffic" herein used shall be held to include all trucks and all wagons and other vehicles employed in carrying goods, merchandise, hay, coal, lumber, building material, sand, manure, oil or other articles of commerce, but shall not be held to include vehicles carrying goods

for retail delivery to persons residing upon said boulevard. All vehicles shall be permitted to travel upon said boulevard for a distance of less than one block when carrying goods to be delivered at a point within the block so traveled.

(e) No permit shall be issued for the moving of any houses upon and along a street declared to be an open public boulevard except as may be necessary to cross same at intersections.

(f) It shall be unlawful for any driver or operator of any vehicle or motorman of any street car to cross an arterial street or a street declared to be an open public boulevard from an intersecting street unless such vehicle or street car shall have been brought to a full stop before entering the intersection of such arterial street or open public boulevard unless otherwise directed by a police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop or Go" or any other device or sign that shall be authorized. installed and put in use and operation by the Police Department of said City and County.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $57,318.59, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Powers—2.

NEW BUSINESS

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) F. X. Lehner, fertilizer for parks (claim dated October 29, 1926), $965.

(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated October 29, 1926), $3,756.20.

(3) A. Paulsen, boring well at Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated October 29, 1926), $2,240.

(4) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated October 29, 1926), $3,933.88.

(5) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for parks (claim dated October 29, 1926), $537.50.

(6) Pratt Building Material Co., screenings for parks (claim dated October 29, 1926), $658.26.

Playground Fund.

(7) Patterson Williams Mfg. Co., playground apparatus (claim dated October 27, 1926), $513.50.

(8) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, construction of Chinatown playground, final payment (claim dated October 27, 1926), $1,200.19.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(9) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated October 23, 1926), $1,580.87.

(10) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., railway car parts (claim dated October 23, 1926), $812.74.

Water Works Fund.

(11) Spring Valley Water Co., laying cast iron water mains in Harkness street, for account Municipal Water Works (claim dated October 21, 1926), $763.82.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(12) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 26, 1926), $2,223.60.

(13) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for High School of Commerce (claim dated October 26, 1926), $2,223.60.

(14) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Mission High School (claim dated October 26, 1926), $3,891.30.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(15) R. W. Kinney Co., fifth payment, wrought steel pipe, Contract 114 (claim dated October 27, 1926), $2,526.87.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(16) O. Monson, second payment, general construction of additions to the Francis Scott Key School (claim dated October 25, 1926), $10,590.

(17) John Reid, Jr., ninth payment, architectural services, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated October 25, 1926), $1,653.06.

(18) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services, Sherman School (claim dated October 25, 1926), $981.82.

(19) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services, Sherman School (claim dated October 25, 1926), $6,852.55.

(20) Thos. R. Smith, first payment, plumbing for annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated October 25, 1926), $2,110.14.

(21) Roberts Manufacturing Co., acceptance payment, electric fixtures for the Hawthorne School (claim dated October 27, 1926), $1,005.

(22) Jas. L. McLaughlin, final payment, general construction of the Alamo School (claim dated October 27, 1926), $500.

Special School Tax.

(23) Joseph J. Rankin, third payment, architectural services for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated October 27, 1926), $527.76.

(24) Carl H. Peterson, final payment, general construction of annex to Parkside School (claim dated October 27, 1926), $12,924.30.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(25) Clinton Construction Co., extra work in connection with general construction of the new Relief Home (claim dated October 26, 1926), $545.68.

(26) Empire Planing Mill, final payment for chapel and auditorium benches and dining-room tables, new Relief Home (claim dated October 27, 1926), $4,938.68.

(27) Empire Planing Mill, tables for new Relief Home (claim dated October 26, 1926), $941.25.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(28) W. R. Ballinger & Son, hauling voting machines (claim dated October 28, 1926), $2,378.95.

(29) Phillips & Van Orden Co., ballot paper (claim dated October 28, 1926), $679.25.

(30) Park Commission, to reimburse the Park Fund for labor and water used in Civic Center beautification (claim dated October 29, 1926), $808.40.

(31) James J. Maher, for expense of E. A. Walcott and Jas. J. Maher of the Civil Service Commission, as representatives at National Assembly of Civil Service Commissions, Philadelphia, and investigations in Eastern cities (claim dated October 11, 1926), $1,000.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated October 22, 1926), $586.84.

(33) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated October 22, 1926), $1,843.72.

(34) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated October 22, 1926), $1,180.23.

(35) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated October 22, 1926), $3,140.72.

(36) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Hall of Justice and Civic Center Power House (claim dated October 22, 1926), $1,452.16.

(37) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated October 27, 1926), $701.50.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated October 28, 1926), $14,388.50.

(39) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruits, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $905.38.

(40) A. P. Hotaling, drug sundries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $2,153.37.

(41) H. F. Dugan, drug sundries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $946.68.

(42) California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $1,196.05.

(43) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $4,017.

(44) Joseph Gutradt Co., soap for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $528.79.

(*Supervisor Marks* requested to be recorded as voting *no* on Items 17, 18, 19 and 23 of foregoing resolution.)

Appropriation for Construction of Addition to Madison School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, for the construction of additions to the Madison School, to be erected on the south side of Clay street between Arguello boulevard and Cherry streets, including possible extras, incidentals and inspection, as per contracts awarded, to-wit:

(1) General construction (E. K. Nelson)	$77,575
(2) Electrical work (L. Flatland)	5,368
(3) Plumbing work (J. E. O'Mara)	3,345
(4) Mechanical equipment (Knittle Bros.)	7,496
(5) Possible extras, incidentals and inspection	5,000
	$98,784

Appropriation, $4,000 for Equipping Police Automobiles with Bullet-Proof Windshield Glass.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, to the credit of Appropriation 42-B, Budget Item No. 766, Police Department Equipment, for the expense of equipping Police Department automobiles with bullet-proof windshield glass.

Appropriations, Mariposa Street, Sutro Monument, Moving Picture Machine, Relief Home; Fillmore Street and Replacing Buoy, Baker's Beach Sewer.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Municipal Railway Operating Fund.

(1) For cost of improving Mariposa street between Bryant and York streets, including intersection, fronting the Municipal Railway carbarn, $1,762.33.

Sutro Monument, Rehabilitation of Statue and Grounds, Budget Item No. 61.

(2) For cost of rehabilitation and improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds (Eaton & Smith contract), $10,463.92.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(3) For purchase of equipment for the new Relief Home, including moving picture machine, sheets, pillows and pillow cases, rockers, electric work, etc., $4,675.06.

County Road Fund.

(4) For improvement of Fillmore street between Marina and Cervantes boulevards; additional to enable final payment, $1,500.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 39.

(5) For expense of replacing the buoy marking the outlet to the Baker's Beach outfall sewer, $500.

Appropriations, Improvements San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26374 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Improvements, San Francisco Hospital," Budget Item No. 84, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For covering with lead the darkroom in the Radiographic Department of the San Francisco Hospital, $250.

(2) For the furnishing and installing of 43 radiator hoods and cages for the new wards in the Tuberculosis Hospital, $390.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Cancellation of Sale of Property for Delinquent Taxes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26375 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following described property has been acquired by the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California and has been sold to the State for delinquent taxes, and the Auditor has recommended that said assessments and sales be cancelled and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804-A of the Political Code, the following assessments and sales:

Taxes 1924—*Sold June* 23, 1925.

Volume 9, Lot 10C, Block 1508, Maurice and Geraldine McCarthy; Sale No. 311.

Volume 9, Lot 25, Block 1510, Armand Moreau; Sale No. 312.

Volume 10, Lot 5G, Block 1576, Eva and Scott R. Bentley; Sale No. 332.

Volume 10, Lot 36, Block 1598, Eva K. De Sabla; Sale No. 349.

Taxes 1925—*Sold June* 28, 1926.

Volume 6, Lot 36, Block 1067, Jorgen C. and Caroline M. Svane; Sale No. 257.

Volume 10, Lot 8A, Block 1572, Frank and Ella F. Joseph; Sale No. 409.

Volume 10, Lot 37, Block 1598, Eva K. De Sabla; Sale No. 422.

Volume 16, Lot 4, Block 2421, Carl G. Larsen; Sale No. 758.

Volume 17, Lot 5, Block 2654, Helen A. Cross; Sale No. 765.

Volume 19, Lot 13, Block 3116, Wm. L. Erkelens; Sale No. 951.

Volume 19, Lot 24, Block 3138, Leslie H. and Ethel E. Croone; Sale No. 964.

Volume 19, Lot 30, Block 3143, John Barduhn; Sale No. 969.

Volume 19, Lot 48, Block 3143, Henry and Katherine Dobert; Sale No. 970.

Volume 20, Lot 52, Block 3511, Eugenio Erigero; Sale No. 991.

Volume 35, Lot 29, Block 6402, Wm. A. Appleton; Sale No. 1787.

Volume 35, Lot 25, Block 6446, Joseph and Anna Maloff; Sale No. 1798.

Volume 35, Lot 37, Block 6447, Amelia Lawrence; Sale No. 1801.

Volume 35, Lot 18, Block 6448, Jacob Attell; Sale No. 1802.

Volume 35, Lot 18, Block 6460, Edmund Johanson; Sale No. 1805.

Volume 35, Lot 37, Block 6464, Crocker Estate Co.; Sale No. 1808.

Volume 39, Lot 40, Block 7017, Wesley and Grace Donaldson; Sale No. 2248.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Auditor and Recorder to Cancel Sale of Property for Delinquent Taxes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26376 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that Lot 1 at the southwest corner of Cabrillo street and Fortieth avenue was sold for delinquent taxes on June 28, 1926, under sale No. 454; that thereafter such lot was divided into two lots now known as Lots 1 and 1D; that lot 1 has been redeemed from said sale and lot 1-D is now the property of the State of California, and recommends that sale be canceled as to said lot and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel said sale No. 454 as to that portion of the property sold now known as Lot 1-D, assessed to F. Carroll Reed in R. E. Vol. 11, page 25, in accordance with the provisions of Sections 3776 and 3804-A of the Political Code.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Grand View Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26377 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the widening of Grand View avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Lillian Ward, $170.

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 238.617 feet southerly from the southerly line of Romain street, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 33.800 feet; thence deflecting 8 degrees 49 minutes to the right and continuing southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 16.307 feet; thence deflecting 83 degrees 27 minutes 56 seconds to the right and running northwesterly 6.054 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 96 degrees 11 minutes 3 seconds to the right and running northeasterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 50.266 feet; thence deflecting 83 degrees 48 minutes 57 seconds to the right and running southeasterly 1.148 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Block 19 of Market Street Homestead.

Julia Campbell, $1.

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 230.862 feet southerly from the southerly line of Romain street, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 7.755 feet; thence deflecting 92 degrees 16 minutes 56 seconds to the right and running northwesterly 1.148 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 96 degrees 11 minutes 3 seconds to the right and running northeasterly along said proposed line, a distance of 7.794 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Block 19 of Market Street Homestead.

Whereas the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City At-

torney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26378 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names and the further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

George W. Dunn and Julia F. Dunn, $1,772.

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 50.850 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Cotter street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 48 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line, parallel with Cotter street, 16.583 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 100 degrees 38 minutes 37 seconds to the right, and running southwesterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 25.428 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 48; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Cotter street, 16.515 feet to the point of beginning, being portion of Lot 48 of the Demartini Tract.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to restore sidewalks where sidewalks are now constructed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Opening Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26379 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets, southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Frank S. Kingswood and Mary J. Kingswood, $3 300.

Lot 29, Block 6686, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26380 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Charles Stewart and Katherine Stewart, $2,233.30.

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 25 feet easterly from the easterly line of Ralston street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 26 of Block 20 of City Land Association.

The above amount covers damages in full to the remaining portion of said Lot 26 and the improvements partially located thereon.

James McNeilly and Anastasia McNeilly, $1,730.

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Arch street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 50 feet to a point on the westerly line of Arch street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Arch street 12 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lots 31 and 32 of Block 23 of City Land Association.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Arch street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 100 feet to a point on the easterly line of Arch street; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of Arch street 12 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lots 25, 26, 27 and 28 of Block 32 of City Land Association.

The above amount includes, in addition to the payment of the above described parcels, all damages in full to the adjoining lots and the improvements now partially on the above described parcels. Said improvements are to remain the property of the owners and are to be removed by them within thirty (30) days after receiving notice by the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy. Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26381 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the fol-

lowing described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets, southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right-of-way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

James Mitchell and Ruth Mitchell, $3,000.

All of Lot 25, Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

William Douglas, administrator of the estate of John Brennan, deceased, $6,500.

All of Lots 13 and 14, Block 6687, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

F. Favor and M. Favor, $6,100.

Lot 13, Block 6689, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Anker, west line of Clayton street, 150 feet west of Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John C. Augsbury, southeast corner of Washington and Maple streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Black, 441 Twenty-fourth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christiansen Bros., northeast corner of Chestnut and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christiansen Bros., north side Turk street, 100 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Demparak, 325 Maple street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Field & Ernst, 45 Fremont street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hoffman & McGahey, west line of Webster street, 100 feet south of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Johnson, northeast corner of Twenty-ninth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Hartland Law, 5 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. H. Lent, 2229 Washington street, 600 gallons capacity.

F. Long, west side of Eighth avenue 400 feet south of Lawton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Lu, 3479 Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Nelson, south side of Oak street, 125 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Peninsula Burner & Oil Co., 143 Silver avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Russ Building Co., southwest corner of Bush and Montgomery streets, three tanks of 1500 gallons capacity each.

L. D. Stoff, east side of Van Ness avenue, 68 feet south of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Percy R. Tyler, northwest corner of Octavia and Vallejo streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. N. Witt, 141 Terrace Drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit, J. M. Johnson.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. M. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the south side of Balboa street, 90 feet east of Thirty-second avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Chas. Sweigert, representing the petitioner, was heard in advocacy of the permit. He subsequently *withdrew the application.*

Special Legislative Session Condemned, Boulder Dam.

The following resolution heretofore presented by Supervisor Havenner and laid over from a previous meeting was taken up:

Resolution No. 26382 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people of California have recently been surprised and mystified by the action of their outgoing Governor in calling a special session of the State Legislature for the ostensible purpose of taking immediate action upon the proposal to dam the waters of the Colorado River; and

Whereas, this proposed project is now pending before the Congress of the United States, and no request for such hasty action by the State Legislature has been made by any representative of California in the Congress, nor have the people of Southern California, who are most directly interested in the development of the Colorado River project, made any appeal to the Governor, either direct or indirect, for such hasty action; and

Whereas, United States Senator Hiram W. Johnson and Representative Phil D. Swing, co-authors of the so-called Boulder Canyon Bill, now pending before Congress, for the development of the Colorado River project, have publicly announced that the action proposed by the Governor would be premature and might adversely affect the primary objects and ultimate success of the project; and

Whereas, the leaders of the movement in Southern California for the development of this porject have acquiesced in the views expressed by Senator Johnson and Congressman Swing, and have indicated a belief that the real purpose of the Governor in calling this special session of the Legislature is to defeat the purposes of the Boulder Canyon Bill; and

Whereas, the ultimate completion of this project is of vital importance to the entire State of California because it proposes providing irrigation for hundreds of thousands of acres of land now barren, and cheap electricity for thousands of citizens of many communities in the southern section of the State; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco deplore the action of the Governor in calling this special session of the Legislature just prior to his departure from office as an unnecessary expense upon the taxpayers of California and a palpable poltical move to discredit and adversely affect the great constructive project now under consideration by Congress; and be it

Further Resolved, That we, the Board of Supervisors, urge the members of the Legislature representing San Francisco to exercise the utmost caution in casting their votes upon any proposal which may be submitted by our defeated Governor to the special session of the Legislature, to the end that nothing may be done which will embarrass the authors of the Boulder Canyon Bill in Congress or endanger the ultimate success of that measure; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to his Excellency the Governor, to his Excellency, the Lieutenant-Governor, to United States Senator Hiram W. Johnson and Representative Phil D. Swing, and to each Senator and Assemblyman representing San Francisco in the State Legislature.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Byington raised the point of order that it was not within the power or scope of the Board of Supervisors to pass the foregoing resolution.

Chair ruled point of order not well taken.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd.—12.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson. —5.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Whereupon, *Supervisor Hayden* changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at next meeting.

Special Message of Governor Friend Wm. Richardson Regarding Colorado River Compact to the Legislature of the State of California in Extraordinary Session, October 22, 1926.

The following was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and ordered *printed in the record* and copies sent to members:

Message of the Governor.

To the Senate and Assembly of the State of California:

You have been called to meet in extraordinary session today for the sole purpose of ratifying the Colorado River compact. I have taken this action after long and serious study and after consultation with representatives of other States interested in conserving the water of this great river and putting it to beneficial use. There is need for immediate action upon Colorado River legislation now pending before Congress, and ratification of the compact will make it possible for prompt action by that body when it meets in December. It is imperative that Congress should take speedy action and that the development of the Colorado River should begin as soon as possible. To delay action is to jeopardize the whole Colorado River plan and continue the flood menace to the Imperial Valley.

The Colorado River compact was signed at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on November 24, 1922, at a conference of the States interested, called in pursuance to an Act of Congress approved August 19, 1921. There were present at this conference Secretary of Commerce Herbert Hoover, representing the federal government, and representatives from the States of Arizona, California, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming. California was represented at that conference by the late W. F. McClure, State Engineer, and the late R. T. McKisick, Deputy Attorney-General.

This compact was ratified unconditionally by the California legislature in 1923, and was also ratified by the States of Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming. Arizona declined to ratify.

A compact approved by six States was then suggested, so that legislation might be enacted enabling the federal government to erect without delay structures in the Colorado River designed to protect Imperial and Palo Verde valleys from the great danger of flood, to secure an adequate and regulated supply of water for Imperial and other valleys, to provide additional water for Arizona lands, to provide additional water for the cities of southern California, and to develop hydroelectric power. The six-State compact was unconditionally ratified by the legislatures of Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming. The California legislature at its 1925 session made reservations and limitations which proved unacceptable to the other five states and resulted in blocking action at the last session of Congress. The action of the California legislature was in effect a nullification rather than a ratification. This action was taken against my advice and despite the protests of the governors of the five ratifying States, of Secretary of State Herbert Hoover, of California's two representatives at the original Santa Fe conference, and of others possessing intimate knowledge of the situation.

At the short session of Congress beginning in December there will be a rush to obtain favorable action on numerous proposals and the way should be cleared so that the Colorado River program and Senate Bill 3331, known as the Swing-Johnson bill, may obtain early consideration and passage. This measure contains a provision requiring the approval by six of the seven signatory States "without condition, save that of such six-State approval, and until the President by public proclamation shall have so declared." The bill further makes the approval of the compact by the United States "effective when at least six of the signatory States, including the State of California, shall have approved or may hereafter approve said compact as aforesaid and shall consent to said waiver."

It is to be noted that the quoted language of the bill is not that of the California Senator or Congressman who are credited with its authorship, but it was written into the measure by representatives of the Departments of the Interior and Commerce in an effort to save it from defeat, because of the reservations imposed by the 1925 session of the California legislature. But even such provision is not acceptable to the other five states that have in good faith unconditionally ratified the compact, as shown by expressions I have received and which are attached hereto.

In my proclamation calling this session, I included the text of a joint resolution which I earnestly ask that you approve. This resolution is the same as that already adopted by the states of Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming, and conforms to the requirements of the revised Swing-Johnson bill. The adoption by your body of this resolution substantially in the form presented will put California squarely on record as favoring the Boulder Dam and Colorado River development. The reasons advanced by the 1925 legislature for the reservations then made have been shown to be fallacious. Congress has failed and refused to act

in view of the action of the 1925 California legislature.

It is my earnest wish that some agreement may soon be reached between Arizona and the other interested states that will enable Arizona to join with the others in proceeding without further delay with this constructive undertaking.

In calling this session I have had but one purpose and that is the ratification of the six-state Colorado river compact. As I am retiring from the office of Governor, after four years of public service, no one can honestly say that I have any political motives in connection with this matter. In fact the only motives I have are the good of the state and my desire to aid in the great Colorado River project. For the past four years I have been a consistent friend and advocate of Colorado River development. At the 1923 session of the legislature I helped to secure the passage and ratification of the Colorado River compact. At the 1925 session I did what I could to prevent the reservations being put into the compact resolution which blocked congressional action at the last session.

During the past four years I have had frequent conferences with federal officials, with governors and representatives of other states, with the Attorney-General, with former State Engineer McClure and State Engineer Bailey in regard to the Colorado River matter. I have made several visits to the river and know first-hand of conditions there. My work in behalf of Colorado River development has been done unostentatiously and unheralded and with a desire solely to help the people of California.

At the meeting of the National Editorial Association in San Diego last summer I called the attention of that body to the great importance of harnessing the water of the Colorado River, and to the fact that to permit the water to flow unused into the gulf was as wasteful as though every oil well in California would be allowed to empty its output into the ocean. The National Editorial Association, upon my suggestion, adopted a resolution approving the Colorado River project, and since the session many newspapers throughout the United States, which were before uninterested, have come to the help of California in settling this problem. This powerful help will be unavailing if California fails to ratify the compact.

I hope the members of the California Legislature will consider this matter on its merits, free from any political or partisan motives. There is a vital need for immediate action in order to protect the lives and property of the people of Imperial Valley. Last summer a few inches further rise in the flood would have sent the water pouring down through New River and into the Salton Sea. Many southern California cities in a few years will need the water from this great river which is now going to waste. The electric energy now going to waste should be conserved and utilized for the benefit of the people. I am now and have been a consistent friend of Colorado River development, of the Boulder Dam, of the Swing-Johnson bill as revised and rewritten, and of a canal on California soil.

I hope the members of the Legislature, regardless of their political or factional alignment, will get together to help in this great beneficial project.

I have attached hereto expressions from the Governors of other States, and other persons.

Respectfully submitted,
FRIEND WM. RICHARDSON,
Governor.

Statement of Governor Morley of Colorado.

Governor Morley of Colorado, in a communication to Governor Richardson, says:

"Replying to your request for an expression of opinion of the consequences of continued refusal by California Legislature to ratify the Colorado River compact without reservations, we believe such refusal will destroy the good will and spirit of cooperation heretofore existing between the seven Colorado River States and will be construed as evidencing a desire by California to gain unfair advantage of and as an unfriendly act toward the other interested States.

"Permit me to direct your attention to the following: That the Colorado River compact was suggested, negotiated and concluded in response to pleas for relief of the Imperial Valley and other lower river territory and in order to open the way to early construction, flood control and other works necessary to afford that relief; that California, Colorado and four other states promptly and unconditionally ratified the compact in 1923; that Arizona took no action, asking time for further consideration of her problems and her relations with California and Nevada; that delay did not relieve the menace to the Imperial Valley, and, in 1925, the four upper basin states and Nevada offered to relieve the situation by

PUBLIC LIBRARY

enactment of special legislation to make the compact effective between the six states, including California, which had theretofore unconditionally ratified it; that, over your earnest remonstrance, the California legislature not only refused to accept this offer, which would have made the compact immediately effective and opened the way to cooperative effort for flood relief, but offended the people of the other five ratifying states by repealing the California ratifying act of 1923 and by adopting a new resolution conditionally agreeing to make the compact effective only when the other states (which furnish the water) should have yielded to the coercion of California and should have provided for a monopolistic reservoir of capacity and location dictated by California; that thereafter California representatives in Congress pushed for consideration pending bills to authorize construction of flood control works, under agreement with representatives of the upper states that the bill, if passed, should never become effective until the California legislature had rectified the wrong to the other states by repealing the 1925 resolution and passing a new act ratifying the compact without condition save that of six-state approval, and that you are only requesting the legislature of your state to now rectify its wrong to the other states and for California not to await action at some future legislative session as promised by your representatives in Congress.

"We who entertain the most cordial relations with the people of California and who have taken every opportunity to assist in the solution of their pressing problems, asking only that we first be afforded that protection (by compact) which is our just due as a state of origin of the river, believe that the legislature of your state will gladly accept the opportunity to rectify the wrong to the other states and to pave the way to early cooperative action for necessary control of our great river.

"I would be remiss did I fail to say that our people will never be fully satisfied with other than the complete protection afforded by a ratification of the compact by all seven of the states. This, we believe, to be essential to the final and successful solution of Colorado River problems by both the states and our federal government. Permit me to urge that due consideration be given the claims of Arizona and that every effort be made to early conclude a just and satisfactory arrangement between the states of Arizona, California and Nevada to the end that there be that accord between the seven Colorado River states essential both to protection of their respective inherent rights as states and to the successful solution of the great problems now confronting both California and Arizona."

Statement of Governor Hannett of New Mexico.

Governor Hannett of New Mexico, in a communication to Governer Richardson, says:

"In my judgment if California refuses to ratify six-state compact on Colorado River it will result in ultimate failure of compact as other upper states feel that California is now playing into the hands of Arizona by their attitude."

Statement of Governor Dern of Utah.

Governor Dern of Utah, in a communication to Governor Richardson, says:

"Utah would regard unconditional ratification of the six-state Colorado River pact by the legislature of California as a friendly act and I hope it will be accomplished. After careful consideration my conclusion is that when the California legislature ratifies the six-state pact earnest efforts should be made by California, Arizona and Nevada to reach an agreement concerning the division of the waters allotted to the lower basin in which Utah also has a considerable interest, thus paving the way for ratification of the seven-state pact which all are agreed is essential to complete protection of all the states. In the absence of California's ratification we must in self-protection continue to oppose any development whatsoever in the lower basin."

Statement of Governor Ross of Wyoming.

Governor Ross of Wyoming, in a communication to Governor Richardson, says:

"Should California now ratify the Colorado River compact without reservations, thus putting it into effect among the six states, it would afford Wyoming a large measure of protection and I sincerely hope that your state may see its way clear to take such action at an early date. If California and Arizona persist in refusing to ratify the compact, Wyoming, in self defense, must continue to object to development in the lower basin states based on appropriations of water from the Colorado River which would not be controlled by the terms of the com-

pact until the rights of this state are protected by act of Congress or otherwise safeguarded. It would seem to me to be the part of wisdom, if it can be done, for the lower states to reach an agreement among themselves whereby the objections to the compact by both Arizona and California would be removed and the compact ratified by all seven states, thus giving to all the states the fullest measure of protection and permitting development on the river to go forward unimpeded."

Statement of Delph E. Carpenter, Commissioner of Colorado.

Delph E. Carpenter, who was the commissioner representing Colorado at the Santa Fe conference when the Colorado River compact was agreed upon, says:

"The question put squarely before the California legislature by Governor Richardson's message is whether or not the State of California will accept the offer of five of the other ratifying states to make the Colorado River compact effective between the six states which originally ratified it, without awaiting action by Arizona and without prejudice to her rights.

"The 1923 sessions of the legislatures of California, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming unconditionally ratified the Colorado River compact, in conformity with article XI, which requires approval by the legislature of each of the signatory states and Congress before the compact shall become effective. Arizona took no action, awaiting further information respecting her own problems. The delay thus foretold postponed ratification for two years or longer.

"But in the meantime, the flood menace to the Imperial Valley, California, and other districts became more imminent. This, with other causes, prompted the suggestion that the Colorado River compact be made immediately effective between the six states which had ratified, without prejudice to Arizona. This could be accomplished by reciprocal legislation by the six states providing for waiver of the requirements of article XI of the compact. The 1925 session of the Colorado legislature passed such an act, and similar acts were passed by the legislatures of Nevada, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming.

"But California failed to concur with the other States. While her legislature passed a resolution to make the compact ultimately effective between six or more ratifying States, it contained a provision that the resolution should not become effective until after a reservoir of 20,000,000 acre-feet capacity, at or below Boulder Canyon on the Colorado River, had been authorized for construction by the United States.

"In other words, California refused to accept the offer of the other States to make the compact immediately effective, while awaiting the action of Arizona, in order that California might be protected against destructive floods, and, by resolution of her legislature, in effect, replied that she questioned the good faith of the other States and demanded the authorization of the construction of a monopolistic reservoir for her special benefit, with funds from the treasury of the United States before she would give the other States protection of their rights to the use of the water of the river, by approving the compact.

"The 1925 California resolution also provided for the repeal of the 1923 action of the California legislature unconditionally ratifying the compact.

"In conformity with the resolution, California representatives in Congress pressed for consideration a bill to provide for construction, with federal funds, of the Boulder Canyon Dam (on the Arizona-Nevada boundary) and the all-American Canal, and congressional approval of the Colorado River compact. This is the act referred to by Governor Richardson.

"Realizing the danger of enactment by Congress of some measure for flood control on the lower Colorado River, out of simple caution, representatives of the upper-basin States proposed, discussed and agreed upon certain amendments to the bill pending before Congress, including a provision that the congressional act should not become effective until California had unconditionally approved the compact and had repealed her coercive act of 1925. This bill is still under consideration before a committee of the House of Representatives, but was favorably reported by the Senate Committee on Irrigation and will be upon the calendar for consideration at the next session of Congress.

"Many of those desiring to make early provision for the protection of the lower Colorado River believe that there is small likelihood of Congress passing any bill for this purpose until the Colorado River compact has been unconditionally approved, especially in view of the demands of Arizona for a reasonable understanding with California

prior to the Arizona ratification of the compact and prior to any action by Congress which might interfere with Arizona interests. It is the evident desire of Governor Richardson that obstacles be removed at once by the repeal of the 1925 California resolution and substitution of an act similar to the act passed by the other five States, which originally ratified the compact. If the California legislature takes this action at the special session and Congress promptly ratifies the compact, there is better chance for favorable consideration of the pending measure for the construction of flood control.

"Justification was sought for the coercive features of the California resolution of 1925 by excuses of desire to protect present existing rights in the lower Colorado River. Such, however, are not valid, as article VIII of the compact provides that present perfected rights in the lower basin shall remain unimpaired until 'storage capacity of 5,000,000 acre-feet shall have been provided on the main Colorado River within and for the benefit of the lower basin.' In other words, construction of reservoir of that minimum capacity is a condition precedent to the final protection of the upper basin against adverse claims from present users in the lower basin and unconditional approval of the compact by the California Legislature would include this protective clause.

"The coercive features of the 1925 California resolution, coupled with the evident intent to question the good faith of the other States by the Legislature of the very State which will derive the greatest benefit from works constructed in harmony with the compact, did not come with good grace. The other six States are entitled to protection of present and future development by a compact concluded and ratified by all seven States and by Congress as a preliminary to any major construction on the river. In other words, there should be an agreement respecting the title of the property before the building is placed upon it. The attitude of California demanding that the building be guaranteed before she enters into a discussion of the settlement of title and that the funds for the building be withdrawn from the national treasury, is hardly in keeping with the spirit of interstate comity displayed by the other Colorado River States, which furnish the water California desires to use, and, were it not for the imminent danger to innocent people in the Imperial Valley and elsewhere, the whole matter would be left in abeyance until all questions of present and future title to the use of the waters of the river had been settled and determined by the seven-State compact, and such subsidiary compacts as are contemplated by that compact."

Proclamation Convening the Legislature in Extraordinary Session.

Whereas, An extraordinary occasion has arisen and now exists requiring that the Legislature of the State of California be convened; now, therefore

I, Friend Wm. Richardson, Governor of the State of California, by virtue of the power and authority vested in me by section 9 of article V of the Constitution of the State of California, do hereby convene the Legislature of the State of California to meet and assemble in extraordinary session at the State Capitol at Sacramento, California, on Friday, the twenty-second day of October, 1926, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m., for the following purpose, and none other:

To adopt the following joint resolution of the Senate and Assembly relative to approving the Colorado River compact:

Whereas, The Legislatures of the States of California, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming heretofore have approved the Colorado River compact signed by the commissioners of the said States and the State of Arizona and approved by Herbert Hoover as the representative of the United States of America, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, November 24, 1922 (chapter 17 of Concurrent and Joint Resolutions, Statutes of California, 1923), and notice of the approval by the Legislature of each of said approving States has been given by the Governor to the Governors of the other signatory States and to the President of the United States as required by article XI of said compact; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Assembly and Senate of the State of California, jointly, That the provisions of the first paragraph of article XI of the Colorado River compact, making said compact effective when it shall have been approved by the Legislature of each of the signatory States, are hereby waived and said compact shall become binding and obligatory upon the State of California and upon the other signatory States which have ratified, or may here-

after ratify it, whenever at least six of the signatory States shall have consented thereto and the Congress of the United States shall have given its consent and approval; provided, however, that this act shall be of no force and effect until a similar act or resolution shall have been passed or adopted by the Legislatures of the States of Wyoming, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico and Utah, and the President of the United States by public proclamation shall have so declared; and be it further

Resolved, That Assembly Joint Resolution No. 15 passed by the Legislature of 1925 (chapter 33, Concurrent and Joint Resolutions, Statutes of 1925), and any and all other acts or resolutions inconsistent herewith be and they are hereby repealed and rescinded; and be it further

Resolved, That this act shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand and caused to be affixed thereto the Great Seal of the State of California at Sacramento this fourteenth day of October, 1926.

(Great Seal of the State of California.)

FRIEND WM. RICHARDSON,
Governor of California.

Attest:

FRANK C. JORDAN,
Secretary of State.
By FRANK H. CORY,
Deputy.

October 25, 1926—*Clerk to furnish members of Board with copy of Governor's message.*

Closing a Portion of Walbridge Street.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26383 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a portion of Walbridge street as hereinafter described.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 26189 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon a portion of Walbridge street, hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Walbridge street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Walbridge street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Walbridge street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 26189 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Walbridge street is nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said portion of said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of a portion of said Walbridge street be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of said Walbridge street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street.

The said portion of Walbridge street hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Walbridge street, in San Francisco County, with the southerly boundary of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Walbridge street 1008.972 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the left of 1102.246-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 171 deg. 32 min. 16 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 8 deg. 27 min. 44 sec, a distance of 162.795 feet to a point distant 12 feet at right angles southerly from the northerly line of Walbridge street; thence easterly, tangent to the preceding curve, parallel with the northerly line of Walbridge street and distant 12 feet at right angles southerly therefrom, a distance of 813.027 feet to the southerly boundary of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 19 deg. 34 min. 40 sec. to the left and running easterly along said southerly boundary 35.812 feet to the point of beginning.

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Chattanooga Street.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7787, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 13, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-six, to read as follows:

Section 936. The width of sidewalks on Chattanooga street, the easterly side of, between Twenty-second street and Twenty-third street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Chattanooga street, the westerly side of, between Twenty-second street and Twenty-third street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Fifteenth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7788, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section eight hundred thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 13, 1926, by amending Section eight hundred thereof, to read as follows:

Section 800. The width of sidewalks on Fifteenth avenue between Wawona street and West Portal avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Fifteenth avenue between Wawona street and West Portal avenue showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks."

The width of sidewalks on Fifteenth avenue between West Portal avenue and Portola drive shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26384 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 18, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Virginia avenue between Eugenia avenue and Winfield street, under public contract. The work is completed with the exception of a small portion of the sidewalks, and this extension of time is granted in order to keep the contract alive in case of an appeal.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Also, Resolution No. 26385 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, under public contract, to-wit:

Ninety days' time from October 21, 1926, to complete street work on

Laidley street between Fairmount and Noe streets. Delay has been occasioned by difficulty in obtaining labor and materials.

Ninety days' time from October 13, 1926, to complete street work on Majestic avenue between Lakeview avenue and Ridge lane. This extension is necessary pending acceptance of contract and issuance of assessment.

Ninety days' time from and after October 12, 1926, within which to complete street work on Huron avenue between Ottawa and Mount Vernon avenues. Delay has been occasioned by difficulty in obtaining labor and materials.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26386 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 94082 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted October 6, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed October 13, 1926, to-wit:

On Beach street between Laguna street and the westerly line of Buchanan street between North Point street and Jefferson street produced, on Webster street between Tonquin street and Lewis street, on the Marina boulevard between Beach and Tonquin streets, on Tonquin street between Webster and Scott streets, on Lewis street between Buchanan and Pierce streets, and on Fillmore, Steiner and Pierce streets between Tonquin and Lewis streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Cancellation of Certificate of Sale of Lands for Street Extensions, City Land Association Tract.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26387 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works has reported that certain lots struck off and sold to the City and County of San Francisco as purchaser at an auction sale September 3, 1914, in connection with the assessment for the extension of streets in the City Land Association into Holloway avenue have been redeemed and the full redemption price has been paid; therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of said Board of Public Works, the original certificate of sale of the following lots of land be and they are hereby cancelled:

Certificate and Lot Numbers on Report and Plat on File in the Office of the Board of Public Works:

Cert.	Lot	Cert.	Lot	Cert.	Lot
1	11	105	84	195	54
2	23	106	87	196	59
3	30	107	88	197	60
4	31	108	89	198	61
5	40	109	91	199	63
6	46	110	92	200	64
8	63	115	33	201	72
9	75	116	34	202	73
11	9	119	54	203	74
12	10	120	55	207	79
13	11	125	60	208	80
37	94	126	61	209	81
38	11	127	67	210	83
45	54	128	68	211	84
46	55	129	69	212	87
51	72	130	76	214	25
56	81	130	32	215	36
57	82	140	33	216	46
58	85	141	34	217	47
59	88	143	36	218	48
66	39	144	37	219	49
67	40	145	38	222	62
68	44	155	69	223	63
70	46	156	70	225	65
71	47	157	71	226	74
72	54	162	2	227	79
73	55	161	3	230	4
74	56	162	13	231	8
75	57	163	21	232	9
76	61	164	22	233	14
77	66	167	50	234	15
78	67	168	51	235	21
79	68	169	52	236	22
80	69	170	53	237	23
82	83	172	68	238	25
83	83	173	70	239	26
84	89	174	71	240	28
87	13	175	72	241	40
88	14	179	2	242	41
90	17	180	5	244	43
91	20	183	17	245	55
92	21	184	19	247	59
93	22	185	20	248	60
95	30	186	21	249	61
96	31	187	22	250	72
102	52	192	49	251	73
103	70	193	50	252	77
104	83	194	51	253	78

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Approving Map Realignment of Bauer Street and San Juan Avenue at Cayuga Avenue.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26388 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 94071 (Second Series), approve a map showing realignment of Bauer street and San Juan avenue at Cayuga avenue; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said map showing realignment of Bauer street and San Juan avenue at Cayuga avenue is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Accepting Offers of Land for Bauer Street and San Juan Avenue, Declared Open Public Streets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26389 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 4th day of May, 1926, between The McCarthy Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying parcel of land No. 1 for street purposes as shown on map entitled "Map showing realignment of Bauer street and San Juan avenue at Cayuga avenue," is hereby accepted and the land covered by said deed is hereby declared an open public street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1

Also, Resolution No. 26390 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 20th day of April, 1926, between William B. McKinnon and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying parcel of land No. 2 for street purposes as shown on map entitled "Map showing realignment of Bauer street and San Juan avenue at Cayuga avenue," is hereby accepted and the land covered by said deed is hereby declared an open public street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26391 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Hennessey is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after September 19, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Bluxome street between Fourth and Fifth streets under public contract. The work has been delayed on account of the railroad companies raising their tracks.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printings*

Establishing Grades, Thor Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7789, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Thor avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Thor avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed October 19, 1926.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Oneida Street.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26391 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 26192 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon a portion of Oneida street, hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Oneida street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Oneida street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Oneida street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 26192 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Oneida street is nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said portion of said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of Oneida street be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of said Oneida street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The said portion of Oneida street hereinabove referred to is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of that portion of Oneida street between Otsego avenue and Cayuga street.

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Fixing November 15th for Hearing Appeal from Assessment for Improvement of Douglass Street.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26392 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, November 15, 1926, at 2 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street, including the easterly and the westerly intersections of Clipper street with Douglass street, and the crossing of Twenty-sixth street and Douglass street; and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on the 15-inch sewer, and the necessary manholes as may be required; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to a line at right angles with the easterly line of Douglass street at its intersection with the northerly line of Clipper street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Twenty-sixth street from the westerly line of Diamond street to a line parallel with and 280 feet westerly therefrom; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Fixing November 15th for Hearing of Property Owners Against Assessment for Improvement of Hudson Avenue.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26393 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, November 15, 1926, at 2 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing of the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement

of Hudson avenue between Lane street and Keith street, including the crossing of Lane street and Hudson avenue; and the improvement of Keith street between Galvez avenue and Hudson avenue, including the crossing of Hudson avenue and Keith street; by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances, etc.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1

Fixing November 15th for Hearing of Property Owners Against Assessment for Improvement of Madrid Street.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26394 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, November 15, 1926, at 2 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing of the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Madrid street between Silver avenue and Peru avenue by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the westerly side and six (6) feet in width on the easterly side; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Maggie Alley Changed to Bristol Court.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26395 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 94138 (Second Series), adopted October 15, 1926, recommend to this Board that the name of Maggie alley off the east line of Jones street between Geary and Post streets, officially known as Maggie alley, be changed to Bristol court; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the name of Maggie alley be and is hereby changed to Bristol court;

Further Resolved, That the attention of the Assessor, the Recorder, the Board of Public Works and the City Engineer is hereby called to the passage of this resolution.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Award of Contract, Mattresses, Pillows, for the Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26396 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on bids submitted October 4, 1926 (Proposal No. 244), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Mattresses for Relief Home.

400 mattresses (hair), size 36x76 inches when finished; weight, 28 pounds, including tick; filling, grey drawings, free from pig bristles; ticking, 8-ounce Amoskeag A. C. A.; box, 4-inch double-stitched; edges, French seam; tufting, diamond with leather tufts.

Guarantee: Contractor guarantees all materials to be new, clean and sanitary and that their manufacture is under approved sanitary conditions.

Awarded to Edward McRoskey Mattress Co. on AAA grade at $19.65 each.

Pillows for Relief Home.

400 pillows, size 19 inches by 25 inches, to contain 3 pounds of filler, consisting of 2 pounds of hen flake and 1 pound of live duck feathers. Feathers to be thoroughly cured, clean, odorless and free from large quills. Ticking to be Amoskeag A. C. A. and to weigh 8 ounces per square yard. City sample.

Awarded to McRoskey & Co. at $1.20 each.

Resolved: That bonds for the faithful performance of above contracts be required as follows, viz.: Edward McRoskey Mattress Company, amount of bond, $2,000; McRoskey & Co., amount of bond, $100.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Award of Contract, Athletic Goods.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26397 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing athletic goods for playgrounds and for School Department on bids submitted October 11, 1926 (Proposal No. 247), as follows, viz.:

General Specifications: Quantities. It is stipulated that the City has the option of increasing the quantities herein stated 20 per cent; provided, the City exercise said option within 15 days after the official award of contract.

Item No. 1—324 gross jackstones, either silver or copper finish (1 gross to a box), per gross, $.34—San Francisco Notion & Toy Co.

Item No. 2—648 dozen jackballs, small red balls (3 dozen to box), per dozen, $.38—Baer Notion & Toy Company.

Item No. 3—Handballs—All bids rejected.

Item No. 4—5 dozen inflators, on sample No. 1, per dozen, $10—A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 5—96 sets checkerboards and checkers (Champion), per set, $.28—Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Company.

Item No. 6—6 dozen whistles, nickel-plated, "Police Special," on sample No. 314, per dozen, $2.95—Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 7—6 dozen tennis nets, tarred, 30-thread, size double court, 42 feet 6 inches x 3 feet 3 inches, made by Linen Thread Co, per dozen, $102—Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 8—130 dozen tennis balls, Reach Paramount, per dozen, $3.91—Phil B. Bekeart Co. Delivery: 50 dozen at a time.

Item No. 9—20 dozen tennis racquets, per dozen, $48—Klawans & McMullin. Delivery: 10 dozen at a time.

Item No. 10—3 dozen volley ball nets, 27 feet, 12-thread, regulation, per dozen, $13.80—The Ellery Arms Company.

Item No. 11—10 dozen volley balls, per dozen, $38—The Ellery Arms Company.

Item No. 12—5 dozen volley ball bladders, guaranteed new stock "A", per dozen, $6.60—A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 13—Basket balls, inseam —No award.

Item No. 14—10 dozen basket ball bladders, "OM", guaranteed new stock, per dozen, $9.60—A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 15—6 dozen soccer bladders, W&D NO. 5A, guaranteed new stock, per dozen, $6.53—C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 16—25 dozen American footballs, No. OR, per dozen, $34.80 —The Ellery Arms Company.

Item No. 17—6 dozen American football bladders, No. P, guaranteed new stock, per dozen, $6.60—A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 18—40 dozen regulation baseballs, official Pacific Coast League, No. 190-C, per dozen, $11.50 —Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 19—4 dozen catcher's masks, K&McN No. 322, per dozen, $39—Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 20—30 dozen indoor baseballs, outseam, 9-inch, 1P-9, per dozen, $7.50—Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 21—120 dozen indoor baseballs, outseam, 12-inch, No. P 12, per dozen, $10—Phil B. Bekeart Co.

Item No. 22—72 dozen regulation baseball bats, Louisville Slugger, Zinn-Beck No. 100, per dozen, $12—C. F. Weber & Co. Delivery: 10 dozen at a time.

Item No. 23—270 dozen indoor baseball bats, Louisville Junior, per dozen, $6.75—Klawans & McMullin. Delivery: 10 dozen at a time.

Item No. 24—10 dozen lacers with wood handles, W&D No. NW, per dozen, $.65—C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 25—Horeshoes, Diamond, Junior size,—No award.

Delivery of all items except Items 3, 8, 9, 13, 22 and 23, immediate to Jackson storeroom, Seventeenth and Carolina streets, excepted items as noted.

Item No. 26—15 dozen baseballs, No. 1 official Pacific Coast League, No. 190C, per dozen, $11.50—Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 27—10 dozen baseball bats, model and weight to be selected, Zinn-Beck No. 100, per dozen, $12—C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 28—Catcher's masks—All bids rejected.

Item No. 29—2 dozen catcher's mitts, No. 730, per dozen, $30—Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 30—1 dozen first base gloves, CX, per dozen, $23.40—A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Delivery: January 1, 1927, to Department of Physical Education, Room 4, Mission Grammar School, Mission street, near Sixteenth street.

Item No. 31—12 dozen basketball bladders, No. CM, guaranteed new stock, per dozen, $9.60—A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 32—5 dozen soccer ball bladders, No. A, guaranteed new stock, per dozen, $6.60—A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 33—6 dozen volley ball bladders, No. A, guaranteed new stock, per dozen, $6.60—A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Delivery: Immediate delivery to Department of Physical Education, Room No. 4, Mission Grammar School.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of above contracts be required as follows: Baer Notion & Toy Co., no bond required; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., no bond required; Phil B. Bekeart, amount of bond, $200; The Ellery Arms Co., amount of bond, $100; Klawans & McMullin, amount of bond, $500; San Francisco Notion & Toy Co., no bond required; Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., no bond required; A. G. Spalding & Bros., no bond required; C. F. Weber & Co., amount of bond, $100.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Third and Arthur streets (site on Engine Company No. 19), provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Vicente Street and Thirty-second Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby changed so as to place the northwest corner of Vicente street and Thirty-second avenue, 25 feet on Thirty-second avenue and 120 feet on Vicente street, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Appropriation, $5,000, Armistice Day Celebration.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26398 (New Series, as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee of the Board of Supervisors be and is hereby authorized to incur expense not to exceed $5,000 for and in connection with the observance of Armistice Day, November 11, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26399 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to install and change the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

La Playa between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

Twenty-first avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets.

Twentieth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets.

Eighteenth avenue and Santiago street.

Thirty-seventh avenue between Geary and Anza streets.

Corner Raleigh and Paulding streets.

Francis street between Alemany avenue and Mission street.

Revere avenue between Ingalls and Hawes streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Santa Ana and Ocean avenues.

Potrero avenue and Division street, intersection of railroad crossing.

Change 400 *M. R.*

West side Parker avenue, third pole north of McAllister street, to second pole north of McAllister street.

South side Lake street and Funston avenue to west side Funston avenue and Lake street.

Install 600 *C. P. Ornamental Bracket.*

Northwest corner Ninth avenue and Lincoln way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Naming Larsen Park and Playground.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 26400 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Carl G. Larsen has recently deeded to the City two blocks of land bounded by Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues and Ulloa and Wawona streets for the purposes of a public park and recreation center; and

Whereas, this is the second gift of this kind that Mr. Larsen has made and his action merits public recognition and appreciation; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board recommend to the Board of Park Commissioners that it give consideration to the suggestion that this park be named the Larsen Park and Playground in recognition of Mr. Larsen's public spirit and generosity.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Presidio Officers and Men Thanked for Cooperation, San Francisco Sesqui-Centenial Celebration.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26401 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in the recent celebration of the historic event of the Sesqui-Centennial of the founding of San Francisco, a principal feature was the military and naval parade; and Major General James H. McRae, the Commanding General Ninth Corps Area, his chief of staff, Colonel A. V. P. Anderson, and the other officers and men under his command at the Presidio of San Francisco rendered to the City signal service and great aid by making the parade a market success, which would not otherwise have been possible; and this splendid aid and service was prompted by the whole-hearted spirit of cooperation on the part of these officers, which characterizes the sentiment and feeling constantly manifested by the United States Army, and furnishes an example of its peace-time service in our civic affairs and in the public interests of the citizens of San Francisco; and

Whereas, special mention should be made of the efficient assistance rendered by Colonel Frank C. Bolles as colonel commanding the 30th U. S. Infantry and as chairman of the day, and also of Major Robert W. Blaine, the special representative of Major General McRae, and especially to be remarked also is the splendid showing made by Colonel Bolles' command, which was in keeping with its high standards and merited our civic pride in the name "San Francisco's Own"; and

Whereas, with a sense of gratitude for this service it is highly fitting that official recognition should be given by our City government of the assistance rendered; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in meeting assembled, do hereby record and express our sincere appreciation to Major General James H. McRae, Commanding General

Ninth Corps Area, his headquarters and the officers and men under his command at the Presidio of San Francisco, particularly those above mentioned, for their service, aid and hearty cooperation to which was due the success of the military and naval parade, which was an essential feature in making the celebration of the Sesqui-Centennial of the founding of our City a great benefit and credit to San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to Major General McRae, the other officers above mentioned, and to the War Department at Washington, D. C.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Navy Thanked for Cooperation, San Francisco Sesqui-Centennial Celebration.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26402 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in the recent celebration of the historic event of the Sesqui-Centennial of the founding of San Francisco a principal feature was the military and naval parade; and Rear Admiral Thomas Washington, the Commandant Twelfth Naval District, his chief of staff, Captain S. V. Graham, and the other officers and men under his command in the vicinity of San Francisco rendered to the City signal service and great aid by making the parade a marked success, which would not otherwise have been possible; and this splendid aid and service was prompted by the whole-hearted spirit of cooperation on the part of these officers which characterizes the sentiment and feeling manifested by the United States Navy and furnishes an example of its peace-time service in our civic affairs and in the public interests of the citizens of San Francisco; and

Whereas, special mention should be made of the efficient assistance rendered by Commander Edward J. Foy, the special representative of Rear Admiral Washington, and especially to be remarked also is the splendid showing made by the bluejacket detachment which was in keeping with the high standards of the Navy; and

Whereas, with a sense of gratitude for this service it is highly fitting that official recognition should be given by our City government of the assistance rendered; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in meeting assembled, do hereby record and express our sincere appreciation to Rear Admiral Thomas Washington, the Commandant Twelfth Naval District, his headquarters and the officers and men under his command in the vicinity of San Francisco, particularly the officer above mentioned, for their service, aid and hearty cooperation to which was due the success of the military and naval parade, which was an essential feature in making the celebration of the Sesqui-Centennial of the founding of our City a great benefit and credit to San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to Rear Admiral Washington, Commander Edward J. Foy and to the Navy Department at Washington, D. C.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Marine Corps Officers and Men Thanked for Cooperation, San Francisco Sesqui-Centennial.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26403 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in the recent celebration of the historic event of the Sesqui-Centennial of the founding of San Francisco a principal feature was the military and naval parade; and Major General Wendell C. Neville, the Commanding General of the Department of the Pacific, United States Marine Corps, his chief of staff, Colonel D. P. Hall, and the other officers and men under his command in the vicinity of San Francisco rendered to the City signal service and great aid by making the parade a marked success, which would not otherwise have been possible; and this splendid aid and service was prompted by the whole-hearted spirit of cooperation on the part of these officers which characterizes the sentiment and feeling manifested by the United States Marine Corps and furnishes an example of its peace-time service in our civic affairs and in the public interests of the citizens of San Francisco; and

Whereas, special mention should be made of the efficient assistance rendered by Captain P. C. Geyer, Jr., the special representative of Major General Neville, and especially to be remarked, also, is the splendid showing made by the Marine Corps detachment, which was in keeping with the high standards of the Marine Corps; and

Whereas, with a sense of gratitude for this service it is highly fitting that official recognition should be given by our City government of the assistance rendered; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in meeting assembled, do hereby record and express our sincere appreciation to Major General Neville, the Commanding General of the Department of the Pacific, United States Marine Corps, his headquarters and the officers and men under his command in the vicinity of San Francisco, particularly the officer above mentioned, for their service, aid and hearty cooperation to which was due the success of the military and naval parade, which was an essential feature in making the celebration of the Sesqui-Centennial of the founding of our City a great benefit and credit to San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to Major General Neville, Captain P. C. Geyer, Jr., and to the Commandant of the Marine Corps at Washington, D. C.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

All-Western Road Show.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. ———, inviting All-Western Road Show to hold its next session in 1927 in San Francisco.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, January 10, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 45

Monday, November 8, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 1926, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 8, 1926, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Supervisors Bath and Roncovieri excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of July 26, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Letter of Thanks.

The following was presented and ordered *spread in Journal*:

November 5, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 3d instant quoting a copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors expressing their appreciation of the part taken by the officers and men of this Naval District in the Sesqui-Centennial Celebration held in San Francisco last month.

I am deeply grateful for the kind expressions of the Board of Supervisors and thank you therefor.

It is always a pleasure for the officers and men of the Navy to assist in furthering the interests of San Francisco and its officials in every way, and it is a pleasure to us to be of any service to you at any time.

Very respectfuly yours,

(Sgd.) THOS. WASHINGTON,
Rear Admiral, U. S. Navy, Commandant, Twelfth Naval District.

Traffic League Convention Committee.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
November 8, 1926.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan:

The Mayor has directed me to advise you that, in accordance with Resolution No. 26133, adopted by your Honorable Board August 30, 1926, he has appointed the following committee of Supervisors to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the forthcoming meeting of the Municipal Traffic League at Fresno, California, November 18, 19 and 20:

Supervisor Charles F. Todd, chairman; Supervisors Edwin G. Bath, Walter J. Schmidt, Andrew J. Gallagher and Warren Shannon.

Will you kindly notify these Supervisors of their appointment?

Very sincerely yours,

W. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

Modesto Irrigation Power Agreement.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from C. S. Abbott, secretary, Modesto Irrigation District, acknowledging with thanks enclosures covering agreements for furnishing hydroelectric power from San Francisco's Hetch Hetchy project to the Modesto Irrigation District, together with certified copy of the ordinance authorizing same, which has been duly executed by the Board of Public Works.

Ordered *filed*.

Report on Plans for Enlarging Yacht Harbor.

Supervisor Gallagher presented the following report which was read and ordered *filed*:

San Francisco,
October 25, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In the matter of the protest of residents and property owners of the Marina District against the plans of the Park Commission for dredging and enlarging the Yacht Harbor, your Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds respectfully reports as follows:

On Thursday, October 14, 1926, the committee had an extensive hearing at which all interested parties were present. The following specific objections were urged by the protestants:

1. That if the Park Commission is allowed to proceed with this work of enlarging the Yacht Harbor the small beach now used by children for bathing will be destroyed.

2. That the present area allotted for the Yacht Harbor is sufficient and that to enlarge the same as proposed by the Park Commission would defeat the original purpose and plan of developing this property for park purposes.

3. The Park Commissioners' plan to permit private organizations or persons, namely, the yacht clubs to have exclusive privileges on city property, which is in violation of the law as well as contrary to public policy.

4. That the yacht clubs that are now using the Yacht Harbor are posting notices on the piers reading as follows: "Private property —Keep out," and also that signs reading: "Private landing—Keep out" have been erected.

5. That in the past some of the yachtsmen using the Yacht Harbor have, on occasions, disturbed the neighborhood by their noisy and boisterous conduct and the probabilities are that the situation would become worse in this regard if the facilities of the Yacht Harbor are enlarged so as to accommodate a greater number of boats.

The Park Commission was represented by Park Commissioner William F. Humphrey, its engineer, John M. Punnett, and its secretary, R. P. Lamb.

The yacht clubs were represented by Mr. Hart Weaver of the San Francisco Yacht Club, and Mr. Fred H. Meyer of the Corinthian Club.

The protestants were represented by Mrs. J. E. Blake, secretary, Marina District Improvement Association, Mrs. Elsa S. Leon and Mr. L. M. Williams.

Park Commissioner Humphrey outlined the program of the Park Commission for the development of the Marina Park and Yacht Harbor. Mr. John M. Punnett, engineer for the Park Commission, stated that the present area of the Yacht Harbor was three acres and that the Park Commission plans to dredge out nine more acres to the west, making a total area of twelve acres for the Yacht Harbor.

As to the first objection, namely, that the present bathing beach would be destroyed, he stated that it was not a suitable bathing place —that the water was polluted on account of the sewerage emptying into the bay from the foot of Baker and Pierce streets and that if parents permitted their children to bathe at the present beach they did so at the risk of the health of the children. Furthermore, he stated, that there would be twelve hundred feet of sandy bathing beach at the Aquatic Park, eight blocks west of the Marina Yacht Harbor, which would furnish ideal and ample space for bathing purposes.

As to the second objection, Park Commissioner Humphrey stated that under the plans of the Park Commission there will still be ample space reserved for park purposes, inasmuch as there will be four blocks of park space to the east of the Yacht Harbor and one block of park space to the west.

As to the third objection, Commissioner Humphrey stated emphatically that there would be no monopoly or exclusive privilege granted to any person or organization—to do so would be—illegal—that the Park Commission could not, if it wanted to, grant private individuals exclusive right to the use of city property.

In view of this statement of Mr. Humphrey, Mr. Williams, the protestant, withdrew his objection so far as this feature was concerned.

As to the fourth objection, relative to the erection of signs reading "Private property or Private landing—Keep out"—Mr. Humphrey explained that the Park Commission was collecting a nominal fee from the owners of yachts and boats for mooring space in the Yacht Harbor and that these signs were erected on the landings or approaches to the boats berthed in the harbor by the owners of the boats in order to protect the boats from unauthorized persons going on board the same and committing acts of vandalism.

As to the fifth objection, relative to the noisy and boisterous conduct of some of the yachtsmen, Com-

missioner Humphrey assured the protestants that the Park Commission would exercise the strictest supervision and regulation over the persons using the Yacht Harbor. All persons mooring boats in the Yacht Harbor are required to pay a license fee and secure a permit from the Park Commission and Mr. Humphrey stated the Park Commission could be depended on to take whatever steps are necessary to protect the peace and quiet of the neighborhood and prevent disorderly conduct and acts of rowdyism.

Furthermore, it appears that the residents and property owners on the Marina are not a unit in their objections to the plans of the Park Commission for the enlarging of the Yacht Harbor as Mr. George O. Mattson, representing the Marina Home Builders' Association, comprising a membership of three hundred, was present and stated that his organization approved the plans of the Park Commission for the enlarging of the Yacht Harbor and beautification of the Marina property.

Mr. Joseph M. Cummings, representing the Down Town Association; Mr. Fred H. Meyer, representing the Corinthian Yacht Club, expressed their hearty approval of the plans of the Park Commission for the enlargement of Yacht Harbor.

In view of the foregoing information and the facts brought out at the hearing, your Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds believes that the plans of the Park Commission should not be stopped or interfered with. In the opinion of the committee, when the plans of the Park Commission are fully consummated, due consideration will have been given to the yachting and park features, as well as the demand for small beach space for bathing purposes and it will be a place of beauty as well as an asset to our City.

The committee feels that the people can leave the matter for the present to the judgment of the Park Commission to work out the very best results for all concerned.

It is, therefore, recommended that the protest be filed.

Respectfully submitted,
ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
WM. P. STANTON,
RICHARD J. WELCH,
Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

Bridge Hearing Transcripts.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from J. J. Heuer, Jr., Deputy Chief of Division, State of California, Department of Public Works, Sacramento, acknowledging receipt of transcripts of bay bridge proceedings for October 13, 14, 19 and 20, and noting that transcript for October 21 has not yet been printed.

Ordered *filed*.

Relative to Bay Bridge.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Heller, Eldrick & McCormick, attorneys for Gustave Lindenthal, stating that Mr. Lindenthal desires to present some matters to the Board, one of which refers to a method of construction that would not be affected by earthquakes similar to that used in Panama Canal construction, and requesting a hearing from Mr. Lindenthal in the matter November 15, 1926.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the request be granted and that Mr. Lindenthal be heard at 11 a. m., November 15th.

Capt. Ainsworth declared that he represented the public and asked permission to be given a hearing at the same time.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the hearing be granted at 11 a. m., November 15th.

Supervisor Harrelson moved as an amendment reference to the Special Bridge Committee.

Chas. Brennan, attorney for one of the bridge applicants, protested reference to the Special Bridge Committee. He declared that Capt. Ainsworth had no authority to represent C. C. Thomas Post or the United States Employees Association.

Citizen on behalf of W. F. Holcomb project requested that he be granted a chance for rebuttal.

Supervisor Gallagher raised a point of order that reference to the committee is out of order at this time for the reason that it would invalidate the proceedings.

Whereupon, Supervisor Harrelson withdrew his amendment.

SPECIAL ORDERS—11 A. M.
BRIDGE HEARINGS.

The following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Fran-

cisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll

bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwescterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the

Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 15, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Minority Report Golden Gate Bus Line.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco,
November 7, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

As a member of the Public Utilities Committee I wish to file a protest against granting a permit to the Golden Gate Ferry Company to operate a bus line in competition with our Municipal Railway through congested streets of the city. Traffic is so heavy at present time it is found hard to regulate it. If necessary an extension could be made to the Municipal Railway to connect with the ferry boats of the Golden Gate Ferry.

Respectfully submitted,
(Sgd.) CHAS. J. POWERS,
Member, Public Utilities Committee.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that all matters, letters and reports regarding the Golden Gate bus service and representatives of the City Engineer's office be present at the hearing.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was *laid over one week.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $54,213.95, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Urgent Necesity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, $57.46.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation award, $83.32.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., overhauling deep well turbine pump (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $599.00.

(2) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., turbine pump and motor for parks (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $1,295.00.

(3) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on park employees (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $1,042.51.

(4) Albers Bros. Milling Co., supplies for parks (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $580.55.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $527.75.

Aquarium Maintenance—Appropriation 57.

(6) California Academy of Sciences, for expense of maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $3,989.98.

Special School Tax.

(7) R. W. Kinney Co., flush valves for school urinals (claim dated Oct. 29, 1926), $587.50.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(8) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 28, 1926), $899.08.

(9) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 28, 1926), $4,232.50.

(10) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 28, 1926), $4,762.50.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 28, 1926), $1,054.17.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 28, 1926), $2,-349.51.

(13) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium of insurance on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 26, 1926), $3,159.08.

(14) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 26, 1926), $520.20.

(15) Wilsey-Bennett Co., butter, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 26, 1926), $676.50.

School Bonds, 1923.

(16) F. L. Hansen, ninth payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $16,198.54.

(17) James L. McLaughlin Co., twelfth payment, general construction of Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $28,-899.38.

(18) R. W. Moller, second payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $8,-535.14.

(19) W. H. Picard, final payment, mechanical equipment for High School of Commerce (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $968.50.

(20) MacDonald & Kahn, fifteenth payment, general construction of new Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $47,-649.82.

(21) S. W. Band, first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,-142.15.

(22) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, fifth payment, architectural services for Henry Durant School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $1,237.56.

(23) Scott Company, second payment, mechanical equipment for Edison School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,814.00.

(24) A. Lettich, third payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,195.62.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(25) J. W. Burtschaell, third payment, electric fixtures for new Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $1,646.06.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(26) Elliot & Grant, third payment, general construction of Fire Dept. Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,779.87.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(27) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), fifth payment, construction of tunnel and appurtenances under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $63,514.50.

County Road Fund.

(28) Keystone Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Telegraph Hill boulevard (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $8,400.00.

(29) James M. Smith, second payment, improvement of extension of Market street, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $6,750.00.

(30) Keystone Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Douglass street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, including crossings (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $9,000.00.

(31) Municipal Construction Co., second payment, improvement of Stanyan street, Fulton to McAllister streets (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $4,000.00.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(32) C. S. Abbott, irrigation district taxes on City property in Modesto Irrigation District, Stanislaus County (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $826.80.

(33) A. McSweeney, Tax Collector, San Mateo County, taxes on City Hetch Hetchy property in San Mateo County (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $1,160.28.

(34) J. C. White, Tax Collector, Tuolumne County, taxes on City Hetch Hetchy property in Tuolumne County (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $7,318.36.

(35) Ed. Whitmore, Tax Collector, Stanislaus County, taxes on City Hetch Hetchy property in Stanislaus County (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $1,012.02.

Special School Tax.

(36) Grant & Hart, second payment, general construction of Noe Valley Jr. High School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $6,015.10.

(37) Mahony Bros., first payment, general construction of addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $6,-710.90.

(38) S. W. Band, sixth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,-340.00.

(39) Dowd-Seid Electrical Co., final payment, electrical work on annex to Parkside School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $1,198.92.

(40) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing work on annex to Parkside School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,238.85.

(41) P. J. Enright, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $4,257.04.

(42) Scott Company, final payment, heating installation for annex to Parkside School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $747.37.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(43) Katherine Gordon, guardian ad litem of Helen and William Gordon, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries sustained by Alexander Gordon, husband and father, by the Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $1,500.00.

California Palace Legion of Honor —(Appropriation 61).

(44) American Railway Express Co., expressage on shipments from eastern states to California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $1,354.54.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(45) Daniel J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $750.00.

(46) California Brick Co., brick for street paving (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $1,063.20.

(47) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for street repair department, Board of Public Works (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $1,340.25.

(48) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $1,430.75.

(49) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, laying of high-pressure water mains in Butchertown district (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $9,000.00.

(50) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,250.00.

(51) Louis J. Cohn, fifth payment, sewer construction, westerly Sunset District, Forty-third avenue, Section "C" (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $11,250.00.

(52) Clarence B. Eaton, fifth payment, sewer construction, westerly Sunset District, Section "A" (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $22,000.00.

(53) John Spargo, second payment, general construction of photo gallery, Hall of Justice (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $4,412.62.

(54) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,116.30.

(55) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,250.00.

(56) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $869.55.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(57) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, for expense of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $686.81.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(58) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of valuation of electric properties of the Pacific Gas & Electric Co. and the Great Western Power Co. during month of November, 1926 (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,000.00.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(59) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for side sewers (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $508.62.

Appropriation, $160,000, Sewers in Sunset District.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $160,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension of Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the construction of sewers and appurtenances in the westerly Sunset District, Section "B," Forty-third avenue main sewer, Contract No. 3, including inspection and possible extras. (Contract awarded to Frank J. McHugh at $155,694.80.)

Appropriations, Chairs, School Department, Reconstruction of Sewers in Harlow and Dehon Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(1) To cover cost of contract for chairs to be installed in the new Mission High School (Heywood-Wakefield Company contract), $12,398.80.

Special School Tax.

(2) For expense of reconstruction of sewers in Harlow and Dehon streets, in block bounded by Sixteenth, Seventeenth, Church and Sanchez streets, made necessary due to construction of school building thereon, $1,300.

Approriations for Street Work in Front of City Property.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for street improvements at City property, to-wit:

(1) Russia, Madrid and Edinburgh streets, by Jas. T. Tobin, $918.00.

(2) Harrison street, Army street to Precita avenue, by M. J. Treacy, $2,696.00.

(3) Silver avenue and Madrid street, by T. M. Gallagher, $693.68.

(4) Worcester and Randolph streets, etc., Assessments 453, 441, 442, 443 and 444, and 35, $6,357.06.

Appropriation, $55,291.72, for Widening of Highway South of Colma; Payment to Market Street Railway Company.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $55,291.72 be and the same is hereby set aside out of the $150,000 heretofore set aside out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series) for expense of widening of the highway south of Colma, and authorized in payment to the Market Street Railway Company, care of the California Highway Commission, and to be held in escrow for payment to and to be paid to the Market Street Railway Company upon completion by said Market Street Railway Company of the moving of its tracks from the easterly side of the present highway south of Colma to the center of the relocated widened highway south of Colma.

The attention of the Auditor is called to the provisions of the foregoing.

Appropriations for Street Work in Front of City Property.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26404 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for street improvements at City property, to-wit:

(1) Eighteenth avenue and Vicente street, by F. J. McHugh, $115.77.

(2) Twenty-second avenue, Santiago street to Taraval street, by Brady & Lynch, $35.10.

(3) Nineteenth and Arkansas streets, by Keystone Construction Company, $109.63.

(4) Eighteenth avenue and Quintara street, by Pacific States Construction Company, $97.87.

(5) Nineteenth and Kansas streets, by Eaton & Smith, $78.97.

(6) Forty-fifth avenue from Taraval street northerly, by Louis J. Cohn, $285.75.

(7) Silver avenue, Vienna street to Naples street, by T. M. Gallagher, $492.50.

(8) Byxbee and Holloway avenue, by Federal Construction Company, $325.00.

(9) Monticello street and Holloway avenue, by Federal Construction Company, $325.00.

(10) Mangels avenue from Foerster street easterly, by Eaton & Smith, $160.00.

(11) Desmond street, Leland avenue to Visitacion avenue, by Jas. T. Tobin, $80.00.

(12) Douglass and Clipper streets, sewer wing, by Eaton & Smith, $270.00.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Accepting Offer of Fay Improvement Company to Sell for $3,250 Certain Property Required for Opening Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26405 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

Fay Improvement Company, $3,250—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Paul avenue and the southeasterly line of Ware street, and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Paul avenue a distance of 100 feet; thence at

right angles southwesterly 75 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 100 feet to the southeasterly line of Ware street; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Ware street 75 feet to the point of beginning; being all of Lots 25, 26 and 27 of the Garden Tract Homestead Association as recorded in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 23, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. Anderson, southeast corner of Twenty-first avenue and Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, 378 Eleventh avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

J. J. Lee, southeast corner of Sixteenth and Valencia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Lowry, southeast corner of Taylor and Vallejo streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Rulfo, northeast corner of Lyon and California streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. P. Shufelton, northeast corner of Clay street and Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Steinauer, north side of Filbert street, 130 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. I. Strand, northeast corner of Thirty-second avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. McVine, 544 Dewey boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Miss M. White, 2678 California street, 1000 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Cleaning and Dyeing and Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Liberty Cleaning and Dyeing Works be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a cleaning and dyeing works and laundry on the south line of Duboce avenue, 120 feet east of Valencia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis Mercier and Alfred Cerles be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Joseph A. Pasqualetti for premises at 1520 Stockton street by Resolution No. 24792 (New Series) November 27, 1925.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. I. Butler be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on southwest corner of Fiftheenth and Castro streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the con-

ditions of this resolution be violated by said T. I. Butler, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Board of Public Works to Proceed Against Nuisance on Market Street Between Eureka and Diamond Streets.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 26406 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, protest has been filed with this Board by property owners that an old and dilapidated shack, formerly used as barn and stable, situated on the south side of Market street between Eureka and Diamond streets, constitutes a fire menace and nuisance; and

Whereas, the Housing Inspector of the Board of Health reports that this building is in a very insanitary condition and is a breeding place for rats, and that the Board of Public Works has ordered same removed by owner on a previous occasion, but order was not complied with; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be requested to immediately start condemnation proceedings against this nuisance and to report to the Board of Supervisors when same is completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26407 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby authorized and requested to install street lights as follows:

Install 400 M. R.

Arthur avenue between Newhall and Third streets.

Wheeler avenue, south of Raymond avenue.

Brunswick street between Lowell and Whittier streets.

Bright street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street.

Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Hernandez street between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue.

Install 600 C. P. Ornamental Bracket.

Mission street between Onondaga avenue and Farragut street, on each alternate trolley pole.

Third street between Jerrold avenue and Bay View avenue, on each alternate trolley pole.

Take over, as they expire, private contracts of merchants and property owners on Geary street and on Divisadero street for excess lighting on both of these thoroughfares.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26408 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Otto Knock to conduct a masquerade ball at the Majestic Ballroom, 1805 Geary street, Wednesday evening, November 24, 1926, until 2 a. m., upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Street Carnival Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26409 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission be granted to the Polk-Van Ness-Larkin District Association to hold a street carnival on Clay street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street during December 4th to 12th, inclusive, without the payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Taxicab Stand Permit Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26410 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permit for a taxicab stand is hereby approved: Blue Bird Cab Co., at 516 Columbus avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes —Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Holidays for Per Diem Men.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 7790, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for and designating holidays of per diem employees of the Department of Public Works in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco shall recognize as holidays for per diem employees the following days only: Every Sunday, New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas. If any of said holidays shall fall upon Sunday the following Monday shall be a holiday.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to platform men of the Municipal Railway or to other employees of public utilities actually necessary for the operation of the same.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Golden Gate Ferry Bus Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile busses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Granting Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile buses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of Jefferson and Hyde streets, thence over Hyde street to Beach street, thence over Beach street to Columbus avenue, thence over Columbus avenue to Kearny street, thence over Kearny street to Market and Third streets, thence over Third street to Mission street, thence over Mission street to Fifth street to Auto Stage Depot, and thence back again over the same route to the intersection of Jefferson and Hyde streets.

That said permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and to the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a). That nothing herein contained shall be considered a franchise granted to said Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, to operate said buses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same all rights and privileges herein granted shall cease and determine.

(b). That said buses will at all times be operated by skilled operators, and in the operation of the same the said Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, will comply with all State and Municipal rules. laws and regulations, and save the City and County of San Francisco harmless from any and all liability caused by the operation of any bus or buses as aforesaid.

(c). That on said buses operated under this permit a fare of ten cents for each passenger shall be charged, which said fare when paid on a bus traveling over said route shall not entitle a passenger to any transfer privilege.

(d). That no passengers shall be taken on between terminals; however, said buses may stop en route to allow passengers taken on at the intersection of Hyde and Jefferson streets to disembark at any point before reaching the terminal at Fifth and Mission streets.

(e). That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without the consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit subject to all of the conditions herein contained.

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7791, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company (a corporation) to construct, maintain

and operate a spur track on Bluxome street between Fourth and Fifth streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Southern Pacific Company (a corporation) to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Bluxome street between Fourth and Fifth streets as follows:

Beginning at a point in the existing Bluxome street lead, said point being distant northeasterly approximately 295 feet from the easterly line of Fifth street; thence southwesterly to a point on the northwesterly line of Bluxome street, said point being distant northeasterly approximately 18 feet from the said easterly line of Fifth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that girder rail be used, that proper clearance be observed; that the drainage intercepted by the proposed spur track be provided for.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time to Western Pacific Railroad Company for Construction of Railroad Tracks.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26411 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the time specified in Section 3 of Ordinance No. 6862 (New Series), passed by the Board of Supervisors November 22, 1925, as amended by Ordinance No. 7083 (New Series), passed by the Board of Supervisors April 26, 1926, for the completion by The Western Pacific Railroad Company of the construction of the railroad tracks authorized thereby be and the same is hereby extended to and including the 14th day of February, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Booth, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Hospital Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26412 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on hospital supplies on bids submitted November 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 255), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1. 1000 rolls bandages, roller gauze, 44x40 mesh, 1x10 yards; per roll, 68c—A. P. Hotaling & Co.

Item No. 2. 960 pounds cotton, absorbent, plain, best grade, long fibre, absolutely free from seeds and other particles, in 1-pound packages; per pound, 32c—Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 3. 180 rolls gauze, plain, absorbent, in 100-yard rolls, 24x20 mesh; per roll, $3.16—Travers Surgical Co.

Item No. 4. 800 rolls gauze, plain, in 100-yard rolls, 16x20 mesh; per roll, $2.63—Travers Surgical Co.

Item No. 5. 236 pounds lint, absorbent, in 1-pound cartons; per carton, $1.05—A. P. Hotaling & Co.

Item No. 6. 29 rolls muslin, oiled, in 5-yard rolls; per roll $4—A. P. Hotaling & Co.

Item No. 7. 500 rolls plaster, adhesive, zinc oxide, in rolls 12 inches by 5 yards; per roll, 89c—A. P. Hotaling & Co.

Item No. 8. 100 rolls gauze, 8-ply, 16x20 mesh, 4 inches wide, 100 yards to roll; per roll $2.63—Travers Surgical Company.

Delivery: One-half of the above stated "quality required" upon each item must be delivered within 15 days of award of contract and the balance within 30 days of award of contract.

Bidders are advised that the terms of delivery will be strictly enforced.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of above contracts are required as follows, viz.: Herbert F. Dugan, no bond re-

quired; Travers Surgical Co., $500; A. P. Hotaling & Co., $300.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract for Sugar.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26413 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Sussman, Wormser & Co. on bid submitted November 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 252), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Sugar, beet, dry granulated, white, in 100-pound sacks: Relief Home, 200 sacks; San Francisco Hospital, 200 sacks; County Jails, 30 sacks; City Prison, 6 sacks; Juvenile Detention Home, 10 sacks; total, 446 sacks.

Price per 100 pounds $5.586.

Sugar, powdered, in 30-pound boxes: Relief Home, 7 boxes; San Francisco Hospital, 5 boxes; Juvenile Detention Home, 1 box; total, 13 boxes.

Price, per 100 pounds, $6.586.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 is required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Tableware and Cutlery, Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26414 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Chas. Brown & Sons on bid submitted October 4, 1926 (Proposal No. 245), for furnishing tableware and cutlery for the Relief Home as follows, viz.:

Specifications: Silver plate on 18 per cent nickel silver base, as per sample "A".

Delivery: 90 days, f. o. b. Relief Home.

Item No. 1. 50 dozen tablespoons; per dozen, $2.16.

Item No. 2. 200 dozen teaspoons; per dozen, $1.08.

Item No. 3. 50 dozen forks; per dozen, $1.90.

Item No. 4. 30 dozen knives; per dozen, $2.52.

Resolved, That no bond be required.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaseer of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Tobacco, Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26415 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Haas Brothers on bid submitted November 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 253), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Tobacco for Relief Home.

Item No. 1. 3000 pounds smoking tobacco, cut plug, 2-ounce cartons, "George Washington" brand; per pound, $0.5098.

Item No. 2. 1500 pounds chewing tobacco, natural leaf, in 16-ounce plugs, "Rainbow" brand; per pound, $0.4065.

Resolved, That no bond be required.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Garage Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. V. Lacey and M. E. Vukicevich be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Latham place, 77 feet 6 inches west of Mason street with entrance on Dikeman place.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercsied within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

November 8, 1926—*Over one week.*

Cancellation of Certificate of Sale.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26416 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor and Tax Collector have reported that all the taxes on the hereinafter described property were duly paid, but that through error were not marked "Paid" on the Assessment Book and were erroneously sold for delinquent taxes on June 28, 1926, under Sale No. 1912, and have recommended that said sale be cancelled; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel Sale No. 1912 of Lot 30, Block 6620, Vol. 37, page 12, assessed to Kristine Webber.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Settlement of Condemnation Suit.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26417 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there has been pending in the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco a condemnation suit entitled City and County of San Francisco vs. F. F. Hestal Company et al., No. 168636, for the acquisition of certain parcels of land necessary for the construction of the Sunset Tunnel, Duboce avenue route; and

Whereas, a judgment by default was heretofore entered against the defendants Anna Kawalkowski and Leo J. Kawalkowski, owners of the property hereinafter described, and a final decree of condemnation made, given and entered in favor of the City and County of San Francisco for possession and title in fee simple to said City and County of San Francisco, and under the terms of said decree the sum of $9,000 has been deposited with the County Clerk in full satisfaction of said judgment and a writ of possession was issued upon said judgment and delivered to the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, defendants Anna Kawalkowski and Leo J. Kawalkowski in said case have offered to settle the same and convey the land owned by them and hereinafter described to the City and County of San Francisco upon receipt of the total sum of $10,000 and said defendants agree to deliver said premises on or before the 25th day of November, 1926. The description of said parcel of land owned by said defendants Anna Kawalkowski and Leo J. Kawalkowski is as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon one hundred four (104) feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Carl street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle northerly one hundred thirty-seven and fifty hundredths (137.50) feet; thence at a right angle westerly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle southerly one hundred thirty-seven and fifty hundredths (137.50) feet to the northerly line of Carl street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block Number 678.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the payment of said sum as being a reasonable settlement of said litigation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the purchase of the hereinabove described parcel of land for the total sum of $10,000 and the settlement of said litigation upon the payment of the additional sum of $1,000 is hereby authorized.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to supervise said transaction, to accept and record the necessary satisfaction of said judgment and deed conveying a clear title to said property to the City and County of San Francisco upon the payment of the total price of $10,000 and to conclude the pending condemnation suit.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher,

Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Rejection of Bids, School Furniture.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26418 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Superintendent of Schools has reported that the low bids on the respective items of chairs for School Department heretofore submitted on October 11, 1926 (Proposal No. 237), are on vendor's sample and same are "not acceptable for the purpose" for which these chairs are intended; and

Whereas, after due consideration of the other bids received thereon, it is the conclusion of the Supplies Committee, the Superintendent of Schools and the Purchaser of Supplies that said bids are too high and that the required chairs may be bought in the open market at a lower price; therefore, be it

Resolved, That all bids submitted October 11, 1926 (Proposal No. 237), be rejected; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby authorized to purchase such chairs in the open market as are necessary and acceptable for the use of the School Department in the premises.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Report of Committee on Committees.

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

The Committee on Committees recommends that Supervisor Lewis F. Byington be appointed chairman of the Health Committee, and that Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden be transferred as chairman of the Health Committee to chairman of the City Planning Committee, and that Supervisor Byington be appointed a member of the Building Committee and the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, and that Supervisor Chas. J. Powers be transferred as a member of the Public Utilities Committee to a member of the Lighting Committee, and that Supervisor Franck R. Havenner be transferred from a member of the Public Buildings Committee to the Public Utilities Committee.

JAS. B. McSHEEHY.
FRANCK R. HAVENNER.
CHAS. J. POWERS.
J. B. BADARACCO.

Supervisor Badaracco moved the adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor Hayden raised the point of order that there is no such committee as the Committee on Committees.

Supervisor McSheehy (from the chair) ruled the point of order not well taken.

Supervisor Hayden appealed from the decision of the chair.

Whereupon, Supervisor Marks, at the request of the chair, put the question "Can the decision of the chair be the decision of the Board?"

Chair *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Harrelson—5.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks, Roncovieri—3.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the committee's report be postponed one week.

Subsequently *withdrawn.*

Whereupon, the subject matter was *made a Special Order of Business for 2 p. m.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy in the chair.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Consideration of the Report of the Committee on Committees.

Motion.

Supervisor Byington moved that the report of the Committee on Committees on assignments of Supervisor Byington be amended by the elimination of the first four lines.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment reference of the entire matter to the Committee on Committees.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Todd—5.

Notice of Reconsideration, Condemnation of Call for Special Session of Legislature, Boulder Dam.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Havenner, recommended by the Public Utilities Committee and adopted at last meeting, was taken up on notice of reconsideration given at that time by Supervisor Hayden:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people of California have recently been surprised and mystified by the action of their outgoing Governor in calling a special session of the State Legislature for the ostensible purpose of taking immediate action upon the proposal to dam the waters of the Colorado River; and

Whereas, this proposed project is now pending before the Congress of the United States, and no request for such hasty action by the State Legislature has been made by any representative of California in the Congress, nor have the people of Southern California, who are most directly interested in the development of the Colorado River project, made any appeal to the Governor, either direct or indirect, for such hasty action; and

Whereas, United States Senator Hiram W. Johnson and Representative Phil D. Swing, co-authors of the so-called Boulder Canyon Bill, now pending before Congress, for the development of the Colorado River project, have publicly announced that the action proposed by the Governor would be premature and might adversely affect the primary objects and ultimate success of the project; and

Whereas, the leaders of the movement in Southern California for the development of this porject have acquiesced in the views expressed by Senator Johnson and Congressman Swing, and have indicated a belief that the real purpose of the Governor in calling this special session of the Legislature is to defeat the purposes of the Boulder Canyon Bill; and

Whereas, the ultimate completion of this project is of vital importance to the entire State of California because it proposes providing irrigation for hundreds of thousands of acres of land now barren, and cheap electricity for thousands of citizens of many communities in the southern section of the State; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco deplore the action of the Governor in calling this special session of the Legislature just prior to his departure from office as an unnecessary expense upon the taxpayers of California and a palpable poltical move to discredit and adversely affect the great constructive project now under consideration by Congress; and be it

Further Resolved, That we, the Board of Supervisors, urge the members of the Legislature representing San Francisco to exercise the utmost caution in casting their votes upon any proposal which may be submitted by our defeated Governor to the special session of the Legislature, to the end that nothing may be done which will embarrass the authors of the Boulder Canyon Bill in Congress or endanger the ultimate success of that measure; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to his Excellency the Governor, to his Excellency, the Lieutenant-Governor, to United States Senator Hiram W. Johnson and Representative Phil D. Swing, and to each Senator and Assemblyman representing San Francisco in the State Legislature.

Reconsideration Refused.

Supervisor Hayden thereupon moved for a reconsideration of the vote, whereby the foregoing resolution was adopted at last Monday's meeting.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden—5.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Appropriation, $20,000, for Publicity and Advertising.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $20,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising Fund" to Californians,

Inc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Supervisors Hayden and Colman commended the proposed appropriation as being money well spent in advertising San Francisco and vicinity to the world.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *passed for printing.*

Police Report on Traffic Signals.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication, from Chief of Police, in re traffic signals at Golden Gate avenue and Franklin street and Lieutenant John J. Casey's report on necessary locations requiring signals.

Read by the Clerk and *referred to the Traffic Committee.*

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, Installation of, etc.," Budget Item No. 69, for the installation of traffic signals, known as the Wiley Signal; the locations for the installation of said signals to be directed by the Police Department.

Referred to Traffic and Finance Committees.

Mayor Appoints Committee to Attend Traffic Convention.

Mayor Rolph has appointed as the committee to attend the meeting of the Municipal Traffic League at Fresno on November 18th, Supervisors Todd, Bath, Schmidt, Gallagher and Shannon.

Municipal Broadcast.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the publication of the official organ of the Board of Supervisors, known as the Municipal Record, has been discontinued for reasons of economy; and

Whereas, the citizens of San Francisco have no direct contact with the actions of the Board of Supervisors except in a condensed form through the columns of the local press; and

Whereas, the interest of the people in current events demands that they receive first hand all information and details of civic matters; and

Whereas, there is nothing of greater interest than the acts and deliberations of the legislative body of the City and County of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors arrange with a recognized radio broadcasting station of far reaching influence to broadcast the proceedings of each meeting of the Board so that the people of San Francisco may have first-hand knowledge of the proceedings of said Board.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Airport Hearing.

The Clerk announced airport hearing for 11 a. m., tomorrow, November 9, 1926.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 17, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 46

Monday, November 15, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 1926, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 15, 1926, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 2, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Letter of Thanks.

The following was read by the Clerk and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

War Department, Washington.

November 10, 1926.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, care Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan:

Receipt is acknowledged of copy of resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, dated November 3, 1926, expressing their appreciation of services rendered by Major-General James H. McRae, commanding the Ninth Corps Area, and other officers and enlisted men under his command in connection with the recent celebration of the Sesqui-Centennial of the founding of San Francisco, California.

The War Department is gratified to know that the Commanding General, Ninth Corps Area, and the personnel under his command were able to contribute to the success of this celebration and appreciates the sentiments expressed in the resolution above referred to.

It is noted that a copy of the resolution was transmitted to Major-General McRae.

Yours very truly,

(Signed)

[Signature illegible]

Acting Secretary of War.

San Mateo Airport Site.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Lloyd H. Berendsen, setting forth the merits of San Mateo's site No. 3 as an airport for San Francisco and the peninsula, together with estimated costs of property required and amounts necessary for preliminary development and final improvement.

Ordered *filed*.

Traffic Signal Locations.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 11, 1926.

Honorable Walter J. Schmidt, the Board of Supervisors, the City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Supervisor:

Pursuant to your request for a list of at least twenty street intersections requiring at the present time automatic traffic control signals, the following is a copy of a report submitted by Lieutenant John J. Casey, temporarily in command of the traffic bureau of this department:

In compliance with your order to submit a list of twenty (20) intersections requiring at the present time automatic traffic control, I respectfully submit a list of twenty (20) intersections in the order of their importance.

The first fourteen (14) of these intersections enumerated require and are covered by traffic officers at the present time.

1. Kearny and Post streets.
2. Kearny and Sutter streets.
3. Montgomery and Sutter streets.
4. Grant avenue and Sutter street.
5. Stockton and O'Farrell streets.
6. Stockton and Geary streets.

7. Stockton and Post streets.
8. Powell and Sutter streets.
9. Powell and Post streets.
10. Powell and Geary streets.
11. Mission and First streets.
12. Mission and Sixth streets.
13. Mission and Eighth streets.
14. Mason and Post streets.
15. Valencia and Twenty-second streets.
16. Mission and Twenty-second streets.
17. Stockton and Sutter streets.
18. Powell and O'Farrell streets.
19. Market and Tenth streets.
20. Golden Gate avenue and Fillmore street.

I might add, if necessary, a further list of fifty (50) more intersections requiring automoatic traffic control can be submitted.

Trusting the above is the information desired, I am,

Very truly yours,

D. J. O'BRIEN,
Chief of Police.

Mayor's Veto, Changing Name of Maggie Alley.

The following was presented, read and *laid over one week*:

San Francisco, Calif.,
November 15, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I return to you herewith, without my approval, Resolution No. 26395, adopted by your Honorable Board November 1, 1926, which seeks to change the name of Maggie alley to Bristol court.

I am quite sure that the great majority of the people of San Francisco are most anxious that this City's colorful tradiitons and its places of historical interest should be preserved for the generations to come.

Many of these names are intimately identified with the early history and personages of San Francisco, and are part and parcel of those things which gave to our City a personality which has made it a city "loved around the world". The names we know and love—the names our forefathers revered with deep affection should be left unchanged. South of Market, for example, has many characteristically named streets. North of Market has some also. Let those who are shocked by the good old-fashioned names devote their energies to naming new streets, if they will, but for the sake of the past which we all love and revere, let the old names remain untouched, linking us always to those who laid deep and strong the foundations of this great City—a city not alone of stone and steel, but a city where sentiment always entered and was cherished.

I see no improvement in changing the name of Maggie alley to the more grandiose but less picturesque and significant Bristol court. I know not why this street was originally styled Maggie alley nor am I informed why Bristol court should be deemed a more fitting appellation. Possibly the Maggie who is the tituler and tutelary saint of this humble alley was some worthy woman who had endeared herself to the neighborhood by a life of kindness. Possibly she was not so worthy but not less kind. Let us give her the benefit of any doubt. Her contemporary generation, appreciative of her qualities, whatever they were, in their wisdom or affection saw fit to enshrine her name in the official designation of the street.

Big projects for the advancement of the City must be made and solved and it seems to me that the Board of Supervisors has more important business than tinkering with the nomenclature of streets and, in my opinion, should devote its energies to the care of problems confronting us, leaving the names of streets and lanes continually to typify the sweet old memories that are dear to San Francisco.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Resolution No. 26395 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did. by Resolution No. 94138 (Second Series), adopted October 15, 1926, recommend to this Board that the name of Maggie alley, off the east line of Jones street between Geary and Post streets, officially known as Maggie alley, be changed to Bristol court; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the name of Maggie alley be and is hereby changed to Bristol court.

Further Resolved. That the attention of the Assessor, the Recorder, the Board of Public Works and the City Engineer is hereby called to the passage of this resolution.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, November 1, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved, San Francisco, November 11, 1926.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Turkeys.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. for furnishing turkeys for Thanksgiving and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Galvanized Iron Street Cans.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. for furnishing 100 galvanized iron street cans and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Nurses Uniforms.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 3 p. m. for furnishing nurses' uniforms and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26419 (New Series), as folows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) F. X. Lehner, fertilizer for parks (claim dated October 29, 1926), $965.

(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated October 29, 1926), $3,756.20.

(3) A. Paulsen, boring well at Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated October 29, 1926), $2,240.

(4) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated October 29, 1926), $3,933.88.

(5) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for parks (claim dated October 29, 1926), $537.50.

(6) Pratt Building Material Co., screenings for parks (claim dated October 29, 1926), $658.26.

Playground Fund.

(7) Patterson Williams Mfg. Co., playground apparatus (claim dated October 27, 1926), $513.50.

(8) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, construction of Chinatown playground, final payment (claim dated October 27, 1926), $1,200.19.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(9) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated October 23, 1926), $1,580.87.

(10) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., railway car parts (claim dated October 23, 1926), $812.74.

Water Works Fund.

(11) Spring Valley Water Co., laying cast iron water mains in Harkness street, for account Municipal Water Works (claim dated October 21, 1926), $763.82.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(12) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 26, 1926), $2,223.60.

(13) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for High School of Commerce (claim dated October 26, 1926), $2,223.60.

(14) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Mission High School (claim dated October 26, 1926), $3,891.30.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(15) R. W. Kinney Co., fifth payment, wrought steel pipe, Contract 114 (claim dated October 27, 1926), $2,526.87.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(16) O. Monson, second payment, general construction of additions to the Francis Scott Key School (claim dated October 25, 1926), $10,590.

(17) John Reid, Jr., ninth payment, architectural services, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated October 25, 1926), $1,653.06.

(18) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services, Sherman School (claim dated October 25, 1926), $981.82.

(19) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services, Sherman School (claim dated October 25, 1926), $6,852.55.

(20) Thos. R. Smith, first payment, plumbing for annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated October 25, 1926), $2,110.14.

(21) Roberts Manufacturing Co., acceptance payment, electric fixtures for the Hawthorne School (claim dated October 27, 1926), $1,005.

(22) Jas. L. McLaughlin, final payment, general construction of the Alamo School (claim dated October 27, 1926), $500.

Special School Tax.

(23) Joseph J. Rankin, third payment, architectural services for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated October 27, 1926), $527.76.

(24) Carl H. Peterson, final payment, general construction of annex

to Parkside School (claim dated October 27, 1926), $12,924.30.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(25) Clinton Construction Co., extra work in connection with general construction of the new Relief Home (claim dated October 26, 1926), $545.68.

(26) Empire Planing Mill, final payment for chapel and auditorium benches and dining-room tables, new Relief Home (claim dated October 27, 1926), $4,938.68.

(27) Empire Planing Mill, tables for new Relief Home (claim dated October 26, 1926), $941.25.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(28) W. R. Ballinger & Son, hauling voting machines (claim dated October 28, 1926), $2,378.95.

(29) Phillips & Van Orden Co., ballot paper (claim dated October 28, 1926), $679.25.

(30) Park Commission, to reimburse the Park Fund for labor and water used in Civic Center beautification (claim dated October 29, 1926), $808.40.

(31) James J. Maher, for expense of E. A. Walcott and Jas. J. Maher of the Civil Service Commission, as representatives at National Assembly of Civil Service Commissions, Philadelphia, and investigations in Eastern cities (claim dated October 11, 1926), $1,000.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated October 22, 1926), $586.84.

(33) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated October 22, 1926), $1,843.72.

(34) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated October 22, 1926), $1,180.23.

(35) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated October 22, 1926), $3,140.72.

(36) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Hall of Justice and Civic Center Power House (claim dated October 22, 1926), $1,452.16.

(37) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated October 27, 1926), $701.50.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated October 28, 1926), $14,388.50.

(39) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruits, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $905.38.

(40) A. P. Hotaling, drug sundries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $2,153.37.

(41) H. F. Dugan, drug sundries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $946.68.

(42) California Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $1,196.05.

(43) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $4,017.

(44) Joseph Gutradt Co., soap for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1926), $528.79.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Mc Sheehy, Roncovieri—3.

(Supervisor Marks requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on Items 17, 18, 19 and 23 of the foregoing resolution.)

Appropriations, Mariposa Street, Sutro Monument, Moving Picture Machine, Relief Home; Fillmore Street and Replacing Buoy, Baker's Beach Sewer.

Resolution No. 26420 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Municipal Railway Operating Fund.

(1) For cost of improving Mariposa street between Bryant and York streets, including intersection, fronting the Municipal Railway carbarn, $1,762.33.

Sutro Monument, Rehabilitation of Statue and Grounds, Budget Item No. 61.

(2) For cost of rehabilitation and improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds (Eaton & Smith contract), $10,463.92.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(3) For purchase of equipment for the new Relief Home, including moving picture machine, sheets, pillows and pillow cases, rockers, electric work, etc., $4,675.06.

County Road Fund.

(4) For improvement of Fillmore street between Marina and Cervantes boulevards; additional to enable final payment, $1,500.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 39.

(5) For expense of replacing the buoy marking the outlet to the Baker's Beach outfall sewer, $500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Appropriation for Construction of Addition to Madison School.

Resolution No. 26421 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, for the construction of additions to the Madison School, to be erected on the south side of Clay street between Arguello boulevard and Cherry streets, including possible extras, incidentals and inspection, as per contracts awarded, to-wit:

(1) General construction (E. K. Nelson)	$77,575
(2) Electrical work (L. Flatland)	5,368
(3) Plumbing work (J. E. O'Mara)	3,345
(4) Mechanical equipment (Knittle Bros.)	7,496
(5) Possible extras, incidentals and inspection	5,000
	$98,784

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Appropriation, $4,000 for Equipping Police Automobiles with Bullet-Proof Windshield Glass.

Resolution No. 26422 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, to the credit of Appropriation 42-B, Budget Item No. 766, Police Department Equipment, for the expense of equipping Police Department automobiles with bullet-proof windshield glass.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 26423 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Anker, west line of Clayton street, 150 feet west of Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John C. Augsbury, southeast corner of Washington and Maple streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Black, 441 Twenty-fourth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christiansen Bros., northeast corner of Chestnut and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christiansen Bros., north side Turk street, 100 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Demparak, 325 Maple street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Field & Ernst, 45 Fremont street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hoffman & McGahey, west line of Webster street, 100 feet south of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Johnson, northeast corner of Twenty-ninth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Hartland Law, 5 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. H. Lent, 2229 Washington street, 600 gallons capacity.

F. Long, west side of Eighth avenue 400 feet south of Lawton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Lu, 3479 Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Nelson, south side of Oak street, 125 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Peninsula Burner & Oil Co., 143 Silver avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Russ Building Co., southwest corner of Bush and Montgomery streets, three tanks of 1500 gallons capacity each.

L. D. Stoff, east side of Van Ness avenue, 68 feet south of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Percy R. Tyler, northwest corner of Octavia and Vallejo streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. N. Witt, 141 Terrace Drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26424 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Third and Arthur streets (site on Engine Company No. 19), provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond

in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Oneida Street.

Resolution No. 26425 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a portion of Oneida sreet, as hereinafer described.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 26192 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon a portion of Oneida street, hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Oneida street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Oneida street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Oneida street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 26192 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Oneida street is nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said portion of said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of Oneida street be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of said Oneida street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The said portion of Oneida street hereinabove referred to is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of that portion of Oneida street between Otsego avenue and Cayuga street.

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Chattanooga Street.

Bill No. 7787, Ordinance No. 7298 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 13, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-six, to read as follows:

Section 936. The width of sidewalks on Chattanooga street, the easterly side of, between Twenty-second street and Twenty-third street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Chattanooga street, the westerly side of, between Twenty-second street and Twenty-third street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths

shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Fifteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 7788, Ordinance No. 7299 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section eight hundred thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903. be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 13, 1926, by amending Section eight hundred thereof, to read as follows:

Section 800. The width of sidewalks on Fifteenth avenue between Wawona street and West Portal avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Fifteenth avenue between Wawona street and West Portal avenue showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks."

The width of sidewalks on Fifteenth avenue between West Portal avenue and Portola drive shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Establishing Grades, Thor Avenue.

Bill No. 7789, Ordinance No. 7300 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Thor avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Thor avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed October 19, 1926.

Thor Avenue.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Chenery street northerly line, 166.63 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Chenery street northerly line, 167.87 feet.

50 feet northerly from Chenery street, 171.31 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 70 feet northerly from Chenery street, 173.19 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 110 feet northerly from Chenery street, 176.63 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Chenery street, 179.46 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 170 feet northerly from Chenery street, 180.74 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 190 feet northerly from Chenery street, 182.43 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 210 feet northerly from Chenery street, 185 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 278.90 feet easterly from Surrey street, 188.18 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 258.950 feet easterly from Surrey street, 191.74 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 238.90 feet easterly from Surrey street, 195.81 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 218.90 feet easterly from Surrey street, 200.52 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 198.90 feet easterly from Surrey street, 205.22 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 178.90 feet easterly from Surrey street, 209.29 feet.

(Reverse vertical curves passing through the last nine described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 217.30 feet northerly from Chenery street, 187 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 29.45 feet westerly from the angle easterly from Surrey street, 191.46 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 67.52 feet westerly from the angle easterly from Surrey street, 197.70 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 50 feet easterly from Surrey street, 231.93 feet.

On Thor avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets be established to conform to true gradient between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Surrey street at Thor avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was presented and, on motion of Supervisor Marks, *laid over four weeks*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chestnut and Steiner Streets.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries, and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed by placing the southwest corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets, for a distance of 206 feet 3 inches on Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $66,747.20, recommended by Finance Committee, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Manure & Fertilizer Co., manure for parks (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $652.25.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(2) A. W. Eames, refund of duplicate payment of taxes, year 1925-1926 (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $812.38.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(3) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, first payment, architectural services, Fire Dept. Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,083.33.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(4) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926, $1,822.62.

(5) Lally Company, black steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $3,-251.46.

(6) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $2,591.58.

(7) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $3,279.38.

(8) A. M. Scott Tire Co., truck tires, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $1,043.02.

(9) Sonora Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $2,140.73.

(10) Tansey Crowe Co., truck tires, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $715.46.

(11) Sonora Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $522.93.

(12) R. W. Kinney Company, sixth payment, wrought steel pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,-531.30.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(13) Pelton Water Wheel Co., repairs to turbine for Early Intake Power House (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $725.90.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(14) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage report for condemnation of San

Francisco electrical properties (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $975.00.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(15) Clinton Construction Co., twenty-first payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $33,483.75.

(16) G. V. Godin, final payment, construction of alterations and additions to Relief Home Superintendent's residence (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,344.94.

(17) F. W. Snook Co., twenty-first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,605.94.

Playground Fund.

(18) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 10, 1926), $713.03.

(19) The Ellery Arms Co., recreational supplies, for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 11, 1926), $870.00.

Library Fund.

(20) Mullen Mfg. Co., tables and desks for public libraries (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,112.25.

(21) Wm. Bateman, doors and transoms for Public Library (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,050.00.

(22) Foster & Futernick Co., binding public library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,282.35.

(23) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $2,714.72.

(24) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $2,408.81.

(25) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $700.00.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(26) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for the Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,729.51.

(27) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, plumbing for Henry Durant School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926, $1,324.80.

(28) Crown Electric Co., eleventh payment, electrical work for New Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,091.12.

(29) Latourette-Fical Co., twelfth payment, mechanical equipment for New Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,778.25.

(30) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, plumbing for Edison School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $663.07.

(31) Crown Electric Co., fourth payment, electric work for Edison School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,125.97.

(32) A. Lettich, seventh payment, plumbing for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,836.06.

(33) Latourette-Fical Co., eighth payment, mechanical equipment for Ana Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,078.19.

(34) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks for West Portal School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,313.85.

Auditorium Fund.

(35) Mosha Menuhin, as guardian, for Yehudi Menuhin, for services as guest artist at Municipal Pop Concert Nov. 16, 1926 (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,251.00.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(36) William Eisbert (Municipal Railway trackman), ninth and final payment, compensation insurance (claim dated Oct. 29, 1926), $2,044.04.

(37) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for account pensions for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $661.60.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(38) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,246.19.

(39) Hancock Bros., printing transfers for Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,278.00.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(40) Citizens' Columbus Day Committee, Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, for expenditures for account of Columbus Day celebration, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $996.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(41) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,949.44.

(42) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,391.13.

(43) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,100.00.

(44) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,276.56.

(45) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $4,289.16.

(46) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $583.13.

(47) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $11,775.91.

(48) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $28,451.48.

(49) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $4,243.06.

(50) Associated Charities, widdows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $8,093.87.

(51) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $887.50.

(52) Litlte Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $8,546.17.

(53) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $944.00.

(54) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,635.68.

(55) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,553.64.

(56) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $2,035.04.

(57) Sperry Flour Co., flour, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $713.15.

(58) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $666.25.

(59) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,355.20.

(60) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $938.59.

(61) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Hospitals (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,490.89.

(62) A. Carlisle & Co., printing for Departments (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $667.62.

(63) A. Carlisle & Co., printing and stationery for Departments (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $638.85.

(64) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for Departments (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $509.65.

(65) Rock, Sand and Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $574.67.

(66) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $676.18.

(67) The Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., storage battery cells, etc., for sewer construction (claim dated Nov. 6, 1926), $512.10.

(68) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for sewer repairs (claim dated Nov. 6, 1926), $880.75.

(69) Howard Automobile Co., three Buick automobiles for Police Department, less allowances (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $3,340.00.

(70) Crown Electric Co., fifth payment, electric work for Children's Ward, S. E. wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 10, 1926), $809.72.

(71) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for Children's Ward on S. E. wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $559.86.

(72) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $747.50.

(73) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for public buildings (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,614.46.

(74) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Company, printing of Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,075.00.

(Supervisor Marks requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on items 3 and 71.)

So ordered.

Appropriation, $100,000, Street Car Motor Equipment, Municipal Railways.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $100,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund, for the purchase of street car motor equipment for the Municipal Railways, as per award to Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Company at $94,986, and for maximum possible bonus, inspection, handling and incidentals at $5,014. Contract 149-C.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was ordered *rereferred to the Finance Committee*:

Appropriation, $54,287.88 for Six Automobile Busses for Embarcadero.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $54,287.88 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund, for the purchase of six automobile busses for use on The Embarcadero, in accordance with the following offers, to-wit:

(a) From The White Company, two 29-passenger, street car type, as per specifications, at $8,211 each;

(b) From Fageol Motors Company of Oakland, two 6-cylinder, 29-passenger, street car type, at $9,462.50 each;

(c) From the Mack International Motor Truck Corporation, two 4-

cylinder, 29-passenger "Duralyte" body, at $9,470.44 each.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Equipment, Tuberculosis Ward, Relief Home, and Shooting Gallery.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named accounts for the following purposes, to-wit:

San Francisco Hospital Equipment, Budget Item No. 66.

(1) For purchase of equipment for the new tuberculosis wards of the San Francisco Hospital, including beds and bedding, sterilizers, etc., $9,036.50.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(2) For the purchase of equipment for the new Relief Home, including bedding, butcher shop equipment, etc., $9,226.33.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(3) To defray cost of restoring the Shooting Gallery in basement of Hall of Justice, including four alleys, armored steel plates, flooring, electric lighting, etc., $575.00.

Appropriation, $8,085 Out of County Road Fund, Widening of Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8,085 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the widening of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets.

Appropriations in Payment for Lands Required for Aquatic Park.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Aquatic Park Land Purchases, Contract," Budget Item No. 53, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, being final payments for lands required for Aquatic Park purposes, as per terms of agreement by Ordinance No. 6351 (New Series), to-wit:

(1) To James C. McKinstry, David Donzel, George W. Rudolph, Florence S. Droste and William S. Bliss, $18,553.09.

(2) To Rudolph Oscar Steinbach, et al., $9,031.86.

(3) To Wm. B. Sharp, Ellen Dore, Charlotte E. Horrigan and Maurice Dore, $19,557.55.

(4) To Whittell Realty Company, per terms of agreement by Ordinance No. 6456 (New Series), $14,030.44.

(Claims dated Nov. 12, 1926.)

Authorizations, Payments for Bernal Cut Properties.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid to the following claimants out of General Fund, 1925-1926 (Bernal Cut Rights of Way, Budget Item No. 77), being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Frank S. Kingswood and Mary J. Kingswood, care of California Title Insurance Co., for Lot No. 29, Block 6686, per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance by Resolution No. 23679, New Series (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $3,300.

(2) To James Mitchell and Ruth Mitchell, care of California Pacific Title Insurance Co., for Lot 25, Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26381, New Series (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $3,000.00.

(3) To William Douglas, administrator of estate of John Brennan, deceased, for Lots 13 and 14, Block 6687, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26381, New Series (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $6,500.00.

(4) To F. Favor and Mary Favor, for Lot 13, Block 6689, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26381, New Series (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $6,100.00.

Appropriation, $3,250, Land Required for Bay Shore Highway.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,250 be and the same is authorized in payment to Fay Improvement Company out of County Road Fund (Appropriation of $135,000 by Resolution No. 26338, New Series), for property commencing at the intersection of the southwesterly line of Paul avenue and the southeasterly line of Ware street, running southeasterly 100 feet, southwesterly 75 feet, northwesterly 100 feet, and thence northeasterly 75 feet, as per

acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26405 (New Series), and required for the Bay Shore highway. (Claim dated Nov. 10, 1926.)

Authorization Out of County Road Fund.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons out of County Road Fund appropriations as set forth, and for the purposes designated, to-wit:

County Road Fund (Appropriation by Resolution No. 26338 [*N. S.*], *San Jose Avenue).*

(1) To George W. Dunn and Julia F. Dunn, being payment for portion of Lot 48 of the Demartini Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26378 (New Series), required for the widening of San Jose avenue (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $1,772.00.

County Road Fund (Appropriation by Resolution No. 26338 [*N. S.*], *Widening of Garfield Street).*

(2) To James and Anastasia McNeilly, being payment for portions of Lots 31 and 32 of Block 23 and Lots 25, 26, 27 and 28 of Block 32, City Land Association, as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 26380 (New Series), required for the widening of Garfield street (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $1,730.00.

County Road Fund (Appropriation by Resolution No. 26338 [*N. S.*], *Widening of Garfield Street).*

(3) To Charles and Katherine Stewart, being payment for a portion of Lot 26, Block 20, City Land Association, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26380 (New Series), required for widening of Garfield street (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $2,233.30.

Appropriation, $18,000, Architectural Services, Plans, Etc., South Side High School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $18,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for architectural services in connection with preparation of plans and specifications for the first unit of the South Side High School, to be erected on Onondaga avenue between Otsego and Cayuga streets, and representing three-fifths of the estimated cost for architectural services on said first unit.

Report of Finance Committee.

In connection with the foregoing the following report was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Nov. 13, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has today approved your request for an appropriation of $18,000 to cover the initial cost of preparing plans and specifications for the construction of a new high school in the southwestern section of this City. The residents of this section of the City are extremely anxious to have the proposed high school built as soon as possible.

Recently a conference was held between the Finance Committee, a representative of your honorable Board and a committee of citizens from the district in which the site of the proposed school is situated. Your representative was asked whether definite assurance could be given to the interested citizens that arrangements would be made for the actual construction of the school as soon as the plans and specifications were completed. This assurance was not given. Your representative indicated that the Board of Education might, in its discretion, decide that other schools should be given precedence over the one under consideration.

The Finance Committee desires to advise you that it believes it to be its duty, in accordance with sound business principles and careful conservation of the people's money, not to make appropriations of large amounts for the preparation of school plans unless the Board of Education is prepared to proceed promptly with the construction of such schools in the approximate order in which appropriations for plans are requested. We desire to announce, as a matter of policy, that we will not look with favor upon appropriations for proposed new school buildings unless those projects for which previous appropriations have been made are given priority in the matter of construction. The appropriation in this year's budget for the construction of school buildings was not intended to be expended in the preparation of plans and drawings for buildings which may not be erected until some time in the indefinite future.

We hope that your honorable Board will give the citizens of southwestern San Francisco immedi-

ate assurance that the high school in which they are so deeply interested will be constructed promptly after completion of the plans and specifications.

Respectfully submitted,

FINANCE COMMITTEE,
J. B. McSHEEHY,
Chairman.
F. R. HAVENNER.
MILO F. KENT.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Northwest Corner of Greenwich and Jensen Streets in the Commercial District Instead of the Second Residential.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7792, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entiled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Greenwich street and Jensen street, for a distance of 25 feet on Greenwich street and a distance of 45 feet on Jensen street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Southerly Side of Pacific Street West of Stockton Street in the Light Industrial Instead of Commercial District.

Also, Bill No. 7793, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Pacific street, commencing at a point 98 feet 1 inch westerly from Stockton street and running thence westerly 39 feet 5 inches, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Commercial District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Southeast Corner of North Point Street and Larkin Street in the Commercial Instead of Second Residential District.

Also, Bill No. 7794, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of North Point street and Larkin street, for a distance of 87 feet 6 inches on North Point street and a distance of 62 feet 6 inches on Larkin street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Establishing Set-back Lines on Fifteenth Avenue, Eighteenth Avenue and Moraga Street.

Also, Bill No. 7795, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6580 (New Series), establishing set-back lines along Fifteenth avenue, Eighteenth avenue and Moraga street by modifying and changing the lines therein established along Fifteenth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6580 (New Series), is hereby amended and the set-back lines established therein along Fifteenth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets are hereby changed, modified and established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue commencing at a point 101 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 5 feet; along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Westerly Side of Mason Street North of Jackson Street in the Commercial District Instead of the Second Residential District.

Also, Bill No. 7796, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Mason street commencing at a point 68 feet 9 inches northerly from Jackson street and running thence northerly 62 feet 9 inches in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Northerly Side of Twenty-second Street Between Pennsylvania and Mississippi Streets in the Light Industrial Instead of Second Residential District.

Also, Bill No. 7797, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Twenty-second street between Pennsylvania street and Mississippi street, and extending northerly a distance of 125 feet, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Anglo California Trust Co., southwest corner of Spear and Market streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Clarence Cuneo, 3053 Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. G. Hanvey, 35 Yerba Buena avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

James R. McElroy, 150 Ninth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. J. McRae, 1123 Clay stret, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Stanton Sherman, north line of Vallejo street, 104 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tait's Coffee Shop, 2539 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. L. Williams, 2224 Lake street, 750 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. V. Lacey and M. E. Vukicevich be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Latham place, 77 feet 6 inches west of Mason street, with entrance on Dikeman place.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land at Southeasterly Corner of Beach and Divisadero Streets Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26426 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from J. B. De Maria to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate at the intersection of the couth line of Beach street with the east line of Divisadero street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $76,500 be and the same is hereby accpeted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the interesection of the southerly line of Beach street with the easterly line of Divisadero street, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Beach street 275 feet; thence at right angles southerly and parallel to the easterly line of Divisadero street 275 feet to the northerly line of North Point street; thence at right angles westerly along the northerly line of North Point street 275 feet to the easterly line of Divisadero street; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of Divisadero street 275 feet to the southerly line of Beach street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 920 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free from all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been proured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Proceedings, San Francisco vs. Kate Buchell et al.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26427 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in condemnation entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. Kate Buchel, Marcella Cafferata and Marie Cafferata and Whittell Realty Co. et al," and numbered 148000 in the files thereof, in so far as the land described as Parcel One (1), Paragraph 4, and Parcel 15, Paragraph IV of the complaint therein on file is concerned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Proceedings, San Francisco vs. John Lefkowitz et al.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26428 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in condemnation entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. John Lefkowitz and Isabella M. Cowell et al.," and numbered 143266 in the files thereof, in so far as the land described as Parcel Six (6), Paragraph 4 of the complaint therein on file is concerned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy. Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Bus Line Permit, Golden Gate Ferry.

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile busses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of Jefferson and Hyde streets, thence over Hyde street to Beach street, thence over Beach street to Columbus avenue, thence over Columbus avenue to Kearny street, thence over Kearny street to Market and Third streets, thence over Third street to Mission street, thence over Mission street to Fifth street to Auto Stage Depot, and thence back again over the same route to the intersection of Jefferson and Hyde streets.

That said permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and to the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a) That nothing herein contained shall be considered to be a franchise granted to said Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, to operate said busses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same all rights and privileges herein granted shall cease and determine.

(b) That said busses will at all times be operated by skilled operators, and in the operation of the same the said Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, will comply with all State and municipal rules, laws and regulations, and save the City and County of San Francisco harmless from any and all liability caused by the operation of any bus or busses as aforesaid.

(c) That on said busses operated under this permit a fare of ten cents for each passenger shall be charged, which said fare when paid on a bus traveling over said route shall not entitle a passenger to any transfer privilege.

(d) That no passenger shall be taken on between terminals; however, said busses may stop en route to allow passengers taken on at the intersection of Hyde and Jefferson streets to disembark at any point before reaching the terminal at Fifth and Mission streets.

(e) That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without the consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit subject to all of the conditions herein contained.

Privilege of the Floor.

Sylvester McAtee, representing the Down Town Association, was granted the privilege of the floor and requested, on behalf of the Kearny street merchants, a delay of one week in order that they might have an opportunity to look into the proposition.

Motion.

Supervisor Byington moved to lay over one week and be made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. Notices to be sent to the Kearny street merchants, Superintendent of Municipal Railway and City Engineer.

So ordered.

Children's Pet Exhibition, Street Permit.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26429 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals is hereby granted permission to erect and maintain a canvas awning over the sidewalk on Alabama street north from Sixteenth street, a distance of ninety-five (95) feet along the property of the San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals at Sixteenth and Alabama streets, on Friday, November 26, 1926, and Saturday, November 27, 1926, during the Children's Pets Exhibition.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Award of Contract, Pay Checks.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26430 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Halpin Lithograph Company on bid submitted November 8, 1926 (Proposal No. 257), for furnishing the following, viz.: 398,584 pay checks (lithographed warrants) for the sum of $1,172.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $300 is required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon are rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher,

Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Award of Contract, Furniture, San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26431 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing furniture and equipment for San Francisco Hospital (tuberculosis wards) is hereby made on bids submitted November 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 254), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1. 36 beds, with back rest, $23.25 each; The Beecher Company.

Item No. 2. 24 beds, 3 coats baked, no back rest, $12.55 each; The Beecher Company.

Item No. 3. 36 cribs, $29.75 each; Albatross Metal Furniture Co.

Item No. 4. 72 bedside tables, $11.65 each; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 5. 72 bedside tables, $11.65 each; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 6. 4 dressing carriages, $42.00 each; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 7. 2 nurses' desks, $82.50 each; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 8. 4 examination tables, $33.00 each; Albatross Metal Furniture Co.

Item No. 9. 2 footstools, $6.00 each; Albatross Metal Furniture Co.

Item No. 10. 5 Mayo instrument stands, $9.50 each; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 11. 21 screens, single, $5.50 each; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 12. 13 screens, triple, $17.00 each; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 13. 3 operating stools, $5.50 each; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 14. 1 linen hamper, $9.00; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 15. 20 irrigation poles, $6.00 each; Albatross Metal Furniture Co.

Item No. 16. Metal history holders; no award.

Item No. 17. 2 sterilizers, $518.00 each; F. A. Hamilton.

Item No. 18. 2 scales, $64.00 each; Fairbanks, Morse & Co.

Item No. 19. 2 food conveyors, $190.00 each; Walters Surgical Co.

Item No. 20. 1 potato masher, $95.00; Walters Surgical Co.

Item No. 21. 1 fruit juice extractor, $45.00; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 22. 8 tables, all oak, $13.75 each; The Beecher Company.

Item No. 23. 200 Vienna chairs, $4.10 each; The Beecher Company.

Item No. 24. 6 wheel chairs, $42.15 each; The Beecher Company.

Item No. 25. 6 wheel chairs $33.15 each; The Beecher Company.

Item No. 26. 30 chairs, P. 42 14 HW, $1.35 each; The Beecher Company.

Item No. 26. 5 chairs, P. 42 12 HW, $1.25 each; The Beecher Company.

Item No. 27. 120 mattresses, hair, $19.50 each; Bernhard's, Inc.

Item No. 28. Mattresses; all bids rejected.

Item No. 29. Mattresses; all bids rejected.

Item No. 30. 150 pillows, $1.95 each; Bernhard's Inc.

Resolved, That bonds be required for the faithful performance of above contracts, as follows, viz:

Albatross Metal Furniture Co., amount of bond, $200; The Beecher Company, amount of bond, $500; Bernhard's, Inc., amount of bond, $600; Fairbanks, Morse & Co., no bond required; F. A. Hamilton, amount of bond, $200; Reid Bros., Inc., amount of bond, $500; Walters Surgical Co., amount of bond, $100.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, execpt when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the purchaser of supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

BRIDGE MATTERS.

To Request War Department Hearing.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Special Committee on Bridge matters be requested to draft a telegram, to be sent to the War Department in furtherance of having a day set with the War Department to have the committee heard.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Supplemental Information From Allan Rush.

Supervisor Hayden presented a communication from Allan Rush, endorsing special transbay bridge proposition with certain details in more specific form and detail than his original petition, which, Supervisor Hayden said, he wished to file with the Clerk for the benefit of the Board.

Gustave Lindenthal.

Thereupon, on motion of Super-

visor Gallagher, Dr. Gustave Lindenthal was granted the privilege of the floor, was duly sworn by the Clerk and testified as to his opinion regarding the best method of procedure for the Board of Supervisors to adopt to insure the speedy accomplishment of its desire to bridge San Francisco Bay.

The following matters were thereupon taken up and disposed of as follows:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy,

Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 de-

grees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point

therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet. more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San

Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland. if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926. *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until November* 22, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Telegram to Washington.

Supervisor Marks (subsequently, during the afternoon session): At this morning's session it was moved that a telegram be drafted to be forwarded to the War Department. I have drafted, Mr. Chairman, a telegram, pursuant to the motion made this morning, which I will ask the Clerk to read.

The Clerk (reading): "Under date of October fifth Deakyne, Acting Chief of Engineers, telegraphed Board of Supervisors of San Francisco that General Jadwin was absent and that Board's telegram of October fourth relative bridge franchises would be called to his attention on his return about October fourteenth. Further referring to our original telegram we request that you fix a date as early as possible in December for appearance and hearing referred to therein and advise us at earliest time approved by your department in order that committee may make its preparation and arrive in Washington.

"Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, By J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk."

Supervisor Marks moved that the Clerk be directed to forward the foregoing to the War Department at Washington, D. C.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—12.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden—4.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Stanton—2.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Harrelson: I am not in sympathy with the committee visiting Washington, therefore I consider it my duty to vote against fixing any date for the meeting of that committee with General Jadwin. I do not think that any good will result by a visit of this committee, and therefore I am opposed to setting any particular date, whether it is early or late, and I will vote *no*.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will explain my vote, too. I have not seen the necessity up to the present time of sending a committee to Washington. I shall vote against sending the committee and therefore I vote *no* on this.

Supervisor Gallagher: Mr. Chairman, I would like to explain that I vote *aye* for sending the telegram, reserving the right to move, probably at a later date, to not send the committee if I find it proper to do so.

RECESS.

Thereupon the Board at 12:10 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Byington in the chair.

HEARINGS OF APPEAL, 2 P. M.

Madrid Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Madrid street between Silver avenue and Peru avenue was sustained and a new assessment ordered.

The Clerk announced the foregoing hearing, which was thereupon, on motion, continued until December 6, 1926, at 2 p. m.

Hudson Avenue.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment of Hudson avenue between Lane street and Keith street, including the crossing of Lane street and Hudson avenue, and the improvement of Keith street between Galvez avenue and Hudson avenue, including the crossing of Hudson avenue and Keith street.

Privilege of the Floor.

Isabelle Denike, *Martin King*, *M. Huspidacy* and *H. Ahlf* were heard in protest.

Whereupon, the hearing was continued until December 6, 1926, at 2 p. m.

Douglass Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street.

Privilege of the Floor.

George E. Austin was heard in protest.

Whereupon, the hearing was continued until December 6, 1926, at 2 p. m.

MAYOR IN THE CHAIR.

His Honor Mayor Rolph assumed the chair at 3:05 p. m.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Water Bonds.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. for the purchase of $2,400,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, issue of January 1, 1925, comprising 60 bonds of $1,000 denomination of each year's maturity, 1930 to 1969 inclusive, to-wit:

1. Heller, Bruce & Co., for account Lehman Bros., New York; Kountze Bros., New York; Ames, Emerich & Co., New York; Dean, Witter & Co., San Francisco; Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., San Francisco; Wm. Cavalier & Co., San Francisco; Freeman, Smith & Camp, San Francisco; Heller, Bruce & Co., San Francisco, $2,561,040.

2. Anglo London Paris Company, Bank of Italy, Eldredge & Co., First National Bank, New York; Kissel, Kinnecutt & Co., The Detroit Co., Redmond & Co., $2,580,720.

3. Wm. R. Compton & Co., Estabrook & Co., Drake, Riley & Thomas, $2,559,866.40.

4. R. E. Campbell & Co., Los Angeles; W. A. Harriman & Co., New York; Guardian Trust Co., Detroit, $2,559,336.

5. The National City Co., Old Colony Corporation, California Securities Company, Bond & Goodwin & Tucker, $2,570,246.40.

6. E. R. Gundelfinger, Inc., A. B. Leach & Co., Inc., George Burr & Co., Federal Securities Corporation, Second Ward Securities Co., Wells-Dickie Co., Mississippi Valley Trust Co., Carstens & Earles, Inc., $2,535,039.

7. Peirce, Fair & Co., Guaranty Co. of New York, Remick, Hodges & Co., R. L. Day & Co., Kean, Taylor & Co., H. L. Allen & Co., First Wisconsin Co., $2,582,380.80.

8. Blair & Co., Inc., E. H. Rollins & Sons, $2,571,528.

9. R. H. Moulton & Co., Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Bankers Trust Co., Anglo California Trust Co., Security Co., $2,557,059.

Referred to the Finance Committee.

AIRPORT HEARING.

Committee of the Whole.

At the hour of 3:40 p. m. the Board of Supervisors, on motion of Supervisor Marks, resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purposes of the proposed airport site hearing, all members theretofore noted being present.

Communications.

The following communications were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from H. B. Claggett, Major Air Corps, War Department, Headquarters Ninth Corps, as to tentative requirements of the Department of Commerce for airport sites.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Communication *filed* and Clerk directed to furnish members with copies.

Communication, from Attorney L. H. Berensen, calling attention to the merits of San Mateo Site No. 3 (Varney Field).

Ordered *filed*.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Minutes of the meeting of the Aeronautic Committee of Central Post Regional Advising Committee as of Friday, October 29, 1926, which meeting was held on that date at Hotel Oakland, Oakland, California.

Ordered *filed*.

Privilege of the Floor.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, Messrs. *Lloyd H. Herendsen*, *D. C. Warren* and *Ernest Leroy Smith*, aviators, were heard with reference to the requirements of an ideal airport site and their objections to certain local fields.

Daniel C. Imoden, Secretary of the San Mateo Chamber of Commerce, was heard in advocacy of the San Mateo site.

Hearing Continued.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy moved that the hearing be continued until November 22, 1926, at 2:45 p. m., and made a Special Order of Business for that time, and that copies of the City Engineer's report be sent to every member.

Motion *carried*.

Committee of the Whole Reports Progress.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Marks, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported progress.

Garage Permit, E. V. Lacey and M. E. Vukicevich.

The following mater, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. V. Lacey and M. E. Vukicevich be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Latham place, 77 feet 6 inches west of Mason street with entrance on Dikeman place.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercsied within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Privilege of the Floor.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco, he following persons were graned the privilege of the floor:

Mr. Fitch, representing Hotel Laren, Mason and Ellis streets, protested the granting of a permit for a garage in the middle of the block on the ground that it practically closed Latham place to traffic, preventing tradesmen delivering goods to the adjacent hotels and apartments, which facility he said was a valuable asset to the property owners.

Mr. Hall, representing Hotel Holland and Hotel Ring; *Mr. Bosworth*, representing the owner of Hotel Holland; *Mr. Joseph Cummings*, representing the Down Town Association; *Frank C. Brewster*, and *E. F. Fisher* and *Al J. Loeb*, representing the California State Hotel Association, were all heard in opposition to the proposed garage permit.

Charles Byrnes, attorney, representing the applicant, was also heard in favor of the proposed application.

Communication, from the Hotel Association was read by the Clerk in opposition to the granting of the permit.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri—10.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Kent, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Forty-fourth Avenue and Sloat Boulevard.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7799, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations or buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and provided penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the north-

east corner of Forty-fourth avenue and Sloat boulevard, for a distance of 38 feet on Forty-fourth avenue and extending to the depth of 120 feet, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri, Todd—3.

Privilege of the Floor.

Ray Taylor, property owner, was heard in support of the foregoing measure.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that the City Planning Commission be here next Monday morning when the foregoing bill is on final passage.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Sacramento Street East of Mason.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7798, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Sacramento street, commencing 167 feet east of Mason street, thence easterly a distance of 108 feet and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Police Department Commended.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26432 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Police Department has again demonstrated the high order of efficiency as well as the unparalleled bravery of its officers in the recent capture of Joe Tanko and previously in the capture of the "auto bandits" who terrorized San Francisco for a week by their dastardly outrages;

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors deems it proper and expedient at this time that the appreciation and gratitude of the people of San Francisco be extended to the brave officers who so nobly risked their lives in the performance of their duty in apprehending these lawless elements whose depredations have worked death to unoffending citizens of San Francisco and brought misery and sorrow to the homes of their families;

Wherefore, this Board commends to the Police Commissioners and the Chief of Police the splendid service of Detective Sergeants Earl Roney, Vernon Van Matre, Edward McSheehy and John Palmer, who effected the capture of the notorious Joe Tanko, the first two mentioned having been severely wounded; and Lieutenants Charles Dullea and Bernard McDonald, Detective Sergeants Geo. McLoughlin, Geo. Wall, N. McMan, Leo Bunner, Robert Rauer, Otto Frederickson, Allen McGinn, Charles Iredale, Geo. P. Wafer and Louie Du Matie, who apprehended the "auto bandits".

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the Board of Police Commissioners and to the Chief of Police and also to the families of the officers above mentioned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Public Service Corporations, Daylight Work.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the practice of allowing public service corporations to perform daylight work at important street intersections is causing traffic congestion to a marked degree, aggravation and inconvenience; and

Whereas, the said corporations should at least attempt to conform their work to the greater convenience of pedestrian and vehicular traffic; be it therefore

Resolved, That the Street Committee is requested to investigate this recurring obstacle to proper movement of traffic and to, in conjunction with the Board of Public Works, the Traffic Bureau of the

Police Department and the public service corporations involved, recommend measures calculated to remove the danger and inconvenience. Be it

Further Resolved, That if, in order to exercise more control over these conditions, the help of the State Legislature is needed, that the Committee be authorized to secure the assistance of the City Attorney in preparing any legislation required.

Referred to Supplies Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Authorization, $1,000, Payment to Anna Kawalkowski et al. for Property Required for Sunset Tunnel.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1 000 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment to Anna Kawalkowski and Leo J. Kawalkowski out of Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund; being additional to $9,000 previously authorized, and being in settlement and full payment for property required for the construction of the Sunset tunnel; said property situate and commencing at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 104 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, running thence easterly 25 feet; thence northerly 137.50 feet; thence westerly 25 feet; thence southerly 137.50 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26417, New Series. (Claim dated Nov. 13, 1926.)

Treatment of Walls of Pantages Theater Building.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that the Building Committee and the Finance Committee hasten their deliberations in regard to the type of construction to be used in the decoration of the walls of the Pantages Building.

"It is now many months," he said, "since the owner of the building appeared before the Board on several occasions in an endeavor to get an expression of the Board as to the type of construction and material to be used in the decoration of the walls of the building."

The Building and Finance Committee chairmen promised prompt action.

Supervisor Powers asked Clerk to notify owners of Pantages Theater Building that Building and Finance Committee would meet Thursday at 4 p. m. to consider matter.

Uniform Traffic Ordinance.

Mrs. Easton requested the Board, and particularly the Traffic Committee of the Board, to seriously consider the uniform traffic ordinance now proposed. She stated that she has been invited to speak on this subject at a conference of members of chambers of commerce of eleven states to be held at Colorado Springs on December 8th and she would like to be able to say that it had been favorably considered by the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco.

Clerk requested to furnish copies of the proposed ordinance to members of the Board.

Necessity for More Peninsula Highways.

Mayor Rolph called attention to the fact that in a speech which he made in Sacramento last Wednesday before the Traffic and Highway Association he had mentioned the fact that although there are three highways being constructed out of San Francisco, viz.: El Camino Real, the Skyline Boulevard and the Bay Shore Highway, yet there are not sufficient avenues of traffic out of the City to serve the people.

He also wished it to be understood that the idea of the necessity for additional outlets from the City is the idea of City Engineer O'Shaughnessy and to him the credit should be given.

The Mayor urged the Traffic Committee and the Street Committee of the Board to consider the question of additional highways very seriously.

Supervisor Badaracco stated that the Street Committee has been giving the matter consideration.

San Francisco Overland Service Commended.

Mayor Rolph has received a telegram from the Mayor of Chicago, congratulating the citizens of San Francisco in securing a shorter travel schedule between San Francisco and Chicago on the San Francisco Overland Limited.

The Clerk was instructed to send an appropriate telegram in response, Mayor Rolph having already replied by letter.

Legislative Committee.

Upon the request of Supervisor Badaracco for action on his resolution providing for a Legislative Committee. Supervisor McSheehy appointed on the Legislative Committee Supervisors John B. Badaracco, Milton Marks and Walter Schmitz.

Municipal Christmas Eve Celebration.

On motion of Supervisor Kent the Public Welfare Committee was directed to arrange for a suitable observance of Christmas Eve, and that $2,000 be made available for that purpose. As the Auditorium will not be available, as it has been the custom for a number of years past, some other location will be selected. Supervisor Kent suggested the Polk street steps of the City Hall.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Harrelson Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Supervisor Gallagher changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at next meeting.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, January 24, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 47

Monday, November 22, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 22, 1926, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 22, 1926, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of July 26, August 2, August 9, August 16, August 23, August 30 and September 7, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Report of Park Commission on Proposed Trocadero Bowl.

Communication, from Herbert Fleishhacker, president, Board of Park Commissioners, acknowledging report of Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds favoring the acquisition of Trocadero property for recreation purposes and stating that until park projects in other parts of the City are fully developed that nothing should be done in the matter of the acquisition of new playfields for recreation centers.

Referred to the Finance Committee for consideration in connection with the budget.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Lewis F. Byington.

The following matter was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
November 22, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Lewis F. Byington, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of five (5) days, commencing today, November 22, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26486 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Lewis F. Byington, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of five days, commencing November 22, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Aquatic Park Statement.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Owen McHugh—Improvements	$ 9,995.76
S. F. Motor Drayage Co.—Grading	28,089.82
W. J. Tobin—Sewer	10,435.78
Healey-Tibbitts Co.—Railway trestle	18,253.73
Cal. State Harbor Commission—Laying track	2,156.34
Park Commission—Plan, survey, labor	5,695.38
Lands Bought:	
Jas. Otis, trustee	39,774.91
Kinney Estate	91,439.31
J. C. McKinstrey	52,958.93
Sharp et al.	54,616.70
R. A. Steinbach	25,637.32
Whittell Realty Co.	39,012.98
Cal. Title Co.—Titles	733.00
Total	$378,799.96

Appropriation for Improvements, 1926-27:

Aquatic Park $10,000.00
Yacht Harbor 25,000.00

Traffic Signal Locations Recommended.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 11, 1926.

Honorable Walter J. Schmidt, the Board of Supervisors, the City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Supervisor:

Pursuant to your request for a list of at least twenty street intersections requiring at the present time automatic traffic control signals, the following is a copy of a report submitted by Lieutenant John J. Casey, temporarily in command of the traffic bureau of this department:

"In compliance with your order to submit a list of twenty (20) intersections requiring at the present time automatic traffic control, I respectfully submit a list of twenty (20) intersections in the order of their importance.

"The first fourteen (14) of these intersections enumerated require and are covered by traffic officers at the present time.

1—Kearny and Post streets.
2—Kearny and Sutter streets.
3—Montgomery and Sutter streets.
4—Grant avenue and Sutter street.
5—Stockton and O'Farrell streets.
6—Stockton and Geary streets.
7—Stockton and Post streets.
8—Powell and Sutter streets.
9—Powell and Post streets.
10—Powell and Geary streets.
11—Mission and First streets.
12—Mission and Sixth streets.
13—Mission and Eighth streets.
14—Mason and Post streets.
15—Valencia and Twenty-second streets.
16—Mission and Twenty-second streets.
17—Stockton and Sutter streets.
18—Powell and O'Farrell streets.
19—Market and Tenth streets.
20—Golden Gate avenue and Fillmore street.

"I might add, if necessary, a further list of fifty (50) more intersections requiring automatic traffic control can be submitted."

Trusting the above is the information desired, I am

Very truly yours,
D. J. O'BRIEN,
Chief of Police.

Report of Committee on Committees on Assignment of Supervisor Byington.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 8, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

The Committee on Committees recommends that Supervisor Lewis F. Byington be appointed chairman of the Health Committee, and that Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden be transferred as chairman of the Health Committee to chairman of the City Planning Committee, and that Supervisor Byington be appointed a member of the Building Committee and the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, and that Supervisor Chas. J. Powers be transferred as a member of the Public Utilities Committee to a member of the Lighting Committee, and that Supervisor Franck R. Havenner be transferred from a member of the Public Buildings Committee to a member of the Public Utilities Committee.

Respectfully,
JAS. B. McSHEEHY.
FRANCK R. HAVENNER.
C. J. DEASY.
CHAS. J. POWERS.
J. B. BADARACCO.

November 22, 1926—Report approved without objection.

Congressman Richard J. Welch Visits Board.

After the transaction of other business, the following proceedings were had:

The Mayor: We have with us today a San Franciscan who has been elevated from our body to the law-making body of the House of Congress. He is about to leave San Francisco to take up his duties at Washington, and he has come here today to bid you au revoir, to give you a friendly smile, and to greet you as a departing member of this Board on his way to Congress. I want to present my old friend, former Supervisor, now Congressman Welch.

Congressman Welch: Your Honor, Mayor Rolph, my former colleagues on the Board of Supervisors and fellow citizens: I did not come here to make a speech or invite a response from the members of the Board. I am not a speech maker, as you have observed in the past, and the records of the Board will show that I am a man of very few words. I felt, however, that it was my duty to come in and say goodbye to you before leaving to take up the duties referred to

by his Honor the Mayor, which will commence on December the 6th, next month. I am leaving San Francisco next Sunday. There will not be another meeting of the Board prior to my departure.

And let me say to you, in a word, that I have no greater task before me than to go on to Washington and cooperate with you, the legislative body of the City and County of San Francisco, and his Honor the Mayor, the head of the City's administration, in doing the things that are necessary for the development of this our City. I have given expression to the thoughts, in times past, that there should be closer cooperation between the San Francisco administration, its legislative body, and you representatives at Sacramento and at Washington in order to solve those problems. Might I say to you at this time, recognizing this Board as the legislative body of San Francisco, that I will be advised by you, and I aim to act with you and serve you in all matters tending to the betterment and advancement of the City of San Francisco. I spent nearly eleven years as a member of this Board. I made many strong friendships, both with members of the Board present and past, and among the people of San Francisco whom I came in contact with. Of course, we have our rubs, and those little things that come from the head and not from the heart, but thank the Lord I am big enough to forget those things, as I hope others are, and I leave here with nothing but the best will toward the San Franicsco Board of Supervisors. And I repeat again that I will join with you there at Washington in doing the things that will make San Francisco a bigger and a better city. (Applause.)

The Mayor: There will be but one response for the members of the Board, if it meets with the approval of the Board, in replying to the farewell good wishes of Congressman Welch, and I will take the liberty of calling upon Supervisor McSheehy to make the remarks of farewell for the Board to the Congressman. (Applause.)

Supervisor McSheehy: Your Honor the Mayor, Congressman-elect Welch, members of the Board and citizens of San Francisco: I consider this a real privilege to ask to respond upon an occasion of this kind. We have lost a Supervisor who has been with us for eleven years. I have had the privilege of serving nine years with the Supervisor. I have often differed with him. They were honest differences of opinion, but I always found in Supervisor Welch a man whom you could consult with. I found his counsel worth something at all times, and I did personally consult with Supervisor Welch on a great many matters pertaining to the welfare of this Board. He was a man who had great experience, an experience that very few men have. He served twelve years in the Legislature bebore he became a member of this Board, and served eleven years here, and therefore he will go to Washington with twenty-three years' legislative experience. And I feel that he will demonstrate to his fellow Congressmen in Washington that San Francisco made no mistake in selecting Richard J. Welch for the office of Congressman for the Fifth Congressional District of this great City of San Francisco. There is a great deal of hard work for the former Supervisor to do. The position that he will occupy is one that was ably occupied by one of the greatest representatives that this City ever sent to Washington in the name of the late John I. Nolan. Congressman Nolan departed from his great work, he was called by the Man who will call all of us. And I hope that the Supervisor's health, above all things, will remain good while he is in Washington. Washington, they tell me, has a very trying climate, and I hope that he and his good wife will have the best of all good health. I have great faith in the work that he will do, and I am sure that he will demonstrate to the people of San Francisco that they made no unwise selection, and I am sure that great results will follow from the selection of Richard J. Welch for the office of Congressman from this great City. (Applause.) I have been recipient, and I am sure every member of this Board has also been the recipient, of an invitation to attend a luncheon that is being tendered by the Down Town Association to Congressman Welch, Wednesday, at twelve fifteen. I hope all the members of the Board will find time to attend that luncheon, because I feel that it is a great privilege to be able to attend a luncheon given to former Supervisor Richard J. Welch, now Congressman Welch.

Consideration of Mayor's Veto, Changing Name of Maggie Alley.

Consideration of the veto of his Honor the Mayor of Resolution No. 26395 (New Series), adopted November 1, 1926, changing the name of Maggie alley to Bristol Court.

Question: Shall the resolution

pass notwithstanding the objection of his Honor the Mayor?

Motion.

Supervisor Byington moved that the foregoing matter be continued for one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2:45 p. m.

Motion *carried.*

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

Christmas Eve Celebration Committee.

The following matter, heretofore referred to the Public Welfare Committee, was placed on the calendar by direction of Supervisor Hayden:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for the observance of Christmas Eve, December 24, 1926.

Welfare Committe to Conduct Christmas Eve Celebration.

At the meeting of November 15, 1926, Supervisor Kent moved that the Public Welfare Committee be authorized and directed to conduct the coming Christmas Eve celebration on behalf of the City and that there be made available out of the appropriation for Publicity and Advertising the sum of $2,000 to defray the expense in connection therewith.

Motion *carreid* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton —9.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Gallagher changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of vote whereby the foregoing motion was adopted at this meeting of the Board.

Pursuant to foregoing notice, Supervisor Gallagher, on this day, moved for a reconsideration of the vote whereby Supervisor Kent's motion was adopted.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden —5.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Shannon—3.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Resolution of Policy, Bay Bridge.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board on ——— resolved to retain the services of three eminent consulting engineers to advise and assist this Board in selecting the best bay bridge project from among the various projects submitted by private parties seeking a franchise from the City; and

Whereas, all private proposals having now been submitted and fully explained, it seems entirely possible that many excellent sites and plants are not included among them and that other sites, equally or more advantageous, may exist; and

Whereas, sound policy dictates that so huge and important a monopoly as the future bay bridge should not be a Simon-pure private enterprise, but should be under the control of the government authority of San Francisco in all essential respects; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board hereby adopts the following policy and course of action with regard to the bay bridge:

1. That the work of the three consulting engineers to be retained by this Board shall not be confined to reporting on the private projects already submitted, but shall be extended to include the selection of an ideal site or sites, and the study and testing of said site or sites in every necessary way, looking toward the adoption by this Board of a location which shall be the selection of the City of San Francisco itself; and

2. That, after selecting such site, this Board shall next proceed to have complete plans and specifications drawn up, likewise under the supervision of eminent engineers employed by this Board, which plans and specifications shall be adopted as the official plans and specifications of the City of San Francisco; and

3. That the said engineers, accompanied by the proper city officials and committee of this Board, shall then proceed to obtain the sanction of the War Department for the construction of the bridge itself; and

4. That the question of the financing of the bridge, whether by private parties under competitive bidding or by the use of public funds, shall be left for decision until such time as the City's plans are approved and the project ready to construct.

Referred to Special Bridge Committee.

Hearing of Bay Bridge Committee in Washington, D. C.

November 17, 1926.

The following radiogram phoned in this p. m. at 1:50 o'clock by the United States Radio Bureau was read by the Clerk:

Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

It is not intended that a public hearing shall be held here relative to bridge franchises. Will see your committee on December 10th.

JADWIN,
Chief Adviser.

Opinion of City Attorney on Location of Transbay Bridges.

November 22, 1926.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Dear Sirs:

I am in receipt of your inquiry as to the power of the Federal Government to determine the location of a contemplated bridge over the Bay of San Francisco.

Opinion:

Under Section 8 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States, Congress is given power "To regulate commerce with foreign nations, and among the several states and with the Indian Tribes." The matter of regulation of all navigable waterways within the United States comes within the power granted by this provision no matter whether those waterways are wholly within different states. Where a navigable body of water lies wholly within a state, the power of regulating the construction of any structure which will interfere with its use for navigation remains in the state until Congress either by special act or general law acts in the premises.

Almost from the adoption of the Constitution, Congress has asserted its authority to regulate structures over or in the navigable waters of the country. In the beginning this regulation was usually by special act regulating the particular project at hand. In many of these cases the regulation was most minute, providing for the size of piers, length of draws and spans, and the height of the structure; in other cases the authority was more general, but in these it was usual to delegate the power of approval to some governmental agency subordinate to the Congress.

In 1899 Congress enacted a general law upon the subject—Section 9971 of the Revised Statutes of the United States—reading as follows:

"It shall not be lawful to construct or commence the construction of any bridge, dam, dike or causeway over or in any port, roadstead, haven, harbor, canal navigable river, or other navigable water of the United States until the consent of Congress to the building of such structures shall have been obtained and until the plans for the same shall have been submitted to and approved by the Chief of Engineers and by the Secretary of War; provided, that such structures may be built under authority of the legislature of a state across rivers and other waterways the navigable portions of which lie wholly within the limits of a single state, provided the location and plans thereof are submitted to and approved by the Chief of Engineers and by the Secretary of War before construction is commenced. And provided further, that when plans for any bridge or other structure have been approved by the Chief of Engineers and by the Secretary of War, it shall not be lawful to deviate from such plans either before or after completion of the structure unless the modification of said plans has previously been submitted to and received the approval of the Chief of Engineers and of the Secretary of War. (March 3, 1899, c. 425, 9, 30 Stat. 1151.)"

The Bay of San Francisco, lying wholly within the confines of the State, it follows that the project which you now have before you comes within the first proviso of the section quoted.

The language of the section appears to be clear and unequivocal, and from its reading, and without doing violence to the language used, it would appear that in cases such as you now have under consideration that the Chief of the Engineers of the War Department and the Secretary of War must approve both the *location* and plans of the contemplated structure.

At times the question of the right of Congress to delegate its powers granted by the Constitution to the Secretary of War has been questioned, but the Supreme Court of the United States has sustained this right.

See Union Bridge Co. v. United States, 51 Law Edition, U. S. Supreme Court Reports, 386.

In passing on this point, Mr. Justice Harlan, speaking for the court, said: "Indeed it is not too much to say that a denial to Congress of the right, under the Constitution, to delegate the power to determine some fact or the state of things upon which the enforcement of its enactment depends would be

'to stop the wheels of government' and bring about confusion if not paralysis in the conduct of public business." And further on the same subject the same judge said: "We are of the opinion that the act in question is not unconstitutional as conferring on the Secretary of War powers of such nature that they could not be delegated to him by Congress."

I am therefore of the opinion that before any bridge can be constructed over the waters of the San Francisco Bay, the location of and the plans for the structure must be submitted to the Chief of Engineers of the War Department and to the Secretary of War for their approval.

I am advised some of the applicants for franchises to construct these bridges have contended that the word "location" as used in the act, should be considered to mean "locality" and that the Secretary of War has no power to refuse the right to approve the construction of a bridge at such "location" as your Board may select. I do not believe that such a meaning can be given to the word "location." This word is defined in Chesapeake & O. Ry Co. v. Deepwater Ry. Co., 50 So. Rep., page 595, as "the site or place of a thing." Therefore, if the Secretary of War and the Chief of Engineers must approve the *location* of the bridge, it is equivalent to saying that they must approve, the site or place where it is to be constructed. If the word "locality" were used in the act, a different construction might be placed upon it, but as the more definite word is used, the act must be construed as written.

You are therefore advised that before any bridge can be constructed over the Bay of San Francisco, the location, i. e., the exact site thereof and the plans therefor must be approved by the Chief of Engineers and by the Secretary of War.

Sincerely yours,
JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Read and made a part of the record. Clerk to send copies to members of the Board.

Bridge Applications.

The following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running ap-

proximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and How-

ard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of R S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27.000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30.000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said

bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said

island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the followin vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 6, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26434 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Manure & Fertilizer Co., manure for parks (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $652.25.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(2) A. W. Eames, refund of duplicate payment of taxes, year 1925-1926 (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $812.38.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(3) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, first payment, architectural services, Fire Dept. Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,083.33.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(4) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926, $1,822.62.

(5) Lally Company, black steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $3,-251.46.

(6) J. H. McCallum, lumber,

Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $2,591.58.

(7) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $3,279.38.

(8) A. M. Scott Tire Co., truck tires, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $1,043.02.

(9) Sonora Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $2,140.73.

(10) Tansey Crowe Co., truck tires, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $715.46.

(11) Sonora Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $522.93.

(12) R. W. Kinney Company, sixth payment, wrought steel pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,531.30.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(13) Pelton Water Wheel Co., repairs to turbine for Early Intake Power House (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $725.90.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(14) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage report for condemnation of San Francisco electrical properties (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $975.00.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(15) Clinton Construction Co., twenty-first payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $33,483.75.

(16) G. V. Godin, final payment, construction of alterations and additions to Relief Home Superintendent's residence (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,344.94.

(17) F. W. Snook Co., twenty-first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,605.94.

Playground Fund.

(18) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 10, 1926), $713.03.

(19) The Ellery Arms Co., recreational supplies, for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 11, 1926), $870.00.

Library Fund.

(20) Mullen Mfg. Co., tables and desks for public libraries (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,112.25.

(21) Wm. Bateman, doors and transoms for Public Library (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,050.00.

(22) Foster & Futernick Co., binding public library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,282.35.

(23) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $2,714.72.

(24) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $2,408.81.

(25) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $700.00.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(26) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for the Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,729.51.

(27) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, plumbing for Henry Durant School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926, $1,324.80.

(28) Crown Electric Co., eleventh payment, electrical work for New Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,091.12.

(29) Latourette-Fical Co., twelfth payment, mechanical equipment for New Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,778.25.

(30) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, plumbing for Edison School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $663.07.

(31) Crown Electric Co., fourth payment, electric work for Edison School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,125.97.

(32) A. Lettich, seventh payment, plumbing for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,836.06.

(33) Latourette-Fical Co., eighth payment, mechanical equipment for Ana Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $2,078.19.

(34) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks for West Portal School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,313.85.

Auditorium Fund.

(35) Mosha Menuhin, as guardian, for Yehudi Menuhin, for services as guest artist at Municipal Pop Concert Nov. 16, 1926 (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,251.00.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(36) William Eisbert (Municipal Railway trackman), ninth and final payment, compensation insurance (claim dated Oct. 29, 1926), $2,044.04.

(37) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for account pensions for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $661.60.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(38) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,246.19.

(39) Hancock Bros., printing

transfers for Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,278.00.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(40) Citizens' Columbus Day Committee, Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, for expenditures for account of Columbus Day celebration, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $996.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(41) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,949.44.

(42) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,391.13.

(43) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,100.00.

(44) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,276.56.

(45) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $4,289.16.

(46) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $583.13.

(47) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $11,775.91.

(48) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $28,451.48.

(49) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $4,243.06.

(50) Associated Charities, widdows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $8,093.87.

(51) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $887.50.

(52) Litlte Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $8,546.17.

(53) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $944.00.

(54) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,635.68.

(55) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,553.64.

(56) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $2,035.04.

(57) Sperry Flour Co., flour, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $713.15.

(58) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $666.25.

(59) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,355.20.

(60) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $938.59.

(61) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Hospitals (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,490.89.

(62) A. Carlisle & Co., printing for Departments (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $667.62.

(63) A. Carlisle & Co., printing and stationery for Departments (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $638.85.

(64) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for Departments (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $509.65.

(65) Rock, Sand and Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $574.67.

(66) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street reconstruction (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $676.18.

(67) The Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., storage battery cells, etc., for sewer construction (claim dated Nov. 6, 1926), $512.10.

(68) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for sewer repairs (claim dated Nov. 6, 1926), $880.75.

(69) Howard Automobile Co., three Buick automobiles for Police Department, less allowances (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $3,340.00.

(70) Crown Electric Co., fifth payment, electric work for Children's Ward, S. E. wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 10, 1926), $809.72.

(71) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for Children's Ward on S. E. wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $559.86.

(72) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $747.50.

(73) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for public buildings (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $1,614.46.

(74) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Company, printing of Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,075.00.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26435 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts

in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., overhauling deep well turbine pump (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $599.00.

(2) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., turbine pump and motor for parks (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $1,295.00.

(3) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on park employees (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $1,042.51.

(4) Albers Bros. Milling Co., supplies for parks (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $580.55.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $527.75.

Aquarium Maintenance—Appropriation 57.

(6) California Academy of Sciences, for expense of maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $3,989.98.

Special School Tax.

(7) R. W. Kinney Co., flush valves for school urinals (claim dated Oct. 29, 1926), $587.50.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(8) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 28, 1926), $899.08.

(9) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 28, 1926), $4,232.50.

(10) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 28, 1926), $4,762.50.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 28, 1926), $1,054.17.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 28, 1926), $2,349.51.

(13) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium of insurance on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 26, 1926), $3,159.08.

(14) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 26, 1926), $520.20.

(15) Wilsey-Bennett Co., butter, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 26, 1926), $676.50.

School Bonds, 1923.

(16) F. L. Hansen, ninth payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $16,198.54.

(17) James L. McLaughlin Co., twelfth payment, general construction of Anza (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $28,899.38.

(18) R. W. Moller, second payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $8,535.14.

(19) W. H. Picard, final payment, mechanical equipment for High School of Commerce (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $968.50.

(20) MacDonald & Kahn, fifteenth payment, general construction of new Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $47,649.82.

(21) S. W. Band, first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,142.15.

(22) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, fifth payment, architectural services for Henry Durant School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $1,237.56.

(23) Scott Company, second payment, mechanical equipment for Edison School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,814.00.

(24) A. Lettich, third payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,195.62.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(25) J. W. Burtschaell, third payment, electric fixtures for new Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $1,646.06.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(26) Elliot & Grant, third payment, general construction of Fire Dept. Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,779.87.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(27) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), fifth payment, construction of tunnel and appurtenances under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $63,514.50.

County Road Fund.

(28) Keystone Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Telegraph Hill boulevard (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $8,400.00.

(29) James M. Smith, second payment, improvement of extension of Market street, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $6,750.00.

(30) Keystone Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Douglass street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, including crossings (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $9,000.00.

(31) Municipal Construction Co.,

second payment, improvement of Stanyan street, Fulton to McAllister streets (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $4,000.00.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(32) C. S. Abbott, irrigation district taxes on City property in Modesto Irrigation District, Stanislaus County (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $826.80.

(33) A. McSweeney, Tax Collector, San Mateo County, taxes on City Hetch Hetchy property in San Mateo County (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $1,160.28.

(34) J. C. White, Tax Collector, Tuolumne County, taxes on City Hetch Hetchy property in Tuolumne County (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $7,318.36.

(35) Ed. Whitmore, Tax Collector, Stanislaus County, taxes on City Hetch Hetchy property in Stanislaus County (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $1,012.02.

Special School Tax.

(36) Grant & Hart, second payment, general construction of Noe Valley Jr. High School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $6,015.10.

(37) Mahony Bros., first payment, general construction of addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $6,710.90.

(38) S. W. Band, sixth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,340.00.

(39) Dowd-Seid Electrical Co., final payment, electrical work on annex to Parkside School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $1,198.92.

(40) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing work on annex to Parkside School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,238.85.

(41) P. J. Enright, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $4.257.04.

(42) Scott Company, final payment, heating installation for annex to Parkside School (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $747.37.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(43) Katherine Gordon, guardian ad litem of Helen and William Gordon, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries sustained by Alexander Gordon, husband and father, by the Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $1,500.00.

California Palace Legion of Honor —(Appropriation 61).

(44) American Railway Express Co., expressage on shipments from eastern states to California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $1,354.54.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(45) Daniel J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $750.00.

(46) California Brick Co., brick for street paving (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $1,063.20.

(47) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for street repair department, Board of Public Works (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $1,340.25.

(48) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 1, 1926), $1,430.75.

(49) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, laying of high-pressure water mains in Butchertown district (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $9,000.00.

(50) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $2,250.00.

(51) Louis J. Cohn, fifth payment, sewer construction, westerly Sunset District, Forty-third avenue, Section "C" (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $11,250.00.

(52) Clarence B. Eaton, fifth payment, sewer construction, westerly Sunset District, Section "A" (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $22,000.00.

(53) John Spargo, second payment, general construction of photo gallery, Hall of Justice (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $4,412.62.

(54) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,116.30.

(55) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,250.00.

(56) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $869.55.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(57) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, for expense of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $686.81.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(58) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of valuation of electric properties of the Pacific Gas & Electric Co. and the Great Western Power Co. during month of November, 1926 (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,000.00.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(59) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for side sewers (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $508.62.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriation, $100,000, Street Car Motor Equipment, Municipal Railways.

Resolution No. 26436 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $100,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund, for the purchase of street car motor equipment for the Municipal Railways, as per award to Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Company at $94,986, and for maximum possible bonus, inspection, handling and incidentals at $5,014. Contract 149-C.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriations, Equipment, Tuberculosis Ward, Relief Home, and Shooting Gallery.

Resolution No. 26437 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named accounts for the following purposes, to-wit:

San Francisco Hospital Equipment, Budget Item No. 66.

(1) For purchase of equipment for the new tuberculosis wards of the San Francisco Hospital, including beds and bedding, sterilizers, etc., $9,036.50.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(2) For the purchase of equipment for the new Relief Home, including bedding, butcher shop equipment, etc., $9,226.33.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(3) To defray cost of restoring the Shooting Gallery in basement of Hall of Justice, including four alleys, armored steel plates, flooring, electric lighting, etc., $575.00.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriation, $8,085 Out of County Road Fund, Widening of Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Resolution No. 26438 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8,085 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the widening of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriations in Payment for Lands Required for Aquatic Park.

Resolution No. 26439 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Aquatic Park Land Purchases. Contract," Budget Item No. 53, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, being final payments for lands required for Aquatic Park purposes, as per terms of agreement by Ordinance No. 6351 (New Series), to-wit:

(1) To James C. McKinstry, David Donzel, George W. Rudolph, Florence S. Droste and William S. Bliss, $18,553.09.

(2) To Rudolph Oscar Steinbach, et al., $9,031.86.

(3) To Wm. B. Sharp, Ellen Dore, Charlotte E. Horrigan and Maurice Dore, $19,557.55.

(4) To Whittell Realty Company, per terms of agreement by Ordinance No. 6456 (New Series), $14,030 44.

(Claims dated Nov. 12, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Authorizations, Payments for Bernal Cut Properties.

Resolution No. 26440 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid to the following claimants out of General Fund, 1925-1926 (Bernal Cut Rights of Way, Budget Item No. 77), being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Frank S. Kingswood and Mary J. Kingswood, care of California Title Insurance Co., for Lot No. 29, Block 6686, per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance by Resolution No. 23679, New Series (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $3,300.

(2) To James Mitchell and Ruth Mitchell, care of California Pacific Title Insurance Co., for Lot 25, Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26381, New Series (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $3,000.00.

(3) To William Douglas, administrator of estate of John Brennan, deceased, for Lots 13 and 14, Block 6687, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26381, New Series (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $6,500.00.

(4) To F. Favor and Mary Favor, for Lot 13, Block 6689, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26381, New Series (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $6,100.00.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriation, $3,250, Land Required for Bay Shore Highway.

Resolution No. 26441 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,250 be and the same is authorized in payment to Fay Improvement Company out of County Road Fund (Appropriation of $135,000 by Resolution No. 26338, New Series), for property commencing at the intersection of the southwesterly line of Paul avenue and the southeasterly line of Ware street, running southeasterly 100 feet, southwesterly 75 feet, northwesterly 100 feet, and thence northeasterly 75 feet, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26405 (New Series), and required for the Bay Shore highway. (Claim dated Nov. 10, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Authorization Out of County Road Fund.

Resolution No. 26442 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons out of County Road Fund appropriations as set forth, and for the purposes designated, to-wit:

County Road Fund (Appropriation by Resolution No. 26338 [N. S.], San Jose Avenue).

(1) To George W. Dunn and Julia F. Dunn, being payment for portion of Lot 48 of the Demartini Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26378 (New Series), required for the widening of San Jose avenue (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $1,772.00.

County Road Fund (Appropriation by Resolution No. 26338 [N. S.], Widening of Garfield Street).

(2) To James and Anastasia McNeilly, being payment for portions of Lots 31 and 32 of Block 23 and Lots 25, 26, 27 and 28 of Block 32, City Land Association, as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 26380 (New Series), required for the widening of Garfield street (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $1,730.00.

County Road Fund (Appropriation by Resolution No. 26338 [N. S.], Widening of Garfield Street).

(3) To Charles and Katherine Stewart, being payment for a portion of Lot 26, Block 20, City Land Association, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26380 (New Series), required for widening of Garfield street (claim dated Nov. 4, 1926), $2,233.30.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriation, $18,000, Architectural Services, Plans, Etc., South Side High School.

Resolution No. 26443 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $18,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for architectural services in connection with preparation of plans and specifications for the first unit of the South Side High School, to be erected on Onondaga avenue between Otsego and Cayuga streets, and representing three-fifths of the estimated cost for architectural services on said first unit.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner,

Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriation, $160,000, Sewers in Sunset District.

Resolution No. 26444 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $160,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension of Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the construction of sewers and appurtenances in the westerly Sunset District, Section "B," Forty-third avenue main sewer, Contract No. 3, including inspection and possible extras. (Contract awarded to Frank J. McHugh at $155,694.80.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriations, Chairs, School Department, Reconstruction of Sewers in Harlow and Dehon Streets.

Resolution No. 26445 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(1) To cover cost of contract for chairs to be installed in the new Mission High School (Heywood-Wakefield Company contract), $12,398.80.

Special School Tax.

(2) For expense of reconstruction of sewers in Harlow and Dehon streets, in block bounded by Sixteenth, Seventeenth, Church and Sanchez streets, made necessary due to construction of school building thereon, $1,300.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriations for Street Work in Front of City Property.

Resolution No. 26446 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for street improvements at City property, to-wit:

(1) Russia, Madrid and Edinburgh streets, by Jas. T. Tobin, $918.00.

(2) Harrison street, Army street to Precita avenue, by M. J. Treacy, $2,696.00.

(3) Silver avenue and Madrid street, by T. M. Gallagher, $693.68.

(4) Worcester and Randolph streets, etc., Assessments 453, 441, 442, 443 and 444, and 35, $6,357.06.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriation, $55,291.72, for Widening of Highway South of Colma; Payment to Market Street Railway Company.

Resolution No. 26447 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $55,291.72 be and the same is hereby set aside out of the $150,000 heretofore set aside out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series) for expense of widening of the highway south of Colma, and authorized in payment to the Market Street Railway Company, care of the California Highway Commission, and to be held in escrow for payment to and to be paid to the Market Street Railway Company upon completion by said Market Street Railway Company of the moving of its tracks from the easterly side of the present highway south of Colma to the center of the relocated widened highway south of Colma.

The attention of the Auditor is called to the provisions of the foregoing.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Authorization, $1,000, Payment to Anna Kawalkowski et al. for Property Required for Sunset Tunnel.

Resolution No. 26448 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1.000 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment to Anna Kawalkowski and Leo J. Kawalkowski out of Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund; being additional to $9,000 previously authorized, and being in settlement and full payment for property required for the construc-

tion of the Sunset tunnel; said property situate and commencing at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 104 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, running thence easterly 25 feet; thence northerly 137.50 feet; thence westerly 25 feet; thence southerly 137.50 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26417, New Series. (Claim dated Nov. 13, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriation, $20,000, for Publicity and Advertising.

Resolution No. 26449 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $20,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising Fund" to Californians, Inc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington. Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 26450 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Anglo California Trust Co., southwest corner of Spear and Market streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Clarence Cuneo, 3053 Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. G. Hanvey, 35 Yerba Buena avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

James R. McElroy, 150 Ninth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. J. McRae, 1123 Clay stret, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Stanton Sherman, north line of Vallejo street, 104 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tait's Coffee Shop, 2539 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. L. Williams, 2224 Lake street, 750 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 26451 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. Anderson, southeast corner of Twenty-first avenue and Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, 378 Eleventh avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

J. J. Lee, southeast corner of Sixteenth and Valencia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Lowry, southeast corner of Taylor and Vallejo streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Rulfo, northeast corner of Lyon and California streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. P. Shufelton, northeast corner of Clay street and Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Steinauer, north side of Filbert street, 130 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. I. Strand, northeast corner of Thirty-second avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. McVine, 544 Dewey boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Miss M. White, 2678 California street, 1000 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton. Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Cleaning and Dyeing and Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 26452 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Liberty Cleaning and Dyeing Works be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a cleaning and dyeing works and laundry on the south line of Duboce avenue, 120 feet east of Valencia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26453 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis Mercier and Alfred Cerles be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Joseph A. Pasqualetti for premises at 1520 Stockton street by Resolution No. 24792 (New Series) November 27, 1925.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26454 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. I. Butler be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on southwest corner of Fiftheenth and Castro streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accoradnce with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said T. I. Butler, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26455 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. V. Lacey and M. E. Vukicevich be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Latham place, 77 feet 6 inches west of Mason street, with entrance on Dikeman place.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri—10.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Kent, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—7.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Northwest Corner of Greenwich and Jensen Streets in the Commercial District Instead of the Second Residential.

Bill No. 7792, Ordinance No. 7301 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entiled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Greenwich street and Jensen street, for a distance of 25 feet on Greenwich street and a distance of 45 feet on Jensen street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Southerly Side of Pacific Street West of Stockton Street in the Light Industrial Instead of Commercial District.

Bill No. 7793, Ordinance No. 7302 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Pacific street, commencing at a point 98 feet 1 inch westerly from Stockton street and running thence westerly 39 feet 5 inches, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Commercial District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Southeast Corner of North Point Street and Larkin Street in the Commercial Instead of Second Residential District.

Bill No. 7794, Ordinance No. 7303 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of North Point street and Larkin street, for a distance of 87 feet 6 inches on North Point street and a distance of 62 feet 6 inches on Larkin street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Establishing Set-back Lines on Fifteenth Avenue, Eighteenth Avenue and Moraga Street.

Bill No. 7795, Ordinance No. 7304 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6580 (New Series), establishing set-back lines along Fifteenth avenue, Eighteenth avenue and Moraga street by modifying and changing the lines therein established along Fifteenth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6580 (New Series), is hereby amended and the set-back lines established therein along Fifteenth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets are hereby changed, modified and established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue commencing at a point 101 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 5 feet; along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Westerly Side of Mason Street North of Jackson Street in the Commercial District Instead of the Second Residential District.

Bill No. 7796, Ordinance No. 7305 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Mason street com-

mencing at a point 68 feet 9 inches northerly from Jackson street and running thence northerly 62 feet 9 inches in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Northerly Side of Twenty-second Street Between Pennsylvania and Mississippi Streets in the Light Industrial Instead of Second Residential District.

Bill No. 7797, Ordinance No. 7306 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Twenty-second street between Pennsylvania street and Mississippi street, and extending northerly a distance of 125 feet, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Sacramento Street East of Mason.

Bill No. 7798, Ordinance No. 7307 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Sacramento street, commencing 167 feet east of Mason street, thence easterly a distance of 108 feet and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt. Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Forty-fourth Avenue and Sloat Boulevard.

Bill No. 7799, Ordinance No. 7308 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations or buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and provided penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeast corner of Forty-fourth avenue and Sloat boulevard, for a distance of 38 feet on Forty-fourth avenue and extending to the depth of 120 feet, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Holidays for Per Diem Men.

Bill No. 7790, Ordinance No. 7309 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for and designating holidays of per diem employees of the Department of Public Works in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco shall recognize as holidays for per diem employees the following days only: Every Sunday, New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas. If any of said holidays shall fall upon Sunday the following Monday shall be a holiday.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to platform men of the Municipal Railway or to other employees of public utilities actually necessary for the operation of the same.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

Bill No. 7791, Ordinance No. 7310 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company (a corporation) to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Bluxome street between Fourth and Fifth streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Southern Pacific Company (a corporation) to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Bluxome street between Fourth and Fifth streets as follows:

Beginning at a point in the existing Bluxome street lead, said point being distant northeasterly approximately 295 feet from the easterly line of Fifth street; thence southwesterly to a point on the northwesterly line of Bluxome street, said point being distant northeasterly approximately 18 feet from the said easterly line of Fifth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that girder rail be used, that proper clearance be observed; that the drainage intercepted by the proposed spur track be provided for.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $63,331.69, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $71.34.

Tablet & Ticket Co., maintenance City Hall Directory, $90.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are here-

by authorized to be exended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Nov. 19, 1926), $1,051.90.

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(2) James Rolph, Jr., for relief of destitute women and children (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $1,100.00.

Auditorium Fund.

(3) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for Auditorium (claim dated Nov. 16, 1926), $717.35.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(6) City Coal Co., coal, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $726.49.

(7) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $1,012.16.

(8) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Calif., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $680.62.

(9) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $1,521.45.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(10) Justin N. Ducray, construction of sidewalks, curbs and pavement fronting the Seventeenth-street car barn of Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,762.33.

(11) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $3,220.07.

(12) Market Street Railway Co., October reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,613.67.

(13) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service furnished Municipal Railways for October (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $37,596.52.

(14) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $6,835.83.

Special School Tax.

(15) George H. Tay Co., urinals and fittings for schools (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $940.00.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(16) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,579.85.

(17) John Finn Metal Works, galvanizing traffic buttons (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $3,259.46.

(18) The Berger Mfg. Co., 12 document files, County Clerk (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $1,058.40.

(19) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto, less allowance, for Bureau of Supplies (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $1,000.00.

(20) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., filing equipment, Clerk's office, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $588.80.

(21) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $52,879.37.

(22) G. H. & H. Kahn Co., X-ray films, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $2,200.28.

(23) J. Theo. Erlin Co., muslin, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $690.00.

(24) H. F. Dugan, drugs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $666.22.

(25) Sherman, Clay & Co., piano, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $656.25.

(26) California Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,252.67.

(27) Union Sheep Co., mutton, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $530.57.

(28) H. Moffat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,471.04.

(29) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $2,392.15.

(30) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $4,093.35.

(31) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $2,106.36.

(32) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $2,137.34.

(33) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1.672.63.

(34) H. Moffat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $640.03.

(35) Morris & Co., butter, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,065.51.

(36) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $555.14.

(37) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,784.88.

(38) Union Transfer Co., delivering of election supplies (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $525.00.

(39) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing election poll and tally lists (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $4,399.00.

(40) Phillips & Van Orden Co., election ballots (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $562.10.

(41) Phillips & Van Orden Co.,

election ballots (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $8,478.00.

(42) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Charter Amendments, for election (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,120.00.

(43) California Printing Co., printing supplementary index to election register (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,377.60.

(44) Tidewater Products Co., repairing roof of warehouse, Election Dept. (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $517.75.

(45) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for November (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $625.00.

(46) The California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $783.04.

(47) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,333.90.

(48) Recorder Printing & Publishing Company, printing proceedings of bridge hearings (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $1,092.

(49) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $725.73.

(50) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,735.31.

(51) Q & S Tire Co., auto tires, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,345.16.

(52) The Seagrave Corporation, apparatus parts, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,940.67.

(53) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,007.23.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water service and installing hydrants, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $900.77.

(55) The White Co., one White auto chassis, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $3,831.05.

Park Fund.

(56) J. A. Bryant, sixth payment, construction of clubhouse, Kezar Stadium, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Nov. 19, 1926), $12,266.00.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(57) San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, for expenses incurred and paid for account of visit to San Francisco of the American Association of Railway Ticket Agents, for the Publicity and Advertising of San Francisco; City's portion of the expense (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $2,000.00.

(58) Redwood Highway Association (of California) for printing and supplies for the Publicity and Advertising of San Francisco in connection with the California Redwood Highway; (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $612.23.

Action Deferred.

The following items were on motion *laid over one week*:

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(4) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Nov. 18, 1926), $750.00.

(5) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Nov. 18, 1926), $850.00.

Authorizing Plans for Sewer Connection, Fleishhacker Playfield.

Also, Bill No. 7800, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a sewer connection from the Fleishhacker Playfield to the main sewers at Sloat boulevard and the Great Highway, and ordering the construction of said sewer connection from the Fleishhacker Playfield to the main sewers at Sloat boulevard and the Great Highway in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to contract for the construction of said sewer connection.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of a sewer connection from the Fleishhacker Playfield to the main sewers at Sloat boulevard and the Great Highway, and to enter into contract for said construction of said sewer connection, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance sall take effect immediately.

Ordering Reconstruction and Repair of Certain Accepted Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7801, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those

certain accepted streets in said City and County particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $100,800, payable out of the hereinafter designated Budget Items for the Fiscal Year 1926-1927 for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 146. Bonita street, Polk street westerly, $1,350.-00.

Budget Item No. 94. Broadway, Columbus avenue to Stockton street, $7,200.00.

Budget Item No. 135. California street, Van Ness avenue to Fillmore, $48,600.00.

Budget Item No. 87. Eighteenth street, Noe to Douglass, $9,000.00.

Budget Item No. 107. Monroe street, Pine to Bush, $2,025.00.

Budget Item No. 92. North Point street, Van Ness avenue to Larkin, $8,100.00.

Budget Item No. 93. North Point street, Columbus avenue to Hyde, $7,200.00.

Budget Item No. 109. Sacramento street, Front to Battery, $3,600.00.

Budget Item No. 144. Sacramento street, Franklin to Gough, $4.500.00.

Budget Item No. 130. Vallejo street, Jones to Leavenworth, $4,-500.00.

Budget Item No. 145. Water street, Mason to Taylor, $2,475.00.

Budget Item No. 91. Hyde street, Beach street to North Point, $2,-250.00.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect from and after its passage.

Appropriations for Reconstruction and Repair of Certain Streets.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated Budget Items, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the reconstruction and repair of the streets provided for by said designated Budget Items, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 115. Bay street, Laguna to Fillmore, $13,500.00.

Budget Item No. 139. Elizabeth street, Grand View avenue to Hoffman street, $6,300.00.

Budget Item No. 99. Gilbert street, Bryant to Brannan, $2,250.00.

Budget Item No. 141. Nineteenth street, extension to Mono, $13,500.00.

Budget Item No. 138. Peralta street, Tomasa to Montcalm, $13,-500.00.

Budget Item No. 140. Tehama street, Second to Third, $3,771.00.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Certain Streets.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of the following highways, to-wit:

(1) Turk street, Masonic to Willard, $36,000.00.

(2) Portola drive, Twenty-fourth avenue to Laguna Honda boulevard, $18,000.00.

(3) Hunt street, off Third, $2,-500.00.

(4) Clay street, Mason to Taylor, $5,000.00.

(5) Washington street, Mason to Taylor, $5,000.00.

(6) Jackson street, Powell to Mason, $4,000.00.

(7) Mason street, Pine to California, $4,000.00.

Appropriation, $3,163 for Work in Front of City Property on Twenty-fourth Avenue Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series). as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,163 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for the improvement of Twenty-fourth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets at City property. (F. W. Hyland contract.)

Appropriating $76,500, Payment to J. B. De Maria for Property at Beach and Divisadero Streets Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $76,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to J. B. De Maria; being payment for property commencing at the intersection of the southerly line of Beach street with the easterly line of Divisadero street, running thence easterly on the southerly line of Beach street 275 feet; thence at right angles southerly 275 feet; thence at right angles westerly along the northerly line of North Point street 275 feet; thence at right angles northerly on Divisadero street 275 feet to the point of commencement; as per acceptance

of offer by Resolution No. 26426 (New Series), and required for school purposes. (Claim dated Nov. 22, 1926.)

Appropriations in Payment of Tax Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation No. 59, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons and company; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Vogelsang & Brown, fourth installment, for account of judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $2,794.37.

(2) To Pillsbury, Madison & Sutro, for account of judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Sept, 30, 1926), $2,952.85.

(3) To The Western Pacific Railroad Co. (claim dated Oct. 29, 1926), $585.76.

Appropriation, $3,276, for Burglar Alarm System for Treasurer and Tax Collector's Offices.

Also, Resoluton No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,276 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to defray the cost of the installation of a burglar alarm system for the protection of the vaults in the offices of the Treasurer and of the Tax Collector; per award to Butte Electric and Manufacturing Co.

Appropriations for Land Required for Playground Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, Appropriation 49, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for playground purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Ralph Dumaraz, for property commencing at point on the southerly line of Montana street, distant thereon 400 feet westerly from westerly line of Plymouth avenue; thence westerly on Montana street 100 feet; thence southerly 125 feet; thence easterly 100 feet; thence northerly 125 feet; being part of Lot 2 in Block "S," Railroad Homestead Association. (Claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $1,820.24.

(2) To Theodore M. Waller, for property commencing at point on the westerly line of Plymouth avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lobos street; thence running northerly on Plymouth avenue 25 feet; thence westerly 100 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 100 feet; being part of Lot 8 in Block "P," Railroad Homestead Association. (Claim dated Nov. 10, 1926), $900.

(3) To A. L. Tubbs Company, for property beginning at point on the westerly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon 505 feet 11 inches northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street; running thence northerly on Connecticut street 44 feet 1 inch; thence westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street; thence southerly on Arkansas street 44 feet 1 inch; thence easterly 200 feet; being portion of Lot 1 of Block 4163-4196 as per Assessor's Block Book; also, for property beginning at point on westerly line of Missouri street, distant thereon 383 feet 1 inch northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence running northerly on Missouri street 166 feet 11 inches; thence westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Connecticut street; thence southerly on Connecticut street 102 feet 3 inches; thence southeasterly 210 feet 3 inches to the point of beginning. (Claim dated Nov. 10, 1926), $2,858.

Appropriations for Lands Required for Playground Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26456 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, Appropriation 49, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for playground purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Jennie Walker, for land commencing at a point on the southerly line of Montana street, distant thereon 100 feet westerly from the westerly line of Plymouth avenue; running thence westerly on Montana street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 125 feet to point of commencement; being part of Lot 4, Block "S," Railroad Homestead Association. (Claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $450.

(2) To Harry Vahlbusch and

Emma Vahlbusch, for lands commencing at point on the southerly line of Minerva street, distant thereon 100 feet easterly from the easterly line of Capitol avenue; running thence easterly on Minerva street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 125 feet to point of commencement; being portion of Lot 1, Block "P," Railroad Homestead Association (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $425.

(3) To Marcus D. Wolff, for lands commencing at a point on the southerly line of Minerva street, distant thereon 325 feet westerly from the westerly line of Plymouth avenue; running thence westerly on Minerva street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 125 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 125 feet to point of commencement; being part of Lot 3, Block "P," Railroad Homestead Association (claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $425.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Appropriations, Alterations, County Jail No. 1, Steel Doors, City Prison, Work in Front of City Property, Nineteenth Avenue, Sidewalk at Municipal Water Works Pumping Station and Extension of Main Sewers.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26457 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For expense of alterations to ventilating fan and rearranging of steam conditions, etc., at County Jail No. 1, $384.

(2) For expense of furnishing and erecting steel partitions and steel door on stairway at south end of the City Prison, $465.

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 36.

(3) For the cost of constructing artificial stone sidewalk fronting City property, Nineteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, 150 feet, $135.

(4) For cost of constructing sidewalk fronting Municipal Water Works Pumping Station, Leland avenue, $100.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 39.

For sewer construction as follows:

(5) Vicente street and Fourteenth avenue (F. McHugh), $362.94.

(6) Twenty-third avenue, from Wawona street southerly (F. Mc Hugh), $465.36.

(7) Thirtieth avenue and Fulton street (T. Harney), $101.25.

(8) Vienna street, Silver to Peru avenues (City Construction Co.), $123.69.

(9) Randolph street (C. B. Eaton), $9.53.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Auditor and Treasurer Directed to Transfer Certain Funds for Payment of Principal and Interest on Geary Street Railway Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26458 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor and Treasurer of the City and County be and are hereby directed to transfer, in season to pay interest and principal on Geary Street Railway Bonds, Market Street Railway Bonds and Municipal Railways Bonds to become due on or before January 1, 1927, the following sums or so much thereof as may be necessary, to-wit:

From the Municipal Railway Fund the sum of $17,100 to the credit of the Geary Street Railway Bond Interest Fund, and the sum of $742.50 to the credit of the Market Street Railway Bond Interest Fund, and the sum of $67,500 to the credit of the Municipal Railway Bond Interest Fund; and the sum of $100,000 to the credit of the Municipal Railway Bond Redemption Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Accepting Statements of City's Percentage of Gross Receipts From Passenger Fares.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26459 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross re-

ceipts from passenger fares for the months of September and October, 1926, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

September—Parkside lines, etc., $503.25; Gough Street Railroad, $49.41; Parnassus avenue, etc., $314.50.

October — Parkside lines, etc., $525.16; Gough Street Railroad, $58.40; Parnassus avenue, etc., $334.95.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Accepting Offer of Emelia Toboni et al. to Sell for $2,227, Certain Property Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26460 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names and the further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

Emelia Toboni and Joseph Toboni, $2,227—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 76.275 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Tingley street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the southwesterly boundary of Lot 95 of the Demartini Tract; thence running southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary 17.338 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 79 deg. 21 min. 23 sec. to the left and running northeasterly parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 25.437 feet to the northeasterly boundary of said Lot 95; thence deflecting 100 deg. 38 min. 37 sec. to the left and running northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary 17.407 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 95 of the Demartini Tract.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to restore sidewalks where sidewalks are now constructed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Accepting Offer of L. B. Baumgartner et al. for $415, Certain Property Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26461 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the folowin described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names and the further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

L. B. Baumgartner and Minnie M. Baumgartner, $415—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Tingley street with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 98 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary line

parallel with Tingley street 17.544 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 79 deg. 21 min. 23 sec. to the left and running northeasterly parallel with the northwesterly line of Tingley street, distant thereon 17.613 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Tingley street 17.613 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 98 of the Demartini Tract.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to restore sidewalks where sidewalks are now located.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Accepting Offer of Fredericka Meon to Sell for $800, Certain Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26462 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Fredericke Meon, $800—All of Lot 41 of Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as delineated on page 169 in Map Book "E" and "F", records of the City and County of San Francisco, saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 238 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street, and running thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street a distance of 25 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 62.20 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Bernal Cut; thence deflecting 78 deg. 15 min. 00 sec. to the left and running northeasterly along said proposed northwesterly line a distance of 25.535 feet; thence deflecting 101 deg. 45 min. 00 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 67.40 feet to the southwesterly line of Arlington street and point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Accepting Offer of Philip J. Riter et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26463 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the fol-

lowing described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Philip J. Riter and Rosa M. Riter, $30—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Arch street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lot 30 of Block 23 of City Land Association.

David A. Barry and Ethel A. Barry, $60—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Vernon street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet to a point on the easterly line of Vernon street; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of Vernon street 12 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Lots 25 and 26 of Block 23 of City Land Association.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Accepting Offer of Marie Benson to Sell Certain Land Required for the Extension of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26464 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owner of property adjacent to the Market street extension has offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to her property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on Market street extension and the grading and construction of Market street extension to the proposed official grade and the grading and repaving of adjacent streets to said Market street extension; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely:

Marie Benson, $175—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot Two (2) in Block 2658, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above-mentioned person upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Mayor Authorized to Sell at Public Auction Certain Property on Carl Street Required for the Construction of Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26465 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the construction of Duboce Tunnel and known as 80 Carl street and 74 Carl street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses to be removed

by the purchaser within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Leon G. Kahn, 544 Forty-fourth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., 3355 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Liberty Cleaning and Dyeing Works, south side of Duboce avenue, 120 feet east of Valencia street, two tanks of 1500 gallons capacity.

G. L. Nelson, southeast corner of Mallorca way and Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O'Brien & Kiernan Investment Co., south side of Bush street, 100 feet east of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Peninsula Burner & Oil Co., south line of Marina boulevard, 75 feet west of Fillmore street, 600 gallons capacity.

Alvara Ramazzotti, 1452 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. D. Shea, north side of Green street, 150 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Stulsaft Co., 548 Fifth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Liberty Cleaning and Dyeing Works, south side of Duboce avenue, 120 feet east of Valencia street, 100 horsepower.

Tait's Coffee Shop, 2539 Mission street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Benjamin Schnier be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the south line of Cabrillo street, 55 feet west of Forty-seventh avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Benjamin Schnier be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Forty-seventh avenue and Cabrillo street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Sixteenth and Bryant streets and Fifteenth street and Potrero avenue, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privilges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Dog Hospital Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Oscar J. Kron be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a dog hospital in premises at 1386 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Rereferred.

The following resolution, was, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, ordered *rereferred to the Public Utilities Committee meeting Wednesday, November* 24, 1926, 2 *p. m. Notices to all, to-wit*:

Golden Gate Ferry Bus Line.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, is hereby

granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile busses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of Jefferson and Hyde streets, thence over Hyde street to Beach street, thence over Beach street to Columbus avenue, thence over Columbus avenue to Kearny street, thence over Kearny street to Market and Third streets, thence over Third street to Mission street, thence over Mission street to Fifth street to Auto Stage Depot, and thence back again over the same route to the intersection of Jefferson and Hyde streets.

That said permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and to the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a) That nothing herein contained shall be considered to be a franchise granted to said Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, to operate said busses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same all rights and privileges herein granted shall cease and determine.

(b) That said busses will at all times be operated by skilled operators, and in the operation of the same the said Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, will comply with all State and municipal rules, laws and regulations, and save the City and County of San Francisco harmless from any and all liability caused by the operation of any bus or busses as aforesaid.

(c) That on said busses operated under this permit a fare of ten cents for each passenger shall be charged, which said fare when paid on a bus traveling over said route shall not entitle a passenger to any transfer privilege.

(d) That no passengers shall be taken on between terminals; however, said busses may stop en route to allow passengers taken on at the intersection of Hyde and Jefferson streets to disembark at any point before reaching the terminal at Fifth and Mission streets.

(e) That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without the consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit subject to all of the conditions herein contained.

Minority Report, Golden Gate Bus Line.

In connection with the foregoing, the following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 7, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

As a member of the Public Utilities Committee I wish to file a protest against granting a permit to the Golden Gate Ferry Company to operate a bus line in competition with our Municipal Railway through congested streets of the city. Traffic is so heavy at present time it is found hard to regulate it. If necessary an extension could be made to the Municipal Railway to connect with the ferry boats of the Golden Gate Ferry.

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) CHAS. J. POWERS,

Member, Public Utilities Committee.

Confirming Method of Assessment for the Improvement of Vermont and Other Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26466 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Vermont street from Twentieth street to Twenty-second street, including the crossing of Vermont street and Twentieth street, and the intersection of Twenty-first street and Vermont street, and the improvement of Twenty-first street from Vermont street to San Bruno avenue, including the intersection of San Bruno avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete walls, balustrades, concrete stairways, concrete pavement, asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete base and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface; concrete curbs, artificial stone sidewalks, sewers and appurtenances, brick catchbasins with appurtenances and culverts, and brick manholes with appurtenances, as set forth in Resolution of Intention No. 94061 (Second Series), determined and declared by the Board of Public

Works by its Resolution No. 94488 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work on Vermont and Other Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7802, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Vermont street from Twentieth street to Twenty-second street, including the crossing of Vermont street and Twentieth street and the intersection of Twenty-first street and Vermont street, and the improvement of Twenty-first street from Vermont street to San Bruno avenue, including the intersection of San Bruno avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete walls, balustrades, concrete stairways, concrete pavements, asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete base and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface; concrete curbs, artificial stone sidewalks, sewers and appurtenances, brick catchbasins with appurtenances and culverts, and brick manholes with appurtenances.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 94488 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Confirming Method of Assessment for the Improvement of Diamond Street and Other Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26467 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Diamond street between Army street and Twenty-eighth street, including the crossings of Army street, Twenty-seventh street, Duncan street and Twenty-eighth street, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 93807 (Second Series), determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 94480 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was thereupon *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work on Diamond and Other Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7803, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Diamond street between Army street and Twenty-eighth street, including the crossings of Army street, Twenty-seventh street, Duncan street and Twenty-eighth street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above-mentioned crossings; by the construction of the following brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts—3 on the crossing of Army street, 2 on the crossing of Twenty-seventh street, 2 on the crossing of Duncan street and 2 on the crossing of Twenty-eighth street; by the construction of 8-inch ironstone pipe sewers along the center line of Twenty-seventh street and along the center line of Duncan street between the westerly and center lines of Diamond street; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of concrete pavement between Army street and Twenty-seventh street and between Duncan street and Twenty-eighth street; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 94480 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of San Bruno Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26468 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on the 4th day of October, 1926, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 26246 (New Series), which Resolution No. 26246 (New Series) was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regular approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 15th day of October, 1926, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 26246 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of San Bruno avenue as hereinafter described be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon that portion of San Bruno avenue described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly boundary of Lot 310, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 18.343 feet; thence deflecting 18 deg. 52 min. 10 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 90.162 feet; thence deflecting 74 deg. 34 min. 16 sec. to the right and running westerly 13.107 feet to the easterly line of proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence northerly along said proposed easterly line on a curve to the right of 937.500-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 93 deg. 4 min. 33 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 6 deg. 39 min. 17 sec., a distance of 108.888 feet to the northerly boundary of Lot 310, Precita Valley Lands, extended and produced westerly; thence deflecting 87 deg. 15 min. 30 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and

running easterly along said northerly boundary 24.035 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of San Bruno avenue.

Said closing and abandonment of the said portion of San Bruno avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of San Bruno avenue be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, October 4, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, October 15, 1926.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 26246 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that said portion of San Bruno avenue, described in Resolution No. 26246 (New Series), be closed and abandoned. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered, and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of San Bruno avenue as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 26246 (New Series), be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damage, costs and expenses of closing said street described in Resolution No. 26246 (New Series), shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco, as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 26246 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of San Bruno avenue shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of McAllister Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26469 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on the 4th day of October, 1926, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 26245 (New Series), which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 15th day of October, 1926; said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 26245 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of McAllister street be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that portion of McAllister street described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the present westerly terminus and the southerly line of that portion of McAllister street extending westerly from Parker avenue, running thence easterly along the southerly line of McAllister street 2.58 feet to the westerly line of Stanyan street produced southerly from that portion of Stanyan street lying north of McAllister street; thence at right angles northerly 19.67 feet; thence deflecting 172 deg. 31 min. 38 sec. to the left and running southerly a distance of 19.84 feet to the portion of beginning.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred

in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of McAllister street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted — Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, October 4, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, October 15, 1926.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution of Intention No. 26245 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that said portion of McAllister street described in Resolution No. 26245 (New Series), be closed and abandoned. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of McAllister street as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 26245 (New Series) be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said McAllister street described in Resolution of Intention No. 26245 (New Series) shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution of Intention No. 26245 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of McAllister street shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works proceed thereafter as required by law and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26470 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 94417 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted November 1, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed November 4, 1926, to-wit:

Jones Street.

North Point street, 8 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

150 feet southerly from Beach street, 7.75 feet.

Beach street, 2.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Jones street between North Point street and Beach street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Approving Map Showing the Extension of Park Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26471 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 94260 (Second Series), approve a map showing the extension of Park street from Leese street to Mission street; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the extension of Park street from Leese street to Mission street is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7804, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk on the westerly side of Twenty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and two hundred (200) feet north of the north line of Irving street to a line parallel with and two hundred and twenty-five (225) feet north of the north line of Irving street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7805, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office. which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk on the westerly line of Forty-fourth avenue from the northerly line of Irving street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet northerly therefrom, and on the northerly line of Irving street from the westerly line of Forty-fourth avenue to a line parallel with and thirty-two (32) feet six (6) inches westerly therefrom.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7806, Ordinance No (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 28, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be

done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Allison street between Mission and Cross streets; Acadia street between Joost and Mangels avenues; Twenty-first street between Diamond and Eureka streets; Texas street between the southerly line of Twentieth street and the northerly line of Sierra street extended; Twentieth street between Texas and Mississippi streets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7807, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk on the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue from the northerly line of Balboa street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet north of the north line of Balboa street and on the northerly line of Balboa street from the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue to a line parallel with and thirty-two (32) feet six (6) inches easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7808, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public

Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Le Conte avenue between Third and Keith streets*, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 2 brick manholes and 14 Y branches along the center line of Le Conte avenue from a point 20 feet westerly from the westerly line of Third street to the center line of Keith street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7809, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows.

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 28, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respecively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Italy avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7810, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding in-

stallment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *crossings of Funston avenue and Lawton street, and of the crossing of Funston avenue and Moraga street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 2 brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts in the crossing of Funston avenue and Lawton street, and 3 brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts in the crossing of Funston avenue and Moraga street; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers: A 12-inch along the center line of Funston avenue between the center and southerly lines of Lawton street, a 12-inch with accompanying brick manhole along the center line of Funston avenue between the center and southerly lines of Moraga street, a 12-inch along the center line of Lawton street between the center and the westerly lines of Funston avenue, and a 12-inch along the center line of Moraga street between the easterly and westerly lines of Funston avenue; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway of the crossing of Funston avenue and Lawton street; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway of the crossing of Funston avenue and Moraga street, and by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of both the above mentioned crossings.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7811, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Evans avnue between Rankin street and Napoleon street, including the intersection of Evans avenue and Selby street, excepting that portion occupied by the drainage ditch and bridge over same*, by grading to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7812, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part

II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets*, and the improvement of *Forty-fourth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7813, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width on *Liebig street, from San Jose avenue to the County line*, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7814, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Pacheco*

street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7815, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Valley street between Noe and Castro streets*, where not already improved, by resetting the concrete curbs; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, where not already constructed; by the construction of a concrete pavement, where not already constructed, and by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7816, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Munich street between Brazil and Persia avenues*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7817, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Evans avenue between Phelps street and Quint street, including the crossing of Evans avenue and Phelps street*, by grading to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7818, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, shall be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Noe streets*, where not already so improved, and the improvement of *Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets*, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof where not already constructed, and the improvement of the *crossing of Twenty-eighth and Castro streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs on the southeasterly and southwesterly angular corners; by the construction of concrete curbs on the northerly side between the easterly and westerly lines of Castro street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the southeasterly and southwesterly angular corners; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 8 feet in width adjacent to the curb on the northerly side of Twenty-eighth street between the easterly and westerly lines of Castro street; by the construction of 2 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe

sewer along the center line of Castro street between the center and northerly lines of Twenty-eighth street, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7819, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Ward street between Brussels and Girard streets:* On the northerly one-half of Ward street from the westerly line of Girard street to a line 132.5 feet westerly from and parallel with Girard street, and from a line 182.5 feet westerly from and parallel with Girard street to the easterly line of Brussels street; on the southerly one-half of Ward street from the easterly line of Brussels street to a line 32.5 feet easterly from and parallel with Brussels street, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of the *crossing of Girard street and Ward street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers: An 8-inch along the center line of Girard street between the center and northerly lines of Ward street; a 12-inch along the center line of Ward street between the center and the easterly lines of Girard street; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7820, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time

after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Kirkham street between the easterly line of Forty-second avenue and Forty-third avenue, including the crossing of Kirkham street and Forty-second avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 21-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manhole, Y branches and side sewers; by the construction of an 18-inch ironstone pipe sewer; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer; by the construction of 4 catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7821, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Lake View avenue between Plymouth avenue and Brighton avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying Y branches and side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Gilbert Street.

Also, Bill No. 7822, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and forty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 28, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and forty, to read as follows:

Section 940. The width of sidewalks on Gilbert street, the easterly side of, between Bryant street and Brannan street, shall be five (5) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Gilbert street, the westerly side of, between Bryant street and Brannan street, shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Harriet Street.

Also, Bill No. 7823, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, en-

titled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 28, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-nine, to read as follows:

Section 939. The width of sidewalks on Harriet street, the easterly side of, between Bryant street and Brannan street, shall be five (5) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harriet street, the westerly side of, between Bryant street and Brannan street, shall be four (4) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Langton Street.

Also, Bill No. 7824, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 28, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 938. The width of sidewalks on Langton street, the westerly side of, between Harrison street and Bryant street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Langton street, the easterly side of, between Harrison street and a point 270.5 feet southerly from Harrison street, shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Langton street, the easterly side of, between Bryant street and a point 170.5 feet northerly from Bryant street, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Langton street, the easterly side of, between the points 270.5 feet southerly from Harrison street and 270.5 feet northerly from Bryant street, the outside line bounding the curb shall be a reverse curve connecting said points.

The width of sidewalks on Langton street between Bryant street and a point 412.5 feet southerly from Bryant street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Establishing Grades on Fifteenth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7825, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Fifteenth avenue between Wawona street and West Portal avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Fifteenth avenue between Wawona street and West Portal avenue are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed November 5, 1926.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7826, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadways of Baker street between the southerly line of Chestnut street and the northerly line of North Point street, including the crossings of Chestnut street, Francisco street, Bay street and North Point street; Broderick street between the southerly line of Chestnut street and the southerly line of Marina boulevard, including the crossings of Chestnut street, Francisco street, Bay street, North Point street, Beach street and Jefferson street; Divisadero street between Chestnut street and the Marina boulevard, including the crossings of Francisco street, Bay street, North Point street, Beach street and Jefferson street; Chestnut street between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Francisco street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and be-

tween Broderick street and Baker street; Bay street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; North Point street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Beach street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street, between Broderick street and Baker street, between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila street and Pierce street, between Pierce street and Mallorca way, between Cervantes boulevard and Retiro way and between Retiro way and Fillmore street; Jefferson street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Marina boulevard between Fillmore street and Baker street, including the intersections of Fillmore street, Avila street, Casa way, Cervantes boulevard, Scott street, Divisadero street, Broderick street and Baker street; Scott street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, including the crossing of Francisco street and the intersections of Bay street, Capra way, North Point street, Beach street, Prado street, Jefferson street and Cervantes boulevard; Avila street between Chestnut street and Prado street, including the crossings of Alhambra street, Capra way, Beach street and the intersection of Prado street, and between Cervantes boulevard and Marina boulevard, and the intersection of Rico way; Pierce street between Chestnut street and Beach street, including the crossings of Pierce street and Alhambra street and Pierce street and Capra way and the intersection of Toledo way and the intersection of Beach street; Mallorca way between Chestnut street and Beach street, including the crossing of Alhambra street, the intersection of Toledo way, the intersection of Capra way, the intersection of Beach street; Fillmore street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, including the intersection of Cervantes boulevard, the intersection of Beach street and the intersection of Retiro way; Toledo way between Mallorca way and Pierce street; Alhambra street between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila street and Pierce street, between Pierce street and Mallorca way and between Mallorca way and Cervantes boulevard; Capra way between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila street and Pierce street and between Pierce street and Mallorca way; Cervantes boulevard between Scott street and Fillmore street, including the crossing of Cervantes boulevard and Prado street and Avila street, Beach street and Mallorca way, and the intersection of Alhambra street; Prado street between Scott street and Cervantes boulevard; Rico way between Avila street and Retiro way; Casa way between Marina boulevard and Retiro way; Retiro way between Beach street and Fillmore street, including the intersection of Beach street, the intersection of Rico way and the intersection of Casa way.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Artitle VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Baker street between the southerly line of Chestnut street and the northerly line of North Point street, including the crossings of Chestnut street, Francisco street, Bay street and North Point street; Broderick street between the southerly line of Chestnut street and the southerly line of Marina boulevard, including the crossings of Chestnut street, Francisco street, Bay street, North Point street, Beach street and Jefferson street; Divisadero street between Chestnut street and the Marina boulevard, including the crossings of Francisco street, Bay street, North Point street, Beach street and Jefferson street; Chestnut street between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Francisco street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Bay street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Brod-

erick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; North Point street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Beach street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street, between Broderick street and Baker street, between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila street and Pierce street, between Pierce street and Mallorca way, between Cervantes boulevard and Retiro way and between Retiro way and Fillmore street; Jefferson street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Marina boulevard between Fillmore street and Baker street, including the intersections of Fillmore street, Avila street, Casa way, Cervantes boulevard, Scott street, Divisadero street, Broderick street and Baker street; Scott street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, including the crossing of Francisco street and the intersections of Bay street, Capra way, North Point street, Beach street, Prado street, Jefferson street and Cervantes boulevard; Avila street between Chestnut street and Prado street, including the crossings of Alhambra street, Capra way, Beach street and the intersection of Prado street, and between Cervantes boulevard and Marina boulevard, and the intersection of Rico way; Pierce street between Chestnut street and Beach street, including the crossings of Pierce street and Alhambra street and Pierce street and Capra way and the intersection of Toledo way and the intersection of Beach street; Mallorca way between Chestnut street and Beach street, including the crossing of Alhambra street, the intersection of Toledo way, the intersection of Capra way, the intersection of Beach street; Fillmore street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, including the intersection of Cervantes boulevard, the intersection of Beach street and the intersection of Retiro way; Toledo way between Mallorca way and Pierce street; Alhambra street between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila street and Pierce street, between Pierce street and Mallorca way and between Mallorca way and Cervantes boulevard; Caura way between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila stret and Pierce street and between Pierce stret and Mallorca way; Cervantes boulevard between Scott street and Fillmore street, including the crosing of Cervantes boulevard and Prado street and Avila street, Beach street and Mallorca way, and the intersection of Alhambra street; Prado street between Scott street and Cervantes boulevard; Rico way between Avila street and Retiro way; Casa way between Marina boulevard and Retiro way; Retiro way between Beach street and Fillmore street, including the intersection of Beach street, the intersection of Rico way and tne intersection of Casa way.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26472 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 94453 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted November 3, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed November 5, 1926, to-wit:

The grades on Goettingen street between a line parallel with Olmstead street and 125 feet southerly therefrom, and the northerly line of Ordway street, and on Mansell street between the westerly line of Brussels street and the easterly line of Somerset street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26473 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after November 9, 1926, within

which to complete the contract for the improvement of Howard street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets, under public contract.

The work is well under way, and the recent delay has been caused on account of settlement of trenches. This is the fourth extension.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26474 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after November 4, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Mangels avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets, under public contract.

The work is well under way, the grading, sewering and curbing having been completed, and this third extension is requested in order to allow the fill to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26475 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Keystone Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after November 28, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Rutland street between Arleta and Harkness avenues, under public contract.

The work is well under way, and this first extension of time is recommended on account of a possible delay due to weather conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26476 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after November 23, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Peralta avenue between York street and Holladay avenue, etc., under public contract.

The work is progressing, and additional time is required to complete the contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Approving Map of Public Alley, Sunset Block 962.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26477 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 94259 (Second Series), approve a map showing the opening of a public alley in Sunset Block 962; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the opening of a public alley in Sunset Block 962 is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Accepting Deed for Land, Carl G. Larsen and Heyman Brothers.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26478 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 7th day of October, 1926, between Carl G. Larsen and Heyman Bros., a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying that certain piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and bounded and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Pacheo street, distant thereon 112 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Seventeenth avenue; thence westerly along said northerly line 15 feet; thence at right angles northerly 600 feet to the southerly line of Ortega street; thence easterly along said southerly line 15 feet; thence at right angles southerly 600 feet to the northerly line of Pacheco street and the point of beginning.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers,

Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Award of Contract, Turkeys.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26479 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, on bid submitted November 15, 1926 (Proposal No. 256), for furnishing the following, viz.: 3985 pounds of turkey required by public institutions for Thanksgiving, at $0.479 per pound.

Resolved, That no bond be required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Award of Contract, Galvanized Iron Street Cans.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26480 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to W. R. Ames Company on bid submitted November 15, 1926 (Proposal No. 260), for furnishing the following, viz.: 100 galvanized iron street cans for Department of Public Works, at $5.48 each.

Resolved, That said contractor shall furnish a bond in the sum of $100 for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Award of Contract, Pumping Engines and Hose Wagons.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26481 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Mack-International Motor Truck Corporation on alternate bid No. 2, submitted August 30, 1926 (Proposal No. 232), for furnishing the following, viz.: 2 gasoline motor driven combined pumping engines and hose wagons, equipped with steel wheels mounted with .36 by 8 single pneumatic tires, at $10,450 each.

Resolved, That said contractor shall furnish a bond in the sum of $2,000 for the faithful performance of said contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Award of Contract, Nurses' Uniforms, San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26482 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on bids submitted November 15, 1926 (Proposal No. 258), as follows, viz.:

Nurses' Uniforms for S. F. Hospital.

To Doctors & Nurses Outfitting Co., Inc., for furnishing 90 dresses, white; Burton's Irish poplin; made to measure; City sample. Price, $5.95 each.

To Hastings Clothing Co. for furnishing 50 capes, cloth, cadet blue; turkey red flannel lined; 3-gore; sizes 36, 38 and 40; on sample of material submitted, No. 2505 cloth cadet blue and No. 625 flannel lining. Price, $13.75 each.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows, viz.:

Doctors & Nurses Outfitting Co., amount of bond, $100; Hastings Clothing Co., amount of bond, $100.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED ON BY A COMMITTEE.

Notre Dame Football Team Invited to San Francisco.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26483 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, St. Mary's College football team has invited the famous

team of Notre Dame University, of South Bend, Indiana, to visit San Francisco for the purpose of holding a football contest in this city or its vicinity; and

Whereas, such a game would give the followers of football in the Bay region an exceptional opportunity of seeing two of the great football machines of the day in vivid contest; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be directed to communicate with the president of Notre Dame University, seconding the invitation already extended and assuring the football team of said university a hearty welcome should the invitation be accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Public Welfare Committee extend an invitation to the Notre Dame team, take of their reception and make all necessary arrangements.

Motion *carried unanimously.*

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Marks and *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance.

Bill No. 7827, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map is hereby changed so as to place in the Commercial District, instead of in the First Residential District, the following described area:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue and the easterly line of Beverly street, if produced southerly, and running thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue to the easterly line of a twenty (20) foot strip of land belonging to the Spring Valley Water Company; thence southeasterly along said easterly line of said twenty (20) foot strip of land to a point distant 100 feet at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue; thence southeasterly parallel to and distant 100 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue to the easterly line of Beverly street, if produced and extended southerly; thence northerly along the easterly line of Beverly street, if produced and extended southerly, to the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue and the point of beginning.

Publicity Committee to Investigate Activities of the California Development Association.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26484 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the California Development Association, having its executive offices in the Ferry Building, in the City and County of San Francisco, has been in active existence for five years last past as the successor organization to the California Development Board, the California Industries Association, the California State Board of Trade, the Manufacturers and Producers' Association of San Francisco, the California Promotion Committee and the Home Industry League of California; and

Whereas, it has come to our knowledge that said California Development Association devotes itself to the development, expansion, exploitation and furtherance of the business and industrial welfare of the City and County of San Francisco, and maintains an industrial research department for this purpose; and

Whereas, said California Development Association maintains in the City and County of San Francisco a large and efficient staff of economic engineers and experts devoted to the study and investigation of conditions in the City and County of San Francisco, the results of whose work is available for use by said City and County; and

Whereas, said California Development Association has requested the Publicity and Advertising Committee of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for an appropriation of money to be used by said California Development Association in the continuation of its endeavors for the use and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Publicity and Advertising Committee of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and said committee is hereby instructed to conduct an investigation of said California Development Association to the end that said committee may determine whether or not an appropriation, as requested by said California Development Association, should be recommended by said committee to the said Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Elimination of Traffic Obstructions.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there exists considerable danger to pedestrians and motorists due to shrubbery, trees and other obstructions, which in some cases obstruct and in others completely deprive motorists of any chance of a clear view of intersections; be it therefore

Resolved, That the Streets Committee is requested to confer with the Board of Public Works and police officials to the end that all such obstructions be forthwith removed and all departments cooperate against repetition of this nuisance.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Telephonic Police Service.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is essential that when needed, especially during the night hours, and when crime is being committed, that telephonic connection with the Police Department be made most expeditious; be it therefore

Resolved, That the Police and Telephone Committees consider the possibility of arrangements with the Telephone Company so that citizens needing the services of the police, shall merely have to ask for Police Department and be connected with the nearest station.

Referred to Telephone and Police Committees jointly.

RECESS.

At 12:30 p. m., Supervisor Kent moved that the Board take a recess until 2 p. m.

Motion *carried*.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at the hour of 2:15 p. m.

ROLL CALL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Wiley Traffic Signal.

The following resolution was taken up:

Appropriation, $10,000, Wiley Traffic Signals.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals, Installation of, etc," Budget Item No. 69, for the installation of traffic signals, known as the Wiley Signal; the locations for the installation of said signals to be directed by the Police Department.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved the passage to print of the foregoing resolution, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. M. Matson, representing the McClintock San Francisco Bureau Traffic Survey, was granted the privilege of the floor with reference to the survey that is now being conducted by the Police Department to determine necessary installations of traffic devices.

Amendments.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Todd, moved to amend the foregoing resolution by changing the first line, striking out "$10,000" and inserting in lieu thereof $5,000, and by adding to the resolution an appropriation in the sum of $5,000 out of "Traffic Survey, etc.," Budget Item No. 69, for installing signal device known as the Acme Signal.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment to the amendment that the resolution be changed by striking out the words "Known as Wiley

Signal," and by inserting in lieu thereof the words "To be selected by the Traffic Committee of this Board."

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Gallagher's amendment to the amendment and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Todd—4.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Whereupon, the roll being called on Supervisor Shannon's amendment, the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Shannon, Todd—5.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the passage to print of the resolution and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Award of Contract, Water Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26485 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, issue of January 1, 1925, to the amount of $2,400,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 15th day of November, 1926; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of Peirce, Fair & Co., Guaranty Company of New York, Remick, Hodges & Co., R. L. Day & Co., Kean, Taylor & Co., H. L. Allen & Co. and First Wisconsin Co., for said $2,400,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, comprising sixty bonds of $1,000 denomination of each year's maturity, 1930 to 1969, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Peirce, Fair & Co., Guaranty Company of New York, Remick, Hodges & Co., R. L. Day & Co., Kean, Taylor & Co., H. L. Allen & Co. and First Wisconsin Co. for the price bid therefor, to-wit: $2,582,380.80 and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—10 A. M.

Airport Hearing.

Consideration of matters relating to the selection of an airport site for San Francisco.

Supervisors' Inspection Trip, Airport Sites.

Supervisor Gallagher presented the following resolution and moved its adoption:

Resolution No. 26487 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco desires to establish its Airport, considering flying conditions necessary to its usability as near the business center of San Francisco as possible to permit it to advantageously compete with airports of other Bay communities for San Francisco business and with other forms of transportation; and

Whereas, there is a difference of opinion among aviators as to flying conditions at the various proposed sites on the Peninsula, some of whom are acquainted with the proposed sites by having flown over them, and others who have actually used the sites for flying; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco make inspection trips over the various sites, including the Redwood City Field, have the various aviators present on these trips and have landing and take-off tests made where possible to demonstrate the points of view of the aviators, and also to investigate and report on the distances from San Francisco of the several sites and the time re-

quired to transport passengers and merchandise thereto and therefrom.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved to amend resolution by striking out the word "Peninsula" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "Bay District".

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the resolution as amended was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board now resolve itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing matters pertaining to proposed Airport Site for Francisco.

So ordered.

Thereupon, his Honor the Mayor retired and Supervisor Kent was called to the chair.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the chairman of the Special Airport Committee be authorized to appoint a sub-committee to inquire into the possibilities of Bay Farm Island as a site for an airport for San Francisco, and merely to obtain some sort of information as to options offered by the other sites heretofore presented; and also to look into the matter of joint control by other bay cities.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved as an amendment that the foregoing motion be referred to the original Airport Committee, consisting of the Streets Committee, Public Utilities Committee, Welfare Committee and Finance Committee.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Todd —5.

Privilege of the Floor.

Louis E. Haas, Assistant Manager of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, was granted the privilege of the floor, and addressed the Board in the matter pending. He read the following report of the Airport Affairs Committee of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, which, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, was ordered filed and copies sent to each member of the Board, to-wit:

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.

November 22, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

A meeting was held on November 12, at which were present many of the commercial aviators, representatives of the Army and Navy, and Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri and Schmidt. At this meeting it was determined that an appropriate committee of practical men be appointed to designate what were the necessary requirements for an airport, and I am handing to you herewith this report, which is entirely self-explanatory.

We are very much concerned in the necessity for as early a decision as possible in regard to the selection of an airport for San Francisco.

We believe it is essential from the standpoint of convenience and for many reasons that the airport should be located on the San Francisco side of the Bay, adequate to the development of collateral aerial industries.

The time is not far distant when every community will have its landing field and its airport and these fields will be located as favorably as possible. Some will be better than others and we must take the natural conditions which prevail in San Francisco and make the best of them.

We are very much concerned in the thought which has been expressed that the San Francisco airport should go across the Bay. We do not believe it is appropriate for the San Francisco airport to be so located that it will require two or three kinds of transportation to bring air-borne passengers and

freight to San Francisco from the airport.

It is at once apparent that the conveniences in transportation by motor over the new Bay Shore highway to the peninsula sites will enable the transport of the airport traffic at a minimum of handling risk and maximum of convenience. Whereas any site across the Bay would necessitate some sort of ferrying across the Bay and in our opinion would be entirely inappropriate as a landing field for the benefit of San Francisco.

We hope the Board of Supervisors will, with the accompanying plans for an airport, be able to make a selection somewhere on the west side of the Bay on the same mainland as is San Francisco, and that any site requiring water transportation from the airport to San Francisco will be excluded from present consideration.

We hope the Board of Supervisors will at once set about to make this selection of the San Francisco airport on the best available site on the west Bay side.

The Bay Farm Island site may in all ways well serve the East Bay district as an airport and there will in the course of time always be certain contacts and communications with the various airports in the district just as there are between the railroad shops and other locations where like industries exist, but we are very strong in the belief that the airport for San Francisco should be located on the San Francisco side of the Bay.

As to the particular sites available on the Peninsula, we believe there are more than one which will meet requirements, and we hope your Board will, with appropriate consideration, soon arrive at a decision in the much-needed San Francisco airport location.

Very truly yours,

CLAY MILLER,
President.

Report of Committee Appointed by the Aerial Affairs Committee of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce to Go Into the Requirements of an Airport for San Francisco.

A municipal airport holds the same relative position and answers the same needs, for aerial transportation, as applies to harbors, docks and wharves for water transport. It is not possible for steamship operators to purchase harbors or dock facilities, nor is it expected of them. Since a city will generally benefit from airplane travel, it is just as important that it provide the facilities for that travel as to provide streets for all other travel.

An airport, as a municipally owned and operated adjunct, should provide enough area for the proper handling of as many aircraft as are required to furnish adequate transportation to the community. It should have as many hangars as will house all aircraft making that airport the home port or base of activities. It should have machine shop, paint and dope room, woodworking equipment and such other equipment as will take care of emergency as well as regular requirements for the maintenance of base planes and transients. It should provide facilities for the individual flyer, and a general airport will naturally provide these.

Potential Revenues.

It is anticipated that charges will be made for the use of the airport facilities on somewhat the same base as is charged for the use of docking and wharfing. Fleet operators basing at the airport would be charged for as much space as they might occupy regularly, or on the basis of the number of the passengers landed or pounds of merchandise handled through the airport. It is preferable to make charges on the basis of the hangar space occupied. Fleet operators would in most cases occupy a hangar to themselves, and individual flyers who own one ship could be housed much the same as autos are handled in a garage.

Corporations or Organizations That Will Use the Airport.

Pacific Air Transport Company—This operates from Seattle to Los Angeles.

Transcontinental Air Mail—To be presently operated by contract carrier. (The Government contemplates giving up this line very shortly; bids have been asked for it now.)

Aero Coast Express, Inc.—This company contemplates operating from San Francisco to Del Monte and from San Francisco to Portland, and expects to commence operating in sixty days.

Additional probable users are Walter T. Varney, Western Air Express, and privately owned planes used for personal service, also as taxis.

Airport Requirements.

Minimum dimensions for municipal airport for San Francisco: Length of field, 5000 feet; width of field, 3000 feet. (Note: These dimensions are absolute minimum.) Grade of field not exceeding 1½ per cent. Surface: Tough all-year grass, which should be trimmed below 6 inches in height. Drained

naturally or so artificially drained that pools of water will not accumulate even after the hardest rain. Longer axis parallel direction of prevailing wind.

Obstacles.

High-power wires, telegraph wires and buildings more than one-story high should not be within 500 feet of either of the longer sides of the field. This to avoid danger.

General Remarks.

The traffic in and out of a commercial airport will be mainly that of cargo or passenger airplanes. These planes are large. They will be heavily loaded. In view of these facts these planes must take-off and must land against the wind. In the take-off they must have sufficient runway that by the time they reach the end of the flying field they will have ample altitude in order to turn safely and land again on the field should engine trouble develop. This is the reason that a minimum of 5000 feet is absolutely essential.

Fog.

The field must be clear from fog during the hours in which normal traffic is expected. Fog is even more difficult to see through when flying at one hundred miles an hour than it is when traveling in an automobile at twenty-five miles an hour. The term "fog" herein used applies to fog or low clouds and includes ground fog. It applies to all elevations up to five hundred feet in the vicinity of the airdrome. It applies to elevations greater than five hundred feet where fog will prevent an incoming pilot from locating the airdrome.

Wind Currents.

Landing field should not be located at or near irregularly shaped hills over which prevailing winds blow before reaching the field. The irregular shape of the hills causes irregular flow of wind currents over the field. This is very dangerous.

Hydroplanes.

It is desirous that a portion of the flying field be located on water, in order that the field may be used by hydroplanes. This is not essential—simply desirable. Hydroplanes will have to find a base from which to operate from a maintenance point of view. From a purely traffic point of view they can land most anywhere on the Bay to dispatch and take on passengers or cargo.

Dated, San Francisco, California, November 19, 1926.

Respectfully submitted,

H. B. CLAGETT.
S. V. GRAHAM.
A. J. LOWREY.
ROSS L. GARDNER
FRANK A. FLYNN.

Communications Referred to Special Airport Committee.

The following were read by the Clerk and *referred to Special Airport Committee*:

San Mateo Chamber of Commerce,
San Mateo, California.

November 22, 1926.

To the Mayor and Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Honorable Sirs:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has under consideration the location beyond its city limits of a municipal airport;

And whereas, the San Mateo Chamber of Commerce, fully cognizant of the many advantages the San Mateo County peninsula offers for the location there of such an airport, desires that their advantages be fully demonstrated to said Board of Supervisors;

Be it therefore resolved, that the San Mateo Chamber of Commerce does hereby invite the Mayor and Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, representatives of the press, and a representative of each of the peninsula sites under consideration, on Wednesday, December first, or any other day that may be more convenient to members of the Board, to visit all the proposed sites on the peninsula. Comfortable motor coaches will leave the City Hall of San Francisco at 10 o'clock a. m., all the sites will be visited, luncheon will be served in San Mateo, and coaches will return to the City Hall promptly at 2 o'clock p. m.

Respectfully presented,

SAN MATEO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.
H. J. BETTELHEIM, President.
ELIZABETH HOLE. Secretary.

MAYOR'S OFFICE,
Alameda, California.

November 13, 1926.

To the Honorable, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

It has been brought to our attention that your Honorable Body is considering Bay Farm Island as the possible location of an airport for the City and County of San Francisco.

On behalf of the City of Alameda, I wish to extend to you a cordial invitation to inspect the proposed site in this city. If you will notify us when you wish to inspect this site, the City Council will be pleased to have a committee representing it join with our Chamber of Com-

merce in extending every courtesy to you.

Very truly yours
FRANK OTIS,
Mayor of Alameda.

His Honor Mayor Rolph, commenting on the proposed motion and suggestion contained in the foregoing report of the Chamber of Commerce, declared that it would be unfortunate indeed if San Francisco should spend $100,000 on Bay Farm Island in Alameda County as a site for an airport for San Francisco. He declared it to be a real estate scheme and urged instead that an effort be made to locate on the Peninsula, the future greater San Francisco.

Supervisor Roncovieri asked if the original Airport Committee was still in existence and was informed by the Chair in the affirmative.

Supervisor Marks moved that Supervisor Kent be made chairman of the Joint Committee in lieu of Supervisor Welch, resigned.

So ordered.

Supervisor Schmidt thereupon moved that further hearing be continued until 2 p. m., Special Order, next Monday.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported progress.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, February 7, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 48

Monday, November 29, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 1926, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 29, 1926, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 13, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Chief of Police Acknowledges Resolution of Appreciation for Good Work of His Department.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from D. J. O'Brien, Chief of Police, acknowledging receipt of Resolution No. 26432 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors, commending members of the department on the recent capture of Joe Tanko and the auto bandits, and expressing the thought that it is gratifying to know that the Board of Supervisors appreciates the good work done by these men.

Ordered *filed*.

Letter of Appreciation.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Edith Gleeson Schorning, acknowledging on behalf of her father, Captain Gleeson, of the traffic squad, the expression of sympathy by the Board of Supervisors during his recent illness, and stating that Captain Gleeson appreciates the kind thoughts and good wishes of the Board for his speedy recovery.

Ordered *filed*.

Status of Evaluation Proceedings for Hyrdoelectric Distributing System.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from City Attorney John J. O'Toole, transmitting report on status of the evaluation proceedings now pending of the properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Great Western Power Company now pending before the Railroad Commission, together with a report of John J. Dailey as to when the two evaluation cases will be submitted for decision and also his estimate of the probable additional cost to the City.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Charter Amendment, Election Results.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Registrar of Voters, transmitting statement of votes cast on Charter amendments at the election of November 2, 1926.

Ordered *filed*.

San Francisco Encourages "Made in California" Products.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

November 27, 1926.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In a report read at the annual meeting of the Purchasing Agents Association of Northern California, November 18, 1926, re "Made in California" products, the Purchaser of Supplies referred specifically to San Francisco's official encouragement, viz.:

Requests are frequently received asking consideration for "Made in California" products when bids are opened for the various commodities purchased for use of the City departments.

While under our organic law (the Charter) no preferential is permis-

sible to local bidders, it has been the endeavor of the Purchaser of Supplies, with the hearty cooperation of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, to encourage local competition to the benefit of local trade.

The bureau has a fixed desire to help local industries and to illustrate, has circularized the Chamber of Commerce, Building Trades Council, Labor Council and the California Development Association, so that these quasi public organizations might assist through the medium of their membership to sell our idea to local merchants.

The result has been gratifying as to price, quality of goods offered and service and we now have of record as being manufactured or produced in San Francisco and in California, the following commodities.

A list of approximately 120 items or groups of items was included in the report, copy of which was sent to the California Development Association and this answer returned:

"Mr. Leonard S. Leavy, Purchaser of Supplies.

Dear Mr. Leavy:

This will acknowledge with many thanks your kind favor of the 16th outlining the very fine support your department has given to San Francisco and California payrolls. You have set an excellent example which may be profitably followed by the other purchasing agents, and we hope your report will be unanimously adopted by the Northern California Purchasing Agents Association.

We sincerely appreciate your efforts in this important movement.

(Signed)

CHAS. S. KNIGHT,
Director, Industrial Department."

The chairman of the Supplies Committee submits this for information of the Board, stating that the Supplies Committee has done everything in its power to encourage local industry and to increase local payrolls.

JOHN B. BADARACCO,
Chairman, Supplies Committee, Board of Supervisors.

Read and ordered *spread in Journal.*

Saving on School Furniture.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Bureau of Supplies.
November 27, 1926.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Under Proposal No. 237 there was requested for the School Department the following: 300 office chairs, 1500 library chairs, 5000 assembly folding chairs in sets of two with five stretchers.

By Resolution No. 26418 (New Series), adopted November 8, 1926, and approved by the Mayor November 16, 1926, the bids theretofore submitted were rejected and the Purchaser of Supplies authorized to purchase all of the above in the open market.

Please be informed that in conformity with said resolution I have this day purchased in the open market the following: 300 office chairs, from Hall, Glockler & Prost, at $4.89 each, being the lowest regular bid under Proposal No. 237, with the added protection that the corner blocks are to be glued and screwed. 1500 library chairs, from Rucker-Fuller Desk Company, at $4.25 each. This chair to be exactly as per city sample, and was bid in under Proposal No. 237 by another firm at $4.85 each. The differential in the open market purchase is represented by a saving of $900. 5000 assembly folding chairs, in sets of two, from C. F. Weber & Co., at $3.35 each. This is a folding chair, substantially better than C. F. Weber & Co.'s chair bid in at $3.65 under Proposal No. 237, and the equal of other chairs bid in at $3.70 and $3.90 each. The net saving on this item is 30 cents each, or $1,500.

Finally, for your further information, you will please note that the aggregate saving on this purchase is $2,400, or approximately 10 per cent.

Respectfully submitted,
LEONARD S. LEAVY,
Purchaser of Supplies.

Ordered spread in the Journal.

Commendation of Detective Sergeants Van Matre and Roney.

The following were presented, read by the Clerk and *ordered spread in the Journal.*

November 23, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

At the direction of the Mayor I am sending you herewith, for the official records of the City and County of San Francisco a copy of Resolution No. 131, adopted by the City Council of the City of Sacramento on November 18, 1926, in commendation of Detective Sergeants Van Matre and Roney of the San Francisco Police Department.

Very sincerely yours,

WM. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

City of Sacramento, State of California.

Resolution No. 131, City Council, November 18, 1926, in honor of the valorous services of Sergeants Van Matre and Roney of the San Francisco Police Department.

Whereas, Sacramento was unfortunately one of the cities infested with the ruthless, lawless and murderous perpetrations of one of the State's most defiant desperadoes, "Tanko"; and

Whereas, this city has been deeply concerned in the capture and award of justice to this and his allied fugitive from incarceration, because of the atrocious murder of one of Sacramento's worthy citizens and merchants, namely, Harry J. Litzberg, the personal friend and classmate of the Mayor and other members of the Sacramento City Council; and

Whereas, after many months' search over the State and country, through ingenious detection by members of the San Francisco Police Department the long-sought lawbreaker was successfully traced, fearlessly encountered, and his notorious activities forever terminated by Sergeants Vernon Van Matre and Earl Roney, who barely escaped fatality; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Council of the City of Sacramento, gratified with the cessation of this extended series of abhorrent outrages, recognizes and highly commends the unusual valor and courage displayed by Sergeants Van Matre and Roney, and on behalf of the city extends the thanks of our people to these detectives for their meritorious services; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City of Sacramento hereby tenders its congratulations to Mayor Rolph and the Board of Supervisors of the City of San Francisco upon the employment of such efficient officers, through which Board the City Clerk is hereby directed to transmit a copy hereof to Sergeants Van Matre and Roney with best wishes for their speedy convalescence.

I hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. 131 of the City of Sacramento, adopted by the City Council on date of November 18, 1926.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the City of Sacramento to be hereunto affixed this 20th day of November, 1926.

(Seal) H. G. DENTON,
City Clerk of the City of Sacramento.

The Mayor stated that Mr. Earl Roney is gaining very rapidly since his operation.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden the Clerk was directed to prepare an appropriate resolution wishing speedy recovery and to send it to Mr. Roney.

At 11:15 a. m. his Honor Mayor Rolph retired, and, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, Supervisor McSheehy was called upon to preside.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing four (4) miles of 3-0 round hard drawn copper trolley wire for the Municipal Railway; also for furnishing 600 pairs of women's shoes for the Relief Home.

Referred to the Supplies Committee.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $68,992.81, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(1) R. W. Kinney Co., seventh payment, wrought steel pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $2,526.74.

(2) Sonora Meat Market, meats furnished Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 26, 1926), $896.44.

(3) Hales & Symons, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 20, 1926), $1,358.23.

(4) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 19, 1926), $1,278.05.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber,

Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 19, 1926), $698.78.

(6) Sonora Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 20, 1926), $577.15.

(7) United States Steel Products Co., electric cable, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 19, 1926), $591.69.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(8) Anna Goffinet, compromise agreement by City Attorney for account of injuries received (claim dated Nov. 20, 1926), $2,500.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(9) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for side sewers (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $1,046.22.

Special School Tax.

(10) O. Monson, seventh payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $17,362.50.

(11) Vogt & Davidson, final payment, general construction of additional rooms to Spring Valley School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $5,205.

County Road Fund.

(12) Municipal Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Stanyan street, Fulton to McAllister streets (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $984.74.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(13) Gunn Furniture Co., table tops for High School of Commerce (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $587.50.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(14) Heywood-Wakefield Co., desk chairs for Sanchez School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $2,627.70.

(15) Burnham Plumbing Co., sprinkler system, Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,752.33.

(16) John Reid, Jr., sixteenth payment, architectural service for new Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,589.96.

(17) Gilley-Schmid Co., final payment, plumbing, etc., for Dudley Stone School (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $3,958.50.

(18) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction of Dudley Stone School (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $10,000.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(19) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing work for Children Ward, southeast wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $1,157.92.

Park Fund.

(20) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $1,212.81.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(21) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $845.47.

(22) Overland Freight Transfer Co., moving of voting machines (claim dated Nov. 16, 1926), $2,379.30.

(23) School Repairs, Budget Item No. 1, to reimburse for stock withdrawals for account San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 20, 1926), $892.98.

(24) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $734.03.

(25) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $2,696.45.

(26) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $3,887.70.

(27) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 18, 1926), $2,945.55.

(28) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Nov. 18, 1926), $725.08.

(29) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $6,200.

(30) Eaton & Smith, City's liability for improvement of Worcester avenue, Randolph street to Orizaba avenue (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $6,357.06.

(31) T. M. Gallagher, reconstruction of Silver avenue and Madrid street (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $693.68.

(32) M. J. Treacy, improvement of Harrison street between Army street and Precita avenue (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $2,696.

(33) Louis T. Samuels, for rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, for month ending Dec. 4, 1926, $1,186.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(34) O. Monson, sixth payment, general construction of West Portal School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $15,843.75.

(35) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for addition to Guadalupe School (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $2,743.26.

(Supervisor Powers requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on item 33.)

Authorizations for Payment for Improvement Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund (appropriations heretofore made for widening of San Jose avenue) in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the widening of San Jose avenue, to-wit:

(1) To Emilia and Joseph Toboni, for property commencing at point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 76.275 feet southwesterly from southwesterly line of Tingley street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26460 (New Series). (Claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $2,227.

(2) To L. B. and Minnie M. Baumgartner, for property beginning at point of intersection of southwesterly line of Tingley street with southwesterly line of San Jose avenue, running thence southwesterly on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet; thence southeasterly 17.544 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26461 (New Series). (Claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $415.

Authorization, $800, Payment to Fredericke Meon for Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $800 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to Fredericke Meon; being payment for property in Lot 41 of Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as delineated on page 169 of Map Book "E" and "F"; and as per description by Resolution No. 26462 (New Series); required for the opening of Bernal Cut. (Claim dated Nov. 24, 1926.)

Appropriating $1,620 Additional Compensation for Twelve Members of the Fire Department Advanced to Lieutenancy.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,620 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of Appropriation 41-A (Fire Department Personal Services), to provide additional compensation from January 1, 1927, to June 30, 1927, for 12 Fire Department members advanced to the possition of lieutenants.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26488 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Charles Watters and Mary Watters, $2,600—Lot 10, Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Hind Building Co., $800—Lot 1, Block 6686, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for the Widening and Extension of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26489 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Robert Blakely and Vera Blakely, $3,125—Being all of Lots 16 and 30 in Block 2659, according to the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

The two buildings now existing on the herein described property are to be retained by Robert Blakely and Vera Blakely, and to be removed by them within sixty (60) days from date of deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Accepting Offer of John Marks to Sell for $2,055 Certain Property Required for the Extension of Mt. Vernon Avenue and to Release the City of All Claims for Damages in the Establishment of Grades on Said Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26490 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owner of property adjacent to the extension of Mt. Vernon avenue has offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to his property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the extension of Mt. Vernon avenue and the grading and construction of Mt. Vernon avenue extension to the proposed official grade and the grading and repaving of adjacent streets to said Mt. Vernon avenue extension.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely:

John Marks, $2,055—Being all of Lot No. 5 in Block "B," according to map entitled "Lakeview, a portion of Rancho San Miguel," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, August 11, 1890, and recorded in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, pages 138 and 139.

Saving and excepting the following described parcel:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Harold avenue, distant thereon 697.47 feet southerly from the southerly line of Bruce avenue, said point being on the northerly line of Grafton avenue extended and produced easterly, and running thence easterly at right angles with Harold avenue and along the northerly line of Grafton avenue extended and produced easterly a distance of 112.666 feet to the westerly line of Getz street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Getz street 2.649 feet to the southerly boundary of Lot 5, Block "B", Lakeview, according to map hereinafter referred to; thence westerly along said southerly boundary 111.882 feet to the easterly line of Harold avenue; thence at right angles northerly along said line of Harold avenue 2.53 feet to the point of commencement.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above mentioned person, upon receipt of the proper releases.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Rescinding Set-back Line Establishment on the Southerly Side of Garfield Street Between Beverly Street and Orizaba Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7828, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing such portions of Ordinance No. 6371 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 7012 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along portions of Garfield street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6371 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Garfield street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, and so much of Ordinance No. 7012 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the southerly side of Garfield street between Beverly street and Orizaba avenue, be and the same are hereby repealed. As recommended by the City Planning Commission November 9, 1926.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Block Bounded by Army and Andrews Streets and San Bruno Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 7829, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Army street, Andrew street and San Bruno avenue in the Heavy Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Southwest Corner of Laidley Street and Roanoke Street.

Also, Bill No. 7830, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Laidley street and Roanoke street, for a distance of 50 feet on Roanoke street and 113 feet on Laidley street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Intention to Establish Set-back Lines on the Easterly Side of Thirty-second Avenue at El Camino Del Mar Rescinded.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26491 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 25561 (New Series), being Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 121, as declares it to be the intention to establish set-back lines along the easterly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at El Camino del Mar and running thence southerly 332.48 feet, be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Anderson & Rowe, west side of Steiner street, 80 feet north of Union street, 3000 gallons capacity.

E. A. Connely, Inc., corner of Retiro way and Casa way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Fuller, northeast corner of

Broadway and Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. L. Harrigan, south side of Vallejo street, 75 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. W. Hopkins Estate, northwest corner of First and Mission streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. W. Stevens, east side of Thirty-third avenue, 175 feet south of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Vodden, west side of Twenty-fifth avenue, 150 feet north of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. G. Wilder, north side of Divisadero street, 75 feet north of North Point street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christianson Bros., east side of Divisadero street, 60 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land and Improvements on Arguello Boulevard, North of Geary Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26492 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from San Francisco Lodge No. 21, Independent Order B'Nai Brith, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate east line of Arguello boulevard, distant 332 feet 7 inches northerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $22,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arguello boulevard, distant thereon 332 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Arguello boulevard 108 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 108 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Arguello boulevard and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 647, also known as Block No. 1061 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Resolution of Intention to Close a Portion of Clement Street and Portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth Avenues.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a petition has been filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, petitioning that a portion of Clement street and portions of Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue, hereinafter more particularly described, be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, new streets to be approved by the Board of Supervisors will be opened up and deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the owner of the adjoining lands, which new streets will conform to the contours of said lands adjoining said streets to be closed; and

Whereas, public interest and convenience require and would be conserved by said closing and abandonment of said portion of Clement street and portions of Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue, hereinafter particularly described; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of said Board of Supervisors to close and abandon a portion of Clement street and portions of Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue and

Forty-eighth avenue, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel I. All of Clement street between the westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue and the westerly termination of Clement street at Forty-eighth avenue.

Parcel II. All of Forty-sixth avenue between the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue and the northerly termination of Forty-sixth avenue at Clement street.

Parcel III. All of Forty-seventh avenue between the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue and the northerly termination of Forty-seventh avenue at Clement street.

Parcel IV. Forty-eighth avenue from the northerly line of Clement street southerly as follows: Commencing at the point of interesection of the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue with the northerly line of Clement street, which northerly line of Clement street bears north 86 deg. and 41 min. east, and running thence south 3 deg. and 19 min. east 366.00 feet along said easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue to the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue; thence south 85 deg. 00 min 46 sec. west 5.387 feet along said northerly line of Point Lobos avenue to the northeasterly line of Harding boulevard; thence north 26 deg. and 14 min. west 166.099 feet along said northeasterly line of Harding boulevard to the westerly line of said Forty-eighth avenue; thence north 3 deg. and 19 min. west 213.165 feet along said westerly line of Forty-eighth avenue to the northerly line of Clement street produced westerly; thence north 86 deg. and 41 min. east 70 feet along said northerly line of Clement street produced, to the point of commencement.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declare that the closing and abandoning shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and succeeding sections of Chapter III, Article VI, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of said portion of Clement street and portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues are nominal and shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets and avenues in the manner provided by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in "The Bulletin," as required by law.

Intention to Close Streets in Stanford Heights.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26493 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close certain streets and alleys in and adjacent to Stanford Heights and Sunnyside Addition No. 1.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

Approving Map Showing the Widening of Noriega Street From Twenty-first to Thirty-first Avenues.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 94622 (Second Series), passed November 15, 1926, approve a map showing the widening of Noriega street from Twenty-first avenue to Thirty-first avenue to a width of ninety-four (94) feet; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Noriega street from Twenty-first avenue to Thirty-first avenue to a width of ninety-four (94) feet is hereby approved.

Accepting Deed to Lands Required for the Widening of Noriega Street From Twenty-first to Thirty-first Avenues.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 10th day of November, 1926, between California Pacific Title and Trust Company, formerly California Pacific Title Insurance Company (a corporation), and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying lands for the widening of Noriega street from Twenty-first avenue to Thirty-first avenue to a width of ninety-four (94) feet, as shown on map approved by Resolution No. 94622 (Second Series), passed by the Board of Public Works November 15, 1926, is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land conveyed be declared to be an open public street and to be known as Noriega street.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Water Supply, Declaratory Ordinance.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Harrelson and *ordered referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: A water supply and works to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco to furnish to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof a supply of water for all purposes; directing the Board of Public Works to procure from the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of original construction and completion by the City and County of the public utility herein named, and designating the water works and water rights of the Spring Valley Water Company in the City and County of San Francisco and in San Mateo, Alameda and Santa Clara counties as available sources of such supply of water for the City and County of San Francisco and the inhabitants thereof.

Whereas, on the 2nd day of November, 1926, the electorate of San Francisco, by a large majority, approved Charter Amendment No. 29 providing for the removal of water bonds from the municipal debt limit and by said vote gave expression to their determination that the City and County should push to completion the construction and acquisition of its water supply; and

Whereas, construction of the Hetch Hetchy project has now proceeded to a point where it is necessary for the City to take steps toward the acquisition or construction of a distributing system, including local storage reservoirs whereby the water to be brought in from the Tuolumne river sources may be suitably and adequately distributed for domestic and municipal purposes; and

Whereas, the Spring Valley Water Company, now engaged in furnishing and distributing water to the City and County and its inhabitants, owns and possesses an excellent water supply system, including local storage reservoirs and a distributing system within the City limits of San Francisco, which will furnish a means of distributing water to be brought from Tuolumne sources and will also supplement from local sources the supply to be derived from the Tuolumne river; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco holds an option from the said Spring Valley Water Company, under the terms of which it may purchase and acquire said water works and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company at any date prior to the 1st day of January, 1934, but not after said date, at a price of $37,000,000 plus the total of unamortized expenditures made and to be made by said company for additions and betterments to said system since the 1st day of March, 1920.

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition or construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: A water supply and works to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco to furnish to said City and County, on behalf of the inhabitants thereof, a sufficient supply of good, pure water for all purposes, and a means of storing locally and distributing the water supply to be brought in from Tuolumne river sources through the Hetch Hetchy project now under construction.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of original construction and completion by said City and County of the public utility herein named.

Section 3. The water supply and water works of the Spring Valley Water Company in the City and County of San Francisco and in San Mateo, Alameda and Santa Clara counties, California, are hereby designated as available sources for obtaining a supply of good, pure water adequate to serve the present demand for all purposes for the City and County of San Francisco and the inhabitants thereof, and also as a means of storing locally and distributing to said City and County and its inhabitants the additional water supply to be brought to San Francisco from Tuolumne river sources when the Hetch Hetchy project shall have been completed.

Section 4. This ordinance is the first of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors

relating to and designed to secure the acquisition or construction and completion of the public utility herein named.

Section 5. This ordinance will take effect immediately and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the "Bulletin", the official newspaper for City advertising, as provided by law.

(Copies of the foregoing were ordered sent to members.)

John McLaren Park Named.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26494 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, John McLaren, for over forty years the Superintendent of Golden Gate Park, has with tireless effort and matchless skill toiled ceaselessly to bring the Golden Gate Park and other gardenplaces of our City to that high point of excellence for which they are renowned throughout the world; and

Whereas, this man to whom the City owes so much has always cherished in his dreams the concept of a large public park in the Mission District of San Francisco where its people might spend their hours of recreation among the flowers and trees that will bloom beneath his touch; and

Whereas, chiefly due to his long-continued effort the City and County of San Francisco through its Board of Supervisors is now acquiring, in the aforesaid district, a suitable tract of land to be dedicated as a public park and golf links;

Resolved, That this Board show their appreciation and gratitude which the people of the City and County of San Francisco feel for the enlightened skill and persistent labor of this man, and hereby recommends that the new park to be created in the Mission District of San Francisco be known as the "John McLaren Park"; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution, properly engrossed and bearing the seal of this City, be presented to the Superintendent of Parks, John McLeran.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Supervisors Byington, Harrelson and Hayden addressed the Board briefly, indorsing the intent of the resolution and in praise of the character of John McLaren, and commending his wonderful work in beautifying the parks of this City.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26495 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Independent Order of Red Men be and it is hereby granted permission to conduct a masquerade ball on Sunday, January 2, 1927, at California Hall.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Taxicab Stand Permits Approved.

Resolution No. 26496 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands be approved:

Yellow Checker Cab Company at 1293 Twentieth avenue, 1708 Bryant street, 2795 Mission street, 2911 Sacramento street.

Blue Bird Cab Company at 607 Broadway, 344 Sutter street.

Red Top Cab Company at 489 Broadway.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Proceedings, City and County of San Francisco v. Catherine Lawton et al.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26497 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in condemnation entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. Catherine Lawton et al.," and numbered 172435 in the files thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Moneys.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Transferring moneys to Board of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the sum of fifty thousand ($50,000) dollars heretofore appropriated in the annual budget for the fiscal year 1926-1927, for the purpose of reimbursement in part of Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced for the completion of Stockton and Twin Peaks tunnels, be and the same is hereby transferred to the Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Municipal Railway, for the express purpose of paying to all clerks, janitors, car repairers and trackmen now employed in the operation and maintenance of said Municipal Railway, an increase of forty cents per day over and above the present salaries now paid to them by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco.

And be it further ordained that the said sum hereby transferred shall be used only and exclusively for the purpose of increasing the pay of said clerks, janitors, car repairers and trackmen, and that said Board of Public Works be and they are hereby instructed to use said sum for said purpose.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Notices to Bridge Applicants.

Supervisor Hayden requested that the Clerk be directed to send notices to all applicants of all bridge hearings to be held.

So ordered.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Board of Public Works to Contract for Safety Lane Markers.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. 7831, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for installing safety lane markers in the public streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for bids and enter into a contract for installing safety lane markers at locations to be designated by the Police Department. Provision may be made for progressive payments to be made during the process of such installation.

Section 2. This ordinance will take effect immediately.

RECESS.

At the hour of 11:50 a. m., the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 2 p. m. this day.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Airport Hearing.

Consideration of matters relating to the selection of an airport site for San Francisco was *continued until Monday, December* 6, 1926, *and made a Special Order for* 2:30 *p. m.*

Report of Finance Committee on Salary Increases.

The following report was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco,
November 29, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your Finance Committee has had under consideration the readjustment of salaries of Municipal employees which were referred to it at Budget time and subsequently. In the preparation of this report and the recommendations therein the committee gave careful consideration to the requests, having in mind the effect upon succeeding budgets and the necessary balances which would be required to meet these adjustments for the remainder of the fiscal year. It is recommended that the salaries herein reported upon be made effective January 1, 1927. In detail they are as follows:

Board of Supervisors' Office.

Budget Item No. 7—2 assistant clerks; present salary, $3,300; recomended, $3,600.

Budget Item No. 12—Stenographer; present salary, $1,800; recommended, $2,100.

Budget Item No. 13—Shorthand

reporter; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 14—Telephone operator and file clerk; present salary, $1,800; recommended, $2,100.

Budget Item No. 16—Chauffeur-investigator; present salary, $2,700; recommended, $3,000.

Budget Item No. 17—Gas and water inspector; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 20—Additional telephone operator; recommended, $1,800.

Bureau of Supplies.

Budget Item No. 154—Stenographer file clerk; present salary, $1,500; recommended, $1,680.

Budget Item No. 155—1 tabulator; present salary, $1,500; recommended, $1,680.

Auditor's Office.

Budget Item No. 177—5 deputies; present salary, $2,220; recommended, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 179—General clerk; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 181—Stenographer-typist; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 183—Telephone operator and file clerk; present salary, $1,980; recommended, $2,100.

Tax Collector's Office.

Budget Item No. 194—26 deputies; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Assessor's Office.

Budget Item No. 225—Stenographer-typist; present salary, $1,500; recommended, $1,800.

City Attorney's Office.

Budget Item No. 271—Clerk; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Civil Service Commission.

Budget Item No. 279—Assistant inspector; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 280—Assistant secretary; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 282—Clerk-stenographer; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

County Clerk's Office.

Budget Item No. 292—38 copyists; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Sheriff's Office.

Budget Item No. 300—59 jailors; present salary, $2,244; recommended, $2,364.

Budget Item No. 301—Stenographer; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2.220.

Budget Item No. 310—7 female jailors; present salary, $2,244; recommended, $2,364.

Budget Item No. 314—Female jailor; present salary, $1,800; recommended, $1,920.

Budget Item No. 315—16 keepers; present salary, $1,800; recommended, $1,920.

Recorder's Office.

Budget Item No. 321—7 deputies; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 326—28 copyists; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Superior Courts.

Budget Item No. 329—Assistant secretary; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 330—Jury examiner; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Justices' Court.

Budget Item No. 346—2 clerks; present salary, $1,800; recommended, $1,920.

Juvenile Court.

Budget Item No. 359—11 assistant probation officers; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 360—3 deputy probation officers; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 362—1 cashier-bookkeeper; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 363—1 file clerk; present salary, $1,800; recommended, $1,920.

Budget Item No. 364—2 stenographers; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 365—3 stenographers; present salary, $1,800; recommended, $1,920.

Adult Probation Department.

Budget Item No. 371—7 assistant probation officers; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Police Courts.

Budget Item No. 383—4 stenographers; present salary, $3,600; recommended, $3,900.

The positions in the foregoing list appear in the so-called Salary Ordinance, and the amendments to make the recommendations effective should be passed by the Board of Supervisors.

In other departments salaries fixed by the commissions, the Board of Supervisors provide the appropriations to meet the increases and it then devolves upon the department to make the recommendations effective. The following are the departmental recommendations:

Department of Public Works, Bureau of Accounting.

Budget Item No. 414—Experienced clerk in charge; present salary, $4,000; recommended, $4,300.

Budget Item No. 417—18 experienced clerks; present salary, $2,280; recommended, $2,400.

Bureau of Building Repair.

Budget Item No. 440—Night foreman-janitor; present salary, $2,040; recommended, $2,160.

Budget Item No. 441—57 janitors; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $1,860.

Budget Item No. 442—4 window cleaners; present salary, $1,920; recommended, $2,040.

Budget Item No. 443—Foreman, window cleaner; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 445—Elevator starter, City Hall; present salary, $2,040; recommended, $2,160.

Budget Item No. 446—17 elevator operators; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $1,860.

Budget Item No. 447—2 watchmen; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $1,860.

Budget Item No. 449—2 chief engineers; present salary, $3,300; recommended, $3,420.

Budget Item No. 450—5 assistant chief engineers; present salary, $2,440; recommended, $2,560.

Budget Item No. 451—Engineer, relief and vacation; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 452—5 firemen; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

Budget Item No. 490—2 watchmen; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $1,860.

Budget Item No. 491—2 watchmen; present salary, $1,800; recommended, $1,920.

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Budget Item No. 507—Experienced clerk; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 508—Experienced clerk; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 509—10 inspectors; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 510—Messenger; present salary, $1,440; recommended, $1,560.

Bureau of Engineering.

Budget Item No. 514—4 assistant civil engineers; present salary, $3,000; recommended, $3,300.

Budget Item No. 517—4 surveyors; present salary, $2,700; recommended, $3,000.

Budget Item No. 519—2 surveyor's field assistants; present salary, $2,700; recommended, $3,000.

Budget Item No. 520—4 surveyor's field assistants; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 521—Surveyor field assistant; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 522—20 surveyor's field assistants; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 527—3 engineering draftsmen; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 528—Engineering draftsman; present salary, $1,800; recommended, $2,100.

Budget Item No. 532—1 inspector, streets and sewers; present salary, $2,220; recommended, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 533—Inspector of streets and sewers; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Budget Item No. 574—9 engineers; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 575—2 engineers, relief and vaction; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 576—10 watchmen, bridge tenders; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $1,860.

Budget Item No. 577—2 watchmen, relief and vacation; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $1,860.

Street Cleaning.

Budget Item No. 585—6 watchmen; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $1,860.

Corporation Yard.

Budget Item No. 700—3 watchmen; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $2,040.

Board of Health, Food Inspection.

Budget Item No. 819—7 food inspectors; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,400.

Relief Home.

Budget Item No. 894—Engineer; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 895—2 assistant engineers; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,520.

Isolation Hospital.

Budget Item No. 904—Watchman; present salary, $1,620; recommended, $1,740.

Budget Item No. 905—Watchman; present salary, $1,200; recommended, $1,320.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 944—Watchman; present salary, $1,500; recommended, $1,620.

Budget Item No. 945—Watchman, T. B.; present salary, $1,200; recommended, $1,320.

Budget Item No. 946—Watchman; present salary, $600; recommended, $720.

Budget Item No. 947—Teamster; present salary, $1,920; recommended, $2,040.

Budget Item No. 958—Radiologist; present salary, $3,000; recommended, $3,300.

Housekeeping.

Budget Item No. 1013—Seamstress; present salary, $1,200; recommended, $1,320.

Budget Item No. 1014—4 seamstresses; present salary, $540; recommended, $660.

Power Plant.

Budget Item No. 1040—Chief engineer; present salary, $3,300; recommended, $3,420.

Budget Item No. 1041—4 engineers; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 1042—3 firemen; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 1043—Fireman, relief; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

The increases recommended total for six months $35,190.

The committee recommends that temporary clerks on the general clerk list who are receiving $150 be paid $160 a month when employed. These recommendations can be made effective by the Assessor, Tax Collector, Auditor and Election Commissioners who employ these temporary clerks, making out their salary demands at $160 a month, and necessary appropriations can be made to meet these payrolls. It is impossible to figure the exact amount at this time because the employments are not static. This $10 a month increase to temporary clerks will approximate $7,000 annually. If the full complement is employed for six months' period the appropriation will approximate $3,500.

Respectfully submitted,
JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
MILO F. KENT,
Finance Committee.

Amendments Proposed.

Supervisor Shannon moved to amend Budget Item No. 4, 1 chief assistant clerk, $4,200, by increasing to $4,500, and reference of said motion to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—8.

Whereupon, the items of the Board of Supervisors as recommended by the Finance Committee were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Supervisor Badaracco moved that Budget Item No. 154, stenographer-file clerk, and Item No. 155, tabulator in the Bureau of Supplies, be rereferred to Finance Committee.

No objection.

Auditor's Office.

The following items were *approved without objection*:

Budget Item No. 177, 5 deputies, increase from $2,220 to $2,400 per annum.

Budget Item No. 179, general clerk, increase from $2,100 to $2,220 per annum.

Budget Item No. 181, stenographer-typist, increase from $2,100 to $2,220 per annum.

Budget Item No. 183, telephone operator-file clerk, increase from $1,980 to $2,100 per annum.

Tax Collector's Office.

Budget Item No. 194, 26 deputies, increase from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Assessor's Office.

Budget Item No. 225, stenographer-typist, increase from $1,500 to $1,800 per annum.

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Budget Item No. 234, 15 assistant appraisers at $2,280 each a year be increased $10 a month.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Byington raised the point of order "that you can't add anything to the Committee's report."

Point of order not well taken. (Ruling of the Chair.)

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Budget Item No. 197, adjuster of licenses, Tax Collector's office, be increased from $3,000 to $3,300 per annum.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Approved.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were thereupon *approved*:

City Attorney's Office.

Budget Item No. 271, clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700 per annum.

Civil Service Commission.

Budget Item No. 279, assistant inspector, from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Budget Item No. 280, assistant secretary, from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Budget Item No. 282, clerk-stenographer, from $2,100 to $2,220 per annum.

County Clerk's Office.

Budget Item No. 292, 38 copyists, each from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Sheriff.

The following items were taken up:

Budget Item No. 300, 59 jailers, each from $2,244 to $2,364 per annum.

Budget Item No. 301, stenographer, from $2,100 to $2,220 per annum.

Budget Item No. 310, 7 female jailers, each from $2,244 to $2,364 per annum.

Budget Item No. 314, female jailer, from $1,800 to $1,920 per annum.

Budget Item No. 315, 16 keepers, each from $1,800 to $1,920 per annum.

Motion to Amend.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Item No. 314, 1 female jailer, be amended to read "$2,244 a year" instead of "$1,800 a year."

Referred to Finance Committee.

Recorder's Office.

The following items were taken up:

Budget Item No. 321, 7 deputies, each from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Budget Item No. 326, 28 copyists, each from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Superior Court.

The following items were taken up:

Budget Item No. 329, assistant secretary, from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Budget Item No. 330, jury examiner, from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Item No. 333, 8 translators, at $2,100 each per annum be increased to $2,400 each per annum.

Amendment *referred to Finance Committee.*

Whereupon, Items Nos. 329 and 330, as recommended, were *approved.*

Justices' Courts.

The following item was taken up:

Budget Item No. 346, 2 clerks, each from $1,800 to $1,920 per annum.

Amendment.

Supervisor Hayden moved that Item No. 346, Justices' Court, 2 clerks, at $1,800 each per annum, be increased to $2,280 per annum.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Approved.

Whereupon, Item No. 346, as above recommended, was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Juvenile Court.

The following departments were taken up and the recommended increases therein were *approved* as follows:

Budget Item No. 359, 11 assistant probation officers, each from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Budget Item No. 360, 3 deputy probation officers, each from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Budget Item No. 362, 1 cashier-bookkeeper, from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Budget Item No. 363, 1 file clerk, from $1,800 to $1,920 per annum.

Budget Item No. 364, 2 stenographers, each from $2,100 to $2,220 per annum.

Budget Item No. 365, 3 stenographers, each from $1,800 to $1,920 per annum.

Adult Probation Department.

Budget Item No. 371, 7 assistant probation officers, each from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Police Courts.

Budget Item No. 383, 4 stenographers, each from $3,600 to $3,900 per annum.

Department of Public Works, Bureau of Accounting.

Budget Item No. 414, experienced clerk in charge, from $4,000 to $4,300 per annum.

Budget Item No. 417, 18 experienced clerks, each from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Bureau of Building Repair.

The following items were taken up:

Budget Item No. 440, night foreman janitor, from $2,040 to $2,160 per annum.

Budget Item No. 441, 57 janitors, each from $1,740 to $1,860 per annum.

Budget Item No. 442, 4 window cleaners, each from $1,920 to $2,040 per annum.

Budget Item No. 443, foreman

window cleaner, from $2,100 to $2,220 per annum.

Budget Item No. 445, elevator starter, City Hall, from $2,040 to $2,160 per annum.

Budget Item No. 446, 17 elevator operators, each from $1,740 to $1,860 per annum.

Budget Item No. 447, 2 watchmen, each from $1,740 to $1,860 per annum.

Budget Item No. 449, 2 chief engineers, each from $3,300 to $3,420 per annum.

Budget Item No. 450, 5 assistant chief engineers, each from $2,240 to $2,560 per annum.

Budget Item No. 451, engineer, relief and vacation, from $2,400 to $2,520 per annum.

Budget Item No. 452, 5 firemen, each from $2,100 to $2,220 per annum.

Supervisor Badaracco, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved to amend Item No. 446, 17 elevator operators, by increasing same from $1,860 to $1,920 per annum, and Item No. 447, 2 watchmen, from $1,860 to $1,920 per annum.

Amendment *referred to Finance Committee.*

Whereupon, the foregoing items, as recommended, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Badaracco moved as an amendment that Item No. 490, 2 watchmen, be increased to $1,920 each per year.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Supervisor Stanton moved as an amendment that Item No. 532, 1 inspector of streets and sewers, be increased $25 per month. Also, that Item No. 533, inspector of streets and sewers, be increased $25 per month; that Item No. 422, 2 stenographers, be increased to $2,220 per year; Item No. 423, 4 stenographers, be increased to $2,100 per year.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Supervisor Badaracco moved as an amendment that the following items be amended to read "$1,920 each per year", to-wit:

Budget Item No. 576, 10 watchmen, bridge tenders.

Budget Item No. 577, 2 watchmen, relief and vacation.

Budget Item No. 585, 6 watchmen, street cleaning department.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Supervisor Powers moved as an amendment that the commissary at the Relief Home be increased to $2,700 per annum.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Item No. 396, chief deputy, Sealer of Weights and Measures, be increased from $3,300 per annum to $3,600 per annum, and that Item No. 397, 6 deputies, be increased from $2,700 per annum to $3,000 per annum.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Supervisor Stanton moved an increase of $10 per month to each of the following:

Board of Works (Bureau of Accounting).

Budget Item No. 422, 2 stenographers, at $2,220 per year each.

Budget Item No. 423, 4 stenographers, at $2,100 per year each.

Budget Item No. 429, stenographer, at $2,220 per year.

Department of Electricity.

Budget Item No. 617, 1 stenographer-typewriter, at $2,220 per year.

Budget Item No. 638, 2 clerks, at $2,400 per year each.

Board of Health.

Budget Item No. 771, 3 stenographers, at $2,400 each per year.

Budget Item No. 772, 2 clerks, at $2,400 each per year.

Budget Item No. 777, 2 clerks, at $2,400 each per year.

Budget Item No. 779, 1 clerk, mortuary, at $2,400 per year.

Budget Item No. 790, 1 psychologist, at $1,920 per year.

Budget Item No. 803, clerk, at $2,400 per year.

Budget Item No. 860, stenographer, at $2,400 per year.

Referred to Finance Committee

Janitors and Janitresses, School Department.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that it be the sense of this Board that the janitors and janitresses employed in the School Department be allowed an additional increase of $5 per month, commencing as of January 1, 1927; that the Board of Education be conferred with by the Finance Committee to the end that the amount sufficient to allow such increase be allotted from their funds, if possible, and if funds within the authority of the Board of Education are not available, then that the Finance Committee allow said appropriation on their promise to pay such raise, a sum equal to a raise of $5, in addition to that already given for each janitor and janitress employed in the Public School Department of the City and County.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy,

Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Approved.

Whereupon, the following items, as recommended, were *approved without objection*, to-wit:

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

Budget Item No. 490—2 watchmen; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $1,860.

Budget Item No. 491—2 watchmen; present salary, $1,800; recommended, $1,920.

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Budget Item No. 507—Experienced clerk; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 508—Experienced clerk; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 509—10 inspectors; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 510—Messenger; present salary, $1,440; recommended, $1,560.

Bureau of Engineering.

Budget Item No. 514—4 assistant civil engineers; present salary, $3,000; recommended, $3,300.

Budget Item No. 517—4 surveyors; present salary, $2,700; recommended, $3,000.

Budget Item No. 519—2 surveyor's field assistants; present salary, $2,700; recommended, $3,000.

Budget Item No. 520—4 surveyor's field assistants; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 521—Surveyor field assistant; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 522—20 surveyor's field assistants; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 527—3 engineering draftsmen; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 528—Engineering draftsman; present salary, $1,800; recommended, $2,100.

Budget Item No. 532—1 inspector, streets and sewers; present salary, $2,220; recommended, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 533—Inspector of streets and sewers; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Budget Item No. 574—9 engineers; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 575—2 engineers, relief and vacation; present salary, $2,400; recommended. $2,520.

Budget Item No. 576—10 watchmen, bridge tenders; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $1,860.

Budget Item No. 577—2 watchmen, relief and vacation; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $1,860.

Street Cleaning.

Budget Item No. 585—6 watchmen; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $1,860.

Corporation Yard.

Budget Item No. 700—3 watchmen; present salary, $1,740; recommended, $2,040.

Board of Health, Food Inspection.

Budget Item No. 819—7 food inspectors; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,400.

Relief Home.

Budget Item No. 894—Engineer; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 895—2 assistant engineers; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,520.

Isolation Hospital.

Budget Item No. 904—Watchman; present salary, $1,620; recommended, $1,740.

Budget Item No. 905—Watchman; present salary, $1,200; recommended, $1,320.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 944—Watchman; present salary, $1,500; recommended, $1,620.

Budget Item No. 945—Watchman, T. B.; present salary, $1,200; recommended, $1,320.

Budget Item No. 946—Watchman; present salary, $600; recommended, $720.

Budget Item No. 947—Teamster; present salary, $1,920; recommended, $2,040.

Budget Item No. 958—Radiologist; present salary, $3,000; recommended, $3,300.

Housekeeping.

Budget Item No. 1013—Seamstress; present salary, $1,200; recommended, $1,320.

Budget Item No. 1014—4 seamstresses; present salary, $540; recommended, $660.

Power Plant.

Budget Item No. 1040—Chief engineer; present salary, $3,300; recommended, $3,420.

Budget Item No. 1041—4 engineers; present salary, $2,400; recommended, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 1042—3 firemen; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 1043—Fireman, relief; present salary, $2,100; recommended, $2,220.

Whereupon, the following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26498 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Supervisors.

Budget Item No. 7—2 assistant clerks, at $3,600 each, $7,200.

Budget Item No. 12—1 stenographer, $2,100.

Budget Item No. 13—1 shorthand reporter, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 14—1 telephone operator and filing clerk, $2,100.

Budget Item No. 16—1 chauffeur-investigator, $3,000.

Budget Item No. 17—1 gas and water inspector, $2,400.

Bureau of Supplies.

Budget Item No. 154—1 stenographer-file clerk, $1,680.

Budget Item No. 155—1 tabulator, $1,680.

Auditor.

Budget Item No. 177—5 deputies, at $2,400 each, $12,000.

Budget Item No. 179—1 general clerk, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 180—1 general clerk, $1,920.

Budget Item No. 181—1 stenographer-typist, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 183—1 telephone operator and filing clerk, $2,100.

Tax Collector.

Budget Item No. 194—26 deputies, at $2,400 each, $62,400.

Assessor.

Budget Item No. 225—1 stenographer-typist, $1,800.

District Attorney.

Budget Item No. 256—Bookkeeper, $1,920.

City Attorney.

Budget Item No. 271—1 clerk, calendar, filing and records, $2,700.

Civil Service Commission.

Budget Item No. 279—Assistant inspector, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 280—1 assistant secretary, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 282—1 clerk-stenographer, $2,220.

County Clerk.

Budget Item No. 292—38 copyists, at $2,400 each, $91,200.

Sheriff.

Budget Item No. 300—59 jailors, at $2,364 each, $139,476.

Budget Item No. 301—Stenographer, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 310—7 female jailors, at $2,364 each, $16,548.

Budget Item No. 314—1 female jailor, $1,920.

Budget Item No. 315—16 keepers, at $1,920 each, $30,720.

Recorder.

Budget Item No. 321—7 deputies, at $2,400 each, $16,800.

Budget Item No. 326—28 copyists, at $2,400 each, $67,200.

Superior Courts.

Budget Item No. 329—Assistant secretary, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 330—Jury examiner, $2,400.

Justices' Courts.

Budget Item No. 346—2 clerks, at $1,920 each, $3,840.

Juvenile Court.

Budget Item No. 359—11 assistant probation officers, at $2,400 each, $26,400.

Budget Item No. 360—3 deputy probation officers, at $2,400 each, $7,200.

Budget Item No. 362—1 cashier-bookkeeper, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 363—1 file clerk, $1,920.

Budget Item No. 364—2 stenographers, at $2,220 each, $4,440.

Budget Item No. 365—3 stenographers, at $1,920 each, $5,760.

Adult Probation.

Budget Item No. 371—7 assistant probation officers, at $2,400 each, $16,800.

Police Courts.

Budget Item No. 383—4 stenographers, at $3,900 each, $15,600.

Department of Public Works.

Budget Item No. 414 — Experienced clerk in charge, $4,300.

Budget Item No. 417—12 experienced clerks, at $2,400 each, $28,800.

Budget Item No. 440—Night foreman janitor, $2,160.

Budget Item No. 441—57 janitors, at $1,860 each, $106,020.

Budget Item No. 442—4 window cleaners, at $2,040 each, $8,160.

Budget Item No. 443—Foreman window cleaner, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 445—Elevator starter, City Hall, $2,160.

Budget Item No. 446—17 elevator operators, at $1,860 each, $31,620.

Budget Item No. 447—2 watchmen, at $1,860 each, $3,720.

Budget Item No. 449—2 chief engineers, at $3,420 each, $6,840.

Budget Item No. 450—5 assistant engineers, at $2,560 each, $12,800.

Budget Item No. 451—Engineer, part time relief and vacation, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 452—5 firemen, at $2,220 each, $11,100.

Budget Item No. 490—2 watchmen, at $1,860 each, $3,720.

Budget Item No. 491—2 watchmen, at $1,920 each, $3,840.

Budget Item No. 507 — Experienced clerk, $2,700.
Budget Item No. 508 — Experienced clerk, $2,700.
Budget Item No. 509—10 inspectors, at $2,700 each, $27,000.
Budget Item No. 510—Messenger, $1,560.
Budget Item No. 514—4 assistant civil engineers, at $3,300 each, $13,200.
Budget Item No. 517—4 surveyors, at $3,000 each, $12,000.
Budget Item No. 519—2 surveyor's field assistants, at $3,000 each, $6,000.
Budget Item No. 520—4 surveyor's field assistants, at $2,700 each, $10 800.
Budget Item No. 521—1 surveyor's field assistant, $2,700.
Budget Item No. 522—20 surveyor's field assistants, at $2,700 each, $54,000.
Budget Item No. 527—3 engineering draftsmen, at $2,700 each, $8,100.
Budget Item No. 528—1 engineering draftsman, $2,100.
Budget Item No. 532—1 inspector of streets and sewers, $2,400.
Budget Item No. 533—1 inspector of streets and sewers, $2,220.
Budget Item No. 574—9 engineers, at$2,520 each, $22,680.
Budget Item No. 575—2 engineers, relief and vacation, at $2,520 a year, $5,040.
Budget Item No. 576—10 watchmen, bridge tenders, at $1,860 a year, $18,600.
Item No. 577—2 watchmen, relief and vacation, at $1,860 a year, $3,720.
Budget Item No. 585—6 watchmen, at $1,860 a year, $11,160.
Budget Item No. 607—Watchman in charge, $2,160.

Fire Department.

Budget Item No. 700—3 watchmen, at $2,040 a year, $6,120.

Department of Public Health.

Budget Item No. 819—7 food inspectors, at $2,400 each a year, $16,800.
Budget Item No. 894—Engineer, $2,520.
Budget Item No. 895—2 assistant engineers, at $2,520 each a year, $5,040.
Budget Item No. 904—Watchman, $1,740.
Budget Item No. 905 — Night watchman, $1,320.
Budget Item No. 944—Watchman, $1,620.
Budget Item No. 945—Watchman, T. B., $1,320.
Budget Item No. 946—Watchman, $720.
Budget Item No. 947—Teamster, $2,040.
Budget Item No. 958—Radiologist, $3,300.
Budget Item No. 1013 — Seamstress, $1,320.
Budget Item No. 1014—4 seamstresses, at $660 each, $2,640.
Budget Item No. 1040—Chief engineer, $3,420.
Budget Item No. 1041 — 4 engineers, at $2,520 each, $10,080.
Budget Item No. 1042—3 firemen, at $2,220, $6,660.
Budget Item No. 1043—Fireman, relief, $2,200.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Temporary General Clerks Salary Rate.

Resolution No. 26499 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That temporary general clerks and stenographers employed after January 1, 1927, in the several offices be paid at the rate of $160 per month.

The attention of the Auditor and of the several departments is called to the provisions of this resolution.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Increase for Railway Employees.

Supervisor Stanton presented the following resolution, which was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of fifty thousand ($50,000) dollars heretofore appropriated in the annual budget for the Fiscal Year 1926-1927 for the purpose of reimbursement in part of Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced for the completion of the Stockton and Twin Peaks tunnels be and the same is hereby transferred to the Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Municipal Railway for the express purpose of paying all clerks, janitors, car repairers and trackmen now employed in the operation and maintenance of said Municipal Railway, an increase of forty cents per day over and above the present salaries now paid to them by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco;

And be it further ordered that the said sum hereby transferred shall be used only and exclusively for the purpose of increasing the pay of said clerks, janitors, car repairers and trackmen, and that said Board of Public Works be and they are hereby instructed to use said sum for said purpose.

Pedestrian Traffic Signal Regulation.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 81c of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), entitled "Regulating moving traffic and travel upon the streets of San Francisco, etc.," and substituting therefor the following:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section ——. Upon all streets, street crossings or intersections where traffic is controlled by automatic signal, whistle, voice or hand of any police officer, pedestrian traffic must at all times comply with the direction given by said automatic signal, whistle, voice or hand of any police officer as to stopping, continuing, approaching or departing.

It shall be unlawful for any pedestrian to fail, refuse or neglect to obey the order of a police officer in regard to the regulation, direction or diversion of pedestrian traffic.

Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof be punished by a fine of not exceeding $25 or by imprisonment not exceeding three (3) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

It shall be and is hereby made the duty of the Chief of Police to cause the provisions of this ordinance to be enforced.

Referred to Traffic Committee. Copies to be sent to members of the Board.

Sectionalism in State Elections.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, during the period preceding the last State election a spirit of sectionalism was apparent; and

Whereas, the result at the polls indicated that this spirit, where evident, was frowned upon by the electorate; and

Whereas, any set of citizens indulging in or propagating such a spirit are injuring this State in the eyes of the nation, and seriously retarding the growth and prosperity of California; and

Whereas, there is reason to believe that as a result of the last election it has developed to the satisfaction of all Californians that there should be an end to this feeling of sectionalism; and

Whereas, there is need for unity of all parts of this State, particularly in the matter of finance legislation for the highways of this State, as well as other measures that need the support of all our citizens; therefore, be it

Resolved, That in a spirit of amity and good will, his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., is requested to confer (at his pleasure) with the mayors and other officers of California cities and towns to the end that unanimity may prevail on the subject of highway finance legislation; and be it

Further Resolved, That he is authorized to appoint a committee of citizens of this City and County, who shall be authorized to confer with like committees or officials throughout the State, all dedicated to work for the complete elimination of sectionalism and a revival of the spirit of California harmony and unity on and for all constructive California legislation and community policies.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee. Copies to be sent to members of the Board.

Request for Report on Street Light Outages.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 26500 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Telephone, Water and Lighting Committee of this Board of Supervisors is requested to report (at next Monday's meeting, if possible) all outages allowed, reported or permitted during the period between October 15 and November 29, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26501 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to remove and install the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Avery street between Geary and Post streets.

Sixteenth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets.
Sixteenth avenue and Santiago street.
Cecelia avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets.
Cecelia avenue and Santiago street.
Corner of Jones and Chestnut streets.
Jones street between Chestnut and Lombard streets.
Chestnut street between Jones and Taylor streets.
Minna street between Third and Fourth streets (install two lights).
Natoma street between Third and Fourth streets (install two lights).
Brussels street between Wilde and Campbell avenues.
Southeast corner of Eddy and Arguello boulevard.
South side Clinton Park, about 50 feet west of Guerrero street.
Miguel street, north of Beacon street.

Install 100 *C. P.*

Hernandez avenue between Garcia street and Laguna Honda boulevard.
Idora avenue between Garcia street and Laguna Honda boulevard.
Garcia street and Vasquez avenue, pole 13.

Remove Gas Lamps.

Corner of Jones and Chestnut streets.
Jones street between Chestnut and Lombard streets.
Chestnut street between Jones and Taylor streets.
Minna street between Third and Fourth streets (four single-top gas).
Natoma street between Third and Fourth streets (three single-top gas).

Change 400 *M. R.*

Beacon and Harry streets to pole opposite 162 Beacon street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher. Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Spur Track Permit, The Western Pacific Railroad Company.

The following was presented by Supervisor Marks and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7832, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Western Pacific Railroad Company (a corporation), to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Langton street between Bryant and Brannan streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing track of the applicant, known as the "Langton Street Spur," distant thereon approximately 293 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Bryant street; thence in a general northwesterly direction, with turnout to the left, approximately 65 feet; thence on a 20-degree curve to the left, having a radius of 286.84 feet, a distance of approximately 36 feet; thence on a reverse curve to the right, having a radius of 286.84 feet, a distance of approximately 72 feet to a point distant 8½ feet northeasterly, measured at a right angle from the southwesterly line of said Langton street; thence in a direct line northwesterly 8½ feet from and parallel with said southwesterly line of Langton street approximately 122 feet to the said southeasterly line of Bryant street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company; provided, that The Western Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Consideration of Mayor's Veto, Changing Name of Maggie Alley.

Consideration of the veto of his Honor the Mayor of Resolution No. 26395 (New Series), adopted November 1, 1926, changing the name of Maggie alley to Bristol Court.

Communication.

A communication from the Board of Public Works advising that said Board had rescinded its recommendation to change the name of Maggie alley to Bristol Court.

Whereupon, the question being put "Shall the resolutiou pass notwithstanding the objection of his Honor the Mayor," the roll was called with the following result:

Aye—Supervisor Badaracco—1.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

The chair declared the Mayor's veto sustained.

Resolution Rescinded.

Whereupon, the action of the Board in adopting Resolution No. 26395 (New Series) was *unanimously rescinded.*

Consideration of Mayor's Veto, Boulder Dam.

Consideration of the veto of his Honor the Mayor of Resolution No. 26382 (New Series), condemning action of Governor Richardson on calling special session of the Legislature in the matter of the ratification of Boulder Dam legislation.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved foregoing matter be tabled.

Supervisor Gallagher raised the point of order that the motion was out of order.

Chair ruled point of order not well taken.

Supervisor Havenner appealed from the decision of the chair.

Whereupon, the question being put "Shall the ruling of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board," the roll was called and the chair *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden —5.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Resolution Tabled.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, Resolution No. 26382 (New Series), condemning action of Governor Richardson in calling special session of Legislature in the matter of the ratification of Boulder Dam legislation was *ordered tabled* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden —5.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 14, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 49

Monday, December 6, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 6, 1926, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 6, 1926, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of September 20, 21, 27 and 29, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Request for Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Communication, from Secretary to Mayor, Edward Rainey, requesting the installation of street lights on Baker street between Broadway and Vallejo.

Referred to Lighting Committee.

Uniform Traffic Ordinance.

Supervisor Schmidt presented copy of proposed uniform traffic ordinance for California cities as recommended by the California Public Safety Conference, California Development Association.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Reception to Netherlands Cruiser "Sumatra."

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from William F. Benedict, Assistant Secretary to the Mayor, advising of proposed visit to San Francisco about December 23d, of the Netherlands cruiser "Sumatra," Captain Jhr. G. L. Schorer, and requesting that the Mayor be authorized to appoint a citizens committee for the proper reception and entertainment of Captain Schorer and his command.

Mayor authorized to appoint a citizens committee as per his request.

Airplane "San Francisco."

Communication, from William F. Benedict, Assistant Secretary to the Mayor, referring on behalf of his Honor the Mayor, a letter addressed to him by Capt. Ira C. Eaker and First Lieut. Muir S. Fairchild, U. S. A., Air Corps, pilots of the airplane "San Francisco," advising of the proposed visit to this City in the proposed Pan-American flight, together with invitation of the San Antonio Chamber of Commerce to be represented at the departure of the planes from San Antonio, Texas, on December 15, 1926.

Referred to Airport Committee.

Report of Committee on Public Welfare and Publicity.

Supervisor Kent presented report of the Committee on Public Welfare and Publicity of its investigation of the aim, object and purpose and method of operation of the California Development Association.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Federal Building, Civic Center.

Communication, from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, transmitting resolution of said organization adopted by the board of directors on the subject of providing a site for a Federal building in the Civic Center.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

All Coast Highway.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from G. H. Rostron, chairman of the Board of Supervisors, County of Santa Cruz, requesting that a call be issued for a meeting to be held in the near future of all coast cities favoring the proposed All Coast Highway from Port Angelus, Washington, to the Mexican border.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Report of Traffic Survey Committee.

Communication, from San Francisco Traffic Survey Committee, transmitting preliminary report of Miller McClintock, director of the San Francisco Survey Committee, calling attention to two lists of intersections enclosed; the first within the central business district where signals are not now installed and urging immediate action so far as this district is concerned; balance of the appropriation to be applied to the other intersections after the central district has been taken care of.

Read and *referred to Traffic Committee.*

Boxing Exhibitions in Auditorium.

Supervisor Gallagher presented communication from Tom Laird urging that boxing exhibitions be permitted in the Civic Auditorium.

Referred to Auditorium Committee with request to confer with his Honor the Mayor.

Report of the San Francisco Traffic Survey re Location of Traffic Signals.

The following was presented by Supervisor Todd and *referred to the Traffic Committee*:

San Francisco,
November 26, 1926.

Traffic stop and go signals, if properly used, promise to play an important part in traffic control in the future. It is very necessary from the standpoint of sound control of traffic in San Francisco that there should be a thorough understanding of the principles underlying the operation of stop and go signals. This preliminary report is made in view of the urgency for action in signal installation. It will be followed in the general report of the Survey by a more comprehensive analysis, with secondary recommendations for signal locations and by specific and detailed plans for coordinated signal operation.

Benefits of Stop and Go Signals.

Well designed and properly operated stop and go signals possess numerous advantages.

In the *first* place they supplement the direction supplied by the traffic police. At the more congested intersections it will probably never be feasible to dispense entirely with the services of police officers. They are needed to restrain the malicious, to warn the careless, to direct those seeking information, and to take charge in case of tangles or emergencies. The signal, however, relieves the traffic officer of practically all of his mechanical and routine duty connected with the stopping and starting of the traffic stream, making it possible for him to give attention to other important matters connected with street use. At many of the less congested intersections, especially those in the outlying districts, signals make it possible to dispense entirely with the services of crossing officers, releasing this personnel for other police duties and thus reduce police costs. A single motorcycle officer can readily supervise the operation of a dozen or more outlying signalized intersections.

In the *second* place, under many conditions a good signal installation gives better control than can be supplied by manual direction. This is well illustrated at the complicated intersections along Market street. The signal apparatus makes possible a unified control whcih could scarcely be accomplished even by the service of several police officers.

In the *third* place signals make possible the systematic control of traffic through a district. With growing congestion individual intersections in the crowded districts cannot be considered as isolated and independent problems. What happens at one intersection definitely affects operation at adjacent corners. If properly installed and operated a signal system makes possible a unified control. This is an important factor in the central business district in San Francisco, and the steps that have been taken already demonstrate the possibilities of a fully developed and refined system. Corelated control is an important factor in connection with signal operation along the more important radials leading from the central district to the residential parts of the city.

Principles Governing the Use of Traffic Signals.

Notwithstanding the possible advantages of traffic signals they, as other remedial measures, have their limitations. When properly used they give a very real and important service. When improperly used they may result not only in a waste of investment which has been made in their installation and operation, but as well in a great loss and inconvenience to street users.

In other words, a stop and go signal is by no means a panacea for all traffic ills. It has a highly specialized function. It should be always borne in mind in considering signals that they stop as well as pass traffic. Where a signal is installed it alternates the opportunity for travel upon the two or more streets which enter the controlled intersection. Thus a previous legal

freedom for the driver to move at any time is reduced by approximately fifty per cent. Unless there exists at any particular intersection where signal installation is contemplated, a condition of traffic congestion which, without control, causes more delay than will be caused by the signal operation, there is a presumption against this type of control. On the other hand, where there is real congestion at an intersection resulting in delay and inconvenience to traffic, the signal performs a useful service by alternating the opportunity for crossing, and thus passes the average driver with less delay than he would otherwise experience.

The careful allocation of signals at points of congestion will have an important effect upon public safety, not only protecting drivers, but also pedestrians. The use of signals for purely safety purposes would have serious consequences, however. An example will show the situation: the great majority of intersection collisions could be eliminated if every intersection in the city were provided with a stop and go signal. But if signals were to be generally adopted for purely safety purposes an almost universal installation would be demanded, for the more dangerous accidents quite generally take place at intersections in the residence districts where traffic is so light that from the standpoint of traffic control there would not be the slightest justification for signals. Such a universal installation would be impossible from a financial standpoint, and would result in an intolerable delay in street use. The increase of traffic safety must depend in general upon other devices and methods than stop and go control; for example, through street regulations, warning lights, safety zones, crosswalk, and other methods of protection which the Survey is attempting to develop in a comprehensive and balanced system for the reduction of accidents and congestion.

With these conditions in mind the principle should be accepted that stop and go signal installation should be only at those places where, without an adjustment of the rights of street users, congestion will result.

Application of the Principle to Signal Locations.

An index to congestion, either real or potential, is to be found in the number of traffic movements through an intersection, and in the ratio between the through and cross movement. To an end that there may be in San Francisco the most economical and effective development of a signal system the San Francisco Traffic Survey has turned its attention to a comprehensive check of the more important intersections of the city.

One hundred and thirty-one intersections have been studied. Checkers have recorded upon prepared sheets the number of movements by various classes of traffic, the direction of flow, and the periods of the maximum movements.

This study which has been progressing during the past two months has involved the labor of sixteen field checkers, working daily, Saturday, Sunday and holidays excepted, from eight to twelve o'clock a. m. and from two to six o'clock p. m. The two periods include the principal in-town and out-town rushes of traffic and give a fair indication of relative street use. The data collected have an important bearing not only upon the location of traffic signals but as well upon other methods of control which are being developed by the Survey for San Francisco.

Attention has been directed to two districts within the city. *First*, the area which has been termed the central business district. This is the district which is bounded by and including Mission street from Fifth to First, First street from Mission to Market, Battery street from Market to Pine, Pine street from Battery to Sansome, Sansome street from Pine to California, California street from Sansome to Kearny, Kearny street from California to Sutter, Sutter street from Kearny to Stockton, Stockton street from Sutter to Post, Post street from Stockton to Powell, Powell street from Post to Market, Fifth street from Market to Mission. This district has been found to be the area of the greatest concentration of both vehicular and pedestrian traffic. The *second* field includes the remainder of the city, special attention being given to the principal arteries of travel and the more important neighborhood business districts.

Recommendation on Signal Location on the Basis of the Traffic Study.

First: Primary attention in the development of signal control should be given to the district which is designated above as the central business district. It is recommended that fifty per cent of the fund at present available for signal installation should be expended in the development, expansion and refinement of a signal system in this district. This will make possible

the inclusion of intersections now covered exclusively by traffic officers, and a correlation of control in a district which is of the greatest importance and interest to the entire public. Following is a list of intersections within the central business district where signals are not now installed, and which should receive early attention:

Intersection	Total Vehicles	Total Pedestrians	Total Flow
Kearny and Post	10670	37080	47750
Bush and Montgomery	10756	35175	45925
Stockton and Geary	9913	33241	43154
Montgomery and Sutter	8956	32267	41223
Kearny and Sutter	10083	29740	39823
Powell and Geary	8831	29223	38054
Powell and Ellis	7543	29597	37140
Powell and O'Farrell	7487	27053	34540
Stockton and O'Farrell	8286	24133	32419
Montgomery and Pine	8003	23936	31939
Sansome and California	8958	22878	31836
Grant and Sutter	8777	22842	31619
Bush and Kearny	12576	16773	29349
Stockton and Post	12054	7479	28938
Sansome and Bush	7344	20748	28092
Powell and Post	10396	16004	26400
Montgomery and California	8302	16893	25195
Pine and Sansome	6739	17443	24182
Mission and First	15263	8469	23732
Powell and Sutter	7617	16050	23667
Stockton and Sutter	10121	13328	23449
Second and Mission	13003	9357	22360
Kearny and California	7610	9593	17203
Pine and Kearny	8524	8092	16616

Second: It is recommended that the remainder of the available funds should be used in the making of installations at important intersections in other parts of the city. It is realized that the available funds will not make possible a perfect development of control either in the central district or outside. Future expenditures will be required for expansion and development. In view of the limited funds it is obviously good policy to make installations at those intersections where traffic requirements are most pressing.

The following intersections are presented for the consideration of those public officials who are charged with the location of signal apparatus, with the recommendation that installation of signals be made in the order of traffic importance as shown by the grand total of traffic movements:

Intersection	Total Vehicles	Total Pedestrians	Total Flow
Third and Howard	17727	13772	31499
Fourth and Howard	15982	13399	29381
Sixth and Mission	17622	10892	28514
Eighth and Mission	17766	6768	24534
Bush and Grant	10782	13647	24429
Twenty-second and Mission	8216	14864	23080
Van Ness and Bush	20447	2531	22978
Valencia and Market	16700	4799	21499
Mason and Geary	8144	13335	21479
Van Ness and Golden Gate	17837	3088	20925
Van Ness and Geary	14499	6384	20883
Van Ness and Pine	18581	2289	20870
Grant, Columbus and Broadway	8256	11783	20039
Sixth and Howard	14364	5199	19563
Third and Folsom	11771	7300	19071
Polk and Bush	12462	6571	19033
Van Ness and California	16176	2667	18843
Post and Mason	10076	8675	18751
Columbus, Kearny and Pacific	9655	8814	18469
Van Ness and Eddy	15470	2609	18079
Van Ness and McAllister	14522	3540	18062
Second and Howard	13158	4886	18044
Van Ness and O'Farrell	15199	2640	17839
Mission, Van Ness and McCoppin	16000	1525	17525

Intersection	Total Vehicles	Total Pedestrians	Total Flow
Twenty-second and Valencia	11396	2988	17384
Valencia and Mission	13848	3334	17172
Castro and Eighteenth	2968	14133	17101
Jackson and Van Ness	15288	1572	16860
Eighth and Howard	14186	2608	16794
Mission and Twenty-ninth	12585	4161	16746
Seventeenth and Valencia	13877	2700	16577
Grant and Clay	2386	14022	16408
Van Ness and Pacific	14845	1228	16073
Post and Polk	11894	4154	16048
Polk and Sutter	8081	9693	15774
Stockton, Columbus and Green	6650	8916	15566
Grant and Pacific	5141	8082	15223
Arguello and Geary	13165	1845	15010
Polk and Pine	9846	4358	14204
Fourth and Folsom	9643	4331	13974
Mission and Seventeenth	9995	3882	13877
Twenty-fourth and Mission	7752	6023	13775
Van Ness and Union	11294	1886	13180
Powell and Bush	9056	3646	12702
Stockton and Pacific	8178	4153	12331
Grant and Pine	5565	6610	12175
Seventeenth, Castro and Market	8075	3853	12028
Divisadero and Fulton	10150	1141	11291
Grant and California	3853	7202	11055
Eighth and Geary	9197	1821	11018
Fillmore and Bush	6830	4102	10932
Fillmore and Pine	6348	4351	10699
Potrero and Sixteenth	9437	1152	10589
Montgomery, Washington and Columbus	5064	4949	10013
Stockton and Sacramento	5364	4608	9972
Divisadero and Geary	6930	2911	9841
Divisadero and Golden Gate	8399	1380	9779
Potrero and Brannan	8269	1481	9750
Tenth avenue and Geary	8113	149	9604
Van Ness and Lombard	8635	824	9459
Powell and Pine	6275	3110	9385
Eighteenth and Geary	7612	1255	8867
Noe, Market and Sixteenth	6783	1871	8654
Potrero and Mariposa	8023	596	8619
Divisadero and Bush	7321	1288	8609
Powell and Pacific	6584	1968	8553
Nineteenth and Lincoln way	6771	275	7046
Twenty-fourth and Geary	5746	1258	7004
Potrero and Brannan	6597	405	7002
Divisadero and Pine	5063	1793	6856
Dolores and Sixteenth	4952	1649	6601
Stanyan and Fulton	5011	610	5621
Twenty-fourth and Fulton	4847	387	5234
Tenth and Brannan	3749	128	3877
Castro and Twenty-fourth	1612	886	3617
Bryant and Division	3011	157	3168
Eleventh and Bryant	2259	273	2532
Mason and Market	11433	....	....
Jones and Market	11173	....	....
Tenth and Market	15215	....	....
Ninth and Market	12638	....	....
Drumm and Market	12530	....	....
Front and Market	11769	....	....
Pine and Market	10458	....	....
Seventh and Market	8534	....	....
Market and Fulton	8339	....	....

Operation of Traffic Signals.

It is recommended that all of the signals installed in the central business district and those immediately adjacent to it be connected with a central station so designed as to make possible a coordinated control. In a subsequent report the Survey will make specific recommendations relating to the technical details of signal control.

Whenever a series of signals are to be located along any one street at intervals not exceeding two hun-

dred yards, provision should be made for the connection of these signals with a timing device which will control the operation of the group. By the coordination of groups of signals it will be possible to operate them on a progressive control schedule which will result in a minimum of inconvenience to traffic.

Types of Signals.

It is felt by the Traffic Survey that the selection of the type of signal which is to be adopted as a standard for San Francisco is a matter of discretion which will be wisely decided by the public officials in whom the authority resides.

For the purpose of the general system of traffic control which is being developed by the Survey there are certain elements in signal designs which should be emphasized:

First: The signal should be of such a character that its indications can be made visible for one hundred yards under average conditions of weather, surroundings, mounting and lighting.

Second: The signal should be designed for post mounting along the curb line. This is a requisite because of the complex intersections along Market street and the necessity to keep a maximum of uniformity between all installations in the city.

Third: The signal head should be so designed that it can give indication in at least two directions, and preferably four, and at any reasonable angle.

Fourth: The signal should be so designed that it gives not only alternate stop and go directions, but, as well, indication of a neutral interval when traffic in all directions may be stopped.

Fifth: There should be a maximum of flexibility in the control apparatus. The total cycle should be readily and instantly variable within a reasonable range. The ratio between stop and go intervals should be capable of instant adjustment. The neutral interval, whether indicated by bells or by lights, should be readily variable within the cycle.

Sixth: Each intersection installation should be equipped for manual control in case such operation should be required.

Seventh: It is axiomatic that the apparatus should be of such design and construction as to give the most certain and dependable service.

Respectfully submitted,

MILLER McCLINTOCK,
Director.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Bridge Matters.

Condemnation of Mission Rock for Bridge Pier.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, A. McLean Hawks, bridge and mining engineer, has called the attention of this Board to the advantages of Mission Rock as the location for the main bridge pier or bridgehead of the San Francisco Bay bridge, and without thought of remueration or reward has suggested that this location be considered by this City for its public bridge location; and

Whereas, Mission Rock, in this engineers' judgment, has unequalled advantages as regards stability of the bridge, maximum length and height of channel spans, comparative shallowness of water to the east, economy of cost, absence of obstruction to shipping, facility to automobile traffic within San Francisco and passing through San Francisco, and the handling of interurban and transcontinental trains; and

Whereas, the bay bridge plan originally proposed by Hawks, later duplicated by Gustave Lindenthal, and embodied in the McSheehy resolution, opens the way for the selection by this Board of a site that shall be the best site, irrespective of whether or not it has been previously proposed by private parties; and

Whereas, the Mission Rock site has not been proposed by any private group seeking a franchise, but remains open for selection by this Board as the City's site if its apparent advantages be borne out by careful study; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to file immediate condemnation proceedings against property known as Mission Rock, in order to protect the City at this time against any private proposal which may seek hereafter to utilize this site; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Special Bridge Committee of this Board be and it is hereby instructed to give careful study to this location as the possible choice of the City and County of San Francisco.

Referred to Special Bridge Committee.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

The following applications were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on

a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Privilege of the Floor.

Colonel Rand: Mr. Mayor and Gentlemen of the Board: On October 1st Colonel Lukesh, whose name has appeared in the proceedings with respect to bridges, as the division engineer of the Pacific Division, which supervises the district offices, and Major Schulz, who held a public hearing and holds one of the district offices, Colonel Lukesh was relieved by Colonel Jackson. We, of course, have been conferring continually with Colonel Jackson since he took over that office, and the other day Colonel Jackson indicated to me that if the Board of Supervisors was in any doubt as to the War Department's relations with the Board of Supervisors he would be willing, on invitation from the Board of Supervisors, to appear before them and explain his opinions on the subject. I am simply making this as a suggestion. We did not want to constitute ourselves as a body, as a self-coordinated or self-designated body, to ask the Supervisors to hear him, or to ask him to appear before the Supervisors. At the same time he is not at all particularly impressed with the dignity of his office and would not require a formal invitation; that his views on the subject could be expressed to the Board and would be received by the Board with thanks, and that would be enough to bring him here to address the Board and answer questions that the Board might wish to propound on the subject. Colonel Jackson, of course, travels over the State a great deal, and I cannot say just when he will be here again. He is in town today, and I think he will arrange his other itinerary to suit the convenience of the Board at any time, and we merely want to transmit the information, without suggesting it either way, that he will be willing to appear before the Board and explain his views. Now, I personally happen to known that Colonel Jackson has the utmost confidence of the present Chief of Engineers. I, of course, would not quote him as to what his statements would be. He told me that, in a general way, they would be the same as mine would have been five or six years ago. Personally, I want to state that I have learned a lot in the last five or six years. We are not introducing him as our witness, and if the opportunity presents, and the opportunity requires, we may want to possibly controvert some of his statements. At the same time, I do not think we will want to do that. I would particularly ask that if he appears, and if any member of the Board wants further enlightenment on the subject of the relations of the national defense and the considerations that those have properly in the War Department's consideration of the general question, that they ask him questions on that point. Now, I appreciate that on October 26th, at the conclusion of my hearing, about 4:30 in the afternoon, by a very definite motion of the Board, and on roll call of every particular applicant's proposition, by Mr. Dunnigan, without any further answers of people who wanted to be heard on the situation, the hearings before the Board were definitely terminated and everybody lost any absolute right to appear before the Board in connection with those hearings. At the same time, this Board has already, on one occasion, reopened the hearings for the specific purpose of hearing Dr. Lindenthal on his statement that he wanted to submit certain matters with respect to earthquake-proof construction, developed by General Goethals at the Panama Canal, and they heard Dr. Lindenthal on a great many other subjects. So that it is not without precedent that the Board can reopen those hearings without in any way impairing the legality, of which some of our legal friends have been afraid would be

hurt if the hearings went into further detail.

The Board thereupon proceeded with applications, to-wit:

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of R S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommo-

dations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30.000 feet. more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued*

until December 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland. if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the followin vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks. McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton. Todd—18.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehv, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

War Department Telegram.

Washington,
November 30, 1926.

Mr. Dunnigan, Room 235 City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Conference to be held December 10th regarding bridging San Fran-

cisco Bay postponed as requested your letter November 23d.

DEAKYNE,
Acting Chief of Engineers.

Referred to Special Bridge Committee.

Telegram.

A telegram from New York, addressed to the Board of Supervisors, dated November 25, 1926: "I wish to thank you for your special hearing on bridge matter courteously accorded me last week and to compliment you on accepting my suggestion regarding method of approaching the problem. Myself and General Goethals offer our services to make study for best location and plan of bridge fully acceptable to your body and the War Department and to be financed with private capital within practicable financial limits, and with a view to speedy construction and early amortization and ownership by the City after completion. Gustave Lindenthal."

Referred to the Special Committee.

The Clerk was instructed, on motion of Supervisor Stanton, to prepare a telegram to the War Department, requesting the Department to give its findings in the matter of the hearings looking to a modification of its ruling that there must be no bridge north of Hunter's Point, and to submit same for the approval of the Board after recess.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you, Mr. Mayor, that, having been informed that Colonel T. H. Jackson, Division Engineer of the United States Government, would be willing to visit us, that he be extended an invitation to come and sit with us, to express any views he may feel like expressing relative to bridges across San Francisco Bay or similar projects.

So ordered.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

* * * * * *

After the noon recess the following proceedings were had:

Telegram.

The Clerk: Supervisor Stanton presents the following: "San Francisco, December 6. Secretary of War and Chief of Engineers of the War Department, Washington, D. C. Referring to applications for franchises to bridge San Francisco Bay, the Board of Supervisors desires to know at this time if the War Department officials have modified or will modify its policy as announced in 1921 and reaffirmed in 1925, which was, in effect, that no bridge would be permitted north of Hunter's Point. Reference also to hearings last December on request to modify aforesaid policy and decision which was due last July but withheld. Does the Department desire any further information or argument before making final decision?"

Supervisor Stanton: I move that the telegram be sent to the War Department.

Supervisor Schmidt: I will second that motion.

Privilege of the Floor.

Col. T. H. Jackson, Division Engineer of the Engineering Division of the Pacific Coast, War Department, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board at length as to the effect of the policy of the War Department upon the authority of the Board to grant a franchise for a bay bridge and matters pertaining thereto.

At the conclusion of his remarks Colonel Jackson was, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, given a vote of thanks.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher: I desire to amend that to include the following words: "Will the Department hear further argument on behalf of the people of California reiterating our petition for modification your 1921 ruling? Will you hear special committees and experts at Washington on a date to be later agreed, and will ruling be withheld pending presentations looking to modification of rulings? Should our representatives present specific location and plan of bridge, or will your Department permit presentation of several sets of plans and different locations at the time of said hearing?" If I get a second to that I will tell you the purpose of it.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Roncovieri, Todd—6.

Motion Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Stanton's motion and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—5.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Shannon—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd—2.

* * * * * *

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26502 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be exended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Nov. 19, 1926), $1,051.90.

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(2) James Rolph, Jr., for relief of destitute women and children (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $1,-100.00.

Auditorium Fund.

(3) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for Auditorium (claim dated Nov. 16, 1926), $717.35.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(6) City Coal Co., coal, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 12. 1926), $726.49.

(7) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $1,-012.16.

(8) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Calif., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $680.62.

(9) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $1,521.45.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(10) Justin N. Ducray, construction of sidewalks, curbs and pavement fronting the Seventeenth-street car barn of Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,762.33.

(11) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $3,220.07.

(12) Market Street Railway Co., October reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,613.67.

(13) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service furnished Municipal Railways for October (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $37,596.52.

(14) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Nov. 9, 1926), $6,835.83.

Special School Tax.

(15) George H. Tay Co., urinals and fittings for schools (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $940.00.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(16) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,579.85.

(17) John Finn Metal Works, galvanizing traffic buttons (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $3,259.46.

(18) The Berger Mfg. Co., 12 document files, County Clerk (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $1,058.40.

(19) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto, less allowance, for Bureau of Supplies (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $1,000.00.

(20) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., filing equipment, Clerk's office, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $588.80.

(21) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $52,879.37.

(22) G. H. & H. Kahn Co., X-ray films, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $2,200.-28.

(23) J. Theo. Erlin Co., muslin, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $690.00.

(24) H. F. Dugan, drugs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $666.22.

(25) Sherman, Clay & Co., piano, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $656.25.

(26) California Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,252.-67.

(27) Union Sheep Co., mutton, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31. 1926). $530.57.

(28) H. Moffat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,471.04.

(29) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $2,392.15.

(30) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $4,093.35.

(31) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $2,106.-36.

(32) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $2,137.34.

(33) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1.672.63.

(34) H. Moffat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $640.03.

(35) Morris & Co., butter, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,065.51.

(36) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $555.14.

(37) Del Monte Meat Co., meats,

San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $1,784.88.

(38) Union Transfer Co., delivering of election supplies (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $525.00.

(39) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing election poll and tally lists (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $4,399.00.

(40) Phillips & Van Orden Co., election ballots (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $562.10.

(41) Phillips & Van Orden Co., election ballots (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $8,478.00.

(42) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Charter Amendments, for election (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,120.00.

(43) California Printing Co., printing supplementary index to election register (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $1,377.60.

(44) Tidewater Products Co., repairing roof of warehouse, Election Dept. (claim dated Nov. 8, 1926), $517.75.

(45) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for November (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $625.00.

(46) The California Baking Co., bread. County Jails (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $783.04.

(47) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,333.90.

(48) Recorder Printing & Publishing Company, printing proceedings of bridge hearings (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $1,092.

(49) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $725.73.

(50) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,735.31.

(51) Q & S Tire Co., auto tires, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,345.16.

(52) The Seagrave Corporation, apparatus parts, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,940.67.

(53) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $1,007.23.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water service and installing hydrants, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $900.77.

(55) The White Co., one White auto chassis, Fire Dept. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1926), $3,831.05.

Park Fund.

(56) J. A. Bryant, sixth payment, construction of clubhouse, Kezar Stadium, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Nov. 19, 1926), $12,266.00.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(57) San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, for expenses incurred and paid for account of visit to San Francisco of the American Association of Railway Ticket Agents, for the Publicity and Advertising of San Francisco; City's portion of the expense (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $2,000.00.

(58) Redwood Highway Association (of California) for printing and supplies for the Publicity and Advertising of San Francisco in connection with the California Redwood Highway; (claim dated Nov. 22, 1926), $612.23.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Appropriations for Reconstruction and Repair of Certain Streets.

Resolution No. 26503 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated Budget Items, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the reconstruction and repair of the streets provided for by said designated Budget Items, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 115. Bay street, Laguna to Fillmore, $13,500.00.

Budget Item No. 139. Elizabeth street, Grand View avenue to Hoffman street, $6,300.00.

Budget Item No. 99. Gilbert street, Bryant to Brannan, $2,250.00.

Budget Item No. 141. Nineteenth street, extension to Mono, $13,500.00.

Budget Item No. 138. Peralta street, Tomasa to Montcalm, $13,500.00.

Budget Item No. 140. Tehama street, Second to Third, $3,771.00.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Certain Streets.

Resolution No. 26504 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of the following highways, to-wit:

(1) Turk street, Masonic to Willard, $36,000.00.

(2) Portola drive, Twenty-fourth avenue to Laguna Honda boulevard, $18,000.00.

(3) Hunt street, off Third, $2,500.00.

(4) Clay street, Mason to Taylor, $5,000.00.

(5) Washington street, Mason to Taylor, $5,000.00.

(6) Jackson street, Powell to Mason, $4,000.00.

(7) Mason street, Pine to California, $4,000.00.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Appropriation, $3,163 for Work in Front of City Property on Twenty-fourth Avenue Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets.

Resolution No. 26505 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,163 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for the improvement of Twenty-fourth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets at City property. (F. W. Hyland contract.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Appropriating $76,500, Payment to J. B. De Maria for Property at Beach and Divisadero Streets Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26506 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $76,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to J. B. De Maria; being payment for property commencing at the intersection of the southerly line of Beach street with the easterly line of Divisadero street, running thence easterly on the southerly line of Beach street 275 feet; thence at right angles southerly 275 feet; thence at right angles westerly along the northerly line of North Point street 275 feet; thence at right angles northerly on Divisadero street 275 feet to the point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26426 (New Series), and required for school purposes. (Claim dated Nov. 22, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Appropriations in Payment of Tax Judgments.

Resolution No. 26507 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation No. 59, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons and company; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Vogelsang & Brown, fourth installment, for account of judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Nov. 5, 1926), $2,794.37.

(2) To Pillsbury, Madison & Sutro, for account of judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Sept, 30, 1926), $2,952.85.

(3) To The Western Pacific Railroad Co. (claim dated Oct. 29, 1926), $585.76.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Appropriation, $3,276, for Burglar Alarm System for Treasurer and Tax Collector's Offices.

Resolution No. 26508 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,276 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to defray the cost of the installation of a burglar alarm system for the protection of the vaults in the offices of the Treasurer and of the Tax Collector; per award to Butte Electric and Manufacturing Co.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Appropriations for Land Required for Playground Purposes.

Resolution No. 26509 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, Appropriation 49, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for playground purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Ralph Dumaraz, for property commencing at point on the southerly line of Montana street, distant thereon 400 feet westerly from westerly line of Plymouth avenue; thence westerly on Montana street 100 feet; thence southerly 125 feet; thence easterly 100 feet; thence northerly 125 feet; being part of Lot 2 in Block "S," Railroad Homestead Association. (Claim dated Nov. 3, 1926), $1,820.24.

(2) To Theodore M. Waller, for property commencing at point on the westerly line of Plymouth avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lobos street; thence running northerly on Plymouth avenue 25 feet; thence westerly 100 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 100 feet; being part of Lot 8 in Block "P," Railroad Homestead Association. (Claim dated Nov. 10, 1926), $900.

(3) To A. L. Tubbs Company, for property beginning at point on the westerly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon 505 feet 11 inches northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street; running thence northerly on Connecticut street 44 feet 1 inch; thence westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street; thence southerly on Arkansas street 44 feet 1 inch; thence easterly 200 feet; being portion of Lot 1 of Block 4163-4196 as per Assessor's Block Book; also, for property beginning at point on westerly line of Missouri street, distant thereon 383 feet 1 inch northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence running northerly on Missouri street 166 feet 11 inches; thence westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Connecticut street; thence southerly on Connecticut street 102 feet 3 inches; thence southeasterly 210 feet 3 inches to the point of beginning. (Claim dated Nov. 10, 1926), $2,858.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26510 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(1) R. W. Kinney Co., seventh payment, wrought steel pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $2,526.74.

(2) Sonora Meat Market, meats furnished Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 26, 1926), $896.44.

(3) Hales & Symons, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 20, 1926), $1,358.23.

(4) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 19, 1926), $1,278.05.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 19, 1926), $698.78.

(6) Sonora Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 20, 1926), $577.15.

(7) United States Steel Products Co., electric cable, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 19, 1926), $591.69.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(8) Anna Goffinet, compromise agreement by City Attorney for account of injuries received (claim dated Nov. 20, 1926), $2,500.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(9) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for side sewers (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $1,046.22.

Special School Tax.

(10) O. Monson, seventh payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $17,362.50.

(11) Vogt & Davidson, final payment, general construction of additional rooms to Spring Valley School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $5,205.

County Road Fund.

(12) Municipal Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Stanyan street, Fulton to McAllister streets (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $984.74.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(13) Gunn Furniture Co., table tops for High School of Commerce (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $587.50.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(14) Heywood-Wakefield Co., desk chairs for Sanchez School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $2,627.70.

(15) Burnham Plumbing Co., sprinkler system, Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,752.33.

(16) John Reid, Jr., sixteenth payment, architectural service for new Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 15, 1926), $1,589.96.

(17) Gilley-Schmid Co., final payment, plumbing, etc., for Dudley Stone School (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $3,958.50.

(18) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction of Dudley Stone School (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $10,000.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(19) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing work for Children Ward, southeast wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $1,157.92.

Park Fund.

(20) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $1,212.81.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(21) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $845.47.

(22) Overland Freight Transfer Co., moving of voting machines (claim dated Nov. 16, 1926), $2,379.30.

(23) School Repairs, Budget Item No. 1, to reimburse for stock withdrawals for account San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 20, 1926), $892.98.

(24) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $734.03.

(25) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $2,696.45.

(26) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $3,887.70.

(27) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 18, 1926), $2,945.55.

(28) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Nov. 18, 1926), $725.08.

(29) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $6,200.

(30) Eaton & Smith, City's liability for improvement of Worcester avenue, Randolph street to Orizaba avenue (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $6,357.06.

(31) T. M. Gallagher, reconstruction of Silver avenue and Madrid street (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $693.68.

(32) M. J. Treacy, improvement of Harrison street between Army street and Precita avenue (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $2,696.

(33) Louis T. Samuels, for rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, for month ending Dec. 4, 1926, $1,186.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(34) O. Monson, sixth payment, general construction of West Portal School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $15,843.75.

(35) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for addition to Guadalupe School (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $2,743.26.

(Supervisor Powers requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on item 33.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Authorizations for Payment for Improvement Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Resolution No. 26511 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund (appropriations heretofore made for widening of San Jose avenue) in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the widening of San Jose avenue, to-wit:

(1) To Emilia and Joseph Toboni, for property commencing at point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 76.275 feet southwesterly from southwesterly line of Tingley street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26460 (New Series). (Claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $2,227.

(2) To L. B. and Minnie M. Baumgartner, for property beginning at point of intersection of southwesterly line of Tingley street with southwesterly line of San Jose avenue, running thence southwesterly on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet; thence southeasterly 17.544 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution

No. 26461 (New Series). (Claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $415.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Authorization, $800, Payment to Fredericke Meon for Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 26512 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $800 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to Fredericke Meon; being payment for property in Lot 41 of Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as delineated on page 169 of Map Book "E" and "F"; and as per description by Resolution No. 26462 (New Series); required for the opening of Bernal Cut. (Claim dated Nov. 24, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Appropriating $1,620 Additional Compensation for Twelve Members of the Fire Department Advanced to Lieutenancy.

Resolution No. 26513 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,620 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of Appropriation 41-A (Fire Department Personal Services), to provide additional compensation from January 1, 1927, to June 30, 1927, for 12 Fire Department members advanced to the possition of lieutenants.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Appropriation, $10,000, Wiley Traffic Signals.

Resolution No. 26514 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals, Installation of, etc," Budget Item No. 69, for the installation of traffic signals, known as the Wiley Signal; the locations for the installation of said signals to be directed by the Police Department.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 26515 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Anderson & Rowe, west side of Steiner street, 80 feet north of Union street, 3000 gallons capacity.

E. A. Connely, Inc., corner of Retiro way and Casa way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Fuller, northeast corner of Broadway and Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. L. Harrigan, south side of Vallejo street, 75 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. W. Hopkins Estate, northwest corner of First and Mission streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. W. Stevens, east side of Thirty-third avenue, 175 feet south of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Vodden, west side of Twenty-fifth avenue, 150 feet north of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. G. Wilder, north side of Divisadero street, 75 feet north of North Point street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christianson Bros., east side of Divisadero street, 60 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26516 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Leon G. Kahn, 544 Forty-fourth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., 3355 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Liberty Cleaning and Dyeing Works, south side of Duboce avenue, 120 feet east of Valencia street, two tanks of 1500 gallons capacity.

G. L. Nelson, southeast corner of Mallorca way and Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O'Brien & Kiernan Investment Co., south side of Bush street, 100 feet east of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Peninsula Burner & Oil Co., south line of Marina boulevard, 75 feet west of Fillmore street, 600 gallons capacity.

Alvara Ramazzotti, 1452 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. D. Shea, north side of Green street, 150 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Stulsaft Co., 548 Fifth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Liberty Cleaning and Dyeing Works, south side of Duboce avenue, 120 feet east of Valencia street, 100 horsepower.

Tait's Coffee Shop, 2539 Mission street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26517 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Benjamin Schnier be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the south line of Cabrillo street, 55 feet west of Forty-seventh avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26518 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Benjamin Schnier be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Forty-seventh avenue and Cabrillo street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26519 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Sixteenth and Bryant streets and Fifteenth street and Potrero avenue, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privilges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Dog Hospital Permit.

Resolution No. 26520 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Oscar J. Kron be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a dog hospital in premises at 1386 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent,

McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Authorizing Plans for Sewer Connection, Fleishhacker Playfield.

Bill No. 7800, Ordinance No. 7311 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a sewer connection from the Fleishhacker Playfield to the main sewers at Sloat boulevard and the Great Highway, and ordering the construction of said sewer connection from the Fleishhacker Playfield to the main sewers at Sloat boulevard and the Great Highway in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to contract for the construction of said sewer connection.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of a sewer connection from the Fleishhacker Playfield to the main sewers at Sloat boulevard and the Great Highway, and to enter into contract for said construction of said sewer connection, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance sall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Ordering Reconstruction and Repair of Certain Accepted Streets.

Bill No. 7801, Ordinance No. 7312 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), approved June 13, 1926, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $100,800, payable out of the hereinafter designated Budget Items for the Fiscal Year 1926-1927 for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 146. Bonita street, Polk street westerly, $1,350.-00.

Budget Item No. 94. Broadway, Columbus avenue to Stockton street, $7,200.00.

Budget Item No. 135. California street, Van Ness avenue to Fillmore, $48,600.00.

Budget Item No. 87. Eighteenth street, Noe to Douglass, $9,000.00.

Budget Item No. 107. Monroe street, Pine to Bush, $2,025.00.

Budget Item No. 92. North Point street, Van Ness avenue to Larkin, $8,100.00.

Budget Item No. 93. North Point street, Columbus avenue to Hyde, $7,200.00.

Budget Item No. 109. Sacramento street, Front to Battery, $3,600.00.

Budget Item No. 144. Sacramento street, Franklin to Gough, $4,500.00.

Budget Item No. 130. Vallejo street, Jones to Leavenworth, $4,-500.00.

Budget Item No. 145. Water street, Mason to Taylor, $2,475.00.

Budget Item No. 91. Hyde street, Beach street to North Point, $2,-250.00.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance.

Bill No. 7827, Ordinance No. 7313 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map is hereby changed so as to place in the Commercial District, instead of in the First Residential District, the following described area:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue and the easterly line of Beverly street, if produced southerly, and running thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue to the easterly line of a twenty (20) foot strip of land belonging to the Spring Valley Water Company; thence southeasterly along said easterly line of said twenty (20) foot strip of land to a point distant 100 feet at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue; thence southeasterly parallel to and distant 100 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue to the easterly line of Beverly street, if produced and extended southerly; thence northerly along the easterly line of Beverly street, if produced and extended southerly, to the southwesterly line of Worcester avenue and the point of beginning.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Rescinding Set-back Line Establishment on the Southerly Side of Garfield Street Between Beverly Street and Orizaba Avenue.

Bill No. 7828, Ordinance No. 7314 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing such portions of Ordinance No. 6371 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 7012 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along portions of Garfield street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6371 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Garfield street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, and so much of Ordinance No. 7012 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the southerly side of Garfield street between Beverly street and Orizaba avenue, be and the same are hereby repealed. As recommended by the City Planning Commission November 9, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Block Bounded by Army and Andrews Streets and San Bruno Avenue.

Bill No. 7829, Ordinance No. 7315 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Army street, Andrew street and San Bruno avenue in the Heavy Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Southwest Corner of Laidley Street and Roanoke Street.

Bill No. 7830, Ordinance No. 7316 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Laidley street and

Roanoke street, for a distance of 50 feet on Roanoke street and 113 feet on Laidley street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Board of Public Works to Contract for Safety Lane Markers.

Bill No. 7831, Ordinance No. 7317 (New Series), as follows:

Directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for installing safety lane markers in the public streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for bids and enter into a contract for installing safety lane markers at locations to be designated by the Police Department. Provision may be made for progressive payments to be made during the process of such installation.

Section 2. This ordinance will take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Spur Track Permit, The Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Bill No. 7832, Ordinance No. 7318 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Western Pacific Railroad Company (a corporation), to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Langton street between Bryant and Brannan streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing track of the applicant, known as the "Langton Street Spur," distant thereon approximately 293 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Bryant street; thence in a general northwesterly direction, with turnout to the left, approximately 65 feet; thence on a 20-degree curve to the left, having a radius of 286.84 feet, a distance of approximately 36 feet; thence on a reverse curve to the right, having a radius of 286.84 feet, a distance of approximately 72 feet to a point distant 8½ feet northeasterly, measured at a right angle from the southwesterly line of said Langton street; thence in a direct line northwesterly 8½ feet from and parallel with said southwesterly line of Langton street approximately 122 feet to the said southeasterly line of Bryant street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company; provided, that The Western Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Ordering Street Work on Vermont and Other Streets.

Bill No. 7802, Ordinance No. 7319 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Vermont street from Twentieth street to Twenty-second street, including the crossing of Vermont street and Twentieth street and the intersection of Twenty-first street and Vermont street, and the improvement of Twenty-first street from Vermont street to San Bruno avenue, including the intersection of San Bruno avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete walls, balustrades, concrete stairways, concrete pavements, asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete base and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface; concrete curbs, artificial stone sidewalks, sewers and appurtenances, brick catchbasins with appurtenances and culverts, and brick manholes with appurtenances.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 94488 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Ordering Street Work on Diamond and Other Streets.

Bill No. 7803, Ordinance No. 7320 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Diamond street between Army street and Twenty-eighth street, including the crossings of Army street, Twenty-*

seventh street, Duncan street and Twenty-eighth street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above-mentioned crossings; by the construction of the following brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts—3 on the crossing of Army street, 2 on the crossing of Twenty-seventh street, 2 on the crossing of Duncan street and 2 on the crossing of Twenty-eighth street; by the construction of 8-inch ironstone pipe sewers along the center line of Twenty-seventh street and along the center line of Duncan street between the westerly and center lines of Diamond street; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of concrete pavement between Army street and Twenty-seventh street and between Duncan street and Twenty-eighth street; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 94480 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7804, Ordinance No. 7321 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk on the westerly side of Twenty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and two hundred (200) feet north of the north line of Irving street to a line parallel with and two hundred and twenty-five (225) feet north of the north line of Irving street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7805, Ordinance No. 7322 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said

work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk on the westerly line of Forty-fourth avenue from the northerly line of Irving street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet northerly therefrom, and on the northerly line of Irving street from the westerly line of Forty-fourth avenue to a line parallel with and thirty-two (32) feet six (6) inches westerly therefrom.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7806, Ordinance No. 7323 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 28, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Allison street between Mission and Cross streets; Acadia street between Joost and Mangels avenues; Twenty-first street between Diamond and Eureka streets; Texas street between the southerly line of Twentieth street and the northerly line of Sierra street extended; Twentieth street between Texas and Mississippi streets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7807, Ordinance No. 7324 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement

Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk on the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue from the northerly line of Balboa street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet north of the north line of Balboa street and on the northerly line of Balboa street from the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue to a line parallel with and thirty-two (32) feet six (6) inches easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7808, Ordinance No. 7325 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Le Conte avenue between Third and Keith streets*, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 2 brick manholes and 14 Y branches along the center line of Le Conte avenue from a point 20 feet westerly from the westerly line of Third street to the center line of Keith street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7809, Ordinance No. 7326 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows.

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 28, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifi-

cations are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respecively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Italy avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7810, Ordinance No. 7327 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *crossings of Funston avenue and Lawton street, and of the crossing of Funston avenue and Moraga street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 2 brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts in the crossing of Funston avenue and Lawton street, and 3 brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts in the crossing of Funston avenue and Moraga street; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers: A 12-inch along the center line of Funston avenue between the center and southerly lines of Lawton street, a 12-inch with accompanying brick manhole along the center line of Funston avenue between the center and southerly lines of Moraga street, a 12-inch along the center line of Lawton street between the center and the westerly lines of Funston avenue, and a 12-inch along the center line of Moraga street between the easterly and westerly lines of Funston avenue; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway of the crossing of Funston avenue and Lawton street; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway of the crossing of Funston avenue and Moraga street, and by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of both the above mentioned crossings.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7811, Ordinance No. 7328 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Evans avnue between Rankin street and Napoleon street, including the intersection of Evans avenue and Selby street, excepting that portion occupied by the drainage ditch and bridge over same*, by grading to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7812, Ordinance No. 7329 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets*, and the improvement of *Forty-fourth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7813, Ordinance No. 7330 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width on *Liebig street, from San Jose avenue to the County line*, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7814, Ordinance No. 7331 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Pacheco street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7815, Ordinance No. 7332 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco,

approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Valley street between Noe and Castro streets*, where not already improved, by resetting the concrete curbs; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, where not already constructed; by the construction of a concrete pavement, where not already constructed, and by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7816, Ordinance No. 7333 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Munich street between Brazil and Persia avenues*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7817, Ordinance No. 7334 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Evans avenue between Phelps street and Quint street, including the crossing of Evans avenue and Phelps street*, by grading to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7818, Ordinance No. 7335 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformitv with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, shall be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Noe streets*, where not already so improved, and the improvement of *Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets*, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof where not already constructed, and the improvement of the *crossing of Twenty-eighth and Castro streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs on the southeasterly and southwesterly angular corners; by the construction of concrete curbs on the northerly side between the easterly and westerly lines of Castro street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the southeasterly and southwesterly angular corners;

by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 8 feet in width adjacent to the curb on the northerly side of Twenty-eighth street between the easterly and westerly lines of Castro street; by the construction of 2 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Castro street between the center and northerly lines of Twenty-eighth street, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7819, Ordinance No. 7336 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Ward street between Brussels and Girard streets:* On the northerly one-half of Ward street from the westerly line of Girard street to a line 132.5 feet westerly from and parallel with Girard street, and from a line 182.5 feet westerly from and parallel with Girard street to the easterly line of Brussels street; on the southerly one-half of Ward street from the easterly line of Brussels street to a line 32.5 feet easterly from and parallel with Brussels street, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of the *crossing of Girard street and Ward street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers: An 8-inch along the center line of Girard street between the center and northerly lines of Ward street; a 12-inch along the center line of Ward street between the center and the easterly lines of Girard street; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7820, Ordinance No. 7337 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12,

1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Kirkham street between the easterly line of Forty-second avenue and Forty-third avenue, including the crossing of Kirkham street and Forty-second avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 21-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manhole, Y branches and side sewers; by the construction of an 18-inch ironstone pipe sewer; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer; by the construction of 4 catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Bill No. 7821, Ordinance No. 7338 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Lake View avenue between Plymouth avenue and Brighton avenue*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying Y branches and side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Gilbert Street.

Bill No. 7822, Ordinance No. 7339 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and forty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 28, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and forty, to read as follows:

Section 940. The width of sidewalks on Gilbert street, the easterly side of, between Bryant street and Brannan street, shall be five (5) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Gilbert street, the westerly side of, between Bryant street and Brannan street, shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Harriet Street.

Bill No. 7823, Ordinance No. 7340 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 28, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-nine, to read as follows:

Section 939. The width of sidewalks on Harriet street, the easterly side of, between Bryant street and Brannan street, shall be five (5) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harriet street, the westerly side of, between Bryant street and Brannan street, shall be four (4) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Langton Street.

Bill No. 7824, Ordinance No. 7341 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 28, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and thirty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 938. The width of sidewalks on Langton street, the westerly side of, between Harrison street and Bryant street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Langton street, the easterly side of, between Harrison street and a point 270.5 feet southerly from Harrison street, shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Langton street, the easterly side of, between Bryant street and a point 170.5 feet northerly from Bryant street, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Langton street, the easterly side of, between the points 270.5 feet southerly from Harrison street and 270.5 feet northerly from Bryant street, the outside line bounding the curb shall be a reverse curve connecting said points.

The width of sidewalks on Langton street between Bryant street and

a point 412.5 feet southerly from Bryant street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Establishing Grades on Fifteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 7825, Ordinance No. 7342 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Fifteenth avenue between Wawona street and West Portal avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Fifteenth avenue between Wawona street and West Portal avenue are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed November 5, 1926.

Fifteenth Avenue.

Wawona street, 258.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly curb line of, 157.70 feet southerly from Wawona street, 265.60 feet.

Easterly curb line of, 207.70 feet southerly from Wawona street, 269.13 feet.

Easterly curb line of, 50 feet southerly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 274.93 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly curb line of, 36.57 feet southerly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 280.00 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 161.02 feet southerly from Wawona street, 265.82 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 211.02 feet southerly from Wawona street, 269.53 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 50 feet southerly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 274.31 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly curb line of, 22.69 feet southerly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 276.73 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 27 feet southerly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 279.60 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 27 feet southerly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 280.60 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Fifteenth avenue between Wawona street and West Portal avenue be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7826, Ordinance No. 7343 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadways of Baker street between the southerly line of Chestnut street and the northerly line of North Point street, including the crossings of Chestnut street, Francisco street, Bay street and North Point street; Broderick street between the southerly line of Chestnut street and the southerly line of Marina boulevard, including the crossings of Chestnut street, Francisco street, Bay street, North Point street, Beach street and Jefferson street; Divisadero street between Chestnut street and the Marina boulevard, including the crossings of Francisco street, Bay street, North Point street, Beach street and Jefferson street; Chestnut street between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Francisco street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Bay street between Scott street and Divisadero street. between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; North Point street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Beach street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street, between Broderick

street and Baker street, between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila street and Pierce street, between Pierce street and Mallorca way, between Cervantes boulevard and Retiro way and between Retiro way and Fillmore street; Jefferson street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Marina boulevard between Fillmore street and Baker street, including the intersections of Fillmore street, Avila street, Casa way, Cervantes boulevard, Scott street, Divisadero street, Broderick street and Baker street; Scott street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, including the crossing of Francisco street and the intersections of Bay street, Capra way, North Point street, Beach street, Prado street, Jefferson street and Cervantes boulevard; Avila street between Chestnut street and Prado street, including the crossings of Alhambra street, Capra way, Beach street and the intersection of Prado street, and between Cervantes boulevard and Marina boulevard, and the intersection of Rico way; Pierce street between Chestnut street and Beach street, including the crossings of Pierce street and Alhambra street and Pierce street and Capra way and the intersection of Toledo way and the intersection of Beach street; Mallorca way between Chestnut street and Beach street, including the crossing of Alhambra street, the intersection of Toledo way, the intersection of Capra way, the intersection of Beach street; Fillmore street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, including the intersection of Cervantes boulevard, the intersection of Beach street and the intersection of Retiro way; Toledo way between Mallorca way and Pierce street; Alhambra street between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila street and Pierce street between Pierce street and Mallorca way and between Mallorca way and Cervantes boulevard; Capra way between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila street and Pierce street and between Pierce street and Mallorca way; Cervantes boulevard between Scott street and Fillmore street, including the crossing of Cervantes boulevard and Prado street and Avila street, Beach street and Mallorca way, and the intersection of Alhambra street; Prado street between Scott street and Cervantes boulevard; Rico way between Avila street and Retiro way; Casa way between Marina boulevard and Retiro way; Retiro way between Beach street and Fillmore street, including the intersection of Beach street, the intersection of Rico way and the intersection of Casa way.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Artitle VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Baker street between the southerly line of Chestnut street and the northerly line of North Point street, including the crossings of Chestnut street, Francisco street, Bay street and North Point street; Broderick street between the southerly line of Chestnut street and the southerly line of Marina boulevard, including the crossings of Chestnut street, Francisco street, Bay street, North Point street, Beach street and Jefferson street; Divisadero street between Chestnut street and the Marina boulevard, including the crossings of Francisco street, Bay street, North Point street, Beach street and Jefferson street; Chestnut street between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Francisco street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Bay street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; North Point street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Beach street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street, between Broderick street and Baker street, between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila street and Pierce street, between Pierce street and Mallorca

way, between Cervantes boulevard and Retiro way and between Retiro way and Fillmore street; Jefferson street between Scott street and Divisadero street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street and between Broderick street and Baker street; Marina boulevard between Fillmore street and Baker street, including the intersections of Fillmore street, Avila street, Casa way, Cervantes boulevard, Scott street, Divisadero street, Broderick street and Baker street; Scott street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, including the crossing of Francisco street and the intersections of Bay street, Capra way, North Point street, Beach street, Prado street, Jefferson street and Cervantes boulevard; Avila street between Chestnut street and Prado street, including the crossings of Alhambra street, Capra way, Beach street and the intersection of Prado street, and between Cervantes boulevard and Marina boulevard, and the intersection of Rico way; Pierce street between Chestnut street and Beach street, including the crossings of Pierce street and Alhambra street and Pierce street and Capra way and the intersection of Toledo way and the intersection of Beach street; Mallorca way between Chestnut street and Beach street, including the crossing of Alhambra street, the intersection of Toledo way, the intersection of Capra way, the intersection of Beach street; Fillmore street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, including the intersection of Cervantes boulevard, the intersection of Beach street and the intersection of Retiro way; Toledo way between Mallorca way and Pierce street; Alhambra street between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila street and Pierce street, between Pierce street and Mallorca way and between Mallorca way and Cervantes boulevard; Caura way between Scott street and Avila street, between Avila stret and Pierce street and between Pierce stret and Mallorca way; Cervantes boulevard between Scott street and Fillmore street, including the crosing of Cervantes boulevard and Prado street and Avila street, Beach street and Mallorca way, and the intersection of Alhambra street; Prado street between Scott street and Cervantes boulevard; Rico way between Avila street and Retiro way; Casa way between Marina boulevard and Retiro way; Retiro way between Beach street and Fillmore street, including the intersection of Beach street, the intersection of Rico way and the intersection of Casa way.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $48,359.96, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, $50.65.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation award, $104.15.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for parks (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $1,854.71.

(2) Spencer Planing Mill millwork for Lincoln Park Clubhouse (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $560.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(3) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 25, 1926), $2,266.62.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(4) J. W. Burtchaell, fourth payment, electrical fixtures for new Re-

lief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $658.43.

(5) F. W. Snook Company, twenty-second payment, plumbing, etc., for new Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $858.75.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(6) Ajax Construction Co., first payment, for water supply system for Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $6,494.18.

(7) Reilly & Nemtz, first payment, general construction of Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $34,495.13.

County Road Fund.

(8) Fay Improvement Co., first payment, improvement of Geneva avenue from Prague street to County Line (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $5,400.

(9) James M. Smith, third payment, improvement of Market street from Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $11,250.

(10) Keystone Construction Co., second payment, improvement of Telegraph Hill boulevard, Contract No. 2 (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $4,000.

Special School Tax.

(11) S. W. Band, seventh payment, plumbing, etc., for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $1,860.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(12) E. P. Finigan, gymnasium equipment for High School Commerce (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $870.

School Bonds, 1923.

(13) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks for the Alamo School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,627.70.

(14) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks for Rochambeau School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,627.70.

(15) Latourette-Fical Co., thirteenth payment, mechanical equipment for the new Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $4,456.77.

(16) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for additions to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,532.80.

(17) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, electrical work for additions to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $903.45.

(18) A. Lettich, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $834.38.

(19) A. Lettich, seventh payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,654.50.

(20) J. E. O'Mara, third payment, mechanical equipment for West Portal School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,018.50.

(21) F. L. Hansen, tenth payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $10,261.83.

(22) O. Monson, third payment, general construction of additions to the Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $12,933.75.

(23) S. W. Band, second payment, plumbing, etc., for additions to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $946.35.

(24) R. W. Moller, third payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $24,646.93.

(25) Thomas R. Smith, second payment, plumbing for annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $509.99.

(26) Ward & Blohme, fifth payment, architectural services for Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $3,483.35.

(27) Amoroso & Damico, sixth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $20,002.58.

(28) Anderson & Ringrose, first payment, general construction of additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $16,425.

(29) Anderson & Ringrose, second payment, general construction of annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $12,607.50.

(30) Butte Electric & Equipment Co., third payment, electrical work for Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,409.63.

(31) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth payment, plumbing for Henry Durant School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,770.60.

(32) MacDonald & Kahn, sixteenth payment, general construction of new Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $30,498.19.

(33) Jas. L. McLaughlin, thirteenth payment, general construction of Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $10,556.62.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(34) R. W. Kinney Co., eighth payment, Contract No. 114, for wrought steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy

construction (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,644.58.

(35) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $6,196.27.

(36) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $789.53.

(37) Myers-Whaley Co., shoveling machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $905.28.

(38) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oil, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $622.28.

(39) Standard Oil Co., oils, grease, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $1,038.75.

(40) Wilsey-Bennett Co., butter and eggs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $759.

(41) Worthington Co., Inc., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $566.86.

Special School Tax.

(42) Bureau of Street Repair, Dept. Public Works, for repairs to yard of John Swett School (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $857.35.

Installation Fund, Department of Electricity.

(43) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., labor and material for installing electric and meter service at various locations for traffic signals (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $524.54.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(44) C. B. Eaton, second payment, improvement of City lands, Douglass and Twenty-sixth streets (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,400.

(45) Louis J. Cohn, sixth payment, construction of sewers in westerly Sunset District (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $17 500.

(46) John Spargo, third payment, construction of photo gallery, Hall of Justice (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,962.38.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(47) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $1,250.

(48) California Academy of Sciences, for maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of November, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $4,213.59.

(49) Board of Park Commissioners, for reimbursement of Park Fund for beautification of the Civic Center during October (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $1,444.31.

(50) John Spargo, first payment, general construction, additions to light-well space, Hall of Justice (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $665.63.

(51) M. J. Treacy, first payment, improvement of Peralta avenue and of Tomasa street (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $3,750.

(52) Schulz Construction Co., second payment, hauling and laying cast iron high-pressure water mains in Butchertown district (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $7,800.

(53) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., first payment, construction of Islais Creek drainage culvert (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,800.

(54) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $1,175.26.

(55) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $2,569.08.

(56) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 27, 1926), $2,455.28.

(57) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $1,334.22.

(58) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., sand and rock for street reconstruction (claim dated Nov. 27, 1926), $548.29.

(59) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., sand and rock for street reconstruction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $614.12.

(60) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 27, 1926), $667.

(61) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,976.44.

(62) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,964.08.

(63) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,826.07.

(64) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., resurfacing of streets for October (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,068.24.

(65) Morris & Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 2, 1926), $1,665.50.

(66) Pendleton Woolen Mills Co., blankets for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 2, 1926), $1,340.43.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(67) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $4,637.50.

(68) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $1,404.78.

(69) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $550.27.

(70) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $915.18.

(71) A. M. Scott Tire Co., truck tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $562.72.

(72) Sonora Meat Market, meat and fish, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $764.55.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(73) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), sixth payment, construction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $56,515.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(74) Garford Motor Truck Co., apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $981.77.

(75) Spring Valley Water Company, water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $14,395.10.

(76) The White Co., one White Co. chassis for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $3,830.

(77) J. H. McCallum, lumber for stable at County Jail (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $1,342.84.

(78) Spring Valley Water Company, water for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $501.62.

(79) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $1,998.48.

(80) Equitable Asphalt Company, street resurfacing (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $1,329.88.

(81) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, lighting public buildings (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $3,754.69.

(82) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for December (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $750.

Auditorium Fund.

(83) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for Municipal Concert of Nov. 16 (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $2,000.

(84) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for Municipal Concert of Dec 3, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $2,000

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(85) John J. Dailey, legal services rendered during November in valuation of electric properties of Pacific Gas and Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co. (claim dated Nov. 18, 1926), $850.

(86) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services rendered during November in valuation of electric properties of Pacific Gas and Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co. (claim dated Nov. 18, 1926), $750.

Appropriation, $3,125, for Land Required for the Extension of Market Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,125 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Robert Blakely and Vera Blakely, for all of Lots 16 and 30 in Block 2659 of Assessor's Block Book; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26489 (New Series); required for the extension of Market street. (Claim dated Dec. 2, 1926.)

Appropriation, $2,055, Payment to John Marks for Release of Damages, Etc., to Property Due to the Extension of Mt. Vernon Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,055 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Mt. Vernon Avenue Extension," Budget Item No. 82b, fiscal year 1925-1926, and authorized in payment to John Marks for release of damages to property and buildings due to extension of Mt. Vernon avenue; as per Resolution No. 26490 (New Series). (Claim dated Dec. 2, 1926.)

Appropriations, Refund to Tax Judgment Creditors.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments", Appropriation 59, fiscal year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons as representatives of judgment creditors; being payments of one-tenth of judgments plus interest, as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Keyes & Erskine, as per schedule attached (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $8,946.66.

(2) To Chas. A. Gray, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 5, 1926), $720.37.

(3) To Drown, Leicester & Drown, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 4, 1926), $36,532.24.

Transferring Moneys to Board of Public Works.

Also. Bill No. 7833, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Transferring moneys to Board of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the sum of fifty thousand ($50,000) dollars heretofore appropriated in the annual budget for the fiscal year 1926-1927, for the purpose of reimbursement in part of Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced for the completion of Stockton street and Twin Peaks tunnels, be and the same is hereby transferred to the Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Municipal Railway, for the express purpose of paying to all clerks, janitors, car repairers and trackmen now employed in the operation and maintenance of said Municipal Railway, an increase of forty cents per day over and above the present salaries now paid to them by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco;

And be it further ordained that the said sum hereby transferred shall be used only and exclusively for the purpose of increasing the pay of said clerks, janitors, car repairers and trackmen, and that said Board of Public Works be and they are hereby instructed to use said sum for said purpose.

Appropriation, $150,000, for Furnishing Fifteen Street Car Bodies for Municipal Railroad.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund, for the furnishing of fifteen street car bodies for Municipal Railways, under Contract No. 149-A, to-wit:

For furnishing of 15 street car bodies, per contract awarded to St. Louis Car Company...	$145,260.00
For inspection, etc.....	4,740.00
Total	$150,000.00

Appropriations, Equipment Durant School and New Relief Home, Sidewalks Around Washington Square, Plans, Streets and Sewers Glen Park District, and Payment of City's Portion of Improvement of Madrid Street Between Silver and Peru Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(1) For the equipment of the Henry Durant School, by Board of Education, $6,800.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(2) For purchase of equipment for the new Relief Home, including cutlery, mattress springs, food chopper, bedside tables, etc., $3,131.05.

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 36.

(3) For cost of construction of artificial stone sidewalks around Washington Square, by Department of Public Works, $2,600.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(4) For expense of preparing plans and detail, by City Engineer, for streets and sewers in the Glen Park District, $1,500.

(5) For payment of City's portion of the improvement of Madrid street between Silver avenue and Peru avenue, $2,415.

Appropriation, $180, for Advancement of Member of Police Department to Position of Detective Sergeant.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26521 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $180 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, fiscal year 1926-1927, to the credit of Police Department—Appropriation 42-A, to provide for necessary additional compensation for six months ending June 30, 1927, due to advancement of member of the Police Department to the position of detective sergeant.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Condemnation of Land for Street Cleaning Department.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26522 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That public interest and use require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and any and all rights and claims thereto, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on a line running parallel with the easterly line of Barneveld avenue and distant 160 feet at right angles east-

erly therefrom, said point of beginning being distant along said parallel line 397.508 feet northerly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue and running thence westerly at right angles with Barneveld avenue 90 feet; thence at right angles northerly 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 90 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the point of beginning; being Lot 1753 of Gift Map No. 4 or Lot No. 11 of Assessor's Block 5571, and that portion of Stringham street that reverted to said lot by the closing of said street.

Resolved further, That all the lands, rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable and necessary for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, housing of equipment for the Street Cleaning Department of the Board of Public Works.

Resolved further, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, condemnation proceedings against the owners of and all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the land hereinbefore described, for the purpose of acquiring a title in fee simple to such described lands for the City and County of San Francisco for uses herein set forth.

Resolution No. 25101 (New Series), passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco January 25, 1926, and aproved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco January 30, 1926, is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

City Attorney to Execute Lease for Lands of Charles B. Rumble in Stanislaus County Required for Construction Purposes of Hetch Hetchy Project.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26523 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Upon recommendation of the City Engineer, that the Board of Public Works is authorized to enter into a lease of certain lands purchased from Charles B. Rumble, situated in the County of Stanislaus, State of California, for construction purposes on the Hetch Hetchy project, and temporarily not required for such purposes; said lease to run to C. J. Rumble for a period commencing January 1, 1927, and ending December 31, 1927, in consideration of a payment of a 25 per cent share in crop proceeds to the City and County of San Francisco.

The Stanislaus Land and Abstract Company is also authorized to execute said lease as to such portion of said lands to which said company holds title.

The City Attorney is authorized to prepare and supervise the execution of said lease. Rentals thereunder will be paid into the Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue of 1910.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer to Waive All Claims of Damage for $500 by Reason of Drying Up of Springs and Loss of Water on Mining Claim in Tuolumne County in Connection With Construction of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26524 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Upon recommendation of the City Engineer and City Attorney, that the offer of the following named persons to waive all claims for damage which they may have against the City and County of San Francisco by reason of the drying up of springs and loss of water on their ranch and mining claim situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, possibly due to the construction of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnel, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

J. A. McCready and S. E. McCready, $500—Lots 12, 13 and 14 in Section 28, and Lot 4 in Section 33, T. 1 S., R. 16 E., M. D. B. and M., containing 154.61 acres,

be and the same is hereby accepted.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to supervise the payment of said sum to said claimants, upon the receipt of a deed conveying to the City and County of San Francisco a clear

title to the right to all of such waters as may hereafter seep or percolate from the said ranch and mining claim into said tunnel, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer of William McGowan to Abandon All Claims for Damages to His Sawmill in Tuolumne County for the Sum of $500 in Connection with Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26525 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, William McGowan has entered suit against the City and County of San Francisco for damages to his sawmill situated on the Peri Ranch in Tuolumne County, California, on account of the drying up of springs supposed to be caused by the construction of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct; and

Whereas, he has offered to settle his claim in full for the sum of $500; and

Whereas, the City Engineer and City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco have recommended that the said offer be accepted; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer of William McGowan to abandon and relinquish all claims for damages to his sawmill situated on the Peri Ranch, Tuolumne County, California, for the sum of $500 be and the same is hereby accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to supervise the payment of said sum to said claimant, upon receipt of the proper release and full satisfaction of judgment in said litigation.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Changing Southeasterly Side of Vienna Street, North of Persia Avenue, to Commercial Instead of First Residential District.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7834, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of Vienna street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Persia avenue and running thence northerly 25 feet, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 126.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26526 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the easterly side of Tenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Judah street, said set-back line to be 7 feet.

Along the westerly side of Tenth avenue between Quintara street and

Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 8 feet; along the easterly side of Tenth avenue, commencing at Quintara street and running thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly to Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Eleventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street and running thence northerly to Oriole way. said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the northwesterly side of Sears street, commencing at a point 85 feet southwesterly from Sickles avenue and running thence southwesterly 425 feet, said set-back line to be 13 feet.

Along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Clement street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 90 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly to a point 75 feet southerly from Clement street, said set-back line to be 24 feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 22 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 26 feet; thence northerly 150 feet, said set-back line to be 28 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 26 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 22 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 17 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 10th day of January, 1927, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishment of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 125.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26527 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco street and Quintara street, said set-back lines to be 15 feet.

Along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Quintara street and running thence northerly 75 feet 9 inches, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly to Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly 19 feet 9 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Quintara street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at Cabrillo street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Balboa street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the easterly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at a point 65 feet 5 inches northerly from Point Lobos avenue and running thence northerly to Clement street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at Pacheco street and running thence

northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 10th day of January, 1927, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Changing the Northwest Corner of Grant Avenue and Filbert Street to the Commercial District Instead of Second Residential District.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Grant avenue and Filbert street, extending 46 feet 6 inches on Grant avenue and 85 feet on Filbert street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bank of Italy, 3234 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Dondero, 3832 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Euphrat, south side of Jackson street, 177 feet east of Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Cabinet and Furniture Shop Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred Gercke be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a cabinet and furniture shop on the east line of Oak Grove street, 100 feet north of Bryant street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. W. Parry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Larkin and North Point streets.

The rights granted under this

resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Montes be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public laundry at 717 Vallejo street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Park Auto Repair and Garage be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted C. E. Barnes by Resolution No. 18414 (New Series) for premises at 717 Divisadero street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. Bourne be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Twenty-fifth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property known as Golden Gate Heights, bounded by Sixteenth avenue, Kirkham street, Ninth avenue and Quintara street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Electric Furnace Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Electric Manufacturing Co. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an electric furnace on the south side of Carroll avenue, 75 feet west of Jennings street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Committee on Noise Elimination.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26528 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City of Chicago has appointed a commission which has for its purpose the recommending of measures for the elimination of noise; and

Whereas, this constructive move in the interests of health is of interest and concern to all the cities and towns of this country; be it therefore

Resolved, That, in order that we may profit by the findings of said commission and keep in close touch with its work, the Public Welfare Committee is requested to keep in close touch with this movement in Chicago to make a study of local conditions and to recommend any measures calculated to eliminate unnecessary or avoidable noises in and around San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Invitation to the All-Western Road Show to Hold Its 1927 Exhibition in San Francisco.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26529 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco most cordially invites the officers of the All-Western Road Show to hold its 1927 exhibition in San Francisco. The importance of this educational exhibit, and the good that comes to San Francisco from the visits made here by those who come to view the

display and attend the conventions held during the course of the exhibit are recognized by all who have observed the plans and purposes of this display.

San Francisco extends this official invitation in a spirit of hearty welcome to those who are engaged in developing roadways in this great western region, and urges favorable consideration.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Street Obstruction Ordinance Amended.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7835, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 916, entitled "Regulating obstructions upon public streets and sidewalks," approved June 30, 1903.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 916, entitled "Regulating obstructions upon public streets and sidewalks," approved June 30, 1903, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. The provisions of Section 1 of this ordinance shall not apply to:

(a) Goods or merchandise in actual course of receipt, delivery or removal.

(b) Lamp-posts or hydrants, erected by permision of the Board of Public Works.

(c) Ornamental trees, planted along the outer line of the sidewalk, within the curb line, or barriers for the protection of such trees.

(d) Watering troughs placed by permission of the Board of Public Works upon sidewalks for the accommodation of the public.

(e) Bicycle racks or motorcycle racks placed upon the sidewalks by permission of the Board of Public Works and of the adjoining property owners for the accommodation of persons using such bicycle or motorcycle racks, the same not to exceed three feet in width and three feet in height and to be entirely devoid of advertising matter; provided, that motorcycle racks shall be supplied with a metallic pan for the purposes of catching oil drippings.

(f) Hitching posts placed by permission of the Board of Public Works upon sidewalks, in accordance with pattern indicated in the design approved by and on file in the office of said Board. As amended by Ordinance No. 795 (New Series), approved June 12, 1909.

(g) Sockets to be placed upon the outer line of the sidewalk within the curb line for the support of flag-poles to be used for the display of flags. The said sockets shall be approved by and installed under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 722 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 795 (New Series) are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Deed From Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum for Land at Bay View and Newhall Streets for Street Purposes.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26530 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum has executed and tendered a deed dated the 19th day of April, 1922, conveying to the City and County of San Francisco that certain piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and bounded and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Bay View street with the southeasterly line of Newhall street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Bay View street 82.925 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right of 52.572-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 115 deg. 15 min. 12 sec., a distance of 105.751 feet to tangency with the southeasterly line of Newhall street at a point distant thereon 82.925 feet northeasterly from the northerly line of Bay View street; thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Newhall street, tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 82.925 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of South San Francisco Block No. 368.

Resolved. That the land conveyed be declared to be an open public street. and the deed thereto accepted on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco and ordered recorded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Approval of Map, Widening Noriega Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26531 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 94622 (Second Series), passed November 15, 1926, approve a map showing the widening of Noriega street from Twenty-first avenue to Thirty-first avenue to a width of ninety-four (94) feet; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Noriega street from Twenty-first avenue to Thirty-first avenue to a width of ninety-four (94) feet is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Approval of Map, Twenty-first Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26532 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 94622 (Second Series), passed November 15, 1926, approve a map showing the widening of Noriega street from Twenty-first avenue to Thirty-first avenue to a width of ninety-four (94) feet; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Noriega street from Twenty-first avenue to Thirty-first avenue to a width of ninety-four (94) feet is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Foodstuffs.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26533 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing foodstuffs during four months' term, November, December, 1926, and January, February, 1927, be made on bids submitted November 1, 1926 (Proposal No. 251), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

The Best Foods, Inc., no bond required; Baumgarten Bros., $1,000; Shapro Bros., Bay Ciey Market, $100; California Meat Company, $1,000; Del Monte Meat Company, $1,000; J. T. Freitas Co., $500; Golden State Milk Products Company, no bond required; McCarthy Bros., $500; M. J. B. Company, $100; Morris & Company, $1,000; O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, no bond required; Roth, Winter & Walsh, $200; S. F. International Fish Company, no bond required; Sherry Bros., Inc., no bond required; South San Francisco Packing & Provision Company, $1,000; Standard Fisheries, $200; St. Charles Market, $100; Swift & Company, no bond required; H. E. Teller Company, $500; S. H. Tyler & Son, no bond required; Western California Fish Company, $500.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Trolley Wire.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26534 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to American Smelting & Refining Company on bid submitted November 29, 1926 (Proposal No. 262), for furnishing the following, viz.: 4 miles 3/0 round hard drawn copper trolley wire at $16.60 per 100 pounds.

Delivery: f. o. b. car barns, 2600 Geary street, within 45 days. An allowance will be made on all reels returned f. o. b. steamer, San Francisco, of $15.00 each. Seller to furnish shipping instructions on returned reels.

Resolved, That said contractor shall furnish bond in the sum of

$400 for the faithful performance of this contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Women's Shoes.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26535 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Philadelphia Shoe Company on bid submitted November 29, 1926 (Proposal No. 259), for furnishing the following, viz.: 600 pairs women's shoes for Relief Home, on Sample No. 1, at $1.94 per pair.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $300 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Intention to Close Portion of Clement Street.

Resolution No. 26536 (New Series), as follows:

Resolution of intention to close a portion of Clement street and portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues.

Whereas, a petition has been filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, petitioning that a portion of Clement street and portions of Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue, hereinafter more particularly described, be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, new streets to be approved by the Board of Supervisors will be opened up and deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the owner of the adjoining lands, which new streets will conform to the contours of said lands adjoining said streets to be closed; and

Whereas, public interest and convenience require and would be conserved by said closing and abandonment of said portion of Clement street and portions of Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue hereinafter particularly described; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of said Board of Supervisors to close and abandon a portion of Clement street and portions of Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. All of Clement street between the westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue and the westerly termination of Clement street at Forty-eighth avenue.

Parcel 2. All of Forty-sixth avenue between the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue and the northerly termination of Forty-sixth avenue at Clement street.

Parcel 3. All of Forty-seventh avenue between the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue and the northerly termination of Forty-seventh avenue at Clement street.

Parcel 4. Forty-eighth avenue from the northerly line of Celement street southerly as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue with the northerly line of Clement street, which northerly line of Clement street bears north 86 deg. and 41 min. east, and running thence south 3 deg. and 19 min. east 366.00 feet along said easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue to the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue; thence south 85 deg. 00 min. 46 sec. west, 5.387 feet along said northerly line of Point Lobos avenue to the northeasterly line of Harding boulevard; thence north 26 deg. 14 min. west 166.099 feet along said northeasterly line of Harding boulevard to the westerly line of said Forty-eighth avenue; thence north 3 deg. 19 min. west 213.165 feet along said westerly line of Forty-eighth avenue to the northerly line of Clement street produced westerly; thence north 86 deg. 41 min. east 70 feet along said northerly line of Clement street produced, to the point of commencement.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declare that the closing and abandoning shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and succeeding sections of Chapter III, Article VI, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of said portion of Clement street and portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues are nominal and shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Board of Public Works is

hereby directed to give notice of said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets and avenues in the manner provided by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

Death of Fire Marshal Hannon.

Resolution No. 26537 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has taken away one whose official relations with this Board have been intimate for many years, M. F. Hannan, the City's Fire Marshal; therefore

Resolved, We deem it fitting at this time to express our deep appreciation of the faithful service that he has rendered during his incumbency of his office and the readiness and courtesy with which he responded to all requests for cooperation. We also wish to call attention to a record of faithful service rendered as a member and officer of the Fire Department extending over a period of twenty-six years and to declare that the termination of his labors at this time is a serious loss. We deeply regret his passing and extend to the members of his family our heartfelt condolence.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt Shannon, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks, Todd—3.

(*Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.*)

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

COMMUNICATIONS.

Airplane "San Francisco", Pan American Flight.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor Mayor Rolph, transmitting letter of San Antonio Chamber of Commerce, inviting attendance at taking off of Airplane "San Francisco" on Pan-American flight from San Antonio, Texas.

Referred to Airport Committee.

Reception to Officers and Men of the "Sumatra".

The following was presented and read by the Clerk::

Communication, from his Honor Mayor Rolph, requesting that provision be made for the reception and entertainment of the officers and men of the Holland Ship "Sumatra", which will shortly visit this port.

Referred to Supervisor McSheehy.

HEARING OF APPEALS.

Madrid Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Madrid street between Silver avenue and Peru avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the westerly side and six (6) feet in width on the easterly side, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Appeal Sustained.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26538 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on August 25, 1926, for the improvement of Madrid street between Silver avenue and Peru avenue be and the same is hereby sustained.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to issue a new assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks, Todd—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued until December* 20, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*:

Hudson Avenue.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Hudson avenue between Lane street and Keith street, including the crossing of Lane street and Hudson avenue, and the improvement of Keith street between Galvez avenue and Hudson avenue, including the crossing of Hudson avenue and Keith street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances, etc.

Douglass Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street, including the easterly and the westerly intersections of Clipper street with Douglass street, and the crossing of Twenty-sixth street and Douglass street; and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on the 15-inch sewer, and the necessary manholes as may be required; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to a line at right angles with the easterly line of Douglass street at its intersection with the northerly line of Clipper street; by the construction of a concrete payement on Twenty-sixth street from the westerly line of Diamond street to a line parallel with and 280 feet westerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Furniture for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing furniture for the School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Bullet-proof Windshields, Police Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing bullet-proof windshields on 30 automobiles of the Police Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Leave of Absence, Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
December 4, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing December 7, 1926.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26539 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of 30 days, commencing December 7, 1926, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Havenner, Marks—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Airport Hearing.

Consideration of matters relating to the selection of an airport site for San Francisco. Made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Powers, the Board resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purposes of the hearing.

Privilege of the Floor.

Messrs. Walsh, representing South San Francisco Chamber of Commerce; *Southard*, representing San Mateo, and *Bradford Manville*, representing Varney Field, were heard with regard to the merits of their respective sites.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that next Monday at 11 a. m. be fixed as the time for the next airport hearing.

Motion *carried*.

Whereupon, the Committee arose and reported progress.

Report of Lighting Committee on Outages.

The following was presented, read and *filed*:

San Francisco,
December 6, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to resolution presented by Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher at meeting of November 29, 1926, there is herewith submitted a report of the Committee on Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity showing street light outages from October 15 to November 30, as reported by the Police Department, the Lighting Inspector, and from other sources; together with the amounts allowed in each instance and in the aggregate for such outages.

Respectfully submitted,
Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity Committee,
By Wm. F. Stanton,
Chairman.
Walter Schmidt,
Chas. Powers.

Improvements, Baseball Grounds in Golden Gate Park.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26540 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds be requested to confer with the Park Commission, at the earliest date convenient, for the purpose of remedying certain conditions complained of in connection with the baseball grounds in Golden Gate Park and the making of additions and improvements thereto.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Todd—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Widening Garfield Street.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26541 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following described parcels of land, owned in fee simple by the City and County of San Francisco, be and are hereby set apart and dedicated as a public street and are to be known as Garfield street, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Beverly street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Beverly street 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 116.60 feet, more or less, to the westerly boundary of Block 2 of City Land; thence northerly along said westerly boundary 12 feet to the southerly line of Garfield street; thence eastrely along the southerly line of Garfield street 116 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 24 of Block 2 of City Land Association.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Monticello street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Monticello street 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Beverly street; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the southerly line of Garfield street; thence at right angles easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 200 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots 25 to 32, inclusive, of Block 5 of City Land Association.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Byxbee street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Byxbee street 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Monticello street; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the southerly line of Garfield street; thence at right angles easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 200 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots 25 to 32, inclusive, of Block 10 of City Land Association.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Ralston street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Ralston street 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Byxbee street; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the southerly line of Garfield street; thence at right angles easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 200 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots 25 to 32, inclusive, of Block 13 of City Land Association.

Parcel 5. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Vernon street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence southerly along the westerly

line of Vernon street 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Ralston street; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the southerly line of Garfield street; thence at right angles easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 200 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots 25 to 32, inclusive, of Block 20 of City Land Association.

Parcel 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Arch street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Arch street 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Vernon street; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the southerly line of Garfield street; thence at right angles easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 200 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots 25 to 32, inclusive, of Block 23 of City Land Association.

Parcel 7. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Ramsell street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Ramsell street 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Arch street; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the southerly line of Garfield street; thence at right angles easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 200 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots 25 to 32, inclusive, of Block 32 of City Land Association.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Todd—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Deasy and *passed for printing*:

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. H. McCormick be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the gore corner of Fourth avenue, California and Cornwall streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Proposed Uniform Traffic Ordinance.

Supervisor Schmidt presented a pamphlet containing proposed uniform traffic ordinance for California cities recommended by California Public Safety Conference and submitted by the California State Automobile Association.

Referred to Committee on Traffic and Public Safety.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the board at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 14, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 50

Monday, December 13, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 13, 1926, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 13, 1926, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of October 4 and 11, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Bay View Merchants to Celebrate.

Communication, from the Bay View Merchants' Association, inviting the Board of Supervisors to attend a celebration in honor of the new lighting system on Third street. The date of the celebration was not specified.

Referred to the Lighting Committee.

Supervisors' Convention.

The Board voted to invite the County Supervisors' Association of the State of California to hold its annual meeting for 1928 in San Francisco.

Tournament of Roses.

The City of Pasadena has extended an invitation to San Francisco to attend the Tournament of Roses in Pasadena on January 1st and to participate in the parade, which was accepted.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Print Shop Equipment, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing print shop equipment for School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Oil-Burning Equipment, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing oil-burning equipment for School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Engineering, Plumbing Supplies, Etc.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing: Class 6—Engineering and plumbing supplies; Class 7—Hardware and castings; Class 9—Paints, painters' supplies and glass; Class 11—Lumber; and were *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26542 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for parks (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $1,854.71.

(2) Spencer Planing Mill, millwork for Lincoln Park Clubhouse (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $560.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(3) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 25, 1926), $2,266.62.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(4) J. W. Burtchaell, fourth payment, electrical fixtures for new Re-

lief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $658.43.

(5) F. W. Snook Company, twenty-second payment, plumbing, etc., for new Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $858.75.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(6) Ajax Construction Co., first payment, for water supply system for Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $6,494.18.

(7) Reilly & Nemtz, first payment, general construction of Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $34,495.13.

County Road Fund.

(8) Fay Improvement Co., first payment, improvement of Geneva avenue from Prague street to County Line (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $5,400.

(9) James M. Smith, third payment, improvement of Market street from Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $11,250.

(10) Keystone Construction Co., second payment, improvement of Telegraph Hill boulevard, Contract No. 2 (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $4,000.

Special School Tax.

(11) S. W. Band, seventh payment, plumbing, etc., for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $1,860.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(12) E. P. Finigan, gymnasium equipment for High School Commerce (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $870.

School Bonds, 1923.

(13) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks for the Alamo School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,627.70.

(14) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks for Rochambeau School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,627.70.

(15) Latourette-Fical Co., thirteenth payment, mechanical equipment for the new Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $4,456.77.

(16) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for additions to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,532.80.

(17) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, electrical work for additions to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $903.45.

(18) A. Lettich, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $834.38.

(19) A. Lettich, seventh payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,654.50.

(20) J. E. O'Mara, third payment, mechanical equipment for West Portal School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,018.50.

(21) F. L. Hansen, tenth payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $10,261.83.

(22) O. Monson, third payment, general construction of additions to the Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $12,933.75.

(23) S. W. Band, second payment, plumbing, etc., for additions to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $946.35.

(24) R. W. Moller, third payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $24,646.93.

(25) Thomas R. Smith, second payment, plumbing for annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $509.99.

(26) Ward & Blohme, fifth payment, architectural services for Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $3,483.35.

(27) Amoroso & Damico, sixth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $20,002.58.

(28) Anderson & Ringrose, first payment, general construction of additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $16,425.

(29) Anderson & Ringrose, second payment, general construction of annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $12,607.50.

(30) Butte Electric & Equipment Co., third payment, electrical work for Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,409.63.

(31) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth payment, plumbing for Henry Durant School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,770.60.

(32) MacDonald & Kahn, sixteenth payment, general construction of new Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $30 498.19.

(33) Jas. L. McLaughlin, thirteenth payment, general construction of Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $10,556.62.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(34) R. W. Kinney Co., eighth payment, Contract No. 114, for wrought steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy

construction (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,644.58.

(35) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $6,196.27.

(36) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $789.53.

(37) Myers-Whaley Co., shoveling machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $905.28.

(38) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oil, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $622.28.

(39) Standard Oil Co., oils, grease, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $1,038.75.

(40) Wilsey-Bennett Co., butter and eggs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $759.

(41) Worthington Co., Inc., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $566.86.

Special School Tax.

(42) Bureau of Street Repair, Dept. Public Works, for repairs to yard of John Swett School (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $857.35.

Installation Fund, Department of Electricity.

(43) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., labor and material for installing electric and meter service at various locations for traffic signals (claim dated Oct. 31, 1926), $524.54.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(44) C. B. Eaton, second payment, improvement of City lands, Douglass and Twenty-sixth streets (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,400.

(45) Louis J. Cohn, sixth payment, construction of sewers in westerly Sunset District (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $17 500.

(46) John Spargo, third payment, construction of photo gallery, Hall of Justice (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,962.38.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(47) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $1,250.

(48) California Academy of Sciences, for maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of November, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $4,213.59.

(49) Board of Park Commissioners, for reimbursement of Park Fund for beautification of the Civic Center during October (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $1,444.31.

(50) John Spargo, first payment, general construction, additions to light-well space, Hall of Justice (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $665.63.

(51) M. J. Treacy, first payment, improvement of Peralta avenue and of Tomasa street (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $3,750.

(52) Schulz Construction Co., second payment, hauling and laying cast iron high-pressure water mains in Butchertown district (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $7,800.

(53) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., first payment, construction of Islais Creek drainage culvert (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,800.

(54) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $1,175.26.

(55) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $2,569.08.

(56) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 27, 1926), $2,455.28.

(57) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $1,334.22.

(58) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., sand and rock for street reconstruction (claim dated Nov. 27, 1926), $548.29.

(59) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., sand and rock for street reconstruction (claim dated Nov. 29, 1926), $614.12.

(60) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 27, 1926), $667.

(61) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,976.44.

(62) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,964.08.

(63) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,826.07.

(64) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., resurfacing of streets for October (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,068.24.

(65) Morris & Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 2, 1926), $1,665.50.

(66) Pendleton Woolen Mills Co., blankets for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 2. 1926), $1,340.43.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(67) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $4,637.50.

(68) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $1,404.78.

(69) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $550.27.

(70) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $915.18.

(71) A. M. Scott Tire Co., truck tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 24, 1926), $562.72.

(72) Sonora Meat Market, meat and fish, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $764.55.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(73) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), sixth payment, construction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $56,515.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(74) Garford Motor Truck Co., apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $981.77.

(75) Spring Valley Water Company, water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $14,395.10.

(76) The White Co., one White Co. chassis for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $3,830.

(77) J. H. McCallum, lumber for stable at County Jail (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $1,342.84.

(78) Spring Valley Water Company, water for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $501.62.

(79) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $1,998.48.

(80) Equitable Asphalt Company, street resurfacing (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $1,329.88.

(81) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, lighting public buildings (claim dated Nov. 17, 1926), $3,754.69.

(82) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for December (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $750.

Auditorium Fund.

(83) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for Municipal Concert of Nov. 16 (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $2,000.

(84) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for Municipal Concert of Dec 3, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $2,000

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(85) John J. Dailey, legal services rendered during November in valuation of electric properties of Pacific Gas and Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co. (claim dated Nov. 18, 1926), $850.

(86) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services rendered during November in valuation of electric properties of Pacific Gas and Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co. (claim dated Nov. 18, 1926), $750.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $3,125, for Land Required for the Extension of Market Street.

Resolution No. 26543 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,125 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Robert Blakely and Vera Blakely, for all of Lots 16 and 30 in Block 2659 of Assessor's Block Book; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26489 (New Series); required for the extension of Market street. (Claim dated Dec. 2, 1926.)

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $2,055, Payment to John Marks for Release of Damages, Etc., to Property Due to the Extension of Mt. Vernon Avenue.

Resolution No. 26544 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,055 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Mt. Vernon Avenue Extension," Budget Item No. 82b, fiscal year 1925-1926, and authorized in payment to John Marks for release of damages to property and buildings due to extension of Mt. Vernon avenue; as per Resolution No. 26490 (New Series). (Claim dated Dec. 2, 1926.)

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Appropriations, Refund to Tax Judgment Creditors.

Resolution No. 26545 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments", Appropriation 59, fiscal year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the herein-

after named persons as representatives of judgment creditors; being payments of one-tenth of judgments plus interest, as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Keyes & Erskine, as per schedule attached (claim dated Nov. 26, 1926), $8,946.66.

(2) To Chas. A. Gray, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 5, 1926), $720.37.

(3) To Drown, Leicester & Drown, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 4, 1926), $36,532.24.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $150,000, for Furnishing Fifteen Street Car Bodies for Municipal Railroad.

Resolution No. 26546 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund, for the furnishing of fifteen street car bodies for Municipal Railways, under Contract No. 149-A, to-wit:

For furnishing of 15 street car bodies, per contract awarded to St. Louis Car Company...	$145,260.00
For inspection, etc.....	4,740.00
Total	$150,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Appropriations, Equipment Durant School and New Relief Home, Sidewalks Around Washington Square, Plans, Streets and Sewers Glen Park District, and Payment of City's Portion of Improvement of Madrid Street Between Silver and Peru Avenues.

Resolution No. 26547 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(1) For the equipment of the Henry Durant School, by Board of Education, $6,800.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(2) For purchase of equipment for the new Relief Home, including cutlery, mattress springs, food chopper, bedside tables, etc., $3,131.05.

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 36.

(3) For cost of construction of artificial stone sidewalks around Washington Square, by Department of Public Works, $2,600.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(4) For expense of preparing plans and detail, by City Engineer, for streets and sewers in the Glen Park District, $1,500.

(5) For payment of City's portion of the improvement of Madrid street between Silver avenue and Peru avenue, $2,415.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 26548 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bank of Italy, 3234 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Dondero, 3832 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Euphrat, south side of Jackson street, 177 feet east of Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Cabinet and Furniture Shop Permit.

Resolution No. 26549 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred Gercke be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a cabinet and furniture shop on the east line of Oak Grove street, 100 feet north of Bryant street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26550 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. W. Parry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Larkin and North Point streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 26551 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Montes be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public laundry at 717 Vallejo street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26552 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Park Auto Repair and Garage be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted C. E. Barnes by Resolution No. 18414 (New Series) for premises at 717 Divisadero street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26553 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. Bourne be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Twenty-fifth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26554 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property known as Golden Gate Heights, bounded by Sixteenth avenue, Kirkham street, Ninth avenue and Quintara street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Electric Furnace Permit.

Resolution No. 26555 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Electric Manufacturing Co. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an electric furnace on the south side of Carroll avenue, 75 feet west of Jennings street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26556 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. H. McCormick be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the gore corner of Fourth avenue, California and Cornwall streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Transferring Moneys to Board of Public Works.

Bill No. 7833, Ordinance No. 7344 (New Series), as follows:

Transferring moneys to Board of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the sum of fifty thousand ($50,000) dollars heretofore appropriated in the annual budget for the fiscal year 1926-1927, for the purpose of reimbursement in part of Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced for the completion of Stockton street and Twin Peaks tunnels, be and the same is hereby transferred to the Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Municipal Railway, for the express purpose of paying to all clerks, janitors, car repairers and trackmen now employed in the operation and maintenance of said Municipal Railway, an increase of forty cents per day over and above the present salaries now paid to them by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco;

And be it further ordained that the said sum hereby transferred shall be used only and exclusively for the purpose of increasing the pay of said clerks, janitors, car repairers and trackmen, and that said Board of Public Works be and they are hereby instructed to use said sum for said purpose.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Changing Southeasterly Side of Vienna Street, North of Persia Avenue, to Commercial Instead of First Residential District.

Bill No. 7834, Ordinance No. 7345 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of Vienna street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Persia avenue and running thence northerly 25 feet, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Street Obstruction Ordinance Amended.

Bill No. 7835, Ordinance No. 7346 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 916, entitled "Regulating obstructions upon public streets and sidewalks," approved June 30, 1903.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 916, entitled "Regulating obstructions upon public streets and sidewalks," approved June 30, 1903, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. The provisions of Section 1 of this ordinance shall not apply to:

(a) Goods or merchandise in actual course of receipt, delivery or removal.

(b) Lamp-posts or hydrants, erected by permision of the Board of Public Works.

(c) Ornamental trees, planted along the outer line of the sidewalk, within the curb line, or barriers for the protection of such trees.

(d) Watering troughs placed by permission of the Board of Public Works upon sidewalks for the accommodation of the public.

(e) Bicycle racks or motorcycle racks placed upon the sidewalks by permission of the Board of Public Works and of the adjoining property owners for the accommodation of persons using such bicycle or motorcycle racks, the same not to exceed three feet in width and three feet in height and to be entirely devoid of advertising matter; provided, that motorcycle racks shall be supplied with a metallic pan for the purposes of catching oil drippings.

(f) Hitching posts placed by permission of the Board of Public Works upon sidewalks, in accordance with pattern indicated in the design approved by and on file in the office of said Board. As amended by Ordinance No. 795 (New Series), approved June 12, 1909.

(g) Sockets to be placed upon the outer line of the sidewalk within the curb line for the support of flag-poles to be used for the display of flags. The said sockets shall be approved by and installed under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 722 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 795 (New Series) are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $51,393.90, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) M. B. McGowan, furnishing and driving piles, etc., at Yacht Harbor (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $789.

(2) Berringer & Russell, oats, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $530.46.

Auditorium Fund.

(3) Pacific Radio Exposition, refund of indemnity bond deposit for occupancy of Auditorium (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $1,000.

Playground Fund.

(4) Atlas Hauling Company, hauling tanbark for playgrounds (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $1,552.

(5) J. H. McCallum, millwork for playgrounds (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $879.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(6) Hancock Bros., printing transfers for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $1,104.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(7) War Department, U. S. Government, partial payment for construction of waiting station at Municipal Railway terminal, U. S. Presidio (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $995.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(8) Clinton Construction Co., twenty-second payment, general construction of new Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $12,071.25.

(9) John Reid, Jr., twenty-fifth payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $1,774.88.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(10) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $1,437.12.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(11) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks for Alvarado School

(claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $2,627.70.

(12) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks for Hawthorne School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $2,627.70.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(13) Crown Electric Co., fifth payment, electrical work for Edison School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $981.38.

(14) Geo. A. Applegarth, third payment, architectural services for Edison School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $1,246.14.

(15) Weeks & Day, final payment, architectural services for Hawthorne School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $3,344.31.

(16) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for Annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $1,809.96.

(17) Crown Electric Co., twelfth payment, electrical work for West Portal School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $1,042.87.

(18) Crown Electric Co., twelfth payment, electrical work for new Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $5,533.61.

(19) Burnham Plumbing Co., eleventh payment, plumbing, etc., for new Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $4,154.63.

(20) A. Lettich, eighth payment, plumbing work for Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $2,880.75.

(21) Aetna Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for Hawthorne School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $500.

(22) John Reid, Jr., seventeenth payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $997.84.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(23) John J. Dailey, legal services rendered, month of December, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $850.

(24) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services rendered, month of December, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $750.

(25) H. G. Butler, services rendered during November in connection with severance damage, valuation of San Francisco electrical properties (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $725.

(26) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $2,500.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(27) Hales & Symons, Inc., 50,000 pine wedges, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 4, 1926), $1,294.65.

(28) R. W. Kinney Co., wrought steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $6,782.27.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(29) Associated Charities, maintenance, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $8,271.20.

(30) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $887.50.

(31) Little Children's Ad, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $8,349.51.

(32) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $1,171.85.

(33) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $1,992.72.

(34) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $555.78.

(35) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $1,280.

(36) Presbyterian Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $511.73.

(37) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $4,206.96.

(38) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $1,484.92.

(39) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $635.50.

(40) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $11,794.51.

(41) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $4,412.75.

(42) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $28,308.10.

(43) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, October (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $910.97.

(44) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, November (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $874.

(45) Automatic Registering Machine Co., fifty-four voting machines for Department of Elections (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $58,015.50.

(46) James Rolph, Jr., incidental expense for December (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $625.

(47) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasin frames, etc., for sewer repairs (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $957.73.

(48) Enterprise Foundry Co.,

rollers and smoothing irons for street repairs (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $726.

(49) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded children (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $8,-810.

(50) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $1,470.67.

(51) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing of Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $1,075.

Publicity and Advertising (*Appropriation* 55).

(52) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $1,881.99.

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Property Required for Playground Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund and authorized in payment to the following named claimants, being payments for properties required for playground purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Matilda B. Jackson, for property commencing at a point on the westerly line of Plymouth avenue, distant thereon 75 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lobos street, running thence northerly and along Plymouth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; being part of Lot 8 in Block "P", Railroad Homestead Association (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $900.

(2) To Mercantile Trust Company of California, for all of Lots 2 and 18, Block 4164-4195, and all of Lots 5 and 15 in Block 4163-4196, as per Assessor's Map Books of City and County (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $4,600.

(3) To George E. Bates, for the south half of Lot 12 and all of Lot 14, Block 4163-4196 and Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 16 and 17 in Block 4164-4195, as per Assessor's Map Books of the City and County (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $3,000.

(4) To George E. Bates, for all of Lot 3 and the north half of Lot 12, Block 4163-4196, as per Assessor's Map Books of the City and County (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $500.

Appropriations for Acquisition of Property for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, to the hereinafter named, being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Charles Watters and Mary Watters, for Lot 10, Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26488 (New Series), $2,600.

(2) To Hind Building Company, for Lot 1, Block 6686, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26488 (New Series), $800.

Appropriations for Payment of Tax Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Tax Judgments, Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named attorneys as agents for and in behalf of judgment creditors, being one-tenth of judgments plus interest, as per schedules attached to claims, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Cushing & Cushing, attorneys for judgment creditors, with interest to Dec. 13, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $27,566.96.

(2) To Leslie E. Burks and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, with interest to Dec. 5, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $842.78.

(3) To Leslie E. Burks and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, with interest to Dec. 5, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $11,781.37.

Appropriation, $12,500, to California Development Association for Publicity and Advertising.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $12,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the California Development Association for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927. (Claim dated Dec. 13, 1926.)

Appropriation, $307,037, for General Construction of Sherman School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $307,-

037 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of the Sherman School, at south side of Union street between Franklin and Gough streets, as per contracts awarded, possible extras, inspection, etc., to-wit:

General construction, by Mahony Brothers, $262,400.

Electrical work, by R. Flatland, $10,850.

Mechanical equipment, by Scott Company, $18,787.

Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $15,000.

Total, $307,037.

Appropriations for Tiling City Morgue and Pergola, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series). as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For tiling of floor and walls in cold storage room of the City Morgue, by Board of Public Works, $885.

Improvements, San Francisco Hospital, Budget Item No. 84.

(2) For construction of permanent pergola of glass at Twenty-second street entrance to San Francisco Hospital, $1,100.

Appropriation, $234, for Cleaning, Lacquering, Etc., Chairs and Desks, Chambers of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26557 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $234 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 68, for the cleaning, lacquering, etc., of desks and chairs and dais in chambers of Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26558 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard have offered to onvey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

D. J. McLoughlin, $210 — Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Beverly street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Beverly street; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of Beverly street 25 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 25 of Block 5 of City Land Association.

H. C. Klevesahl and F. W. Herring, $120—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Victoria street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet to a point on the westerly line of Victoria street, distant thereon 12 feet southerly from the southerly line of Garfield street; thence at a right angle northerly along the westerly line of Garfield street 12 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of City Land Association Block No. 35.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26559 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names and the further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

Carmelo Calamoneri and Vita Calamoneri, $212.50—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Cotter street with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 46 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary parallel with Cotter street 16.446 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avnue; thence deflecting 100 deg. 38 min. 37 sec. to the right and running southwesterly parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 25.438 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of Cotter street, distant thereon 16.377 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Cotter street 16.377 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 46 of the Demartini Tract.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to restore sidewalks where sidewalks are now constructed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Condenmnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Land Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26560 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 50 feet easterly from the easterly line of Ramsell street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots 27 and 28 in Block 35, City Land Association, as per map thereof filed August 24, 1870, in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 11, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. Be it

Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner,

Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 127.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26561 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the southeasterly line of Sagamore street between Orizaba avenue and Capitol avenue, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

Along the southeasterly line of Sagamore street, commencing at Capitol avenue and running thence northeasterly 717.86 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; said set-back line to continue thence northeasterly and with a radius of 200 feet to the southwesterly line of Plymouth avenue.

Along the southerly line of Sickles avenue between San Jose avenue and Winnipeg avenue, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

Along the southerly line of Sickles avenue between Winnipeg avenue and Sears street, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

Along the southerly line of Sickles avenue between Sears street and Huron avenue, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

Along the southerly line of Sickles avenue between Huron avenue and Mission street, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

And notice is hereby givn that Monday, the 17th day of January, 1927, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 128.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26562 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be establishd along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at Pacheco street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northrly to a point 100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 17th day of January, 1927, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the

establishment of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

T. Butler, north line of San Jacinto way, 150 feet east of Monterey boulvard, 600 gallons capacity.

S. S. Chadderton, 824 Camino del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Madison School), south side of Clay street, 150 feet east of Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. McGuire, 917 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Muller's Bakery, east line of Mission street, 100 feet north of Persia avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Col. T. J. Powers, 2516 Union street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. S. Purcell, north side of Post street, 100 feet east of Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Morris Rosenberg, 1418 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. I. Strand, south side of Clement street, 40 feet west of Thirty-third avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Lactal Corporation, 469 Bryant street, 10 horsepower.

San Francisco Seltzer Water Company, north side of Pacific street, 50 feet west of Cordelia street, 25 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mason-Sacramento Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the north side of Sacramento street, 167 feet east of Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bear Film Co. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the south side of Howard street, 125 feet east of Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Amendment to Building Laws, Hardwood Floors.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 7836, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1909, by adding a new section thereto, and to be numbered Section 102-A, relating to hardwood floors.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto and numberd Section 102-A.

Hardware Floors.

Section 102-A. Hardwood floors shall be laid upon a sub-flooring of at least merchantable T. and G. pine flooring or pine boards not more than six (6) inches in width and not less than seven-eighths (7/8) of an inch in thickness, surfaced one side and edge and laid as tightly as possible, and to be double-nailed on each floor joist to obtain a smooth and even surface.

Extension of Time, Construction of Police Photograph Gallery.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26563 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Spargo be and he is hereby granted an extension of sixty (60) days' time (being first extension) from and after November 7, 1926, within which to complete his contract for the construction of a photograph gallery for the Police Department in the Hall of Justice. (Recommendation of Board of Public Works by communication filed November 10, 1926.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades, Joshua Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7837, Odinanrce No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Josiah avenue between Lake View avenue and Ridge Lane."

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. 7838, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, December 6, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Forty-fifth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets*: The easterly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from Vicente street to a line parallel with and 150 feet southerly thererom; the easterly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and 200 feet southerly from Vicente street to a line parallel with and 475 feet southerly from Vicente street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of 8-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, Y branches and sidesewers that said frontages would be liable for; by the construction of that portion of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts that said frontages would be liable for; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 7839, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and San Bruno avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and San Bruno avenue are hereby establishd at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed November 23, 1926.

Woolsey Street.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Charter Oak avenue westerly line, 42.13 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Charter Oak avenue westerly line, 41.85 feet.

100 feet westerly from Charter Oak avenue, 45.40 feet.

San Bruno avenue, 46.50 feet. The same being the present official grade.)

On Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and San Bruno avenue be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7840, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Mount Vernon avenue between Williar avenue produced and Grafton avenue, and on Grafton avenue between Harold avenue and Mount Vernon avenue.

Be it ordained by the Pople of the

City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Mount Vernon avenue between Williar avenue produced and Grafton avenue, and on Grafton avenue between Harold avenue and Mount Vernon avenue, are hereby established at points hereinafter named in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed December 1, 1926.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Also, Bill No. 7841, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-two.

Be it ordained by the Pople of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 1, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-two, to read as follows:

Section 942. The width of sidewalks on Lyon street between Pacific avenue and Broadway shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Lyon street between Pacific avenue and Broadway," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Changing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 7842, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-ninth avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets and on Rivera street between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth avenues."

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 7843, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adpoting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, December 4, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Pope street between Brunswick street and the northerly line of Hanover street produced*: On the westerly one-half, from a line 75 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street to a line 250 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street, and from a line 325 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street to a line 375 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street; on the easterly one-half, from a line 200 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street to a line 225 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street, by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary manholes, Y branches and sidesewers that said frontages would be liable for; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of concrete pavement adjacent to the center line between the southerly line of Brunswick street and a line 200 feet southerly therefrom, and by the construction of an as-

phaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadway thereof;

And the improvement of the crossing of *Pope street and Brunswick street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers and 1 brick manhole with appurtenances; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof;

And the improvement of the following portions of *Allison street between Morse street and Brunswick street;* on the westerly one-half from the southerly line of Morse street to a line 75 feet southerly from and parellel with the southerly line of Morse street; on the easterly one-half, from a line 200 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Morse street to a line 225 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Morse street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof;

And the improvement of the crossings of *Allison street and Morse street and Allison street and Brunswick street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Allison street and Morse street, and 2 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Allison street and Brunswick street; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with one brick manhole and appurtenances along the center line of Allison street between the center and southerly lines of Brunswick street; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Allison street between the center and the northerly lines of Brunswick street; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Morse street between the easterly and the westerly lines of Allison street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7844, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 7, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the northerly one-half of *Chestnut street from Mallorca way to Divisadero street*, by the construction of full-width artificial stone sidewalks where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width have not been constructed to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also. Bill No. 7845, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco,

approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The construction of a bulkhead on the westerly line of Thirty-fourth avenue from the northerly line of Judah street to a line parallel with and one hundred eighty-seven (187) feet six (6) inches northerly therefrom, and the removal of the sand from the street and sidewalk area adjacent thereto.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Full Acceptance, Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7846, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Belmont avenue between Edgewood avenue and Willard street, Berry street between Seventh and De Haro streets, Beach street between Broderick and Baker streets, Divisadero street between Lombard and Chestnut streets, and crossing of Divisadero and Chestnut streets, Douglass street between Twenty-fifth and Clipper streets, Forty-third avenue between Geary and Anza streets, Funston avenue between Kirkham and Lurline streets, Kirkham street, Funston and Fourteenth avenues, Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets, crossing of Arkansas and Nineteenth streets, Arkansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, Nineteenth street between Hampshire street and Potrero avenue, Phelps street between Kirkwood and La Salle avenues, between La Salle and McKinnon avenues, between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues, and between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues, Montcalm street between Alabama street and Peralta avenue, Silver avenue between Hamilton and Holyoke streets, and between Somerset and Merrill streets, and the intersections of Silver avenue and Bowdoin street, Silver avenue and Hamilton street, Silver avenue and Somerset street, Thirty-third avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, Twentieth street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, Twenty-first street between Church and Sanchez streets, crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Santiago street, intersection of Coleridge street and Esmeralda avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Belmont avenue between Edgewood avenue and Willard street; Berry street between Seventh and De Haro streets; Beach street between Broderick and Baker streets; Divisadero street between Lombard and Chestnut street, and crossing of Divisadero and Chestnut streets; Douglass street between Twenty-fifth and Clipper streets; Forty-third avenue between Geary and Anza streets; Funston avenue between Kirkham and Lurline streets; Kirkham street between Funston and Fourteenth avenues; Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets; crossing of Arkansas and Nineteenth streets; Arkansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets; Nineteenth street between Hampshire street and Potrero avenue; Phelps street between Kirkwood and La Salle avenues, between La Salle and McKinnon avenues; between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues, between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues; Montcalm street between Alabama street and Peralta avenue; Silver avenue between Hamilton and Holyoke streets, and between Somerset and Merrill streets, and the intersections of Silver avenue and Bowdoin street; Silver avenue and Hamilton street; Silver avenue and Somerset street; Thirty-third avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Twentieth street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets; Twenty-first street be-

tween Church and Sanchez streets; crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Santiago street; intersection of Coleridge street and Esmeralda avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Conditional Acceptance, Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7847, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-third avenue between Lawton and Moraga streees, Vicente street between Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues, Vicente street between Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues, Vicente street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues, Vicente street between Thirty- second and Thirty-third avenues, Woolsey street between Bowdoin and Hamilton streets, Woolsey street between Holyoke and Hamilton streets, Woolsey street between Somerset and Holyoke streets, Woolsey street between Dartmouth and Colby streets, crossings of Hale and Merrill streets, Huron and Ottawa avenues, Lawton street and Twenty-third avenue, Lawton street and Twenty-fifth avenue, Lawton street and Twenty-fourth avenue, Merrill and Sweeney streets, Moraga street and Twenty-fourth avenue, Moraga street and Twenty-third avenue, Quintara street and Sixteenth avenue, Quintara street and Seventeenth avenue, and Quintara street and Eighteenth avenue, Santiago street and Forty-sixth avenue, Twenty-eighth avenue and Lawton street, Twenty-second and Arkansas streets, Twenty-ninth avenue and Kirkham street, Vicente street and Thirty-third avenue, Vicente street and Thirty-first avenue, Vicente street and Thirty-fourth avenue, Vicente street and Thirty-second avenue, Brussels and Dwight streets, Woolsey and Somerset streets, Woolsey and Hamilton streets, Woolsey and Holyoke streets, Woolsey and Dartmouth streets, Woolsey and Bowdoin streets, and Twenty-first avenue and Quintara street."

Also, Bill No. 7848, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Merrill street between Sweeney and Gaven streets, Merrill street between Hale and Sweeney streets, Moraga street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues, Noriega street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, Noriega street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues, and crossing of Noriega street and Seventeenth avenue, Osage alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets, Ortega street between Tenth and Eleventh avenues, Putnam street between Cortland and Jarboe avenues, Quintara street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, Quintara street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, Quintara street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Rhine street between Flournoy street and the county line, Rivera street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, Saturn street between Lower Terrace and a point 150 feet westerly from Ord street, Sixteenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, Silver avenue between Vienna street and the easterly line of University street, including the intersections of Silver avenue and Madison street, Gambier street, Harvard street, Oxford street, Cambridge street, Yale street and University street, Sixteenth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, Sweeney street between Boylston and Merrill streets, Twentieth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, Thirty-first avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets."

Also, Bill No. 7849, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Anza street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues; Arleta avenue between Delta and Elliot streets, and the crossing of Arleta avenue and Elliot street; Brazil avenue between Moscow and Munich streets; Brazil avenue between Munich and Prague streets, and the crossings of Brazil avenue and Munich street and Brazil avenue and Prague street; Brompton avenue between Chenery and Bosworth streets; Bronte street between Cortland and Jarboe avenues; Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa avenue and San Juan avenue and Bauer street; Costa street between Brewster street and Holladay avenue; Curtis street between Morse and Brunswick streets, and the crossings of Curtis and Brunswick streets; Eighteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Huron avenue between Ottawa street and Sala terrace, and the intersection of Huron avenue and Sala terrace; Judah street between the easterly line of Thirty-third avenue and Forty-first avenue, including the crossings of Judah street and Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues; Jennings street between Le Conte and Meade avenues; Jennings street between Key and Le Conte avenues; Lane street between Oakdale and

Palou avenues; Lane street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues, and the crossing of Lawton street and Twenty-second avenue; Lawton street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues, and the crossing of Lawton street and Twenty-ninth avenue; crossings of Forty-fourth avenue and Kirkham street, and Forty-fourth avenue and Lawton street."

Also, Bill No. 7850, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-fourth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, Thirty-seventh avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, Twenty-fifth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Twenty-sixth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Winnipeg avenue between Sickles avenue and Regent street, Wayland street between Holyoke and Hamilton streets, Wayland street between Somerset and Holyoke streets, Wayland street between Somerset and Goettingen streets, crossing of Ellsworth street and Powhattan avenue, crossing of Wayland and Somerset streets, crossing of Wayland and Goettingen streets, crossing of Wayland and Hamilton streets, crossing of Wayland and Holyoke streets, crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Rivera street, crossing of Thirty-first avenue and Santiago street, crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-second avenue, crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-fifth avenue, crossing of Twenty-sixth avenue and Moraga street, crossing of Lawton street and Twenty-sixth avenue, crossing of Pacheco street and Twenty-first avenue, crossing of Twenty-sixth avenue and Kirkham street."

Also, Bill No. 7851, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Beach street between Hyde and Larkin streets and crossing of Beach and Larkin streets, Bowdoin street between Felton and Silliman streets, Crout street between Ney and Trumbull streets, Eighteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets, Fifteenth avenue between Ullia and Vicente streets, Harrison street between Army street and Precita avenue, Holyoke street between Wayland and Woolsey streets, Jones street between North Point and Beach streets, Kirkham street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues; Newhall street between Revere and Bay View avenues, Bay View avenue between Flora and Newhall streets and the intersection of Newhall street and Bay View avenue and Flora street and Bay View avenue, Monticello street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street, Moraga street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, Moraga street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues, Oakdale avenue between Keith and Lane streets, Ortega street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, Sixteenth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets, Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets, Twenty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, Twenty-fifth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets and crossing of Flournoy and Rhine streets."

Establishing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 7852, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Geneva avenue between the westerly line of Howth street and a line parallel with and 261 feet westerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Geneva avenue between the westerly line of Howth street and a line parallel with and 261 feet westerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed November 23, 1926.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 7853, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said

work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments, that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *crossings of Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth, Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues with Ulloa street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 7854, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirtieth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets."

Also, Bill No. 7855, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Goettingen street between Wayland and Woolsey streets."

Also, Bill No. 7856, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Egbert avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets, and on Jennings street between Donner and Fitzgerald avenues."

Also, Bill No. 7857, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Fitzgerald avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets, and on Hawes street between the southerly line of Egbert avenue and the northerly line of Gilman avenue."

Also, Bill No. 7858, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Hawes street between Yosemite avenue and Fitzgerald avenue, on Ingalls street between Wallace avenue and Carroll avenue, on Jennings street between Yosemite avenue and Bancroft avenue, on Yosemite and Bancroft avenues between Hawes and Jennings streets, on Armstrong avenue between Hawes and Keith streets and on Carroll, Donner and Egbert avenues between Hawes and Ingalls streets."

Also, Bill No. 7859, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues between Rivera and Santiago streets."

Also, Bill No. 7860, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Douglass street between Army street and Twenty-eighth street, and on Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets between Diamond street and Hoffman avenue."

Also, Bill No. 7861, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Blanche street between the northerly line of Twenty-third street and a line parallel with and 260 feet northerly therefrom."

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26564 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 94707 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted November 23, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed November 23, 1926, to-wit:

On Farragut avenue between Huron avenue and Winnipeg avenue.

The Board of Supervisors hereby decalres that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is

hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7862, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Nine Hundred and Forty-one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 1, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Nine Hundred and Forty-one, to read as follows:

Section 941. The width of sidewalks on Grafton avenue between Harold avenue and Williar avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Grafton avenue between Harold avenue and Williar avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26565 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 94852 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted November 29, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed December 1, 1926, to-wit:

On Lyon street between Pacific avenue and Broadway.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Also, Resolution No. 26566 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 94894 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted December 1, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed December 3, 1926, to-wit:

On Noriega street between Twenty-first and Thirty-first avenues, and on Twenty-second, Twenty-third, Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth, Twenty-seventh, Twenty-eighth, Twenty-ninth, Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues, as shown on Resolution No. 94894 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7863, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Twenty-sixth street between York street and points respectively 215.88 feet and 237.23 feet easterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Twenty-sixth street between York street and points respectively 215.88 feet and 237.23 feet easterly therefrom, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed November 23, 1926.

Twenty-sixth Street.

York street, 27.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet easterly from York street, 26.70 feet.

At a point 12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 237.23 feet easterly from York street, 23.22 feet.

At a point 12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 215.88 feet easterly from York street, 23.77 feet.

On Twenty-sixth street between York street and points respectively 215.88 feet and 237.23 feet easterly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Extension of Time for Payment of Principal and Interest Installment, Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26567 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, negotiations are pending between property owners of the Sunset Tunnel assessment district and the City Attorney's office for the preparation of an ordinance allowing the segregation of assessments and a substitution of agreements to pay in installments in accordance with property lines as of record in lieu of those heretofore existing; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco deems that the public interest and conveyance requires that the time to pay the second installment of the principal and interest due from the property owners within the Sunset Tunnel assessment district be extended from Monday, the 27th day of December, 1926, the date of delinquency for the payment of said second installments, to Monday, the 28th day of February, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Bridges Across the Bay.

The following resolution was introduced by Supervisor Hayden and *referred to the Special Bridge Committee*:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has before it and under consideration a number of applications for a franchise for the construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay from the San Francisco to the Alameda shore; and

Whereas, it is of vital importance to the public interest and welfare that such bridge as may ultimately be built, whether by private or public enterprise, shall be constructed in accordance with sound engineering principles, to the end that the location will best serve the public; that it shall have the proper capacity to handle the traffic which will be attracted; that it shall have a proper margin of safety against accidental as well as normal stresses; that it shall combine a pleasing appearance and permanence of construction with economy in design; and

Whereas, under the Charter of this City the Board of Public Works is the sole legally constituted adviser of the Board of Supervisors on engineering matters relative to public improvements, and said Board of Public Works has the power to employ any additional experts as the City Engineer may deem necessary; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is hereby requested to make the necessary studies to determine the proper requirements of a transbay bridge as to location, capacity and design, and to analyze the various plans submitted in connection with the franchise applications and to report back to this

Board its recommendation as to which of the various plans submitted best meets the recommended requirements, and the said Board of Public Works is hereby further requested, should it be deemed to the public interest, to prepare an independent plan embodying its recommendations. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to employ two expert bridge engineers to serve with the City Engineer on the preparation of this report, and the sum of $50,000 is hereby appropriated for the engineering expense of the preliminary studies and report.

City Attorney O'Toole stated he would have his report ready for the committee by Wednesday morning.

Supervisor McSheehy announced that he would call a meeting of the Special Bridge Committee for Thursday morning at 10 o'clock.

AIRPORT HEARING—11 a. m.

At 11 a. m. the Board, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the purpose of considering proposed sites for San Francisco's air field, all members heretofore noted being present.

Supervisor Kent, chairman of the Airport Committee, in the chair.

Proposed Temporary Airport Site on the Marina.

Supervisor Kent presented the following resolution, which, on motion, was *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is necessary that San Francisco establish itself officially on the air lanes of the United States, for the reason that commercial aviation is taking rapid strides forward; and

Whereas, the United States Government, through the Post Office Department, is about to let important private contracts for the carrying of the mails by air; and

Whereas, one of these important private contracts is the service between Seattle, Portland, San Francisco, Fresno, Bakersfield and Los Angeles; and

Whereas, it will be necessary for us to be ready to receive this service; and

Whereas, the Marina District, in the City and County of San Francisco is an ideal piece of land available for an airport landing; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to give all possible encouragement to commercial aviation; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Special Committee on Airport be authorized to sit in with the Park Commission with a view to making a thorough study of the development of the Marina District for a landing field and to report back to the committee of the whole the necessary recommendations on the subject for definite action.

Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C., to Advise As to Size Requirements of Airport.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26568 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the selection of a site for San Francisco's Municipal Airport necessitates the determination of the proper size of such airport to accommodate present and future aviation, including hydroplanes; and

Whereas, the evidence submitted to this Board by the various experts on the size requirements of a municipal airport is in conflict; for example, at the meeting of the Board of November 15th a report was read stating that a first-class field should not be less than 3000 feet in diameter; on November 22d another report stated that the size should be 5000 feet by 3000 feet, and Bulletin No. 2 of the Department of Commerce, Aeronautics Branch, dated November, 1926, stated that the goal to which each city should aim in establishing its airport is a square field 2000 feet by 2000 feet which gives diagonal runways of 2800 feet; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board request the Department of Commerce at Washington, D. C., to advise it of the size of airports that are now being used by the air mail and commercial companies in various cities in the United States comparable to San Francisco in size and importance, such as Detroit, Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Washington, Pittsburg, Buffalo, Chicago, Cleveland, Kansas City and Dallas, and whether or not the large types of commercial aeroplanes of the type of the five and twelve passenger Fokkers are now using these airports or can use them; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Navy Department at Washington, D. C., be requested to advise this Board as to the importance of considering the providing for hydroplanes in the selection of a site for an airport.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy moved that we adjourn as a Committee of the Whole until next Monday.

Thereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported progress.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —17.

Absent—Supervisor Badaracco—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy presiding.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chestnut and Steiner Streets.

The following matter heretofore passed for printing was taken up:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries, and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed by placing the southwest corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets, for a distance of 206 feet 3 inches on Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

H. L. Dietzen was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board in favor of the passage of the foregoing bill.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Marks, the foregoing bill was *continued two weeks.*

Acquisition of Street Railway Transportation Systems.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Finance and Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to appoint a citizens' committee of seven members, to be known as the Advisory Committee on Transportation, the duty of which committee would be to survey and study the problems of transportation in San Francisco, with particular consideration of the early expiration of important street railway franchises, and to report to the Board of Supervisors a program of recommendations for the acquisition by the city government of all private owned street railway lines in operation now, and for the efficient consolidation of such lines with the Municipal Railway of San Francisco under public management.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Hayden raised the point of order that a matter of such great importance should be in the form of a resolution, and he quoted from the Book of Rules to sustain his argument. He was sustained in his view by Supervisor Byington, who also pointed out that a motion when reduced to writing becomes a resolution.

Chairman McSheehy ruled the point of order not well taken.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved reference of motion to the joint Committee on Finance and Public Utilities.

Supervisor Stanton's Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton said he had the utmost confidence in these two committees and knows they are in favor of public ownership and they want to acquire the United Railroads, but that he would not vote for any private citizen to come in and do the work that the Board should do.

Supervisor Stanton thereupon moved as an amendment to the amendment that the entire matter be referred to the joint Committee of Finance and Public Utilities, to make a thorough investigation and report back to the Board. This amendment was seconded by Supervisor Todd.

Supervisor Havenner agreed to insert the words "in conjunction with said committee."

Supervisor Hayden said the amendment proposed by Supervisor Stanton was perfectly satisfactory to him, and withdrew his amend-

ment and accepted the amendment offered by Supervisor Stanton.

Supervisor Harrelson objected to the appointment of a committee without any restrictions and suggested that a clause should be inserted so as to provide that certain engineering talent be included as part of the number and also that our own City Engineer be included as one of the members of this commission.

Supervisor Bath said that there had been a number of advisory committees in the past, some of which had proved valuable and others of no value, and that he believed no official should be included, so that the committee should work unhampered by any previous opinions which have been given.

Motion Carried.

Whereupon, the original motion presented by Supervisor Havenner was redrafted to comply with the amendment proposed by Supervisor Stanton, and Supervisor Havenner renewed his motion, which motion carried unanimously, to-wit:

"I move that a joint committee, consisting of the members of the Finance and Public Utilities Committees of this Board, be authorized to survey and study the problems of transportation in this City and County, with particular consideration of the early expiration of important street railway franchises, and to report to this Board a program of recommendations for the acquisition by the City and County government of all privately owned street railway lines in operation here, and for the efficient consolidation of such lines with the Municipal Railway of San Francisco under public management, said joint committee to have the power, if deemed advisable, to appoint a committee of citizens to assist in an advisory capacity, the same to be known as the Advisory Committee on Transportation."

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $47,742, Out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for Embarcadero Busses.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of forty-seven thousand seven hundred and forty-two dollars is hereby appropriated from the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund and authorized to be expended by the Board of Public Works for Embarcadero busses, as follows:

For the purchase of six White chassis complete, to meet City Engineer's specifications	$30,129
For California motor coach bodies	17,613
	$47,742

(Supervisor Power asked to be recorded as voting *no*.)

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26569 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Thirty-sixth avenue and Santiago street.

Thirty-sixth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets.

Ware street between Paul and San Bruno avenues.

Thirty-second avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.

Corner of Eighteenth street and Oakwood avenue.

Fitzgerald avenue and Jennings street.

Munich street between Persia and Brazil avenues.

Bannock street between Geneva and Ocean avenues.

Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa avenue and Bauer street.

San Bruno avenue between Jarboe and Tompkins avenues.

Colonial way off San Jose avenue.

Woodward avenue and Fourteenth street.

North and south sides Natoma street between Third and Fourth streets.

North and south sides Minna street between Third and Fourth streets.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

Kirkwood avenue between Phelps and Newhall streets.

Change 400 *M. R.*

Santa Rosa avenue near Cayuga avenue and Santa Rosa avenue.

Chenery street between Lippard and Chilton streets to corner Lippard and Chenery streets.

Remove Double Inverted Gas.

South side Post street, first and second east of Mason street.

Remove Single-Top Gas.

Southwest corner Eleventh avenue and Irving street.

South side Fourteenth street, opposite Woodward avenue.

North side Fourteenth street between Mission street and Woodward avenue.

North side Fourteenth street about 50 feet west of Woodward avenue.

North and south sides Minna street between Third and Fourth streets.

Take over three (3) lights on San Andreas way, St. Francis Wood Tract, and one on San Jacinto way heretofore paid for by the property owners.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Marks, Stanton—4.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26570 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Danish Societies be granted permission to give a masquerade ball at California Hall, Polk and Turk streets, on January 8, 1927, subect to the usual requirements.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Marks, Stanton—4.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Playground Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26571 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Minerva street, distant thereon 150 feet westerly from the westerly line of Plymouth avenue, running thence westerly and along said line of Minerva street 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 125 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Lot No. 4 in Block "P," Railroad Homestead Association. Be it

Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptatble, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: for playground purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francsico, as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Badaracco—1.

Taxicab Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26572 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands be approved:

Yellow Checker Cab Co., at 1293 Twentieth avenue, 1708 Bryant street, 2795 Mission street and 2911 Sacramento street.

Blue Bird Cab Co., at 607 Broadway, 344 Sutter street and 587 Pacific street.

Red Top Cab Co., at 489 Broadway, 2583 Mission street and 208 O'Farrell street.

Golden Gate Cab Co., at 24 Sixth street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Noes — Supervisors Byington, Marks—2.

Absent—Supervisor Badaracco—1.

Supervisor Marks objected to so much space on the streets of the City being taken up by taxicab stands without proper compensation to the City.

Report on Successor to Supervisor Welch's Seat in Board.

The Committee on Committees reported on the question of the chair formerly occupied by ex-Supervisor Welch.

Supervisor Todd had been given permission by the Clerk of the Board to occupy the seat and had moved into the seat. On the day of the appointment of Supervisor Byington, at the request of the Mayor, Supervisor Todd vacated the seat

so that Supervisor Byington might occupy the seat, at least temporarily.

A resolution was presented by Supervisor Hayden to grant the occupancy of the seat to Supervisor Byington permanently.

However, the committee reported in favor of granting the seat to Supervisor Todd.

After a lengthy discussion, on motion of Supervisor Byington, the matter was continued for one week.

Report of Committee.

The report of the Committee and Supervisor Hayden's resolution follow:

San Francisco, Nov. 22, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco,

Gentlemen:

On November 1, 1926, resolution introduced by Supervisor Hayden, requesting that Supervisor Lewis F. Byington be assigned to the seat formerly occupied by his predecessor, former Supervisor, now Congressman, Richard J. Welch, was referred to the Committee of Committees.

Previous to this date Supervisor Charles F. Tood made arrangements with Supervisor Welch for his seat and went so far as to arrange for the removal of papers and data that he had in his desk to former Supervisor Welch's desk.

The Committee, after carefully considering these facts, and following a procedure that has been in force for the past ten years, recommends that the seat formerly occupied by Supervisor, now Congressman-elect, Welch, be given to Supervisor Charles F. Todd, and the seat now occupied by Charles F. Todd be given to Supervisor Lewis F. Byington.

Respectfully submitted,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
J. B. BADARACCO,
CHAS. J. POWERS,
Committee of Committees.

Supervisor Hayden's Resolution.

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby assign to Supervisor Lewis F. Byington the chair occupied by his predecessor, former Supervisor Richard J. Welch.

Tournament of Roses, Pasadena.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26573 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, our sister City of Pasadena has extended a cordial invitation to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to participate in the Pasadena Tournament of Roses and particularly requests that San Francisco be represented in the floral parade, which is to take place at Pasadena on the afternoon of Saturday, January 1, 1927, which event is expected to be witnessed by two hundred thousand spectators; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors accepts the invitation of the City of Pasadena to participate in the Pasadena Tournament of Roses and the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee is authorized to make the necessary arrangements for San Francisco to be represented in the parade by an appropriate float.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Badaracco—1.

County Supervisors' Convention in San Francisco.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26574 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the County Supervisors' Association of the State of California meets each year in convention; and

Whereas, the importance of this gathering in stimulating public interest in civic affairs and the enlightenment that results from these conventions is recognized on all sides; and

Whereas, several years have elapsed since the County Supervisors have convened in the City and County of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors invites the County Supervisors' Association of the State of California to hold the 1928 State Convention in San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Badaracco—1.

Street Railway Extensions.

Supervisor Shannon announced that there would be a meeting of the Public Utilities Committee on

Wednesday afternoon, at which time the only matters to be considered would be extensions to the Municipal Railway preparatory to the proposed bond issue.

The City Engineer was requested to have a report ready regarding the extension of the Municipal Railway at the foot of Hyde street to connect with the Golden Gate ferry terminal.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 5:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 14, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 21—New Series No. 51

Monday, December 20, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 20, 1926, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 20, 1926, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of October 18 and 25, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Garbage Disposal.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Communication, from City Garbage Company, Inc., offering to operate incinerator and charge not more than $1 per ton for disposal and pay to the City for the privilege of such operation the sum of $50,000 a year, and enclosing certified check in the sum of $1,000.

Referred to Finance and Health Committee.

Protests Against Temporary Airport on the Marina.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Protests, of property owners and residents in the Marina District against the proposed establishment of a temporary airport on the Marina.

Laid over one week.

Reclassification of Zoning Ordinance, Filbert Street and Grant Avenue.

Supervisor Badacarro presented:

Communication, from V. Travers and others, protesting against the proposed ordinance providing for the reclassification of the northwest corner of Filbert street and Grant avenue, and changing the zone from Second Residential to Commercial.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Salary Increases Requested.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Petition, of Civil Service Employees for a $10 per month salary increase.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Petition, of telephone operators, City Hall, for salary increases.

Referred to Finance Committee.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26575 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) M. B. McGowan, furnishing and driving piles, etc., at Yacht Harbor (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $789.

(2) Berringer & Russell, oats, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $530.46.

Auditorium Fund.

(3) Pacific Radio Exposition, refund of indemnity bond deposit for occupancy of Auditorium (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $1,000.

Playground Fund.

(4) Atlas Hauling Company, hauling tanbark for playgrounds (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $1,552.

(5) J. H. McCallum, millwork for playgrounds (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $879.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(6) Hancock Bros., printing

transfers for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $1,104.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(7) War Department, U. S. Government, partial payment for construction of waiting station at Municipal Railway terminal, U. S. Presidio (claim dated Dec. 1, 1926), $995.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(8) Clinton Construction Co., twenty-second payment, general construction of new Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $12,071.25.

(9) John Reid, Jr., twenty-fifth payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $1,774.88.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(10) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $1,437.12.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(11) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks for Alvarado School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $2,627.70.

(12) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks for Hawthorne School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $2,627.70.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(13) Crown Electric Co., fifth payment, electrical work for Edison School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $981.38.

(14) Geo. A. Applegarth, third payment, architectural services for Edison School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $1,246.14.

(15) Weeks & Day, final payment, architectural services for Hawthorne School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $3,344.31.

(16) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for Annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $1,809.96.

(17) Crown Electric Co., twelfth payment, electrical work for West Portal School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $1,042.87.

(18) Crown Electric Co., twelfth payment, electrical work for new Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $5,533.61.

(19) Burnham Plumbing Co., eleventh payment, plumbing, etc., for new Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $4,154.63.

(20) A. Lettich, eighth payment, plumbing work for Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $2,880.75.

(21) Aetna Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for Hawthorne School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $500.

(22) John Reid, Jr., seventeenth payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $997.84.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(23) John J. Dailey, legal services rendered, month of December, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $850.

(24) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services rendered, month of December, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $750.

(25) H. G. Butler, services rendered during November in connection with severance damage, valuation of San Francisco electrical properties (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $725.

(26) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $2,500.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(27) Hales & Symons, Inc., 50,000 pine wedges, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 4, 1926), $1,294.65.

(28) R. W. Kinney Co., wrought steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $6,782.27.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(29) Associated Charities, maintenance, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $8,271.20.

(30) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $887.50.

(31) Little Children's Ad, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $8,349.51.

(32) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $1,171.85.

(33) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $1,992.72.

(34) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $555.78.

(35) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $1,280.

(36) Presbyterian Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $511.73.

(37) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $4,206.96.

(38) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $1,484.92.

(39) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $635.50.

(40) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $11,794.51.

(41) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $4,412.75.

(42) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $28,308.10.

(43) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, October (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $910.97.

(44) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, November (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $874.

(45) Automatic Registering Machine Co., fifty-four voting machines for Department of Elections (claim dated Nov. 23, 1926), $58,-015.50.

(46) James Rolph, Jr., incidental expense for December (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $625.

(47) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasin frames, etc., for sewer repairs (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $957.73.

(48) Enterprise Foundry Co., rollers and smoothing irons for street repairs (claim dated Dec. 6, 1926), $726.

(49) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded children (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $8,-810.

(50) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $1,470.67.

(51) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing of Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $1,075.

Publicity and Advertising (*Appropriation* 55).

(52) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $1,881.99.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Property Required for Playground Purposes.

Resolution No. 26576 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund and authorized in payment to the following named claimants, being payments for properties required for playground purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Matilda B. Jackson, for property commencing at a point on the westerly line of Plymouth avenue, distant thereon 75 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lobos street, running thence northerly and along Plymouth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; being part of Lot 8 in Block "P", Railroad Homestead Association (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $900.

(2) To Mercantile Trust Company of California, for all of Lots 2 and 18, Block 4164-4195, and all of Lots 5 and 15 in Block 4163-4196, as per Assessor's Map Books of City and County (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $4,600.

(3) To George E. Bates, for the south half of Lot 12 and all of Lot 14, Block 4163-4196 and Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 16 and 17 in Block 4164-4195, as per Assessor's Map Books of the City and County (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $3,000.

(4) To George E. Bates, for all of Lot 3 and the north half of Lot 12, Block 4163-4196, as per Assessor's Map Books of the City and County (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriations for Acquisition of Property for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 26577 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1926-1927. to the hereinafter named, being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Charles Watters and Mary Watters, for Lot 10, Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26488 (New Series), $2,600.

(2) To Hind Building Company, for Lot 1, Block 6686, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26488 (New Series), $800.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriations for Payment of Tax Judgments.

Resolution No. 26578 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are here-

by set aside and appropriated out of Tax Judgments, Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named attorneys as agents for and in behalf of judgment creditors, being one-tenth of judgments plus interest, as per schedules attached to claims, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Cushing & Cushing, attorneys for judgment creditors, with interest to Dec. 13, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $27,566.96.

(2) To Leslie E. Burks and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, with interest to Dec. 5, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $842.78.

(3) To Leslie E. Burks and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, with interest to Dec. 5, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $11,781.37.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriation, $12,500, to California Development Association for Publicity and Advertising.

Resolution No. 26579 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $12,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the California Development Association for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927. (Claim dated Dec. 13, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriation, $307,037, for General Construction of Sherman School.

Resolution No. 26580 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $307,037 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of the Sherman School, at south side of Union street between Franklin and Gough streets, as per contracts awarded, possible extras, inspection, etc., to-wit:

General construction, by Mahony Brothers, $262,400.

Electrical work, by R. Flatland, $10,850.

Mechanical equipment, by Scott Company, $18,787.

Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $15,000.

Total, $307,037.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriations for Tiling City Morgue and Pergola, San Francisco Hospital.

Resolution No. 26581 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For tiling of floor and walls in cold storage room of the City Morgue, by Board of Public Works, $885.

Improvements, San Francisco Hospital, Budget Item No. 84.

(2) For construction of permanent pergola of glass at Twenty-second street entrance to San Francisco Hospital, $1,100.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriation, $47,742, Out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for Embarcadero Busses.

Resolution No. 26582 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of forty-seven thousand seven hundred and forty-two dollars is hereby appropriated from the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund and authorized to be expended by the Board of Public Works for Embarcadero busses, as follows:

For the purchase of six White chassis complete, to meet City Engineer's specifications	$30,129
For California motor coach bodies	17,613
	$47,742

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26583 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

T. Butler, north line of San Jacinto way, 150 feet east of Monterey boulvard, 600 gallons capacity.

S. S. Chadderton, 824 Camino del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Madison School), south side of Clay street, 150 feet east of Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. McGuire, 917 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Muller's Bakery, east line of Mission street, 100 feet north of Persia avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Col. T. J. Powers, 2516 Union street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. S. Purcell, north side of Post street, 100 feet east of Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Morris Rosenberg, 1418 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. I. Strand, south side of Clement street, 40 feet west of Thirty-third avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Lactal Corporation, 469 Bryant street, 10 horsepower.

San Francisco Seltzer Water Company, north side of Pacific street, 50 feet west of Cordelia street, 25 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 26584 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mason-Sacramento Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the north side of Sacramento street, 167 feet east of Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Resolution No. 26585 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bear Film Co. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the south side of Howard street, 125 feet east of Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Amendment to Building Laws, Hardwood Floors.

Bill No. 7836, Ordinance No. 7347 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1909, by adding a new section thereto, and to be numbered Section 102-A, relating to hardwood floors.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto and numberd Section 102-A.

Hardware Floors.

Section 102-A. Hardwood floors shall be laid upon a sub-flooring of at least merchantable T. and G. pine flooring or pine boards not more than six (6) inches in width and not less than seven-eighths (7/8) of an inch in thickness, surfaced one side and edge and laid as tightly as possible, and to be double-nailed on each floor joist to obtain a smooth and even surface.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Changing Grades, Joshua Avenue.

Bill No. 7837, Ordinance No. 7348 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Josiah avenue between Lake View avenue and Ridge lane.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 26th day of August, 1926, by Resolution No. 26085 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish

the grades on Josiah avenue between Lake View avenue and Ridge lane; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Josiah Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Lake View avenue northerly line, 408.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Lake View avenue northerly line, 405 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 25 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 413 feet.

14 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 25 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 405.93 feet.

22 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 25 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 405.93 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 403.66 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 396 feet.

22 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 397 feet.

14 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 397 feet.

Westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 404 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 375 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 395 feet.

22 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 375 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 396 feet.

14 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 375 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 396 feet.

Westerly line of, 375 feet northerly from Lake View avenue, 403 feet.

Grades on Josiah avenue between Ridge lane and a line parallel with Lake View avenue and 375 feet northerly therefrom abolished.

On Josiah avenue between Lake View avenue and Ridge lane changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7838, Ordinance No. 7349 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, December 6, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Forty-fifth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets*:

The easterly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from Vicente street to a line parallel with and 150 feet southerly thererom; the easterly one-half of Forty-fifth avenue from a line parallel with and 200 feet southerly from Vicente street to a line parallel with and 475 feet southerly from Vicente street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of 8-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, Y branches and sidesewers that said frontages would be liable for; by the construction of that portion of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts that said frontages would be liable for; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Establishing Grades.

Bill No. 7839, Ordinance No. 7350 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and San Bruno avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and San Bruno avenue are hereby establishd at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed November 23, 1926.

Woolsey Street.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Charter Oak avenue westerly line, 42.13 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Charter Oak avenue westerly line, 41.85 feet.

100 feet westerly from Charter Oak avenue, 45.40 feet.

San Bruno avenue, 46.50 feet. The same being the present official grade.)

On Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and San Bruno avenue be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7840, Ordinance No. 7351 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Mount Vernon avenue between Williar avenue produced and Grafton avenue, and on Grafton avenue between Harold avenue and Mount Vernon avenue.

Be it ordained by the Pople of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Mount Vernon avenue between Williar avenue produced and Grafton avenue, and on Grafton avenue between Harold avenue and Mount Vernon avenue, are hereby established at points hereinafter named in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed December 1, 1926.

Mount Vernon Avenue.

Williar avenue, westerly line produced, 354.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly curb line of, 35.45 feet westerly from Williar avenue produced, measured along the curb line, 358.35 feet.

Southerly curb line of, Grafton avenue, 6.28 feet westerly from Getz street, 363.36 feet.

Northerly curb line of, Grafton avenue, 77 feet easterly from Harold avenue, 364.98 feet.

Grafton Avenue.

Southerly curb line of, 87.79 feet easterly from Harold avenue, 363.36 feet.

Southerly curb line of, 77 feet easterly from Harold avenue, 364.50 feet.

Southerly curb line of, 42 feet easterly from Harold avenue, 367.52 feet.

Southerly curb line of, 7 feet easterly from Harold avenue, 369.20 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly curb line of, 77 feet easterly from Harold avenue, 364.98 feet.

Northerly curb line of, 42 feet easterly from Harold avenue, 367.27 feet.

Northerly curb line of, 7 feet easterly from Harold avenue, 367.70 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Southerly line of, at Harold avenue, 370 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Harold ave-

nue, 367 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Mount Vernon avenue between Williar avenue produced and Grafton avenue, and on Grafton avenue between Harold avenue and Mount Vernon avenue, established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Bill No. 7841, Ordinance No. 7352 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-two.

Be it ordained by the Pople of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 1, 1926, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-two, to read as follows:

Section 942. The width of sidewalks on Lyon street between Pacific avenue and Broadway shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Lyon street between Pacific avenue and Broadway," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Changing Grades.

Bill No. 7842, Ordinance No. 7353 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Twenty-ninth avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets and on Rivera street between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 15th day of October, 1926, by Resolution No. 26262 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Twenty-ninth avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets, and on Rivera street between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth avenues.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Twenty-ninth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Quintara street, 309 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Quintara street, 306 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Quintara street, 306.38 feet.

250 feet northerly from Rivera street, 303.56 feet.

200 feet northerly from Rivera street, 301.89 feet.

150 feet northerly from Rivera street, 298 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

100 feet northerly from Rivera street, 293 feet.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 284.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 281.50 feet.

100 feet southerly from Rivera street, 273.80 feet.

200 feet southerly from Rivera street, 264.60 feet.

250 feet southerly from Rivera street, 260.79 feet.

300 feet southerly from Rivera street, 258.58.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Santiago street, 250 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Rivera Street.

Twenty-eighth avenue westerly line, 303 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-ninth avenue easterly line, 284.50 feet.

Twenty-ninth avenue westerly line, 281.50 feet.

Thirtieth avenue easterly line, 252 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-ninth avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets and on Rivera street between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth avenues changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7843, Ordinance No. 7354 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adpoting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, December 4, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Pope street between Brunswick street and the northerly line of Hanover street produced*: On the westerly one-half, from a line 75 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street to a line 250 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street, and from a line 325 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street to a line 375 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street; on the easterly one-half, from a line 200 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street to a line 225 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Brunswick street, by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary manholes, Y branches and sidesewers that said frontages would be liable for; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of concrete pavement adjacent to the center line between the southerly line of Brunswick street and a line 200 feet southerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadway thereof;

And the improvement of the crossing of *Pope street and Brunswick street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers and 1 brick manhole with appurtenances; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof;

And the improvement of the following portions of *Allison street between Morse street and Brunswick street;* on the westerly one-half from the southerly line of Morse street

to a line 75 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Morse street; on the easterly one-half, from a line 200 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Morse street to a line 225 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Morse street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof;

And the improvement of the crossings of *Allison street and Morse street and Allison street and Brunswick street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Allison street and Morse street, and 2 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Allison street and Brunswick street; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with one brick manhole and appurtenances along the center line of Allison street between the center and southerly lines of Brunswick street; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Allison street between the center and the northerly lines of Brunswick street; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Morse street between the easterly and the westerly lines of Allison street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7844, Ordinance No. 7355 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 7, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the northerly one-half of *Chestnut street from Mallorca way to Divisadero street*, by the construction of full-width artificial stone sidewalks where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width have not been constructed to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7845, Ordinance No. 7356 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City

and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The construction of a bulkhead on the westerly line of Thirty-fourth avenue from the northerly line of Judah street to a line parallel with and one hundred eighty-seven (187) feet six (6) inches northerly therefrom, and the removal of the sand from the street and sidewalk area adjacent thereto.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Full Acceptance, Streets.

Bill No. 7846, Ordinance No. 7357 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Belmont avenue between Edgewood avenue and Willard street, Berry street between Seventh and De Haro streets, Beach street between Broderick and Baker streets, Divisadero street between Lombard and Chestnut streets, and crossing of Divisadero and Chestnut streets, Douglass street between Twenty-fifth and Clipper streets, Forty-third avenue between Geary and Anza streets, Funston avenue between Kirkham and Lurline streets, Kirkham street, Funston and Fourteenth avenues, Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets, crossing of Arkansas and Nineteenth streets, Arkansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, Nineteenth street between Hampshire street and Potrero avenue, Phelps street between Kirkwood and La Salle avenues, between La Salle and McKinnon avenues, between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues, and between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues, Montcalm street between Alabama street and Peralta avenue, Silver avenue between Hamilton and Holyoke streets, and between Somerset and Merrill streets, and the intersections of Silver avenue and Bowdoin street, Silver avenue and Hamilton street, Silver avenue and Somerset street, Thirty-third avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, Twentieth street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, Twenty-first street between Church and Sanchez streets, crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Santiago street, intersection of Coleridge street and Esmeralda avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Belmont avenue between Edgewood avenue and Willard street; Berry street between Seventh and De Haro streets; Beach street between Broderick and Baker streets; Divisadero street between Lombard and Chestnut street, and crossing of Divisadero and Chestnut streets; Douglass street between Twenty-fifth and Clipper streets; Forty-third avenue between Geary and Anza streets; Funston avenue between Kirkham and Lurline streets; Kirkham street between Funston and Fourteenth avenues; Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets; crossing of Arkansas and Nineteenth streets; Arkansas street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets; Nineteenth street between Hampshire street and Potrero avenue; Phelps street between Kirkwood and La Salle avenues, between La Salle and McKinnon avenues; between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues, between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues; Montcalm street between Alabama street and Peralta avenue; Silver avenue between Hamilton and Holyoke streets, and between Somerset and Merrill streets, and the intersections of Silver avenue and Bowdoin street; Silver avenue and Hamilton street; Silver avenue and Somerset street; Thirty-third avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Twentieth street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets; Twenty-first street between Church and Sanchez streets; crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Santiago street; intersection of Coleridge street and Esmeralda avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner,

Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Conditional Acceptance, Streets.

Bill No. 7847, Ordinance No. 7358 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-third avenue between Lawton and Moraga streees, Vicente street between Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues, Vicente street between Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues, Vicente street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues, Vicente street between Thirty- second and Thirty-third avenues, Woolsey street between Bowdoin and Hamilton streets, Woolsey street between Holyoke and Hamilton streets, Woolsey street between Somerset and Holyoke streets, Woolsey street between Dartmouth and Colby streets, crossings of Hale and Merrill streets, Huron and Ottawa avenues, Lawton street and Twenty-third avenue, Lawton street and Twenty-fifth avenue, Lawton street and Twenty-fourth avenue, Merrill and Sweeney streets, Moraga street and Twenty-fourth avenue, Moraga street and Twenty-third avenue, Quintara street and Sixteenth avenue, Quintara street and Seventeenth avenue, and Quintara street and Eighteenth avenue, Santiago street and Forty-sixth avenue, Twenty-eighth avenue and Lawton street, Twenty-second and Arkansas streets, Twenty-ninth avenue and Kirkham street, Vicente street and Thirty-third avenue, Vicente street and Thirty-first avenue, Vicente street and Thirty-fourth avenue, Vicente street and Thirty-second avenue, Brussels and Dwight streets, Woolsey and Somerset streets, Woolsey and Hamilton streets, Woolsey and Holyoke streets, Woolsey and Dartmouth streets, Woolsey and Bowdoin streets, and Twenty-first avenue and Quintara street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Twenty-third avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third, avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Woolsey street between Bowdoin and Hamilton streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Woolsey street between Holyoke and Hamilton streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Woolsey street between Somerset and Holyoke streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Woolsey street between Dartmouth and Colby streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossings of Hale and Merrill streets; Huron and Ottawa avenues; Lawton street and Twenty-third avenue; Lawton street and

Twenty-fifth avenue; Lawton street and Twenty-fourth avenue; Merrill and Sweeney streets; Moraga street and Twenty-fourth avenue; Moraga street and Twenty-third avenue; Quintara street and Sixteenth avenue; Quintara street and Seventeenth avenue; Quintara street and Eighteenth avenue; Santiago street and Forty-sixth avenue; Twenty-eighth avenue and Lawton street; Twenty-ninth avenue and Kirkham street; Twenty-second avenue and Arkansas street; Twenty-ninth avenue and Kirkham street; Vicente street and Thirty-third avenue; Vicente street and Thirty-first avenue; Vicente street and Thirty-second avenue; Vicente street and Thirty-fourth avenue; Brussels and Dwight streets; Woolsey and Somerset streets; Woolsey and Hamilton streets; Woolsey and Holyoke streets; Woolsey and Dartmouth streets; Woolsey and Bowdoin streets; Twenty-first avenue and Quintara street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden; Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7848, Ordinance No. 7359 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Merrill street between Sweeny and Gaven streets, Merrill street between Hale and Sweeny streets, Moraga street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues, Noriega street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, Noriega street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues, and crossing of Noriega street and Seventeenth avenue, Osage alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets. Ortega street between Tenth and Eleventh avenues, Putnam street between Cortland and Jarboe avenues, Quintara street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, Quintara street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues. Quintara street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Rhine street between Flournoy street and the county line, Rivera street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, Saturn street between Lower Terrace and a point 150 feet westerly from Ord street, Sixteenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, Silver avenue between Vienna street and the easterly line of University street, including the intersections of Silver avenue and Madison street, Gambier street, Harvard street, Oxford street, Cambridge street, Yale street and University street, Sixteenth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, Sweeney street between Boylston and Merrill streets, Twentieth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, Thirty-first avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Merrill street between Sweeny and Gaven streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Merrill street between Hale and Sweeny streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Moraga street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Noriega street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Noriega street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete pavement and 14-foot central strip of

concrete pavement and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Noriega street and Seventeenth avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Osage alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, no sewer, gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Ortega street between Tenth and Eleventh avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Putnam street between Cortland avenue and Jarboe avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Quintara street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Quintara street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Quintara street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rhine street between Flournoy street and the County Line, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rivera street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Saturn street between Lower Terrace and a point 150 feet westerly from Ord street, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sixteenth avenue between Taraval street and Ulloa street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Silver avenue between Vienna street and the easterly line of University street, including the intersections of Silver avenue and Madison street, Gambier street, Harvard street, Oxford street, Cambridge street, Yale street and University street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sixteenth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sweeny street between Boylston and Merrill streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twentieth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirty-first avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7849, Ordinance No. 7360 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Anza street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues; Arleta avenue between Delta and Elliot streets, and

the crossing of Arleta avenue and Elliot street; Brazil avenue between Moscow and Munich streets; Brazil avenue between Munich and Prague streets, and the crossings of Brazil avenue and Munich street and Brazil avenue and Prague street; Brompton avenue between Chenery and Bosworth streets; Bronte street between Cortland and Jarboe avenues; Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa avenue and San Juan avenue and Bauer street; Costa street between Brewster street and Holladay avenue; Curtis street between Morse and Brunswick streets, and the crossings of Curtis and Brunswick streets; Eighteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Huron avenue between Ottawa street and Sala terrace, and the intersection of Huron avenue and Sala terrace; Judah street between the easterly line of Thirty-third avenue and Forty-first avenue, including the crossings of Judah street and Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues; Jennings street between Le Conte and Meade avenues; Jennings street between Key and Le Conte avenues; Lane street between Oakdale and Palou avenues; Lane street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues, and the crossing of Lawton street and Twenty-second avenue; Lawton street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues, and the crossing of Lawton street and Twenty-ninth avenue; crossings of Forty-fourth avenue and Kirkham street, and Forty-fourth avenue and Lawton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, concrete and curbs laid thereon and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Anza street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Arleta avenue between Delta and Elliot streets and the crossing of Arleta avenue and Elliot street, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Brazil avenue between Moscow and Munich streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas main have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Brazil avenue between Munich and Prague streets, and crossings of Brazil avenue and Munich street, and Brazil avenue and Prague street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water main has been laid therein.

Brompton avenue between Chenery and Bosworth streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein. no water mains have been laid therein.

Bronte street between Cortland and Jarboe avenues, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa avenue and San Juan avenue and Bauer street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Costa street between Brewster street and Holladay avenue, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Curtis street between Morse and Brunswick streets, and the crossing of Curtis and Brunswick streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Eighteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Huron avenue between Ottawa avenue and Sala Terrace and the intersection of Huron avenue and Sala Terrace, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Judah street between the easterly line of Thirty-third avenue and Forty-first avenue, including the crossings of Judah street and Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Jennings street between Le Conte and Meade avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Jennings street between Key and Le Conte avenues, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lane street between Oakdale and Palou avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lane street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues, and the crossings of Lawton street and Twenty-second avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, paved with asphalitc concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues and the crossing of Lawton street and Twenty-ninth avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Forty-fourth avenue and Kirkham street and Forty-fourth avenue and Lawton street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7850, Ordinance No. 7361 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-fourth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, Thirty-seventh avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, Twenty-fifth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Twenty-sixth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Winnipeg avenue between Sickles avenue and Regent street, Wayland street between Holyoke and Hamilton streets, Wayland street between Somerset and Holyoke streets, Wayland street between Somerset and Goettingen streets, crossing of Ellsworth street and Powhattan avenue, crossing of Wayland and Somerset streets, crossing of Wayland and Goettingen streets, crossing of Wayland and Hamilton streets, crossing of Wayland and Holyoke streets, crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Rivera street, crossing of Thirty-first avenue and Santiago street, crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-second avenue, crossing of Moraga street and

Twenty-fifth avenue, crossing of Twenty-sixth avenue and Moraga street, crossing of Lawton street and Twenty-sixth avenue, crossing of Pacheco street and Twenty-first avenue, crossing of Twenty-sixth avenue and Kirkham street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfacion of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Twenty-fourth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Thirty-seventh avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Twenty-fifth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Twenty-sixth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Winnipeg avenue between Sickles avenue and Regent street; Wayland street between Holyoke and Hamilton streets; Wayland street between Somerset and Holyoke streets; Wayland street between Somerset and Goettingen streets; crossing of Ellsworth street and Powhattan avenue; crossing of Wayland and Somerset streets; crossing of Wayland and Goettingen streets; crossing of Wayland and Hamilton streets; crossing of Wayland and Holyoke streets; crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Rivera street; crossing of Thirty-first avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-second avenue; crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-fifth avenue; crossing of Twenty-sixth avenue and Moraga street; crossing of Lawton street and Twenty-sixth avenue; crossing of Pacheco street and Twenty-first avenue; crossing of Twenty-sixth avenue and Kirkham street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco. Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7851, Ordinance No. 7362 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Beach street between Hyde and Larkin streets and crossing of Beach and Larkin streets, Bowdoin street between Felton and Silliman streets, Crout street between Ney and Trumbull streets, Eighteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets, Fifteenth avenue between Ullia and Vicente streets, Harrison street between Army street and Precita avenue, Holyoke street between Wayland and Woolsey streets, Jones street between North Point and Beach streets, Kirkham street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues; Newhall street between Revere and Bay View avenues, Bay View avenue between Flora and Newhall streets and the intersection of Newhall street and Bay View avenue and Flora street and Bay View avenue, Monticello street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street, Moraga street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, Moraga street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues, Oakdale avenue between Keith and Lane streets, Ortega street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, Sixteenth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets, Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets, Twenty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, Twenty-fifth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets and crossing of Flournoy and Rhine streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Beach street between Hyde and Larkin streets, and crossing of

Beach and Larkin streets; Bowdoin street between Felton and Silliman streets; Craut street between Ney and Trumbull streets; Eighteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets; Fifteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Harrison street between Army street and Precita avenue; Holyoke street between Wayland and Woolsey streets; Jones street between North Point and Beach streets; Kirkham street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues; Newhall street between Revere and Bay View avenues; Bay View avenue between Flora and Newhall streets, and the intersection of Newhall street and Bay View avenue, and Flora street and Bay View avenue; Monticello street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street; Moraga street between Twenty--fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues; Moraga street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues; Oakdale avenue between Keith and Lane streets; Ortega street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues; Sixteenth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets; Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets; Twenty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Twenty-fifth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; crossing of Flournoy and Rhine streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Establishing Grades.

Bill No. 7852, Ordinance No. 7363 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Geneva avenue between the westerly line of Howth street and a line parallel with and 261 feet westerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Geneva avenue between the westerly line of Howth street and a line parallel with and 261 feet westerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed November 23, 1926.

Geneva Avenue.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Howth street westerly line, 276.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Howth street westerly line, 276.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

160 feet westerly from Howth street, 300.00 feet.

200 feet westerly from Howth street, 304.82 feet.

240 feet westerly from Howth street, 307.31 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 261 feet westerly from Howth street, 308.00 feet.

On Geneva avenue between the westerly line of Howth street and a line parallel with and 261 feet westerly therefrom established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7853, Ordinance No. 7364 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the

said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments, that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *crossings of Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth, Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues with Ulloa street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catch-basins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Changing Grades.

Bill No. 7854, Ordinance No. 7365 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirtieth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 25th day of September, 1926, by Resolution No. 26186 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Thirtieth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Thirtieth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street southerly line, 252 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Rivera street southerly line, 249 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Rivera street, 243 feet.

150 feet southerly from Rivera street, 239.25 feet.

200 feet southerly from Rivera street, 236 feet.

250 feet southerly from Rivera street, 233.75 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

100 feet northerly from Santiago street, 225 feet.

Easterly line of, at Santiago street northerly line, 223 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Santiago street northerly line, 220 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Thirtieth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7855, Ordinance No. 7366 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Goettingen street between Wayland and Woolsey streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 15th day of October, 1926, by Resolution No. 26258 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Goettingen street between Wayland and Woolsey streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused

notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Goettingen Street.

Wayland street, 68 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

240 feet southerly from Wayland street, 69.20 feet.

290 feet southerly from Wayland street, 70.47 feet.

340 feet southerly from Wayland street, 73.79 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Woolsey street northerly line, 79 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Goettingen street between Wayland and Woolsey streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7856, Ordinance No. 7367 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Egbert avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets, and on Jennings street between Donner and Fitzgerald avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 15th day of October, 1926, by Resolution No. 26257 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Egbert avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets, and on Jennings street between Donner and Fitzgerald avenues; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Egbert Avenue.

Ingalls street, 9.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet westerly from Ingalls street, 10.50 feet.

Jennings street, 9.00 feet.

Jennings Street.

Donner avenue, 7.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Egbert avenue, 9.00 feet.

Fitzgerald avenue, 11.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Egbert avenue between Ingalls and Jennings street, and on Jennings street between Donner and Fitzgerald avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7857, Ordinance No. 7368 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Fitzgerald avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets, and on Hawes street between the southerly line of Egbert avenue and the northerly line of Gilman avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 15th day of October, 1926, by Resolution No. 26260 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Fitzgerald avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets, and on Hawes street between the southerly line of Egbert avenue and the northerly line of Gilman avenue; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Fitzgerald Avenue.

Hawes street, 18.50 feet.

Ingalls street, 14.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Hawes Street.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Egbert avenue southerly line, 29.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Egbert avenue southerly line, 28.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Fitzgerald avenue, 18.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Gilman avenue northerly line, 22.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Gilman avenue northerly line, 22.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Fitzgerald avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets and on Hawes street between the southerly line of Egbert avenue and the northerly line of Gilman avenue changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7858, Ordinance No. 7369 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Hawes street between Yosemite avenue and Fitzgerald avenue, on Ingalls street between Wallace avenue and Carroll avenue, on Jennings street between Yosemite avenue and Bancroft avenue, on Yosemite and Bancroft avenues between Hawes and Jennings streets, on Armstrong avenue between Hawes and Keith streets, and on Carroll, Donner and Egbert avenues between Hawes and Ingalls streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 15th day of October, 1926, by Resolution No. 26261 (New Series) declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Hawes street between Yosemite avenue and re-establish the grades on Hawes street between Yosemite avenue and Fitzgerald avenue, on Ingalls street between Wallace avenue and Carroll avenue, on Jennings street between Yosemite avenue and Bancroft avenue, on Yosemite and Bancroft avenues between Hawes and Jennings streets, on Armstrong avenue between Hawes and Keith streets and on Carroll, Donner and Egbert avenues between Hawes and Ingalls streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Hawes Street.

Yosemite avenue, base.

Armstrong avenue, 2.00 feet.

Bancroft avenue, 4.00 feet.

Carroll avenue, 8.00 feet.

Donner avenue, 11.50 feet.

Egbert avenue, 15.00 feet.

Fitzgerald avenue, 18.50 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

Ingalls Street.

Wallace avenue, 2.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Yosemite avenue, base.
Armstrong avenue, 1.00 feet.
Bancroft avenue, 2.00 feet.
Carroll avenue, 3.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Jennings Street.

Yosemite avenue, 4.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Armstrong avenue, 3.00 feet.
Bancroft avenue, 4.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Yosemite Avenue.

Hawes street, base.
Ingalls street, base.
Jennings street, 4.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Armstrong Avenue.

Hawes street, 2.00 feet.
Ingalls street, 1.00 feet.
Jennings street, 3.00 feet.
Keith street, 6.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Bancroft Avenue.

Hawes street, 4.00 feet.
Ingalls street, 2.00 feet.
Jennings street, 4.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Carroll Avenue.

Hawes street, 8.00 feet.
Ingalls street, 3.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Donner Avenue.

Hawes street, 11.50 feet.
Ingalls street, 5.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Egbert Avenue.

Hawes street, 15.00 feet.
Ingalls street, 9.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Hawes street between Yosemite avenue and Fitzgerald avenue, on Ingalls street between Wallace avenue and Carroll avenue, on Jennings street between Yosemite avenue and Bancroft avenue, on Yosemite and Bancroft avenues, between Hawes and Jennings streets, on Armstrong avenue between Hawes and Keith streets and on Carroll, Donner and Egbert avenues between Hawes and Ingalls streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7859, Ordinance No. 7370 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 15th day of October, 1926, by Resolution No. 26259 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues between Rivera and Santiago streets;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and,

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows::

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Twenty-fifth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 391 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 388 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
100 feet southerly from Rivera street, 379 feet.
100 feet northerly from Santiago street, 337 feet.
Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 330 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 327 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-sixth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 359 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 356 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
100 feet southerly from Rivera street, 350 feet.
200 feet southerly from Rivera street, 342.75 feet.
300 feet southerly from Rivera street, 334.12 feet.
400 feet southerly from Rivera street, 332.75 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

500 feet southerly from Rivera street, 310 feet.

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 299 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 296 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues between Rivera and Santiago streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7860, Ordinance No. 7371 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Douglass street between Army street and Twenty-eighth street and on Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets between Diamond street and Hoffman avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 25th day of September, 1926, by Resolution No. 26184 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Douglass treet between Army street and Twenty-eighth street and on Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets between Diamond street and Hoffman avenue;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Douglass Street.

Army street, southerly line, 431.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-seventh street northerly line, 484 feet.

Twenty-seventh street, southerly line, 487 feet.

Duncan street northerly line, 515 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Duncan street southerly line, 518 feet.

Twenty-eighth street, 533 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-seventh Street.

Diamond street westerly line, 379 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

217.50 feet westerly from Diamond street, 428.60 feet.

267.50 feet westerly from Diamond street, 439.09 feet.

317.50 feet westerly from Diamond street, 447.78 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

100 feet easterly from Douglass street, 469.95 feet.

Northerly line of, at Douglass street, 484 feet.

Southerly line of, at Douglass street, 487 feet.

100 feet westerly from Douglass street, 502.87 feet.

350 feet westerly from Douglass street, 546.31 feet.

400 feet westerly from Douglass street, 555.71 feet.

450 feet westerly from Douglass street, 566.56 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Hoffman avenue easterly line, 592 street. (The same being the present official grade.)

Duncan Street.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 383 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 385 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

100 feet westerly from Diamond street, 410 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 150 feet easterly from Douglass street, 488 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Douglass street, 499.58 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 50 feet easterly from Douglass street, 508.35 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 150 feet easterly from Douglass street, 488 feet.

15 feet northerly from the south-

erly line of, 100 feet easterly from Douglass street, 499.78 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 50 feet easterly from Douglass street, 509.15 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, at Douglass street, 515 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Douglass street, 518 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 150 feet westerly from Douglass street, 524.58 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 200 feet westerly from Douglass street, 527.50 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 250 feet westerly from Douglass street, 531.45 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 150 feet westerly from Douglass street, 524.18 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 200 feet westerly from Douglass street, 527.40 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 250 feet westerly from Douglass street, 531.45 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Hoffman avenue, 559 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Douglass street between Army street and Twenty-eighth street and on Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets between Diamond street and Hoffman avenue changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 7861, Ordinance No. 7372 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Blanche street between the northerly line of Twenty-third street and a line parallel with and 260 feet northerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 25th day of September, 1926, by Resolution No. 26185 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Blanche street between the northerly line of Twenty-third street and a line parallel with and 260 feet northerly therefrom;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows::

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Blanche Street.

Easterly line of, at Twenty-third street northerly line, 202.23 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Twenty-third street northerly line, 202.77 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 40 feet northerly from Twenty-third street, 210.13 feet.

Easterly line of, 90 feet northerly from Twenty-third street, 219.62 feet.

Easterly line of, 140 feet northerly from Twenty-third street, 228.38 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 40 feet northerly from Twenty-third street, 210.43 feet.

Westerly line of, 90 feet northerly from Twenty-third street, 219.70 feet.

Westerly line of, 140 feet northerly from Twenty-third street, 228.38 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

260 feet northerly from Twenty-third street, 248.50 feet.

On Blanche street between the northerly line of Twenty-third street and a line parallel with and 260 feet northerly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Bill No. 7862, Ordinance No. 7373 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Nine Hundred and Forty-one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 1, 1926, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Nine Hundred and Forty-one, to read as follows:

Section 941. The width of sidewalks on Grafton avenue between Harold avenue and Williar avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Grafton avenue between Harold avenue and Williar avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Establishing Grades.

Bill No. 7863, Ordinance No. 7374 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Twenty-sixth street between York street and points respectively 215.88 feet and 237.23 feet easterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Twenty-sixth street between York street and points respectively 215.88 feet and 237.23 feet easterly therefrom, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed November 23, 1926.

Twenty-sixth Street.

York street, 27.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet easterly from York street, 26.70 feet.

At a point 12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 237.23 feet easterly from York street, 23.22 feet.

At a point 12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 215.88 feet easterly from York street, 23.77 feet.

On Twenty-sixth street between York street and points respectively 215.88 feet and 237.23 feet easterly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $76,909.36, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) C. A. Nichols Publishing Co., public library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $552.83.

(2) San Francisco News Co., public library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $3,847.85.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,610.36.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,033.02.

(5) Geo. C. Terrill, counters and shelving for public libraries (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $594.50.

(6) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $700.

(7) Foster & Futernick Co., binding public library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,610.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(8) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $770.08.

(9) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926, $9,869.46.

(10) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $5,550.15.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $1,953.75.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $561.56.

(13) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 9, 1926), $1,001.39.

(14) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 9, 1926), $758.63.

(15) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 9, 1926), $751.98.

(16) Standard Oil Co. of Californit, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $543.07.

(17) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $3,900.99.

(18) San Joaquin Light and Power Corporation, round hollow drill steel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $9,726.60.

(19) Western Meat Co., foodstuffs furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $1,436.99.

(20) The Worthington Co., Inc., compressor parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $915.92.

(21) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 11, 1926), $532.17.

(22) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 11, 1926), $1,088.25.

Park Fund.

(23) J. A. Bryant, seventh payment, construction of clubhouse, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Dec. 17, 1926), $547.37.

(24) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream furnished parks (claim dated Dec. 17, 1926), $665.95.

Kezar Bequest Fund.

(25) J. A. Bryant, seventh payment, construction of clubhouse, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Dec. 17, 1926), $9,098.13.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(26) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $1,310.31.

(27) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $6,719.18.

(28) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways during November (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $36,742.92.

(29) Panama Lamp and Commercial Co., electric lamps for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 14, 1926), $975.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(30) Bethlehem Steel Co., track crossings for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $2,266.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(31) The White Company, one White model chassis for Municipal Railway system (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $3,799.25.

Special School Tax.

(32) George H. Tay Co., drinking fountains, hardware, etc., for public schools (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $606.79.

(33) American Marble and Mosaic Co., final payment, marble toilet partitions, Lowell High School (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $861.

(34) O. Monson, extra work, Galileo High School construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $1,716.75.

Playground Fund.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $566.22.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(36) Clinton Construction Co., extra work, new Relief Home construction (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $756.26.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(37) James L. McLaughlin Co., extra work, construction of Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $1,074.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(38) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $810.65.

(39) Dan P. Maher Co., sponges, varnishes, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $602.77.

(40) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,927.42.

(41) Seagrave Co., apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $707.15.

(42) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,574.03.

(43) Spring Valley Water Co., water and installing hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $934.56.

(44) Birstol Co., four millivoltmeters, etc., for electrolysis survey of high-pressure system (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $1,138.25.

(45) Kleiber Motor Co., one Kleiber motor truck for street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $5,316.

(46) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $500.33.

(47) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $1,437.27.

(48) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $571.15.

(49) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Dec. 11, 1926), $1,970.94.

(50) F. W. Hyland, improvement of Twenty-fourth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $3,163.

(51) James T. Tobin, improvement of sidewalks at playgrounds, Russia, Madrid and Edinburgh streets (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $918.

(52) T. M. Gallagher, improvement of Madrid street between Silver avenue and Peru street (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $2,415.

(53) Doherty Bros., one Ford truck for use of Sheriff (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $657.

(54) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for County Jail No. 1 (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $844.19.

(55) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $1,196.34.

(56) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $594.30.

(57) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded children for November (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $8,885.

(58) Louis T. Samuels, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street for month ending Jan. 4, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $1,186.

(59) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for November (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $51,320.46.

(60) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1.650.50.

(61) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $710.84.

(62) L. Scatena & Galli Fruit Co., vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $568.44.

(63) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., linoleum for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $716.

(64) Department Public Works, for labor furnished to S. F. Hospital, July to October, inclusive (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $3,852.

(65) Department Public Works, for material furnished to S. F. Hospital, July to October, inclusive (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $682.24.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1.509.90.

(67) Bernhard's, Inc., mattresses and pillows for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,519.25.

(68) James H. Barry Co., printing annual report, Department of Public Health (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $871.

(69) Pierce-Arrow Pacific Sales Co., one Pierce-Arrow seven-passenger sedan, for use of the Mayor's department (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $6,250.

Auditorium Fund.

(70) Musical Association of San Francsco, for services of Symphony Orchestra in rendition of "The Messiah," December 11, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $3,125.

(71) V. Shepard, manager, for services of Katheryne Meisle and Arthur Hackett, solosists, "The Messiah," December 11, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $1,550.

(72) Louise Bennett, secretary of Municipal Chorus, for payment to chorus singers and for expense in "The Messiah." December 11, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $835.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(73) Citizens' Armistice Day Committee, Thos. F. Boyle, treasurer, for expense of Armistice Day exercises for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $4,930.09

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(74) F. L. Hansen, final payment, construction of Children's Ward, southeast wing of S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 16, 1926), $17,957.34.

(75) Turner Company, first and final payment, mechanical equipment, Children's Ward, southeast wing of S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $3,740.

(Supervisor Powers requested to

be recorded as voting NO on item No. 58.)

Appropriation, Payment of Tax Refund Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons as attorneys and agents for judgment creditors; being payments of one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To R. H. Morrow, for judgment creditor, George Howard Thompson, $725.28.

(2) To Hugo D. Newhouse, for judgment creditors, per schedule attached to claim, $18,423.98.

Appropriation, $10,000, Payment to San Francisco Chamber of Commerce as City's Portion of Fund for Construction of Victory Highway.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, as the City and County's portion of a fund made up of appropriations by counties in the northern and central portions of California, the States of Utah and Nevada and of the United States, for the construction of the so-called Victory Highway into the northern portion of California; additional to $10,000 heretofore appropriated by Resolution No. 22149 (New Series), and being for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Appropriation for Fencing and Skylights, County Jail, and Stage Fittings, Etc., Mission High School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For construction of posts and fences surrounding County Jails Nos. 2 and 3, and for installing 10 metal framed skylights on roof of County Jail No. 2, $1,625.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

For the furnishing of stage fittings, draperies and electrical fixtures for the new Mission High School:

(2) Stage fittings and draperies, by American Studios, $7,450.

(3) Electrical fixtures, by Edw. F. Dowd, $9,950.

Appropriations, Tubercular Sanitarium, Patrol Driver Police Department, One-half Cost Improvement of Hudson Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) To the credit of the Tubercular Sanitarium Fund to enable final payments on contracts for the construction of the Tubercular Preventorium in San Mateo County, $30,000.

(2) To the credit of Appropriation 42-A, Police Department, for the employment of additional auto patrol driver, Police Department, January 1 to June 30, 1927, $1,200.

(3) For the payment of one-half the cost of grading and sewer construction in Hudson avenue between Lane and Keith streets, $5,305.26.

Ordering Plans, Etc., for the Improvement of Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7864, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, and a portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street, by grading, construction of retaining walls and appurtenances, and ordering the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets and a portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street in accordance with the said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, and a portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street by grading, construction of retaining walls and

appurtenances, and to enter into contract for said improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, and a portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street, in accordance with the said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriating $500 for Conditioning Colonade and Roof Lights, City Hall, for Christmas Week.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26586 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1926-1927, for putting in operating condition the colonade lights and roof lights of the City Hall in preparation for Christmas week.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer of Anna Castagnetto to Sell Certain Land Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26587 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name and the further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

Anna Castagnetto, $2,700—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Cotter street with the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 101.700 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary line, parallel with Cotter street, 15.966 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 79 deg. 21 min. 23 sec. to the left and running northeasterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, 101.751 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of Cotter street, distant thereon 16.240 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Cotter street 16.240 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the Demartini Tract.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to restore sidewalks where sidewalks are now constructed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer of Henry Becker et al. to Sell Certain Land Required for Widening of Grand View Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented: Resolution No. 26588 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the widening of Grand View avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Henry Becker and C. F. Stoll, $66—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 86.667 feet northeasterly from the northerly line of Morgan alley, and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 183.333 feet; thence deflecting 98 deg. 37 min. 17 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 5.050 feet to the proposed north-

westerly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 81 deg. 43 min. 44 sec. to the left and running southwesterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 181.526 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue on a curve to the left of 166-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 40 min. 40 sec. a distance of 1.964 feet; thence southeasterly along a line deflected 102 deg. 14 min. 25 sec. to the left from the tangent to the preceding course a distance of 3.965 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots 19 and 21 of Block 19 of Market Street Homestead.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 6589 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Arthur H. White and Emma S. White, $60—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Victoria street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet to a point on the easterly line of Victoria street, distant thereon 12 feet southerly from the southerly line of Garfield street; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of Victoria street 12 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of City Land Association Block No. 44.

Sarah Conlan, $30—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Head street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to a point on the westerly line of Head street, distant thereon 12 feet southerly from the southerly line of Garfield street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Head street 12 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of City Land Association Block No. 44.

D. O. Reinhold, $12—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Head street with the northerly line of Garfield street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 6.262 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the right of 580-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 98 deg. 25 min. 38 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 2 deg. 29 min. 22 sec. a distance of 25.200 feet to a point on the easterly line of Head street, distant thereon 3.112 feet northerly from the northerly line of Garfield street; thence southerly along the easterly line of Head street 3.112 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of City Land Association Block No. 46.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and

County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Land Required for the Extension of Market Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26590 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northerly boundary of Lot 3 of Block 3 of Subdivision No. 1 of Mountain Spring Property, distant thereon 6.042 feet easterly from the easterly line of Market street, and running thence easterly along said northerly boundary 57.511 feet; thence at right angles southerly 24.365 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the left of 60-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 127 deg. 42 min. 28 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 37 deg. 42 min. 28 sec. a distance of 39.487 feet; thence westerly tangent to the preceding curve 11.820 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 205-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 50 deg. 41 min. 20 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 4 deg. 9 min. 18 sec., a distance of 14.866 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 3 of Block 3 of Subdivision No. 1, Mountain Spring Property. Be it

Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening and extension of Market street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land, and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Grand View Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26591 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the widening of Grand View avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Myra L. Fuller, as administratrix of the estate of James Park Quirk, deceased, $600—Lot 181 of Heyman Tract, as recorded on pages 158 and 159 of Map Book E and F, records of City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Appropriation, $22,500, Payment to Order of B'Nai Brith for Land and Improvements Required for School Purposes.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $22,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to San Francisco Lodge No. 21, Independent Order of B'Nai Brith, being payment for land and improvements situate and commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arguello boulevard, distant thereon 332 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Arguello boulevard 108 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 108 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Arguello boulevard and point of commencement. (Claim dated Dec. 20, 1926.) Required for school purposes.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Marks—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Southwesterly Side of Dewey Boulevard Southeasterly From the Plaza in Second Residential District Instead of in the First.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7856, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwesterly side of Dewey boulevard commencing at the Plaza and running thence southeasterly 42.92 feet, and extending to a depth of 50 feet, in the Commercial District: also the southwesterly side of Dewey boulevard, commencing at a point 42.92 feet southeasterly from the Plaza and running thence southeasterly 99 feet and extending to the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District; also the southeasterly side of the Plaza commencing at a point 50 feet southwesterly from Dewey boulevard and running to Magellan avenue and extending to the rear lot line, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Northeast Corner of San Jose Avenue and Havelock Street in the Commercial Instead of First Residential District.

Also, Bill No. 7866, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the northeast corner of San Jose avenue and Havelock street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Certain Lots Fronting on Randolph Street and Worcester Avenue in the Commercial District Instead of in the First Residential.

Also, Bill No. 7867, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is

above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place Lots 1, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32 of Block 7125, fronting on Randolph street and Worcester avenue, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued one week*:

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 129.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentiond and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the easterly side of Forty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Anza street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 25 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 21½ feet; thence northerly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 25 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along the northerly side of Ulloa street, commencing at a point 107½ feet easterly from Thirty-fifth avenue and running thence easterly to Thirty-fourth avenue, said set-back line to be 11½ feet; along the southerly side of Ulloa street between Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirty-fifth avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of January, 1927, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 130.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northerly side of Ulloa street, commencing at Thirty-seventh avenue and running thence easterly to a point 107½ feet westerly from Thirty-sixth avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet; along the southerly side of Ulloa street between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh avenue and Thirty-eighth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-eighth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-ninth avenue and Fortieth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Fortieth avenue and Forty-first avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-first avenue and Forty-second avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of January, 1927, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present

any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Grant Avenue and Filbert Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Grant avenue and Filbert street, extending 46 feet 6 inches on Grant avenue and 85 feet on Filbert street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

(Supervisor Badaracco requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on the foregoing.)

Preservation of Mount Davidson as a Public Park.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26592 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, public parks may justly be deemed the most important material blessing to the people of a large city as a means of preserving the natural environment for outdoor recreation; and

Whereas, Mt. Davidson, in our midst, named for California's geodetist and astronomer, has served to provide the school children the environment for nature study; the Boy Scouts the outdoor playground for hikes and over-night camping; the Easter pilgrim a place to worship on its summit at dawn, and for the visitor unsurpassed views from the highest point in the City; and

Whereas, subdividers and builders of homes in quantities are developing its lower slopes and destroying the trees, and it is but natural to conclude that after the lower slopes are developed the same treatment will be accorded the upper part of Mt. Davidson; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors endorse the movement for the preservation of Mt. Davidson, or at least its summit, as a City park, before additional land is sold to subdividers for building purposes; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee be authorized to get in communication with the private owners, ascertain their plans and inquire if they would be willing to donate to the City the necessary land on Mt. Davidson for a public park.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Proposed Richmond District Playground.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Be it Resolved, That the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds be and it is hereby requested to investigate the feasibility of using the vacant city lot between Twelfth and Thirteenth avenues and Geary and Anza streets for playground purposes until further decision is reached as to ultimate use of said lot and report to the Board whether or not said lot, by slight expenditure, can be thus utilized.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing:*

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

City and County of San Francisco, south side of Hearst street, 150 feet east of Forester street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. V. Costello, 21 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of O'Farrell street, east of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west line of Gough street, 100 feet south of Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Hogrefe, west side of Powell street, 150 feet south of Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. A. Isaacs, east side of Sansome street, 200 feet north of Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Presidio Golf Club, 8 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

Traung Label and Lithograph Co., Inc., northeast corner of Pacific and Battery streets, 2000 gallons capacity.

S. I. Warner, west side of San Benito way, 100 feet south of St. Francis boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

Louis S. Veedy, 3435 Pacific avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Boxing in the Auditorium.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Association Athletic Club be and it is hereby granted permission to conduct a boxing exhibition under the laws of the State of California in the Civic Auditorium on Wednesday evening, March 20, 1927, upon the payment of the fee required for the use of the building for that purpose.

Accepting Offer of Fred G. H. Spreen to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26593 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Fred G. H. Spreen to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 100 feet southerly from Quintara street, of dimensions 50x120 feet, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,800, be and the same is hereby accepted. the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 1049, also known as Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors. and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Condemnation Proceedings for Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26594 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and any and all rights and claims thereto for school purposes, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Golden Gate avenue with the easterly line of Gough street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Gough street 155 feet; thence at right angles easterly 112 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 35 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 55 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet; thence at right angles westerly along the southerly line of Golden Gate avenue 57 feet 6 inches to the point of commencement. Being Lots 9 and 10, Block 768.

Parcel 2. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Tompkins street with the easterly line of Banks street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Banks street 175 feet; thence at right angles easterly 140 feet to the westerly line of Prentiss street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Prentiss

street 175 feet; thence at right angles westerly along the southerly line of Tompkins street 140 feet to the point of commencement. Being Lots 1 to 7, inclusive, and 23 to 30, inclusive, Block 5728.

Resolved, That all the lands, rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for a public use by the City and County of California for school purposes; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, proceedings in eminent domain against the owner or owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land, and any and all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the lands hereinbefore described, for the purpose of acquiring title in fee simple to such described lands for the City and County of San Francisco, for the uses herein set forth and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Mayor to Sell at Auction Frame Building Known as Columbia School.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26595 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain frame building known as the Columbia School, situate at east line of Florida street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets. The sale is to affect exclusively the old school building and not to include any other buildings or portables now located on the said site. (Recommendation Board of Education, communication filed December 9, 1926.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, Fishbeck Soap Company.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7869, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Fishbeck Soap Company, a corporation, et al., to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carolina street between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Fishbeck Soap Company (a corporation) et al., to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the passing track of the Western Pacific Railroad Company as the same now exists in Block 173, San Francisco, California, thence in a general southerly direction with turnout to the right approximately 145 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 286.84 feet, a distance of approximately 115 feet to a point on the southerly line of Mariposa street, distant thereon approximately one foot westerly from the westerly line of Carolina street, and crossing the northerly line of said Mariposa street approximately 10 feet westerly from the westerly line of said Carolina street; thence in a southerly direction approximately 315 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by the Fishbeck Soap Company (a corporation) et al.; provided that the Fishbeck Soap Company (a corporation) et al. shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the

Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Fishbeck Soap Company (a corporation) et al. shall provide a clear sidewalk space of seven feet in width, adjacent to the curb and at the grade of Carolina street, that the center of the proposed track be moved inside the property line a sufficient distance to provide for this walk; that concrete retaining walls, railings, etc., be provided for, including an electric light; and that detail plans for same be approved by the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26596 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work:

Ninety days' time from and after November 28, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Niagara avenue between Howth street and Williar avenue. This extension is recommended by the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor was unable to obtain labor and materials.

Ninety days' time from and after November 28, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Winfield street between Coso avenue and Esmeralda avenue, where not already improved, etc. This extension is recommended by the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is well under way.

Ninety days' time from and after November 28, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Ellington avenue and Whipple avenue. This extension is recommended by the Board of Public Works for the reason that no work has been done on account of inability of contractor to get labor and materials.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 26597 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. L. Harney is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after October 21, 1926, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Joost avenue between Congo and Detroit streets.

This extension of time is recommended by the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is progressing.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific States Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after December 1, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-first avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Wawona street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, and crossings of Twenty-first avenue with Wawona and Vicente streets and Twentieth avenue and Wawona street, under public contract.

The work is progressing. This is the first extension of time recommended.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 26599 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Irving H. Baum is hereby granted an extension of sixty (60) days' time from and after October 27, 1926, within which to complete the improvement of Byxbee street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street. This extension of time is recommended by the Board of Public Works so as to protect the contract during the period of acceptance and issuance of the assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 26600 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Lynch is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after December 1, 1926, within which to complete the construction of sidewalks on Tenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets.

Ninety (90) days' time from and after November 11, 1926, within which to complete the contract for

the construction of sidewalks on Farrallones street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues.

These extensions of time are granted for the reason that the contractor has not received the survey from the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 26601 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. J. Cohn is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after November 28, 1926, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Rivera street between Forty-fourth and Forty-sixth avenues, etc. This extension of time is recommended by the Board of Public Works in order that the contract may be protected during the period of acceptance and issuance of assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Authorizing the Execution of a Deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Affronting and Adjacent Property Owners to Portion or a Street Closed by Resolution No. 26383 (New Series), in Exchange for Property for a New Street to Be Opened and Widened in Lieu of Such Closed Portion of a Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26602 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board, on the first day of November, 1926 (after proceedings theretofore had, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco), duly adopted Resolution No. 26383 (New Series), closing and abandoning a portion of Walbridge street, as in said resolution described; and

Whereas, on the 8th day of November, 1926, said resolution was duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Gough Street Railroad Company, a corporation, owner of lands adjacent to or fronting on the aforesaid portion of said street so closed and abandoned, has offered to convey or cause to be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco property for the opening and widening of a street in lieu of such portion of a street so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said Gough Street Railroad Company, a corporation, pursuant to its aforesaid offer, has caused to be made, executed and delivered to said City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient conveyance vesting in said City and County of San Francisco, for street purposes, the title to the parcel of land hereinafter more particularly described in lieu of said portion of said street so closed and abandoned as hereinbefore recited; and

Whereas, the said parcel of land so conveyed to said City and County of San Francisco as a new street in lieu of that closed and abandoned as aforesaid is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southerly boundary of the City and County of San Francisco, distant 22 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Walbridge street, in the City and County of San Francisco, extended and produced easterly, said point of beginning being on the northerly boundary of the right of way of the Gough Street Railroad Company, and running thence westerly along said northerly boundary parallel with the northerly line of Walbridge street and distant 22 feet at right angles northerly therefrom a distance of 953.859 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right of 989-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 29 deg. 43 min., a distance of 512.949 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left of 1080.246-foot radius, tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 29 deg. 43 min., a distance of 560.274 feet to a point distant 10 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Walbridge street, and distant 12 feet at right angles southerly from the northerly boundary of Gough Street Railroad Company's right of way; thence easterly parallel with the northerly line of Walbridge street and distant 10 feet at right angles northerly therefrom, a distance of 874.886 feet to the southerly boundary of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 19 deg. 34 min. 40 sec. to the left and running easterly along said southerly boundary a distance of 35.812 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of the right of way of the Gough Street Railroad Company.

Whereas, it is deemed advisable by this Board of Supervisors that said parcel of land be opened as a

new street in lieu of that so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said parcel of land so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes as aforesaid will and does constitute ample consideration to said City and County for its deed to the portion of said street closed and abandoned as hereinbefore recited and will be of much greater practical value both to the City and County of San Francisco and to the general public; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That equity requires that the portion of said street closed and abandoned as aforesaid should be conveyed by the City and County of San Francisco to said Gough Street Railroad Company, a corporation; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said Gough Street Railroad Company, a corporation, a deed conveying to said Gough Street Railroad Company, a corporation, all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the parcel of land situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Walbridge street as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 26383 (New Series). Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26603 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 95040 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted December 10, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed December 13, 1926, to-wit:

On Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Santiago and Taraval streets.

On Forty-first and Forty-second avenues between Quintara and Taraval streets.

On Forty-third avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets.

On Rivera street between the westerly line of Forty-second avenue and Forty-fourth avenue.

On Santiago street between the easterly line of Forty-first avenue and Forty-second avenue.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 26604 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 95039 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted December 10, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board, filed December 13, 1926, to-wit:

On Montgomery street between Green and Lombard streets, on Union street between Sansome and Kearny streets, on Alta street between the westerly line of Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet westerly therefrom, and between the easterly line of Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom, on Filbert street between Sansome and Kearny streets and on Greenwich street between Sansome and Kearny streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are un-

graded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Deed to Lands for the Widening of the Termination of Southard Place.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26605 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 23d day of November, 1926, between Frank W. Ten Winckel and Florence Ten Winkel, his wife, Mary Thomas, a widow, and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying lands for street purposes as shown on map showing the widening at the northerly termination of Southard place in 50 Vara Block 265, approved by the Board of Public Works on the 8th day of December, 1926, by Resolution No. 94983 (Second Series) is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Approving Map Showing Widening of the Northerly Termination of Southard Place.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26606 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 94983 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening at the northerly termination of Southard place, in 50 Vara Block 265; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening at the northerly termination of Southard place, in 50 Vara Block 265, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Award of Contract, Paper Towels.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26607 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted May 24, 1926 (Proposal No. 177), covering the balance of the fiscal year 1926-27, as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1034 (a), paper towels at $6.70 per case to Blake, Moffitt & Towne.

Item No. 1034 (b), paper towels at $6.05 per case to Building Supplies Co.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Rescinding Contract Awarded to Albatross Metal Furniture Company and Awarding Same in Accordance With Recommendation of Health Officer, for Furniture, Etc., San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26608 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That contract be rescinded which was heretofore awarded to Albatross Metal Furniture Co. by Resolution No. 26431 (New Series), Proposal No. 254, for furnishing furniture and equipment for San Francisco Hospital.

(Tuberculosis Wards), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 3—36 cribs, at $29.75 each.

Item No. 8—4 examination tables, at $33 each.

Item No. 9—2 footstools, at $6 each.

Resolved, That award of contract be made on said items as per recommendation of the Health Officer, as follows, viz.:

Item No. 3—36 cribs, at $38 each, to The Beecher Company.

Item No. 8—4 examination tables, at $37 each, to Reid Bros.

Item No. 9—2 footstolls, at $7.50 each, to Reid Bros.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Award of Contract, Oil Burning Equipment, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26609 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co. on bid submitted December 13, 1926 (Proposal No. 267), as follows, viz.:

Furnishing and installing oil-burning equipment for School Department:

Item No. 1—Cleveland School, $709.

Item No. 2—Kate Kennedy School, $1,334.

Item No. 3—Frank McCoppin School, $930.

Item No. 4—Longfellow School, $850.

Item No. 5—Laguna Honda School, $882.

Item No. 6—Bryant School, $810.

Item No. 7—Farragut School, $797.

Item No. 8—Excelsior School, $1,012.

Item No. 9—Denman School, $839.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $2,000 be required for the faithful performance of contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Award of Contract, Print Shop Equipment, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26610 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract on print shop requirement for School Department (Crocker Jr. High School and Noe Valley Jr. High School) be made on bids submitted December 13, 1926 (Proposal No. 268) and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

American Type Founders Company, bond required, $500; Independent Printers Supply Company, bond required, $100; Miller Saw-Trimmer Company, no bond required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Award of Contract, Turkeys.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26611 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell on bid submitted December 20, 1926 (Proposal No. 269), for furnishing the following, viz.: 4025 pounds of turkey required by the public institutions for Christmas at $0.4799 per pound.

Resolved, That no bond be required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Bridge Matters.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that Colonel Rand and all other bridge proponents who wished to be granted the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the bridge matters be *continued one week.*

Supervisor Gallagher made a statement to the effect that he is going to vote *no*, beginning this morning and from now on, against postponement of the bridge proposition.

Supervisors Hayden and Colman made statements to the same effect.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

The following matters were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Fran-

cisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's

Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly

across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City

and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland. if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd—2.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd—2.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, December* 27, 1926, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd—2.

Supervisor Havenner: Before we adjourn, I desire to move that the City Attorney be requested to outline to this Board in detail the procedure of forming a bridge district under the State law providing for the formation of bridge and highway districts, also that he be requested to report in detail the procedure which would be necessary to negotiate with Alameda County for the construction of the bridge by the two counties under the law referred to, the Act of the Legislature of March 14, 1881, Statutes of 1881, page 76. Just outline to this Board the procedure that would have to be followed.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would second that motion.

The Clerk: That is a request.

The Chairman: It is just a request.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Felicitations to Superintendent John McLaren of Golden Gate Park on the Occasion of His Eightieth Birthday.

Upon the reassembling of the Board of Supervisors for the afternoon session, his Honor Mayor Rolph, the members of the Board of Supervisors, numerous public officials and many of his friends took occasion to publically extend to John McLaren, Superintendent of Golden Gate Park, their congratulations and felicitations on his eightieth birthday anniversary. The sweet ballad songs of Superintendent McLaren's nativeland were played by a string orchestra, composed of members of the Municipal Band, led by Phil Shapiro. Eulogies

of the character and work of Superintendent John McLaren were eloquented expressed in brief addresses by his Honor Mayor Rolph, Supervisors McSheehy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Chief of Police Daniel J. O'Brien and City Attorney John J. O'Toole.

At the conclusion of the remarks the members of the Board and the audience joined in singing "Auld Lang Syne".

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

Birthday Felicitations to Superintendent of Parks John McLaren.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 26612 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the felicitations of this Board be extended to John McLaren, Superintendent of Golden Gate Park, upon the occurrence of his eightieth birthday and we wish to accompany the sentiment with an expression of appreciation of the wonderful constructive work that has been the object of his labors for many years and the exhibition of creative genius that has added artistic beauty to the City and has contributed immeasurably to the pleasure of our citizens. We hope that his years may be further extended that his good work may be continued to the further benefit of the City.

SPECIAL ORDER—4:30 P. M.

Airport Hearing.

Consideration of matters relating to the selection of an airport site for San Francisco. Made a Special Order of Business for 11 a. m.

Investigation of Marina as Possible Airport Site.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is necessary that San Francisco establish itself officially on the air lanes of the United States for the reason that commercial aviation is taking rapid strides forward; and

Whereas, the United States Government, through the Postoffice Department, is about to let important private contracts for the carrying of the mails by air; and

Whereas, one of these important private contracts is the service between Seattle, Portland, San Francisco, Fresno, Bakersfield and Los Angeles; and

Whereas, it will be necessary for us to be ready to receive this service; and

Whereas, the Marina District in the City and County of San Francisco is an ideal piece of land available for an airport landing; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors deos hereby pledge itself to give all possible encouragement to commercial aviation; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Special Committee on Airport be authorized to sit in with the Park Commission with a view to making a thorough study of the development of the Marina District for a landing field and to report back to the Committee of the Whole the necessary recommendations on the subject for definite action.

Referred to Airport Committee.

Supervisor Badaracco asked for the City Attorney's opinion on Assembly Bill 1376.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the airport hearing was *continued until January* 3, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*

Boxing in the Auditorium.

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting the Association Athletic Club permission to conduct a boxing exhibition under the laws of the State of California in the Civic Auditorium on Wednesday evening, March 20, 1927, upon the payment of the fee required for the use of the building for such purpose.

Supervisor Havenner stated, in connection with the foregoing, that it was the intention to make a revision of the rates whereby a larger rental would accrue to the Auditorium if boxing were permitted therein. Further, that it was proposed to limit the number of contests to three or four high-class events each year and to provide for rotation of permits to different clubs.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the privilege of the floor be granted to Mrs. D. E. F. Easton, representing women's clubs.

Chair ruled motion out of order at this time.

Supervisor Hayden appealed from the decision of the chair.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon put the question, "Shall the ruling of the chair be the decision of the Board?" and the chair was *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton.—7.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, Shannon, Todd.—9.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Excused—Supervisor McSheehy.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion the foregoing matter was *continued two weeks* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd.—12.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—6.

HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

Hudson Avenue.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Hudson avenue between Lane street and Keith street, including the crossing of Lane street and Hudson avenue, and the improvement of Keith street between Galvez avenue and Hudson avenue, including the crossing of Hudson avenue and Keith street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances, etc.

No objection being offered, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Marks and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26613 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of the property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works August 23, 1926, for the improvement of Hudson avenue between Lane and Keith streets, by grading to the official line and grade, by the construction of sewers and appurtenances be and the same is hereby sustained.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and it is hereby directed to issue a new assessment for said work.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton. Todd—18.

HEARING OF APPEAL.

Douglass Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street, including the easterly and the westerly intersections of Clipper street with Douglass street, and the crossing of Twenty-sixth street and Douglass street; and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on the 15-inch sewer, and the necessary manholes as may be required; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to a line at right angles with the easterly line of Douglass street at its intersection with the northerly line of Clipper street; by the construction of a concrete payement on Twenty-sixth street from the westerly line of Diamond street to a line parallel with and 280 feet westerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Action Deferred.

On motion duly made and carried the foregoing hearing was *continued one week* and in the meantime the Clerk was instructed to have the City Engineer to go over each price.

Report of Finance Committee on Salary Increases.

The following was presented and *laid over one week*:

San Francisco,
December 20, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In the last municipal campaign the people of San Francisco, by overwhelming vote, declared for strict economy in the conduct of the business of this City and County. In compiling the budget for the present fiscal year your Finance Committee complied with that mandate for economy, and submitted an estimate of expenditures totaling $33,284,382, which represented a reduction of $1,776,127 from the nominal budget total of the previous fiscal year. As a matter of fact the previous year's budget had been erroneously compiled, with the result that a deficit of $1,400,000 occurred, so that the actual reduction in authorized expenditures for the present year amounts to $3,176,127.

This year's budget was unanimously adopted by the Board of Supervisors and signed by the Mayor, without the change of a single item in the report of the Finance Com-

mittee. A tax rate 47 cents less than that of the previous year was adopted, and 72,000 taxpayers of this City and County are now enjoying an average reduction of 12 per cent in their tax bills for the current year.

When the budget was compiled your Finance Committee took the position that the people's mandate for economy was intended to apply not only to elected officials, but to all employees of the City and County as well, and not a single salary raise or reduction was included in the budget. Half of the fiscal year has now elapsed and a survey of the City and County finances shows that a moderate surplus will accumulate in the treasury by the end of the year. Your Finance Committee has therefore taken under consideration various requests for salary increases for City and County employees who now receive small incomes, and for certain others who have not enjoyed a raise for a number of years. After a careful investigation of these requests your Finance Committee, on November 29 last, recommended small increases of salary for about 640 employees, which will aggregate an expenditure of about $70,000 in next year's budget, representing approximately one cent in the tax rate.

Certain members of your Honorable Board have seen fit to recommend additional increases for about 187 employees, 102 of whom were included in the recommendations already submitted by the Finance Committee. These additional increases would amount to $37,104, and would entail a further advance of ½ cent in the tax rate.

During the past three weeks your Finance Committee has been approached personally, and by petition, by no less than one thousand city employees, all asking for salary increases. If these requests were allowed they would necessitate an increase of approximately a quarter of a million dollars in the next budget. Your Finance Committee recognizes the fact that all employees would like to have a salary raise, but it is obviously impossible to increase the entire City and County payroll, and your committee has endeavored to confine its recommendations chiefly to those employees who are now receiving what may be characterized as a minimum wage. The first year of the new economy regime is only half over and your Finance Committee believes that a faithful adherence to its obligation to the people's demand for economy will not justify any further increases at this time.

In the face of these facts as herein stated the Finance Committee recommends that all requests for raises of salaries made on November 29, 1926, be disapproved and that the Civil Service Commission be requested to report as soon as possible their recommendations on the standardization of positions as provided for by the Charter.

Respectfully submitted,
FINANCE COMMITTEE.
JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
Chairman.
F. R. HAVENNER.
MILO F. KENT.

Bridge Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney be requested to outline to the Board in detail procedure for forming a bridge district under the State laws providing for the formation of highway and bridge districts, also that he be requested to report in detail procedure necessary to negotiate with Alameda County for the construction of a bridge by the two counties.

So ordered.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26614 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

North side Filbert street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street.

North side Vallejo street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street.

Columbus avenue and Vallejo street.

Columbus avenue and Pacific street.

Santa Rosa avenue between Capistrano and Cayuga avenues.

North and south sides Natoma street between Eighth and Ninth streets.

Twenty-sixth avenue and Kirkham street.

Baker street between Broadway and Vallejo street.

Corner Baker street and Broadway.

Sharp place off Union street.

Casa way between Marina boulevard and Retiro way.

Remove Gas Lamps.

North and south sides Natoma street between Eighth and Ninth streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Baker street and Broadway.

Change 400 M. R. to 600 C. P. Ornamental Brackets.

Northwest corner Forty-first avenue and Balboa street.

North side Balboa street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues.

The difference in cost of service to be paid for by property owners.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Fire Prevention Bureau to Investigate Cause of Fire at Players' Theater.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fire Prevention Bureau he requested to investigate the cause of the fire which destroyed the Players' Theater on Bush street, and to report whether the same was caused by non-compliance with proper building regulations; also to report the existence of other hazards which should be subject to further regulations by this Board.

Referred to Building Committee.

Public Utilities Committee to Make Study of Municipally-owned Public Utilities.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the ultimate acquisition of all public utilities by the municipality is a part fo the organic law of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the people have repeatedly expressed themselves in favor of such acquisition and operation, as a result of which many utilities are gradually becoming municipalized; and

Whereas, municipal ownership and operation of public utilities will entail large capital expenditures and will involve problems of administration calling for expert business and financial ability in public officials selected to direct and manage such enterprises; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors be and it is hereby authorized and requested to make special study of a method of administration of municipally-owned public utilities by a commission or commissions, with special attention to such plan of management in other cities, and at its earliest opportunity report its recommendations to the Board.

December 20, 1926—Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $84,405, Lands for John McLaren Park.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $84,445 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 73, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to Crocker Estate Company; being payment for lands required for the "John McLaren Park"; said lands being situate in the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26296 (New Series), to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Woolsey street with the northeasterly line of Mansfield street; running thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Mansfield street and said line produced, a distance of three hundred twenty-two and twenty-nine hundredths (322.29) feet; thence at right angles northeasterly thirty-five and thirty-nine hundredths (35.39) feet to the true point of beginning for this description; thence bearing south 53 deg. east four hundred twenty-nine (429.00) feet; thence south 80 deg. 19 min. 3 sec. east fourteen hundred eighty-two and twenty-nine hundredths (1482.29) feet; thence south 0 deg. 20 min. east twelve hundred fifty-three and forty-six hundredths (1253.46) feet; thence north 71 deg. 9 min. west two hundred nine and sixty-six hundredths (209.66) feet to a point which is distant one hundred seventy-nine and thirty-three hundredths (179.33) feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Gundlach street and three hundred eleven and forty-nine hundredths (311.49) feet at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Visitacion avenue; thence bearing north 68 deg. 44 min. 22 sec. west twenty-seven hundred nineteen and twenty-four hundredths (2719.24) feet; thence north 52 deg.

30 min. east eleven hundred sixty-one and fifty-seven hundredths (1161.57) feet to the true point of beginning, containing fifty-six and twenty-seven hundredths (57.27) acres, more or less.

Appropriation, $27,690, for Land for John McLaren Park.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $27,690 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 73, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to San Francisco and Fresno Land Company; being payment for 18.46 acres of land required for the "John McLaren Park," as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 26295 (New Series); said lands being situate and described, to-wit:

Commencing at extreme north point of Subdivision 1 in Section 33, Township 2 South, Range 5 West M. D. B. and M.; running thence south 52 deg. 31 min. west 1452 feet; thence north 69 deg. 30 min. west 544½ feet; thence north 71 deg. 15 min. east 132 feet; thence north 61 deg. 30 min. east 428.52 feet; thence south 18 deg. 18 min. east 10.12 feet; thence north 60 deg. 50 min. east 244.38 feet; thence north 18 deg. 18 min. west 7.29 feet; thence north 61 deg. 30 min. east 111.77 feet; thence north 72 deg. east 891 feet to the point of commencement, containing 8.36 acres, more or less; and

Commencing at extreme north point of Subdivision 1 in Section 33, Township 2 South, Range 5 West, M. D. B. and M.; running thence south 53 deg. east 6½ chains; thence south 80 deg. 30 min. east 22.58 chains; thence north 86 deg. 30 min. east 11½ chains; thence north 66 deg. 45 min. east 1.46 chains; thence north 81 deg. west 40.20 chains to point of beginning; containing 10.10 acres, more or less. Said lands being situate in the City and County of San Francisco. (Claim dated Dec. 20, 1926.)

Demolition of Wrecked Oil Tanker.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the wreck of the oil tanker Lyman J. Stewart, which stands as an eyesore at the entrance to Golden Gate harbor, has remained on the rocks in view of sightseers for several years, and the owners thereof have made no effort to remove it; and

Whereas, the wreck is no longer a curiosity, but a monument that provokes comment adverse to our harbor and the entrance thereof and would not be tolerated in any other port in the United States, as it makes a very poor impression to all entering and creates a doubt as to the safety of our great harbor;

Resolved, That the Whitelaw Company, owners of the hulk of the Lyman J. Stewart, be requested to immediately remove the wreck by demolition or otherwise.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Auditorium Committee to Confer With Trustees of War Memorial as to Capacity of New Opera House.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, information has come to this Board that the trustees of the War Memorial Building to be constructed in the Civic Center and used as an opera house, contemplate a seating capacity of 4500; and

Whereas, it has been found in European cities and New York that auditoriums of large size have poor acoustics; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditorium Committee be instructed to confer with the trustees of the War Memorial for the purpose of discussing the size of the auditorium that is to be used for opera to the end that an auditorium with the best possible acoustic qualities may be constructed for San Francisco.

Over one week.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. W. Knepper be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile parking station on the north side of Eddy street, 212 feet west of Taylor street, with entrance on Ellis street 137 feet 6 inches west of Taylor street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Finance and Health Committee to Revise Garbage Ordinance.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Scavengers Protective Union is now and has been for a period of seven years operating the incinerator at Eighth street and

De Haro street for the City and County of San Francisco at a cost of $400,000; and

Whereas, the Scavengers Protective Union does not now pay and never has paid any rental or taxes to the City and County for the use of said incinerator; and

Whereas, it has been shown in affidavits presented to this Board of Supervisors and in sworn testimony submitted in consideration of these affidavits that the Scavengers Protective Union realizes a profit of approximately $60,000 per year in the operation of the City's incinerator: therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Finance and Health Committee of this Board revise the presented antiquated garbage ordinance and reduce the present rates for garbage collection approximately 25 per cent, in order that the profits that have been and are being made by the Scavengers Protective Union in the operation of the City's incinerator be reflected in a reduction of garbage collection rates; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Finance and Health Committee include in the revised ordinance a schedule of rates for collection of all waste matter now regarded as "extras" by the garbage collectors.

Referred to Finance and Health Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 7:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 28, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 21—New Series No. 52

Monday, December 27, 1926

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 27, 1926, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 27, 1926, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 25, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

New Playground Commissioner.

Mayor Rolph announced that "Senator Phelan has accepted the position on the Playground Commissioned of San Francisco, and he is one of the outstanding men in San Francisco, California and in the nation."

He said he had seen Father Crowley on Sunday, and that while he was not very well, he was very happy, indeed, that Senator Phelan is going on the Board and thinks that the City is to be congratulated in getting such a man on the Playground Commission as Senator Phelan.

Inaugural Ceremonies.

The members of the Board accepted the invitation to be present at the inauguration of the new Governor at Sacramento on Tuesday, January 4, 1927.

Supervisor Badaracco stated that he and the other members of the Legislative Committee would be present at the opening of the Legislature, which convenes on Monday, January 3, 1927.

Supervisors' Convention.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the chairman of the Finance Committee was empowered to appoint a committee of five to attend the State Convention of County Supervisors, to be held in Sacramento next month.

Boxing Hearing.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the question of boxing in the Auditorium was set as a Special Order for 2:30 p. m., Monday, January, 3, 1927.

San Francisco Wins Prize at Admission Day Celebration at Sacramento.

At the Admission Day celebration in Sacramento on September 9th last, San Francisco had a float in the parade and won a prize of $100 for the best float. The check was presented by his Honor the Mayor and *referred to the Finance Committee.*

Moving Picture Board Meeting.

Mayor Rolph announced that the Imperial Film Company is taking pictures throughout California to be largely used for advertising purposes for California, and wanted to take a picture of the Board of Supervisors in action.

At 11 o'clock the picture was taken, Mayor Rolph first, as the presiding officer, and most of the rest of the picture of Supervisor McSheehy addressing the members of the Board.

BRIDGE HEARING, 11 A. M.

Supervisor Shannon asked for the City Attorney's opinion as to bridge districts, which was sent for, read by Supervisor Shannon, filed with the Board for record and *referred to the Special Bridge Committee.*

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, hearing was continued until 3:30 p. m. and made a Special Order for that time.

Police Corporals List.

His Honor the Mayor read a communication, sent by him to the Police Commission on December 18,

recommending appointment of eligible corporals in Police Department.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the City Attorney be sent for in order that the Board might have the benefit of his opinion as to the expiration of the corporals' list and that the hearing be continued until 2:30 this afternoon.

So ordered.

Report of Committee on Public Buildings and Lands on Termination of Lease at 333 Kearny Street.

The following was presented by Supervisor Powers, read and copies *ordered sent to members*:

San Francisco, Dec. 27, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your majority committee on Public Buildings and Lands, to which was referred the resolution terminating the lease by the City of premises known as 333 Kearny street, now occupied by the District Attorney, Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury, on the 1st day of May, 1927, and directing the said herein named officials be reinstated in the Hall of Justice, would respectfully report:

That careful consideration has been given to the subject matter expressed in the resolution, taking into consideration that the alterations heretofore ordered and now under progress in the Hall of Justice will be completed within a period of about 90 days, and will, in the opinion of your majority committee, more adequately accomodate the hereinbefore referred to officials of the City and County.

Your majority committee has realized the inconvenience to the public by reason of the removal of the said officials from the Hall of Justice, with the thought of remedying such conditions at the earliest opportunity.

Your majority committee feels that it is a necessity by reason of the duties of the District Attorney, the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer that such offices should be in the Hall of Justice, contiguous to the court located therein. With this aim in view, your majority committee would respectfully report in favor of the passing of the resolution canceling the present lease of the premises, No. 333 Kearny street, to take effect the 1st day of May, 1927, and of directing the removal of the hereinbefore mentioned offices and respective officials to the Hall of Justice, and to occupy the certain rooms hereinafter to be designated and assigned to them by the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of your Board.

Very respectfully,

CHAS. J. POWERS,
LEWIS F. BYINGTON.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing and laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chestnut and Steiner Streets.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries, and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed by placing the southwest corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets, for a distance of 206 feet 3 inches on Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $34,811.69, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following

amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Dec. 24, 1926), $1,352.49.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Dec. 24, 1926), $1,290.67.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(3) D. N. & E. Walter Co., first and final payment for window and door shades for LeConte School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $676.50.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(4) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 21, 1926), $1,448.36.

(5) J. F. Mitchell Machine and Gear Works, machinery parts for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 21, 1926), $549.

County Road Fund.

(6) Meyer Bros., furnishing fill for widening of Portola Drive (claim dated Dec. 16, 1926), $863.25.

Tearing-Up Sstreets Fund.

(7) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $525.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(8) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways, and reimbursement for November under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Dec. 18, 1926), $4,570.88.

Auditorium Fund.

(9) Peter D. Conley, services as ticket seller, expense paid, etc., in connection with Municipal Pop Concerts, for period August 1 to December 31, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $666.50.

(10) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service furnished the Auditorium for November (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $595.59.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(11) A. Carlisle & Co., printing and stationery for departments (claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $750.50.

(12) California Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $604.55.

(13) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for departments (claim dated Dec. 22 1926), $1,992.05.

(14) Hall, Glocker & Prost, furniture for departments (claim dated Dec. 22 1926), $629.30.

(15) Hall, Glocker & Prost, furniture for departments (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $536.20.

(16) Rucker, Fuller Desk Co., furniture for departments (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $763.10.

(17) Brandt Automatic Cashier Co., equipment for departments of the Tax Collector and the Assessor (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $1,230.25.

(18) F. W. Wentworth & Co., furniture for departments (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $767.85.

(19) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund, for labor and water furnished and used in Civic Center (claim dated Dec. 24, 1926), $735.43.

(20) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $1,078.78.

(21) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $1,681.95.

(22) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Dec. 16, 1926), $4,458.57.

(23) H. F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $1,543.10.

(24) Jacobson, Reimers Co., groceries for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $705.60.

(25) Sussman, Wormser & Co., groceries for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $1,109.

(26) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $1,019.77.

(27) California Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $928.74.

(28) Morris & Co., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926). $1,887.10.

(29) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $2,598.30.

(30) L. Dinkelspiel Co., sheets for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $1,823.

(31) Mangrum & Otter, dishwashing machine, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $894.15.

(32) Edward McRoskey Mattress Co., mattresses for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $7,860.

(33) E. J. Treacy, first payment for installing safety zone buttons (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $2,082.50.

Appropriation, $5,993.74, Refund Tax Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,993.74 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Tax

Judgments—Appropriation 59, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, to Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe, attorneys and agents for and in behalf of judgment creditors; being payment of one-tenth of judgments plus interest. (Claim dated Dec. 13, 1926.)

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Land Required for the Widening of Garfield Street and San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26615 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund appropriations heretofore made, and authorized in payment to the following named persons, being payments for properties, to-wit:

(1) To D. J. McLoughlin, out of $18,000 appropriated by Resolution No. 26338 (New Series), for the widening of Garfield street, for land beginning at the intersection of the easterly line of Beverly street with southerly line of Garfield street, running easterly on Garfield street southerly line 25 feet; of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26558 (New Series), $210.

(2) To Carmelo and Vita Calamoneri, out of appropriations for improvement of San Jose avenue for land required for widening of San Jose avenue, beginning at intersection of northeasterly line of Cotter street with southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, running thence northeasterly on southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26559 (New Series), $212.50.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Statement of the Market Street Railway Company of City's Percentage of Gross Receipts.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26616 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month ending November 30, 1926, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc., $480.07.

Gough Street Railroad Co., $49.50.

Parnassus Ave., etc., $321.25.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer of Hee Suey Law Jessie, School Lands.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26617 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Hee Suey Law Jessie to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate south line of Washington street, 87 feet 6 inches east from Powell street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Washington street, distant thereon 87 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Powell street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of Washington street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches to the southerly line of Washington street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 136, also known as Block 211 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid and that the so-called McEnerney title has

been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Accepting Offer of Loo Yee Tow et al., School Lands.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26618 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Loo Yee Tow and Loo Lee Kern to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate south line of Washington street, distant 112 feet feet 6 inches east from Powell street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Washington street, distant thereon 112 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Powell street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of Washington street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches to the southerly line of Washington street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 136, also known as Block 211 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $20,000, Transcontinental Highways Exposition.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the State of Nevada invites the world to participate in a Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition, to be held at Reno, Nevada, June 25 to July 31, 1927; and

Whereas, Nevada's Transcontinental Highways Exposition will celebrate the completion of the great highway systems across the continent; and

Whereas, San Francisco will be greatly benefited as a result of the completion of this great highway project; traffic and trade relations will be brought two days nearer our doors than by present facilities; therefore, be it

Resolved, That San Francisco participate in this Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition and that the sum of twenty thousand dollars ($20,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the Publicity and Advertising Fund, Budget Item No. ——, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and that the Public Welfare Committee be and it is hereby authorized to make the necessary arrangements for participation in this Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition.

Intention to Establish Set-back Lines.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 26619 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to

which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the easterly side of Forty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Anza street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 25 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 21½ feet; thence northerly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 25 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along the northerly side of Ulloa street, commencing at a point 107½ feet easterly from Thirty-fifth avenue, and running thence easterly to Thirty-fourth avenue, said set-back line to be 11½ feet; along the southerly side of Ulloa street between Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirty-fifth avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of January, 1927, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Intention to Establish Set-back Lines.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 26620 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northerly side of Ulloa street, commencing at Thirty-seventh avenue and running thence easterly to a point 107½ feet westerly from Thirty-sixth avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet; along the southerly side of Ulloa street between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh avenue and Thirty-eighth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-eighth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-ninth avenue and Foretieth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Fortieth avenue and Forty-first avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-first avenue and Forty-second avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of January, 1927, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Size of Auditorium of Municipal Opera House.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26621 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, information has come to this Board that the trustees of the War Memorial buildings to be con-

structed in the Civic Center and used for an opera house contemplate a seating capacity of 4500; and

Whereas, it has been found in European cities and New York that auditoriums of large size have poor acoustics; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditorium Committee be instructed to confer with the trustees of the War Memorial for the purpose of discussing the size of the auditorium that is to be used for opera, to the end that an auditorium with the best possible acoustic qualities may be constructed for San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued for two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for* 11:30 *a. m.*

HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

Douglass Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street, including the easterly and westerly intersections of Clipper street with Douglass street, and the crossing of Twenty-sixth street and Douglass street; and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catch-basins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on the 15-inch sewer, and the necessary manholes as may be required; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to a line at right angles with the easterly line of Douglass street at its intersection with the northerly line of Clipper street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Twenty-sixth street from the westerly line of Diamond street to a line parallel with and 280 feet westerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Appropriation for Police Corporals.

A communication from the Police Commission was read, which called attention to the fact that there were 28 patrolmen who are eligible to appointment as corporals, and if not appointed on or before December 26th, their names would be dropped from the list and they would have to take a new examination, thus competing with younger men, who, though not so experienced in active workings of the Police Department, were more recently out of school.

Some of these men have been in the Department for ten years, some as long as twenty-five years.

The Commission asked that 28 patrolmen be appointed to take the place of the 28 who would be elevated to the rank of corporal.

In order to give the 28 new corporals the increased pay to which their office would entitle them and to pay for the 28 additional patrolmen, it would require an appropriation of $36,120.

The Finance Committee, on December 23d, reported to the Commission that it would recommend to the Board the appropriation of the sum of $2,520, the amount of money required to give the increased pay to the new corporals, if they should be appointed, for the balance of the present fiscal year.

The Committee stated it could not see its way clear to recommend sufficient money to pay for 28 additional patrolmen and suggested that

the appointment of corporals be made and that they still do whatever patrol duty may be required of them, but that they receive corporal's pay; and further, that in all probability extra patrolmen would be provided for in the next budget.

Mayor Rolph called attention to the fact that we now have one corporal to two and one-half patrolmen and that the City needs more patrolmen.

Opinion of City Attorney, Corporals List.

The following was read by the Clerk:

December 27, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors and to the Honorable Civil Service Commission, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

In response to the request of the Board of Supervisors as to whether or not the Civil Service Commission has the right on Monday, December 27, to make a certification of corporals from an eligible list which was adopted on the 26th of December, 1923, subject to the following provision: "The list secured as a result of this examination shall expire at the close of three years from the date of the adoption of the list, and the names thereon shall then be automatically removed therefrom."

Your attention is directed to Section 11 of the Civil Code, reading as follows:

"Whenever any act of a secular nature, other than a work of necessity or mercy, is appointed by law or contract to be performed upon a particular day, which day falls upon a holiday, it may be performed upon the next business day with the same effect as if it had been performed upon the day appointed."

The matter of the certification of corporals is an act of a secular nature, and is neither a work of necessity or mercy. The Civil Service Commission might have made certification on December 26th, but that day falling upon Sunday, under a reasonable construction of the code section your Commission has all of the 27th on which to make certification.

In the case of *Rollins* v. *Woodman*, 117 Cal. 518, the court held that the certificate issued by the Tax Collector to the purchaser at a tax sale is required to specify the time when the purchaser will be entitled to a deed. This time, as the law stood in 1884, was upon the expiration of twelve months from the date of the sale if no redemption was made meanwhile. The deed in question recited that the date specified in the certificate was April 5, 1885, which was on a Sunday, and it is argued that this was a fatal defect, because it is said the issuance of a deed could not be compelled on that date. The court held that the date specified for the deed being a legal holiday, that the redemptioner had all of the following day in which to redeem his property.

In other words, in the matter of limitations the courts have always placed a construction upon the statute that will best protect the rights of those to benefit by it.

In view of the foregoing you are advised that the Civil Service Commission has the right to make certification from the eligible list mentioned at any time prior to 12 o'clock midnight on December 27, 1926.

Sincerely yours,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,520 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of Police Department, Personal Services, Appropriation 42-A, to provide the increased wage for twenty-eight corporals of police advanced from the position of police patrolmen, being for the balance of the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1927.

Report on Salary Increases.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Dec. 20, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In the last municipal campaign the people of San Francisco, by overwhelming vote, declared for strict economy in the conduct of the business of this City and County. In compiling the budget for the present fiscal year your Finance Committee complied with that mandate for economy and submitted an estimate of expenditures totaling $33,284,382, which represented a reduction of $1,776,127 from the nominal budget total of the previous fiscal year. As a matter of fact, the previous year's budget had been erroneously compiled, with the result that a deficit of $1,400,000 occurred, so that the actual reduction in authorized ex-

penditures for the present year amounts to $3,176,127.

This year's budget was unanimously adopted by the Board of Supervisors and signed by the Mayor without the change of a single item in the report of the Finance Committee. A tax rate 47 cents less than that of the previous year was adopted, and 72,000 taxpayers of this City and County are now enjoying an average reduction of 12 per cent in their tax bills for the current year.

When the budget was compiled, your Finance Committee took the position that the people's mandate for economy was intended to apply not only to elected officials, but to all employees of the City and County as well, and not a single salary raise or reduction was included in the budget. Half of the fiscal year has now elapsed and a survey of the City and County finances shows that a moderate surplus will accumulate in the treasury by the end of the year. Your Finance Committee has, therefore, taken under consideration various requests for salary increases for City and County employees who now receive small incomes, and for certain others who have not enjoyed a raise for a number of years. After a careful investigation of these requests your Finance Committee, on November 29 last, recommended small increases of salary for about 640 employees, which will aggregate an expenditure of about $70,000 in next year's budget, representing approximately one cent in the tax rate.

Certain members of your Honorable Board have seen fit to recommend additional increases for about 187 employees, 102 of whom were included in the recommendations already submitted by the Finance Committee. These additional increases would amount to $37,104, and would entail a further advance of one-half cent in the tax rate.

During the past three weeks your Finance Committee has been approached, personally and by petition, by no less than one thousand city employees, all asking for salary increases. If these requests were allowed they would necessitate an increase of approximately a quarter of a million dollars in the next budget. Your Finance Committee recognizes the fact that all employees would like to have a salary raise, but it is obviously impossible to increase the entire City and County payroll, and your Committee has endeavored to confine its recommendations chiefly to those employes who are now receiving what may be characterized as a minimum wage. The first year of the new economy regime is only half over, and your Finance Committee believes that a faithful adherence to its obligation to the people's demand for economy will not justify any further increases at this time.

In the face of these facts as herein stated the Finance Committee recommends that all requests for raises of salaries made on November 29, 1926, be disapproved, and that the Civil Service Commission be requested to report as soon as possible their recommendations on the standardization of positions as provided for by the Charter.

Respectfully submitted,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
F. R. HAVENNER,
MILO F. KENT,
Finance Committee.

Salary Increase, John W. Rogers, Chief Assistant Clerk.

Whereupon, the following was presented by Supervisor Shannon and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26622 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Supervisors.

Budget Item No. 4—One chief assistant clerk, $4,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—5.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for 2 p. m.:*

Adjuster of Licenses.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item,

effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Tax Collector's Office.

Budget Item No. 197—Adjuster of licenses, $3,300.

Female Jailer.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Sheriff's Office.

Budget Item No. 314—1 female jailer, $2,244.

Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Office of Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Budget Item No. 396—Chief deputy, $3,600.

Budget Item No. 397—6 deputy sealers, at $3,000 each, $18,000.

Phone Operators.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Health—Central Office.

Budget Item No. 774—2 phone operators, from $1,800 to $2,100.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 940—2 phone operators, from $1,800 to $2,100.

Nurses.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Tuberculosis Bureau.

Budget Item No. 838—8 field nurses, from $1,980 to $2,400.

School Medical Inspection.

Budget Item No. 840—30 field nurses, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Child Welfare.

Budget Item No. 842—Field nurse for foster homes, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Budget Item No. 843—7 field nurses for health centers, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Social Service.

Budget Item No. 844—Field nurse, from $1,980 to $2,400.

BRIDGE MATTERS—2 P. M.

Request That Time Be Set for Rebuttal.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Law Offices of Rothchild & Golden,
Mills Building, San Francisco.
December 27, 1926.

To the Honorable the Mayor and Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

The New York and San Francisco Development Company, a California corporation, which has heretofore filed with you its application for a permit and franchise to construct a bridge crossing the Bay of San Francisco, hereby respectfully request you to fix Monday, the 31st day of January, 1927, at some hour of said day agreeable to yourselves, as the time for the presentation of its rebuttal. We request you to please advise us of the fixing of the time for said purpose, inasmuch as experts from the East expect to come to California for the purpose of making this presentation on behalf of this company before this Honorable Board.

Soliciting your early attention to this request, inasmuch as we desire to make the necessary arrangements, we are

Faithfully yours,
NEW YORK AND SAN FRANCISCO DEVELOPMENT CO.,
By I. M. GOLDEN,
President.

Referred to Special Bridge Committee.

Opinion of City Attorney, Power of Board As to Bridge Sites Not Included in Pending Applications.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

December 27, 1926.

Honorable Warren Shannon, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sir:

You have made a verbal request of me that you be advised as to what power the Board of Supervisors would have in the matter of the various applications which are now pending before them for authority to construct toll bridges over the San Francisco Bay to the Alameda shore to give consideration to a site which is not included in any of the pending applications, and if this particular site which is not included in any of the pending applications met with the approval of the Board could the other applications be held in abeyance to await the approval of the new site by the War Department?

You are advised that the Board of Supervisors has the power to determine the precise point where a bridge is to be located. That authority is found in Section 4 of the Act of the State Legislature of 1881, providing for the construction of bridges across navigable streams, etc., the section reading as follows:

"Whenever the supervisors of any county or counties desire to erect a bridge on a public highway, or to grant the privilege so to do to any individual or corporation, across a navigable stream, under the provisions of this act, said board or boards shall notify the State Engineer of such purpose, and of the *precise point* where such bridge is proposed to be located."

As I have already advised you in a previous opinion, dated December 15, 1926, the Board of Supervisors have the power to construct a bridge themselves, but if they desire to grant the privilege to any individual or corporation, the provisions of the Political Code, Sections 2843 to 2811, inclusive, apply, and therefore, before the bridge could be constructed from any point selected by the Board, an application would have to be made by some individual or corporation for permission to construct the bridge from that point, provided, of course, that the City did not wish to undertake the construction itself, as provided in the Act of 1881.

There can be no objection to your considering a new application for a bridge from any particular point which might be deemed most satisfactory to the Board of Supervisors. This new application might be filed by one who has not already made application to the Board of Supervisors, or those who have already made applications from other points could make applications for permission to construct the bridge at the place deemed most advisable by the Board, and the Board could consider many applications from any particular point, selecting the one which it deemed best suited to the needs of the City. This authority is found in Section 2873 of the Political Code, which reads as follows:

"The Board of Supervisors may, at the time of granting authority to construct a toll bridge, by order, require the bridge to be constructed within a certain time, to be of a certain width, character or description, and to be constructed of certain materials, which order must be complied with by the owner or corporation constructing the same before license to take tolls is issued."

I am of the opinion that the authority granted to the Board by the above section is sufficiently broad to permit the Board to select the plan that it may deem best suited for the needs of the City. I will state, however, that any application to construct a bridge from a new site, whether it is made by one not already before the Board, or made by those whose applications are pending, will have to be treated in all respects as a new application, and new publications will have to be made on each application, and a new hearing had thereon. As to the pending applications, the Board has the authority to adjourn the hearing on these applications from time to time until the hearing on the new site could be had.

If it is the intention of the Board to grant more than one application it might grant a franchise from the new site selected and adjourn the hearing on the other applications until the War Department had passed on the selected site, and then act upon the others. I have made no computation as to what delay the action indicated above would have upon the existing franchises, as that is a matter entirely within the discretion of your Board, my only suggestion being that the utmost care be exercised in properly adjourning the hearing of all applications.

Sincerely yours,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Opinion of City Attorney, Procedure Necessary to Create Bridge and Highway District.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

December 27, 1926.

Honorable Franck R. Havenner, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, City.

Dear Sir:

In response to your verbal request that you be advised as to the procedure necessary to create a bridge and highway district under the Act of May 25, 1923. I will state that this act provides that a bridge and highway district may be organized, to consist of one or more counties or parts of a county or county or counties, including a city and county, provided that each of said counties shall be contiguous to another county within the district. The procedure to originate by the Board of Supervisors of the several counties adopting an ordinance to the effect that the county or part of the county intends to unite under such other counties as may adopt a like ordinance to form such district.

In such ordinance it shall be directed that a petition be circulated therefor and the Board shall, by resolution, name and appoint persons to circulate and secure signatures for the same.

The petitions must be directed to the Secretary of State and signed by at least 10 per cent of the number of the qualified electors in each county in which petitions are presented, the number of electors to be determined by the last vote for the Governor of the State. The petition must set forth the extent of the district, describing it generally, and must have attached a copy of the ordinance. The ordinance may be enacted by the Board of Supervisors at any time after application shall be made to them for such purpose, but in case the Board shall neglect to act upon the application for a period of sixty days the ordinance may be submitted directly to the people within the county under the provisions of the initiative, but in case said ordinance shall be passed by the Board of Supervisors it shall be subject to the referendum provisions generally applicable to ordinances.

The law then provides for the examination by the County Clerk or Registrar of Voters of the signatures to the various petitions and for his forwarding the same to the Secretary of State. The law then provides for the publication of the petitions by the County Clerk and of the hearing of protests as to the inclusion or exclusion of any property from the district, and for reviews by the Supreme Court of the decision of the Superior Court on questions of law or jurisdiction only.

After all the protests have been disposed of and the result of the judgments of the different courts certified to the Secretary of State, the Secretary of State issues a certificate of incorporation declaring the district with the boundaries finally established to be a duly incorporated bridge and highway district. Thereafter the Secretary of State notifies the boards of supervisors in the respective counties in the district of the formation of such district, and within thirty days thereafter each of the boards of supervisors shall appoint persons to act as a board of directors of the district, the appointment being made as follows:

For counties with the population less than 40,000, one director; over 40,000 and not more than 100,000, two directors; over 100,000 and less than 500,000, three directors, and for counties having a population of 500,000 and over, five directors.

The act further provides for the term of office of these directors and for their compensation, which is fixed at $20 for each meeting of the directors.

The Board generally has power to obtain all necessary officers and employees and fix their compensation, to borrow money, to make contracts, institute condemnation proceedings, and to cause taxes to be levied for the purpose of paying running expenses, organization expenses and investigation expenses of the district before the issuance of bonds, and after the issuance of bonds to levy taxes to pay the same.

No bonds can be issued without a two-thirds vote of those within the district voting in favor of the issuance of the bonds.

The directors also have the right to fix tolls and to generally manage the affairs of the district in so far as they pertain to the construction or maintenance of bridges and highways.

This is merely a general synopsis of the act, and I may state that at the present time the regularities of certain provisions are pending before the Supreme Court and an action involving some of the northern counties coming into the Golden Gate.

Yours very truly,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, Relative to Bridge Across the Bay.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Dec. 24, 1926.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Civic Center, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations respectfully submits to your Honorable Board its views relative to a bridge across the bay.

The Civic League has for years agitated the construction of bridges, and when, five years ago, the automobile interests of San Francisco, in an advertising campaign, endeavored to bring to the attention of the community the urgent necessity of breaking the "Chinese Wall" around the city, the Civic League felt that then the time had not yet arrived for legislation, but that the engineering and financial interests of the country must first be aroused to interest themselves in such enterprises, the City commanding no means for decades to come to undertake the financing of such huge projects.

At that time the Civic League felt, however, that the time had arrived to take steps for bridging the lower bay, and the construction of a bridge at Dumbarton Point was born in our councils and promoted, and today the community is gratified to see this undertaking completed and ready for opening to public traffic within a few weeks.

The Civic League today feels that the necessary evolution towards the construction of a bridge across the bay has reached a point where legislation based upon the existing statutes should be immediately enacted without any further delay and without wasting energy upon theories of municipal construction and operation, which cannot materialize into any practical consummation.

The bridge agitation of the last five years has caused the greatest engineers of the country to make thorough studies of the problem from all its viewpoints, particularly location, and it is beyond the question of a doubt that these engineers have considered every possible project that could practically be undertaken and prove financially a success to the capital involved, ranging from seventy-five to one hundred million dollars, which success, after all, can be the only motive for the investment.

There is no reason to believe that any other influences in favor of certain sites could have been a factor in the project, and your Honorable Board has before it all these projects which could possibly be conceived as sound and sane enterprises.

We believe, therefore, that the Board of Supervisors should confine itself to the consideration of these various projects in reaching a decision as to which one of the proposed bridges would be the most desirable for the public interests.

We believe that in the determination as to the choice of bridge, your Honorable Board should be guided by the legally constituted technical departments of the City government, and we are heartily in favor of the proposition advanced by some members of your Honorable Board to appropriate fifty thousand dollars for the employment of two competent, nationally known bridge engineers, not connected with any of the projects, before you to assist the City Engineer in bringing in a recommendation to your Honorable Board as to which project would serve the City best, with the stipulation, however, that the employment of such consulting engineers by the engineering department of the City shall be subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors, so as to place the final responsibility in your Board.

The Civic League suggests that all such expense incurred shall be made a part of the cost of the project when finally awarding the franchise, so as to have the City fully reimbursed for any expenditures by the grantees.

The Civic League states with sincere regret that the the present tendency of your Honorable Board to eliminate, on all questions of great importance, the technical departments of the City Government, is impolitic and unworthy of the legislative body of a great city, and that such actions, due to petty causes of a political nature, have a tendency to destroy and make ineffective the unified operation of the City Government.

We are frank to state, without holding any brief for the City Engineer, that Mr. M. M. O'Shaughnessy with whom the Civic League has disagreed on many occasions, is one of the greatest recognized engineers of the present day, whose services to command any city may well be proud of.

The Civic League also desires to call the attention of your Honorable Board to the fact that while, on the face of it, all projects submitted

have the assurance of sound financial backing, that proper steps must be taken before granting a franchise as to complete and sound financing, including an ample margin should such project finally go considerably above the estimated cost.

The Civic League is of the opinion that no bond, no matter of what nature, will give to the City such absolute guarantee, as practical experience has shown that such bonds can never be enforced or realized upon. There are many technical methods which will impel the courts to hold against forfeiture under the laws of the State of California.

Under the State law when a franchise is once granted, the City's hands are tied for a period of seven years, and if the construction of the bridge is dependent upon the sale of stocks and bonds after the franchise is granted, the same dilemma might ensue as in other projects in this State, which have never materialized.

We respectfully suggest, therefore, that when the final reports, findings and conclusions of the City Engineer and the consulting engineers are submitted with their recommendations, that your Honorable Board first pass a resolution of intention to award such bridge franchise, stipulating a certain period of time, either six or twelve months, in which the financing is guaranteed up to one hundred per cent, by nationally known, responsible underwriters, and that the franchise be then only granted after such underwriting is accepted and proven satisfactory to the City.

The Civic League suggests further that your Honorable Board take into consideration the fact that under the statutes and provisions of a franchise, the bridge to be constructed, after a period of years, will ultimately revert to the City and that, therefore, provision be made for one hundred per cent upkeep at all times during private operation, thus protecting the City against deterioration in the last years of operation, when the operating company will naturally be disinclined to spend the necessary money towards a one hundred per cent maintenance; we, therefore, suggest that provision be made in the franchise which will give the City Engineering Department the power to insist upon one hundred per cent maintenance by providing for a sinking fund out of the gross receipts which will assure such one hundred per cent maintenance during the entire life of the franchise.

The Civic League finally suggests that in selecting a bridge, one hundred per cent traffic service be guaranteed, including suburban and transcontinental railway service into a San Francisco terminal, and that, furthermore, no franchise shall be exclusive, prohibiting the City from granting future franchises for the construction of any other bridges, either privately or publicly owned, during the life of this proposed franchise.

Respectfully submitted,
Civic League of Improvement
Clubs and Associations.
W. W. Watson,
President.
Geo. W. Gerhard,
Secretary.

Ordered *filed*.

Resolution Disapproving of the Construction of Any Trans-Bay Bridge Interfering With Access of Vessels to All Parts of the Waterfront of the City of Oakland.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Introduced by Commissioner Goodrich:

Resolution No. 37962 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City of Oakland has made available by a bond issue a large sum of money for the development of its waterfront, and the city is now engaged in developing this property for port purposes; and

Whereas, the City of Oakland has been advised that any bridge constructed across San Francisco Bay, which will bisect that portion of the city lying along the western waterfront, would interfere with a proper and satisfactory development of Oakland's waterfront; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That any bridge that it is proposed to erect across San Francisco Bay that would in any manner bisect or interefere with the easy and convenient access by vessels to all parts of the waterfront of the City of Oakland does not have the approval of the City of Oakland.

I certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a resolution passed by the City Council of the City of Oakland, California, on November 10, 1926.

Eugene K. Sturgis,
City Clerk, Oakland.
By Willis H. Edwards,
Deputy.

Read and *filed*.

Request of Supervisor Roncovieri for City Attorney's Opinion.

San Francisco, Dec. 27, 1926.

Hon. John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, San Francisco, Cal.

My Dear Sir:

At the bridge hearings recently held some of the proponents made statements the legality of which I would respectfully ask you to verify. The statements made are substantially as follows:

1. All bridge corporations asking for a franchise to build a bridge across the bay offer to turn the bridge over to the City and County of San Francisco, in good repair and free of all costs, fifty years after the date of the granting of the franchise. Can this be done legally?

2. The law provides that the City and County of San Francisco may purchase the bridge at any time during the fifty years of the life of the franchise by paying for it a sum to be agreed upon by three experts to be appointed by the bridge corporation; three experts to be appointed by the City and one expert to be appointed by the Superior Court or the Supreme Court. Further, should the corporation refuse to accept the sum fixed by these seven experts, the law provides that the corporation shall thereupon cease to collect tolls. Is it a legal fact that the City and County of San Francisco may take over the bridge at any time by paying for it the amount fixed by the seven experts, and that if the corporation refuses to accept this amount it shall be deprived of the right to collect any further tolls? Is this statement legally correct?

3. The corporation shall pay taxes to the City and County of San Francisco, and not to the State, or to any other City or County. These taxes will probably exceed one million dollars per annum, based on an assessed value of approximately 35 or 40 million dollars. Is this legally correct?

4. The bridge corporation will be allowed to collect tolls sufficient to amortize the cost of the bridge in equal installments running over the fifty-year period, and to pay costs of upkeep and maintenance. In addition the corporation will be permitted to collect tolls up to 8 per cent to pay interest charges to its stockholders and bondholders. If the tolls agreed upon should earn more than 8 per cent, and not exceeding 15 per cent, the corporatin may return the difference between the 8 and 15 per cent as profits. If the tolls should earn a greater amount than 15 per cent, then this excess must be reflected in a reduction of the tolls rates. Is this legally correct?

5. The legal discount is fixed by law, or the Railroad Commission, for financing such a project as the building of a bridge. Is any corporation permitted to charge more than this legal discount for the purpose of financing the project? If this statement is correct, what is the usual discount allowed by law?

An early reply will be appreciated by,

Sincerely yours,

ALFRED RONCOVIERI,
Supervisor.

Read and Board authorized its transmission to City Attorney for reply. Copies of City Attorney's answer to be sent to all members of Board.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that January 17, 1927, be set for a vote on the granting of a franchise for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, and that the applications be taken up in the order of their presentation.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that Supervisor Gallagher's motion be referred to the Special Bridge Committee.

Motion *carried and committee requested to report at meeting of January* 10, 1927.

Bridge Applications.

The following matters were thereupon taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentuck) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is

27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Franicsco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Fran-

cisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of

Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on

a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge, exclusive of land approaches, being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the

Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 10, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Request for Budget Appropriation for Bay Shore Highway in San Francisco County.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26623 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has from time to time appropriated large sums of money for the Bay Shore Highway; and

Whereas, it is important that this main artery of travel should be completed at as early a date as possible in order to relieve traffic congestion; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works, through the City Engineer's office, be requested to submit an estimate of the cost of paving the Bay Shore Highway from Army street southerly to the county line, so that some provision may be made in the new budget for the prosecution of all, or if that is not possible, at least a portion of this important and necessary work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri—7.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26624 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Schwaben Verein be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball at California Hall, Saturday, January 15, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri—7.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 4, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.